# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

W L A B INVESTMENT GROUP, LLC,

Appellant,

v.

TKNR, INC., a California Corporation, and CHI ON WONG aka CHI KUEN WONG, an individual, and KENNY ZHONG LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG K. LIN aka CHONG KENNY LIN aka ZHONG LIN, an individual, and LIWE HELEN CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, an individual and YAN QIU ZHANG, an individual and INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO REALTY, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MAN CHAU CHENG, an individual, and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, an individual, and INVESTPRO INVESTMENTS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and JOYCE A. NICKDRANDT, an individual and does 1 through 15 and roe corporation I-XXX,

Respondents.

Supreme Court Case No. 82835 Electronically Filed District Court 6388 2021 09:367a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

## APPEAL

Page 1 of 2

from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County The Honorable Adriana Escobar, District Judge District Court Case No. A-18-785917-C

# APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME IX

# CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

Document Name	Date Filed	Vol.	Page
Errata to Defendants' Motion for	04/30/2021	IX	1663-1811
Summary Judgment, or in the			
Alternative, Partial Summary			
Judgment			
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants'	05/11/2021	IX	1812-1835
Opposition to Motion for			
Reconsideration			
Order Granting in Part and Denying	05/25/2021	IX	1836-1843
in Part Plaintiff's Motion for			
Reconsideration and Judgment			
Against Plaintiff and Previous			
Counsel			
Notice of Appeal re: Order Granting	06/08/2021	IX	1844-1845
in Part and Denying in Part			
Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration			
and Judgment Against Plaintiff and			
Previous Counsel			
Case Appeal Statement re: Order	06/08/2021	IX	1846-1849
Granting in Part and Denying in Part			
Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration			
and Judgment Against Plaintiff and			
Previous Counsel			

MICHAEL B. LEE, ESQ. (NSB 10122) MICHAEL MATTHIS, ESQ. (NSB 14582)

MICHAEL B. LEE, P.C.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1820 E. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110

Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 (702) 477.7030 Telephone:

Facsimile: (702) 477.0096

mike@mblnv.com

Attorneys for Defendants

# IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

### **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

W L A B INVESTMENT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

TKNR INC., a California Corporation, and CHI ON WONG aka CHI KUEN WONG, an individual, and KENNY ZHONG LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG K. LIN aka CHONG KENNY LIN aka ZHONG LIN, an individual, and LIWE HELEN CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, an individual and YAN QIU ZHANG, an individual, and INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO REALTY, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MAN CHENG, an individual, and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, individual. an and **INVESTPRO INVESTMENTS** LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company, and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, an individual and Does 1 through 15 and Roe Corporation I - XXX,

CASE NO.: A-18-785917-C

DEPT. NO.: XIV

# ERRATA TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Defendants.

Defendants TKNR INC. ("TKNR"), CHI ON WONG ("WONG"), KENNY ZHONG LIN ("LIN"), LIWE HELEN CHEN ("CHEN"), YAN QIU ZHANG ("ZHANG"), INVESTPRO LLC ("INVESTPRO"), MAN CHAU CHENG ("CHENG"), JOYCE A. NICKRANDT ("NICKRANDT"), INVESTPRO INVESTMENTS, LLC ("Investments"), and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Defendants"), by and through their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

counsel of record, Michael B. Lee, P.C., hereby submits this Errata ("Errata") to the Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment ("Motion") as follows:

- 1. Amending the Statement of facts section of the Motion to include the prior deposition testimony of Mr. Miao as laid out in the Supplement to the Motion.
- Including as **Exhibit O** the Deposition Transcript of Fank Miao as Plainitff's PMK.
- 3. Including as **Exhibit P** the Declaration of Kenny Lin authenticating the Exhibits attached to the Motion, which is attached hereto.

The prior deposition testimony to be added is as follows:

#### **Statement of Facts** A.

#### 1. Plaintiff is Sophisticated Buyer

Since 2008, Mr. Miao, Ms. Zhu, and/or Plaintiff have been involved in the purchase of approximately twenty residential properties. Miao Deposition at 129:12-18, 138:6-17 attached as Exhibit O. In Clark County alone, Ms. Zhu and Mr. Miao were involved with the purchase of at least eight rental properties starting in 2014. *Id.* at 111:1-25, 114:19-22. Plaintiff understands the importance of reading contracts. *Id.* at 44:17-24. Additionally, Mr. Miao specified that he understands that he needs to check public records when conducting his due diligence. Id. at 56:21-24.

#### 2. Plaintiff's Purchase of Property was Part of 1031 Exchange

As to the Property, Plaintiff purchased it as part of a 1031 exchange with four other properties at that time. *Id.* at 114:23-25-115:1-8, 149:1-8, 149:21-25. Plaintiff had an issue with financing and the appraisal for the Property, which threatened the 1031 Exchange. *Id.* at 153:12-25. Interestingly, although the Property failed the appraisal for a value of \$200,000, Plaintiff still pressed forward with the sale although it has not provided the appraisal or the basis why it did not apprise for \$200,000. Prior to purchasing it, Plaintiff was aware that TKNR had purchased it as a foreclosure. Id. at 216:22-25.

#### 3. Requirement to Inspect was Known

In terms of the RPA (as defined by the Motion), the terms of the contract were clear to Plaintiff. Id. at 156:7-21 (due diligence period), 163:3-11. As to Paragraph 7(A), Mr. Miao FEL - (702) 546-7055; FAX - (702) 825-4734

28

1 specified that he believed that his inspection and conversations with the tenant constituted the 2 actions necessary to deem the Property as satisfactory for Plaintiff's purchase. 3 19· · · A. · · Yes. · Based on -- we bought this -- we go 20 to the inspection, then we also talk to the tenant, 21 so we thinking this is investment property; right? 4 22 So financial it's looking at the rent, it's 5 23 reasonable, it's not very high compared with the 24 surrounding area. Then also financially, it's good. 25····Then I take a look at the – everything 6 Page 164 7 ·1 outside. · Good. · So I said, Fine. · That's satisfied. ·2 That's the reason I command my wife to sign the 8 ·3 purchase agreement. 9 Id. at 164:9-25-165:1-3. 10 At all times relevant prior to the purchase of the Property, Plaintiff had access to inspect 11 the entire property and conduct non-invasive, non-destructive inspections: 12  $\cdot 2 \cdot \cdot \cdot Q$ . So at the time when you did your ·3 diligence, you had a right to conduct noninvasive, · 4 nondestructive inspection; correct? 13  $\cdot 5 \cdot \cdot \cdot A. \cdot \cdot Yes$ , I did. ·6· · · Q. · · And you had the opportunity to inspect all 14 ·7 the structures? 15  $\cdot 8 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot I$  check the other one -- on the walk, I ·9 don't see the new cracking, so the -- some older 16 10 cracking. I check the neighbor who also have that 11 one. I think it's okay; right? Then the – 17 18 Id. at 166:2-11. 19  $8 \cdot \cdot \cdot Q \cdot \cdot$  So you had the right to inspect the ·9 structure; correct? 20  $10 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Yes$ , yes, I did that. 11 · · · Q. · · You had the right to inspect the roof; is 21 12 that correct?  $13 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Yes.$  $14 \cdot \cdot \cdot Q \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ kay. Did you do that? 22 15 · · · A. · I forgot. · I maybe did that because 23 16 usually I go to the roof. 24 23 mechanical system; correct? 24· · · A. · · Right. · Yes, yes. 25 25 · · · Q. · · You had the right to inspect the 26 Page 167 ·1 electrical systems; correct? ·2· · · A. · · I check the electrical system, yes. 27 ·3· · · Q. · · You had a right to inspect the plumbing ·4 systems; correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

·5· · · A.· ·Yes. ·6· · · Q.· ·You had the right to inspect the
·7 heating/air conditioning system; correct?
·8···A.··Yes.  ***
·3· · · Q. · · And then you could have inspected any ·4 other property or system within the property itself;
·5 correct?
$\cdot 6 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot \cdot Yes$ , yes.
( 167.22 25 169.1 11 169.25 160.1 (

*Id.* at 167:8-16, 167:22-25-168:1-11, 168:25-169:1-6.

Prior to the purchase, Mr. Miao was always aware that the Seller "strongly recommended that buyer retain licensed Nevada professionals to conduct inspections":

```
13··· Q.··"It is strongly recommended that buyer 14 retain licensed Nevada professionals to conduct 15 inspections."
16··· A.· Yes.
17··· Q.· Yeah.· So you were aware of this 18 recommendation at the time -- 19··· A.· Yeah, I know.
```

18· · · Q. · · Okay. · So going back to paragraph 7D --

Id. at 176:13-19.

Plaintiff was also aware of the language in the RPA under Paragraph 7(D) that limited potential damages that could have been discovered by an inspection:

```
19· · · A.· · Yeah.
20· · · Q.· ·-- right, after the language that's in
21 italics, would you admit that because it's in the
22 italics, it's conspicuous, you can see this
23 language?
24· · · A. · · Yeah. · Yeah.
25· · · Q. · · Okay. · Then it goes on to say, "If any
Page 179
·1 inspection is not completed and requested repairs
·2 are not delivered to seller within the due diligence
·3 period, buyer is deemed to have waived the right to
·4 that inspection and seller's liability for the cost
·5 of all repairs that inspection would have reasonably
·6 identified had it been conducted."
·7· · · · · · Did I read that correctly?
\cdot 8 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Yes, yes.
·9· · · Q. · · Okay. · So we'll eventually get to the
10 issues that, you know, Ms. Chen identified that you
11 wanted corrected in the emails or text messages.
12 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot Is that fair to say that those are the
13 only issues that you deemed needed to be resolved to
14 go forward with the purchase?
15· · · A. · Yeah. · After that time, yes.
```

 $\Gamma$ EL -(702) 546-7055; FAX -(702) 825-4734

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Id. at 179:18-25-180:1-15. Finally, as to the RPA, Mr. Miao agreed that all the terms in it were conspicuous and understandable, and it was a standard agreement similar to the other agreements he had used in purchasing the other properties in Clark County, Nevada. *Id.* at 198:19-25-199:1-2, 200:3-15.

#### 3. Mr. Miao Does Inspections for Plaintiff Although he is not a Licensed, Bonded Professional Inspector

As to all the properties purchased by Plaintiff, Mr. Miao always does the inspections and does not believe a professional inspection is necessary. *Id.* at 116:2-9, 119:3-25, 140:5-10. Based on his own belief, he does not believe that a professional inspection is necessary for multitenant residential properties. Id., 120:6-9 (his own understanding), 120:16-25 (second hand information he received). Notably, he does not have any professional license related to being a general contractor, inspector, appraiser, or project manager. Id. at 123:5-16 (no professional licenses), 123:23-24 (no property management license), 169:7-14 (no licensed or bonded inspector), 171:23-25 (have not read the 1952 Uninformed Building Code), 172:17-19 (not an electrician), 172:23-25-1-16 (no general contractor license or qualified under the intentional building code), 174:13-23 (not familiar with the international residential code). Importantly, he has never hired a professional inspector in Clark County, *Id.* at 140:19-21, so does not actually know what a professional inspection would encompass here. Id. at 143:9-13, 144:8-19. The main reason Plaintiff does not use a professional inspector is because of the cost. *Id.* at 147:2-7. On or about August 10, 2017, Mr. Miao did an inspection of the Property. *Id.* at 158:1-25-159:1-12. During that time, he admitted that he noticed some issues with the Property that were not up to code, finishing issues, GFCI outlets<sup>1</sup>, and electrical issues:

16· · · A. · · I looked at a lot of things. · For example,

17 like, the -- I point out some drywall is not

18 finished; right? And the -- some of smoke alarm is

19 not -- is missing and -- which is law required to

20 put in for smoke alarm. Then no carbon monoxide

21 alarm, so I ask them to put in.

The Second Amended Complaint references GFCI at Paragraph 31(a). This illustrates the overall bad faith and frivolous nature of the pleading since Mr. Miao is the one who requested TKNR to install these for Plaintiff.

22····Then in the kitchen, lot of electrical,
23 the outlet is not a GFCI outlet, so I tell them, I
24 said, You need to change this GFCI. Right now this
25 outlet is not meet code. You probably have problem

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

Similarly, he also specified that there was an issue with exposed electrical in Unit C. 175:10-24. He also noted that there could have been a potential asbestos issue as well. *Id.* at 160:7-12. Additionally, he noted that there were cracks in the ceramic floor tiles, *Id.* at 249:22-25, and he was aware of visible cracks in the concrete foundation, *Id.* at 269:13-22 (aware of slab cracks), which were open and obvious. Id. at 270:14-24. He also admitted that he could also have seen the dryer vent during his inspection. *Id.* at 269:23-25. As to those issues, Mr. Miao determined that the aforementioned issues were the only issues that TKNR needed to fixed after his inspection. Id. at 171:2-9 (was only concerned about the appraisal), Id. at 219:13-25-221:1-2.

Moreover, Mr. Miao received the Seller's Real Property Disclosure Form ("SRPDF") prior to the purchase of the Property. Id. at 201:22-25. As to SRPDF, Plaintiff was aware that TKNR was an investor who had not resided in the Property, and there were issues with the heating systems, cooling systems, and that there was work done without permits. *Id.* at 201:1-25-202:1-12. Similarly, it was aware that the Property was 63 years old at that time, *Id.* at 204:4-7, and all the work was done by a handyman other than the HVAC installation. *Id.* at 205:14-25, Id. at 134:14-25 (understands the difference between a handyman and a licensed contractor), 243:2 ("Yes. They did by the handyman, yes.").

Despite these disclosures, Mr. Miao never followed up:

```
23· · · Q. · · Okay. · So when they disclosed that there
24 was construction and modification, alterations,
25 and/or repairs made without State, City, County
Page 205
· 1 building permits, which was also work that was done
```

·2 by owner's handyman, did you ever do any follow-up

·3 inquiries to the seller about this issue?

·4· · · A. · · No, I didn't follow up. ·

26

27

28

Id. at 204:23-25-205:1-4. However, Mr. Miao also admitted that he could have followed up on the issues identified in the SRPDF that included the HVAC and the permits:

1	10· · · Q. · · Under the disclosure form
2	11··· A.··Yeah. 12··· Q.·· like, where it specified that there
3	13 were heating system/cooling system issues that 14 they're aware of, that you could have elected to
4	15 have an inspection done at that time; correct? 16 · · · A. · Yes.
5	<i>Id.</i> at 206:10-16.
6	15· · · Q.· ·Okay.· So as your attorney said, you could
7	16 have obtained a copy of the permits at any time? 17 Yes?
	18· · · A.· ·Yes.
8	19· · · Q. · · Okay. · And then it's fair to say that just 20 put you on notice of the potential permit issue;
9	21 correct? 22··· A.· Yes.
10	23··· Q.··It also put you on notice of the issues of 24 everything that's basically specified on page 38;
11	25 correct?
12	Page 209 1···A.··Yes.
13	<i>Id.</i> at 209:15-25-210:1, 245:22-25 (could have obtained permit information in 2018).
14	Similarly, Mr. Miao was aware that he should have contacted the local
15	department as part of his due diligence:
15 16	22· · · Q.· ·Okay.· So you understand that for more
	22···Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department?
16	22··· Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25··· A.··Yes.·
16 17	22··· Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25··· A.··Yes.· Page 260 ***  '5··· Q.·· it provides you with the address of the
16 17 18	22···Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25···A.··Yes.· Page 260 ***  ***  *5···Q.·· it provides you with the address of the 6 building and safety department; is that correct? 7···A.··Yes.
16 17 18 19	22··· Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25··· A.··Yes.  Page 260  * * *  ·5·· Q.·· it provides you with the address of the ·6 building and safety department; is that correct? ·7·· A.··Yes. ·8·· Q.·· And the office hours; is that correct? ·9··· A.··Yes.
16 17 18 19 20	22···Q.·Okay.·So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25···A.·Yes.· Page 260 ***  '5···Q.··- it provides you with the address of the 6 building and safety department; is that correct? 7···A.·Yes. 8···Q.··And the office hours; is that correct? 9···A.·Yes. 10···Q.··And it also provides you with a phone 11 number; correct?
16 17 18 19 20 21	22··· Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25··· A.··Yes.· Page 260 ***  ***  *5··· Q.·· it provides you with the address of the 6 building and safety department; is that correct? 7··· A.··Yes.  *8··· Q.·· And the office hours; is that correct? 9··· A.··Yes.  10··· Q.·· And it also provides you with a phone 11 number; correct? 12··· A.··Yes. 13··· Q.·· And this is information or resources that
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	22···Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25···A.··Yes.  Page 260  * * *  '5···Q.·· it provides you with the address of the '6 building and safety department; is that correct? '7···A.··Yes.  '8···Q.··And the office hours; is that correct? '9···A.··Yes.  10···Q.··And it also provides you with a phone 11 number; correct? 12···A.··Yes. 13···Q.··And this is information or resources that 14 you could have used at any time related to finding
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	22··· Q.·· Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25··· A.·· Yes.  Page 260 ***  ***  -5··· Q.·· it provides you with the address of the -6 building and safety department; is that correct? -7··· A.·· Yes8··· Q.·· And the office hours; is that correct? -9··· A.·· Yes. 10··· Q.·· And it also provides you with a phone 11 number; correct? 12··· A.·· Yes. 13··· Q.·· And this is information or resources that 14 you could have used at any time related to finding 15 information about the permits of the property; 16 correct?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	22···Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25···A.··Yes.· Page 260 ***  ***  ***  ***  ***  ***  ***  *
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	22···Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25···A.··Yes.  Page 260  ***  '5··Q.··- it provides you with the address of the 6 building and safety department; is that correct? 7···A.··Yes.  8···Q.·· And the office hours; is that correct? 9···A.··Yes.  10···Q.· And it also provides you with a phone 11 number; correct? 12···A.··Yes. 13···Q.·· And this is information or resources that 14 you could have used at any time related to finding 15 information about the permits of the property; 16 correct? 17···A.··Yes. 18···Q.·· And this would have been true prior to the 19 purchase of the building; correct? 20···A.··Yes.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	22···Q.··Okay.· So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should 24 contact the local building department? 25···A.··Yes.· Page 260 ***  '5···Q.·· it provides you with the address of the 6 building and safety department; is that correct? 7···A.··Yes.  8···Q.· And the office hours; is that correct? 9···A.··Yes. 10···Q.··And it also provides you with a phone 11 number; correct? 12···A.··Yes. 13···Q.··And this is information or resources that 14 you could have used at any time related to finding 15 information about the permits of the property; 16 correct? 17···A.··Yes. 18···Q.··And this would have been true prior to the 19 purchase of the building; correct?

building

Page 8 of 18

24 had been done without a permit; right?

1

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11 does not constitute warranty duty of buyer and
12 prospective buyer to exercise reasonable care."
13····Do you see that?
$14 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Yes.$
15· · · Q. · · Okay. · So this disclosure form gave Marie
16 Zhu, your wife, a copy of the Nevada law that was
17 applicable to the sale of the property; correct?
18··· A.· ·Yeah.
19· · · Q. · · Okay. · And under NRS 113.1403, it
20 specifies, "Either this chapter or Chapter 645 of
21 the NRS relieves a buyer or prospective buyer of the
22 duty to exercise reasonable care to protect
23 himself."
24····Did I read that correctly?
$25 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Yes.$

Id. at 209:2-25. As such, no dispute exists that Plaintiff was aware that the Property had the same issues complained of in the pleadings at the time it put an offer on it, and that Plaintiff assumed the risk of failing to exercise reasonable care to protect itself.

#### 4. No Dispute a Professional Inspection Could Have Revealed the Alleged Issues

The alleged defects identified by both parties' experts could have been discovered at the time of the original purchase. As to the ability to inspect, Mr. Miao admitted that he had access to the entire building. *Id.* at 250:22-25. He had access to the attic and looked at it. *Id.* at 251:4-14. Mr. Miao admitted that Plaintiff's expert examined the same areas that he did:

```
·6· · · Q. · · Okay. · So you walked through the property
·7 with him at the time he did his inspection; correct?
\cdot 8 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Right.
·9· · · Q. · · Okay. · During that time, did he inspect
10 any areas that -- that you did not have access to in
12· · · A. · · Yes. · He didn't go to anything I didn't
13 inspect during 2017 too.
14· · · Q. · · So he inspected the same areas you
15 inspected?
16 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Yes, yes.
```

Id. at 291:6-16. Notably, Plaintiff's expert did not do any destructive testing, so the expert's access was exactly the same as Mr. Miao's original inspection. Id. at 291:1-5. Mr. Miao admitted that Plaintiff's expert's inspection of the HVAC, Id. at 292:2-5, 293:18-23, and the plumbing system, *Id.* at 300:19-25-301:1-4, would have been the same as his in 2017. He also admitted that the pictures attached to Plaintiff's expert report were areas that he could have

MICHAEL B. LEE, P.C. 1820 E. SAHARA AVENUE, SUITE 110 1 AS VICEAS NEVADA 80104

 $\Gamma$ EL -(702) 546-7055; FAX -(702) 825-4734

inspected in 2017. *Id.* at 302:6-13.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Additionally, Mr. Miao accompanied Defendants' expert during his inspection. Id. at 320:31-25. As before, Mr. Miao had the same access to the Property in 2017 for the areas inspected by Defendants' expert. Id. at 321:1-6. Mr. Miao agreed with Defendants' expert that the alleged conditions identified by Plaintiff's expert were "open and obvious":

```
22 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot O. · · And then the second line down, the first
23 sentence begins, "Items complained about in the Sani
24 report were open and obvious in the roof area, attic
25 area, and on the exterior/interior of the property."
Page 318
\cdot 3 \cdot \cdot \cdot Q \cdot \cdot \cdot Do you agree with this statement?
\cdot 4 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot \cdot Yes.
```

Id. at 318:22-25-319:3-4. He also agreed with Defendants' expert's finding that there was no noticeable sagging in the roof. *Id.* at 333:20-24.

Incredibly, Mr. Miao also recognized the deficiency in Plaintiff's expert's report that failed to differentiate between conditions prior to when TKNR owned the Property, while it owned it, and those afterwards:

```
17· · · Q.· ·-- midway down the first complete sentence
18 says, "The Sani report does not recognize prior
19 conditions in existence before any work took place
20 by defendants."
21 · · · · · · Do you agree with this statement?
Page 321
\cdot 3 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot Yes, yes.
·4 BY MR. LEE:
\cdot 5 \cdot \cdot \cdot Q \cdot \cdot Y ou agree with that? Okay.
·6· · · A.· · Agree.
```

Id. at 321:17-21 - 322:3-6. This would have also included any issues with the dryer vent and ducts, *Id.* at 325:3-20, as he recognized that most rentals do not include washer / dryer units. *Id.* at 326:7-25-327:1-9.

#### 5. No Permits Required for Cosmetic Work by TKNR

No dispute exists that TKNR did not need permits for the interior work it had done to the Property. Mr. Miao admitted the following:

·5· · · Q. · · Number 5 says, "Painting, papering,

Tel - (702) 546-7055; Fax - (702) 825-4734LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89104

*Id.* at 264:17-25-265:1-24.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### 6. Plaintiff Desperate to Close on Property to Complete 1031 Exchange

Plaintiff needed to close on the Property to complete the 1031 Exchange. *Id.* at 286:1-7. Thus, when it could not close on the first RPA, it agreed to the second RPA and waived all inspections. Id. at 281:12-16 (Miao did inspections already), 288:22-25-289:1-6. Plaintiff could not meet the close of escrow because its financing fell through for the Property, so it amended the first RPA and agreed to guaranty the purchase price of \$200,000 and put down \$60,000 as earnest money to get TKNR to agree to the second RPA. *Id.* at 285:4-25-286:1-7.

#### 7. Plaintiff Does not Disclose the Alleged Issues to Potential Tenants

Since the date it purchased the Property, Plaintiff has always been trying to lease it. *Id.* at 330:19-25-331:1-2. According to Mr. Miao, the landlord must provide safe housing for the tenant:

```
19 · · · · · Then also in according to the law, and
20 they said it very clearly, because this is
21 residential income property, right, rental income
22 property, multi-family, we need -- landlord need
23 provide housing and well-being and -- for the
24 tenant. The tenant is not going to do all this
25 inspection. They can't. The burden is on the
Page 120
·1 landlord to make sure all these building is safe and
·2 in good condition.
```

*Id.* at 120:16-25-121:1-2, 140:10-14. However, they have not done any of the repairs listed by Plaintiff's expert. *Id.* at 331:3-12. This illustrates the lack of merit of Plaintiff that there are underlying conditions with the Property.

Moreover, it does not provide any notice to the tenants about its expert's report or this litigation:

```
·7 out the units to any tenants, do you ever provide
·8 them with a copy of the Sani report?
\cdot 9 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot \cdot No.
10 \cdot \cdot \cdot Q \cdot \cdot Do you ever provide them with any of the
11 pleadings or the first amended complaint, second
12 amended complaint, the complaint itself?
13 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{No.}
     * * *
```

·6· · · O. · · All right. · In terms of tenants -- renting

26

27

28

1	22···Q.··Okay.· So basically, you just tell them, 23 There's this.· You can inspect the unit if you want;
2	24 is that it? 25 · · · A.· · Yeah.· And also we need to tell is a lot
3	Page 337 1 of things report that we don't need to go to the
4	·2 inside the building.· It's wall cracking.· It's ·3 outside.· You can see.
5	·4· · · Q. · · Okay. · So it's open and obvious for them? ·5· · · A. · · Yeah. · You can see always outside.
6	o III I dain I da dan boo ar way b dan bida
7	Id. at 337:6-13, 337:22-25-338:1-5. This illustrates the lack of merit of Plaintiff's claims, proven
8	that it has done nothing to correct the allegedly deficient conditions that are clearly not so
9	dangerous as it does not tell prospective tenants about them.
0	8. <u>Squatters or Tenants Could Have Damaged the Property</u>
1	Multiple third parties could have potentially damaged the Property. The Property has a

12··· Q.·· Do you generally have a squatter problem 13 with the property?
14··· A.·· Yes.· As a matter of fact, today I just 15 saw the one text message that said one -- some 16 people go to my apartment.

historic problem with squatters during the time that Plaintiff owned it:

*Id.* at 110:12-16. He also admitted that tenants could have damaged the Property while they were occupying it:

```
\cdot 4 \cdot \cdot \cdot Q \cdot \cdot \cdot Okay. So the tenant in this context would
·5 have damaged the unit at the time that you owned it;
·6 is that fair?
·7· · · A. · · Maybe. · Yes.
·8· · · Q. · · Okay. · So some of the -- so the damage
·9 that was to the water heater system, could the
10 tenant have damaged that as well?
11 \cdot \cdot \cdot A. \cdot \cdot Yes.
12· · · Q. · · And then he could have damaged the cooler
13 pump and the valve as well; is that correct?
14^{-} \cdot \cdot \hat{A} \cdot \cdot Yes.
15· · · Q. · Okay. · Then on 122, these are all issues
16 that the tenant could have damaged; is that correct?
17 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Yes.
18 \cdot \cdot \cdot Q. And then the same through for 145; is that
19 right?
20 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Yes.
```

Id. at 306:4-20, 330:5-7. This could also account for the cracking on the walls. Id. at 310:8-12.

Tenants could have also damaged the Property if they hit it with their cars. *Id.* at 332:14-16.

# $\Gamma$ EL -(702) 546-7055; FAX -(702) 825-4734

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### 9. No Evidence That Defendants Knew of Alleged Conditions

Plaintiff's case is based on speculation that Defendants knew about the alleged conditions in the Property; however, Mr. Miao admitted that there is no evidence that shows Defendants knew about them. *Id.* at 245:1-13 (speculating that InvestPro made changes). The entire case is based on Mr. Miao's personal belief and speculation. *Id.* at 253:17-19.

Mr. Miao admitted that he has no evidence Defendants knew about the alleged moisture conditions. Id. at 293:24-25-294:1-3. Additionally, he also admitted that there is no evidence that Defendants knew about the alleged issues with the plumbing system. *Id.* at 301:21-24. He also admitted that he did not know if Defendants knew about the alleged issues with the duct work when they owned the Property. Id. at 314:5-19. He also recognized the deficiency in Plaintiff's expert's report that failed to differentiate between conditions prior to when TKNR owned the Property, while it owned it, and those afterwards. *Id.* at 321:17-21 – 322:3-6. He also recognized that a 63 year old property could have issues that were not caused by Defendants. Id. at 324:6-15. This would have also included any issues with the dryer vent and ducts, Id. at 325:3-20, and when the duct became disconnected. *Id.* at 329:1-16.

Notably, during Mr. Miao's due diligence period, he spoke with the tenants of the Property. Id. at 163:12-25-164:1-6. This included a conversation with the long term tenant of Unit A, who still resides in the Property to this day. Id. At that time, the tenant reported being very happy with the Property and had no complaints. *Id.* In fact, the tenant reported still being very happy with the Property. Id. at 170:7-9. This illustrates that there is no basis that Defendants should have been aware of any of the issues when Mr. Miao, a self-professed expert, did not even know about them following his inspection.

#### 10. No Basis for Claims for RICO and/or Related to Flipping Fund

The Flipping Fund had nothing to do with Plaintiff's decision to purchase the Property. Id. at 223:15-25.

> 20· · · Q. · · Yeah. · So there's no way that you relied 21 upon any flipping fund since it would have been 22 closed at this time; right?  $23 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot Yeah.$

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Id. at 274:20-23. He also admitted that he never received any pro forma, private placement information, calculations of profit and loss, capital contribution requirements, member share or units, or any such information about the Flipping Fund. *Id.* at 277:7-16. Mr. Miao solely made his statements in the Declaration related to the Flipping Fund based on information he reviewed on a website and alleged conversations at a holiday party. Id. at 227:22-25. He also specified that he does not know the structure between the Investpro Defendants and the scope of each's purpose. Id. at 230:20-25-231:1.

#### 11. Miao Declaration is Based on Speculation and Hearsay

As to the representations in the Declaration to the Opposition to the Motion, Mr. Miao makes them according to his experience and his speculation:

```
11· · · Q. · · So you're -- when you say your experience,
12 it's based on you speculating based on your own
13 belief; correct?
14· · · A. · · Based on my experience.
15· · · Q. · Okay. · So you're still speculating; right?
16 \cdot \cdot \cdot A. \cdot \cdot Okay. \cdot Yes.
```

Id. at 233:11-16. His additional statements are based on hearsay statements from third parties. Id. at 234:12-24. In terms of the allegations he made as to Defendants' knowledge, those are only based on his personal belief:

```
17 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot Q. So no one ever told you that. It's just
18 based on your own personal belief?
19 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot \text{Yes}.
20· · · Q. · · Okay. · And then, "Removal of natural gas
21 supply line was, which occurred with no permit or
22 inspection and was not performed by active licensed
23 contractor as required by law," this is also based
24 on your personal belief?
25· · · A.· ·Yeah
```

Id. at 253:17-25, 254:2-7 (electrical system – personal belief), 254:17-25 (personal belief about HVAC).

```
24····So as it relates to all these items here,
25 no defendant ever came up to you and said, Yes,
1 we're actually aware of these issues; right?
\cdot 2 \cdot \cdot \cdot A \cdot \cdot \cdot No.
```

*Id.* at 255:24-25-256:1-2.

MICHAEL B. LEE, P.C.

28

Property, and determined that it would have been \$102,873.00. *Id.* at 307:6-22. However,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's expert opined that the cost of repair would have been \$600,000, although he did not provide an itemized cost of repair. Id. at 334:17-21. This illustrates that the bad faith purposes of this lawsuit was to simply harass Defendants.

Mr. Miao perjured himself in his Declaration, Opp'n, Ex. 2. He denied, under the penalty of perjury, that he never made an offer to settle this matter for \$10,000. Id. at Page 5 of 5. However, during his deposition he admitted that he did make this offer. Ex. 1 at 259:5-15 ("so maybe I tell Lin, Just pay us \$10,000"). As noted in the Motion, this illustrates the overall bad faith of the litigation where Plaintiff admittedly amplified its alleged damages by more than 6x, and then trebled the damages, and have run up egregious attorneys' fees for this frivolous action. These are undisputed facts that prove abuse of process as a matter of law.

Dated this 29th day of April, 2021.

MICHAEL B. LEE, P.C.

/s/ Michael Lee MICHAEL B. LEE, ESQ. (NSB 10122) MICHAEL MATTHIS, ESQ. (NSB 14582) 1820 E. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: (702) 477.7030 Facsimile: (702) 477.0096

mike@mblnv.com Attorney for Defendants

# **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Pursuant to	NRCP 5(b)	and NE	EFCR 9, I hereb	y certify that I a	am an e	mployee o
MICHAEL B. LEI	E, and that or	n the 30	oth day of April,	2021, the forego	oing <u>ER</u>	RATA TO
<b>DEFENDANTS'</b>	MOTION	FOR	SUMMARY	JUDGMENT,	OR	IN THE
ALTERNATIVE,	PARTIAL SU	J <b>MMAI</b>	RY JUDGMENT	was served via the	ne Court'	's electronic
filing and/or service	e system and/o	or via fa	csimile and/or U.	S. Mail first class	postage	pre-paid to
all parties addressed	d as follows:					

BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 3946 318 S. Maryland Parkway Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 251-0000 Email: ben@benchilds.com Attorneys for *Plaintiff* 

/s/ Mindy Pallares
An employee of Michael B. Lee PC

# EXHIBIT O

```
IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                  CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
 3
 4 WLAB INVESTMENT, LLC,
         Plaintiff,
                               )CASE NO.: A-18-785917-C
         vs.
                               ) DEPT NO.: 14
 7 TKNR INC., a California
  Corporation, and CHI ON WONG)
 8 aka CHI KUEN WONG, an
  individual, and KENNY ZHONG )
 9 LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka
  KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG )
10 K. LIN aka CHING KENNY LIN
   aka ZHONG LIN, an
11 individual, and LIWE HELEN
  CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, an
12 individual and YAN QIU
   ZHANG, an individual, and
13 INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO )
   REALTY, a Nevada Limited
14 Liability Company, and MAN
  CHAU CHENG, an individual,
15 and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, an
   individual, and INVESTPRO
16 INVESTMENTS LLC, a Nevada
  Limited Liability Company,
17 and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC, a)
  Nevada Limited Liability
18 Company, and JOYCE A.
  NICKRANDT, an individual and)
19 Does 1 through 15 and Roe
  Corporation I-XXX,
20
         Defendants.
21
22 Job Number. 697915
23
             DEPOSITION OF FRANK MIAO
24
25
```

```
Page 2
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
                DEPOSITION OF FRANK MIAO
 6
    PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGABLE FOR WLAB INVESTMENT, LLC
 7
              Taken at Litigation Services
 8
 9
              on Tuesday, January 12, 2021
10
                       at 9:00 a.m.
11
        at 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 700
12
                 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 Reported by: Trina K. Sanchez, CCR No. 933, RPR
25 Job No.: 697915
```

```
Page 3
 1 APPEARANCES:
 2 For the Defendants via videoconference:
 3
             MICHAEL B. LEE, ESQ.
             MICHAEL B. LEE, P.C.
 4
             1820 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 110
 5
             Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
             (702) 477-7030
             mike@mblnv.com
 6
   For the Plaintiff:
 9
             BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, ESQ.
             318 South Maryland Parkway
             Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
10
             (702) 251-0000
11
             ben@benchilds.com
12
13 Also present via videoconference: Helen Chen
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		INDEX	Page 4
2	WITNESS:		PAGE
3	FRANK MIAO		
4	Examina	tion by Mr. Michael Lee	7
5			
6			
7		EXHIBITS	
8	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9	EXHIBIT 1	Notice of Deposition of Person	10
10		Most Knowledgable for WLAB	
11		Investment, LLC	
12	EXHIBIT 2	Residential Purchase Agreement	147
13	EXHIBIT 3	Seller's Real Property	200
14		Disclosure Form	
15	EXHIBIT 4	Mold Notice & Waiver	212
16	EXHIBIT 5	Trustee's Deed Upon Sale	216
17	EXHIBIT 6	Email dated August 24, 2017	217
18	EXHIBIT 7	Email chain dated August 17, 2017	217
19	EXHIBIT 8	Invoice 0335107	224
20	EXHIBIT 9	Declaration of Frank Miao in	224
21		Support of Opposition to	
22		Defendant's Motion for Summary	
23		Judgment and Countermotions	
24	EXHIBIT 10	Permit/Application Status	249
25	EXHIBIT 11	When do I need a permit?	260

				Page 5
1			A Homeowner's Guide	
2	EXHIBIT	12	Declaration of Amin Sani	266
3	EXHIBIT	13	Photographs from GLVAR	268
4			of 2132 Houston Drive	
5	EXHIBIT	14	HVAC Service Order Invoice	271
6	EXHIBIT	15	Letter	272
7	EXHIBIT	16	Flipping Fund - InvestPro Realty	274
8	EXHIBIT	17	Email dated September 5, 2017	280
9	EXHIBIT	18	Addendum No. 1 to Purchase	281
10			Agreement	
11	EXHIBIT	19	Residential Purchase Agreement	282
12	EXHIBIT	20	Authorization to Close Escrow	289
13	EXHIBIT	21	Expert Testimony Report	289
14	EXHIBIT	22	Penny Electric Estimate	298
15	EXHIBIT	23	Cost to Repair documents	303
16	EXHIBIT	24	ACLV Proposal	315
17	EXHIBIT	25	Larkin Plumbing & Heating	315
18			Proposal & Contract	
19	EXHIBIT	26	Home Depot Quote	316
20	EXHIBIT	27	Neil D. Opfer Report	317
21	EXHIBIT	28	Defendants' Request for Entry	334
22			onto Land and for Inspection	
23			of Tangible Things Pursuant	
24			to NRCP 34	
25	EXHIBIT	29	Defendants' Amended Request for	334

1	Entwo onto I and and fan Ingrestien	Page 6
1	Entry onto Land and for Inspection	
2	of Tangible Things Pursuant	
3	to NRCP 34	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
Page 7
 1
      LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2021;
 2
                        9:00 A.M.
 3
                          -000-
 4
 5 (In an off-the-record discussion held prior to the
 6 commencement of the deposition proceedings, counsel
 7 agreed to waive the court reporter requirements
 8 under Rule 30(b)(5) of the Nevada Rules of Civil
 9 Procedure.)
10
11 Whereupon,
12
                       FRANK MIAO,
13 having been first duly sworn to testify to the
14 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,
15 was examined and testified as follows:
16
17
                       EXAMINATION
18 BY MR. LEE:
19
        Q.
             Good morning, sir. Thank you for
20 appearing for your deposition today. You're
21 appearing as the 30(b)(6) or the person most
22 knowledgable for this deposition; is that correct?
23
        Α.
             Yes.
24
        Ο.
             And you understand what that term means?
25
        Α.
             Yes.
```

Page 44 1 firm in Monterey Park, Los Angeles, and working with 2 this accounting firm to set up the company. 3 get the seal, all the documents together. Then 4 accounting firm continued to the accountants. Every year we file the tax returns through 6 the company firm. I think they called the Southern 7 California Accounting something company. A California accounting company? 8 Q. Yeah, California company. It's actually 10 we set up through that company. 11 What's the name of the company? Q. 12 Α. Southern California Accounting. 13 Oh, okay. Q. 14 Yeah. If you go to the Chinese newspaper, 15 you will see that advertise, yeah, from the Chinese 16 newspaper, local newspaper. 17 Q. So I went through your work history. You 18 know, like, 1990 to 2008, you were working in a, you 19 know -- capacity as an engineer supervisor. Did you 20 have to review many contracts during that time? A. Yes, yes. Yeah. Q. Okay. And then you understood the

> Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

How many of these contracts led to the

importance of reading contracts; is that fair?

24

25

A. Yes, yes.

Q.

- Page 56 1 Legal News, every day, every feature they have a lot
- 2 of legal notice and they have one called the Trustee
- 3 Sale Calendar; okay?
- 4 So actually, it's on the trustee sale
- 5 calendar that day, so I said, Okay. Maybe I -- so I
- 6 actually do a lot of the due diligence for other
- 7 property; right? So that I --
- 8 Q. Let me pause you for a second. Hold on a
- 9 second.
- 10 So your due diligence for the properties,
- 11 what does that include?
- 12 A. Okay. So before the auction, I go there.
- 13 When they have the lease, I go to check the Zillow,
- 14 then I go to the physical site to take a look;
- 15 right? Then -- I'm not a real estate agent, so I
- 16 cannot access to the title information. So I only
- 17 do this. From Zillow, Redfin, and Realtor.com,
- 18 after that I do a Google search, then I go to the
- 19 site to take a look at that house, inspect the
- 20 house.
- 21 Q. So do you ever go to County Recorder's
- 22 page or Assessor's page to look at the property?
- 23 A. Yeah, yeah, that one I did some.
- 24 Sometimes do the Assessor's page. Not in Nevada.
- 25 I'm sorry. In Nevada, I don't know that. In

```
Page 110
 1 question.
 2
            THE WITNESS: Yeah.
 3
            MR. CHILDS: He's asking if you know the
 4 name.
            THE WITNESS: No. I don't know her name.
 6 BY MR. LEE:
       0.
            So this is just some trespasser that you
 8 called the police on?
       Α.
            Yeah.
       Q. Okay. This is 2018?
10
11
       A. I think is 2018, yeah.
12 Q. Do you generally have a squatter problem
13 with the property?
14 A. Yes. As a matter of fact, today I just
15 saw the one text message that said one -- some
16 people go to my apartment.
17
       Q. I mean --
            It's not in this property. It's in
       Α.
19 different property. So that's why the reason we put
20 a fence in this 2132.
21
       Q. Have you ever had issues with squatters
22 since you put the fence up?
23
       Α.
            No.
24
       Q. What other properties do you own in Las
25 Vegas?
```

Page 111 1 A. We own 905 East Bonanza, 736 North 10th 2 Street, 728 North 11th Street, 732 North 11th 3 Street. 4 Q. Okay. So -- I'm sorry. The first one was 5 905 something or 965? 6 A. Yeah. Bonanza, Bonanza Road, East 7 Bonanza. B-O-N-A-N-Z-A. 8 Q. And I live by East Bonanza, so -- and then 9 you have 728 North 11th Street? 10 A. Yeah. 11 Q. 732 North 11 Street? 12 A. Yeah. 13 Q. There was one other one that I missed. 14 What was that? 15 A. 736 North 10th Street. 16 Q. They're all kind of close to each other, 17 yeah? 18 A. Yeah. 19 Q. And they're all in bad neighborhoods, 20 yeah? 21 A. Yeah. Very bad. I don't know the other 22 one. The reason I got lessons, not -- to be honest 23 with you, I'm ready to sell this one because my wife 24 after this incident, she tell me, Sell this. So I'm 25 interviewing the realtor to sell all this stuff.

Page 114

- 1 up really bad.
- 2 BY MR. LEE:
- 3 Q. When did you buy 965 East Bonanza?
- 4 A. I forgot exactly the time. Let me check.
- 5 Zillow have the number. I forgot right now.
- 6 Probably 2015 or 2014. You ask all this
- 7 information. I don't remember details, but you can
- 8 go to the Zillow to find out.
- 9 Q. Do you still own the properties?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you still own the property --
- 12 A. We probably sell that one. My wife ask me
- 13 to sell this ASAP.
- Q. Because it's in a bad neighborhood?
- 15 A. Because of the incident. She says it's
- 16 too tough dealing with tenant, this kind of tenant,
- 17 you know. Anyone can force a claim, something that
- 18 you can put me in jail, you know, so it's very bad.
- 19 Q. So 736 North 10th Street, when did you buy
- 20 that, your best estimate?
- 21 A. I think it's 2015, 2014, that range of
- 22 time too.
- Q. What about 728 North 11th Street?
- 24 A. It's 2017.
- 25 Q. So was this one part of the 1031 exchange

```
Page 115
1 that you used to buy --
   A. Yes, yes, yes.
       Q. What about 732?
       A. It's the same.
       Q.
            2017?
       Α.
            Yeah.
            308 Maryland?
       0.
            Same thing, 2017.
       Α.
 9
       Q.
            What about Valley?
            Valley is probably 2014, '15.
10
       Α.
11
            And Quiet Cove was 2019?
       Q.
12
       Α.
            Yeah, '19.
                  So everything in 2017 was part of
13
       Q.
            Okay.
14 the same 1031 exchange --
15
            Right.
       Α.
16
            Okay. And then what about these ones that
       Q.
17 were about 2014, 2015, was that --
            Yeah. That is -- I -- because I
18
       Α.
19 was -- at that time, the -- attended some of the
20 real estate investment seminar training program that
21 was in Las Vegas. I liked Las Vegas, so I just
22 bought some rental property there.
       0.
            Have you brought any claims at all related
24 to any of these properties other than the Houston
25 property at any time?
```

Page 116 1 No, no other claim. Α. Q. Did you do the inspections on all these 3 properties? A. Yes. Except Quiet Cove? 0. Α. Yes. Q. And then you did the inspections prior to 8 purchase; right? A. Yes. 10 Who's your real estate agent that 0. 11 represented you on these sales? 12 Α. Okay. Usually, I doing that one. All the 13 real estate agency for all the other property is why 14 I go to the Zillow founder. Then I hire the listing 15 agent, like a buyer agent. Except --16 How many properties generally on Zillow --Q. 17 Yeah. Α. -- the listing? 18 Q. 19 Α. Yeah. Then I just hire the listing agent, 20 like the buyer agent, to do that. Except this 2132 21 Houston Drive -- actually, this is -- just yesterday 22 I was thinking about this. I found out maybe 23 strange I didn't catch up at that time. This one 24 originally I found Zillow is Kenny Lin is listing 25 agent, right, so I contact Kenny Lin based on the

Page 119 A. I don't think so because -- let me pull 1 2 out a list of things. 3 It's different. Compare with the 4 commercial multi-family house apartment and the 5 inspection was to the real estate transition was to 6 the single-family -- owner occupied the 7 single-family house. It's quite different. 8 By now, in the multi-family apartment, 9 right, that office building, these cannot 10 transition. They don't need a professional 11 inspection required. Why? 12 Q. Is that -- is that based on your 13 experience or your understanding? 14 A. Yes. And also this is common knowledge 15 for the multi-family investor/owner. Imagine -- for 16 example, in Las Vegas, you have more than a thousand 17 unit in one apartment complex; right? More than 18 1,000 unit. How you do the inspection for that 19 1,000 unit within 30 days? Because some is owner is 20 already have tenant occupied. How you notify each 21 tenant to open the door and let you in to inspect? 22 Impossible and infeasible. Cannot do that. 23 So usually for multi-family, this kind of 24 commercial rental property, when they're doing that, 25 they doing this because walks-through for common

- Page 120
- 1 area, right, they rely on the seller, which is owner
- 2 for the other property manager to make sure if they
- 3 did any repair work or development work, they have
- 4 inspection by City safety -- building safety and the
- 5 department.
- 6 Q. Okay. So this is based on your
- 7 understanding of what's required related to
- 8 inspections of multi-tenant properties?
- 9 A. Yeah, it's my understanding. I also
- 10 the -- I talked to the -- because of the investor,
- 11 we had joined this club called the landlord
- 12 association when I was in California. They used to
- 13 call the landlord association and also Las Vegas,
- 14 they also call Las Vegas Landlord Association.
- 15 Inside there's people that say it this way.
- 16 Q. So secondary information you received as
- 17 part of these associations?
- 18 A. Right, right, right.
- 19 Then also in according to the law, and
- 20 they said it very clearly, because this is
- 21 residential income property, right, rental income
- 22 property, multi-family, we need -- landlord need
- 23 provide housing and well-being and -- for the
- 24 tenant. The tenant is not going to do all this
- 25 inspection. They can't. The burden is on the

Page 121 1 landlord to make sure all these building is safe and 2 in good condition. 3 All right. So East Bonanza, is that a Q. 4 multi-tenant property or single-tenant? All is multi-tenant except the 9101. 5 All of these are multi-tenant? 6 Q. Α. Yeah. Like, Houston is more or less a Q. 9 single-family residence that was converted to 10 multi-tenant? 11 Α. No. It's multi-tenant before all the 12 time. Q. So are all these other places, like --14 like, how many units does East Bonanza have? Four units. 15 Α. All of them? 16 0. 17 Α. No. 736 North 10th Street is a six-unit, 18 and Mar -- then except that one, 2132 is a 19 three-unit. 20 So 736 is how many units? Q. 21 Α. Six. 22 Q. Six units? 23 Α. Yeah. 24 And then 728 is how many? 0. 25 Four units. Α.

```
Page 123
1
           Okay. Have you ever declared bankruptcy?
       Q.
 2.
       Α.
            No.
          For licenses, you gave a long detailed
 3
       Q.
4 history of, you know, your professional experience.
5 What kind of professional -- other than
6 your driver's license, what kind of licenses do you
7 have?
  A. I don't have real estate license. I don't
9 have that.
    MR. CHILDS: Any license he's asking.
10
  THE WITNESS: Not any license, no.
12 Driver's license.
13 BY MR. LEE:
14 Q. So no licenses at all, no professional
15 licenses?
16 A. No.
17
            I have a license to practice law. Do you
       Q.
18 need any license to practice gasology or whatever
19 it's called, gasification?
2.0
      A. No.
21
      Q. No?
2.2
       Α.
           No.
       Q. Do you have a property management license?
24
       A. No.
       Q. Did you answer orally?
25
```

- Page 129
- 1 property to do the rental and get the income for the
- 2 retirement.
- 3 Q. Is that residential rentals or commercial
- 4 rentals?
- 5 A. Residential. In California, it's mostly
- 6 residential rental.
- 7 O. When did WLAB buy its first residential
- 8 property in California?
- 9 A. Since we set up the company, every one or
- 10 two year we just do that way. We have some rental
- 11 property we bought in California and also sold.
- 12 Q. Did you already own residential rental
- 13 properties prior to forming WLAB?
- 14 A. Yes, yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. When did you buy your first
- 16 residential home?
- 17 A. 2009 or 2000 -- yeah, 2009, 2008, that
- 18 range of time.
- 19 Q. And the owner of that property would have
- 20 been you and Marie?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What kind of property was it?
- 23 A. Single-family house.
- 24 O. Where was it?
- 25 A. Single-family house in West LA.

- 1 heating -- or heater is not light up, so I call the
- 2 AC company -- or they call the AC company then to
- 3 fix the other one. They give me the receipt. Then
- 4 I just keep the receipt, then I pay them.
- 5 Q. Do you have a property management company
- 6 that manages the property for you or do you do it?
- 7 A. No. That one, no. No property manager.
- 8 Just I do it.
- 9 Q. And then for the handyman work or the
- 10 maintenance of it, how do you resolve that?
- 11 A. I just hire the -- from the -- the yellow
- 12 page or the Google, found the local people and call
- 13 them, ask them to go there to fix things.
- 14 Q. Are they -- like, what kind of people?
- 15 Like, handyman?
- 16 A. No. Usually it's a company. Licensed
- 17 contractor, not a handyman. I never hire handyman.
- 18 Mostly it's go to the yellow pages, found the
- 19 plumber. Go to the local plumber, licensed plumber
- 20 to do that. Actually, I say call the licensed --
- 21 actually, I say to do that.
- Q. Well, like, in 2009, it's fair to say that
- 23 you understood the difference between a licensed
- 24 contractor and a handyman?
- A. Yes, yes.

Page 138 1 someone to do the work, you want -- you would 2 usually follow up and ask to see the permit and 3 inspection? A. Yes, I will do that. Q. Okay. So after Bundy, what else did you 6 guys buy? A. We buy a lot of property in California. Q. In general, how many properties do you 9 own? A. A lot. More than ten. But I cannot count 11 exactly right now. 12 Q. More than ten in California or in total? A. In California. 14 Q. So we know you own eight or nine here in 15 Vegas and that you own more than ten in California; 16 right? 17 A. Right, right, right. 18 And then the properties that WLAB owns, Q. 19 are there separate properties that you and Marie own 20 that aren't part of WLAB? 21 Yes, yes. We -- we thinking in the --Α. 22 sometimes they use my wife name because she's get a 23 W-2. She can get a loan, so -- but some we change

24 the title. I went to the County recording office

25 and change the title because time to move to the

- 1 Q. So in terms of the inspection, like, in
- 2 general, have you ever used a professional
- 3 inspection company to do those for you?
- 4 A. I did some. One or two. Not much.
- 5 Because we did some work, buy some property in Yuca
- 6 Valley. I think I hired an inspector to do that.
- 7 Then later I found out, you know, what later
- 8 inspector report is not much different than what I
- 9 found. So later, we just didn't hire the
- 10 professional inspector doing this work.
- 11 Q. Can you spell Yucca Valley? Is that
- 12 Y-U-C-C-A?
- 13 A. Yeah, Y-U-C-C-A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. So you've only hired a professional
- 15 inspector once or twice. Do you recall which years
- 16 that would have been when you did that?
- 17 A. 2014, something like that. It's -- yeah,
- 18 early 2014, 2015. Let me see.
- 19 Q. Have you ever hired a professional
- 20 inspection company in Clark County, Nevada?
- 21 A. No. That's -- like I said, in the Nevada,
- 22 all the property is multi-family rental property,
- 23 so -- multi-family rental property usually don't
- 24 need professional inspector to do that.
- Q. Do you know if there's professional

- 1 inspectors that will inspect multi-tenant
- 2 residential properties that have six units or less?
- 3 A. I -- I think some of the advertisement
- 4 they can do that, but I contact the -- they tried to
- 5 log money, but also we found out that you don't need
- 6 to do that. According to -- I talk to the other
- 7 landlord, them said it's a -- you know, if you have
- 8 lot of unit in that apartment, you cannot do the
- 9 inspection.
- 10 Then also the law is -- what they said for
- 11 the multi-family rental property, the seller must
- 12 provide a good, safe, and healthy environment for
- 13 tenant. So that is a burden is on the seller to
- 14 make sure that everything is safe.
- 15 The tenant is not going to inspect -- hire
- 16 an inspector to do the inspection before they rented
- 17 the building or the room; right? Then it's also --
- 18 Q. First of all, what is the law that you're
- 19 referencing in your discussion?
- 20 A. This is -- even you take a look at the --
- 21 here on this one, what's the deed of permit
- 22 inspection, is on the tenant and the landlord they
- 23 said this way. Yeah, they said you -- you have to
- 24 provide in the tenant. You have to provide healthy,
- 25 well-being facility for the tenant.

```
Page 143
 1
            -- it's also your understanding that --
       0.
             MADAM REPORTER: Sorry. One at a time.
 3 didn't get any of that.
 4 BY MR. LEE:
             It's also your understanding that the
        Q.
 6 professional inspection is not much different than
 7 what you would perform?
            Yeah, yeah.
        Α.
        0.
             Okay. Since you've never had a
10 professional inspection done in Clark County, how
11 would you know?
12 A. That's -- that's what I said, I don't
13 know. What I said is in the -- my understanding is
14 there is no law in the Clark -- in the Nevada or in
15 California mandate to do the professional inspection
16 for the multi-family apartment.
17
             Is it fair to say that a professional
        Q.
18 inspection may inspect areas that you don't
19 personally inspect in general when you purchase a
20 property?
21
             MR. CHILDS: I'm going to object to that
22 because that calls for speculation.
23
             MR. LEE:
                       Speculation is not a proper
24 objection, so go ahead.
25
             THE WITNESS: I don't think so.
                                              I go
```

Page 144 1 through there very detail, and I even go more 2 detailed than the profession inspection when I was 3 down with the professional inspector for my summer 4 house in the property in Yucca Valley; right? 5 BY MR. LEE: Yucca Valley is California? Yes? 6 Q. California, yeah, yeah. Α. Q. Okay. And you've never had a professional 9 inspection done in Nevada; correct? 10 A. I didn't do any professional inspection in 11 Nevada. 12 Q. And you've never done a professional 13 inspection in Clark County; correct? 14 A. No. I didn't hire any of the professional 15 inspection to do the inspection in the Clark County. 16 Q. So it's fair to say you don't know what 17 the additional areas that a professional inspection 18 would cover in Clark County? 19 A. Yes. I don't know, but yeah. Do you own any commercial real estate or 20 0. 21 is it all residential? 2.2 Α. What? 23 0. Do you own any commercial real estate? 24 I think the multi-family, the apartment, Α. 25 is commercial too. They call it commercial or --

```
Page 147
 1 inspector to do the inspection. And I said it this
 2 way -- actually, we did -- the seller. The reason I
3 found out why I don't need to do the inspection, we
 4 had one duplex in Yucca Valley; right? Before I
5 purchase, I hired the inspector to do that. They
6 are priced very high. I think it's about $2,000 to
7 do the duplex inspection.
             After that, I talked to the realtor;
 9 right? The realtor said, You don't need to do that
10 because this is multi-family, this is rental
11 property. Seller make sure this -- everything is
12 good to sell you because you have need tenant to
13 make sure the safe and well-being for the seller --
14 tenant. That's just making me think about, Oh, this
15 is -- this -- this kind of thing. So I just don't
16 do that in the -- for the multi-family apartment
17 purchase.
             That decision is based on cost and then
        0.
19 your belief that the seller makes sure that it's
20 habitable; correct?
21
            Right, right, habitable and -- yeah.
        Α.
        Q.
            Okay. Let's go to the residential
23 purchase agreement that's dated August 11, 2017.
        (Exhibit 2 was marked for the record.)
2.4
25 ///
```

- 1 planning on purchasing this property individually or
- 2 what was -- you were going to get originally
- 3 financing for this purchase; right?
- 4 A. Yes. This is -- I identify the seller
- 5 property because we sold the one full price in
- 6 Twentynine Palms (phonetic). So we have some money.
- 7 We want to use the money to do the 1031 exchange,
- 8 so --
- 9 Q. How much did you sell the Twentynine Palms
- 10 property for?
- 11 A. Oh, gosh. I forgot the exact number.
- 12 Probably more than \$300,000, maybe \$400,000.
- 13 Q. With the 1031 exchange, you need to
- 14 purchase an equivalent amount of real estate;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Right, right, right, right.
- 17 Q. Okay. So whatever your 1031 exchange
- 18 would have been would have -- I mean, if you're
- 19 going to do a 1031 exchange, why did you need to try
- 20 to seek financing?
- 21 A. No. We do the 1031 exchange and then --
- 22 so we do that one for down payment. Okay. So we --
- 23 that's our reason we bought a whole bunch of
- 24 property. I think I buy four property during that
- 25 time.

Page 153 Right, right. 1 Α. 2 Okay. So let's stay on this document. Q. 3 We're still on the August 11, 2017; okay? Α. Okav. 0. Okay. So as part of this agreement, when 6 you go to page 28 of 166 --Α. Yeah. -- it's specified that the close of escrow Q. 9 for the transaction would have been 30 days from 10 acceptance; correct? Yes, yes. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. But, you know, based on your 13 financing falling through, that's the reason why you 14 ultimately had to end up canceling this agreement; 15 right? 16 A. Yes, because of the -- I think the Helen 17 Chen notified us. They said, you know, this not 18 closing on time in 30 days. They're going to take 19 the -- our deposit and then cancel this purchase 20 agreement. Then we said, Well, we got a problem 21 because of the 1031, we already filed the 1031 22 exchange including this property. Also, we don't 23 want to lose that \$5,000 deposit. So we said, Can 24 we do that one? Wait put more cash. We try to get 25 a loan. If we still can't get a loan by end of

```
Page 156
 1
       Α.
            No.
 2
       Q.
            No.
            Okay. So, like, your wife's impressions
 3
 4 would be something I would have to ask her about
 5 individually?
            That's fine, yeah.
       Α.
       Q. You understand that the obligations
8 related to the buyer's due diligence to be done in
9 14 days of acceptance, though; correct?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And that's the reason why you are the
12 person who generally does the inspection of a
13 property?
14 A. Yeah. We do the -- I said that --
15 actually, my wife asked her -- usually I tell them,
16 I did the inspection. Because before, for the
17 purchase agreement, I go there personally to inspect
18 the property and do the very detailed inspection.
19 Then after that, I went to the property
20 several times too to the tenant and also other
21 things. Check the --
22
       Q. Let's do it this way.
23
       A. Okay.
24
          On -- when did you find the property? Do
       Ο.
25 you recall what date?
```

```
Page 158
1 Q. Okay. Then tell me what happened.
2 A. Then I just go over the property all of
3 detail, surrounding area. I just check the other
4 building. Then this -- at that time, there's one
5 tenant there. So other two --
6 Q. So you had -- let me pause you.
7 So you had the ability to walk the
8 property with Kenny Lin?
9 A. Right, right.
10 Q. Okay. Like, do you recall all the areas
11 that you looked at?
12 A. Yeah. Actually, I walked the Unit B, C.
13 I go to there too. Now, Unit --
14 O. So when you walked through them, what did
15 you look at?
16 A. I looked at a lot of things. For example,
17 like, the -- I point out some drywall is not
18 finished; right? And the -- some of smoke alarm is
19 not -- is missing and -- which is law required to
20 put in for smoke alarm. Then no carbon monoxide
21 alarm, so I ask them to put in.
Then in the kitchen, lot of electrical,
23 the outlet is not a GFCI outlet, so I tell them, I
24 said, You need to change this GFCI. Right now this
25 outlet is not meet code. You probably have problem.
```

Page 159 1 Then the tenant get electrocuted somehow in the one 2 area. So I --Q. What else did you inspect? A. Then I inspected -- I found out there's a 5 lot of cabinets is new, so I said, Well, you got all 6 this new. They said, Yeah, we just did the 7 renovation for the kitchen cabinet and the fixtures 8 on the vanity are new. Then he also point out you 9 see all the shower, the ceramic tile is new shower. 10 Bathtub is new tile, all that one. He said he did 11 all new. 12 Then --13 Okay. Q. 14 A. So I check that washer/dryer. Was there a sink that was clogged during 15 Q. 16 the time you did your inspection? 17 No. No, no cloq. Α. 18 Q. So there was never a clogged sink issue at 19 all? 2.0 A. I was inspect new tenant. Only one 21 tenant. Unit A have people. Other units, B and C, 22 at that time I think is vacant. Then I opened the 23 faucet, the water go through. 24 Okay. Then checked the ceiling --25 actually, I mention to the Kenny Lin I saw the

- 1 ceiling, one whole ceiling is popcorn ceiling in
- 2 Unit C. I said, Well, you know, this popcorn
- 3 ceiling have issue if we have asbestos. They said,
- 4 No, no, no, no problem because -- I said, This is
- 5 older house. Then he said, If you don't touch that
- 6 one, it's okay.
- 7 Q. So you noticed that the property had
- 8 popcorn ceiling. What were you concerned about,
- 9 potentially asbestos?
- 10 A. Yeah, because I have experience when I
- 11 build my house in Arcadia, so I told them, If we got
- 12 popcorn ceiling there, then they may have asbestos.
- 13 Then they said, If you don't expose and disturb
- 14 that, that's okay. I said, Okay. I know that is
- 15 some people say that way too. So I just said --
- 16 ask, We don't disturbing that one, it's okay.
- 17 Q. But although you had this concern about
- 18 potential asbestos, did you do an inspection for
- 19 asbestos?
- 20 A. I didn't do the inspection, but I just
- 21 said -- he tell me if we're not disturbing that one,
- 22 it's not issue, so I just -- I said -- because he
- 23 already rental to tenant, so what's the point for me
- 24 to argue that.
- Q. So Mr. Lin, did he ever tell you to get an

- 1 A. Not that we -- we noticed that this is
- 2 multi-family house. We don't need to do the
- 3 professional inspection. Even they ask us, This
- 4 is -- because this is dealing with the tenant --
- 5 with the owner or seller issue.
- 6 Q. Okay. So my question was: Was it
- 7 possible that Ms. Chen had told either you or your
- 8 wife that you needed to get a professional
- 9 inspection done?
- 10 A. Maybe. Maybe. I don't know. I just said
- 11 I cannot say on behalf of my wife because my wife,
- 12 she maybe received email from Chen.
- 13 Q. Okay. And as far as you know, do you
- 14 recall or not if she told you that you needed to get
- 15 a professional inspection done?
- 16 A. I don't think that I recall the memory on
- 17 that because I always tell my wife, I said, We
- 18 already done the inspection. That's the reason we
- 19 decide to buy this property; right?
- 20 Q. So if I break it down, you don't remember
- 21 if that happened; is that fair?
- 22 A. I don't remember, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And then the second thing is you
- 24 told your wife that you had already done the
- 25 inspection so you didn't need a professional

Page 163 1 inspection? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. So if we go back to the residential 4 purchase agreement, which is Exhibit 2, it was 5 conditioned originally on you having the ability to 6 complete your due diligence. So is it your 7 understanding that when you did your inspection on 8 August 10th, 2017, that that was your -- you doing 9 your due diligence? 10 A. Yes, yeah. That is on the understanding 11 we do the due diligence. 12 In addition to the initial inspection in 13 August 10th, I went to the site a couple of times. 14 I think another two times. Then take a look at the 15 surrounding environment, talk to the tenant Unit 1 16 also. 17 Q. And this is some -- like, can you estimate 18 the time frame when you talked to the tenants? 19 A. Just between the -- we purchase that one 20 in the 30 days, the due diligence period. I went to 21 there. 22 Q. Do you recall what those -- what you 23 learned during those conversations? A. No. At that time, the tenant is very 25 happy. He said that, Yeah, I like this. We living

Page 164 1 very good, and that's the reason he got my phone 2 number. 3 Q. Okay. Do you remember the name of this 4 tenant? 5 A. Yeah, Nicholas. He's the guy that's still 6 living there, Unit A. I give his phone number. I 7 said, Well, if we go to buy this property, I'm the 8 new owner, so I gave him his phone number. 9 Q. Okay. If we go back to Exhibit B, page 10 28, 7A, Property Inspection/Conditions, it says, 11 "During the due diligence period, buyer shall take 12 the actions buyer deems necessary to determine 13 whether the property is dissatisfactory to the 14 buyer." It goes on, but I'm going to stop there. 15 Based on what you've described, you 16 believe that you took the actions necessary to 17 determine if a property was satisfactory to you, 18 WLAB, to purchase it? 19 A. Yes. Based on -- we bought this -- we go 20 to the inspection, then we also talk to the tenant, 21 so we thinking this is investment property; right? 22 So financial it's looking at the rent, it's 23 reasonable, it's not very high compared with the 24 surrounding area. Then also financially, it's good. 25 Then I take a look at the -- everything

- 1 outside. Good. So I said, Fine. That's satisfied.
- 2 That's the reason I command my wife to sign the
- 3 purchase agreement.
- 4 Q. So with the rent that you described, did
- 5 you receive rent rolls about what the current rental
- 6 rates were for the property --
- 7 A. At that time only one tenant.
- 8 Q. One tenant.
- 9 But around that time, you already received
- 10 all the lease agreements and everything; correct?
- 11 A. I didn't receive leasing agreement until I
- 12 purchase it.
- 13 Q. Okay. So you did receive the lease
- 14 agreements that were for the property?
- 15 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. After that, yeah.
- 16 Q. Okay. So if we keep reading on 7A, it
- 17 says -- line 36 on the left-hand side. "During such
- 18 period, buyer shall have the right to conduct
- 19 noninvasive, nondestructive inspections of all
- 20 structural, roofing, mechanical, plumbing,
- 21 heating/air conditioning, water/well/septic,
- 22 pool/spa, survey square footage, and any other
- 23 property or systems through licensed and bonded
- 24 contractors or other qualified professionals."
- 25 Did I read that correctly?

Page 166 Yes, yes. 1 Α. 2 Q. So at the time when you did your 3 diligence, you had a right to conduct noninvasive, 4 nondestructive inspection; correct? 5 A. Yes, I did. Q. And you had the opportunity to inspect all 7 the structures? 8 A. I check the other one -- on the walk, I 9 don't see the new cracking, so the -- some older 10 cracking. I check the neighbor who also have that 11 one. I think it's okay; right? Then the --12 Q. Okay. So can you spell --A. I can see. I'm the professional at that 14 time, so --15 MADAM REPORTER: One at a time, please. 16 BY MR. LEE: Can you spell that last word? You can see 17 Q. 18 the packing? Α. 19 No. I can see. I'm the -- also 20 professional. 21 0. Yes. A. So that's -- I'm thinking in here they 23 said, "Qualified the professional inspection"; 24 right? Other qualified professional, so I'm 25 thinking, Yeah, we did other one.

Page 167 1 Okay. So my question related to you had **Q.** 2 the opportunity to inspect the structure of the 3 property; correct? Usually inspect the structure, no -- and 5 the invasive is you just look around the wall, make 6 sure wall is no big crack there, right, that kind of 7 thing. Q. So you had the right to inspect the 9 structure; correct? A. Yes, yes, I did that. Q. You had the right to inspect the roof; is 12 that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you do that? A. I forgot. I maybe did that because 16 usually I go to the roof. 17 Okay. Did -- you had a right to inspect Q. 18 the mechanical systems; correct? 19 Α. That's a Kenny Lin that point out, said 20 there's a new one, so I didn't go there. It's a 21 brand-new one. Q. You had the right to inspect the 23 mechanical system; correct? A. Right. Yes, yes. Q. You had the right to inspect the 25

Page 168 1 electrical systems; correct? A. I check the electrical system, yes. 3 Q. You had a right to inspect the plumbing 4 systems; correct? A. Yes. Q. You had the right to inspect the 7 heating/air conditioning system; correct? 8 A. Yes. Q. You had a right to inspect the 10 water/well/septic systems; correct? A. Yes. This is not applicable. 12 Q. Yeah. Like, pool or spa, there's no pool 13 or spa; right? 14 Α. Yeah. 15 You didn't do a survey. You didn't go out Q. 16 there with a little land --No, no, no, no. This is nothing land, you 17 Α. 18 know, yeah. 19 0. Did you -- I'm sure you didn't -- like, 20 you had the right to inspect the square footage, but 21 I'm sure you didn't go out there with a tape 22 measure. A. No, I didn't. I just -- it's rental 23 24 property, you know. Q. Yeah. But you had the right to inspect 25

Page 169 1 the square footage if you wanted? 2 A. Yeah. 3 Q. And then you could have inspected any 4 other property or system within the property itself; 5 correct? 6 A. Yes, yes. 7 Q. Okay. Now, I understand that you did the 8 inspection and you think you're a qualified 9 professional; right? 10 A. Yes. Q. But you're not licensed; is that right? 12 A. Yeah. I'm not licensed, yeah. Q. And you're not bonded; right? 14 A. No. Yes. Okay. Then it also says down here on line 15 Q. 16 43, "Buyer is advertised to" -- excuse me. 17 is advised to consult with appropriate professionals 18 regarding neighborhood or property conditions." 19 Did I read that correctly? 2.0 A. Yes. 21 0. Okay. Did you consult with any other 22 appropriate professionals? 23 Α. Actually, that is -- I went to the second 24 time, a third time, I take a look at the 25 neighborhood surrounding, talk to tenant and talk to

- 1 the neighborhood.
- Q. Okay. And everyone was pretty happy with
- 3 the neighborhood?
- 4 A. Right, because of that -- across the
- 5 street is apartment. I went to the apartment too,
- 6 the seller apartment there.
- 7 Q. And the tenant who still lives there was
- 8 pretty happy at the time?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Okay. Under 7B, it says, "Buyer's right
- 11 to cancel or resolve objections."
- A. Mm-hmm.
- 13 Q. So under line 55, Roman numeral II, "No
- 14 later than the due diligence deadline referenced in
- 15 Section 7, resolve in writing with seller any
- 16 objections buyer has arising from buyer's due
- 17 diligence."
- 18 Did I read that correctly?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. We'll get to this in a minute because I
- 21 know that Ms. Chen had submitted some changes that
- 22 you wanted and I think there's some text messages
- 23 about that, so we'll get to that in a minute; okay?
- A. It's email and text message, yeah.
- Q. Email and text messages?

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. So those would have been those issues that
- 3 you decided that needed to be resolved prior to you
- 4 purchasing it; correct?
- 5 A. Right, because of the -- I tell them,
- 6 based on my experience, this is needed to resolve
- 7 before the appraisal inspection because otherwise
- 8 they may not approve the appraisal, then I cannot
- 9 get loan. Because mostly by law it should be done.
- 10 Q. Sorry. By law what should be done?
- 11 A. By the unified building code, it should be
- 12 correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. So by your understanding of what
- 14 the building code is for these other applicable
- 15 standards, that's what you mean by "the law"; right?
- 16 A. Okay. Yeah. For example, in the unified
- 17 electrical code, very specific it says, Any new or
- 18 renovated building near the water, like a garage,
- 19 kitchen, bathroom, electric, all that, near the
- 20 water need to be done by the GFCI. So that's the
- 21 reason I wrote that one. I said, You need to do
- 22 that before you get a --
- Q. I asked you: Have you read the 1952
- 24 Uniform Building Code?
- 25 A. No.

FRANK MIAO - 01/12/2021 Page 172 1 Q. Okay. Have you read the National 2 Electrical Code? A. I read the National Electrical Code long 3 4 time ago. So are you familiar with it or understand 0. 6 everything that's required under the National 7 Electrical Code? Α. New one. Anything the -- new after 2015, 9 requirement. That is the requirement. 10 Have you ever taken any exams or 0. 11 licensures related to your competency related to the 12 National Electric Code?

- 13 A. I don't recall that I need to do
- 14 examination for the code. Even you apply the
- 15 electrical permit -- electrician permit -- I don't 16 know.
- 17 Q. You have an electrician permit?
- 18 A. I haven't -- I didn't -- I don't have the
- 19 license for the electrician license.
- 20 Q. Have you read the International Building
- 21 Code?
- 22 A. I read it before.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever taken any licensing
- 24 or certifications to qualify you as competent under
- 25 the International Building Code?

Page 173 1 A. I didn't take exam, but I -- actually, I 2 take the course. I almost apply the general 3 contractor license. Q. So you almost applied for it or you didn't 5 apply for it? A. Yeah, I didn't apply for it because what 7 happened is I found out I need working for some 8 company to get apprenticeship for several years 9 before you can apply for general contractor license. Q. So other than simply just reading some of 11 these materials, you've never been tested on your 12 scope of knowledge; is that fair? A. Yes. I didn't get a testing, yeah. 14 Q. Never received your contractor's license 15 that you were thinking about applying for; right? 16 A. Right, right, yeah. 17 So I actually pay the money for a lot of 18 -- take courses for the general contractor license, 19 that kind of application cost in California. 20 There's no certifications that show you Q. 21 actually passed the coursework --Α. Maybe I can find some because they did the 23 online testing for each course that counts that one. 24 I accumulated enough credit to apply the general 25 contractor license. I did some. Maybe online maybe

Page 174 1 I can find out some result. I just don't remember 2 one. I know that company before did that, that 3 school, at Golden Gate Contracting School, something 4 like that. 5 0. Okay. So you may have taken some exams --6 Α. Yeah. -- or you may not have taken exams related 0. 8 to --A. I may take some exam, but I needed find 10 out the -- it's all online. They give you -- you 11 buy the book, then they have online courses. I go 12 to attend on -- do the online exam online. 13 Q. Have you read the International 14 Residential Code? A. No. I don't know that code. 15 Q. So is it possible that there's codes and 17 standards related to, I guess, Clark County and 18 Nevada that you may be unfamiliar with? 19 A. Maybe, but for this GFCI, it's very 20 common. The reason is a lot of people, when they do 21 the renovation, right, they think they can continue 22 using older code. That is false. They have to 23 use -- adopt a new code to meet new code. 24 Q. Okay. 25 So if they doing the renovation, then they Α.

Page 175 1 have to do the -- meet the new code. They cannot 2 just use existing older 1950, the code. That's for 3 sure I know that. That's the reason I tell the 4 Kenny Lin, I say, You say you're doing the 5 renovation there. You need to meet the new code. At that time, I remember telling Lin, I 7 said, Well, if your tenants complain to the code 8 enforcement, the code enforcement may shut down this 9 property due to --10 Q. On August 10th, 2017, you told Mr. Lin 11 that the building was not up to code; correct? 12 A. I tell them that area, the electrical code 13 is not up to code and also no smoke alarm and no 14 carbon monoxide alarm. It's not going to meet the 15 code. 16 Oh, there's another thing I tell him. I 17 found out there's electrical conduit in Unit C 18 exposed on outside the wall, so I said, Well, you 19 need to do something to cover that up. I don't know 20 whether you meet code or not. Then at that time, 21 Lin also noticed that. 22 Q. This is around the August 10, 2017, time 23 frame? A. Yeah. August 10, 2017. 25 Okay. So you went over the objections. Q.

Page 176 1 Resolve any objections. We'll get to that in a 2 minute when we get to the emails. If we look at page 29, Item D, starting at 3 4 line 11, it says, "We strongly recommend that a 5 buyer retain licensed Nevada professionals to 6 conduct inspections." Did I read that correctly? 7 Α. Which one? Which page? Line 11. 9 Q. Α. Yeah. 10 11 Q. Do you see that? It's in italics. 12 Α. Yeah, yeah, yeah. Q. "It is strongly recommended that buyer 14 retain licensed Nevada professionals to conduct 15 inspections." A. Yes. Q. Yeah. So you were aware of this 18 recommendation at the time --19 A. Yeah, I know. 20 Q. -- when you guys were purchasing the 21 property? Α. But, you know, we found out that later 23 even professional licensed inspector would not find 24 this issue that we're currently in the litigation. 25 I already explained very detailed about that.

Page 179 1 They put it -- draw the hole, they -- there's 2 that -- there's new conduit line go to the building, 3 go to the breaker -- not breaker. At that time, 4 it's a fuse box. New line go there. So this is the box unit that we're talking 6 about? Yeah. That is unit with two windows AC, Α. 8 that unit. Q. Okay. Unit A, the tenant there. They said when 10 Α. 11 they move in there before, there's giant heat pump 12 on the roof. The roof was shaking. Then he call 13 the InvestPro. Then later, he said he going to call 14 the code enforcement. Then the InvestPro change the 15 rules, the bigger AC, the heat pump to the -- to 16 smaller. Then they put a new conduit, new line for 17 the window AC. Q. Okay. So going back to paragraph 7D --A. Yeah. Q. -- right, after the language that's in 21 italics, would you admit that because it's in the 22 italics, it's conspicuous, you can see this 23 language? A. Yeah. Yeah.

25

Q.

Okay. Then it goes on to say, "If any

- 1 inspection is not completed and requested repairs
- 2 are not delivered to seller within the due diligence
- 3 period, buyer is deemed to have waived the right to
- 4 that inspection and seller's liability for the cost
- 5 of all repairs that inspection would have reasonably
- 6 identified had it been conducted."
- 7 Did I read that correctly?
- 8 A. Yes, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So we'll eventually get to the
- 10 issues that, you know, Ms. Chen identified that you
- 11 wanted corrected in the emails or text messages.
- 12 Is that fair to say that those are the
- 13 only issues that you deemed needed to be resolved to
- 14 go forward with the purchase?
- 15 A. Yeah. After that time, yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. So in terms of the waivers, you
- 17 know, waived some of the inspections that's on page
- 18 26, lines 18 and 19, do you see that box there?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. Okay. You -- like, did you agree to waive
- 21 these inspections based on your --
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. -- issue or did your wife?
- A. Actually, all this is prepared by the
- 25 Helen Chen; okay?

Page 198 1 Which page is that you want me to read? Α. 2 That's page 34, line 1 through 8. Q. Yes. Agreed. 3 Α. 0. All right. So you understand that the 5 prevailing party shall be entitled to their 6 attorney's fees and costs; correct? Α. Right. Then it says this is a legally binding Q. 9 contract. 10 You understood that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And it was bold and conspicuous? 13 Α. Yeah. And it says, "All parties are advised to 14 0. 15 seek independent legal and tax advice to review the 16 terms of this agreement." 17 You saw that? Yes? 18 Α. Yes. Q. Do you agree that all the terms that we 20 discussed in this agreement are conspicuous and 21 understandable terms? A. I need to check. I thought this is a 23 standard residential purchase agreement. Q. This is a residential purchase agreement. 24 A. Yeah, yeah, standard one. It's, like, the

- 1 standard residential agreement with -- so if that is
- 2 the very standard one, I agree with that.
- 3 Q. Yeah. I mean, you're talking about, like
- 4 standard, GLVAR or whatever the applicable standard
- 5 form would be in California; right?
- 6 A. No. Even in Nevada, this one, I saw
- 7 this -- if this is the Nevada standard residential
- 8 purchase agreement. So -- because currently they
- 9 have InvestPro Realty logo there. So if it's a
- 10 standard, then I agree. If it's InvestPro put
- 11 themself, then I'm not agree.
- 12 Q. So if you go to page -- any page in this
- 13 agreement, at the bottom of the page, it says,
- 14 "Copyright 2017, Greater Las Vegas Association of
- 15 Realtors."
- 16 Do you see that?
- 17 A. Yeah. Okay.
- 18 Q. Okay. So do you know what GLVAR means?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree that that's a
- 21 standardized business that does standardized forms?
- 22 A. Yeah, but you see it also says, "This form
- 23 is presented by Liwei Chen InvestPro Realty"; right?
- 24 Then also here, the logo says the InvestPro Realty.
- 25 Q. You had purchased several residential

```
Page 200
 1 properties prior to this; correct?
       A. Yeah, yeah.
       Q. Okay. And then you actually purchased
4 several in Nevada prior to this transaction;
5 correct?
   A. Yes.
       Q. Do you find that this agreement was very
8 standard related to your other experience related to
9 those transactions?
10 A. I think at that time I was thinking they
11 should be the same with other change.
12 Q. Did you find anything that was -- in this
13 agreement that was different than the other
14 transactions that you were involved with?
15
      A. No, not yet.
16
       0.
            No?
                 Okay.
17
            Let's go on to our next exhibit, which
18 would be the seller's real property disclosure form.
19
       Α.
            Yeah.
20
            The Bates on it should be page 36 of 166
       Q.
21 to page 40 of 166.
22
            Do you see that?
23
       Α.
            Right.
            MR. LEE: Let's mark this next in order.
24
25
        (Exhibit 3 was marked for the record.)
```

```
Page 201
1 BY MR. LEE:
2 Q. So the date of this agreement is
3 August 2nd -- this document is August 2nd, 2017.
4 A. Yeah.
5 Q. The Bates range is page 136 to page 140;
6 is that correct?
7 A. Yeah. So --
8 Q. This is the seller's real property
9 disclosure form?
10 A. Yeah. So that's -- I want to ask real
11 this one -- reason I realize -- actually, they did
12 prepare this one even before we inspect the property
13 and before we even -- actually without the --
MR. CHILDS: But there's no question
15 pending, Frank. It will probably go quicker if you
16 wait until he asks a question.
17 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Okay.
           MR. CHILDS: And I apologize for
19 interrupting. I'm just trying to speed it up.
20 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. Okay.
21 BY MR. LEE:
22 Q. So you recall receiving this real property
23 disclosure form; correct?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Okay. And then it clearly says that the
```

Page 202 1 seller had never occupied the property; right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. And then also indicates that the 4 type of seller was an investor; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Then down in the middle of the page 7 where it says, "System/appliances" --8 A. Uh-huh. Q. -- "Are you aware of any problems and/or 10 defects with any of the following," and then it has 11 next to "Heating systems," "Yes, there were problems 12 or defects." 13 That's correct? Yes? 14 Yes, they said this. And then it also shows next to the cooling 15 Q. 16 system that they were aware of problems with that as 17 well? Yes? 18 Yes, yes. Α. 19 Q. Okay. And then this is initialed by 20 DocuSign by MZ, which is Marie Zhu; right? 21 Α. Yeah. My wife, yeah. 22 Q. Okay. Go to page 37 --23 A. Mm-hmm. 24 Q. -- under No. 1 where it specifies, 25 "Property conditions, improvements, and additional

Page 204 1 "Was the property constructed on or before 2 December 31st, 1977," and it says "yes"; right? Α. Yeah. 3 Q. You knew this was a 63-year-old property 5 at the time you were purchasing it; right? A. Yes. I remember it's older building, then 7 they do the renovation. That's what I thought. Q. Okay. So then we turn the page to page 9 38 --10 Α. Okay. 11 -- "Explanations." "Any 'yes' to the Q. 12 questions on pages 1 and 2 must be fully explained 13 here"; right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And then it specified that one of the Q. 16 units has brand-new kitchen cabinets installed. 17 It specifies that; right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. It says, "All three units have brand-new 20 AC installed within three months." 21 You see that? Yes? 2.2 Α. Yes. Q. Okay. And it says all three bathrooms are 24 redone within two years. 25 Do you see that? Yes?

```
Page 205
1 A. Yes.
2 Q. You said, "Sprinklers or landscaping
3 doesn't work. All pipes are broken."
4 You see that? Yes.
5 A. Yes.
           Okay. "Please consider that there are no
6
       Q.
7 sprinkler system."
           Do you see that? Yes?
9
      Α.
           Yes.
10
           It says, "AC units are installed by
       Q.
11 licensed contractor."
12
           You see that? Yes?
13
     A. Yes.
14 Q. And it says, "All other work are done by
15 owner's handyman."
You see that? Yes?
17 A. Yes.
      Q. It says, "Owner never resided in the
19 property"; right?
  A. Yes, yeah.
20
21 Q. And you never visited the property? Yes?
22 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So when they disclosed that there
24 was construction and modification, alterations,
25 and/or repairs made without State, City, County
```

- 1 building permits, which was also work that was done
- 2 by owner's handyman, did you ever do any follow-up
- 3 inquiries to the seller about this issue?
- 4 A. No, I didn't follow up. I was thinking
- 5 that the work is just like regular change to the AC.
- 6 And you have existing heat pump that doesn't work,
- 7 which we give that -- then we just hired the
- 8 licensed AC contract, replace the old one to the new
- 9 one. That's my --
- 10 Q. Under the disclosure form --
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. -- like, where it specified that there
- 13 were heating system/cooling system issues that
- 14 they're aware of, that you could have elected to
- 15 have an inspection done at that time; correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. When it specified that there were
- 18 construction, modification, alterations, and/or
- 19 repairs made without any State, City, or County
- 20 building or permits, you could have gone through and
- 21 had an inspection done on what the permits were for
- 22 the property; correct?
- 23 A. Could you repeat again?
- Q. Nothing prohibited you from going and
- 25 pulling the permits for the property at any time;

Page 208 1 BY MR. LEE: Q. Do you have an understanding that you 3 could not get a copy of the permits that were done 4 on the property as a third party? Yes, you can do that. 5 Q. Okay. So you could have pulled a copy of 7 any of the permits for the property at any time? 8 Yes? Α. Yes. 10 MR. CHILDS: Object as to the same thing 11 about the "pull." Just obtaining copies of the 12 permits I think is the confusing --13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah, this is correct. 14 BY MR. LEE: 15 Q. Okay. So as your attorney said, you could 16 have obtained a copy of the permits at any time? 17 Yes? A. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. And then it's fair to say that just 20 put you on notice of the potential permit issue; 21 correct? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. It also put you on notice of the issues of 24 everything that's basically specified on page 38; 25 correct?

```
Page 209
1 A. Yes.
2 Q. If we go to page 40 --
3 A. Mm-hmm.
4 Q. -- there's a bunch of Nevada statutes
5 here.
  A. Mm-hmm.
  Q. If you look at NRS 113.140 --
  A. Mm-hmm.
       Q. -- do you see that at the top of the page?
10 "Disclosure of unknown defects not required. Form
11 does not constitute warranty duty of buyer and
12 prospective buyer to exercise reasonable care."
Do you see that?
14 A. Yes.
  Q. Okay. So this disclosure form gave Marie
15
16 Zhu, your wife, a copy of the Nevada law that was
17 applicable to the sale of the property; correct?
  A. Yeah.
19 Q. Okay. And under NRS 113.1403, it
20 specifies, "Either this chapter or Chapter 645 of
21 the NRS relieves a buyer or prospective buyer of the
22 duty to exercise reasonable care to protect
23 himself."
    Did I read that correctly?
25 A. Yes.
```

# Page 213 1 contaminants; right? 2 Α. Exactly, yeah. What did you say? 3 Q. Α. Yes, I agree. Q. Okay. And it says, "It's the buyer's duty 6 to inspect. Buyer hereby assumes responsibility to 7 conduct whatever inspections buyer deems necessary 8 to inspect the property for mold contamination. "Companies able to perform such 10 inspections can be found in the yellow pages under 11 environmental and ecological services." 12 I read that correctly? Yes? A. Yes. 14 Q. Okay. And then you elected not to get a 15 mold inspection; correct? 16 A. Yeah. I just do the preliminary 17 inspection. I didn't see that because of the mold, 18 which is happen if you have wood on the wall and 19 also on the floor. I saw the other one is ceramic 20 tile and the concrete on the wall, so it's no issue 21 about the mold. 0. This would be faster if you just answer 23 the questions I'm asking you; okay? 24 Α. Okay. So I said yes, no problem. Okay. All right. So you believe that you 25 Q.

```
Page 216
 1 a professional of their choice regarding any
 2 questions or concerns before its execution";
 3 correct?
       Α.
            Yes.
       Q. So you relied upon your own determination
6 related to the potential mold exposure of the
7 property; correct?
   A. Yes.
       Q. Okay. And you elected to proceed with
10 purchasing it without a professional mold
11 inspection; correct?
12 A. Yes.
       0.
            The next document, which is the trustee's
14 deed upon sale.
15
            Yeah.
       Α.
16
            Okay. This is Bates labeled page 14 of
       0.
17 166, page 15 of 166?
       Α.
18
            Yeah.
            MR. LEE: We'll mark it as Exhibit 5.
19
2.0
         (Exhibit 5 was marked for the record.)
21 BY MR. LEE:
       Q. My only question is: Did you know at the
23 time that you purchased this property that the
24 investor bought the property at a foreclosure sale?
       A. I think so. Yes.
```

```
Page 219
 1 this email. This email is I. It's me, it's me.
 2 send it to the Helen Chen. So I think Helen Chen
 3 should disclose that one too. We require all the
 4 email. She didn't disclosure that one.
            So let's just use Exhibit --
 5
       0.
            Yeah. I --
 6
       Α.
            MR. CHILDS: Just wait until he asks a
 8 question, Frank.
 9 BY MR. LEE:
10
       O. Let's just use Exhibit 7 since it contains
11 more information; okay?
12
       A. Okay.
       Q. So we had previously talked about as it
14 related to the August 11th, 2017, residential
15 purchase agreement that you had asked for some
16 change order; right?
17 A. Yes. I asked them to change on the email
18 stuff, yeah.
19 Q. And then after your inspection, you
20 determined that what you needed to have repaired or
21 fixed included broken glass; is that fair?
A. Yeah.
23 Q. Repair and refinish the inside drywall
24 around the AC unit?
25 A. Yes.
```

Page 220 1 Q. Repair and/or replace the broken 2 thermostat? 3 A. Yes. 4 O. You also asked them to change the outlets 5 in the kitchen and the bathroom to GFI outlets; is 6 that correct? 7 A. Right, right. 8 Q. And you asked them to install carbon 9 dioxide alarms; is that right? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. For a CO alarm, do you mean smoke detector 12 or carbon monoxide? 13 A. The smoke detector is a fire alarm, but 14 the CO alarm is sometimes, you know, they running on 15 the nitro gas appliance, they may have a CO2 -- or 16 CO can kill people. 17 Q. So monoxide, one oxide? A. Yeah. Carbon monoxide, yeah. Q. Okay. Then you also wanted \$1,000? Yeah? A. Yeah. Then so -- we say, If -- they say 20 21 if the seller cannot do so, please provide 22 additional \$1,000 credit so we will install before 23 closing. Q. So these are the only items that you 25 decided that needed to be changed under the original

### 1 purchase agreement; correct?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And then in response, I guess it's
- 4 August 24th, 2017, they rejected it and said they
- 5 would only agree to repair the broken glass; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 8 Q. They would repair and refinish the inside
- 9 drywall around the inside AC unit?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. They would repair or replace the broken
- 12 thermostat?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. They would change the outlets that you
- 15 requested; correct?
- 16 A. Yes, yes. They said they change, but in
- 17 reality, no.
- 18 Q. Are you saying they didn't change them?
- 19 A. They didn't complete. Some still there
- 20 not changed. I changed them.
- 21 O. Did you do a walk-through prior to the
- 22 close of escrow to see if they had changed them or
- 23 not?
- 24 A. That's what I said. The one doing the
- 25 walk-through, I point out to Helen Chen. They said

- 1 through, we didn't do the walk-through, but all
- 2 the -- we did a walk-through in December when we
- 3 finally purchased the property.
- 4 Q. Okay. So prior to December, you had a
- 5 right to do an additional walk-through at any time;
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And then had you -- well, let me
- 9 ask the question.
- 10 So at any point any time prior to the
- 11 purchase, is there any email written communication
- 12 that they didn't address any of these issues?
- 13 A. I think this all address already. I don't
- 14 see any additional email.
- 15 Q. So after the time when you purchased the
- 16 property to when InvestPro took over as property
- 17 manager, is there any communication between you and
- 18 InvestPro that they didn't fix any of these issues?
- 19 A. No, I didn't.
- 20 Q. Okay. And is there any documentation or
- 21 communication from that time thereafter to the
- 22 present specifying that InvestPro didn't fix any of
- 23 these issues?
- A. No. I don't have that document between me
- 25 and InvestPro.

- 1 property, had identified the scope of the
- 2 renovation, managed the renovation project from
- 3 soliciting bids to awarding bids and paying
- 4 contractors, was now selling the property under his
- 5 supervision and authority," what is this based on?
- 6 You have a reference here to the
- 7 promotional website. So is the website that you
- 8 found related to the flipping fund for this belief?
- 9 A. Flipping fund --
- 10 MR. CHILDS: Hold on, Frank. Don't get
- 11 these out of order.
- 12 BY MR. LEE:
- 13 Q. Yeah, you're right. The flipping fund is
- 14 eventually one of the exhibits, but what I'm asking
- 15 you now is: Did you rely upon the flipping fund in
- 16 order to form the basis for this belief?
- 17 A. This is -- belief is based on my
- 18 experience.
- 19 Q. Your experience with what?
- 20 A. Project manager doing the building house,
- 21 doing the -- you need this kind of scope, the
- 22 sequence.
- 23 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't understand any of
- 24 that.
- 25 A. Because of my experience, I build the

Page 230 1 I believe InvestPro Manager is doing Α. 2 the -- the -- this work. Then InvestPro Realty is 3 property manager. That InvestPro --So Realty is the property manager --0. 5 Α. Huh? 6 Q. So Realty is the property manager --Yeah. Α. -- but Realty is not the flipping fund Q. 9 manager, correct, or you don't know? I don't know. 10 Α. Okay. So you don't know the structure of 11 Q. 12 which entity manages what -- which entity's scope of 13 work covers what area; right? It's from the -- when I sign the contract 15 for the property manager contract, it's through the 16 InvestPro Realty. Realty, yeah? 17 Q. 18 Yeah. So property manager on this Α. 19 property for me. Q. So when you don't have the designation of 20 21 which InvestPro is which, are you not clear or you 22 don't know the role of each organization's structure 23 as it pertains to remodeling, property management, 24 flipping fund manager, or property management; is

25 that fair?

Page 231 A. Yeah, but if --2 MR. CHILDS: Don't get these out of order, 3 Frank, please. THE WITNESS: Okay, okay, okay. In the promotion material, I remember 6 the -- Kenny Lin said InvestPro Manager, right, and 7 also InvestPro Investment. Now, the Invest --9 BY MR. LEE: 10 The promotional material, is that the 0. 11 website information that you saw? 12 Α. Right, right, right. And so then when you have additional 0. 14 savings here, 25 percent profit, 75 percent 15 profit --16 A. Yeah, yeah. 17 -- this goes to the website? Yeah? Q. Yes, yes. 18 Α. And then here, "In addition to selling the 19 Q. 20 property, they find investors, buys the property 21 from auction, manages, identifies the scope of 22 renovation, manages renovations, paying contractors, 23 and obtaining the tenants and rentals, " what is this 24 based on? Where is the foundation for this 25 statement?

- 1 the renovation.
- Q. Fair to say that if it's based on your
- 3 experience, you can't say with certainty that that's
- 4 the actual process conducted by InvestPro or
- 5 whatever?
- 6 A. Right, right. I don't know what -- how
- 7 they conduct. But based on my experience, you need
- 8 to know which area need to do the renovation and
- 9 what kind of contractor need to hire to do the
- 10 renovation.
- 11 Q. So you're -- when you say your experience,
- 12 it's based on you speculating based on your own
- 13 belief; correct?
- 14 A. Based on my experience.
- 15 Q. Okay. So you're still speculating; right?
- 16 A. Okay. Yes.
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 So then you said, "In line with its
- 19 formula, InvestPro bought the subject property at a
- 20 foreclosure auction for \$95,100, and then found TKNR
- 21 as the investor."
- 22 Is this based on your experience?
- 23 A. I think that is during the -- I remember
- 24 the conversation is like the one during the
- 25 Christmas party. They said it's -- you know, they

- 1 found that Kenny Lin is -- go to they have to pay
- 2 the money to buy this apartment. Then they tell the
- 3 investor, then put the name of the investor name on
- 4 the property.
- 5 Q. When you write here, "Receipts for the
- 6 heat pump, et cetera," then it goes down to,
- 7 "Admittedly without using licensed electrical,
- 8 plumbing, and HVAC contractors or having required
- 9 permits," are you going back to the disclosures that
- 10 we had talked about earlier?
- 11 A. It's -- yes -- yes, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Then, "A licensed electrical
- 13 contractor and an electrical permit would have
- 14 required an upgrade of the electrical supply
- 15 system," is this based on your experience?
- 16 A. Yes, and also the -- when I talked to the
- 17 licensed HVAC. Because we did the one in our
- 18 current 728 North 11th Street, then they tell me
- 19 that actually AC contractor, their scope of work
- 20 only need to replace existing older unit to the new
- 21 unit. If anything changes the electrical work,
- 22 anything changes to the water plumbing work, they
- 23 need to hire a separate contractor for the plumbing
- 24 contract and electrical contract.
- Q. I'm sorry. Who are you talking to?

#### 1 don't know or not?

- 2 A. Yes. They did by the handyman, yes.
- 3 Q. That was disclosed in the seller's
- 4 disclosures; correct?
- 5 A. No, no.
- 6 Q. Just the fact that they used some handyman
- 7 was disclosed in the disclosures; correct?
- 8 A. Mm-hmm, yeah.
- 9 Q. What about the foundation here for -- I
- 10 think we already talked about this, about the
- 11 electrical lines, that you saw them in the pictures;
- 12 right? Is that what you're talking about here for
- 13 this next sentence?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 MR. CHILDS: Wait, wait.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. What do you say?
- MR. CHILDS: He's asking about the next
- 18 sentence.
- 19 Can you start with the first couple of
- 20 words so we can get on it?
- 21 BY MR. LEE:
- 22 Q. Yeah. It's, like --
- MR. CHILDS: "They opened new big holes,"
- 24 is that...
- 25 ///

Page 245 1 potentially someone before InvestPro? 2 A. Well, this is -- I think it got to be 3 InvestPro otherwise the periods that -- InvestPro, 4 before they do that, they cannot have people living 5 there without heating. 6 Q. So you're speculating that it had to be 7 InvestPro based on your --8 A. Right, right. Before, they use the swamp 9 cooler. The heating is rely on the wall heater, 10 yeah. 11 Q. So you don't know one way or the other; is 12 that fair? 13 A. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's done by the 14 InvestPro. 15 Q. So you're basing that upon your experience 16 and speculation; right? 17 Based on my experience, yes. Α. Q. Without your speculation? 18 Yeah. Okay. Yes. 19 Α. 20 Q. Yes. Okay. You're speculating. Okay. 21 Thank you. So in 2018 -- we already talked about 23 this. You were able to go and you could pull -- not 24 pull, to obtain the permit information; right? 25 A. Yes.

Page 249 1 order. (Exhibit 10 was marked for the record.) 3 BY MR. LEE: So a copy of the website, which we 0. 5 basically looked at as --Α. Yeah, yeah, yeah. Would you agree this is a fair copy of the 0. 8 website we just looked at? Α. Yes, yes. 10 Your next paragraph here, you said during 0. 11 your inspection, you pointed out several code 12 violations, which we've already talked about. And 13 then you have the GFCI outlets; right? 14 Α. Yes, yes. 15 Q. That's ultimately a request that you had 16 made to the seller; correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 0. And then you also noted that there were 19 exposed electrical wires at the time when you had 20 done your initial inspection; right? 21 Α. Yes. Q. And then you also noticed that there were 23 cracks in ceramic floor tiles; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So you were aware of all these

# 1 issues prior to purchasing the property?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And you were also aware at the time that
- 4 you purchased the property that these problems would
- 5 not pass a City code enforcement inspection;
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you still elected to purchase the
- 9 property eventually; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Go down to the next paragraph where it
- 12 specifies normal transactions. The common spaces is
- 13 something that you indicated, but you had the
- 14 ability to inspect the entire building; right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And then you start talking about
- 17 the second residential purchase agreement, which is
- 18 dated September 5th, 2017, and why you guys have
- 19 elected to waive the inspections at that point;
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. You had access to the attic during your
- 23 inspection at any point in time; right?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. You're saying you did not have access to

Page 251 1 the attic? 2 A. We only can see the manhole open the area, 3 but --Q. Did you request access to the attic? 5 A. It's -- we -- we cannot break the ceiling 6 drywall, so we only can see there is a hole, the 7 manhole. So I take out the -- look like the manhole 8 and I cannot see anything. 9 Q. Did you request access to the attic as 10 part of your inspection? 11 A. I -- Kenny Lin allowed me to go to the 12 manhole to take a look. I take a look. Q. Okay. So you did have access? 14 A. Yeah, yeah. 15 Q. Okay. But it's not the area which is have 16 Α. 17 problem. We cannot see that area. This is -- the 18 access is the -- you only see the manhole. Because 19 of the space, you cannot people go inside. Too 20 shallow. Q. Do you know if, like, a professional 21 22 inspector would use some type of camera to do an 23 inspection of those type of spaces? 2.4 I don't -- to my knowledge, no. You have Α. 25 to go inside yourself.

- 1 not performed by an active licensed contractor as
- 2 required by law."
- 3 How do you know that the defendants knew
- 4 about this alleged issue?
- 5 A. Well, I -- it's general knowledge. If you
- 6 have the rental property, right, you have to provide
- 7 the capability. So it means you have to provide the
- 8 heating during winter, like this time, or you have
- 9 to provide cooling during the summertime. So not
- 10 just required.
- 11 So I was thinking when they buy this
- 12 property, they should have this, otherwise they
- 13 cannot sale that one by previous owner; right? They
- 14 cannot rent as the rental property because Kenny Lin
- 15 bought this one as rental property. This is a
- 16 rental property.
- 17 Q. So no one ever told you that. It's just
- 18 based on your own personal belief?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And then, "Removal of natural gas
- 21 supply line was, which occurred with no permit or
- 22 inspection and was not performed by active licensed
- 23 contractor as required by law," this is also based
- 24 on your personal belief?
- 25 A. Yeah, because I don't see any permit

- 1 inspection result.
- 2 Q. Okay. And then, "Upgraded electrical
- 3 system to add additional lines and new power supply
- 4 with no permit or inspection and not performed by an
- 5 active licensed contractor as required by law, " this
- 6 is also based on your personal belief?
- 7 A. It's based on personal belief and also the
- 8 fact we don't see any permit and also no inspection
- 9 on the line.
- 10 Q. No what on the line?
- 11 A. Inspection on the electrical addition
- 12 line, which is you can see on here they require the
- 13 permit.
- Q. I'm sorry. You said -- oh, no permit
- 15 inspection on the line?
- 16 A. Yeah. No permit inspection on the line.
- 17 Q. It says, like, "The disclosure says"
- 18 there's a problem with the cooling but provides no
- 19 details about the history or what the problem was."
- Like, is it your belief, personal belief,
- 21 that they had additional information about what the
- 22 problem was?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 O. And what else is that based on?
- 25 A. When they changed the swamp cooler and the

- 1 wall heater to the heat pump, they needed to hire
- 2 professional to do the electrical gas line. They
- 3 need to hire an electrician to do the -- add
- 4 additional electrical line and also --
- 5 Q. So this is based on your experience and
- 6 conversations with those contractors that we
- 7 described before; right?
- 8 A. Right, right, yeah.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. And also they did this switch from 5-ton
- 11 heat pump to the 2-ton heat pump. They need to
- 12 disclosure that because all this added stuff need a
- 13 lot of calculation and inspection and the permit
- 14 review.
- 15 Q. Okay. Once again, this goes back to your
- 16 conversations with the contractors or your
- 17 experience; right?
- 18 A. Yes, yes.
- 19 Q. So at no point in any of these punch lists
- 20 items did any defendant say to you, Yes, we knew
- 21 about these things or we didn't do them?
- 22 A. Could you repeat it what your question?
- 23 O. Yeah.
- 24 So as it relates to all these items here,
- 25 no defendant ever came up to you and said, Yes,

# 1 we're actually aware of these issues; right?

- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. The remainder of this is basically stuff
- 4 that you already testified to today at some point or
- 5 another.
- 6 When we look at the bottom of page 4, it
- 7 says, "Due to roof structure being damaged, every
- 8 time it rains, the roof leaks. The rains in
- 9 January 2019 revealed that both bathroom vents were
- 10 not vented outside but just into the ceiling attic."
- 11 So at this point in time, you had
- 12 purchased or owned this property for almost two
- 13 years? Yeah?
- 14 Is this the first time that you became
- 15 aware of the -- this issue?
- 16 A. This is only one year.
- 17 Q. Oh, so you owned it for one year?
- 18 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 19 Q. This is the first time it ever became an
- 20 issue known to you; right?
- 21 A. Yeah, for the roof.
- 22 Q. How do you know that the defendants knew
- 23 about this issue?
- 24 A. I don't know -- I don't know the
- 25 defendant -- no. I don't know the defendant know

### 1 this issue or not.

- Q. Okay. And then, "These violations were
- 3 also hidden behind drywall and could not have been
- 4 identified without invasive investigation."
- Is it also fair to say -- how do you know
- 6 that the defendants are the ones who allegedly hid
- 7 it behind the drywall?
- 8 A. This is very strange. I just noticed
- 9 recently, right, if you take a look at all other
- 10 wall, they don't have wood panel. That, I just
- 11 found one room. All of a sudden they have wood
- 12 panel there. So out of curiosity so I take out the
- 13 wood panel because all other wall don't have wood
- 14 panel. Then I found out this big crack behind that
- 15 wood panel. I take the picture; right?
- 16 Q. How do you know that the defendants knew
- 17 about that issue?
- 18 MR. CHILDS: He's asking a different
- 19 question.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 21 MR. CHILDS: I think he's asking about the
- 22 sentence above that. I think he's asking about
- 23 this.
- But I don't want to tell you what question
- 25 you're asking, but I think he's answering about the

```
Page 258
 1 paragraph below.
 2
            THE WITNESS: Is that --
 3
            MR. CHILDS: He's asking about this.
            THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase?
            MR. LEE: I'm asking about both of these
 5
 6 issues.
            MR. CHILDS: Okay.
 8 BY MR. LEE:
9 Q. Like, the violations were hidden behind
10 the drywall, like, what information do you have that
11 the defendants hid it behind the drywall? You know
12 or you don't know?
13 A. I just know behind the drywall that put
14 the vent without -- that is a violation, but I don't
15 know who did that.
16 Q. Okay. So you don't know who did it?
17 A. Yeah, yes.
       Q. Okay. So it's possible that the
19 defendants did not know about it or hide it; is that
20 fair?
21 A. Yes.
       Q. Okay. And then you have this other thing
23 about the wood paneling. Same question. How do you
24 know the defendants knew about it?
       A. I don't know defendants know about it. I
```

Page 259 1 only found out this one. 2 Q. So it's possible they didn't know about 3 this issue as well; correct? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. So was there ever a settlement 6 demand in this case for \$10,000? 7 A. No. Q. No? It's just, like -- you never said, 9 I'll settle this case for ten grand to anybody? 10 A. I maybe tell the Kenny Lin before we 11 initial it, this litigation. When we first found 12 out this electrical issue or electrical packing 13 issue, so maybe I tell Lin, Just pay us \$10,000. We 14 don't file lawsuit against the electrical. You 15 sure, you know. 16 Okay. So that's where the potential 0. 17 conversation could have come from? Yeah. That is before we file. After Α. 19 that, I file this litigation lawsuit. I never talk 20 to Lin. 21 0. Yeah. It's my understanding the 22 conversation was before litigation, so --23 Α. Yeah, before litigation, not the time --24 we only have issue is electrical issue. This is 25 not -- every time we raise, we have more issue.

FRANK MIAO - 01/12/2021 Page 260 1 O. So "It's impossible that Defendants, at 2 least the ones involved in the sale, which are 3 Defendants TKNR, et cetera, did not know about the 4 renovations." So you're basically speculating; right? A. Yeah, yeah, yeah. We already talked about this Christmas 7 0. 8 party. Okay. The next exhibit is the one you 10 keep talking about, this "When do I need a permit?" 11 Α. Okay. 12 (Exhibit 11 was marked for the record.) 13 BY MR. LEE: 0. Exhibit 10 [sic] is identified as page 77 15 of 166 to page 83 of 166. You have page 78 of 166. 16 It says, of course in the middle of the bottom, "It 17 is a guide only and is not all inclusive. For more 18 accurate information, the homeowner should contact 19 their local building department." 20 Do you see that? Yes? 21 Α. Yes. Q. Okay. So you understand that for more 23 information during the diligence process, you should

A. Yes. I do went to there a lot of time.

24 contact the local building department?

```
Page 261
 1
            And then so you on the next page, page 79,
       Q.
 2 "Homeowners and Permits, 'When do I need a
 3 permit?'" --
       Α.
           Mm-hmm.
       Q. -- it provides you with the address of the
6 building and safety department; is that correct?
   A. Yes.
       Q. And the office hours; is that correct?
       A. Yes.
      Q. And it also provides you with a phone
11 number; correct?
12 A. Yes.
       O. And this is information or resources that
14 you could have used at any time related to finding
15 information about the permits of the property;
16 correct?
17 A. Yes.
       Q. And this would have been true prior to the
19 purchase of the building; correct?
  A. Yes.
20
21 O. And this would also have been true at the
22 time you read the disclosure that specified that
23 some of the improvements or some of the disclosures
24 had been done without a permit; right?
25 A. Yes.
```

Page 262 Okay. On page 81, it says, "Homeowners 1 0. 2 and Permits, 'What can I do without a permit?'" Do you see that? 3 Α. Yes. Q. Number 5 says, "Painting, papering," 6 tiling, carpeting, cabinets, countertops, interior 7 wall, floor or ceiling covering, and similar finish 8 work." Do you see that? 10 A. Yes. Q. So you agree that no permits are required 12 for any of these types of work; correct? 13 A. Yes. So if you're installing new kitchen 14 Q. 15 cabinets, that does not require permits; correct? 16 Α. Yes. But if you install the kitchen 17 countertop with the change of the location of the 18 sink, you need permit. 19 Q. It says here that countertops doesn't 20 require it; right? 21 A. Huh? Q. It says countertops do not require a 23 permit? Yeah? 2.4 No. When you change the location of the Α. 25 sink with the kitchen --

```
Page 264
 1 Window Replacements where no structural member -- no
 2 structural member is altered or changed," that does
 3 not need a permit either; right?
       Α.
            Yes.
            And then -- this is your exhibit, so the
        0.
 6 "GFCI protected outlet is required by code and
 7 permit is required, you underlined that; right?
       Α.
            Yes.
        0.
            Okay. And then I presume that you found
10 and printed this document; is that fair?
11
            Yeah. I go to the -- on the -- print out
       Α.
12 this one.
            Okay. And then so this GFCI protected
       0.
14 outlet, this is a request that you actually made for
15 the seller to change; correct?
16
       A. Yes, yes.
       Q. Okay. If you turn the page to 82,
18 Plumbing Improvements, no permits required to repair
19 or replace the sink; correct?
      A. Yes.
20
   Q. To repair or replace a toilet?
       A. Yes.
       Q. To repair or replace a faucet?
24
    A. Yes.
            Resurfacing or replacing countertops?
25
```

```
Page 265
1 A. Yes.
2 Q. Resurfacing shower walls?
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. Repair or replace shower heads?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. Repair or replace rain gutters and down
7 spouts?
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Regrouting tile?
10 A. Yes.
      Q. And a hose bib, whatever that is.
12 A. Water freezer. It's, like, for the
13 filtration of the water.
14 Q. Okay. And then for the mechanical, no
15 permits required for portable heating appliances;
16 correct.
17 A. Yes.
  Q. For portable ventilation appliances?
19 A. Yes.
      Q. Or portable cooling units; correct?
20
21 A. Yes.
      Q. And for portable evaporative coolers
23 installed in windows; correct?
24 A. Yes.
25
      Q. And then at the bottom of this, once
```

Page 269 Yes. Yes, maybe. 1 Α. 2 Q. Okay. And that includes all the pictures 3 that were included of the property as well? Α. Yes, yes. Okay. If you can go to 112. 5 0. 6 Α. Yeah. 112 shows the concrete slab outside of --0. 8 for the property; fair? Α. Yes, yes. That is the backyard of Unit A. Okay. And that also showed that there 10 **Q.** 11 were cracks in the concrete that were visible in 12 2017; right? 13 A. Yeah, yes, yeah. That is on the concrete 14 flat on the floor. That's fine, yeah. 15 Q. Okay. So you're aware that there were 16 these cracks in the concrete in 2017 prior to your 17 purchase of the building; right? 18 A. I think so, yes. 19 Q. And then 113 also shows the cracks in the 20 concrete? 21 A. Yeah. It's on the floor. Concrete on the 22 floor. 23 Q. Okay. And then 120 shows the dryer and 24 the dryer vent; right? 25 A. Yes. That is a new one you see.

Page 270 1 These are the picture of -- as far as I 0. 2 know, was this picture -- this is a new picture? 3 that what you're saying? This is a picture of when they sell that Α. 5 one, sell the property. 6 Q. When they sold? When they sold, put the listing on the Α. 8 market to try to sell this property to 2017, yeah. Q. This is a picture you would have seen on 10 or about August 2017 related to the --11 Yeah, yeah. I remember I talk to the Lin. Α. 12 I said, Hey, this look like washer/dryer. 13 Oh, this is new appliance. 14 O. And then 133, it also shows the cracks in 15 the floor of the cement as well? 16 A. Yeah, yes. 17 Q. And then 134 also shows all the cracks? 18 Yes? 19 A. Yes. Floor is -- crack is -- I don't 20 consider big issue at that time, yeah. 21 Q. So all those issues were open and obvious 22 prior to the time you purchased the building? Yeah? 23 A. If the floor issue, I think it's obvious, 24 yes. The cracking in the floor, yes.

What's Exhibit -- we can mark it

25

Q.

Page 274 1 reporter can't take down hand gestures. 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sure, sure. I'm 3 sorry. MR. CHILDS: No. I'm... 5 BY MR. LEE: Q. Okay. Let's move on. The next exhibit is the flipping fund 8 website. Α. Yeah. (Exhibit 16 was marked for the record.) 10 11 BY MR. LEE: 12 Q. So I presume you're the one that printed 13 out this document; right? 14 Α. Yes. Okay. And you also note that the closeout 15 Q. 16 date that's specified on page 3 of 166 indicated 17 that whatever the flipping fund was would have 18 closed on December 31st, 2015; right? 19 Α. Oh, I just find out today. Yes, yes. Q. Yeah. So there's no way that you relied 20 21 upon any flipping fund since it would have been 22 closed at this time; right? 23 A. Yeah. That is -- you know, I noticed this 24 one when the name mentioned that in the Christmas 25 party in 2017, December 2017. So then I went to the

```
Page 277
 1
             So my question -- you're not listening to
        0.
 2 my question; right?
            Were you provided with any of those
 3
 4 materials? Don't look at the website.
             Mm-hmm. Don't look at the website.
        Α.
 6
             Okay. What do you say?
        Q. Okay. So did you receive any information
8 about the flipping fund related to the -- you know,
9 like, a pro forma, the private placement
10 information, the calculations of profit and losses,
11 capital contributions, member shares and member
12 units, did you receive any of that type of
13 information --
   A. No.
15
        Q. -- at any time?
16
        A. No. I didn't receive that.
17
            So all the information that you're making
        Q.
18 about the flipping fund comes from, one, this
19 website; right?
2.0
            Yeah.
        Α.
21
        Q.
            And then the conversations that you had at
22 the Christmas party; right?
23
        Α.
            Right, right.
24
        Ο.
            But there was never any subsequent
25 solicitation or anything to you that would have
```

Page 281 1 beginning of your deposition? Yeah? Α. Yes. Okay. And then also in the parenthetical 3 0. 4 she said here, she has, "Per buyer's request, will 5 waive licensed home inspector to do the home 6 inspection"? Yeah? Α. Which one? Which page you say that one? Like, the last sentence in the email and Q. 9 then it's in parentheticals. 10 MR. CHILDS: Oh, here. 11 BY MR. LEE: 12 Q. "Per buyer's request, will waive licensed 13 home inspector to do home the inspection"? 14 A. Yes, yes, because this is Helen Chen write 15 that one; right? That -- I said I feel that, yes, 16 because we did the inspection already. 17 Yeah. You did the inspection? Yeah? Q. 18 Yeah, yeah. Α. 19 Q. Okay. We already talked about this one; 20 okay? 21 Yes, yes. Α. 2.2 MR. LEE: So next in order. (Exhibit 18 was marked for the record.) 24 BY MR. LEE: 25 Exhibit 18 is Bates labeled DEF400341, 0.

```
Page 285
1 paragraph 28, which was different than the first
2 residential purchase agreement, was essentially the
3 same information in the email which specified,
4 "Buyer agree to pay the difference in cash if
5 appraisal come in lower than purchase price, not to
6 exceed purchase price of 200,000"; right?
7 A. Yes.
   Q. So this is consistent with your
9 understanding that you're guaranteeing $200,000 for
10 the purchase?
11 A. Yes, yes.
12 Q. And then we go to Addendum 1, which is
13 DEF4000365.
14 A. Yeah.
   Q. And this specifies, you know, a lot of
16 information where you're changing the close of
17 escrow to January 5th, 2018; right?
       A. Right, right.
19 Q. And then from that, did you have to agree
20 to make an additional deposit of 60,000 subject to
21 forfeiture?
22 A. Yes.
Q. So you're agreeing to guarantee $60,000 if
24 you didn't close on time; right?
25 A. Yeah, yeah.
```

- Page 286
- 1 Q. So you guys -- you guys really wanted this
- 2 property?
- 3 A. Yes, because we have 1031 already put this
- 4 property, so we cannot back out.
- 5 Q. Yeah. So you would have been subject to
- 6 some issues if you didn't get this done?
- 7 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 8 Q. And then you also agreed to pay the rent
- 9 for one of the units for 650 a month?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And then you also agreed to pay a tenant
- 12 placement fee -- or a lease fee to the current
- 13 property manager for 800 bucks? Yeah?
- 14 A. Right, right.
- 15 Q. Okay. And then the next page, 366, is
- 16 Addendum 2 and that changed the buyer from Marie Zhu
- 17 to WLAB; right?
- 18 A. Right, because of the -- yeah. The -- my
- 19 wife said it's -- you know, since we are not apply
- 20 to loan, we should put into the WLAB because we pay
- 21 cash to buy this.
- Q. At one point in time, you tried to get on
- 23 the loan; isn't that right?
- 24 A. Huh?
- Q. At one point in time, you tried to get on

Page 288 1 would have asked them to print out, but I don't 2 think that one --3 THE WITNESS: Is that one National Title 4 Corporation Authorization to Close of Escrow? No. I'll show it to you. 5 MR. LEE: 6 don't think it made it because of the hiccup that we 7 had. 8 BY MR. LEE: 0. Do you see the screen right here, Order of 10 Protection Notice? 11 Α. I don't see that. 12 MR. CHILDS: No. It's up there. It's not 13 here. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Let me read. What it 15 said? 16 BY MR. LEE: 17 This is part of the disclosures that were Q. 18 done on September 5th, 2017. They're part of the 19 documents that Marie would have done. It's 20 disclosed as DEF0019. 21 A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you recall as part of the 23 residential purchase agreement that Marie elected to 24 agree not to have a home inspection performed? A. Yes. I think she signed that one.

```
Page 289
1 agree because the -- I said we already inspect this
2 property so I said we don't need additional
3 inspection.
     And also, appraisal do the inspection too,
5 so I was thinking, Hey, we already done the
6 inspection.
             Okay. So the next document in order
        0.
 8 should be the National Title Company; is that right?
        Α.
             Yes.
         (Exhibit 20 was marked for the record.)
10
11 BY MR. LEE:
12
        0.
             And this just makes it clear that Marie
13 Zhu was the authorized signer on behalf of WLAB as
14 the buyer of the property; right?
15
       Α.
            Yes.
16
             MR. LEE: Go to the next in order.
17
             What's the next document in order?
18
             MADAM REPORTER: Expert testimony report.
19
             MR. LEE: Okay. Great.
2.0
         (Exhibit 21 was marked for the record.)
21 BY MR. LEE:
        Q.
             Exhibit 21 is your expert's report.
23 understand that you're the person who found your
24 expert; correct?
25
        Α.
             Yes.
```

- 1 time. And also I think we done some in the weekend.
- 2 Q. Do you agree that your expert didn't do
- 3 any destructive testing when he did his inspection?
- A. Yeah. We didn't do any of the destructive
- 5 testing.
- 6 Q. Okay. So you walked through the property
- 7 with him at the time he did his inspection; correct?
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. Okay. During that time, did he inspect
- 10 any areas that -- that you did not have access to in
- 11 2017?
- 12 A. Yes. He didn't go to anything I didn't
- 13 inspect during 2017 too.
- 14 O. So he inspected the same areas you
- 15 inspected?
- 16 A. Yes, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did you provide him with any
- 18 commentary or directions related to his report while
- 19 he was doing the inspection?
- 20 A. Yeah. I tell him some point, yeah. I
- 21 point out some areas. I said, Do you see this
- 22 crack? I point out the areas, so he take a picture.
- 23 Q. Were they the same cracks that were
- 24 present in 2017?
- 25 A. Yeah, yeah. No. Some is not. Some is

- 1 new one.
- 2 Q. So when he inspected the HVAC, it's
- 3 something that you would have inspected in 2017;
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Then the fact that, you know,
- 7 there's, like, a 2-ton unit or a 5-ton unit is
- 8 something you would have also inspected in 2017;
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. No. I just said, in the 2017, we only can
- 11 see the 2-ton unit. The 5-ton unit is not there
- 12 anymore.
- 13 Q. In 2017, it's not there but it's there
- 14 now?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. So your expert somehow inspected a 5-ton
- 17 unit that's not there now?
- 18 A. 5-ton unit is not there. It's after 2017.
- 19 They put up 2016, then they remove.
- 20 Q. Okay. So regardless, you were able to
- 21 inspect the same HVAC unit that your inspector did
- 22 during his inspection, whenever that happened;
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yeah, yes. That -- I cleaned out
- 25 something.

Page 293 1 Okay. So this included the HVAC system; 0. 2 correct? 3 Α. Yes. 0. And it would have been the HVAC system 5 that was installed at the time before purchase; 6 correct? That is a 2-ton unit is installed before Α. 8 the purchase. 0. Whatever unit was on the property prior to 10 purchase you would have had -- you would have had 11 the ability to inspect at that time; right? 12 A. We don't have time to inspect the 5-ton 13 unit which is already moved. 0. Okay. So whatever he inspected, you were 15 able to inspect; correct? I'm not asking about the 16 5-ton unit. 17 Yes. Α. 0. Okay. You were also able to inspect the 19 wall unit for the cooling or heating unit; right? A. Heating unit wall unit, yes. 20 21 Q. Yeah. That's something you could have 22 inspected in 2017? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Here he has, "The moisture

25 condition behind both tile walls."

- 1 Do you have any information that shows the
- 2 defendants knew about this issue in 2017?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. He was able to inspect the high-moisture
- 5 exhaust bathroom gas at some point in time during
- 6 his inspection. Is this something you could have
- 7 inspected in 2017?
- 8 A. No, I cannot.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that's because of the whole
- 10 wall ceiling drooping thing you were talking about?
- 11 A. Before it's all sealed by the drywall. We
- 12 cannot see.
- 13 Q. Okay. Just so I'm clear, there's nothing
- 14 here that shows that the defendants knew about this
- 15 issue in 2017; right?
- 16 A. I don't know, but I suspect that they know
- 17 that.
- 18 Q. But you're not sure?
- 19 A. I'm not sure. I strong suspect they did
- 20 know that.
- 21 Q. In terms of his findings related to
- 22 additional weight calculations, do you know if your
- 23 expert had done any calculations at all related to
- 24 what the additional weight would be?
- 25 A. No. I don't think so.

## 1 actually paid or not paid?

- 2 A. I haven't paid. Just asked them to give
- 3 me the quotation for doing that -- just doing
- 4 something using the existing wall.
- 5 Q. Okay. So the existing -- that I
- 6 understand it, it says here for Units A, B, C, it
- 7 essentially says \$26,600; right?
- 8 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 9 Q. And then your expert brought up that it's
- 10 actually going to cost \$70,000 to replace the entire
- 11 electrical system; right?
- 12 A. Yes. Because of the \$70,000, the Sani
- 13 tell me because we need to doing the change to the
- 14 wall from concrete block to the wood construction,
- 15 wood frame, then you need to wire the new wire,
- 16 everything. New electrical, all that, new line,
- 17 everything. That cost a lot more than just use
- 18 existing wall and existing outlet.
- 19 Q. So your expert goes on to have an opinion
- 20 about the plumbing system. Is the plumbing system
- 21 something that you could have inspected in 2017?
- 22 A. Yes or no. No.
- 23 Q. If you would have a qualified professional
- 24 with access to the equipment to inspect it in 2017,
- 25 could you have done that?

- 1 A. No. We didn't do that plumbing.
- 2 Q. But it's something you could have done in
- 3 2017; right?
- 4 A. Yes, we can do that one.
- 5 Q. Okay. Then you have no information here
- 6 that shows that the defendants knew about any of the
- 7 issues with the plumbing; correct?
- 8 A. I think they have information. He knows
- 9 some issue.
- 10 Q. Well, we know that there's a clogged sink
- 11 and it's something that, you know, they told you
- 12 about, and there's some type of clogged toilet;
- 13 right?
- 14 A. They didn't mention anything causing --
- 15 well, I just found out later -- recently they have
- 16 that disclosure, said they hire some handyman to do
- 17 the -- for the plumbing -- the sewage line; right?
- 18 And at that time, why need inspect? We only have
- 19 one tenant. So other building, they don't have use
- 20 that extent, like, recently, so we cannot see the --
- 21 Q. Okay. So there's no evidence here that
- 22 you knew that the defendants knew that there was any
- 23 cracking in the pipes for the plumbing system?
- 24 A. That time, I don't know. No.
- Q. What about presently, do you know that

- 1 they knew that there was cracking in the plumbing
- 2 system?
- 3 A. According to my tenant, he hired from the
- 4 plumbing company, the plumbing company said there's
- 5 a cracking under line.
- 6 Q. If we look at your expert photographs that
- 7 are attached to his report, which are on pages 183
- 8 to the end of the report, you can see those?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you agree that these are all areas that
- 11 you would have had access to inspect as depicted in
- 12 these photographs?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And this would have been in 2017; correct?
- 15 A. Yes, but there's -- no, no, no. You see,
- 16 this is -- you talking about this photograph; right?
- 17 Q. I'm talking about all the photographs.
- 18 A. Something I pull out from Zillow is why he
- 19 inspect. I don't see that.
- 20 Q. These are your expert's photographs.
- 21 A. Yeah, but I tell them, I give to the
- 22 expert and this is photograph, but some people --
- 23 you see the oldest swamp cooler, that is the picture
- 24 on the Zillow, then currently is not there.
- Q. Okay. And the picture of Zillow would

```
Page 306
 1
            I -- I was thinking is pre- -- cause --
       Α.
 2 tenant cause damage because the pre-existing is it
 3 shouldn't have cracking.
   Q. Okay. So the tenant in this context would
5 have damaged the unit at the time that you owned it;
6 is that fair?
   A. Maybe. Yes.
   Q. Okay. So some of the -- so the damage
9 that was to the water heater system, could the
10 tenant have damaged that as well?
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. And then he could have damaged the cooler
13 pump and the valve as well; is that correct?
14 A. Yes.
   Q. Okay. Then on 122, these are all issues
15
16 that the tenant could have damaged; is that correct?
17 A. Yes.
       Q. And then the same through for 145; is that
19 right?
20
       A. Yes.
21
       0.
            Okay. If we look back at Exhibit --
2.2
            No, no, no. This is -- that one is --
23 145, that is the -- we doing the -- our own estimate
24 of initially how much it cost doing that repair,
25 this one. It's not in relate to the Sani -- the
```

Page 307 1 expert report, their estimate. They are the general 2 contractor. I'm not a general contractor. I just 3 put a preliminary cost, maybe cost this much. I got 4 some quotation from the Home Depot, Penny Electric, 5 ACLV, all that company. 6 Q. Okay. So you're just trying to figure out 7 the cost for repair for the building on your own; 8 right? A. Yeah, at that time. Q. And then so your independent estimate, 11 based on your conversations with subcontractors --12 A. Right, right. Q. -- would have been \$102,873? 14 A. Right, right. Q. Then your expert opines that the cost to 16 repair for the building would be --17 A. About 660,000 -- or \$600,000. Much higher 18 than this number. 19 Q. Okay. But your estimates are actually 20 based on your conversations with potential 21 subcontractors; right? 22 A. Right. It's very small scope. It's not a 23 big, like -- Sani think it's repair lot of things, 24 yeah. Q. So in Exhibit 21 with some of these areas 25

Page 310 But you don't know for sure? 1 0. 2. I'm pretty sure. Α. Okay. So if I was a tenant and I decide 3 Q. 4 to take a sledgehammer to a wall, that could crack 5 it; right? Α. No. Then we'll see that the sledgehammer, 7 that mark. No, you cannot --Q. Okay. I'm not going to argue with you 9 about this anymore, but there's a potential cause 10 that could cause a wall cracking, you don't know 11 what the source of it would be? 12 A. Yes. 13 Okay. So the next exhibit is the Larkin Q. 14 Plumbing and Heating invoice. 15 Yeah. Α. 16 No. It's it L -- ACLV. Q. 17 Yeah. ACLV, yeah. Α. What is this? 18 Q. 19 Α. Okay. That -- that is the one that tenant 20 notify us there's water -- ceiling dripping the 21 water during summer. No ring; right? So we all thought strange. We say, What's 2.2

23 happened? So we open that ceiling. Then we found

24 out when the InvestPro doing the renovation, by now

25 they supposed to put the new duct in the AC unit

```
Page 314
 1
            THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's the -- put
 2 the -- install the 5-ton heat pump, remove the swamp
 3 cooler. That company is shut down now.
 4 BY MR. LEE:
5 Q. How do you know that the defendants were
6 aware of the existing sheet metal ductwork issue?
7 A. This is common knowledge for the
8 defendant. If they doing the -- change from the
9 swamp cooler to the heat pump, by law they need to
10 do that.
11 Q. So are you speculating that they knew
12 about it or do you know or you don't know if they
13 knew about it?
14 A. I don't know what they know about it, but
15 I -- I -- based on my --
16 Q. You don't know --
17 A. Yeah.
       Q. -- what they knew; okay?
   A. Yeah, yeah.
20
            All right. This goes a lot faster if you
       Q.
21 just simply say you don't know the basis; okay?
2.2
       A. Okay. Yeah.
            MADAM REPORTER: Counsel, I need a break.
23
24 I'm sorry.
25
            MR. LEE: It's okay. Let's take a break,
```

Page 318 1 Have you read this report before? 0. 2. I read this one before, yeah. Α. And for the record, Bates label is 3 Q. 4 DEF5000367-401. 5 Α. Mm-hmm. 6 Q. So on page 372 --7 Okay. Α. -- about the second line down, it says, Q. 9 "Items complained about in the Sani report were open 10 and obvious in the roof area, attic area, and the 11 exterior and interior areas of the property." 12 Do you agree with this statement? 13 Α. Which line? Which -- what did you say? 14 0. On page 372. 15 Yeah. Α. 16 Are you there? Q. 17 Yeah. Α. 18 Q. Okay. Then under "Waive standard 19 inspection requirement," there's a section right 20 there; right? 21 Α. Yeah. Q. And then the second line down, the first 23 sentence begins, "Items complained about in the Sani 24 report were open and obvious in the roof area, attic 25 area, and on the exterior/interior of the property."

Page 319 1 Do you see that? 2 A. Mm-hmm. Q. Do you agree with this statement? A. Yes. Okay. I'm not going to ask you about the 0. 6 wall loads. Actually, did you look at the 7 calculations that Opfer had done in his report? Yeah. I think it's not correct. Α. 0. Like, did you do your own calculations or 10 did you --11 I based on -- I also engineer. I have Α. 12 background in engineering; right? This wall is not 13 on the total dead weight. He calculate on the dead 14 weight. They also need to calculate the wind load 15 that -- because this is a shear wall cause that 16 cracking on the wall. So you said you didn't calculate the wind 17 Q. 18 load? Α. Wind load, yeah. And also you need the 20 shear, the -- force to -- towards the wall is 21 cracking, yeah. Q. Okay. On page 373 -- actually, 372, same 23 page, goes to 373, last sentence, first full 24 sentence says, "There's no indication in the Sani

25 report that any destructive testing was performed,

- 1 so therefore an inspector or contractor could have
- 2 made the same obligations, albeit often incorrect,
- 3 that were made in the Sani report."
- 4 Do you agree with this?
- 5 A. No, no.
- 6 Q. Let's take it piece by piece.
- 7 Do you agree that there's no indication
- 8 that Sani had done any destructive testing?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you agree that an inspector or
- 11 contractor could have made the same observations?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Okay. Is that because of that attic issue
- 14 that we talked about earlier or what's that based
- 15 on?
- 16 A. Based on the outside, the attic issue we
- 17 talked about, and also outside the wall have more
- 18 cracking. Actually, the -- your defendant's expert,
- 19 I point out some wall cracking. He didn't record it
- 20 in his report. He take pictures.
- 21 Q. My expert's report, you accompanied him
- 22 during that time -- and I believe your attorney also
- 23 accompanied then; right?
- A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. So you had access to all the same areas

Page 321 1 that Dr. Opfer did at the time of his inspection? 2 Yes? 3 A. Yes, yeah. Q. So going back to 2017, you would still 5 have access to all those areas as well; correct? A. Right. 7 0. Okay. But I point out some of the wall crack to Α. 9 the Dr. Opfer. I don't see his -- in his report. 10 O. Okay. 11 So his report is not in -- is not complete 12 information. 13 Q. So on page DEF53 -- 5000376 --14 A. Okay. -- "Structural Defects" --15 Q. 16 A. Yeah. 17 Q. -- midway down the first complete sentence 18 says, "The Sani report does not recognize prior 19 conditions in existence before any work took place 20 by defendants." 21 Do you agree with this statement? 2.2 THE WITNESS: Which one? 23 MR. CHILDS: I don't know. 24 THE WITNESS: Could you tell me which 25 line?

Page 322 1 MR. CHILDS: Here. 2. THE WITNESS: (Reading document.) Yes, yes. 4 BY MR. LEE: Q. You agree with that? Okay. A. Agree. 7 Well, you're an engineer, so basically he 0. 8 said -- further down the page, "While it is true 9 that there is an opening that was created for this 10 LG unit in the wall, it was below the window glass, 11 which, of course, is not carrying a structural load, 12 therefore there is no structural impact." 13 Do you agree with this statement? 14 Α. No. Do you believe that there is a structural 15 Q. 16 load when it's below the window instead of above it? They take out the concrete block on that 17 Α. 18 window unit. Before, there is a concrete block 19 underneath and -- underneath the window unit. 20 take out the concrete block, which is the change of 21 the structure. Q. So how do you know they took out a 23 concrete block? 24 Huh? Α. 25 How do you know they took out a concrete Q.

Page 324 1 From the observation, no. Α. 2 Q. Okay. I'm trying to get everybody out of 3 here. That's why I'm just shortening it. You don't know, you don't know; okay? Α. Mm-hmm. Q. Do you agree that a property that is 63 7 years old would have various issues like plumbing 8 issues? A. Yes. Maybe. Q. So it's also possible that a property 11 that's 63 years old may have had issues but wasn't a 12 direct result of the actions by defendants? A. Maybe. Q. Maybe yes, maybe no, you don't know? 15 A. Yeah. 16 Okay. Then for -- in terms of the vents 0. 17 into the duct into the attic, do you agree that 18 he -- with his observation, that there's no 19 indication that this work was performed by the 20 defendants if they did not perform any attic work? 21 Α. No. I think they did. 22 Q. So you think that they did. 23 Α. Yeah. 24 Based on what? Ο. 25 Based on the new dryer and new duct they Α.

```
Page 325
1 put in there. Do you see the picture? It's new
 2 one.
3 Q. So based on your impression of the new
4 dryer and the new duct?
5 A. Yeah. New duct, brand-new duct put into
6 the ceiling.
7 O. Is it possible that someone prior to the
8 foreclosure had installed a new dryer and a new
9 duct?
10 A. Before the foreclosure?
11 Q. Do you know one way or the other?
12 A. No. I don't think so. This is done --
13 Q. My question was: Do you know, yes or no,
14 one way or the other?
15 A. Could you rephrase again? Tell me.
16 Q. Do you know one way or another if someone
17 other than the defendants could replace the dryer
18 and the dryer duct?
19 A. I don't know, but -- I don't know what --
20 yeah.
21
      Q. You don't know; okay? I'm trying to get
22 you out of here; okay?
23
      A. Mm-hmm.
24
       Q. Generally, you're someone who rents
25 low-income property; is that fair?
```

Page 326 1 Α. No. No. I mean, like, a lot of the properties Q. 3 that you have in Las Vegas are in bad neighborhoods; 4 fair? A. I don't say that. I don't think all in 6 bad neighborhood. 7 Q. Do you provide washer and dryers in all 8 your rental units? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Because the tenants damage them sometimes; 11 right? 12 A. This is only unit have the washer/dryer. 13 All my other units, no. 14 Q. So in general, like, you know, with your 15 properties, there's no benefit to adding a 16 washer/dryer unit; correct? 17 A. Yeah. Normally we don't provide. 18 Q. Yeah. Okay. And then what was the basis 19 for that? A. Because you get more liability on that and 20 21 also -- no, we don't provide. Cost more and cause 22 most issue, so we don't provide. 23 Q. So if I represented to you that the 24 defendants in this context also don't provide 25 washers and dryers for the same reason, would you be

## 1 surprised by that?

- 2 A. I don't surprise they don't provide
- 3 washer/dryer, but I surprise they provide a
- 4 washer/dryer.
- 5 Q. You don't know if they provide the washer
- 6 and dryer; right?
- 7 A. Huh?
- 8 Q. You don't know if they did or didn't?
- 9 A. I don't know. I say that in this
- 10 property, when I bought this one, I was saying, Hey,
- 11 good. You have the washer/dryer in the unit because
- 12 my other -- all the rental property I have, I don't
- 13 have a washer/dryer in the unit.
- 14 Q. Okay. Let's just move on. You already
- 15 answered my question; okay?
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. You don't know at what point in time the
- 18 vent duct could have been disconnected from the roof
- 19 jack outlet; is that fair?
- 20 A. Huh?
- 21 O. You don't know at what point in time the
- 22 vent duct became disconnected from the roof jack
- 23 outlet?
- 24 A. Roof jack outlet? I don't know that. We
- 25 cannot --

- 1 Q. Could you have taken the tape off the
- 2 wires and seen it?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Do you agree that the defendants had not
- 5 done any inside-the-wall plumbing changes to the
- 6 property?
- 7 A. No. I think they did done inside.
- 8 Q. Do you have any evidence that showed that
- 9 they'd done inside work or is this something you're
- 10 speculating about?
- 11 A. When I see the wall and tower -- the
- 12 shower tub is all new faucet; right? The other
- 13 shower tub, the faucet, if it's new, they have to do
- 14 that behind the wall. Otherwise you cannot do that
- 15 faucet.
- 16 Q. Do you know if the faucets were already
- 17 there prior to defendants doing the renovations?
- 18 A. Yeah. That's old one, but that one we saw
- 19 is new one.
- 20 Q. Do you know who installed the new shower
- 21 faucets?
- 22 A. I don't know. I don't know.
- Q. Do you think that rental properties
- 24 experience more severe service issues because of
- 25 lack of care of tenants for the property?

Page 330 1 Depend. Α. 2 Q. So you have -- like, there could be good 3 tenants, there could be bad tenants? Α. Yes. Q. So tenants could cause damage to a 6 property; right? 7 A. Yes. Yeah. At the present time, you're actively Q. 9 trying to rent out all three units; is that right? 10 Α. Huh? 11 You're actively trying to rent out all Q. 12 three units --13 Α. No. -- for the building? 14 0. 15 No. I needed to fix something right now. Α. 16 We found out that Unit B, last time your defendant 17 inspector to inspect, I go to the unit, there's the 18 sewage issue. 19 Q. Okay. So prior to the sewage issue, were 20 you actively trying to rent out all three of the 21 units? 22 A. Yes, I tried. We have tenant there 23 before. Q. Okay. So from the time that you purchased 25 the building to the present, you had actively tried

Page 331 1 to rent out all three of the units; right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. And then had you done all of the 4 repairs that were noted in the Sani report? 5 A. Yes. Sani report all this. We didn't do 6 the inside of the repair. 7 Q. Okay. So you haven't done all those 8 repairs as listed by Sani; correct? A. No. Yes. No. We don't have any report 10 listed on the Sani one. We don't do anything yet. Q. You haven't done anything? 11 12 A. Yeah. 13 Okay. I did notice that it showed by 0. 14 Dr. Neil, that you allowed the tenants to park their 15 vehicles next to the house -- the property; is that 16 true? A. I didn't allow it. I don't know that 17 18 until I saw the one picture there. 19 0. Okay. Because when we were there, I 20 believe there was a car parked right next to the 21 property when we did our inspection; right?

25 A. A towing truck -- a trailer.

24 like, a car dolly or a towing --

2.2

23

Α.

0.

It's on the wall on the other side.

And then there was a -- wasn't there,

- 1 Q. Trailer?
- 2 A. Yeah. That's my trailer.
- 3 Q. Your trailer. So is it possible that some
- 4 of your tenants hit the building?
- 5 A. No. That is the -- in the wall between my
- 6 property to other neighborhood property. It's far
- 7 away from building.
- 8 Q. No, no, no. There are cars that were
- 9 parked next to the building that we've seen in some
- 10 of the pictures; right?
- 11 A. This one picture, the -- it's -- I think
- 12 the they found from the Google Earth or Google Map,
- 13 yeah.
- 14 Q. Okay. So it's possible that these cars
- 15 hit the building; right?
- 16 A. Hit the building? Possible. But if they
- 17 hit the building, the tenant would have notified me
- 18 because they will see the damage on their car.
- 19 Q. Okay. But if they don't notify you, then
- 20 you wouldn't know; right?
- 21 A. Yeah. That I will know that. That's a
- 22 weird area. If they hit, then they have crack, dent
- 23 in the wall, all that stuff; right?
- Q. No. If they don't notify you, you
- 25 wouldn't notice it unless you actually inspected the

## 1 area; right?

- 2 A. Yes, yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. If someone impacted the building
- 4 hard enough, it would just cause the cracks?
- 5 A. No. They would cause the breaking in the
- 6 concrete, the break.
- 7 Q. So if I hit a building at 40 miles per
- 8 hour, is it possible I could cause cracks in the
- 9 wall?
- 10 A. No. You damage the whole concrete block.
- 11 Contrate block is broken.
- 12 Q. Okay. So there would be some type of
- 13 damage; right?
- 14 A. Yeah, yeah. With that impact, you can see
- 15 very easy the impact damage. The concrete block can
- 16 be the one hole there.
- 17 Q. You were up on the roof with Dr. Neil;
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You agree with him saying that during his
- 21 inspection, he found no noticeable sagging on the
- 22 roof area related to the installation of these
- 23 rooftop heat pump units?
- 24 A. Yeah. I point out that the roof is very
- 25 soft. I point out to him there. I said, Do you see

```
Page 334
 1 this is very soft? It looks like -- because you can
 2 see multiple holes there.
       Q. Well, what he said is he found no
 3
 4 noticeable sagging.
            Do you agree with that or disagree?
 5
            What does "sagging" mean? What's
       Α.
 7 "sagging" means?
            That means it sags.
       Q.
       A. Yeah. No noticeable this one, but it's
10 soft, very soft.
11
          Soft, but you didn't notice any sagging;
       Q.
12 right?
13
       Α.
            No, no, no.
14
       0.
            Okay. And just for the record, I was
15 using my hands and taking them down to show sagging.
16
       A. Yeah.
17 Q. Is there a reason why your expert didn't
18 do an itemized cost for repair and he only did a
19 lump sum repair cost?
       A. I don't know. It's very expensive you do
21 the itemized.
2.2
            MR. LEE: Next in order. We're almost
23 done. I promise.
    (Exhibits 28 and 29 were marked for the record.)
25 ///
```

```
Page 337
 1
            MR. LEE: Let's just go off record for
 2 five minutes and then we should be able to wrap up;
 3 okay?
                (A short break was taken.)
 5 BY MR. LEE:
   Q. All right. In terms of tenants -- renting
7 out the units to any tenants, do you ever provide
8 them with a copy of the Sani report?
   A. No.
    Q. Do you ever provide them with any of the
11 pleadings or the first amended complaint, second
12 amended complaint, the complaint itself?
13 A. No.
14
       0.
            Okay.
15
            You mean asking the -- my tenant?
       Α.
       Q. You give it to them?
16
17
            No. I didn't give them these things.
       Α.
            Okay. Did you tell them about it?
18
       Q.
            We tell them about the -- we have
19
       Α.
20 litigation and the defendant's side want to inspect
21 that.
       Q. Okay. So basically, you just tell them,
23 There's this. You can inspect the unit if you want;
24 is that it?
       A. Yeah. And also we need to tell is a lot
```

Page 338

- 1 of things report that we don't need to go to the
- 2 inside the building. It's wall cracking. It's
- 3 outside. You can see.
- 4 Q. Okay. So it's open and obvious for them?
- 5 A. Yeah. You can see always outside.
- 6 Q. So is there any information that you want
- 7 to provide that I haven't asked you about?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. No? Okay.
- 10 Would you like to revise or supplement any
- 11 of your prior answers?
- 12 A. Yes. I need to read this description,
- 13 the -- what's it called?
- MR. CHILDS: Transcript.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Transcript, yeah.
- 16 BY MR. LEE:
- 17 Q. Okay. So I presume you guys are going to
- 18 buy a copy of the transcript. You'll need to let
- 19 the court reporter know. If you are, they'll mail
- 20 you a copy. If not, you're going to have to go to
- 21 the court reporter's office to review it; okay?
- 22 A. Yeah. We just buy one.
- Q. Okay. And then in terms of the areas that
- 24 we covered that was based on your experience or your
- 25 speculation, are you planning on offering those

# FRANK MIAO - 01/12/2021

					Page	340
1	C	ERTIFICATE	OF	WITNESS	- 450	510
2	PAGE LINE	CHANGE		REASON		
3						
4						
5						
6						
8						
9						
10						
13						
16		* * *				
17						
18	I, FR	ANK MIAO, v	witr	ness herein, do her	eby	
19	certify and dec	lare under	the	e penalty of perjur	y the	
20	within and fore	going trans	scri	iption to be my		
21	deposition in s	aid action	; th	nat I have read,		
22	corrected and d	o hereby at	ffix	my signature to s	aid	
23	deposition.					
24						
25	FRANK MIAO Witness			Date		

1	Page 341 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF NEVADA )
3	COUNTY OF CLARK )
4 5	I, Trina K. Sanchez, a duly certified court reporter licensed in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
6 7	That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, FRANK MIAO, at the time and place aforesaid;
8	That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
	That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability.
15 16 17	I further certify (1) that I am not a relative, employee or independent contractor of counsel or of any of the parties; nor a relative, employee or independent contractor of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to NRCP 30(e) was requested.
19 20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of January, 2021.
21	Trina K. Sanchen
22	
23	TRINA K. SANCHEZ, RPR, CCR NO. 933
24	
25	

Page 342 1 HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY & SECURITY: CAUTIONARY NOTICE Litigation Services is committed to compliance with applicable federal and state laws and regulations ("Privacy Laws") governing the 3 protection and security of patient health information. Notice is herebygiven to all parties that transcripts of depositions and legal proceedings, and transcript exhibits, may contain patient health information that is protected from unauthorized access, use and disclosure by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services requires that access, maintenance, use, and disclosure (including but not limited to electronic database maintenance and access, storage, distribution/ 10 11 dissemination and communication) of transcripts/exhibits containing 12 patient information be performed in compliance with Privacy Laws. No transcript or exhibit containing protected patient health information may be further disclosed except as permitted by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services expects that all parties, parties' 15 attorneys, and their HIPAA Business Associates and Subcontractors will 16 17 make every reasonable effort to protect and secure patient health information, and to comply with applicable Privacy Law mandates, 18 including but not limited to restrictions on access, storage, use, and 19 disclosure (sharing) of transcripts and transcript exhibits, and applying "minimum necessary" standards where appropriate. It is 22 recommended that your office review its policies regarding sharing of 23 transcripts and exhibits - including access, storage, use, and disclosure - for compliance with Privacy Laws.

© All Rights Reserved. Litigation Services (rev. 6/1/2019)

# EXHIBIT P

# MICHAEL B. LEE, P.C. 1820 E. SAHARA AVE., SUITE 110 LAS VEGAS,

TEL - (702) 77.7030; FAX -(702) 477.0096 1

2

3

1

5

1

2

1

3

1

1

5

1

1

7

3

### **DECLARATION OF KENNY LIN**

KENNY LIN, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge and is competent to testify to the facts below. The facts stated herein are true to the best of my own personal knowledge, except for those facts stated upon information and belief, and as to those facts, I believe them to be true.

- 1. I have personal knowledge of the events related to WLAB Investment, LLC's ("Plaintiff") case against Defendants TKNR INC. ("TKNR"), CHI ON WONG ("WONG"), KENNY ZHONG LIN ("LIN"), LIWE HELEN CHEN ("CHEN"), YAN QIU ZHANG ("ZHANG"), INVESTPRO LLC ("INVESTPRO"), MAN CHAU CHENG ("CHENG"), JOYCE A. NICKRANDT ("NICKRANDT"), INVESTPRO INVESTMENTS, LLC ("Investments"), and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Defendants") related to the purchase of 2132 Houston Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89104 ("Property").
- 2. I personally reviewed the Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the alternative, Partial Summary Judgment ("MSJ") including the exhibits attached thereto.
- 3. Exhibit A to the MSJ is a true and correct copy of the MLS Listing for the Property.
- 4. Exhibit B to the MSJ is a true and correct copy of the Residential Purchase Agreement for the Property.
- 5. Exhibit C to the MSJ is a true and correct copy of the Seller's Disclosures for the sale of the Property.
- 6. Exhibit D to the MSJ is a true and correct copy of the September 5, 2017 Email Chain between Helen Chen and Frank Miao.
- 7. Exhibit E to the MSJ is a true and correct copy of the September 5, 2017 Cancellation Addendum.
- 8. Exhibit F to the MSJ is a true and correct copy of the second Residential Purchase Agreement for the Property, including all addendums, dated September 5, 2017.
  - 9. Exhibit G to the MSJ is a true and correct copy of Defendant's Expert Report.

Electronically Filed 5/11/2021 2:02 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **REM** Steven L. Day, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 3708 **DAY & NANCE** 3 1060 Wigwam Parkway Henderson, NV 89074 4 Tel. (702) 309-3333 Fax (702) 309-1085 5 sday@daynance.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

W L A B INVESTMENT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

TKNR, INC., a California Corporation, and CHI ON WONG aka CHI KUEN WONG, an individual, and KENNY ZHONG LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG K. LIN aka CHONG KENNY LIN aka ZHONG LIN, an individual, and LIWE HELEN CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, an individual and YAN QIU ZHANG, an individual and INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO REALTY, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MAN CHAU CHENG, an individual, and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, an individual, and INVESTPRO INVESTMENTS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and JOYCE A. NICKDRANDT, an individual and does 1 through 15 and roe corporation I-XXX,

Defendants.

Case No: A-18-785917-C

Dept No: 14

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO
DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Hearing Date: May 18, 2021 Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

COMES NOW Plaintiff, by and through his attorneys, Day & Nance, and submits the following Reply to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration.

1
•

### **ARGUMENT**

A. <u>Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration was timely as it was filed within 10 days of Notice of Entry of Amended Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment</u>.

Defendants submit that Plaintiff's motion is untimely as it was filed 16 days after

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. (*See*Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, p. 2, ll. 9-12). However, as

Defendants have conveniently omitted and as the Court is aware, an Amended Order was

filed with Notice of Entry of Order on April 8<sup>th</sup>. Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration was

filed April 16<sup>th</sup>, well within the time allotted in EDCR § 2.24(b). It is the Amended Order

that Plaintiff is asking this Court to reconsider and from which Plaintiff has filed its appeal.

- B. <u>As illustrated in Defendants' opposition, there are numerous issues of fact which should preclude the granting of summary judgment in this case</u>.
- 1. Defendants' contend that Plaintiff waived the due diligence condition by failing to inspect the subject property. However, as Plaintiff has pointed out, this property was inspected on multiple occasions. The property was inspected prior to Ms. Zhu signing the Purchase Agreement.
  - Q. Do you recall if this was the same day that you viewed the property on Zillow?
  - A. I don't know exactly same day or maybe couple of days later I saw property. Anyway, I set up appointment with the Kenny Lin, then we went together in the one afternoon whole afternoon with Kenny Lin. I think the August 10<sup>th</sup>.

• • •

- Q. So you go. He meets you at the property; is that fair?
- A. Right, right, right.
- Q. Okay. Then tell me what happened.

1 2	A.	Then I just go over the property all of detail, surrounding area. I just check the other building. Then this – at that time, there's one tenant there. So other two
3	Q.	So you had the ability to walk through the property with Kenny Lin?
4	A.	Right, right.
5	11.	
6	Q.	Okay. Like, do you recall all the areas that you looked at?
7	A.	I looked at a lot of things. For example, like, the – I point out some drywall is not finished; right? And the – some of the smoke alarm is not
8		<ul> <li>is missing and – which is law required to put in for smoke alarm.</li> <li>Then no carbon monoxide alarm, so I ask them to put in.</li> </ul>
9		Then in the kitchen, lot of electrical, the outlet is not a GFCI outlet, so I tell them I said, you need to change this GFCI. Right now this outlet is
10 11		not meet code. You probably have problem. Then the tenant get electrocuted somehow in the one area. So I —
12	Q.	What else did you inspect.
13	A.	Then I inspected – I found out there's a lot of cabinets is new, so I said,
14		Well, you got all this new. They said, yeah, we just did the renovation for the kitchen cabinet and the fixtures on the vanity are new. Then he
15		also point out you see all the shower, the ceramic tile is new shower. Bathtub is new tile, all that one. He said he did all new. Then –
16	Q.	Okay.
17 18	A.	So I check that washer/dryer.
19	Q.	Was there a sink that was clogged during the time you did your
20		inspection?
21	A.	No. No, no clog.
22	Q.	So there was never a clogged sink issue at all?
23	A.	I was inspect new tenant. Only one tenant. Unit A have people. Other
24		units, B and C, at that time I think is vacant. Then I opened the faucet, the water go through. Okay. then checked the ceiling – actually, I
25		mention to the Kenny Lin I saw the ceiling, one whole ceiling is popcorn ceiling in Unit C. I said, Well, you know, this popcorn ceiling have issue
26		if we have asbestos. They said, no, no, no, no problem because – I said, this is older house. Then he said, if you don't touch that one, it's okay.
27	(See Frank Miao de	eposition, p. 157, ll. 11-25; p. 158-160 attached hereto as Exhibit "1").

Mr. Miao also inspected the home several other times during the due diligence period. (*See* Exhibit "1", p. 163). Mr. Miao spoke with the tenant about his unit. (Exhibit "1", p. 163). He inspected all structures and did recall seeing only a few cracks. (Exhibit "1", p. 166). He checked the electrical system, plumbing, heating/air conditioning and the roof. (Exhibit "1", pp. 166-168). As stated, several items that needed repair were pointed out to Mr. Lin including the proper installation of GFCI outlets and combustible gas and CO detectors. (*See* Miao affidavit, ¶ 3, attached to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration). Mr. Miao inspected the property with Mr. Lin on August 10, 2017. (*See* Miao affidavit, ¶ 3). The Purchase Agreement, which was prepared beforehand by Kenny Lin and Le Wei Chen of InvestPro, was e-signed on August 11, 2017, by Ms. Zhu. (*See* Miao affidavit, ¶ 3). Due diligence was not waived as the property had already been inspected. **Again, Plaintiff's issue with Defendants is not what was discoverable during the inspection but what was hidden by Defendants which they had an obligation to disclose.** 

Defendants seem to rely upon their belief that due diligence was waived and the property was not inspected. While this is not true, whether or not due diligence was waived is not the entire issue in this case. Even if Plaintiff had waived due diligence, this does not alleviate Defendants of their responsibility to disclose conditions in the property of which they are aware. NRS 113.130.

2. Defendants' contend that Seller disclosed all known conditions with the property. By way of example, they point out that they disclosed that three air conditioning units were installed within three months of the sale. (*See* Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration, p. 4, ll. 2-5). However, what Defendants failed to disclose was that proper insulated air conditioning ducting had not been installed and the AC electrical wiring had been piggybacked on an electrical circuit in one of the units so that the electrical fuse kept failing. (*See* Miao affidavit, ¶ 7). In an attempt to insulate them from any issues with

the property, Defendants add that the "owner never resided in the property and never visited the property." However, what Mr. Lin further failed to disclose to Mr. Miao or Ms. Zhu is that the "owner" was actually a group of investors put together by Mr. Lin as part of a "flipping fund." Mr. Lin further failed to disclose that he had an interest in the property as well as he was to receive a percentage of the profit from the sale. Suggesting that the "seller" never visited the property in the Purchase Agreement is an intentional misrepresentation as it was "seller" who allegedly renovated the property prior to sale and it was the "seller" who covered up issues with the property that should have been disclosed to the buyer.

- 3. On page 5, lines 24-26, Defendants assert that Plaintiff had access to inspect the entire property and conduct non-invasive, non-destructive inspections. Defendants seem to rest their case on what would have been and what was discoverable during Mr. Miao's inspection of the property. However, again as Plaintiff points out, it is what was not discoverable during the non-destructive inspection that is at issue. The following are some of the items of which Defendants were aware which were not discoverable during Mr. Miao's non-destructive, non-invasive inspection of the property.
- a. The piggybacked AC wiring which was only discoverable after the electrical panel was pulled from the wall. The tenant had complained that the fuse kept blowing. Mr. Miao hired an electrical contractor who learned of the piggybacked wiring when attempting to resolve the electrical issue. The wiring which was a code violation was completed by seller's handyman. When the tenant complained to InvestPro, the property manager, the handyman's fix was to disconnect other circuits to the fuse which resulted in the tenant not being able to use all outlets. (*See* Miao affidavit, ¶ 7). When the licensed electrician was hired by Mr. Miao to fix the problem, it was discovered that the electrical panel did not have sufficient electrical wattage to power the AC units. (*See* Miao affidavit, ¶ 7). None of this was disclosed by sellers. After discovering the electrical issue and what it

would cost to fix the problem, Mr. Miao approached Mr. Linn requesting that Linn and InvestPro pay \$10,000.00 to fix the problem. (*See* Miao affidavit, ¶ 16(mm)).

- b. Sellers had vented high moisture dryer exhaust to the attic instead of outside the building as was required by law. Sellers had also used the uninsulated swamp cooler ducting for the AC units installed. The combination of these two unlawful acts resulted in water leaking through the unit C ceiling from condensation in the attic. Sellers failure to install insulated ducting along with the dryer venting into the attic was not discovered until the ceiling was opened up in an effort to finding the source of the water leak. (See Miao affidavit,  $\P$  8). Sellers failure to properly vent the dryers and install insulated ducting with the installation of the AC units was not disclosed to Plaintiff.
- c. Sellers had installed laminate and ceramic flooring throughout the units. In doing so, Sellers covered up significant foundation issues with the building. After Plaintiff's purchase of the triplex, the flooring in the units began buckling. During February and March of 2021, Mr. Miao pulled up the flooring in an attempt to determine the cause of the buckling. (See Miao affidavit, ¶ 9). What he discovered were significant foundation issues with the building which Sellers had attempted to hide by installing new flooring throughout the building. (See photographs attached as Exhibit "3" to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration). The severe foundation issues explained the cracking that began appearing in the walls after the purchase of the property. Sellers/Defendants had covered up the cracking during the "renovation" but the cracks again appeared over time because of the issues with the foundation. (See Miao affidavit, ¶ 9). Sellers/Defendants failed to disclose the issues with the foundation to the Buyer/Plaintiff.
- d. As early as May or June of 2020, the tenants in units B and C had complained of drainage issues. Nicholas Quioz, the tenant in Unit A, explained to Mr. Miao that he had reported to InvestPro that sewage water had overflowed into his unit. InvestPro

had spent weeks trying to open the sewer line. The handyman report to Mr. Quioz that the sewer line was broken. The next-door neighbor reported to Mr. Miao that when he was a tenant of the building during 2016 or 2017, the floor to his unit had buckled and sewage had backed up. When InvestPro failed to fix the problem, he moved out. (*See* Miao affidavit, ¶ 10). Sellers/Defendants failed to disclose the broken sewer line to the Buyer/Plaintiff.

On page 7, lines 13-14, Defendants suggest that the defects could have been discovered at the time of the original purchase. As stated, Plaintiff suggests and argues otherwise. Whether or not the stated defects could have been discovered during Mr. Miao's inspections of the subject property is an issue of fact.

Defendants point to Mr. Miao's deposition testimony that the conditions identified by Defendants' expert were "open and obvious." Plaintiff acknowledges that the conditions observed by Mr. Opfer were "open and obvious" but contends that those conditions were not "open and obvious" or present at the time of Mr. Miao's inspection during August of 2017.

Defendants argue that permits were not required for the cosmetic work completed by Sellers' handyman. (Defendants' Opposition, p. 8, ll. 4-10). While this may be true, Plaintiff contends that permits were required when the electrical wiring and plumbing were changed when the AC units were originally installed by Sellers. These changes should have been performed by a licensed electrician and plumber.

Defendants again refer to Mr. Miao's deposition testimony wherein Mr. Miao admits that third parties could have damaged the property. (Defendants' Opposition, p. 8, ll. 21-25). However, Plaintiff submits that third parties did not cause the improper installation of dryer venting, air conditioning ducting, air conditioning electrical wiring nor did they cause the sewer line to fail or the present condition of the foundation.

Defendants argue that there is no evidence suggesting that Defendants knew about the conditions of the property. (Defendants' Opposition, p. 8, ll. 27-28). Mr. Lin reported to

Mr. Miao that the entire property had been renovated. Walls had been painted and plastered. New flooring had been laid throughout all units. Dryer venting had been installed. AC units had been installed which had replaced swamp coolers. There is an invoice from the handyman for patching the floor; "remove 2 rooms laminate and level concrete. (DEF 23). Tenants had complained to InvestPro years prior about the drainage problems and sewage back-up. Defendants' handyman had investigated and concluded that the sewer line was broken. Defendants were more than aware of the condition of the property. The extent of Defendants' knowledge of the condition of the property prior to the sale to Plaintiff is an issue of fact.

Defendants are critical of Plaintiff's expert and the expert's cost of repair. The cost of repair is again an issue of fact for a jury to decide.

Defendants refer to Plaintiff's offer to settle the matter for \$10,000.00 early on after the purchase of the property as an example of bad faith. What Defendants failed to tell the Court is that the \$10,000.00 offer was after Mr. Miao discovered the problem with the electrical wiring. The \$10,000.00 offer was to pay an electrician to fix the electrical wiring installed by Defendants. Plaintiff was not aware at the time of the numerous other issues with the building. (*See* Miao affidavit, ¶ 16(mm)).

Defendants contend that Sellers disclosed issues with, among other things, the heating and cooling systems. (Defendants' Opposition, p. 10, ll. 26-28). However, a close examination of Sellers' disclosure would suggest otherwise. Specifically, Defendants had checked "no" to, among other things, structural defects, moisture condition and/or water damage, modifications made without required permits, foundation "sliding, settling, movement, upheaval or earth stability problems," drainage issues or environmental hazards. The sum total of Defendants' disclosure concerning the air conditioning units was "3 units has brand new AC installed within 3 months. . . . AC units are installed by licensed

contractor, all other work are done by owner's handyman." (*See* Exhibit "6" attached to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration). There is nothing in this disclosure about the failure to properly duct the AC units. There is nothing in this disclosure stating that the electrical wiring was piggybacked onto an electrical circuit that did not have sufficient electrical wattage to power the installed unit. An inspector would have been required to pull the electrical paneling off the wall at the time of inspection to find the faulty electrical wiring.

Defendants seem to rest their defense on their belief that a professional inspection would have uncovered the many issues with this building that had been covered up by Defendants. Defendants suggest that a professional inspection would have discovered the condition of the foundation that had been covered up with laminate and ceramic flooring. Defendants contend that a professional inspection would have discovered the faulty AC wiring in the wall, would have uncovered the fact that the sewer line was broken, would have revealed that the AC was installed with uninsulated ducting, would have found cracks in the walls that had been covered with plaster, would have discovered that Defendants had vented dryer exhaust into the attic, etc. What a professional inspection would have uncovered versus what Mr. Miao found during his inspection is also an issue of fact for a jury to decide. What Defendants knew about the building, what Defendants were obligated to disclose, what a professional inspection would have revealed versus what Mr. Miao found during his inspection are all issues of fact.

Defendants characterize Mr. Miao's affidavit as "self-serving testimony." Plaintiff is not sure exactly what is meant by this and would submit that any testimony offered by Mr. Miao is "self-serving" from the standpoint of supporting Plaintiff's case. Mr. Miao's affidavit is not "deleterious" as Defendants suggest but is offered simply to show that numerous factual issues exist in the case. Plaintiff simply submits that there were significant issues with the subject property later discovered by Plaintiff and that Defendants were aware of

these issues and had an obligation to disclose to Plaintiff before Plaintiff purchased the property. Plaintiff further submits that there is nothing in Mr. Miao's affidavit which contradicts his deposition testimony.

Defendants again ask for Rule 11 sanctions. Apparently, it is the opinion of Defendants that any time an attorney advocates for Plaintiff in this case, Defendants are entitled to Rule 11 sanctions. Counsel for Plaintiff has been litigating in the Nevada Eighth Judicial District and in other jurisdictions around the country for over 32 years and has never been the subject of Rule 11 sanctions nor has he previously dealt with opposing counsel that continually asks for Rule 11 sanctions as defense counsel has done in this case. (See affidavit of Steven L. Day, Esq., attached hereto as Exhibit "2"). The fact that counsel for the Defendants asks for Rule 11 sanctions in response to counsel advocating for the Plaintiff in Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is offensive and should be ignored by the Court.

### **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully asks this Court to reconsider the granting of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff and counsel further ask the Court to reconsider its Rule 11 sanctions order.

DATED this 11th day of May, 2021.

**DAY & NANCE** 

Steven L. Day, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 3708

nevada Bar No. 3708 1060 Wigwam Parkway

Henderson, NV 89074

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2021, service of this PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION made upon each of the parties listed below, via electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's Odyssey E-File and Serve system:

Michael B. Lee, Esq. Michael Mathis, Esq. Michael B. Lee, P.C. 1820 E. Sahara Ave., Suite 110 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorneys for Defendants	Phone: 702-477-7030 mike@mblnv.com matthis@mblnv.com	Fax: 702-477-0096
Benjamin B. Childs, Esq. 318 S. Maryland Pkwy. Las Vegas, NV 89101	Phone: 702-251-0000 ben@benchilds.com	Fax: 702-384-1119

An Employee of Day & Nance

# EXHIBIT "1"

```
1
         IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
 2.
                  CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
 3
 4 WLAB INVESTMENT, LLC,
        Plaintiff,
                               )CASE NO.: A-18-785917-C
         vs.
                               )DEPT NO.: 14
 7 TKNR INC., a California
  Corporation, and CHI ON WONG)
 8 aka CHI KUEN WONG, an
  individual, and KENNY ZHONG )
 9 LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka
  KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG )
10 K. LIN aka CHING KENNY LIN
  aka ZHONG LIN, an
11 individual, and LIWE HELEN
  CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, an
12 individual and YAN QIU
   ZHANG, an individual, and
13 INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO
  REALTY, a Nevada Limited
14 Liability Company, and MAN
  CHAU CHENG, an individual,
15 and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, an
   individual, and INVESTPRO
16 INVESTMENTS LLC, a Nevada
  Limited Liability Company,
17 and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC, a)
  Nevada Limited Liability
18 Company, and JOYCE A.
  NICKRANDT, an individual and)
19 Does 1 through 15 and Roe
  Corporation I-XXX,
20
         Defendants.
21
22 Job Number. 697915
23
              DEPOSITION OF FRANK MIAO
24
25
```

# FRANK MIAO - 01/12/2021

			Page 2					Page 3
1			5	1	APPEARA	NCES:	:	5
2				2	For the	Defe	endants via videoconference:	
3				3				
4				١.			ICHAEL B. LEE, ESQ.	
1		DEDOCTETON OF EDANK MIAO		4			ICHAEL B. LEE, P.C.	
5		DEPOSITION OF FRANK MIAO		5			320 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 110	
6	PERSON MOST	'KNOWLEDGABLE FOR WLAB INVESTMENT	·, LLC	5			as Vegas, Nevada 89104 702) 477-7030	
7				6			ike@mblnv.com	
8	T	aken at Litigation Services		7		1112	inesimpini, com	
9	C	n Tuesday, January 12, 2021		'	For the	Plai	intiff:	
10		at 9:00 a.m.		8				
111	at 3960	Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 700	)	9		BE	ENJAMIN B. CHILDS, ESQ.	
12	40 5700	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169					18 South Maryland Parkway	
1		Las vegas, Nevaua 09109		10			as Vegas, Nevada 89101	
13				1,1			702) 251-0000	
14				11 12		b∈	en@benchilds.com	
15				1		agant	via videoconference: Helen Cher	
16				14	TIPO PI	CBCIII	. ATA ATACOCOMMETEMOS. METEM CHEN	•
17				15				
18				16				
19				17				
20				18				
21				19				
1				20				
22				21				
23				22 23				
24	Reported by:	Trina K. Sanchez, CCR No. 933,	RPR	24				
25	Job No.: 697	915		25				
-			Dags 1					Dogo E
1		INDEX	Page 4	1			A Homeowner's Guide	Page 5
1	WITNESS:	1 11 2 2 11	PAGE		EXHIBIT	12	Declaration of Amin Sani	266
1			PAGE					
1	FRANK MIAO		_		EXHIBIT	13	Photographs from GLVAR	268
4	Examina	tion by Mr. Michael Lee	7	4			of 2132 Houston Drive	
5				5	EXHIBIT	14	HVAC Service Order Invoice	271
6				6	EXHIBIT	15	Letter	272
7		EXHIBITS		7	EXHIBIT	16	Flipping Fund - InvestPro Realty	274
8	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	8	EXHIBIT	17	Email dated September 5, 2017	280
1	EXHIBIT 1	Notice of Deposition of Person	10	"	EXHIBIT		Addendum No. 1 to Purchase	281
10		<del>-</del>	±0	10		10		201
1		Most Knowledgable for WLAB			D1411-51-	1.0	Agreement	000
11		Investment, LLC			EXHIBIT		Residential Purchase Agreement	282
1	EXHIBIT 2	Residential Purchase Agreement	147	12	EXHIBIT	20	Authorization to Close Escrow	289
13	EXHIBIT 3	Seller's Real Property	200	13	EXHIBIT	21	Expert Testimony Report	289
14		Disclosure Form		14	EXHIBIT	22	Penny Electric Estimate	298
15	EXHIBIT 4	Mold Notice & Waiver	212	15	EXHIBIT	23	Cost to Repair documents	303
1	EXHIBIT 5	Trustee's Deed Upon Sale	216		EXHIBIT		ACLV Proposal	315
	EXHIBIT 6	Email dated August 24, 2017	217		EXHIBIT		Larkin Plumbing & Heating	315
1		_			דדמדוזאה	ر ب		213
	EXHIBIT 7	Email chain dated August 17, 201		18			Proposal & Contract	
1	EXHIBIT 8	Invoice 0335107	224		EXHIBIT		Home Depot Quote	316
20	EXHIBIT 9	Declaration of Frank Miao in	224	20	EXHIBIT	27	Neil D. Opfer Report	317
21		Support of Opposition to		21	EXHIBIT	28	Defendants' Request for Entry	334
22		Defendant's Motion for Summary		22			onto Land and for Inspection	
23		Judgment and Countermotions		23			of Tangible Things Pursuant	
1	EXHIBIT 10	Permit/Application Status	249	24			to NRCP 34	
1						20		224
	EXHIBIT 11	When do I need a permit?	260	45	EXHIBIT	<b>∠</b> 9	Defendants' Amended Request for	334
43								

Page 6	Page 7
1 Entry onto Land and for Inspection	1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2021;
2 of Tangible Things Pursuant	2 9:00 A.M.
3 to NRCP 34	3 -000-
4	4
5	5 (In an off-the-record discussion held prior to the
6	6 commencement of the deposition proceedings, counsel
7	7 agreed to waive the court reporter requirements
8	8 under Rule 30(b)(5) of the Nevada Rules of Civil
9	9 Procedure.)
10	10
11	11 Whereupon,
12	12 FRANK MIAO,
13	13 having been first duly sworn to testify to the
14	14 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,
15	15 was examined and testified as follows:
16	16
17	17 EXAMINATION
18	18 BY MR. LEE:
19	19 Q. Good morning, sir. Thank you for
20	20 appearing for your deposition today. You're
21	21 appearing as the 30(b)(6) or the person most
22	22 knowledgable for this deposition; is that correct?
23	23 A. Yes.
24	24 Q. And you understand what that term means?
25	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
25	25 A. Yes.
Page 8	Page 9
1 Q. I think I saw you going through the	1 Did you have an audible response?
2 deposition exhibits. The top of the pile should	2 MADAM REPORTER: No.
3 have been the 30(b)(6) notice.	3 BY MR. LEE:
4 Do you see that?	4 Q. You need to say "yes" or "no."
5 A. 30(b)(6)? I don't know what that what	5 Do you understand?
6 document?	6 THE WITNESS: What did he ask?
7 MR. LEE: For the record, Helen Chen, the	7 MADAM REPORTER: He's
8 defendant, has just joined us for the deposition.	8 BY MR. LEE:
9 THE WITNESS: I haven't read that one yet.	9 Q. "Audible" means out loud.
10 MR. LEE: Ms. Court Reporter, can you help	10 A. Can you speak a little slowly? Because if
11 him?	11 you speak too quick, I I cannot catch up.
12 MADAM REPORTER: Yes. Let's go off the	12 Q. Okay. So I just I'll go over the rules
13 record.	13 of the deposition with you after I just do this PMK
14 (A discussion was held of the record.)	14 notice; okay?
15 BY MR. LEE:	15 A. Okay. What's a "PMK" mean?
16 Q. We're back on the record. It appears the	16 Q. "PMK" means person most knowledgable.
17 exhibits didn't get printed, but we'll go ahead and	17 A. Oh, okay. Okay. Yes.
18 wait for them to get printed.	18 Q. See right where I highlighted it, person
19 During the interim, I'll just share my	19 most knowledgable?
20 screen so you can see what the exhibits are; okay?	20 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah.
21 A. Okay.	21 Q. Okay. So for the record, what I'm doing
22 Q. Then I'll go over the rules of the	22 is showing you what will eventually be proposed
23 deposition. You're doing a good job right now. I	23 Exhibit 1 to the deposition, which is the notice of
24 just want to get this PMK notice out of the way;	24 deposition of the person most knowledgable for WLAB
25 okay?	25 Investments, LLC.

Page 154 Page 155 1 year, definitely we have cash to buy that. What? Α. Q. Okay. So it's very important for you, you 2 ٥. Did you read this document with your wife 3 understood you weren't going to make the close of 3 or did she do this on her own? 4 escrow, but you wanted to preserve your earnest I think the docs sign she do on her own. No, no, no. Did you read this with your 5 money deposit in the purchase of this property for 6 the tax purposes? 6 wife or did you read it independently or did she A. Yeah. Yes, yes. 7 read it by herself? Who read this document? Q. Okay. So part of this paragraph says that This document is prepared by the Helen Α. 9 the buyer's obligation is conditioned on the buyer's 9 Chen. 10 due diligence as defined in Section 7A below; 10 Q. Okay. So you used DocuSign before; 11 correct? 11 correct? 12 A. Yeah. Which page? 12 A. Right. So she signed in San Diego. I was 13 It's Item 7. There's, like, a line 24 13 in Vegas -- at that time I was not in the Vegas. I 14 that's right next to it. 14 was in Barstow. 15 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. So my question is: When your wife 16 Q. Yeah. 16 was using DocuSign to read this document, right, 17 So then your wife, I presume, used 17 like, do you know if she actually was reading it? 18 DocuSign --A. I think so. She read that. 19 19 Q. Okay. Did you read the document as well? A. Yes. 20 -- which is why it's her initials that are 20 A. I think so. 21 computer print; right? Okay. Did you guys read it together at 22 any point in time? A. Yes, yes, yeah. She's in San Diego so she A. I don't think so. 23 can't --23 24 Q. Did you read this document with your wife 24 Q. No. 25 at any time? Did you guys discuss the document? Page 157 Page 156 A. No. I don't recall date. But I set 1 Α. No. 2 2 appointment, I think, is August 10th. O. No. Okay. So, like, your wife's impressions Where did you find the property? Did you 4 would be something I would have to ask her about 4 find it on Zillow? 5 individually? A. Yes. A. That's fine, yeah. Q. Okay. And then when you found it on Q. You understand that the obligations 7 Zillow, then what did you do? 8 related to the buyer's due diligence to be done in Then the phone number on the listing 9 14 days of acceptance, though; correct? 9 agent, right, so I called the listing agent, set up 10 appointment. Then go to see the property. 10 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall if this was the same day 11 And that's the reason why you are the Q. 12 person who generally does the inspection of a 12 that you viewed the property on Zillow? 13 property? I don't know exactly same day or maybe 14 A. Yeah. We do the -- I said that --14 couple of days later I saw property. Anyway, I set 15 actually, my wife asked her -- usually I tell them, 15 up appointment with the Kenny Lin, then we went to 16 I did the inspection. Because before, for the 16 together in the one afternoon -- whole afternoon 17 purchase agreement, I go there personally to inspect 17 with Kenny Lin. I think the August 10th. 18 the property and do the very detailed inspection. Okay. So on August 10th, you set up an Then after that, I went to the property 19 appointment with Kenny. Do you remember the time of 20 several times too to the tenant and also other 20 day that was? 21 things. Check the --21 A. I think is afternoon. Q. Let's do it this way. 22 22 O. Afternoon. 23 23 So you go. He meets you at the property; Α. Okav.

25

24 is that fair?

A. Right, right, right.

Q. On -- when did you find the property? Do

25 you recall what date?

Page 158

1 Q. Okay. Then tell me what happened.

- 2 A. Then I just go over the property all of
- 3 detail, surrounding area. I just check the other
- 4 building. Then this -- at that time, there's one
- 5 tenant there. So other two --
- 6 Q. So you had -- let me pause you.
- 7 So you had the ability to walk the
- 8 property with Kenny Lin?
- 9 A. Right, right.
- 10 Q. Okay. Like, do you recall all the areas
- 11 that you looked at?
- 12 A. Yeah. Actually, I walked the Unit B, C.
- 13 I go to there too. Now, Unit --
- 14  $\,$  Q. So when you walked through them, what did
- 15 you look at?
- 16 A. I looked at a lot of things. For example,
- 17 like, the -- I point out some drywall is not
- 18 finished; right? And the -- some of smoke alarm is
- 19 not -- is missing and -- which is law required to
- 20 put in for smoke alarm. Then no carbon monoxide
- 21 alarm, so I ask them to put in.
- 22 Then in the kitchen, lot of electrical,
- 23 the outlet is not a GFCI outlet, so I tell them, I
- 24 said, You need to change this GFCI. Right now this
- 25 outlet is not meet code. You probably have problem.
  - Page 160
- 1 ceiling, one whole ceiling is popcorn ceiling in
- 2 Unit C. I said, Well, you know, this popcorn
- 3 ceiling have issue if we have asbestos. They said,
- 4 No, no, no, no problem because -- I said, This is
- 5 older house. Then he said, If you don't touch that
- 6 one, it's okay.
- 7 Q. So you noticed that the property had
- 8 popcorn ceiling. What were you concerned about,
- 9 potentially asbestos?
- 10 A. Yeah, because I have experience when I
- 11 build my house in Arcadia, so I told them, If we got
- 12 popcorn ceiling there, then they may have asbestos.
- 13 Then they said, If you don't expose and disturb
- 14 that, that's okay. I said, Okay. I know that is
- 15 some people say that way too. So I just said --
- 16 ask, We don't disturbing that one, it's okay.
- 17 Q. But although you had this concern about 18 potential asbestos, did you do an inspection for 19 asbestos?
- 20 A. I didn't do the inspection, but I just
- 21 said -- he tell me if we're not disturbing that one,
- 22 it's not issue, so I just -- I said -- because he
- 23 already rental to tenant, so what's the point for  $\ensuremath{\text{me}}$
- 24 to argue that.

25

Q. So Mr. Lin, did he ever tell you to get an

- Page 159
- 1 Then the tenant get electrocuted somehow in the one
- 2 area. So I --
- Q. What else did you inspect?
- A. Then I inspected -- I found out there's a
- 5 lot of cabinets is new, so I said, Well, you got all
- 6 this new. They said, Yeah, we just did the
- 7 renovation for the kitchen cabinet and the fixtures
- $\boldsymbol{8}$  on the vanity are new. Then he also point out you
- 9 see all the shower, the ceramic tile is new shower.
- 10 Bathtub is new tile, all that one. He said he did 11 all new.
- 12 Then --
- 13 Q. Okay.
  - A. So I check that washer/dryer.
- 15 Q. Was there a sink that was clogged during
- 16 the time you did your inspection?
  - A. No. No, no clog.
- 18 Q. So there was never a clogged sink issue at
- 19 all?
- 20 A. I was inspect new tenant. Only one
- 21 tenant. Unit A have people. Other units, B and C,
- 22 at that time I think is vacant. Then I opened the
- 23 faucet, the water go through.
- Okay. Then checked the ceiling --
- 25 actually, I mention to the Kenny Lin I saw the
  - Page 161

# 1 inspection done on the property?

- A. I was -- Lin's thinking, sir. I was doing
- 3 the inspection there.
- 4 Q. But did he tell you you needed to get a 5 professional inspection done?
- A. I don't think so. Because after that,
- 7 after the -- Lin assigned this property to the Helen
- 8 Chen. Helen Chen become the contact. After that, I
- 9 don't talk to the Lin. Mostly it's Helen Chen with
- 10 us to communicate with each other.
- 11 Q. So when you say you don't think so, is it
- 12 possible that Mr. Lin told you to get a professional
- 13 inspection done on or about August --
- 14 A. I don't think so. I don't think it's
- 15 possible because usually we have email
- 16 communication; right? And I don't think we receive
- 17 the Mr. Lin email said we need to do the
- 18 professional inspection.
- 19 Q. So are you also saying that Ms. Chen never 20 told you to do a professional inspection?
- 21 A. I don't know exactly because most time
- 22 she's the communicator with my wife.
- 23 Q. So it's possible that she told your wife 24 or you that you need to get a professional
- 25 inspection done?

- Page 162

  1 A. Not that we -- we noticed that this is

  2 multi-family house. We don't need to do the
- 3 professional inspection. Even they ask us, This
- 4 is -- because this is dealing with the tenant --
- 5 with the owner or seller issue.
- 6 Q. Okay. So my question was: Was it
- 7 possible that Ms. Chen had told either you or your
- 8 wife that you needed to get a professional
- 9 inspection done?
- 10 A. Maybe. Maybe. I don't know. I just said
- 11 I cannot say on behalf of my wife because my wife,
- 12 she maybe received email from Chen.
- 13 Q. Okay. And as far as you know, do you
- 14 recall or not if she told you that you needed to get
- 15 a professional inspection done?
- 16 A. I don't think that I recall the memory on
- 17 that because I always tell my wife, I said, We
- 18 already done the inspection. That's the reason we
- 19 decide to buy this property; right?
- 20 Q. So if I break it down, you don't remember
- 21 if that happened; is that fair?
- 22 A. I don't remember, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And then the second thing is you
- 24 told your wife that you had already done the
- 25 inspection so you didn't need a professional
  - Page 164
- $1\ \mbox{very good,}$  and that's the reason he got  $\mbox{my phone}$
- 2 number.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you remember the name of this 4 tenant?
- 5 A. Yeah, Nicholas. He's the guy that's still
- 6 living there, Unit A. I give his phone number. I
- 7 said, Well, if we go to buy this property,  $\ensuremath{\text{I'm}}$  the
- 8 new owner, so I gave him his phone number.
- 9 Q. Okay. If we go back to Exhibit B, page
- 10 28, 7A, Property Inspection/Conditions, it says,
- 11 "During the due diligence period, buyer shall take  $\,$
- 12 the actions buyer deems necessary to determine
- 13 whether the property is dissatisfactory to the
- 14 buyer." It goes on, but I'm going to stop there.
- 15 Based on what you've described, you
- 16 believe that you took the actions necessary to
- 17 determine if a property was satisfactory to you,
- 18 WLAB, to purchase it?
- 19 A. Yes. Based on -- we bought this -- we go
- 20 to the inspection, then we also talk to the tenant,
- 21 so we thinking this is investment property; right?
- 22 So financial it's looking at the rent, it's
- 23 reasonable, it's not very high compared with the
- 24 surrounding area. Then also financially, it's good.
- 25 Then I take a look at the -- everything

- 1 inspection?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So if we go back to the residential
- 4 purchase agreement, which is Exhibit 2, it was
- 5 conditioned originally on you having the ability to
- 6 complete your due diligence. So is it your
- 7 understanding that when you did your inspection on
- 8 August 10th, 2017, that that was your -- you doing
- 9 your due diligence?
- 10 A. Yes, yeah. That is on the understanding
- 11 we do the due diligence.
- 12 In addition to the initial inspection in
- 13 August 10th, I went to the site a couple of times.
- 14 I think another two times. Then take a look at the
- 15 surrounding environment, talk to the tenant Unit 1  $\,$
- 16 also.
- 17 Q. And this is some -- like, can you estimate
- 18 the time frame when you talked to the tenants?
  - A. Just between the -- we purchase that one
- 20 in the 30 days, the due diligence period. I went to 21 there.  $\,$
- 22 Q. Do you recall what those -- what you
- 23 learned during those conversations?
- A. No. At that time, the tenant is very
- 25 happy. He said that, Yeah, I like this. We living
  - Page 165

Page 163

- 1 outside. Good. So I said, Fine. That's satisfied.
- 2 That's the reason I command my wife to sign the
- 3 purchase agreement.
- 4 Q. So with the rent that you described, did
- 5 you receive rent rolls about what the current rental
- 6 rates were for the property --
- A. At that time only one tenant.
- 8 Q. One tenant.
  - But around that time, you already received
- 10 all the lease agreements and everything; correct?
- 11 A. I didn't receive leasing agreement until I
- 12 purchase it.
- 13 Q. Okay. So you did receive the lease
- 14 agreements that were for the property?
- 15 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. After that, yeah.
- 16 Q. Okay. So if we keep reading on 7A, it
- 17 says -- line 36 on the left-hand side. "During such
- 18 period, buyer shall have the right to conduct
- 19 noninvasive, nondestructive inspections of all
- 20 structural, roofing, mechanical, plumbing,
- 21 heating/air conditioning, water/well/septic,
- 22 pool/spa, survey square footage, and any other
- 23 property or systems through licensed and bonded
- 24 contractors or other qualified professionals."
  - Did I read that correctly?

Page 166 Page 167 Q. Okay. So my question related to you had A. Yes, ves. 2 ٥. So at the time when you did your 2 the opportunity to inspect the structure of the 3 diligence, you had a right to conduct noninvasive, 3 property; correct? 4 nondestructive inspection; correct? A. Usually inspect the structure, no -- and Yes, I did. 5 the invasive is you just look around the wall, make Q. And you had the opportunity to inspect all 6 sure wall is no big crack there, right, that kind of 7 the structures? 7 thing. I check the other one -- on the walk, I So you had the right to inspect the Α. 9 don't see the new cracking, so the -- some older 9 structure; correct? 10 cracking. I check the neighbor who also have that 10 Yes, yes, I did that. 11 one. I think it's okay; right? Then the --You had the right to inspect the roof; is Q. Okay. So can you spell --12 that correct? I can see. I'm the professional at that 13 A. Yes. Α. 14 time. so --Q. Okay. Did you do that? 15 MADAM REPORTER: One at a time, please. A. I forgot. I maybe did that because 16 BY MR. LEE: 16 usually I go to the roof. 17 Q. Can you spell that last word? You can see Q. Okay. Did -- you had a right to inspect 18 the packing? 18 the mechanical systems; correct? A. No. I can see. I'm the -- also A. That's a Kenny Lin that point out, said 20 professional. 20 there's a new one, so I didn't go there. It's a 21 Q. Yes. 21 brand-new one. Q. 22 A. So that's -- I'm thinking in here they You had the right to inspect the 23 mechanical system; correct? 23 said, "Qualified the professional inspection"; 24 right? Other qualified professional, so I'm Right. Yes, yes. Α. 25 thinking, Yeah, we did other one. You had the right to inspect the Page 168 Page 169 1 the square footage if you wanted? 1 electrical systems; correct? A. I check the electrical system, yes. A. Yeah. Q. You had a right to inspect the plumbing And then you could have inspected any 4 systems; correct? 4 other property or system within the property itself; A. 5 correct? You had the right to inspect the Α. ο. Yes, yes. 7 heating/air conditioning system; correct? Okay. Now, I understand that you did the A. 8 inspection and you think you're a qualified 9 Q. You had a right to inspect the 9 professional; right? 10 water/well/septic systems; correct? 10 11 A. Yes. This is not applicable. But you're not licensed; is that right? Q. 12 Q. Yeah. Like, pool or spa, there's no pool 12 Yeah. I'm not licensed, yeah. Α. 13 or spa; right? 13 0. And you're not bonded; right? 14 A. Yeah. A. No. Yes. 15 Q. You didn't do a survey. You didn't go out 15 Okay. Then it also says down here on line

18 know, yeah. Q. Did you -- I'm sure you didn't -- like, 20 you had the right to inspect the square footage, but 21 I'm sure you didn't go out there with a tape 22 measure. A. No, I didn't. I just -- it's rental

A. No, no, no, no. This is nothing land, you

16 there with a little land --

17

24 property, you know.

25 Q. Yeah. But you had the right to inspect 22 appropriate professionals? Actually, that is -- I went to the second 24 time, a third time, I take a look at the 25 neighborhood surrounding, talk to tenant and talk to

Okay. Did you consult with any other

16 43, "Buyer is advertised to" -- excuse me. "Buyer

18 regarding neighborhood or property conditions."

Did I read that correctly?

17 is advised to consult with appropriate professionals

Α.

Q.

Page 338 1 of things report that we don't need to go to the	Page 339 1 opinions at the time of trial?
2 inside the building. It's wall cracking. It's	2 A. Yes, yes.
3 outside. You can see.	3 Q. Okay.
4 Q. Okay. So it's open and obvious for them?	4 MR. LEE: I don't have any further
5 A. Yeah. You can see always outside.	5 questions, so we can go off record and or
6 Q. So is there any information that you want	6 actually, I pass the witness. How about that?
7 to provide that I haven't asked you about?	7 MR. CHILDS: No questions.
8 A. No.	8 THE WITNESS: No questions.
9 Q. No? Okay.	9 MR. LEE: Okay. Then I'll release you
10 Would you like to revise or supplement any	10 subject to any disclosure of any additional
11 of your prior answers?	11 documents that we haven't received at this time, but
12 A. Yes. I need to read this description,	12 I thank you for your time today; okay?
13 the what's it called?	
	13 THE WITNESS: Thank you.  14 MADAM REPORTER: Counsel, would you like a
MR. CHILDS: Transcript.	
15 THE WITNESS: Transcript, yeah.	15 copy of the transcript?
16 BY MR. LEE:	16 MR. CHILDS: Yeah, I think
17 Q. Okay. So I presume you guys are going to	17 THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah.
18 buy a copy of the transcript. You'll need to let	18 MADAM REPORTER: Do you want electronic?
19 the court reporter know. If you are, they'll mail	19 MR. CHILDS: Sure.
20 you a copy. If not, you're going to have to go to	20 MR. LEE: I only want an e-copy with
21 the court reporter's office to review it; okay?	21 exhibits.
22 A. Yeah. We just buy one.	22 MADAM REPORTER: Okay.
23 Q. Okay. And then in terms of the areas that	23 (The deposition concluded at 5:26 p.m.)
24 we covered that was based on your experience or your	24
25 speculation, are you planning on offering those	25
Page 340	Page 341
Page 340	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA ) ) ss 3 COUNTY OF CLARK )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA ) ) ss 3 COUNTY OF CLARK ) 4 I, Trina K. Sanchez, a duly certified court reporter licensed in and for the State of 5 Nevada, do hereby certify: 6 That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, FRANK MIAO, at the time 7 and place aforesaid; 8 That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the 9 whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA ) ss 3 COUNTY OF CLARK ) 4 I, Trina K. Sanchez, a duly certified court reporter licensed in and for the State of 5 Nevada, do hereby certify: 6 That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, FRANK MIAO, at the time 7 and place aforesaid; 8 That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the 9 whole truth, and nothing but the truth; 10 That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten 11 transcript of said deposition is a complete, true and accurate record of testimony provided by the 12 witness at said time to the best of my ability. 13 I further certify (1) that I am not a relative, employee or independent contractor of 14 counsel or of any of the parties; nor a relative, employee or independent contractor of the parties 15 involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other 16 relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 * * * * * * 17 18 I, FRANK MIAO, witness herein, do hereby 19 certify and declare under the penalty of perjury the 20 within and foregoing transcription to be my 21 deposition in said action; that I have read,	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 ***** 17 18 I, FRANK MIAO, witness herein, do hereby 19 certify and declare under the penalty of perjury the 20 within and foregoing transcription to be my 21 deposition in said action; that I have read, 22 corrected and do hereby affix my signature to said	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA ) ss 3 COUNTY OF CLARK ) 4 I, Trina K. Sanchez, a duly certified court reporter licensed in and for the State of 5 Nevada, do hereby certify: 6 That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, FRANK MIAO, at the time 7 and place aforesaid; 8 That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the 9 whole truth, and nothing but the truth; 10 That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten 11 transcript of said deposition is a complete, true and accurate record of testimony provided by the 12 witness at said time to the best of my ability. 13 I further certify (1) that I am not a relative, employee or independent contractor of 14 counsel or of any of the parties; nor a relative, employee or independent contractor of the parties 15 involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other 16 relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that 17 may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant 18 to NRCP 30(e) was requested. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 20 23rd day of January, 2021 / YIMA & Name of the parties and this state of Nevada, this
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 ***** 17 18 I, FRANK MIAO, witness herein, do hereby 19 certify and declare under the penalty of perjury the 20 within and foregoing transcription to be my 21 deposition in said action; that I have read, 22 corrected and do hereby affix my signature to said 23 deposition.	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16     * * * * * 17 18    I, FRANK MIAO, witness herein, do hereby 19 certify and declare under the penalty of perjury the 20 within and foregoing transcription to be my 21 deposition in said action; that I have read, 22 corrected and do hereby affix my signature to said 23 deposition. 24	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )
1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 ***** 17 18 I, FRANK MIAO, witness herein, do hereby 19 certify and declare under the penalty of perjury the 20 within and foregoing transcription to be my 21 deposition in said action; that I have read, 22 corrected and do hereby affix my signature to said 23 deposition.	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA )

# FRANK MIAO - 01/12/2021

Page 342	
1 HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY & SECURITY: CAUTIONARY NOTICE	
2 Litigation Services is committed to compliance with applicable federal	
3 and state laws and regulations ("Privacy Laws") governing the	
4 protection andsecurity of patient health information.Notice is	
5 herebygiven to all parties that transcripts of depositions and legal	
6 proceedings, and transcript exhibits, may contain patient health	
7 information that is protected from unauthorized access, use and	
8 disclosure by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services requires that access,	
9 maintenance, use, and disclosure (including but not limited to	
10 electronic database maintenance and access, storage, distribution/	
11 dissemination and communication) of transcripts/exhibits containing	
12 patient information be performed in compliance with Privacy Laws.	
13 No transcript or exhibit containing protected patient health	
14 information may be further disclosed except as permitted by Privacy	
15 Laws. Litigation Services expects that all parties, parties'	
16 attorneys, and their HIPAA Business Associates and Subcontractors will	
17 make every reasonable effort to protect and secure patient health	
18 information, and to comply with applicable Privacy Law mandates,	
19 including but not limited to restrictions on access, storage, use, and	
20 disclosure (sharing) of transcripts and transcript exhibits, and	
21 applying "minimum necessary" standards where appropriate. It is	
22 recommended that your office review its policies regarding sharing of	
23 transcripts and exhibits - including access, storage, use, and	
24 disclosure - for compliance with Privacy Laws.	
25 © All Rights Reserved. Litigation Services (rev. 6/1/2019)	

# EXHIBIT "2"

# **AFFIDAVIT OF STEVEN L. DAY**

COUNTY OF CLARK	)	
	)	SS
STATE OF NEVADA	)	

STEVEN L. DAY, being first duly sworn upon his oath, deposes and says:

- 1. That affiant was prior counsel for the Plaintiff in Eighth Judicial District Court Case No. A-18-785917-C styled *WLAB Investment, LLC v. TKNR, Inc., et al.*
- 2. Affiant was retained by Plaintiff on March 10, 2021, one day prior to the hearing on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. Affiant argued the opposition to Defendants' motion and has subsequently filed Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration.
- 3. That affiant takes the responsibility of advocating for his clients very seriously. In over 32 years of litigating in the Eighth Judicial District and in other jurisdictions around the United States and while advocating for literally thousands of clients, affiant has never been subjected to Rule 11 sanctions.
- 4. That affiant in this case in the preparation and filing of Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration believes that the arguments made on behalf of Plaintiff are presented with a proper purpose and not for the purpose of causing unnecessary delay or harassment.

///

///

5. That is this case, affiant is simply advocating on behalf of his client.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

STEVEN L. DAY

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me

this 11th day of May, 2021.

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and State.

BRINLEY RICHESON NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA My Commission Expires: 07-22-23 Certificate No: 11-5428-1

# ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 5/25/2021 1:41 PM

Electronically Filed 05/25/2021 1:40 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

1	MICHAEL B. LEE, ESQ. (NSB 10122)
	MICHAEL MATTHIS, ESQ. (NSB 14582)
2	MICHAEL B. LEE, P.C.
	1820 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 110
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
	Telephone: (702) 477.7030
4	Facsimile: (702) 477.0096
	mike@mblnv.com
5	Attorney for Defendants

# IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

# **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

W L A B INVESTMENT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

 $\text{Tel} - (702) \, 477.7030; \text{Fax} - (702) \, 477.0096$ 

1820 E. SAHARA AVENUE, SUITE 110

MICHAEL B. LEE, P.C.

TKNR INC., a California Corporation, and CHI ON WONG aka CHI KUEN WONG, an individual, and KENNY ZHONG LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG K. LIN aka CHONG **ZHONG KENNY** LIN aka LIN, individual, and LIWE HELEN CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, an individual and YAN QIU ZHANG, an individual, and INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO REALTY, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MAN CHAU CHENG, an individual, and JOYCE NICKRANDT, an individual, INVESTPRO **INVESTMENTS** LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company, and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, an individual and Does 1 through 15 and Roe Corporation I - XXX,

CASE NO.: A-18-785917-C DEPT. NO.: XIV

ORDER GRANTING, IN PART, AND
DENYING, IN PART, PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO RECONSIDER
AND
JUDGMENT AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND
PREVIOUS COUNSEL

Date of Hearing: May 17, 2021 Time of Hearing: chambers

Defendants.

This matter being set for hearing before the Honorable Court on May 18, 2021 at 10:00 a.m., on W L A B INVESTMENT, LLC ("WLAB" or "Plaintiff"), Motion to Reconsider ("Motion"), by and through its attorney of record, DAY & NANCE. Defendants' TKNR INC., CHI ON WONG aka CHI KUEN WONG, KENNY ZHONG LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG K. LIN aka CHONG KENNY LIN aka ZHONG LIN, LIWE HELEN CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, YAN QIU ZHANG, INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO REALTY, MAN CHAU CHENG, JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, INVESTPRO

Page 1 of 5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Administrative Order 21-03 and preceding administrative orders, this matter may be decided after a hearing, decided on the pleadings, or continued. In an effort to comply with Covid-19 restrictions, and to avoid the need for hearings when possible, this Court has determined that it was appropriate to decide this matter based on the pleadings submitted. Upon thorough review of the pleadings, the Court issues the following order:

- 1. Leave for reconsideration of motions is within this Court's discretion under EDCR 2.24.
- 2. A district court may reconsider a previously decided issue if substantially different evidence is subsequently introduced or the decision is clearly erroneous. See Masonry & Tile Contractors v. Jolley, Urga & Wirth, 113 Nev. 737, 741 (1997).
- 3. Plaintiff seeks reconsideration of this Court's April 7, 2021, Amended Order Granting Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment ("Amended Order").
- 4. Although Defendants argue that Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal divests this Court of jurisdiction to rule on the Motion, this Court disagrees because the Amended Order was not final and appealable by virtue of Plaintiff filing the Motion. Therefore, the appeal was premature, and the court is not divested of jurisdiction on the filing of a premature notice of appeal, allowing the court to rule on the Motion. See NRAP 4(a)(6).
- 5. The Motion was timely filed within fourteen (14) days of the Notice of Entry of the Amended Order.
- 6. Plaintiff spends a majority of its Motion rehashing the facts of the underlying dispute. Plaintiff argues that exhibits the Court relied on in granting Defendants underlying motion for summary judgment namely, the Residential Purchase Agreement and the Second Residential Purchase Agreement were not properly authenticated. Plaintiff additionally argues that Defendants discussed an email from Chen to Ms. Zhu without providing a foundation for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

email. Plaintiff's argument is that this Court committed clear error by relying on unauthenticated documents, or hearsay, in ruling on Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

- 7. In opposing summary judgment, Plaintiff was required to point to specific facts creating a genuine issue of material fact. See LaMantia v. Redisi, 118 Nev. 27, 29 (2002). Plaintiff did not do so.
- 8. Defendants were not required to authenticate the first and second Residential Purchase Agreement before this Court could rely on those documents in granting summary judgment.
- 9. Plaintiff did not contest the authenticity of the disputed documents in opposing summary judgment.
- 10. Plaintiff could have objected that these documents, which were Defendants repeatedly cite to in their motion for summary judgment, cannot be presented in a form that would be admissible in evidence. See NRCP 56(b)(2). However, Plaintiff did not so object.
- 11. The summary judgment hearing was not a trial. Authentication is for purposes of introducing evidence at trial; therefore, Plaintiff's authentication argument lacks merit.
  - 12. Plaintiff has not demonstrated that this Court's ruling was clearly erroneous.
- 13. Plaintiff has not demonstrated that this Court's decision to grant Rule 11 sanctions was clearly erroneous. However, this Court does clarify that the sanctions are awarded against Plaintiff's former counsel, Ben Childs, and not Plaintiff's current counsel, Mr. Day.
- 14. Defendants also ask that this Court issue an award of attorney fees and costs in the amount of \$128,166.78 related to the Courts' April 7, 2021 Order this Court granting Defendants' attorney fees and costs pursuant to Rule 11. Plaintiff, through its former or new counsel, does not oppose the specific amounts requested.
- 15. As such, this Court grants the amount Defendants seek and enters judgment against Plaintiff and their former counsel, Ben Childs, Esq. in the amount of One Hundred Twenty-Eight Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars and Seventy-Eight cents (\$128,166.78).
- 16. Defendants' countermotion for additional Rule 11 sanctions against Plaintiff for filing the Motion is denied.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED, AND DECREED that the Motion is GRANTED, in part, and DENIED, in part, as the Court's ruling was not clearly erroneous but clarifies the attorney fees and costs is awarded against Plaintiff and its former counsel Ben Childs, Esq.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDICATED, AND DECREED that Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants against Plaintiff, and its former counsel, Benjamin Childs, individually, and Benjamin B. Childs, Esq, the law firm, jointly and severally, in the amount of One Hundred Twenty-Eight Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars and Seventy-Eight cents (\$128,166.78) and that they pay Defendants the following amounts:

- 1. The principal sum of \$118,955.014 in attorneys' fees;
- 2. The principal sum of \$9,211.64 for costs incurred to date; and
- 3. Post-judgment interest from the date of the entry of the underlying Order for the attorneys' fees and costs be granted at the statutory rate of 5.25% per annum.

A total Judgment in favor of Defendants, and against Plaintiff, and its former counsel, Benjamin Childs, individually, and Benjamin B. Childs, Esq, the law firm, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$128,166.78, all to bear interest at the statutory rate of 5.25% per annum until paid in full.

```
18
      ////
19
      ////
20
      ////
21
      ////
      ////
22
23
      ////
24
      ////
25
      ////
26
      ////
27
      ////
```

////

28

# 1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDICATED, AND DECREED that this Order and Judgment shall be considered a final for all purposes. Dated this 25th day of May, 2021 C78 3DB 37F8 7A17 Adriana Escobar Date: May 18, 2021. Districte Clourt Loud Gel. Respectfully Submitted By: Approved of as to Form and Content By: MICHAEL B. LEE, P.C. DAY & NANCE /s/ Michael Lee /s/ Stephen Day MICHAEL B. LEE, ESQ. (NSB 10122) STEPHEN DAY, ESQ. (NSB 3708) MICHAEL MATTHIS, ESQ. (NSB 14582) 1060 Wigwam Pkwy Las Vegas, Nevada 89074 1820 E. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 Tel - (702) 309.3333 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: (702) 477.7030 Facsimile: (702) 477.0096 Fax - (702) 309.1085sday@daynance.com mike@mblnv.com Attorney for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendants

# RE: WLAB v. TKNR, et al.; A-18-785917-C; Proposed Order

From: Steve Day (sday@dayattorneys.com)

To: matthis@mblnv.com

Date: Wednesday, May 19, 2021, 02:20 PM PDT

Looks okay. Okay to use my e-sig. Correct name: Steven L. Day

Steve

# Steven L. Day, Esq.

# **DAY&ASSOCIATES**

1060 Wigwam Parkway

Henderson, NV 89074

Tel. (702) 309-3333

Fax (702) 309-1085

Mobile (702) 596-5350

sday@dayattorneys.com

From: Michael Matthis <matthis@mblnv.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2021 2:06 PM
To: Steve Day <sday@dayattorneys.com>

Cc: Mike Lee <mike@mblnv.com>

Subject: WLAB v. TKNR, et al.; A-18-785917-C; Proposed Order

Dear Mr. Day,

Please see the attached proposed order denying Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider and advise if I can affix your e-signature. If not, I have left the proposed order in word and would ask that you track any proposed edits in redline. If we do not receive a response by 3:00 p.m. on Monday, May 24, we will submit absent your signature.

Sincerely,

Mike Matthis, Esq.

matthis@mblnv.com



1820 E. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110, Las Vegas, NV 89104

Main Line: 702.477.7030 Fax: 702.477.0096

CONFIDENTIAL. This e-mail message and the information it contains are intended to be privileged and confidential communications protected from disclosure. Any file(s) or attachment(s) transmitted with it are transmitted based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. Any disclosure, distribution, copying, or use of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail message in error, please notify the sender by e-mail at <a href="maithis@mblnv.com">matthis@mblnv.com</a> and permanently delete this message. Personal messages express only the view of the sender and are not attributable to Michael B. Lee, P.C. IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (a) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (b) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

1	CSERV	
2	CSERV	DISTRICT COLUMN
3	CLA	DISTRICT COURT ARK COUNTY, NEVADA
4		
5		
6	W L A B Investment LLC,	CASE NO: A-18-785917-C
7	Plaintiff(s)	DEPT. NO. Department 14
8	vs.	
9	TKNR Inc, Defendant(s)	
10		
	AUTOMATI	ED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
11		
12 13	Court. The foregoing Order was ser	of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District rved via the court's electronic eFile system to all
14		on the above entitled case as listed below:
	Service Date: 5/25/2021	
15	Brinley Richeson	bricheson@daynance.com
16 17	Steven Day	sday@daynance.com
18	Michael Matthis	matthis@mblnv.com
19	Nikita Burdick	nburdick@burdicklawnv.com
20	Michael Lee	mike@mblnv.com
21	Bradley Marx	brad@marxfirm.com
22	Frank Miao	frankmiao@yahoo.com
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

Electronically Filed 6/8/2021 2:09 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **NOAS** Steven L. Day, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 3708 **DAY & NANCE** 3 1060 Wigwam Parkway Henderson, NV 89074 4 Tel. (702) 309-3333 Fax (702) 309-1085 5 sday@daynance.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff

**DISTRICT COURT** 

# **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

W L A B INVESTMENT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TKNR, INC., a California Corporation, and CHI ON WONG aka CHI KUEN WONG, an individual, and KENNY ZHONG LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG K. LIN aka CHONG KENNY LIN aka ZHONG LIN, an individual, and LIWE HELEN CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, an individual and YAN QIU ZHANG, an individual and INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO REALTY, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MAN CHAU CHENG, an individual, and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, an individual, and INVESTPRO INVESTMENTS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and JOYCE A. NICKDRANDT, an individual and does 1 through 15 and roe

Defendants.

corporation I-XXX,

Case No: A-18-785917-C

Dept No: 14

# **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Plaintiff WLAB INVESTMENT, LLC, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the certain ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER AND JUDGMENT

1	AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PREVIOUS COUNSEL entered in this action on the $25^{ m th}$ day o		
2	May, 2021.		
3	DATED this 8th day of June, 2021.		
4		DAY & NANCE	
5			
6		Creven Day	
7		Steven L. Day, Esq.	
8		Nevada Bar No. 3708 1060 Wigwam Parkway	
9		Henderson, NV 89074 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10			
11	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
12	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), on the 8th day of June, 2021, service of this NOTICE OF		
13	APPEAL made upon each of the parties listed below, via electronic service through the		
14	Eighth Judicial District Court's Odyssey E-File and Serve system:		
15	Michael B. Lee, Esq.	Phone: 702-477-7030	Fax: 702-477-0096
16	Michael Mathis, Esq. Michael B. Lee, P.C.	mike@mblnv.com matthis@mblnv.com	
17	1820 E. Sahara Ave., Suite 110 Las Vegas, NV 89104		
18	Attorneys for Defendants		
19	Benjamin B. Childs, Esq.	Phone: 702-251-0000	Fax: 702-384-1119
20	318 S. Maryland Pkwy. Las Vegas, NV 89101	ben@benchilds.com	
21			
22		Dux Di	
23	An	Employee of Day & Nance	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

**Electronically Filed** 6/8/2021 2:09 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** Case No: A-18-785917-C Dept No: 14 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1 **ASTA** Steven L. Day, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 3708 **DAY & NANCE** 3 1060 Wigwam Parkway Henderson, NV 89074 4 Tel. (702) 309-3333 Fax (702) 309-1085 5 sday@daynance.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff

# DISTRICT COURT

W L A B INVESTMENT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

TKNR, INC., a California Corporation, and CHI ON WONG aka CHI KUEN WONG, an individual, and KENNY ZHONG LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG K. LIN aka CHONG KENNY LIN aka ZHONG LIN, an individual, and LIWE HELEN CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, an individual and YAN QIU ZHANG, an individual and INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO REALTY, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MAN CHAU CHENG, an individual, and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, an individual, and INVESTPRO INVESTMENTS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and INVESTPRO MANAGER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and JOYCE A. NICKDRANDT, an individual and does 1 through 15 and roe corporation I-XXX,

Defendants.

Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: WLAB INVESTMENT, 1.

27 LLC.

- 2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment or order appealed from: Judge Adriana Escobar.
- 3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant: WLAB INVESTMENT, LLC; Steven L. Day, Day & Nance, 1060 Wigwam Parkway, Henderson, NV 89074.
- 4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent: TKNR, INC., a California Corporation, and CHI ON WONG aka CHI KUEN WONG, an individual, and KENNY ZHONG LIN, aka KEN ZHONG LIN aka KENNETH ZHONG LIN aka WHONG K. LIN aka CHONG KENNY LIN aka ZHONG LIN, an individual, and LIWE HELEN CHEN aka HELEN CHEN, an individual and YAN QIU ZHANG, an individual and INVESTPRO LLC dba INVESTPRO REALTY, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MAN CHAU CHENG, an individual, and JOYCE A. NICKRANDT, an individual, and INVESTPRO INVESTMENTS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and JOYCE A. NICKDRANDT; Respondents' appellant counsel unknown; counsel in District Court action was Michael B. Lee, Esq., 1820 East Sahara Ave., Suite 110, Las Vegas, NV 89104.
- 5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appears under SCR 42: all are licensed to practice law in Nevada.
- 6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court: appellant was represented by retained counsel.
- 7. Indicated whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal: retained counsel.

- 8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave: no.
- 9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court: Complaint filed 12/11/18.
- 10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court: The underlying case concerns, among other things, alleged acts of fraud and breach of contract arising out of the sale of real property in Clark County, Nevada.

  Appellant is appealing from an order denying Appellant's Motion for Reconsideration.
- 11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding: yes
  - 12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation: no.
- 13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involved the possibility of settlement: unknown.

DATED this  $8^{th}$  day of June, 2021.

**DAY & NANCE** 

Steven L. Day, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 3708

1060 Wigwam Parkway

Henderson, NV 89074

Tel. (702) 309-3333

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), on the 8th day of June, 2021, service of this CASE APPEAL		
3	STATEMENT made upon each of the parties listed below, via electronic service through the		
4	Eighth Judicial District Court's Odyssey E-File and Serve system:		
5	Michael B. Lee, Esq.	Phone: 702-731-0244 Fax: 702-477-0096	
6	Michael N. Matthis, Esq. Michael B. Lee, P.C.	mike@mblnv.com	
7 8	1820 E. Sahara Ave., Suite 1 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorneys for Defendant		
9		Dl	
10	Benjamin B. Childs, Esq. 318 S. Maryland Pkwy.	Phone: 702-251-0000 Fax: 702-384-1119 ben@benchilds.com	
11	Las Vegas, NV 89101		
12			
13		An Employee of Day & Nance	
14			
15			
16 17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			