

CASE NO.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed
Jun 16 2021 04:08 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

ROWEN SEIBEL; MOTI PARTNERS, LLC; MOTI PARTNERS 16, LLC; LLTQ ENTERPRISES, LLC; LLTQ ENTERPRISES 16, LLC; TPOV ENTERPRISES, LLC; TPOV ENTERPRISES 16, LLC; FERG, LLC; FERG 16, LLC; R SQUARED GLOBAL SOLUTIONS, LLC, DERIVATIVELY ON BEHALF OF DNT ACQUISITION LLC; GR BURGR, LLC; AND CRAIG GREEN

Petitioners,

vs.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY
C. WILLIAMS, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

-and-

DESERT PALACE, INC.; PARIS LAS VEGAS OPERATING COMPANY, LLC;
PHWLTV, LLC, AND BOARDWALK REGENCY CORPORATION,

Real Parties in Interest.

District Court Case No. A-17-751759-B, consolidated with A-17-760537-B

**PETITIONERS' MOTION TO REDACT PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT
RELIEF AND TO SEAL VOLUMES SIX TO SIXTEEN OF THE APPENDIX THERETO**

JOHN R. BAILEY
Nevada Bar No. 0137

DENNIS L. KENNEDY
Nevada Bar No. 1462

JOSHUA P. GILMORE
Nevada Bar No. 11576

PAUL C. WILLIAMS
Nevada Bar No. 12524

STEPHANIE J. GLANTZ
Nevada Bar No. 14878

BAILEY❖KENNEDY
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302
Telephone: (702) 562-8820
Facsimile: (702) 562-8821
jbailey@baileykennedy.com
dkennedy@baileykennedy.com
jgilmore@baileykennedy.com
pwilliams@baileykennedy.com
sglantz@baileykennedy.com

Attorneys for Petitioners

Pursuant to NRAP 27 and Rule 3(1) of the SRCR, Petitioners¹ move this Court to: (i) permit them to redact their Petition for Extraordinary Writ Relief (“Writ Petition”), which is being filed concurrently herewith, at pages 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 21, 23, and 28; and (ii) to allow them to file Volumes Six to Sixteen of the Appendix to the Writ Petition under seal.

The documents contained in Volumes Six to Sixteen of the Appendix to the Writ Petition (the “Proposed Sealed Documents”) were filed and have been maintained under seal in the district court because they contain confidential, proprietary, and/or commercially sensitive information related to the parties that is not publicly known. Subject to further Order of this Court, they must remain under seal. SRCR 7. Further, the Writ Petition quotes and summarizes certain portions of the Proposed Sealed Documents. Accordingly, the Petitioners respectfully request that they be allowed to file a redacted copy of their Writ Petition.

This Motion to Seal is made and based on the record included with the Writ Petition and the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

¹ “Petitioners” or “Development Parties” refers to Rowen Seibel (“Seibel”); Moti Partners, LLC (“Moti”); Moti Partners 16, LLC (“Moti 16”); LLTQ Enterprises, LLC (“LLTQ”); LLTQ Enterprises 16, LLC (“LLTQ 16”); TPOV Enterprises, LLC (“TPOV”); TPOV Enterprises 16, LLC (“TPOV 16”); FERG, LLC (“FERG”); FERG 16, LLC (“FERG 16”); R Squared Global Solutions, LLC (“R Squared”), derivatively on behalf of DNT Acquisition LLC (“DNT”); GR Burgr, LLC (“GRB”); and Craig Green (“Green”).

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

“Any person may request that the court seal or redact court records for a case that is subject to these rules by filing a written motion” SRCR 3(1).

“The court may order the court files and records, or any part thereof, in a civil action to be sealed or redacted, provided the court makes and enters written findings that the specific sealing or redaction is justified by identified compelling privacy or safety interests that outweigh the public interest in access to the court record.” SRCR 3(4). “The ... privacy ... interests that outweigh the public interest in open court records include findings that: [t]he sealing or redaction furthers ... a protective order entered under NRCP 26(c)”; or [t]he sealing or redaction is justified or required by another identified compelling circumstance.” SRCR 3(4)(b), (h).

Once a motion to seal or redact is pending, “the information to be sealed or redacted remains confidential for a reasonable period of time until the court rules on the motion.” SRCR 3(2). Further, in the context of an appeal, “[c]ourt records sealed in the trial court shall be sealed from public access in the Nevada Supreme Court subject to further order of that court.” SRCR 7.

The Development Parties are concurrently filing a Writ Petition concerning the district court’s Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting Caesars’ Motion to Compel Documents Withheld on the Basis of Attorney-Client

Privilege Pursuant to the Crime-Fraud Exception entered on June 8, 2021 (the “Order”). Included in Volumes Six to Sixteen of the Appendix to their Writ Petition (the Proposed Sealed Documents) are pertinent filings from the proceedings below. Although redacted copies of certain motions, oppositions, and replies were publicly filed with the district court, un-redacted copies were filed under seal because they contained confidential, proprietary, and/or commercially sensitive information related to the parties that is not publicly known. Each time a party filed a motion, opposition, or reply under seal or in redacted form, it also filed a motion to seal or redact, which was unopposed and which was thereafter granted by the district court.² Copies of the Orders granting the various motions to seal or redact are included in the Appendix. (4 PA 762-78, 818-68.) Those Orders remain in effect to this date.

Additionally, the Writ Petition quotes and summarizes information from the Proposed Sealed Exhibits that has been designated as either confidential or highly confidential by the parties. (*See* Writ Petition at 4-6, 8-11, 21, 23, 28.) As a result, the Development Parties have publicly filed a copy of their Writ Petition with redactions to the quoted or summarized confidential or highly confidential

² The district court previously entered a Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order governing the use and disclosure of confidential and highly confidential information. (2 PA 410-31.)

information. An unredacted copy of the Writ Petition has been manually submitted for filing to this Court.

For these reasons, subject to further Order of this Court, the Development Parties request that this Motion to Seal and Redact be granted, and that this Court maintain the Proposed Sealed Documents under seal and allow the redactions in the publicly filed version of the Writ Petition.

DATED this 16th day of June, 2021.

BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY

By: /s/ John R. Bailey

JOHN R. BAILEY

DENNIS L. KENNEDY

JOSHUA P. GILMORE

PAUL C. WILLIAMS

STEPHANIE J. GLANTZ

Attorneys for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY and that on the 16th day of June, 2021, service of the foregoing was made by electronic service through the Nevada Supreme Court's electronic filing system, electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system, hand delivery, and/or depositing a true and correct copy in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, and addressed to the following at their last known address:

JAMES J. PISANELLI
DEBRA L. SPINELLI
M. MAGALI MERCERA
PISANELLI BICE PLLC
400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Email: JJP@pisanellibice.com
DLS@pisanellibice.com
MMM@pisanellibice.com
*Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest
Desert Palace, Inc.; Paris Las Vegas
Operating Company, LLC; PHWLV,
LLC; and Boardwalk Regency
Corporation*

HON. TIMOTHY C. WILLIAMS
DISTRICT JUDGE
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
Regional Justice Center
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89155

Email:
DC16Inbox@ClarkCountyCourts.us;
Dept16lc@clarkcountycourts.us;
Dept16ea@clarkcountycourt.us

Respondent

/s/ Susan Russo
Employee of BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY