## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Petitioner,

vs,

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE MONICA TRUJILLO, DISTRICT JUDGE Respondent, Electronically Filed Jul 28 2021 04:02 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

CASE NO: 83269

D.C. NO: C-16-319756-1

and

BRANDON ALEXANDER MCGUIRE, Real Party in Interest.

## PETITIONER'S SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Post Office Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 State of Nevada KATHLEEN HAMERS Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar #009049 Clark County Public Defender 309 S. Third Street, #226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-3375

AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar # 007704 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265

Counsel for Petitioner

Counsel for Real Party in Interest

## **INDEX**

Document	<u>Page No</u> .
District Court file stamped Order reflecting it's ruling on McGuire's Strike Witness, filed 7/28/21	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on July 28, 2021. Electronic Service of the foregoing

document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General

KATHLEEN HAMERS Deputy Public Defender

ALEXANDER CHEN Chief Deputy District Attorney

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by electronic emailing a true and correct copy thereof to:

> JUDGE MONICA TRUJILLO Email: <u>ElliottT@clarkcountycourts.us</u>

BY /s/ E. Davis Employee, District Attorney's Office

AC//ed

			Electronically Filed 07/28/2021 3:53 PM	
			CLERK OF THE COURT	
1	ORDR			
2				
3	DISTRICT COURT			
4	CLARK COUNT	IY, NEVADA		
5 6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
7	Plaintiff,			
8	-VS-	CASE NO:	C-16-319756-1	
9	BRANDON ALEXANDER MCGUIRE, #1265445	DEPT NO:	ш	
10	Defendant.			
11				
12 13	WITNESSES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH N.R.S. 174.234 AND MOTION			
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	THE COURT, having reviewed Defendant's Motion to Strike Witnesses for Failure to Comply with NRS 174.234 and Motion to Dismiss, the State's Opposition, Defendant's Reply, the separately filed Exhibits to the Defendant's Motion and considered arguments of counsel on July 26, 2021, hereby GRANTS Defendant's motion in part for the reasons set forth below. The Court notes that this case arises from an Indictment filed December 1, 2016. That Indictment contained no contact information for the named victim who was actually listed as a Jane Doe. The address associated with Evelyn Hicks and Jane Doe was care of the Clark County District Attorney's office. Gina Garcia, the second witness who is the subject of the instant motion, was not listed as a witness in the Indictment. On March 13, 2020, the State filed a Notice of Witnesses and/or Experts. The first witness, E.H., presumably the victim, has an address listed as care of the Clark County District Attorney's office. Gina Garcia was added as a witness on this date, but the address was listed as unknown.			
28				

On March 31, 2020, the State filed a Supplemental Notice of Witnesses listing E.H., again, in care of the Clark County District Attorney's Office. Witness Garcia continued to be listed as address unknown.

On July 2, 2021, the State's Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses listed both E.H. and Evelyn Hicks as address unknown and/or care of the Clark County District Attorney's Office. Witness Garcia was still listed as address unknown.

7 The Court further notes that Defendant previously filed a Motion to Compel the State 8 to Comply with N.R.S. 174.234 which was heard on April 13, 2020 by the Honorable Judge Kephart. This Court reviewed that motion, the opposition as well as the transcript of the 10 proceedings in considering the instant motion. The State, in its Opposition, argued that it had 11 not yet violated NRS 174.234, that it intended to comply with the statute and provide notice 12 to the Defendant not less than five days before trial. Judge Kephart ordered the State to comply 13 with NRS 174.234. At that hearing, the State confirmed that NRS 174.234 would only apply 14 for five days before the new trial date and the Court agreed.

15 During oral argument in the instant matter, the Court asked the State whether it 16 provided the Defense with an alternate way to contact the named victim since it had been 17 unable to secure an address. The State responded that there was no statutory requirement to provide that information. 18

19 According to the State's Opposition to the instant motion, the named victim was not 20 subpoenaed until July 20, 2021, the day after calendar call in this matter during which the State 21 announced ready to proceed to trial. The State represents that it still does not have a complete 22 address for the named victim.

23 The Court FINDS that the State's offer to facilitate a meeting between the Defense and 24 the named victim on the eve of trial in a 2016 case where the Defendant is facing a significant 25 prison sentence is insufficient. The Court also FINDS the offered remedy for the State's failure 26 to comply with the statute is especially insufficient in light of Judge Kephart's previous order 27 for the State to comply with NRS 174.234 and the State's acknowledgement that it would.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

2

1	The Court DENIES Defendent's request to dismiss the Indistment as the State did not		
1	The Court DENIES Defendant's request to dismiss the Indictment as the State did not		
2	indicate it was unable to proceed with trial. The Court also DENIES the State's oral Motion		
3	to Stay the proceedings.		
4	THEREFORE, Defendant's Motion to Strike Witnesses for Failure to Comply with		
5	NRS 174.234 and Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.		
6			
7	Dated this 28th day of July, 2021		
8			
9	Ontry		
10	0		
11	4D9 5E1 FEE8 C0E4		
12	Monica Trujillo District Court Judge		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
-			

1	CSERV		
2	DISTRICT COURT		
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4			
5			
6	State of Nevada	CASE NO: C-16-319756-1	
7	vs	DEPT. NO. Department 3	
8	Brandon Mcguire		
9			
10	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
11	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District		
12	Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:		
13	Service Date: 7/28/2021		
14	Sara Ruano	ruanosg@clarkcountynv.gov	
15			
16	LINDSEY CHIEF DDA	lindsey.moors@clarkcountyda.com	
17	dept lc	DEPT03LC@CLARKCOUNTYCOURTS.US	
18	Kathleen Hamers Deputy Public Defend	der HamersKM@clarkcountyNV.gov	
19	DA Motions	Motions@clarkcountyda.com	
20	DC 3 Law Clerk	Dept03LC@clarkcountycourts.us	
21	Shana Brouwers Deputy Public Defende	er Shana.Brouwers@clarkcountyNV.gov	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
20			

Ш