FILED

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA AUG 12 2021

Daine Anton Crawley

Appellant,

Vs.

Roger Williams Worden High Desert State Prison

Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 83136

Clark Carty

District Court No. 6341735 A-70-816641-W

APPELLANT'S INFORMAL BRIEF

INSTRUCTIONS: If you are an appellant proceeding pro se (without an attorney) in the Nevada Supreme Court, you must file either (1) a brief that complies with Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure (NRAP) 28(a), or (2) a completed copy of this informal brief form, see NRAP 28(k), with the Nevada Supreme Court on or before the due date, see NRAP 31. In civil appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court may dismiss your appeal. In postconviction criminal appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court or Nevada Court of Appeals may decide your appeal on the record without briefing.

HOW TO FILL OUT THIS FORM: This form must be typed, unless you are incarcerated, in which case it must be clearly handwritten. You do not need to refer to legal authority or the district court record. If you are completing your brief on this form, write only in the space allowed on the form. Additional pages and attachments are not allowed. If typing an informal brief, you may either use the lined paper contained in this form or an equivalent number of pages of your own paper. Your brief will be stricken if you fail to follow the directions in this form and the Nevada Rules of App ellate Procedure.

WHERE TO FILE THE BRIEF: You may submit your brief for filing in person or by mail.

To file your brief in person: Briefs may be submitted for filing Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Carson City: Bring the brief to the Clerk's Office at the Supreme Court of Nevada, 201 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada, 89701.

Las Vegas Place your brief in the Clerk's Office Drop Box at the Las Vegas courthouse for the Nevada Appellate Courts, 408 East Clark Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101.

Informal Brief Form October 2017

To file your brief by mail: Mail the brief to the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Nevada, 201 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701. Your brief must be postmarked on or before the due date.

You must file the original brief and 1 copy with the clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court. If you want the clerk to return a file-stamped copy of your brief, you must file the original form and 2 copies and include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. Documents cannot be faxed or emailed to the Supreme Court Clerk's Office.

Copies of the brief must be mailed or delivered to the other parties to this appeal or to the parties' attorneys, if they have attorneys. You must also include a proper certificate of service or complete the certificate that is attached to the informal brief form.

<u>CAUTION</u>: Pro se parties are prohibited from representing other parties. A pro se party may not complete a brief on behalf of other parties. Pro se parties may collaborate on their briefs, however, provided that if one brief is submitted on behalf of multiple pro se parties, each party must sign and date the brief to confirm that he or she has participated in the preparation of the brief and, by his or her signature, joins in the arguments and representations confained therein.

Judgment or Order You Are Appealing. List the judgment or order that you are appealing from and the date that the judgment or order was filed in the district court.

Filed Date	Name of Judgment or Order
7/26/2021	Supplemental Petition Forthbeas (orbis Denied July 22, 2021)
1/26/2021	Supplemental Petition or Hater Corpus (341735 and A-20-8/1041-W
-1	Both petitions were deried on July 22 2021 as Figitive Downer

Notice of Appeal. Give the date you filed your notice of appeal in the district court: June 745, 2021

Related Cases. List all other court cases related to this case. Provide the case number, title of the case and name of the court where the case was filed.

Case No.	Case Title	Name of Court
C341735	State of Newda VS. Daine Crawley	Clark landy District Court
A-20-8/6041-h	Daine (away Vs. State of Nevada	Clark Carry District Court
83136	Daine Crawley vs. Brian Williams, Warden HDS	if Spreme Court of Novada

Pro Bono Counsel. Would you be interested in having pro bono counsel assigned to represent you in this appeal?

Yes No

NOTE: If the court determines that your case may be appropriate for having pro bono counsel assigned, an appropriate order will be entered. Assignment of pro bono counsel is not automatic.

Statement of Facts. Explain the facts of your case. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)

This is an appeal of Decision of Post conviction Habers Corpus in which an illegal sentence, Breach of Plea agreement, and Die process rights violations are the basis of the argument of appeal. There are a number of issues including but not limited to Amendment 6, and valid case law Consistent with the case James Albert Carter vs. state 79 Nev. 89:378 P.Zd 876; 1963 New. and the use of non-Qualifying Convictions from the Commonwealth of Virginia under NRS. Zo 7.010.

It should be noted that several downents have been provided
to substantiate the claim of ineffective assistance of
Course (Violating Amendment 6 and 14. A foreign Conviction mur)
be a felony in the State of Nevada to be used to adjudicate
under NRS Z07.010 (ave (s) CR 10 B0 1924 CR 10 B0 1925
CK10B01976, and CR14B02477 No not equal a félony
Count under NRS 205, 227 or NRS 205, 275 for
Felony brandlarceny 200 dollar property Value in the
Felony brandlarceny 200 dollar property Value in the State of Nevada and thus carnot be used to adjudicate
under NRS 207.010. Grounds # 1-5 of post conviction
habeas (apri) electronically filed on Jone 24th. 2021 at
12:20 pm verify every claim made, please review all documents pertaining to this matter. The
all documents pertaining to this matter. The
Conflicting information provided by The Cart Clerk
Conflicting information provided by The Court Clerk in Clark County left defendant unable to Communicate
with Afterney from May 2020 to the present. The
defendant would be interested in having Coursel appointed
For Case No: 83136 if the Course is effective in
precenting said evidence priviled in lost-conviction
Habers Grove dated June 24 2021, Counsel has been
ineffective throughout Case (341735 that has inturn
led to the erroneous sentence in a westion of
ineffective throughout Case 1341735 that has inturn led to the erroneous sentence in overstion of 84 to 240 months under NRS 207.010.

Statement of District Court Error. Explain why you believe the district
court was wrong. Also state what action you want the Nevada Supreme Court
to take. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)
In netition dated June 24th, 2021 Thouse cleary stated and
MVOT INC THE SETTION OF THE SETION OF THE SETTION OF THE SETTION OF THE SETTION OF THE SETTION O
foreign Convictions (James Albert Carter vs. state 79 Nev. 89:378
P.Zd 816, 1963 Nev). I have also clearly proven that meffective
P.Zd 876 1963 Nev). I have also clearly proven that ineffective USSistance of course was a factor in not presenting these claims
coner. The Clark County District Court provided conflicting
about a as In the identity of my Attorney for the region
HOMATION 15 TO THE INCHIENT OF MY APPOINTY TO THE PERCON
etween may coco to the present. The entire birect repeat
nocess was lainted due to this error by the court
coner. The Clark County District Court provided conflicting roomation as to the identity of my Attorney for the period etween May 2020 to the present. The entire Direct Appeal rocess was fainted due to this error by the Court wither Violating my 6th and 14th Amendment rights. The

Administrative matter of Court operations of Criminal
matters in response to covid 19/20-06) filed on
March 18th, 2020 was violated by the court on April 1st, 7020. This allowed the District Atterney to Breach the Plea agreement that was reached for
April 1st, 7020. This allowed the District Atterney
to Breach the Plea agreement that was reached for
18+060 months for the underlying Conviction NKS
202.350 Category (for a Concedled Weapon: to
202.350 Category (for a Concedled Weapon: to Wit: Razor Knife on defendants belf). The most
appropriate form of amelicration, to be tair to both
appropriate form of amelicration, to be fair to both parties would be a reverse and remand for
resentencing immediately. Without the erroneous Sentence structure of 84-240 months the
Sentence structure of 184-240 months the
detendant would be eliquble for parole, at this
defendant would be eligible for parole, at this time. Please review grounds #1-5 of petition to further
elvelicate the matter in its entirety, as I the
detendent would like to participate in the 104
program to better prepare myself for a successful leturn
elvolicate the matter in it's entirety, as "I" the detendant would like to participate in the "184" program to better prepare myself for a successful feturn to society.

¥	Commonwealth of Virginia Case Numbers)
	(RIOPA1924-01, CRYOBO1925-01, OZ. CRIOBO1926
	-01 (R14B0Z47Z-01,0Z would amount to
	misdemeanor Gross misdemeanor counts under
	NRS 205.275 or NRS 205.222 of
	property Value of 200 dollars less than \$500
	' ' '
¥	COMPLETE OF THE PROCEEDING OF THE PROCESSION OF
	CRI4F035Z3 possession schedule 101#
	(R14F035Z3 possession schedule lorth (loncentrated langabis oil) toffense misdemeanor
	under NRS 453.336 or NRS 212-160
920	
¥	State of California (ase Number 16WF0150
	Trace amont of schedule I or II on hypodermic
	demice where intoxicant is involved by pre-
	trial detainer a Gross misdemeanor under NRS
	212.160
	All of the glove cases were used to increase
	Sentence structure under NRS 207.010, (gre law
9	for James Albert Parter VS. State 79 Nev. 89:378
	P. Zd 876; 1963 Nev states that a foreign
	Conviction Must be a telony under Nevada State
	Taw to advante under NRS 201.010. Please refer
	to shepard 125 s.ct at 1263 as this was plain error
C	and sentence imposed should not exceed 60 months by
	expiration Violating Amendment(S) 5, 6 and 14.

The following Case laws should be viewed in Consideration
by the Nevada Supreme Court in reference to
habitual Criminal proceedings under NRS 207.010
James Albert Carter Vs. State 79 Nev. 89
378 P.7d 876; 1963 Nev and Shepard 175
S. Ct at 1763.
Please review grounds #1-5 of Habeas Corpus filed
on June 24th, 2021 in clark larry District
Court along with all documents provided in
addition to supplemental PSI prepared on
March 71th 7070 wal at April 1st 2020
Sentencing heaving by District Attorney David
Stenton.
Α
DATED this Zad day of August , 2021.

Signature of Appellant

Aine Aren (Jauley 1117447

Print Name of Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date indicated below, I served a copy of this completed informal brief form upon all parties to the appeal as follows:

By personally serving it upon him/her; or

By mailing it by first-class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es) (list names and address(es) of parties served):

DATED this 2nd day of August , 2021.

Signature of Appellant

Print Name of Appellant

WSCC P.OBOX 7007

Address

Carson City, NV 8970Z

City/State/Zip

Telephone