	Leventnai, Todd on U5/20/2021 Page 44
1	BY MR. GOSIOCO:
2	Q. Yes, sir.
3	A. Thank you. 55? Electronically Filed
4	Q. Yes, sir. Jul 21 2021 08:25 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
5	A. Okay. I'm there. Thank you. Clerk of Supreme Court
6	Q. And is Bates the document appearing on
7	your screen that I'm sharing, does this is this
8	Bates stamp 55 of your Exhibit A?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. And do you recognize what this document is,
11	Mr. Leventhal?
12	A. Yes, sir. It's part of the Metropolitan
13	Police Department's investigation. They input the
14	victims, they input the offenses that they believe
15	that the district attorney's office should charge a
16	defendant with, and that's what this is, or this is a
17	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department report.
18	Q. Okay. And in based on your experience in
19	these arrest reports or detective reports, if an item
20	is alleged to have been stolen, are those items
21	specifically listed in the arrest report?
22	A. Generally somewhere, yes, sir.
23	Q. Okay. Thank you. Now scrolling down to
24	Bates stamp 56, are you on that page, Mr. Leventhal?

Yes, sir.

Α.

1 Ο. At the bottom of Bates stamp 56, and it's 2 being shown on the screen, which I'm sharing, to the left-hand column it says "arrestees, witnesses, other 3 entities and properties"; is that right? 4 Α. That's correct. 5 So under the "property" section, based on 6 Ο. 7 your experience, if an item is alleged to have been 8 stolen, is this the section where Metro officers 9 would typically list alleged stolen items? MR. CLARK: Objection. Speculation, lacks 10 foundation. 11 12 MR. GOSIOCO: And I was asking based on Mr. Leventhal's experience. 13 14 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Overruled. MR. CLARK: You haven't established his 15 16 experience as to arrest records. 17 MR. GOSIOCO: Sorry, was that objection overruled, Mr. Chairman? 18 19 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: 20 MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you. 21 THE WITNESS: Sorry, what was -- the 22 question is in my experience is this where they would 23 put stolen property? BY MR. GOSIOCO: 24

25

Ο.

Yes, sir.

1 Α. Yeah. So if you -- if you go to page 57, the next page. 2 3 0. Okay. If you look down, see "type," where Α. Okav. 4 it says "miscellaneous," and then there's "computers, 5 office equipment, stolen." And if you go to the next 6 7 one, "miscellaneous," and you see "cellphone"? 8 Q. Yes, sir. 9 Α. "Bicycle, worthless docs and items not listed stolen, Home Depot card used." So that would 10 be where they would generally put what was stolen. 11 12 So that would -- that's where I became aware that an iPhone was stolen. And, again, cellphones, bikes, 13 clothing, glasses. If you keep going, "type: 14

And then if you go down, the automobile of Mr. Bowman, I believe he -- it was his automobile.

Then it would go list into the automobile, Dodge pickup registered to Dean Bowman, what was taken.

Overnight bag, hat, glasses, contacts, miscellaneous

stolen with Discover red card, bicycle, worthless

docs, cellphones." So that's where it would.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So to answer your questions, yes, generally that's where they list the items, but they don't -- I mean, you know, whether -- I don't know how deep and involved they get. They're still Metro officers that

- have a lot of things to do, so, you know, but there are things listed here.
- Q. Thank you. Now, I want to go back to the top -- the bottom of page 56 where the property section starts.
- 6 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. The highlighted portion on my screen, can you see that properly?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, in the highlighted portion, does it mention anywhere an iPhone, a Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or a diamond ring?
- 13 A. In that section, no, sir.
- Q. Thank you. Scrolling down to Bates stamp

 57, the next type of alleged stolen property, I am

 highlighting that portion on my screen now. Can you

 see that portion, Mr. Leventhal?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, anywhere in that highlighted portion does it mention an iPhone, a Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or a diamond ring?
- A. It mentions an Apple iPad, but not the iPhone.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And as far as a Louis
 Vuitton purse or wallet or a diamond ring, is there

- 1 | any mention of that in that highlighted portion?
- 2 | A. No, sir.
- Q. Thank you. And showing you the next highlighted portion right here, for the "type," can you see that properly, Mr. Leventhal?
 - A. Yes, sir.

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

- Q. Now, in that highlighted portion, is there any mention of an iPhone, Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or diamond ring?
- 10 A. It says cellphone. It doesn't specify, but,
 11 no, it doesn't say -- it doesn't say iPhone, if
 12 that's your question.
 - Q. Okay. And as far as the type, and I'm comparing, for example, you did mention at the bottom of Bates stamp 58 the Dodge pickup that was registered to Dean Bowman; is that right?
 - A. Yes. That's what it says.
- Q. And under the "type" at the very top of that highlighted portion on my screen it says "automobile, parenthesis, not stolen or recovered"; is that right?
- A. Where I am? I don't know where I'm looking.

 Am I still on at 58?
- Q. Yes, sir. Towards the bottom of 58. It's on my screen.
- A. At the bottom. I'm sorry. Yes, I see --

- oh, "type: Automobile, not stolen or recovered."

 Yes, I see that.
- Q. And was there -- is there a description included in that underneath the "type"?
- A. I don't know. "Type: Automobile, not stolen or recovered."
 - Q. And if you go down two more lines.
- 8 A. Okay. "Dodge pickup registered to Dean 9 Bowman."
- Q. Okay. And that's the description of the type of alleged stolen item, correct, or?
- 12 A. No, sir. The automobile wasn't stolen. The
 13 automobile was broken into and stuff was taken out of
 14 it from Dean Bowman.
 - Q. Okay.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- A. So, no, she never stole it. That's why it says it's not stolen, they didn't need to recover it. What they're saying here is that's the automobile that was parked when Miss Sosa went in and broke the glass and took stuff out of the vehicle. Does that make sense?
 - Q. Yes, sir. Thank you for clarifying.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. But under the "type" it does says

 "automobile, parenthesis, not stolen or recovered,"

1 correct? 2 Α. That's correct. And under the description it states, "Dodge 3 Ο. pickup registered to Dean A. Bowman"; is that right? 4 Α. That's correct. 5 Okay. So going back up to Bates stamp 57, 6 Ο. 7 and I'm highlighting a portion on my screen. MR. CLARK: 8 57? 9 MR. GOSIOCO: Yes, 57. BY MR. GOSIOCO: 10 11 And do you see the portion I'm referring to, Ο. 12 Mr. Leventhal? Α. Yes, sir. 13 And under "type," as you had testified, the 14 "type" states miscellaneous parenthesis, cellphones, 15 bicycles, worthless doc, items not included; is that 16 17 right? Am I on fifty -- oh, yeah, you're down 18 Α. 19 there. Yes. 20 An under the "description," am I Ο. reading this correctly, the description is listed as 21 2.2 Home Depot credit card which was used, the info was

A. That's what it says, yes, sir.

in the details?

2.3

25

Q. Okay. But as far as this highlighted

- portion is concerned, there is no mention of an iPhone, Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or diamond ring; is that right?
 - A. It doesn't say iPhone, it says cellphone.
- Q. Okay. And that is the type, but as far as the description is concerned, there's no mention of an iPhone, Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or a diamond ring; is that right?
 - A. That's correct, but it also doesn't say what type of bicycle it is either. I mean, it just says bicycle, cellphone. But, no, it doesn't -- it doesn't say exactly what it is. You're correct.
 - Q. Thank you. Going to the top of Bates stamp 58, I'm highlighting a portion now on my screen. Can you see that properly, Mr. Leventhal?
 - A. Yes, sir.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And under the type, what does the "type" state for that highlighted portion?
 - A. "Clothing, belts, glasses, purses/wallets."
 - Q. And the description please?
- A. Description is "overnight bag, had my glasses and contacts."
- Q. Now, in that highlighted portion is there any mention of an iPhone, Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or diamond ring?

- A. It doesn't specifically say which one, it just says purses, wallets.
 - Q. For the clothing, the type of item, correct.

 But as far as the description, is there any mention
 of an iPhone, Louis Vuitton wallet or purse, or
 diamond ring?
- 7 A. No.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Thank you. And I'm scrolling. We already discussed the automobile on the bottom of page 58.

 Okay, and showing you the narrative on Bates stamp 59, I'm highlighting that portion right now. Can you see that clearly, Mr. Leventhal?
 - A. Yes, sir, I can.
- Q. Can you read that to yourself quietly and let me know when you're finished?
 - A. Okay. I've read it.
- Q. Thank you. Now, in that narrative,

 Mr. Leventhal, is there any mention of an iPhone,

 Louis Vuitton wallet or purse, or diamond ring?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- Q. Thank you. I'm scrolling to Bates stamp 60.

 Do you recognize this document, Mr. Leventhal?
- 23 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Was this included as discovery in the criminal case for Miss Sosa-Avila?

- 1 A. Yes, sir, it was.
- Q. Scrolling down a bit further, I'm going to
 be highlighting -- does this look like a receipt from
 Home Depot?
- 5 A. Yes, sir, it does.
- Q. And I'm going to be highlighting the portion to the left with an itemized list. Do you see the highlighted portion on my screen, Mr. Leventhal?
 - A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. Could you read that quietly to yourself and let me know when you're done?
- 12 A. I'm done.

13

14

15

- Q. Now, in that itemized list of this Home
 Depot receipt, is there any mention of a Louis
 Vuitton purse or wallet, or iPhone, excuse me, or
 diamond ring?
- 17 | A. No, sir.
- Q. Thank you. Now, Bates stamp -- I'm looking at Bates stamp 62, and does this also appear to be a receipt image from Home Depot?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I'm highlighting a portion to the left of my screen with an itemized list. Do you see that clearly, Mr. Leventhal?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, I do.

- Q. And can you take -- read it quietly to yourself and let me know when you're finished?
 - A. I'm done.
 - Q. And does an iPhone, a Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or diamond ring appear anywhere in the itemized list?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Thank you. Now, showing you what looks like another receipt from Home Depot on Bates stamp 64, do you see that on my screen, Mr. Leventhal?
- 11 | A. I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And I'm highlighting a portion to the left with an itemized list on the receipt. Could you read that quietly to yourself and let me know when you're finished?
 - A. I'm finished.
- Q. And in that itemized list, is there any mention of an iPhone, Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or diamond ring?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Thank you. Showing you yet another Home Depot receipt on Bates stamp 65, I'm highlighting a portion to the left of my screen with an itemized list from that receipt. Do you see where I'm at, Mr. Leventhal?

1 A. Yes, I do.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And could you read that quietly to yourself and let me know when you're finished?
 - A. Yes, I'm done.
- Q. And is there any mention of an iPhone, Louis
 Vuitton purse or wallet, or diamond ring in here?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Thank you. Let's see. Give me one second.

 Let me -- now, did you also, this was case number

 20 -- I apologize. Showing you what's been

 previously marked and admitted as Exhibit 8, and this appears to be your motion to withdraw from attorney,

as attorney of record for Miss Sosa-Avila in Case

15 A. That's correct.

Number 19F03827B; is that right?

- Q. And I'm looking for the criminal complaint. It doesn't look like there was a criminal complaint attached to that case, but give me one moment. I'm looking at Bates stamp, in your exhibit, looks like Exhibit B. And showing you your Exhibit B, starting on Bates stamp 75, let me know when you've found that document --
- 23 A. I found it.
- 24 | Q. -- Mr. Leventhal.
- 25 You have it?

1 A. Yes, sir.

5

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

- Q. Thank you. And I'm highlighting a portion
 in the details for probable cause on Bates stamp 75.

 Do you see that clearly on my screen, Mr. Leventhal?
 - A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. Can you read that to yourself quietly and let me know when you're finished?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 Yes, sir, I'm done.
 - Q. Now, in that highlighted portion, is there any mention of an iPhone, Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or diamond ring?
- 13 A. No, sir.
 - Q. And to the best of your knowledge in this report is there any mention of an iPhone, Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, or diamond ring?
- 17 A. In this entire report? You want me to look 18 through it?
 - Q. If you wouldn't mind, yes, sir.
- A. Okay. Well, because I know how this
 happened. When the undercover detective, the
 Detective Haynes in this, what he did was he started
 communication with Miss Sosa because he was trained
 to get the goods, the stuff that was stolen back for
 Mr. Bowman. And in his course of his investigation,

- 1 | through what's called, an app called OfferUp,
- 2 | Miss Sosa told him that she had the Louis Vuitton
- 3 | purse. It's -- if you go to number 83. Are you
- 4 | there?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 5 Q. Yes, sir.
- A. So right there, stop. Do you see where it says "Louis Vuitton wallet" at the top right, and underneath it said \$650?
- 9 Q. Yes, sir, I do.
 - A. Okay. Can you highlight that? And so -and so that's what she was trying to sell. Thank
 you. That's what she was trying to sell the officer.
 That's where I found out that there was, in fact, a
 Louis Vuitton wallet that was stolen, that was -that she had given to me as collateral. And that's
 when I decided that I couldn't -- and then she also
 admitted to it.

So it is in the report, the Louis Vuitton wallet. It's not just -- it's just not listed as a stolen item, but it is in the report. And when she was confronted about it in her interview down at Metropolitan Police Department, she then again admitted to it because the officer said, what about the Louis Vuitton wallet that you were trying to sell me, and she said, Oh, I found it in a car, or

- something along those lines. So, yes, there is --1 there is mention of a Louis Vuitton wallet in that 2 3 report. Okav. But and how about the iPhone or Ο. 4 5 diamond ring. Is that mentioned anywhere in the 6 report? Well, the iPhone -- okay, the phone was 7 Α. 8 mentioned in the report as something that was stolen 9 out of the vehicle. The -- and the ring, like I said, was admitted to, I don't remember if I saw 10 anywhere in the ring. The Louis Vuitton was 11 12 obviously the big one that popped out. And then when -- and then, like I said, she admitted to it 13 when she came in. So I don't know if it's in the 14 15 report. 16 Ο. Thank you. I'd like to move on to Okay. 17 Case Number OBC20-0706 pertaining to a grievance
 - Case Number OBC20-0706 pertaining to a grievance submitted to the State Bar by Zan Mitrov. Are you familiar with that grievance?
 - A. Yes, sir.

19

20

21

- MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, is this -- are we at a good time to take a comfort break? Unless...
- 23 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Sure. That's fine. Five minutes?
- MR. CLARK: Five minutes?

1	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Yep.
2	MR. CLARK: Is that all right?
3	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Recess.
4	MR. CLARK: Thank you.
5	(A recess was taken.)
6	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Back on the record.
7	State Bar, your witness.
8	MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	MR. CLARK: Can we have the record reflect
10	that I guess Mr. Hooge has joined us.
11	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Sure.
12	MR. CLARK: Okay.
13	MR. GOSIOCO: May I proceed, Mr. Chairman?
14	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Yes, please.
15	MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you, sir.
16	BY MR. GOSIOCO:
17	Q. Mr. Leventhal, just a few more questions
18	pertaining to Miss Sosa-Avila. You testified
19	previously that the iPhone, the drone, not even
20	the iPhone, the drone, the Louis Vuitton purse and
21	wallet, and the diamond ring were dropped off to your
22	office, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And it's your position that the iPhone, the
25	Louis Vuitton purse or wallet, and the diamond ring

were dropped off as collateral; is that right? 1 2 Α. Until she -- yes. Yes, that's correct. Okay. And you accepted those items as 3 0. collateral; is that right? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And you had stated that those items you had 6 Ο. 7 discovered through the discovery that was associated 8 with Miss Sosa-Avila's case, that you discovered 9 those items were stolen; is that correct? That's correct. 10 Α. And in the discovery that we have just 11 Ο. 12 reviewed, was there any mention of an iPhone or the diamond ring? 13

MR. CLARK: Objection. Asked and answered. CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Overruled.

BY MR. GOSIOCO:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Leventhal, in that discovery that we had just went over, was there any mention of an iPhone or diamond ring in that discovery?
- A. What we went through, no, I don't believe so. I mean, I -- you asked me to go through it rather quickly, but there's -- in the first discovery there was something like Bates stamp 70 through 85, and I didn't review it all, and the other one. So I haven't reviewed it all to answer that specific

1 question.

8

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I don't believe, knowing what I know, is

that there was any mention of a diamond ring, and I

don't believe that it was specific as to what type of

5 | phone it was. But I do know that, again, when I told

6 | her she can't give me stolen, she admitted to it.

7 | So, I mean, with everything that was in here, coupled

with the fact that she orally admitted to and I told

9 her I was going to turn in it, I had to.

MR. GOSIOCO: And I would object to those statements as hearsay.

12 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Overruled.

14 BY MR. GOSIOCO:

- Q. But as far as the items that we've been talking about, the iPhone and the diamond ring, you didn't learn that those items were stolen through the discovery, right?
- A. Not specifically as to especially the diamond ring, but the iPhone, I assumed that when it said cellphone that it was stolen that that was what that was.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, I'd like to move on to Case Number OBC20-0706 with Mr. Mitrov. You are familiar with that grievance submitted by Mr. Mitrov,

| correct?

- A. I am, sir. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Leventhal, who is
 Mr. Zan Mitroy?
 - A. Who -- what do you mean who is he? Was he -- I considered him like, you know, I knew him before. I had represented him years ago. I knew him during and then when he picked up a new case, I represented him again. So he was a client in a I guess a roundabout, he was, I don't want to say friend, I never went out with him, but we had mutual friends in common.
 - Q. Thank you. But at some point you have had an attorney-client relationship with Mr. Mitrov; is that right?
 - A. That's correct. Once I want to say about ten years ago where he was charged with stealing something, some big heavy, heavy equipment out of a gold mine, and then -- then on this one where he was charged with stealing and doing and having meth, possessing meth and consuming methamphetamine on a vehicle, I represented him again. So that would be twice I represented him in over probably ten years.
 - Q. Okay. And you testified that you were actively practicing law in the state of Nevada in

- 2019 and 2020; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, in 2019 or 2020 were you ever -- were you ever in an attorney-client relationship with
- 5 | Mr. Mitrov?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I believe that that's around the time that he picked up the new charges, yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall approximately when Mr. Mitrov had retained you again as his attorney?
 - A. No, sir. And I apologize for dates. I mean, COVID, the whole year last year threw me off on dates, so I apologize. I'm not trying to be evasive on dates, but I've lost track of time for the last year or two because of everything that happened last year. So I don't specifically know when I was retained on his case recently, whether it was '18 or '19 or '20. I would agree with you that I did represent him.
 - Q. Okay. And showing you what's been -- one second. Showing you what's been previously admitted as Exhibit 22, give me one moment to share my screen.
- 22 Mr. Leventhal, do you recognize this document?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is this your letterhead up at the top?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And is this your signature right here?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It looks like this was dated July 29, 2020;
- 4 | is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And was this your response to the State
 Bar's letter of investigation pertaining to the
 grievance submitted by Mr. Mitrov?
- 9 A. It appears to be, yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you. Now, I'm going to be highlighting a portion of my screen briefly. The first paragraph, do you see the highlighted portion on my screen, Mr. Leventhal?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. Could you read that to yourself quietly and let me know when you're finished?
- 17 A. Yes, sir. I'm done.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- A. I apologize, it says eight. I've known him
 for eight years. I was off by two years. I said
 ten, so I apologize.
- Q. That's not a problem. But as far as when
 the attorney-client relationship between you and
 Mr. Mitrov began again, did that help refresh your
- 25 recollection as to when that attorney-client

relationship started over?

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. Yeah, it was July 17, 2019.
- Q. Okay. And do you know approximately how long you were Mr. Mitrov's attorney for those matters?
 - A. No, sir, I don't.
 - Q. And do you recall how many cases Mr. Mitrov retained you on?
 - A. Specifically, no, because he had -- what happened on this case, if I remember correctly, is he had, he had purchased a stolen vehicle from a female who the Metropolitan Police Department did not know whether or not she had committed suicide or she was killed. She basically fell off of the Turnberry Towers.

And so he purchased her vehicle, which was a \$150,000 BMW, he bought it for like \$5,000. When they went to go investigate, they got it back. He then repurchased it again from the same people, knowing it was stolen. And then when they went to go pick him up on the second time, they also found methamphetamine on him, and he was driving with methamphetamine on him.

So my point is is that he had the possession of the stolen vehicle was one, with a number of

- charges, but then they kicked out the DUI with
 methamphetamine to a different ones. Ultimately I
 don't know exactly whether they had three cases or
 four cases in the system at that time, but I believe
 that they all surrounded the same set of certain
 - But then that often happens is when the district attorney charges it, because there's different divisions within the D.A.'s office, i.e., there's a DUI team, there's a stolen vehicle team, so just to let you know, I don't know specifically how many different cases he had going at the same time as I sit here. Does that answer your question, sir?
 - Q. Yes, sir.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

facts.

- A. And can I -- is it, JOE EES CO?
- Q. GO SHOW CO.
- 17 A. GOSHY OKO. Sorry. Okay. GOSHY OKO.
 - Q. Not a problem, sir. Now, let's see, do you recall -- give me one second. So I'm showing you what's been previously admitted as Exhibits 17 and 18, and I'll start with 17 first. Do you see the document on my screen, Mr. Leventhal?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Does this appear to be your header?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- Q. And the defendant in this case is Zan Mitrov, correct?

 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And does this appear to be a motion to withdraw as attorney of record?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- Q. And this is for case 19F10566X; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And is this -- does this appear to be your electronic signature at the bottom of page one?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do you recall to the best of your ability having you or your staff file this with the Justice Court?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Thank you. I'm showing you what's been previously admitted as Exhibit 14. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Leventhal?
 - A. Yes. That's the Register of Actions in the felony case for possession of drugs, two counts of possession of drugs, as well as a trafficking in a -- in drugs. That's a midlevel trafficking, a 4 to 14 grams, and it's a felony.
 - Q. Okay. And this -- and was this the case

- 1 that you had filed a motion to withdraw on, the one I previously showed you marked as Exhibit 17? 2
 - I have no reason to doubt you. Α. If the case numbers are the case numbers, then yes.
 - And just to briefly verify, showing you what's been previously admitted as Exhibit 17, it looks like the case number is 19F10566, and then previously admitted Exhibit 14, 19F10566X, does that appear to correlate with the motion to withdraw?
- 10 Α. Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

- I'm scrolling down to June 17 of 2020 when Ο. that motion was filed in that case. Is that a correct statement that the motion was, in fact, filed on June 17, 2020?
- Α. That's correct, sir.
- 16 Ο. And --
 - It was after the judgment was already Α. entered.
- 19 0. Okay. And do you recall if that motion to 20 withdraw was granted or denied?
- 21 Α. I believe it was granted.
- 2.2 Q. Okay.
- The case -- the case was already finished. 23 Α. If you look up, four lines up it says judgment of 24 25

conviction, judgment entered on 2-11-2020, judgment

1 entered. That case was already negotiated and 2 finished, so all he had to do was do some, some, either some classes or pay a fine of some sort. 3 And it does appear on June 22, 2020 Ο. Okav. 4 5 that that motion was, in fact, granted; is that 6 right? 7 Α. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. So would you agree with me that from 9 about July 17, 2019 through June 22, 2020 that you were in an attorney-client relationship with 10 Mr. Mitrov? 11 12 Α. Correct. Thank you. Now, Mr. Leventhal, for these 13 Ο. 14 cases was a retainer agreement executed? I usually get a retainer 15 Was one submitted? Α. 16 agreement. I don't know if one was submitted. Ι 17 don't have any independent knowledge of that right 18 now. 19 Was one submitted? 20 MR. CLARK: I don't think so. BY MR. GOSIOCO: 21 22 Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Q. your Exhibit D, that would be Bates stamp, I'm going 23

doesn't look like it's Bates stamped, but I'm looking

24

25

to sav 85.

Exhibit D starts on Bates stamp -- it

- 1 | at page 84 of 92 in the exhibits that were previously
- 2 disseminated prior to the commencement of the formal
- 3 hearing.
- 4 MR. CLARK: Counsel, I'm not sure what
- 5 | you're referring to. I apologize that the exhibit
- 6 | was not Bates stamped. I thought it was Bates
- 7 | stamped.
- 8 MR. GOSIOCO: That's not a problem,
- 9 Mr. Clark. So earlier you had emailed us, along with
- 10 | the panel members, a PDF with your exhibits to the
- 11 | formal hearing, and that contained 92 pages. And I
- 12 | went to page 84. That's started with Exhibit D.
- MR. CLARK: He has Exhibit D here.
- MR. GOSIOCO: Okay. Thank you.
- 15 | BY MR. GOSIOCO:
- 16 Q. Now, I'm scrolling down to the first page of
- 17 | Exhibit D. Mr. Leventhal, do you recognize this
- 18 | document?
- 19 | A. No, sir.
- Q. It's titled Affidavit of Zan Mitrov. You
- 21 | don't recognize this document?
- 22 A. No, sir. I had nothing to do with this.
- 23 Q. Okay. Was this -- at any point during the
- 24 | disciplinary proceedings, did you submit this
- 25 | affidavit, or your counsel submit this affidavit to

1 the State Bar? 2 Α. I don't know. It's here. Produced it. Ι don't know. 3 It was part of our motion for MR. CLARK: 4 5 summary judgment. 6 BY MR. GOSIOCO: 7 Thank you. I'll scroll down to page -- it Ο. 8 looks like Exhibit E, page 88. Let me see. No, I 9 apologize. Exhibit F, page 91. Scrolling down to page 82. Do you recognize this document, 10 Mr. Leventhal? 11 12 Α. This email? Yes, sir. 13 Ο. From Mr. Mitrov I quess to Miss Watson? 14 15 was shown it. 16 Okay. And have you had the chance to review Ο. 17 its contents? I did. 18 Α. 19 And I just want to point your attention to 20 the -- actually, one second. Turning your attention 21 to what's been previously admitted as Exhibit 22, we 2.2 discussed this briefly, but this is your letterhead, 2.3 correct? Yes, sir. 24 Α.

And this was your response to the State

25

Ο.

- Leventhal. Todd on 05/20/2021 Bar's letter of investigation pertaining to 1 2 Mr. Mitrov's grievance? 3 Α. Yes, sir. And that is your signature at the bottom, 4 Ο. 5 correct? 6 Yes, sir. Α. Thank you. I'd like to turn your attention 7 Ο. 8 to the first paragraph, the second page, and I'm 9 highlighting that portion on my screen. Can you see that portion, Mr. Leventhal? 10 Yes, sir. 11 Α. 12 Could you read that quietly to yourself, let Q. me know when you're done? 13 14 Α. Yes, sir, I'm done. Okay. Now, at any point during your 15 0.
- 16 representation of Mr. Mitrov between July 17, 2019 17 through September, or through, excuse me, June 22, 18 2020, were you ever in possession of one or more of 19 Mr. Mitrov's vehicles?
- 20 MR. CLARK: Objection. Compound.
- BY MR. GOSIOCO: 21
- 22 Let me rephrase. Did you ever have Q. possession of any of Mr. Mitrov's vehicles? 23
- 24 Α. Yes, sir. I don't know the dates, but, yes, I did. 25

- Q. Okay. Do you recall how many vehicles you borrowed from Mr. Mitrov?
 - A. Just one.

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- O. And what kind of a vehicle was that?
- 5 A. That was the Viper.
- Q. Okay. And so did Mr. Mitrov allow you to borrow that car?
 - A. Yes, sir. My car broke down, and I needed to get up to Pahrump to go to a jail visit, and Mr. Mitrov was around. He said, Why don't know you borrow one of my cars. And I said, Yeah, thanks, okay, and so I borrowed it.
 - Q. Okay. And approximately, if you recall, how long did you -- were you in possession of the Viper?
 - A. Actual possession was no more than a day or two because it -- when I got back, I parked it, and I live in the Las Vegas Country Club, it was on the street. When I went to go start it back up, it didn't start, so I had a buddy of mine who's a mechanic come over and tow it over to his shop to fix it, and it was over at his shop. So actually in my possession it was two days.
 - Q. Okay. And do you recall approximately when you first had possession of the Viper?
 - A. No, sir, I don't.

1 Ο. Do you believe it was approximately 2019 or 2 2020? Again, I don't know. 3 Α. Okay. 4 Ο. 5 Α. I apologize. Not a problem. You had mentioned you had 6 Q. 7 actual possession of the Viper for about one day or 8 Do you recall how long you had constructive 9 possession of the Viper? MR. CLARK: Objection. Vaque, calls for 10 legal conclusion, lacks foundation. 11 12 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Sustained. BY MR. GOSIOCO: 13 Okay. Let me rephrase. Did Mr. Mitrov ever 14 15 ask to pick up the Viper? Yes, he did. 16 Α. 17 Okay. And how many times did Mr. Mitrov ask 0. 18 to pick up the Viper? I don't have that information. 19 I don't 20 know. Okay. To the best of your recollection, I 21 Ο. 22 quess how long between the time you had possession of 2.3 the Viper to the time Mr. Mitrov was able to pick up

the vehicle, do you approximately know how long the

24

25

timeframe that was?

- 1 A. I don't. I apologize. I really -- I don't.
- Q. That's not a problem. And showing you
 what's been previously admitted as your Exhibit F,
 the email that I was referring to earlier, you had
 stated that you did have a chance to review that; is
 - A. I did, yeah, I did see that.
 - Q. Okay. And I'm highlighting a portion of that email from Mr. Mitrov, the bottom. Do you see that portion highlighted on my screen, Mr. Leventhal?
 - A. Yes. He stated that -- what does he say?
 "I have nothing else to seek from Mr. Leventhal. He gave me my vehicle within 48 hours as I asked also he gave me money to cover my rental cost from June 5th to June 30th."
 - Q. Okay. And you previously testified that you filed a motion to withdraw from Mr. Mitrov's case on June 17, 2020; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Now, there's some reference to a rental cost. Do you know what that's in reference to?
 - A. No.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

that right?

Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that you never gave Mr. Mitrov money to cover Mr. Mitrov's rental car from June 5 to June 30, 2020?

Yeah, I'm not going to lie to you. 1 Α. 2 never gave him any money for a rental car. I never knew that he suggested that he had a rental car. 3 has multiple cars. He owns like a car lot with a 4 bunch of cars. He has two Escalades there now. 5 I mean, I never knew that he even suggested 6 to the State Bar that I gave him money. 7 That's a 8 I never gave him money for a rental car because I never knew that he said that he needed to rent a 9 10 car. So, no, that's not true. 11 Thank you, Mr. Leventhal. Ο. 12 Α. Thank you. MR. GOSIOCO: And, Mr. Chairman, I'll pass 13 the witness for now. 14 15 The respondent? CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: 16 MR. CLARK: Okay. CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Can the State Bar take 17 18 the screen share off please. 19 MR. CLARK: Actually, Mr. Gosioco, if I can beg the State Bar's indulgence. If yours are up on 20 21 my exhibits, than using mine than me having to 22 finagle them up there, is it acceptable that we, that 2.3 we keep them up there with your assistance? MR. GOSIOCO: Absolutely, Mr. Clark. 24 Okay. Because otherwise we'll 25 MR. CLARK:

1 be here a long, long time. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CLARK: 3 Let me go to Exhibit D, as in "David," page 4 one of two, and then towards the bottom. 5 Mr. Leventhal, directing your attention to paragraph 6 four at the bottom there where it states, I operate a 7 8 freight shipping broker business. In the past, I 9 have owned and operated an automobile body shop. also buy and sell (sic) vintage cars. 10 Is that your understanding of what Mr. Mitrov does? 11 12 Α. Yes. The next page, paragraph five, it 13 Ο. Okay. states, "I let Mr. Leventhal use a Dodge Viper as a 14 15 favor, not as payment or collateral for payment of After Mr. Leventhal withdrew as my counsel, I 16 fees. 17 picked up the Viper at the repair shop that 18 Mr. Leventhal had it towed to for repairs. I did not 19 pay him for its return." Do you agree with that 20 statement? 21 Α. Yes, I do. 22 So there was no discussion with Mr. Mitrov, Ο. you never said to him, I'll take the Viper or use the 23 Viper for fees? 24

No, I never did.

25

Α.

- Q. When it was towed to the repair shop, do you know whether or not -- do you know whether or not Mr. Mitrov was prevented from going to the repair shop and picking it up?
- 5 A. He was not.

7

8

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you know, and you may not, whether or not the repair shop had some sort of like garage lien on it?
- 9 A. No, they did not.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did you assert any lien on it?
- 11 A. No, sir, I did nothing.
 - Q. Okay. The complaint also talks about a

 Maserati. Do you recall that in the complaint, the

 State Bar complaint?
- 15 A. I recall it, yes.
 - Q. Going to paragraph six there, "As for the Maserati mentioned in the State Bar complaint, Mr. Leventhal never took the vehicle to California, never received title to it from my nor drove to my knowledge. In fact, I had considered giving it to him outright before he indicated to me he needed money for restitution. I did not pay him for its return as alleged in paragraph 31 of the State Bar complaint." My question to you is what were your dealings with Mr. Mitrov regarding the Maserati?

- A. None. I saw it. I never sat in it. I
 never drove it. I didn't want it. It was news to me
 that he indicated that he was going to give it to me.
 I wouldn't have taken it. I didn't want his
 Maserati.
- 6 Q. Did you ever ask for title to it?
- 7 A. No.

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.3

- Q. Did you ever say you wanted to give it to your wife?
- 10 A. My wife drives a little Fiat. She wouldn't even know how to drive a Maserati.
 - Q. Okay. We can move on to Sosa-Avila. Might as well stick with our exhibits. Let's scroll up to Exhibit C, as in "Clark." I'll show you what's been admitted as Exhibit C. Do you recognize this?
 - A. Do I?
 - O. Yes.
- A. It looks -- yes. This is the portion of the interview between Detective Haynes and Miss Sosa.
- It's what's called a Voluntary Statement. Miss Sosa
 was arrested on this, and then was questioned at the,
 down at the Metropolitan Police Department.
 - Q. Do you know if this was part of the discovery?
- 25 A. I don't know if this was part of the first

- 1 | pack, because sometimes I get an initial pack of
- 2 discovery and then this might have come in
- 3 | afterwards. So it is part of the discovery, I just
- 4 | don't know when I got it, when I received it. But it
- 5 | was part of her case. This was an event number, so
- 6 | they hadn't generated a district, a district case
- 7 | yet, so this would be the event number, 191299999832.
- 8 Q. Let me direct your attention to Bates stamp
- 9 | Leventhal 112.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Counsel, I thank you for manipulating the
- 12 | exhibit. I appreciate that.
- 13 | A little farther down at the bottom half of
- 14 | the page. Okay, do you see about almost halfway up
- 15 | where there's a question, What about the (sic) --
- 16 | what about that?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. It says "what about that, uh, Louis Vuitton
- 19 purse? Where'd that one come from?" Do you see
- 20 | that?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Does that -- did that -- did you read that?
- 23 | Do you recall reading that?
- 24 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 25 Q. And did that add to your belief that the

```
Louis Vuitton purse you had may have been stolen?
 1
              Yes, sir, it did.
 2
         Α.
              And she answers as, "Well the purse."
 3
         Ο.
                  "Question: The one you were selling
 4
     today?
 5
                            Uh, it was a wallet."
 6
                  "Answer:
 7
              You referred to earlier the fact that
 8
     Miss Sosa was caught trying to sell a Louis Vuitton
 9
     purse?
              Yes, sir.
10
         Α.
              Is that consistent with this?
11
         Ο.
12
              Yes, sir.
         Α.
              And then the final answer she was, "Uh, that
13
         Ο.
     honestly, I don't know, but it was just there in my
14
     car."
15
16
                  "Ouestion:
                              It was there?"
17
                 Answer:
                           It was -- "and I was told to get
     rid of it."
18
19
         Α.
              Yes, sir.
20
              You testified earlier that she had that
         Ο.
21
     story about the Louis Vuitton purse?
2.2
         Α.
              Yes, sir.
              Okay. And when you spoke to her and told
23
         0.
     her that it appeared the Louis Vuitton purse that you
24
25
     had that she had given you was stolen, did she
```

| disagree with you?

1

2

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. No, sir, she did not.
- Q. And, in fact, going to Leventhal page 114, right there at the top there it appears to be some sort of screen catch. "Samantha Louis Vuitton wallet." Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And that was also something you reviewed in the discovery?
 - A. I did.
- Q. Let me go to Leventhal 115. In your review of this discovery, are you familiar with a reference to a person named "Shorty"?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What is your familiarity with that?
 - A. Shorty is the person that Miss Sosa claimed that she got the credit cards from. In the beginning of the statement, if I remember correctly, she was scared to even talk to the police because of Shorty.

Her husband told the officer, or, yeah, her husband told the officer that she was not going to be talking to him, they were -- because they were in conspiracy with Shorty to steal things in the valley and then sell them.

O. And Leventhal 115 towards the bottom.

So -- so he told you that he 1 "Ouestion: 2 burglarized the car. What did he say? 3 "Answer: It came up on these." And then question at the very bottom, 4 "okay, and then what? And then he handed -- handed 5 you those cards?" 6 7 And then top of page 116, "And then he said 8 if you guys need to, you guys can go ahead and, you 9 know, go." Do you see that? 10 Α. Yes. Is that referencing to what her story was 11 Ο. 12 about coming into possession of the cards? Α. 13 Yes. Let me draw your attention to Leventhal 120. 14 Ο. 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 Q. The first question at the top. 17 "Question: The neighbors watched him 18 break into somebody else's car right outside of the 19 house after you guys got evicted. 20 "Answer: No way. "Ouestion: Yeah. The -- the one of the 21 22 Realtors' cars. They filed a police report. And 2.3 then." Do you see where I'm reading along? Yes, sir. 24 Α. Two lines down, a question from the 25 Ο.

detective, "Well then there was a couple complaints 1 2 'cause they were stolen from the house. Like he went way before then. 3 "Answer: What? 4 "Ouestion: Yeah. 5 It's not my case 6 though. I'm just curious about it. That's all." 7 Did you have any understanding that there 8 were other investigations that may have implicated Amalia Sosa-Avila? 9 Yes, there were multiple investigations. 10 Α. 11 Ο. And page 121, Leventhal. 12 Α. Yes, sir, I'm there. Just at about the middle. 13 Ο. "Ouestion: So -- all right. Well this 14 is how -- this is what it looks like right now. 15 mean, you -- you just told me too like this Shorty 16 17 dude, I mean, he told you he came up on some..." 18 And she goes, "Answer: Sporty." 19 He goes, "Question: Sporty, sorry. He came 20 up on some stuff. "Answer: After. 21 22 "Question: And then he gave it to you. I mean, so you're tellin' me you received stolen 23 property from this dude knowing full well he stole 24 25 it?"

No, I found out afterwards. 1 Answer: 2 Do you know whether or not that -- did you discuss that exchange with your client specifically? 3 I don't believe I did. I don't know if I Α. 4 I don't know if we got into the meat and into 5 the weeds of this Voluntary Statement. 6 7 But it was after I read this Voluntary 8 Statement that I knew that -- that's when I went back 9 and I looked at what was in the box, and so that's when I found out, and then she came in and we had 10 that conversation. I don't know how many -- how deep 11 I got into it with her. 12 Okay. And then I'm going backwards just a 13 Ο. 14 moment, Leventhal 122. Okay, I'm there. 15 Α. 16 Top of the page. Ο. 17 "Question: You knew straight up that it 18 was stolen. You knew that. You just told me you did, right? 19 20 "Answer: I was kind of hoping it wasn't, 21 I quess." 2.2 Α. Yes. "Question: Right. You knew though. 23 Ο. 24 mean, you just told me you knew. You just explained 25 to me what it means. So you guys received stolen

1 property from some dude that apparently owes you 2 money and you went and used the stolen property to make a bunch of purchases knowing it was stolen. 3 That's another felony." 4 5 Do you see that? Α. 6 Yes. 7 Did I read that correctly? Ο. 8 Α. You did. 9 0. Okay. Did that information cause you any 10 concern about the ownership of the property you had as collateral? 11 That, along with the fact that she was 12 Α. selling the Louis Vuitton purse and she admitted that 13 14 it was stolen. Let me go to Exhibit B, as in "Baker." 15 Ο. 16 What Bates are you on? MR. GOSIOCO: 17 MR. CLARK: Well, I quess at the end, Bates 18 stamp 86. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir I'm there. 20 BY MR. CLARK: 21 Way towards the bottom with the line that Ο. 22 starts with "Offerup" about a third of the way up, or 23 a quarter way up. Do you see that? It's a long, 24 narrative block but it says "Offerup." Do you see

25

that line?

Do you see that?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. After that, "when asked about the other

 items purchased Sosa-Avila would not answer Detective

 Haynes when he inquired as to where they were. She

 repeated that simply (sic) she had simply given them
- 7 A. Yes.

away."

6

8

9

- Q. Did that cause you concern about the providence of the property you had?
 - A. Yes, sir, along with a lot of other things.
- 11 Q. And then the prior page, Leventhal 84.
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And top of that, that is, in fact, another screen shot of the Louis Vuitton wallet, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. And that was in the discovery you reviewed?
- 17 | A. That's correct.
- Q. And finally to Respondent's A.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. Leventhal 42.
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. End of -- end of the -- under the Probable
- 23 | Cause. I think you read portions of this beforehand,
- 24 | but toward the end it says, "Upon their exit of the
- 25 | business." Do you see where I'm reading?

- 1 | A. Upon --
- Q. At the end of the first paragraph under "Details for Probable Cause."
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. "They discovered their vehicle had (sic) been burglarized and a number of personal items to include a Home Depot credit card, a Discover Credit Card, a laptop, and an Apple iPad had been taken."
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that there's now a Discover card also taken?
- 12 A. That's correct. If you go further down it 13 shows an extensive criminal history.
 - Q. Okay. Leventhal 48. Very, very, very, very bottom. I'll read it. "Detective Haynes proceeded to conduct online searches and investigations and was able to find a current Facebook post by Sosa-Avila, Amalia on 12/27/2019 to the personal Facebook account" of, and it's a URL, "looking to sell a Louis Vuitton wallet." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then again you already testified on
 Bates stamp 51, and there appears to be a screen grab
 of a Louis Vuitton wallet?
- 25 A. 51, yes, sir, there is.

- Q. Leventhal page 53, just below the halfway
 part. "As the interrogation went on," do you see
 where I'm reading? It's right after "Wesly Avila
 approximately 2,000 dollars." Do you see that part?
- 5 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Q. Right below that it says, "As the interrogation went on," do you see where I'm reading?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. "Sosa-Avila admitted to Detective Haynes that she knew the card she claimed to have received from a man named Sporty was stolen."
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And this is a Home Depot card, they made a claim they made -- gave away most of the purchase?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Toward the bottom, when asked about the other items purchased, "Sosa-Avila would not answer Detective Haynes when he inquired as to where they were. She repeated that she had simply given them away."
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were you concerned that you were one of the recipients of those items that were given way?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And then to confirm filing for Exhibit A is

- 1 Leventhal 57. Entry toward the bottom, "Type:
 2 Miscellaneous, cellphones." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And one of them she had given you was an iPhone cellphone?
- 6 A. Correct.

- Q. Now, with respect to Miss Sosa, she retained you on February 12th -- or February 13th to quash a warrant --
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. -- was your testimony earlier?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you, in fact, quashed the warrant?
- 14 | A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And she had not paid you anything at that point?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. But she had signed a retainer agreement you testified to earlier, State Bar's 7. Show you State
- 20 | Bar's 7.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And that is your retainer agreement,
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And at the bottom, toward the bottom.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Above the signature line there's a boldface paragraph, and then right above that is a paragraph in italicized text. Do you see that?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.2

- Q. Can you read that out loud?
- A. Yes, sir. It says, "Client understands that he/she has the right to seek a second opinion regarding his/her attorney fees as well as any other aspect in this agreement with another attorney, and by signing below he/she has either in fact spoke with another attorney about this retainer agreement and he/she is satisfied and understands the terms or Client fully understands the terms and waives his or her right. In addition, Clients signature constitutes informed consent."
 - Q. And below that is her signature?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you would agree that that is a written retainer agreement?
- 21 A. It is. You asked me about that. Go ahead.
 - Q. Did she ever pay you any cash?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Did you ever have an agreement with her that you would take property in lieu of payment?

1 A. No.

2.2

- Q. Did she tell you ahead of time what she was dropping off?
 - A. No.
- Q. On April 30th it was indicated that she was supposed to make a payment for a thousand dollars but she brought in something -- she brought in more property. At that point were you expecting her to bring in property or a payment?
- A. Payment. Again, I want to be clear, I wasn't there when she came in, so I just want to make that clear that it was expected that she was coming in to pay.
- Q. What was your understanding of the status of the property she brought in, the ring, the iPhone, and the wallet when she brought them in? What -- what were they supposed to be when she gave them to you?
- A. To be honest with you, I didn't even look at the items that she gave me because I had -- I had -- I never moved them from my office. I never took them home. I never even looked at them. I was told that she came in and it -- there's a place that we store -- I actually receive -- I actually have a lot of guns in my office that I hold for clients that are

- 1 | put on pretrial services or probation, and they
- 2 | can't -- they can't have a qun, so they -- we store
- 3 | them in a secure location in my office. That's all
- 4 | that happened in this as well. So I didn't actually
- 5 | look at it until later on down the road, I looked at
- 6 | it, and then that's when I -- then I read the
- 7 | discovery, that's when I linked it up.
- 8 Q. When you accepted those items, was it your
- 9 | intent that she would use -- that those would be
- 10 | payment for your services?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. If she had paid you for the services, what
- 13 | were you going to do with the items?
- 14 A. They were hers. Well, had I not known that
- 15 | they were stolen, then she would have taken them
- 16 | back.
- 17 | O. Okay. If she had asked for them back, would
- 18 | you have given them to her, before you knew they were
- 19 | stolen?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. But after I learned that they were stolen,
- 23 | no.
- Q. Okay. Yeah, let's go back to 7. You
- 25 pointed out on page one of the retainer agreement.

A. Correct.

- Q. Towards the bottom. "Client understands that all funds used as payment are derived from a legal source. All payments including cash will be reported to the Internal Revenue Service. All monies due and owing are preferred by ways of cash --
 - A. No, "check."
- Q. "By check, money order, cashier's check or credit card." Is that part of your agreement?
- A. That's part of my agreement, yes. And it may seem odd, it's just I guess the world I live in.
- Q. Now, it's your testimony that the drone was a gift from her and her husband?
- A. That's correct. He was extremely thankful that I did this without them having to come up with money right away, because they couldn't do it.
- Q. Let me touch briefly on State Bar's 11, which was your response to the State Bar. Yeah, the bottom paragraph of this page it says, "On April 30, 2020, Mrs. Sosa was supposed to drop off \$1,000 but she instead dropped off a Louis Vuitton wallet and a ring as collateral. My office continued to call Mrs. Sosa about payment and she would say that she was going to come in but never showed up."

My question to you is prior to April 30th,

- 1 | 2020, did you have an agreement with her that she
- 2 would, in fact, drop off the Louis Vuitton wallet and
- 3 | ring rather than cash?
- 4 A. No, I wanted the cash. I mean, cash or
- 5 | money. I mean, I get paid by that way. I didn't --
- 6 | I don't need a Louis Vuitton wallet and a ring.
- Q. So when she dropped it off, you had no idea what she was dropping off?
- 9 A. I did not, no.
- 10 Q. In fact, you had no idea she was dropping
- 11 | anything off because you were expecting cash?
- 12 A. I was, yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay.
- 14 A. But it was not like it was top of my mind.
- 15 | I mean, I have a lot of clients, and I'm in court
- 16 | every day, so it wasn't like I was -- my staff deals
- 17 | with that sort of thing.
- 18 Q. You said you gave -- you confirmed with her
- 19 | that the items were stolen?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. You confirmed with her that you were going
- 22 | to turn them over to the police?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. You turned them over anonymously?
- 25 A. I did.

Q. Why anonymously?

A. Like I said before, I've done that before where I've got to keep my client's confidentiality, so no names are given and it's anonymous. So, you know, it's still to this day is anonymous so they can't link her into it. But yet if somebody looks at it and then looks at a report, then hopefully it will go back to its rightful owner.

And, again, I've done that. I received a painting as a gift. I did that. The guy was very happy. So that's what I do. That's my policy is to do that, and it saves my client and it gets the stuff back to its rightful owner. That's what I would teach my kids. I think that's the right thing to do.

- Q. I believe -- hang on. As I'm reading through the complaint, I just want to reiterate that you never took the property in lieu of monetary payment; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

MR. CLARK: I'll pass the witness.

CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: The State Bar?

MR. GOSIOCO: Mr. Chairman, could I request a brief five- to ten-minute recess to get some more water and use the rest room?

CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Certainly. Be back in

```
1
     five please.
 2
              MR. GOSIOCO:
                            Thank you.
                   (A recess was taken.)
 3
              CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: We are back on the
 4
     record. State Bar, your witness.
 5
                        REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 6
 7
     BY MR. GOSIOCO:
 8
         Q.
              Just briefly. Mr. Leventhal, throughout the
 9
     course of these proceedings and the underlying
     grievance, did you have a chance to correspond with
10
     Louise Watson, an investigator with our office?
11
         Α.
12
              Orally? I mean, on the phone? I don't know
     if I've ever spoken to her. I know I never met her.
13
     I think communications were either email and that's
14
          She did -- I believe she contacted -- she might
15
     have contacted me once and indicate that she had
16
17
     looked up at least Zan Mitrov's criminal hearings,
18
     and then she -- there was a question to me regarding,
19
     because I had written in my response that he was
20
     charged with possession of a stolen vehicle and other
     things, but when she looked it up, it wasn't there.
21
2.2
              So I have -- I sent her a copy of the
     discovery. I don't believe if I spoke to her or it
23
     was just done by email. Does that answer your
24
25
     questions? I'm not sure what you're getting at or
```

```
what specifically would I have spoken to her about.
 1
 2
              Yes.
                    I just wanted to know if, aside from
     the written responses you provided to the State Bar's
 3
     letters of investigation, if you had any opportunity,
 4
 5
     aside from those responses, to speak with
     Miss Watson?
 6
 7
         Α.
              Again, I don't believe -- I don't know if I
 8
     ever spoke to her. And outside of the initial back
 9
     and forth with the complaint and my answer, the only
     other thing that I can remember in speaking to her is
10
     I was helping her out with her investigation
11
12
     specifically with what Mr. Mitrov was being charged
13
     with.
                            Thank you.
14
              MR. GOSIOCO:
                                        No further
15
     questions from the State Bar for now.
16
              CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. State Bar, do you
17
     have another witness?
              MR. GOSIOCO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
18
                                                 The State
19
     Bar would like to call Miss Louise Watson to the stand.
20
              CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Can we please swear in
     the witness.
21
22
     Thereupon--
23
                           LOUISE WATSON
     was called as a witness by the Complainant, and
24
25
     having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
```

1 MR. GOSIOCO: May I proceed, Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Yes, please. 2 MR. GOSIOCO: 3 Thank you, sir. DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. GOSIOCO: 5 Good morning, Miss Watson. How are you 6 Ο. 7 doing? 8 Α. Good. 9 0. Now, Miss Watson, how are you employed? I'm a paralegal investigator with the Office 10 Α. 11 of Bar Counsel. 12 And how long have you been employed in that Q. capacity? 13 14 In that role, about 11 years. And, Miss Watson, are you familiar with the 15 0. 16 underlying grievances that brought us here today? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Ο. And it looks like there are two underlying 19 One regarding Miss Amalia -- from Miss Amalia 20 Sosa-Avila and Mr. Zan Mitrov. Were you assigned to those cases? 21 Α. 2.2 Yes. And it looks like as to Miss Sosa-Avila 23 there was a grievance submitted by her regarding 24 25 Mr. Todd Leventhal on June 28, 2020; is that correct? 1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And as to Mr. Mitrov, it looks like he submitted a grievance against Mr. Leventhal on June 24th, 2020; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. And did you have a chance to review those grievances?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. Thank you. Now, Miss Watson, could you describe for me the steps you take once you receive a grievance?
 - A. Typically we review the grievance to get a kind of idea of what the issues are. We will then send that to the respondent attorney with a letter of investigation asking for a response, and if we want them to address any specific issues, provide any specific documents related to the grievance, we will ask them in the letter of investigation.
 - Q. Thank you, Miss Watson. And, let's see, pertaining to the grievance of Miss Sosa-Avila, did you send a letter of investigation regarding Miss Sosa-Avila's grievance to Mr. Leventhal?
- 23 | A. I did.
- Q. Okay. I'm showing you what's been previously admitted as Exhibit 10. Let me share my

- 1 | screen. Miss Watson, do you recognize this document?
 - A. That is the letter I sent, yes.
- Q. Okay. And is that your electronic signature at the bottom?
 - A. Yes.

5

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. It looks like this letter was dated July 29, 2020; is that right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Now, Miss Watson, you had stated that when you send a letter of investigation to a respondent, you typically ask them to respond to your letter; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. And in this letter dated July 29, 2020 regarding Miss Sosa-Avila's grievance, what type of information did you ask Mr. Leventhal to provide?
 - A. To respond generally to the grievance allegations that Miss Avila had raised. I believe I had requested a copy of his retainer agreement, and explanation or an accounting of the fees or merchandise that she may have given him, and I think there was a motion to withdraw that I had requested as well.
 - Q. Thank you. Now, did -- regarding this letter of investigation, did Mr. Leventhal in fact

provide a response to your letter of investigation?

A. He did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. I'm showing you what's been previously admitted as Exhibit 11. Miss Watson, do you recognize this document?
 - A. Yes. That's Mr. Leventhal's response.
- Q. Okay. And this was the response, just to clarify, this was the response pertaining to Miss Sosa-Avila's grievance; is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you had testified that you had asked him to provide a copy of the retainer or, you know, fee agreement. Did Mr. Leventhal, in fact, provide a copy of the retainer?
 - A. He did.
- Q. Okay. And did he explain the nature of the fee agreement between Miss Sosa-Avila and himself?
- A. Well, I believe there were -- there were two cases. The one that the retainer agreement is in relation to, I think it was a \$6,000 total fee with \$3,000 -- or half down and payments thereafter.
- Q. Okay. Now, in Mr. Leventhal's response, did he at any point describe how Miss Sosa-Avila would be paying for the \$6,000 in the retainer agreement.
 - A. I believe he mentioned that she didn't have

- 1 | cash available, so he agreed to accept merchandise as
- 2 | a collateral until she could come up with cash to pay
- 3 | him.
- 4 Q. Thank you. Now, did Mr. Leventhal, in his
- 5 | response, at any point mention whether
- 6 | Miss Sosa-Avila did, in fact, drop off items for
- 7 | collateral?
- 8 A. I believe there was a drone, and a -- I
- 9 | think it was an iPhone in around February of 2020,
- 10 | and then I think sometime later she gave him a
- 11 | wallet, a Louis Vuitton, if I'm saying that
- 12 | correctly, wallet and some kind of ring.
- 13 Q. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to
- 14 | grievance with Mr. Mitrov, did you send Mr. Leventhal
- 15 | a letter of investigation pertaining to Mr. Mitrov's
- 16 | grievance?
- 17 | A. I did.
- 18 Q. Okay. And showing you what's been
- 19 | previously admitted as Exhibit 21, Miss Watson, do
- 20 | you recognize this document?
- 21 A. Yes. That is the letter of investigation I
- 22 | sent him on the Mitrov case.
- 23 Q. And is this your electronic signature at the
- 24 | bottom of the page?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, Miss Watson, it looks like
 you -- so you did send Mr. Leventhal a letter of
 investigation. What type of information did you ask
 Mr. Leventhal to provide in his response?
 - A. I believe, again, it was the retainer agreement, an accounting of the fees that he had received from Mr. Mitrov both for representation, and there was a mention of a restitution owed to a victim of some sort; and then Mr. Mitrov had also made allegations that he had let Mr. Leventhal drive a Viper, and I had asked him the status of returning the vehicle.
 - Q. Okay. Now, did Mr. Leventhal submit a response to your letter of investigation pertaining to Mr. Mitrov's grievance?
 - A. He did.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I'm showing you what's been previously marked and admitted as Exhibit 22. Miss Watson, do you recognize this document?
- A. Yes. That is Mr. Leventhal's response to Mr. Mitrov's grievance.
- Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you asked Mr. Mitrov -- I'm sorry, I apologize, Mr. Leventhal to provide a copy of the retainer agreement pertaining to Mr. Mitrov. Did Mr. Leventhal provide

- 1 | a retainer agreement for Mr. Mitrov?
- 2 A. You know, I don't recall off the top of my
- 3 | head. If it was attached to this correspondence,
- 4 then, yes, he did.
- 5 Q. Okay. And I'm briefly going to go through
- 6 | the pages of his response. So this is page one of
- 7 | Mr. Leventhal's response, page two, Exhibit 1,
- 8 | Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, and Exhibit 4.
- 9 A. So, no, we didn't receive a retainer
- 10 | agreement. And it could possibly be that there
- 11 | wasn't one.
- 12 Q. Okay. And to the best of your recollection,
- 13 | Miss Watson, was that the, was that the entirety of
- 14 | Mr. Leventhal's response to your letter of
- 15 | investigation?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. You had also asked -- you had
- 18 | testified that you had asked Mr. Leventhal to provide
- 19 | an accounting of funds received from Mr. Mitrov. Did
- 20 | Mr. Leventhal, in fact, provide an accounting?
- 21 A. Well, he provided and explanation of the
- 22 | funds that he had received from Mr. Mitrov.
- 23 Q. Okay. Miss Watson, you had also testified
- 24 | that you had asked Mr. Leventhal regarding the status
- 25 of returning the Viper to Mr. Mitrov; is that

1 | correct?

2

10

11

12

13

- A. Correct.
- Q. And in his response did Mr. Leventhal mention anything about a Viper?
- A. At the time of his response, he had returned the Viper, or Mr. Mitrov had I believe picked it up from a mechanic's shop at that point, but Mr. Mitrov did have the vehicle at the time of Mr. Leventhal's response.
 - Q. Okay. Now, to the best of your knowledge I guess let me ask, why did you ask Mr. Leventhal about the status of the Viper?
 - A. Because it was --
- MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to

 object at this point in the case. The question calls

 for inadmissible hearsay by Mr. Mitrov.
- 17 | CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Sustained.
- 18 MR. GOSIOCO: I'll move on.
- 19 | BY MR. GOSIOCO:
- Q. And do you recall when that grievance was submitted by Mr. Mitrov?
- 22 A. I believe it was the end of June of 2020.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall when Mr. Leventhal provided a response to your letter of investigation?
 - A. I think it was sometime in early August.

You had testified that at the time of 1 Ο. Okay. 2 Mr. Leventhal's response, he no longer had possession of the Viper? 3 4 Α. Correct. Okay. And Mr. Leventhal had stated that at 5 Ο. 6 that point Mr. Mitrov has picked up his Viper at the 7 time of Mr. Leventhal's response? 8 Α. Correct. 9 MR. GOSIOCO: I'll pass the witness. 10 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. For the 11 respondent? MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, I can -- I have --12 I can either stay within the scope of this and then 13 reserve the right to recall her at our case in chief 14 or I could -- well, if I reserve the right to recall 15 16 her, would she be available? 17 I'm here all day. THE WITNESS: 18 MR. CLARK: Okay. Then I'll just stick to 19 the cross. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CLARK: 21 22 Miss Watson, did you ever get an indication Q. that Mr. Mitrov wanted to withdraw his grievance? 2.3 He did withdraw his grievance I believe 24 Α. 25 sometime toward the end of September 2020.

1 Ο. At the time that he communicated that by an 2 email, correct? 3 Α. Correct. At the time you received that email, at what 4 Ο. stage of the State Bar's processing of this grievance 5 was it at? Where was it in the investigative 6 7 prosecution stage? 8 Α. It was still in the investigation stage. This was -- I'm sorry. 9 Ο. As in it hadn't yet been assigned to one 10 Α. 11 attorney to review. 12 Q. Okay. So it hadn't been assigned to an attorney and it hadn't been presented to a screening 13 14 panel? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. Okay. One moment. 17 Mrs. Watson, when the grievant indicated 18 they wanted to withdraw his grievance, was it 19 withdrawn? 20 Α. The notation that they want to withdraw a 21 grievance is added to the file and then any 22 investigation memo. That doesn't necessarily mean we 2.3 don't move forward with concluding the investigation or move forward with prosecuting the file. And I 24

believe there's a Supreme Court rule that says we

1 | don't have to do so.

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. In the course of your investigation, did you ever conclude that Mr. Leventhal had violated Rule

 1.5, fees, by failing to have a written retainer agreement with Mr. Mitrov?
- A. As an investigator, my interpretation of the rule is a written retainer agreement is not required by the rule.

Mrs. Watson, during the course of your

- investigation and any communications with

 Mr. Leventhal, did you form the conclusion that he
 failed to respond to a lawful request for
 information?
 - A. I'm sorry, you broke up. Can you --
 - Q. I apologize. In the course of your investigation and your communications with Mr. Leventhal, did you ever form the opinion that he had failed to respond to a lawful request for information from the State Bar?
 - A. No.

Ο.

- MR. CLARK: Okay. I have no further
 questions for this witness at this time but I reserve
 the right to recall.
- 24 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: State Bar?
- MR. GOSIOCO: No redirect.

1	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. And I apologize to
2	the panel members, I should have done this last time
3	around when Mr. Leventhal was being examined but I
4	forgot to ask if they have questions.
5	Mr. Moore, do you have any questions for
6	Miss Watson?
7	COMMISSIONER MOORE: No. No questions.
8	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Lee, any questions
9	for Miss Watson?
10	COMMISSIONER LEE: The only question I have
11	is did the screening panel elect to proceed with a
12	formal hearing after it went to them?
13	THE WITNESS: I'm assuming it did because
14	MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to
15	object to the question because the panel, screening
16	panel's deliberations are non-discoverable. So if
17	there's evidence offered regarding it, I've not had a
18	chance to cross-examine that evidence or see it
19	beforehand.
20	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Sustained.
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: I'll withdraw the
22	question. I have no other questions then.
23	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you,
24	Miss Watson.
25	And I guess would counsel now like me to

open up to the panel questions for Mr. Leventhal or 1 2 would you rather save that for later in the hearing? MR. GOSIOCO: I prefer to open it up to 3 questioning now, Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Clark, any objection? 5 MR. CLARK: He's passed the witness. I know 6 it's not his bailiwick to see if the panel has 7 8 questions. Yeah, he's here. We'll answer questions. That's what he's here for, so we have no objection. 9 10 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Moore, do you have 11 any questions for Mr. Leventhal? 12 COMMISSIONER MOORE: No, sir, I don't. CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Lee, any questions 13 for Mr. Leventhal? 14 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY COMMISSIONER LEE: First question is what's your office 17 Ο. Yeah. 18 policy related to receiving collateral from a client? 19 It's rare that I do it, and there is really no policy. I did this because she was in my office 20 21 crying that she was in warrant, she needed to get out 2.2 of warrant because she had kids and she had a job. And I rarely do this. I don't take 23 collateral. I don't take stuff. I want to get paid. 24 25 It never -- it's never worked out for me, so my

answer is as I -- there is no policy because I don't 1 2 This was an exception, and I'm sitting here as a reason as to why I won't do it every again, and 3 I won't. I mean, you know, so I have no policy, sir. 4 5 All right. So it was this issue and then the prior issue was with the painting. Was that also 6 a collateral issue? 7 8 Α. No, sir. That was a gift to me for my 9 office. The person dropped it off because they thought it would look nice in my office. When I 10 looked it up online, it showed that it was a stolen 11 12 painting, so I then turned it over to Metro 13 anonymously. They were able to track it down, get it back 14 to the owner who told me, wrote me a nice thank you 15 and told me that it was his father's painting, he 16 17 brought it to Las Vegas for a show and it was stolen 18 at McCarran International Airport. And he was 19 thankful that he got it back and he sent me a 20 lithogram of the painting. 21 COMMISSIONER LEE: Mr. Clark, can you turn 22 the camera so we can see --MR. CLARK: I apologize. Certainly. 23 My24 mistake. Sorry about that. 25 COMMISSIONER LEE: I enjoy watching you

- 1 | drink water, but...
- 2 BY COMMISSIONER LEE:
- Q. Okay. So I'm a little confused. Are you disputing that you accepted this as a collateral from
- 5 | the grievant or not?
- 6 A. I don't know what you mean by "accepted."
- 7 | She brought it into my office and it was at my
- 8 office. When you say "accepted as collateral,"
- 9 | she -- it was her idea to bring it, so to show me
- 10 | that she was going to pay. That's what -- that was
- 11 | the point to her suggesting it.
- 12 Q. The ultimate point was you wanted \$6,000 in
- 13 | cash. That's fair, right, or payment?
- 14 A. Payment. Yeah, let's say payment. Yes.
- 15 Yeah, that's what I wanted for two cases, felony
- 16 | cases.
- 17 Q. All right. And then she brought the,
- 18 | basically as collateral security to show that she was
- 19 | going to pay; is that fair?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. Okay. Then so I'm clear, the first time
- 22 | that she brought something, it was in a box that you
- 23 | didn't look at, right?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did your staff tell you that there

was a box there? You know, where was the box at? 1 2 I don't remember specifically. But, like I said, we do keep for safekeeping, we do have a place 3 at my office where we keep stuff that people, for 4 5 example guns. I use that example because I've got like 20 of them there from people who can't have 6 7 them, so they give them to me to store, safekeeping. 8 So when -- if they're not felons, they can 9 get them back, and if they -- if they're just on some type of a pretrial or probation where they can't hold 10 them for a little bit, then I've got them there. 11 12 Then when you had contacted Aaron Perez at Q. Metro about the stolen items, did you ever ask him to 13 14 provide you with like an affidavit or anything related to how you turned in those items? 15 16 Α. Mr. Clark and I spoke to him last week, two 17 weeks ago, and he was going to get us the event 18 number, but there was a special way that we have to 19 subpoena him because it has to go through Metro 20 because he took the merchandise during his course of 21 work, he indicated. 22 So we needed to subpoena, if I'm right, Metropolitan, the police department, but he was going 23 to provide us with the event number, but I don't 24 25 believe he -- I don't believe he did.

- Q. Okay. Even like -- did you ever ask him if he wanted to appear as a witness today?
- A. He did, but we needed to subpoena him through Metropolitan Police Department because of the fact this was a --
- THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, somebody just pinged. "Because of the fact"?

BY COMMISSIONER LEE:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. The painting issue, how did the owner know that you had returned the painting if it was supposed to be anonymous?
- A. I'm sorry, what painting, sir? The one from a couple years ago?
 - Q. Yeah, the lithograph or whatever.
- A. Yeah. So what happened was was that I returned -- I gave the painting over to Metro, they then looked through and found out who the owner was through the police report, sent it to him, and I was -- I was anonymous. And then he reached out to the detective at the time and asked the detective if it was okay if he would send me a letter.

So he ended up sending -- and the detective told him that I'm an attorney and I received this, and so the detective gave him my -- asked me if it was okay to give him my name and number, and I did

- 1 | so. The client's name is what was confidential.
- 2 | The -- he reached out to me to thank me, but I never
- 3 | told him who gave me the painting, nor was I ever
- 4 | asked, he was just happy to get it back.
- 5 Q. In the context of the items you returned
- 6 | here, is it your understanding that the stolen items
- 7 | are confidential or known to the police as to, say,
- 8 | the Louis Vuitton wallet?
- 9 A. So right now I contacted -- when I gave them
- 10 | back, I contacted Detective Aaron Perez. The reason
- 11 | I did that was because obviously if I had contacted
- 12 Detective Haynes, who was in the report who spoke to
- 13 | Miss Sosa, he would have been able to connect the
- 14 dots, obviously, right.
- So I didn't contact Haynes to keep it
- 16 | confidential, I did it through Aaron Perez, and he
- 17 | doesn't know the connection with Haynes. So it's
- 18 | in -- it's at Metro right now under an event number.
- 19 | It might be in their lost and found. I don't know
- 20 | where he put it, but there is an event number that is
- 21 | associated with it, but it's not associated with me
- 22 | nor with Miss Sosa so there will never be a
- 23 | connection.
- 24 But if it's -- it could be connected to a
- 25 case because when Metro inputs their reports that you

- looked at that we went through, there's a team there 1 2 just in burglaries, per se, and they'll go through reports and look to see, oh, hey, we've got in --3 over here we've got a Louis Vuitton wallet that's 4 connected to this vehicle break-in, and then they'll 5 connect it that way. They can do that. 6 It's like 7 guns but with serial numbers, and it would be the 8 same way that they connect cases with items and 9 merchandise.
 - But the fact is that Mr. -- Detective Perez never put my name or associated with my name. Just like the painting, my name was not associated. The gentleman who I -- we sent it back to requested my name so that he could thank me. But there's no connection here, so Mrs. Sosa-Avila will never be connected to that merchandise.
 - MR. CLARK: Well, you don't know.
- THE WITNESS: Well, not -- not anything that

 19 I would do.
- 20 COMMISSIONER LEE: Mr. Clark, please don't interject.
- MR. CLARK: I apologize. I apologize for that.
- 24 BY COMMISSIONER LEE:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

Q. So with Exhibit 11, which is the State Bar's

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- exhibit, which is the August 13, 2020 letter that you had drafted, I think the State Bar may have already asked this, but did you draft this letter?
 - A. I don't -- I don't think I did because, to be honest with you, I wouldn't have known the dates and the times and that stuff. So, you know, I reviewed it before I signed it, obviously.

And so I take -- I take responsibility for its contents, but whether I drafted it or not, I don't remember because, like I said, you know, when I'm in court all day every day, its hard for me to understand and know dates and times specifically.

So I don't think that I, I would have outlined it. I wouldn't have put in the dates and times, I know that. But I take full responsibility for it and its contents.

- Q. Okay. So that's like a live signature that's on page SBN Exhibit 11 page 002?
 - A. Yes, that is my signature.
- Q. It's a live signature or is something that you just --
- A. No, that's not -- that's a -- that would be a live -- that would be a live signature. My stamp signature doesn't look like that.
 - Q. Do you know in your office who would have

1 | drafted this if it wasn't you?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 A. I'm trying to think who my paralegal was.
- I've gone through a couple different paralegals back then.
- Q. That's fine if it's just a paralegal that was at your office. I don't care about who the actual person was.
 - A. Oh, yeah, it would have been -- in the last two years I think I've gone through three or four paralegals. It would have been a paralegal. And I don't have one now. I'm looking for one, so it would have been a paralegal that did it.
 - Q. So you understand that the point of this letter was to respond to the grievance from the State Bar; is that fair?
 - A. Yes, sir. Absolutely.
 - Q. And I suppose you reviewed -- well, we know you reviewed it. So you wanted to make -- yeah, you wanted to make sure it was as accurate as possible; is that right?
 - A. Absolutely. And, again, I take full responsibility for its contents. I just -- I signed it. I reviewed it. I read it before I signed it.

 So, yeah, but I do take responsibility for its contents, and I did want to be as accurate as

1 | possible, yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. So when it lists, you know, the acceptance of the drone as collateral and not a gift, I mean, how did this happen?
 - A. My mistake. It was not part of the collateral. As a matter of fact, it's the only thing -- we spoke about my son's birthday. He came in -- he asked, he even asked me about it afterwards, you know, what did your son think about the drone. It's not a -- it's a kid's drone, but he, you know, he -- the guy was into drones and he, he wanted -- he said he wanted my son to have one, so it was not collateral.
 - Q. Okay. So, I mean, the reason why I find this problematic is that, you know, it's drafted August 13th, 2020. And then the sentence, the sentence says that she dropped it off as collateral on February 19, 2020 and then, you know, you asked for more collateral. February 27, she dropped off the drone and the iPhone as collateral. So it's just like -- let me break my question first. You knew that this letter would be used for the grievance process, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then at no point was there ever a

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- correction that, you know, it wasn't, the drone
 wasn't collateral, it was actually a gift until let's
 call it Mr. Clark's grievance; is that fair?
 - A. I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question, sir.
 - Q. Yeah, my question is that there was never a correction from August 13, 2020 until Mr. Clark filed his respondent's trial brief around May 6th -- was it May 6, 2020 or May 6, 2021? I think it's probably 2021.
- 10 A. No, I had not actually gone back to review
 11 it, sir. I apologize.
 - Q. And then this other issue that says here, the last one, I know Bar Counsel talked about it, but since the withdrawal date, so after you withdrew, is it your understanding that after you withdrew, that's when you started going through the discovery for the grievant?
 - A. No, I -- that's -- no, I was going through the discovery while I was on the case, and then I withdrew after I went through the discovery. I know he asked -- he asked me about that, but that doesn't make sense.
 - It said something like since I withdrew, but I believe that I -- I know I went through the discovery before because we had that conversation.

We had a conversation about it. So and the 1 2 conversation was when I represented her. Why didn't you put in this letter that 3 Ο. going through the discovery there was several 4 5 issues that noted the stolen property, et cetera, et cetera? 6 7 I don't know. Α. 8 MR. CLARK: I'll object as vaque. 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know why I -- I don't 10 know. 11 COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. That's all the questions I have. All right, thanks. 12 13 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: 14 15 I have one question. Hopefully just one 16 question. Mr. Leventhal? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Ο. In your retainer agreement with Miss Avila 19 Sosa, does it address the taking of collateral? 20 Α. I don't believe it does. 21 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. Nothing further. 2.2 All right. State Bar your --I have a question. 2.3 COMMISSIONER MOORE: 24 Mr. Moore here. I have a question. 25 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Please.

1 EXAMINATION 2 BY COMMISSIONER MOORE: Good morning, Mr. Leventhal. Who dropped 3 Ο. the drone off to you? 4 5 Α. I apologize? Who dropped the drone off to your office? 6 Ο. I wasn't there, but I was told that it was 7 Α. 8 the -- her husband. 9 Ο. Well, in these documents it says she dropped it off with the iPhone. So who was it? 10 I don't 11 understand. I mean, your --12 Α. Well ---- story is your story, but I'm not sure who 13 Ο. dropped the drone off to you, because in these 14 documents it says she did, and you're telling me he 15 16 did and how happy he was to give it to your son and 17 to thank you? 18 Α. Well, I don't want to split hairs, but they 19 came in together quite a bit. And when I say he did it's because it was the -- I never had a conversation 20 21 with her about giving my son a drone, it was him. 22 was the one who wanted to give it to me to give to my 23 son. So they came in together. So when I say he 24 25 did, I'm not -- I guess specifically who did it, I

wasn't there, and I was told that he did, but they 1 always -- they were a husband -- they always came 2 into the office together. 3 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Okay. No further. 4 5 Thank you. CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: All right. 6 State Bar, 7 your next witness please. 8 MR. CLARK: I have some recross, if I could in response to the questions by the panel. 9 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: 10 Okav. 11 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. CLARK: Mr. Leventhal, you filed a verified 13 Ο. Okay. answer in this complaint -- in this matter? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Ο. I've got to find it. Paragraph 9 of the 17 State Bar's complaint says, On or about February 27 18 Miss Sosa-Avila gave respondent a DJI Mavic 2 Zoom 19 wifi quadcopter drone and a 256 qiqabyte iPhone 11 Pro Max in lieu of monetary payment to go toward the 20 21 retainer, and the verified answer you denied that. 2.2 Α. That's correct. That's not true. We filed a motion for summary judgment in 23 Ο. this matter on December 31st, and you submitted an, 24

you submitted a declaration. Do you recall that?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. In the pleading you state at paragraph five,
- 3 | "I had no prior knowledge or agreement as to what
- 4 | items she would drop off to my office. As to the
- 5 drone, I mentioned to Mrs. Sosa and her husband that
- 6 | it was my son's birthday. Unsolicited they returned
- 7 | the next day and gave the drone as a gift for him."
- 8 | So as a December 31st of last year, that was your
- 9 | statement, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And that was a declaration submitted under
- 12 | oath?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. You were asked why you didn't elaborate on
- 15 | the stolen items in your response to the State Bar.
- 16 | Do you recall that question?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. Was there a reason you were not speaking in
- 19 detail about the stolen items?
- 20 A. I -- honestly I hated just now going through
- 21 | all this stuff. I don't judge my clients. You know,
- 22 | I'm not here -- I understand that they're stolen.
- 23 | People do what they do, I just didn't want to be a
- 24 | part of it.
- 25 And so I wasn't going to go get into the

- weeds on who she is and what she's about. 1 The point 2 was was when I found out that this was stolen and I thought I did the right thing by turning them into 3 That's all that there was to it. Metro. 4 5 You agree that this is a public hearing? Ο. Α. 6 Yes. And that it is not public that those are the 7 Ο. 8 items that Mrs. Sosa-Avila admitted to you she stole? 9 Α. Correct. No further questions. 10 MR. CLARK: 11 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. State Bar, your 12 next witness please. MR. GOSIOCO: And, Mr. Chairman, the state 13 14 Bar would respectfully request a recess for lunch. We've been going for about almost three hours. 15 you would allow us to, I would like to break for 16 17 lunch and give us an opportunity to locate our other witnesses. 18 19 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: That's fair. How much
- 20 time would you like for lunch?
- MR. GOSIOCO: Whatever the Chairman decides. 21 30, 45 minutes, an hour. 22
- I'm sorry, was that for purposes 23 MR. CLARK: to locate his other witnesses? Does the State Bar 24 25 have other witnesses?

1	MR. GOSIOCO: We have witnesses that we
2	listed in our disclosures, and we're hoping that they
3	sign onto Zoom.
4	MR. CLARK: Oh, okay.
5	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: My preference would be a
6	30-minute lunch so we can I guess a little more
7	than 30 minutes be back at 12:30. Does that work for
8	everybody?
9	MR. CLARK: Yes.
10	MR. GOSIOCO: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. See you then.
12	Thank you.
13	(A lunch recess was taken.)
14	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: All right. It is 12:33.
15	We are back on the record. State Bar, your next
16	witness please.
17	MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The
18	State Bar would like to call Mr. Zan Mitrov to the
19	stand.
20	MR. MITROV: Hello?
21	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Good morning, sir. We're
22	going to have you sworn in.
23	MR. MITROV: Okay. Do I have to put video?
24	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: We'd prefer if you did.
25	MR. MITROV: Okay. Give me one second

I haven't -- I haven't done that, so it's my 1 please. 2 first time. CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Perfect. We can see you. 3 MR. MITROV: Okay. Good. 4 5 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. Can we please swear in the witness. 6 7 MR. MITROV: Yes. Yes, you can. 8 Thereupon--9 ZAN MITROV was called as a witness by the Complainant, and 10 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 11 12 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: State Bar, your witness. MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GOSIOCO: 15 16 Good afternoon, Mr. Mitrov. How are you Ο. 17 doing, sir? 18 Α. Good afternoon, sir. Doing good. How 'bout 19 you? I'm doing well. Thank you for asking. 20 21 First off, thank you so much for joining us. I know 2.2 this is taking time out of your day. 2.3 Α. Yeah. Now, as far as my questions, Mr. Mitrov, do 24 Ο. you know an individual by the name of Todd Leventhal? 25

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- 2 Q. And how do you know Mr. Leventhal?
- 3 A. I know him since two thousand -- 2013.
- 4 | Friend of mine, he was in trouble, and he was his
- 5 | lawyer, so that's how I, that's how I met him in
- 6 | 2013.
- Q. Okay. And at any point since 2013, did you
- 8 | retain Mr. Leventhal as an attorney for yourself?
- 9 A. Yes. Yes. Back in 2019, I did have a
- 10 | little trouble with the law, and I had a lawyer by
- 11 | the name of Pandullo, and he did have some kind of
- 12 | issue with, I believe he lost his license and he
- 13 | didn't, he didn't show up at court so I got a warrant
- 14 | issue. And I was back home, when I got back, I hired
- 15 | Todd Leventhal be my lawyer back in July 2019.
- Q. Okay. Now, you testified that around July
- 17 of 2019 you had hired Mr. Leventhal as your attorney?
- 18 A. Correct, yes. July 23rd to be precise.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall when Mr. Leventhal
- 20 | stopped being your attorney?
- 21 A. June, he file motion to withdraw as a
- 22 | counsel June 22nd of 2020.
- 23 Q. Thank you, Mr. Mitrov. Now, turning your
- 24 | attention to the instant matter, did you file a
- 25 | grievance against Mr. Leventhal with the State Bar of

1 Nevada?

- 2 | A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And was that -- and give me one moment. I
 want to share my screen. And I'm showing you what's
 been previously marked as Exhibit 12.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. Give me one moment. Let me share my screen.
- 8 And, Mr. Mitrov, can you see my screen clearly?
- 9 A. Yes, I can. Yes.
- 10 Q. And is that your name up top right here
 11 where I'm highlighting?
- 12 A. Yes, that -- uh-huh.
- Q. And it looked like you submit this form online; is that correct?
- 15 A. That's right.
- Q. And did you submit it at around June 24 of
- 17 | 2020?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. And do you recall, and I'm just briefly
- 20 | scrolling through your grievance, do you recall
- 21 | writing all of this?
- 22 A. Yes, but I did that, yes.
- Q. Okay. And so you were the one who wrote
- 24 | this grievance and nobody else did?
- 25 A. Correct, yes.

- Q. Okay. And I'm scrolling all the way to the bottom. It looks like you submitted some files as well to your grievance; is that right?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. And when you submit this grievance, the facts that you wrote in this section right here with all this print, are all of these facts true?
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Mitrov. Now, you had testified that you hired Mr. Leventhal as your attorney around July of 2019, and Mr. Leventhal withdrew as your attorney around June of 2020; is that right?
- A. That's right.
- Q. Now, between July 2019 and June 2020, at any point did you allow Mr. Leventhal to borrow one or more of your cars?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what cars did you allow Mr. Leventhal to borrow?
- A. Well, on -- in July of 2019, I gave him my
 Dodge Viper. And back in January of, beginning of
 let him use a Maserati.
- Q. Okay. And with reference to the Maserati,
 did you personally hand over the Maserati to

1 | Mr. Leventhal?

- A. No. Actually I was busy and I sent friend of mine to take it to him.
- Q. Okay. But to the best of your knowledge did
 Mr. Leventhal, in fact, take that Maserati?
- A. Yeah. He left -- he left at his office, my friend. And then I sent him a gift back.
- Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that around
 July of 2019 you let Mr. Leventhal borrow your Dodge
 Viper; is that correct?
- 11 A. That's right, yeah.
- Q. At any point between July 2019 and
 June 2020, did you ask to get to pick up your Viper
 from Mr. Leventhal?
- A. Yeah. Towards like February or March of 2020, yes. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. Did you ask him one time or how many times did you ask Mr. Leventhal to pick up your Viper from him?
- 20 A. A couple times or maybe few -- three times.
- MR. GOSIOCO: Okay. And, Mr. Chairman, the
- 22 | State Bar would move to admit Exhibit 12,
- 23 Mr. Mitrov's grievance that's being shared on the screen into evidence.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Clark?