IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Appellant,

VS.

SOUTHERN NEVADA LABOR
MANAGEMENT COOPERATION
COMMITTEE, BY AND THROUGH ITS
TRUSTEES TERRY MAYFIELD AND
CHRIS CHRISTOPHERSEN; AND
OFFICE OF THE LABOR
COMMISSIONER,

Respondents.

No. 83252

FILED

FEB 1 1 2022

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. YOURS

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The parties' stipulation extending the time for the filing of the reply brief is treated and granted as a joint motion for an extension of time. See NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from due date established by NRAP 31(a)(1)). Appellant shall have until March 7, 2022, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the reply brief may be deemed a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Quago, C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

cc: Fisher & Phillips LLP
Attorney General/Carson City
Christensen James & Martin