

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TON VINH LEE, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,  
vs.  
INGRID PATIN, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Respondent/Cross-Appellant,  
and  
PATIN LAW GROUP, PLLC, A NEVADA  
PROFESSIONAL, PLLC,  
Respondent.

No. 83213

**FILED**

**FEB 25 2022**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

Cause appearing, respondent's and respondent/cross-appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the combined answering brief on appeal and opening brief on cross-appeal is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent and respondent/cross-appellant shall have until March 21, 2022, to file and serve the brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the combined answering brief on appeal and opening brief on cross-appeal may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Resnick & Louis, P.C./Las Vegas  
Nettles Morris  
Claggett & Sykes Law Firm  
Doyle Law Group