- still have so many, you know, timesheets behind. 1 So this is not four, five hours work. 2 It would I want to Electronically Filed literally take me days to finish this. 3 heads up to you guys. I'm going to stay Jol 22 2021 t09:43 a.m. 4 Elizabeth A. Brown you know, as much as I can. And I remembederktobia Supresne Court 5 like 10:45, like really late, close to 11:00 o'clock 6 7 that night, and then I also following send a text
 - Q. Okay. And let me screen share again here. Panel has previously seen this. This is subsumed within the State Bar's Exhibit 13 that's been admitted, page 20. Do you see that?
 - A. Correct.

message and to Leah Ballard.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Tell the panel, what are we looking at here?
 - A. So you see on the top, what happened is this is the text message from Jim. He copy, that was 26 morning 5:35 or 25 a.m., because the night before, on 25th, like what I said, I send him, you know, send Jim and Shahana a text message. So he did not respond to me until Tuesday.

So what he simply did is grab my text message, which states, "Hi Jim and Shahana, want to update to both of you." The whole text message, he copied that and then texted to another message group

- to me, Shahana, Kim Stewart, and Leah Ballard to ask her how did this happen, you know. And he mention the failure to enter the timesheets holding up our bill. That is, you know, our lifeblood. And this cannot repeat itself, period. That's what he said.
 - Q. Okay. And what did -- what did she go on to say?
 - A. And the first part of the message actually from Leah Ballard, and she said, "Mr. Jimmerson, it's my complete failure. I got myself overwhelmed and did not communicate that. I have gotten unorganized and made a large mess. I take the responsibility for it. I am willing to work to clean it up and help get it in order to the best of my ability. I apologize and it will not happen again."

And Mr. Jimmerson respond back and say, "I don't like the concealment. You never told me you were 'overwhelmed' or behind. You hid it from me. You have done a poor job. I am not certain what I am going to do. The lack of candor is the most alarming. We cannot have that."

And she respond, she say, "I understand."

And after that she mention about the checks for the building need to be paid.

Q. And did you also communicate directly with

Miss Ballard about the timesheets?

A. I did.

2.2

- Q. Let me direct your attention to the next page here, State Bar Exhibit 13, page 22. What is the panel looking at here?
- A. So this is the text message I mentioned. On Monday night, November 25, I send a text message to Jim and Shahana, I also send a separate text message to Leah Ballard, and that was 10:48 p.m. so I said, "Leah, I found out there are still a lot of timesheets did not enter. I thought you said you almost finished all the times and only missing few days. But there are a lot did not enter."

And she send me back the next day,

November 26th, early morning she said, "Hi Amanda.

I'm sorry to leave you with so much. I didn't

realize they were so many timesheets not entered. To

be honest I got so overwhelmed. My personal life has

fallen apart and my husband is causing me a lot of

problems. He is divorcing me. It's over really dumb

stuff that is not even true, but there isn't anything

I can do. I'm not sure if I should just put my

resignation in and quit at the law firm. I really

have made a mess. I got so disorganized and I got

stuff working on the building stuff with all the

- failures of the phone system and all that. I feel unprepared and completely failed. I'm not sure what to do at this point."
 - Q. Okay. So at this point she's told you that she's fallen behind. Did you have another conversation with Mr. Jimmerson?
 - A. Yes. After I read that, and also at the same time I saw Jim's text message with Leah, that happened almost at the same time. And after that, reading all that, I need to call Jim.

So I call him and then told him exactly on the text message and told him, told the timesheets not entered. And, you know, and we -- I'm going to stay late as much as I can and everything. And what happened is I got on the phone and he told me, yes, please, because bills are very important. I know we don't have that much time, but, Amanda, do not rush. Accuracy is number one in my book. So you have to make sure everything is correct. I say, you know me, you know, I've been with you so long, I know you, I know my work, so I will make sure I stay up, make sure I finish everything.

So I got off the phone with him, and I went to continue do the timesheet. And what happened is when I need to enter the payment, I realized some

- regular clients should already have a payment with
 forwarding the tabs. With tab is our billing system.

 And I was like, that's weird, he should always pay,
 or she should already pay, and that's why I bring my
 alert to take a look at the banking accounts, and so
 I did.
 - And first thing I check is cash daily.

 That's, you know, anybody check, you go there to check. And when I open, I saw it's only November 11, it's 10th or 11th were there, and they were nothing else after. So and then I went to the bank. We do have all the transactions there, but cash daily was not made after November 11th.
 - So I call Jim back right away. I say, Jim, cash daily was not even being created and it's not reconciled. I don't have the time to get into it right now, I need to finish the bill. I really want to let you know. That's what I told him.
 - Q. And how did he react?
 - A. He was completely shocked. He was like, I cannot believe what I'm hearing right now. He -- I mean, I know him for 12 years. I never seen him like this upset. And he was shocked. He was speechless. He was like, I cannot believe this. And I said, Jim, it's true. November 11th, after that there's no

Even there's no cash daily being created. 1 timesheet. 2 And he's like, I remember because that's another change of my life chapter because he told me, 3 Amanda, I need you back. I need to bring you back. 4 I cannot have this. My book is not reconcile. 5 need you to be back. And not only he offer me the 6 7 back, he told me, I'm willing to pay you this amount, 8 so please come back. 9 0. Okay. And did he raise your salary? He did. 10 Α. 11 Okay. And how so? Ο. 12 He -- I was -- I was paid \$18 per hour Α. before November 7, and that day he offered me 50,000. 13 Okay. So pretty significant salary 14 Ο. 15 increase? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Okay. Were there any other increases to 0. 18 your salary in any respect? 19 We do have a yearly bonus, and that's 20 normally, it's like hand out like August, September. And so I did get a bonus last year as well. 21 22 And did Mr. Jimmerson tell you why he was Q. willing to give you a raise to bring you back? 23 Yeah, he told me, you know, I'm willing to 24 Α. 25 pay you way more salary. And, you know, last year I

- make 15,000 as a bonus, as a bonus as a bookkeeper,

 and because he needs me to help him reconcile, you

 know, he needs a bookkeeper can do her job, and it's

 very -- like all the account reconcile is the most

 serious and important thing for him.
 - And I understand why he would need such a thing as almost double my salary to just have me come back because he knows never have an issue when I was there, you know. So I think that's, in my opinion, why he would put me up.

And so I talk with my fiance, you know, and then, now is my husband, and, you know, and luckily my daughter was doing better with the whole therapist, you know, so we would be able to work something out and financial help, you know, so I accept the job at the spot right there.

- Q. Okay. And how did you feel when you looked and saw that the daily cash reports had not been created?
- A. I mean, me personally feeling, I was really angry, I was, because I trained that girl with everything. Not only train her, I test her. I make sure every step I train her, she understood and she passed my test, and she knows what to do.
 - And not only that, I did not quit on

- November 7, I mean salary-wise I didn't get paid
 after that day, but I'm worrying, I was worrying, and
 I did, stayed contact with her.
 - And every time, sometimes she text me like dinnertime. Without delay I respond right away. And I never say no. She says, can you call me? I said, Yes, sure, I'm calling you right now. Because I don't really look at, like I said, Jimmerson Law Firm is not just a workplace, it's a family. And I still stay contact with Jim after November 7th. I still hang out with the family, you know.

And for me, when I look at the cash daily was not create, it hurt. It hurt me because it hurt my work, what I built, and I was shocked to see it myself.

- Q. Okay. So let's move on into the start of the next week. What was your next communication with Miss Ballard? Let me screen share here.
 - A. That's December 2nd, I believe.
- Q. I'm showing the panel what is State Bar Exhibit 13, page 23. Do you see that?
 - A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- Q. Okay. What is this document?
- A. She send a text message because she knew the whole last week she did not even work from

November 25th. I step in and help and stay overnight and whole two days. And then Mr. Jimmerson stay really late to get me the pre-bills. And we still make it and send it out before Thanksgiving, so he -- she knew how much work I really helped.

And so she start to send me a text message
Monday when she went to work at 8:32. She say, "Hi
Amanda wanted to thank you for helping so much. I
wanted you to know I am giving my notice here. I
haven't told them yet, but I am typing it up and
giving it to time. This is more than I can
certainly -- clearly handle and it's really an
unhappy environment. I think it's better if I go
somewhere else. I hope you had a happy Thanksgiving.
On top of everything I'm very sick, and so I may go
home. I hope you can tell them not to work. I will
help find someone if they need it. And this is just
too much for me."

- Q. Okay. Did you agree that it was too much of a job for her, as it turned out?
- A. When I first read it, I'm like, really? I help -- I help the whole week, previous week, and you are telling me you just went to work and you're going home, and you're telling me it's too much. No, it's not. If she did everything and does everything and

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- follow instruction -- I train her. I mean, honestly,

 clearly, apparently it's not too much for me. I was

 surviving there for almost two years, so it's not too

 much work.
 - So that moment and also she told me she said, "I hope you can tell them no to the work," and that really I feel disgust that because she simply tell me they are going to ask me to come back, I need to turn them down, and that's what she's telling me in the text message.
 - So I did not agree anything she say there, and I did not respond. What I did is I screenshot the text message. I need to protect Jim. I need to protect the overall firm, my second family. So I send that text message to Jim and Kim Stewart, and she did not know Jim already hired me back on the spot, so I don't want to communicate with her.
 - Q. Okay. And did Ms. Ballard resign from the firm?
 - A. Yes. So, I mean, well, I heard from Kim Stewart is they call Miss Ballard on that day after receive my text message and then accept her resignation.
 - Q. Okay. When you returned to work, did you have a discussion with Mr. Jimmerson about what you'd

be doing?

2.2

- A. Yes. That was the first thing. And we talk about it, you know, first thing I can return is December 1st, so I did. That morning I drop my purse in my office, the first thing is I grab my notepad and print out all my findings and I went to Jim's office and we have like two, three hours meeting.
 - Q. Okay. And tell us about that meeting.
- A. I mean, I grab everything. I got to have -I have more time the previous week to check more in
 the banking account. So I print out the things I
 have questions. So I went to him and I told him, I
 say, Mr. Jimmerson, like what I told you the previous
 week on the phone, cash daily was not created. So I
 need to go back and create and record everything and
 to record in the cash daily.

But I have this three transactions on the trust account. They are even number. And that would -- that already flap me, so I need to know what happened, you know. And I didn't have time to continue reconciling everything, that's why I brought it and I asked him about it.

- Q. Did it seem important to Mr. Jimmerson that you would be reconciling the trust account?
 - A. Yes. I mean, when I told him about -- when

I told him about three transaction, he was shocked. 1 And he was like, Amanda, I really need you to find 2 out, like, what happened. Really need to treat this 3 as a first priority, okay, because, you know, you 4 need to reconcile everything. It's trust account we 5 are talking about, okay. It's clients' money we are 6 talking about. So please, you know me, so please 7 8 just treat this as a first priority and, you know, 9 make sure you reconcile and let's meet again. Okay. Was Mr. Jimmerson shocked to find out 10 11 that there were no cash reports prepared at all 12 following the November 11 date? Α. He was. And that morning I actually grabbed 13 the binder from his desk. I said, can I have the 14 binder? And that was the last thing on the top, 15 16 because the most recent date we always keep on the 17 top of the binder, and that was November 11. And I 18 was like, yeah. 19 And then he asked, Are you sure she did not 20 create? I said, I'm pretty sure because it's Excel 21 spreadsheet. If she did not just print it, I would 22 know because in the spreadsheet how I work is

So the last most recent tab is November 11th.

individual tab. Every day is one tab for the whole

So there's no way she could create them and not print

23

24

- 1 | it out because it was -- it didn't exist yet.
- Q. Okay. So there was no tabs showing
- 3 | November 12, 13 and really through the end of
- 4 | November that you could see that she had created on
- 5 | the Excel spreadsheet?
- 6 A. Yeah, that's why I -- that's why I was
- 7 | shocked, too.
- 8 Q. Okay. And Mr. Jimmerson was shocked to hear
- 9 | that was well?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. What about otherwise, you know,
- 12 tracking deposits and credit to the trust account.
- 13 | Had she been doing that?
- 14 A. No. There were payments -- there were
- 15 payments made by credit card by clients was never
- 16 | moved to the corporate account. And they would check
- 17 | out deposit, I would assume sometimes, and she was
- 18 | not there. The runner would have to make the deposit
- 19 | and was never transferred either. So that's how I
- 20 | know she didn't reconcile it.
- 21 Q. Okay. And was that a surprise to
- 22 Mr. Jimmerson as well?
- 23 A. Yes. And he was so shocked. I never see
- 24 | him -- I never see him like that. He -- when I told
- 25 | him about this, and all the big mess, the more I dig

in, the more mess. And I never see him being this
upset. Because like I previous said, one thing about
him is honesty is everything.

So when he find out, she hide it all this time from him, he was so upset. He was so disappointed. He was so upset. He was shocked, most of all. And he told me, I check with her every day if client is paying. I check with her. I make sure everything is good. I say, I know because that's how you train me, so I know. I believe you. This is how you train me previously when I worked, first worked there, so I know. And that's how I feel, you know, he was shocked, he was disappointed. And I never seen him upset.

- Q. Had she ever told you that she had not been preparing the daily cash reports?
- A. No. That's why I was shocked on

 November 25th and 26th when I find out, especially
 when I open, all the conversation, I mean, he contact
 me most -- she contact almost every single day. I
 mean, 7th, 12th, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20. A lot of days.

 Almost every day. And she never once mention she was
 overwhelmed, she was behind, she couldn't communicate
 with Jim, because if she did, my reaction, I would
 reach out to Jim right away, say, Do you want me to

come back? And I know he would say without anything,

Amanda, come back because I don't want anything, you

know, happen.

- Q. Did you find that he had a sense of relief knowing that you were back and you'd help him out?
- A. Yes. And, I mean, on the conversation 26th, on November 26th when he offered me the job, and I actually put him on the phone so I can talk to my husband now, my fiance back then, and my daughter, because I want her to be in part of my decision, you know, I could tell, because I put him on speaker, I could tell he was nervous. I can hear breathing, you know. So when I talk with my husband and then I went back and I accept the job, and he was relieved.

And also on December 3rd, after I talking with him, I promise him, Jim, you have my word, I'll reconcile, okay. I'll make sure, I will dig in and find out what happened, what went wrong, okay. You have my word. And right there I see he start to calm down a little bit.

And he told me, Just please make sure every dine, one penny cannot miss. You have to reconcile the whole thing, okay, because trust account means everything for attorney. He again repeat that, which I heard many times when I worked for him. So, you

- 1 | know, that's why I gave him the promise. That's why
- 2 | I feel like I need to ensure, assure that he
- 3 | understand I would do the job. I'll make sure it got
- 4 | done, and then he feel a little relief. And we also
- 5 | met couple times after that. Every time I make a
- 6 | progress, I would tell him as well.
- Q. Okay. And did you get the trust account
- 8 | reconciled by the end of the month?
- 9 A. Yeah, by the end of December, December 27th.
- Q. Okay. And was there any money missing after
- 11 | you finished that reconciliation?
- 12 A. No. Every penny, you know, reconciled.
- Q. Okay. And since then have the books been
- 14 | maintained and kept in order?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And is that a process that
- 17 Mr. Jimmerson stays in touch with you about
- 18 | regularly?
- 19 A. Yes. And actually now he's, like before he
- 20 | was always serious, I mean, but I can tell now, you
- 21 | know, we're not only meeting twice or once a month,
- 22 | we are meeting weekly basis now. You know, we go
- 23 | through the accounts, and I just take actual step in
- 24 more and telling him every little thing, you know. I
- 25 | want to stay on the top of it because what happened

- was an error, was a mistake, we fix it. And going forward we have to make sure everything, nothing happened, and that's why we treat this seriously.
 - Q. Okay. Did there come a point where Mr. Jimmerson informed you that he received a grievance from the State Bar?
 - A. Yes. We had --

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. Sorry, it sounds like somebody's phone is ringing.
- 10 Thank you, Mr. David.
- My question was did there come a point where
 Mr. Jimmerson informed you that he had received a
 qrievance from the State Bar?
 - A. Yes. It was March 2020, and we received in the mail. And I remember that was March 12 we got it, and it was dated March 10.
 - Q. Okay. Did you find that he took that seriously?
 - A. Yes. I was the first reading that letter and because I'm the office manager, so I open all the law firm mail and I read it. I mean, when I read the letter, I couldn't believe I was reading it. I almost fell out of the chair. And this is how fast I react: I grab the letter, run to Jim, he was meeting with one of the paralegal. I said, Jim, I need to

- talk with you. So we close the door, I show him the letter, and he read it. I mean, he was speechless, when he read the letter. I never see him that upset.
 - Q. Did he tell you to send any information to the Bar in response to that letter?
 - A. He did.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. What did he tell you to do?
- A. He told me, Amanda, I know our books are clean, reconciled, because we did it, okay. We did all the work. And so I need you right now, just drop everything you do, send a response, okay, to Louise Watson, yeah, and then show her what's the balance right now in our system, what's the balance right now in our trust account, okay, and show her exactly they would be matching.
- Q. Okay. Did he tell you to hold any information back from the State Bar related to your trust account?
- 19 A. No, he did not.
- Q. Okay. And at this point in time on March 12, had the trust account already been reconciled?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So he didn't ask you to do a reconciliation in response to this letter from the

State Bar?

- A. No, no, no. We actually have more money in the trust account because sometimes when client make
- 4 | a credit card payment, the merchant fee is we have to
- 5 | transfer back to the account, so we actually have I
- 6 | believe like 70 or \$90 over. So I even tell
- 7 | Mrs. Watson that --
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 | A. -- as well.
- 10 Q. And then ultimately did you help
- 11 | Mr. Jimmerson put together a more complete
- 12 | response --
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 O. -- to the State Bar?
- 15 A. Yes. I remember this is what he told me on
- 16 | that day: After I send the response, in a couple
- 17 | hours he pull me into the office. He goes, Amanda, I
- 18 | know they haven't asked, okay, but I don't know if
- 19 | they are going to or not after we send this morning
- 20 | the letter email response, but I need you to pull
- 21 | something which shows all the transactions clearly
- 22 | from November 1st 'til current what's been in, what's
- 23 | been out and dispersion. I need you to do that. Can
- 24 | you try to figure it out to do that.
- 25 O. Okay. And did you do that?

A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. Screen share for our panel members. This is part of State Bar Exhibit 13, starts at page 25. I turned it so we can see it correctly. What am I looking at here, Miss Kahn?
- A. So this is the spreadsheet I made using the Excel spreadsheet program. And every column, like first is the exhibit I mark it so I will be able to attach supporting document to show not only just my words. You know, I show like exactly a copy, we have payment or we receive anything, you know, so I could attach it. That's why I create an exhibit.

And I have exactly date. That date would match the transaction at the bank. And next one I have a credit or deposit. And then I have the debit and transfer. And because on the bank you cannot see who make the money and why you take out the money, so I put description on there. And balance is daily balance exactly match at the bank statement, exactly to the dot to the penny.

- Q. Okay. So did you create this spreadsheet or did Mr. Jimmerson?
 - A. I mean, I create according to what he want.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- A. He want, like I previous say, he want

- something clearly shows so we have draft after draft until like this is the version satisfy him. And we thought even the Bar ask, he wants everything clearly
- 5 | it was March 2020, and that's what I did.
 - Q. Okay. So he indicated to you that he wanted to voluntarily give this information to the State

 Bar?

shows from November 1st to the current. At that time

9 A. Yes.

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Now, when you were putting this together, did you hold back or delete any entries to or from the trust account?
- A. No. I mean, everything credit, deposit and debit transferring, those two column, and the daily balance, you see everything match with the bank statement. And this is what Mr. Jimmerson told me when he asked me to create this, too. He say, Amanda, you know me. I'm honest man, okay. So you do not hide anything. You reconcile already, all right. I need you to exactly expand in the spreadsheet how you reconcile. You do not cover up anything. You cannot delete anything. You need to make sure you put everything how you reconcile there, and that's what I did.
 - And that's why you probably -- I mean, when

I look at three transaction, and a lot of times how I 1 2 reconcile it is I have to grab whatever the payment coming in so I can credit there, the debits, right. 3 And that's why I put like there on the Exhibit 13 4 40,000 was being transferred and what's that for. 5 So 6 sometime you are going seeing the client's payment is 7 partial because I need to reconcile one at a time. 8 That's what I did. 9 MR. YOUNG: Mr. Chair, I'm going to object at this time to the witness' testimony. We've given 10 her extreme latitude. I think she's outside the 11 12 scope of mitigation and/or character witness, and this is getting into the substance of the complaint, 13 which Mr. Jimmerson's already been found in violation 14 And based on our time constraints today, I'd ask 15 that we conclude with this witness. 16 17 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Gilmore? 18 MR. GILMORE: So I've got about five minutes 19 left with her. One of the mitigating factors is 20 full, free disclosure to the State Bar. I'm just 21 confirming that Mr. Jimmerson went out of his way 2.2 above and beyond to provide information to the Bar. 23 I'm not here to go through the three transactions with her. 24

CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. Please try to wrap

1 it up as quickly as you can. 2 MR. GILMORE: Understood. BY MR. GILMORE: 3 What are the various exhibits that we see Ο. 4 listed on this document? 5 Would you repeat that question? 6 Α. The two columns, there's one that 7 Ο. Sure. 8 says "Exhibit" and then there's a number. Do you see that? 9 10 Α. Yes. What is all of that in reference to? 11 Ο. Okay. 12 Α. It's reference to the supporting document I So after this spreadsheet, I also attach what 13 exhibit shows what document to expand the 14 description. 15 So not only did Mr. Jimmerson provide 16 Ο. Okav. 17 the Bar with the reconciliation, he provided them all 18 of the underlying documentation to support that 19 reconciliation? 20 Nothing hide. Α. Correct. Okay. Looking back on all this, how do you 21 Ο. 2.2 feel this has impacted Mr. Jimmerson? 2.3 MR. YOUNG: I'm going to object as to speculation. 24

MR. GILMORE: She's had direct communication

with him about this. 1 2 MR. YOUNG: Again, I think it goes to speculation as to what she -- she can't possibly 3 testify as to what, how this has impacted 4 Mr. Jimmerson. Mr. Jimmerson can testify to that 5 later. 6 7 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: I'll overrule, but, 8 Mr. Gilmore, please keep this short. 9 MR. GILMORE: Understood. THE WITNESS: I'm going to keep it short. 10 11 mean, since March 2020, he got the letter. If you 12 have to say there are few people can observe how he feel, I'm one of them. Because depends on how many 13 hours he work in the office. I spend, besides Carol 14 Jimmerson, his wife, I think I spend the most time 15 16 with him. So I clearly know what kind of stage he's 17 in and what this have impact him. 18 And not only that is he's a great man. I feel like part of, if I did not leave, okay, if I 19 20 did not quit, this won't happen. And that's why I 21 cannot see anything happen on this man because he 22 have been suffering all this months. He has lost sleep because of this. He has been not eating good 23 because of this. Because he be in practice so many 24 25 years. 12 years I know him.

1 Now the Bar is question about his honesty, 2 his cover up, all that. And bother him when he receive the October, the complaint. I read it. 3 brought it to him. And he was so devastated and 4 upset. I never see this man like that. 5 In the whole past year I always think he was a beast. Nothing can 6 turn him down. Nothing. 7 8 But until last year, I saw him. And he 9 cries so many times, just me and him. I have to close the law firm office, the door, and pat on his 10 shoulder and say, Jim, just cry. I know you're 11 12 upset. I know you're upset. I know, but just cry. I'm here. Because he cannot tell the whole law firm 13 14 what happened, he only have me. 15 So I try to be supportive and there. Ever 16 time he told me, Amanda, this sick. This I'm 17 stomach, so sick. I cannot eat, I cannot sleep 18 because I worry about all this 45 years what I have 19 been built, it's going to be ruined. And watching 20 him like that, it make me upset because just think 21 about this, if I did not leave, this won't happen. 22 So I feel like, I know, he told me it's not your fault, but I feel like it's my fault. Because 23 if I did not leave, it did not happen when I was 24 25 there. It did not happen again after I return.

feel it's my fault. And then I told him, I say, 1 2 will fight this. We'll go through this. And he -it has been suffering him so much. 3 Thank you. No further MR. GILMORE: 4 questions, Chairman. 5 6 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: The State Bar? 7 MR. YOUNG: Great. Thank you. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. YOUNG: 9 Miss Kahn, you had testified that before you 10 came back to the office, or at least right after you 11 had came back to the office after Ms. Ballard left 12 that you dug into the books; is that correct? 13 14 Α. Yes. And one of the things that you had 15 Okay. Ο. mentioned were there were three transfers that stuck 16 17 out to you that were even amount transfers; is that 18 correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. Did you notice that those transfers appeared 21 to be improper? 2.2 MR. GILMORE: Objection, argumentative. 2.3 MR. YOUNG: It's not argumentative. CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Overruled. Please answer 24 25 the question.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: I think that's why I brought
to Jim's attention as a bookkeeper that even numbers,
and that why I brought to his attention. That's why
I talked to him. I need to find out what happened.

BY MR. YOUNG:

- Q. And based on your training and experience as Mr. Jimmerson's bookkeeper, is that common to have even amount transfers in the law office?
- A. That's not common. And that's why I caught my eye and that's why I brought to his attention right away immediately.
- Q. Okay. Did Mr. Jimmerson admit to you that he's the one that transferred those three transfers?
- A. He did. And I also look at the banking account, so I was able to see who make that transfer, and that's I confirm with him because I need to find out what happened and why.
- Q. Did he admit to you that he made those transfers without having the benefit of the cash reports?
- A. This is what he told me: I thought we received the money, and I haven't had my cash daily report, so I thought the money was there for me to transfer because I know some client make the payments. And that's what he told me.

- Q. And did he transfer -- did he tell you that specifically he transferred one of the transfers to his operating account and then to his payroll account so he could make his payroll on November 22nd?
 - A. Well, I mean, as a bookkeeper I would know that because I see the transaction, and that's what I also read myself as well.
 - Q. Okay. Would you disagree that on

 November 21st the payroll account was insufficient in
 balance to make the payroll disbursements on

 November 22?
 - A. Yes, and I saw that on the transaction. And I even asked Mr. Jimmerson, and that's what he told me, I thought the client made the payment. Because in the past what happened is if we don't have enough money in the corporation account, we have building accounts money we can transfer and we have his personal account we can transfer, we have his other company we can transfer.

So that's why I said, Why didn't you do that? And told me, Because I thought the client would pay, because it happened in the past. We need the money to cash flow and we borrow from other companies. And but in that case he did not know he didn't have enough. He thought he already receive

those payments.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So the prior history within the firm is if there's not enough money in the payroll account, to borrow from the other accounts; is that correct?
- A. When you say from other account, you mean from law firm account or from his personal account or his transportation account? Because he had enough in other transportation account or building account that always is a different bank.
- Q. Okay. And is this the only time he's borrowed money from the trust account?
- A. I'm not quite understand when you say "borrow."
- Q. Well, you had mentioned that if the payroll account was short, he would borrow money from other accounts in the past.
 - A. I say if --
 - Q. Correct? Wait, correct?
- A. No.
 - Q. That is what you testified to, yes?
- A. No. I said if he doesn't have enough account from the payroll in the past, if we are low on the cash flow, we could borrow from his other business accounts and or his personal account.
 - O. Okay. And do you dispute the fact that in

2.2

this case the cash flow was low in the payroll account and as a result he borrowed from his trust account in this particular case?

- A. He transferred from the trust account because he thought the client paid money because --
 - Q. Isn't that the definition of borrowing?
- A. No, it's not. I don't believe so. And one thing is I do -- I don't know if previous I explain. The trust fund, I don't know how other lawyer firm works, but our law firm, we not only have clients trust fund in the trust account, we also have the payments client make from the credit card system, because the credit card system it directly sync, connect with the trust account. So every time when the client make the credit card payment, it will be deposit automatic in the trust fund. So the trust account is not only clients' money.

So at this statement I don't think "borrow" should be the word, because it's the three transfer because at that time we do have portions is the clients' payment.

Q. At that time -- at that time Mr. Jimmerson made these three transfers, did he -- was it necessary for him to obtain anybody else's approval to make those transfers?

He did what he could at that time. 1 Α. And, I 2 mean, my reconcile prove that it's not all that 3 amount. MR. YOUNG: I'm going to object as 4 nonresponsive at this time. 5 BY MR. YOUNG: 6 7 Did the transfers --Ο. 8 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Young, I think the point's been made. 9 MR. YOUNG: All right. Great. 10 Thank you. 11 BY MR. YOUNG: 12 What -- after you had come back as of Q. December 27th, what safeguards had Mr. Jimmerson put 13 in place to prevent, him specifically, withdrawing 14 money from his trust account to borrow and pay when 15 funds are low in other accounts? 16 17 Α. I mean, that's why I say previously. When I 18 work for him almost two years before November 7th, Mr. Jimmerson never make the transfer. And you can 19 20 check all the bank record. I was the one. And after 21 I return, I was the one to make the transfer, except 2.2 for one on December 20th when I told him later on December 27 he hit a wrong button. And that shows I 2.3 was the only one transferring the money out of the 24 25 trust account to the corporate. So he doesn't need

to touch the trust account. 1 2 And we make sure every month we have the bill and everything we both discuss and went through 3 so every penny would match. And that's why to 4 current our trust account is reconciled, and that's 5 why Louise Watson only can find those three 6 transactions in November when I was not there. 7 8 And she even pulled the record the whole year afterwards and still reconcile. Even can check 9 right now, it's reconciled. And, you know, I plan to 10 stay at the law firm as long as possible 'til, you 11 12 know, whenever I can, and I promise everything will be reconciled. 13 What happens when you leave the law firm 14 Ο. 15 again? 16 Α. I cannot say if I leave the law firm again, 17 I mean, unless Jim will fire me and I would leave. Τ 18 mean, it depends on do you want to fire me? 19 will be the question. 20 MR. YOUNG: I have no further questions. I'll pass the witness. 21 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Gilmore? 2.2 2.3 MR. GILMORE: No follow-up. 24 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. Miss Hanson, any 25 questions for the witness?

1 COMMISSIONER HANSON: No, I'm fine. Thanks. 2 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. David? 3 COMMISSIONER DAVID: Yeah, I do. EXAMINATION 4 BY COMMISSIONER DAVID: 5 What familiarity do you have with the legal 6 7 ethics involving a trust account, if any? And the 8 answer may be none, but that's fine. I just want to 9 clarify this. Sorry, I think the speaker is not clear. 10 Α. 11 Ο. Okay. Is this better? 12 Α. Yes. 13 MR. GILMORE: Yes. 14 BY COMMISSIONER DAVID: I just moved closer to the microphone. 15 16 I'm asking is how familiar are you with the 17 limitations placed upon trust accounts based upon the 18 rules of legal ethics? 19 I mean, as a bookkeeper and as the training knowledge I have previous years, I fully understand 20 the trust account knowledge, and that's why we have 21 2.2 been not only, I mean I'm not only saying with my 2.3 words, I put in action. And that's why all the, when I'm, as of bookkeeper of Jimmerson Law Firm, and with 24 25 what happened in 2019 and what we have been applied

to the action, we take this seriously. 1 2 I'm not asking that. There are some very specific rules in what an attorney should be doing 3 with their trust accounts. Have you ever taken any 4 classes in this? 5 6 Α. Oh, yes. Yes. 7 Ο. In legal ethics? 8 Α. Yes, I do. And then --9 Ο. Okay. -- also not long ago, I believe is at the 10 Α. beginning of 2020, I also, because I take a part 11 12 of -- I'm office manager at the Jimmerson Law Firm so I also arrange all the attorneys' CLE training class. 13 So there was one section regarding the trust fund, so 14 I also went ahead and read all that to make sure, 15 16 especially what happened with this State Bar 17 complaint --18 Q. Okay. 19 Α. -- so I make sure I fully understand. 20 Ο. That's exactly what I was asking 21 about. Okay. Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. Miss Kahn, thank 23 you for your testimony. We appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Thank you so much. 24 Thank you.

Thank you.

MR. GILMORE:

1 All right. My next witness, James M. 2 Jimmerson. He's obviously in a different room because we invoked the witness exclusion, so two 3 minutes, bathroom break, I'll grab him and we'll keep 4 5 qoinq? 6 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. 7 COMMISSIONER DAVID: I need to make a 8 request. I have to leave by 5:00 today. 9 MR. HOOGE: Mr. Chair, could I follow up with that as well? I know that the State Bar spent 10 30 to 45 minutes on closing. Respondent's already 11 12 had an hour and a half the previous day. We've spent an hour with this witness. We've got three more 13 witnesses, from what I understand. 14 15 I'd ask for some reasonable time 16 limitations. I think the panel needs at least 17 an hour to deliberate, probably more. I would like a rebuttal closing, and if, you know, we're 18 19 going to take an hour for each witness, there's 20 just -- there's no way we're going to get all this 21 done. CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Gilmore? 2.2 I don't expect his son to go 23 MR. GILMORE: more than ten minutes. I will certainly make sure 24 25 Mr. Jimmerson himself is within the timeframe, and

```
1
     Judge Bare. Mr. Jimmerson I'll make sure, 20, 30
     minutes at the most. He's covered the substance,
 2
     we're not here to cover the substance, I just need to
 3
     go through the mitigating factors with him, Judge
 4
     Bare and then time for closings.
 5
              So, yes, I'm mindful and I will continue to
 6
     strive to make sure we move as fast as we can and
 7
 8
     respectful of everybody's time here. I certainly
 9
     don't have any reason to want us past 5:00.
              CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Gilmore, can you give
10
11
     us a hard stop when we can expect your witnesses to
12
    be done?
              MR. GILMORE: Let's see, I want to say 3:45.
13
     That's three more witnesses, hour and a half.
14
15
              COMMISSIONER DAVID: That doesn't work.
16
              MR. GILMORE: You tell me, I suppose, and I
17
     will work backwards based on your quidance.
18
     obviously at the end of the day we want to make sure
19
     the panel members, however, have as much time as you
20
     need, because I don't want to shortchange any of you.
     So you tell me what quidance in terms of how much
21
2.2
     time you want to deliberate and we will work
23
     backwards accordingly, and say maybe five to
     ten minutes on closings for each side.
24
25
              MR. KENNEDY: Well, maybe a little longer
```

1	than ten minutes.
2	MR. GILMORE: So, I mean, Mr. David, it
3	sounds like you want to be able to start deliberating
4	by 4:00 o'clock. Is that fair?
5	COMMISSIONER DAVID: That would be the
6	latest, I would think. We need at least an hour for
7	that and it needs to be done by 5:00.
8	MR. GILMORE: So then if we want to be
9	deliberating by 4:00, it sounds like we want to be
10	starting closings by 3:30. That's 30 minutes between
11	our side and the Bar so that you have the ability
12	starting at 4:00 o'clock. Does that work?
13	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: That sounds reasonable.
14	Acceptable to the Bar?
15	MR. HOOGE: Yeah, that works. Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. All right.
17	Two-minute break and we'll see you back here shortly.
18	Thank you.
19	MR. GILMORE: Okay.
20	(A recess was taken.)
21	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: We are back on the
22	record. Mr. Gilmore?
23	MR. GILMORE: My next witness, James M.
24	Jimmerson, if the court reporter would please swear
25	him in.

1 Thereupon--2 JAMES M. JIMMERSON was called as a witness by the Respondent, and having 3 been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. GILMORE: 6 7 Please state your name for the record. 0. James M. Jimmerson. 8 Α. 9 Ο. Okay. If you would introduce yourself to 10 the panel please. 11 Α. I'm James M. Jimmerson. I'm my father's oldest son. I'm a practicing attorney. 12 I was first licensed in the state of New York in the summer of 13 2011, and was subsequently licensed in the state of 14 Nevada in the summer of 2012. I've been practicing 15 16 with my father for approximately five years. 17 other practice involved working with Nick Santoro as 18 well as in house for the Sugar Factory restaurant. 19 Okay. And how long have you been at Jimmerson Law? 20 As I said, a total of about five years. 21 Α. spent some time early on when we returned back from 22 New York, I worked as a New York attorney for a few 23 24 years at Winston & Strawn. I returned to Las Vegas 25 and worked with my father for about a year and a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 half, and then about three and a half years ago I 2 rejoined the firm.

- Q. Okay. And why did you rejoin the firm?
- A. Because I'm the best lawyer I can be around him.
 - Q. Okay. Tell the panel here a little bit about what it's like to work at Jimmerson Law.
 - A. It's not easy. We work hard. We have very, very complex matters sometimes. We've got clients that have real needs, and we deliver our best possible performance for each and every one of them. We handle a wide range of cases.

I spend more time in civil division and across the street in federal court. My dad spends more time probably in family court. But that, regardless of where we're actually practicing, you know, we run the gamut with the types of matters and the types of clients that we represent.

- Q. Okay. Tell the panel a little bit about your dad as a lawyer.
- A. He's an outstanding attorney. And growing up listening to him speak about the law, speak about what he does, you don't come away ignorant of what he's doing. And there's certain things that just by being around, understanding that he's probably

forgotten a little about certain parts of the law, certainly family law, than I'll ever know.

But that he is someone who will have his pet cases. Chisholm versus Redfield, it was a decision that the Supreme Court held that repeated breach of the contract can be enjoined, and certain things like that where you understand that this is someone who takes their craft seriously, who takes their profession seriously, and, you know, unlike so many of the billboard lawyers that you see, you know, he leads by example. He is not someone who is just a face, he's the real deal.

- Q. Do you see him as a mentor?
- A. I do.

- O. How so?
- A. Well, as I said, I came back to my father's firm not because I didn't have anywhere else to go, I was general counsel to Sugar Factory restaurant group, but I recognized that I was the best possible lawyer I could be by working with my father. He and I work wonderfully together.

He has given me so many -- practice pointers wouldn't be the word to describe it, but he makes sure that I am doing what I need to do and that we are doing the best we possibly can. And that because

I like to think that he and I have a few things in common, that we feed off of, that we see, you know, cases, you know, similarly but not necessarily identical. I think that helps our clients, and I really do believe it.

The example I would give is that he can't play a game called Catch Phrase at Thanksgiving with our family on the same team because we're able to basically run through the board before anyone else can. In many way we can be on the same wavelengths, and I think that that is one of the many reasons why I enjoy practicing law.

- Q. Do you feel in some ways you became a lawyer because of him?
 - A. Oh, yeah.

- Q. Okay. Do you find that he's an absentee owner or is he there and active in the practice?
- A. He is active in the practice every day. And no one would confuse him for an absentee owner. He works just as hard, if not harder, than every single person at the office. Even when he's on vacation, you know, this guy will put together an email, okay, that's 14 paragraphs long, okay, sent from an iPhone. I mean, this is someone who isn't just resting on his laurels, they put in the time, they deliver the best

1 | possible performance and work product that he can.

- Q. Okay. Tell the panel about your dad as a dad.
- A. What can I say, he's my dad. He's the best dad anyone could want. He instilled in me a sense of hard work, a requirement that in order to succeed in life we need to work hard. I was obligated or required to get a full-time job in high school, not because it was required because there was a financial need, but because that was what he did when he was in high school, is what he wanted me to do because it taught us responsibility.

My approach towards life has been guided by his, you know, the commitment to doing things for good, that's taking the extra time, making sure you do it right, and without him I wouldn't be the person I am today.

- Q. Do you have children?
- A. I do. Twin boys.
 - Q. Do you -- do you try to replicate him as a dad with yourself as a parent?
 - A. I do. I recall fondly certain memories I have, and that I'd come home and he'd be in his office working, and we'd be watching a movie together. And when we start talking about what's

- 1 | going on at school and my life, what's going on, you
- 2 | know, at his job, and it was in those, it was in
- 3 | those quite moments, you know, that you really get to
- 4 | know, you know, someone beyond just the
- 5 | disciplinarian or the individual who's, you know, the
- 6 | paterfamilias, if you will, but who he is as a
- 7 | person, and I've modeled my parenting on the way I
- 8 | was raised.
- 9 Q. Okay. You understand you're here today
- 10 | because a grievance has been brought against your
- 11 | dad?
- 12 A. I'm aware.
- Q. Okay. In your observations, how has this
- 14 | proceeding impacted him?
- A. It's been devastating. This is someone who
- 16 | takes pride in doing things the right way. This is
- 17 | something that he -- I don't know if there's been
- 18 | anything else that I've seen where he is trying to
- 19 demonstrate his commitment to doing things the right
- 20 | way.
- 21 | I will say that this is something that,
- 22 | regardless of what this panel decides, he'll never be
- 23 | the same, you know. This is in many ways a
- 24 | life-altering, life-changing experience, and
- 25 | certainly one that, you know, will never be

forgotten. Its impact is here to stay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You find that he's taking it serious?
- A. It's an understatement. This is -- he is working as hard as he possibly can to appropriately respond. This is not a case where it's we have to compete to win. This is his personal practice. He takes this incredibly seriously. I've not seen him be this serious about anything else in that same -- he's treated this process with the appropriate care and attention it deserves.
- Q. Okay. I want to touch on, and it's a sensitive subject, but I feel like it may be more appropriate for you than him. Was there a family event that impacted you and your dad in 2019?
- A. Yes. I believe you're referring to the death of my brother, Jacob.
 - Q. Okay. And when did he pass?
- A. He passed in April. His heart was delivered to the organ recipients on April 8th.
 - Q. Okay. How has that impacted your dad since then, the moment in time?
 - A. He's forever changed. Immediately it was like an anvil from the sky. It affected him tremendously for the months thereafter. You know, I don't know, you know, there's nothing -- you don't

get past it, but it was, it was like a thunderbolt to him, and he's never been the same.

Q. How about you?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

A. Jacob, he and I were separated by eight years, but I didn't have the best relationship with my younger brother, Chad. I always had a better relationship with Jacob. And I would come home from school, and that was when Jacob and I really got to know each other well, and we developed a really, really strong bond.

In the summer and fall of 2010, when the great recession hit and my start date was delayed, I came back to Las Vegas, and that was when Jacob and I spent every night together. I saw in him a lot of me. I saw in him someone with a very, very bright future, and that future was taken away.

- Q. Okay. Was there an event that occurred on November 13 of 2019?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What happened on November 13th of 21 2019?
 - A. The Nevada Donor Network graciously honored my brother by including him on their annual Rose Parade float. And in so doing, a picture, what they call a floragraph, basically a picture with flowers,

- of my brother was put together. And it takes a
 number of months to put it together. And it was on
 November 13th that there was a final effort to put
 the last flowers on my brother's floragraph, and it
 was a ceremony for him where the family and close
 friends would assist in putting those last flowers on
- Q. Okay. And was your dad involved in that process?
 - A. He was. Nevada Donor Network had met at our firm a number of times in the weeks and months leading up to that ceremony. It was important that we, you know, understand the process to get it right. But it was not something that just happened, it was something that was planned and took an awful lot of my father's and my mother's attention.
- Q. Okay. And then what about on January 1 of 2020?
- 19 A. That was the Rose Parade.

the floragraph of my brother.

- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. That was when we saw my brother again.
- Q. Okay. Is there anything else you'd like to tell this panel about your dad as they have to decide, you know, what discipline, if any, to impose

25 on him?

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

1	A. If there's one thing that I learned from my
2	father it is that you must be honest. You must tell
3	the truth. You must be faithful with him, not just
4	in our everyday dealings but certainly in the law
5	practice. I tell every one of my clients, I can live
6	with a bad fact, I can't live with a client that will
7	not tell me the truth.
8	And I get that from my father. I get the
9	commitment to that honesty and the commitment to
10	being truthful from him. He led by example and he
11	continues to lead by example. I think that that is
12	somebody that this panel needs to understand that
13	this man is an honorable man. He's an honest man.
14	And I would respectfully request that they take that
15	into consideration when they deliberate.
16	MR. GILMORE: Nothing further from me,
17	Chairman.
18	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: For the Bar?
19	MR. YOUNG: No questions from the Bar.
20	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Miss Hanson, any
21	questions?
22	COMMISSIONER HANSON: No, I'm good.
23	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. David, any questions?
24	COMMISSIONER DAVID: No questions.
25	CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Okay. Mr. Jimmerson,

thank you for your testimony. 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 All right. My next witness is 3 MR. GILMORE: Mr. Jimmerson, Sr. Mr. Chairman, do you want him 4 sworn in again or act as if he's still under oath? 5 Just for the record let's CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: 6 7 go ahead and swear him in again please. 8 MR. GILMORE: Debbie, if you would please do 9 so. 10 Thereupon--JAMES J. JIMMERSON 11 12 was called as a witness by the Respondent, and having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. GILMORE: Can everybody hear him okay? 16 Ο. Okay. 17 Mr. Jimmerson, you understand the Okay. 18 panel has deliberated and found that you violated 19 Rule of Professional Conduct 1.15? 20 Α. I do. 21 Okay. I want to start with your mental Ο. 2.2 state, which is the next element to this that the panel has to be thinking about. 2.3 The Bar has maintained that you knew that you didn't know that 24 25 you had money in trust in 2019 when the three

- 1 transactions were made. Do you recall that statement 2 by the Bar? I remember several statements, yes. 3 Α. Okay. Do you agree with that? 4 Ο. 5 Α. I do not. Starting with the first of the three 6 Ο. Okay. 7 transactions in November 2019, did you know that the 8 clients had not, in fact, paid the money when you 9 made and initiated those three transfers? I did not. 10 Α. 11 Okay. Did you believe the clients had paid Ο. 12 and that the money was there to disburse from the trust? 13 14 Α. Exactly so.
 - Q. Okay. And why is that?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- A. Because of several factors. As I testified on last week, first I want to say thank you for your time and attention to all three board members and to opposing counsel as well.
- I didn't -- I wasn't alerted to it. I had no reason to believe the money was not present.

 First, I know the clients. The billings I know are sizeable. And one of the things I want to correct, because I think the State Bar counsel has a misunderstanding, October 20th is the end of a cycle

that began September 21 through October 20th, and the 1 20th of the month, that's changed, you know, if you 2 have a Thanksqiving that come late or early, but it's 3 the 20th of the month, month in month out. 4 So the bills that went out, October 20th 5 bills, they were in the clients' hands before 6 7 November 1. So the bills that are collected are for 8 fees that are earned as of October 20th. So that's 9 why we talk in terms of the fees we earned. It's not because Miss Ballard didn't prepare timesheets in 10 November for the December billing, but it's because 11 12 the moneys were earned for work prior to and including October 20th of 2019. So the bills are 13 earned, that's number one. 14 15 Number two, the bills are set. And why do I 16 know that? Because I personally review every single 17 bill, even if it's matters that I am not principally 18 responsible for, I am the reviewing partner that 19 reviews every bill. This is not an easy thing. These bills will 20 21 take six to eight hours a day to review one month's 22 billing for every single one, and that's where you 23 make judgments, typically writing balance off things, checking things, double-checking any mistakes you 24 25 might think are present. The point is to be fair to

1 the client. So that was in place by that date. So starting November 7th, which was the 2 first day, or November 8th, the first day that 3 Miss Ballard was flying solo, you know, after 4 Miss Kahn had returned home, I knew the clients and 5 the cases that are going on, Mr. Vance, we heard the 6 name of Cashman, Vance, Curtis and many others. 7 8 Large bills, sizable bills because they're intensive 9 work, a lot is going on, very active cases. So I know their paying. 10 I had worked with 11 them the last year, sometimes longer, the last many 12 So I had acknowledge about their payment months. history, whether they -- I'm also on constant contact 13 with them, I mean, almost daily sometimes. And what 14 you were saying, and particularly if it's a large 15 16 bill, when I can expect your payment. They all pay 17 within 10 to 20 days of the billing dates. So if 18 they got it on, you know, the 28th of October, you 19 can expect the bill by the 15th of November. So 20 that's number one. 21 Number two, Miss Ballard, who I was checking 22 with, she started on her own on the 7th but I was talking to her because she started roughly on 23 October 20th or 21st. I knew the whole billing cycle 24 25 because she went through it with Amanda, but

beginning her solo flight and talking to her either 1 on a daily basis or virtually every day on a daily 2 basis because she was new, because I knew that she's 3 now alone, and I did provide her Amanda to talk to 4 her every day, but also talking to her, are the 5 clients paying? Yes. Are we okay financially? 6 Are there any concerns? Yes. Not -- no. Are you 7 8 getting your timesheets in? Yes. Are the lawyers 9 getting to you the timesheets? Sometimes you got to kick the lawyer's butt to get their timesheets on 10 So those are things I'm asking literally on a 11 12 daily basis.

I had no reason to believe that the money was not there, and that's why I said in good faith, my testimony last week, I would say I knew, that was my mistake, but I knew that fees were earned, I knew that they had been billed, and I made the mistake, regrettably, to my everlasting chagrin that the moneys had been paid.

Q. Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I was never advised that they weren't paid. I mean, to the extent that these three transfers were out of sync, never advised they didn't match received funds. There's no borrowing here. That phrase is a cheap shot.

1 Ο. Okay. In terms of the daily cash reports, 2 how important to you are those in your practice? Well, to me, especially being a busy lawyer, 3 Α. they're guite important. I rely upon them greatly, 4 like I rely upon the quality of the men and women who 5 work with our firm. They're not going to work for 6 7 me, they work with us. We're a partnership. We're a 8 team. 9 Okay. And you didn't have those in the three transfers that are at issue in November that 10 occurred; is that correct? 11 Α. I did not. I testified last week, as 12 Ms. Kahn testified today, they enter on the 10th or 13 11th. 14 15 Okay. Ο. 16 Α. 2019. So in sum, you had, in your belief, that 17 Ο. 18 money was there to transfer from trust in November? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 Okay. Let's talk about the Jay Nady Ο. 21 transfer from December. Do you recall that? 2.2 Α. I do. Did you know that you couldn't take 23 Ο. Okav. that money from trust, as the panel has found here 24 25 today?

A. The answer is no. I'm going to make one factual correction. Amanda Kahn, K-a-h-n, the lady who just testified a few minutes ago, is the one who did that transfer, not me, okay. And she only made the transfer after I got the approval from the client beforehand, both of which you know because I testified to that, and also because Mr. Nady came here and testified about the same.

So the answer is no, I didn't know it was in any way improper. He authorized it. And then if you want to go one step further, these records are before you. The corporate account where that money was transferred to from the IOLTA trust account to the Jimmerson Law Firm corporate account had sufficient funds, quite large funds. 40, 50, \$60,000 in it. There was no need for me to take the money out of trust to borrow. The accounts were very hefty by December 20th, and the money just sat there as part of overall funds.

- Q. Okay. So you believed you could take the money after speaking to Mr. Nady; is that fair?
- A. I absolutely do. And, listen, one thing I want to make very clear. I apologize for my being here to the three of you. Ira David is a strong lawyer and mediator, okay. I apologize to Tom

2.2

Edwards. I don't know Miss Hanson but I know as a second year law student, she's been taking constitutional law, criminal cases, and criminal law and the like.

I'm apologizing to you and to opposing counsel that I'm even here, that I have allowed myself to be here. It's not representative of who I am, and it's not representative of the quality and care of my law firm.

So to answer your question, I not only do not believe it's proper, I accept the findings. I would submit that while you find the finding, I think a client has the right to direct how his money be used. And as Miss Watson said, she did not think that to be a violation.

But make no mistake, I defer to you. I defer to the Bar. And I'm here at this stage accepting the adverse finding and urging the panel to make an appropriate finding of a letter of caution because as the debate before this hearing started last week was between letter of caution and public reprimand.

Q. Okay. And we'll touch on that a little bit more, but before I get there, let's talk about the final \$15,000 transfer from late December. Do you

recall that?

2.2

- A. Of course I do.
- Q. Okay. Did you know that you were moving money from your trust account to your personal account?
- A. Not then. I learned seven days later. I hit the wrong button. And you look, and you saw it, you look at the chart of accounts on your computer when you go online, and you, whatever bank you have, whatever bank accounts you have are right on top of each other. The name is corporate trust, and corporate -- and corporate trust account. So they're on top of each other. I simply hit the wrong button. It's the first time it's ever happened.

And if I could, I would like to say this is an advocacy case, so State Bar is pushing for, you know, suspension, disbarment. This case is not that. I know this panel believes the same way. So are we going to rush this guy with a public reprimand, does he not get it, or are we going to let him with a punishment with a letter of reprimand -- of admonishment, what we call letter of caution, and all of the misery, it's gone in the last six months. Their choice. I defer to you how it should be.

But the comment that I think is most

insensitive, most speculative was when opposing 1 counsel said, Maybe he used it for Christmas 2 presents. Okay, let me show him something. 3 Ο. Let's clear that up. We can do that pretty 4 5 quickly. I'm going to share screen here with the panel. We are looking at what is marked as 6 Exhibit 33 for the State Bar, and I'm on page 34. 7 Mr. Jimmerson, what is this statement for? 8 9 Α. Okay. Look at it. It says Nevada State 10 When the State Bar sent their subpoena out February 2 or 3 or 4 of 2020, they subpoenaed every 11 12 one of my accounts at Nevada State Bank. They didn't get my accounts at Wells Fargo, they didn't get my 13 accounts at Bank of America, but they got all, 14 whatever there were, 13, 15 accounts at Nevada State 15 16 Bank, including my business accounts with Executive 17 Limousine, Valley Transportation, Integrity Taxi, 18 other businesses that my wife and I own. And they 19 got all the nine accounts at Nevada State, the law 20 firm. They also got my personal account that is a joint account with my wife and my family trust. 21 22 So look at the account. James Jimmerson and Carol Jimmerson and Jimmerson Family Trust. 23 So this is Jim and Carol's personal account, all right. 24 25 let's go look to where the deposit hits.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- A. From the IOLTA account to my account, the very first time and only time it's ever happened in my entire adult life. Okay, so then look at the date, 12-20.
- 6 Q. And that's right here.
 - A. \$15,000. Right. That is the deposit of, the IOLTA deposit that I mistakenly hit the wrong button. I meant to take it from the company's, the law firm's corporate account into my personal account.
- 12 Q. Okay.

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. 7217 into 1525. So you see the 15,000 right there, online transfer from my IOLTA account, and we have a reference number, I think those are, okay.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. But here's the point I want to make, okay.

 Look at the balances.
 - Q. And that's what I'm going to draw your attention to. So we're looking at the third page of this statement. It's State Bar Exhibit 33, page 36. We see the balance now in light of that transfer on the 20th is \$17,714.63, correct?
- A. Right. And before that, those 2,000 and change, \$2,600.

- Q. Okay. Now, do we see the balance change between December 20 and December 27th?
 - A. No. The money stays there because I didn't know I had taken it from the IOLTA account. I didn't know it. And I didn't need the money. I didn't need it for Christmas presents. I didn't use it for Christmas presents.

You know, I don't know if I offended the State Bar, counsel. I mean, why would they take -- really, it's just we got honest disagreements. That was a cheap shot. You don't say that about maybe he used it for Christmas presents when you have that document right in front of you and know that he didn't even touch the account.

- Q. Okay. Now we see there was a transaction on the 27th. And if we go back you see a reference there for \$2,452?
- A. Yeah, it's my reoccurring payment for my homeowners association where my wife and I live, it comes out the 27th of 28th of every month.
- Q. Okay. But if you wanted to, because the Bar questioned why you didn't return the money from this account, was the money there that you could have returned?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

- 1 Ο. Okay.
- 2 Α. Absolutely.
- Now you mentioned that you intended to pull 3 Ο. the money from your operating account; is that 4
- correct? 5

- From the corporate trust -- corporate 6 Α. 7 account, yes.
- 8 Q. Okay.
 - Α. What I call corporate account, yes.
- Let's pull up what is the State Bar Exhibit 27. Okay, this is State Bar Exhibit 27, page 11 1478. Just for the record, Mr. Jimmerson, is this 12
- the corporate account that you just referenced? 13
- 14 Α. It is. 27 years.
- I'm going to go to the daily balances 15 0. here. On December 20th, which was the date of the 16 17 subject transaction, what is the total balance in
- that account? 18
- 19 Α. \$40,752.45.
- Okay. So it might be an obvious question, 20 Ο.
- 21 but was there more than \$15,000 in this account that
- 2.2 you were able to transfer --
- 2.3 Α. Yes.
- -- to yourself? 24 Ο.
- Α. And we, since the account transfers are from 25

- 1 IOLTA to trust account -- excuse me, IOLTA to 2 corporate account, we transferred it back from the corporate account to IOLTA account in December for 3 And that was the day we learned of it 4 because we finished Christmas, you know, if you 5 remember that year, Christmas was on a Friday, and so 6 we came to work and there maybe on Saturday. 7 8 Q. Okay. And just looking at these daily 9 balances here, between December 20 and December 31, did you ever drop below \$15,000? 10 No, never. Which also explains why the Jay 11 Α. 12 Nady money wasn't necessary either. Ο. Okay. 13 So --Wasn't needed to make a bill. 14 Α. And then just to clarify then, this is the 15 Ο. 16 account on the 20th where you intended to make the 17 transfer from corporate account to your personal 18 account not out of trust? 19 Α. Yes, sir. This is the account that let us, 20 the \$15,000 payment to my personal account as a draw. I want to switch to what is the next 21 Ο. 22 consideration that the panel needs to make, and that
 - A. Definitely not. They did not.

24

25

is client harm. Do you believe any clients suffered

harm as a result of the transfers that are at issue?

1 Q. Okay.

- A. The Bar has claimed that they did.
- Q. And we talked about hypothetical worst case scenarios. If hypothetically in November every client that you had money in trust for showed up and asked for their money, did you have alternative sources to make good on the money that was moved in November?
- A. I did. One of the unexpected benefits of the overreaching subpoena was to subpoena at least the Nevada State Bank accounts that we had on file. And if you look at several account, Destination Las Vegas, Valley Transportation, Integrity Taxi, they were well over north of a hundred thousand dollars.

We're not a wealthy family, but all I will say to you is that never needed to, but if I ever needed to, if I ever recognized that the three draws were for a moment in time even one day short, I could have instead, I wouldn't done it, I would have simply taken my own other moneys, and if I needed it, deposit it to the accounts to make, the corporate trust account to -- the corporate account to make whatever payments I needed, you know, payroll, whatever, but deposit into my corporate account, the Jimmerson Law Firm corporate operating, operating

1 account and make the payments. I hope that's 2 somewhat convincing efforts that I didn't know that the three transfers that I personally did would cause 3 anv overbalance. 4 5 MR. GILMORE: Okay. What I'd like to do, it's not been admitted, it's State Bar proposed 6 7 Exhibit 25, if I can show that to Mr. Jimmerson. Т 8 don't know if there's any objection from the State 9 Bar. I quess more specifically if I can screen 10 11 share it to everyone, I don't know if there's an 12 This is a bank statement that the State objection. Bar subpoenaed associated with Destination Las Vegas, 13 LLC. 14 That's just one of several 15 THE WITNESS: 16 accounts that Miss Watson --17 No objection. MR. HOOGE: 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Counsel. 19 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: It will be admitted. 20 BY MR. GILMORE: 21 Okay. Thank you. I have brought up what is Ο. 2.2 State Bar's Exhibit 25. I'm focusing on page 229. 2.3 Mr. Jimmerson, what is this statement associated with? 24

This is a monthly statement that was

25

Α.

- 1 captured by Miss Watson of Destination Las Vegas,
- 2 | LLC, a company that's owned by myself. My wife and I
- 3 | own it together but it's technically under my own
- 4 | name. It is a destination company where we receive,
- 5 | you know, ceremonies, wedding requests or bachelor
- 6 | party or bachelorette party. It comes to this
- 7 | company, this company that arranges with our own
- 8 | companies, if we can.
- 9 If we don't have the equipment needed, we
- 10 | arrange with or farm the work out to other companies.
- 11 | So that's the business company. That's one business
- 12 | company that we have. And that balance was what,
- 13 | \$81,000 and something in November. I'd have to go
- 14 back and look at it.
- Q. Okay. And I switched over here to the daily
- 16 balances for this particular month.
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. Which is the month of November 2019,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A. So you can see that the balances were
- 21 | running between 123,393 on November 4th, and I think
- 22 | the last statement, November 25th was 81,147. So,
- 23 | you know, pretty reasonable balances that are there
- 24 | for our and my use or my wife's use.
- O. Okay. And Miss Kahn testified that if you

- 1 have a need to take a loan out from another company
- 2 to your law firm, you could do that. Do you recall
- 3 | that?

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 4 A. Of course.
- Q. Okay. And in this scenario, would you have taken a loan from this entity if you knew that some of that money was not there to transfer?
 - A. Yes. Now, I might have used other accounts with other banks, but the nice thing about this, if you see this, is I can call Mr. Valdez at the Nevada State Bank and he'll make an automatic transfer over to the firm. I don't have them linked to transfer online because that's intentionally to have the checks and balances to keep it separate from the law firm operation.
- Q. Okay. Did you bounce any checks in November of 2019?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. Did you have to delay making any payments to any clients or creditors in November or December of 2019?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. I want to move next to mitigating
 factors. Mr. Jimmerson, do you believe that you've
 acted with the absence of a dishonest or selfish

| motive?

2.2

- A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. Okay. Why do you say that?
- A. I think the board can make their own determination of who they're dealing with. I think that's when I was on the State Bar disciplinary committee that was what I thought was an important factor. Who am I dealing with. Try to get to know that person. I do think it's a disadvantage to me that we're not in person. I don't have a chance to shake Mr. David's hand, Mr. Edward's hand, or Miss Hanson's hand or they get to see me in the flesh.

But that being said, I've never hurt -never taken a client's dollar out of a trust account.

I've never mishandled the money in the trust account.

I appreciate, believe me, I appreciate that the
transfers that I took on those three occasions, an
11-day time period when Miss Ballard had failed me,
and of course I wasn't aware of it, and I have no
disrespect for her, I treat her with respect, but she
hurt the company briefly.

No clients were ever hurt at all. And I understand the math for the moment in time that it was not there and the next day money, you know,

November 21st I withdraw the cash the next day. 1 2 other we talked about was eight days. I understand that, and that's why I'm being called on the carpet. 3 It's my fault. Ultimately it's my responsibility 4 this time. It's not Miss Ballard's, it's not 5 Miss Kahn's, it's Jim Jimmerson's, so I get it. 6 I am glorified in an ironic way that 7 8 Mr. Hooge called me the most open and honest 9 respondent he's every dealt with, straightforward and 10 honest again, he said. I'm not a sanctimonious person so I'm not going to call myself honest. 11 12 That's something for this panel to decide, that's not 13 for me to decide, but I believe I've walked the walk 14 and shown that actions do speak louder than words. I won't share them with you because 15 16 we've gone through it with Ms. Kahn and a little bit 17 with you last time, but do you believe you attempted 18 to take steps to address the bookkeeping errors as 19 soon as they were brought to your attention? Oh, I know we did. First if all, that's 20 Α. what led to the State Bar to dismiss it with 21 22 prejudice of the second claim, because they were 23 claiming that I wasn't -- didn't take remedial measures. I was not reasonable when I did. I wasn't 24 25 reasonable in hiring someone, and that's all gone by

1 | the by.

So there is an implication I think that follows from that, to use a legal term, the collateral estoppel that falls from that, was to give myself, hopefully, the benefit of doubt that I acted in a reasonable way.

I actually did, just to repeat, but I already testified to in this context, but when I learned about it on the morning, 5:00 o'clock in the morning on the 26th of November, I wrote to

Miss Ballard and sent copies to everyone that the deception of, Jim, everything is all right. We've done the work. The moneys are coming in. They're in the account, everything is well. That repeatedly she said to me on those, I don' know, 11, 12, 13 accounts, she missed so much time but she was at least there days 11, 12, 13 days in that 25-day time period that she was there, including all the holidays, I went back and scrutinized everything.

First we got the bills out. Secondly, we rectified the trust account. I had a lengthy meeting with Miss Kahn on the 3rd of December, and I gave her instructions on what needed to be done. And what needs to be done, if you read 1.15, and you know about trust accounts, is they're sacrosanct. I mean,

1 this is why the panel I'm sure felt strained to even 2 find my hitting the wrong button to be a violation, or Jay Nady's, you know, statement in agreement that 3 I could take the \$10,000 was a violation, because 4 they're violations. I don't know about those two, 5 but I'm just -- I understand it. 6 I'm here defending myself as earnestly and 7 8 as forthrightly as I can, recognizing that I share --9 I own the responsibility for what happened, but what I did was completely innocent in the sense that I 10 never had any intention to take money that didn't 11 12 belong to me. I had, respectfully, plenty of money. And I would never harm a client. And I'm scrupulous 13 14 about it. Mr. David asked Ms. Kahn, did you take any 15 16 classes? What did you learn about it? Well, not 17 only did we take classes in January, attended by the 18 whole Bar, I could teach the class about trusts now. 19 You helped me. Mr. Kennedy has helped me. 20 helped myself by doing this study. You know, it's 21 like -- it's like you can't plead to God. You can't 22 be too clean or too white. You always need to do the 23 right thing. That's why I don't fault opposing counsel 24 25 for coming after me, even though I think that would

- 1 | be unfair in their characterization of a cover-up
- 2 | because they know it didn't take place, blah, blah,
- 3 | blah. But I had their job. I know what they're
- 4 doing. And they have to protect the public. But I,
- 5 | you don't need to worry about this, I protect the
- 6 | public. I protect my clients. They're my first duty
- 7 | and obligation.
- 8 Q. Okay. We've heard a little bit about your
- 9 | past credentials as a lawyer. I'm curious, do you do
- 10 | pro bono work?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. Okay. Tell the panel a little bit about the
- 13 | pro bono work that you do.
- 14 A. Every year you go to a huge luncheon at the
- 15 Orleans in December for honoring firms and
- 16 | individuals who do pro bono work. You can opt out.
- 17 You can pay money and save yourself the effort. I've
- 18 | never done that. I have been a recipient of the 50
- 19 | hour, hundred hour, greater hour award for 21 of the
- 20 | last 23 years. I've won virtually every award from
- 21 | the Nevada Supreme Court and the Clark Bar
- 22 | Association shortest one to lowest winner award of
- 23 | pro bono service. I do a great deal of pro bono
- 24 | service. It's a way to give back.
- I also serve, as I mentioned to you, as a

- 1 settlement judge, that's how I know Mr. David, for 2 the Supreme Court for 30 years since I was put on it
- 3 | initially by Cliff Young, later by Ron Parraguirre.
- 4 So I give the time. Doesn't make me virtuous,
- 5 | doesn't make me better, it just means that I have an
- 6 | obligation that I recognize to give back to the
- 7 | public, give back to people who need lawyers because
- 8 | I've been graced with good results through a lot of
- 9 | mine, hopefully with ethics and integrity.
- 10 Q. Okay. Have you lost sleep over all of this?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you feel embarrassed in any way
- 13 | about what happened?
- 14 A. Very. Very.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- A. I want to apologize to the three of you, to
- 17 | all of you. I'll apologize to Mr. Hooge, Mr. Young.
- Q. I'd like to present what are proposed
- 19 | Exhibits V and W that we had listed on our final
- 20 disclosures.
- 21 A. Okay.
- MR. GILMORE: I don't know if the Bar has
- 23 any objection to that.
- MR. HOOGE: What is that exhibit, Josh?
- MR. GILMORE: So Exhibit V is the August 14,

1 2020 letter from the State Bar advising Mr. Jimmerson 2 of the screening panel's recommendation, and then Exhibit W is the proposed letter of reprimand from 3 the screening panel. 4 MR. HOOGE: Yeah, we would certainly object 5 Even mentioning them I think is grounds for 6 7 a mistrial at this point. 8 MR. GILMORE: I disagree. The argument was made last time that the absolute minimum baseline the 9 panel can consider is a suspension, that there's no 10 way to deviate below that. And prior actions from a 11 12 screening panel would certainly contradict argument we heard from Bar Counsel. 13 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: Mr. Gilmore, that strikes 14 me as a legal argument that you're free to make, but 15 as far as factually admitting those into evidence, 16 17 it's not appropriate, so I'm going to -- those 18 exhibits will stay out. 19 MR. GILMORE: Okay. 20 BY MR. GILMORE: Mr. Jimmerson, you talked about a letter of 21 Ο. 2.2 caution. Do you recall that? 2.3 Α. I do. Okay. I've made a representation to the 24 Ο.

panel that is part of a letter of caution. You would

be willing to also have them include reports by you related to your trust account. Would you be willing to do that?

A. Absolutely.

- Q. Okay. And why is that?
- A. Even though I'm separated by miles, I would say to the committee, as I've said I think twice before, you have to assess who you're judging. Does Mr. Jimmerson get it? Is he likely to be back here before us, or is he going to redouble his efforts to avoid that and to make sure nothing like that happens to his firm and that he doesn't have the kind of mistake that happened?

A good question was asked of what happens if Miss Kahn's not here. Well, the answer is err on the side of truth but verify. I'll never ever, ever make a transfer, even though it's done by necessity, without that assurance. You have to measure me as a respondent and you'll make your own decision of the quality of the person before you, whether or not I'm a truthful person, whether or not I'm a person that, you know, will keep his word.

But one way that I can hopefully explain to you, and what I think the State Bar would acknowledge, is my trust account wasn't an issue in

1 the previous 45 years. There wasn't an issue in the 2 last two and a half years. The State Bar had their records shown, as Ms. Watson testified, by April and 3 May of 2020, and didn't bring the complaint until 4 They haven't asked for records after 5 October. March 9th of 2020 to the present day about my trust 6 Why? Because they do know I get it. 7 account. 8 do know that I'm dealing with -- that they're dealing 9 with a responsible individual getting a responsible and quality AV rated law firm who ethically is not 10 sharing, who ethically is committed to doing the 11 12 right thing, who is a leader in the Bar. But more than that it's somebody who really does do what he 13 14 said. 15 So you can destroy my reputation I worked so hard to build with a public reprimand. Of course you 16 17 can destroy it with a suspension or disbarment. But 18 if you accept my testimony and my representations to you as a member of the Bar and officer of the court, 19 20 as you all are, with the exception of Miss Hanson, and she soon will be, and congratulations to her, you 21 22 know that I will not do that.

You're dealing with real life consequences. And you

Mr. Young and Mr. Hooge know I will not be

But I'm not a pelt or a scalp to be won here.

23

24

certainly have these last, you know, six or eight months extracted your pound of flesh, guys. You really have brought me to my knees. But I accept my responsibility. I don't flinch from it, and I will rise again to work with each of you, make you proud of me.

- Q. Mr. Chairman, nothing --
- A. So we would offer any number of years -- I didn't answer your question directly, we offer to the Bar, and I offer to the Bar, you can review my trust account to infinity, but, I mean, a reasonable time would be -- it's been, what, February of 2020, that's the last time that Miss Watson looked at my trust account. She didn't ask for future records. I provide them to you today.

What would be a reasonable time to consider reviewing my trust account, does he still get it? I think you know that I get it. But what? You can fill in the blank. One year? Two years? Three years? You can have an independent auditor. I've offered to pay a CPA to be an independent person. It can be whoever you'd like it to be to save Miss Watson the effort, for your comfort, if you wish, if you think it's necessary.

You know, you can say, Mr. Jimmerson, you

can take ten hours of CLE on trust accounts. 1 I think you know that I get it. And I think you know that I 2 appreciate the seriousness of those three 3 transactions. Look, the mistake to make three 4 transfers that did not have the money that should not 5 have been transferred, I get it. But I believed the 6 money was there or I would never take it, and as 7 8 evidenced by the fact that it hasn't happened before or after. 9 10 And I accept the responsibility. You have 11 truly my career, I hope to complete on an honorable 12 note, in your hands. I thank you very much for your time and for donating your time, as we all do, for 13 14 the public protection. And I'd thank opposing counsel as well. It's been a fight. I want it to be 15 16 a fair fight. And thank you very much. 17 MR. GILMORE: Mr. Chairman, nothing further 18 from me. 19 CHAIRMAN EDWARDS: From the Bar? 20 I'll be brief. MR. HOOGE: Thank you. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MR. HOOGE: Mr. Jimmerson, when did you discover that 23 those three transactions, the 40,000, 45 and 60,000 24 25 didn't have the money, as you put it?

- A. The date for certain, Mr. Hooge -- is this Mr. Hooge who is speaking? I couldn't tell.
 - Q. Yes. Mr. Hooge.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Sorry. December 3rd would be the date that
 I know for certain that the money was not there.
 That's 2019, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And the reason you didn't discover it until December 3rd was because you didn't identify any earned fees when you made those three withdrawals, correct?
 - A. No. I didn't look for the earned fees, as I should have done. That's my mistake, because the fees were earned from the October 20 billings, they had been billed, the second element. The third element that they had been paid, that was my error. They had not been paid. That's the mistake that I made.
 - Q. Well, my question is a little bit different. I'm talking about identifying the earned fees. As we looked at, you had 13 clients identified on one transaction, 10 on another. I'm asking when you made those withdrawals, you had not identified those clients yet; is that correct?
 - A. I knew who the clients were because I'd been working with them, Mr. Hooge, on a daily basis, and I

- had understood that they had paid, both in my
 communications with the clients directly, but as well
 as from the representation of Miss Leah Ballard.
 - Q. Okay. So is that a yes or a no?
 - A. I'm not sure I understand your question when you use the word -- I'm not fencing with you. You know I'm not that kind of guy. When you say identify the clients, no, I didn't identify who had paid and hadn't paid beyond the belief that they had all paid or paid sufficiently to pay the -- to make the transfer. And the reason we know you can count on that is because I'm never advised that the transfers are overstepping the funds in the account.
 - Q. Okay. Let me put it this way: You saw
 Denise Cashman paid \$36,000 at the end of November?
 - A. 27th, sir.
 - Q. For fees that you had invoiced?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. You also saw that you had already collected for those, from the trust account that didn't have the money on November 14th and November 21st. What I'm asking is that was split up into 30,000 and 4,000 -- 31,000 and change and 4,000 and change. Did you identify that 30,000 -- 31,000 on November 14th when you withdrew that specifically for Denise

Cashman or was that after the fact? 1 2 That came after the fact, Mr. Hooge. As you heard Ms. Kahn testify, part of our work on 3 December 3rd was to specifically identify that. Ι 4 5 had believed that all the money had been paid, 6 mistakenly of course. So the amounts themselves, the 40,000, 7 Ο. 8 45,000, 60,000, those flat numbers, round numbers, 9 were not based on specific clients with specific 10 amounts owed? 11 Α. That's not true. That's not true. In fact, when you look at the numbers versus the amounts 12 billed, they're very close, like within a few hundred 13 dollars on some of them, okay. But you're right, I 14 didn't know which clients had paid and didn't pay. 15 16 For that I get to enjoy this process because of that 17 lack of investigation, accepting Miss Ballard's 18 report. 19 Ο. Now, on -- you stated that you found out 20 January 3rd, or, excuse me, December 3rd you said? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 2.2 Of 2019? Q. 23 Α. '19, yes, sir. And when you received a letter from Louise 24 Ο. Watson, the first letter, I believe it was in 25

February?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. March. Dated March 10. March 10, and I got it March 12, 2020.
 - Q. In that letter you didn't disclose any of those three transaction; is that correct?
 - A. No. In that letter I sent you back my current trust account for the last month or two to first put Miss Watson at ease that my trust account balanced to the penny, okay. And then when we responded more fully on April 21, I provided you everything, about 500 pages, which included everything that you needed to know.

Miss Watson didn't have to look at anything in terms of subpoena. I volunteered to provide everything, including an Excel spreadsheet. So I think every transaction was laid out, sir.

- Q. Okay. So let me just go to the document here. This is -- are you seeing the letter?
- A. I am, sir. And can you show me the date?

 Yes, sir, April 21. That's the letter I just

 referenced, sir.
- Q. So that's the letter. Now, in this letter, in the body of the letter, you don't mention any of those three transactions, did you?
- A. No, I didn't. I didn't know that was the