1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA			
2				
3			Electronically File	d
4			Oct 25 2021 03:1 Elizabeth A. Brow	5 p.m.
5			Clerk of Supreme	
6	BRETT ALAN LINDER,	CASE NO.: 83163		
7	Appellant,			
8	vs.			
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
10	Respondent,			
11	ON APPEAL FROM THE FIFTH JU	JDICAL DISTRICT	COURT IN AND	
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE TH	F HONORARLE R	ORFRT LANF	
12 13	FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE, TH	E HONORABLE R	OBERT LANE,	
		E HONORABLE RO	OBERT LANE,	
13	PRES		OBERT LANE,	
13 14	PRES	IDING OPENING BRIEF	OBERT LANE,	
13 14 15	PRES APPELLANT'S O David H. Neely III, Esq.	IDING OPENING BRIEF Aaron Ford, Esq.		
13 14 15 16 17	PRES APPELLANT'S (David H. Neely III, Esq. NV. Bar No. 3891 3520 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite D-1	IDING OPENING BRIEF Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney 100 North Carson	General Street	
13 14 15 16 17 18	PRES APPELLANT'S O David H. Neely III, Esq. NV. Bar No. 3891 3520 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite D-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121	IDING OPENING BRIEF Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney	General Street	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PRES APPELLANT'S (David H. Neely III, Esq. NV. Bar No. 3891 3520 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite D-1	IDING OPENING BRIEF Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney 100 North Carson Carson City, Neva	General Street ada 89701-4717	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PRES APPELLANT'S O David H. Neely III, Esq. NV. Bar No. 3891 3520 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite D-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121	IDING OPENING BRIEF Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney 100 North Carson Carson City, Neva	General Street ada 89701-4717	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PRES APPELLANT'S O David H. Neely III, Esq. NV. Bar No. 3891 3520 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite D-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121	Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney 100 North Carson Carson City, Neva Chris Arabia, Esc Nye County Dist P.O. Box 39 Pahrump, Nevada	General Street ada 89701-4717 q. rict Attorney a 89041	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PRES APPELLANT'S O David H. Neely III, Esq. NV. Bar No. 3891 3520 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite D-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121	Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney 100 North Carson Carson City, Neva Chris Arabia, Esc Nye County Dist P.O. Box 39	General Street ada 89701-4717 q. rict Attorney a 89041	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PRES APPELLANT'S O David H. Neely III, Esq. NV. Bar No. 3891 3520 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite D-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121	Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney 100 North Carson Carson City, Neva Chris Arabia, Esc Nye County Dist P.O. Box 39 Pahrump, Nevada	General Street ada 89701-4717 q. rict Attorney a 89041	

TABLE OF CONTENTS STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES..... STATEMENT OF THE CASE...... STATEMENT OF FACTS ARGUMENT.....1 CONCLUSIONS AND RELIEF SOUGHT...... 18 ROUTING STATEMENT...... 18 CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL UNDER NRAP 28A...... 18 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL20

INDEX OF CASES AND AUTHORITIES			
CASES			
Blume v. State, 112 Nev. 472, 915 F.2d 2828 (1996)			
Culverson v. State, 95 Nev. 433, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979) 5, 14, 1			
OTHER AUTHORITIES			
Caperton v. A. T. Massey Coal Company, 129 S. Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed. 2d 1208			
(2009)			
Tumey v. Ohio, 273 U.S. 510 (1927)			
Withrow v. Larkin, 421 U.S. 35, 95 S. Ct. 1456 (1975)			

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

I.

WHETHER THE SENTENCE IMPOSED BY THE TRIAL COURT VIOLATED THE APPELLANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION AGAINST CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS.

II.

WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT WAS BIASED AGAINST THE APPELLANT AND SHOULD HAVE RECUSED HIMSELF PRIOR TO SENTENCING THE APPELLANT.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Appellant's sentence imposed by the Trial Court violated the Appellant's constitutional protection against cruel and unusual punishments. In addition, the Trial Court was biased against the Appellant and should have recused himself prior to Sentencing the Appellant.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On 08/25/2020, an Affidavit and Application for Appointment of Counsel was filed in Justice Court (Appx. 0001),

On 08/31/2020, a Criminal Complaint was filed in Justice Court (Appx. 0002),

On 09/09/2020, Order to Revoke was filed in Justice Court (Appx. 0004),

<u>{</u> [
On 02/16//2021, a Waiver of Preliminary Hearing Unconditional in Case		
No. CR02607 and CR02744 was filed in Justice Court (Appx. 0019),		
On 02/19/2021, an Information in Case No. CR21-0018 and CR21-0020 wa		
filed in District Court (Appx. 0021),		
On 02/22/2021, a Media Request to Allow Electronic Recording Equipment		
into the Courtroom was filed in District Court (Appx 0027),		
On 02/22/2021, an Arraignment Hearing was held in District Court (Appx.		
0028),		
On 03/08/2021, a Guilty Plea Agreement in Case No. CR21-0018 and		
CR21-0020 was filed in District Court (Appx. 0033),		
On 03/08/2021, an Arraignment Hearing was held in District Court (Appx.		
0055),		
On 05/17/2021, a Sentencing Hearing was held in District Court (Appx.		
0064)		
On 01/19/2021, a Stipulation and Order to Convert Preliminary Hearing to a		
Pre-Trial Hearing was filed in Justice Court (Appx. 0079),		
On 06/21/2021, a Sentencing Hearing was held in District Court (Appx.		
0080),		
On 06/23/2021, a Judgment of Conviction in Case CR21-0018 and CR21-		
0020 was filed in District Court (Appx. 0102),		
On 06/30/2021, a Notice of Appeal was filed in District Court (Appx. 0108),		

On 07/01/2021, a Case Appeal Statement in Case No. CR21-0018 and CR21-0020 was filed in District Court (Appx. 0109),

On 07/06/2021, an Amended Judgment of Conviction was filed in District Court (Appx. 0115),

On 07/19/2021, an Order of Limited Remand for Designation of Counsel was filed in Supreme Court (Appx. 0118),

On 07/23/2021, an Order Appointing Counsel in Case No. CR21-0018 and CR21-0020 was filed in District Court (Appx. 0120)

ARGUMENT

1. WHETHER THE SENTENCE IMPOSED BY THE TRIAL COURT VIOLATED THE APPELLANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION AGAINST CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS.

The Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution is part of the United States Bill of Rights (ratified December 15, 1791), which prohibits the government from imposing excessive bail, excessive fines, or cruel and unusual punishments, including torture. Along those similar lines, the State of Nevada, in Article 1 of it's Constitution states:

Section1. Inalienable Rights. All men are by nature free and equal and have certain inalienable rights among which are those of enjoying life and liberty;

Acquiring, Possessing, and Protecting property and pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

Section 6. Excessive bail and fines; cruel or unusual punishments; detention of witnesses. Excessive bail shall not be require, nor excessive fines imposed, nor shall cruel or unusual punishments be inflicted, nor shall witnesses be unreasonably retained.

In <u>Blume v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 472, 915 F.2d 2828 (1996), the Nevada Supreme Court stated:

A sentence within the statutory limits is not "cruel and unusual punishment unless the statute fixing punishment is unconstitutional or the sentence is so unreasonably disproportionate to the offense as to shock the conscience."

(Quoting <u>Culverson v. State</u>, 95 Nev. 433, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979),)

At the Sentencing on 6/21/21, the Trial Court stated, "Now, we have Sentencing. One case is No. 21-1118, Grand Larceny of a Firearm, Category B felony, one to ten years. The other one is Case 21-0020, Discharging a Firearm, category B felony, one to ten years. Ten Thousand and \$5,000 on two cases." (Appx. 0087)

"We're going to hear from Mr. Fritz, what he thinks an appropriate sentence is based on your criminal history, the facts of this case. And after Mr. Fritz, we're going to hear from you about what you think the sentence should be and why. You don't have to say anything if you don't want to; it's up to you. And then we'll hear from the State." (Appx. 0087-0088)

Appellant replies, "Yes, Your Honor." (Appx. 0088)

Trial Counsel addresses the Trial Court, "Your Honor thank you. Just so now his PSI should reflect that he does not have any felony convictions. I think that is important. That's why we continued the last hearing for today." (Appx. 0088)

"Just some housekeeping matters too, Your Honor. Part of this negotiation was my client pled to two cases down at the Justice Court level. Those were two Domestic Battery cases. We cleaned those up on or about May 26th of this year in front of Judge Jasperson."(Appx. 0088)

"Those cases, he was sentenced, if you will, to credit time served basically six months on each. I will talk about his credit for time served here in a second. So that has been cleaned up. He has taken responsibility for those actions, and we do have that behind us." (Appx. 0088)

"Also, you see in the PSI he has an outstanding case in Clark County. I can tell you last week, Your Honor, I had a really nice discussion with the PD handling that case in Clark County. It's my understanding that case is no longer in warrant. They did remand on that case. They are working on it. They are looking to hear from me later today as to what happened this morning." (Appx. 0088-0089)

"So we have gotten things moving. Justice Court level, cleaning that up where he's addressing the outstanding issues through the PD's Office in Clark County on the pending case there. Also, he has two pending cases in Tonopah. These are set for status check July 6th of this year. It's my understanding they are

going to be dismissed after sentencing in this case. So that gives, I think, the Court

— I think it's important to give you a little history of all of the things going on with

him." (Appx. 0089)

"Your Honor, this is a case of which drugs are the problem. I think you even see in the PSI report he started using drugs at the age of 12 years old. So there's no question that drugs are the problem here." (Appx. 0089)

"You know, usually when we ask for somebody to be placed on probation, the question is well, are they supervisable or not? Can we keep track of them when they're outside? Are they going to do what they're supposed to do, or are they just going to go out there and cause more problems? Well, I think. It touches on what we talked about here already this morning, Your Honor, is that he is supervisable. He has been honorably discharged from probation before, out of the probation office here in Nye County. We've also seen that he was sentenced, to my understanding, to Boot Camp, the regimental treatment program, the Boot Camp Program; he successfully completed that."(Appx. 0089-0090)

"He gets on drugs again. Well, there's no excuse or reason why he got on them, but he was on them. And that caused quite a number of things. Having a parent in very ill health, who I understand unfortunately has now passed away. A very volatile relationship, a domestic relationship. And you can see from what happened in Justice Court; and as I said, he's taken responsibility for. And then so what do we do?"(Appx. 0090)

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"He's been in custody according to my math - and I checked this out with the State; they didn't conform it - but I have him in custody about 286 days already. I do my math from September the 8th of last year; he's been in continuously since then. And part of the negotiations were that this case and the Justice Court cases run concurrent, with the Court's permission of course, and the two counts run concurrent here."(Appx. 0090)

"And I say that, because spending over nine months in jail, I like to think has gotten somebody's attention. I like to think he's seen the ways were wrong. And so what we're going to ask for is a probationary term. One with Drug Court as a condition. He needs help. We don't want to just simply warehouse him in Nevada State Prison for a while, send him back out, and he's right back to where he is. I believe we place him on probation. Place him in the Drug Court Program. He can still be an active and productive member of society while getting help, and I think that's important."(Appx. 0090-0091)

"And again, he's proven he can get through one of these regimental programs, whether it be Drug Court or the Boot Camp Program, and I think this would be best in my client's situation. As I said, a unique way of putting one - I know the Court can always give to someone up to 364 days as a condition of probation. Well, here, we don't have 364, but we've got 286, and I think that has gotten his attention." (Appx. 0091)

"If we do that, place him on probation, put a high suspended sentence hanging over his head so that he knows he has a hammer over his head that if he goes out there and starts using again, and if he goes out there and starts committing crimes again, if he goes out there and he's not supervisable, well, we're going to be back before Your Honor on a probation violation and presumably he's going to State Prison at that time. So we're asking for a chance, another chance, and that's where we are, Your Honor. (Appx. 0091-0092)

The Trial Court replies, "Thank you, sir. Mr. Linder, is there anything you would like to say?" (Appx. 0092)

The Appellant responds, "I wrote a little bit, Your Honor. First Off –(Appx. 0092)

Trial Counsel addresses the Trial Court, "Would you like him to stand up, Your Honor, and address the Court?" (Appx. 0092)

Trial Court replies, "Yes." Appx. 0092)

Appellant states, "First off, I appreciate the chance to speak today. I have a lot of things that I've wanted to say over the past few months, many apologies I wanted to give. Obviously, I can't give them all here. I'm not proud to be back in front of you again in these chains. Obviously, you sent me off for success, and I succeeded for a while. And then it kind of – it got bad for me out there on the streets."(Appx. 0092)

"There's no excuse for some of the decisions I've made. Many of the things I was accused of were false, but that's by no means am I not taking responsibility for the things that I have done. I understand that I've made some serious poor choices. I was doing well. I completed Boot Camp. I completed Drug Court, probation successfully. Thanks to the supervision on probation, I had so many people proud. I was a law-abiding citizen. I proved probation does help for people to do better, be better, become better, and have great success with it."(Appx. 0092-0093)

"... was a great job. I paid off my mom's house, had a beautiful girlfriend, a brand-new car, proud mother, and a credible reputation. After completing all of these programs, I continued my success for over two years. And then the worst thing that could happen to someone like me happened. My mother was diagnosed with a brain tumor, along with brain cancer after a stroke at work. She was the manager at all of the Horizon's, and most importantly, she was everyone's friend. She had the most beautiful heart; my favorite person in the whole world."(Appx. 0093)

"It destroyed me. I quit my job. I picked up drugs. I basically picked up my whole life back up from where I left it, which was in the dirt. I reached – I should have reached out for help, but instead I did the opposite. I started looking to anger for help, for ways of coping. I'm sorry to my mother. I know she's looking down waiting for me to do better." (Appx. 0093-0094)

"My next apology is to one of my victims, my best friend, my ex-girlfriend. My first mistake was turning my pain on to her. I'm sorry to Miranda for all of the bad that destroyed us and you. I lost everything and everyone in three months. It was a record time — including my freedom, sobriety, and my motivation. I wanted it to all be over. I just wanted to go where my mother was." (Appx. 0094)

"When I got arrested, I knew God wasn't done with me yet. I began coping and healing from this pain. I know that my mom and God both want me to be better, make them proud, and not just rot away. Your Honor, I understand how badly I've handled this crisis, and for that I apologize to you and to the courts. I pray that you see that I truly regret my mistakes, that you put my life back on track once before, and I humbly ask just for one more chance to show the courts, the public, and myself that I can rise and overcome this hiccup." (Appx. 0095)

"I've learned that things in life can be a great thing, but my actions and reactions are what control everything, and I have the right to control it as long as I don't fail myself. I do not want to go to prison and affiliate with people that could just teach me to be a smarter criminal. I want one more chance, Your Honor, to be put under supervision and probation and to get my life back to something worth being proud of. I have a ton of potential, and I've learned a lot from this hard time. I've wasted it long enough. Please just let me show you and everyone else the good I can do, Your Honor."(Appx. 0094-0095)

The Trial Court replies, "Thank you." (Appx. 0095)

After hearing from the State, the Trial Court addresses the Appellant, "For the last 20 years that I've been Judge, I've told people frequently in court – it's a common hearing – that 90 percent of society are good people who don't do anything wrong; 10 percent are people we see in court all of the time. Of that 10 percent, 9 percent of people are addicted to drugs and stealing from their neighbors and doing stuff like that, and we're constantly working to try and figure out how to get them off drugs and straighten their lives out and hope they don't commit crimes anymore."(Appx. 0098)

"There's a small segment of society of the population that are what we call psychopaths, criminals that repeatedly keep doing crimes and hurting other people. Most of those would never dream of hurting other people – sexually assaulting a woman, molesting a child, hitting somebody in the face, et cetera – we would never dream of doing that, most of the normal people. There's a small segment of our society that for some reason doesn't think normal and they don/t mind doings what they need to do to hurt other people, and so forth."(Appx. 0099)

"Starting in 2014, you had Conspiracy To Commit Burglary, Burglary,
Drug charges, another Burglary, another Burglary, more Drug charges, FTAs,
other crimes – Speeding, Paraphernalia, and so forth – Domestic Battery with an
Enhancement for a Deadly Weapon Against an Older Person, Harassment, Simple
Battery, Battery on an Older Person, Domestic Battery, Grand Larceny of a Gun
by Prohibited Person, Discharging a Gun, Burglary while in Possession of a Gun,

17 18 19

20 21

22

24

23

Deadly Gun, Owning/Possession of Gun by Prohibited Person, violated Stalking/Harassment, violated Stalking/Harassment, Child Abuse, Conspiracy, Battery, Grand Larceny, Burglary, Unlawful Use, Battery two counts, et cetera."(Appx. 0099-0100)

"Now, obviously what that tells any normal person is you're psychopath. You don't mind going out and hurting other people - older people, younger people, whatever - you're going to do whatever you think you want to do to make yourself happy."(Appx. 0100)

"We've tried Drug Court with you. We've tried Boot Camp with you. Nothing works, As soon as I let you out, you're going to go out and hurt other people again, because there's something in your brain that doesn't click right that say's, "Hey, that's not normal. I shouldn't do that." You're a dangerous person."(Appx. 0100)

"Forty-eight to 120 on each count, consecutive to each other, with credit for time served calculated by Mr. Fritz of 286. We'll recalculate that. And we'll keep you away from people as long as we can to try and keep them protected from you. Any other matters that we need to do?" (Appx. 0100)

Trial Counsel inquires, "Just real quick, Your Honor. The Third case is to be dismissed?"(Appx. 0100)

Trial Court replies, "Yes, sir." (Appx. 0100)

Trial Counsel asks, "And you said that was consecutive?" (Appx. 0100)

The Trial Court replies, "The two are consecutive, yes." (Appx. 0101)

Trial Counsel responds, "Okay. I would just point out the State didn't have any – I know it's in the discretion of the Judge –."(Appx. 0101)

Trial Court replies, "Because he's so dangerous to other human beings, we want to keep him in as long as we can." (Appx. 0101)

Trial Counsel responds, "– and I just want to point out that the State though is not arguing for that, and they had no opposition to concurrent time." (Appx. 0101)

Trial Court replies, "Noted for the record." (Appx. 0101)

The State adds, "That is correct." (Appx. 0101)

The Trial Court ignored the recommendations of Trial Counsel when it Sentenced the Appellant to 48 months to 120 months on each Count, consecutive to each other, with credit of 286 days credit for time served. This sentence is so unreasonably disproportionate to the offense as to shock the conscience as described in <u>Culverson v. State</u>, 95 Nev. 433, 435, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979).

Trial Counsel was correct when he recommended probation with Drug

Court as a condition and the two counts run concurrent with 286 days credit for

time served. The Trial Court failed to consider his history of substance abuse

which began at a young age and which he has struggled with his entire life. Trial

Counsel asked the Trial Court for help with his drug issues and suggested putting

him in Drug Court. The Trial Court's failure to consider his history of substance

abuse at Sentencing and his failure to answer his call for help with his drug addiction resulted in a sentence that is so unreasonably disproportionate to the offense as to shock the conscience as described in <u>Culverson v. State</u>, 95 Nev. 433, 435, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22, (1979).

2. WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT WAS BIASED AGAINST THE APPELLANT AND SHOULD HAVE RECUSED HIMSELF PRIOR TO SENTENCING THE APPELLANT

In <u>Caperton v. A. T. Massey Coal Company</u>, 129 S. Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed. 2d 1208 (2009), the United States Supreme Court held, "In all circumstances of this case, due process requires recusal.

The Due Process Clause incorporated the common-law rule requiring recusal when a judge has a "direct, personal, substantial, pecuniary interest" in a case, <u>Turner v. Ohio</u>, 273 U.S. 510, 523, 47 S. Ct. 437, 71 L. Ed. 749, 5 Ohio Law Abs. 185, 25 Ohio L. Rep. 236, but this Court has also identified additional circumstances which, as an objective matter, require recusal where "the probability of actual bias on the part of the judge or decision maker is too high to be constitutionally tolerable," <u>Withrow v. Larkin</u>, 412 U.S. 35, 47, 95 S. Ct. 1456, 42 L.Ed. 2d 712.

At Sentencing, the Trial Court stated to the Appellant, "There's a small segment of society of the population that are what we call psychopaths or sociopaths, criminals that repeatedly keep doing crimes and hurting other people.

Most of those would never dream of hurting other people – sexually assaulting a woman, molesting a child, hitting somebody in the face, et cetera – we would never dream of doing that, most of the normal people. There's a small segment of our society that for some reason doesn't think normal and they don't mind doing what they need to do to hurt other people, and so forth."(Appx. 0099)

"Starting in 2014, you had Conspiracy to Commit Burglary, Burglary, Drug charges, another Burglary, another Burglary, more Drug charges, FTAs, other crimes – Speeding, Paraphernalia, and so forth – Domestic Battery with an Enhancement for a Deadly Weapon Against an Older Person, Harassment, Simple Battery, Battery on an Older Person, Domestic Battery, Grand Larceny of a Gun, Attempted Murder, Possession of a Gun by Prohibited Person, Discharging a Gun, Burglary While in Possession of a Gun, Deadly Gun, Owning/Possession of Gun by Prohibited Person, violated Stalking/Harassment, Violated Stalking/Harassment, Child abuse, Conspiracy, Battery, Grand Larceny, Burglary, Unlawful Use, Battery two counts, et cetera. (Appx. 0099-0101)

"Now, obviously what that tells any normal person is you're a psychopath. You don't mind going out and hurting other people – older people, younger people, whatever – you're going to do whatever you think you want to do to make yourself happy."(Appx.0100)

"We've tried Drug Court with you. We've tried Boot Camp with you.

Nothing works. As soon as I let you out, you're going to go out and hurt other

people again, because there's something in your brain that doesn't click right that says, "Hey, that's not normal. I shouldn't do that." You're a dangerous person."(Appx. 0100-0101)

Forty-eight to 120 on each count, consecutive to each other, with credit for time served calculated by Mr. Fritz of 286. We'll recalculate that. And we'll keep you away from people as long as we can to try and keep them protected from you."(Appx. 0100)

The Trial Court exhibited a clear bias against Appellant at the Sentencing, when he described him as a psychopath who doesn't mind going out and hurting other people, older people, younger people, whatever. In addition, he told him he has a something in his brain doesn't click right that say's," Hey that's not normal. I shouldn't do that. You're a dangerous person." This bias led the Trial Court to sentence the Appellant to 48 to 120 months on each count, consecutive to each other, with 286 days credit for time served, instead of following the recommendations of Trial Counsel. The Trial Court had a duty to recuse himself from the Appellant's case prior to the Sentencing. This was an instance which, as an objective matter, required recusal where the probability of actual bias on the part of the Judge or decision maker is too high to be constitutionally tolerable pursuant to Withrow v. Larkin, 412 U.S. 35, 47. 95 S. Ct. 1456, 42 L.Ed. 2d 712.

7 8

CONCLUSIONS AND RELIEF SOUGHT

In conclusion, Appellant contends that the Trial Court was biased, his sentences in both cases were cruel and unusual punishment and his convictions for should be reversed. If the Court fails to reverse Appellant's convictions, for the foregoing reasons, the above convictions of Appellant should be set aside and the case remanded back to the Fifth Judicial District Court for a new sentencing.

ROUTING STATEMENT

Appellant believes that the case should be assigned to the Court of Appeals pursuant to NRAP 17, there being no issue warranting retaining the case.

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL UNDER NRAP 28A

I hereby certify that I have read this Appellant's Opening Brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation. I further certify that this Brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e), which requires every assertion in the Brief regarding matters in the record be supported by a reference to the page and volume number of the appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying Brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

I hereby certify that this Appellant's Opening Brief complies with the formatting requirements of Rule 32(a)(4)-(6) because this Appellant's Opening Brief has been prepared using Microsoft Word 2016 in Times New Roman 14. I further certify that this Appellant's Opening Brief complies with the page limitations stated in Rule 32(a)(7) by being less than 30 pages in length and is less than 14,000 words.

SUBMITTED this 25day of October, 2021.

DAVID H. NEELY III

NV. Bar No. 003891

3520 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite D-1

Las Vegas, Nevada 89121 Attorney for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

attorney, and that on the 25 day of October, 2021, I served the above and foregoing APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF by depositing a copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed to the f or parties at their last known addresses as indicated below:

⁹ Chris Arabia, Esq.

Nye County District Attorney

P. O. Box 39

Pahrump, NV 89041

12 Aaron Ford, Esq.

Nevada Attorney General

100 North Carson Street

Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

Attorneys for Respondents

agent or employee of

DAVID H. NEELY, III, ESQ.