

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TON VINH LEE, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,  
vs.  
INGRID PATIN, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Respondent/Cross-Appellant,  
and  
PATIN LAW GROUP, PLLC, A NEVADA  
PROFESSIONAL, PLLC,  
Respondent.

No. 83213

**FILED**

MAR 29 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, the motion requesting a third extension of time to file the combined answering brief on appeal and opening brief on cross-appeal is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent/cross-appellant and respondent shall have until April 20, 2022, to file and serve the combined brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the combined answering brief on appeal and opening brief on cross-appeal may result in the imposition of sanctions, including dismissal of the cross-appeal and resolution of the appeal without an answering brief. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Resnick & Louis, P.C./Las Vegas  
Nettles Morris  
Claggett & Sykes Law Firm  
Doyle Law Group