

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BENJAMIN B. CHILDS,
Petitioner,
vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
ADRIANA ESCOBAR, DISTRICT
JUDGE,

Respondents,
and

WLAB INVESTMENT, LLC; TKNR,
INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION;
CHI ON WONG, A/K/A CHI KUEN
WONG, AN INDIVIDUAL; KENNY
ZHONG LIN, A/K/A KEN ZHONG LIN,
A/K/A KENNETH ZHONG LIN, A/K/A
WHONG K. LIN, A/K/A CHONG
KENNY LIN, A/K/A ZHONG LIN, AN
INDIVIDUAL; LIWE HELEN CHEN,
A/K/A HELEN CHEN, AN
INDIVIDUAL; YAN QUI ZHANG, AN
INDIVIDUAL; INVESTPRO LLC, D/B/A
INVESTPRO REALTY, A NEVADA
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; MAN
CHAU CHENG, AN INDIVIDUAL;
JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, AN
INDIVIDUAL; INVESTPRO
INVESTMENTS LLC, A NEVADA
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; AND
INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC, A
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY; AND JOYCE A.
NICKDRANDT, AN INDIVIDUAL,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 82967

FILED

AUG 16 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Petitioner has filed a motion to strike the appendix of real parties in interest. Having considered the motion, opposition, and reply, the motion is denied. However, counsel for real parties in interest is reminded that an appendix of a respondent or a real party in interest should not duplicate documents contained in the appellant or petitioner's appendix, and should correctly identify the proceeding the appendix relates to. Counsel is also reminded the citations in an answer or brief should clearly identify which appendix the citation refers to.

It is so ORDERED.

1. Sandeshy, C.J.

cc: Benjamin B. Childs
Michael B. Lee, P.C.
Day & Nance