IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VANESHIA OLIVER, A/K/A VENESHIA LANETTE OLIVER,

Appellant,

vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 83276

FILED

JAN 2 8 2022

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. VOLUME
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion for a second 60-day extension of time to file the opening brief. In support, counsel for appellant cites the same reasons given in support of his previous extension request, as well as his caseload.

When this court granted appellant's prior extension request, this court stated that no further extensions would be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need, see NRAP 31(b)(3)(B), and counsel's caseload normally would not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Counsel does not demonstrate extraordinary and compelling circumstances warranting a second extension of time here. Accordingly, the motion is denied. Appellant shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.



SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

cc: Law Offices of Martin Hart, LLC Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney