

1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

2 NAVNEET SHARDA,  
3 TRATA INC.,

**Supreme Court Case No. 82360**  
**Consolidated Case No. 83131**

4 Appellant,  
5 v.

District Court Case No.: A-17-756274-C

Electronically Filed  
Oct 01 2021 02:01 p.m.  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

6 STEVEN BARKET, AN INDIVIDUAL;  
7 G65 VENTURES, LLC, A NEVADA  
8 LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY;  
9 SHAFIK HIRJI, AN INDIVIDUAL;  
10 SHAFIK BROWN, AN INDIVIDUAL;  
11 AND FURNITURE BOUTIQUE, LLC,  
12 A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
13 COMPANY et. al.

14 Respondents.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 **MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION ON**  
24 **RESPONDENTS' ANSWERING BRIEF**  
25  
26  
27  
28

LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS  
DANIEL MARKS, ESQ.  
Nevada State Bar No. 002003  
[office@danielmarks.net](mailto:office@danielmarks.net)  
TELETHA ZUPAN, ESQ.  
Nevada State Bar No. 012660  
[tzupan@danielmarks.net](mailto:tzupan@danielmarks.net)  
610 South Ninth Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
*Attorneys for Respondents*

COMES NOW Respondents, Shafik Hirji, Shafik Brown, and Furniture Boutique, LLC, by and through their counsel, Daniel Marks, Esq., and Teletha Zupan, Esq., of the Law Office of Daniel Marks and hereby submits their Motion for Leave to Exceed Page Limitation on Respondents' Answering Brief in accordance with the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure (hereafter "NRAP"), Rule 32(a)(7)(A)(i) and (a)(7)(D). Respondents move this Court to extend the 30-page limitation on Respondent's Answering brief to 33 pages. This Motion is made and based upon the Memorandum of Points and Authorities set forth below, the record on appeal, and all other papers and pleadings on file herein.

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

This matter is on appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court and involves five cases that were litigated in various departments. In addition to explaining and analyzing what occurred in the five cases, Respondents had to satisfy the other requirements mandated by NRAP 28(b) and NRAP 32.

After preparing the Answering Brief, Respondents are unable to comply with the 30 page limitation pursuant to NRAP 32 due to the extensive facts and required analysis. Respondents substantially paired down the brief, but do not want to sacrifice substance to comply with the page limitation, which could preclude a clear understanding of the issues on appeal.

Respondents respectfully request for this Court to extend the 30 page limit for their Answering Brief to 33 pages. There is good cause to grant the extension, which will promote the proper administration of justice.

Dated this 1st day of October, 2021.

LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS

/s/ Teletha Zupan

DANIEL MARKS, ESQ.

Nevada State Bar No. 002003

TELETHA ZUPAN, ESQ.

Nevada State Bar No. 012660

*Attorneys for Shafik Hirji, Shafik Brown, and Furniture Boutique, LLC*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

- DATED this 1st day of October, 2021.

/s/ Teletha Zupan  


---

DANIEL MARKS, ESQ.  
Nevada State Bar No. 002003  
TELETHA ZUPAN, ESQ.  
Nevada State Bar No. 012660  
610 South Ninth Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
*Attorneys for Respondents*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Michael R. Mushkin, Esq.  
6070 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 270  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119  
*Attorney for Respondents, Steven Barket and  
G65 Ventures, LLC*

R. Christopher Reade, Esq.  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Ste. 210  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128  
*Attorney for Appellants, Navneet  
Sharda and Trata Inc.*

4