1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ASTA FRED PAGE, ESQ. NEVADA BAR NO. 6080 PAGE LAW FIRM 5940 SOUTH CIMARRON ROAD, SUITE 140 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89113 (702) 823-2888 office (702) 628-9884 fax Email: fpage@pagelawoffices.com Attorney for Appellant  EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	p.m. າ
9	MINH NGUYET LUONG, Appellant, Supreme Court Case No.: 83098 District Court Case No. D-18-581444-D	
11	vs.	
13	JAMES VAHEY,	
14	Respondent.	
15	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	
16		
17	TO: Appellant, MINH LUONG	,
18	TO: Respondent, JAMES W. VAHEY	
19	TO: Attorney for Respondent, ROBERT P. DICKERSON, ESQ.	
20		
21	Name of the Appellant filing this case appeal statement:	
23	Minh Nguyet Luong	
24	1. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment or order appealed from:	
25	HON. DAWN THRONE	
26		
27	2. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel of each	
28	appellant:	

1	Appellant: Minh Nguyet Luong	
2	Counsel for Appellant:	
3	Fred Page, Esq. Page Law Firm	
4	6930 South Cimarron Rd, Suite 140	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89113	
6	District Court Counsel for Appellant:	
7 8	Fred Page, Esq.	
9	Page Law Firm	
10	6930 South Cimarron Rd, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113	
11		
12	Neil Mullins, Esq.	
13	Kainen Law Group 3303 Novat Street, Suite 200	
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89129	
15	3. Identify each respondent in the name and address of appellate coun	
16	known, for each respondent:	
17	Respondent: James W. Vahey	
18		
19 20	Counsel for Respondent: Robert Dickerson, Esq.  Dickerson Karacsonyi Law Group	
21	1745 Village Center Circle	
22	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
23	District Court Counsel:  Robert Dickerson, Esq.	
24	Dickerson Karacsonyi Law Group 1745 Village Center Circle	
25	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
26		
27		
28		

4. <u>Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the District Court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR42:</u>

None.

5. <u>Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed counsel or</u> retained counsel in the District Court:

Appellant was represented by retained counsel in the district court.

6. <u>Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:</u>

Appellant is represented by retained counsel on appeal.

7. <u>Indicate whether was granted leave to proceed in *forma pauperis*, and the date of entry of the District Court order granting such leave:</u>

Not applicable.

8. <u>Indicate the date the proceedings were commenced in District Court:</u>

The Complaint for Divorce was filed on December 13, 2018, in the Eighth Judicial District.

9. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and the result in the District Court, including the type of judgment or order be appealed and relief granted by the District Court:

#### Nature of the Action

The nature of the action is a Motion filed to Enter the Decree of Divorce that was filed on February 11, 2021. In the Motion, it was requested of the district court judge to determine what the summer visitation schedule would be, the holiday visitation schedule, whether the receiving parent should pick up, who

 should provide health insurance for the children, to change custody, for orders regarding telephone contact, and for a child interview.

The district court heard the matter on March 22, 2021. At that hearing, the district court ordered additional briefing on the issues of health insurance, exchange location, and telephone contact. The district court heard additional argument on those issues on April 13, 2021. At that hearing, the district court then ordered additional briefing on the health insurance issue.

## Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed From

The type of judgment or order being appealed is an Order after hearing.

### **Result in the District Court**

The result in district court was that the district court judge ordered that both parties could provide health insurance to for the minor children, that Minh would have to continue providing all of the transportation, and that limits would be placed on both parties' ability to speak to the children telephonically when the children during the other parent's custodial timeshare.

# Orders Being Appealed and Relief Granted

The orders being appealed from are the district court's orders that required Minh to continue providing 100 percent of the transportation and that limits Minh's ability to have telephone contact with the children during Jim's custodial timeshare.

10. <u>Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of appeal to or original writ proceeding to the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:</u>

None.

11. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:

Appellants' appeal does involve child custody and visitation.

12. <u>If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves a possibility</u> of settlement:

Settlement is unlikely.

DATED this 6th day of July 2021

PAGE LAW FIRM

FRED PAGE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 6080

6930 South Cimarron Road, Suite 140

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

(702) 823-2888 office

(702) 628-9884 fax

Attorney for Appellants

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of July 2021, the Appellant's CASE APPEAL STATEMENT was served electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court and service pursuant to the United States mail, postage prepaid, was made in accordance with the master service list maintained by the Clerk of the Supreme Court to the attorney listed below.

Robert P. Dickerson, Esq.
Dickerson Karacsonyi Law Group
1745 Village Center Circle
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Counsel for Respondent

An employee of Page Law Firm