

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ORENTHAL JAMES SIMPSON,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
PAUL DORSEY,  
Respondent.

No. 83199

**FILED**

JAN 28 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL IN PART*

This is an appeal from district court orders (1) denying respondent's motion for judgment on garnishment, granting respondent's alternative request to conduct discovery, and directing that any issues related to a confidentiality agreement be raised in a different department; (2) granting respondent's motion for alternative relief in aid of execution, denying appellant's counter-motion to continue or quash a writ of execution, and denying appellant's request to require respondent to post a bond, (3) denying appellant's motion to alter or amend and motion for reconsideration and clarification; and (4) denying appellant's motion for relief from judgment. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, Judge.

This court previously ordered appellant to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed in part for lack of jurisdiction. In particular, it appeared that the first three orders are not substantively appealable. In response, although all four orders were clearly identified in the notice of appeal, appellant asserts that he only appeals from the second and fourth orders and does not assert that the first and third orders are appealable. Appellant states that the second order is related to the fourth order but offers no argument or citation to authority in support of this court's

jurisdiction to consider an appeal from the second order. Accordingly, as appellant fails to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction to consider the first three orders, this appeal is dismissed as it relates to those orders. *See Moran v. Bonneville Square Assocs.*, 117 Nev. 525, 25 P.3d 898 (2001).

This appeal shall proceed as it relates to the fourth order, the June 7, 2021, order denying appellant's motion for relief from judgment.

Appellant shall have 7 days from the date of this order to serve and file, in this court, a file-stamped transcript request form. *See* NRAP 9(a). Appellant shall have 60 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1). Failure to timely comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of this appeal. NRAP 9(a)(7); NRAP 31(d)(1).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Hardesty, J.  
Hardesty

Stiglich, J.  
Stiglich

Herndon, J.  
Herndon

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge  
Lansford W. Levitt, Settlement Judge  
Malcolm P LaVergne & Associates  
Sklar Williams LLP  
Mincin Law, PLLC  
Eighth District Court Clerk