#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

#### **INDICATE FULL CAPTION:**

WYETH RANCH COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION,

Appellant,

vs.

MARCHAI B.T., A NEVADA BUINESS TRUST,

Respondent.

No. 83069

Jul 14 2021 05:01 p.m. DOCKETING Stizethetheth Brown CIVIL A Deck DS Supreme Court

**Electronically Filed** 

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

#### WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See* <u>KDI Sylvan</u> <u>Pools v. Workman</u>, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District Eighth	Department XI
County Clark	Judge The Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez
District Ct. Case No. <u>A-13-689461-C</u>	
2. Attorney filing this docketing state	ement:
Attorney David T. Ochoa	Telephone <u>702-382-1500</u>
Firm Lipson Neilson P.C.	
Address 9900 Covington Cross Dr. Suite Las Vegas, NV 89144	120
Client(s) Wyeth Ranch Community Assoc	ciation
	, add the names and addresses of other counsel and accompanied by a certification that they concur in the
3. Attorney(s) representing responde	nts(s):
Attorney David J. Merrill	Telephone <u>702-566-1935</u>
Firm David J. Merrill, P.C.	
Address 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 15	50
Las Vegas, NV 89145	
Client(s) Marchai, B.T.	
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address	
Client(s)	

#### 4. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):

Dismissal:
$\Box$ Lack of jurisdiction
☐ Failure to state a claim
Failure to prosecute
□ Other (specify):
Divorce Decree:
$\Box$ Original $\Box$ Modification
□ Other disposition (specify):

#### 5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following?

- $\square$  Child Custody
- □ Venue
- $\Box$  Termination of parental rights

**6. Pending and prior proceedings in this court.** List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal:

Prior Appeal 74416 Pending Appeal 82771 Pending Appeal 83175

**7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts.** List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (*e.g.*, bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

none

#### 8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

The case involves an HOA foreclosure on its assessment lien, with SFR being the purchaser at a foreclosure and Marchai B.T. being the lender with an interest in a deed of trust. The district court previously granted Marchai B.T. summary judgment against SFR, which SFR successfully appealed in case no 74416. This Court remanded the prior decision on the limited issue of the prior homeowners partial payments and the potential impact on the superpriority portion of the HOA's lien, pursuant to 9352 Cranesbill Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, 136 Nev. Adv. Op. 8 (Mar. 5, 2020).

After remand and before trial, Wyeth Ranch served an Offer of Judgment on Marchai B.T. At trial Marchai B.T failed to prevail on any of its remaining claims against Wyeth Ranch. Wyeth Ranch sought attorney's fees on the offer of judgment, which were denied.

**9. Issues on appeal.** State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

The district court abused its discretion in denying attorneys fees to Wyeth Ranch based on the rejected offer of judgment. The district court did not properly assess relevant factors, inappropriately weighed competing testimony as a factor in denying fees, and incorrectly found Marchai's claims against SFR prevented Marchai from accepting the offer of judgment.

**10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues.** If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

none

**11.** Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

- $\boxtimes$  N/A
- □ Yes
- □ No
- If not, explain:

**12. Other issues.** Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?

- Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
- An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
- □ A substantial issue of first impression
- $\overline{|X|}$  An issue of public policy

An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions

 $\square$  A ballot question

If so, explain: The purpose of an offer of Judgment is to encourage the settlement of lawsuits before trial." Morgan v. Demille, 106 Nev. 671, 799 P.2d 561 (1990). Potentially costly consequences of rejecting an offer of judgment make a party carefully consider the offer as compared to the claim. Nava v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 118 Nev. 396, 398, 46 P.3d 60, 61, 2002. When descrition is abused in not awarding fees and costs after a rejected offer of judgment, the public policy behind having the rule is impacted.

**13.** Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

For the reasons stated above this matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court under NRAP 17(a)(12) as a matter raising a principal question of statewide public importance in the application and review of offers of judgment.

**14. Trial.** If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? 1

Was it a bench or jury trial? bench

**15. Judicial Disqualification.** Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

no

#### TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

#### 16. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from 5/24/2021

If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review:

#### 17. Date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served 6/02/21

Was service by:

 $\square$  Delivery

⊠ Mail/electronic/fax

## 18. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion (NRCP 50(b), 52(b), or 59)

(a) Specify the type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and the date of filing.

□ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 59	Date of filing

NOTE: Motions made pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the time for filing a notice of appeal. *See <u>AA Primo Builders v. Washington</u>, 126 Nev. \_\_\_\_, 245 P.3d 1190 (2010).* 

(b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion

(c) Date written notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served

Was service by:

 $\square$  Delivery

□ Mail

#### **19. Date notice of appeal filed** 6/11/21

If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:

## 20. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, *e.g.*, NRAP 4(a) or other

NRAP 4(a)

#### SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

## 21. Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:

(a)

⊠ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	□ NRS 38.205	
□ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	□ NRS 233B.150	
□ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	□ NRS 703.376	
$\Box$ Other (specify)		

(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:

This appeal is taken from order denying attorney's fees after a bench trial disposing of all claims.

#### 22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties:

Marchai, B.T; SFR Investment Pool 1, LLC; Wyeth Ranch Community Association; Crystela Perez; U.S. Bank; Alessi & Koening, LLC

(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, *e.g.*, formally dismissed, not served, or other:

For Appeal 1 (74416) - all claims were disposed of, This Court remanded for a Cranesbill analysis as to homeowner payment. On remand the only parties remaining were Marchai, SFR, and Wyeth Ranch.

# 23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.

Plaintiff's Declaratory Relief/Quiet Title Claim against SFR - granted, SFR takes subject to based on Cranesbill: March 8, 2021.

Plaintiff's claims against Wyeth Ranch for Wrongful Foreclosure, bad Faith, brach of NRS 116.1113, and Intentional Intereference with contract were all dismissed: March 8, 2021

# 24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?

- $\boxtimes$  Yes
- □ No

#### 25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:

(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:

(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?

□ Yes

 $\square$  No

(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?

□ Yes

 $\square$  No

26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (*e.g.*, order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):

#### 27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- Any other order challenged on appeal
- Notices of entry for each attached order

#### VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Wyeth Ranch Community Association Name of appellant David T. Ochoa Name of counsel of record

7/14/2021 Date /s/ David T. Ochoa Signature of counsel of record

Clark County, Nevada State and county where signed

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the <u>14th</u> day of <u>July</u> , <u>2021</u>, I served a copy of this

completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record:

 $\square$  By personally serving it upon him/her; or

□ By mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es): (NOTE: If all names and addresses cannot fit below, please list names below and attach a separate sheet with the addresses.)

By electronic notification to:

David Merrill Jacqueline Gilbert

Dated this 14th

day of July

, 2021

/s/ David Ochoa Signature

# "EXHIBIT 1"

"EXHIBIT 1"

## DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET A-16-742327-C


County, Nevada

\/\//

	Case No.	XXXI	
	(Assigned by Clerk's	s Office)	
I. Party Information (provide both ho	me and mailing addresses if different)		
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		Defendant(s) (name/address/phone):	
Marchai, B	B.T.	SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC	
117 North F	Fuller	5030 Paradise Road, Suite B-214	
Los Angeles, C	A 90036	Las Vegas, NV 89119	
Attorney (name/address/phone):		Attorney (name/address/phone):	
David J. Merr	ill. P.C.	Kim Gilbert Ebron	
10161 Park Run Dri	·	7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110	
Las Vegas, N	-	Las Vegas, NV 89139	
(702) 566-1		(702) 485-3300	
<b>II. Nature of Controversy</b> (please see Civil Case Filing Types	rect the one most applicable juing type	<u>' Delow)</u>	
Real Property		 Torts	
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence	Other Torts	
Unlawful Detainer	Auto	Product Liability	
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability	Intentional Misconduct	
Title to Property	Other Negligence	Employment Tort	
Judicial Foreclosure	Malpractice	Insurance Tort	
Other Title to Property	Medical/Dental	Other Tort	
Other Real Property	Legal		
Condemnation/Eminent Domain			
Other Real Property	Other Malpractice		
Probate	Construction Defect & Cont	ract Judicial Review/Appeal	
<b>Probate</b> (select case type and estate value)	Construction Defect	Judicial Review	
Summary Administration	Chapter 40	Foreclosure Mediation Case	
General Administration	Other Construction Defect	Petition to Seal Records	
Special Administration	Contract Case	Mental Competency	
Set Aside	Uniform Commercial Code	Nevada State Agency Appeal	
Trust/Conservatorship	Building and Construction	Department of Motor Vehicle	
Other Probate	Insurance Carrier	Worker's Compensation	
Estate Value	Commercial Instrument	Other Nevada State Agency	
Over \$200,000	Collection of Accounts	Appeal Other	
Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Employment Contract	Appeal from Lower Court	
Under \$100,000 or Unknown	Other Contract	Other Judicial Review/Appeal	
Under \$2,500			

**Civil Writ** 

**Other Civil Filing** 



August 25, 2016 Date

Signature of initiating party or representative

See other side for family-related case filings.

Nevada AOC - Research Statistics Unit Pursuant to NRS 3.275

Electronically Filed 08/25/2016 01:23:18 PM

٩, • 0 A .

I		08/25/2016 01:23:18 PM
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMP DAVID J. MERRILL Nevada Bar No. 6060 DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 566-1935 Facsimile: (702) 993-8841 E-mail: david@djmerrillpc.com Attorney for MARCHAI, B.T.	LERK OF THE COURT
9		
10		CT COURT
11		NTY, NEVADA
12	MARCHAI, B.T., a Nevada business trust,	
13	Plaintiff,	) Case No.: A-16-742327-C ) Dept. No.
14	vs.	) XXXI
15	SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a	) EXEMPT FROM ) ARBITRATION: ACTION
16	Nevada limited liability company; WYETH RANCH COMMUNITY	) CONCERNING TITLE TO ) REAL ESTATE
17	ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; ALESSI & KOENIG, LLC,	
18	a Nevada limited liability company;	
19	DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and ROES 1 through 10, inclusive.	
20	Defendants.	<pre>{</pre>
21	 	) ΡΙ.ΔΙΝΎΓ
22	<u>COMPLAINT</u> Marchai, B.T., a Nevada business trust, alleges as follows:	
23		
24		ss trust authorized to transact business in
25	the State of Nevada.	ananty logated in the Cliter of I as Marsa
26	-	operty located in the City of Las Vegas,
27	County of Clark, State of Nevada. The pr	operty is commonly known as (119 Wolf
28		
		1

•

Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131, Clark County Assessor's Parcel Number
 125-15-811-013.

3 3. Marchai is informed and believes that SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC is
4 a Nevada limited liability company, which has an interest in the property by reason
5 of the recording of a trustee's deed upon sale and is the record owner of the
6 property.

7 4. Marchai is informed and believes that Wyeth Ranch Community
8 Association is a Nevada non-profit corporation doing business in Clark County,
9 Nevada.

5. Marchai is informed and believes that Alessi & Koenig, LLC is a
Nevada limited liability company doing business in Clark County, Nevada.

12Marchai is unaware of the true names and capacities of individual 6. 13defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and corporations, partnerships, or other business entities sued herein as ROES 1 through 10, 14 15inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Marchai is informed and believes that defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 10 and 16 17 ROES 1 through 10 have, or may claim to have, some right, title, or interest in and 18 to the property, the exact nature of which is unknown to Marchai and Marchai will 19 seek leave to amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when 20and as ascertained, and will further ask leave to join said defendants in these  $\mathbf{21}$ proceedings.

22 7. On or about October 19, 2005, for valuable consideration, Cristela

Perez made, executed, and delivered to CMG Mortgage, Inc. that certain
InterestFirst Adjustable Rate Note dated October 19, 2005 evidencing a loan to
Perez in the original principal amount of \$442,000.00.
8. To secure payment of the principal sum and interest provided in the
note, as part of the same transaction, Perez executed and delivered to CMG
Mortgage, as beneficiary, a Deed of Trust dated October 19, 2005. The Deed of Trust

was recorded in book number 20051109 as instrument number 0001385 in the
 Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office on November 9, 2005.

- 9. On November 5, 2007, Complete Association Management Company
  recorded on behalf of Wyeth Ranch a Notice of Delinquent Violation Lien as
  Document No. 20071105-0000341 in which Wyeth Ranch claimed a lien for unpaid
  violations in the amount of \$1,400.00.
- 7 10. Marchai is informed and believes that Perez failed to timely pay Wyeth
  8 Ranch association dues on January 1, April 1, or July 1, 2008.
- 9 11. On October 8, 2008, the Clark County Recorder recorded a Notice of
  10 Delinquent Assessment (Lien) as Document No. 200810080003311, which Alessi &
  11 Koenig executed as agent for Wyeth Ranch. According to the notice, as of September
  12 30, 2008, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$1,425.17.

12. On January 5, 2009, Alessi & Koenig, on behalf of Wyeth Ranch,
recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Document No. 20090105-0002988 a
Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien.
According to the notice of default, as of December 17, 2008, Perez owed Wyeth
Ranch \$3,096.46.

18 13. On January 14, 2010, Alessi & Koenig, on behalf of Wyeth Ranch,
19 recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Document No. 201001140002589 a
20 Notice of Trustee's Sale. According to the notice of sale, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch
21 \$6,964.25 in unpaid assessments. The notice set a sale for February 17, 2010.
22 14. Marchai is informed and believes that between February 2010 and

March 2011, Perez paid Wyeth Ranch \$2,005.00 in association dues.
15. On March 9, 2011, Alessi & Koenig, on behalf of Wyeth Ranch,
recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Document No. 201103090001741 a
Rescission of Notice Trustee's Sale, in which Wyeth Ranch rescinded the January
14, 2010, notice of sale.

1 16. On March 29, 2011, Alessi & Koenig, on behalf of Wyeth Ranch,
 2 recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Document No. 201103290002937 a
 3 Notice of Trustee's Sale. According to the notice of sale, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch
 4 \$7,306.62 in unpaid assessments. The notice set a sale for May 8, 2011.

5 17. Marchai is informed and believes that on August 4, 2011, Perez paid
6 Wyeth Ranch another \$165.00.

18. Marchai is informed and believes that on October 1, 2011, Perez
defaulted under the terms of her loan from CMG Mortgage in that Perez failed to
make the regular monthly installment payment on that date in the approximate
amount of \$2,657.39, and all subsequent payments.

11 19. On December 20, 2011, Alessi & Koenig, on behalf of Wyeth Ranch,
12 recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Document No. 201112200001246 a
13 Notice of Delinquent Assessment (Lien). According to the notice, Perez owed Wyeth
14 Ranch \$9,296.56.

15 20. On February 28, 2012, Alessi & Koenig, on behalf of Wyeth Ranch,
16 recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Document No. 201202280000836 a
17 Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien.
18 According to the notice of default, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$10,625.06 in unpaid
19 assessments.

20 21. Marchai is informed and believes that between March and May 2012,
21 Perez paid Wyeth Ranch another \$595.00.

22 On June 5, 2012, a Corporate Assignment of Deed of Trust was

23 recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Document 201206050003133 that
24 evidences an assignment of the deed of trust from CMG Mortgage, Inc. to
25 CitiMortgage, Inc.
26 23. Marchai is informed and believes that on July 26, 2012, Perez made a
27 \$165.00 payment to Wyeth Ranch.
28

1	24. On July 26, 2012, an Assignment of Mortgage was recorded with the
2	Clark County Recorder as Document 201207260002017 that evidences an
3	assignment of the deed of trust from CitiMortgage to U.S. Bank, N.A. as Trustee for
4	the Stanwich Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2012-6.
5	25. On October 31, 2012, Alessi & Koenig, on behalf of Wyeth Ranch,
6	recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Document No. 201210310000686 a
7	Notice of Trustee's Sale. According to the notice of sale, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch
8	\$11,656.07. The notice set a sale for November 28, 2012.
9	26. Marchai is informed and believes that on November 13, 2012, Perez
10	made a \$300.00 payment to Wyeth Ranch.
11	27. On March 12, 2013, U.S. Bank, as trustee of the Stanwich Trust,
12	assigned the deed of trust to Marchai.
13	28. On July 31, 2013, Alessi & Koenig, on behalf of Wyeth Ranch, recorded
14	with the Clark County Recorder as Document 201307310001002 another Notice of
15	Trustee's Sale. According to the notice of sale, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$14,090.80.
16	The notice set a sale for August 28, 2013.
17	29. On August 12, 2013, an Assignment of Deed of Trust was recorded
18	with the Clark County Recorder as Document No. 201308120002562 that evidences
19	the assignment of the deed of trust from U.S. Bank, as trustee of the Stanwich
20	Trust, to Marchai.
21	30. On September 9, 2013, the Clark County Recorder recorded a Trustee's
22	Deed Upon Sale as Document No. 201309090001816 that Alessi & Koenig executed.

23	According to the trustee's deed, SFR acquired Alessi & Koenig's "right, title, and
24	interest" in the property for \$21,000.00 at a sale conducted on August 28, 2013.
25	31. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch wrongfully foreclosed against the
26	property in reliance upon NRS §§ 116.3116 et seq. (the "Statute").
27	
28	
	5

32. The purported foreclosure sale under the Statute did not extinguish
 Marchai's deed of trust, which continues to constitute a valid encumbrance against
 the property.

33. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch failed to give constitutionally
adequate notice to Marchai of Wyeth Ranch's lien as required by the Supreme Court
in *Mennonite Bd. of Missions v. Adams*, 462 U.S. 791 (1983), given that the Statute
on its face violated Marchai's rights to due process secured by the United States and
Nevada Constitutions.

9 34. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch failed to give constitutionally
10 adequate notice to Marchai of Wyeth Ranch's notice of default.

35. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch failed to give constitutionally
adequate notice to Marchai of the notice of sale.

36. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch failed to identify any superpriority
amount claimed by Wyeth Ranch and failed to describe the "deficiency in payment"
required by NRS § 116.31162(1)(b)(1) in the notice of default.

16 37. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch failed to provide notice of any
17 purported superpriority lien amount or the consequences for the failure to pay any
18 purported superpriority lien amount.

38. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch failed to identify the amount of the
alleged lien that was for late fees, interest, fines/violations, or collection fees/costs.

39. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch failed to identify if Wyeth Ranch
intended to foreclose upon the superpriority portion of its lien, if any, or on the sub-

priority portion of its lien.
40. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch failed to specify in any of the
recorded documents that Wyeth Ranch's foreclosure would extinguish Marchai's
interest in the property.
41. Alessi & Koenig and Wyeth Ranch failed to market, sell, or auction the
property for in a commercially reasonable manner.

42. SFR purports to have purchased the property at the August 28, 2013,
2 foreclosure sale for \$21,000.00.

3 43. The property has an approximate fair market value well in excess of
4 the \$21,000.00 purchase price.

5 44. The sale and purchase of the property was unconscionable and
6 commercially unreasonable.

7 45. Neither Alessi & Koenig, nor Wyeth Ranch, nor the Statute gave fair
8 notice to Marchai that the nonjudicial foreclosure of Wyeth Ranch's lien could
9 extinguish Marchai's interest in the property as required by the Due Process
10 clauses of both the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of
11 Nevada.

12 46. To date, the note remains unpaid, and no document has been recorded
13 on the property expressly releasing Marchai's deed of trust.

14 47.

47. SFR had actual or record notice of Marchai's interest in the property.

48. At the time of Wyeth Ranch's foreclosure, Perez had paid more than
nine months of association dues following Wyeth Ranch's "institution of an action to
enforce the lien," which satisfied any superpriority portion of Wyeth Ranch's lien.
Thus, to the extent SFR acquired any interest in the property, it did so subject to
Marchai's deed of trust.

49. At the time of Wyeth Ranch's foreclosure, Wyeth Ranch's lien, or a
portion thereof, including the superpriority portion, had expired. Thus, to the extent
SFR acquired anything it acquired the property subject to Marchai's deed of trust.

23 24 25	<u>First Claim for Relief</u> (Declaratory Relief Under Amendment V to the United States Constitution—Takings Clause—Against SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi & Koenig)
26	50. Marchai repeats and realleges each of the paragraphs set forth above.
20 27	51. The purported foreclosure pursuant to the Statute effected a
27 28	regulatory taking of Marchai's secured interest in the property without just
	7

compensation, in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the United States
 Constitution.

52. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Marchai and SFR,
Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi & Koenig regarding the purported foreclosure sale and the
rights associated with the foreclosure sale.

6 53. Without declaratory relief, an interpretation of the Statute and an
7 interpretation of the constitutional validity of the Statute, Marchai's rights and
8 secured interest in the property will be adversely affected.

9 54. Based upon the foregoing, Marchai requests an order declaring that
10 the purported foreclosure sale under the Statute did not extinguish Marchai's deed
11 of trust, which continues to be a valid encumbrance against the property.

12 55. Based upon the foregoing, Marchai requests an order declaring that
13 the purported foreclosure sale be voided and set aside because the foreclosure
14 pursuant to the Statute effected a regulatory taking of Marchai's secured interest in
15 the Property without just compensation, in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the
16 United States Constitution.

17 56. Marchai has been damaged by SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi &
18 Koenig's conduct as specified herein in an amount to be proven at trial.

19 57. Marchai has been required to engage the services of an attorney to
20 protect its interests in the property and is entitled to recover its reasonable
21 attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.

22

Second Claim for Relief

23 24	(Declaratory Relief under the Due Process Clauses of the United States and Nevada Constitutions—Against SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi & Koenig)
24 25	58. Marchai repeats and realleges each of the paragraphs set forth above.
26 26	59. The Statute on its face violates Marchai's constitutional rights, in
27	particular those rights to due process secured by both the United States and
21	Nevada Constitutions and is thus void and unenforceable.
	8

60. Any purported notice provided was inadequate, insufficient, and in
 violation of Marchai's rights to due process as it failed to provide fair notice as
 required by the due process clauses of both the United States and Nevada
 Constitutions.

61. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Marchai and SFR,
6 Alessi & Koenig, and Wyeth Ranch regarding the purported foreclosure sale and the
7 rights associated with the foreclosure sale.

8 62. Without declaratory relief, an interpretation of the Statute, and an
9 interpretation of the constitutional validity of the Statute, Marchai's rights and
10 secured interest in the property will be adversely affected.

11 63. Based upon the foregoing, Marchai requests an order declaring that
12 the purported foreclosure sale under the Statute did not extinguish Marchai's deed
13 of trust, which continues to be a valid encumbrance against the Property.

64. Based upon the foregoing, Marchai requests an order declaring that
the purported foreclosure sale be voided and set aside because the Statute on its
face violates Marchai's due process under both the United States and Nevada
Constitutions.

18 65. Marchai has been damaged by SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi &
19 Koenig's conduct as specified herein in an amount to be proven at trial.

20 66. Marchai has been required to engage the services of an attorney to
21 protect its interests in the property and is entitled to recover its reasonable
22 attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.

23	<u>Third Claim for Relief</u>
24	(Wrongful Foreclosure—Against SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi & Koenig)
25	67. Marchai repeats and realleges each of the paragraphs set forth above.
26	68. SFR wrongfully purported to purchase Marchai's property in violation
27	of the Statute and common law.
28	
	9

1 69. The foreclosure sale was wrongful because the foreclosure itself was
2 contrary to law, in that:

3 (a) The Statute on its face violates Marchai's constitutional rights,
4 in particular Marchai's rights to due process under both the Nevada and United
5 States Constitutions.

(b) The purported foreclosure pursuant to the Statute effected a
regulatory taking of Marchai's secured interest in the property without just
compensation in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the United States
Constitution.

(c) Any purported notice provided was also inadequate, insufficient,
 and in violation of Marchai's rights to due process under both the United States and
 Nevada Constitutions.

13 (d) The lien, or a portion thereof, had expired by the time of the
14 foreclosure.

(e) Perez paid more than nine months of association dues following
Wyeth Ranch's institution of an action to enforce its lien.

70. SFR is not a bona fide purchaser of the Property.

17

18 71. SFR's \$21,000.00 purchase price for the property was unconscionable.

19 72. The sale and purchase of the property was not commercially20 reasonable.

21 73. Based upon the foregoing, Marchai requests an order declaring that
22 the purported foreclosure sale did not extinguish Marchai's deed of trust, which

23	continues as a valid encumbrance against the property.
24	74. Based upon the foregoing, Marchai requests an order declaring that
25	the purported foreclosure sale be voided and set aside because SFR is not a bona
26	fide purchaser of the property.
27	
28	
	10

1	75. Based upon the foregoing, Marchai requests an order setting aside the	
2	purported foreclosure sale as void because SFR's \$21,000.00 purchase price for the	
3	property was not commercially reasonable.	
4	76. Based upon the foregoing, Marchai requests an order declaring that	
5	the purported foreclosure sale be voided and set aside because SFR's \$21,000.00	
6	purchase price for the property was unconscionable.	
7	77. Marchai has been damaged by SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi &	
8	Koenig's conduct as specified herein in an amount to be proven at trial.	
9	78. Marchai has been required to engage the services of an attorney to	
10	protect its interests in the property and is entitled to recover its reasonable	
11	attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.	
12 13	<u>Fourth Claim for Relief</u> (Violation of NRS § 116.1113 <i>et seq.</i> —Against Wyeth Ranch and Alessi & Koenig)	
14	79. Marchai repeats and realleges each of the paragraphs set forth above.	
15	80. Wyeth Ranch and Alessi & Koenig wrongfully foreclosed upon the	
16	property in violation of the Statute.	
17	81. Given the above-enumerated violations of the Statute, Marchai asserts	
18	that Wyeth Ranch's purported sale of the property be voided and set aside and	
19	requests any and all damages flowing from these violations.	
20	Fifth Claim for Relief	
21	(Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations against SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi & Koenig)	
22	82. Marchai repeats and realleges each of the paragraphs set forth above.	

83. Marchai had a valid contract with Perez as evidenced by the note and
deed of trust, which included as part of the benefit of the bargain a first priority
secured interest in the property.
84. SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi & Koenig knew or should have known
of the contract between Marchai and Perez.

SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi & Koenig knowingly interfered with the
 contract between Marchai and Perez by failing to market, sell, or auction the
 property for a commercially reasonable or fair market value, thus evidencing intent
 to harm Marchai.

5 86. SFR knowingly interfered with the contract between Marchai and
6 Perez by wrongfully obtaining possession of the property for an unconscionable and
7 commercially unreasonable amount, thus evidencing intent to harm Marchai.

8 87. SFR knowingly interfered with the contract between Marchai and
9 Perez by wrongfully obtaining possession of the property and attempting to
10 extinguish Marchai's security interest in the Property.

11 88. SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi & Koenig all lacked justification for
12 these interferences, because of the many infirmities described within this amended
13 complaint, including:

14 (a) The Statute on its face violates Marchai's constitutional rights,
15 in particular Marchai's rights to due process under both the Nevada and United
16 States Constitutions.

17 (b) The purported foreclosure pursuant to the Statute effected a
18 regulatory taking of Marchai's secured interest in the Property without just
19 compensation in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the United States
20 Constitution.

(c) Any purported notice provided was also inadequate, insufficient,
and in violation of Marchai's rights to due process under both the United States and

23 Nevada Constitutions.

28


- (d) The lien, or a portion thereof, had expired by the time of the
  foreclosure.
- 26 (e) Perez paid more than nine months of association dues following
- 27 Wyeth Ranch's institution of an action to enforce its lien.

12

1	89. Marchai has been damaged by SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi &
2	Koenig's conduct as specified herein in an amount to be proven at trial.
3	90. Marchai has been required to engage the services of an attorney to
4	protect its interests in the property and is entitled to recover its reasonable
5	attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.
6	Sixth Claim for Relief
7	(Quiet Title—Against SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi & Koenig)
8	91. Marchai repeats and realleges each of the paragraphs set forth above.
9	92. For all of the independent reasons cited above in Claims 2 through 6,
10	Wyeth Ranch's sale did not extinguish Marchai's senior deed of trust.
11	93. For all of the independent reasons cited above in Claims 2 through 6,
12	Marchai requests an order declaring that the purported foreclosure sale did not
12	extinguish Marchai's deed of trust, which continues as a valid encumbrance against
14	the Property.
14	94. For all of the independent reasons cited above in Claims 2 through 6,
16	Marchai requests an order declaring that the purported foreclosure sale be voided
17	and set aside because SFR is not a bona fide purchaser of the Property.
18	95. For all of the independent reasons cited above in Claims 2 through 6,
19	Marchai requests an order setting aside Wyeth Ranch's sale as void because SFR's
20	payment of \$21,000.00 as a purchase price for the property was not commercially
20 21	reasonable and the sale was not conducted in a commercially reasonable manner.
22	96. For all of the independent reasons cited above in Claims 2 through 6,

```
Marchai requests an order declaring that the purported foreclosure sale be voided
23
    and set aside because SFR's $21,000.00 purchase price for the property was
24
    unconscionable.
25
                 Marchai has been damaged by SFR, Wyeth Ranch, and Alessi &
          97.
26
    Koenig's conduct as specified herein in an amount to be proven at trial.
27
28
                                            13
```

1	98.	Marchai has been required to engage the services of an attorney to	
2	protect its i	nterests in the property and is entitled to recover its reasonable	
3	attorney's f	ees and costs incurred in connection with this action.	
4	99.	Accordingly, Marchai requests that title be quieted in its name and its	
5	deed of trust continue as a valid encumbrance against the Property.		
6	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
7	WHI	EREFORE, Marchai prays for relief as follows:	
8	A.	For a declaration by the Court that Marchai holds a valid interest in	
9	the propert	y under the note and deed of trust, and that SFR acquired the property	
10	subject to Marchai's interest;		
11	B.	That title in the Property be quieted in Marchai;	
12	C.	That Wyeth Ranch's purported foreclosure sale be declared void and	
13	set aside;		
14	D.	For judgment in an amount proven at trial in excess of \$10,000.00;	
15	E.	For an award of interest, costs, and attorneys' fees; and	
16	F.	For any further relief the Court deems just and proper.	
17	DATED this 25th day of August 2016.		
18		DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C.	
19			
20		By: Dation	
21		DAVID J. MERRILL Nevada Bar No. 6060	
22		10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Les Voges Novede 89145	



# "EXHIBIT 2"

# "EXHIBIT 2"

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

Clark County, Nevada

# A-13-689461-C XXVI

Case	No.
Cube	± 10.

(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

I. Party Information		-	
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		Defendant(s) (name/add	tress/phone):
MARCHI B.T.		CRISTELA PEREZ, ET. AL.	
Attorney (name/address/phone): Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq. (NV Bar 1168 Law Offices of Les Zieve 3753 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel: (702) 948-856 Fax: (702) 446-989	,	Attorney (name/address	/phone):
<b>II. Nature of Controversy</b> (Please cl applicable subcategory, if appropriate)	neck applicable bold	category and	Arbitration Requested
	Civ	il Cases	
Real Property		Т	orts
<ul> <li>□ Landlord/Tenant</li> <li>□ Unlawful Detainer</li> <li>➢ Title to Property</li> <li>○ Foreclosure</li> <li>□ Liens</li> <li>□ Quiet Title</li> <li>□ Specific Performance</li> <li>□ Condemnation/Eminent Domain</li> <li>□ Other Real Property</li> <li>□ Partition</li> <li>□ Planning/Zoning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Negligence – Au</li> <li>Negligence – Me</li> <li>Negligence – Pro</li> </ul>	edical/Dental emises Liability (Slip/Fall)	<ul> <li>Product Liability</li> <li>Product Liability/Motor Vehicle</li> <li>Other Torts/Product Liability</li> <li>Intentional Misconduct</li> <li>Torts/Defamation (Libel/Slander)</li> <li>Interfere with Contract Rights</li> <li>Employment Torts (Wrongful termination)</li> <li>Other Torts</li> <li>Anti-trust</li> <li>Fraud/Misrepresentation</li> <li>Insurance</li> <li>Legal Tort</li> <li>Unfair Competition</li> </ul>
Probate	Other Civil		Filing Types
Estimated Estate Value: Summary Administration General Administration Special Administration Set Aside Estates Trust/Conservatorships Individual Trustee Corporate Trustee Other Probate	Insurance      Commercial      Other Commercial      Collection      Employme      Guarantee      Sale Contr	) ract & Construction Carrier al Instrument tracts/Acct/Judgment of Actions ent Contract ract commercial Code r Judicial Review	<ul> <li>Appeal from Lower Court (also check applicable civil case box)</li> <li>Transfer from Justice Court</li> <li>Justice Court Civil Appeal</li> <li>Civil Writ</li> <li>Other Special Proceeding</li> <li>Other Civil Filing</li> <li>Compromise of Minor's Claim</li> <li>Conversion of Property</li> <li>Damage to Property</li> <li>Employment Security</li> <li>Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>Foreign Judgment – Civil</li> <li>Other Personal Property</li> </ul>

Other Administrative Law
 Department of Motor Vehicles
 Worker's Compensation Appeal

Other Personal Property
 Recovery of Property
 Stockholder Suit
 Other Civil Matters

III. Business Court Requested (Please check applicable category; for Clark or Washoe Counties only.)

NRS Chapters 78-88
Commodities (NRS 90)
Securities (NRS 90)

September 30, 2013

Date

Investments (NRS 104 Art. 8)
 Deceptive Trade Practices (NRS 598)
 Trademarks (NRS 600A)

Enhanced Case Mgmt/Business
 Other Business Court Matters

/s/ Benjamin D. Petiprin

Signature of initiating party or representative

Nevada AOC - Research and Statistics Unit

Form PA 201 Rev. 2.5E

**Electronically Filed** 09/30/2013 02:50:22 PM

	•
Alum	p. Ehrin

CLERK OF THE COURT
DISTRICT COURT
NTY, NEVADA
A- 13- 689461- case no.:
DEPT. NO.: XXVI COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST
Exempt from Arbitration Action Involves Real Property

Plaintiff is, and at all times herein mentioned, a Bank Trust duly authorized to 1. transact business in the State of Nevada.

2. This action concerns real property located in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and is legally described as set forth in Exhibit "1" attached hereto, and incorporated herein by this reference. The property is commonly known as: 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89131 (the "Subject Property"), Clark County Assessor's Parcel Number 125-15-811-013.

COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST -1-

3. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Cristela Perez ("Borrower") is an individual, residing in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada and has an ownership interest in or to the Subject Property by reason of a deed of trust.

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes that SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("SFR Investments") is a limited liability company, and has an interest in the Subject Property or some part of it by reason of a trustee's deed upon sale and is the record owner of the Subject Property.

5. Plaintiff is informed and believes that U.S. Bank National Association, N.D. ("US Bank") is a national association, and has an interest in the Subject Property or some part of it by reason of a junior lien, which interest is subsequent to that of Plaintiff.

6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of individual defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and corporations, partnerships or other business entities sued herein as ROES 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes that defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 10 and ROES 1 through 10 have, or may claim to have, some right, title or interest in and to the Subject Property, the exact nature of which is unknown to Plaintiff and Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint ("Complaint") to allege their true names and capacities when and as ascertained, and will further ask leave to join said defendants in these proceedings.

7. On or about October 19, 2005, for valuable consideration, the Borrower made,
executed and delivered to CMG Mortgage, Inc. ("CMG Mortgage") that certain InterestFirst
Adjustable Rate Note dated October 19, 2005 (the "Note") evidencing a loan to the Borrower in
the original principal amount of \$442,000.00 ("Loan"). A copy of the Note is attached hereto as
Exhibit "2" and incorporated herein by this reference.

8. To secure payment of the principal sum and interest provided in the Note, as part

of the same transaction, Borrower executed and delivered to CMG Mortgage, as beneficiary, a
 Deed of Trust (hereinafter the "Deed of Trust") dated October 19, 2005. A true and correct copy
 of the Deed of Trust is attached hereto as Exhibit "3" and incorporated herein by this reference.
 The Deed of Trust was recorded in book number 20051109 as instrument number 0001385 in the
 COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST -2-

Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office ("Official Records") on November 9, 2005.

1

2

9. The Deed of Trust was then assigned to CitiMortgage, Inc. by that certain 3 Corporate Assignment of Deed of Trust ("Assignment") recorded in book number 20120605 and 4 instrument number 0003133 in the Official Records on June 5, 2012. The Deed of Trust was 5 subsequently assigned to U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee for Stanwich Mortgage 6 Loan Trust, Series 2012-6 by that certain Assignment of Mortgage (Assignment 2") recorded in 7 book number 20120726 as instrument number 0002017 in the Official Records on July 26, 2012. 8 9 The Deed of Trust was then assigned to Plaintiff by that certain Assignment of Deed of Trust ("Assignment 3") recorded in book number 20130812 as instrument number 0002562 in the 10 Official Records on August 12, 2013. True and correct copies of the Assignment, Assignment 2 11 and Assignment 3 are attached hereto as Exhibit "4" and incorporated herein by this reference. 12

10. On or about January 30, 2006, defendant US Bank funded a loan to Borrower in
the original principal sum of \$100,000.00. The loan was, and is evidenced by a Deed of Trust
("Junior Deed of Trust") recorded in book number 20060406 as instrument number 0004914 of
the Official Records. A true and correct copy of the Junior Deed of Trust is attached hereto as **Exhibit "5"** and incorporated herein by this reference.

18 11. Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Association ("HOA") recorded multiple Notice of
19 Delinquent Assessment Liens, Notice of Defaults, and Notice of Trustees Sales between
20 November 5, 2007 and October 31, 2012. Most recently, HOA recorded that certain Notice of
21 Trustee's Sale in book number 20130731 as instrument number 0001002 of the Official Records
22 on July 31, 2013. The trustee's sale was held on August 28, 2013 at 2:00 P.M.

23 12. Defendant SFR Investments purchased the Subject Property at the trustee's sale

24	for the amount of \$21,000.00, as referenced in that certain Trustee's Deed Upon Sale ("TDUS")
25	recorded in book number 20130909 as instrument number 0001816 of the Official Records. A
26	true and correct copy of the TDUS is attached hereto as Exhibit "6" and incorporated herein by
27	this reference.
28	
	COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST -3-

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes that on October 1, 2011 a default occurred under the terms of the Note, in that the Borrower failed to make the regular monthly installment payment due on that date and all subsequent payments in the approximate amount of \$2,657.39.

14. That certain Notice of Intent to Foreclose ("Notice of Intent") dated October 3, 2012 was subsequently mailed to the Borrower. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Intent is attached hereto as **Exhibit "7"** and incorporated herein by this reference. The Notice of Intent provided notice to the Borrower of her default under the terms of the Note and Deed of Trust of monthly payments obligations in the amount of \$36,281.60. The Notice of Intent indicated that acceleration and foreclosure and public sale of the Subject Property would occur if the amount in default was not cured within 30 days. The Notice of Intent further provided that the Borrower has the right to reinstate the Loan following acceleration pursuant to the terms under the Note and Deed of Trust, and that Borrower has a right to assert in any foreclosure action the non-existence of a default and any other defenses to acceleration and foreclosure.

15. The subject Note provides that, if the payors default in payment of any installment when due, or in the performance of any agreement in the subject Deed of Trust securing payment of the subject Note, the entire principal and interest will become immediately due and payable at the option of the noteholder. The subject Deed of Trust provides that, if the trustors default in paying any indebtness secured by the subject Deed of Trust, or in the performance of any agreement in the subject Note or Deed of Trust, the entire principal and interest secured by the subject Deed of Trust will, at the option of the beneficiary, become immediately due and payable.

16. The Deed of Trust further provides that in the event of a default, the lender may
invoke the power of sale and after the required notices and time frames, sell the Subject Property

24 at a public auction.

- 25 17. By the terms of the subject Note, the Borrower promised and agreed to pay to
- 26 Plaintiff monthly installments of \$2,657.39, principal and interest, beginning December 1, 2005.
- 27 The Borrower has wholly failed, neglected and refused to pay the installment that was due on
- 28 October 1, 2011 and the subsequent months, up to and including the date of this Complaint. The

### COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST -4-

total of the monthly payments in default including accrued fees and interest is approximately \$74,440.01. For such failure and default under the subject Note and Deed of Trust, Plaintiff has elected to declare the entire remaining sum of principal and interest immediately due and payable. Additional interest will accrue at the rate of \$38.30 per day for each additional day from October 1, 2011 to the date of entry of judgment in this action.

18. Plaintiff may hereafter be required to expend additional sums to protect its security in the Subject Property. In the subject Deed of Trust, the Borrower agreed to pay any sums expended by Plaintiff. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the nature and amounts of such sums if Plaintiff is required to make the additional expenditures.

19. Under the subject Note and Deed of Trust, the Borrower, agreed that, if any action were instituted on the Note or Deed of Trust, she, as defendant, would pay the sum fixed by the Court as Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and that these charges would also become a lien against the Subject Property. Because of the above-described defaults, it has become necessary for Plaintiff to employ an attorney to commence and prosecute this foreclosure action. The reasonable value of services of counsel in this action shall be proved at or after trial in this action.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### 

### (For Judicial Foreclosure of Deed of Trust, Against all Defendants)

20. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 19 of the Complaint as though set forth in full.

20 21. Despite Plaintiff's demands for payment under the Note and Deed of Trust,
21 Borrower has failed and refused to pay Plaintiff its indebtedness due, and Borrower is now in
22 default under the Note and Deed of Trust.

22. As a result of the default under the Note as secured by the Deed of Trust, Plaintiff

seeks to exercise its right under the Deed of Trust to foreclose on the Subject Property. And
 Plaintiff seeks a Judgment of this Court foreclosing said Deed of Trust with the Court to award
 Judgment for any deficiency which may remain after applying all proceeds of the sale of the
 Subject Property applicable to the Judgment procured hereunder. The filing of this action does
 COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST -5-

not constitute a waiver of Plaintiff's right to proceed with a non-judicial foreclosure if it so elects.

23. The Note and Deed of Trust provide that in the event of default thereunder by the Borrowers, Plaintiff is entitled to recover its costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, incurred in enforcement thereof. Plaintiff has employed Benjamin D. Petiprin of the Law Offices of Les Zieve, licensed and practicing attorney in the State of Nevada, for the purpose of instituting and prosecuting the within action. Attorneys' fees have been, and continue to be incurred in an amount to be proven at trial.

24. As a result of Borrower's default and breach, Plaintiff has been damaged in the amount of the principal balance of the loan, accrued interest, late charges, advances, expenses and attorneys' fees and costs which remain due under the Note and Deed of Trust.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

### As to the First Cause of Action

1. That the Court enter a money judgment against Borrower defendant only:

a. The sum of \$430,113.48 principal, together with interest as allowed at the Note rate currently at 3% from October 1, 2011, to the date of judgment, according to proof;

7

b. Costs of this action and reasonable attorneys' fees;

c. Additional sums, if any, that Plaintiff hereafter expends to protect its
interest in the Subject Property, together with interest, according to proof.

20 2. That the Court adjudge the rights, claims, ownership, liens, titles and demands of
21 defendants are subject, subordinate and subsequent to Plaintiff's Deed of Trust;

3. That the Court order, adjudge, and decree that the Subject Deed of Trust be
foreclosed and that the usual Judgment be made for the sale of the Subject Property, according to

law, by the Sheriff of the County of Clark, or by a levying officer to be appointed by the Court;
that the proceeds of the sale be applied in payment of the amounts due to Plaintiff; that
defendants and all persons claiming under them subsequent to the execution of said Deed of
Trust, either as lien claimants, judgment creditors, claimants under a junior trust deed,
purchasers, encumbrances and otherwise, be barred and foreclosed from all rights, claims,

### COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST -6-

interest or equity of redemption of the Subject Property and every part of the Subject Property when the time for redemption has lapsed;

That the Court award Plaintiff judgment and execution against Borrower 4. defendant only for any deficiency that may remain after applying all proceeds of the sale of the Subject Property duly applicable to satisfy the amounts by the Court under paragraph 1 of this demand for judgment;

That the Court permit Plaintiff or any other party to this suit, to become 7 5. purchasers at the foreclosure sale; that when the time for redemption has lapsed, the levying 8 9 officer or Sheriff, as the case may be, shall execute a deed to the purchaser of the Subject Property at the sale; and that the purchaser be given possession of the Subject Property upon 10 production of the levying officer's or Sheriff's Deed;

> For attorneys' fees according to proof in an amount the Court deems reasonable; 6.

That the Court award all other appropriate and just relief. 7.

8. For costs of suit incurred herein; and

9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: September 30, 2013

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19


20

21

22

LAW OFFICES OF LES ZIEVE

By: /s/ Benjamin D. Petiprin Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Marchai B.T.



# EXHIBIT 1



# **LEGAL DESCRIPTION**

PARCEL I:

LOT 13 IN BLOCK A OF WYETH RANCH-UNIT 2, AS SHOWN BY MAP THEREOF ON FILE IN BOOK 112 OF PLATS, PAGE 8 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

PARCEL II:

A NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR INGRESS, EGRESS, USE AND ENJOYMENT OF THE COMMON LOTS AS SHOWN ON THE ABOVE MAP AND AS SET FORTH IN THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS RECORDED OCTOBER 4, 2002 IN BOOK 20021004 AS DOCUMENT NO. 01353 AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME.

# EXHIBIT 2



ferez (R 2,3295889

Loan No.: 32501493

# InterestFirst<sup>SM</sup> ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE (One-Year LIBOR Index (As Published In *The Wall Street Journal*) – Rate Caps)

10/31 CL

THIS NOTE CONTAINS PROVISIONS ALLOWING FOR A CHANGE IN MY FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND FOR CHANGES IN MY MONTHLY PAYMENT. THIS NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT MY ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE I MUST PAY.

# MIN: 1000724-0032501493-7 MERS TELEPHONE: (888) 679-6377

October 19, 2005 [Date]

# LAS VEGAS [City]

NEVADA [State]

LF MQ C 7119 WOFL RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131 (Property Address]

## 1. BORROWER'S PROMISE TO PAY

In return for a loan that I have received, I promise to pay U.S. \$ 442,000.00 (this amount is called "Principal"), plus interest, to the order of Lender. Lender is CMG MORTGAGE, INC.. I will make all payments under this Note in the form of cash, check or money order.

I understand that Lender may transfer this Note. Lender or anyone who takes this Note by transfer and who is entitled to receive payments under this Note is called the "Note Holder."

## 2. INTEREST

Interest will be charged on unpaid principal until the full amount of Principal has been paid. I will pay interest at a yearly rate of 5.000%. The interest rate I will pay may change in accordance with Section 4 of this Note.

The interest rate required by this Section 2 and Section 4 of this Note is the rate I will pay both before and after any default described in Section 7(B) of this Note.

#### 3. PAYMENTS

## (A) Time and Place of Payments

I will make a payment on the **FIRST** day of every month, beginning on **December 1, 2005**. Before the First Principal and Interest Payment Due Date as described in Section 4 of this Note, my payment will consist only of the interest due on the unpaid principal balance of this Note. Thereafter, I will pay principal and interest by making a payment every month as provided below.

I will make my monthly payments of principal and interest beginning on the First Principal and Interest Payment Due Date as described in Section 4 of this Note. I will make these payments every month until I have paid all of the principal and interest and any other charges described below that I may owe under this Note. Each monthly payment will be applied as of its scheduled due date, and if the payment includes both principal and interest, it will be applied to interest before Principal. If, on November 1, 2035, I still owe amounts under this Note, I will pay those amounts in full on that date, which is called the "Maturity Date."

I will make my monthly payments at 3160 CROW CANYON ROAD, SUITE 240, SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94583

or at a different place if required by the Note Holder.

(B) Amount of My Initial Monthly Payments

My monthly payment will be in the amount of U.S. \$ 1,841.67 before the First Principal and Interest Payment Due Date, and thereafter will be in an amount sufficient to repay the principal and interest at the rate determined as described in Section 4 of this

MULTISTATE InterestFirst ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE-ONE-YEAR LIBOR INDEX-Single Family-Famile Mae Uniform Instrument

Form 3530 11/01 (page 1 of 5)





Note in substantially equal installments by the Maturity Date. The Note Holder will notify me prior to the date of change in monthly payment.

## (C) Monthly Payment Changes

Changes in my monthly payment will reflect changes in the unpaid principal of my loan and in the interest rate that I must pay. The Note Holder will determine my new interest rate and the changed amount of my monthly payment in accordance with Section 4 or 5 of this Note.

# 4. ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

## (A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate I will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the **FIRST** day of **November**, 2010, and the adjustable interest rate I will pay may change on that day every 12th month thereafter. The date on which my initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate, and each date on which my adjustable interest rate could change, is called a "Change Date."

# (B) The Index

1

Т

ł

Beginning with the first Change Date, my adjustable interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for one-year U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in *The Wall Street Journal*. The most recent Index figure available as of the date 45 days before each Change Date is called the "Current Index."

If the Index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give menotice of this choice.

# (C) Calculation of Changes

Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate my new interest rate by adding Two and One-Fourth percentage points (2.250%) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be my new interest rate until the next Change Date.

The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that I am expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at my new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of my monthly payment.

# (D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes

The interest rate I am required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 10.000% or less than 2.250%. Thereafter, my adjustable interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than Two percentage points (2.000%) from the rate of interest I have been paying for the preceding 12 months. My interest rate will never be greater than 10.000%.

# (E) Effective Date of Changes

My new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. I will pay the amount of my new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of my monthly payment changes again.

# (F) Notice of Changes

Before the effective date of any change in my interest rate and/or monthly payment, the Note Holder will deliver or mail to me a notice of such change. The notice will include information required by law to be given to me and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question I may have regarding the notice.

# (G) Date of First Principal and Interest Payment

The date of my first payment consisting of both principal and interest on this Note (the "First Principal and Interest Payment Due Date") shall be the first monthly payment date after the first Change Date.

# 5. BORROWER'S RIGHT TO PREPAY

I have the right to make payments of principal at any time before they are due. A payment of principal only is known as a "Prepayment." When I make a Prepayment, I will tell the Note Holder in writing that I am doing so. I may not designate a payment as a Prepayment if I have not made all the monthly payments due under the Note.

I may make a full Prepayment or partial Prepayments without paying a Prepayment charge. The Note Holder will use my Prepayments to reduce the amount of principal that I owe under this Note. However, the Note Holder may apply my Prepayment to the accrued and unpaid interest on the Prepayment amount, before applying my Prepayment to reduce the principal amount of the Note. If I make a partial Prepayment, there will be no changes in the due date of my monthly payment unless the Note Holder agrees in writing to those changes. If the partial Prepayment is made during the period when my monthly payments consist only of interest, the amount of the monthly payment will decrease for the remainder of the term when my payments consist only of interest. If the partial Prepayment is made during the period when my payments consist of principal and interest, my partial Prepayment may reduce the amount of my monthly payments after the first Change Date following my partial Prepayment. However, any reduction due to my partial Prepayment may be offset by an interest rate increase.

MULTISTATE InterestFirst ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE-ONE-YEAR LIBOR INDEX-Single Family-Famile Mae Uniform Instrument

Form 3530 11/01

(page 2 of 5)

# 6. LOAN CHARGES

If a law, which applies to this loan and which sets maximum loan charges, is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with this loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from me that exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to me. The Note Holder may choose to make this refund by reducing the Principal I owe under this Note or by making a direct payment to me. If a refund reduces Principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial Prepayment.

# 7. BORROWER'S FAILURE TO PAY AS REQUIRED

# (A) Late Charges for Overdue Payments

If the Note Holder has not received the full amount of any monthly payment by the end of fifteen (15) calendar days after the date it is due, I will pay a late charge to the Note Holder. The amount of the charge will be five percent (5.00%) of my overdue payment of principal and interest. I will pay this late charge promptly but only once on each late payment.

# (B) Default

If I do not pay the full amount of each monthly payment on the date it is due, I will be in default.

# (C) Notice of Default

If I am in default, the Note Holder may send me a written notice telling me that if I do not pay the overdue amount by a certain date, the Note Holder may require me to pay immediately the full amount of Principal that has not been paid and all the interest that I owe on that amount. That date must be at least 30 days after the date on which the notice is mailed to me or delivered by other means.

# (D) No Waiver By Note Holder

Even if, at a time when I am in default, the Note Holder does not require me to pay immediately in full as described above, the Note Holder will still have the right to do so if I am in default at a later time.

# (E) Payment of Note Holder's Costs and Expenses

If the Note Holder has required me to pay immediately in full as described above, the Note Holder will have the right to be paid back by me for all of its costs and expenses in enforcing this Note to the extent not prohibited by applicable law. Those expenses include, for example, reasonable attorneys' fees.

# 8. GIVING OF NOTICES

Unless applicable law requires a different method, any notice that must be given to me under this Note will be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to me at the Property Address above or at a different address if I give the Note Holder a notice of my different address.

Unless the Note Holder requires a different method, any notice that must be given to the Note Holder under this Note will be given by mailing it by first class mail to the Note Holder at the address stated in Section 3(A) above or at a different address if I am given a notice of that different address.

# 9. OBLIGATIONS OF PERSONS UNDER THIS NOTE

If more than one person signs this Note, each person is fully and personally obligated to keep all of the promises made in this Note, including the promise to pay the full amount owed. Any person who is a guarantor, surety or endorser of this Note is also obligated to do these things. Any person who takes over these obligations, including the obligations of a guarantor, surety or endorser of this Note, is also obligated to keep all of the promises made in this Note. The Note Holder may enforce its rights under this Note against each person individually or against all of us together. This means that any one of us may be required to pay all of the amounts owed under this Note.

# 10. WAIVERS

I and any other person who has obligations under this Note waive the rights of Presentment and Notice of Dishonor. "Presentment" means the right to require the Note Holder to demand payment of amounts due. "Notice of Dishonor" means the right to require the Note Holder to give notice to other persons that amounts due have not been paid.

## 11. UNIFORM SECURED NOTE

This Note is a uniform instrument with limited variations in some jurisdictions. In addition to the protections given to the Note Holder under this Note, a Mortgage, Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument"), dated the same date as this Note, protects the Note Holder from possible losses that might result if I do not keep the promises that I make in this Note. That

MULTISTATE InterestFirst ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE-ONE-YEAR LIBOR INDEX--Single Family---Fannie Mae Uniform Instrument

Form 3530 11/01 (page 3 of 5) Security Instrument describes how and under what conditions I may be required to make immediate payment in full of all amounts I owe under this Note. Some of those conditions read as follows:

(A) Until my initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section 4 above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall read as follows:

**Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower.** As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

(B) When my initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section 4 above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument described in Section 11(A) above shall then cease to be in effect, and Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall instead read as follows:

**Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower.** As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law. Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender's consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

MULTISTATE InterestFirst ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE---ONE-YEAR LIBOR INDEX--Single Family--Fannle Maé Uniform Instrument Form 3530-11/01 (page 4 of 5) WITNESS THE HAND(S) AND SEAL(S) OF THE UNDERSIGNED.

-Borrower CRISTELA PEREZ

(Seal) -Borrower

(Seal)

(Seal) -Borrower

(Seal)

-Borrower

.

[Sign Original Only]

Ŷ

Pay to the order of:

----

.

Without Recourse CMG MORTGAGE, INC.

\_\_\_\_\_ By:\_\_

Name and Title: \_\_\_\_\_

•

PAY TO THE ORDER OF CITIMORTGAGE, INC. WITHOUT RECOURSE CMG MORTGAGE, INC. A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION 3160 CROOV CANYON ROAD, #350

Sill: AMON PASATA Divina Lanam

ASSISTANT SECRETARY

MULTISTATE InterestFirst ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE-ONE-YEAR LIBOR INDEX-Single Family-Fannie Mae Uniform Instrument

Form 3530 11/01 (page 5 of 5) Loan #: 32501493

• •

# FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE ASSUMPTION RIDER

THIS ASSUMPTION RIDER is made this 19th day of October, 2005, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned person whether one or more, (the "Borrower") to secure Borrower's Note to CMG MORTGAGE, INC. (the "Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at:

7119 WOFL RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131

ma LF of (PROPERTY ADDRESS)

ASSUMPTION COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

- ASSUMPTION. Any person purchasing the Property from Borrower may assume full Α. liability to repay Borrower's Note to Lender under the terms and conditions set out in this Assumption Rider.
- **B**. AGREEMENT. Lender may require the Purchaser to sign an assumption agreement, in the form required by Lender, which obligates the Purchaser to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.
- **APPLICABILITY.** Lender is bound by these conditions and terms, as follows: С.
  - Lender shall have no obligation to allow assumption by a purchaser from Borrower 1. until the initial fixed interest rate payable on the Note changes to an adjustable rate;
  - This Assumption Rider applies only to the first transfer of the Property by Borrower 2. and not to a foreclosure sale;
  - Purchaser must be an individual, not a partnership, corporation or other entity. 3
  - Purchaser must meet Lender's credit underwriting standards for the type of loan 4. being assumed as if Lender were making a new loan to Purchaser;
  - Purchaser shall assume only the balance due on the Note at the time of assumption 5. for the term remaining on the Note;
  - If applicable, Borrower's private mortgage insurance coverage must be transferred 6. to the Purchaser in writing, unless waived by Lender;



Page 1 of 2







- 7. If Borrower's Note has a conversion feature and Borrower has exercised the right of conversion of this loan to a fixed rate loan from Lender, this Assumption Rider is void and Lender has no obligation to allow assumption by a Purchaser from Borrower; and
- 8. Lender must reasonably determine that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption.
- **D. ASSUMPTION RATE.** Lender will allow assumption by Purchaser at Borrower's Note interest rate in effect at the time of assumption.
- E. ADDITIONAL CHARGES. In addition, Lender may charge an amount up to one percent (1%) of the current Note balance and its normal loan closing costs, except the cost of a real estate appraisal.
- BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants of this Assumption Rider.

(Seal) -Borrower CRISTELA PEREZ

\_\_\_\_\_ (Seal) -Borrower

MB-2117 1/95 (5/1, 7/1, 10/1 ARM) Page 2 of 2

# CONFIDENTIAL

True Certified Copy of Original

# **NOTE ALLONGE**

**Statement of Purpose:** This Note Allonge is attached to and made part of the Note, for the purpose of Noteholder Endorsements to evidence transfer of interest.

Loan Number: 2003295889

ļ

Loan Date: 10/19/2005 Original Loan Amount: \$ 442,000.00

Originator: CMG MORTGAGE, INC. Original Mortgagor: CRISTELA PEREZ Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NV 89131

> Pay to The Order of U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2012-6 Without Recourse



CITIMORTGAGE, INC.

By 11.00

M. E. Wileman, Vice President

- .. · · · . .. . .. .

# ALLONGE

Pay to the Order of:

MARCHAI B.T.

Without Recourse:

**Original Loan Amount:** 

\$442,000.00

10/19/2005

Dated:

Made By:

CRISTELA PEREZ

**Premises Secured:** 

**7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE** LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2012-6, BY CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES LLC., AS ATTORNEY IN FACT

By:

# Name: GREG SCHLEPPY Title: SR. VICE PRESIDENT

# 7000035044

# EXHIBIT 3



# 20051109-0001385

Assessor's Parcel Number: 125-15-811-013 When recorded mail to: CMG MORTGAGE, INC. 3160 CROW CANYON ROAD, SUITE 240 SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94583 Loan No.: 32501493

Mail Tax Statements to: CRISTELA PEBEZ And 7119 WOFL RIVERS AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131 Prepared By:



Fee: \$38.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00 11/09/2005 09:44:04 T20050204478 Requestor: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE

KGP Frances Deane Clark County Recorder Pgs: 22

Recording Requested By:

# 629028-GH [Space Above This Line For Recording Data] DEED OF TRUST MIN 1000724-0032501493-7

MERS TELEPHONE: (888) 679-6377

## DEFINITIONS

Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated October 19, 2005, together with all Riders to this document.

(B) "Borrower" is CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN, AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY. Borrower is the trustor under this Security Instrument.

(C) "Lender" is CMG MORTGAGE, INC. Lender is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of CALIFORNIA. Lender's address is 3160 CROW CANYON ROAD, SUITE 240, SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94583.

## (D) "Trustee" is FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE AGENCY OF NEVADA.

(E) "MERS" is Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. MERS is a separate corporation that is acting solely as a nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns. MERS is the beneficiary under this Security Instrument. MERS is organized and existing under the laws of

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannic Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 1 of 18

Page 1 of 22

Initials:









CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:31 PM

Delaware, and has an address and telephone number of P.O. Box 2026, Flint, MI 48501-2026, tel. (888) 679-MERS.

(F) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower and dated October 19, 2005. The Note states that Borrower owes Lender Four Hundred Forty Two Thousand And 00/100 Dollars (U.S. S 442,000.00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular Periodic Payments and to pay the debt in full not later than November 1, 2035.

(G) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property."

(H) "Loan" means the debt evidenced by the Note, plus interest, any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note, and all sums due under this Security Instrument, plus interest.

(I) "Riders" means all Riders to this Security Instrument that are executed by Borrower. The following Riders are to be executed by Borrower [check box as applicable]:

[X] Adjustable Rate Rider	[ ] Condominium Rider	[ ] Second Home Rider
[ ] Balloon Rider	[ ] Planned Unit Development Rider	[ ] 1-4 Family Rider
[ ] VA Rider	[ ] Biweekly Payment Rider	[ ] Other(s) [specify]

(J) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable judicial opinions.

(K) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.

(L) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.

(M) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.

(N) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by any third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for: (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conveyance in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property.

(O) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.

(P) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.

(Q) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Section 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Part 3500), as they might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are

#### **NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS** Form 3029 1/01

Page 2 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV

Page 2 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:32 PM

imposed in regard to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.

(R) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

#### TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY

The beneficiary of this Security Instrument is MERS (solely as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) and the successors and assigns of MERS. This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the County [Type of Recording Jurisdiction] of **CLARK** [Name of Recording Jurisdiction]:

LOT 13 IN BLOCK A OF WYETH RANCH- UNIT 2, AS SHOWN BY MAP THEREOF ON FILE IN BOOK 112 OF PLATS, PAGE 8 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY **RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA. A NON- EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR** INGRESS, EGRESS, USE AND ENJOYMENT OF THE COMMON LOTS AS SHOWN ON THE ABOVE MAP AND AS SET FOURTH IN THE DECLARATION OF **COVENANTS. CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS RECORDED OCTOBER 4, 2002 IN** BOOK 20021004 AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME.

Parcel ID Number: 125-15-811-013 which currently has the address of LF MQ CO 7119 WOFT RIVERS AVENUE [Street] LAS VEGAS [City], Nevada 89131 [Zip Code] ("Property Address"):

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all easements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property," Borrower understands and agrees that MERS holds only legal title to the interests granted by Borrower in this Security Instrument, but, if necessary to comply with law or custom, MERS (as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) has the right: to exercise any or all of those interests, including, but not limited to, the right to foreclose and sell the Property; and to take any action required of Lender including, but not limited to, releasing and canceling this Security Instrument.

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seised of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 3 of 18

Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Page 3 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:32 PM

encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT combines uniform covenants for national use and nonuniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender covenant and agree as follows:

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S. currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument be made in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer,

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment if the payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment is applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unapplied funds. Lender may hold such unapplied funds until Borrower makes payment to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such funds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note; (b) principal due under the Note; (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding, Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payments if, and to the extent that, each payment can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 4 of 18

Initials: 🕏

CLARK,NV

Page 4 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:33 PM

due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Funds") to provide for payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premiums for any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5; and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Mortgage Insurance premiums in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the term of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be escrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pay Lender the Funds for Escrow Items unless Lender waives Borrower's obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower's obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow Items at any time. Any such waiver may only be in writing. In the event of such waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow Items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such time period as Lender may require. Borrower's obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a covenant and agreement contained in this Security Instrument, as the phrase "covenant and agreement" is used in Section 9. If Borrower is obligated to pay Escrow Items directly, pursuant to a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow Item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay to Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow Items at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation, Borrower shall pay to Lender all Funds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds due on the basis of current data and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow Items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender, if Lender is an institution whose deposits are so insured) or in any Federal Home Loan Bank. Lender shall apply the Funds to pay the Escrow Items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds, annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow Items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such a charge. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can

#### **NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS** Form 3029 1/01

Page 5 of 18

Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

CLARK,NV

Page 5 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:33 PM

agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Funds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments.

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Borrower any Funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions attributable to the Property which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow Items, Borrower shall pay them in the manner provided in Section 3.

Borrower shall promptly discharge any lien which has priority over this Security Instrument unless Borrower: (a) agrees in writing to the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) contests the lien in good faith by, or defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender's opinion operate to prevent the enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded; or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the lien. Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given, Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section 4.

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or reporting service used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

5. Property Insurance. Borrower shall keep the improvements now existing or hereafter erected on the Property insured against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts (including deductible levels) and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrower's choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to pay, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time charge for flood zone determination, certification and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination and certification services and subsequent charges each time remappings or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 6 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV

Page 6 of 22

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:34 PM

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type or amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower, Borrower's equity in the Property, or the contents of the Property, against any risk, hazard or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cost of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 5 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies, shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, not otherwise required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss if not made promptly by Borrower. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjusters, or other third parties, retained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, or if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender (a) Borrower's rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower's rights (other than the right to any refund of unearned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies covering the Property, insofar as such rights are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 7 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Page 7 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:35 PM

cither to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower's principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower's principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower's control.

7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections. Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing in the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deteriorating or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is not economically feasible, Borrower shall promptly repair the Property if damaged to avoid further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoring the Property only if Lender has released proceeds for such purposes. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restore the Property, Borrower is not relieved of Borrower's obligation for the completion of such repair or restoration.

Lender or its agent may make reasonable entries upon and inspections of the Property. If it has reasonable cause, Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of or prior to such an interior inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

8. Borrower's Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the Loan application process, Borrower or any persons or entities acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower's knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material representations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower's occupancy of the Property as Borrower's principal residence.

9. Protection of Lender's Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender's interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument (such as a proceeding in bankruptcy, probate, for condemnation or forfeiture, for enforcement of a lien which may attain priority over this Security Instrument or to enforce laws or regulations), or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or assessing the value of the Property, and securing and/or repairing the Property. Lender's actions can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument; (b) appearing in court; and (c) paying reasonable attorneys' fees to protect its interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument, including its secured position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate building or other code violations or dangerous conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 8 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Page 8 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:35 PM

take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

10. Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage Insurance in effect. If, for any reason, the Mortgage Insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, from an alternate mortgage insurer selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage Insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in lieu of Mortgage Insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately paid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage Insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender requires) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the preniums for Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mortgage Insurance in effect, or to provide a non-refundable loss reserve, until Lender's requirement for Mortgage Insurance ends in accordance with any written agreement between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 10 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note.

Mortgage Insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchases the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Mortgage Insurance,

Mortgage insurers evaluate their total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the mortgage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the mortgage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the mortgage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Mortgage Insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, another insurer, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing, may receive (directly or indirectly)

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 9 of 18

Initials: \_\_\_\_

CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Page 9 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:36 PM

amounts that derive from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage Insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk, or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed "captive reinsurance," Further:

(a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Mortgage Insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Mortgage Insurance, and they will not entitle Borrower to any refund.

(b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has - if any - with respect to the Mortgage Insurance under the Homeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premiums that were unearned at the time of such cancellation or termination.

**11.** Assignment of Miscellaneous Proceeds; Forfeiture. All Miscellaneous Proceeds are hereby assigned to and shall be paid to Lender.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the repairs and restoration in a single disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or greater than the amount of the sums secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Proceeds multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less

NUMBER OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 10 of 18

Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

CLARK,NV

Page 10 of 22

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:36 PM

than the amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument whether or not the sums are then due.

If the Property is abandoned by Borrower, or if, after notice by Lender to Borrower that the Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages, Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the date the notice is given, Lender is authorized to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Proceeds either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due. "Opposing Party" means the third party that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Proceeds or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in regard to Miscellancous Proceeds.

Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if acceleration has occurred, reinstate as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be dismissed with a ruling that, in Lender's judgment, precludes forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages that are attributable to the impairment of Lender's interest in the Property are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Proceeds that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

12. Borrower Not Released; Forbearance By Lender Not a Waiver. Extension of the time for payment or modification of amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument by reason of any demand made by the original Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation, Lender's acceptance of payments from third persons, entities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amounts less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or preclude the exercise of any right or remedy.

13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signers; Successors and Assigns Bound. Borrower covenants and agrees that Borrower's obligations and liability shall be joint and several. However, any Borrower who co-signs this Security Instrument but does not execute the Note (a "co-signer"): (a) is co-signing this Security Instrument only to mortgage, grant and convey the co-signer's interest in the Property under the terms of this Security Instrument; (b) is not personally obligated to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) agrees that Lender and any other Borrower can agree to extend, modify, forbear or make any accommodations with regard to the terms of this Security Instrument or the Note without the co-signer's consent.

Subject to the provisions of Section 18, any Successor in Interest of Borrower who assumes Borrower's obligations under this Security Instrument in writing, and is approved by Lender, shall obtain all of Borrower's rights and benefits under this Security Instrument. Borrower shall not be released from Borrower's obligations and liability under this Security Instrument unless Lender agrees

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 11 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Page 11 of 22

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:37 PM

to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall bind (except as provided in Section 20) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charges. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower's default, for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender may not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

If the Loan is subject to a law which sets maximum loan charges, and that law is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with the Loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from Borrower which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to Borrower. Lender may choose to make this refund by reducing the principal owed under the Note or by making a direct payment to Borrower. If a refund reduces principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial prepayment without any prepayment charge (whether or not a prepayment charge is provided for under the Note). Borrower's acceptance of any such refund made by direct payment to Borrower will constitute a waiver of any right of action Borrower might have arising out of such overcharge.

15. Notices. All notices given by Borrower or Lender in connection with this Security Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower's notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall constitute notice to all Borrowers unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower's change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower's change of address, then Borrower shall only report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be only one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Lender shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Lender's address stated herein unless Lender has designated another address by notice to Borrower. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.

16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it might be silent, but such silence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In the event that any provision or clause of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can be given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include corresponding neuter words or words of the feminine gender; (b) words in the singular shall

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 12 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV

Page 12 of 22

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:38 PM

mean and include the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to take any action.

17. Borrower's Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.

18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

19. Borrower's Right to Reinstate After Acceleration. If Borrower meets certain conditions, Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any time prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in this Security Instrument; (b) such other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of Borrower's right to reinstate; or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those conditions are that Borrower: (a) pays Lender all sums which then would be due under this Security Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cures any default of any other covenants or agreements; (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument; and (d) takes such action as Lender may reasonably require to assure that Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower's obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstatement sums and expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall not apply in the case of acceleration under Section 18.

20. Sale of Note; Change of Loan Servicer; Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects Periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law.

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 13 of 18



CLARK,NV

Page 13 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:38 PM

There also might be one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unrelated to a sale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information RESPA requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchaser of the Note, the mortgage loan servicing obligations to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser.

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined to any judicial action (as either an individual litigant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party's actions pursuant to this Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by reason of, this Security Instrument, until such Borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such notice given in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the other party hereto a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If Applicable Law provides a time period which must clapse before certain action can be taken, that time period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to Borrower pursuant to Section 20.

**21. Hazardous Substances.** As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the following substances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic pesticides and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing asbestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive materials; (b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that relate to health, safety or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response action, remedial action, or removal action, as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do, nor allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, hawsuit or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any Hazardous Substance or Environmental Law of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharge, release or threat of release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower learns, or is notified by any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party,

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 14 of 18



CLARK,NV

Page 14 of 22

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:39 PM

that any removal or other remediation of any Hazardous Substance affecting the Property is necessary, Borrower shall promptly take all necessary remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law. Nothing herein shall create any obligation on Lender for an Environmental Cleanup.

NON-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower's breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to reinstate after acceleration and the right to bring a court action to assert the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, Lender at its option, and without further demand, may invoke the power of sale, including the right to accelerate full payment of the Note, and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shall be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender's election to cause the Property to be sold, and shall cause such notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall sell the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and in any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall deliver to the purchaser Trustee's deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee's deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee's and attorneys' fees; (b) to all sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Such person or persons shall pay any recordation costs. Lender may charge such person or persons a fee for reconveying the

#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 15 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385 Page 15 of 22

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:40 PM

Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law.

24. Substitute Trustee. Lender at its option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee herein and by Applicable Law.

25. Assumption Fee. If there is an assumption of this loan, Lender may charge an assumption fee of U.S. \$ 4,420.00.

## NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 16 of 18

Initials:  $2\sqrt{2}$ 

CLARK,NV

Page 16 of 22

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:40 PM

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

Witnesses:

	CRISTELA PEREZ	
		(Seal) -Borrower
<u> </u>		(Seal) -Borrower
		(Seal) -Borrower

## NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 17 of 18

CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385 Page 17 of 22

# Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:41 PM

STATE OF NEVADA (Jark COUNTY OF \_\_\_\_\_

This instrument was acknowledged before me on  $10 \cdot 20 \cdot 05$  by **CRISTELA PEREZ** 

My Commission Expires: 05.31.09



#### NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 18 of 18

Initials:

# CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Page 18 of 22

# Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:41 PM

# FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER

#### (LIBOR One-Year Index (As Published In The Wall Street Journal)- Rate Caps)

THIS FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER is made this **19th** day of **October. 2005**, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned ("Borrower") to secure Borrower's Fixed/Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") to **CMG MORTGAGE**, **INC.** ("Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at:

# LF MQ 4

#### 7119 WOFL RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131 [Property Address]

THE NOTE PROVIDES FOR A CHANGE IN BORROWER'S FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE. THE NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT BORROWER'S ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE BORROWER MUST PAY.

ADDITIONAL COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

## A. ADJUSTABLE RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

The Note provides for an initial fixed interest rate of **5.000%**. The Note also provides for a change in the initial fixed rate to an adjustable interest rate, as follows:

#### 4. ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES (A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate I will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the **FIRST** day of **November**, 2010, and the adjustable interest rate I will pay may change on that day every 12th month thereafter. The date on which my initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate, and each date on which my adjustable interest rate could change, is called a "Change Date."

#### (B) The Index

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER – WSJ One-Year LIBOR – Single Family – Fannie Mae Uniform Instrument Form 3187 6/01

(Page 1 of 4)





# CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Page 19 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:42 PM

Beginning with the first Change Date, my adjustable interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for one-year U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in *The Wall Street Journal*. The most recent Index figure available as of the date 45 days before each Change Date is called the "Current Index." If the Index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give me notice of this choice.

(C) Calculation of Changes Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate my new interest rate by adding Two and One-Fourth percentage points (2.250%) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be my new interest rate until the next Change Date. The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that I am expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at my new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of my monthly payment.

#### (D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes

The interest rate I am required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 10.000% or less than 2.250%. Thereafter, my adjustable interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than two percentage points from the rate of interest I have been paying for the preceding I2 months. My interest rate will never be greater than 10.000%.

#### (E) Effective Date of Changes

My new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. I will pay the amount of my new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of my monthly payment changes again.

(F) Notice of Changes The Note Holder will deliver or mail to me a notice of any changes in my initial fixed interest rate to an adjustable interest rate and of any changes in my adjustable interest rate before the effective date of any change. The notice will include the amount of my monthly payment, any information required by law to be given to me and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question I may have regarding the notice.

#### B. TRANSFER OF THE PROPERTY OR A BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN BORROWER

1. Until Borrower's initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall read as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used

in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER – WSJ One-Year LIBOR – Single Family – Fannie Mae Uniform Instrument Form 3187 6/01

# CLARK,NV

Page 20 of 22

## Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:43 PM

Initials:

bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

2. When Borrower's initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument described in Section B1 above shall then cease to be in effect, and the provisions of Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall be amended to read as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law. Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

(Page 3 of 4)

Initials: C.Y.

# CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Page 21 of 22

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:43 PM

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender's consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider.

CRISTELA PEREZ

(Seal) -Borrower

 (Seal)
-Borrower

(Seal) -Borrower

(Seal) -Borrower

# CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Page 22 of 22

## Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:44 PM

# EXHIBIT 4



-

1

 $(\mathfrak{Z})$ 

I hereby affirm that this document submitted for recording does not contain a social security number.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DERRICK WHITE ASST. SECRETARY

Parcel #: 125-15-811-013

When Recorded Mail To: CitiMortgage, Inc. C/O NTC 2100 Alt. 19 North Palm Harbor, FL 34683 Investor L# Inst #: 201206050003133 Fees: \$18.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00 06/05/2012 03:42:06 PM Receipt #: 1187409 Requestor: NATIONWIDE TITLE CLEARING Recorded By: JACKSM Pgs: 2 DEBBIE CONWAY CLARK COUNTY RECORDER



## CORPORATE ASSIGNMENT OF DEED OF TRUST

FOR GOOD AND VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the undersigned, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. (MERS) AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC., ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS PO BOX 2026, FLINT, MI, 48501, (ASSIGNOR), by these presents does convey, grant, sell, assign, transfer and set over the described Deed of Trust with all interest secured thereby, all liens, and any rights due or to become due thereon to CITIMORTGAGE, INC., WHOSE ADDRESS IS 1000 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE, O'FALLON, MO 63368-2240 (800)283-7918, ITS SUCCESSORS OR ASSIGNS, (ASSIGNEE).

Said Deed of Trust made by CRISTELA PEREZ, and recorded on 11/09/2005 as Instrument # 0001385, and/or Book 20051109, Page, in the Recorder's office of CLARK, Nevada.

Date: 05/25 /2012 (MM/DD/YYYY)

\*15926922\*

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. (MERS) AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC., ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS.

•

By:		
DER	RICK WHITE	
	<b>F. SECRETARY</b>	
FORM5\FRM	ANV1	

## CLARK,NV Document: DOT ASN 2012.0605.3133

Page 1 of 2


#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:45 PM

· <

Parcel #: 125-15-811-013 Investor L#

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PINELLAS The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on 05/\_\_\_\_/2012 (MM/DD/YYYY), by DERRICK WHITE as ASST. SECRETARY for MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. (MERS) AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC., ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, who, as such ASST. SECRETARY being authorized to do so, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained. He/she/they is (are) personally known to me.

Signed: MIRANDA AVILA Notary Public - State of FLORIDA Commission expires: 08/22/2014



1

7

Prepared By: E.Lance/NTC, 2100 Alt. 19 North, Palm Harbor, FL 34683 (800)346-9152

Mail Tax Statements to: CRISTELA PEREZ 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89131

CIMAV 15926922 -@ MERS (MOM) EMK3826611 MIN 100072400325014937 MERS PHONE 1-888-679-MERS FORM5\FRMNV1



\*15926922\*

## CLARK,NV Document: DOT ASN 2012.0605.3133

Page 2 of 2

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:45 PM

Inst #: 201207260002017 Fees: \$18.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00 07/26/2012 10:44:40 AM Receipt #: 1248352 Requestor: ORION FINANCIAL GROUP Recorded By: MSH Pgs: 2 DEBBIE CONWAY CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

I the undersigned hereby affirm that this document submitted for recording does not contain the social security number of any person or persons. (Per NRS 239B.030)

PREPARED BY & RETURN TO: M. E. Wileman 2860 Exchange Blvd. # 100 Southlake, TX 76092 Parcel # 125-15-811-013

Assignment of Mortgage

Send Any Notices to Assignee.

For Valuable Consideration, the undersigned, CITIMORTGAGE, INC. 4050 REGENT BLVD, MAIL STOP N2A-222, IRVING, TX 75063 (Assignor) by these presents does assign and set over, without recourse, to U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2012-6 1610 E. St. Andrews Pl, Suite B150, Santa Ana, CA 92705 (Assignee) the described mortgage with all interest, all liens, any rights due or to become due thereon, executed by CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN, AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY to MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., (MERS) AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC., ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS. Said mortgage Dated: 10/19/2005 is recorded in the State of NV, County of Clark on 11/9/2005, Book 20051109 Instrument# 0001385 AMOUNT: \$ 442,000.00 Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE,, LAS VEGAS NV 89131

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned corporation/trust has caused this instrument to be executed by its proper officer. Executed on: 07/26/2012

CITIMORTGAGE, INC.

ulena By: PEREZ JDM \*12031213\*

M. E. Wileman, Authorized Signator

MIN 100072400325014937 MERS Phone 888-679-6377 CITICAP/WL17-2012/AS

NV Clark

•


## CLARK,NV Document: MTG ASN 2012.0726.2017

Page 1 of 2

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:46 PM

State of Texas, County of Tarrant

On 07/26/2012, before me, the undersigned, M. E. Wileman, who acknowledged that he/she is Authorized Signator of/ for CITIMORTGAGE, INC. and that he/she executed the foregoing instrument and that such execution was done as the free act and deed of CITIMORTGAGE, INC.



Notary public, C. Lafferty My commission expires: November 30, 2014

MAIL TAX BILL TO: CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN, AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE,, LAS VEGAS NV 89131

#### \*12031213\*

#### MIN 100072400325014937 MERS Phone 888-679-6377 NV Clark CITICAP/WL17-2012/AS

## CLARK,NV Document: MTG ASN 2012.0726.2017

Page 2 of 2

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:47 PM

Inst #: 201308120002562 Fees: \$18.00 N/C Fee: \$25.00 08/12/2013 02:42:09 PM Receipt #: 1729913 Requestor: LSI TITLE AGENCY INC. Recorded By: CDE Pgs: 2 DEBBIE CONWAY CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

**RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:** 

Peak Loan Servicing 5900 Canoga Ave Suite 200 Woodland Hills CA 91367

Parcel ID#: 125-15-811-013

Ln#7000035044/PEREZ

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE\_

130170768

**Assignment of Deed of Trust** 

Date of Assignment: 3/12/13

"This instrument is being recorded as an ACCOMMODATION ONLY, with no Representation as to its effect upon title"

Assignor: : U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2012-6

Assignee : MARCHAI B.T.

Executed By: CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY To MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC. and FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE AGENCY OF NEVADA, as Trustee, Date of Deed of Trust: 10/19/2005 Recorded: 11/09/2005 in Book/Reel/Liber: — Page: —as Instrument/CFN No.: 20051109-0001385 in Official Records of the CLARK County, State of NEVADA

Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131

Parcel ID #: 125-15-811-013

Legal:

LOT 13 IN BLOCK A OF WYETH RANCH-UNIT 2, AS SHOWN BY MAP THEREOF ON FILE IN BOOK 112 OF PLATS, PAGE 8 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA. A NON-EXLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR INGESS, EGRESS, USE AND ENJOYMENT OF THE COMMON LOTS AS SHOWN ON THE ABOVE MAP AND AS SET FORUTH IN THE DECLARATION OF

### COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS RECORDED OCTOBER 4, 2002 IN BOOK 20021004 AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that in consideration of the sum of TEN and NO/100ths DOLLARS and other good and valuable consideration, paid to the above named assignor, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, said Assignor here by assigns unto the above-named Assignee, the said Deed of Trust, secured thereby, which all moneys now owning or that may hereafter become due or owning in respect thereof, and the full benefit of all the powers and of all the covenants and provisos therein contained, and the said Assigner hereby Grants and conveys unto the said Assignee, the Assignor's beneficial interest under the Deed of Trust. Assignment of Deed of Trust Page 2 of 2 Loan # 7000035044/PEREZ

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said Deed of Trust, and the said property unto the said Assignee forever, subject to the terms contained in the said Deed of Trust IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the assignor has executed these presents the day and year first above written

By:

Dated: 3/12/13

Witness: LETICIA MACIAS

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2012-6, BY CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES LLC. AS ATTORNEY IN FACT

LEPPY, SR. VICE PRESIDENT


## State of CALIFORNIA County of ORANGE

On 3/13/13 before me, ANGELICA ROSALES PACHECO, Notary Public personally appeared GREG SCHLEPPY, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/he//th/ir signature(y) on the instrument the person(y), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of CALIFORNIA that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Notary ANGELICA ROSALES PACHECO



# EXHIBIT 5



÷

.

.

7

1

٠,


• •

			20060406-0004914
			Fee: \$21.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00
	Assessor's P	arcel Number: 125-15-811-013	04/06/2006 17:00:22
	CRISTELA P 7119 WOLF	tements To (name and address): EREZ AND ROBERT ROSE RIVERS AVE	T20060061379           Requestor:           FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE LENDED
	LAS VEGAS		Frances Deane KXC
	First America	venue, 4th Floor 4 44115	Clark County Recorder Pgs: 8
· .	Order #: 30 ALS #: 30 1. DATE AND	DEED OF TR (With Future Advan- DARTIES The date of this Deed of True	ust (Security Instrument) is 12/20/2000 dresses and tax identification numbers, if MARRIED WOMAN SEPARATE
:	If check their sig	ed, refer to the attached Addendum inconstruction and acknowledgments.	corporated herein, for additional Grantors,
	TRUSTEE:	U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Associa 111 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 3500 Portland, OR 97204	ation .
•			<b>RECORDERS MEMO</b>
	LENDER:	U.S. Bank, National Association N.D. 4325 17th Avenue S.W. Fargo, ND 58103	POSSIBLE POOR RECORD DUE TO QUALITY OF ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

2. CONVEYANCE. For good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged, and to secure the Secured Debt (defined on page 2) and Grantor's performance under this Security Instrument, Grantor irrevocably grants, bargains, conveys and sells to Trustee, in trust for the benefit of Lender, with power of sale, the following described property (if property description is in metes and bounds the name and mailing address of the person who prepared the legal description must be included):

The real estate deed of trust herein is described in Exhibit "A" which is attached hereto and hereby incorporated herein by reference.

#### 8744120




## CLARK,NV

و المرکز و دو

## Page 1 of 8

### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:48 PM




Together with all rights, easements, appurtenances, royalties, mineral rights, oil and gas rights, all water and riparian rights, ditches, and water stock and all existing and future improvements, structures, fixtures, and replacements that may now, or at any time in the future, be part of the real estate described above (all referred to as "Property").

- 4. SECURED DEBT AND FUTURE ADVANCES. The term "Secured Debt" is defined as follows:

A. Debt incurred under the terms of all promissory note(s), contract(s), guaranty(ies) or other evidence of debt described below and all their extensions, renewals, modifications or substitutions. (You must specifically identify the debt(s) secured and you should include the final maturity date of such debt(s).)

- B. All future advances from Lender to Grantor or other future obligations of Grantor to Lender under any promissory note, contract, guaranty, or other evidence of debt executed by Grantor in favor of Lender after this Security Instrument whether or not this Security Instrument is specifically referenced. If more than one person signs this Security Instrument, each Grantor agrees that this Security Instrument will secure all future advances and future obligations that are given to or incurred by any one or more Grantor, or any one or more Grantor and others. Future advances are contemplated and are governed by the provisions of NRS 106.300 to 106.400, inclusive. All future advances and other future obligations are secured by this Security Instrument even though all or part may not yet be advanced. All future advances and other future obligations are secured as if made on the date of this Security Instrument. Nothing in this Security Instrument shall constitute a commitment to make additional or future loans or advances in any amount. Any such commitment must be agreed to in a separate writing.
- C. All other obligations Grantor owes to Lender, which may later arise, to the extent not prohibited by law, including, but not limited to, liabilities for overdrafts relating to any deposit account agreement between Grantor and Lender.
- D. All additional sums advanced and expenses incurred by Lender for insuring, preserving or otherwise protecting the Property and its value and any other sums advanced and expenses incurred by Lender under the terms of this Security Instrument.

In the event that Lender fails to provide any necessary notice of the right of rescission with respect to any additional indebtedness secured under paragraph B of this Section, Lender waives any subsequent security interest in the Grantor's principal dwelling that is created by this Security Instrument (but does not waive the security interest for the debts referenced in paragraph A of this Section).



CLARK,NV

Page 2 of 8

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:48 PM

н.,

۶.

÷

•1

5. DEED OF TRUST COVENANTS. Grantor agrees that the covenants in this section are material obligations under the Secured Debt and this Security Instrument. If Grantor breaches any covenant in this section, Lender may refuse to make additional extensions of credit and reduce the credit limit. By not exercising either remedy on Grantor's breach, Lender does not waive Lender's right to later consider the event a breach if it happens again.

Payments. Grantor agrees that all payments under the Secured Debt will be paid when due and in accordance with the terms of the Secured Debt and this Security Instrument.

Prior Security Interests. With regard to any other mortgage, deed of trust, security agreement or other lien document that created a prior security interest or encumbrance on the Property, Grantor agrees to make all payments when due and to perform or comply with all covenants. Grantor also agrees not to allow any modification or extension of, nor to request any future advances under any note or agreement secured by the lien document without Lender's prior written approval.

Claims Against Title. Grantor will pay all taxes, assessments, liens, encumbrances, lease payments, ground rents, utilities, and other charges relating to the Property when due. Lender may require Grantor to provide to Lender copies of all notices that such amounts are due and the receipts evidencing Grantor's payment. Grantor will defend title to the Property against any claims that would impair the lien of this Security Instrument. Grantor agrees to assign to Lender, as requested by Lender, any rights, claims or defenses Grantor may have against parties who supply labor or materials to maintain or improve the Property.

Property Condition, Alterations and Inspection. Grantor will keep the Property in good condition and make all repairs that are reasonably necessary. Grantor shall not commit or allow any waste, impairment, or deterioration of the Property. Grantor agrees that the nature of the occupancy and use will not substantially change without Lender's prior written consent. Grantor will not permit any change in any license, restrictive covenant or easement without Lender's prior written consent. Grantor will notify Lender of all demands, proceedings, claims, and actions against Grantor, and of any loss or damage to the Property.

Lender or Lender's agents may, at Lender's option, enter the Property at any reasonable time for the purpose of inspecting the Property. Lender shall give Grantor notice at the time of or before an inspection specifying a reasonable purpose for the inspection. Any inspection of the Property shall be entirely for Lender's benefit and Grantor will in no way rely on Lender's inspection.

Authority to Perform. If Grantor fails to perform any duty or any of the covenants contained in this Security Instrument, Lender may, without notice, perform or cause them to be performed. Grantor appoints Lender as attorney in fact to sign Grantor's name or pay any amount necessary for performance. Lender's right to perform for Grantor shall not create an obligation to perform, and Lender's failure to perform will not preclude Lender from exercising any of Lender's other rights under the law or this Security Instrument.

Leaseholds; Condominiums; Planned Unit Developments. Grantor agrees to comply with the provisions of any lease if this Security Instrument is on a leasehold. If the Property includes a unit in a condominium or a planned unit development, Grantor will perform all of Grantor's duties under the covenants, by-laws, or regulations of the condominium or planned unit development.

Condemnation. Grantor will give Lender prompt notice of any pending or threatened action, by private or public entities to purchase or take any or all of the Property through condemnation, eminent domain, or any other means. Grantor authorizes Lender to intervene in Grantor's name in any of the above described actions or claims. Grantor assigns to Lender the proceeds of any award or claim for damages connected with a condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property. Such proceeds shall be considered payments and will be applied as provided in this Security Instrument. This assignment of proceeds is subject to the terms of any prior mortgage, deed of trust, security agreement or other lien document.

#### 8744120

# (page 3 of 7)

Experts - 1994 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form OCP-REDT-NV 6/25/2003



CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914

Page 3 of 8

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:49 PM

л Т

Χ.

• •

**.** -

÷ #1)

. .

1

Insurance. Grantor shall keep Property insured against loss by fire, flood, theft and other hazards and risks reasonably associated with the Property due to its type and location. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentence can change during the term of the Secured Debt. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Grantor subject to Lender's approval, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. If Grantor fails to maintain the coverage described above, Lender may, at Lender's option, obtain coverage to protect Lender's rights in the Property according to the terms of this Security Instrument.

All insurance policies and renewals shall be acceptable to Lender and shall include a standard "mortgage clause" and, where applicable, "loss payee clause." Grantor shall immediately notify Lender of cancellation or termination of the insurance. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewals. If Lender requires, Grantor shall immediately give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. Upon loss, Grantor shall give immediate notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss if not made immediately by Grantor.

Unless otherwise agreed in writing, all insurance proceeds shall be applied to the restoration or repair of the Property or to the Secured Debt, whether or not then due, at Lender's option. Any application of proceeds to principal shall not extend or postpone the due date of the scheduled payment nor change the amount of any payment. Any excess will be paid to the Grantor. If the Property is acquired by Lender, Grantor's right to any insurance policies and proceeds resulting from damage to the Property before the acquisition shall pass to Lender to the extent of the Secured Debt immediately before the acquisition.

Financial Reports and Additional Documents. Grantor will provide to Lender upon request, any financial statement or information Lender may deem reasonably necessary. Grantor agrees to sign, deliver, and file any additional documents or certifications that Lender may consider necessary to perfect, continue, and preserve Grantor's obligations under this Security Instrument and Lender's lien status on the Property.

- WARRANTY OF TITLE. Grantor warrants that Grantor is or will be lawfully seized of the estate conveyed by this Security Instrument and has the right to irrevocably grant, bargain, convey and sell the Property to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale. Grantor also warrants that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record.
- 7. DUE ON SALE. Lender may, at its option, declare the entire balance of the Secured Debt to be immediately due and payable upon the creation of, or contract for the creation of, a transfer or sale of all or any part of the Property. This right is subject to the restrictions imposed by federal law (12 C.F.R. 591), as applicable.
- DEFAULT. Grantor will be in default if any of the following occur: 8.


Fraud. Any Consumer Borrower engages in fraud or material misrepresentation in connection with the Secured Debt that is an open end home equity plan.

Payments. Any Consumer Borrower on any Secured Debt that is an open end home equity plan fails to make a payment when due.

Property. Any action or inaction by the Borrower or Grantor occurs that adversely affects the Property or Lender's rights in the Property. This includes, but is not limited to, the following: (a) Grantor fails to maintain required insurance on the Property; (b) Grantor transfers the Property; (c) Grantor commits waste or otherwise destructively uses or fails to maintain the Property such that the action or inaction adversely affects Lender's security; (d) Grantor fails to pay taxes on the Property or otherwise fails to act and thereby causes a lien to be filed against the Property that is senior to the lien of this Security Instrument; (e) a sole Grantor dies; (f) if more than one Grantor, any Grantor dies and Lender's security is adversely affected; (g) the Property is taken through eminent domain; (h) a judgment is filed against Grantor and subjects Grantor and the Property to action that adversely affects Lender's interest; or (i) a prior lienholder forecloses on the Property and as a result, Lender's interest is adversely affected.

Executive Officers. Any Borrower is an executive officer of Lender or an affiliate and such

Page 4 of 8



CLARK,NV

Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:49 PM

8744120

Ň,

REMEDIES ON DEFAULT. In addition to any other remedy available under the terms of this 9. Security Instrument, Lender may accelerate the Secured Debt and foreclose this Security Instrument in a manner provided by law if Grantor is in default. In some instances, federal and state law will require Lender to provide Grantor with notice of the right to cure, or other notices and may establish time schedules for foreclosure actions.


At the option of the Lender, all or any part of the agreed fees and charges, accrued interest and principal shall become immediately due and payable, after giving notice if required by law, upon the occurrence of a default or anytime thereafter. Lender shall be entitled to, without limitation, the power to sell the Property.

If there is a default, Trustee shall, at the request of Lender, advertise and sell the Property as a whole or in separate parcels at public auction to the highest bidder for cash and convey absolute title free and clear of all right, title and interest of Grantor at such time and place as Trustee designates. Trustee shall give notice of sale, including the time, terms and place of sale and a description of the Property to be sold as required by the applicable law.

Upon the sale of the Property and to the extent not prohibited by law, Trustee shall make and deliver a deed to the Property sold which conveys absolute title to the purchaser, and after first paying all fees, charges, and costs, shall pay to Lender all moneys advanced for repairs, taxes, insurance, liens, assessments and prior encumbrances and interest thereon, and the principal and interest on the Secured Debt, paying the surplus, if any, to Grantor. Lender may purchase the Property. The recitals in any deed of conveyance shall be prima facie evidence of the facts set forth therein.

The acceptance by Lender of any sum in payment or partial payment on the Secured Debt after the balance is due or is accelerated or after foreclosure proceedings are filed shall not constitute a waiver of Lender's right to require complete cure of any existing default. By not exercising any remedy on Grantor's default, Lender does not waive Lender's right to later consider the event a default if it happens again.

- 10. EXPENSES; ADVANCES ON COVENANTS; ATTORNEYS' FEES; COLLECTION COSTS. If Grantor breaches any covenant in this Security Instrument, Grantor agrees to pay all expenses Lender incurs in performing such covenants or protecting its security interest in the Property. Such expenses include, but are not limited to, fees incurred for inspecting, preserving, or otherwise protecting the Property and Lender's security interest. These expenses are payable on demand and will bear interest from the date of payment until paid in full at the highest rate of interest in effect as provided in the terms of the Secured Debt. Grantor agrees to pay all costs and expenses incurred by Lender in collecting, enforcing or protecting Lender's rights and remedies under this Security Instrument. This amount may include, but is not limited to, attorneys' fees, court costs, and other legal expenses. To the extent permitted by the United States Bankruptcy Code, Grantor agrees to pay the reasonable attorneys' fees Lender incurs to collect the Secured Debt as awarded by any court exercising jurisdiction under the Bankruptcy Code. This Security Instrument shall remain in effect until released. Grantor agrees to pay for any recordation costs of such release.
- 11. ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES. As used in this section, (1) Environmental Law means, without limitation, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), and all other federal, state and local laws, regulations, ordinances, court orders, attorney general opinions or interpretive letters concerning the public health, safety, welfare, environment or a hazardous substance; and (2) Hazardous Substance means any toxic, radioactive or hazardous material, waste, pollutant or contaminant which has characteristics which render the substance dangerous or potentially dangerous to the public health, safety, welfare or environment. The term includes, without limitation, any substances defined as "hazardous material," "toxic substances," "hazardous waste" or "hazardous substance" under any Environmental Law. Grantor represents, warrants and agrees that:




•

• ••

A. Except as previously disclosed and acknowledged in writing to Lender, no Hazardous Substance is or will be located, stored or released on or in the Property. This restriction does not apply to small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate for the normal use and maintenance of the Property.

B. Except as previously disclosed and acknowledged in writing to Lender, Grantor and every tenant have been, are, and shall remain in full compliance with any applicable Environmental Law.

- C. Grantor shall immediately notify Lender if a release or threatened release of a Hazardous Substance occurs on, under or about the Property or there is a violation of any Environmental Law concerning the Property. In such an event, Grantor shall take all necessary remedial action in accordance with any Environmental Law.
- D. Grantor shall immediately notify Lender in writing as soon as Grantor has reason to believe there is any pending or threatened investigation, claim, or proceeding relating to the release or threatened release of any Hazardous Substance or the violation of any Environmental Law.
- 12. ESCROW FOR TAXES AND INSURANCE. Unless otherwise provided in a separate agreement, Grantor will not be required to pay to Lender funds for taxes and insurance in escrow.
- 13. JOINT AND INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY; CO-SIGNERS; SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS BOUND. All duties under this Security Instrument are joint and individual. If Grantor signs this Security Instrument but does not sign an evidence of debt, Grantor does so only to mortgage Grantor's interest in the Property to secure payment of the Secured Debt and Grantor does not agree to be personally liable on the Secured Debt. If this Security Instrument secures a guaranty between Lender and Grantor, Grantor agrees to waive any rights that may prevent Lender from bringing any action or claim against Grantor or any party indebted under the obligation. These rights may include, but are not limited to, any anti-deficiency or one-action laws. The duties and benefits of this Security Instrument shall bind and benefit the successors and assigns of Grantor and Lender.
- 14. SEVERABILITY; INTERPRETATION. This Security Instrument is complete and fully integrated. This Security Instrument may not be amended or modified by oral agreement. Any section in this Security Instrument, attachments, or any agreement related to the Secured Debt that conflicts with applicable law will not be effective, unless that law expressly or impliedly permits the variations by written agreement. If any section of this Security Instrument cannot be enforced according to its terms, that section will be severed and will not affect the enforceability of the remainder of this Security Instrument. Whenever used, the singular shall include the plural and the plural the singular. The captions and headings of the sections of this Security Instrument are for convenience only and are not to be used to interpret or define the terms of this Security Instrument. Time is of the essence in this Security Instrument.
  - 15. SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE. Lender, at Lender's option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor trustee without any other formality than the designation in writing. The successor trustee, without conveyance of the Property, shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee by this Security Instrument and applicable law.
  - 16. NOTICE. Unless otherwise required by law, any notice shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to the appropriate party's address on page 1 of this Security Instrument, or to any other address designated in writing. Notice to one grantor will be deemed to be notice to all grantors.
  - 17. WAIVERS. Except to the extent prohibited by law, Grantor waives all appraisement and homestead exemption rights relating to the Property.
  - 18. LINE OF CRÉDIT. The Secured Debt includes a revolving line of credit. Although the Secured Debt may be reduced to a zero balance, this Security Instrument will remain in effect until released.



### CLARK,NV

Page 6 of 8

### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:50 PM

•

.

• • • •

4 ...

• :-

1

•


.

•

,

.

· , ·
<ul> <li>19. APPLICABLE LAW. This Security Instrument is governed by the laws as agreed to in the Secured Debt, except to the extent required by the laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located, and applicable federal laws and regulations.</li> <li>20. RIDERS. The covenants and agreements of each of the riders checked below are incorporated into and supplement and amend the terms of this Security Instrument. [Check all applicable boxes]</li> <li>Assignment of Leases and Rents Other</li></ul>
SIGNATURES: By signing below, Grantor agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any attachments. Grantor also acknowledges receipt of a copy of this Security Instrument on the date stated on page 1. (Signature) CRISTELA PEREZ (Date) (Signature) ROBERT ROSE (Date)
ACKNOWLEDGMENT: Nevala COUNTY OF Clark ss. STATE OF COUNTY OF CLARK ss. This instrument was acknowledged before me this 30 the day of Larwary 200 lo. (Individual) by CRISTELA PEREZ AND ROBERT ROSE MARRIED WOMAN SEPERATE PROPERTY My commission expires:
JASON R. BAUCOM Notary Public, State of Nevada Appointment No. 05 95527-1 My Appt. Expires Apr 8, 2009





## Page 7 of 8

## Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:51 PM

## EXHIBIT "A"

#### LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A PARCEL OF LAND SITUATED IN THE STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF CLARK, WITH A STREET LOCATION ADDRESS OF 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVE; LAS VEGAS, NV 89131-0139 CURRENTLY OWNED BY CRISTELA PEREZ HAVING A TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF 125-15-811-013 AND BEING THE SAME PROPERTY MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN BOOK/PAGE OR DOCUMENT NUMBER 40721003728 DATED 7/19/2004 AND FURTHER DESCRIBED AS WYETH RANCH-UNIT 2 PLAT BOOK 112 PAGE 8 LOT 13 BLOCK A PT S2 SE4 SEC 15 TWP 19 RGN 60.

125-15-811-013 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVE; LAS VEGAS, NV 89131-0139

20060131701500 27313887/f



FIRST AMERICAN LENDERS ADVANTAGE DEED OF TRUST

CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914 Page 8 of 8

#### Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:52 PM

# EXHIBIT 6



Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC PO Box 9050 Temecula, CA 92589-9050

Send Payments to: Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC Attn: Payment Processing PO Box 79001 Phoenix, AZ 85062-9001

Send Correspondence to: Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC PO Box 54285 Irvine, CA 92619-4285



PRESORT First-Class Mail U.S. Postage and Fees Paid WSO

2266382873

20121004-51



NO565



October 3, 2012

## CRISTELA PEREZ 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVE LAS VEGAS, NV 89131-0139

Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89131

RE: Loan Number: 7000035044

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO FORECLOSE

Dear Mortgagor(s):

The above referenced loan is in default because the monthly payment(s) due on and after October 1, 2011 have not been received. The amount required to cure this delinquency, as of the date of this letter, is \$36,281.60, less \$0.00, monies held in Unapplied.

SUBSEQUENT PAYMENTS, LATE CHARGES, AND OTHER FEES WILL BE ADDED TO THE ABOVE STATED REINSTATEMENT AMOUNT AS THEY ARE ASSESSED.

Please remit the total amount due in CERTIFIED FUNDS, utilizing one of the following payment resources:

OVERNIGHT MAIL: Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC ATTN: Cashiering Dept. 1610 E. Saint Andrew Place, Ste. B-150 Santa Ana, Ca. 92705 WESTERN UNION QUICK COLLECT Any Western Union Location: Code City: CARRINGTONMS Code State: CA

IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO BRING YOUR ACCOUNT CURRENT, PLEASE CONTACT CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES, LLC TO DISCUSS HOME RETENTION ALTERNATIVES TO AVOID FORECLOSURE AT (888) 788-7306 OR BY MAIL AT 1610 E. SAINT ANDREW PLACE, SUITE B-150, SANTA ANA, CA 92705.

YOU MAY ALSO CONTACT THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT ("HUD") HOTLINE NUMBER AT (800) 569-4287 OR YOU CAN VISIT THEM AT <u>http://www.hud.gov/foreclosure/index.cfm</u> TO FIND OUT OTHER OPTIONS YOU MAY HAVE TO AVOID FORECLOSURE.



NO565 Page 1 of 2

2266385873

Failure to cure the delinquency within 30 days of the date of this letter may result in acceleration of the sums secured by the Deed of Trust or Mortgage and in the sale of the property.

You have the right to reinstate your loan after legal action has begun. You also have the right to assert in foreclosure, the non-existence of a default or any other defense to acceleration and foreclosure.

Should you have any questions, please contact our office at (888) 788-7306, 5:00 AM to 9:00 PM Monday through Thursday, 5:00 AM to 5:00 PM Friday, 6:00 AM to 10:00 AM Saturday and 8:00 AM to 12:00 PM Sunday, Pacific Time.

Sincerely,

Loan Servicing Department Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC

## -IMPORTANT BANKRUPTCY NOTICE

If you have been discharged from personal liability on the mortgage because of bankruptcy proceedings and have not reaffirmed the mortgage, or if you are the subject of a pending bankruptcy proceeding, this letter is not an attempt to collect a debt from you but merely provides informational notice regarding the status of the loan. If you are represented by an attorney with respect to your mortgage, please forward this document to your attorney.

## -CREDIT REPORTING

We may report information about your account to credit bureaus. Late payments, missed payments, or other defaults on your account may be reflected in your credit report. As required by law, you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations.

## -MINI MIRANDA

This communication is from a debt collector and it is for the purpose of collecting a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This notice is required by the provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and does not imply that we are attempting to collect money from anyone who has discharged the debt under the bankruptcy laws of the United States.

### -HUD STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 169 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987, you may have the opportunity to receive counseling from various local agencies regarding the retention of your home. You may obtain a list of the HUD-approved housing counseling agencies by calling the HUD nationwide toll free telephone number at

## (800) 569-4287.

## -EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY ACT NOTICE

The Federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act prohibits creditors from discriminating against credit applicants on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, or age (provided the applicant has the capacity to enter into a binding contract); because all or part of the applicant's income derives from any public assistance program; or because the applicant has, in good faith, exercised any right under the Consumer Credit Protection Act. The Federal Agency that administers CMS' compliance with this law is the Federal Trade Commission, Equal Credit Opportunity, Washington, DC 20580.

NO565 Page 2 of 2

# EXHIBIT 7



Inst #: 201309090001816 Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00 RPTT: \$1568.25 Ex: # 09/09/2013 10:59:56 AM Receipt #: 1763390 Requestor: ALESSI & KOENIG, LLC Recorded By: JACKSM Pgs: 2 DEBBIE CONWAY CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

When recorded mail to and Mail Tax Statements to: SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC 5030 Paradise Road, B-214 Las Vegas, NV 89119

A.P.N. No.125-15-811-013

TS No. 11632

## TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

The Grantee (Buyer) herein was: SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC The Foreclosing Beneficiary herein was: Wyeth Ranch Community Association The amount of unpaid debt together with costs: \$14,677.80 The amount paid by the Grantee (Buyer) at the Trustee's Sale: \$21,000.00 The Documentary Transfer Tax: \$1,568.25 Property address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVE, LAS VEGAS, NV 89131-0139 Said property is in [ ] unincorporated area: City of LAS VEGAS Trustor (Former Owner that was foreclosed on): CRISTELA PEREZ

Alessi & Koenig, LLC (herein called Trustee), as the duly appointed Trustee under that certain Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, recorded December 20, 2011 as instrument number 0001246, in Clark County, does hereby grant, without warranty expressed or implied to: SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC (Grantee), all its right, title and interest in the property legally described as: WYETH RANCH-UNIT 2 PLAT LOT 13 BLOCK A, as per map recorded in Book 112, Pages 8 as shown in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County Nevada.

## TRUSTEE STATES THAT:

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by NRS 116 et seq., and that certain Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, described herein. Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell which was recorded in the office of the recorder of said county. All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices and the posting and publication of the copies of the Notice of Sale have been complied with. Said property was sold by said Trustee at public auction on August 28, 2013 at the Rull place indicated on the Notice of Trustee's Sale.

		Ryan Kerbow, I Signature of AU	Esq. UTHORIZED AGENT for Alessi & Koenig, Llc.
State of Nevada County of Clar		AUG	<b>3 2 9 2013</b> Rvan Kerbow
	and SWORN befor hand and official se NOTAR	al. Y PUBLIC	Ryan Kerbow Alice Hug (Signature)
	STATE OF NEVAD	A. HAGEN A - COUNTY OF CLARK IT EXP. MAY 17, 2017 1-10829-1	

## STATE OF NEVADA **DECLARATION OF VALUE**

1. Assessor Parcel Num	ber(s)		
a. <u>125-15-811-01</u>			
b.	<u> </u>		
с.		<u> </u>	
- ····································		•••	
d.			
2. Type of Property:			L.
a. Vacant Land	b. 🗸	Single Fam. Res.	F
c. Condo/Twnhse	d.	2-4 Plex	
e. Apt. Bldg	f.	Comm'l/Ind'l	D
g. Agricultural	h.	Mobile Home	N
Other			
3.a. Total Value/Sales Pr	rice of H	Property	\$ <u>2</u>
b. Deed in Lieu of Fore			erty(
c. Transfer Tax Value:			\$3
	• •	-	<u>т</u> т

FOR RECORDERS OF	TIONAL USE ONLY
Book	_ Page:
Date of Recording:	
Notes:	

d. Real Property Transfer Tax Due

\$ 21,000.00	
arty (	)
\$ 307,403.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
\$ 1,568.25	

## 4. If Exemption Claimed:

- a. Transfer Tax Exemption per NRS 375.090, Section
- b. Explain Reason for Exemption:
- Partial Interest: Percentage being transferred: 100\_% 5.

The undersigned declares and acknowledges, under penalty of perjury, pursuant to NRS 375.060 and NRS 375.110, that the information provided is correct to the best of their information and belief, and can be supported by documentation if called upon to substantiate the information provided herein. Furthermore, the parties agree that disallowance of any claimed exemption, or other determination of additional tax due, may result in a penalty of 10% of the tax due plus interest at 1% per month. Pursuant to NRS 375.030, the Buyer and Seller shall be jointly and severally liable for any additional amount owed.

Signature	Man Chil	Capacity: Grantor
Signature		Capacity:

## **SELLER (GRANTOR) INFORMATION** (REQUIRED)

Print Name: Alessi & Koenig, LLC Address: 9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Ste. 205 City: Las Vegas Zip: 89147 State: NV

EE) INFORMATION		
UIRED)		
nvestments Pool 1, LLC		
Address: 5030 Paradise Road, B-214		
Zip:89119		

COMPANY/PERSON REQUESTING RECORDING (Required if not seller or buyer)
---

Print Name: Alessi & Koenig, LLC	Escrow # N/A Foreclosure		<b>.</b>
Address: 9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Ste. 205			
City: Las Vegas	State:NV	Zip: 89147	<u>.</u>

AS A PUBLIC RECORD THIS FORM MAY BE RECORDED/MICROFILMED

# "EXHIBIT 3"

"EXHIBIT 3"

1	FFCL		
2			
3			
4	DISTRIC	T COURT	
5		NTY, NEVADA	
6 7 8	MARCHAI, B.T., a Nevada business trust, Plaintiff, v.	Case No.: A-13-689461-C Dept. No. XI Consolidated with: A-16-742327-C	
9 10 11	CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; <i>et al.</i> Defendants.		
12 13	AND ALL RELATED CLAIMS AND ACTIONS		
14	FINDINGS OF FACT A	AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
15 16	This matter having come on for non-jury	v trial before the Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez	
17	on February 22, 2021; Plaintiff Marchai, B.T. (	"Marchai") being represented by its counsel	
18	David J. Merrill, Esq. of the law firm David J. M	Aerrill, P.C.; Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1,	
19	LLC ("SFR") being represented by Karen Hanks, Esq. of the law firm Kim Gilbert Ebron; and		
20	Defendant Wyeth Ranch Community Association	on ("Wyeth Ranch") being represented by David	
21 22	T. Ochoa, Esq. of the law firm of Lipson Neilso	n P.C.; and Defendant Cristela Perez ("Perez")	
22	having been defaulted; the Court having read an	d considered the pleadings filed by the parties;	
24	having reviewed the evidence admitted during the	he trial; having heard and carefully considered	
25	the testimony of the witnesses called to testify a	nd weighing their credibility; having considered	
26	the oral and written arguments of counsel, and w	with the intent of rendering a decision on all	
27			
28		1	

1	remaining issues before the Court, <sup>1</sup> pursuant to NRCP 52(a) and 58; the Court makes the
2	following findings of fact and conclusions of law:
3	PROCEDURAL HISTORY
4	1. In A689461 the Complaint alleges Judicial Foreclosure of Deed of Trust. SFR
5	alleges as Counterclaims & Cross Claims, Declaratory Relief/Quiet Title and Injunctive Relief.
6	2. In A742327 the Complaint alleges Declaratory Relief Under Amendment V of the
7 8	United States Constitution-Takings Clause; Declaratory Relief Under the Due Process Clause of
9	the United States and Nevada Constitutions; Wrongful Foreclosure; Violation for NRS §
10	116.1113 et seq.; Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations; and Quiet Title.
11	3. Default was entered against Perez in A689461 on April 22, 2014.
12	4. In the Order entered March 22, 2016, Judge Bell found that Marchai failed to
13	establish the sale was commercially unreasonable, violated the takings or due process clauses, or
14	that the statute was unconstitutionally vague.
15 16	5. To the extent Marchai's third through sixth cause of action related to taking, due
10	process, or commercial reasonableness, those portions of those causes of action were resolved by
18	the 2016 Order.
19	6. In Judge Bell's Order entered January 24, 2017, Marchai's Quiet Title Claim
20	against Wyeth Ranch was dismissed.
21	7. The October 3, 2017 Order found notice was proper, but found for Marchai based
22	on a determination that Perez's partial payments paid off the superpriority portion of the lien.
23	
24 25	
23 26	<sup>1</sup> On March 18, 2019, the Nevada Supreme Court remanded this matter to the Court, after vacating this Court's prior Judgment in favor of Marchai B.T. The Nevada Supreme Court found that while Judge Bell correctly
27	determined a homeowner's payments can cure the default of the super-priority portion of an Association's lien, an analysis of the intent of the homeowner and the Association as to whether the payments made by the homeowner in
28	this case did in fact cure the super-priority default. Further, the Court directed an analysis of the factors outlined in <i>9352 Cranesbill v. Wells Fargo</i> , 136 NAO 8 (2020).
	2

1	8.	On November 6, 2017, SFR filed its Case Appeal Statement and Notice of		
2	Appeal, appea	aling the determination on the application of Perez's partial payments.		
3	9.	Marchai did not appeal the earlier orders or the determination on notice from the		
4	October 3, 20	17.		
5	10.	On March 18, 2020, the Nevada Supreme Court entered its Order Vacating		
6	Judgment and	Remanding.		
7 8	11.	The Nevada Supreme Court found and affirmed that the 2008 Notice of		
9	Delinquent A	ssessment was the operative notice to review superpriority.		
10	12.	The Nevada Supreme Court found that a borrower's payments could satisfy the		
11	superpriority	portion of an HOA lien. However, the Court remanded on finding that under 9352		
12	Cranesbill Tr	ust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 136 Nev., Adv. Op. 8 (Mar. 5, 2020), the facts		
13	surrounding t	he payments needed to be analyzed to determine if the payments actually satisfied		
14	the superpriority portion of the lien.			
15 16	FINDINGS OF FACT			
17	13.	On October 4, 2002, Wyeth Ranch recorded its Declaration of Covenants,		
18	Conditions, a	nd Restrictions ("CC&Rs") in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as		
19	Instrument No	p. 2002100401353. Wyeth Ranch recorded various amendments.		
20	14.	On July 21, 2004, a Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed transferring the real property		
21	commonly kn	own as 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131, Parcel No. 125-15-		
22 23	811-013 ("Pro	operty") to Perez was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County		
23 24	Recorder as Instrument No. 20040721-0003728 (Exhibit 16).			
25	15.	The Property is in the Wyeth Ranch community.		
26	16.	On October 19, 2005, Perez refinanced her two prior loans by entering into an		
27	Interest First	Adjustable Rate Note ("Note") with CMG Mortgage, Inc. for \$442,000.00.		
28				
		3		

1	17.	On November 9, 2005, CMG Mortgage secured the Note by recording a Deed of		
2	Trust against	the Property as Instrument No. 20051109-0001385 ("DOT").		
3	18.	Eventually, the DOT was assigned to Marchai on March 12, 2013, and the		
4	assignment v	vas recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 201308120002562.		
5	19.	For all relevant time periods to this action, Wyeth Ranch collected association		
6	dues on the first day of each quarter.			
7 8	20.	In 2008, Wyeth Ranch collected \$420.00 per quarter in association dues.		
8 9	21.	Complete Association Management Company ("CAMCO") acted as the		
10	community r	nanagement company for Wyeth Ranch.		
11	22.	Wyeth Ranch retained Alessi & Koenig, LLC ("A&K") as its collection agent,		
12	who collecte	d delinquent assessments from Perez.		
13	23.	Wyeth Ranch had no written documents outlining procedures for applying		
14	payments or partial payments to past due assessments.			
15 16	24.	When Perez submitted payments, there is no evidence she directed how she		
10	wanted the payments applied.			
18	25.	Wyeth Ranch maintained two accounts for the Property, an assessment account		
19	and a violation	on account.		
20	26.	Wyeth Ranch did not maintain separate superpriority and subpriority accounts for		
21	the Property.			
22	27.	On January 1, 2008, Wyeth Ranch assessed Perez a \$420.00 quarterly assessment.		
23	28.	On January 30, 2008, Perez became delinquent in the payment of her quarterly		
24 25	assessments.			
25 26	29.	On April 1, 2008, Wyeth Ranch assessed Perez a \$420.00 quarterly assessment.		
27	30.	Exhibit 138 evidences a "running account" statement for the assessments at the		
28		n April 16, 2008, Wyeth Ranch applied a \$507.60 payment to Perez's account.		

1	Wyeth Ranch applied \$420.00 of the \$507.60 payment to the past due January 2008's association					
2	dues and the remainder (\$87.60) to the current April 2008 association dues.					
3	31. Based upon Exhibit 45, <sup>2</sup> Wyeth Ranch did <i>not</i> apply payments first to late fees or					
4	interest. Instead, it applied payments first to the oldest outstanding association dues and then any					
5	remainder to the next oldest outstanding association dues. <sup>3</sup>					
6	32. On July 1, 2008, Wyeth Ranch assessed Perez a \$420.00 quarterly assessment.					
7	33. On October 1, 2008, Wyeth Ranch assessed Perez a \$420.00 quarterly					
8	assessment.					
9 10	34. On October 2, 2008, Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien by					
11	sending Perez a Notice of Delinquent Assessment (Lien) ("NODA").					
12	35. According to the NODA, executed September 30, 2008, Perez owed Wyeth					
13	Ranch \$1,425.17, including collection costs, attorney's fees, late fees, service charges, and					
14 15	interest. The NODA included the superpriority portion (statutorily permitted 6 months at the					
15	time) of the lien (\$840), subpriority portion of the lien, late fees, A&K's attorney's fees (\$370)					
17	and costs (\$50).					
18	36. The NODA was recorded on October 8, 2008.					
19	37. In 2009, Wyeth Ranch increased its assessments from \$420.00 per quarter to					
20	\$457.50 per quarter.					
21						
22	<sup>2</sup> Exhibit 45 bears a print date of $9/17/2008$ , a received stamp of $9/17/2008$ , and handwritten notations related to late fees and what appears to be the file number for this matter (11632) from A & K, <i>see</i> Exhibit 109. The Court					
23	infers that based upon Exhibit 45, A & K executed the Notice of Delinquent Assessment (Lien) on 9/30/08, in the total amount of \$1425.17 after adding the handwritten late fee entry for 9/08 in the amount of \$11.29. The Notice of					
24	Delinquent Assessment (Lien) recorded on 10/8/08, included the superpriority portion (statutorily permitted 6 months at the time) of the lien (\$840), subpriority portion of the lien, late fees, A & K's attorney's fees (\$370) and					
25	costs (\$50) as reflected in Exhibit 47.					
26	<sup>3</sup> The testimony of Yvette Saucedo of CAMCO is inconsistent with Exhibit 45 and outlines an audit process she and her staff follow on behalf of Wyeth Ranch. The Court finds the information contained in Exhibit 45 credible as it was prepared at the time of the NODA, rather than an after the fact readjustment as described by Ms.					
27 28	Saucedo. According to Ms. Saucedo, no more recent version of the report similar to Exhibit 45 was available. As a result, the Court's analysis is to apply the treatment of the April 16, 2008 payment for all later payments made by					
-	Perez. 5					

1	38.	On January 5, 2009, A&K recorded a Notice of Default and Election to Sell			
2	Under Homeo	owners Association Lien ("NOD") on behalf of Wyeth Ranch in the Official			
3	Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20090105-0002988. The NOD stated				
4	Perez owed V	Vyeth Ranch \$3,096.46 as of December 17, 2008.			
5	39.	On November 5, 2009, Wyeth Ranch executed an Authorization to Conclude			
6	Non-Judicial	Foreclosure and Conduct Trustee Sale. Wyeth Ranch authorized A&K to proceed			
7 8	with the non-	judicial foreclosure of its assessment lien.			
8 9	40.	According to Wyeth Ranch, Perez owed \$3,330.32 in assessments.			
10	41.	In 2010, Wyeth Ranch increased its assessments from \$457.50 to \$478.50 per			
11	quarter.				
12	42.	Under Wyeth Ranch's authorization, on January 14, 2010, A&K recorded a			
13	Notice of Tru	stee's Sale, which set a foreclosure sale for February 17, 2010.			
14	43.	The Notice of Trustee's Sale stated Wyeth Ranch's intention to foreclose the lien			
15	recorded on October 8, 2008.				
16 17	44.	According to the notice, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$6,964.25 for unpaid			
18	assessments.				
19	45.	On February 3, 2010, A&K sent a demand to Perez and her husband, Robert			
20	Rose, in whic	ch A&K claimed that Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$6,977.61.			
21	46.	On February 12, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$900.00. A&K deducted \$309.60 in			
22	collection cos	sts from the \$900 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$590.40) to Wyeth Ranch.			
23	47.	On March 2, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$590.40 disbursement to Perez's			
24 25	account.				
23 26	48.	On March 22, 2010, Perez was provided a payment plan. The payment plan			
27		on April 1, 2010, and required monthly payments of \$669.87. Perez never made a			
28		er the payment plan.			
		6			

1	49.	On May 11, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$300.00. A&K deducted \$95.40 in collection
2	costs from the	e \$300 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$204.60) to Wyeth Ranch.
3	50.	On June 8, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$204.60 disbursement to Perez's
4	account.	
5	51.	On July 2, 2010, A&K sent Perez a letter notifying her that it terminated the
6	payment plan	
7 8	52.	On July 13, 2010, A&K sent Perez a Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale Notification
9	based upon th	ne NODA recorded on October 8, 2008, and the NOD recorded on January 5, 2009.
10	53.	The Pre-Notice of Trustee's Sale demanded payment from Perez for \$19,071.21.
11	54.	On August 2, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$250.00. A&K deducted \$77.24 in
12	collection cos	sts from the \$250 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$172.76) to Wyeth Ranch.
13	55.	On August 20, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$172.76 disbursement to Perez's
14	account; \$172	2.76 for the October 2008 association dues, which left a balance for October 2008
15 16	of \$204.64.	
10	56.	On September 29, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$220.00. A&K deducted \$67.98 in
18	collection cos	sts from the \$220 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$152.02) to Wyeth Ranch.
19	57.	On October 15, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$152.02 disbursement to Perez's
20	account.	
21	58.	On November 30, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$175.00. A&K deducted \$48.82 in
22	collection cos	sts from the \$175 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$126.18) to Wyeth Ranch.
23 24	59.	On December 16, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$126.18 disbursement to
24	Perez's accou	int.
26		
27		
28		
		7

1	60.	On March 9, 2011, A&K recorded a Rescission of Notice of Trustee's Sale, which			
2	rescinded the notice A&K recorded on January 14, 2010. <sup>4</sup>				
3	61.	On March 10, 2011, Perez paid A&K \$160.00. A&K deducted \$40.48 in			
4	collection cos	ts from the \$160 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$119.52) to Wyeth Ranch.			
5	62.	On March 22, 2011, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$119.52 disbursement to Perez's			
6	account.				
7	63.	On March 29, 2011, A&K recorded another Notice of Trustee's Sale based upon			
8 9	the January 5	, 2009 NOD.			
10	64.	On June 2, 2011, Wyeth Ranch executed another authorization to allow A&K to			
11	complete the	non-judicial foreclosure and conduct the trustee sale.			
12	65.	The authorization stated that Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$4,730.03 in delinquent			
13	assessments.				
14	66.	On May 23, 2011, Perez paid A&K \$160.00. A&K deducted \$35.68 in collection			
15	costs from the	e \$160 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$124.32) to Wyeth Ranch.			
16	67.	On June 16, 2011, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$124.32 disbursement to Perez's			
17 18	account.				
10	68.	On August 4, 2011, Perez paid A&K \$165.00.			
20	69.	A&K deducted \$37.29 in collection costs from the \$165 payment and disbursed			
21		: (\$127.71) to Wyeth Ranch.			
22	70.				
23		On August 18, 2011, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$127.71 disbursement to Perez's			
24	account.				
25					
26	4 Althou	gh the notice claims to rescind the Notice of Trustee's Sale recorded on January 11, 2010, A&K did			
27 28	not record a Not	ice of Trustee's Sale on January 11, 2010. It appears that A&K meant it rescinded the notice uary 14, 2010, as it does refer to Instrument Number 2589, which is the January 14, 2010 Notice of			
20	Trustee's Sale.	8			
•					

1	71.	On September 30, 2011, A&K notified Perez that it terminated the payment plan			
2	of April 30, 2	of April 30, 2011.			
3	72.	On October 1, 2011, Perez defaulted under her loan from CMG Mortgage.			
4	73.	In 2011, Wyeth Ranch assessed \$448.50 each quarter for assessments.			
5	74.	On November 29, 2011, A&K sent Perez a lien letter to which A&K attached			
6	another Notic	e of Delinquent Assessment (Lien).			
7 8	75.	According to the notice, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$9,296.56.			
9	76.	On December 20, 2011, A&K recorded the second Notice of Delinquent			
10	Assessment I	ien, but did not release or rescind the NODA it recorded in 2008.			
11	77.	On January 25, 2012, A&K followed up the second Notice of Delinquent			
12	Assessment (	Lien) by mailing Perez a Pre-Notice of Default Letter demanding that Perez pay			
13	Wyeth Ranch \$9,865.06 in past-due assessments.				
14	78.	On February 28, 2012, A&K recorded another Notice of Default and Election to			
15 16	Sell Under H	omeowners Association Lien, but did not release or rescind the NOD it recorded on			
17	January 5, 2009.				
18	79.	According to the notice, as of February 14, 2012, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch			
19	\$10,625.06 in	n unpaid assessments.			
20	80.	The February 28, 2012 notice states that Perez first defaulted on her obligations to			
21	Wyeth Ranch	in January 2008.			
22	81.	On March 19, 2012, Perez paid A&K \$300.00. A&K deducted \$87.30 in			
23 24	collection costs from the \$300 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$212.70) to Wyeth Ranch.				
24	82.	On April 3, 2012, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$212.70 disbursement to Perez's			
26	account.				
27	83.	On May 7, 2012, Perez paid A&K \$295.00. A&K deducted \$85.84 in collection			
28	costs from the	e \$295 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$209.16) to Wyeth Ranch. 9			

1	84.	On May 23, 2012, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$209.16 disbursement to Perez's			
2	account.				
3	85.	On May 25, 2012, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as the			
4	nominee for CMG Mortgage, assigned CMG Mortgage's deed of trust to CitiMortgage, Inc.				
5	CMG Mortga	ge endorsed the note payable to the order of CitiMortgage. On June 5, 2012,			
6	CitiMortgage	recorded a Corporate Assignment of Deed of Trust.			
7 8	86.	On July 18, 2012, A&K sent Perez a Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale Notification, in			
9	which A&K o	demanded that Perez pay Wyeth Ranch \$11,371.07.			
10	87.	Ostensibly, A&K sent the Pre-Notice of Trustee's Sale Notification according to			
11	the Notice of	Delinquent Assessment Lien recorded on December 20, 2011, and the Notice of			
12	Default and E	Election to Sell recorded nearly three years earlier on January 5, 2009.			
13	88.	On July 26, 2012, Perez paid A&K \$165.00. A&K deducted \$43.72 in collection			
14	costs from the \$165 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$121.28) to Wyeth Ranch.				
15 16	89.	On July 26, 2012, CitiMortgage assigned the deed of trust to U.S. Bank, N.A., as			
17	trustee for Stanwich Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2012-6. CitiMortgage also signed an allonge,				
18	endorsing the	note payable to U.S. Bank. On July 26, 2012, U.S. Bank recorded the Assignment			
19	of Mortgage	with the Clark County Recorder.			
20	90.	On August 27, 2012, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$121.28 disbursement to Perez's			
21	account.				
22	91.	On October 3, 2012, Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC, the servicer for the loan			
23 24	assigned to U.S. Bank, sent Perez a Notice of Intent to Foreclose.				
25	92.	According to the notice, Perez defaulted on the loan on October 1, 2011, and			
26	owed U.S. Bank \$36,281.60.				
27	93.	On October 10, 2012, A&K prepared another Notice of Trustee's Sale.			
28					
		10			

1	94.	According to the notice, A&K stated its intention to sell the Property at a		
2	foreclosure sa	ale on November 28, 2012. The notice claims that A&K will conduct the sale		
3	according to the lien recorded on December 20, 2012. According to the notice, Perez owed			
4	\$11,656.07.			
5	95.	On October 31, 2012, A&K recorded the Notice of Trustee's Sale, but did not		
6	rescind the No	otice of Trustee's Sale it recorded on March 29, 2011.		
7 8	96.	On November 13, 2012, Perez made a \$300.00 payment to A&K. A&K deducted		
8 9	\$78.90 in coll	ection costs from the \$300 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$221.10) to		
10	Wyeth Ranch			
11	97.	On December 14, 2012, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$221.10 disbursement to		
12	Perez's accou	nt.		
13	98.	On March 12, 2013, U.S. Bank assigned its interest in the deed of trust to		
14	Marchai, which it recorded with the Clark County Recorder on August 12, 2013. U.S. Bank			
15 16	executed an allonge endorsing the note to Marchai.			
10	99.	On July 11, 2013, A&K executed another Notice of Trustee's Sale.		
18	100.	The notice claimed that Perez owed \$14,090.80 in unpaid assessments.		
19	101.	According to the notice, A&K intended to sell the Property at a foreclosure sale		
20	on August 28	, 2013.		
21	102.	On July 31, 2013, A&K recorded the notice with the Clark County Recorder, but		
22	again failed to	o rescind the Notice of Trustee's Sale recorded on October 31, 2012.		
23 24	103.	On August 27, 2013, less than 24 hours before the foreclosure sale, Peak Loan		
24	Servicing, Ma	archai's servicer, learned about the sale. Peak immediately contacted A&K and		
26	asked it to pos	stpone the sale so it could pay the lien.		
27	104.	On the morning of the day of the sale (August 28, 2013), Naomi Eden at A&K		
28	emailed Brittr	ney O'Connor, the accounting clerk at CAMCO, in which she notes that "[t]he 11		

1	mortgage company is asking for an extension so they can get it paid off." Eden asked O'Connor			
2	if A&K could postpone the sale.			
3	105. O'Connor responded to the email asking Eden how many oral postponements			
4	Wyeth Ranch had remaining.			
5	106. Eden advised O'Connor that Wyeth Ranch still had three postponements left.			
6	107. O'Connor then emailed Michele Weaver, a CAMCO manager. O'Connor told			
7 8	Weaver that Wyeth Ranch had a foreclosure sale set for that morning, that it could postpone the			
9	sale three times, and that "[t]he mortgage company would like an extension so they can pay off			
10	the account."			
11	108. In her email to Weaver, O'Connor said she "will use all postponements then go to			
12	sale on the 3rd sale date set," "[u]nless otherwise directed by the board." Unless the association			
13	directed otherwise, postponing foreclosure sales until the third sale date was CAMCO's standard			
14	practice.			
15 16	109. According to the last email in the chain, Weaver "received confirmation" that			
10	Wyeth Ranch did "NOT want to postpone."			
18	110. Wyeth Ranch refused to postpone the sale so Marchai could pay off the account			
19	and proceeded with the foreclosure.			
20	111. On August 28, 2013, A&K conducted a foreclosure sale.			
21	112. The Wyeth Ranch foreclosure sale occurred on August 28, 2013. At the			
22	foreclosure sale, SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC, submitted the winning bid of \$21,000.00.			
23 24	113. On September 9, 2013, a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale ("Trustee's Deed") was			
24 25	recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder, conveying the Property to SFR.			
26	114. At the time of the foreclosure, Wyeth Ranch's assessment ledger reflected a			
27	\$10,679.12 balance. There is no differentiation between superpriority and subpriority portions of			
28	the lien. 12			

I					
	115 Development de dichemennente new itte date Weedt Development her A.C.V freu de				
1	115. Based upon the disbursements remitted to Wyeth Ranch by A&K after the				
2	NODA, the Court finds th	hat the following amou	nts were applied to the running account:		
3					
4	Date	Disbursement	Superpriority Balance	l	
5 6	9/30/08		840.00	l	
7	3/2/10	590.40	249.60	l	
8	6/8/10	204.60	45.00	l	
9	8/20/10	172.76	(-127.76)	1	
10					
11	116. The disbu	sements from A&K ext	tinguished the superpriority portion of th	e lien in	
12	August 2010, well before	the foreclosure sale.			
13	117. Even if the	e Court did not find that	Wyeth Ranch applied the disbursements	s to the	
14	oldest outstanding deling	uent assessment, the pri	nciples of justice and equity in this case	weigh	
15	in favor of the application of those disbursements to the oldest delinquent assessment and the				
16 17	extinguishment of the superpriority portion of the lien.				
17					
19					
20	the issues related to superpriority HOA liens and the risks associated with purchasing a property				
21	at this type of auction.				
22	119. Wyeth Ranch received payment in full (\$10,679.12) of its assessment lien.				
23	120. The Declaration of Value asserts that the Property has a "Transfer Tax Value" of				
24	\$307,403.00.				
25	121. The Prope	rty's fair market value o	on August 28, 2013, was \$360,000.00.		
26	122. If any of the preceding findings of fact are more appropriately deemed				
27	conclusions of law, then they shall be considered conclusions of law.				
28					
		1	3		

1	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
2	123. The analysis made in this bench trial is limited to the matters on remand to the			
3	Court which includes:			
4	a. Whether Perez's payments actually cured the superpriority default, based upon the			
5	actions and intent of the homeowner and the HOA and, if those cannot be determined, upon the			
6	District Court's assessment of justice and equity.			
7 8	b. SFR's purported status as a bona fide purchaser.			
° 9	124. Additionally, the Court evaluates the dispute between Wyeth Ranch and Marchai			
10	related to the conduct of the foreclosure sale and issues related to application and remittance of			
11	the proceeds of the sale.			
12	125. NRS 40.010 provides that "an action may be brought by any person against			
13	another who claims an estate or interest in real property adverse to the person bringing the action, for the purpose of determining such adverse claim." NRS § 40.010.			
14				
15 16	126. "In a quiet title action, the burden of proof rests with the plaintiff to prove good			
17	title in himself." See Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp., 112 Nev. 663, 669, 918 P.2d 314, 318			
18	(1996).			
19	127. NRS 116.3116 grants an association "a lien on a unit for any construction penalty			
20	that is imposed against the unit's owner pursuant to NRS 116.31035, any assessment levied			
21	against that unit or any fines imposed against the unit's owner from the time the construction			
22	penalty, assessment or fine becomes due." NRS § 116.3116(1) (2011). <sup>5</sup>			
23 24	128. An association's lien "is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit			
25	except:"			
26				
27	<sup>5</sup> The Legislature has amended NRS 116 several times in the time between when Wyeth Ranch initiated the			
28	foreclosure process and ultimately completed the foreclosure.			
	14			

1	(a) Liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration;		
2	(b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent; and		
3	(c) Liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit		
4	NRS § 116.3116(2) (2011).		
5	129. NRS 116.3116(2) also provided:		
6			
7 8	The lien is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become		
9	due in the absence of acceleration during the 6 months <i>immediately preceding</i> <i>institution of an action to enforce the lien</i>		
10	NRS § 116.3116 (2003) (emphasis added). <sup>6</sup>		
11	130. Although the association's lien includes all "assessments," the lien has two parts:		
12			
13	a superpriority piece, "consisting of the last nine months of HOA dues," and a subpriority piece		
14	consisting of all other "assessments." SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., 130 Nev. 742,		
15	745, 334 P.3d 408, 411 (2014).		
16	131. The "superpriority" piece of the association's lien has priority over the first deed		
17	of trust, but the "subpriority" part is subordinate. SFR, 130 Nev. at 745, 334 P.3d at 411.		
18	132. In 2008, NRS 116 limited the superpriority portion of an association's lien to the		
19 20	"6 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien." NRS §		
20 21	116.3116(2).		
21	133. An association institutes an action to enforce the lien through the service of a		
23	notice of delinquent assessment. See Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way v. JP		
24	Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., 133 Nev. 21, 26, 388 P.3d 226, 231 (2017).		
25	1101 gan Chuse Dunn, 11.21., 155 1104. 21, 20, 500 1 .50 220, 251 (2017).		
26			
27	<sup>6</sup> When Wyeth Ranch sent Perez the NODA in October 2008, the statute granted association's superpriority of only six, not nine, months of dues. See NRS & 116 3116(2) (2003). The Legislature amended the section to grant a		
28	of only six, not nine, months of dues. <i>See</i> NRS § 116.3116(2) (2003). The Legislature amended the section to grant a superpriority lien of nine months in October 2009. <i>See</i> NRS § 116.3116(2) (2009).		
	15		

1       134. The lien's superpriority portion does not include collection fees, late fees, interest,         1       or foreclosure costs. Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n v. Ikon Holdings, LLC, 132         3       Nev. 362, 371, 373 P.3d 66, 70 (2016).         4       135. Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien on October 8, 2008, when it         5       served and recorded the NODA.         6       136. Only those association dues that came due between April 1, 2008, and September         7       30, 2008 - the six months before Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien - had         9       superpriority status. <sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,         10       133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n, 132 Nev. at 371,         137       37 P.3d at 70.         12       137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six         months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien; April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.         138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.         139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments         140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.         141. A&K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection         costs.       142. A&K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remain				
Nev. 362, 371, 373 P.3d 66, 70 (2016).         135.       Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien on October 8, 2008, when it         served and recorded the NODA.       136.         136.       Only those association dues that came due between April 1, 2008, and September         30, 2008 - the six months before Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien - had         superpriority status. <sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,         133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass 'n, 132 Nev. at 371,         373 P.3d at 70.         137.       Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six         months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.         138.       Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien; Perez made payments         totaling \$3,390.00.       140.         140.       Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.         141.       A&K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The         Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association         dues.	1	134. The lien's superpriority portion does not include collection fees, late fees, interest,		
4       135. Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien on October 8, 2008, when it         5       served and recorded the NODA.         136. Only those association dues that came due between April 1, 2008, and September         30, 2008 - the six months before Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien - had         9       superpriority status. <sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticay Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,         10       133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n, 132 Nev. at 371,         373 P.3d at 70.       137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six         13       months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.         14       138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.         15       139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments         140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.         141. A&K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection         costs.       142. A&K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The         Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association         dues.       -         26       7         Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-	2	or foreclosure costs. Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n v. Ikon Holdings, LLC, 132		
<ul> <li>served and recorded the NODA.</li> <li>136. Only those association dues that came due between April 1, 2008, and September</li> <li>30, 2008 - the six months before Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien - had</li> <li>superpriority status.<sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,</li> <li>133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n, 132 Nev. at 371,</li> <li>373 P.3d at 70.</li> <li>137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six</li> <li>months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.</li> <li>138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.</li> <li>139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments</li> <li>totaling \$3,390.00.</li> <li>140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li>costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> </ul>	3	Nev. 362, 371, 373 P.3d 66, 70 (2016).		
and recorded the NODA.         136.       Only those association dues that came due between April 1, 2008, and September         7       30, 2008 - the six months before Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien - had         9       superpriority status. <sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,         10       133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n, 132 Nev. at 371,         11       373 P.3d at 70.         12       137.         137.       Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six         138       months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.         14       138.       Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.         15       139.       After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments         16       totaling \$3,390.00.       140.       Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.         19       141.       A&K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection         133       costs.       142.       A&K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The         134       Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association       dues.         135	4	135. Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien on October 8, 2008, when it		
<ul> <li>136. Only those association dues that came due between April 1, 2008, and September</li> <li>30, 2008 - the six months before Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien - had</li> <li>superpriority status.<sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,</li> <li>133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass 'n, 132 Nev. at 371,</li> <li>373 P.3d at 70.</li> <li>137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six</li> <li>months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.</li> <li>138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.</li> <li>139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments</li> <li>totaling \$3,390.00.</li> <li>140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li>costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>	5	served and recorded the NODA.		
30, 2008 - the six months before Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien - had9superpriority status. <sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,10133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n, 132 Nev. at 371,11373 P.3d at 70.12137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six14inonths preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.15138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.15139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments16140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.19141. A&K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection10costs.11142. A&K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The12Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association13dues.13140. Perez Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-		136. Only those association dues that came due between April 1, 2008, and September		
<ul> <li>superpriority status.<sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,</li> <li>133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n, 132 Nev. at 371,</li> <li>373 P.3d at 70.</li> <li>137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six</li> <li>months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.</li> <li>138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.</li> <li>139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments</li> <li>totaling \$3,390.00.</li> <li>140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li>costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> </ul>		30, 2008 - the six months before Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien - had		
10133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass 'n, 132 Nev. at 371,11373 P.3d at 70.12137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six13months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.14138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.15139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments16140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.19141. A&K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection20costs.21142. A&K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The22Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association23dues.24726727Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-		superpriority status. <sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,		
<ul> <li>12</li> <li>137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.</li> <li>138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.</li> <li>139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments totaling \$3,390.00.</li> <li>140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association dues.</li> <li><sup>25</sup></li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>		133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n, 132 Nev. at 371,		
<ul> <li><sup>13</sup> months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.</li> <li><sup>14</sup> 138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.</li> <li><sup>15</sup> 139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments</li> <li><sup>16</sup> totaling \$3,390.00.</li> <li><sup>140.</sup> Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li><sup>141.</sup> A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li><sup>152.</sup> costs.</li> <li><sup>142.</sup> A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li><sup>143.</sup> Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li><sup>144.</sup> dues.</li> </ul>	11	373 P.3d at 70.		
<ul> <li>months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.</li> <li>138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.</li> <li>139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments</li> <li>totaling \$3,390.00.</li> <li>140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li>costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>	12	137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six		
<ul> <li>138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.</li> <li>139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments</li> <li>totaling \$3,390.00.</li> <li>140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li>costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>	13			
<ul> <li>15</li> <li>139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments</li> <li>totaling \$3,390.00.</li> <li>140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li>costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>	14			
<ul> <li>totaling \$3,390.00.</li> <li>140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li>costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li>costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>19</li> <li>141. A&amp;K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>costs.</li> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> <li>Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>142. A&amp;K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The</li> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association</li> <li>dues.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>	21			
<ul> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>7 Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>	22			
<ul> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>7 Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-</li> </ul>	23			
<sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-	24	dues.		
26 Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de-	25			
		linquent assessment was the operative notice for the institution of an action to enforce the lien. But Judge Bell pre-		
<ul> <li>Viously rejected that argument and the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed that the September 2008 notice of defin-</li> <li>quent assessment was the operative notice for the institution of an action to enforce the lien. <i>See SFR Invs. Pool 1</i>,</li> <li><i>LLC v. Marchai, B.T.</i>, No. 74416, Order Vacating J. &amp; Remanding at 1–2 (Mar. 18, 2020).</li> </ul>				
16	20			

1	143. The payments by Perez more than satisfied the superpriority portion of Wyeth			
2	Ranch's lien prior to foreclosure.			
3	144. If the Court were to conduct an analysis of the basic principles of justice and			
4	equity so that a fair result can be achieved," 9352 Cranesbill Tr., 136 Nev. at 80, 459 P.3d at			
5	231, that analysis would militate in favor of the satisfaction of the superpriority portion of the			
6	lien through the payments made by Perez.			
7 8	145. Although Wyeth Ranch had one lien, it maintained two accounts: a violation			
9	account and an assessment account.			
10	146. A&K also maintained an account for collection costs.			
11	147. When Perez made a payment to A&K after Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to			
12	enforce the lien, it first applied a portion of those payments (totaling \$1,008.25) to its collection			
13	account before remitting the balance to Wyeth Ranch. None of the \$2,381.75 A&K disbursed to			
14 15	Wyeth Ranch went to collection costs.			
15 16	148. When Wyeth Ranch received the \$2,381.75 disbursements from A&K, it applied			
17	all payments to its assessment account. Wyeth Ranch applied none of those payments to the			
18	violation account.			
19	149. Wyeth Ranch applied the \$2,381.75 to one running account: the assessment			
20	account. Because payments to one running account are applied to the oldest amounts due,			
21	Perez's payments satisfied the superpriority portion of Wyeth Ranch's lien.			
22	150. This conclusion is also in the interests of justice and equity. Under this analysis,			
23 24	Perez, who did not abandon the Property but for five years made payments to Wyeth Ranch			
25	totaling \$3,390.00, receives the benefit of having any deficiency reduced by the fair market value			
26	of the Property at the time Marchai forecloses. SFR, who paid a mere \$21,000.00 for its interest			
27	in the Property, takes the Property subject to the DOT and has rented the property for the last			
28	seven years and may be entitled to excess proceeds of sale. 17			

1	151. As SFR is in the business of purchasing properties at HOA foreclosures it is not a		
2	bona fide purchaser but is well aware of the risks associated with superpriority issues.		
3	152. When Wyeth Ranch foreclosed, it foreclosed upon a subpriority lien, and		
4	Marchai's DOT survived Wyeth Ranch's foreclosure.		
5	153. The Court rules for Marchai on its claim for quiet title and against SFR on its		
6	claim for declaratory relief/quiet title.		
7 8	154. As SFR's declaratory relief/quiet title claim fails, the Court must also dismiss		
° 9	SFR's request for injunctive relief seeking to enjoin Marchai from foreclosing on its deed of		
10	trust.		
11	155. A wrongful foreclosure occurs when "no breach of condition or failure of		
12	performance existed which would have authorized the foreclosure." Collins v. Union Fed.		
13	Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 99 Nev. 284, 304, 662 P.2d 610, 623 (1983).		
14	156. "[T]he material issue of fact in a wrongful foreclosure claim is whether the trustor		
15	was in default when the power of sale was exercised." <i>Id</i> .		
16 17	157. It is indisputable that Perez defaulted on subpriority amounts of Wyeth Ranch's		
18	lien.		
19	158. As Wyeth Ranch foreclosed upon a subpriority lien, Marchai has no claim for		
20	wrongful foreclosure.		
21	159. The only "duties" owed to Marchai are outlined in Sections 116.3116 through		
22	116.31168. Wyeth Ranch satisfied these duties by complying with all notice and recording		
23	requirements.		
24	160. NRS 116.1113 does not impose extra-statutory duties on an HOA; it only governs		
25 26	existing contracts and duties.		
20 27			
28	161. Here, the notice requirements of Sections 116.3116 through 116.31168 have already been reviewed on appeal, and the HOA has complied with the notice requirements. 18		

1	Similarly, it has already been determined on appeal that the HOA was not required to postpone		
2	the sale to provide Marchai additional time pay.		
3	162. Plaintiff never mentions in its Complaint a misapplication of proceeds, excess		
4	proceeds, or NRS 116.31164(3)(c)'s payment breakdown.		
5	163. An interpleader action was filed by A&K (A-13-690586-C) regarding excess		
6	proceeds. It would be unduly prejudicial to direct a misapplication of proceeds claim against the		
7 8	HOA after A&K has filed bankruptcy and preventing the HOA from seeking any redress it may		
9	have against A&K, if A&K misapplied the proceeds from the sale.		
10	164. Plaintiff did not file an unjust enrichment claim or establish at trial that Wyeth		
11	Ranch was unjustly enriched.		
12	165. NRS § 116.1113 imposes an obligation of good faith in the performance or		
13	enforcement of every contract or duty governed by NRS Chapter 116.		
14	166. Wyeth Ranch has not violated NRS 116.1113. $\langle$		
15 16	167. Marchai's claim for bad faith against Wyeth Ranch is dismissed.		
17	168. Perez defaulted on subpriority amounts of Wyeth Ranch's lien.		
18	169. Because Wyeth Ranch foreclosed upon a subpriority lien, Marchai has no claim		
19	against Wyeth Ranch for breach of its obligations under NRS § 116.1113.		
20	170. Marchai's claim under NRS § 116.1113 is dismissed.		
21	171. To establish a claim for intentional interference with a contract, a plaintiff must		
22	prove it entered into a valid and existing contract, the defendant knew of the contract, the		
23 24	defendant engaged in intentional acts intended or designed to disrupt the contractual relationship,		
24 25	the contract was disrupted, and the plaintiff suffered damages. J.J. Indus., LLC v. Bennett, 119		
26	Nev. 269, 274, 71 P.3d 1264, 1267 (2003).		
27	172. The Note and DOT evidenced a valid and existing contract between Marchai and		
28	Perez. 19		

1	173. Wyeth Ranch and SFR knew of Marchai's contract with Perez, because the			
2	recorded DOT and assignments are matters of public record.			
3	174. The foreclosure was not intended to disrupt, nor did it disrupt, the contract that			
4	contemplates the foreclosure.			
5	175. As Perez's payments satisfied the superpriority portion of Wyeth Ranch's lien,			
6	Marchai's contract with Perez was not disrupted, and Marchai suffered no damages.			
7 8	176. Marchai's claim for intentional interference with contractual relations is			
° 9	dismissed.			
10	177. It is not disputed that a portion of the assessment lien remained after Perez's			
11	payments were applied, and Perez was in default at the time of the sale.			
12	178. It is irrelevant to the wrongful foreclosure claim whether the remaining portion			
13	was superpriority or subpriority, because the HOA never made an affirmative representation at			
14	the time of the sale that it was foreclosing on a superpriority portion of lien.			
15 16	179. Wyeth Ranch was not required to make an announcement regarding superpriority			
17	at the time of the foreclosure sale.			
18	180. NRS 40.430 <i>et seq.</i> provides the statutory framework for judicial actions for			
19	foreclosure of real mortgages in Nevada and "must be construed to permit a secured creditor to			
20	realize upon the collateral for a debt or other obligation agreed upon by the debtor and creditor			
21	when the debt or other obligation was incurred." NRS § 40.230 (2).			
22 23	181. In an action for judicial foreclosure, "the judgment must be rendered for the			
23 24	amount found due the plaintiff, and the court, by its decree or judgment, may direct a sale of the			
25	encumbered property, or such part thereof as is necessary, and apply the proceeds of the sale as			
26	provided in NRS 40.462." NRS § 40.430(1).			
27				
28				
	20			


1	182. "[A] creditor of a note secured by real property must first pursue judicial			
2	foreclosure before recovering from the debtor directly." McDonald v. D.P. Alexander & Las			
3	Vegas Boulevard, LLC, 121 Nev. 812, 816, 123 P.3d 748, 750 (2005).			
4	183. To enforce a deed of trust through foreclosure, the same party must hold the deed			
5	of trust and underlying promissory note. Edelstein v. Bank of New York Mellon, 128 Nev. 505,			
6	512, 286 P.3d 249, 254 (2012) (citing Cervantes v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., 656 F.3d			
7	1034, 1039 (9th Cir. 2011)).			
8 9	184. Separation of the note and deed of trust does not preclude enforcement when the			
10	documents are ultimately unified in the same holder. <i>Edelstein</i> , 128 Nev. at 520, 286 P.3d at 259			
11	(citing In re Tucker, 441 B.R. 638, 644 (Bankr. W.D. Mo. 2010)).			
12	185. "To prove that a previous beneficiary properly assigned its beneficial interest in			
13	the deed of trust, the new beneficiary can demonstrate the assignment by means of a signed			
14	writing." Edelstein, 128 Nev. at 522, 286 P.3d at 260 (citing Leyva v. Nat'l Default Servicing			
15	<i>Corp.</i> , 127 Nev. 470, 255 P.3d 1275, 1279 (2011)).			
16 17	186. This requirement parallels the requirements for assignment of an interest in lands			
17	generally, which "must be in writing, subscribed by the party creating, granting, assigning, or			
19	declaring the same, or by the party's lawful agent thereunto authorized in writing." NRS			
20	§111.205(1).			
21	187. An assignment of a beneficial interest in a deed of trust must further be recorded			
22	in the recorder's office of the county where the property is located. NRS § 106.210 (2015).			
23	188. Through MERS, CMG Mortgage assigned the Deed of Trust to CitiMortgage,			
24	who assigned it to U.S. Bank, who ultimately assigned it to Marchai.			
25 26				
26 27	189. The assignments satisfy the above requirements: they are in writing, subscribed to			
28	by the agent of the prior beneficiary, and recorded in Clark County where the Property is located.			
	190. Marchai, as the beneficiary of the DOT, may enforce it. 21			

1	191. For a subsequent lender to establish it may enforce a note, it must "present			
2	evidence showing endorsement of the note either in its favor or in favor of [its servicer]."			
3	Edelstein, 128 Nev. at 522, 286 P.3d at 261 (citing In re Veal, 250 B.R. 897, 921 (9th Cir. BAP			
4	2011)); see also Leyva, 255 P.3d at 1279.			
5	192. When a promissory note is endorsed to another party, the UCC permits a note to			
6	"be made payable to bearer or payable to order," depending on the endorsement. Leyva, 255 P.3d			
7	at 1280 (citing NRS § 104.3109).			
8 9	193. The Note is payable to the order of Marchai. CMG Mortgage endorsed the Note			
10	payable to the order of CitiMortgage. CitiMortgage then executed an allonge making the Note			
11	payable to U.S. Bank, who then executed another allonge making the Note payable to Marchai.			
12	194. Marchai may enforce the Note.			
13	195. Perez must pay the principal and interest on the debt evidenced by the Note, and			
14	failure to make such payments constitutes default and breach of the Note and DOT.			
15	196. Upon default, the DOT's beneficiary must notify Perez of the breach and provide			
16 17	30 days to cure.			
18	197. If Perez fails to cure, the beneficiary may accelerate the Note's full payment and			
19	invoke the power of sale and any other remedies permitted by law.			
20	198. Perez failed to make the October 1, 2011 payment on the Note and all payments			
21	due after that, resulting in default under the Note and DOT.			
22	199. On October 3, 2012, the loan servicer gave notice of the breach to Perez.			
23	200. Perez failed to cure the breach within 30 days, and Marchai elected to accelerate			
24	the amounts owed.			
25 26	201. Marchai is entitled to a judgment of this Court ordering the Property sold at			
26 27				
28	foreclosure to satisfy the amounts due under the Note.			
	22			

1	202. Based upon the Court's conclusion related to the satisfaction of the superpriority		
2	portion of the lien, prior to the sale SFR took subject to the Note and DOT. SFR as a successor		
3	in interest to Perez, is entitled to all notices related to any sale of the Property by Marchai.		
4	203. If any of the above conclusions of law are more appropriately characterized as		
5	findings of fact, then they shall be deemed findings of fact.		
6	Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and other		
7	good cause appearing:		
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that as to		
9 10	Plaintiff's Claim for Declaratory Relief/Quiet Title, the Court finds in favor of Marchai that the		
11	Deed of Trust was not extinguished by the HOA foreclosure as the superpriority portion of the		
12	HOA lien was extinguished by Perez's payments;		
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that SFR's interest in the Property is subordinate		
14	and subject to the interest of Marchai.		
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Marchai's claim for judicial foreclosure of		
16			
17	the Property is granted.		
18	Dated this 5 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2021		
19 20			
20	EI, HAMO, D		
22	Elizabeth Gonzalez, District Quer Judge		
23	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
24	I hereby certify that on the date filed, a copy of the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law was electronically served, pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9, to all registered parties in the Eighth Judi-		
25	cial District Court Electronic Filing Program.		
26	/s/ Dan Kutinac Dan Kutinac		
27	Dan Kutinac, JEA		
28			
1	23		

## "EXHIBIT 4"

## "EXHIBIT 4"



1	Certificate of Service		
2	I hereby certify that on the 11th day of N	Aarch 2021, a copy of the Notice of Entry of Find-	
3	ings of Fact, Conclusions of Law was served electronically to the following through the Court's		
4	electronic service system:		
5	Kim Gilbert Ebron		
6	Diana Cline Ebron	diana@kgelegal.com	
7	Michael L. Sturm Tomas Valerio	eservice@kgelegal.com mike@kgelegal.com staff@kgelegal.com	
	Lipson, Neilson, Cole, Seltzer & Garin, P.C.		
	Brenda Correa Kaleb Anderson	bcorrea@lipsonneilson.com kanderson@lipsonneilson.com mhummel@lipsonneilson.com	
	Megan Hummel	mhummel@lipsonneilson.com rrittenhouse@lipsonneilson.com	
	Susana Nutt	snutt@lipsonneilson.com	
	David Ochoa	jcerezo@lipsonneilson.com dochoa@lipsonneilson.com	
		Dightig	
		An employee of David J. Merrill, P.C.	
<u>2</u> 4			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 12 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2       I hereby certify that on the 11th day of N         3       ings of Fact, Conclusions of Law was served electronic service system:         5       Kim Gilbert Ebron         6       Diana Cline Ebron         7       Michael L. Sturm         8       Tomas Valerio         9       Lipson, Neilson, Cole, Seltzer & Garin, P.C.         9       Brenda Correa         0       Kaleb Anderson         11       Renee Rittenhouse         21       Juan Cerezo         23       David Ochoa         34       1         55       1         64       1         75       1         76       1         77       1         89       1         99       1         99       1         90       1         91       1         92       1         93       1         94       1         95       1         96       1         97       1         98       1         99       1         91       1         92       1	

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935

		3/8/2021 1:39 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT	
1	FFCL	Column	
2			
3			
4	DISTRIC	I COURT	
5	CLARK COUN	NTY, NEVADA	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MARCHAI, B.T., a Nevada business trust, Plaintiff, v. CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; <i>et al.</i> Defendants. AND ALL RELATED CLAIMS AND ACTIONS	Case No.: A-13-689461-C Dept. No. XI Consolidated with: A-16-742327-C	
14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</li> <li>This matter having come on for non-jury trial before the Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez</li> <li>on February 22, 2021; Plaintiff Marchai, B.T. ("Marchai") being represented by its counsel</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	LLC ("SFR") being represented by Karen Hanks, Esq. of the law firm Kim Gilbert Ebron; and Defendant Wyeth Ranch Community Association ("Wyeth Ranch") being represented by David T. Ochoa, Esq. of the law firm of Lipson Neilson P.C.; and Defendant Cristela Perez ("Perez") having been defaulted; the Court having read and considered the pleadings filed by the parties; having reviewed the evidence admitted during the trial; having heard and carefully considered		
25	the testimony of the witnesses called to testify an	d weighing their credibility; having considered	
26 27 28	the oral and written arguments of counsel, and w		
I	l		

**Electronically Filed** 

1	remaining issues before the Court, <sup>1</sup> pursuant to NRCP 52(a) and 58; the Court makes the
2	following findings of fact and conclusions of law:
3	PROCEDURAL HISTORY
4	1. In A689461 the Complaint alleges Judicial Foreclosure of Deed of Trust. SFR
5	alleges as Counterclaims & Cross Claims, Declaratory Relief/Quiet Title and Injunctive Relief.
6	2. In A742327 the Complaint alleges Declaratory Relief Under Amendment V of the
7 8	United States Constitution-Takings Clause; Declaratory Relief Under the Due Process Clause of
9	the United States and Nevada Constitutions; Wrongful Foreclosure; Violation for NRS §
10	116.1113 et seq.; Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations; and Quiet Title.
11	3. Default was entered against Perez in A689461 on April 22, 2014.
12	4. In the Order entered March 22, 2016, Judge Bell found that Marchai failed to
13	establish the sale was commercially unreasonable, violated the takings or due process clauses, or
14	that the statute was unconstitutionally vague.
15 16	5. To the extent Marchai's third through sixth cause of action related to taking, due
10	process, or commercial reasonableness, those portions of those causes of action were resolved by
18	the 2016 Order.
19	6. In Judge Bell's Order entered January 24, 2017, Marchai's Quiet Title Claim
20	against Wyeth Ranch was dismissed.
21	7. The October 3, 2017 Order found notice was proper, but found for Marchai based
22	on a determination that Perez's partial payments paid off the superpriority portion of the lien.
23	
24 25	
23 26	<sup>1</sup> On March 18, 2019, the Nevada Supreme Court remanded this matter to the Court, after vacating this Court's prior Judgment in favor of Marchai B.T. The Nevada Supreme Court found that while Judge Bell correctly
27	determined a homeowner's payments can cure the default of the super-priority portion of an Association's lien, an analysis of the intent of the homeowner and the Association as to whether the payments made by the homeowner in
28	this case did in fact cure the super-priority default. Further, the Court directed an analysis of the factors outlined in <i>9352 Cranesbill v. Wells Fargo</i> , 136 NAO 8 (2020).
	2

1	8.	On November 6, 2017, SFR filed its Case Appeal Statement and Notice of		
2	Appeal, appea	aling the determination on the application of Perez's partial payments.		
3	9.	Marchai did not appeal the earlier orders or the determination on notice from the		
4	October 3, 20	17.		
5	10.	On March 18, 2020, the Nevada Supreme Court entered its Order Vacating		
6	Judgment and	Remanding.		
7 8	11.	The Nevada Supreme Court found and affirmed that the 2008 Notice of		
9	Delinquent A	ssessment was the operative notice to review superpriority.		
10	12.	The Nevada Supreme Court found that a borrower's payments could satisfy the		
11	superpriority	portion of an HOA lien. However, the Court remanded on finding that under 9352		
12	Cranesbill Tr	ust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 136 Nev., Adv. Op. 8 (Mar. 5, 2020), the facts		
13	surrounding t	he payments needed to be analyzed to determine if the payments actually satisfied		
14	the superpriority portion of the lien.			
15 16	FINDINGS OF FACT			
17	13.	On October 4, 2002, Wyeth Ranch recorded its Declaration of Covenants,		
18	Conditions, a	nd Restrictions ("CC&Rs") in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as		
19	Instrument No	p. 2002100401353. Wyeth Ranch recorded various amendments.		
20	14.	On July 21, 2004, a Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed transferring the real property		
21	commonly kn	own as 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131, Parcel No. 125-15-		
22 23	811-013 ("Pro	operty") to Perez was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County		
23 24	Recorder as In	nstrument No. 20040721-0003728 (Exhibit 16).		
25	15.	The Property is in the Wyeth Ranch community.		
26	16.	On October 19, 2005, Perez refinanced her two prior loans by entering into an		
27	Interest First	Adjustable Rate Note ("Note") with CMG Mortgage, Inc. for \$442,000.00.		
28				
		3		

1	17.	On November 9, 2005, CMG Mortgage secured the Note by recording a Deed of		
2	Trust against	the Property as Instrument No. 20051109-0001385 ("DOT").		
3	18.	Eventually, the DOT was assigned to Marchai on March 12, 2013, and the		
4	assignment v	vas recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 201308120002562.		
5	19.	For all relevant time periods to this action, Wyeth Ranch collected association		
6	dues on the first day of each quarter.			
7 8	20.	In 2008, Wyeth Ranch collected \$420.00 per quarter in association dues.		
8 9	21.	Complete Association Management Company ("CAMCO") acted as the		
10	community r	nanagement company for Wyeth Ranch.		
11	22.	Wyeth Ranch retained Alessi & Koenig, LLC ("A&K") as its collection agent,		
12	who collecte	d delinquent assessments from Perez.		
13	23.	Wyeth Ranch had no written documents outlining procedures for applying		
14	payments or partial payments to past due assessments.			
15 16	24.	When Perez submitted payments, there is no evidence she directed how she		
10	wanted the payments applied.			
18	25.	Wyeth Ranch maintained two accounts for the Property, an assessment account		
19	and a violation	on account.		
20	26.	Wyeth Ranch did not maintain separate superpriority and subpriority accounts for		
21	the Property.			
22	27.	On January 1, 2008, Wyeth Ranch assessed Perez a \$420.00 quarterly assessment.		
23	28.	On January 30, 2008, Perez became delinquent in the payment of her quarterly		
24 25	assessments.			
25 26	29.	On April 1, 2008, Wyeth Ranch assessed Perez a \$420.00 quarterly assessment.		
27	30.	Exhibit 138 evidences a "running account" statement for the assessments at the		
28		n April 16, 2008, Wyeth Ranch applied a \$507.60 payment to Perez's account.		

1	Wyeth Ranch applied \$420.00 of the \$507.60 payment to the past due January 2008's association					
2	dues and the remainder (\$87.60) to the current April 2008 association dues.					
3	31. Based upon Exhibit 45, <sup>2</sup> Wyeth Ranch did <i>not</i> apply payments first to late fees or					
4	interest. Instead, it applied payments first to the oldest outstanding association dues and then any					
5	remainder to the next oldest outstanding association dues. <sup>3</sup>					
6	32. On July 1, 2008, Wyeth Ranch assessed Perez a \$420.00 quarterly assessment.					
7	33. On October 1, 2008, Wyeth Ranch assessed Perez a \$420.00 quarterly					
8	assessment.					
9 10	34. On October 2, 2008, Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien by					
11	sending Perez a Notice of Delinquent Assessment (Lien) ("NODA").					
12	35. According to the NODA, executed September 30, 2008, Perez owed Wyeth					
13	Ranch \$1,425.17, including collection costs, attorney's fees, late fees, service charges, and					
14 15	interest. The NODA included the superpriority portion (statutorily permitted 6 months at the					
15	time) of the lien (\$840), subpriority portion of the lien, late fees, A&K's attorney's fees (\$370)					
17	and costs (\$50).					
18	36. The NODA was recorded on October 8, 2008.					
19	37. In 2009, Wyeth Ranch increased its assessments from \$420.00 per quarter to					
20	\$457.50 per quarter.					
21						
22	<sup>2</sup> Exhibit 45 bears a print date of $9/17/2008$ , a received stamp of $9/17/2008$ , and handwritten notations related to late fees and what appears to be the file number for this matter (11632) from A & K, <i>see</i> Exhibit 109. The Court					
23	infers that based upon Exhibit 45, A & K executed the Notice of Delinquent Assessment (Lien) on 9/30/08, in the total amount of \$1425.17 after adding the handwritten late fee entry for 9/08 in the amount of \$11.29. The Notice of					
24	Delinquent Assessment (Lien) recorded on 10/8/08, included the superpriority portion (statutorily permitted 6 months at the time) of the lien (\$840), subpriority portion of the lien, late fees, A & K's attorney's fees (\$370) and					
25	costs (\$50) as reflected in Exhibit 47.					
26	<sup>3</sup> The testimony of Yvette Saucedo of CAMCO is inconsistent with Exhibit 45 and outlines an audit process she and her staff follow on behalf of Wyeth Ranch. The Court finds the information contained in Exhibit 45 credible as it was prepared at the time of the NODA, rather than an after the fact readjustment as described by Ms.					
27 28	Saucedo. According to Ms. Saucedo, no more recent version of the report similar to Exhibit 45 was available. As a result, the Court's analysis is to apply the treatment of the April 16, 2008 payment for all later payments made by					
-	Perez. 5					

1	38.	On January 5, 2009, A&K recorded a Notice of Default and Election to Sell			
2	Under Homeo	owners Association Lien ("NOD") on behalf of Wyeth Ranch in the Official			
3	Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20090105-0002988. The NOD stated				
4	Perez owed V	Vyeth Ranch \$3,096.46 as of December 17, 2008.			
5	39.	On November 5, 2009, Wyeth Ranch executed an Authorization to Conclude			
6	Non-Judicial	Foreclosure and Conduct Trustee Sale. Wyeth Ranch authorized A&K to proceed			
7 8	with the non-	judicial foreclosure of its assessment lien.			
8 9	40.	According to Wyeth Ranch, Perez owed \$3,330.32 in assessments.			
10	41.	In 2010, Wyeth Ranch increased its assessments from \$457.50 to \$478.50 per			
11	quarter.				
12	42.	Under Wyeth Ranch's authorization, on January 14, 2010, A&K recorded a			
13	Notice of Tru	stee's Sale, which set a foreclosure sale for February 17, 2010.			
14	43.	The Notice of Trustee's Sale stated Wyeth Ranch's intention to foreclose the lien			
15	recorded on October 8, 2008.				
16 17	44.	According to the notice, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$6,964.25 for unpaid			
18	assessments.				
19	45.	On February 3, 2010, A&K sent a demand to Perez and her husband, Robert			
20	Rose, in whic	ch A&K claimed that Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$6,977.61.			
21	46.	On February 12, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$900.00. A&K deducted \$309.60 in			
22	collection cos	sts from the \$900 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$590.40) to Wyeth Ranch.			
23	47.	On March 2, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$590.40 disbursement to Perez's			
24 25	account.				
23 26	48.	On March 22, 2010, Perez was provided a payment plan. The payment plan			
27		on April 1, 2010, and required monthly payments of \$669.87. Perez never made a			
28		er the payment plan.			
		6			

1	49.	On May 11, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$300.00. A&K deducted \$95.40 in collection
2	costs from the	e \$300 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$204.60) to Wyeth Ranch.
3	50.	On June 8, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$204.60 disbursement to Perez's
4	account.	
5	51.	On July 2, 2010, A&K sent Perez a letter notifying her that it terminated the
6	payment plan	
7 8	52.	On July 13, 2010, A&K sent Perez a Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale Notification
9	based upon th	ne NODA recorded on October 8, 2008, and the NOD recorded on January 5, 2009.
10	53.	The Pre-Notice of Trustee's Sale demanded payment from Perez for \$19,071.21.
11	54.	On August 2, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$250.00. A&K deducted \$77.24 in
12	collection cos	sts from the \$250 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$172.76) to Wyeth Ranch.
13	55.	On August 20, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$172.76 disbursement to Perez's
14	account; \$172	2.76 for the October 2008 association dues, which left a balance for October 2008
15 16	of \$204.64.	
10	56.	On September 29, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$220.00. A&K deducted \$67.98 in
18	collection cos	sts from the \$220 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$152.02) to Wyeth Ranch.
19	57.	On October 15, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$152.02 disbursement to Perez's
20	account.	
21	58.	On November 30, 2010, Perez paid A&K \$175.00. A&K deducted \$48.82 in
22	collection cos	sts from the \$175 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$126.18) to Wyeth Ranch.
23 24	59.	On December 16, 2010, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$126.18 disbursement to
24	Perez's accou	int.
26		
27		
28		
		7

1	60.	On March 9, 2011, A&K recorded a Rescission of Notice of Trustee's Sale, which			
2	rescinded the notice A&K recorded on January 14, 2010. <sup>4</sup>				
3	61.	On March 10, 2011, Perez paid A&K \$160.00. A&K deducted \$40.48 in			
4	collection cos	ts from the \$160 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$119.52) to Wyeth Ranch.			
5	62.	On March 22, 2011, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$119.52 disbursement to Perez's			
6	account.				
7	63.	On March 29, 2011, A&K recorded another Notice of Trustee's Sale based upon			
8 9	the January 5	, 2009 NOD.			
10	64.	On June 2, 2011, Wyeth Ranch executed another authorization to allow A&K to			
11	complete the	non-judicial foreclosure and conduct the trustee sale.			
12	65.	The authorization stated that Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$4,730.03 in delinquent			
13	assessments.				
14	66.	On May 23, 2011, Perez paid A&K \$160.00. A&K deducted \$35.68 in collection			
15	costs from the	e \$160 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$124.32) to Wyeth Ranch.			
16	67.	On June 16, 2011, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$124.32 disbursement to Perez's			
17 18	account.				
10	68.	On August 4, 2011, Perez paid A&K \$165.00.			
20	69.	A&K deducted \$37.29 in collection costs from the \$165 payment and disbursed			
21		: (\$127.71) to Wyeth Ranch.			
22	70.				
23		On August 18, 2011, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$127.71 disbursement to Perez's			
24	account.				
25					
26	4 Althou	gh the notice claims to rescind the Notice of Trustee's Sale recorded on January 11, 2010, A&K did			
27 28	not record a Not	ice of Trustee's Sale on January 11, 2010. It appears that A&K meant it rescinded the notice uary 14, 2010, as it does refer to Instrument Number 2589, which is the January 14, 2010 Notice of			
20	Trustee's Sale.	8			
•					

1	71.	On September 30, 2011, A&K notified Perez that it terminated the payment plan			
2	of April 30, 2	of April 30, 2011.			
3	72.	On October 1, 2011, Perez defaulted under her loan from CMG Mortgage.			
4	73.	In 2011, Wyeth Ranch assessed \$448.50 each quarter for assessments.			
5	74.	On November 29, 2011, A&K sent Perez a lien letter to which A&K attached			
6	another Notic	e of Delinquent Assessment (Lien).			
7 8	75.	According to the notice, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$9,296.56.			
9	76.	On December 20, 2011, A&K recorded the second Notice of Delinquent			
10	Assessment I	ien, but did not release or rescind the NODA it recorded in 2008.			
11	77.	On January 25, 2012, A&K followed up the second Notice of Delinquent			
12	Assessment (	Lien) by mailing Perez a Pre-Notice of Default Letter demanding that Perez pay			
13	Wyeth Ranch \$9,865.06 in past-due assessments.				
14	78.	On February 28, 2012, A&K recorded another Notice of Default and Election to			
15 16	Sell Under H	omeowners Association Lien, but did not release or rescind the NOD it recorded on			
17	January 5, 2009.				
18	79.	According to the notice, as of February 14, 2012, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch			
19	\$10,625.06 in	n unpaid assessments.			
20	80.	The February 28, 2012 notice states that Perez first defaulted on her obligations to			
21	Wyeth Ranch	n in January 2008.			
22	81.	On March 19, 2012, Perez paid A&K \$300.00. A&K deducted \$87.30 in			
23 24	collection costs from the \$300 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$212.70) to Wyeth Ranch.				
24	82.	On April 3, 2012, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$212.70 disbursement to Perez's			
26	account.				
27	83.	On May 7, 2012, Perez paid A&K \$295.00. A&K deducted \$85.84 in collection			
28	costs from the	e \$295 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$209.16) to Wyeth Ranch. 9			

1	84.	On May 23, 2012, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$209.16 disbursement to Perez's			
2	account.				
3	85.	On May 25, 2012, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as the			
4	nominee for CMG Mortgage, assigned CMG Mortgage's deed of trust to CitiMortgage, Inc.				
5	CMG Mortga	ge endorsed the note payable to the order of CitiMortgage. On June 5, 2012,			
6	CitiMortgage	recorded a Corporate Assignment of Deed of Trust.			
7 8	86.	On July 18, 2012, A&K sent Perez a Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale Notification, in			
9	which A&K o	demanded that Perez pay Wyeth Ranch \$11,371.07.			
10	87.	Ostensibly, A&K sent the Pre-Notice of Trustee's Sale Notification according to			
11	the Notice of	Delinquent Assessment Lien recorded on December 20, 2011, and the Notice of			
12	Default and E	Election to Sell recorded nearly three years earlier on January 5, 2009.			
13	88.	On July 26, 2012, Perez paid A&K \$165.00. A&K deducted \$43.72 in collection			
14	costs from the \$165 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$121.28) to Wyeth Ranch.				
15 16	89.	On July 26, 2012, CitiMortgage assigned the deed of trust to U.S. Bank, N.A., as			
17	trustee for Stanwich Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2012-6. CitiMortgage also signed an allonge,				
18	endorsing the	note payable to U.S. Bank. On July 26, 2012, U.S. Bank recorded the Assignment			
19	of Mortgage	with the Clark County Recorder.			
20	90.	On August 27, 2012, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$121.28 disbursement to Perez's			
21	account.				
22	91.	On October 3, 2012, Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC, the servicer for the loan			
23 24	assigned to U.S. Bank, sent Perez a Notice of Intent to Foreclose.				
25	92.	According to the notice, Perez defaulted on the loan on October 1, 2011, and			
26	owed U.S. Bank \$36,281.60.				
27	93.	On October 10, 2012, A&K prepared another Notice of Trustee's Sale.			
28					
		10			

1	94.	According to the notice, A&K stated its intention to sell the Property at a		
2	foreclosure sa	ale on November 28, 2012. The notice claims that A&K will conduct the sale		
3	according to the lien recorded on December 20, 2012. According to the notice, Perez owed			
4	\$11,656.07.			
5	95.	On October 31, 2012, A&K recorded the Notice of Trustee's Sale, but did not		
6	rescind the No	otice of Trustee's Sale it recorded on March 29, 2011.		
7 8	96.	On November 13, 2012, Perez made a \$300.00 payment to A&K. A&K deducted		
8 9	\$78.90 in coll	ection costs from the \$300 payment and disbursed the remainder (\$221.10) to		
10	Wyeth Ranch			
11	97.	On December 14, 2012, Wyeth Ranch applied the \$221.10 disbursement to		
12	Perez's accou	nt.		
13	98.	On March 12, 2013, U.S. Bank assigned its interest in the deed of trust to		
14	Marchai, which it recorded with the Clark County Recorder on August 12, 2013. U.S. Bank			
15 16	executed an allonge endorsing the note to Marchai.			
10	99.	On July 11, 2013, A&K executed another Notice of Trustee's Sale.		
18	100.	The notice claimed that Perez owed \$14,090.80 in unpaid assessments.		
19	101.	According to the notice, A&K intended to sell the Property at a foreclosure sale		
20	on August 28	, 2013.		
21	102.	On July 31, 2013, A&K recorded the notice with the Clark County Recorder, but		
22	again failed to	o rescind the Notice of Trustee's Sale recorded on October 31, 2012.		
23 24	103.	On August 27, 2013, less than 24 hours before the foreclosure sale, Peak Loan		
24	Servicing, Ma	archai's servicer, learned about the sale. Peak immediately contacted A&K and		
26	asked it to pos	stpone the sale so it could pay the lien.		
27	104.	On the morning of the day of the sale (August 28, 2013), Naomi Eden at A&K		
28	emailed Brittr	ney O'Connor, the accounting clerk at CAMCO, in which she notes that "[t]he 11		

1	mortgage company is asking for an extension so they can get it paid off." Eden asked O'Connor			
2	if A&K could postpone the sale.			
3	105. O'Connor responded to the email asking Eden how many oral postponements			
4	Wyeth Ranch had remaining.			
5	106. Eden advised O'Connor that Wyeth Ranch still had three postponements left.			
6	107. O'Connor then emailed Michele Weaver, a CAMCO manager. O'Connor told			
7 8	Weaver that Wyeth Ranch had a foreclosure sale set for that morning, that it could postpone the			
9	sale three times, and that "[t]he mortgage company would like an extension so they can pay off			
10	the account."			
11	108. In her email to Weaver, O'Connor said she "will use all postponements then go to			
12	sale on the 3rd sale date set," "[u]nless otherwise directed by the board." Unless the association			
13	directed otherwise, postponing foreclosure sales until the third sale date was CAMCO's standard			
14	practice.			
15 16	109. According to the last email in the chain, Weaver "received confirmation" that			
17	Wyeth Ranch did "NOT want to postpone."			
18	110. Wyeth Ranch refused to postpone the sale so Marchai could pay off the account			
19	and proceeded with the foreclosure.			
20	111. On August 28, 2013, A&K conducted a foreclosure sale.			
21	112. The Wyeth Ranch foreclosure sale occurred on August 28, 2013. At the			
22	foreclosure sale, SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC, submitted the winning bid of \$21,000.00.			
23 24	113. On September 9, 2013, a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale ("Trustee's Deed") was			
25	recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder, conveying the Property to SFR.			
26	114. At the time of the foreclosure, Wyeth Ranch's assessment ledger reflected a			
27	\$10,679.12 balance. There is no differentiation between superpriority and subpriority portions of			
28	the lien. 12			

I					
	115 Development de dichemennente new itte date Weedt Development her A.9.17 efter de				
1	115. Based upon the disbursements remitted to Wyeth Ranch by A&K after the				
2	NODA, the Court finds th	hat the following amou	nts were applied to the running account:		
3					
4	Date	Disbursement	Superpriority Balance	l	
5 6	9/30/08		840.00	l	
7	3/2/10	590.40	249.60	l	
8	6/8/10	204.60	45.00	l	
9	8/20/10	172.76	(-127.76)	1	
10					
11	116. The disbu	sements from A&K ext	tinguished the superpriority portion of th	e lien in	
12	August 2010, well before	the foreclosure sale.			
13	117. Even if the	e Court did not find that	Wyeth Ranch applied the disbursements	s to the	
14	oldest outstanding deling	uent assessment, the pri	nciples of justice and equity in this case	weigh	
15	in favor of the application of those disbursements to the oldest delinquent assessment and the				
16 17	extinguishment of the superpriority portion of the lien.				
17					
19					
20	the issues related to superpriority HOA liens and the risks associated with purchasing a property				
21	at this type of auction.				
22	119. Wyeth Ranch received payment in full (\$10,679.12) of its assessment lien.				
23	120. The Declaration of Value asserts that the Property has a "Transfer Tax Value" of				
24	\$307,403.00.				
25	121. The Prope	rty's fair market value o	on August 28, 2013, was \$360,000.00.		
26	122. If any of the preceding findings of fact are more appropriately deemed				
27	conclusions of law, then they shall be considered conclusions of law.				
28					
		1	3		

1	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
2	123. The analysis made in this bench trial is limited to the matters on remand to the
3	Court which includes:
4	a. Whether Perez's payments actually cured the superpriority default, based upon the
5	actions and intent of the homeowner and the HOA and, if those cannot be determined, upon the
6	District Court's assessment of justice and equity.
7 8	b. SFR's purported status as a bona fide purchaser.
° 9	124. Additionally, the Court evaluates the dispute between Wyeth Ranch and Marchai
10	related to the conduct of the foreclosure sale and issues related to application and remittance of
11	the proceeds of the sale.
12	125. NRS 40.010 provides that "an action may be brought by any person against
13	another who claims an estate or interest in real property adverse to the person bringing the
14	action, for the purpose of determining such adverse claim." NRS § 40.010.
15 16	126. "In a quiet title action, the burden of proof rests with the plaintiff to prove good
17	title in himself." See Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp., 112 Nev. 663, 669, 918 P.2d 314, 318
18	(1996).
19	127. NRS 116.3116 grants an association "a lien on a unit for any construction penalty
20	that is imposed against the unit's owner pursuant to NRS 116.31035, any assessment levied
21	against that unit or any fines imposed against the unit's owner from the time the construction
22	penalty, assessment or fine becomes due." NRS § 116.3116(1) (2011). <sup>5</sup>
23 24	128. An association's lien "is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit
25	except:"
26	
27	<sup>5</sup> The Legislature has amended NRS 116 several times in the time between when Wyeth Ranch initiated the
28	foreclosure process and ultimately completed the foreclosure.
	14

1	(a) Liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration;
2	(b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent; and
3	(c) Liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit
4	NRS § 116.3116(2) (2011).
5	129. NRS 116.3116(2) also provided:
6	
7 8	The lien is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become
9	due in the absence of acceleration during the 6 months <i>immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien</i>
10	NRS § 116.3116 (2003) (emphasis added). <sup>6</sup>
11	130. Although the association's lien includes all "assessments," the lien has two parts:
12	a superpriority piece, "consisting of the last nine months of HOA dues," and a subpriority piece
13	
14	consisting of all other "assessments." SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., 130 Nev. 742,
15	745, 334 P.3d 408, 411 (2014).
16	131. The "superpriority" piece of the association's lien has priority over the first deed
17	of trust, but the "subpriority" part is subordinate. SFR, 130 Nev. at 745, 334 P.3d at 411.
18	132. In 2008, NRS 116 limited the superpriority portion of an association's lien to the
19 20	"6 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien." NRS §
20 21	116.3116(2).
21	133. An association institutes an action to enforce the lien through the service of a
23	notice of delinquent assessment. See Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way v. JP
24	Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., 133 Nev. 21, 26, 388 P.3d 226, 231 (2017).
25	
26	
27	<sup>6</sup> When Wyeth Ranch sent Perez the NODA in October 2008, the statute granted association's superpriority of only six, not nine, months of dues. <i>See</i> NRS § 116.3116(2) (2003). The Legislature amended the section to grant a
28	superpriority lien of nine months in October 2009. See NRS § 116.3116(2) (2009).
	15

1	134. The lien's superpriority portion does not include collection fees, late fees, interest,
2	or foreclosure costs. Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n v. Ikon Holdings, LLC, 132
3	Nev. 362, 371, 373 P.3d 66, 70 (2016).
4	135. Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien on October 8, 2008, when it
5	served and recorded the NODA.
6	136. Only those association dues that came due between April 1, 2008, and September
7	30, 2008 - the six months before Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien - had
8 9	superpriority status. <sup>7</sup> See NRS § 116.3116(2); Saticoy Bay LLC Series 2021 Gray Eagle Way,
10	133 Nev. at 26, 388 P.3d at 231; Horizons at Seven Hills Homeowners Ass'n, 132 Nev. at 371,
11	373 P.3d at 70.
12	137. Wyeth Ranch assessed two quarterly charges of \$420.00 in dues during the six
13	months preceding its institution of an action to enforce its lien: April 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008.
14	138. Wyeth Ranch had a superpriority lien for \$840.00.
15	139. After Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce its lien, Perez made payments
16	totaling \$3,390.00.
17 18	140. Perez did not direct the application of those payments to any particular expenses.
10	141. A&K applied the first fruits of those payments, totaling \$1,008.25, to collection
20	
21	costs.
22	142. A&K then disbursed to Wyeth Ranch the remainder, totaling \$2,381.75. The
23	Court finds that Wyeth Ranch applied those disbursements to the oldest delinquent association
24	dues.
25	
26	<sup>7</sup> Before Judge Bell and the Nevada Supreme Court, SFR argued that the November 29, 2011 notice of de- linquent assessment was the operative notice for the institution of an action to enforce the lien. But Judge Bell pre-
27	viously rejected that argument and the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed that the September 2008 notice of delin- quent assessment was the operative notice for the institution of an action to enforce the lien. <i>See SFR Invs. Pool 1</i> , <i>LLC v. Marchai</i> , <i>P.T.</i> , No. 74416, Order Veceting L. & Remending et 1, 2 (Mar. 18, 2020).
28	<i>LLC v. Marchai, B.T.</i> , No. 74416, Order Vacating J. & Remanding at 1–2 (Mar. 18, 2020). 16
I	10

1	143. The payments by Perez more than satisfied the superpriority portion of Wyeth
2	Ranch's lien prior to foreclosure.
3	144. If the Court were to conduct an analysis of the basic principles of justice and
4	equity so that a fair result can be achieved," 9352 Cranesbill Tr., 136 Nev. at 80, 459 P.3d at
5	231, that analysis would militate in favor of the satisfaction of the superpriority portion of the
6	lien through the payments made by Perez.
7 8	145. Although Wyeth Ranch had one lien, it maintained two accounts: a violation
9	account and an assessment account.
10	146. A&K also maintained an account for collection costs.
11	147. When Perez made a payment to A&K after Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to
12	enforce the lien, it first applied a portion of those payments (totaling \$1,008.25) to its collection
13	account before remitting the balance to Wyeth Ranch. None of the \$2,381.75 A&K disbursed to
14 15	Wyeth Ranch went to collection costs.
15	148. When Wyeth Ranch received the \$2,381.75 disbursements from A&K, it applied
17	all payments to its assessment account. Wyeth Ranch applied none of those payments to the
18	violation account.
19	149. Wyeth Ranch applied the \$2,381.75 to one running account: the assessment
20	account. Because payments to one running account are applied to the oldest amounts due,
21	Perez's payments satisfied the superpriority portion of Wyeth Ranch's lien.
22	150. This conclusion is also in the interests of justice and equity. Under this analysis,
23 24	Perez, who did not abandon the Property but for five years made payments to Wyeth Ranch
25	totaling \$3,390.00, receives the benefit of having any deficiency reduced by the fair market value
26	of the Property at the time Marchai forecloses. SFR, who paid a mere \$21,000.00 for its interest
27	in the Property, takes the Property subject to the DOT and has rented the property for the last
28	seven years and may be entitled to excess proceeds of sale. 17

1	151. As SFR is in the business of purchasing properties at HOA foreclosures it is not a
2	bona fide purchaser but is well aware of the risks associated with superpriority issues.
3	152. When Wyeth Ranch foreclosed, it foreclosed upon a subpriority lien, and
4	Marchai's DOT survived Wyeth Ranch's foreclosure.
5	153. The Court rules for Marchai on its claim for quiet title and against SFR on its
6	claim for declaratory relief/quiet title.
7 8	154. As SFR's declaratory relief/quiet title claim fails, the Court must also dismiss
° 9	SFR's request for injunctive relief seeking to enjoin Marchai from foreclosing on its deed of
10	trust.
11	155. A wrongful foreclosure occurs when "no breach of condition or failure of
12	performance existed which would have authorized the foreclosure." Collins v. Union Fed.
13	Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 99 Nev. 284, 304, 662 P.2d 610, 623 (1983).
14	156. "[T]he material issue of fact in a wrongful foreclosure claim is whether the trustor
15	was in default when the power of sale was exercised." Id.
16 17	157. It is indisputable that Perez defaulted on subpriority amounts of Wyeth Ranch's
18	lien.
19	158. As Wyeth Ranch foreclosed upon a subpriority lien, Marchai has no claim for
20	wrongful foreclosure.
21	159. The only "duties" owed to Marchai are outlined in Sections 116.3116 through
22	116.31168. Wyeth Ranch satisfied these duties by complying with all notice and recording
23	requirements.
24 25	160. NRS 116.1113 does not impose extra-statutory duties on an HOA; it only governs
25	existing contracts and duties.
27	161. Here, the notice requirements of Sections 116.3116 through 116.31168 have
28	already been reviewed on appeal, and the HOA has complied with the notice requirements. 18

1	Similarly, it has already been determined on appeal that the HOA was not required to postpone
2	the sale to provide Marchai additional time pay.
3	162. Plaintiff never mentions in its Complaint a misapplication of proceeds, excess
4	proceeds, or NRS 116.31164(3)(c)'s payment breakdown.
5	163. An interpleader action was filed by A&K (A-13-690586-C) regarding excess
6	proceeds. It would be unduly prejudicial to direct a misapplication of proceeds claim against the
7 8	HOA after A&K has filed bankruptcy and preventing the HOA from seeking any redress it may
9	have against A&K, if A&K misapplied the proceeds from the sale.
10	164. Plaintiff did not file an unjust enrichment claim or establish at trial that Wyeth
11	Ranch was unjustly enriched.
12	165. NRS § 116.1113 imposes an obligation of good faith in the performance or
13	enforcement of every contract or duty governed by NRS Chapter 116.
14	166. Wyeth Ranch has not violated NRS 116.1113. $\langle$
15 16	167. Marchai's claim for bad faith against Wyeth Ranch is dismissed.
17	168. Perez defaulted on subpriority amounts of Wyeth Ranch's lien.
18	169. Because Wyeth Ranch foreclosed upon a subpriority lien, Marchai has no claim
19	against Wyeth Ranch for breach of its obligations under NRS § 116.1113.
20	170. Marchai's claim under NRS § 116.1113 is dismissed.
21	171. To establish a claim for intentional interference with a contract, a plaintiff must
22	prove it entered into a valid and existing contract, the defendant knew of the contract, the
23 24	defendant engaged in intentional acts intended or designed to disrupt the contractual relationship,
24 25	the contract was disrupted, and the plaintiff suffered damages. J.J. Indus., LLC v. Bennett, 119
26	Nev. 269, 274, 71 P.3d 1264, 1267 (2003).
27	172. The Note and DOT evidenced a valid and existing contract between Marchai and
28	Perez. 19

1	173. Wyeth Ranch and SFR knew of Marchai's contract with Perez, because the
2	recorded DOT and assignments are matters of public record.
3	174. The foreclosure was not intended to disrupt, nor did it disrupt, the contract that
4	contemplates the foreclosure.
5	175. As Perez's payments satisfied the superpriority portion of Wyeth Ranch's lien,
6	Marchai's contract with Perez was not disrupted, and Marchai suffered no damages.
7 8	176. Marchai's claim for intentional interference with contractual relations is
9	dismissed.
10	177. It is not disputed that a portion of the assessment lien remained after Perez's
11	payments were applied, and Perez was in default at the time of the sale.
12	178. It is irrelevant to the wrongful foreclosure claim whether the remaining portion
13	was superpriority or subpriority, because the HOA never made an affirmative representation at
14	the time of the sale that it was foreclosing on a superpriority portion of lien.
15 16	179. Wyeth Ranch was not required to make an announcement regarding superpriority
17	at the time of the foreclosure sale.
18	180. NRS 40.430 <i>et seq.</i> provides the statutory framework for judicial actions for
19	foreclosure of real mortgages in Nevada and "must be construed to permit a secured creditor to
20	realize upon the collateral for a debt or other obligation agreed upon by the debtor and creditor
21	when the debt or other obligation was incurred." NRS § 40.230 (2).
22	181. In an action for judicial foreclosure, "the judgment must be rendered for the
23 24	amount found due the plaintiff, and the court, by its decree or judgment, may direct a sale of the
25	encumbered property, or such part thereof as is necessary, and apply the proceeds of the sale as
26	provided in NRS 40.462." NRS § 40.430(1).
27	
28	
	20

1	182. "[A] creditor of a note secured by real property must first pursue judicial
2	foreclosure before recovering from the debtor directly." McDonald v. D.P. Alexander & Las
3	Vegas Boulevard, LLC, 121 Nev. 812, 816, 123 P.3d 748, 750 (2005).
4	183. To enforce a deed of trust through foreclosure, the same party must hold the deed
5	of trust and underlying promissory note. Edelstein v. Bank of New York Mellon, 128 Nev. 505,
6	512, 286 P.3d 249, 254 (2012) (citing Cervantes v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., 656 F.3d
7	1034, 1039 (9th Cir. 2011)).
8 9	184. Separation of the note and deed of trust does not preclude enforcement when the
10	documents are ultimately unified in the same holder. <i>Edelstein</i> , 128 Nev. at 520, 286 P.3d at 259
11	(citing In re Tucker, 441 B.R. 638, 644 (Bankr. W.D. Mo. 2010)).
12	185. "To prove that a previous beneficiary properly assigned its beneficial interest in
13	the deed of trust, the new beneficiary can demonstrate the assignment by means of a signed
14	writing." Edelstein, 128 Nev. at 522, 286 P.3d at 260 (citing Leyva v. Nat'l Default Servicing
15	<i>Corp.</i> , 127 Nev. 470, 255 P.3d 1275, 1279 (2011)).
16 17	186. This requirement parallels the requirements for assignment of an interest in lands
17	generally, which "must be in writing, subscribed by the party creating, granting, assigning, or
19	declaring the same, or by the party's lawful agent thereunto authorized in writing." NRS
20	§111.205(1).
21	187. An assignment of a beneficial interest in a deed of trust must further be recorded
22	in the recorder's office of the county where the property is located. NRS § 106.210 (2015).
23	188. Through MERS, CMG Mortgage assigned the Deed of Trust to CitiMortgage,
24	
25	who assigned it to U.S. Bank, who ultimately assigned it to Marchai.
26 27	189. The assignments satisfy the above requirements: they are in writing, subscribed to
27	by the agent of the prior beneficiary, and recorded in Clark County where the Property is located.
	190. Marchai, as the beneficiary of the DOT, may enforce it. 21

1	191. For a subsequent lender to establish it may enforce a note, it must "present
2	evidence showing endorsement of the note either in its favor or in favor of [its servicer]."
3	Edelstein, 128 Nev. at 522, 286 P.3d at 261 (citing In re Veal, 250 B.R. 897, 921 (9th Cir. BAP
4	2011)); see also Leyva, 255 P.3d at 1279.
5	192. When a promissory note is endorsed to another party, the UCC permits a note to
6	"be made payable to bearer or payable to order," depending on the endorsement. Leyva, 255 P.3d
7	at 1280 (citing NRS § 104.3109).
8 9	193. The Note is payable to the order of Marchai. CMG Mortgage endorsed the Note
10	payable to the order of CitiMortgage. CitiMortgage then executed an allonge making the Note
11	payable to U.S. Bank, who then executed another allonge making the Note payable to Marchai.
12	194. Marchai may enforce the Note.
13	195. Perez must pay the principal and interest on the debt evidenced by the Note, and
14	failure to make such payments constitutes default and breach of the Note and DOT.
15	196. Upon default, the DOT's beneficiary must notify Perez of the breach and provide
16 17	30 days to cure.
18	197. If Perez fails to cure, the beneficiary may accelerate the Note's full payment and
19	invoke the power of sale and any other remedies permitted by law.
20	198. Perez failed to make the October 1, 2011 payment on the Note and all payments
21	due after that, resulting in default under the Note and DOT.
22	199. On October 3, 2012, the loan servicer gave notice of the breach to Perez.
23	200. Perez failed to cure the breach within 30 days, and Marchai elected to accelerate
24	the amounts owed.
25 26	201. Marchai is entitled to a judgment of this Court ordering the Property sold at
26 27	
28	foreclosure to satisfy the amounts due under the Note.
	22


1	202. Based upon the Court's conclusion related to the satisfaction of the superpriority
2	portion of the lien, prior to the sale SFR took subject to the Note and DOT. SFR as a successor
3	in interest to Perez, is entitled to all notices related to any sale of the Property by Marchai.
4	203. If any of the above conclusions of law are more appropriately characterized as
5	findings of fact, then they shall be deemed findings of fact.
6	Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and other
7	good cause appearing:
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that as to
9 10	Plaintiff's Claim for Declaratory Relief/Quiet Title, the Court finds in favor of Marchai that the
11	Deed of Trust was not extinguished by the HOA foreclosure as the superpriority portion of the
12	HOA lien was extinguished by Perez's payments;
13	<b>IT IS FURTHER ORDERED</b> that SFR's interest in the Property is subordinate
14	and subject to the interest of Marchai.
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Marchai's claim for judicial foreclosure of
16	
17	the Property is granted.
18	Dated this 5 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2021
19 20	
20	EI. HALLOD
22	Elizabeth Gonzalez, District Quer Judge
23	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
24	I hereby certify that on the date filed, a copy of the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law was electronically served, pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9, to all registered parties in the Eighth Judi-
25	cial District Court Electronic Filing Program.
26	/s/ Dan Kutinac Dan Kutinac
27	Dan Kutinac, JEA
28	
1	23

## "EXHIBIT 5"

"EXHIBIT 5"

## ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 5/24/2021 8:28 AM

Electronically Filed 05/24/2021 8:27 AM CLERK OF THE COURT



DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145

(702) 566-1935

Case Number: A-13-689461-C

1 The Court also declines to exercise its discretion to award attorney's fees to Wyeth 2 Ranch under N.R.C.P. 68. After considering the factors under Beattie v. Thomas, 99 Nev. 579, 3 668 P.2d 268 (1983), the Court concludes Marchai brought its claims against Wyeth Ranch in 4 good faith. Further, the Court concludes that Wyeth Ranch's offer of judgment was not reasona-5 ble in amount given the potentially preclusive effect of the offer of judgment. Moreover, Marchai 6 reasonably refused to accept the offer of judgment given the potentially preclusive effect of ac-7 ceptance given the broad language of Wyeth Ranch's offer of judgment. Dated this 24th day of May, 2021 8 9 10 11 F6A B0C D054 C221 12 **Elizabeth Gonzalez** District Court Judge 13 Submitted by: 14 David J. Merrill, P.C. 15 16 By: David J. Merrill David J. Merrill 17 Nevada Bar No. 6060 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 566-1935 19 Attorney for Marchai, B.T. 20 Approved as to form: 21 Lipson Neilson P.C. 22 23 By: /s/ David T. Ochoa David T. Ochoa 24 Nevada Bar No. 10414 9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 25 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 26 (702) 382-1500 Attorneys for Wyeth Ranch Community Asso-27 ciation 28

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935



David Merrill <david@djmerrillpc.com>

## RE: Marchai, B.T. v. Perez (Case No. A-13-689461-C): Order Denying Defendant Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Motion for Attorney's Fees

1 message

**David Ochoa** <DOchoa@lipsonneilson.com> To: David Merrill <david@djmerrillpc.com> Thu, May 20, 2021 at 8:15 PM

David, you may use my e-signature.

David



David Ochoa, Esq.

Lipson Neilson P.C. 9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 120

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

702-382-1500

702-382-1512 (fax)

E-Mail: dochoa@lipsonneilson.com

Website: www.lipsonneilson.com

### 

#### 

This message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient(s), you are notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you receive this message in error, or are not the named recipient(s), please notify the sender, delete this e-mail from your computer, and destroy any copies in any form immediately. Receipt by anyone other than the named recipient(s) is not a waiver of any attorney-client, work product, or other applicable privilege.

From: David Merrill <david@djmerrillpc.com> Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 7:26 AM To: David Ochoa <DOchoa@lipsonneilson.com> Subject: Marchai, B.T. v. Perez (Case No. A-13-689461-C): Order Denying Defendant Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Motion for Attorney's Fees David,

I have attached for your review and approval a draft of the Order Denying Defendant Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Motion for Attorney's Fees. I must submit to the Court tomorrow. Please review and advise if you have any changes or if I may submit to the Court with your electronic signature. Thank you.

---


David J. Merrill David J. Merrill, P.C. 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Office: (702) 566-1935 Mobile: (702) 577-0268 Fax: (702) 993-8841

1	CSERV				
2	DISTRICT COURT				
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
4					
5					
6	Marchai B T Bank Trust, Plaintiff(s)	CASE NO: A-13-689461-C DEPT. NO. Department 11			
7					
8	VS.				
9	Cristela Perez, Defendant(s)				
10					
11	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
12 13	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Denying Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:				
14	Service Date: 5/24/2021				
15	David J. Merrill .	david@djmerrillpc.com			
16					
17	Diana Cline Ebron .	diana@kgelegal.com			
18	E-Service for Kim Gilbert Ebron .	eservice@kgelegal.com			
19	Kaleb Anderson .	kanderson@lipsonneilson.com			
20	Michael L. Sturm .	mike@kgelegal.com			
21	Renee Rittenhouse .	rrittenhouse@lipsonneilson.com			
22	Susana Nutt .	snutt@lipsonneilson.com			
23	Tomas Valerio .	staff@kgelegal.com			
24	KGE Legal Staff	staff@kgelegal.com			
25	_				
26	KGE E-Service List	eservice@kgelegal.com			
27	Diana Ebron	diana@kgelegal.com			
28					

1	David Ochoa	dochoa@lipsonneilson.com
2 3	David Merrill	david@djmerrillpc.com
4	Kaleb Anderson	kanderson@lipsonneilson.com
5	Renee Rittenhouse	rrittenhouse@lipsonneilson.com
6	Susana Nutt	snutt@lipsonneilson.com
7	Juan Cerezo	jcerezo@lipsonneilson.com
8	Candi Fay	candifay@kgelegal.com
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# "EXHIBIT 6"

# "EXHIBIT 6"




DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C.

	I	
	-	
	1	Certificate of Service
	2	I hereby certify that on the 2nd day of June 2021, a copy of the Notice of Entry of Order
	3	was served electronically to the following through the Court's electronic service system:
	4	Kim Gilbert Ebron
	5	Diana Cline Ebrondiana@kgelegal.comE-Service for Kim Gilbert Ebroneservice@kgelegal.com
	6	E-Service for Kim Gilbert Ebroneservice@kgelegal.comMichael L. Sturmmike@kgelegal.comTomas Valeriostaff@kgelegal.comCandi Faycandifay@kgelegal.com
	7	Candi Fay candifay@kgelegal.com
	8	Lipson, Neilson, Cole, Seltzer & Garin, P.C.
	9	Brenda Correabcorrea@lipsonneilson.comKaleb Andersonkanderson@lipsonneilson.comMegan Hummelmhummel@lipsonneilson.comRenee Rittenhouserrittenhouse@lipsonneilson.comSusana Nuttsnutt@lipsonneilson.comJuan Cerezojcerezo@lipsonneilson.comDavid Ochoadochoa@lipsonneilson.com
	10	Megan Hummelmhummel@lipsonneilson.comRenee Rittenhouserrittenhouse@lipsonneilson.com
_	11	Susana Nuttsnutt@lipsonneilson.comJuan Cerezojcerezo@lipsonneilson.com
TE 150 45	12	David Ochoa dochoa@lipsonneilson.com
L, P.C E, SUI A 891 935	13	
AERIL A DRIV AEVAD 566-19	14	
DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935	15	<u>/s/ David J. Merrill</u> An employee of David J. Merrill, P.C.
DAV 61 Pal -AS VE	16	
101	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		2
	•	

## ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 5/24/2021 8:28 AM

Electronically Filed 05/24/2021 8:27 AM CLERK OF THE COURT



DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145

(702) 566-1935

Case Number: A-13-689461-C

1 The Court also declines to exercise its discretion to award attorney's fees to Wyeth 2 Ranch under N.R.C.P. 68. After considering the factors under Beattie v. Thomas, 99 Nev. 579, 3 668 P.2d 268 (1983), the Court concludes Marchai brought its claims against Wyeth Ranch in 4 good faith. Further, the Court concludes that Wyeth Ranch's offer of judgment was not reasona-5 ble in amount given the potentially preclusive effect of the offer of judgment. Moreover, Marchai 6 reasonably refused to accept the offer of judgment given the potentially preclusive effect of ac-7 ceptance given the broad language of Wyeth Ranch's offer of judgment. Dated this 24th day of May, 2021 8 9 10 11 F6A B0C D054 C221 12 Elizabeth Gonzalez District Court Judge 13 Submitted by: 14 David J. Merrill, P.C. 15 16 By: David J. Merrill David J. Merrill 17 Nevada Bar No. 6060 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 566-1935 19 Attorney for Marchai, B.T. 20 Approved as to form: 21 Lipson Neilson P.C. 22 23 By: /s/ David T. Ochoa David T. Ochoa 24 Nevada Bar No. 10414 9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 25 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 26 (702) 382-1500 Attorneys for Wyeth Ranch Community Asso-27 ciation 28

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935



David Merrill <david@djmerrillpc.com>

## RE: Marchai, B.T. v. Perez (Case No. A-13-689461-C): Order Denying Defendant Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Motion for Attorney's Fees

1 message

**David Ochoa** <DOchoa@lipsonneilson.com> To: David Merrill <david@djmerrillpc.com> Thu, May 20, 2021 at 8:15 PM

David, you may use my e-signature.

David



David Ochoa, Esq.

Lipson Neilson P.C. 9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 120

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

702-382-1500

702-382-1512 (fax)

E-Mail: dochoa@lipsonneilson.com

Website: www.lipsonneilson.com

#### 

#### CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient(s), you are notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you receive this message in error, or are not the named recipient(s), please notify the sender, delete this e-mail from your computer, and destroy any copies in any form immediately. Receipt by anyone other than the named recipient(s) is not a waiver of any attorney-client, work product, or other applicable privilege.

From: David Merrill <david@djmerrillpc.com> Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 7:26 AM To: David Ochoa <DOchoa@lipsonneilson.com> Subject: Marchai, B.T. v. Perez (Case No. A-13-689461-C): Order Denying Defendant Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Motion for Attorney's Fees ---

David,

I have attached for your review and approval a draft of the Order Denying Defendant Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Motion for Attorney's Fees. I must submit to the Court tomorrow. Please review and advise if you have any changes or if I may submit to the Court with your electronic signature. Thank you.

David J. Merrill David J. Merrill, P.C. 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Office: (702) 566-1935 Mobile: (702) 577-0268 Fax: (702) 993-8841

1	CSERV				
2	DISTRICT COURT				
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
4					
5					
6	Marchai B T Bank Trust, Plaintiff(s)	CASE NO: A-13-689461-C DEPT. NO. Department 11			
7					
8	VS.				
9	Cristela Perez, Defendant(s)				
10					
11	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
12 13	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Denying Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:				
14	Service Date: 5/24/2021				
15	David J. Merrill .	david@djmerrillpc.com			
16					
17	Diana Cline Ebron .	diana@kgelegal.com			
18	E-Service for Kim Gilbert Ebron .	eservice@kgelegal.com			
19	Kaleb Anderson .	kanderson@lipsonneilson.com			
20	Michael L. Sturm .	mike@kgelegal.com			
21	Renee Rittenhouse .	rrittenhouse@lipsonneilson.com			
22	Susana Nutt .	snutt@lipsonneilson.com			
23	Tomas Valerio .	staff@kgelegal.com			
24	KGE Legal Staff	staff@kgelegal.com			
25	_				
26	KGE E-Service List	eservice@kgelegal.com			
27	Diana Ebron	diana@kgelegal.com			
28					

1	David Ochoa	dochoa@lipsonneilson.com
2 3	David Merrill	david@djmerrillpc.com
4	Kaleb Anderson	kanderson@lipsonneilson.com
5	Renee Rittenhouse	rrittenhouse@lipsonneilson.com
6	Susana Nutt	snutt@lipsonneilson.com
7	Juan Cerezo	jcerezo@lipsonneilson.com
8	Candi Fay	candifay@kgelegal.com
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		