

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

NAVNEET SHARDA, an individual;  
TRATA, INC.; A Nevada corporation,

Appellants,

vs.

STEVEN BARKET, an Individual; G65  
VENTURES LLC, SHAFIK HIRJI;  
SHAFIK BROWN, an Individual;  
FURNITURE BOUTIQUE LLC, a Nevada  
Limited Liability Company; DOES I-X; and  
ROE CORPORATIONS XI-XX, inclusive,

Respondents.

NAVNEET SHARDA, an individual;  
TRATA, INC.; A Nevada corporation,

Appellants,

vs.

STEVEN BARKET, an Individual; G65  
VENTURES LLC, SHAFIK HIRJI;  
SHAFIK BROWN, an Individual;  
FURNITURE BOUTIQUE LLC, a Nevada  
Limited Liability Company; DOES I-X; and  
ROE CORPORATIONS XI-XX, inclusive,

Respondents.

Electronically Filed  
Oct 12 2021 09:40 a.m.  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

Supreme Court No. 82360

District Court Case No. A-17-756274-C  
Consolidated with Case No.  
A-18-770121-C

Supreme Court No. 83131

District Court Case No. A-17-756274-C  
Consolidated with Case No.  
A-18-770121-C

---

**STEVEN BARKET'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE  
JOINDER TO APPELLANTS NAVNEET SHARDA AND TRATA INC.'S  
OPENING BRIEF**

---

Michael R. Mushkin, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 2421  
L. Joe Coppedge, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 4954  
**MUSHKIN & COPPEDGE**  
6070 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 270  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119  
(702) 454-3333 Telephone  
(702) 386-4979 Facsimile  
michael@mccnvlaw.com  
jcoppedge@mccnvlaw.com  
Attorneys for Steven Barket

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Steven Barket (“Barket”), by and through his counsel, Michael R. Mushkin, of the law firm of Mushkin & Coppedge, submits his Opposition to Shafik Hirji, Shafik Brown, and Furniture Boutique’s (collectively “Hirji Respondents”) Motion to Strike Steven Barket’s Joinder to Appellants Naveneet Sharda and Trata Inc.’s Opening Brief.

Barket is a named party and as such has standing. NRAP 28(i) states “In a case involving more than one appellant or respondent, including consolidated cases, any number of appellants or respondents may join in a single brief, and any party may adopt by reference a part of another’s brief.” Barket as a named party can join in any single brief. In addition, the Court has ruled identifying an appealable judgment or order is sufficient to obtain review of all parts of that judgment or order. See *Reno Newspapers, Inc. v. Bibb*, 76 Nev. 332, 334–35, 353 P.2d 458, 458–59 (1960); *Adelson, Inc. v. Young Elec. Sign Co.*, 76 Nev. 367, 373, 355 P.2d 173, 176 (1960).

Barket’s only interest comes through assignment of rights from Appellant Sharda; as such, Barket’s stands in the same position as Appellant Sharda. Clearly there is no attempt to expand the appeal.

Respectfully submitted this 11<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021.

MUSHKIN & COPPEDGE

/s/Michael R. Mushkin  
MICHAEL R. MUSHKIN, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 2421  
6070 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 270  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

1. I hereby certify that this brief complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type-style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because:

2. ☒ This brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2016 in Times New Roman 14-point font; or

3. ☐ This brief has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using *[state name and version of word-processing program]* with *[state number of characters per inch and name of type style]*.

4. I further certify that this brief complies with the page- or type-volume limitations of NRAP 32(a)(7) because, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by NRAP 32(a)(7)(C), it is either:

☒ Proportionately spaced, has a typeface of 14 points or more, and contains 191 words; or

☐ Monospaced, has 10.5 or fewer characters per inch, and contains \_\_\_\_\_ words or \_\_\_\_\_ lines of text; or

☐ Does not exceed \_\_\_\_\_ pages.

5. Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e)(1), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page and volume number, if any, of the transcript or appendix

where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED this this 11<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021.

MUSHKIN & COPPEDGE

/s/Michael R. Mushkin

MICHAEL R. MUSHKIN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 2421

6070 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 270

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRAP 25(d), I certify that on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Steven Barket's Opposition to Motion to Strike Joinder to Appellants Navenet Sharda and Trata Inc.'s Opening Brief** as follows:

- ☐ by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada;
- ☒ via electronic means by operation of the Court's electronic filing system, upon each party in this case who is registered as an electronic case filing user with the Clerk;
- ☐ via hand-delivery to the addressee listed below;
- ☐ via facsimile;
- ☐ by transmitting via email to the email address set forth below.

/s/Karen L. Foley  
An Employee of  
Mushkin & Coppedge