


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TON VINH LEE, AN INDIVIDUAL,
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,
vs.
INGRID PATIN, AN INDIVIDUAL,
Respondent/Cross-Appellant,
and
PATIN LAW GROUP, PLLC, A NEVADA
PROFESSIONAL, PLLC,
Respondent.

No. 83213

FILED


JUN 28 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellant/cross-respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the combined reply brief on appeal and answering brief on cross-appeal is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant/cross-respondent shall have until July 20, 2022, to file and serve the combined reply brief on appeal and answering brief on cross-appeal. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the combined brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Resnick & Louis, P.C./Las Vegas
Nettles Morris
Claggett & Sykes Law Firm
Doyle Law Group