1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 VANESHIA OLIVER, 3 Electronically Filed Appellant, 4 75eb 11 2022 08:13 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown 5 VS. Clerk of Supreme Court 6 STATE OF NEVADA 7 Respondent. 8 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME THREE 9 10 MARTIN HART STEVEN WOLFSON 11 Law Offices of Martin Hart, LLC. Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar # 5984 Nevada Bar # 1565 12 526 S. 7th St. 200 Lewis Ave. 13 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212 (702) 380-4278 (702) 671-2500 14 Attorney for Appellant State of Nevada 15 16 **AARON FORD** Nevada Attorney General 17 Nevada Bar# 7704 18 100 North Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701-4717 19 (775) 684-1265 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	AA 1 – AA 18	Indictment
2	AA 19 – AA 36	Amended Indictment
3	AA 37 – AA 57	Superseding Indictment
4	AA 58 – AA 62	Amended Superseding Indictment
5	AA 63 – AA 78	Second Amended Superseding Indictment
6	AA 79 – AA 136	Jury Instructions
7	AA 137 – AA 143	Verdict Form
8	AA 144 – AA 151	Judgment of Conviction
9	AA 152 – AA 153	Notice of Appeal
10	AA 154 – AA 184	Minutes
11	AA 185 – AA 325	Transcript October 21, 2020
12	AA 326 – AA 331	Transcript October 22, 2020
13	AA 332 – AA 418	Transcript October 28, 2020
14	AA 419 – AA 423	Transcript October 29, 2020
15	AA 424 - AA 435	Transcript November 5, 2020
16	AA 436 – AA 445	Transcript November 25, 2020
17	AA 446 – AA 459	Transcript January 7, 2021
18	AA 460 – AA 464	Transcript February 2, 2021
19	AA 465 – AA 650	Transcript April 12, 2021
20	AA 651 – AA 857	Transcript April 13, 2021
21	AA 858 – AA 1111	Transcript April 14, 2021
22	AA 1112-AA 1293	Transcript April 15, 2021
23	AA 1294-AA 1457	Transcript April 16, 2021
24	AA 1458-AA 1469	Transcript April 19, 2021
25	AA 1470-AA 1500	Transcript April 20, 2021
26	AA 1501-AA 1518	Transcript June 15, 2021
27	AA 1519-AA1525	Transcript June 17, 2021
28		

1	AA 1526-AA1534	Transcript June 24, 2021
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
1415		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

social media. You're not to read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case. You're not to do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet or using reference materials. You're not to make any investigation, test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way to investigate or learn about the case on your own. And you're not to form or express an opinion regarding this case until it is submitted to you.

So, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a ten minute break. Take your personal items with you and we'll see you back in ten minutes.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exit of the jury.

(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)

THE COURT: Officers, I think Ms. Clark -- or excuse me, Ms. Oliver, Mr. Clark may need a break. Quick, fast and in a hurry.

Counsel, after we come back from the break, I'm going to have the District Attorneys or one of them explain the nature of the case to the jurors, list the potential witnesses, any relevant dates and locations, and I'll ask the same from Mr. Arnold and Mr. Hart.

MR. ARNOLD: Okay.

MR. HART: Okay. And I noticed like the first one up says he has a religious reason he can't serve (inaudible).

We need to know if you are familiar with either the

attorneys, the -- the allegations contained in this case, or any of the potential witnesses. Some of these witnesses may be friends, neighbors, or co-workers, and we need to know if any of you are, you know, familiar with them.

Next, I will turn to the attorneys in front of me and to my right. They will identify themselves, the client that they represent, and then if they have any relevant dates or locations different than what the State has, they will advise you of those dates and locations. They will also advise you of any potential witnesses that they may call.

So again, please listen carefully to what they have to say.

So, State, please go ahead.

MS. COLE: Good morning, everyone. My name is Madilyn Cole and this is my co-counsel, Chad Lexis. We are Deputy District Attorneys and we represent the State of Nevada.

We are prosecuting this case, the State of Nevada versus Veneshia Oliver and Darrell Clark. And in this case, the defendants are charged with conspiracy to commit larceny, conspiracy to commit burglary, residential burglary, invasion of the home, burglary of a business, theft, fraudulent use of credit or debit card, and attempt fraudulent use of credit or debit card, robbery, coercion, possession of document or personal identifying information, possession of credit or

debit card without cardholder's consent, possession of burglary tools and carrying concealed firearm or other deadly weapon.

Ladies and gentlemen, all of these events took place from July through August 2020 of this past summer, and they stem from a series of hotel room break-ins that happened on the -- on the Strip, specifically, the properties in reference are the Bally's, the Paris, and the Harrah's.

Other businesses and establishments that are involved is the 7-Eleven, the Sbarros Pizza at Bally's, ARCO and Target, all down there by the Strip.

And also, I have a list of names. Not all of these people are going to testify. These are the names that are associated with the case. But please listen carefully to see if you recognize any of these names: Cisco Alemar. Desiree Anathihan. Janeid Anif. Trey Arfuso. Emely Armenta. Officer Arteaga. Dianne Bach. Brooke Bargholtz. Kate Berry. Officer Brackin. Officer Brant-Garcia. Officer Butler. Detective Byrd. Marchmont Cannon. David Carlson. Esther Chae. Officer Clark. Officer Connors. Officer Cruz-Camacho.

A custodian of records from 7-Eleven on 4158 Koval
Lane by the name of Bobby Patel (phonetic). A custodian of
records at American Express. A custodian of records at ARCO
located at 209 E. Flamingo, Las Vegas, Nevada. A custodian of
records for Capital One. A custodian of records for Desert

Cab, specifically, James Methe, and Trey Arfuso.

A custodian of records for Sbarro at 3655 South Las
Vegas Blvd. A custodian of records for Walgreens located at
1180 East Flamingo, Las Vegas, Nevada. A custodian of records
for Letgo. A custodian of records for Caesar's Entertainment,
specifically, Tony Lemons.

A custodian of records for Facebook. A custodian of records for Kabit. A custodian of records for Metro PCS and T-Mobile. A custodian of records for Target located at 4001 South Maryland Parkway. A custodian of records for Visa.

William Dalia. Officer De Souza. Officer Diaz.

Officer Elizalde. Officer Ellis. Rebecca Finger. Officer

Frumkin. Officer Funes. Bertha Gerdeau. Heather

Gouldthorpe. Latoya Gustus. Officer Halm.

Officer Hanshew. Criselda Heather. Jason

Henkelmann. Officer Hoag. Raven Hough. Officer Jacobitz.

Bobby Johnson. Officer Johnson. Devonica (phonetic) Jones.

Elaine Knepp. Gary Krusinski. Michael Lee. Officer Lee.

Tony Lemons. Officer Leung. Officer Lewsader. Armando

Lopez.

Jewell Love. Officer Magana. Officer Manigault.

Detective Mann. Officer Mazzone. Bertha McLane. Marissa

Metro. Duwayne Mills. Officer Montablano. Officer O'Keeffe.

Officer Paine. Johnathon Payne. Officer Perez. Officer

Pierce. Officer Reeder. Dorothy Stewart. William Strench.

Richard Tenhet. Officer Thi. David Travis. Richard 1 2 Vonfeldt. Inga Williams. Patricia Williams. 3 As well as Kathryn Aoyama. Gino Basilotta. 4 Beattie (phonetic). Logan Bodily. Janel Brackin. Donna Brant-Garcia. Trent Byrd. Kimberly Dannenberger. Mallory 5 6 Diehl. James Downing. Shawn Fletcher. Kostaki Fradageikus 7 (phonetic). 8 Chris Gandy (phonetic). Kellie Gauthier. Heather 9 Gouldthorpe. Samantha Halm. David Johnson. Craig King. 10 Anya Lester. Christian Lopez. Linda Manigault. David Mann. Jamie Nordstrom (phonetic). Tabatha Paine. Allison Rubino. 11 And Kevin Skeehan. 12 13 All right. Thank you, counsel. 14 Is anyone familiar with our two District Attorneys 15 here, please raise your hand. 16 No hands being raised. Anyone familiar with the -- the alleged incidents 17 18 that are involved in this case, please raise your hand. 19 No hands being raised. 20 Anyone -- oh, we do have a hand here. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I heard about it in the 22 news. 23 THE COURT: Hang on, sir. We're going to --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Sorry.

THE COURT: We need you to come up to the

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Hart.

Anyone familiar with Mr. Hart or Ms. Oliver, or the

24

potential witness that Mr. Hart identified? Please raise your hand.

All right. No hands being raised. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

Ladies and gentlemen, through this process, either my questions or some of the questions that the attorneys may ask you are going to look to see if you have any bias or prejudice in this case.

The reality is, we all have bias and prejudices. We all have different backgrounds, different family values, obviously, different parents, so we all have those types of bias and prejudices.

An example I always give in a criminal case is regarding a civil case, because I don't want to talk about other criminal cases.

In civil cases, sometimes people think that there's too many lawsuits being filed. I'm not saying that's right or wrong or whatever, but some people have that opinion. So that might be a bias and prejudice that that person would have.

And we had someone in a civil case that I conducted and they had that bias and prejudice.

And after going through the questioning process, they understood and they agreed that although they have that belief, that perhaps too many lawsuits are being filed, they did admit, after thinking about it, that that doesn't mean

that some cases are not justified. That some cases should not be brought to the court system.

And after going through the process, they understood and they were -- they said that they would be able to put aside that bias and prejudice and base whatever decision they may make on the specific facts of our case. And they did, they said that, and lo and behold they were selected and they did serve.

And so when you talk about bias and prejudice, it can be all areas, you know, of you know, the case or -- or of our, you know, community at this point; okay? The bottom line is, can you put those aside and be fair to both sides. That's what we're looking at.

Since this is a criminal case, the -- our two prosecutors here have the burden of proving the charges. What counsel just stated as far as locations and witnesses and the type of charges, those are allegations. Allegations are not evidence. Allegations do not prove anything.

Evidence in any case comes from the witness stand. They will call witnesses. They will be sworn under oath. They will testify. The defense attorneys may cross-examine them. And it's up for the jury to decide whether or not the State has proved the case beyond a reasonable doubt.

The defense has absolutely no burden to call any witnesses, or to present any evidence. The reason for that

rule is, the first rule, the State, the prosecutors, bear the burden of proving the case beyond a reasonable doubt. If they fail to do that, then if you are selected as a juror, it'd be your duty to vote not guilty if they fail to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. If they do prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt, then you would vote accordingly; okay?

Does anybody have any trouble, any problem with that concept of our judicial system, that the State must prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt? Any hands?

All right. It appears that no hands are being raised.

Also ladies and gentlemen, at the end of the trial, whether it's a civil or criminal case, the Judge will read to you the jury instructions. Jury instructions are the laws that apply to a particular case. And I assure you that the jury instructions that I read to you are, in fact, the laws that will apply to this case. The laws of the State of Nevada, the United States of America, our statutory laws, if any, relevant -- if any, if they are relevant to this case, I will give those to you.

And if you are selected as a juror, it will be your duty to apply the facts as you find them to the laws that I give you of the case and then make your decision; okay?

Anyone have any problem with that concept, of following the law and applying the law to the facts of the

case? Please raise your hand.

All right. No hands are being raised.

Now, similar to what I just mentioned, like I said, I will give you the law that applies to this particular case, and it is your duty to follow the law. Now, you may disagree with a particular law. But if you are a juror, you still have to follow the law in this case.

For example, we may say, well, you know, this particular road should have a speed limit of 45 miles an hour even though it says 35, and you might think, well, I don't have to drive 35 because it's an industrial area, and why can't I drive 45. And maybe you drive down that street and you're driving 45 miles an hour. You're not following the law.

But in this case, if you are a juror, you do follow -- you need to follow the law that I give you. We don't give you the law in advance of the case because we haven't heard any evidence. Remember, the allegation -- all we have is allegations. Evidence comes from the witness stand, individuals called to testify, and any exhibits admitted into evidence. That's the evidence in the case that you consider.

And so unfortunately, like I said, I can't tell you all the facts of the case. I don't know them either. I haven't heard a single witness in this case. You haven't either. But even with that understanding, is there anyone

here who feels that they could not follow the law that I give you in this case? Any hands being raised?

There are no hands being raised in this matter.

All right. Thank you.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, there are some individuals that talked about some potential hardships. We had some about the vaccine and some other issues, and I asked those individuals to, you know, see if they could speak with the appropriate people during the break, and we'll get to those individuals in due course.

Everyone should have on your -- on your chair when you came in a sheet of questions here. All right? And what we're going to do is, we're just going to go down the list.

Number one is Mr. Anthony Wiggs, 004. And, sir, if you can approach the microphone and just go down the list. You can either call it off by number, or like for example, number one says, how long have you lived in Clark County. So you can just say, you know, you've lived here "x" number of years. Then just go down to number two, number three, etcetera.

Now, at certain times during times during your answers, sir, I may ask some follow-up question for you; okay? And so, please -- please bear that in mind for all of you others. Please look at your questions there and study those and we can get through this process a lot quicker.

Now, after we go through this sheet of paper, we're going to ask each and every one of you to answer these questions. I'm going to open up the questioning to the attorneys. They may ask you some general questions about serving as a jury, and then they may follow up with perhaps some specific questions in relationship to the answers you've given; okay?

So please listen carefully.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so, Mr. Wiggs, at 004, if you can go down that list please, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I've lived in Clark County for two-and-a-half years. I have an Associates Degree in Nursing. I'm a Registered Nurse. I'm about to get married in four months.

THE COURT: What does your fiancé do for a living, 16 sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: She's an administrator at an elementary school.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I have two children, 18 and 21. And they are both cocktail waitresses.

Number 6 is yes. A, yes. B, sometimes. C, depends on the issue.

THE COURT: Okay. And what type of crime were you a victim of, sir?

20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yeah, I don't feel like

21 I -- I feel like it would be difficult for me to sit as a

22 juror.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

THE COURT: And why is that, sir?

24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I don't want to put

25 anybody in prison because I don't know their -- their

background or their social history.

THE COURT: Okay. First off, and that's a -- I appreciate that comment, sir.

Ladies and gentlemen, I will advise you, and this will also be a jury instruction in this case, that during your determination is strictly for whether or not the State has proved the case beyond a reasonable doubt. That is your job.

Only, and only if the defendants are found guilty of any other charges, is there a sentencing, and that is strictly up to the Court, myself. Your sole job is to make a determination as to whether or not the State has proved this case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand that, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yeah. And I think that would still make it difficult for me.

THE COURT: Okay. Would it be -- would it make it impossible for you to be fair in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: It would make it impossible.

THE COURT: All right. Continue on, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Number 10, I can't be fair and impartial to both sides because of life experiences I've had.

THE COURT: Have you had any situation with the lady and gentleman --

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: THE COURT: -- accused of the crime here? 2 3 So I'm just trying to understand, how would the 4 allegations against them, that have nothing to do with you, 5 impact your ability to be fair? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I think it's the system 6 7 overall in general. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Every single case, sir? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Is that right? Okay. 11 Continue on, sir. So I think that's all of it. Is that correct? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I think that's all of 14 them. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 16 All right. Next up is Edith Fernandez, 029. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Okay. Edith Fernandez, 17 029. I've lived in Clark County off and on for about 40 18 19 years. I have a Ph.D. in higher education student development. I am employed. I'm a vice president at Nevada 20 21 State College. I have a significant other who is an English 22 professor at the College of Southern Nevada. 23 I have two children, age 16 and 14. I have -- I 24 have been a victim of crime, but in Mexico, not in the United 25 States. And I have a close co-worker, my assistant, who was a victim of a crime, sexual assault. The crime in Mexico was reported. The sexual assault of my co-worker was reported. That went to trial. My feelings about the criminal justice system and that trial was mixed.

And I've been accused of stealing when I was a teenager, about five times throughout my life. Nothing that was reported to police. I never have served as a juror. I have not heard anything about this trial. And in my opinion, yes, I can base my verdict solely on the evidence presented. And I do believe I can be fair and impartial to both sides.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Ms. Fernandez.

Ms. Charlene Benon, 030.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I've lived in Clark

County since 1998. I went to UNLV and got my degree in

criminal justice. I currently conduct background checks for

employment. My significant other is the assistant director of

a medical dispensary, medical marijuana dispensary.

I have two children. My son is 21. He is a driver. My daughter is 18 and she's a college student.

I don't have anyone close to me that's been the victim of a crime. My brother has been accused of multiple crimes. He's been in and out of prison since he was about 18.

THE COURT: Is that -- is he --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Property crimes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: California.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: The situation was handled justly. I've never served on a jury before. Nothing I've heard about the trial. And yes, I can definitely come up with a verdict based on the evidence. And I can be fair and impartial.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Thank you.

THE COURT: Jose Esparza, 033.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Good morning. My name is Jose Esparza. 033 is my badge number. Been in Clark County for 29 years. I graduated from MLX (phonetic) as an aviation mechanic. Currently employed as a server in a restaurant. No significant other. No children. Never been a victim of a crime, known anyone been accused of a crime.

Have not served as a juror. Have not heard anything about the trial as well. And I can base my verdict solely on the evidence presented during the trial. And I can be fair and impartial to both sides.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Thank you.

THE COURT: David Acevez, 035.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Hello. My name is David Acevez. My badge number is 0035. I graduated from high school. I lived in Clark County for 16, about 16 years. I'm

I have had somebody close to me the victim of a

crime. It was reported. The person was caught. I do feel that it was handled satisfactorily.

THE COURT: And ma'am, what type of crime was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: My first husband was a police officer killed in the line of duty by a drunk driver.

THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in Nevada?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No, California.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No, have not been accused or anybody accused of a crime that I know.

I have never served as a juror. And I have not heard about the trial. And I believe I could make a fair opinion and a verdict. I can be impartial to both sides.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Patricia Andrade, 051.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Good morning. I'm Patricia Andrade, 051.

I've lived here for 40 years. I am a licensed nail technician. Also, I have two jobs. I recently got a second job, because I'm the sole provider now for my family since I'm recently divorced. I do have two children and one adopted, 25, 30 and 21. I have been a victim of a crime.

THE COURT: Oh, ma'am, the -- your adult children, are they employed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yes.

```
1
              THE COURT: And what type of work do they do?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Two of them right now
 3
    are off due to COVID. So they're on unemployment. And my
 4
    other one, he works with his father.
              THE COURT: And what's that?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Construction.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yes.
 8
                                                Handyman.
 9
              I have been a victim of identity theft. Also, purse
    snatching and car theft.
10
11
              THE COURT: And how long ago were those situations?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Like five years ago.
              THE COURT: And was that here in Nevada?
13
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yes.
15
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                 Thank you.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: I am going through a
    case with my mom right now for -- she was also a victim of
17
18
    theft from -- it was a big case. We did win. So but it's
19
    still going through the process right now.
20
              THE COURT: Was that a civil case you're talking
21
    about?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051:
                                         Yeah.
23
              THE COURT: Is that a yes?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yes.
25
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
```

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Um --THE COURT: And, ma'am, on your situations, I don't 2 3 know if I missed this, it says, was the individual caught who 4 committed those crimes; do you know? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: No, they weren't caught. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: No. Sorry. 8 I've never been a juror. Number 9, no. Ten, yes. 9 Eleven, yes. 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Marisol Martin, 054. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Good morning. 12 13 THE COURT: Good morning. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Marisol Martin, 054. 15 I've lived in Clark County for four years. I have a bachelors in electrical engineering and physics. I am a senior analyst 16 17 for a mid-size engineering firm here in Vegas. I am married 18 to a flight test engineer who also works at the same 19 engineering firm. 20 I do not have any children. I've had my truck 21 vandalized in Baltimore, and a couple of property stolen here 22 That's last year. And my husband has had his car in Vegas. 23 vandalized and broken into here in Vegas about three years 24 ago. I don't know if the people were ever caught. I was not

informed. But we always reported it. And so I don't have any

THE COURT: Okay. Are they patrol officers or are they Detectives --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.

THE COURT: Patrol officers? Okay. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Six, is no. Seven, is

no. I have never served as a juror. I haven't heard anything about the trial. And yes, and yes, on the last questions.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

Debrorah Smith, 058.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: My name is Deborah

Smith. I've lived in Clark County for 22-and-a-half years. I
have some college, no degree. I am an -- I am employed at a
bank. And I am an executive administrator there. I'm not
married. I have one daughter who's 41. She is a UX

(phonetic) Designer in technology for an advertising agency.

I have been victim of a crime, and close to someone who has been. I -- our houses were -- both my brother and I, our houses have been burglarized in Oregon and here.

THE COURT: Okay. How long ago were those situations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: The one here, for myself, our home was about 12 years ago. There was no one caught. And the situation was just dropped, I guess. No one I know has been accused of a crime. I've gotten to this level as a juror, but that was dismissed, here in Clark County.

one of those. I've never been a juror before. And 9, 10 and

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 094: Oh, accused of a crime. Oh, no. On 8, I've never served as a juror. Never 2 3 heard about this trial before. Ten, yes. And 11, yes. 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, sir. Candace, was it Krubsack? Or Krubsack? 5 I'm sorry. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: It's okay. 6 Candace Krubsack, 099. 7 THE COURT: And ma'am, I think we had spoke to you 8 9 about perhaps your second -- I think it was your second shot 10 that you need. And like I said, I'm not going to get involved 11 in any medical decisions, and that's why I think you and a 12 couple other people had that issue. And that's why I asked if the -- you and the other 13 14 individuals would contact the facility and see what the time 15 frame was and see if you could reschedule. But like I said, I am -- don't want to do anything that would impact your health. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: So they recommend that I 17 18 still get it tomorrow, but they said I could come in as early 19 as 8:30 or as late at 6:00. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: So they said as long as 22 I'm on the schedule tomorrow, that I could come before or 23 after --24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: -- jury duty and it

should be fine.

THE COURT: All right. And like I said, if you are selected as a juror, we will, I guarantee you, we will stop either right at 5:00 or before 5:00.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay? Well, thank you, ma'am. And I appreciate you calling.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: Perfect.

So I've lived in Clark County for six-and-a-half years. I'm currently in school getting my Bachelors in Business Administration in HR Management. I'm employed parttime at a cheer gym. I'm married. My husband works. He's a government contractor at Nevada Test Site.

I have two children, 16 and 21. My 21-year-old works for T-Mobile. I do have people close to me that have been a victim of a crime. The only one in Nevada was an assault, and it's actually in court now.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: So the person was caught. But they haven't resolved anything yet.

THE COURT: Okay. When you -- is it set for trial or do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: It's the pretrial is actually today.

THE COURT: Okay. Were you a witness to that

1 situation? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: No. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And do you think that that 4 situation would have any impact on your ability to be fair in 5 this case if you were selected? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: I don't. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: They knew the person 8 9 that assaulted them, so it's a little different. 10 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: No, nobody close to me 11 12 has been accused of a crime. I've never served as a juror 13 There's nothing I've heard about the trial that makes 14 me feel I wouldn't be able to sit as a juror. I do feel yes 15 to 10 and 11. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Is it Jeannette, is it Maass? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes. 18 19 THE COURT: And that's 101. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Jeannette Maass, 0101. 21 I've lived in Clark County for 20 years. I have a 22 bachelors degree in electronic engineering. I am employed. 23 work for Morgan & Morgan Law Firm as an intake specialist. do have a significant other that is retired. I do not have 24

any children. You know, I had my car broken in years ago here

auditor, as well as secretary to the owner of the company. I also am a senior tax advisor.

I am not married. I have no children. I have been a victim of a crime and counting five times.

THE COURT: Okay. What type of crimes, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: My -- the first one, it

-- I don't know what you would label it. The guy called me on
the phone saying he was going to come over and shoot me if I
hung up the phone. The second one, I had a burglary in my
home. I had -- I've had two cars stolen, and I've had one car
broken into where my radio was taken, but I didn't bother
reporting that because --

THE COURT: Ma'am, were all those situations here in Nevada?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: All of them were here in Nevada. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And about how long can you tell us how long -- how long ago those situations occurred?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: One was, I believe, the first one was before 1996. They did catch the gentleman. I did testify in court twice. I was asked to testify a third time but I guess they made a deal because they never called me back for that.

The house burglary, I reported it. They were never

caught. I did get one of my items back. One of the cars that was stolen was totally stripped from the front firewall all the way to the front. The second car that was stolen was recovered, but no -- no criminals were caught in either of those cases. And the window that was shattered on my car where they stole the radio, I didn't bother reporting that because the radio wasn't even worth 50 bucks. It cost me more to replace the window than the radio.

Yes, I have someone who was a victim of a crime. My aunt. Her brother was bludgeoned to death as a security guard in Arizona. My criminal -- the first criminal case that I testified in was handled very well. Other than they failed to notify me when he was released. The second time I testified they had brought him back into the jail system for another crime. That's why had to testify a second time.

I've never been a juror. I've not heard about this trial. And I can do the verdict solely on the evidence presented to me. And yes, I can be fair and impartial in the trial.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Um-h'm.

THE COURT: Ruth Phillips, 126.

And Ms. Phillips, I believe you were one of the other individuals, we've had a couple here, about the second COVID shot. Were you able to contact the facility and see

about either rescheduling or the time frame that you can get your second shot on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: It was at UNLV. I just e-mailed them and they said they would contact me about trying to work with me. So I'm hoping that that will work out. But I don't have any answer yet.

THE COURT: Okay. Maybe they'll -- because we're going to take the lunch break around the noon hour. Hopefully, you'll have some word back at that time.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Okay.

THE COURT: We'll follow up with you. Thank you, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Sounds great.

So Ruth Phillips, 126. I've lived in Clark County for 32 years. I have a Masters in Public Administration. And yes, I'm employed at an investment management and advisory firm. My husband also works there. And he's the president of the company. I have three children. They're 32, 30 and 25.

The 32 year old is in financial services industry. The twenty -- the 30 year old is in medical residency. And the 25 year old is an accountant.

I have yes, we've -- I've had our struck stolen about ten years ago here in Clark County. It was recovered and no one was caught. Also, we had a car broken into probably about 25 years ago and we didn't report that.

1 I have close family members that have been victims 2 of sexual crimes. One was raped, another was sexually abused 3 by a parent. 4 THE COURT: And how long ago were those situations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Quite awhile ago. 5 THE COURT: I mean, five, ten years --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: 7 The -- the --THE COURT: -- 20 years? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: The rape was probably ten years, and the parent sexual abuse was 30. 10 11 THE COURT: Were those situations here in Nevada? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: No. Both out of state. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: No, we haven't been 15 accused of any crime in our family. I have not been a juror 16 before. Probably one thing I should mention in regard to this trial is Metro is one of our investment advisor clients. 17 18 don't have any contact with them directly. But and also my 19 dad is a retired California Highway Patrol Officer and my 20 nephew did work for Metro as an officer, not currently. 21 And yes, I think I can be impartial and base my 22 opinion on the evidence presented. 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 24 Wayne Bennett, 129? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: Hi. My name is Wayne

Bennett, 129. I've lived in Clark County for 17 years. I
have two masters degrees, both education related, one math
education, one educational administration.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

I'm employed. I work for the CCSD. I'm divorced. I have two children, 16 and 12. My car was broken into 10 years ago in California, did not report it. I've never been accused of a crime. I've never served on a jury. I have no prior knowledge of this case. And yes, to 10 and 11.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

- Renae Decesare. I apologize if I misprounced that.
 That's 134.
- 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Renae Decesare, 134.
 - I've lived in Clark County 20 years. I have an associate degree in nursing. I am employed. I'm married. My significant other is currently unemployed. My children are 19 and 22. They both are in school. No one has ever -- near me has ever been -- no to 6 and no to 7.
 - I served on a jury. It was a criminal trial. A verdict was reached and I was not the foreman.
- THE COURT: And was that trial here in Clark County?
- 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Yes.
- THE COURT: Okay. And how long ago was that?
- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Somewhere between 2 and 5 years.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: I've heard nothing about this trial. I think I can be -- yes to 10, and yes to 11.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Taylor Riebel, 140 -- 154.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: Hello. Yes. My name is Taylor Riebel, No. 0154. I've lived here in Clark County for five years now. I'm currently in my last semester of college in UNLV as hospitality with a concentration in golf management.

Currently employed as a head golf professional at a golf course here in the -- in the City. And no significant other. No children. I have not had anybody close to me a victim of a crime, or me. I have not have been accused of a crime. No to 8. And have not heard anything from this trial. 10 and 11, yes.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

Ronald West, 158.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Hi. Ronald West, 158.

I've lived in Clark County for 29 years. I have a master

degree in educational leadership. I work for the -- a defense

contractor as a front line supervisor. I am married. My wife

is a casino dealer. She works at the Venetian.

I have one child, he's 23. He's autistic, so he stays at home. And my wife has recently been a victim of identity fraud, an unemployment claim was filed against her.

1	Seven, eight, nine is no. And 10 and 11 is yes.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
3	Lourdes Bortles, or Bortles, 192.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: My name is Lourdes
5	Bortles, Number 192.
6	I live in Clark County for 16-and-a-half years. I
7	have a bachelors degree in business administration, major in
8	accounting. I am currently assistant controller for a
9	property management company.
10	I am divorced, no children. My company, a former
11	employee went to trial for fraud, embezzlement, theft.
12	THE COURT: Was that here in Nevada, ma'am?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And how long ago was that
15	situation?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: 2019, 2020.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: My ex-husband was
19	recently a victim of fraud online, on Offer Up (phonetic).
20	I haven't been a juror before.
21	THE COURT: Oh, ma'am, let me go back. Was any
22	individual arrested in those situations?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: It for the for my
24	ex-husband? It was a we were told to report it on FBI.
25	THE COURT: All right. How about the other

THE COURT: And how long ago was that situation,

24

25

well, I thought.

1 ma'am?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Like four years ago.

THE COURT: Was that here in Nevada?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yeah.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: And then he had a second one stolen that was not recovered.

Yes, for number 7. I had a close family member with a DUI. Two DUI's and I thought it was handled right. The consequences were fair.

I've never served as a juror before. I've not heard about this case or -- so that would be a no on 9. Yes, I can base my verdict solely on the evidence, and yes, I can be fair and impartial to both sides.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.

Kyle McCall, 197.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 197: My name is Kyle McCall, Number 197. I was born and raised in Clark County, so I've lived here for over 20 years. I'm currently attending school to become an emergency medical technician.

I am employed. I'm a licensed health insurance agent at a contact center. I'm not married. I do not have any children. No to 6 and 7. I have never been a juror before. No to 9, and yes to 10 and 11.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

_	_		
l I	Debra	Yamagata-Toma,	207.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: Debra Yamagata-Toma,
Number 207.

I have lived -- lived in Clark County for 22 years. Some college. I dropped out. I went to court reporting school, but I got married and moved back to Hawaii so I did not complete that course.

I am self-employed. I have two children, 38 and 40. One's a bookkeeper. The other one's a lawyer. My father has been a victim of a crime. He was robbed at home with a deadly weapon. That person was never caught.

THE COURT: And how long ago was that situation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: Oh, about 2000, 2001

THE COURT: All right. And I'm sorry, was that here in Clark County?

16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

I've never served as a juror before. And I've never heard anything about this case.

And yes to number 10 and 11.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ronald McCullers, 208.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 208: Ron McCullers, Badge 0208. I've lived in Clark County about 20 years. I have an

associates degree in engineering. I was in the Marine Corp for 24 years, teached engineering.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

I'm a armed security guard here in Clark County.

Been doing that for 20 years. I'm married. My wife does ecommerce or e-Bay and Amazon for home business.

I have one child 17 years old. High school. No, number 6. No, number 7. Yes, I do feel the criminal justice system is good here. Yes, I've served the jury here before.

THE COURT: And how long ago was that, $\operatorname{\text{\rm sir}}$

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 208: In 2011.

THE COURT: And was that a civil or criminal case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 208: It was a civil case,

lawsuit. The standing verdict was they were not guilty for that case.

THE COURT: I mean, was that no liability?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 208: Yes.

THE COURT: Because -- okay, because civil is usually liability. Okay, thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 208: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And were you the foreperson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 208: Excuse me?

THE COURT: Were you the foreperson of that -- in that trial, the jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 208: No. Yeah, I was just one of the jurors.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 208: No, I haven't heard anything about this case. And no, I don't think it's -- it's going to be difficult to be a juror. Yes, I -- I'd say yes, I am fair and impartial, I can be.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 208: You're welcome.

THE COURT: Is it J. Shelby, 217?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 217: Janna (phonetic) Shelby, 0217. Number 1, one-and-a-half years I've lived in Clark County. School, I went to -- a couple years of junior college with a certificate in early childhood education. I am not currently employed. I am an officer manager for -- or I used to work for an office manager as an office manager in the medical field.

I am married. My spouse is a retired contractor with Home Depot. I have two children, ages 38 and 33. One of them is an office manager in the medical field. The other teaches fitness to children on the spectrum.

I've had a couple friends that have been victims of crime. One was credit card fraud that they did not do anything with. One was, purse was snatched and she got the purse back from the person that stole it. And my daughter's car was broken into. They were all reported, but except for the credit card fraud.

1	THE COURT: And ma'am, how long ago were those
2	situations?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 217: About 15 years ago.
4	THE COURT: Was that here in Nevada?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 217: California.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 217: And then number 7, my
8	son was sitting in a car on a break from work and next to his
9	employment was a bank. The bank was robbed and the police
LO	thought he was the or he was the robber. And he got that
L1	straightened out right away.
L2	And then number 8, I've been yes, I've been on a
L3	jury. The crime was a DUI. There was no verdict reached. I
L4	was not the foreperson.
L5	THE COURT: And how long ago was that jury service?
L6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 217: At least ten years ago.
L7	THE COURT: Was that here in Nevada?
L8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 217: California.
L9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 217: Number 9, no. Ten, yes.
21	Eleven, yes.
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23	Eduardo Nuno, 218.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: 218, Eduardo Nuno.
25	I've lived in Clark County for the last two-and-a-

1	half years. Attended school. Got a degree, two degrees, one
2	in AA in general military studies and one BS in marketing.
3	Currently employed. And I am considered a agent
4	cashier for the VA. I did retire from the military.
5	Currently married for 19 years. We have my wife is a
6	homemaker. My son is and daughter are both in high school
7	One is 18, one is 14.
8	Has anybody been close yes, the crimes were
9	reported. I had two of mine were out of state. And or
10	mother-in-law was here in Nevada, in October.
11	THE COURT: How about the situations involving
12	yourself, sir. Were they here in Nevada?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: No, they were out of
14	state.
15	THE COURT: And how long ago were those situations?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: One was in 2005, the
17	other one in 2001.
18	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: And then we have my
20	brother-in-law was accused of domestic violence.
21	THE COURT: And how long ago was that, sir?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: And that one in
23	November.
24	THE COURT: And was that here in Nevada?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
2	And do you think his situation is this is his
3	situation still ongoing, sir?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: No, I think it was
5	already completed. They I don't know what exactly what
6	they did. But they went ahead and took care of it, 12 hours
7	of confinement. That's all I know.
8	I was a juror in a in California. I was
9	considered an alternate juror.
10	THE COURT: And how long ago was that service, sir?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: And that was in 2008.
12	THE COURT: Was that civil or criminal?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: That was criminal.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 218: I've never heard of this
16	case. And yes, and yes.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
18	Nancy Tennant, 229?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 229: Thank you.
20	Hello, I'm Nancy Tennant, 229. And we it'll be
21	three years in May since we moved here from Wyoming. I had a
22	Bachelor of Science degree in education. I taught for 33
23	years and I'm a retired teacher. I'm married. My husband is
24	a retired high school teacher.

And I have two sons, 32 and 30, both who are

disclose that I've been in banking all my career. Recently,

in risk, the risk area of the banking, which deals with loan losses, credit card fraud, things like that. Wrote policies and procedures for the bank. I was a vice president. Just -- just to let you know.

My wife also works for a financial institution.

THE COURT: And sir, as you know, as from one of the District Attorneys mentioned the nature of one of the charges is fraudulent use of a credit or debit card. The fact that you've worked -- you've worked in the banking environment --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 244: Um-h'm.

THE COURT: -- do you think that would prevent you from being fair in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 244: Yeah. Too, I was going to say that I could -- I could probably say yes to 10.

Eleven, truthfully, I'm not sure if I could honestly, truly be fair and impartial based on my work that I did.

THE COURT: All right. The attorneys may have some follow-up questions for you. But thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 244: Okay. No children. I have been a victim myself of credit card fraud. My wife, as well. That was, I think, about five years ago.

THE COURT: Was that in Hawaii, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 244: That is in, no, California.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 244: And then some close relatives were also victims of crime, but assault. And that was in California.

THE COURT: And how long ago were those situations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 244: Some were very long time ago. Some were actually recent.

THE COURT: Like how recent?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 244: Maybe two or three years ago. No one that I -- I haven't been accused of a crime and no one I know has been accused of a crime.

Never served as a juror. And not heard of anything about this case. And then, I believe, 10, as I said, yes, I think I could be -- I could -- you know, yes to 10, and I'm not sure about 11.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

William Cichoski, 251.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: William Cichoski, Badge 251. I've lived in Clark County for 33 years with a few years off for school out of state. I didn't end up graduating, but I went for like three-and-a-half years.

I'm employed. I do billing department for a construction company. No kids. Someone tried to steal my car, but the battery was dead so they just vandalized it. It was reported, but nothing happened.

Then I was accused of illegal consumption when I was

- 18. And then we did a probation and it was dismissed.
- I've never served as a juror, and no to 9, and yes to 10 and 11.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
- 5 Angela Almaraz, 253.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Thank you.
 - Hello. Angela Almaraz, No. 253. I've lived in Clark County for about 31 years. I do have a bachelors degree, hotel administration. I work for Clark County Risk Management. I do clerical work for, I'd say, insurance FMLA for Clark County employees.
 - I am married. My husband is in construction. I do not have any children. I guess I've had -- somebody tried to steal my credit card. That was about a year ago. My mom did have somebody steal her unemployment card a few months ago.

 They about -- over \$5,000 from her.
 - Similarly, my mom was accused of stealing from her job and let go. I don't know how far it went. I don't know the exact specifics of it, but I do believe because English is her second language, it might have been a little bit unfair on her part.
- I have not served as a juror before.
- THE COURT: And ma'am, let me -- let's go back to number 6.
- 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Um-h'm.

1 THE COURT: You had said that yourself, and I 2 believe you said your mother have been victims of someone trying to or someone stole the credit card. 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Um-h'm. THE COURT: How long ago were those situations? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Mine was about a year 6 7 ago and hers was a few months ago. 8 THE COURT: And were both those here in Nevada? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And as you heard, there's an 11 allegation -- I'm always going to emphasize that -- an 12 allegation of fraudulent use of a credit or debit card in this 13 particular case. The fact that that's one of the identified 14 charges, would that have any impact on your ability to be fair 15 in this case? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, ma'am. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I have not served as a 18 19 juror. I don't know anything about this trial. And I believe 20 I can be fair for 10 and 11. 21 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Um-h'm. 23 THE COURT: All right. Kenly Bansal, 265? 24 Ladies and gentlemen, I think we have the cleanest

microphone in the world here, so.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 265: Good morning, Kenly
Bansal, 265. I've lived in Clark County about four-and-a-half
years. I have a master degree in social work. I currently
work for the VA. I am married. My husband is a physician
with the VA. We have two children, one-and-a-half and threeand-a-half. No, to 6. No, to 7. No, to 8. No, to 9. And
yes to 10 and 11.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Stacey Giannantonio. I apologize if I mispronounced that. That's 285.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 285: No, you're correct.

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 285: Stacey Giannantonio,

Number 285.

I've lived in Clark County for 47 years. I have a masters degree in special education. I am a teacher in the Clark County School District. I'm married. My husband is an intake specialist at a dispensary. I have two children, ages 16 and 14. No, to number 6 and 7. No, to 8. No, to 9. And yes to 10 and 11.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Marie Sinclair, 294.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 294: I'm Marie Sinclair, 294.

I've been in Clark County three years. I have a bachelor's degree in computer science. I am retired. I was

THE COURT: Now, sir, I think -- all right.

you. And I believe you were one of the other individuals that 1 2 were waiting for your second COVID shot. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 297: Correct. 3 4 THE COURT: Were you able to contact the facility? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 297: No, I have not been able 6 to talk to anybody. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Perhaps try during the lunch hour 8 which --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 297: Sure. 10 THE COURT: -- we're going to be taking a break --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 297: Okav. 12 THE COURT: -- shortly. Go ahead, sir. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 297: But anyways, yes, I've 14 lived in Clark County around 23 years. I have some college. 15 I'm employed as a concierge at a Strip -- Strip hotel. Let's 16 see, my wife is retired. We have no children. 17 I guess, a couple -- I guess when I was a young 18 teenager, like still in high school, I was at a bus stop and 19 some kids surrounded me and took my watch and, you know, my --20 you know, my money I had. And a few years later when I was in 21 my 20s my best friend was stabbed with a bayonet back in 22 Michigan, 22 -- 22 times. And then before I knew my wife, I guess she was at 23 24 the -- before the -- they built a -- MGM -- I mean, Mandalay

Place Mall. It was just a walkway. And she walking between

```
the Luxor and Mandalay Bay and she was mugged. That was my
1
    wife. Before -- and before --
 2
              THE COURT: Sir, I'm sorry. How long ago was that,
 3
 4
    sir?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 297: Probably like 25 years
 6
    ago or so, you know, give or take, you know, between 20 --
 7
   yeah, before they built the mall. It was just a hotel -- two
 8
   hotels.
 9
              THE COURT: And was the person ever caught, sir?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 297: To my knowledge, no.
11
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 297: Let me see, I've never
12
13
   been accused of a crime. I've never been on a jury. Let me
14
    see, I've never heard of this case and I guess, yes and yes.
15
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
16
              Karina Ponce, 306.
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 306: Badge 306, Karina Ponce.
18
              I have lived in Clark County for 27 years.
                                                          I've got
19
    a high school diploma. I work at the front desk for a hotel
20
    on the Strip. I'm married. I have two children, a four-year
21
    old and an eight-month old. And I do know somebody that has
22
   been a victim of a crime. That was my uncle. His son stole
   his car. He was --
23
24
              THE COURT:
                         How long --
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 306: -- caught.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: How long ago was that, ma'am?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 306: A year ago.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Here in Nevada?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 306: Yes.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 306: He served a couple
 6
 7
    months, so that was that. And then, my cousin was accused of
 8
             Only served a couple months, once again.
    a crime.
 9
              I have never been a juror. I haven't heard anything
10
    about this trial. Yes, to 10 and 11.
11
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
              John Nelson, 314.
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: 314, John Nelson.
14
              I've lived in -- in Clark County for 42 years.
15
   have a masters degree in psychology and school administration.
    I'm a retired educator. I'm married. My wife owns a Academy
16
17
    of Hair Design here in Las Vegas. We have three children, 34,
18
    31 and 29. One's a school counselor, one's a math teacher,
19
    and one is a nurse.
20
              Never a victim of a crime. No, to 7, 8, 9, yes to
21
        I would like to mention that my eldest sibling has been a
22
   prosecutor for 30-some years. So I may have a tendency to
23
    lean --
24
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: -- in a prosecutorial
```

their burden of beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: Absolutely. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. So even though you obviously love 3 your sister, but that -- the question everyone's going to have for you, and I have for you is, you know, we understand your 4 family member has been a prosecutor and that's their job for, 5 I think you said 37 years. But the bottom line is, will you 6 7 hold the State to that burden. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: Yes. Yes, I will. THE COURT: Okay. And not a lesser burden, not a 9 10 higher burden, but that burden? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: No, sir. I just felt I should mention that. 12 13 THE COURT: And I -- and thank you. And we 14 appreciate that, sir. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: Okay. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: You're welcome. 17 18 THE COURT: Michael, was it Limon, 315? 19 We'll come to you. Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 315: Yes. Your Honor, my 21 name's Michael Limon, 315. I've lived in Clark County for 52 years. I went to Chaparral High School here in Las Vegas. 22 am employed as a business sales consultant. My wife 23 24 volunteers. We have four adult children, 30, 31, 33 and 35, real estate, hair dresser, worship leader, and that -- what

- does the other one do -- man, that's terrible. It will come to me. It's age.
 - THE COURT: Well, sir, what are their dates of birth? No, I'm just kidding.
 - PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 315: You're really testing me. Number 6, in 1981, here in Nevada, I had a motorcycle stolen. About ten years ago, my father in Reno was hit by a drunk driver while he was walking on the sidewalk. I believe that the situation was handled fair by the criminal justice system.
- THE COURT: And sir, you said your motorcycle was stolen; is that correct?
- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 315: That's correct. In 14 1981. They never found it.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 315: They never -- never got it back. Number 7, I have been accused of a DUI in 1992. And in 2000 for domestic violence.
- THE COURT: Were those situations here in Nevada?

 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 315: Here in Las Vegas. Yes
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 315: And I believe that that,
 23 again, the criminal justice system was fair on that. I've
 24 never served on a jury. I haven't heard nothing about this

case. And yes, I can be -- yes, to number 10 and 11. Yes.

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 315: Thank you. 2 And last but not least, Mr. Mateo Sigala, 325. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: My name is Mateo Sigala, 4 325. I'm a native here, born and raised. I did go -- I did 5 graduate college and I went to a trade school and got my 6 7 certifications as a medical assistant, phlebotomist. And I'm 8 currently in college for my bachelors in biology. 9 I do have a -- I do have a significant other who works for a private animal hospital as a vet tech. 10 11 have any kids. And I have been a victim of a crime of assault, when I was in fifth grade by a group of high 12 13 schoolers. That was back in '08, '09. 14 And then my older cousin is a -- he's been accused 15 of car theft, robbery, violating house arrest, and he served that time in 2016. He got out, 2020, but he's back in prison 16 17 again, later in 2020, for violating house arrest again. 18 THE COURT: Were those -- did those situations occur here in Nevada? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: Um-h'm. All of them. 21 THE COURT: Okay. And then how about your 22 situation, where you were a victim? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: Here in Nevada. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: Yeah. I never left. So

and I've never been a juror before. And then I never heard 1 2 about this case, and yes to 10 and 11. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 3 Thank you, sir. 4 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, in about five 5 6 minutes we're going to take our lunch break, but let's try to 7 keep working through the next five minutes. 8 State, do you have any general questions for the I mean, we'll get to the specific individual later, 9 10 but any general questions that we have not covered? 11 MR. LEXIS: Does anybody believe that the criminal justice system in general is unfair? 12 13 Sir, come on up. 14 THE COURT: And again, ladies and gentlemen, if 15 you're going to answer yes to any of the attorney's questions, we need you to also state your name and badge number again. 16 17 MR. LEXIS: Badge number, sir? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: 004. 19 MR. LEXIS: And can you expand on that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: My name is Anthony 21 Wiggs. 22 Okay. Can you expand on that sir, why MR. LEXIS: do you believe that? 23 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I just know of many

instances of trials and case throughout my life where things

1	just haven't been fair for certain people.
2	MR. LEXIS: Okay. Thank you, sir.
3	Anybody else?
4	Ma'am, in Seat No. 4, Badge 029. Can you please
5	come up?
6	I have a hand out for some of you who answered
7	questions previously. And ma'am, is it true that you also
8	were asked that question and you said that you believe it's
9	not fair? Do you remember that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Edith Fernandez, 029.
11	What was the question again?
12	MR. LEXIS: Do you believe the criminal justice is
13	effective and fair?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: It can be. Yes.
15	MR. LEXIS: Okay. Do you remember giving a
16	questionnaire
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No.
18	MR. LEXIS: to Clark County previously? No?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I don't recall that.
20	MR. LEXIS: Okay. Do you it also indicates here
21	that you were asked if you have positive thoughts about law
22	enforcement and you stated, no. Is that true?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Correct.
24	MR. LEXIS: Okay. Can you expand on that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Just reflecting recently

on what's been happening on a national scale, I do question law enforcement and I think that it's long overdue that we have reform law enforcement in generally, nationally, everywhere.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. There's going to be a lot of cops testifying in this case. Do you believe that you could be fair and impartial to them or is your feelings going to hinder your ability to evaluate the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I believe I can be fair and impartial.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. And what type of criminal justice reform are you speaking of when you talk about police in general?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I would say selecting police and training police and different tactics police use to de-escalate situations. Police racial profiling in particular, et cetera.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. Thank you.

Does anyone else believe that the criminal justice system is unfair or they have a negative feeling towards law enforcement?

Let the record reflect no hands.

At this end of this trial, you're going to be asked to cast judgment upon the defendants, whether it's guilty or not guilty. Is there anything in anybody's background whether

it's religious or the way you were raised or just beliefs in general that would hinder your ability to cast judgment upon somebody?

Let the record reflect no hands.

I'm sure everyone has seen these crime scene shows like CSI, etcetera. Does anybody here believe that the State needs to prove or have forensic evidence in a case, whether it be DNA or fingerprints etcetera, in order to prove a case?

Let the record reflect no hands.

Does anyone believe that there needs to be an eye witness to a crime for the State to be able to convict?

Are you raising your hand, ma'am, or no?
Okay.

Does anybody disagree with the -- you heard the Judge earlier say that he's the one ultimately sentence if they're found guilty, whether it be probation, classes, jail etcetera.

Does anybody disagree with that notion? Does anybody disagree with the notion that the Judge is the person who ultimately would be the sentencer in the case?

Let the record reflect no hands.

With that said, is there anybody who is going to say, you know what, even though I heard you say that, that the Judge, and I heard the Judge say that he's the sentencer it's still going to weigh on me when I go back and deliberate what

the potential sentence is? 1 2 Just Juror No. 1 seat? Anybody else? Let the record reflect no hands. 3 Is there anyone here that believes they might have a 4 problem with following the law even though they disagree with 5 6 the law? I mean, the most common one nowadays is, for 7 example, marijuana. A lot of people have strong beliefs one 8 way or the other, whether it still should be a law or should not be a law. In this particular case, even though we're not 10 11 dealing with marijuana. If you're given a jury instruction and you're ordered to follow that jury instruction, if you 12 13 don't believe in it, do you believe you would have a problem 14 in following the law? 15 Let the record reflect no hands. 16 Anybody happy to get their Jury Summons, anxious to 17 potentially be on a jury? 18 Okay. Come on up, sir. 19 You probably didn't think I was going to call on you, eh? 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yeah. 22 So juror in Seat No. 7? MR. LEXIS: 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: My name is Jose Esparza, 033. 24

MR. LEXIS: Okay. Why is that, sir? Why did you

1 want to be on a jury? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: This is my second time I 2 3 ever had it, and my first time I was dismissed. So I'm kind 4 of excited just to be on a jury to just experience it, to be 5 honest. MR. LEXIS: Okay. Mainly just for the experience? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yeah. MR. LEXIS: Okay. Any other reason? Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: No. 9 10 MR. LEXIS: Thank you. 11 Who else? Come on up, sir. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: Taylor Riebel, No. 0154. 12 13 This is my first opportunity in like a court of law 14 appearance and to kind of see first hand what it's like, what 15 the process is like. And then about two years ago now, I kind of took a -- my first class in college with like how law 16 17 and how court cases go. So I thought that was really 18 interesting, it kind of perked my interest in the -- the law 19 system. 20 MR. LEXIS: Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: Um-h'm. 21 22 MR. LEXIS: Anybody else? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Kyle McCall, 197. 23 24 Just I've never served on a jury before. So it's

something I've looked forward to.

MR. LEXIS: Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: It's a new experience.

MR. LEXIS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Lexis, unless there's someone else, let me just stop you right there. We'll take our lunch break and then we'll resume.

So, ladies and gentlemen, before we take a lunch break, I just want to tell you, on the first floor of this building there's a -- there's a sandwich shop. Across the street from the main entrance where you came in this morning, there's three or four eating establishments there.

And there's one on Fourth and Bonneville, it's a health food -- health food restaurant. And I think by the City Hall building there's another sandwich shop. I'm not endorsing any of these locations, I'm just telling you where they're located. It's strictly up to you where you eat

But I just wanted to tell you about that.

It is now 12:05. We'll come back at 1:05 promptly so we can resume and pick our jury this afternoon.

So, ladies and gentlemen, during this lunch recess you must not discuss or communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits, either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media. You're not to read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or

```
commentary about the case. You're not to do any research such
1
    as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet or using
 2
   reference materials. You're not to make any investigation,
 3
    test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case,
 4
    or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on
 5
   your own. And you're not to form or express an opinion
 6
 7
    regarding this case until it's submitted to you.
 8
              Have a great lunch. We'll see you at 1:05. Please
9
   wait outside until the Marshal escorts you in.
10
              THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exit of the jury.
11
          (Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)
              THE COURT: Can someone shut the door, please?
12
13
              All right. We're outside the presence of the jury
14
   panel.
15
              Juror No. 004, Mr. Wiggs. He has given quite a few
    answers that I don't know if either party or any of the
16
17
   parties are going to be able to rehabilitate him.
18
              Is there any agreement to not question him any
    further?
19
              MR. ARNOLD: No objection. But I need to finish
20
21
   mine.
              THE COURT: Mr. -- Mr. Hart?
22
23
              MR. HART:
                         Yeah. He actually wrote in that he
24
    couldn't (indiscernible) also.
```

MR. LEXIS: Yeah. No objection from the State.

MR. HART: He's a conscientious objector.

THE COURT: Okay. So probably the next -- I mean, probably the next break we'll release him. So let's don't ask him any further questions.

All right. Anything by the State before we break for our lunch?

7 MR. LEXIS: Yeah. Judge, I would just point out 8 that --

THE COURT RECORDER: Can you speak into the microphone, please?

11 MR. LEXIS: Sure.

THE COURT: Yeah. I know. Sorry. I'm -- I think it's the new normal, so.

MR. LEXIS: Judge, just so you know, I only have --

15 Hello?

1

2

3

4

9

10

14

16 THE COURT: Are they off, Cynthia?

17 THE COURT RECORDER: No.

18 MR. LEXIS: Is that (inaudible)?

19 THE COURT RECORDER: Maybe the batteries are dead.

20 THE MARSHAL: There you go.

21 MR. LEXIS: All right.

MS. COLE: There you go.

MR. LEXIS: Just so you know, Judge, when we come back, I only have a -- a few more questions, a couple more questions.

But as far as, I would say Juror Number -- in Seat 1 4, 029, I do have a problem with, and I would ask for a for-2 3 cause challenge. It's -- what she was testifying to is clearly inconsistent with what she put in her questionnaire. 4 And in addition when I phrased it another way which was 5 basically the -- saying the same thing, she admitted that. 6 7 And so I just want to let the Court know where I'm 8 going with that one. 9 THE COURT: All right. I'll mark for-cause and if 10 either defense counsel -- I mean, when we get to her, you're 11 free to question her and see if you want her, rehabilitate her. But we'll deal --12 13 MR. ARNOLD: For the record, we have incomplete --14 we don't have questionnaires for everyone. 15 Additionally, from my previous trial, I seen that some of these answers that are noted in the questionnaires 16 17 were not the answers by the actual juror, and we should just 18 follow up on those. THE COURT: No, that's fine. I'm not -- not going 19 20 to make any decision on that right now. But Mr. Lexis just 21 brought it to our attention. 22 Anything else by you, Mr. Arnold, before we leave for lunch? 23 24 MR. ARNOLD: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything by you, Mr. Arnold?

```
MR. HART: I believe Mr. -- I believe Mr. Arnold
 1
 2
    expressed the same opinions that I did. I'm not sure -- I
 3
    don't know, she said she didn't remember filling out the form.
    I noticed it's asking the same question twice, so I'm not sure
 4
 5
    what was going on.
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
 6
 7
              MR. LEXIS: And, Judge, I -- obviously, it's pretty
 8
    -- I'm pretty sure that we're going to finish early today.
 9
              Are you going to read them the initial instructions
10
    so tomorrow we can go straight to opening statements?
11
              THE COURT:
                          Depending on how much time we have.
12
              MR. LEXIS:
                          Okay.
13
              THE COURT:
                          I mean, I would -- I would like to --
14
              MR. LEXIS:
                          Okay.
15
              THE COURT:
                         -- just so we can get more time in with
    the jury --
16
17
              MR. LEXIS:
                          Yes.
18
              THE COURT:
                         -- I mean, jury time. So it's up to you
19
    three gentlemen as to how -- and ladies, I'm sorry -- as to
20
    how long you're going to take in questioning the jury.
21
              But we do need to get it done today.
22
                          Oh, yeah. We'll get it done.
              MR. LEXIS:
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okay?
24
              MR. LEXIS:
                          Thank you.
25
              THE COURT: All right. See everybody at 1:05.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, my client is African-American. I am African-American. Is there anyone have a bias in regards to my client's race or my race?

Seeing no hands, Your Honor, I'll pass my questions to Mr. Hart.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hart?

MR. HART: Obviously, the same two questions that were just asked by Mr. Arnold would be asked by me and I'm going to -- I'm assuming if it didn't work for one, it's not

going to work for the other; right? 1 Okay. The other question is, nobody was -- some of 2 3 you filled out forms, some (indiscernible) to call and they don't bother to give us the information. 4 Who here has family or close friends that are law 5 6 enforcement? I know you, because I already got it. 7 Okay. And I'm sorry, my -- my order is not very 8 good. You are number -- yeah, please. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Amy Scholes. 10 MR. HART: You're Amy Scholes? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes. 11 12 MR. HART: Number 48. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: 14 MR. HART: Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I my previous husband, he's passed away. He was in law enforcement. 16 17 MR. HART: Yes. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: And I have a nephew in law enforcement --19 20 MR. HART: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: -- in California. 21 22 MR. HART: Okay. With your former husband being killed in the line of duty, I know it's kind of a sensitive 23 24 Are you sure that would not affect your ability to

fairly assess this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I don't believe it 1 2 I've never been in this situation so I can't say. 3 I don't believe it would. MR. HART: Okay. And you can understand why the 4 term "I don't believe" might give me a little bit of pause. 5 6 Would you view officer testimony more favorably based on your 7 relationship? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No, I don't think so. 8 9 MR. HART: And I'm sorry, I'm not trying to be 10 insensitive. My father-in-law was killed by a drunk driver, 11 too. I also know that our feelings about him, he was a pretty great man to begin with. But you know, he became more 12 13 idolized, I guess, for lack of a better term. 14 Would that affect you at all, with him, especially 15 since it was in the line of duty? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No, I don't think so. 17 While I've got you up here, are MR. HART: Okay. 18 there any questions you would -- if you were in my position, 19 is there anything I should ask you that I would want to know? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Nothing I can think of. 21 MR. HART: And what's that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Nothing I can think of. MR. HART: Okay. 23 Thank you. 24 And in the back --25 THE COURT: Well --

1	MR. HART: is it Mr. Castaneda?
2	Did you say yeah, you said you had two children
3	that are officers.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
5	Jose Castaneda, 57 057.
6	MR. HART: And they're both with Metro here?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
8	MR. HART: And they're both patrol?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
10	MR. HART: Do they know you're on jury duty?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: That I don't know.
12	MR. HART: Do you guys talk much or
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Well, I kind of forgot
14	about it until today so
15	MR. HART: That seems to be going around.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
17	MR. HART: I thought I'd sleep in too, but it didn't
18	work that way.
19	Do you talk to them often?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
21	MR. HART: Okay. If you were to come back with a
22	verdict of not guilty, would that be a problem in talking with
23	your kids that are cops?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Probably not.
25	MR. HART: And I'm just going to go back to the

Thank you. Okay.

MR. HART: 27?

```
And is there anybody here for any -- and I --
 1
 2
    religious reasons -- and I know this was asked a little bit
 3
    ago -- but any religious reasons, moral reasons, they would
   not be able to -- you cannot sit as a juror and be -- and
 4
 5
    judge -- in judgment of other people?
              Okay. No. 11. Or 51.
 6
 7
              Do you want me to wait on that then, Your Honor?
 8
              THE COURT: Yes, please.
 9
              MR. HART: Okay. And I note -- is everybody here a
   U.S. Citizen?
10
              Okay. I didn't see it on the questionnaire, so I
11
12
    just need to make sure.
13
              Anybody here hate defense attorneys?
14
              My dad's not here.
15
              Okay. Okay. Anybody here feel they shouldn't be a
    juror, period?
16
17
                     I'll pass the general.
              Okay.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19
              State, do you have any individual follow-up for Mr.
20
    Wiggs?
21
              MR. LEXIS: No, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arnold, do you have any
    follow-up?
23
24
              MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Mr. Hart?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Edith Fernandez, 029.

No, I don't believe all cops are bad. 1 2 MR. HART: Okay. One of the key thinks you talked 3 about was de-escalation. Okay. And then you also said in training and 4 5 hiring. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: 6 7 MR. HART: Correct? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes. 9 MR. HART: Okay. And I assume that's to be more 10 culture -- well, I don't want to put words in your mouth. 11 Why do you say -- why do you believe that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: There's a history of 12 13 police being white male and amongst a certain class, there's a 14 history of folks not being able to break into the police if 15 you're a minority for women. This is historical. 16 MR. HART: Okay. And I -- other way, as a group, I 17 think this is the -- probably the most educated jury panel 18 I've ever had. And yours kind of stuck out, even among them. 19 So you have a -- is your Ph.D. from Michigan? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: The University of 21 Michigan. 22 Yes. Sorry, dad went to State. MR. HART: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Go Blue. 23 24 MR. HART: Yeah. And what was that Ph.D. in? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Higher education,

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Bring it on.

```
1
              MR. HART: Okay. I'm a lowly attorney. I don't
 2
    want to compete.
              But you have had victims of crime -- friends --
 3
    friends that are victims of crime --
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Correct.
 5
              MR. HART: -- and yourself?
 6
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Correct.
 8
              MR. HART: Okay. In spite of being -- seeing there
   might be a problem with some of our institutions --
9
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Right.
11
              MR. HART: -- can you be a fair juror?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I can be critical and a
12
13
    fair juror.
14
              MR. HART: Yeah.
                                We don't as you to --
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yeah.
              MR. HART: -- leave your brain at the door.
16
17
                    And after hearing everything about all the
              Okay.
18
    treatment here with the air filters and everything, do you
    feel a little more comfortable?
19
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Can you repeat that?
   Hear the treatment --
21
22
              MR. HART: Well, you -- you had said that you --
   somebody in your household might be at risk, I believe. After
23
24
   hearing about the air filters and treatment, do you feel a
    little more comfortable about sitting as a juror?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Oh, yes. 1 2 MR. HART: Okay. Can you think of any reason you 3 shouldn't be a juror? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Not --5 MR. HART: Other than work, you've got to think of 6 what you're --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Other than work, you 8 read my face, there's no other reason. 9 If you are a juror, will you be MR. HART: Okay. 10 able to give your attention here even though you have stuff 11 going on with work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I would have to figure 12 13 out how to delegate. 14 MR. HART: Very good. Nothing further. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Okay. THE COURT: Pass for cause? 16 17 MR. HART: Pass for cause. 18 THE COURT: All right. State? 19 MR. LEXIS: Nothing other than what's previously 20 noted with the Court, Judge. I'll pass. 21 THE COURT: All right. State, any follow-up 22 questions for Benon, 030? MR. LEXIS: State passes, Judge. 23 24 THE COURT: Any follow-up by the defense? 25 MR. ARNOLD: Mr. Arnold passes for cause, Your

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I do, yes.

MR. HART: Based on that?

24

17

18

MR. LEXIS: State passes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Defense?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

23

22 MR. ARNOLD: Sir, Mr. Esparza?

THE COURT: Mr. Esparza, come on up.

24 MR. ARNOLD: Didn't you raise your hand in regards

25 to relatives in law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: My name is Jose Esparza, 1 2 Badge is 033. No, I did not. 3 MR. ARNOLD: Oh, okay. I thought you did. And then the last question, again, if the jury was 4 deadlocked and you firmly believed that the State didn't 5 6 produce enough evidence to show my client was guilty beyond a 7 reasonable doubt, could you effectively stick to that decision 8 without being persuaded by others? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yes, sir. 10 MR. ARNOLD: All right. 11 No further questions. Pass for cause, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 Mr. Hart? 14 MR. HART: Just a quick one. You're an aviation mechanic? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I graduated about a year 16 17 ago, but I've since -- due to the whole corona, I haven't been 18 able to land a job in the aviation field. 19 MR. HART: Okay. What are you doing now then? I'm 20 sorry. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I'm currently a server in a restaurant in a casino. 22 MR. HART: Okay. And sorry, you said you were happy 23 24 to get your summons and that --25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yes.

1 MR. HART: -- was just of --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Just to do -- I was just 3 pretty stoked to do my part and also the experience of going 4 through this as well. 5 MR. HART: Okay. No -- no secret agenda? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: No, sir. 6 7 MR. HART: Just learn something? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: No secret agenda. 8 9 MR. HART: How do you feel about it so far? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: It's interesting. 10 11 I mean, I got nothing negative. MR. HART: Okay. Pass for cause. 12 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 14 Defense, any follow-up for David Acevez, 035? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: David Acevez, 035. MR. ARNOLD: Sir, there's two defendants in this 16 17 The State's required to prove the case against my case. 18 client --THE COURT RECORDER: Mr. Arnold, can you speak into 19 20 the microphone, please? 21 MR. ARNOLD: I'm sorry. 22 THE COURT RECORDER: Thank you. MR. ARNOLD: The State's required to prove the case 23 24 against my client beyond a reasonable doubt and the case 25 against Mr. Hart's client beyond a reasonable doubt.

```
If they fail to prove that case against my client
 1
 2
   beyond a reasonable doubt, but you find the other, Mr. Hart's
 3
    client, guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, can you separate the
    two and keep my client out of it, if you didn't feel there is
 4
    enough evidence against my client?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035:
 6
 7
              MR. ARNOLD: All right.
              And we'll pass for cause, Your Honor.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
10
              Mr. Hart?
11
              MR. HART: And yes, there are a number of charges
   here, various incidents. Will you be able to evaluate each
12
13
    and every charge in each and every incident separately?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I'll do my best, yes.
15
              MR. HART: Mr. Acevez?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Yes. My answer is yes.
16
17
                                When -- you just said, what,
              MR. HART: Okay.
18
    you'll do your best?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: And separate --
20
              MR. HART: Why did you say that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: -- each --
21
22
              MR. HART: What's that?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I'm sorry?
24
              MR. HART: You said you'd do your best.
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Um-h'm.
```

1	MR. HART: Was there any hesitation?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: No. The answer is yes.
3	MR. HART: Okay. So you could find someone guilty
4	of one crime, but not another?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I'm sorry?
6	MR. HART: You could if like I said, there's
7	different charges here.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Yes.
9	MR. HART: So
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Well, that all depends
11	on on on the evidence.
12	MR. HART: Okay. And you've heard the expression,
13	if there if there is smoke there is fire?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Yes.
15	MR. HART: Okay. Is there always fire when there's
16	smoke?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Not necessarily.
18	MR. HART: Okay. If you were sitting where my
19	client is, or where Mr. Arnold's client is, would you feel
20	comfortable with somebody of your frame of mind as a juror?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I don't think there's
22	nothing wrong with my frame of mind. I think I will be
23	comfortable.
24	MR. HART: Okay. What about if you were sitting
25	where Mr. Bunker is (phonetic), or Ms. Cole?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Same thing.
 1
 2
              MR. HART: Okay. Pass for cause.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 3
              Any follow-up by the State?
 4
 5
              MR. LEXIS:
                         State passes, Judge.
              THE COURT:
                         Thank you.
 6
 7
              State, any follow-up questions for Amy Scholes, 048?
              MR. LEXIS: State passes, Judge.
 8
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
10
              Any follow-up by the defense?
11
              MR. ARNOLD: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
12
                          Thank you.
13
              Mr. Hart?
14
              MR. HART: I'm not trying to beat up on you, Ms.
15
    Scholes.
              Sorry.
              The other question was, when you were asked about if
16
17
   you could be fair, originally when it went around, you'd said,
18
    I believe you could be. And I'd just like you to expand on
19
   why it was a "believe".
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Well, I -- I've never
21
   been in this situation. I -- I've never been a juror. I
22
   believe to the best of my ability that I could do what was
   required.
23
24
              MR. HART:
                         Okay.
                                So you realize that you don't know
25
   what you're going to until you've done it?
```

```
part. It's just that the question before that, because I was
1
 2
   a victim of also the identity theft, and there's a lot of
 3
    that, that would like not be so good for me.
              MR. ARNOLD: Well, you don't have any reason to
 4
 5
   believe that my client was involved in your identity theft
   matter; right?
 6
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: No.
                                               No.
 8
              MR. ARNOLD: And so you're able to look at the
9
    evidence against my client individually; right?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yes.
11
              MR. ARNOLD: And so in regards to that question, if
12
   you can make a judgment for -- even though you have religious
13
   reasons --
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Uh-huh.
15
              MR. ARNOLD: -- you're able to make a judgment of
16
   not guilty or guilty --
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yes.
18
              MR. ARNOLD: -- is that correct?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: That's correct.
19
20
              MR. ARNOLD: Okay.
21
              No further questions. Pass for cause, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Thank you.
23
              Mr. Hart?
24
              MR. HART: Okay.
                                Sorry, just a quick follow-up.
25
              And you did say because you were a victim of
```

identity theft?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Um-h'm.

MR. HART: This is called voir dire to tell the truth. And we don't have a mind reader. You know what I mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Um-h'm.

MR. HART: We're just asking. Are you sure you'll be able to put that out of your mind when it comes to evaluating this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: I can't say unless I -- until I hear the case and the evidence. So I can't say yes or no.

MR. HART: Okay. Let's ask it -- so -- well, if you were me right now, would you be a little nervous about having you as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: I can't answer that. I don't know.

MR. HART: Okay. Well, I'm asking, based on what you know in your mind.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: No.

MR. HART: Okay. And you're sure that being the victim of identity theft will not come into your thinking or evaluation of the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: I would hope not. But,
you know --

1	MR. HART: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: like I said, I can't
3	answer something I don't know yet.
4	MR. HART: Okay. Well, no, and like I said, this is
5	just this is to figure out we all have biases.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Um-h'm.
7	MR. HART: Some are bad, and most are not, you know,
8	not a problem, you know.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yeah.
LO	MR. HART: Fish versus steak. I don't know who
L1	would ever pick fish, but. So I've just got to ask you, you
L2	can't tell me can you tell me that it will not affect your
L3	evaluation of this case?
L4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: It will not.
L5	MR. HART: Okay. So you can say definitively, being
L6	a victim of identity theft will not be a problem?
L7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Correct.
L8	MR. HART: Okay. So it won't come into any of your
L9	thoughts?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: No.
21	MR. HART: Okay. That's all we can ask for.
22	The other question I had was you said you had you
23	mentioned you're having two jobs right now?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: That is correct.
25	MR HART: Okay Are you going to be able to give

this trial your attention or are you going to be thinking 1 2 about two jobs? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Well, like I said, I'm 3 the sole provider and also for my mom that's 78 years old, so 4 I have to work. 5 MR. HART: Oh, I did not realize you -- you had 6 adult children. 7 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yes. But my daughter 9 doesn't live with me. Only my two boys and my mother. 10 MR. HART: Okay. But your -- are your -- were your 11 children working? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: My daughter works. 12 13 She's still on call at Aria, and my son is not. He hasn't 14 been called back yet. 15 MR. HART: Okay. So you're paying for your mom --16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Car insurance, 17 utilities, food, everything. 18 MR. HART: And I've just got to ask you, can you 19 guarantee that won't come into your mind and you'll pay 20 attention to this? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: I would pay attention. 22 MR. HART: Okay. Pass for cause. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 Any follow-up by the State?

MR. LEXIS: No, Your Honor.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 1 | 4-12-2021 THE COURT: Pass for cause? 1 2 MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. State, any follow-up for 4 Marisol Martin --5 MR. LEXIS: Pass, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- 054? Pass? 6 7 MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Defense? 8 9 MR. ARNOLD: Mr. Arnold, pass for cause. 10 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hart? 11 MR. HART: Pass. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 Defense, any follow-up questions for Jose Castaneda, 057? 14 15 MR. ARNOLD: Mr. Arnold, pass for cause. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hart, any follow-up? 16 17 MR. HART: You -- like I said, we talked about your 18 kids being patrol officers. I'm sorry? 19 THE COURT: I think that was -- was it two nephews, 20 sir? 21 MR. HART: Sons or son and daughter? No. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: My two sons. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. HART: Okay. I didn't want to be sexist on 25 that, but -- and I guess to be -- would that give you -- tend

to affect you giving police officers' testimony more weight or 1 less weight? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Less. I quess, less. 3 MR. HART: Less weight? Okay. 4 So would you be unfair to the State because of this? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Not really. 6 7 MR. HART: Okay. And I'm sorry, but you said you'd 8 give the police officers less -- their testimony less weight. Can I ask why? 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, you can. I couldn't 11 understand the question. That's why I answer that the first 12 time. 13 MR. HART: Okay. So you misunderstood the question? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes. 15 MR. HART: Okay. So would you be more likely to give police officers' testimony more weight or less weight 16 17 based on the fact that two of your sons are police officers? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: That's a hard question 19 for me. MR. HART: I'm sorry. I didn't understand what you 20 21 said. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Well, I have no answer 22 for that. 23 24 MR. HART: Okay. If a police officer testifies, are

you going to give their testimony more credibility than you

```
would lay people, regular people, because their police
1
    officers?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No. I would be fair.
 3
              MR. HART: Okay. Would you give them less
 4
 5
    credibility because -- than the lay person?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, it won't be less.
 7
              MR. HART: Nothing further. Pass for cause.
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 8
 9
              State, any follow-up?
10
              MR. LEXIS:
                         Sir -- sir, could you come on down?
11
              THE COURT: Sir, we have some more questions.
              MR. LEXIS: I'm just going to phrase it a different
12
13
   way, sir.
14
              Whether I call up a rocket scientist, a firefighter,
15
    a cop, or a regular person, will you be able to wait until you
   hear the evidence and evaluate their credibility and determine
16
17
    what if any weight to give that person?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
19
              MR. LEXIS: Thank you. Nothing further.
20
              THE COURT: Pass for cause?
21
              MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge.
22
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
              State, any follow-up for Deborah Smith, 058?
23
24
              MR. LEXIS: No, Your Honor. Pass for cause.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you. Defense?
```

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 1 | 4-12-2021 1 MR. ARNOLD: Pass for cause, Mr. Arnold. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 Mr. Hart? 4 MR. HART: Which one was Deborah Smith? Oh, in the back. 5 I'll pass for cause. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 8 Defense, any follow-up for Richard White, 085? 9 MR. ARNOLD: Yes. (Inaudible)? Oh, how you doing sir? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 085: Okay. MR. ARNOLD: You said you're retired but I didn't 12 13 get what you're retired from. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 085: I worked in a chemical 15 company. I -- we made perfume. 16 MR. ARNOLD: Oh, okay. 17 And then wasn't your wife retired also? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 085: Yes. MR. ARNOLD: And what did she do? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 085: She worked for a candy 21 company. She was a secretary. 22 MR. ARNOLD: Oh. How long did you have that job for? 23 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 085: I was there about 27 25 years.

Sacramento, then moved up further north to just outside of the 1 2 Bay Area, Santa Rosa area. MR. ARNOLD: You said that your brother, he was 3 accused of a crime, stolen merchandise. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Um-h'm. MR. ARNOLD: And you believe that he was treated 6 7 fairly in the criminal justice system? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yeah. I mean, he -- he 9 did it and, you know, and they -- you know, gave him whatever 10 they -- I don't even remember what he got for that. 11 had a lot of problems when he was younger and early '20s and drugs and all kinds of stuff. 12 So --13 MR. ARNOLD: And you had no problem with how the 14 case was handled or? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No. No, I think it --15 they were very fair to him for a long time. 16 17 MR. ARNOLD: Mr. Arnold passes for cause. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 Mr. Hart? 20 MR. HART: You actually did a -- filled out the form? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Um-h'm. 22 MR. HART: And one of the things you said was you 23 24 might have difficulty because you're taking care of your

25

disabled mother who is 81.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yeah. She lives in a --
 1
 2
    so I had to place her in -- it's a senior group home which is
 3
    is about, you know, ten minutes from my house. In a group
   home facility, it's in a private residence, and there's like
 4
    -- like right now I think there's six residents. But they
 5
   don't do insulin shots.
 6
 7
              MR. HART: Okay.
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: So I go -- I work from
9
   home and so I go back and forth to test her blood sugar and,
10
   you know, give her her insulin if she needs it.
11
              MR. HART: Can I ask when you do that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: What's that?
12
13
              MR. HART: When do you normally do that?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Well, I try to get
15
    there, you know, at the latest, in the evening, 6:00, and then
16
   you know, doing it in the morning. But my sister helps also,
17
        She just moved in with me to help because it's big, you
18
   know, it's a lot.
19
              MR. HART: Yes. Okay. So that will be taken care
20
    of then?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yeah.
21
22
              MR. HART: Okay. I just -- I just wanted to make
23
    sure.
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Um-h'm.
25
              MR. HART: Can you think of any reason you shouldn't
```

1 MR. HART: Okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: And my sister recently 3 just one in where she lives. 4 MR. HART: Congratulations. Will that -- I just need to ask, will that affect 5 6 you at all? Will there be, I get to get somebody, going on, 7 in the back of your mind? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: No. Hm-h'm. 9 MR. HART: Are you sure? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Positive. 11 MR. HART: Okay. Thank you very much. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Um-h'm. 12 13 THE COURT: Pass for cause, Mr. Hart? 14 MR. HART: Pass for cause. 15 THE COURT: And the State previously passed. And the State is up on Ruth Phillips. 16 17 Any questions? 18 MR. LEXIS: State passes, Judge. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 20 Actually, Ms. Phillips, can you come up? I have a 21 follow-up question. 22 And, Ms. Phillips, I think you were one of those individuals about the COVID shot, and you weren't able to get 23 24 ahold of anybody earlier on. And were you able to speak with 25 anyone over the lunch hour?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: No, they were supposed
 1
 2
    to e-mail me at some point and they haven't yet.
 3
              THE COURT: And when was your shot or is your shot
 4
    scheduled for?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: It's next -- it's
 5
 6
   Monday.
 7
              THE COURT: This Monday or next Monday?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Next Monday, the --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: A week -- a week from today?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: -- 19th -- the 19th.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. All right.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: So I'm assuming that
12
13
    they can do something. I just don't know.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sorry, did I ask, State,
15
   did you pass for Ms. Phillips?
                         Yes, sir.
16
              MR. LEXIS:
17
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Thank you.
18
              Defense, any follow-up questions for Ms. Phillips?
              MR. ARNOLD: Ma'am, didn't you raise your hand for
19
20
    law enforcement connections?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Yes. My father was a
   highway patrolman in California, retired. And my nephew
22
23
    worked at Metro. He has since left Metro.
              MR. ARNOLD: Did he retire or start a new career?
24
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Started a new career.
```

1 MR. ARNOLD: We'll pass for cause, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Mr. Hart? 3 MR. HART: Just the same questions, the law 4 5 enforcement relationships. Can you put that aside and not let it affect how you 6 7 treat this case? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: I'm a real detailed, methodical kind of person. And I consider myself honest. 9 And 10 I think that I could put aside any biases and look at the 11 facts of the case. 12 MR. HART: Okay. When you say you could put aside 13 any biases? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Well, I -- I -- I'm 15 probably somewhat biased having the background that I have with, you know, living with a law enforcement officer my whole 16 17 childhood and, you know, my whole life basically. 18 So I would have to say I have some kind of bias, but 19 I think, you know, I consider myself able to overlook that. 20 MR. HART: Okay. So you -- I take it you have a 21 good relationship with your father? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: 22 Yes. 23 MR. HART: Okay. And I'm not trying to be --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: And he's a good man and 25 all that, so.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: 0129, Wayne Bennett.

right now it's not teaching.

Defense, any follow-up for Taylor Riebel, 154?

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

24

```
MR. ARNOLD: Pass for cause for the Defense --
 1
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
 3
              MR. ARNOLD: -- Mr. Arnold.
              THE COURT: Mr. Hart?
 4
              MR. HART: Pass for cause.
 5
              THE COURT:
                         All right. State, any follow-up?
 6
 7
              MR. LEXIS:
                         State passes.
              THE COURT:
 8
                          Thank you.
 9
              State, any follow-up for Ronald West, 158?
10
              MR. LEXIS:
                          State passes.
              THE COURT:
11
                          Thank you.
12
              Defense?
13
              MR. ARNOLD: Mr. West, can you approach?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Ronald West, 158.
15
              MR. ARNOLD: And sir, when did that identity theft
16
   happen to your wife?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Less than 30 days ago.
18
              MR. ARNOLD: What have been the ramifications of
19
    that?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: None, really.
                                                          It was a
21
    fraudulent on unemployment claim. We got notified.
22
   seen no other evidence of any type of action. We took action
    to stop credit freeze, stuff like that. But we've -- there's
23
24
   no real victim involved, no money was lost or anything like
25
    that.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Thank you.

Pass for cause.

MR. HART: Okay.

24

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Defense, any follow-up for Lourdes Bortles, 192? MR. ARNOLD: Yes. Ms. Bortles. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Lourdes Bortles, 192. 4 MR. ARNOLD: And ma'am, you said you had an employee 5 that was involved in theft and embezzlement? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Yeah. My previous 8 property manager and my company, they had a lawsuit against 9 each other regarding theft, embezzlement, fraud. And I don't 10 know --11 MR. ARNOLD: What was your participation in the 12 case? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: During in the case, in 14 the court, I was left out of it. But the investigation 15 outside the Court, they made me find some fraudulent invoices, vendors, stuff like that. 16 17 MR. ARNOLD: And so they -- your work left it up to 18 you to fin that information? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Yeah. I work with few 20 supervisors to look into the accounts payables being the 21 controller. 22 MR. ARNOLD: Were you the noticed it first? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: No. 23 24 MR. ARNOLD: It was brought to your attention by 25 your employer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Yes. 1 2 MR. ARNOLD: And then you said your ex-husband had a 3 crime against him? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: No. He was a victim of 5 like a fake check from someone. It's -- he was helping 6 somebody sell an old wheelchair on Offer Up and then somebody 7 offered and said they cannot come and give cash, that kind of 8 fraud. 9 MR. ARNOLD: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: And they gave a cashier 11 check and they said that the cashier check was over because the bank made a mistake of sending the money towards the 12 13 movers, too. So they asked my ex-husband to return the extra 14 -- extra cash. Then after a few days, the bank said that 15 cashier check is fraudulent. 16 MR. ARNOLD: How long ago did that occur? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Two months ago. 18 MR. ARNOLD: Were did you come from before you moved 19 here to Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: You have time? 20 21 MR. ARNOLD: What was that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Do you have time? So from the Philippines I --23 24 MR. ARNOLD: Oh. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: -- moved to Hong Kong

```
1
   for five years as an investment advisor. Then from there I
 2
    went to Thailand for 14 years for property management. Then
 3
   Las Vegas.
              MR. ARNOLD: And what brought you to Las Vegas?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Marriage which is gone.
 5
              MR. ARNOLD: I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Thank you.
              Mr. Hart, any follow-up?
 8
 9
              MR. HART: No, Your Honor. Pass for cause.
10
              THE COURT: Any follow-up by the State?
              MR. LEXIS: State passes.
11
12
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
13
              State, any follow-up for Ms. Haynes, 196?
              MR. LEXIS:
14
                         State passes, Judge.
              THE COURT:
15
                          Thank you.
              Defense?
16
17
              MR. ARNOLD: Ms. Haynes?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Christine Haynes, 196.
19
              MR. ARNOLD: It says that you're involved in
    community relations. Is that for CCSD?
20
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: I'm sorry, say it again?
              MR. ARNOLD: Is that for the School District?
22
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: It's the Nevada System
24
    of Higher Education which is the umbrella administration for
    all the public colleges in Nevada.
```

```
MR. ARNOLD: What does your job duties entail?
 1
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: The -- the community
 3
   relations portion?
 4
              MR. ARNOLD: Yes, ma'am.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Mainly, I oversee the --
 5
 6
    I support the Equity Diversity and Inclusion Council, the
 7
   Hispanic Serving Institution Task Force and other diversity
 8
    initiatives.
 9
              MR. ARNOLD: Okay. How long have you been doing
    that for?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: About seven years.
11
              MR. ARNOLD: What did you do prior to that?
12
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Well, I've -- I've been
14
    in the same -- I've been there 21 years. My -- my duties
15
    change frequently. Seven years ago, the person who was
    coordinating the Diversity Initiatives left and so I was given
16
17
   his duties. So it's just part of my job. That's just one
18
    facet of my job. I also do government relations.
19
              MR. ARNOLD: What do you have to do with the
20
    government?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Well, right now I do --
22
    I'm sort of a jack of all trades. So I do -- I help -- I
    support our government affairs team and I coordinate -- it's
23
24
   hard to explain. I coordinate things related to currently the
```

25

legislative session.

1 MR. ARNOLD: Yeah. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: So white papers and 3 legislative packets, legislative communications, letters to our state delegation and stuff like that. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: All right. Are you busy 5 6 right now since they are (indiscernible) in session? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yes. But not as busy as 8 -- like I'm more of a support person. So I'm not on the front 9 lines of that. So I'm busy, yes. But I'm not -- I'm trying 10 to explain my job. I'm a coordinator in that I have a little 11 piece -- a little hand in everything. But I'm not the sole responsibility for all of these things. Except for the -- the 12 13 HSI Task Force and the EDIC (phonetic) Council, I do take care 14 of that solely on my own as far as the support goes, 15 currently, because we don't -- we're short staffed. 16 MR. ARNOLD: It said that you also had a family member with a DUI? 17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: My daughter. 19 MR. ARNOLD: Okay. And what that recent? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: No. She -- she's 33 21 She had it -- she had one when she was 21, and then one when she was 23. 22 23 MR. ARNOLD: And did you feel she was treated fairly 24 with --25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196:

MR. ARNOLD: -- those? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yes. 2 3 MR. ARNOLD: We'll pass for cause, Your Honor. THE COURT: 4 Thank you. 5 Mr. Hart? 6 MR. HART: Yes, Ms. Haynes, you filled out one of 7 these also? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yes. 9 MR. HART: You had specifically asked if you could 10 do your civic duty later because you were waiting for a COVID 11 vaccine and you have somebody at home that's at risk. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Well, yes. 12 I didn't 13 realize you guys had taken all these precautions. And the 14 last time I was here, there was this whole room was just 15 packed with people and it -- there was no air and it was 16 really bad. And I just -- I was picturing it to be that way. 17 So this is actually safer than I thought it was going to be, 18 so. 19 That's what I was going to follow MR. HART: Okay. 20 up on. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yeah. I feel better now 22 that I'm here. 23 MR. HART: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yeah. 25 MR. HART: And the last time you were here --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Was --1 2 MR. HART: -- were you on a jury? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: No, it was a civil, a mesothelioma case, and there -- there was like I swear there 4 5 was 200 people in here. And we had to --6 MR. HART: Yeah. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: -- fill out a 8 questionnaire and then they were going to pick if you had to 9 come back and I didn't have to come back. 10 MR. HART: Okay. Yeah, no, trust me, there can be 11 200 people in here. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yeah. 12 13 MR. HART: Probably more. Okay. 14 So you'll be HSI Task Force, what is that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Hispanic Serving 15 16 Institution. It's a Task Force. So each of our seven 17 teaching institutions have a goal toward reaching HSI status, 18 and those that are already HSI status, we work together 19 collaboratively just to share best practices and getting federal grants for having HSI status that goes to help 20 21 basically all students, not just Hispanics. 22 MR. HART: Okay. And then the EDIC Council. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Equity Diversity and 24 Inclusion Council. It's the -- it's made up of the campus 25 diversity officer from each of our eight institutions,

```
including our research institute. And they meet monthly, also
1
 2
    collaboratively, not just sharing best practices, but also
 3
    working on action items to -- to promote inclusion and equity
    on the campuses and look at policies to help -- looking at
 4
   policy whether it's helpful or not.
 5
 6
              MR. HART: We're -- we're okay. I think I --
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yeah.
              MR. HART: Okay. And --
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: That's it.
 9
10
              MR. HART: -- there will be somebody able to cover
11
    some of this for you if you're here?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: No. We -- we don't have
12
13
    any -- I'm it right now. We just lost our senior policy
14
    analyst and our chief of staff, so I'm it now.
15
              MR. HART: Will you be able to give this trial your
16
    attention?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: I believe so, yes.
18
              MR. HART: Okay. And you know how much I love that
19
   word "believe".
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Oh, sorry.
21
              MR. HART: No, not a problem. I just -- I'm trying
22
    to -- I mean, I'm just trying to find out if -- with, you
23
    know, you said you'd -- because you're sort of with the
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: I'll go home and I'll

24

25

legislature you said --

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: Oh, she did criminal, 2 work comp, PI, divorce. Right now she's going to be working the Attorney General's Office. But I believe she was a public 3 defender, too. This is all in Hawaii. Up here she did 4 5 divorce and she was head of the Legal Aid Department. 6 MR. ARNOLD: So she practiced in Hawaii for the 7 whole time? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: And -- and here. She 9 worked for Wright Lawyers (phonetic) I believe it was doing 10 divorce cases. But she just --11 MR. ARNOLD: How long --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: -- recently moved to 12 13 Hawaii. She's going to be working for the Attorney General's Office. 14 15 MR. ARNOLD: How long did she practice here? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: Here, about two years, 17 three years. But she's -- actually, she's still with Legal 18 Aid, just kind of doing work from home because of COVID. 19 she did just move to Hawaii, but she's still working at Legal 20 Aid. 21 MR. ARNOLD: Here? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: Here, yes. 23 MR. ARNOLD: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: But from Hawaii. She's

25

doing it from Hawaii. Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Can I see counsel in the -- in the back room there? Please bring your notes with you.

(Bench conference begins.)

THE COURT: All right. The court recorder told me last time she was having difficulty hearing us. So make sure if you're answering to any particular question, or if you have anything to say, please, you know, approach the microphone. Unfortunately, the system is not as good as we think.

There was -- we all agreed that Mr. Wiggs would be taken off; is that correct? That he would be excused?

Mr. Hart?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HART: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Arnold?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Lexis?

MR. LEXIS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And there was a motion by Mr. Lexis on Edith Fernandez, 029. Would you please state your

basis for your challenge?

MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge.

She testified completely inconsistent with what is in her questionnaire, and if we are to take those as true, which I hope we would, given they're given to us by the Jury Commissioner, then I would ask that you take that into

consideration.

And then when I pressed her on it, again, she admitted that yes, that is the case as far as her essentially negative likings towards police officers and the criminal justice system.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Hart?

MR. HART: Your Honor, and that's why I did the follow-up. She believes that there should be more training and deescalation, and possibly more training in being an officer and possible screening. She also said she could be fair in this. She is -- I mean, she has a couple of masters, a couple bachelors, I think three masters, a bachelors and a Ph.D.

It sounds like she does analytical reasoning and I believe anybody that has done any research at all or reading on there will find that there tends to be biases and there could be some improvements in our system, period. I think that's just a -- that's a matter of reality.

But as far as evaluating the case, and the case, she said she -- any particular officer, no. She didn't distrust officers. She thought there could be improvements in the system. And could be a fair juror.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Arnold?

MR. ARNOLD: And the only other point I would make is that I don't believe the questionnaires always accurately reflect what the individual's, you know, responses are. So I can't say that she was lying. She gave us her honest and truthful answers today in court.

MR. HART: And she said she didn't remember filling out a questionnaire -- sorry, Marty Hart. She did say -- she -- I think she said she didn't remember filling out the questionnaire before, because, quite frankly, there's another juror on there that it said that she couldn't judge people because of her religion. We didn't get to her. But she didn't raise her hand when we asked that twice today.

And I looked over specifically at her.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Anything further, Mr. Lexis?

MR. LEXIS: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. She did make some statement that she thought the criminal justice system was unfair, but I think she was properly rehabilitated. She says she doesn't believe all police officers are bad. She did state that -- sorry -- she did state that she thought that there should be good training and hiring. She was a victim of a crime in this matter [sic]. So I don't -- I don't think there was enough t accept the challenge for cause.

So I'm going to deny that challenge.

All right. Counsel, here is what we have. Just double check with your notes, please, if we are -- these people have been passed for cause, and I'll just double check. Then we'll go out there and we'll exercise their peremptory challenges. Just double check right now with your notes. MR. LEXIS: Judge, I have one other request. THE COURT: Sure. MR. LEXIS: Chad Lexis for the State. Judge, given the -- given the length -- length of this trial and just the situation we're in with COVID and stuff, I would ask for two alternates instead of one, Judge. THE COURT: All right. That's probably a good idea. So we -- the next up would be Ronald McCullers. I'll ask him some questions. And if there isn't any -- if there is not any challenge for cause for Mr. McCullers, then we'll print out this sheet with 24 names on it.

Mr. Hart?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HART: And these are not in the order that -- on our list at all. So I'm trying to --

THE CLERK: I did them the next -- the next one that was available to be dismissed. So I pulled from the next in line.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Come up closer, please.

THE CLERK: Oh, sorry. I pulled from next in line.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Any follow-up by the State?

MR. LEXIS: The State passes, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

One moment, please, ladies and gentlemen.

Ladies and gentlemen, as you know, a majority of you were able to fill out a questionnaire. Also, some of you gave some answers on the telephone. All of you have answered my questions. All of you have answered some of the general questions by all the attorneys. And they have advised me that they have sufficient information on all of you to make a determination as to who they're going to select for this jury.

In this type of case, each side is entitled to exercise what we call peremptory challenges, which means they can just excuse one of the jurors without setting forth any reason whatsoever. And so each side will be entitled to exercise five peremptory challenges. In just one moment, they will start that process. As soon as that has been completed, then we will have our jury selected.

(ATTORNEYS EXERCISE PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the attorneys have either exercised or waived their five peremptory challenges. In just one moment, the Court Clerk will call off the roll call of those individuals who have been selected to hear this case.

(Pause in the proceedings.) 1 2 THE CLERK: Okay. Seat No. 1, Badge No. 030, 3 Charlene Benon. Seat No. 2, Badge No. 051, Patricia Andrade. 4 Seat 3, Badge No. 054, Marisol Martin. 5 Seat 4, 058, Deborah Smith. 6 7 Seat 5, 085, Richard White. Seat 6, Badge No. 099, Candace Krubsack. 8 9 Seat 7, Badge No. 101, Jeannette Maass. 10 Seat 8 will be Badge No. 118, Ann McCormack. 11 Seat 9, Badge No. 126, will be Ruth Phillips. Seat 10, Badge No. 134, Renae Decesare. 12 13 Sorry if I pronounced your name wrong. 14 Seat 11, will be Badge No. 158, Ronald West. 15 Seat 12, will be Badge No. 192, Lourdes Bortles. 16 And Seat 13 will be Badge No. 197, Kyle McCall. 17 Seat 14, will be Badge No. 207, Debra Yamagata-Toma. 18 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, those 19 of you whose names were just called, you have been selected to be a juror in this case. We are going to commence the trial 20 21 tomorrow at 9:00 a.m., and you will be in room -- courtroom 22 It is on this floor. And so tomorrow you wait outside 23 the courtroom at 3F until the Marshal escorts you in. 24 then we'll start the trial at that time. 25 Those of you whose names were not called off, you do

1 have my thanks of the entire Regional Justice Center, all the 2 Judges, as well as the attorneys, for your time of filling out 3 the questionnaire and your time today during this process. So, you do have my thanks. 4 Again, those individuals whose names were called, 5 6 you are ordered to return tomorrow, 9:00 a.m., 3F. 7 Marshal will give you some instructions here of where you park 8 for the future for this case. 9 All right. So please have a safe drive home. And 10 we'll see you back -- those -- again, those names who have 11 been called, at 9:00 a.m. sharp tomorrow. Thank you. THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exist of the jury. 12 (Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.) 13 14 THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence 15 of the jury panel. Before the Court adjourns, anything by the State? 16 17 MR. LEXIS: No, Judge. 18 THE COURT: Anything by Mr. Arnold? 19 MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Hart? 20 21 MR. HART: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. HART: (Inaudible) quick and painless. THE COURT: Okay. 24

That's all

25

MR. HART:

* * * * *

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case.

Julie Hond

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-20-351676-1
C-20-351676-2
Plaintiff,

VS.

DEPT. XVII

VENESHIA LANETTE OLIVER,
and DARRELL CLARK,

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL P. VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TUESDAY, APRIL 13, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

MADILYN M. COLE, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney

FOR DEFENDANT OLIVER: MARTIN W. HART, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT CLARK: CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: CYNTHIA GEORGILAS, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Page 1

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 13, 2021 1 (Case called at 9:08 a.m.) 2 3 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 4 THE COURT RECORDER: Okay, we're on the record. THE COURT: All right, thank you. We're on the 5 6 record now, outside the presence of the jury panel. 7 Mr. Lexis, there was an issue with the Superseding 8 Indictments as far as having a dash one, dash two. Could you please put that on the record? 10 MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge. I filed the one yesterday just with the case number and not a dash one, dash two. 11 12 would move to strike that Amended Second Superseding Indictment. And then I just brought up a version which has 13 14 the dash one and dash two, and I would ask that that be filed. 15 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Arnold? 16 MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Hart? 18 MR. HART: No, I'm assuming it's the same. I was 19 shown it. I didn't get a --20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. HART: -- chance to go all the way through. 22 long as the same --23 THE COURT: Are they the same, Mr. Lexis? 24 MR. LEXIS: It's the same, Judge. 25 THE COURT: All right, they'll be filed. Thank you.

1	Anything else before the marshal brings the jury in?
2	MR. LEXIS: Just when Madilyn comes down, I'll put
3	on the record the stipulation to the exhibits, Judge, but the
4	ones that I just want to make clear ahead of time that are not
5	to go back to the jury is that this is during the time frame
6	of the law where, in order for it to be a commercial burglary,
7	they need to have a prior conviction. And so I will be
8	admitting those but not as exhibits for the jury, but they'll
9	be court exhibits.
10	So I will be bringing down I'll put it on the
11	record when I put on everything else. I just want to make
12	that clear that when I when those do come in, they'll just
13	be court exhibits, and they'll be prior felony a prior
14	felony for the each of the defendants.
15	THE COURT: All right. And has copies of those
16	prior convictions been provided to Mr. Arnold and Mr. Hart?
17	MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge.
18	THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Arnold?
19	MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Hart?
21	MR. HART: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right, thank you. Anything else by
23	the State?
24	MR. LEXIS: No, Judge.
25	THE COURT: Anything by Mr Arnold?

THE CLERK: Please stand and raise your right hands.

JURY PANEL SWORN

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Have a seat. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, it's -- obviously, you've been selected as jurors in this case, and I will now take a few minutes to talk to you about what to expect in this case. My comments are intended to serve as an introduction to the trial. At the end of the trial, I will give you more detailed instructions in writing, and those instructions will control your deliberations.

This is a criminal case brought by the State of Nevada against the defendants, Veneshia Oliver and Darrell Clark. This case is based upon a Second Amended Superseding Indictment. The clerk will now read to you the Second Superseding Amended Indictment and state the defendants' pleas.

(SECOND AMENDED SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT READ BY THE CLERK)

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, you should distinctly understand that the Second Amended Superseding Indictment just read to you is simply a description of the charges made by the State against the defendants. It is not evidence of anything. It does not prove anything. Therefore, the defendants start out with a clean slate. Defendant has -- defendants have pled not guilty and are presumed innocent.

In a criminal trial, there are two basic rules to keep in mind. One, defendant is -- defendants are presumed innocent unless proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendants are not required to present any evidence or prove their innocence. The law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence. Two, to convict, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that crimes were committed and the defendants are the individuals who committed them.

Certain things are not evidence, and you must not consider them as evidence in deciding the facts of this case: statements and arguments by the attorneys; questions and objections of the attorneys; testimony I instruct you to disregard; anything that you see or hear when court is not in session, even if it comes from one or more of the parties or witnesses. Remember, evidence is sworn testimony by a witness while court is in session and documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits.

There are two kinds of evidence: direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony about what the witness saw, heard, or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect proof of one or more facts from which you can find another fact. For example, if you wake up in the morning, and you see the ground, the sidewalk, and street are wet, and water's running down the gutter, you may find from those facts

that it rained during the night. It is proof of one or more facts from which you can find another fact. If you were awake during the night and saw the rain fall, that would be direct evidence, which is something you personally saw. You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight or value to both, but it is for you to decide how much consideration to give any evidence.

There are rules of law that control what can be received in the evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence, and the lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered, and the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an objection, ignore the question and do not guess what the answer might have been.

Sometimes I may order evidence stricken from the record and tell you to disregard or ignore such evidence.

This means that you cannot consider this evidence when you are deciding the case.

It is the duty of a lawyer to object when they believe that the admission of the evidence would violate the rules. You should not be prejudiced in any way against a lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party the lawyer

represents. Also, I may find it necessary to admonish a lawyer. If I do, you should not be prejudiced towards the lawyer or the client because I have found it necessary to admonish the lawyer.

Until this case is submitted to you, do not talk to each other about the case or anyone who has anything to do with it until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide your verdict. Do not talk with anyone else either by phone, mail, text, internet, or other means about the case or anyone who has anything to do with it until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors. You may tell them that you are a juror in a criminal case, but that is all.

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone tries to talk to you, please report it immediately to the marshal.

Do not read any news stories, listen to any radio broadcasts, or watch any television broadcasts, or watch any television reports about the case or about anyone who is involved with it.

Do not do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using reference materials, or make any investigation about the case on your own.

You may be tempted to visit a particular location. Please do not do so. In view of the time that has elapsed

since this case has come to trial, substantial changes may have occurred at the location in question. Also, in making an unauthorized visit without the benefit of explanation, you may get an erroneous impression. Therefore, please avoid going near or past any of the locations that are identified in this case.

In deciding the facts of this case, you may decide which witnesses to believe and which witnesses not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, only part of it, or none of it.

In considering the weight or value of the testimony of any witness, you may consider the appearance, attitude, and behavior of the witness when testifying, and a number of other things, including, one, the witness's ability to see, hear, or know of the things the witness testifies to; the quality of the witness's memory; the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully; whether or not the witness has any interest in the outcome of the case or any motive, bias, or prejudice; whether the witness is contradicted by anything the witness said or wrote before trial; and how reasonable is the witness's testimony when considered with other evidence which you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes forget things. You need to consider whether the contradiction was due to an innocent

lapse in memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

The weight and value of evidence does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses testifying for one side. You must consider all the evidence, and you may decide the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses on one side has more weight or value than that present -- presented by a larger number of witnesses on the other side.

During this trial, you will be given the opportunity to ask written questions of any of the witnesses called to testify. You are not encouraged to ask a large number of questions because that is the primary responsibility of counsel. Once the attorneys have finished their questions, I will ask you whether any of the jurors have any questions. Please write down your question with your juror number on a sheet of paper and raise your hand. The marshal will collect your question.

Questions must be factual in nature, designed to clarify information already presented, and addressed to the witness. Jurors must not place undue weight on the responses to their questions. After consulting with the attorneys in court, I will determine whether your question is legally proper. No adverse inference should be drawn if the Court does not ask a particular question.

It will be your duty to look at all of the evidence to be presented and determine whether or not the State has proven the defendants guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. You are the sole judges of the facts. You will decide the facts — what the facts are from the evidence that will be presented. The evidence consists of testimony of witnesses, and documents, and other things received in the evidence as exhibits.

You must apply the facts presented to the law the Court will give you and, in that way, reach your verdict. It is important that you perform your duty of determining the facts diligently and conscientiously, for ordinarily, there is no way of correcting an erroneous determination of the facts by the jury.

During this trial, do not take anything that I say or do as indicating my opinion as to how you should decide the case or to influence you in any way in your determination of the facts. At times, I may even ask questions of witnesses. If I do so, it is for the purpose of bringing out matters which should be brought out and not in any way to indicate my opinion about the facts or to indicate the weight or value you should give to the testimony of a witness.

Do not make up your mind about what the verdict should be until after you've gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the

evidence. It is important to keep an open mind throughout the trial.

At the end of the trial, you will have to make your decision based upon what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult. Please pay close attention to the testimony. It is very difficult and time-consuming for the court recorder to play back lengthy testimony.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what a witness said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not allow note-taking to distract you from what the witness is saying. You should rely upon your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors.

During the course of the trial, the attorneys for both sides, all court personnel, including myself, are not permitted to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are not trying to be antisocial or rude. We are bound by ethics and the law to talk to you. To do so might contaminate your verdict. However, you are allowed to ask the marshal any questions. Those questions cannot be relating to the case, more of procedure or -- excuse me, more of scheduling issues or any problems that you may have.

The trial will proceed in the following manner. One

of the deputy district attorneys will make an opening statement, which will outline what the State expects to prove. Then the defendants' attorneys may make an -- may make an opening statement; however, this is not required. Opening statements serve as an introduction to the evidence.

The State will then present its evidence, and counsel for the defendants may examine the -- may -- excuse me, may cross-examine the witnesses. Following the State's case, the defense may present evidence, and the deputy district attorneys may cross-examine the witnesses. However, as I have said, the defendants are not obligated to present any evidence.

After all the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side has the opportunity to present oral argument. What is said in closing argument is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence. Since the State has the burden of proving the defendants guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments. After the arguments have been completed, you will retire to deliberate your verdict.

State, are you ready with your opening?
MS. COLE: Yes, Your Honor.

25 //

STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT

MS. COLE: Throughout the duration of this trial, you are going to learn that June 2020 through August of 2020, there was a series of hotel room burglaries that started occurring, and you're going to learn that what was distinctive and unique about these particular burglaries was the damage that was done to these doors when making an entrance.

You're going to hear from the two lead detectives who, when they first got assigned to investigate these, immediately recognized that this was something unique and distinctive, especially regarding rooms on the Strip. And you're going to hear them testify that in all of their years of experience working on the Strip, this was a particular distinctive fact, and this piece of evidence allowed them to realize that these specific particular burglaries were, in fact, connected.

Now, you're going to hear from Mr. Gary Krusinski, who was staying at the Paris Hotel on August 16th, 2020, and he left his room that evening. Subsequently, he came back and immediately noticed that his door had been damaged, that his room had been broken into and entered, and that somebody who he did not give permission to had entered his room. There was credit cards missing, his wallet missing, other valuable items; in particular, a distinctive pair of headphones that have a unique name and a unique color.

And so he calls security. He calls police. And you're going to also learn that shortly after his room was burglarized, his American Express credit card was used at the Sbarro Pizza inside the Bally's Hotel.

Now, you're also going to learn that the Paris and the Bally's are connected, and at some point, you can be walking from the Paris to the Bally's and not even realize it. They're sister properties. And so this Sbarro inside the Bally's is very close in proximity to his room that was burglarized.

You're also going to learn, and you're going to be able to view video surveillance of another one of Mr.

Krusinski's credit cards, a different one, different from the American Express, a Capital One credit card that, approximately 10 minutes later after the card was used at Sbarro's, was used at the 7-Eleven on Koval. Again, geographically, this is very close in proximity to the Paris Hotel.

And you're going to see on video surveillance and the evidence will show the defendants, specifically, Veneshia in a black and white jumpsuit at the Paris on August 16th, in the 7-Eleven on August -- on August 16th, and also in the ARCO convenience store; the defendant Darrell Clark wearing light shorts, light gray shorts, a white shirt, and a white cap.

Again, on August 16th, their clothing is going to match, all

of the different locations that they arrive in.

Now, on August 21st, 2020 -- or 2020, you're going to hear from Rebecca Finger and Brooke Bargholtz, who are two individuals that were staying in a hotel room at the Paris on this date. They were there with some of their other girlfriends, visiting Las Vegas, having a good time. They leave the morning of August 21st, and they go down to breakfast in the hotel. Around 7:45, they leave. They return at 8:30.

Immediately upon returning to their hotel room, same thing. They notice the door has pry marks, scratches. They go inside; the room has been completely ransacked. They immediately know that their room has been burglarized. And one particular fact that they notice is there's a cell phone left in the room, and it's a cell phone that doesn't belong to any of the girls that they don't recognize. And this is a very important fact and piece of evidence, and I want you to remember this cell phone throughout these next few days, this specific cell phone that none of them identify as their own.

Now, at approximately 9:30, you're going to learn and you're going to hear from a custodian of record from Target that the Target on Maryland Parkway, which, again, those of you who are familiar with the area, is very close to the Paris, Harrah's, Bally's, and you're -- the evidence is going to show that Veneshia Oliver walks into Target. This

time, she's wearing black shorts, a tank top. And you're going to learn that Brooke Bargholtz's credit card and Rebecca Finger's credit cards were stolen, and they are then attempted to be used by the defendant at this Target.

You're also going to learn that Brooke and Rebecca have other stolen property; their computers, laptops, Apple AirPods, Apple Watches, lots of high-value items stolen, but specifically, they each have credit cards taken. And at 9:30, you're going to see, and you're going to be able to see on the receipts and the video surveillance that their cards were attempted at this Target that's very close to the Paris and the Harrah's.

Now, earlier in the summer, around June 15th, these same burglaries started occurring at the Harrah's. And you're going to hear from victim Esther Chae, who, again, doesn't live in Las Vegas, came to Las Vegas to vacation, to have a good time. Las Vegas is a -- is a city built on tourism. All these people -- none of these people were from here. They came here, visiting to utilize everything that Las Vegas has to offer.

And Esther Chae came back to her room on June 15th. When she tried to open her hotel room door, her key to her room would not work, and she thought that was odd. So she got on her cell phone, and she called down to security. She told security, you know, for some reason, my card's not working,

you know. At that point, she started to hear voices in her room, and shortly thereafter, a male, a black male adult, came out. He pushed her against the wall. He grabbed her neck with his hands, squeezing her neck. She was holding her phone. He took her phone and then completely ran off.

Shortly thereafter, a female suspect, who Esther describes as being 5'5, slender-built black female, came out of the room shortly thereafter. You're going to hear that Esther was cooperative with detectives, that she conducted and completed a six-pack photo lineup wherein she identified Defendant Darrell Clark with 50 percent certainty, and you're going to hear her testify. You're going to get to hear her describe and tell you how this interaction affected her, how scared she was, how she didn't know what was going on.

You're also going to learn that another event, again at the Harrah's on August 6th, Bertha Geradeau and her sister, again, came to Las Vegas to vacation, to have a good time. They leave their room on the night of the 6th. They come back. What do they notice? Same damage to the door, same scratch marks, same forced entry. They come into the room, completely ransacked. Bertha has a lot of designer expensive items that are taken from her, all name-brand, very expensive designer items.

Through the course of the investigation, detectives are able to pull a surveillance still from the Harrah's on 8/6

depicting the suspects that are seen throughout the other events, the same individuals. Throughout Detective Mann's investigation -- who you're going to hear from, and he's one of our lead detectives specifically dealing with the Harrah's location. Through the course of his investigation, he was able to identify Defendant Darrell Clark's social media, and on his social media, he was selling property that was consistent with that that the victims had lost or that was taken from them through the course of these burglary series.

And you're going to see on this PowerPoint, in the top right-hand photo, that was posted on his social media website. Detective Mann was able to send that photo to Bertha wherein everything she circled was the property that she identified was missing and was the property that she identified that was hers that was taken on that date and at that time. And as you can see, those are unique, specific, high-end fashion items.

Now, lastly but certainly not least, there -- the last event you're going to be hearing about through the course of this trial occurred on August 23rd, again, in this time frame between June and August when the Strip had just recently reopened amidst the COVID-19 pandemic, and tourists were coming back. Jewell Love and Patricia Williams were staying at this hotel. They also had several other family members, several other girls that were staying there with them.

You're going to learn, same thing, they leave their room at approximately 9:15. When they come back, they realize their room has been burglarized, that their high-value items — Patricia Williams is going to tell you that she had a little pocket of her Social Security card, her children's Social Security cards, which was taken. You're going to hear Jewell Love talk about she had a specific orange Tupac shirt and a specific backpack that, immediately on returning to the room, she identified were missing, and they reported those things.

You're going to learn that once the suspects were apprehended, their phones were seized. And you're going to learn that in Darrell Clark's phone, the evidence is going to show that he had a photo of Patricia Williams's Social Security cards; not only her Social Security cards, but her children's Social Security cards.

You're also going to learn that once they had identified that this was a series, there was officers that created a task force and were working together. Essentially, because the defendants were posting the stolen items on social media, an officer undercover was able to start reaching out, and they set up a time to meet and a place to meet in order to recover some of the stolen property. Particularly, they were going -- they had decided that they were going to meet up and purchase an Apple Watch.

That Apple Watch actually ended up being one of Rebecca Finger's stolen items, specifically, her Apple Watch that was taken, if you recall, on August 21st, 2020. Same exact serial number.

And you're going to learn that when they were apprehended -- again, as they're leading up to conduct a sale, a transaction, obviously, the defendants did not realize that this was actually an undercover cop -- Defendant Veneshia Oliver is found with those screwdrivers in her purse. She's found with Esther Chae -- Esther Chae, remember is from June 15th. She was the robbery, where she walked up into her room, and she realized that she heard voices. Her Victoria's Secret credit card was stolen. That was found in Veneshia Oliver's purse.

If you also recall, Jewell Love talks about a backpack and an orange Tupac shirt. That is Jewell Love's orange Tupac shirt that Defendant Darrell Clark is wearing when he goes to meet up, unbeknownst to him, to an undercover officer to sell the stolen items.

I don't know if you noticed that, also, that left picture with the backpack, that was also Jewell Love's property. And you will see on surveillance video from the Harrah's that, ultimately, before the defendant goes up into the elevators, he does not have a backpack. Subsequently, when he comes back down from the elevators, all of a sudden,

he has that backpack, and that backpack again is identified by Jewell Love, matching the backpack that she had that was stolen on that date and time.

So, ladies and gentlemen, at the end of this trial, Mr. Lexis and I are going to get up here, and we're going to ask you to return verdicts of guilty on all counts to both defendants. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Mr. Hart, are you going to exercise your right for an opening at this time?

MR. HART: Your Honor, I'll waive my right at this time.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arnold?

DEFENDANT CLARK'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. ARNOLD: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. The COVID summer, hotels opened, guests came back to Las Vegas. On the dates of August 6th through August 7th at the Harrah's Hotel, 59 guests -- 32 out of 59 guests reported that their stuff was stolen out of their rooms. That's just on the period of August 6th through August 7th. The fortuitous thing in each and every one of these instances, the hotel guest was not in the room for each and every one.

Here's the thing. Possession of stolen property is not indicative of a person going inside the room. Those are two very distinctive charges. There are several charges in the Indictment that refer to actually going into the room.

You're going to sit through three and four days of evidence. You're going to see videotape, you're going to see photos of stolen property, and it's all in an effort to suggest one thing: that my client, Mr. Clark, entered into these rooms. But what you will see is not one single fingerprint in these rooms. What you will see is no DNA in these rooms. What you will see is no video footage of him getting off the elevator at any of these rooms. What you will see is no evidence of him leaving these rooms with any property. There's none whatsoever.

They're going to be able, without a reasonable doubt, to prove that he was in possession of one item, and that one item is a iWatch, iWatch that was taken out of one of the rooms, and he was attempting to sell that iWatch through his social media accounts. However, that's the only piece of stolen property that they will ever be able to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that was in my client's possession. Again, possession is not indicative of going inside these hotel rooms. Those are two very distinctive charges.

And, at the conclusion, we're going to come back up here, and we'll go through the evidence, we'll go through every one of the charges, and you will see that my client never entered into any of these rooms, never committed a home invasion or a burglary of these rooms, and anything related to the charges in the rooms, we're going to ask that you return a

not guilty verdict for my client. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Arnold. Ladies and gentlemen, you've been -- I think we started around nine o'clock and been sitting for a while. Let's take a 10-minute break. It is now 10:25. We'll come back at 10:35. Every time we take a break, please leave your notepads on your table there.

During this recess, you must not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits either by voice, phone, email, text, internet, or other means of communication or social media. You're not to read, watch, or listen to any news, or media accounts, or commentary about the case. You're not to do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using reference materials. You're not to make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any way investigate or learn about the case on your own, and you are not to form or express an opinion regarding this case until this matter is submitted to you.

Ladies and gentlemen, I must read this admonishment to you each and every time we take a break, okay? So probably by the end of the trial, you'll have it memorized as well, okay? So we'll see you back at 10:35. Again, wait for the marshal to escort you back into the courtroom.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exit of the jury.

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. We'll see everybody back in about 10 minutes.

MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ARNOLD: For the record, I'd like to state an objection. I didn't during the State's opening because I didn't want to draw the jury's attention to it, but in their opening frame, they put up a -- whatever you call it, a screenshot saying that Bally's -- there are robberies that occurred -- well, burglaries that occurred at Bally's, the Paris Hotel, and Harrah's.

Through this whole Indictment that we sat through this morning, there's absolutely no charges of anything that happened at Bally's. There are no burglaries at Bally's that my client is being charged or indicted for. I mean, this unnecessarily rang the bell in regards to things that occurred at Bally's, and it's highly prejudicial.

I haven't had a chance to talk to Mr. Hart about this, but, I mean, the bottom line, there has to be some type of relief or admonishment at this point.

MR. HART: Your Honor, I would join in his objection.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. I'm sorry,

anything else to add, Mr. Hart?

MR. HART: I would simply join in his objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. State, your response?

MR. LEXIS: Judge, Mr. Arnold just told you there's

no events that happened at Bally's. Well, the Sbarro event,

which is listed in the Indictment, is 100 percent at Bally's,

and we've got footage at Bally's, and there will be evidence

at Bally's, all within the Sbarro event. So what Mr. Carl -
what Mr. Arnold said, we absolutely do have an event at the

Bally's.

MR. ARNOLD: And --

MS. COLE: That's correct, Your Honor. And furthermore, if I may respond, when I was talking about the Bally's, the Harrah's, and the Paris specifically, throughout the video surveillance, and I explained this to the jury, the Bally's and the Paris are connected. There's a hallway that's indoor, and you can be walking from the Bally's and walking to the Paris without even knowing. They're sister properties.

So, ultimately, when I was talking about those, in the context, it was saying -- at no point did I say there was a room burglary in Bally's, but there is absolutely a burglary of Bally's because the Sbarro's that we have charged as a commercial burglary is in the Bally's.

But in the context of the room burglaries, when I

specifically talked about room burglaries, I talked about
Harrah's and Paris. But in regards to Bally's, Bally's video
surveillance is absolutely appropriate. We have stills from
Bally's, there's an event at Bally's, and the Bally's and
Paris, for all intents and purposes, are essentially connected
without even exiting. It's one huge casino. So, based upon
that, it's completely appropriate, what was -- what was stated
in opening statement.

MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ARNOLD: -- I anticipated this response from the State, and that's why I waited before showing this hand. In the Declaration of Arrest by this detective, this detective, who's the lead detective that will be testifying at some point, he did home invasion, burglary investigations at the Bally's, the Paris, and the -- and Harrah's. I know without a shadow of a doubt that Ms. Cole misspoke when she put that up there. She did not know that I would be objecting to that.

This is what I want to happen, is there is no further reference in this trial in regards to anything that happened at Bally's since it's not inside the Indictment.

MS. COLE: And, Your Honor, if I may respond?

THE COURT: Yeah, sure, go ahead.

MS. COLE: Sbarro's that is actually charged in the Indictment, that address is listed in the Bally's Hotel, so

The information at Bally's will be targeted as

at Bally's or any of the other ones.

24

surveillance that shows them going in between the two casinos around these events, as well as the Sbarro's. But, yes, I agree with Mr. Arnold, and I believe that's what he's getting We're not going to be insinuating with these detectives that they were also investigating multiple other burglaries, which they were, at the Bally's and multiple other properties.

7 MR. ARNOLD: Good. Then we're on the same page, 8 Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you --

MS. COLE: That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Are you requesting any type of 12 admonishment, Mr. Arnold?

MR. ARNOLD: The only admonishment would be to the State, and he's -- and I trust his word there will be no talk about room invasions that occurred at the Bally's.

> MR. LEXIS: Yeah, that's fine.

Okay. All right. Ms. Cole, Mr. Lexis, THE COURT: you'll make sure on that, and also, advise your witnesses not to bring up the fact that there were room burglaries at the Bally's Hotel and Casino.

MS. COLE: Your Honor --

MR. LEXIS: We've done, Judge, and we will do it again.

MS. COLE: And just for the Court's reference, on page 3 of the Second Amended Superseding is Count 5 for

Sbarro, and that address is located at 3645 South Las Vegas Boulevard, which is inside the Bally's Hotel --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. COLE: -- and Casino. And, Your Honor, just for the record, we have a lot of video surveillance, and not -- because, again, the Bally's and the Paris are intertwined and they're sister properties, there is going to be video surveillance of the defendants in Bally's.

There's going to be no reference by the State that they committed room burglaries at Bally's, but there is going to be video surveillance of them walking from Bally's to the Paris. And so I just want Mr. Arnold to be aware of that, that we are not prohibited from showing the video surveillance of the defendants in Bally's.

THE COURT: So both defendants are shown on the video in Bally's; is that correct?

MS. COLE: Yes.

MR. LEXIS: Yes, we're --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. COLE: There's tons of video surveillance because of the close proximity of the two hotels where they're walking from Paris, and then they end up in Bally's, and Bally's to Paris, and vice versa, but there's going to be no inference or argument by the State that there were any room burglaries committed at Bally's.

1 THE COURT: Okay. I think it's appropriate to show 2 that because they are -- they are -- they lead to one another, 3 and also, there are charges of a conspiracy, which you need to 4 show that they were acting in concert throughout, so. MS. COLE: Correct. 5 THE COURT: So that's fine, but again, just admonish 6 7 your witnesses not to mention that they were also investigating room burglaries at Bally's. 8 9 MS. COLE: Yes, that's correct, Your Honor. Understood. 10 11 THE COURT: And I'm assuming -- and the defense 12 won't open that door. MR. ARNOLD: Correct, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right, we'll see you --15 well --MR. LEXIS: One other -- one other thing, Judge, 16 just because we have a lot of CORs out here, including the 17 18 T-Mobile person. I've talked to the defense counsels, and it 19 looks like we're going to be stipulating to these exhibits 20 with the caveat that they want -- and we're going to produce him -- the TASS guy, which is the phone-mapping person --21 22 Right. THE COURT: 23 MR. LEXIS: -- and the person that dumped the

phones, those two experts. When I went over the exhibits with

Mr. Arnold and Mr. Hart, they are demanding that they'll

24

for example, the T-Mobile --

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 1 (Court recessed at 10:35 A.M. until 10:49 A.M.) 2 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 3 THE COURT: We're ready. 4 (Pause in the proceedings.) THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entry of the jury. 5 (Inside the presence of the jury.) 6 7 THE COURT: All right, everyone have a seat. 8 Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. State, who is your first 9 witness? 10 MS. COLE: Your Honor, the State calls Tony Lemons. 11 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 12 THE MARSHAL: Watch your step. Raise your right 13 Face the clerk. Once she swears you in, scoot your 14 chair all the way in to that red dot, and you got to speak up 15 loud because you're behind this glass. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 ANTHONY LEMONS, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 18 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 THE CLERK: And state and spell your first and last 21 name for the record. 22 THE WITNESS: Anthony Lemons, L-e-m-o-n-s. First 23 name's A-n-t-h-o-n-y. 24 THE COURT: Go ahead, counsel. 25 //

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. COLE:
3	Q Good morning, sir. Where do you work?
4	A I work for Caesars Entertainment.
5	Q Okay. And how long have you worked there for?
6	A I started in 1997.
7	Q Mr. Lemons, what is your position and title at
8	Caesars?
9	A Security investigator, citywide.
10	Q Okay. And as a security investigator for Caesars,
11	what are your job duties?
12	A To investigate all different types of criminal
13	activity that happens upon our properties.
14	Q Okay. And can you list off some of the properties
15	that Caesars has?
16	A Paris, Bally's, Harrah's, Flamingo, Caesars, Rio.
17	Q Okay. And those would technically be all properties
18	that you are charged with supervising?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And how long have you worked for Caesars as an
21	investigator?
22	A About 16 years.
23	Q Okay. And as part of your job duties as an
24	investigator at Caesars, you have access to all of the many
25	video surveillance systems; is that correct?

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 1 Α Yes. 2 Okay. And you're familiar with all of the Caesars Q 3 properties? 4 Α Yes. Specifically, the Paris and the Harrah's? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 Okay. And did you become involved in an 8 investigation regarding hotel room burglaries happening at the 9 Paris and Harrah's throughout the months of June through 10 August 2020? 11 Α Yes. Did you assist Metro in their investigation 12 Okay. 13 into those room burglaries that were occurring? 14 Yes. 15 Q Okay. And did you provide video surveillance to 16 those detectives? 17 Α Yes. Okay. And that was specifically from the Paris on 18 Q 8/16/2020 and 8/21/2020? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 And specifically on Harrah's, 8/23/2020 and Q 22 8/6/2020? 23 Α Yes. Okay. And essentially, this video surveillance 24 25 essentially -- well, let me back up. Were you able to

- Q Okay. I'm going to pause it right there. Are those same two individuals depicted that you described earlier?
 - A Yes.

2

3

4

5

- Q Okay. So, essentially, this surveillance video is a bunch of surveillance tracking those particular suspects?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay, throughout their comings and goings at the Paris?
- 9 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Sir, the Paris and Bally's are connected; they're sister properties, correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Okay. So at some point, does the Paris turn into the Bally's and vice versa?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay, sir. I'm going to pause that right there.
- 17 What are we looking at here; what part of the hotel?
- 18 A That's still the mall area.
- 19 Q Okay. And the individual depicted, can you please 20 describe?
- A African-American male, white shirt, ball cap, and shorts.
- Q Okay. And in looking at the timestamp, it says this is 8/16, 20:27. That's obviously in military time, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 1 Q Okay. So what would be the regular time, Pacific 2 Standard Time? 8:27 --3 Α 4 Q Okay. 5 -- P.M. Α So this particular clip is from 8:26 to 8:45? 6 Q 7 Α Yes. 8 I'm going to fast-forward again. Okay, sir. Q Okay. 9 Do we see one individual or two individuals? 10 Α Two. 11 0 Okay. And what's the timestamp? 8:29. 12 Α 13 Okay. In non-military time? Q 14 Α 8:29 P.M. 15 Q Okay, sir. And are we looking at the same mall area 16 that you described? 17 Yes. Α 18 Q And, again, those two suspects walking together? 19 Α Yes. 20 And this is still eight -- what -- I'm sorry. What 21 time is it right now? 22 8:29 P.M. and 26 seconds. Α 23 Thank you. Again, sir, what are we looking at in 24 this video? Is this the mall area that you referenced, or --25 Α Yes.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 -- is it different? 1 2 Mall area. Α Okay. And this is specifically in the Paris? 3 Q 4 Α Yes. And then, again, sir, in this frame, are we still 5 Q looking at the same two suspects depicted? 6 7 Α Yes. And can you please describe the clothing or 8 Q Okay. 9 what the two are wearing? 10 Α African-American male is wearing a white Okay. 11 shirt, ball cap, and jeans. African-American female is 12 wearing a, like, two-tone, looks like black and white or black 13 and gray striped outfit, with a black purse with white side 14 with black stripes. 15 Q Okay. And when you refer to "purse," is that the bag on her left-hand shoulder? 16 17 Yes. Α 18 Q Is that what you're referring to --19 Α Yes. 20 -- depicted right here? Thank you. Okay, sir. Q 21 Where -- what are we looking at right here? 22 The elevator lobby. Α 23 Okay. And this is at the Paris, correct? Q 24 Α Yes. And, sir, just for reference, I'm going to pause. 25 Q

2 says 20:30. Is that 8:30?

A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Thank you.

MS. COLE: Okay, going to -- brief indulgence. Get up our next video.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

MR. HART: Your Honor, could we have a quick sidebar?

10 THE COURT: All right.

11 (Sidebar begins.)

THE COURT: All right. Because of the identification issues, if you speak -- this is Judge Villani. If you speak, please identify yourself and then make your comment. Mr. Hart?

MR. HART: Hello. Marty Hart. And I -- on the walk back here, Ms. Cole figured out what I was doing the sidebar about. It appears that the elevator that they were just going into with the mirrors in it is in Bally's, not in Paris, and part of our discussion we had earlier was not any information about possible room burgs at Bally's.

MR. LEXIS: This is Chad Lexis for the State. But you also ruled, Judge, that, as you stated, we need to prove that they're aiding and abetting and conspiring with one another. I don't care if I have pictures of them at Hoover

Dam together; that is relevant evidence to show that these two know each other, are closely connected with one another.

I assure you we're not going to ask any of these people, are you -- do you see them going up to commit a burglary at the Bally's in a hotel room. We're not -- we're not doing that, but it's absolutely relevant to show when they're in any place due to the conspiracy nature and the aiding and abetting of this.

MR. ARNOLD: Well, we'll discuss that.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Arnold?

MR. ARNOLD: And this is Carl Arnold. And we'll discuss that more because there is a mere presence instruction that has to be given in this case. However, this is the problem that we're running into now is we just showed a picture of Bally's elevator lobby. Most jurors may have some kind of, you know, visit to Bally's and be able to identify that. You have already put it on the record again that this thing happened at Bally's. You just showed them getting into the elevator bank. And, again, you know --

MS. COLE: And --

MR. ARNOLD: -- it's just confusing at this point.

MR. LEXIS: Judge, if the only charge was the Sbarro's at Bally's, it would be 100 percent relevant to show that they were together going up the elevators; they even had a room, if that was the case, at Bally's. So, not to mention,

all of these charges, once again, it is highly relevant to -I see absolutely no basis to object to showing these people
going in and out of the elevators, even if there is no video
of them in the elevator going up or in the hallways in the
residence, but I would still argue that that's relevant. Once
again, I got to show that these people are intertangled to
this point of a conspiracy.

Mr. Arnold just brought up where we're going to argue about mere presence, even more of a reason on why I -- why this is relevant, for me not to -- for him not to just argue, oh, well, you know, he just happened to be there at the time. No. These people are closely connected with one another throughout this entire event and even caught together, living together, driving together. This is all relevant, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. This is Judge Villani here. Are there any videos showing the two defendants going into an elevator together in Bally's?

MR. LEXIS: Yes.

MR. HART: The one we just looked at.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LEXIS: Yes.

THE COURT: I mean, actually going in the elevator?

MR. LEXIS: Yes, sir, there's --

THE COURT: Okay, there's other ones? And is there

a video that picks them up at a later time in Bally's? I mean, does it go to -- I know the different floors, there's maybe a -- you know, a different level of the casino or perhaps a convention area. I mean, I don't know what it shows, but --

MS. COLE: Your Honor, Madilyn Cole for the State. Your Honor, this is the problem that we're running into is the Paris and the Bally's are interconnected, so a lot of times, the video surveillance shows them walking from the Bally's into the Paris. The CD that I was currently playing with Mr. Lemons is the CD that is labeled Paris 8/16, but in that, on that date and time, they're back and forth between Bally's and the Paris. There is no video surveillance that the State has whatsoever that depicts the defendants in hallways. The State is not going --

THE COURT: There is none?

MS. COLE: There is none.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MS. COLE: We do not have any video surveillance, it doesn't exist, of them in the hallways at the Paris, of them in the hallways at the Bally's. But it absolutely is relevant evidence that they are in hotels at the Bally -- hotel elevators at Bally's and hotel elevators at Paris because on 8/16, they are charged with a burglary at Sbarro's at Bally's, so it's relevant to prove that they are in that area at the

time that the burglaries are committed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Furthermore, because the hotels are really one and the same. That's the State's position is that even though they're technically called two different properties, they are connected. You don't have to exit the Paris before you get to Bally's.

So at no point is the State going to argue -- we can certainly cure this or limit this. At no point is the State going to argue that room burglaries were occurring at Bally's, but Bally's video surveillance and the location of Bally's is absolutely relevant to prove the conspiracy, to prove that they burglarized the -- Gary Krusinski's room on 8/16, and to prove that they burglarized the Sbarro Pizza in Bally's on 8/16.

- MR. ARNOLD: Gary --
- 16 MS. COLE: And this is the video from 8/16.
- MR. ARNOLD: This is Carl Arnold. Gary's room was not at Bally's.
- 19 MS. COLE: That's correct.
- MR. ARNOLD: Okay.
- 21 MS. COLE: Madilyn Cole for the State. Gary
- 22 Krusinski's room was at Paris.
- MR. ARNOLD: Right.
- MS. COLE: But Sparro's Pizza, which they
- 25 | burglarized on 8/16, is in the Bally's because the hotels are

1 connected.

MR. ARNOLD: Okay. So the cure is just show video of them going into the Sbarro's, that we don't have to show video of them going into a elevator bank at Barry's -- I mean at Bally's.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow the video depiction of the defendants in Bally's in the elevator area, even, in fact, in the elevators, as long as you -- you're telling me you will not show any video depiction for any of the floor -- room floors in Bally's, and you will not argue anything about room burglaries.

MS. COLE: That -- Madilyn Cole for the State. Your Honor, that's correct. I do -- would note that the elevator videos stop at them exiting the floors, but at no point can you tell what floor. We're not going to argue that they're getting off on specific floors. Even if you can see the floor level, it's not my understanding that they correspond with the floors that are burglarized. We're not going to make that argument.

We are just going to say that they're in the hotel
-- or they're in the elevators, but at no point are we going
to argue that they're burglarizing hotel rooms at Bally's.

THE COURT: All right, so that's the Court's ruling.

Mr. Arnold, Mr. Hart, if you want the Court to make any

admonishment to the jury to say that there's no allegation of

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 1 any room burglaries in the Bally's Hotel. 2 MS. COLE: Okay. 3 MR. HART: I'm afraid that just brings more 4 attention to it. 5 MR. ARNOLD: Yeah. For --THE COURT: Well, it's up to you. I'm --6 MR. ARNOLD: For right now, no, not --7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 9 MS. COLE: And --MR. ARNOLD: -- to the jury, but --10 11 MS. COLE: Your Honor, Madilyn Cole for the State. 12 I will also -- when I go back out with the witness, I will ask 13 him specifically, is there any hall room video surveillance 14 from the Paris, Bally's at all, so it's very clear that 15 there's no hallway --16 MR. ARNOLD: Bally's? Why Bally's? Stay away from Bally's. 17 18 THE COURT: Yeah. 19 MS. COLE: Okay, then I'll just say the Paris. I'll 20 just say the Paris. 21 All right. Thank you. THE COURT: 22 (End of sidebar.) 23 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, restate your last 24 question, please. 25 BY MS. COLE:

- Q Mr. Lemons, just to confirm, at the -- this video that you provided from the Paris, is there any surveillance video in actual hallways?
 - A In the hotel, no.
 - Q Okay. And is that particularly for privacy reasons?
- 6 A Correct.

2

3

4

5

7

8

- Q Okay. So there's never going to be any surveillance video of actual hallways where the hotel rooms are?
- A No.
- 10 Q Okay. Okay, playing.
- 11 (Surveillance video played)
- 12 BY MS. COLE:
- Q Okay, sir, so we just pulled up a different video

 14 clip. This is 8/16. Can you tell the jury what the timestamp

 15 of this particular video goes through?
- 16 A 8:44 and 15 seconds to -- it looks like, at the
 17 bottom right -- my eyes are going bad. 8:48 and 19 seconds.
- Q Okay. So if we -- if we're looking at the bottom left-hand corner, that says 8/16, 20:44?
- 20 A Um-hum.
- Q And then, to the right bottom hand corner, does that say 8/16/2020, 22:18?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. So this is essentially video from 8:45 to 25 10:18?

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021

A Yes.

1

4

5

6

- Q Okay. Okay, sir, I'm going to pause it right there, and I'm just going to fast-forward to a pertinent timestamp.
 - Okay. What time is this right now? What date and time?
 - A It's 8/16 at 22 -- 10:15 P.M.
 - Q Okay. And what are we looking at right here?
- 7 A Elevator shot. A camera inside the elevator.
 - Q Okay.
- 9 A An African-American male with a white shirt inside 10 the elevator.
- Q Okay. And, again, the time and date stamp is 8/16 at 22:15?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And we're at the Paris?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay, sir, I'm going to pause it right now. What are we looking at right here?
- A Another elevator with an African-American female
 with a black bag and white stripes on the side.
- Q Okay. And is that the only individual depicted in the elevator?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And, again, the date and timestamp, this is 8/16 at
- 24 10:15?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q Okay, sir, and I'm going to pause it right here. We're looking at timestamped 8/16, 10:15 P.M. What are we looking at right here?
 - A The elevator foyer.
- Q Okay. And what's the individual depicted in this shot?
- A African-American male with a white shirt, ball cap, and the shorts.
- Q Okay, sir. I'm just going to back that up really quickly. I'm pausing it right there at 8/16, 22:16, so 10:16

 P.M. What are we seeing right here?
- 12 A You see the African-American female coming out of 13 the other elevator --
- 14 Q Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

23

24

- 15 A -- along with a bag.
- 16 Q And that elevator, that was the far right elevator?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And, sir, what part of the hotel are we looking at 19 right here?
- 20 A This is just outside the rooming area.
- Q Okay. And, sir, at 8/16 -- I'm going to pause it right there, 22:16. What are we looking at right here?
 - A The African-American female with a striped one-piece outfit, with a black bag with white and black stripes on the side.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 1 And, sir, I'm going to pause it briefly and just 2 fast-forward to the relevant time frame. I'm pressing play at 8/16/2020, 22:17, so 10:17. What are we looking at right 3 4 here? Looks like the exit doors. 5 6 Q Same two suspects? 7 Α Yes. 8 And, again, that timestamp is 10:17? Q 9 Α Correct. 10 And, sir, the direction that the suspects are going, Q what direction is that? 11 12 They're going over towards Bally's. 13 Q Okay. 14 MS. COLE: Court's brief indulgence. 15 (Surveillance video played) 16 BY MS. COLE: 17 Sir, is this the other angle where that last camera Q picked up? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay, and the time and date stamp is 8/16, 22:17? Q 21 Α Yes. 22 And, again, sir, at 22:18, the suspects are still 23 walking? 24 Α Yes. Now, what are we looking at right here? 25 Q

- A This is Bally's Casino.
- Q Okay. So at this point, we've crossed over into Bally's?
 - A Yes.

4

5

22

23

24

- Q Okay. And this is at 8/16, 22:18?
- 6 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And so I'm going to stop it right there.

 8 Again, Paris and Bally's, smooth transition?
- 9 A Yes.
- Q You might not even know if you're entering into another property because they flow together?
- 12 A Correct.
- Q Are we looking at the casino floor?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And I'm going to fast-forward to a pertinent time frame. Okay, sir, 22:19:49. What are we looking at here?
- A The same two individuals. African-American male
 with a white shirt, ball cap; African-American female with a
 black bag over at Bally's like in the mall area.
- Q And I'm going to fast-forward. Okay, sir. What are we looking at right here?
 - A Okay. Over at Bally's, they have like a little food court area. You got Sbarro's Pizza in that area, and a Subway, and like a burger place.
 - Q Okay. And for reference, I'm going to pause the

- video at 8/16, 22:22, so that's 8/16 at 10:22. And if you could please -- and you can get off the stand. I just want you to point on the screen, or if you can -- I don't know if you can touch that monitor and describe --
- THE COURT: You can draw on the monitor, sir --
- 6 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 7 THE COURT: -- with your finger. You should be able 8 to.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- MS. COLE: Okay.
- 11 BY MS. COLE:
- Q Can you point out where the food court is, in particular, the Sbarro's?
- 14 A They're all right up in there.
- MS. COLE: Your Honor, do you mind if he just stands up off the stand and points at the screen?
- 17 THE COURT: That's fine.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 19 BY MS. COLE:
- 20 Q Okay, sir, you can --
- 21 A All right.
- Q -- get off the stand and show us on the screen where
 the food court -- in particular, where Sbarro's is.
- THE COURT: And, sir, since we do not have a
 microphone where you're at, please speak up very loudly so we

the food court. What are we looking at right there?

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021

- A The African-American female with a black bag with white on the side up in the seating area, walking through the seating area.
- Q Okay, and I'm going to pause it right there. Is she by herself, or is there an --
- A No, the --
- Q -- another individual?
- A The African-American male with a white shirt and a ball cap appears to be with her.
- 10 Q Okay. And for the record, this is 8/16/2020 at 11 10:30 P.M.?
- 12 MR. HART: 10:31.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 BY MS. COLE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q Okay. And those two appear to be walking together?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And that's on the outer portion of the food court?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. And from what you can see, same clothing?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Okay, sir, and I'm going to stop right there. We're looking at 8/16/2020, and it looks like it's 10:31. Is that
- 24 the exit of the Bally's?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Okay. And, again, can you tell us what we're 2 looking at right here? The African-American female with a black bag and the 3 African-American male with a white shirt, and a ball cap, and 4 5 the shorts. They just exited the food court area, what we call the Race and Sport stores. 6 7 Okay. And the male individual, is he handling 8 anything else that he hasn't prior -- that he hasn't had 9 before? 10 Looks -- yeah, looks like a bag. Α
- 11 Q Okay. Can you make out the color of the bag?
- 12 A It's a white bag.
- Q Thank you, sir. Okay. Okay, and I'm now getting ready to publish State's Exhibit 173. Sir, this is what's been marked as the Harrah's video surveillance.
 - MS. COLE: And for the record, this is going to be Exhibit No. 173.
- 18 (Surveillance video played)
- 19 BY MS. COLE:

17

- Q Sir, what are we looking at right here?
- 21 A This is the porte cochere over at Harrah's, the cab 22 drop-off area.
- Q Okay. And can you also state the date and time frame?
- 25 A 8/23/2020 at 8:11 P.M.

- Q Okay. And that technically says 20:11, but you're just saying it in --
 - A Yes.

4

8

9

- O -- what time it is --
- 5 A It's 20:11 and 27 seconds.
- 6 Q Okay. And what camera are we looking at?
- 7 A Camera 185.
 - Q Thank you. And, sir, I'm going to stop it right there. I'm going to have you describe that female individual with the large bag.
- A African-American female with a black bag with white stripes on the side of it, white and black stripes on the side.
- Q Okay. Okay, sir, and I'm going to pause it right
 here. Can you describe that individual that just got out of
 the same cab?
- A African-American male with black shorts and a light-colored shirt.
- 19 Q Okay. And, again, this is Camera 185?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q This is the date stamp of 8/23/2020 at 20:11?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Okay, switching to Camera 306. Okay. Can you tell us the date and timestamp of this camera that we're
- 25 looking at?

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 8/23/2020 at 20:12 and 22 seconds. 1 Α 2 Okay. And, again, that's 8:12 P.M.? Q 3 Α Yes. 4 Q Okay. And we're inside of the Harrah's at this 5 point? 6 Α Yes. 7 Okay. Can you please describe the female depicted Q 8 in this still? 9 Α African-American female with shorts, a tank top, 10 with a black bag with white and black stripes on the side. 11 Okay, sir, and I'm going to pause it right here. 12 Oh, let me go back. Okay. That's the same frame we just saw? 13 Α Yes. 14 Still looking at Camera 306. And, sir, what are we 15 looking at right here? 16 I see the African-American male with a light shirt Α and dark-colored shorts. 17 Okay. And what is the -- what is the time and date 18 Q stamp on Camera 306 --19 20 Eight --Α 21 -- the angle we're looking at right now? Q 22 8/23/2020 at 20:12 hours and 55 seconds. Α 23 Okay, and that's 8:12 P.M.? Q 24 Α Yes. 25 Q Sir?

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021

A Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 2 O That's 8:12 P.M.?
- 3 A Yes.
 - Q Thank you. Okay, sir, I'm going to pause it right here. What frame are we looking at now? Can you state the camera, the date, and the time?
 - A Camera 60, 8/23/2020 at 20:13 hours, 8:13 P.M.
 - Q Thank you. And --
 - MR. HART: Your Honor, that'd be closer to 8:14, technically. It's --
- 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear, Mr. Hart.
- MR. HART: Sorry. I believe it'd be closer to 8:14.
- 13 We keep rounding it off, but there's minutes in between -- you
- 14 know, almost a full minute in between a lot of these.
- THE COURT: Sir, if there's a specific time frame,
- 16 and counsel asks you about the time, please give the exact
- 17 time.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 19 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Go ahead,
- 20 counsel. Next question.
- 21 BY MS. COLE:
- 22 Q Yes, sir, please read the full number for the time.
- 23 A 20:13 and 56 seconds.
- Q Okay, and so it's almost 8:14?
- 25 A Correct.

- Q Okay, and what are we looking at? Can you please describe the individual depicted here?
- A African-American male, light-colored shirt with dark shorts.
 - Q Thank you. And what area of the hotel are we in right now?
- 7 A That is going into the Mountain Tower, the elevator 8 banks.
- 9 Q Okay. Okay, sir, I've changed the camera again.
 10 Can you tell us the camera, the date, and the full timestamp?
- 11 A Camera 2,001. 8/23/2020 at 20:21 and 12 seconds.
- 12 Q Okay. So at 8:21; is that correct?
- 13 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

- Q Okay, and can you describe the individual depicted in this still?
- 16 A The African-American female wearing a hat, with dark
 17 halter top, with a black bag with the white stripes on the
 18 side, and you can see a C on it.
- 19 Q Okay, the letter C?
- 20 A The letter C, yes.
- Q Thank you, sir. And, again, is this the elevator well we're looking at?
- 23 A Yes, going in the Mountain Tower.
- Q Okay. And then can you tell us what camera and the timestamp we're looking at here?

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021

- Α Camera 60. 8/23/2020 at 20:55 and 30 seconds.
- Okay, so that's 8:55? 2 Q
 - Correct. Α

1

3

5

6

- 4 Q Okay. And what's depicted there?
- An African-American male wearing a light-colored Α shirt, dark shorts. African-American female with a black bag with the letter C, ball cap, black top, black shorts, looks 8 like wearing a wig.
- 9 0 Thank you. What camera are we looking at right 10 here?
- 11 Camera 2,000. Α
- 12 Okay. And the date and timestamp?
- 13 8/23/2020 at 21:28 and 42 seconds.
- 14 Q Okay. And who -- what is -- what part of the hotel 15 are we in right now?
- 16 The elevator lobby. Α
- 17 Okay. And who is depicted in this? Q
- The African-American female with a black bag, letter 18 Α 19 C, the black hat, tank top, shorts.
- 20 And this is the elevator lobby? Q
- 21 Α Correct.
- 22 Q Okay, sir. And what camera are we at right now?
- 23 2,001. Α
- 24 Q Okay. And the date -- oh, excuse me. Let me get to this one. Okay, I apologize. Sir, can you tell us -- this is 25

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 1 Camera 2001, and what is the date and timestamp --8/20 --Α -- of this particular video? 3 4 Α 8/23/2020 at 21:41 and 28 seconds. 5 Okay. And what time is that in Las Vegas time? Q 9:41 and 28 seconds P.M. 6 Α 7 Q Thank you. And who did we just see depicted there? 8 Α Can you repeat, please? 9 Q I'm sorry? 10 Α I didn't hear you. 11 Who did we see depicted there? 0 12 The African-American male with a light-colored shirt Α 13 and dark shorts. 14 Q Okay, and that's the elevator well? 15 Α Correct. Mountain Tower. 16 Sir, directing your attention to -- okay, sir. Q What camera, and date, and timestamp is this? 17 Camera 73. It's 8/23/2020 at 22, looks like 34 and 18 Α 19 36 seconds. 20 0 Okay. Okay, sir, and who's being depicted right 21 here? 22 The African-American male with a light-colored shirt Α 23 and dark pants -- dark shorts. I'm sorry. 24 Q Okay. Anything new about how he's depicted here?

25

Α

He has a backpack.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021

- 1 Q Okay. Sir, what camera are we looking at right
- 2 here?

- A 502.
- 4 Q Okay. And what's the time and date stamp?
- 5 A 8/23/2020 at 22:35 and 10 seconds.
- Q Okay. And who are we viewing and what are we viewing here?
- A African-American male with a light-colored top, now have a backpack, dark-colored shorts. He's at one of our NRT machines.
- 11 Q Okay. And I'm sorry, what color did you say the 12 shirt was?
- 13 A It's a light color.
- Q Okay. And, sir, I'm just going to pause it. What is the date and timestamp?
- 16 A 8/23/2020 at 22:35 and 36 seconds.
- 17 Q Okay. And what time is that in Las Vegas time?
- 18 A That would be 10:35 and 36 seconds --
- 19 Q Thank you, sir.
- 20 A -- P.M.
- Q And then I'm going to direct your attention to
- 22 | Camera 98. Can you please state the date and timestamp?
- 23 A 8/23/2020 at 22:35 and 25 seconds.
- Q Okay. And, again, in Las Vegas or Pacific Standard
- 25 Time?

- A Yeah, 10:35 and 29 seconds P.M.
- Q Sir, I'm going to pause it right there at 22:35:42.
- 3 What are we looking at right here?
- A The African-American female with a tank top, dark tank top, dark shorts, with a black bag with a C --
 - Q Thank you, sir.
- A -- and the white stripes on the side, possibly a wig, going out our north doors.
- 9 Q Okay. Sir, can you tell us the date and timestamp 10 of where I paused this Camera 98 video?
- 11 A 8/23/2020 at 22:36:01.
- 12 Q Okay. And is that 10:36:01 --
- 13 A Yes.

- 14 Q -- Las Vegas time?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. And who is depicted here?
- 17 A The African-American male with a light-colored 18 shirt, dark shorts, now with the backpack.
- 19 Q Thank you, sir.
- 20 MS. COLE: Madam Clerk, can I get Exhibit No. 2,
- 21 please? Thank you. For the record, I'm showing defense
- 22 counsel what's been marked as State's stipulated Exhibit No.
- 23 2.
- MR. ARNOLD: Okay.
- 25 MS. COLE: And for the record, I'm publishing

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 1 THE WITNESS: 6th? Okay. I did -- it was blurry. 2 MS. COLE: Let me just zoom in. THE COURT RECORDER: Oh, you want the doc cam? 3 4 MS. COLE: Yes. 5 THE COURT RECORDER: Oh, I'm sorry. MS. COLE: Can you switch over? 6 7 THE COURT RECORDER: Yeah, I have to switch it here. 8 MS. COLE: Oh, thank you. 9 THE COURT RECORDER: There you go. 10 BY MS. COLE: And, sir, that number right there, is that actually 11 0 a six? 12 13 Yes. 14 Q Now that you can see it better? 15 Α Yes. 16 Okay. And you mentioned this was, in fact, from the Q 17 Harrah's? 18 Α Yes. 19 Q Okay. And that date again and time? 20 The 6th at 21:34 hours even. Α 21 Q Thank you. 22 MS. COLE: And then if we could just switch back 23 over. 24 THE COURT RECORDER: Okay. 25 MS. COLE: Okay.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 (Surveillance video played) 1 2 BY MS. COLE: 3 Sir, can you tell us the date and time of this Q 4 particular video? 5 8/21 --6 0 Okay. 7 -- at 07:27 and 36 seconds. Α 8 Okay. And this particular video surveillance, Q 9 again, because we're on a new one, is this time and date range 10 from 8/21/2020, 7:27, to 8/21/2020, 8:36 P.M. -- or 8:36 A.M.? 11 Excuse me. 12 Yes. Α 13 Sir, this is the Paris, correct? 14 Yes. 15 Q Okay. I'm going to skip ahead a little bit. Okay. 16 Sir, can you please describe the individual that we see 17 depicted in this surveillance still? African-American female with a white, like, halter 18 19 top, dark shorts, with a black bag with white stripes on the 20 side with the letter C. 21 Okay. And the date and time -- or the date and 22 timestamp, please? 23 8/21 at 07:30 and 31 seconds. Α 24 Q Thank you. And that's 7:30 in the morning, correct?

25

Α

Yes.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 Okay, sir, I'm going to pause it right here.

- are we at in the hotel? Elevator banks. 3 Α
 - Q Okay. And was that the same female depicted?
- 5 Yes. Α

1

2

- Thank you. What are we looking at right here? 6 Q
- 7 Inside the elevator. Α
- And we're at the Paris? 8 Q
- 9 Α Yes.
- 10 And this is at 7:31 A.M.? Q
- 11 Α Correct.
- Just to fast-forward it, sir, now the time and date 12 13 stamp is 8/21 at 7:41 A.M.; is that correct?
- 14 Yes.
- 15 Q And what are we looking at right here?
- 16 Α The same African-American female inside the 17 elevator.
- 18 Q Okay. Is she carrying anything?
- She has a black bag with the letter C. 19 Α
- 20 And this is inside an elevator at the Paris? 0
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 Okay. Sir, what are we looking at right here? Q
- This is the prior -- the African-American female 23 Α 24 with a white halter top, dark shorts, with a black bag with
- 25 the white stripes on the side, letter C, and an

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 African-American male with a red shirt, ball cap, and blue 1 2 jeans. Okay. And what color is the hat? 3 Red. 4 Α 5 Okay. And this is at 8/21/2020 at 7:42:28? Q Correct. 6 Α 7 Q And, again, we're at the Paris? 8 Α Paris, yeah, before you go into the elevator lobby 9 area. 10 And, sir, is this the elevator at the Paris? Q 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. And are the female and the male suspect both 13 in this elevator? 14 Α Yes. 15 Q Okay. And the time and date stamp, please? 16 8/21/20 at 07:43 and 17 seconds. Α 17 Thank you. And, sir, do both male and female Q suspects exit the elevator? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. And that's at 8/21/20, 7:38? 0 21 Α Yes. 22 Briefly, sir, can you tell me the date and timestamp 23 that we're looking at right here? And I know this video is a little bit fuzzy. 24 25 It's 8/21/20 at 08:30 and 51 seconds.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 Q Okay. And who is depicted? You have the male -- can you rewind it? I -- I --Α 0 Is there an individual wearing a red shirt --Α Yes. -- and a hat? Q I see the male with a red -- with a red shirt, red Α hat, and back -- looks like he has a backpack. Is this, again, 8/21/20, 8:31 A.M.? Q Α Yes. And this is in the elevator at the Paris? Q

- 10
- 11 Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- He exits the elevator? 12 0
- 13 Say it again, please. Α
- 14 Q Did he just exit the elevator?
- 15 Α Yes.
- 16 And, sir, is that the same individual that was in Q 17 the elevator?
- 18 Α Yes. African-American male with a red shirt, red 19 hat, jeans, and backpack.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 THE COURT: So, everybody, we're 12:10 at this time.
- 22 I think it's a good time for us to take our lunch break.
- 23 Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a one-hour lunch, so
- 24 we'll come back at 1:10. It's 12:10 now, so one hour, 1:10.
- Again, please wait outside the courtroom until the marshal 25

escorts you in. Please make sure your notebooks remain on your table.

During this lunch recess, you must not discuss or communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits either by voice, phone, email, text, internet, or other means of communication or social media. You're not to read, watch, or listen to any news, or media accounts, or commentary about the case. You're not to do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using reference materials. You're not to make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own, and you are not to form or express an opinion regarding the case until it's submitted to you.

Again, ladies and gentlemen, during the lunch break, you may see some of my staff, myself, some of the attorneys, some of the witnesses at the lunch hour. Please understand everyone's under strict orders basically to ignore you, so we will not have any communication to you. Oftentimes, people will say hello, nice weather, something like that. None of us are going to make any comments towards you, so please ignore us. We are not going to take any offense to that, and we're going to ignore you, okay? Have a great lunch. We'll see you back at 1:10.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exit of the jury. 1 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 2 THE COURT: All right. Sir, we'll need you to come 3 4 back at 1:10, please. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Anything by the State before 6 7 I leave? 8 MR. LEXIS: No, Judge. 9 THE COURT: Anything by Mr. Arnold? MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Hart? 11 12 MR. HART: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, see everybody back at 1:10. 13 14 Have a good lunch. (Court recessed at 12:12 P.M. until 1:20 P.M.) 15 16 (Outside the presence of the jury.) THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entry of the jury. 17 (Inside the presence of the jury.) 18 19 THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and 20 gentlemen. We're going to recall our witness. 21 All right, sir, do you understand that you are still 22 under oath? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and have a seat, 25 sir. Go ahead, counsel.

```
1
              MS. COLE:
                         Thank you, Your Honor. So, Mr. Lemons --
2
   and just for the record, we are -- we still have State's
   Exhibit No. 2 that has been stipulated into evidence.
3
 4
   current timestamp is 8/21/20 at 8:33, and Mr. Lemons, I'm
   going to continue playing that as soon as you're ready.
5
                      (Surveillance video played)
6
7
   BY MS. COLE:
8
              Sir, I'm going to pause it right there. Can you
         Q
   please state the date and timestamp and what we're looking at
10
   right here?
11
              8/21/20, 08:33 and 57 seconds.
12
              Okay. And the individual depicted?
13
              African-American male with a red hat, red shirt,
14
   blue jeans, and a backpack.
15
         Q
              Okay. And, again, we're at the Paris, and this is
16
    8:30 in the morning?
17
              Correct.
         Α
18
              Sir, I'm going to pause it right there. Is that
19
    that same individual you described depicted right there?
20
         Α
              I'm sorry?
21
              Sir, is that that same individual depicted right
22
    there that you just previously described?
23
         Α
              Yes.
24
         Q
              Okay. And --
25
              African-American male with a red shirt, red hat,
         Α
```

- 1 backpack, and blue jeans.
 - Q Okay. And can you please state the date and timestamp?
 - A 8/21/20 at 08:34 and 40 seconds.
 - Q Same individual we're looking at right here?
- 6 A Yes.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And, sir, can you please describe the individual depicted right there?
- A African-American female with black shorts and a white halter top, with a black bag with a C on the side and the white and black stripes on the side. Excuse me.
- Q Okay. Sir, at Harrah's and the Paris, what is the retention of video surveillance? What is the retention period?
- 15 A Seven days.
- 16 Q Okay. And what happens after seven days?
- 17 A It gets taped over.
 - Q Okay. And, sir, directing your attention to what's been previously stipulated to, State's Exhibit No. 174, just put that on the projector. And what you earlier described as an exhibit -- or as a still photo from surveillance video from the Harrah's on August 6th, by the time there was a request for video surveillance, did you still have that video surveillance in your system?
 - A No, tape retention had expired.

1 Okay. And that's referencing the video on August 2 6th, 2020, at the Harrah's? Correct. 3 Α 4 Okay. So this still -- this still of the 5 surveillance video is what you had left? Α Yes. 6 7 MS. COLE: Court's brief indulgence. And, Your 8 Honor, at this time, I'll pass the witness. 9 THE COURT: All right. Defense counsel, any questions for this witness? Mr. Arnold? 10 11 MR. HART: Mr. Arnold, he's calling you first. 12 MR. ARNOLD: Oh, you want me to go first? Can we 13 switch back? 14 THE COURT RECORDER: Oh, you want the Elmo? 15 MR. ARNOLD: Yeah, just for that picture. 16 THE COURT RECORDER: All right. 17 (Pause in the proceedings.) 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ARNOLD: 19 20 Good morning, Mr. Lemons. 21 Good morning. Α 22 Or good afternoon. Sir, that picture of 174, you 23 said that's a still photograph. How did you get the still 24 photograph, though? What's that from? 25 That's from the elevator bank up in surveillance.

- Q So there wasn't a camera that took that. Wasn't that taken off a video?
- A Well, you can take a snapshot photo from video, yeah.
- Q Okay. So you had the video, and you took a snapshot photo, and that's how we're seeing Exhibit 174?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Is that correct?
 - A Yes.

- Q So, again, you were asked, where is the video footage for 8/6?
 - A Correct. We didn't have it. At that point in time, what had happened, all we had was a description of a individual committing a crime. That's all we had to go -- these individuals matched that description. Nothing was saved at that point in time.
 - Q So why wasn't it saved? If you had this, somebody alerted to you that there are two African -- a male and a woman that was involved or suspects of, you know, robberies. It gave you enough information to go ahead and take this still photograph. How come you just didn't keep the whole video?
 - A Because that's -- that's all we had to go on was just a basic description, so they didn't save video at that point in time.
 - Q So are you trying to say that all the video from 8/6

A Investigator.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And are you in charge of the video surveillance?
- A Well, we have access to the rooms, yes, and we can pull video.

- Q You have access to the rooms; you have access to video surveillance. In regards to how the video surveillance works, isn't there video in the hallways of the rooms?
- A No.

2

3

5

6

- Q There's no video at the end of the hallway? No video at the back?
- 7 A No.
 - Q No video at the staircase?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q What about inside the elevator itself, is there 11 video?
- 12 A There are certain elevators cameras, yes. So --
- 13 Q And we saw some of those today --
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q -- is that correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- Q And you have the ability to zoom in and out with the video; is that correct?
- 19 A If you're watching it live.
- 20 Q If you're watching it live?
- 21 A And it depends on which type of camera.
- Q Earlier this morning, I believe we were watching it live; is that correct?
- A Well, what I mean, live is -- you have two different types of cameras. You have a PTZ that can zoom in, so if I'm

at the control, I can zoom in on somebody. But if you're watching something that's afterwards, you can't zoom in.

- Q Okay. So, again, earlier this morning, we were watching the video. I think a button was accidentally pulled, and we got a zoom-in picture of a lady on a bench where -- excuse me, where we could clearly see her face. Don't we have that in these videos that have been introduced into evidence?
- A Well, once you record a video, you can zoom -- I mean, certain systems, you can zoom in a little bit, but usually, it pixelizes.
 - O It would what?

- A Usually, it'll -- sometimes it'll pixelize.
- Q Sorry, I didn't hear you. He was talking to me.
- A Well, once a video is recorded, certain systems have capabilities you can zoom in a little bit, but when it blows up the picture like she did here with this one, it'll sometimes start pixelizing.
- Q Well, wouldn't you agree that most of the video that we saw this morning, that was fuzzy?
- A Some of it was, yes.
 - Q And do we have any clear photographs of that video?
- 22 A No. What we have is what's on video.
 - Q So, like, we don't have a close-up of the face of the individuals where you can take the photograph and easily compare this man's face or this woman's face to the video?

- A What we have is what we showed earlier.
- Q So, mostly, how you're making these identifications of who was upstairs and who was downstairs is based upon the clothing because you said there was similarity in clothing; is that correct?
 - A Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q But we don't know whose face is in that clothing; is that correct?
 - A Well, it is whoever's on that video.
- Q And I was staring at these videos, trying to see.

 Can you even tell if that individual has a mask on or not, the black male?
 - A It depends on --
- Q I mean, in this video, it's clear that he has a mask.
- 16 A Yeah, it looks like he has one here.
- Q Right. But in the other ones that we saw, can you tell if there was -- individual had a mask on or not?
- 19 A It's hard to tell.
- Q It's very hard to tell. It's hard to tell any features of that person's face from the video; isn't that correct?
- 23 A You saw what I saw.
- Q And I want to come back to this zoom feature. In the zoom feature, can't you look -- does the zoom feature work

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 in the elevators? Yes or no? 1 2 No, I've never seen it work in the elevator. But --3 0 Those are fixed cameras. 4 Α 5 How come we can't tell what floor is pushed on the Q 6 videos? 7 Α Because certain cameras just -- they've been around 8 for a long time, and some video is better than others. 9 Q We saw video from Harrah's, we saw video from Paris, 10 both elevator banks, right? 11 Α Yes. 12 So, and this all falls under Caesars Entertainment, 13 right? 14 Α Yes. 15 So you're going to tell me that Caesars 16 Entertainment doesn't have strong enough video that I could at 17 least see the buttons of where a person pushes? Depends on where they're standing in the elevator. 18 Α 19 Sometimes you see it; sometimes you don't. 20 Did you see Ocean's Eleven? Q 21 It's been a long time. Α 22 Ocean's Twelve, Thirteen, any of those? 0 23 Yeah. Α

And how they broke in there, and there was cameras

24

25

Q

everywhere?

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021

- A That's in the movies.
- Q That's not what we have in -- at the hotel?
 - A We do have cameras, yes.
- 4 Q But not everywhere?
 - A Well, at one point in time. Cameras can be moved.
- 6 O It would seem --
 - A At one --

1

3

5

7

8

9

15

- Q -- at one point in time, in this generation, the cameras should even be better; isn't that correct?
- 10 A That's your opinion.
- 11 Q Well, that's not true. You're the guy that works --
- 12 A Yeah.
- Q -- works there. Is it not true that cameras -- are
 we saying that the cameras in the '70s are still the same
- 16 A No, more than likely not. I wasn't working there in the '70s.
- 18 Q So they got better, didn't they?
- 19 A I would think so.

cameras here in 2021?

- Q And so it baffles me that I can't have a clear video. Does it baffle you?
- 22 A Well, there's thousands of cameras out there, so, I
- 23 mean, there's different things. They need to be maintained,
- 24 things like that.
- 25 Q How much video footage -- hours of video footage did

- you look at during the course of your investigation?
- A That's hard to say. A lot of hours.
 - Q Was it days?
 - A Pardon?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

16

17

21

- Q Was it days?
- 6 A Is it -- that is hard to say.
 - Q And did you see other individuals that frequent those establishments, the Paris and the Harrah's, on a regular basis coming in and out of the hotel in your days of looking at video?
- 11 A Not the same individuals, no.
- Q Do you have any video of any alleged suspect
 carrying some type of tool, like a jack or a hammer, to get to
 the doors and open them?
- 15 A No.
 - Q As part of your investigation, did you go look at the doors that were broken into?
- 18 A Yes.

rooms?

- Q And would it be, in your opinion as an investigator,
 that there was some type of tool used to enter into those
- A Well, there was pry marks on the door -- on the doorframes and the door -- and the door, yes.
- Q So, yes. Because of the pry marks, you believe that some type of tool was used; is that correct?

1 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q And in those videos, we didn't see anybody with any tools in their hands, did we?
 - A No.
- Q I want to go -- focus your attention back to the doors again. It sounds like an older hotel. I haven't been there, but do you have key cards to get inside the rooms?
- A Yeah, we have like little access cards. Yes.
- Q Okay. If a room is breached as it was in this case, and the key card wasn't used, isn't there an alarm or something that goes off?
- 12 A No.
- Q Why not?
- 14 A They don't have no alarms like that.
- Q Isn't there a way to detect if the door opens
 without the use of a key card?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q And isn't there a printout that demonstrate when the door is opened or closed without a key card?
- 20 A It depends on the hotel.
- Q Well, let's start with the Paris. Do they have that feature?
- 23 A I believe so, yes.
- Q Let's go to the Harrah's. Do they have that feature?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q That's the only two hotels we're talking about, sir.

 Okay. So it has this feature, and it would notify us when

 these doors are being breached --
 - A No.

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

- 0 -- is that correct?
- A No, it wouldn't notify.
 - Q What would it do?
- A Well, if a door is breached, there's no alarm or anything like that that will go off.
- Q Right, but there is some kind of electronic data
 that would suggest the door is being opened without the key
 card; is that correct?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q I don't remember. Just on this last video of the woman, you said that she was African-American. How were you able to tell that so distinctly from that?
- 18 A Well, it's just from the complexion.
- Q Well, she looked light-skinned to me, so how did you know she was African-American?
- 21 A Just, like I said, by her complexion.
- Q But we are looking at her from behind, and so you
 were looking at her legs, her arms, and that's how you're able
 to tell?
- 25 A Yes.

- O No other features?
 - A Well, which video are you talking about?
- Q The very last one. We had a picture of a woman with shorts on.
 - A Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

- Q And you said, well, she's African-American. I kept looking --
- A Well, that was because -- well, plus, also, the stuff she was carrying. She had that bag.
- Q There it is. And so, basically, since she had a similar bag to the person in the other videos, you believe that that woman that we saw in this video was African-American?
- A No, because I have seen enough video of the individual carrying that bag.
- Q Okay. Is there only one -- that -- is that bag so unique that nobody else can have that bag?
- 18 A No.
- Q Yeah. The last thing I was curious about, you had

 -- are those timestamps that we saw running at the bottom of

 each video, are those accurate?
 - A Usually within sometimes -- depends on the system -- a minute, 30 seconds sometimes. For the most part, within a few seconds. Sometimes there has been other videos or whatever, it might be off by, like, let's say 30 seconds to a

1 minute.

- Q Okay. We were watching earlier video in the morning, the one you stated that it was from the Paris Hotel. We saw a gentleman with a white t-shirt, he had a coffee in his hand, and you had him going out -- going in an elevator at 10:15 and then turning to his left. And then, approximately still 10:15, according to the video, another woman comes up in the elevator at the far right and then goes in the same direction. Do you remember that video?
- A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Then I was looking at the time and wrote it down again. You had them at 10:17 coming down and walking in the direction of Bally's. So between 10:15 and 10:17 is approximately -- those are minutes, right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay, so it took them two minutes. What floor was that? Oh, you don't know because the video doesn't show the floor, right?
- A Correct.
 - Q Okay, but I'd imagine it had to be a couple of floors up. It took them two minutes to get from a hotel room, go and search through the hotel room, get whatever they got from the hotel room, come all the way back down the elevator, and then get all the way down to Bally's at 10:17? Two minutes?

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 1 Α It'd be pretty fast. 2 It was fast, but that's what your video showed, 3 right? 4 Α Okay, if you say so. I didn't say so; that's what I was looking at. 5 Q 6 you have any information to dispute that? 7 Α No. 8 MR. ARNOLD: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Hart? 10 MR. HART: Just a few. CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. HART: 12 I just want to follow up, and maybe I'm mistaken. 13 14 It's all digital recording you have there, correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 Okay, at Harrah's? Q 17 Α Yeah. Digital, not analog? 18 Q 19 Α Yes. 20 At Paris? Q 21 Α Yes. 22 At Bally's? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Okay. And you have literally thousands of cameras 25 at each place, right?

A Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

- Q And you have stationary, and then you -- fixed cameras --
 - A Yes.
 - Q -- that cover -- unless there's a corner somewhere, cover the entire area, correct, on the floor of the casino downstairs, correct?
 - A Now, say it again. I'm sorry.
- 9 Q They cover pretty much every square foot, absent a
 10 little corner here or there, of the casino lobby, downstairs
 11 area, correct?
- 12 A For the -- for the most part, yes.
- Q Okay. You also have cameras that you can use a little joystick and zoom in --
- 15 A Correct.
- 17 A If you're watching it live, yes.
- Q Okay. And so when you're saying you followed them
 or surveilled them, it was -- you were watching stationary -you have stationary cameras that go throughout the place,
- 22 A Yes.

correct?

- Q Okay. And you're able to pick them up here, to there, to the next place throughout?
- 25 A Correct.

- Q Correct? And that's in the areas -- probably be -you know, we're looking at 174 here. Like, the elevator lobbies, stores, et cetera, and then it's even -- there are even more cameras on the casino floor, correct? Yes.
- Α

2

3

4

5

6

8

- Now, you said there's a seven-day retention? Q Okay.
- 7 Α Yes.
 - Is that for all properties? Q
 - Α That's the standard.
- 10 Okay. That's for all properties? Q
- 11 Α Yes.
- Paris? 12 Q
- 13 Α Yes.
- 14 Q Bally's?
- 15 Α Yes.
- 16 And Harrah's, correct? Q
- 17 Α Yes.
- 18 Okay. But you have backups so you can save whatever 19 you choose if there's a request or there's an incident,
- 20 correct?
- 21 Α If there's a request, yes.
- 22 Okay. Well, if you have an event at your place, and 23 you're an investigator, you can start to investigate
- 24 immediately, correct?
- 25 It depends on the situation. We handle multiple --

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 2 | 4-13-2021 Within 24 hours? 1 Q 2 Α Pardon? Within 24 hours? 3 Q 4 Α Yeah, but we handle multiple investigations. 5 Q Okay. We handle multiple properties. 6 Α 7 Okay. And just to be clear, on No. 174, which is Q 8 this deal that says "pause," so for August 6th, somebody took a picture of a paused video screen? 10 Α Yes. 11 0 And that's all? 12 Α Yes. 13 That's the only bit of video or anything else from Q 14 that day? 15 Α Yes. 16 Q Only picture? 17 Yes. Α 18 Q Were there any other events that day that you saved stuff for? 19 20 Not that I'm -- not that I have saved or anything, 21 no. 22 Okay. Q 23 There could have been other events, yes, such as Α 24 they save stuff for gaming, things like that. 25 Would you have access to those? Q

A Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

- Q Okay. And it's your testimony today that there are no cameras in the lobby areas, getting out on the various floors?
 - A Correct. In the hotel towers, yes, there's no cameras in lobbies, on the floors -- or in the floors.
 - Q And none going down the hall?
 - A No. Correct.
 - Q Has that always been the -- been like that?
- A As far as I know, yes. There is a couple towers at one of our properties that has cameras, but that is it.
- Q Okay, because I could have sworn I distinctly remember seeing down a hall on one. That's why I'm asking.
- 14 A Yeah, not in --
- 15 Q For an event.
- 16 A Not in the hotel.
- Q Okay. But there -- and not showing where people come out?
- 19 A Pardon?
- Q Not even in the lobbies, the foyers where they come out of the -- out of the different elevators?
- A Some of the hotels do have cameras point in that direction. Depends on where the camera is. Like, at one of our hotels, it's a PTZ.
- 25 0 Which is a?

- A Pan and tilt, where -- that's one that can be moved. So if they're -- let's say they're following somebody, then they'll move the camera back in that direction.
- Q Okay. But then they'd be going down the hall or something, right?
 - A Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- O Correct?
- A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. Now, when we talked about -- so, like, at any 10 time, you can -- you have backups where you can save -- like, 11 this stuff was saved to a DVD, correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay, or you have other hard drives you can save --
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q -- information to, correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q So you can save it if you choose, if you just determine if it's significant enough?
- 19 A I didn't say that.
- 20 Q Okay.

21

22

- A It depends on the situation, you know, when we get the information. You know, we work with surveillance when they get the information, so when it's reported.
- Q Okay. Well, August 6th was supposed to be a robbery, correct?

A Yes.

1

4

7

- Q Okay. And you -- Mr. Arnold asked you about when a door opens, do you guys have a log --
 - A Yes.
- 5 Q -- that you can do, electronic log for all doors?
- 6 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Whether it's opened, and those are available for going back a ways, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Whether it's opened with a card or just opened, forced, correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now, you also have security by the elevators, correct?
- A No, not always. It -- the manpower. It depends.

 16 Certain times, there is security by the elevators; certain
- 17 times, there's not.
- Q Okay. You don't generally have somebody you have to show a card to go into --
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q -- get to the elevators?
- 22 A No.
- A Yeah. They used to have it posted, but then, when the workflow gets busy, they'll pull those officers and have

them do other stuff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q Okay. Did you check to see if people were working those elevators?
- A At that time, we didn't have anybody posted at those elevators. (Indiscernible) it was pre-pandemic, where, you know, after the pandemic --
- Q It's safe to say if I was to walk through any one of these three casinos, through the lobbies, through the casino floor, and back out, you would be able to track me, correct?
- A To a certain extent. You know, if you walk into a blind spot --
- 12 Q Yeah.
- 13 A -- our cameras turn another way.
 - Q Okay. But you also had the fixed pretty much covering everything, correct? I mean, I could stand in one place for a couple minutes, but you'd eventually get me moving, correct?
 - A Well, if you walk through, and we have a description, eventually, yes. I mean, you walk through a casino, eventually, one camera's going to pick you up.
- 21 Q Yeah, okay. Especially on the casino floor?
- 22 A Yeah.
 - Q Okay. How long do you retain the information on doors opening and closing?
- 25 A I'm not sure how long that goes back for.

- Months --1 0 It goes by entries, so it's -- I'm not sure exactly 2 3 how -- how many entries it'll go back. 4 Okay. It can go back weeks and months, correct? Q 5 I believe they can probably go back -- it depends on 6 how many times the door is accessed, and engineering usually 7 pulls those reports. 8 0 Yeah. Depends on the property, and depends on how many 9 Α times a door's been opened and closed. 10 11 Okay. How many does it record? Do you know? No, I don't. 12 Α 13 Q Would you say at least 100? 14 Α Yeah. 15 0 Okay. At least 100 openings and closings? 16 Yeah. Α 17 And quite probably more, correct? 0 18 Α Yeah. MR. HART: Okay. Nothing further for this witness. 19 20 THE COURT: Any redirect by the State? 21 MS. COLE: Yes, Your Honor, briefly. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 22
- 23 BY MS. COLE:

25

Q Mr. Lemons, let's talk a little bit about the surveillance that was collected in this case. Ultimately,

when security is tasked, or yourself, an investigator, with obtaining certain surveillance, was there certain time frames that you were alerted to -- of?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

- Q Okay. And essentially, when you're surveilling somebody, and I'm using that term as a term of art, is your job to particularly track their movement in the properties?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And so the surveillance video that you collected was essentially you got a suspect description, and you tracked those suspect descriptions throughout their movements when they were in Caesars properties?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And that was the video that we looked at today at length?
- 16 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Now, besides -- I believe the Harrah's video from 8/23 is the only date that the video actually is in chronological order?
- 20 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. So it's fair to say that the video that we viewed from 8/16 and 8/21, not necessarily all video clips are in chronological order?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Is it also fair to say that there's different angles

- and different cameras for different time frames?
- A Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

- O And some of the video might be out of order?
- 4 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. So, essentially, the video that you collected in regards to 8/16 and 8/21 at the Paris that we viewed today is not necessarily all in chronological order?
 - A No.
 - Q We have certain time frames, but the video within those time frames isn't necessarily in chronological order?
- 11 A Correct.
- Q Meaning that there might be some video segments that come out of order?
 - A Yes. Due to camera location and stuff, and you're trying to save stuff, yes, sometimes things get out of order.
 - Q Okay. And when you're conducting surveillance of different suspects, it's fair to say that they're getting picked up on multiple different cameras throughout a property?
- 19 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And when that video is being compiled, it's not necessarily all in order?
- 22 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. Since Mr. Arnold was specific about some time frames on August 16th, let's go back to that video.
- 25 A Okay.

Okay. And, sir, what is this time frame?

This is 8/16 at 20:46 and 58 seconds.

24

25

Α