FILED

ELIZABETH A. BROWN

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA AUG 0 2 2021

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:	BY S. Young
THOMAS CASS, Appellant	No. 83297  DOCKETING STATEMENT CIVIL APPEALS
vsCHRISTA CLASSON,	
Respond	

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

#### WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District EIGHTH	Department P
County CLARK	Judge PERRY
District Ct. Case No. D-17-554355-D	
9 Attours of Climathia do alestica actatura	
2. Attorney filing this docketing statemen	
Attorney PRO SE	Telephone 702-530-1874
Firm	
Address 1752 YELLOW ROSE ST.	
LAS VEGAS, NV 89108	
Client(s) THOMAS CASS	
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names of their clients on an additional sheet accompfiling of this statement.	he names and addresses of other counsel and panied by a certification that they concur in the
3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s	):
Attorney PRO SE	Telephone 702-581-7667
Firm	
Address 2335 WEYBURN CT.	
HENDERSON, NV 89074	
Client(s) CHRISTA CLASSON	,
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address	
Client(s)	

(List additional counsel on separate sheet if necessary)

4. Nature of disposition below (check	all that apply):
☐ Judgment after bench trial	☐ Dismissal:
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	Lack of jurisdiction
☐ Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a claim
☐ Default judgment	Failure to prosecute
Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	☐ Other (specify):
☐ Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:
Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	☐ Original
Review of agency determination	Other disposition (specify):
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	erning any of the following?
Child Custody	
☐ Venue	
Termination of parental rights	
	this court. List the case name and docket number sently or previously pending before this court which
court of all pending and prior proceedings	other courts. List the case name, number and in other courts which are related to this appeal ted proceedings) and their dates of disposition:
02/26/2021 Evidentiary Hearing: MATTI	d Notice of Motion for School Selection for Minor;

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

The initial action between these parties was a divorce commenced on June 23, 2017. The Order from which appeal is sought was the result of a Motion to SCHOOL SELECTION FOR MINOR CHILD AND CLAIMING ON TAXES filed by Appellant on JUNE 22, 202 The motion was opposed and the

Court issued an Order Denying Motion on JULY 26, 202

- 9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
- 1. Did the District Court abused its discretion by not holding an evidentuary hearing on Appellant's Motion? 2. Did the District Court err in not upholding the decisions of the evidentiary hearing on 2/26/2021 and by accepting the Order filed the day prior on 2/25/2021 by the wrong party?
- 3. Did the District Court erred in basing the school choice on location rather than the child's best interest? 4. Did the district court abuse its discretion when it failed to make any best interests findings in its order that the child not attend Legacy Charter School?
- 5. Did the district court abuse its discretion when it failed to have the minor child interviewed regarding his scholastic preferences and conduct an evidentiary hearing on the issue of where the child would attend school?
- 10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

NONE

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
⊠ N/A
□ Yes
□ No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
A substantial issue of first impression
🔀 An issue of public policy
An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
☐ A ballot question
If so, explain: SEE ATTACHED SHEET

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

This appeal is presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals per NRAP 17(b)(5) because it involves an issue of family law. Respondent respectfully submits, however, that the Supreme Court should retain this case because the appeal raises an issue of statewide public importance regarding Nevada's domestic relations laws; therefore, I respectfully submit that this appeal should remain with this court pursuant NRAP 17(a)(13) and (14).

14. Trial.	If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last?	N/A
Was it	a bench or jury trial?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

NO

## TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of	written judgment or order appealed from JULY 23, 2021
If no written judg seeking appellate	ment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for review:
17. Date written no	tice of entry of judgment or order was served
Was service by:	
☐ Delivery	
⊠ Mail/electroni	c/fax
18. If the time for f (NRCP 50(b), 52(b),	iling the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion , or 59)
(a) Specify the the date of	type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and filing.
☐ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 59	Date of filing
NOTE: Motions made time for filing P.3d 1190 (201	pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the a notice of appeal. <i>See AA Primo Builders v. Washington</i> , 126 Nev, 245 0).
(b) Date of entr	ry of written order resolving tolling motion
(c) Date writte	n notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
Was service	by:
☐ Delivery	
Г Mail	

	by has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
notice of appear was i	med and identity by hame the party ming the notice of appear.
	•
20. Specify statute or ru	tle governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal
<b>20.</b> O <b>D</b> C C <b>11.</b> 1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	the go, or ming one come arrange and arrange are measure or orby
e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	
e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other NRAP 4A	
NRAP 4A	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
NRAP 4A	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
NRAP 4A	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to revi
NRAP 4A  21. Specify the statute o	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to revi
NRAP 4A  21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order a	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to revi
NRAP 4A  21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order as (a)	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to revi
NRAP 4A  21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order at (a)  NRAP 3A(b)(1)	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to reviappealed from:    NRS 38.205

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
☐ Yes
□ No
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
□ Yes
□ No
26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):
27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

• The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims

• Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below,

• Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)

even if not at issue on appeal
Any other order challenged on appeal
Notices of entry for each attached order

# **ATTACHMENT**

The Nevada Supreme Court has not addressed the significant public policy question that affects our state's domestic relations law: what constitutes "best interest" and "broad discretion" about decisions made that affect a family and its members? By not doing so, the District Court continues to issue inconsistent and often incomprehensible rulings. The manner and method of how the District Court settles disputes which affect the cornerstone of our society, family, is based on little more that the personal beliefs and prejudices of those issuing verdicts because there exists no foundation on which to build proper procedure. The broad discretion afforded the District Court on such matters has led to inconsistences that fly in the face of justice. Whether or not a party receives a favorable outcome depends mostly on the presiding judge rather than the facts and merits of the case. I my personal experience, three different judges presided over the divorce and custody preceding in which I was involved, and all three judges' rulings were contradictory despite the facts remaining unchanged. The lack of restrictions and accountability afforded to the District Court prevents the people from having faith or confidence in the Family Court system. Rules of Law empower judiciaries by providing a consistent foundation on which to build a proverbial house of reason and accountability where justice resides. Accountability to even moderately interpretable would greatly benefit both parties in disagreement and judges entrusted with making unbiased, impartial rulings based solely on a child's best interest; standard guidelines would make for expeditious, rational decisions that all parties involved may not agree with, but in which all parties can understand the rationale that was applied. The Supreme Court must address and remedy the public policy eroding the confidence and faith once afforded Family Court; the policy must encourage those sworn to uphold and enforce judicial precedent to

preside in a manner that is reasonable, comprehensible, and consistent. as These judges are entrusted with the welfare and best interest of those who are most precious --our children --and they must be held accountable if they do not act in a manner befitting a civil officer of such high standing and great influence.

e portir i de l'Après d'al

Taccara Thours 6455

## **VERIFICATION**

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

THOMAS CASS Name of appellant	Name of counsel of record
7/26/2021 Date	Signature of counsel of record
NEVADA/CLARK State and county where signed	
CERTIFIC	CATE OF SERVICE
address(es): (NOTE: If all names a below and attach a separate sheet CHRISTA CLASSON 2335 WEYBURN CT.	ounsel of record:  /her; or  ith sufficient postage prepaid to the following and addresses cannot fit below, please list names
HENDERSON, NV 89074  Dated this 29TH day of JU	LY , 2021 Signature

Electronically Filed
07/26/2021

CLERK OF THE COURT

No. D-17-554355-D

Dept. P

# IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

Christa Classon
A. B., Plaintiff
v.
Thomas Cass
C.D. Defendant

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that C. D., defendant above named, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada Order denying the Motion for SCHOOL SELECTION FOR MINOR CHILD AND CLAIMING ON TAXES entered in this action on the 26th day of July, 2021

THOMAS CASS PRO SE

1752 YELLOW ROSE ST. LAS VEGAS, NV 89108

Address