CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 008358 LVCEGA1@yahoo.com 1428 S. Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 (702) 358-1138

Attorney for Appellant

Electronically Filed Jan 12 2022 04:01 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DARRELL CLARK,)
Appellant,) Docket No. 83303
vs.	,
THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
Respondent.	(
	<i>,</i>)

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

VOLUME 2

Alphabetical Index to Appendix

Indictment	Pgs.	1-18
Amended Indictment	Pgs.	19-36
Superseding Indictment	Pgs.	37-57
Reporters Transcript	Pgs.	58-213
Amended Indictment	Pgs.	214-217
Verdict	Pgs.	218-223
Judgment of Conviction	Pgs.	224-231
Notice of Appeal	Pgs.	232-233
Request for Transcript of Proceedings	Pgs.	234-235
Case Appeal Statement	Pgs.	236-238
Amended Judgment of Conviction	Pgs.	239-246
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	247-258
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	259-272
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	273-277
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	278-282
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	283-300
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	301-307
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	308-313
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	314-318
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	319-328

Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	329-359
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	360-371
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	372-535
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	536-717
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	718-971
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	972-1178
Recorder's Transcript of Hearing	Pgs.	1179-1364
Amended Judgment of Conviction	Pgs.	1365-1372

DEFENDANT OLIVER: To the 12th grade.

THE COURT: And do you read, write, and understand the English language?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. You have been read a true copy of the Superseding Indictment in which you've been charged in Counts 1, 10, 17, and 24 with conspiracy to commit larceny, a gross misdemeanor, in violation of Nevada Revised Statute 205.220 and 199.480.

And Counts 2, 11, 18, 25, and 30 with conspiracy to commit burglary, a gross misdemeanor, in violation of Nevada Revised Statute 205.060 and 199.480.

And Counts 3, 12, 19, 26, and 31 with residential burglary, a category B felony, in violation of Nevada Revised Statute 205.060.2C.

And Counts 4, 13, 20, and 27 with invasion of the home, a category B felony, in violation of Nevada Revised Statute 205.067.

And Counts 5, 14, and 21 with theft, a category D felony, in violation of Nevada Revised Statute 205.0832 and 205.0835.

And Counts 6, 7, and 15 with burglary of a business, a category C felony, in violation of Nevada Revised Statute 205.060.

And Counts 8 and 9 with fraudulent use of a credit card, a category D felony, in violation of Nevada Revised Statute 205.760(1).

And Count 16 with attempt fraudulent use of credit card or debit card, a category E felony, in -- or gross misdemeanor, in violation of Nevada Revised Statute 205.760(1) and 193.330.

And Count 22 with robbery, a category B felony, in violation of

1	date, because of the pandemic and the limitations that's placed on the
2	Court to hold jury trials, it's, I admit, questionable whether or not that will
3	actually happen, or if reasonable cause will exist for the trial to go
4	beyond 60 days, but you do have a right to assert a right to a trial within
5	60 days of today's date.
6	Do you wish to invoke your speedy trial right?
7	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes, I do.
8	THE COURT: All right. Very good.
9	We'll come back to setting a trial date here in a little bit.
10	All right. Now, Mr. Clark, have you had a copy of the
11	Superseding Indictment against you read to you?
12	DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: And you don't need me to that was just now,
14	wasn't it?
15	DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And you don't need me to read it again to you,
17	do you?
18	DEFENDANT CLARK: No, sir.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20	State your true name, please.
21	DEFENDANT CLARK: Darrell Clark, Jr.
22	THE COURT: And how old are you?
23	DEFENDANT CLARK: 41.
24	THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
25	DEFENDANT CLARK: A little bit of college.

Do you understand the nature of the charges against you in

DEFENDANT CLARK: Is there any way I can get -- that I will be able to get copies of the documents and the transcripts from today?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: I'll also need those.

THE COURT: You should be entitled to that. It's a little hard because of limitations on just -- your attorney can't come over anymore and just hand you a document.

DEFENDANT CLARK: Okay.

THE COURT: But I think he can mail you something and you can talk with Mr. Hart about getting something mailed to you or somehow getting it to you one way or another.

DEFENDANT CLARK: Okay. And that -- that would be -- that would be all documents and the transcripts from today?

THE COURT: Well, you know, you're going to need to -that -- Mr. Hart is the one that has to put in an order for any transcripts,
so you're going to need to talk to him about that kind of thing; okay?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HART: And actually it'd be Mr. Arnold.

And, Your Honor, I don't anticipate ordering up a transcript for this not guilty plea.

THE COURT: I don't anticipate you doing it either but I'm just telling him you're the one he has to talk to, if he -- for some reason he wants something.

MR. HART: If he wants the grand jury transcript, which I think is what he means.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	DEFENDANT OLIVER: I also
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	DEFENDANT CLARK: Yeah, sorry, but
5	THE COURT: Anyway, to the degree you want any transcripts
6	about anything you need to talk to your attorneys. I'm not the one who
7	orders they have to place in an order and then I decide if there it's
8	appropriate for you to get the transcript. And so
9	DEFENDANT CLARK: I understand it.
10	THE COURT: So don't talk to them.
11	But let's go
12	DEFENDANT CLARK: All right. I was just stating it for the
13	record, that's all.
14	THE COURT: No, that's good. That's not a problem.
15	All right. Let's go ahead and set a trial date.
16	DEFENDANT CLARK: Okay.
17	THE CLERK: Okay. Calendar call will be December 15 th at
18	10:15; jury trial January 4 th at 9:00 a.m.
19	THE COURT: All right. Anything further
20	MR. HART: January 4 th ?
21	THE COURT: at this time?
22	MR. HART: Okay. Thank you.
23	DEFENDANT CLARK: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: Nothing further, Mr. Hart?
25	MR. HART: Not here.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
2	All right. Thank you.
3	Mr. Hart, thank you for taking the time to read that to the
4	defendants. I appreciate it.
5	MR. HART: Not a problem, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	MR. HART: Have a good day.
8	THE COURT: Have a good day.
9	[Hearing concluded at 11:39 a.m.]
10	* * * * *
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
21	$\sim 10^{-2}$
22	Una Vullani
23	Gina Villani Court Recorder/Transcriber
24	District Court Dept. IX

Electronically Filed 9/1/2021 1:32 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA. CASE#: C-20-351676-1 CASE#: C-20-351676-2 7 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 8 VS. 9 VENESHIA L. OLIVER, DARRELL CLARK, 10 Defendants. 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES THOMPSON, 12 SENIOR DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 THURSDAY, JANUARY 7, 2021 14 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: **ENTRY OF PLEA** 15 16 17 **APPEARANCES:** 18 For the State: ANN M. DUNN, ESQ. 19 **Deputy District Attorney** 20 For Defendant Oliver: MARTIN W. HART, ESQ. 21

RECORDED BY: ANGELICA MICHAUX, COURT RECORDER

22

23

24

25

For Defendant Clark:

CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.

Case Number: C-20-351676-2

1

[Hearing began at 3:20 p.m.]

4

3

THE COURT: All right.

5

On the record, Case Number C351676 Veneshia Oliver.

6

Ms. Oliver, if you'd stand up to the microphone, please.

7

I understand that this matter is now negotiated, would counsel

8 tell me what the negotiations are, please.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HART: Today my client will be pleading to four different counts, burglary of a business, invasion of a home, -- or, sorry, two counts of burglary of a business, one count of invasion of the home, one count of residential burglary. The State will not oppose dismissals of cases 20CR020130 and 19F23590X at the rendition of sentence. The State and the Defense both stipulate to an aggregate sentence of 36 to 90 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections. And the State has no opposition to concurrent time between the charges. The Defendant also agrees to restitution in all cases. And there's a forfeiture of property seized and the stipulation of compromise was also signed.

MS. DUNN: And --

MR. HART: It is contingent upon both defendants accepting the negotiation.

MS. DUNN: That is all correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Oliver, can you hear me?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: I don't want to take it but I am.

Yes, I can hear you.

1	THE COURT: Did you hear the attorneys explain the
2	negotiations in this case?
3	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Do you understand those negotiations?
5	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yeah.
6	THE COURT: Are you in agreement with them?
7	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yeah.
8	THE COURT: What is your plea to the four counts in the
9	Amended Information, charging you
10	MR. HART: It's a Superseding Indictment, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: This is an Amended Superseding Indictment
12	charging you with burglary of a business, Count 1; burglary of a
13	business, Count 2; invasion of the home, Count 3; and residential
14	burglary Count 4; guilty or not guilty?
15	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Guilty.
16	THE COURT: Is your plea of guilty freely and voluntarily
17	given?
18	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yeah. Yes.
19	THE COURT: Have any threats been made against you or
20	anyone closely associated with you in order to cause you to enter the
21	plea of guilty?
22	DEFENDANT OLIVER: No.
23	THE COURT: Did you sign this written Guilty Plea Agreement
24	that I've been handed?
25	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
2	DEFENDANT OLIVER: As much as I could, yes.
3	THE COURT: What did she say?
4	MR. HART: As much as she could.
5	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.
6	MR. HART: You have
7	THE COURT: Do you have problems understanding it?
8	DEFENDANT OLIVER: No.
9	THE COURT: Do you need to re-read it or look at it?
10	DEFENDANT OLIVER: No. I don't [sotto voce.]
11	THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering this plea of
12	guilty you're giving up certain constitutional rights that are described in
13	the agreement; do you understand that?
14	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yeah. Like
15	THE COURT: You have a right to go to trial; do you
16	understand that?
17	DEFENDANT OLIVER: What rights am I giving up?
18	THE COURT: You're giving up the
19	MR. HART: He's about to go over those.
20	THE COURT: you're giving up all the rights to go to trial, to
21	call witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses, and to bring your own
22	witnesses.
23	Do you understand that?
24	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering this plea of

1	MS. DUNN: Yes.
2	And then with the intent to commit larceny and/or any other
3	felony.
4	THE COURT: Is that all true?
5	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Are the allegations in Count 2 that you entered
7	a burglary of a 7-Eleven or a Sabarro I don't know what a Sabarro is
8	MR. HART: It's a restaurant.
9	MR. ARNOLD: Restaurant.
10	MR. HART: Pizza place.
11	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
12	and/or Target on August 21 st , 2020, with the intention of
13	committing either larceny, assault, battery, or felony.
14	Is that true?
15	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yeah. Yes.
16	THE COURT: In Count 3, you're accused of home invasion
17	without going through all the language of Count 3 is that true?
18	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And Count 4, it's alleged that you committed a
20	residential burglary and I won't go through all the language of it but
21	is that true?
22	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Are pleading guilty to all four of these counts
24	because in truth and in fact you are guilty?
25	DEFENDANT OLIVER: I'ma lie if I say yes. Yes.

1	THE COURT: She received some of the proceeds of the
2	burglary?
3	MR. HART: Yeah.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. HART: And other items were found on her possession.
6	THE COURT: Did you understand what your attorney just told
7	me, ma'am?
8	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: Is that true?
10	DEFENDANT OLIVER: I guess, yeah. I'll say it's true [sotto
11	voce.]
12	THE COURT: So you're pleading guilty to these offenses
13	because you are guilty?
14	DEFENDANT OLIVER: I'm not guilty [sotto voce.] Yeah.
15	THE COURT RECORDER: Judge
16	DEFENDANT OLIVER: I gotta do what you all want me to do.
17	THE COURT RECORDER: excuse me, Judge. She's
18	speaking under her breath. I can hear her speaking under her breath
19	SO.
20	THE COURT: Do you want her to remove the mask?
21	THE COURT RECORDER: No, she's like answering and then
22	she's speaking under her breath very lowly.
23	MR. ARNOLD: She was making negative statements at the
24	same time she's making positive statements, and so effectively
25	DEFENDANT OLIVER: What is negative?

the microphone but then she'd come away from the microphone and say
stuff under her breath and so I just need to record it.
MR. HART: Okay. Could you tell what it was or? Was it a lot
of unintelligible?
THE COURT RECORDER: It was kind of hard to hear.
MR. HART: Okay.
THE COURT: What do you want me to do?
MR. HART: I'm fine but maybe I don't know what the DA
wants.
THE COURT: Is the DA happy?
MS. DUNN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
Let's pass it for a moment because this is conditional upon
MR. HART: Yes.
THE COURT: the other one also pleading. So I need to I
don't know who the other defendant is. I don't have a name.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oliver.
THE COURT: Oh, we have a okay.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I mean sorry. Not my turn.
THE COURT: Darrell Clark.
Mr. Clark, can you understand me, sir?
DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. I understand this matter's also
negotiated and it's contingent upon both of you entering these pleas. I'm
going to ask your attorney and the district attorney to tell me what the

negotiations are in this case.

MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor, my client will be pleading guilty to Count 1, conspiracy to commit robbery; Count 2, invasion of the home; Count 3, residential burglary; Count 4, ownership or possession of a firearm by a prohibited person. The parties stipulate to a sentence of 36 to 90 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections. The State has no opposition to the counts running concurrent to each other. The Defendant agrees to pay full restitution in the instant case. Additionally, the State agrees not to refer the instant case for federal prosecution. This agreement is contingent upon co-defendant accepting her negotiation. And, lastly, the defendant agrees to forfeiture as set forth in the stipulation for compromised of seized property, referenced as Exhibit 2.

MS. DUNN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand these negotiations, sir?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you agree with them?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Yeah.

THE COURT: Do you have any reservation?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Excuse me?

THE COURT: Do you think it's in your best interest to enter this plea of guilty?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Honestly, no. But I'm like -- I'ma go -- I'ma gonna ahead and go with it.

1	deal under those circumstances because that is not freely and
2	voluntarily. And I have advised him since 12 o'clock to say
3	DEFENDANT CLARK: Guilty.
4	MR. ARNOLD: that he wasn't going forward with the plea.
5	DEFENDANT CLARK: Guilty.
6	I don't I don't have no one fighting on my side anyway so.
7	MR. ARNOLD: You've got more than a fight; however, it's
8	whether you're going to take the plea or not, sir.
9	THE COURT: I don't find this to be a voluntary plea.
10	MS. DUNN: No, if he's
11	MR. ARNOLD: I agree, Your Honor.
12	DEFENDANT CLARK: It is.
13	MR. ARNOLD: Well, if he doesn't
14	THE COURT: And because this defendant has not accepted
15	it, I cannot accept the plea of the other defendant.
16	Who do I give these back to?
17	THE CLERK: Oh, I can just cross it out.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	THE CLERK: Thank you.
20	[Colloquy]
21	THE COURT: That'll terminate these proceedings.
22	Thank you, Marty.
23	MR. ARNOLD: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: See you, Carl.

oed the
bility.

Electronically Filed 9/1/2021 1:53 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 DISTRICT COURT 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-20-351676-1 CASE#: C-20-351676-2 8 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 9 VS. 10 VENESHIA L. OLIVER, DARRELL CLARK, 11 Defendants. 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2021 14 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 15 DA REQUEST RE: ENTRY OF PLEA 16 17 **APPEARANCES:** 18 For the State: MADILYN M. COLE, ESQ. 19 **Deputy District Attorney** 20 21 For Defendant Oliver: MARTIN W. HART, ESQ. 22 For Defendant Clark: CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ. 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: CYNTHIA GEORGILAS, COURT RECORDER

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, February 2, 2021
2	
3	[Hearing began at 9:05 a.m.]
4	THE COURT: 19 is Darrell Clark.
5	THE COURT RECORDER: There's a co-defendant, page 18,
6	Judge.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	We'll go back to Mr. Arnold here. Darrell Clark. Mr. Arnold, is
9	this matter resolved?
10	MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor, this is a co-defendant case. I
11	believe that Mr. Marty Hart has a client also and Madilyn Cole's the DA
12	handling the case. The matter has not been
13	MS. COLE: And, Your Honor, I'm on
14	[Simultaneously speaking]
15	MS. COLE: I'm on BlueJeans, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Arnold.
17	MR. ARNOLD: Yeah and the matter has not been resolved. It
18	was a guilty plea contingent on both parties accepting the guilty plea;
19	however, my client's not accepting the State's offer. And so the next
20	step would be to set this matter for trial.
21	THE COURT: And they were conditional on both parties
22	taking the negotiations; is that correct?
23	MS. COLE: That's correct; Your Honor.
24	MR. ARNOLD: That's correct.
25	THE COURT: Is mister

MS. COLE: And, Your Honor, just for the record, I want to -do put the negotiations on the record. Mr. Clark would be pleading guilty
to a conspiracy to commit robbery, invasion of the home, residential
burg, and one count of ownership or possession of a firearm by a
prohibited person. The parties would be stipulating to a sentence of 36
to 90 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections. He would agree
to pay restitution. And the State would agree not to refer the instant
case for federal prosecution. The agreement would be contingent upon
his co-defendant accepting her negotiation. And since he has revoked -or since he is rejecting that offer at this time, the offer will be revoked as
to both him and Ms. Oliver.

THE COURT: Okay. So he was going to plead to three felonies and you were going to dismiss 43 other ones; is that correct?

MS. COLE: He was going to be pleading guilty to four felonies.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. COLE: And Ms. Oliver was going to be also pleading guilty to four felonies. Hers were going to be two counts of burglary of a business, one count of invasion of the home, one count of residential burglary.

THE COURT: And --

MS. COLE: And she would also have the 36 to 90 months.

THE COURT: All right. I see both cases are set for central trial readiness conference on February 24th, is there -- my calendar is not showing a trial date. Is there a trial date for these matters?

MS. COLE: Your Honor, and my understanding is that because the date of this invocation for these two co-defendants was in November, because there's so many other cases before them, that we got that date and when we go to trial readiness conference that's when we'll get a new trial date. Because they're setting trials about three weeks from those trial ready conference dates and they'll give us a central calendar call and a central trial date.

THE COURT: Okay. So on February 24th you're telling me that Judge Bell will set the trial date for both these individuals?

MS. COLE: Yes. I believe it's -- Judge Jones will.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Arnold, there's no negotiations in your case, you will be at the central trial readiness at February 24th, and then apparently a trial date will be set for Darrell Clark, for your client, excuse me. All right?

MR. HART: And, Your Honor, my client should be there also.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me go back, has Mr. Clark already entered a not guilty plea to all the charges?

MR. ARNOLD: I'm sure he has, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

All right, so that's page 19, you have that date.

Mr. Hart, you heard it's a conditional plea on both defendants taking it and apparently your client is rejecting the negotiations.

Veneshia Oliver.

Thank you, Mr. Clark.

We're going to move onto the next case, Veneshia Oliver.

1	Ms. Oliver, you're rejecting the negotiations; is that correct?
2	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. HART: Well, I don't know that my client was actually
5	rejecting. It was if her co-defendant took the negotiation
6	THE COURT: Well
7	MR. HART: she would take it but.
8	DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: it's a contingent it's a conditional plea;
10	correct, on both parties entering the plea?
11	MS. COLE: That's correct, Your Honor.
12	MR. HART: It is.
13	THE COURT: All right. And so both defendants will be at the
14	February 24 th central trial readiness conference.
15	MR. HART: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	MS. COLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	[Hearing concluded at 9:10 a.m.]
19	* * * * *
20	ATTECT: I de le coele coestife alles telecoes houle and accome alles house and alles
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
22	U. Minani
23	Gina Villani
24	Court Recorder/Transcriber
25	District Court Dept. IX

Electronically Filed 9/1/2021 2:05 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE #: C-20-351676-1 & C-20-351676-2 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 10 VS. 11 **VENESHIA OLIVER & DARRELL** CLARK, 12 Defendants. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL VILLANI, 14 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 TUESDAY, JUNE 8, 2021 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 17 **SENTENCING** 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the State: CHAD LEXIS, ESQ. 20 YU MENG, ESQ. **Deputy District Attorneys** 21 22 For the Defendants: MARTIN W. HART, ESQ. CARL ARNOLD, ESQ. 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: CYNTHIA GEORGILAS, COURT RECORDER

TUESDAY, JUNE 8, 2021; LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

[Proceeding commenced at 8:47 a.m.]

THE COURT: 17. 16 is Veneshia Oliver, Mr. Hart. Page 17 is Darrell Clark, Mr. Arnold. And I know this was – this matter had been continued from last week. I think that Judge Cherry was here at the time and it's continued till today. And, of course, see some of the materials that I needed to review were not forwarded on. I mean, I just could not locate them. And so, I need to bump this to Thursday.

Now I understand that we do have a victim speaker, Mr. Gary Prizinski [phonetic]; is that correct, Mr. Meng?

MR. MENG: Yes, Your Honor. This case was the trial case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right. No, I -

MR. MENG: It appears that –

THE COURT: -- I know about the case. I'm just saying, "We're going to have to continue the sentencing till Thursday." Now, I don't know if there's an objection by Mr. Hart or Mr. Arnold for me to hear – although the victim speaker has the right to speak last. Mr. Meng, if it's convenient for him, he can give his victim impact statement today if Mr. Hart and Mr. Arnold do not have an objection. If not, is your speaker available on Thursday?

MR. MENG: I apologize, Your Honor. I know you're aware of the case, Your Honor. Mr. Chad Lexis is handling this case today. I believe he was in a different courtroom or he might already be on. I did speak with him yesterday. It was originally going to be Ms. Madilyn

Cole, but she's in court. So I believe Mr. Chad Lexis is coming in on this.

THE COURT: All right. Can you text him right now because we have two of the counsel waiting here in court.

MR. MENG: Absolutely Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: Six.

[Hearing trailed at 8:48 a.m.]

[Hearing resumed at 9:06 a.m.]

THE COURT: Veneshia Oliver is page 16. Mr. Hart is on Oliver. Page 17 is Darrell Clark, Mr. Arnold. We have Mr. Lexis here and two defendants are present.

Mr. Lexis, in your absence I had advised parties that – I know this matter was set for sentencing last week, and it was continued to this week. And it's for various reasons, I'm going to have to continue this till Thursday. Now I understand you may have a victim speaker online. If defense counsel does not have an objection, I can hear the speaker today. Or if the victim speaker is available, we can have him speak on Thursday.

MR. LEXIS: Your Honor, when I got the email this morning I believe that -- I contacted VWAC to let them know. And so, I think word got to them and they're no longer on so.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LEXIS: So no, no problem, Judge. We'll be – we'll be ready on Thursday.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Arnold, are you available on Thursday at
2	8:30?
3	MR. ARNOLD: Oh, you know what, I'm not, Your Honor. I
4	was going to say, "Yes," but I'm not. I have to get someone to cover my
5	matter on Thursday.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Apparently you what?
7	MR. ARNOLD: I'm not going to be here for this one.
8	THE COURT: Obviously it's essential that you are here. Are
9	you available next Tuesday, Mr. Arnold?
10	MR. ARNOLD: Tuesday I am.
11	THE COURT: Are you available Mr.Hart, next Tuesday?
12	MR. HART: As far as I know.
13	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lexis, are you available next
14	Tuesday?
15	MR. LEXIS: Madilyn or I will be here.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So I'll continue the sentencing for both
17	Oliver and Clark to next Tuesday, and here's your official date.
18	THE CLERK: That'll be June 15 th at 8:30.
19	MR. ARNOLD: Thank you.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	MR. HART: Thank you.
3	[Proceedings concluded at 9:08 a.m.]
4	*********
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed
21	the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my
22	ability.
23	Kirly Spang
24	Kerry Esparza
25	Court Recorder/Transcriber
	1

Electronically Filed 9/1/2021 2:36 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **TRAN** 2 3 DISTRICT COURT 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 7 CASE NO. C-20-351676-1 THE STATE OF NEVADA. C-20-351676-2 8 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 9 VS. 10 VENESHIA L. OLIVER; DARRELL CLARK, 11 Defendant. 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE **JUNE 15, 2021** 14 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE 15 16 **SENTENCING** 17 **APPEARANCES:** 18 For the Plaintiff: CHAD LEXIS, ESQ. 19 20 21 For Defendant Veneshia Oliver: MARTIN W. HART, ESQ. 22 For Defendant Darrell Clark: CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ. 23 Via Video Conference 24 RECORDED BY: KRISTINE SANTI, COURT RECORDER 25

> Page - 1 283

Case Number: C-20-351676-2

TUESDAY, JUNE 15, 2021 AT 8:41 A.M.

THE COURT: 23 is Veneshia Oliver, Mr. Hart; 24 is Darrell Clark, Mr. Arnold. Is Mr. Arnold on Blue Jeans?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. On behalf of Ms. Oliver, Mr. Hart, is there any reason we can't go forward on Ms. Oliver?

MR. HART: There is not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Bear with me here. All right. Ms. Clark [sic] is hereby adjudged guilty of Counts 1 --

MR. HART: Are you saying Mr. Clark or are you saying Ms. Oliver?

THE COURT: Ms. Oliver. I'm sorry. Ms. Oliver.

MR. HART: Okay.

THE COURT: Hereby adjudged guilty of Counts 1 through 37. All right, any argument by the State? And, State, do you have -- after I hear from you I'll hear from Ms. Oliver and I'll hear from Mr. Hart. I think we've had a request for restitution for some of the victims but they just identified it as victim 1, 2 or 3. When the other individuals are talking can you just gave me those names, please?

MR LEXIS: Yes, Judge. And if you can, can you -- could I please start with Mr. Clark?

THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Clark is not charged in all of the counts. Mr. Clark, is hereby adjudged guilty of Counts 1 through 13, 18 through 38, but then 30 -- count - the original Count 39 was bifurcated and that's Possession of a Firearm by a Prohibited Person. Is that identified as a separate case number or would that just be Count 39?

4

5

6 7

9

8

12

13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

22

21

23

24

25

MR. LEXIS: It's the same case number, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. So, I'm gonna consider that charge, Mr. Arnold, Possession of a Firearm by a Prohibited Person as Count 39.

MR. ARNOLD: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Argument by the State.

MR. LEXIS: Judge, I want to point out a couple of things before we get to the underlying facts of the case. Obviously you see he was convicted previously of robbery and burglary. In addition, Judge, I'm very familiar with Mr. Clark because back in 2019 I was on the eve of trial and the case got resolved. In that case he was at the Aria, he went up to a hotel room, tried to break inside of it. There was a person inside, looked through the peephole, saw a particular backpack on Mr. Clark and eventually that's how he was caught and when he was caught he had a bunch of burglary tools in his backpack. And that should sounds very familiar to you, Judge, because that's pretty much the M.O. in this particular case. Judge, he did a 12 to 30 month sentence in that case, went to prison and I want you to note that he was paroled May 27th of 2020. As you could see in our case less than a month later he's committing the crimes, same M.O., different hotels all over town. And I also want to point out, Judge, as you heard from the rulings in this case previously we couldn't get into a lot of evidence because he is suspected of doing many more burglaries in other hotels, in other rooms. In this particular one, we went with five different burglaries, Judge.

You heard the facts, Judge, I won't belabor the facts but, you know, we list five different burglaries. Obviously he shouldn't get a windfall with any type of concurrent time. There's five different rooms, I'd ask for a two to five on each one. Obviously there's need to be something consecutive for the woman who came back

and tried to get into her own hotel room and then both of them came out. You heard from the testimony, Judge, and the jury convicted them of Mr. Clark then grabbing her by the throat, pushing her against the wall. That's what caused that piece of paper in the peephole to fall down on the ground which the CSA's collected which obviously came back to his co-defendant. So, obviously for that robbery, Judge, I'd ask for a consecutive 4 to 10.

Obviously there was many counts involving theft and during the take down which was basically a set up by -- that the cops came. You heard that he had a firearm on him that was concealed. Obviously I would be asking for something consecutive in that event. So, I'd ask for a 2 to 5 on the CCW which gives an aggregate of 16 to 40 years which, Judge is a gift considering if you just give him 16 to 40 you would basically just be throwing everything else as concurrent time including the five counts of invasion of a home, the coercion for taking the robbery victim's phone, the possession of a firearm, the four counts of theft and two counts of fraudulent use of a credit card, the two counts of burglary of a business and the five counts of conspiracy burg. So, Judge, at a minimum I think a 16 to 40, which again, you're not even maxing out any of the burgs, the robbery. So, I -- and the CCW. 16 to 40, Judge, I think is more than fair.

With regards to the co-Defendant, Judge, I will just say this. She's also a felon, however from the get go she wanted to take responsibility and plea in this case and we obviously didn't let her do that because Mr. Clark wanted to fight it and we went to trial. So, you know, that's probably worth something so I'm not gonna sit here and pound the table with regards to the co-Defendant, Ms. Oliver. However, I'll -- she's obviously just as guilty as the jury found her on all the counts, however as far as punishment wise, especially given the fact that Mr. Clark was -- just got

23

21

22

24 25 paroled when he picked up this case and was doing essentially the same thing, obviously I think 16 to 40 would be a gift. With that, Judge, I'll submit it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Let me hear from Mr. Hart first.

MR. HART: Your Honor, my client -- we get as far as entering pleas and then we couldn't get to the allocution on Mr. Clark so here we are, Your Honor. My client was willing to take responsibility. I don't want to throw dirt all over everybody else, but she's not the leader in this relationship. You saw what was going on. She was given the cards and then was using them, etcetera. So, we went through this routine, Your Honor.

We did put an application and she was accepted in the drug court if the Court would consider it, Your Honor. I understand probation is a large ask on this but she does have one prior felony, Your Honor. She doesn't have any violence in her record. There's a robbery charge here, she was not accused of being the person to grab or, you know, grab her by the throat or anything else, Your Honor, when that happened. She was aiding -- you know, she was charged as an aider and abettor, a conspiracy -- a conspirator in this. I would ask this Court not to run everything consecutive based on her. I would -- like I said, I would ask humbly for the chance at probation because of the fact that it is burglary it could be a five year probation with a large sentence over her head, willing to do drug treatment if this Court would consider it. She was willing to take responsibility. That's where we're at.

THE COURT: Mr. Hart, there's -- according to the PSI page 6, there is a burglary case -- it looks like an arrest of 4-8-20 and it says a preliminary hearing was set for May 27th. Do you know anything about that?

MR. HART: Yeah, Your Honor. It's set out; it wasn't included in this batch,

I'd like to share with you a little bit of my background. I come from a history of drug addicts, sexual abuse and foster care from my younger years. So, as you can see I've already had attempted to fail life before. I do believe in God and I trust that He will help me and lead me through to a better path, and I believe that you have to want to change in order to change and I am beyond the want. I have made a lot of decisions that were wrong since I've been on drugs and I am sorry. I do take accountability -- I take accountability and accept the choices that I have made. And while I am not proud of the choices that I have made in my life I'm asking -- I'm not asking for sympathy, I'm asking for help.

Your Honor, I come to you today seeking that push that sometimes need in order to be given a chance to change and to become a rehabilitated woman. I thank you for allowing me to talk and considering my request. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Let me hear from Mr. Clark. Mr. Clark, do you have anything to say before I sentence you?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. I wanted to say good morning and I want to say thank you for letting me speak.

First thing -- the important thing is that you said some jury instructions and I'm standing here taking responsibility for what I have done but due to the jury instructions it was that the prosecution has the right -- has the burden to prove guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The prosecution has to provide each and every element to a crime I'm being accused of. And you told the jury that they're not supposed to judge by what they're personally thinking but be judged by the evidence that was presented. This case, Your Honor, guilt was not proven beyond a reasonable doubt. There was a tremendous amount of doubt through the whole situation. There was elements missing from every single charge. I'm not saying I'm

22

23

24

25

1

innocent. I'm guilty of carrying a concealed weapon because I was arrested with it. I'm guilty of possession of stolen property -- or receiving stolen property. I'm guilty of a lesser included offense but I was not charged with these charges. I was arrested for trying to sell a watch and I take responsibility for that. But from the beginning of this trial all the way to the end -- and not my personal opinion, just due to the facts what was given through the discovery, through the testimonies, through the voluntary statements, that I was being accused -- I was found guilty because I was only being accused. There's a tremendous amount of evidence that was missing. Like I said, I'm guilty for what I've done but I wasn't charged with what I've done. And I don't blame nobody but myself. I got a lot of poor decision making due to drugs, you know what I'm saying? I got a lot of poor decision making due to I've been raised on my own since I was thirteen. I had no guidance to, you know what I'm saying, to teach me things. So, I went along doing things the way I've done it. So, at this point I'm just asking you to take consideration of the facts that was not presented and the facts that shows that there was really no evidence linking towards me period besides from my carrying a concealed weapon. And I stand here -- I even took a deal for that carrying a concealed weapon, a 18 to 48. So, yes, I took responsibility for my, you know what I'm saying, for my charges but I'm not comfortable with taking responsibility for something I didn't do. I just ask that you -- just some consideration and I respectfully ask if

I just ask that you -- just some consideration and I respectfully ask if you just show some leniency on my sentencing. A 16 to 45 is far beyond -- that makes no -- excuse my French but that makes no sense especially due to the detectives and the investigators and the DNA analysis. They had no evidence; you know what I'm saying? And due to the fact that there's a personal background between me and the prosecutor, he brings up my past and the same thing as last

time. There was nobody -- I [indecipherable] Your Honor. I take responsibility for what I've done but I don't like to take responsibility for what I didn't -- what I hadn't done. That's the only reason we came to trial. If they would have gave me something to where I felt was comfortable because what I've done I would accepted those charges, but I'm -- 38 charges of something that you have no evidence to prove of me -- just because I know someone or because I'm in an area, it's kind of hard to swallow.

But I stand here not making excuses and I'm willing to accept whatever consequence comes my way. And like I said, I just ask that you have some leniency on your sentencing. Thank you. And that's all I have to say, sir.

MR. HART: Does Mr. --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HART: -- Arnold have anything to say?

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. HART: Did Mr. Arnold have anything to say?

THE COURT: I was just about to ask.

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I was about to ask.

MR. HART: Sorry about that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Arnold.

MR ARNOLD: Your Honor, a 16 to 40 this isn't someone that, you know, committed a crime where there was a death or somebody was hurt. In regards to the robbery charge, the robbery was -- he took the phone or at least how the facts were described he took the phone so she wouldn't call the police and it was thrown down at the hall -- at the end of the hallway so she didn't really lose the phone. So,

in terms of all the other hotel crimes, additionally, Your Honor, we're looking at people that were not injured as a result of these crimes. A 16 to 40 you would have thought somebody was either injured or, you know, these were armed robberies and we just don't have those facts in front of the Court. I believe a fair sentence in this matter would be a 6 to 15 considering the jury felt that he was involved in the crimes. Additionally, he already has stipulated to a 18 to 48 and I would ask that all those be run concurrent with each other, Your Honor. And with that we'd submit.

THE COURT: Mr. Arnold, I don't know if you want to answer this or if you want your client to. On the robbery charge didn't the victim testify that your client put his hands on her? On our victim?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor. Yes, that's what the -- what she testified to, but again, she wasn't injured as a result of it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

DEFENDANT CLARK: May I say one thing about that, sir?

THE COURT: Sure.

DEFENDANT CLARK: Okay. She came to -- she came up and she testified that I allegedly put my hands around her neck, but in her -- in the report when the detectives called to speak with her she initially said please don't say nothing about the so-called robbery or so -- just tell them that my room was burglarized. If this lady was -- if someone was supposed to grab her around her neck there was no medical -- you know what I'm saying? No one -- she didn't -- she didn't go to the hospital, she didn't have no one come check her out. They say she -- I violently slammed her against the wall but there's no bruises, no lacerations around the neck, there's nothing leading to her accusations. And honestly, the only reason that the lady eve picked me out of a six-pack line up was because someone else was

arrested with the apparent items.

Now, in the beginning when she took her -- when she made her statement she never gave a description of anyone. All of the sudden me and my codefendant gets arrested, now she can make a fifty percent description and she even said that I'm not even sure if this is the same guy. Within the ten months I've been locked up I grew a bunch of facial hair and a lot of hair. As you can see from my six pack I look ten -- I look a hundred percent different from what I looked like on that photo line-up. And she came in and directly pointed straight towards me like if she was taught, like she was told what to do. You see, I'm not understanding how you cannot make a description. If someone is supposed to grab you around your neck and choke you and you not be able to make a description until someone is arrested -- so actually you're making a description -- you're given a description of someone just because now you see someone that's arrested.

Like I said, I take responsibility for my, you know what I'm saying, of what I've done, but I do not -- and I have no record of violence towards anyone. That's one thing I don't do, especially a female but you let the D.A. go through it; I'm a violent person, I viciously handled this woman. But she didn't even -- she couldn't even keep her statements together. In her statement she said I choked her then she said -- the detective said did he grab you by the throat? She said, "oh yeah, yeah, he did" and then left out the fact that she was supposed to have been choked. Then come back later and combine all three of them together. It's like if she didn't have a script to read just to close this case I don't know what you would call it. That's all I gotta say, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. On behalf of Ms. Oliver --

MR. LEXIS: Your Honor, I don't know if Davonica Jones and Patricia Jones

skeptical to go anywhere because we're scared someone is gonna break in. You

know, we're scared that certain is gonna happen. My wife, she's unable to get her

24

stimulus and her taxes because after this situation someone filed taxes in her name then they did state that they did find my wife's and my stepchildren's social security card in his phone. They stole a little wallet that was missing and they found the social security cards in there and after that the -- her stimulus, you know, she's having to deal with that situation. So, that's caused a financial hardship in our household. So, I mean, it's just -- it has put damage on us.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Do we have any other speakers this morning?

MR. LEXIS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. LEXIS: And, Judge, you asked me for -- who these figures belong to.

THE COURT: All right. Let me turn to that page here. Yes.

MR. LEXIS: It's \$3,212.11 is Esther Chae, E-s-t-h-e-r, C-h-a-e. The \$2,414.00 is Brooke, B-r-o-o-k-e, the last name is spelled B-a-r-g-h-o-l-t-z, and the other I believe \$250.00 -- I'm not sure, Judge, but I'm sure PNP could figure it out since we had the names of the other two.

THE COURT: Because I do -- we do have to have it in the JOC. If you could just provide that in an email but make sure you copy Mr. Hart and Mr. Arnold because we do have to identify the names of the victims for all restitution payments. So, we just need victim 3 for the 250.

MR. LEXIS: Understood.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

DEFENDANT CLARK: Am I supposed to have a copy of my PSI? I don't have one.

THE COURT: You don't have one, sir?

DEFENDANT CLARK: No, I don't.

THE COURT: Mr. Arnold, did you send one over to him?

MR. ARNOLD: Yeah, I already sent it, Your Honor. I have the investigator take it over to him.

THE COURT: Mr. Hart, does Ms. Oliver have a copy of her PSI?

MR. HART: Yes.

THE COURT: Ms. Oliver, do you have a copy of your PSI?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes, I do, sir.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes, I do, sir. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, because of the number of charges and the potential penalties here I am -- I'm gonna go ahead and sentence Ms. Oliver this morning, however I'm gonna -- I'm thinking of continuing Mr. Clark's. I want him to have a copy of the PSI before we go -- before we [indecipherable] and I'll give him an opportunity to speak again if he has any comments about the PSI. So, we're just gonna handle Ms. Oliver today. I did already adjudicate Mr. Clark guilty of the various charges but we'll go forward on sentencing him on another day.

So, for Ms. Oliver. When I look at this matter I see that you've had -you have possession of a stolen vehicle back in '16, it was negotiated down to a
misdemeanor, 2016 you had four counts of burglary. I don't know if they were
similar hotel events or just four counts of burglary you attempt -- you pled to
attempted burglary.

DEFENDANT OLIVER: I had four counts?

THE COURT: And 3-18 of 2019 you had a possession of a hotel key and you pled -- or was convicted of possession of stolen property; that's a misdemeanor.

20

22

21

24

23

25

Then we have another burglary pending, I guess, a preliminary hearing, it's coming up next week or so and then you have these cases here. I don't know if you were the brains behind this deal or Mr. Clark. And I look at these cases as, you know, not just, you know, little theft cases or room burglaries, but the two of you kept doing it. You didn't go to one room; you went to two, three, four, five rooms. And I am taking into consideration your record as well as the two of you, you know, deciding that one was not enough. Counsel, on Ms. Oliver --

MR. HART: Yes.

THE COURT: -- when reviewing this matter last night I sort of set up a sort of a general framework of what I was envisioning to sentence a person and I say it's general because I do want to hear from both sides, and after listening to Ms. Oliver, Mr. Hart and Mr. Lexis I have rightfully so made some changes in my tentative rulings here. Hence, we may have to maybe on Thursday come back with the aggregate number. I first want to make sure those numbers are correct, okay?

All right. So, I'm gonna start with Count 3. 24 to 120. Count 4. 24 to 120. Count 4 to run concurrent to Count 3.

Count 5. Burglary of a Business, Sbarro's. Maximum term is 48, minimum term is 12. This count to run consecutive to 4.

Count 6. Burglary of a Business, 7-11. 12 to 48. This count to run consecutive to Count 5.

Count 7. Fraudulent Use of a Credit Card. !2 to 48. This to run concurrent to Count 6.

Count 8. Fraudulent Use of a Credit Card. 12 to 48. This case to run concurrent -- this count to run concurrent to Count 6.

Count 11. Residential Burglary. 24 to 120. This count to run

1	consecutive to Count 8.
2	Count 12. Invasion of the Home. 24 to 120. Concurrent to Count 11.
3	Count 13. Theft. 12 to 48. Concurrent to Count 12.
4	Count 14. Burglary of a Business. 12 to 48. This count to run
5	consecutive to Count 13.
6	Count 15. Attempt Fraudulent Use of a Credit Card. 12 to 48.
7	Concurrent to 14.
8	Count 15. [sic] Same charge. 12 to 48. This case this count to run
9	concurrent to 15.
10	Count 17. Same charge. 12 to 48. To run concurrent to Count 16.
11	Count 18. Same charge. 12 to 48. To run concurrent to Count 17.
12	Count 21. Residential burglary. 24 to 120. This count to run
13	consecutive to 18.
14	Count 22. Invasion of the Home. 24 to 120. This count to run
15	concurrent to 21.
16	Count 23. 12 to 48. Concurrent to Count 22.
17	Count 24. Robbery. 24 to 120. Consecutive to Count 22.
18	Count 35. Coercion. 48 to 120. This count to run concurrent to 24.
19	Count 36. I'm sort of jumping here; it's the same victim.
20	Count 36. Possession of Credit or Debit Card. 12 to 48. Concurrent to
21	Count 25. [sic]
22	Count 28. 24 to 120. This count to run consecutive to Count 24.
23	Count 29. 24 to 120. Concurrent to Count 28.
24	Count 30. 12 to 48. Concurrent to Count 29.
25	Count 33. 24 to 120. Consecutive to Count 30.

1	Count 34. Invasion of the Home. 24 to 120. Concurrent to Count 33.
2	Count 35. Theft. 12 to 48. Concurrent to 34.
3	Count 37. Burglary Tools actually, strike that.
4	Counts 1, 2, 9, 10, 19, 20, 26 27, 31 32 and 37. 364 days in the Clark
5	County Detention Center all running concurrent to one another and running
6	concurrent to Count 35.
7	MR. LEXIS: Judge, I have a question on Count 9. I think you you had a
8	sentence the first time and then
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry. So, following 1, 2, 9, 10, 19, 20, 26, 27, 31, 32 and
10	37 are all 364 running concurrent to one another and then again concurrent to Coun
11	35. So, if I misspoke thank you for catching that.
12	MR. LEXIS: Okay. Then how about Count 11?
13	THE COURT: Did I miss that?
14	MR. LEXIS: You initially
15	THE COURT: It was 24
16	MR. LEXIS: had a sentence
17	THE COURT: 24 to 120.
18	MR. LEXIS: Okay. So, you did say 20
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MR. LEXIS: I initially wrote down on Count 9 24 to 120, consecutive but then
21	at the end you said and I had nothing for 10 and 11 but at the end you said
22	THE COURT: I did all the gross misdemeanors at the end.
23	MR. LEXIS: Okay. Okay. Sounds good, Judge.
24	THE COURT: All right. The Defendant is ordered to pay a \$25.00
25	administrative assessment fee, \$3.00 DNA collection fee. Restitution in the amount

1	as previously identified jointly and severally with the co-defendant. Mr. Lexis, we
2	need by Thursday the victim three or if you can get it today. \$150.00 DNA fee is
3	waived as ordered in another case, \$250.00 to the indigent defense fund. And Ms.
4	Oliver has 293 credit for time served. It was 281 on June 3 rd , today is the 15 th .
5	There's 12 more days; it's 293. And then we'll figure out the aggregate as well on
6	that day.
7	THE COURT CLERK: Okay. So, court will be continued to June 17 th at 8:30
8	[Proceedings concluded at 9:17 a.m.]
9	* * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
15	audio/video recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
16	VirmaRamuej
17	NORMA RAMIREZ O Court Recorder
18	District Court Dept. XXII
19	702 671-0572
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Electronically Filed 9/1/2021 2:50 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE #: C-20-351676-1 & C-20-351676-2 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 10 VS. 11 **VENESHIA OLIVER & DARRELL** CLARK, 12 Defendants. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL VILLANI, 14 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 THURSDAY, JUNE 17, 2021 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 17 **SENTENCING** 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the State: CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ. 20 MADILYN M. COLE., ESQ. **Deputy District Attorneys** 21 22 For the Defendants: MARTIN W. HART, ESQ. CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ. 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: CYNTHIA GEORGILAS, COURT RECORDER

1	get this wrapped up as soon as possible.
2	MR. HART: Okay.
3	THE COURT: And I'll redo the calculations here. I apologize
4	if this –
5	MR. LEXIS: Judge, Ms. Cole's in here on Thursdays, can you
6	put it on next Thursday then?
7	THE COURT: How does next Thursday look?
8	THE CLERK: Yeah, we can do –
9	THE COURT: All right, next Thursday.
10	THE CLERK: So June 24 th at 8:30.
11	MR. HART: Okay. Yeah, like I said, Your Honor, I, I
12	appreciate the math that day. We did it about four different times. But if
13	I missed a number –
14	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: Mr. Hart.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: Mr. Hart.
17	THE COURT: I – Ms., Ms. Oliver. We have – we have an
18	issue of the calculation of numbers, and we have to make sure it is
19	accurate. All of us are going to –
20	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: It is possible that –
21	THE COURT: redo our calculations, and we will have this
22	wrapped up next week, okay, Ms. Oliver?
23	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: Is it – is it possible that I can talk
24	to my lawyer?
25	THE COURT: He can –

1	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: He can call me real quick?
2	THE COURT: He will call you either now in that room or –
3	MR. HART: What's the number over there?
4	THE COURT: he'll contact you before our next date.
5	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: Hold on one second.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	THE CORRECTION OFFICER: 8334.
8	MR. HART: Okay, I'll call now.
9	THE CORRECTION OFFICER: 833 -
10	MR. HART: Okay.
11	THE COURT: He's going to call now. All right. Darrell Clark.
12	THE MARSHAL: Somebody in there.
13	THE COURT: All right. That we did have some argument
14	on sentencing, but when we got to Mr. Clark, he advised the Court that
15	the PSI that Mr. Arnold had sent over to the jail apparently did not get
16	forwarded onto him in time. So Mr. Clark
17	THE DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: have you had an opportunity to review the
19	PSI?
20	THE DEFENDANT CLARK: No, I haven't. I still haven't
21	received it.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Arnold, was that sent over?
23	MR. ARNOLD: It was hand delivered last night by my
24	investigator, Your Honor, before 3:30. I don't know –
25	THE DEFENDANT CLARK: It must have been hand delivered

1	to the wrong person.
2	THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Clark, we're going to make
3	sure that you get a copy of it. I think it's appropriate that I do the
4	sentencing, so we'll pass this two weeks. Mr. Arnold, is it better
5	Tuesday or Thursday for you?
6	MR. ARNOLD: Either one, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	THE DEFENDANT CLARK: Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
10	THE DEFENDANT CLARK: Is it possible if I could speak with
11	Mr. Arnold before, before I leave out here too? Right. Can he use the
12	phone –
13	THE COURT: It's up to him, but I mean, today he can call
14	down –
15	THE DEFENDANT CLARK: Right.
16	THE COURT: in the room if he has the time. If not, I'm sure
17	he will contact you before the continued date, okay? All right, sir?
18	THE DEFENDANT CLARK: Yeah, I was just wondering if he
19	was going to answer the question, if he would call me now or would he
20	call me later?
21	THE COURT: Well, sir, I just mentioned if he has time I'm
22	assuming he will call you now
23	THE DEFENDANT: Right. I, I –
24	THE COURT: if not, he will call you before the sentencing

1	MS. COLE: Okay. I'll be here then.
2	MR. ARNOLD: All right. Thank you Judge.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	[Proceeding concluded at 8:54 a.m.]
5	********
6	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed
7	the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my
8	ability.
9	Kirly Spang
10	
11	Kerry Esparza Court Recorder/Transcriber
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Electronically Filed 9/7/2021 3:15 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-20-351676-1 C-20-351676-2 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 10 VS. 11 VANESHIA OLIVER, DARRELL CLARK 12 Defendants. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 THURSDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2020 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 16 **GRAND JURY INDICTMENT** 17 **APPEARANCES:** 18 MADILYN COLE, ESQ. For the State: 19 **Deputy District Attorney** Appeared By Video 20 21 22 ALSO PRESENT: STEVE LURVEY, GRAND JURY FOREPERSON 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER

[Case called at 11:30 a.m.]

MR. THUNELL: BGJ212 A and B. As to the Defendant Oliver, excuse me, which charges defendants Vaneshia Oliver and Darrell Clark with having committed the offenses of:

As to Oliver: five counts of conspiracy -- five counts of conspiracy to commit burglary, five counts of residential burglary, five counts of invasion of the home, three counts of burglary of a business, three counts of theft, two counts of fraudulent use of credit card -- credit or debit card, one count of attempt fraudulent use of credit or debit card, one count robbery, one count coercion, one count theft, two counts of possession of document of personal identifying information, one count of possession of a credit or debit card without card holders consent, one count of possession of burglary tools.

Then as to defendant Clark: five counts conspiracy to commit larceny, five counts conspiracy to commit burglary, five counts of residential burglary, five counts of invasion of the home, two counts of burglary of a business, three counts of theft, two counts of fraudulent use of credit or debit card, one count robbery, one count coercion, two counts of theft, one count carry concealed firearm or other deadly weapon, and one count of ownership or possession of firearm by prohibited person.

THE COURT: Mr. Foreman, did at least twelve members of the grand jury concur in finding a true bill as to each charge contained in

the indictments?

THE FOREPERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Indictment with respect to, is it Ms. Oliver, will be filed and receive case number C-20-351676-1 and indictment with respect to Mr. Clark will receive case number C-20-351676-2 and both will be assigned to Department number seventeen.

What is the position of the state regarding a warrant or summons?

MS. COLE: Good Morning, Your Honor, Madilyn Cole with the State, bar number 14693. And, Your Honor, we're going to be requesting warrants as to both of them. They're currently in custody. I believe the JC 10 case is being dismissed this morning. The JC 7 case was dismissed on the 13th. And we're also going to be asking for Ms. Oliver to continue to being held without bail based on the fact that she picked up two cases while out on a home burglary. The home burglary complaint was filed January 27th of this year. She bench warranted that case -- she bench warranted in that case and then proceeded to pick up the JC 7 case as well as the JC 10 case.

Furthermore, the grand jury there was probably about 20 more counts that were added and we're going to be resuming that presentation next Wednesday to add an additional 17 more counts. We just happen to run out of time. But essentially her and her co-defendant from June through August proceeded to commit a series of residential burgs at different hotels including the Paris, the Harrah's, and they used burglary tools to break into these hotel rooms. They have the same MO

throughout the whole series of room burglaries. They would steal the property. In one incident, one of the victims actually came back while they were in the course of committing the burglary and a robbery ensued. But essentially they would steal. They would break into these rooms steal a bunch of property and then resell it. The detectives actually did a sting operation and were able to get the co-defendant Clark to meet up with them. When he met up with them they found numerous stolen items. He was also possessing a firearm and Ms. Oliver was actually accompanying him at this operation and they were both arrested.

So, that's going to be the State's request is that her -- that she is currently still held without bail based on the fact that she picked up these two cases while out of custody on a home burg case. And that would be the State's request as to Ms. Oliver.

THE COURT: How about with respect to Mr. Clark?

MS. COLE: So respect to Mr. Clark, Your Honor, it looks like in JC 7 his bail was set at \$15,000 and in JC 10 it was \$75,000. Based upon the fact that we have added at least 20 to 30 more charges and, like I said, we again will be reconvening on Wednesday to add the additional 17 to 20 more counts because we simply just ran out of time. But I'm going to be asking \$250,000 to Mr. Clark. And that's based on the fact that there's been so many new charges added since the bail was set in JC 7 and JC 10. There facing numerous category B felonies, residential burgs, and home invasions. He also was found in possession of a firearm. That firearm was actually stolen and he was concealing it.

And he was also the direct perpetrator in the robbery when him and Ms. Oliver were completing one of the room burgs and one of the victims came back. He actually confronted her, and grabbed her phone in a violent manner, and took it away from her, and eventually stole her phone. So that's going to be the request for Mr. Clark is \$250,000.

THE COURT: All right with respect to Ms. Oliver, warrant will issue and bail will be set at no bail pursuant to NRS 178.487 given that some of these offenses happened while she was out of custody on others, and also given the sheer number of offenses charged here.

And then with respect to Mr. Clark warrant will issue bail will be set at \$250,000 based on the facts and circumstances presented by the State. We will set a date one week in the department.

CLERK: October 29th 10:15 a.m.

THE COURT: And Las Vegas Justice Court case if it hasn't been dismissed 20CR020969 1 and 2 will be dismissed. Exhibits 1 through, lot of exhibits Ms. Cole, 1 through 58 will be admitted and we'll order a pre-trial risk assessment.

Were there any material witness warrants?

1 ***

1	MS. COLE: There was not, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right great, thank you everyone.
3	[Proceedings concluded at 11:36 a.m.]
4	* * * * *
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
21	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
22	Linibilgestala
23	Kimberly Estala Court Recorder/Transcriber
24	Court Necorden Hariscriber

Electronically Filed 9/7/2021 3:20 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-20-351676-1 C-20-351676-2 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 10 VS. 11 VANESHIA OLIVER, DARRELL CLARK 12 Defendants. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 THURSDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2020 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 16 GRAND JURY INDICTMENT: SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT 17 **APPEARANCES:** 18 For the State: ANN DUNN, ESQ. 19 CHRISTOPHER LAURENT, ESQ. **Deputy District Attorney** 20 Appeared By Video 21 22 23 ALSO PRESENT: STEVE LURVEY, GRAND JURY FOREPERSON 24 25 RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER

•

[Case called at 11:06 a.m.]

MR. LAURENT: BGJ212 A and B and by a vote of twelve or more returned true bills as follows:

As to defendant -- Vaneshia Oliver: six counts of conspiracy to commit larceny, six counts conspiracy to commit burglary, six counts of residential burglary, six counts of invasion of the home, three counts burglary of a business, two counts theft, two counts fraudulent use of -- credit or debit card, four counts attempt fraudulent use of credit or debit card, one count of robbery, one count of coercion, three counts of theft, two counts of possession of document or personal identifying information, one count possession of credit or debit card without cardholders consent, one count possession of burglary tools.

As to defendant Darnell [sic] Clark: six count of conspiracy to commit larceny, six counts conspiracy to commit burglary, six counts of residential burglary, six counts invasion of the home, three counts of burglary of a business, two counts theft, two counts fraudulent use of debit or credit card, one count robbery, one count coercion, three counts of theft, one count carrying concealed weapon or other deadly weapon, excuse me, carrying concealed firearm or other deadly weapon, and one count ownership or possession of a firearm by a prohibited person.

THE COURT: Mr. Foreman, did at least twelve members of the grand jury concur in finding a true bill as to each charge contained in the indictment?

1	MS. DUNN: I don't believe so.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So, based on the prior argument and
3	findings by the Court a warrant will issue with respect to Ms. Oliver and
4	bail will be set at no bail. Warrant will issue with respect to Mr. Clark and
5	bail will be set at \$250,000.
6	MS. DUNN: Thank you Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: We'll set it looks like they already have
8	MS. DUNN: I believe they're
9	THE COURT: tomorrow?
10	MS. DUNN: Yes, I believe that was for today and Ms. Cole is
11	appearing in Department seventeen. Her plan is just to ask Judge Villani
12	to continue their initial arraignment so that everything can be heard
13	together.
14	MR. LAURENT: So I believe we'll need a one week date,
15	Your Honor, on that.
16	THE COURT: We'll just put it on next week and if Ms. Dunn if
17	for some reason we end up with two dates in Department seventeen
18	obviously you can reach out to them and sort that out.
19	MS. DUNN: Thank you.
20	THE CLERK: We'll set it for November 5 th at 10:15.
21	THE COURT: I think it's better to have two dates then none.
22	MS. DUNN: Agreed.
23	THE COURT: All right. Exhibits 1a and 59 will be lodged with
24	the Clerk of the Court along with the previously lodged exhibits. And I
25	had already ordered risk assessments. Were there any material witness

1	warrants with respect to the new presentation?
2	MS. DUNN: No, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Great thank you.
4	MS. DUNN: Thank you.
5	
6	[Proceedings concluded at 11:10 a.m.]
7	* * * * *
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
21	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
22	Link Al Stalas
23	- Line age out
24	Kimberly Estala Court Recorder/Transcriber
25	

Electronically Filed 9/7/2021 3:25 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-20-351676-1 C-20-351676-2 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 10 VS. 11 VANESHIA OLIVER, DARRELL CLARK 12 Defendants. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2020 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 16 CENTRAL TRIAL READINESS CONFERENCE 17 **APPEARANCES:** 18 MADILYN COLE, ESQ. For the State: 19 **Deputy District Attorney** Appeared By Video 20 21 For the Defendant: MARTIN HART, ESQ., CARL ARNOLD, ESQ. 22 Appeared By Video 23 24 RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER 25

319

Case Number: C-20-351676-2

1	openings on the 5 th , we've got 11a.m. and 2 p.m
2	THE COURT: Okay
3	MS. PERRY: and then it goes into the week of the 11th.
4	Buts that's a little stuffy too so kind of the
5	THE COURT: Let's
6	MS. PERRY: slim pickings in the beginning of the month
7	THE COURT: does the 5 th , the 5 th at either 11 or 2 work for
8	all of you?
9	MS. COLE: 2 would be better for the State.
10	MR. HART: That's fine
11	MR. ARNOLD: Would be best.
12	THE COURT: Okay great so we'll do the 5 th at 2 p.m. and
13	then we'll push this a few weeks back.
14	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: Ma'am?
15	THE COURT: Ms. Oliver, ma'am,
16	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes?
17	THE COURT: so do you understand what they were talking
18	about? So it would be a Judge that is not assigned to your case just
19	sitting down with you and your attorney and the prosecutor just to talk to
20	see if there's a possibility of resolving the case. It doesn't mean that you
21	have to, you a hundred percent make the decision about whether you go
22	to trial or not.
23	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: Mm-hmm
24	THE COURT: And so, you know, it can't hurt right.
25	THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: What day is this happening? And

THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: Am I allowed to watch the video?

THE COURT: You'll have to reach --

THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: No?

THE COURT: Well it just means that it's a little bit challenging right now but Mr. Harts going to have to reach out to the jail to make arrangements to show you those videos.

All right and then Mr. Clark --

MS. COLE: Your Honor, I would also note there is a voluminous amount of discovery in this case. Mr. Hart and Mr. Arnold did receive a large portion of it in Justice Court and I recently just sent them another batch. We are still waiting on the results to be sent back to us in regards to phone records and location data, that's still outstanding. In speaking with Mr. Arnold and Mr. Hart, I do not believe that they would be announcing ready for the January 4th date so I just want to make that, I just want to put that on the record.

THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: So I've gotta sit here the whole time I'm waiting for this? I can't get out? I can't go on house arrest I can't do nothing?

THE COURT: So ma'am that's up to Judge Villani. So your attorney would need to file a motion in front of Judge Villiani if that has not already happened.

So, Mr. Clark I just wanted to check in with you too about the settlement conference. You understand that your participation in the settlement conference is completely voluntary? So if you decide you

State sometimes for whatever reason, that's a decision that they make, that they are going to take a case to the grand jury instead of having a prelim.

THE DEFENDANT CLARK: Okay.

THE COURT: And the case gets presented to the grand jury, the witnesses go in and testify. There is a transcript of that --

THE DEFENDANT CLARK: Okay.

THE COURT: -- and then the grand jury makes a decision about what the charges are. You or your lawyer can't sit through that whole proceeding and watch what's going on it's in secrete. You do have -- I mean they typically send a notice and ask you if you want to testify and typically people don't do that --

THE DEFENDANT CLARK: Even if we --

THE COURT: -- because it usually isn't in their best interest.

So once the grand jury hears the case and determines that there's probable cause for the charges then an indictment issues and that's where you are now.

THE DEFENDANT CLARK: Okay get I understand all of that.

I just okay yeah I was just figuring like how could anything be going on without any paperwork like --

THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: We don't have nothing.

THE DEFENDANT CLARK: -- we have nothing.

THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: We have not even heard --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT OLIVER: -- his voice.

1	calendar call will be January 13th at 2 p.m. with a jury trial of January
2	19th at 9 a.m. with Department 17.
3	THE COURT: Great thank you.
4	MR. HART: Thank you.
5	[Proceedings concluded at 12:42 p.m.]
6	* * * * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my
21	ability.
22	Linibile Stalas
23	Kimberly Estala
24	Court Recorder/Transcriber
25	

Electronically Filed 9/14/2021 3:06 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DEPT. NO. XVII

VENESHIA LANETTE OLIVER,
and DARRELL CLARK,

Defendants.

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL P. VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
TUESDAY, APRIL 20, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: JURY TRIAL - DAY 7

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

MADILYN M. COLE, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney

FOR DEFENDANT OLIVER: MARTIN W. HART, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT CLARK: CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: CYNTHIA GEORGILAS, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Page 1

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 20, 2021

(Case called at 10:19 a.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, we're on the record right now. I've been advised --

THE MARSHAL: Sorry.

THE COURT: It's okay. I've been advised that we do have a verdict for the defendants. I've been advised by the Marshal that after the jurors told the Marshal that they had a verdict, they were about to leave the courtroom and maybe take their personal break. While on their personal break, I guess some maintenance workers came into the courtroom. There was three or four of them, and were standing here, I guess discussing what work they have to do in the courtroom.

The Marshal came in and advised them, or asked them, what are you doing here? We're in the middle of a trial. And they said, we were told to do some work in the courtroom.

The Marshal advised them to leave the courtroom because we were in the middle of a trial.

And so I just wanted to advise all the parties that there was someone in this courtroom, obviously, not when the jury was here, it's just when the jurors were on the their break they came in, to like I said, look at something they had to fix. And thereafter, the Marshal told them to leave the courtroom, which they did.

1	So any issues State, do you have any questions or
2	same
3	MR. LEXIS: No, Judge.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Arnold?
5	MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Hart?
7	MR. HART: No, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Let's call the jury in.
9	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the entering jury.
10	(Inside the presence of the jury.)
11	THE COURT: All right. Good morning, ladies and
12	gentlemen. I have been advised that we do have a verdict.
13	Who is our foreperson?
14	All right. Ma'am, would you please hand the two
15	verdict forms to the Marshal?
16	(Pause in the proceedings.)
17	THE COURT: The Clerk will now read the verdict form
18	relating to Veneshia Oliver. Counsel and Ms. Oliver, please
19	stand.
20	VERDICT RE: DEFENDANT VENESHIA OLIVER
21	THE CLERK: District Court, Clark County, Nevada,
22	State of Nevada, plaintiff, versus Veneshia Oliver, Defendant.
23	Case No. C-20-351676-1, Department 17.
24	Verdict. We the jury in the above entitled case
25	find the defendant, Veneshia Oliver, as follows:

1 Count 1. Conspiracy to Commit Larceny, Gary 2 Krusinski; guilty of Conspiracy to Commit Larceny. 3 Count 2. Conspiracy to Commit Burglary, Gary 4 Krusinski; guilty of Conspiracy to Commit Burglary. Count 3. Residential Burglary, Gary Krusinski; 5 6 guilty of Residential Burglary. 7 Count 4. Invasion of a Home, Gary Krusinski; guilty 8 of invasion of a home. 9 Count 5. Burglary of a Business, Sbarro; guilty of burglary of a business. 10 11 Count 6. Burglary of a Business, 7-Eleven; quilty 12 of Burglary of a Business. 13 Count 7. Fraudulent use of a debt or credit card, 14 Gary Krusinski at Sbarro; guilty of fraudulent use of debt or credit card. 15 16 Count 8. Fraudulent use of a debt or credit card, Gary Krusinski, 7-Eleven; guilty of fraudulent use of debt or 17 credit card. 18 19 Count 9. Conspiracy to commit larceny, Inga 20 Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or 21 Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; guilty of conspiracy 22 to commit larceny. 23 Count 10. Conspiracy to commit burglary, Inga 24 Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or 25 Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; guilty of conspiracy

1 burglary.

Count 11. Residential burglary, Inga Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; guilty of residential burglary.

Count 12. Invasion of a home, Inga Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; quilty of invasion of a home.

Count 13. Theft, Inga Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; guilty of theft, \$1200 or more.

Count 14. Burglary of a Business, Target; guilty of burglary of a business.

Count 15. Attempt fraudulent use of a credit or debit card, Rebecca Finger, Target; guilty of attempt fraudulent use of a credit or debt card.

Count 16. Attempt fraudulent use of a credit or debit card, Rebecca Finger, Target; guilty of attempt fraudulent use of a credit or debt card.

Count 17. Attempt fraudulent use of a credit or debit card, Rebecca Finger, at Target; guilty of attempt fraudulent use of credit or debt card.

Count 18. Attempt fraudulent use of credit or debit card, Brooke Bargholtz, Target; guilty of attempt fraudulent use of credit or debt card.

1	Count 19. Conspiracy to commit larceny, Esther
2	Chae; guilty of conspiracy to commit larceny.
3	Count 20. Conspiracy to commit larceny, Esther
4	Chae; guilty of conspiracy to commit burglary.
5	Count 21. Residential burglary, Esther Chae; guilt
6	of residential burglary.
7	Count 22. Invasion of home, Esther Chae; guilty of
8	invasion of home.
9	Count 23. Theft, Esther Chae; guilty of theft,
L 0	\$1200 or more.
L1	Count 24. Robbery, Esther Chae; guilty of robbery.
L2	Count 25. Coercion, Esther Chae; guilty of
L3	coercion.
L 4	Count 26. Conspiracy to commit larceny, Bertha
L 5	Geradeau and/or Latoya Gustus; guilty of conspiracy to commit
L 6	larceny.
L7	Count 27. Conspiracy to commit burglary, Bertha
L 8	Gerdeau and/or Latoya Gustus; guilty of conspiracy to commit
L 9	burglary.
20	Count 28. Residential burglary, Bertha Gerdeau
21	and/or Latoya Gustus; guilty of residential burglary.
22	Count 29. Invasion of the home, Bertha Gerdeau
23	and/or Latoya Gustus; guilty of invasion of the home.
24	Count 30. Theft, Bertha Gerdeau and/or Latoya
25	Gustus; quilty of theft, \$1200 or more.

1	Count 31. Conspiracy to commit larceny, Jewell Love
2	and/or Raven Hough, and/or Devonica (phonetic) Jones, and/or
3	Patricia Williams; guilty of conspiracy to commit larceny.
4	Count 32. Conspiracy to commit burglary, Jewell
5	Love, and/or Raven Hough, and/or Devonica Jones, and/or
6	Patricia Williams; guilty of conspiracy to commit burglary.
7	Count 33. Residential burglary, Jewell Love and/or
8	Raven Hough, and/or Devonica Jones (phonetic), and/or Patricia
9	Williams; guilty of residential burglary.
10	Count 34. Invasion of the home, Jewell Love and/or
11	Raven Hough, and/or Devonica Jones, and/or Patricia Williams;
12	guilty of invasion of the home.
13	Count 35. Theft, Jewell Love, and/or Raven Hough,
14	and/or Devonica Jones, and/or Patricia Williams; guilty of
15	theft, \$1200 or more.
16	Count 36. Possession of debit or credit card
17	without cardholder's consent, Victoria's Secret card; guilty
18	of possession of document or personal identifying information.
19	Count 37. Possession of burglary tools; guilty of
20	possession of burglary tools.
21	Dated this 20th day of April, 2021, by Renae
22	Decesare.
23	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, are these your
24	verdicts as read, so say you one, so say you all?
25	MEMBERS OF THE JURY: Yes.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 7 | 4-20-2021 THE COURT: Does either party, the State or Mr. Hart, do you wish to have the jury polled? MR. LEXIS: No, Your Honor. MR. HART: Please, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. THE CLERK: Did he say yes? THE COURT: Pardon? THE CLERK: Did he say yes? THE COURT: He said yes. THE CLERK: Juror No. 1, is this your verdict as read? JUROR NO. 1: Yes. THE CLERK: Juror No. 2, is this your verdict as read? JUROR NO. 2: Yes. THE CLERK: Juror No. 3, is this your verdict as read? JUROR NO. 3: Yes. THE CLERK: Juror No. 4, is this your verdict as read?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes.

22 THE CLERK: Juror No. 5, is this your verdict as

23 read?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

JUROR NO. 5: Yes.

25 THE CLERK: Juror No. 6, is this your verdict as

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 7 | 4-20-2021 1 read? 2 JUROR NO. 6: Yes. 3 THE CLERK: Juror No. 7, is this your verdict as 4 read? 5 JUROR NO. 7: Yes. THE CLERK: Juror No. 8, is this your verdict as 6 7 read? 8 JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 9 THE CLERK: Juror No. 9, is this your verdict as read? 10 JUROR NO. 9: Yes. 11 THE CLERK: Juror No. 10, is this your verdict as 12 13 read? 14 JUROR NO. 10: Yes. 15 THE CLERK: Juror No. 11, is this your verdict as 16 read? 17 JUROR NO. 11: Yes. THE CLERK: Juror No. 12, is this your verdict as 18 19 read? 20 JUROR NO. 12: Yes. 21 THE COURT: All right. The Clerk will record the 22 jury verdict. And we'll set a sentencing date as follows. 23 THE CLERK: It will be June 3rd at 8:30 a.m. 24 THE COURT: Defendant is remanded with -- held 25 without bail. She may be removed from the courtroom.

1	Now, we have a verdict form for Darrell Clark, which
2	the Clerk will now read.
3	VERDICT RE: DEFENDANT DARRELL CLARK
4	THE CLERK: District Court, Clark County, Nevada,
5	State of Nevada, plaintiff, versus Darrell Clark, Defendant.
6	Case No. C-20-351676-2, Department 17.
7	Verdict. We the jury in the above entitled case
8	find the defendant, Darrell Clark, as follows:
9	Count 1. Conspiracy to Commit Larceny, Gary
10	Krusinski; guilty of Conspiracy to Commit Larceny.
11	Count 2. Conspiracy to Commit Burglary, Gary
12	Krusinski; guilty of Conspiracy to Commit Burglary.
13	Count 3. Residential Burglary, Gary Krusinski;
14	guilty of Residential Burglary.
15	Count 4. Invasion of a home, Gary Krusinski; guilty
16	of invasion of a home.
17	Count 5. Burglary of a Business, Sbarro; guilty of
18	burglary of a business.
19	Count 6. Burglary of a Business, 7-Eleven; guilty
20	of Burglary of a Business.
21	Count 7. Fraudulent use of a debt or credit card,
22	Gary Krusinski, Sbarro; guilty of fraudulent use of debt or
23	credit card.
24	Count 8. Fraudulent use of a debt or credit card,
25	Gary Krusinski, 7-Eleven; guilty of fraudulent use of a debt

or credit card.

Count 9. Conspiracy to commit larceny, Inga
Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or
Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; guilty of conspiracy
to commit larceny.

Count 10. Conspiracy to commit burglary, Inga Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; guilty of conspiracy burglary.

Count 11. Residential burglary, Inga Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; guilty of residential burglary.

Count 12. Invasion of a home, Inga Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; quilty of invasion of a home.

Count 13. Theft, Inga Williams and/or Kate Berry, and/or Marissa Metro, and/or Brooke Bargholtz, and/or Rebecca Finger; guilty of theft, \$1200 or more.

Count 19. Conspiracy to commit larceny, Esther Chae; guilty of conspiracy to commit larceny.

Count 20. Conspiracy to commit burglary, Esther Chae; guilty of conspiracy to commit burglary.

Count 21. Residential burglary, Esther Chae; guilty of residential burglary.

1	Count 22. Invasion of a home, Esther Chae; guilty
2	of invasion of a home.
3	Count 23. Theft, Esther Chae; guilty of theft,
4	\$1200 or more.
5	Count 24. Robbery, Esther Chae; guilty of robbery.
6	Count 25. Coercion, Esther Chae; guilty of
7	coercion.
8	Count 26. Conspiracy to commit larceny, Bertha
9	Geradeau and/or Latoya Gustus; guilty of conspiracy to commit
10	larceny.
11	Count 27. Conspiracy to commit burglary, Bertha
12	Gerdeau and/or Latoya Gustus; guilty of conspiracy to commit
13	burglary.
14	Count 28. Residential burglary, Bertha Gerdeau
15	and/or Latoya Gustus; guilty of residential burglary.
16	Count 29. Invasion of the home, Bertha Gerdeau
17	and/or Latoya Gustus; guilty of invasion of the home.
18	Count 30. Theft, Bertha Gerdeau and/or Latoya
19	Gustus; guilty of theft, \$1200 or more.
20	Count 31. Conspiracy to commit larceny, Jewell Love
21	and/or Raven Hough, and/or Devonica Jones, and/or Patricia
22	Williams; guilty of conspiracy to commit larceny.
23	Count 32. Conspiracy to commit burglary, Jewell
24	Love, and/or Raven Hough, and/or Devonica Jones, and/or
25	Patricia Williams; guilty of conspiracy to commit burglary.

1	Count 33. Residential burglary, Jewell Love and/or
2	Raven Hough, and/or Devonica Jones, and/or Patricia Williams;
3	guilty of residential burglary.
4	Count 34. Invasion of the home, Jewell Love and/or
5	Raven Hough, and/or Devonica Jones, and/or Patricia Williams;
6	guilty of invasion of the home.
7	Count 35. Theft, Jewell Love, and/or Raven Hough,
8	and/or Devonica Jones, and/or Patricia Williams; guilty of
9	theft, \$1200 or more.
10	Count 38. Carrying is that right carrying
11	concealed firearm or other deadly weapon; guilty of carrying
12	concealed firearm or other deadly weapon.
13	Dated this 19th day of April, 2021, by Renae
14	Decesare.
15	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, are these your
16	verdicts as read, so say you one, so say you all?
17	MEMBERS OF THE JURY: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Does either side wish to have the jury
19	polled; State?
20	MR. LEXIS: No, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Arnold?
22	MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
24	The Clerk will record the verdict.
25	Ladies and gentlemen, I need to meet with the

attorneys for one brief moment on a procedural matter, so I'm going to meet with the attorneys in the back hallway. So if you can please just keep your seat.

Mr. Arnold, State?

(Bench conference - not recorded.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we just have to resolve a procedural matter and we just need to put it on the record, and we have to put it on the record outside the presence of the jury panel.

So what we're going to do is, we're going to take just a five-minute recess, and we'll call you back in, and then we'll -- we'll finalize the case; okay?

So ladies and gentlemen, during this morning recess, you are not to discuss or communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits, either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, internet, or other means of communication or social media.

You're not to read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case. You're not to do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet, or using reference materials. You're not to make any investigation, test the theory of the case, or recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own. You're not to form or express an opinion regarding this matter until it's finally

submitted to you.

Again, just stay close by. It will be just five minutes.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exit of the jury.

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arnold, we do have a bifurcated count, the ownership or possession of firearm by prohibited person. I've been handed a Guilty Plea Agreement. Would you please put on the record the negotiations?

MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor, I don't have a copy.

THE COURT: Oh.

MR. ARNOLD: Unless you put them on the --

THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Clark, the Guilty Plea Agreement that's been handed to me says that you're agreeing to plead guilty to the charge of ownership or possession of firearm by a prohibited person.

That both parties, you, your attorney and the State stipulate to a 19 to 48 month term in the Nevada Department of Corrections. That this case, this ownership of possession of firearm by prohibited person, would run concurrent with all of the other counts in this case, and that you agree to forfeit the items listed in the Stipulation for Compromise of Seized Property.

Is that correct, Mr. Clark?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 7 | 4-20-2021

1 THE COURT: And do you wish -- and do you want to go 2 forward with the negotiations contained in the Guilty Plea 3 Agreement? 4 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. Sir, for the record, what is 5 6 your -- what is your legal name? 7 DEFENDANT CLARK: Darrell Adam Clark, Jr. 8 THE COURT: How old are you? 9 DEFENDANT CLARK: 41. 10 THE COURT: How far did you go in school? 11 DEFENDANT CLARK: High school diploma. 12 Do you read, write and understand the THE COURT: 13 English language? 14 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: And sir, are you pleading guilty to the 16 charge of ownership or possession of firearm by a prohibited 17 person? 18 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: Before I can accept your plea of guilty, 20 I want to make sure it is freely and voluntarily entered. 21 anyone forced you to plead guilty? 22 DEFENDANT CLARK: No, sir. 23 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or anyone 24 closely associated with you in order to get you to plead 25 quilty?

1 DEFENDANT CLARK: No, sir. 2 THE COURT: Sir, do you understand the sentencing 3 range of this particular charge that the Court can sentence 4 you to no more than six years, no less than one year in 5 prison, and you can also be fined up \$5,000; do you understand that; sir? 6 7 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that sentencing is 9 strictly up to the Court? No one can promise you probation, 10 leniency, or any special treatment? 11 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: And sir, is one of the reasons you're 13 pleading guilty to the charge of ownership or possession of 14 firearm by prohibited person is that you are guilty of that 15 offense? 16 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: All right. Sir, I have a copy of the 18 Guilty Plea Agreement in front of me. Is this your signature 19 on page five of the agreement? 20 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Sir, did you read the Agreement or was 22 it read to you? 23 DEFENDANT CLARK: Both. 24 THE COURT: Both? Okay. Did you understand 25 everything that you read, and everything that was read to you?

1 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: If you had any questions regarding the 3 agreement, were they answered by your attorney? 4 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Sir, based upon all the facts and 5 6 circumstances of your case, are you satisfied with the 7 services of your attorney for this charge? DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 8 9 THE COURT: And sir, are you a U.S. Citizen? 10 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: All right. Sir, I'm going to read the 12 allegation contained in the Second Amended Superceding 13 Indictment and ask you if you committed this criminal offense. 14 It says that on or between August 16, 2020, and 15 August 21, 2020, here in Clark County, Nevada, that you 16 wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously own or have in your possession and/or your custody or control a firearm --17 18 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: -- to wit: a Sig Sauer P229 Elite 20 bearing serial number AM168509, that you have been a convicted 21 felon, having in 2019, been convicted of attempt burglary in 22 Case No. C-19-338605, in the Eighth Judicial District Court, 23 Clark County, which is a felony, under the laws of the State 24 of Nevada.

Did you do these things, sir, I just read to you?

25

1 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And are you entering your plea freely and voluntarily?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The Court so finds.

Now, sir, attached to the Guilty Plea Agreement there's a document called, Stipulation for Compromise of Seized Property.

9 Actually, we need to -- the defendant didn't sign 10 this one.

11 Mr. Arnold?

MR. ARNOLD: Oh.

THE COURT: And we need your signature and Mr.

14 Clark's signature.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

(Pause in the proceedings.)

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

And sir, did you just now sign the Stipulation for Compromise of Seized Property?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And sir, did you read this document or was it read to you?

DEFENDANT CLARK: I read it.

THE COURT: You read it. Okay.

And are you signing this document freely and voluntarily?

1 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: All right. The Court so finds. 3 Sir, do you have any questions for me whatsoever 4 before I officially accept your plea of guilty to this charge? 5 DEFENDANT CLARK: No, sir. 6 THE COURT: And you wish to go forward with these 7 negotiations, sir? 8 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: All right. The Court finds that the plea is freely and voluntarily entered, as well as the 10 11 Stipulation for Compromise of Seized Property. We will file 12 this with the Court. And you have a sentencing date as follows. 13 14 THE CLERK: June 3rd at 8:30 a.m. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. 16 Thank you, counsel. And the defendant's remanded without bail. 17 18 And counsel, as I mentioned, I'm going to call the 19 jury back in. I'm going to have the attorneys excuse 20 themselves. I'm just going to personally thank them for their 21 jury service like I would in the jury room, and then if the --22 if the attorneys wish to speak with the jurors after, they can 23 do so in the hallways, so there's more of an opportunity for 24 social distancing.

MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor, I have to go back upstairs

25

```
C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 7 | 4-20-2021
1
    to finish a misdemeanor trial in front of Judge
 2
    (indiscernible).
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 4
              MR. ARNOLD: So can I excuse myself?
 5
              THE COURT: Yes. Yes, please.
 6
              MR. ARNOLD:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
              MR. LEXIS: And Madilyn --
 8
              MR. ARNOLD: (Indiscernible).
 9
              MR. LEXIS: Madilyn wants to talk to them, Judge, so
10
    we'll be --
              THE COURT:
11
                          Sure.
                          -- in the hallway.
12
              MR. LEXIS:
13
              THE COURT:
                          All right. And the Marshal will bring
14
    the jury back in.
                          (Indiscernible).
15
              THE CLERK:
16
              THE COURT: Or Ms. Cole or Mr. -- we need to have
    the Amended Indictment filed with the Court.
17
18
              MS. COLE: Oh, for the filing?
19
              THE COURT:
                          Yeah.
20
              THE CLERK: The Third Amended is not in Odyssey.
21
    you want (indiscernible) file it.
22
              MS. COLE: Oh, okay.
23
              THE COURT: Just file it today, please.
24
              MS. COLE: Yes. We'll file it today.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
```

THE CLERK: (Indiscernible).

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entry of the jury.

(Inside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the reason why I had you come in and I excused the attorneys, the case is over. On behalf of the Court system and my particular Department, I appreciate your service.

Clearly, you've taken your time, yesterday, you were very methodical and considered each of the counts. So that's exactly what we want you to do, and so we appreciate that.

Oftentimes after a jury trial, the attorneys want to speak with the jurors, not to question the wisdom of your decision, but just to -- for you to critique their case, critique their presentation, give them any suggestions you may have. Maybe you've got some questions, why did you do this, why did you ask a particular question.

I believe one of the District Attorneys is going to be in the hallway. If you have any questions for them, they'll be more than happy to answer those for you. If you have any critique for the case, or their presentation, I'm sure that would be very helpful for them, so they can always learn, you know, to do a better job; okay?

Mr. Arnold has another hearing, so he won't be

outside, because he's -- he has to run to another courtroom.

But I just wanted to personally thank you for your service. I know it's -- it's easy to try to get out of jury duty, but all of you took your job seriously. And it is important that we have people such as yourselves willing to serve on juries and perform your civic duty.

I just have one question for you. Is there anything that the Court system could have done to make your service anymore pleasurable? Hopefully, it's pleasurably. But is there anything we could have done, or the system, or any suggestions, critiques?

Yes, sir?

JUROR NO. 11: Could you explain if -- it would have been nice to know who the alternate jurors were. We were assuming it would've --

THE COURT: Right.

JUROR NO. 11: -- been 13 and 14.

THE COURT: Right.

JUROR NO. 11: A lot of rumors were going around. I don't know if you could have told us that or not. I don't know.

THE COURT: We -- we don't typically tell you that because if you know you're an alternate you might think, I don't have to pay attention, because I'm an alternate.

Sometimes we randomly picked maybe Juror 4 or

something. But this time, the parties just agreed they'd have Jurors 13 and 14. And that's the way we did it.

Now, the reason why we sent you out of the room for a few minutes, there was another charge that you were not advised of. We can't advise you of that during the first portion of the trial.

The charge was possession of a firearm by a prohibited person, basically, meaning possession of firearm by an ex-felon. And during the trial, we can't tell you either one of the defendants are ex-felons. Okay. So that could prejudice your (indiscernible), you know, he's an ex-felon so he must have done this case.

So what happens is if you find someone guilty of any charge with a firearm, so now you can determine he had a firearm. So we have a little mini trial, which we were planning to do, but the State just has to prove he's an exfelon. And the way they would prove it is, have a certified copy of a Judgment of Conviction that he was an ex-felon. You deliberate for about 30 seconds, because you've already found that he had a gun. And now we have proof that he's an exfelon. You return your jury verdict, and then we just do -- do the same thing that we just did here, as far as make sure that's your verdict.

But he decided to go ahead and plead to that charge since you -- since the jury found him guilty of possessing a

firearm relating to the other counts. And obviously, there's documentation that he was an ex-felon. So that's why we had to do that. And we don't tell you up-front, because again, that could prejudice you to that.

JUROR NO. 3: Makes sense.

THE COURT: Any other questions? Yes?

UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Just one thing. The statement I've made a couple times. The Summons, can you put report to the third floor? Because I don't know to go like, read the directories and all that stuff. So I was like,

(indiscernible) carry this (indiscernible) third floor.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: But they've -- they've -- seen

14 it a lot of times.

THE COURT: All right. I'll -- I'll pass that along.

Anything else, or any -- again, thank you very much. Your -- your check for your services will be in the mail. The check's in the mail. And so, I assure you, it will be in the mail. And so, again, thank you.

Yes, ma'am?

JUROR NO. 2: I just have one question. Is our name on any kind of public records out there, you know, our full names?

THE COURT: The jury list is a public record. We

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 7 | 4-20-2021

don't have -- we don't release your phone numbers, your address, or anything like that. We've been doing juries in -- you know, in this -- in our State for hundreds of -- you know, a hundred years or so or more. Obviously, we've never had an issue on that.

I know some people, I mean, particularly when we have gang cases, gang murder cases, we might have issue with that, where people are more concerned. This is -- you know, I can't -- I mean, there's no guarantees in the world. But just never had -- I have never had an issue. I've done about 215 jury trials. We've never had that issue.

Yes, ma'am?

JUROR NO. 2: I just want to thank you, because I've been to a lot of court hearings for my mom's situation that I mentioned in that following Monday, in the Summons, and I just want to say, you make it very easy. You're so easy to talk to, and just make me feel comfortable.

THE COURT: No, I appreciate that.

JUROR NO. 2: Yeah.

THE COURT: And one good -- I don't know if it's good or bad, maybe you're going to be happy or sad. Since you've served on a jury, we take your name out of the computer for the next 18 months.

JUROR NO. 2: Oh, good.

THE COURT: Okay?

1 JUROR NO. 2: I thought it was ten years. 2 THE COURT: So you should not be getting a jury 3 summons for the next 18 months. But no, again, we do 4 appreciate your service. And if there's ever anything we can 5 do, please don't hesitate to contact us. 6 So, yes, ma'am? 7 JUROR NO. 8: I just have a quick question. 8 Even though our names and things are not going to be 9 out there, if -- because obviously if they ever get paroled or 10 released, and this is a small town, are we going to be informed of their release? 11 12 THE COURT: I believe -- no, you -- you won't. 13 JUROR NO. 8: Okay. Because I'm just concerned --14 THE COURT: You can contact the District Attorney's 15 Office --16 JUROR NO. 8: Um-h'm. 17 THE COURT: -- and they can put you on the list to be advised of that. 18 19 JUROR NO. 8: Um-h'm. 20 THE COURT: There again, we've -- we've -- as long 21 -- I've been an attorney since 1982. I was in the DA's office 22 in '84, for a couple years --23 JUROR NO. 8: Right. THE COURT: -- and I did defense work. Never had a 24 25 situation.

1	JUROR NO. 8: Well, the reason I'm concerned is
2	because when I was a victim, as I said last week, they
3	released this person and he lived in this area
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	JUROR NO. 8: for more than three years, before I
6	went I went to a second trial and testified. And I was never
7	informed.
8	THE COURT: So you can follow up with the District
9	Attorney's Office, they're more than happy to do it, because I
10	mean, I'm sure they will
11	JUROR NO. 8: Okay.
12	THE COURT: be more than happy to keep you
13	advised of what's going on with it.
14	JUROR NO. 8: Okay.
15	THE COURT: And we've just never had a typically,
16	they're mad at their attorney.
17	JUROR NO. 8: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: Then the DA. Then the Judge.
19	JUROR NO. 8: Right.
20	THE COURT: Okay? And so, but again, thank you very
21	much for your service. I appreciate it. And now you can talk
22	to anyone you want. Okay?
23	JUROR NO. 8: And I just want to say thank you for
24	understanding, too.
25	THE COURT: Well, thank you. And as I said, we I

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 7 | 4-20-2021 think we might have the Deputy DA out there. If you want to talk with them, fine. If you don't, fine. MEMBERS OF THE JURY PANEL: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you very much. Have a great day. (Court adjourned at 10:53 a.m.)

	C-20-3	51676	-1/2 State	v. V. Oliv	rer & D. (Clark	JT -	DAY	7 4	-20-	2021	
1												
2				I	NDEX							
3				_								
4	VERDICT	RE:	DEFENDANT	VENESHIA	A OLIVEF	₹						3
5			DEFENDANT									10
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												
25												
				Pa	age 30							

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 7 | 4-20-2021

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case.

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Julie Hord

Page 31

Electronically Filed 9/14/2021 3:06 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DEPT. NO. XVII

VENESHIA LANETTE OLIVER,
and DARRELL CLARK,

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL P. VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
MONDAY, APRIL 19, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: JURY TRIAL - DAY 6

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

MADILYN M. COLE, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney

FOR DEFENDANT OLIVER: MARTIN W. HART, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT CLARK: CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: CYNTHIA GEORGILAS, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Page 1

1	LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, MONDAY, APRIL 19, 2021
2	(Court, counsel, defendants not present.)
3	(Jury reconvened at 9:07 a.m. for deliberations.)
4	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury.
5	Jury, be seated.
6	(CLERK SWEARS MARSHAL TO TAKE CHARGE OF THE JURY.)
7	THE CLERK: Thank you.
8	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exit of the jury.
9	(Jury retires to begin deliberations 9:09 a.m.)
10	(Court in recess until 3:12 p.m.)
11	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
12	THE COURT: On the desk there, next to Mr. Hart.
13	And Mr. Hart has a copy of the Jury Instructions if you want
14	to refer to those.
15	(Pause in proceedings - attorneys review juror's question.)
16	THE COURT: All right. Is there an agreement?
17	MR. LEXIS: Your Honor, the State would request that
18	the word "indeed", the Court refers you back to Count 25 of
19	the Indictment.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Arnold?
21	MR. ARNOLD: I agree with that.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Hart?
23	MR. HART: And if you want to add supplemental,
24	where it is referring to the count of coercion. Count 25 is
25	the count of coercion.

1 MR. LEXIS: It's just without supplementing the jury 2 instructions, Judge, I mean, I think referring them back to Count 25 is as clear as it could be. 3 4 THE COURT: Mr. Hart, I wasn't quite clear what 5 you're requesting. MR. HART: We could put on there that each Count, or 6 7 you could -- that each count is included in each instruction, 8 to lead them back to this crime, being the coercion, not the burglary, not the robbery, because I think that's their 10 confusion. 11 THE COURT: Well, they identify Count 25 and 3 in 12 their note. 13 MR. HART: Yeah. It says, "What is the term for crime related to coercion or the others." And the crime it's 14 15 related to is coercion. 16 THE COURT: I think everyone's in agreement on -- in agreement on that specifically referring to Jury Instruction 17 -- is that 3? 18 19 MR. HART: They're asking about Section 3, the 20 conspiracy to commit a crime. 21 MR. LEXIS: And I think it may refer to the crime --22 MR. HART: This crime. 23 MR. LEXIS: -- in Count 25, coercion, 24 (indiscernible). 25 THE COURT: Well, it says, "Does the term apply to

1 coercion or other acts during the overall crimes." 2 MR. HART: And in a count, and it applies to this 3 count. 4 THE COURT: You're referring to Count 25? 5 MR. HART: Yes. THE COURT: Well, that's what I'm saying, that say 6 7 the Court refers you to Jury Instruction 3, Count 25, 8 coercion. 9 MR. HART: Well, no. Their -- we believe they're asking about Section 3, so line 16, 17 and 18 of that page, 10 under Count 25. 11 THE COURT: 12 All right. Say that again? I wasn't --13 I couldn't follow. 14 MR. HART: I believe they are referring to the third 15 alternative theory of prosecution, which is conspiracy to 16 commit this crime, the coercion. 17 What they asked, is the term crime related to 18 coercion, or other acts during the overall crimes? 19 THE COURT: So you're proposing what, Mr. Hart? 20 That, yes, it's -- this is as to this MR. HART: 21 It is responding to -- referring to coercion, the 22 coercion count. 23 THE COURT: Well, that's what we were saying. 24 MR. HART: I was just saying it with a little

25

specificity.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 6 | 4-16-2021 1 THE COURT: Why don't you start typing. 2 Repeat that, Mr. Lexis or Ms. Cole, whoever. 3 going to type as you speak. 4 THE COURT RECORDER: And speak loudly, Mr. Lexis, 5 please. Do you want my (indiscernible)? 6 MR. LEXIS: 7 THE COURT: Yeah. Just -- just repeat it again for 8 the Clerk who is going to type up --9 MR. LEXIS: The State requests that the Court say, The Court refers the jury back to Count --10 11 MS. COLE: 25. MR. LEXIS: -- 25 of the Indictment. 12 13 THE COURT: Isn't that what you were asking for, Mr. 14 Hart? 15 MR. HART: And I would say, which is the coercion 16 count. 17 THE COURT: Well, it says it right there. MR. HART: The crime of coercion. 18 We'll say, Count 25 of the Indictment, 19 THE COURT: 20 see Jury Instruction No. 3. 21 THE CLERK: Okay. 22 THE COURT: Because that's what it is. 23 MR. HART: Well, Jury Instruction 3 is the Indictment. 24 25 THE COURT: Correct. I'm saying, look to Count 25

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 6 | 4-16-2021 1 in Jury Instruction 3. 2 MR. HART: Okay. 3 THE COURT: Any objection by the State? 4 MR. LEXIS: What was that? What were saying again? 5 THE COURT: Okay. Repeat it. 6 THE CLERK: See Jury Instruction No. 3. 7 THE COURT: No, no, I mean, the whole thing. 8 THE CLERK: The Court refers the jury back to Oh. 9 Count 25 of the Indictment. See Jury Instruction No. 3. 10 MR. LEXIS: Oh, the jury (indiscernible)? 11 MS. COLE: Is the Indictment. 12 THE COURT: Yeah. (Indiscernible). 13 MR. LEXIS: 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MS. COLE: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. LEXIS: Carl, are you good with that? I think it does -- I don't think it 18 MR. HART: 19 answers their question. 20 MR. ARNOLD: You guys are confusing it. All they're 21 looking at is subsection (3) of number 25. I think what Mr. 22 Lexis said initially, and just refer to the coercion count in 23 Count 25, in regards to any theory of liability, period. 24 Done. 25 MS. COLE: But that's explicitly what the Indictment

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 6 | 4-16-2021 1 says. 2 MR. ARNOLD: Right. 3 MS. COLE: So there's no need for us to supplement. 4 I mean, that's what it says. 5 MR. ARNOLD: Yeah. But they're not saying -they're not referring to Number 3 Jury Instruction. 6 They're 7 referring to Number 3 --8 MS. COLE: Number 3 is the --9 MR. ARNOLD: -- subsection --10 MS. COLE: -- Indictment. Yes. 11 MR. HART: Yeah. MR. ARNOLD: It's subsection 25. 12 13 MS. COLE: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Or is this -- or is it --15 MS. COLE: Yes. 16 THE COURT: -- alternative -- or is it theory number three of Count 25? 17 18 MR. HART: Yeah. 19 MS. COLE: Yes. 20 That's what they're --MR. HART: 21 MS. COLE: Yes. Theory No. 3 --22 -- asking about. MR. HART: 23 MS. COLE: -- of Count 25. MR. ARNOLD: So refer them back to --24 25 THE COURT: Should we send a note in just to say,

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 6 | 4-16-2021 1 are you referring to --2 MR. HART: Theory Number --3 MR. LEXIS: Judge, that's the only place where it 4 has (indiscernible) --5 MS. COLE: The -- yeah -- yeah, that's pretty clear. MR. LEXIS: (indiscernible). 6 7 MS. COLE: That's what they're referring to. 8 MR. LEXIS: Yeah. They put -- they put number 3 here. Unless -- if you want --9 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. LEXIS: -- to go even more specific, I guess we 12 could say --13 MR. HART: This crime is conspiracy. 14 MR. LEXIS: -- the -- the term crime in Count 25 15 refers to --16 MR. HART: Coercion. 17 MR. LEXIS: -- coercion. That's fine. Are you good with that? 18 19 MR. ARNOLD: Yeah. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. HART: Yeah. 22 THE COURT: Type that up, Samantha. Did you hear 23 what they said? THE CLERK: Yeah. The term in Count 25 refers to 24 25 coercion.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 6 | 4-16-2021 1 MR. LEXIS: The term crime --2 THE COURT: The --3 THE CLERK: The term crime. Okay. 4 MS. COLE: But say specifically --5 MR. ARNOLD: Say 25, subsection (3). 6 MR. LEXIS: In Count -- yeah, in Count 25, 7 subsection (3). 8 MS. COLE: Line 17. 9 MR. ARNOLD: Refers to the coercion count. 10 THE COURT: Can you print it here now? 11 THE CLERK: No. 12 THE COURT: Just send it up --13 THE CLERK: I'll send it to Cory. 14 THE COURT: Does it go to the printer next to Cory? 15 THE CLERK: Yeah. It --THE COURT: And then have it bring it down. 16 17 THE CLERK: -- I can send it to him, yeah. 18 MR. LEXIS: Could you repeat it one more time now? 19 THE CLERK: Sure. The Court refers the jury back to 20 Count 25 of the Indictment. See Jury Instruction No. 3. 21 term crime in Count 25, subsection (3), line 17, refers to 22 coercion. 23 MR. ARNOLD: You've got to strike out that whole 24 first part. 25 MR. LEXIS: Yeah. The first part, forget it.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 6 | 4-16-2021 1 MR. ARNOLD: Because that's going to confuse them. 2 MR. LEXIS: Yeah. 3 THE CLERK: So, the Court refers the jury back to 4 Count 25 of the Indictment? 5 THE COURT: Slower. Read it slower. Go ahead. THE CLERK: Oh. The Court refers the jury back to 6 7 Count 25 of the Indictment. See Jury Instruction No. 3. 8 term crime in Count 25, subsection (3), line 17, refers to coercion. 10 MR. LEXIS: Okay. It's going to start with "the 11 crime". We're going to start with -- the sentence, "The 12 crime." Correct, Arnold? 13 MR. ARNOLD: Right. 14 THE CLERK: Okay. 15 MR. ARNOLD: So, say it --THE CLERK: So get rid of it. 16 17 MR. ARNOLD: -- one more time, that sentence that 18 you said. 19 MR. LEXIS: And start with "The crime". 20 THE COURT: Read it slower. 21 THE CLERK: Okay. "The term crime in Count 25, 22 subsection (3), line 27, refers to coercion." 23 MR. LEXIS: Correct. 24 THE CLERK: Is that --

MS. COLE: Perfect.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 6 | 4-16-2021 1 THE COURT: There you go. Okay. 2 THE CLERK: All right. Let me send this to Cory 3 real quick. 4 THE COURT: Is there a phone here? Let's call Cory 5 to make sure he's there so we aren't -- we're not waiting. 6 (Pause in the proceedings.) 7 THE COURT: In the event that we have a bifurcated 8 trial that goes forward, are the parties ready? 9 MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge. Whether -- whether it's --10 MR. ARNOLD: Yes. 11 MR. LEXIS: -- not guilty or guilty, the -- the 12 State's ready to proceed. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Arnold? 14 MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Because that would only apply to your client, not to Mr. Hart's; correct? 16 17 MR. ARNOLD: Right. 18 MR. HART: That means I get to leave; right? 19 THE COURT: Correct. 20 MR. HART: Okay. 21 THE COURT: I'm not assuming anything. 22 (Clerk/Marshal conferring.) 23 THE COURT: Counsel, is this -- everyone agrees to 24 -- Mr. Arnold, if you can hand that out to your colleagues. 25 (Pause in the proceedings.)

MR. ARNOLD: Yeah. It's perfect. 1 2 MR. HART: Yeah. 3 THE COURT: And State? 4 MS. COLE: Yep. 5 MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll give this to the 6 7 Marshal to give to the jurors. 8 Is everyone going to be within ten minutes of the Courthouse? 9 10 MR. LEXIS: Yes, sir. MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor. 11

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HART: Yeah.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver & D. Clark | JT - DAY 6 | 4-16-2021

I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-

(Court recessed at 3:28 p.m., until Tuesday,

April 20, 2021, at 10:19 a.m.)

entitled case.

ATTEST:

12

13

14

15

16

Julie Gord

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Electronically Filed 9/14/2021 3:06 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DEPT. NO. XVII

VENESHIA LANETTE OLIVER, and DARRELL CLARK,

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL P. VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE FRIDAY, APRIL 16, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: JURY TRIAL - DAY 5

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

MADILYN M. COLE, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney

FOR DEFENDANT OLIVER: MARTIN W. HART, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT CLARK: CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: CYNTHIA GEORGILAS, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Page 1

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, FRIDAY, APRIL 16, 2021

2 (Case called at 9:01 a.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, we're on the record. I understand that the State may be resting soon. I want to advise both Ms. Oliver and Mr. Clark on their rights to testify. So Ms. Oliver and Mr. Clark, please listen carefully. I'm going to ask you a series of questions.

I must advise you that you have the right under the Constitution of the United States of America and under the Constitution of the State of Nevada not to be compelled to testify in this case.

Do you understand that, Ms. Oliver?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that, Mr. Clark?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: You may, if you wish, give up this right and take the witness stand and testify. If you do, you will be subject to cross-examination by one of the Deputy District Attorneys. Anything that you may say, be it on direct or cross-examination, would be the -- would be the subject of fair comment when the District Attorneys speak to the jury in their closing argument.

Do you understand that, Ms. Oliver?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes, I do.

1 THE COURT: Do you understand that, Mr. Clark? 2 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, I do. 3 THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, the Court 4 will not permit the District Attorneys to make any comments to 5 the jury concerning the fact that you have not testified. Do you understand that, Ms. Oliver? 6 7 DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes, I do. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that, Mr. Clark? 9 DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, I do. 10 THE COURT: If you elect not to testify, I will 11 instruct the jury, but only if your attorney specifically 12 requests, the following, and I will give the following instruction if requested. And here is the instruction. 13 14 It is a Constitutional right of a Defendant in a 15 criminal trial that he may -- he or she may not be compelled 16 to testify. Thus, the decision as to whether he or she could testify is left to the defendant on the advice and counsel of 17 18 their attorneys. You must not draw any inference of guilt 19 from the fact that he or she does not testify, nor should this 20 fact be discussed by you, or enter into your deliberations in 21 any way. 22 Ms. Oliver, do you understand I will give that 23 instruction if requested by your attorney? DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes. 24

THE COURT: Mr. Clark, do you understand, I will

give that instruction if requested by your attorney?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you -- Ms. Oliver, do you have any questions regarding these rights?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: No, I do not.

THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to speak to your attorney regarding your decision to testify in this case?

I'm not asking for --

DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.

THE COURT: -- decision right now.

DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yeah.

THE COURT: I'm just saying did you have an opportunity to speak with Mr. Hart regarding you taking the witness stand in this case?

DEFENDANT OLIVER: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Clark, did you have an opportunity to discuss with your attorney you testifying in this case?

DEFENDANT CLARK: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. If either defendant has a felony conviction within the last ten years, and the defense has not sought to preclude that from coming in before the jury, I must advise both of you, that if you do take the stand and testify the District Attorney in the presence of the jury will be permitted to ask you if you have ever been convicted of a felony, what was the felony, when did it occur, however, they

1 DEFENDANT CLARK: No, sir. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 3 Anything else before we start, counsel? 4 MR. HART: No, Your Honor. MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge. Madilyn brought down the --5 the new jury instructions pertaining to those two burglaries. 6 7 I would just ask that maybe you could have your law clerk put 8 on your recommendations on there, on the -- the burg and home invasion that at the top, that this just applies to Esther 10 Chae's events, or however you want to do it. And then on the 11 other one, put on there that this is the law that pertains to 12 all the other burgs and this is the law that pertains to all 13 of the home invasions? 14 MR. HART: Or just put on it the date for event for 15 July [inaudible]. 16 THE COURT: Just tell me if someone can -- because it's two separate instructions; okay? 17 18 MR. LEXIS: There's two burgs now --19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 -- and two home invasions. MR. LEXIS: 21 THE COURT: I don't know. My law clerk is doing --22 but he is with Judge Barker who's handling my homicide 23 calendar. He'll probably be done maybe 10:00 or 10:15 or so. 24 MR. LEXIS: Well, Judge, we're going to have plenty 25 of time today, I believe, to -- if you give us some time

1 during the break maybe we could do it ourselves. 2 THE COURT: Right. And if you can just -- if you 3 have a hard copy and then have both Mr. Arnold and Mr. Hart 4 look at it where you just maybe put in parentheses in the top, 5 you know, relates to count whatever, and the other one relates to the other count -- counts or count. 6 7 MR. LEXIS: Yes. THE COURT: 8 And I'll --9 MR. LEXIS: And before we even do that, I'm going to 10 give them a copy right now so they're good with just the 11 language in --Right. 12 THE COURT: 13 MR. LEXIS: -- it in general. 14 THE COURT: I appreciate that. 15 Can we call the jury in at this time? 16 THE MARSHAL: Are you ready? THE COURT: Counsel, can we call the jury in? 17 18 MR. HART: If we can have one minute. 19 MR. LEXIS: One -- one moment, Judge. 20 (Pause in the proceedings.) 21 THE COURT: Are we ready go? 22 MR. LEXIS: Judge, I showed them both to Marty and 23 Carl, so the only additions we'll make to them is just to 24 specify which charges they belong to.

THE COURT: All right. If you can do that while

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 we're examining some of the witnesses, I'd appreciate it. 2 then hand them to my law clerk. 3 All right. Let's get the jury in, 4 THE MARSHAL: All right. All rise for the entry of the jury. 5 6 (Inside the presence of the jury.) 7 THE COURT: All right. Everyone have a seat. Thank 8 And welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. 9 State, who is your next witness? 10 MS. COLE: And, Your Honor, the State calls Officer Ellis. 11 12 OFFICER PRESTON ELLIS, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 13 THE CLERK: And state and spell your first and last 14 name for the record. 15 THE WITNESS: Preston Ellis, P-r-e-s-t-o-n, 16 E-1-1-i-s. THE COURT: Go ahead, counsel. 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MS. COLE: 20 Good morning, Officer. Where do you work? 21 Α I work for Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. 22 And how long have you worked there? 23 Just a little over four years. Α 24 Did you become involved in a burglary investigation 25 on August 26th, 2020, through August 27th, 2020?

- A They arrived on the casino floor. And --
- Q Were they together at first?
 - A I do not know.
- Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

- A And they arrived on the casino floor. Our officers noticed them and went over and talked to at least the gentleman. And then from there, once we detained the gentleman, one of the other officers kind of looked up, noticed that the female was over by the -- walking towards the machine. She looked at him, and then she tried to scurry out of the casino in a hurry.
- MR. HART: Objection, hearsay.
- 13 THE COURT: Is this something you personally
- 14 observed, sir, or did someone tell you?
- THE WITNESS: I saw it, sir.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule the
- 17 objection.
- 18 BY MS COLE:
- 19 Q Okay. And sir, do you see that female here in court 20 today?
- You can step off the witness stand, sir. And you can come down and take a look if you need to.
- 23 A Yes, I do.
- Q Okay. And can you please point to and describe her?
- 25 A She's sitting over there in the back corner.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 Okay. And did you subsequently come into contact 2 with her? 3 Yes, I did. 4 Q And did you complete a search? 5 Yes, I did. Α And was that a consensual search? 6 0 7 Yes, it was. Α 8 Okay. And what did you recover? Q 9 Inside, I found a couple screwdrivers, a window Α 10 punch, and a Victoria's Secret credit card. 11 Sir, showing you what's been marked as State's 98. 12 THE COURT RECORDER: One second. Okay. 13 BY MS COLE: 14 Is this, in fact, the screwdrivers that you located? 15 Α Yes, it is. 16 Okay. And what are we looking at right there? Q 17 It's a window punch with a seatbelt cutter. Α Okay. And where were these items located? 18 Q 19 Α Inside the purse. 20 0 And that was of the female suspect? 21 Α Yes, ma'am. 22 Who you've identified as Ms. Oliver? 23 Α Correct. 24 Okay. Sir, showing you State's 100. What are we 25 looking at here?

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 Α I do believe so, sir. 2 MR. HART: Nothing further. 3 THE COURT: Any redirect by the State? 4 MR. LEXIS: Nothing further. 5 MS. COLE: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Any questions by our jurors? 7 No -- no questions? 8 Thank you, officer. You are excused. Thank you. 9 Thank you, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: THE COURT: Who is our next witness this morning? 10 11 MS. COLE: Your Honor, the State calls Detective 12 James Downing. DETECTIVE JAMES DOWNING, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 13 14 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat. 15 And state and spell your first and last name for the 16 record. 17 THE WITNESS: It's James Downing, J-a-m-e-s, 18 D-o-w-n-i-n-q. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead, counsel. 20 MS. COLE: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 (Pause in the proceedings - Ms. Cole/Clerk confer.) 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. COLE: 24 Good morning, Detective. Where do you work? 25 I work with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

Department.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q And how long have you worked there?
- A I've worked there going on 12-and-a-half years.
- Q And what is your current position right now with Metro?
- A I'm a Detective in the Technical and Surveillance
 7 Section.
 - Q Okay. The Technical and Surveillance Section?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Does that have an acronym?
- 11 A Yeah. We refer to it as TASS, T-A-S-S.
- 12 Q So what exactly does TASS do?
 - A We are essentially the electronic surveillance unit for the Department, which means that we -- we maintain and deploy all covert over cameras, covert listening devices, GPS trackers, as well as phone -- any kind of phone surveillance, whether it's Title 3 wire taps or pen registers.
 - Q Okay. So, Detective, are you responsible for knowing the United States Code and statutory regulations regarding electronic surveillance?
- 21 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And you also have to be fairly proficient in interpreting IP addresses, dealing with other networking issues, and just generally with phones and computers?
 - A Yes. We're like -- we break ourselves into

specialties and I specialize in phone surveillance, so pen registers and wiretap.

- Q Okay, Detective, and from an investigation standpoint, you're essentially receiving these records after they've been subpoenaed or after a search warrant has been given by a different Detective?
 - A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q Okay. So when you got these specific records, that are pertinent to today's testimony, you did not actually serve the search warrant and get the records back?
- A In this case, no.
 - Q Okay. And in this specific case that brings you into court today, you received specifically records regarding two numbers. Is that fair to say?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And do you recall the approximate time period for these two numbers?
- A We were researching August 20th, 2020, through I believe it was August 28th, 2020.
- Q Okay. And do you recall if the actual search warrant range was wider?
- 22 A It was broader, yes.
- 23 Q Okay. Was it June 14th, 2020 through August 28, 24 2020?
- 25 A That sounds correct, yes.

- Q Okay. And when you received these records, were they in various formats, including Excel?
 - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q Okay. And when you see -- when you received these records did you also receive the sworn affidavit from the Custodian of Records from T-Mobile that accompanies these records?
 - A I did.
 - Q Okay. And what does that certificate mean?
- A It's -- it's from a representative from the company saying it's their records that they keep in the normal course of business, that they certify they're true and correct, and they're duplicates of their original records.
- Q Okay. And in most records that you receive, do you receive that certificate of authenticity?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And you received that in this case?
- 18 A I did.
- Q Okay. And you also have access to subscriber information and activation date regarding the two phone numbers?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And do you recall what date both of these phone numbers were activated?
 - A They were both activated for these phone records was

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 It is Darrell Clark. 2 Okay. And the address? 3 Α It is 455 East Twain Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 4 89169. 5 Okay. And I believe you testified to the activation Q date, that's also reflected in the statement? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 And there was also another phone number that Okay. Q you were dealing with, and again, pulling up the record that's 10 entitled Sub and Docs on phone number ending in 5174. 11 And can you tell us the subscriber name related to 12 this specific number? 13 Darrell Clark, as well. Okay. And what is this specific phone number? 14 0 That's for 702-559-5174. 15 Α 16 Okay. Okay. And Detective, did you learn that Q these two specific numbers were associated with two different 17 18 people? 19 Α Yes, I did. 20 And you conducted some mapping? 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. And did you learn that the number ending in 5174 was associated with Veneshia Oliver? 23 I did. Yes. 24 Α 25 Okay. And the phone number ending in 3090 is

associated with Darrell Clark?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

16

17

18

19

- Q Okay. And specifically, the raw records you received and worked with were in this case, did you receive records for incoming, outgoing calls?
 - A I did.
- Q Okay. Did you receive any records for data sessions?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Did you receive any other records?
- 11 A They usually provide other ancillary documents on 12 how to read the records, as well.
- Q And then obviously, you received the cellular cell site tower locations and sectors?
- 15 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And Detective, we are going to start with phone number ending in 3090. And I'm just going to pull up the different records and have you explain what each one is. So for -- I'm going to start with the phone number ending in 3090, and I'm going to be pulling up what's labeled as data.
- 21 Can you see that, Detective?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. When we're looking at this Excel spreadsheet what -- that's titled "data" can you explain what we're looking at?

A Yeah. So this is a record that keeps track of all the -- the data sessions that are -- all the activity -- the data activity on a particular phone. And in this case, for T-Mobile they log the -- the cell site that the phone accesses when it accesses that Internet session, or data session. And it provides the IP address that's assigned to the device at the time by the carrier.

- Q Okay. Detective, can you describe what a data session is?
- A So anytime you're using your device on -- on the cellular network, it -- it logs -- it -- the data session is the -- the log of the activity and it reaches out to the Internet through the cellular network.
- Q Okay. So this specific Excel spreadsheet, we're not dealing with calls, incoming and outgoing calls, or text messages. This is specifically data?
 - A Specifically, data, yes.

- Q Okay. And then if you could just kind of explain these columns and the significance of them, specifically when we're seeing this address over here.
- A Yep. So starting in the left, I mean, the IP address is -- that's assigned to the phone for a particular amount of time. You get into identifiers of the phone.

 That's your MSI -- ISD and the IMEI and the Mz (phonetic) is referring to the SIM card. The start date and end time is the

time of that data session.

Then you get into the -- the actual cell site information that's being logged by T-Mobile. That's your node and cell sector ID that's numbers that are assigned to towers by the carrier. And that goes into the LTEID (phonetic), the cell ID and the LAC.

What's nice about T-Mobile is they actually provide you the address of the cell site, aside from the number they assign to it internally. So that's where all those addresses are. That's the address of the cell site.

- Q Okay, Detective. So this column that has addresses, this is essentially the address of a specific cell tower or cell site?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And what -- what is a cell tower?
- A So a cell tower or we call them more likely now cell sites. Traditionally, they were on towers. Now, they're -- they can be on buildings, and light poles, on billboards. But it's the traditionally three sided cell -- cell tower that you guys see all around town. And it's -- it contains multiple antennas that provide service in the cell network for mobile devices.
- Q Okay. And then specifically in regard to this record, was there another individual associated with it before August 20th?

A Yes, there was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Okay. And so the records that you looked at in this case, obviously, I've had it at the top to show the columns.

 But none of these records are actually the correct date span of records that you reviewed and mapped?
 - A Correct. Not until -- not until August 20th.
 - Q Okay. So we'd have to --
 - A Anything before that.
 - Q -- scroll down quite a bit.
- A Yes. Yes.
- Q Okay. Okay. And I'm going to pull up what is labeled as CDR mediations. And again, I'm still on phone number -- phone number ending in 3090.
- Okay. And Detective, can you explain these records and what we're looking at here?
 - A Sure. If you want to scroll all the way to the left. These records always start scrolled to the right for some reason.
 - Q The computer's thinking. Hang on. Okay.
 - A Okay. So these are -- they're T-Mobile call detail records. The easy way -- easiest way to think of these is it would be similar to your phone bill. It keeps track of your incoming, outgoing calls, and text messages, at what time, for how long, what numbers called you.
- But in this case, they -- they have added

information that we get through the search warrant that provides us, again, the tower that was accessed at the time of the call being received or -- or made.

- Q Okay. And so Detective, this is essentially, if you call somebody or send a text, it's going to hit or be placed on a specific cell site?
- A Correct. And then T-Mobile will also, as far as text messages, do not provide us locations historically on -- on the CDRs. So you're only seeing locations as far as incoming and outgoing calls. And the call needs to be received by the handset. So if the call is not answered, we're not going to get locations on that either.
- Q Okay. And then just scrolling more to the right, is this information that's related to the specific cell site?
- A Yeah. So like the data session, they give us the number that's assigned to the tower by the carrier, but also they break it down into GPS coordinates of the cell site as well as the actual physical locations, address of the cell site.
- Q Okay. So for example, in Las Vegas, where there's high density population, a lot of people in a small amount of space, is there a lot of cell towers?
 - A Yes. There's lots of cell towers.
- Q Okay. And is your phone normally going to connect with a cell tower that you're closest to?

A Most likely, yes, the one it's closest to. The exceptions that rule would be environmental factors that may get in the way of seeing the one that is closest to you, your device may get better service from a tower that's further away, or that it sees better.

But most of the time, due to the high density of -of cell sites in Las Vegas, you're going to connect to the one
closest to you, yeah.

- Q Okay. And now, Detective, I also want to draw your attention. So we are looking at Excel. This is an Excel document?
 - A Yes.

- Q Okay. And again, these records at the top are not starting at August 20th, so I'll scroll down a little bit.

 But essentially, what I'm going to point out is these numbers all the way to the left. What's significant about these, I guess you could call them line item numbers?
- A In an Excel -- and in these records, it just identifies each individual piece of activity in the CDR record.
- Q And there's those same specific line items in the data sessions that we just looked at as well?
 - A Yes, there is.
- Q Okay. Detective, when you take these records and do what we call mapping, is there a certain program you use to do

that?

A Yeah. We use an Internet based software called ZETX (phonetic) to map our records. We've used it for several years now, I think -- believe going on four to five years.

We picked this software, in particular, because of their research and the way that they portray the cell sites in their approximate coverage area. But also, that when we ingest the records to them, they do not change them in any way when they're depicted on the map, except to convert time zones for us, because a lot of these records are provided in different time zones. That reduces the error when they're being mapped and looked at. But they don't change anything. And everything is depicted based on the line item that's listed in the Excel format of the records.

- Q Okay. So Detective, just to make sure I'm understanding, when you get these records, what is depicted in the line items there is essentially the data that you're dealing with, you're not manipulating anything?
 - A No. Not at all.
- Q The numbers are going to line up precisely to what the numbers are in this specific Excel document?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And you said the only thing that changes is the time conversion?
 - A That's right. And even though they're converting

- it, they tell us what it was provided in originally, and that they converted it. And that's based on what we tell it, to which time zone to convert it to.
- Q Okay. So, Detective, let's -- you did, in fact, do some mapping in this case?
 - A Yes. I did.
- Q And it was with these specific records that we have been discussing; is that correct?
 - A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q Okay. And with ZETX, the program that you've been referring to, is it associated with Google Earth?
- A It's associated with Google Earth in the fact that it -- it overlays its mapping on top of Google Earth, because that program is known for its extreme accuracy and -- and updating and making it much more advantageous to look at a real world satellite shot with these records.
- Q Okay. And Detective, showing you what's been marked, and this exhibit, for the record, is four pages, showing you what's been marked as State's 180, page 1.
- MS. COLE: Can we switch over?
- THE COURT RECORDER: Oh, yes.
- MS. COLE: Yeah. We're going to be using the projector for a bit.
- 24 BY MS. COLE:
 - Q Okay. Okay, Detective. So can you tell us what is

stated in the top left-hand corner?

- A August 21st, 2020, from 07:00 hours to 07:30, and that's local time.
- Q Okay. And now this is kind of -- we've got some weird shapes and weird colors going on.
 - A Yes.

- Q Can you describe the significance of what we're seeing on here?
- A Sure. So we'll start with the shapes. The -- the pin drop in the middle of the shape is the location of your cell site that's being referred to in the records.
 - Q Okay. And I'm just going to --
- A And where it's mapped.
- 14 Q I'm going to point right there. Is that the pin?
- 15 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. And it's -- it's kind of hard to see, because the outlining color is kind of the same color as the pin.
 - A Right. And the reason for that being when we mapped the records we -- especially when we're doing multiple different phone numbers, we assign them different colors, so that when they're mapped we can see them being mapped, if they're different, or the same, as other records.
 - But the pin -- the pins will -- will change depending on which records we're looking at here. But the numbers that are around that pin drop refers to some of the

records that are being mapped there in the line items that we were speaking about earlier in this -- in the records.

- Q Okay. So, Detective, like if I'm looking at Number 705, is that essentially corresponding with this line 705?
 - A Yes.

- Q Okay. And this line 705, is directly referenced in what?
 - A That would be in the -- in the data records of one of the phone numbers.
- Q Okay. And that's the -- that's the numbers all the way on the left hand side?
- 12 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. So did you have a different color for each phone number that you mapped?
 - A Correct. So 3090 is actually mapped in blue, 5174 is mapped in red. However, when you map these -- these together in ZETX and they map them out on Google Earth, all the colors will be layered on top of each other. So when you start to get devices that are utilizing similar or the same cell sites during the same timeframe you're going to get layered colors which may be -- that are going to be different than the colors you assign.
 - So in this case, with red and blue, we're going to see some purples or darker reds, or darker, you know, darker blues or -- in that effect, because of the layering of the

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 records. Okay. Detective, this is from the time frame of 7:00 to 7:30 on August 21st. Α Yes. And phone number ending in 3090 is represented in what color? Α In blue. Okay. And what about phone number 51 -- ending in Q 5174? That's represented in red. Α Okay. And again, just so we can have the members of the jury understand what number is associated -- or I guess what color is associated with which person? It. --Q Or which person's phone, I should say. You'd like the -- the name of each one? Α Yes. 0 So Darrell Clark, I believe, is the 3090, and then Α Oliver is 5174. And that's in red. And then can you explain this, I guess, 0 Okay. What is that representing? circle?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A So that represents the coverage area of the -- that sector of that cell site. So if you go back to when we were talking about cell sites, traditionally there's three sectors on every tower. And that, in essence, is to allow them, the

cell carriers, to use a sector type antenna because that's really the most cost effective way traditionally to do it.

But so to get -- try not to get too deep into radiofrequency theory and propagation of sector antennas, that is the depiction of a horizontal lane of a sector antenna. So that is what's used to depict the possible coverage area of that same type of antenna on a cell site.

- Q Okay. So if I'm understanding you correctly, when I'm looking at this specific tower, what's being represented in this dark shaded color is the coverage area of that specific tower.
 - A Of that type of antenna that's on the tower, yes.
- 13 Q Okay.

- A Of that sector of the tower.
- Q And Detective, can you point -- and you can actually circle on that screen that you have in front of you, where is the Paris Hotel Casino?
- 18 A The Paris Hotel is right here.
 - Q Okay. And is part of the Paris Hotel even covered under the kind of purple blob that we see?
 - A Yes. It's -- it's under the -- like the far east side of that hotel casino would still be under the approximately coverage area of that sector.
- Q Okay. And it looks like we have two different towers depicted here.

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q Okay. And is it fair to say that both of these towers service the area of the Paris Hotel?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. So essentially, what does that mean?
- A You're going to have to --
 - Q If -- if it's servicing that area --
 - A Correct.
 - Q -- is that meaning that if you're calling or texting that your phone is going to hit off that cell tower?
- A Yes. If you're using your phone for a data session to receive or make texts or calls, if you're in the area of the -- those cell sites, then it will be utilizing those to make -- to make your calls.
- Q Okay. Moving on to State's page 2 of 180, are we looking at a map of just one number or two numbers?
- 17 A If you'd be able to scoot it up for me, I will.
- 18 Q Oh, I'm sorry.
- 19 A That -- that's going to be of two -- two different 20 numbers.
- Q Okay. Two different numbers. And can you tell us, 22 I believe this is -- can you read that date and time?
- 23 A Yeah. It's August 21st, 2020, from 07:45 hours to 08:30 hours.
 - Q Okay. And can you again indicate on the screen

where the Paris Hotel and Casino is?

- A So the Paris is going to be kind of in this area, okay, it's --
- Q Okay. And again, we're looking at kind of a reddish -- not bright, bright red, but kind of a mixed reddish color?
- A Yes. So I -- I think the -- the projector is having a hard time with the colors exactly, but it is a darker red. And the reason it's favoring the red in -- in the mapping is because there's more activity on the red mapped phone as opposed to the blue mapped phone.
- Q Okay. And when you -- when you're referring to activity, are you talking about these numbers, we have more numbers here than we do here?
- A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

- Q So target 5174 had four lines of -- of data sessions being mapped here as opposed to the one line data session being mapped on the other -- on the blue color, on line 3090.
- Q Okay. And so that's why we see that it's a little bit more read?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Even though there is some blue in there?
- 22 A Yeah.
 - Q Okay. And showing you page 3 of State's 180. Can you tell us what date and time we're looking at right here?
 - A It's August 21st, 2020, from 09:00 to 09:30 hours.

- Q Okay. And can you indicated on the map where are Target and Walgreens?
- A Target and Walgreens are over here. It's not really lining up with my finger, but off to the east.
- Q Okay. And it's fair to say that this specific tower that's being depicted services this entire area?
- A So there they -- the cell companies have -- have powered the different sectors that hear differently for reasons that they determine, probably environmental and some of the density. So but it's depicting two of the sectors of that -- of the three in that -- on that cell site there.
 - Q Okay. And again, this we're seeing a red color?
- 13 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

17

18

19

21

22

23

- Q Okay. And that's representative of the number ending in 5174?
- 16 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And this is the area that's being depicted of where that tower services, essentially?
 - A Right. The approximate coverage area that --
- 20 Q The approximate coverage area.
 - Okay. And then looking at this blue circle area, this is depicting phone -- the phone tower that 3090 is hitting during this time period?
- 24 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. And what address is this?

- A That's 455 East Twain Avenue.
- Q Okay. Showing you page 4.
- Okay. What date and time are we looking at right

4 here?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

- A August 21st, 2020, from 09:30 to 10:00 hours.
- Q Okay. And again, we're seeing two kind of different colors. So starting with this, again, can you indicate some of the landmarks like Target, Walgreens?
- A So Target is still in this area here and the Walgreens.
- Q Okay. And this timeframe is 30 minutes?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And then looking at this, why is this one red and this one is more purple?
 - A Because solely, target 5174 is using the cell site down by Target and Walgreens, whereas both of the targets are utilizing the cell site later on that's servicing the -- the 455 Twain address.
- Q Okay. So this cell site is being used earlier, and this cell site is being used later.
- 21 A Correct. By both -- by both of us.
- Q Okay. Showing you what's been marked as State's 181. And for the record, this exhibit is 4 pages.
- MR. HART: (Inaudible).
- MS. COLE: Oh. Can we [inaudible] going to work?

- MR. ARNOLD: I got it.
- MS. COLE: You got it? Okay. Perfect.
 - BY MS. COLE:

3

4

- Q Let me pull this down so you can see.
- All right. So what is the date and time stamp we're looking at here?
- A So we're looking here at August 23rd, 2020, from 8 21:15 hours to August 24th, 2020, at 00:15 hours.
 - Q Okay. And we are only seeing blue.
- 10 A Correct.
- 2 So in this case there were so -- there was so much activity that was being mapped that it was almost impossible to tell what was going on between each device here. So I decided to map them separately.
- Q Okay. So you mapped each device. So we're looking ignored to be sufficiently on the sufficient of th
- 17 A This is just --
- 18 Q -- the device ending in 3090 right now?
- 19 A Correct. Yes.
- Q Okay. For this specific time frame, and this specific time frame is 9:15 to 12:15?
- 22 A Yes. Between the 23rd and the 24th.
- Q Okay. And can you tell us what is depicted right here, if you can see.
- 25 A Yep. So Harrah's Hotel is -- there's an icon up in

- this area for Harrah's Hotel and Casino.
- Q Okay. So it would be fair to say that this tower depicted right here services the Harrah's Hotel and Casino?
 - A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

21

- Q So essentially that means if you're at or around the Harrah's Hotel and Casino and you're utilizing your phone it could be hitting on that tower?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And showing page 3 and 4 of State's 181.
- Now, what we were looking at before was just phone number 3090.
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And again, this is August 23rd, 2020?
- 14 A It's the same time frame, August 23rd, 2020, from 15 21:15, to August 24th, 2020, from 00:15. It's -- but of
- 16 target 5174.
- Q Okay. And then in regular time, non-military time, what time is this?
- A So that's at 9:15 p.m. of the 23rd to midnight or 20 12:15 a.m. of the 24th.
 - Q Okay. And you indicated that there was too much activity to display these, essentially, on the same map?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Okay. And that's why you chose to represent them on separate maps?

A Yes.

Q And again, through this entire time period, is this the only cell tower that's being hit?

A There's multiple cell sites within there, but due to the density of the strip, there's many cell towers very close together and it's not uncommon for cell sites to actually be in casinos to increase connectivity for people. So it's not the only one there, but it's the only in a very small area there.

Q Okay. And Detective, that reminds me, we see a lot of line items on here. And there's only a few depicted up on here. Why is that? How come not every line item is depicted up here?

A So again, that's -- that goes into trying to declutter the mapping that you're seeing. That shows some. If we were in the actual program, I could click on each individual cell site and it would expand out on all the line items where you could click on them individually.

But in an effort for the -- to declutter and make the map more readable, the program automatically reduces what's actually shown on the map.

Q Okay. So for example, if you were actually running ZETX right now with your computer, you could click on those specific line items?

A Yes.

- Q And they would essentially pop up?
- A Yes.

- Q But because we don't have very good Internet, we're -- we're doing this on paper?
- A Yeah. So Google Earth runs -- I mean, it's all Internet based and with a poor Internet service it's -- it's impossible to use.
- Q Okay. And then, Detective, briefly -MR. HART: Your Honor, could we approach very
 quickly?

11 THE COURT: All right.

(Bench Conference re: scheduling - not recorded.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're just going to take a ten minute break at this time.

During this recess, you are not to discuss or communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits, either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media. You're not to read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case. You're not to do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet or using reference materials. You're not to make any investigation, test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or any other way to investigate or learn about the case on your own. And you're not to form or express

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 (Court recessed at 10:00 a.m., until 10:15 a.m.) 2 THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entry of the jury. 3 (Inside the presence of the jury.) 4 THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, everyone. 5 Detective, do you understand you're still under 6 oath? 7 THE WITNESS: I do, yes. 8 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, counsel. 9 MS. COLE: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 And for the record, I'm pulling out State's 181 11 again. Court's brief indulgence. 12 BY MS. COLE: Okay. And for the record, I'm showing, again, 13 what's been marked as State's 181. 14 15 MR. HART: Can we have a page number? 16 MS. COLE: Yes. This is the -- the first page of State's 181. 17 BY MS. COLE: 18 19 Now, Detective, you indicated that essentially some 20 of these numbers are going to be down here, some are not going to be down here. But specifically, in relation to 536, is 21 22 this indicated below? 23 Line 536 would be indicated below, yes. 24 Okay. And again, we're talking about line 536. 25 That's specifically corresponding with the call detail records

This is the same exact time frame and date as the -- as the

photo that we just looked at?

24

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 Α Yes. 2 Okay. And this is depicting target number ending 3 5174? 4 Α Yes. 5 Okay. And now I'm going to just try to display these -- so the shapes are -- are pretty similar? 6 7 The shapes are, yeah, they're exactly the same. 8 It's the same coverage area. It's the same sector of the same cell tower. 10 Q Okay. 11 I think one just might be --12 So this --0 13 -- slightly zoomed in a little more than the other. 14 This would likely indicate that these two phones are 15 hitting the same cell site, or cell sites? 16 Α The cell site and sector, yes. 17 Okay. And then for the record, I'm showing you 0 what's been marked as State's 176. And I'm showing you the 18 19 first page. 20 Can you state that date and time period of the top 21 of (inaudible)? 22 August 27, 2020, from 01:41 a.m. to 01:46 a.m. Α 23 Okay. And what is depicted right there? 24 Α That's the Casino Royale. 25 0 Okay. And does this have both target numbers

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 mapped? 2 Α Yes. 3 Okay. And if you could tell us, what does the red 4 represent? 5 The red represents target 5174. Okay. And what about the blue? 6 0 7 Blue is target 3090. Α 8 Okay. And then showing you page 2 of 176, what is Q the time range for this particular map? 10 Α This is August 27th, 2020, from 01:47 a.m. to 02:30 11 a.m. Okay. And what's being mapped here? Is this just 12 13 one number? 14 This is target 3090. 15 Q Okay. And showing you page 3 of State's 176. That 16 is the same time period of 1:47 and 2:30 a.m.? 17 Yes. Α Okay. And what is being mapped here? 18 19 Α And that's target 5174 20 Okay. And again, I know you didn't do these on the 21 same -- because there's -- there's too much data. So --22 These -- they are so similar that again, they Α Yeah. 23 -- they cover each other and make it difficult to see. 24 Okay. So, again, I'm going to just try to put them 25 side by side so we can see.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 So that is depicting where the Casino Royale is? Α The -- the icon to the right, the red icon to the right of the writing is. Okay. This one right here? Yes. That's it right there. Α And then on target number 5174, this is the 0 Okay. Casino Royale on this particular graph? Yes. Α Q Okay. Let's see if we can get this working. Detective, going back to State's 181. Okay. Sorry. Can we switch back? MS. COLE: THE COURT RECORDER: Yeah. Okay. MS. COLE: Yeah. We're going to be right back in a second. BY MS. COLE: Okay. I believe I was referring you to 536. Q Yes. Α Q You can see that? Α Yep. Okay. And again, we have that indicated on this 0 line? Α Yes. Okay. And that corresponds, again, with the target

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Excel spreadsheet?

number 3090, and this line number would be that number in the

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

22

Q Okay. And again, I'm publishing State's 175, specifically, the call detail records from the target phone number ending in 3090.

Is this line 536?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. What does this information tell us on this 8 line?
 - A That's telling us the time that the call was -- was incoming. You have to keep in mind that these records were provided in UTC time which is similar to -- it's the same as Greenwich Mean Time, so it's seven hours ahead of our local time here.
- Q Okay. So with the conversion, would you be able to tell us what time that would be in pacific standard time?
- 16 A Yes. So that's -- it would be the 23rd, at 21:37:57.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A So 9:37, 9:38'ish, approximately, p.m.
- Q Okay. So this would be -- this call would take place on August 23rd, 2020, at 9:37?
 - A Yes.
- 23 O P.M.?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And what is the number that is calling the

target number ending in 3090?

- A So a call was -- was started or initiated at 70 -- at the device, that 702-559-5174. And it shows it's received by 684-3090.
- Q Okay. And so it was -- the caller was 5174, and the person being called was target number 3090?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Detective --
- 9 MS. COLE: Oh, I'm sorry, Cynthia. Can we switch
- 10 back?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

- 11 BY MS. COLE:
- 12 Q Detective, can you tell us what we're looking at 13 here?
- A This is a depiction of the location of the cell sites that serviced the address at 455 East Twain Avenue.
- Q Okay. And with these particular records, for the dates that you have, which was, I believe, August 20th through August 28, 2020?
 - A Yes.
- 20 Q Were these cell sites significant?
- A Yes. I saw a majority of the traffic and activity on the phones was utilizing these cell sites.
- Q Okay. And what is being depicted right here?
- A That's a depiction of the approximate coverage areas
 of the three types of technologies that are on that cell site

that service that. It's very common for the cell sites out here to -- to have different technologies meaning a data technology, a voice technology, and in this case, an older technology which is 3G. So we actually have a 4G LTE data service, a 4G LTE voice services, and a 3G service on this same cell site for the same carrier.

- Q Okay. And that's --
- A So that's what's being depicted here is the coverage of all three of those technologies on that cell site.
- Q Okay. And again, we're talking about that would service 455 East Twain?
- 12 A Yes.

- Q Okay. And Detective, when you looked at those records dated from August 20th to August 28th, what did you notice about the relationship between the amount of activity with these numbers and the specific tower or towers, cell sites, plural?
- A Yeah. It's the same cell site, just different technologies on the same cell site. But I noticed that a majority, so over 50 percent of the activity on each device was utilizing a sector off this cell site and one of the many sectors off the cell site.
- Q Okay. And is that -- does that usually tend to correspond to where someone spends a lot of time?
 - A Yes. We usually correspond, you know, a -- wherever

- the largest amount is spent is the person's residence or where they -- where they spend a majority of their time.
- Q Okay. So the area where you spend a majority of time would look similar to this?
 - A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

- Q Okay. And then Detective, going back briefly.
- Okay. Showing you what's been marked State's Exhibit 180, page 4. Again, can you tell us the date and timestamp in the top left hand corner?
- 10 A August 21st, 2020, from 9:30 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.
- Q Okay. And drawing your attention, particularly to line item 176, if we can see that here, right there.
- Does that correspond to one of the line items below?
- 14 A Yes, it does.
- Okay. And what does that indicate?
- A That was an outgoing voice call to 702-283-4833, at 9:54 a.m.
- Q Okay. And Detective, were you able to access who that phone number was associated with?
- A In a way, yes. We -- our ZETX program has a search function that utilizes open source, so information readily available --
- MR. HART: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to foundation on this.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry? I'm sorry, I couldn't hear.

- MR. HART: I'd object as to foundation. I've
 already heard "in a way". And I'm not sure -- he doesn't run
 ZETX.
 - THE COURT: Okay. Let's lay some foundation for his ability to answer the question.
- 6 MS. COLE: Okay.
- 7 BY MS. COLE:

5

8

- Q Detective, you have access to the raw call detail records?
- 10 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And what's indicated in here on line 176, corresponds exactly to target number 5174?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And what this is essentially representing is that target 5174 placed an outgoing --
- MR. HART: Objection, leading.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 BY MS. COLE:

22

- 19 Q What is line 176 depicting?
- A It's depicting an outgoing voice call from target 5174, to a 702-283-4833, starting at 09:54, 21 seconds.
 - Q Okay. And again, looking at this shaded area, this is another diagram that's not blue or red, and why is that?
- A The layering effect of the mapping of -- of both of the target numbers. So the blue and the red mixing off the

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 same cell site. 2 Okay. And again, this is depicting this specific 3 time frame? 4 Α Yes. 5 MS. COLE: All right. Court's brief indulgence. I'll pass the witness, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Any cross-examination, Mr. Arnold? 8 MR. ARNOLD: No questions for this witness, Your 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 11 Any cross-examination, Mr. Hart? 12 MR. HART: Yes. You can just -- if you want to leave the exhibits up 13 14 there, I'll -- I may or may not use them (inaudible). 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. HART: 17 Detective, let's just give a quick tutorial to Q everybody. 18 19 Α Sure. 20 You talked about sectors --21 Α Yeah. 22 -- on a cell tower. What do you mean? 0 23 Uh --Α Well, here, let me -- I can lead. I'm allowed to. 24 0 25 Α Okay.

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021

- Q You're not my witness.
- 2 A Sure.

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 Q It's my understand that tower, 360 degrees; correct?
- 4 A Yeah.
 - O On a standard tower?
- 6 A Yeah.
 - Q And you have three antennas, each with 120 degree where it reaches out?
 - A Traditionally, yeah.
 - Q Okay. And you can check and see if calls are bouncing off that tower and that sector; correct?
- 12 A Yeah.
- Q Okay. You said traditionally, yes.
- Now, explain.
- 15 A Okay.
- Q What's -- what do you mean, traditionally? What's different now?
 - A So traditionally, cell towers would have three sectors. In certain areas, high density areas, or places where we can't get something that large in, or the cell phone companies can't get something that large in, they'll put up a 360, an omni tower, with an omni antenna that is providing coverages 360, so we can't break down the activity on a tower to a specific sector, it would just be on a 360 degree.
 - O How far can that cell tower reach for --

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021

- A That's -- that's depending on how it's tuned by the phone carrier. And that's done by many factors. And mostly environmental and density factors.
 - O So a cell tower could reach ten miles?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

- Q Or further --
- 7 A Yes.
 - Q -- in certain cases? And you were asked if you ordered the records in this case; correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And you were asked by her, when you said, you didn't do it in this case, somebody else did; correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q But you can order records from the phone companies and the cell towers themselves; correct?
- 16 A Through a search warrant, yes.
- Q Okay. What is a -- if I'm using the wrong term -- do you guys ever do cell tower dumps?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q For a specific tower to find out what numbers are using that tower?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Is that usually to find out who's in the area, like if you have a series of crimes you can do a dump for this day and a dump for this day --

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 Α Yes. 2 -- and a dump for this day --3 Α Yeah. 4 Q -- and see if you get the same phone numbers popping 5 up? Yes. 6 Α 7 Q Okay. Do you know if that was done in this case? 8 I -- I'm not aware. Α 9 Q Okay. But that is one technique to find out, hey, 10 we've got a bunch of overlapping people; correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. And you said you're using ZETX? 13 Yes. Α 14 0 How do you spell that? 15 Α It's Z-E-T-X. 16 Okay. And that is a software owned by an outside Q 17 company, not Metro; correct? Correct. 18 Α 19 Q So you don't maintain it? 20 Α No. 21 And it uses algorithms as well. Do you -- how does Q 22 it work, to the best of your knowledge? 23 To the best of my knowledge, they take cell phone Α 24 lists that are made available by each carrier, cell site lists 25 of the location of all their cell sites, and direction of the

- sectors. And they maintain a database of those and then compare the records that we provide for each case to those records and create a mapping file.
 - Q Okay. And you get the information back. Do you individually then verify based on the CDRs and other data you get?
- 7 A Yes. I do.
 - Q Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- A Not every call, but I -- I spot check to make sure that they are lining up.
- 11 Q Spot. Okay.
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q You don't do every one?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q You rely on the software to do it?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Now, you said that whether or not a particular tower
 18 picks up anything or is used, depends on a number -- well,
 19 what factors does it depend on?
- A Proximity, a line of sites sometimes, but mostly environmental factors, if you have buildings or trees or things in the way, it might favor a cell site over another.
- 23 O What about the amount of traffic load?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. So if you're in a dense area, say the game

just comes out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Yeah.
- Q From a -- the Golden Knights.
- A Okay.
 - Q One where you're allowed to have people in the building. Let's clarify. It might go to -- you might be using a tower quite a ways away; correct?
 - A It depends. If it's able to see the signal, it's possible. But it's also a possibility it would just deny your service all together.
- Q Okay. So you could try to find a tower that works, or you could be (inaudible) too many people on the line?
 - A If -- if the device can see a tower, then it'll -- would try and place the call or receive a call through it. It would use it.
 - Q Okay. And when you are looking at data information on these, it could be apps you have running in the background?
- 18 A Yes.
 - Q So if somebody has their location on their phone, you're there; correct? I mean, it's going to be --
 - A If -- if it's anything that's going to be reaching out through the Internet, through the cell service, then yes.
 - Q And as far as location data, if you download the phone itself, it's usually a lot more precise; correct?
 - A Yes. If they have that service turned on in the

- Q Okay. Just to be clear, this information tells where a phone is; correct? Not a person?
 - A Correct. Where the device is.
- Q You didn't run -- to the best of your knowledge there was never cell tower data that's defined out, there was other numbers corresponding throughout this --
- A Not on a specific -- no, a dump -- a tower dump was not run to the best of my knowledge.
- 10 Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

22

23

- MR. HART: Nothing further.
- 12 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. COLE:
 - Q Detective, given the density, the population and environmental factors regarding the Strip, is there any towers that you are aware of that are ten miles apart?
- 18 A Down on the Strip?
- 19 Q Yes.
- 20 A Meaning that the next tower would be ten miles?
- 21 O Yes.
 - A No. Towers are extremely dense down on the Strip due to the -- the high density of people that's usually there.
- Q Is the Strip the part of the Valley that is perhaps
 the most highly dense -- the most highly populated with cell

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 sites? 2 Α Yes. 3 MS. COLE: No further questions, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Any -- any questions, Mr. Arnold? 5 MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Hart? 6 7 MR. HART: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Any questions by our jurors? 9 No questions. 10 Sir, thank you for your testimony. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: You are excused. 12 13 Next witness for the State? 14 MR. LEXIS: Judge, can we approach? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 (Bench conference re: scheduling - not recorded.) 17 THE COURT: All right. State, will you be calling 18 any other witnesses today? 19 MR. LEXIS: The State rests, Judge. 20 THE COURT: The State rests. 21 All right. Thank you. 22 Mr. Hart, will you be calling any witnesses on 23 behalf of your client? 24 MR. HART: No, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Arnold, will you be calling any

witnesses on behalf of your client?

MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the evidentiary portion of this trial has been concluded. We are going to, as we had mentioned before, at the end of the trial, I will read to you the laws that apply to this particular case. And thereafter, you will hear closing argument by the parties, and then after that, you will commence your deliberation.

We're still putting together all the jury instructions, and I want to give the attorneys an opportunity to get their notes together for their closing arguments.

So we're going to take an early lunch. So if we can just come back -- so take your lunch break now, and we'll come back at noon for the jury instructions and closing argument, and then deliberation; okay?

So, ladies and gentlemen, during this lunch recess, you must not discuss or communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits, either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media. You're not to read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case. You're not to do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet or using reference materials. You're not to make any investigation,

test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or any other way to investigate or learn about the case on your own. You're not to form or express an opinion regarding the case until it's submitted to you.

We'll see you back at noon for closing argument.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exit of the jury.

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, I think Mr. Lexis and -- is going to up and work with the law clerk to finalize the jury instructions. So if we can just come back like five minutes before noon, just to put the final, you know, stack together and have those put on the record that there's no objections or request for additional instructions, and then we'll start at noon.

MR. ARNOLD: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. COLE: And, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes?

MS. COLE: If we could just make a brief record that at this time, the State is striking Exhibit 119. And can we just confirm for the record that all of our exhibits have bee admitted into evidence?

THE COURT: First off, Mr. Arnold, any objection to the Court striking Exhibit 119?

MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hart, any objection to the Court --

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 MR. HART: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: -- striking 119? Okay. So when we come back, I'll advise the jurors 3 4 that that exhibit has been withdrawn. 5 MS. COLE: Yes. And that wasn't published or shown to them. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 THE CLERK: And everything else has been admitted, 9 so. 10 MS. COLE: Okay. What is our last number? THE CLERK: 188. 11 12 MS. COLE: Perfect. 13 THE COURT: All right. I'll see everybody five, ten 14 minutes before noon. 15 Have a good lunch. 16 (Court recessed at 10:52 a.m., until 12:06 p.m.) (Outside the presence of the jury.) 17 THE COURT: Okay. We've added a couple new 18 19 instructions, so now we have 1 through 43 jury instructions. 20 Mr. Lexis, do you have any objection to 1 through 21 43? 22 No, Your Honor. MR. LEXIS: 23 THE COURT: Do you request any additional? 24 MR. LEXIS: No, Your Honor. 25 Mr. Arnold, are you familiar with 1 THE COURT:

```
1
    through 43?
 2
              MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Do you request any additional?
 4
              MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 6
              MR. ARNOLD: None, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Mr. Hart, are you familiar with 1
 8
    through 43?
 9
              MR. HART: Yes, Your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Any objection?
11
              MR. HART: No, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: Do you request any additional?
13
              MR. HART: No, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: And any objection, Mr. Hart, to the
15
    verdict forms, one for Oliver, and one for Clark?
16
              MR. HART: As long as they're what we discussed.
    When your law clerk gave us a copy a minute ago, the verdict
17
18
    forms were not there. I'm sure they're fine. You said they
19
    were good, the first (inaudible).
20
              THE COURT: Any objection to the verdict forms, Mr.
21
    Arnold?
22
              MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor.
23
                         And the State has an objection putting
              THE COURT:
24
    not guilty first, but overruled, okay?
25
              MR. LEXIS: Hey, Judge, could -- if we could get one
```

C-20-351676-1/2 | State v. V. Oliver and D. Clark | JT - DAY 5 | 4-16-2021 1 more copy from Adam. He gave -- I have a copy, but --2 THE COURT: Sure. They're -- he's copying them 3 right now --4 MR. LEXIS: Oh, okay. THE COURT: -- down the hallway. 5 (Pause in the proceedings - waiting for Jury Instructions.) 6 7 THE COURT: Are they good, Marshal? 8 THE MARSHAL: Yeah. They're good. All here except 9 for one, and one's in the restroom. 10 THE COURT: Pardon? 11 THE MARSHAL: One went to the restroom. THE COURT: Okay. 12 13 (Pause in the proceedings.) 14 (Off the record at 12:18 p.m., until 12:27 p.m.) 15 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 16 THE COURT: Counsel, I will ask each of you, if you stipulate that -- that I don't have -- that I'm not going to 17 read number 3. 18 19 THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the entry of the jury. 20 (Inside the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: All right. Thank you everybody. 21 22 Have a seat. 23 Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, on your chair or in 24 your desk in front of you will be a packet of jury 25 instructions and also a packet of verdict forms. There are

two separate forms in that second packet. There is one form for Ms. Oliver, and one for Mr. Clark.

All right. At this time, it is now my duty to read to you the jury instructions.

(COURT READS JURY INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY.)

THE COURT: And ladies and gentlemen, you can follow along or not, it's up to you, but you have your own packet there to take with you to the jury room when you deliberate.

(COURT RESUMES READING OF JURY INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, Instruction No. 3 is the Indictment, the Second Superceding Indictment that was previously read to you by the Court Clerk. And so Instruction No. 3 is incorporated in these Jury Instructions. You are to consider all the instructions during your deliberations; okay?

By stipulation of the parties, they've agreed that I don't need to read Instruction No. 3 to you because it's been read to you and you have it in front of you.

Is that correct, Mr. Lexis?

MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Arnold?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Hart?

MR. HART: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. We will go to Instruction 4,

25 | ladies and gentlemen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the
2	case, or do any research such as consulting dictionaries,
3	using the Internet or using reference materials. You're not
4	to make any investigation, test the theory of the case,
5	recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way to
6	investigate or learn about the case on your own. And you're
7	not to form or express an opinion any opinion regarding
8	this case until it's submitted to you.
9	Just stay close by. It should just be five
10	minutes. We're going to call IT right now.
11	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exiting of the jury.
12	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
13	THE COURT: Mr. Arnold
14	We can be off the record, Cynthia.
15	(Court recessed at 1:03 p.m., until 1:23 p.m.)
16	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entry of the jury.
17	(Inside the presence of the jury.)
18	THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and
19	gentlemen. Apologize for the IT problems. It's no one's
20	fault. Things just happen.
21	State, are you ready now for your closing?
22	MS. COLE: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: And also, ladies and gentlemen, per
24	stipulation of the parties, State's Exhibit 119 is withdrawn.
25	Is that correct; State?

MS. COLE: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Arnold?

MR. ARNOLD: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Hart?

MR. HART: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

So that's 119 is withdrawn.

STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT

MS. COLE: So the past few days, we've sat through a lot of evidence. There's been a lot of testimony. We've had several different experts get up here, officers, victims. And so my job here today is to help you tie everything together.

We're going to go by events by events, and I'm going to explain the law that corresponds with the counts that Mr.

Lexis and I are going to be asking you to find both defendants guilty on.

So, the Paris events. We're going to start with the Paris events, and I'm going to go in the same order, at least somewhat of the same order of what we -- what testimony and what evidence we started getting into.

So the Paris event was August 16th, with Mr.

Krusinski. And we know that he left his room at approximately
7:00 p.m. We know his credit card was used at Bally's, and
that was the Sbarro's inside the Bally's. And we know his
credit card was used at 7-Eleven. We saw a video of both

those things. You listened to custodian of records from different banks. You heard the victims say that no one had permission to use their cards.

Rebecca Finger and Brooke Bargholtz. This was also at the Paris. And if you recall, there was several other girls that were staying with Rebecca and Brooke, but you actually heard testimony from both Rebecca and Brooke.

Marissa Metro, Inga Williams, those other girls were also there.

And both Rebecca and Brooke told you that they left their room, they were there in Las Vegas for a birthday weekend. And they had left at 7:45 to go downstairs to have breakfast. And when they returned their room had been obviously damaged. They noticed their property was stolen.

And again, Brooke's card was attempted at Target, and Rebecca's card was attempted three times at Target with two different cards.

Now, the rest of the invents are involving Harrah's.

And you heard from Esther Chae, you got to hear from Bertha

Gerdeau, and you got to hear testimony from both Jewell Love

and Patricia Williams.

Now, speaking of Esther Chae, you heard her tell you that she came back to her room. She heard voices. She identified Darrell Clark not only in a photo lineup but she did it in person in front of all of you.

And then we have Bertha Gerdeau and Latoya Gustus who were sisters. Again, visiting in Las Vegas. All of our victims were out of state. None of them lived here.

And you'll recall that there's a surveillance still of two individuals that matched the general suspect description of all of our events.

Bertha identified numerous very high value designer property from Defendant Clark's Facebook photo. And you'll recall Jewell Love and Patricia Williams, their event was August 23rd.

And they -- the defendants are depicted on video throughout the Harrah's. We're able to tell that Defendant Clark does not have a backpack when he enters the elevators. Then all of a sudden he has one when he comes off the elevators. And that, in fact, was identified by Jewell Love as her Louis Vuitton backpack.

Then when officers do the undercover operation through the LetGo messages and they are able to essentially lure Defendant Clark there under the guise that they want to buy some Apple watches, he's wearing Jewell Love's shirt.

So Mr. Krusinski. Mr. Krusinski was in hotel room 2198. And he had his particular headphones stolen. And if you remember, he was able to identify them, because of their unique color. He was able to identify the knobs on the them. And he was specifically able to tell you that those, in fact,

were there when he left, and they were gone when he came back. He had his credit cards taken, his American Express was used at Sbarro's inside the Bally's and at 7-Eleven.

So, residential burglary. What is a residential burglary. Now, you might be thinking, well, how is this a residential burglary if it's at a hotel. Well, the law says that it doesn't matter. It doesn't necessarily have to be at your home.

Any person, who by day or night, enters any dwelling with the intent to commit a larceny, and/or obtain money under false pretenses, is guilty of residential burglary.

So the crime occurs when you enter with felonious intent.

So what is a dwelling? So a dwelling is defined as something that somebody lives or which is customarily used by a person for overnight accommodations, regardless of whether the person is inside at the time of the events.

So it doesn't matter that none of our victims were actually inside their rooms when this occurred. It doesn't matter that these were at hotel rooms. As soon as the defendants entered with the intent to steal their property, a residential burglary had occurred.

Now, obviously, how do we know someone's intent? We aren't like cartoons that have little thought bubbles walking up beside us. We can't see people's thoughts. So how else

can we tell what someone's intent is? And that's by the conduct and all other circumstances disclosed by the evidence.

Why would they be going in there? Well, we know why they were going in there. Multiple stolen property was recovered. In fact, Esther Chae came back a little too soon. They weren't able to get out fast enough. And she was trying to get in. And a robbery ensued.

Now, we're going to talk a lot about different theories of liability. But in the context of burglary, when two or more people participate in the commission of a burglary, and one or more of them enters, it is not necessary to prove the other individual actually entered, because one who aids and abets another in the commission of a burglary is equally guilty.

You're going to hear Mr. Lexis and I tell you a lot throughout my closing and his rebuttal that the act of one is the act of all. You do not get a benefit because you don't want to get your hands dirty. And that is the same reasoning in every case. That's the same law that's applied, even in murders.

If you want to set up somebody, you want to hire somebody to kill somebody, you're not even in the State, in fact, you're across the country, you don't do anything but tell the person, hey, I want you to do this. You are equally as liable under the law. And when you think about it, when

you think about it, it makes sense. The policy behind it makes sense.

When you're working together in a group of people it makes it easier to point the finger at somebody else. It makes it easier to get away. So you can understand why that's why the law is what it is. You don't get a discount. You don't get any sort of benefit because you don't want to be the one to get your hands as dirty.

Now, home invasion. So again, we talked about the damage and the unique nature of the marks that were found on all these hotel room doors. And a home invasion under the law is when somebody forcibly enters a dwelling, and we know what the dwelling definition is. It's the same as what we discussed with burglary.

And they enter without permission. And again, it doesn't matter if the person is present or not. And this time there has to be force.

So what is force? These pictures are force. There is damage done to the structure of that residence, in an attempt to gain entry.

So again, we heard a lot of testimony about, these are types of marks that some sort of blunt force object has to be used. We know that when Defendant Oliver was apprehended, that she did, in fact, have burglary tools, that she had two screwdrivers. That there was another tool that Officer Ellis

identified as being, you know, this type of tool that could do damage like this.

So again, with a home invasion there has to be a forcible entrance. Force has to be used. And we have that in every single event. Every single event we saw that there are these -- we saw and we heard testimony that there are these distinct marks, and that that is how access was gained, was by breaking in using those tools.

Now, we watched a lot of video. And so I'm going to try to break it down and explain the pertinent parts.

So on August 16th at the Paris, we watched video where we're able to see throughout the different video surveillance that there's a certain pattern, there's a certain MO that we see over and over and over again. And that's that, you know, the defendants pretend like they don't know each other. You know, they keep their distance.

At some point, they'll reconnect. But then again, they don't want anybody to notice that they're working together. And again, this goes back to the policy of why we have aiding and abetting, conspiracy liability. It's easier when you're a team. It's easier to get away. And so the law recognizes that.

So here we have at 8:46, different stills of video surveillance at the Paris, of the defendants entering the elevator wells. And again, two hours later, they're back

here. So what happened during those two hours? We see them on the elevator two hours later. They're back. And Mr. Krusinski's room has been broken into.

So we see them walking out together at 10:17. They're depicted in the left hand corner. We then see them continue to make their way from Paris to the Bally's. And we talked about that a lot. The Paris and Bally's are essentially connected. You can be in one and not even realize you've moved on to the next. They just flow seamlessly.

And so we see them take this path. They're there at 10:18, 10:19, 10:20, 10:21, 10:30. And this video is a little blurry. We don't have the best surveillance video when it comes to the food court, and specifically where Sbarro's is.

And if you'll notice, and you guys are going to have access to all of the exhibits, all of the video, go back and pull this video, because stills just don't do it justice. And you will be able to see Clark and Oliver. Clark sits down in that right hand corner. And Oliver comes over. It's the same exact time as Mr. Krusinski's American Express card is used at Sbarro. And they walk out together.

And you'll know, you know, just to refresh your memory. And again, you'll have all these exhibits. You can view all this evidence as much as you want. You can play the video. You can pause the video. Don't take my word for it. Watch it for yourself. And you will see they're depicted in

the right hand corner, right around the time that Mr. Krusinski's card is being used.

And again, when we talk about the time frames. Is it likely, is it probable, is it rational that somehow they ended up with Mr. Krusinski's property and they weren't the ones that did, in fact, break in? Maybe if it was days later, hours later. But it's not.

And where they go is extremely close, and the time frames all line up to Mr. Krusinski's room, to the surrounding areas. Again, stills don't do this justice. Watch the video. At 10:31, you see them walking out together. You can clearly see their -- the description of their clothing. This is when Defendant Oliver is in a black and white jumpsuit, and Mr. -- or Defendant Clark is in the light shorts, the white top, the hat. Watch it for yourself.

So what is conspiracy? Conspiracy is an agreement to -- or an understanding between two or more persons to commit a crime. It's the actual agreement itself that is the crime.

Now, again, this isn't like the movies. We don't have video surveillance of people, you know, meeting in back rooms, talking about all their criminal plans. That's not realistic. But again, how do we know? How do we know if someone has made an agreement to commit a crime, to commit multiple crimes?

Well, we know by the circumstances surrounding their conduct. And again, it doesn't matter if the ultimate crime that they're conspiring and planning to commit is successful, because the crime itself, when we're talking about conspiracy, is the actual agreement.

And again, this is kind of what I'm discussing.

It's not necessary to have actual proof, video surveillance of individuals talking about an unlawful purpose. It may be inferred from all the circumstances. When we're talking about people's intent, actions, we can tell from all the circumstances surrounding them.

Now, larceny is taking away property with the intent to permanently deprive. When these defendants broke into rooms, stole people's property, what was their intent? Were they planning on giving this stuff back? Were they planning on, you know, just doing whatever? No, they went in with the purpose that they were going to take that property, sell it, use it for their own gain.

So you'll notice, we have conspiracy larceny, and conspiracy burglary charged several times throughout the Indictment. And that is the crime itself of the agreement. It has nothing to do with whether or not the actual crimes occur, although, in this case they did.

It's the fact that they conspired to steal property and break into hotel rooms, and they did it over, and over,

and over.

Again, how do we know that? The same MO, carrying out their plan the same way every time. What did they do? They enter at different times. They come in the same cab, but one will go in, and then one will wait five minutes before they go. Then they meet up again.

Even if they divide and conquer, they are working together for an unlawful purpose. What each one does, the other one's on the hook for. Because remember, two is better than one. Two is easier to remain undetected for.

It's easier if you're going to rob a bank if you have a getaway driver who's ready to take off. It doesn't mean that the getaway drive is any less liable. They're both on the hook for everything that happens.

Now, there's also some charges of burglary of a business. And that's when we're dealing with 7-Eleven, Sbarro, any business structure is defined as a burglary of a business.

And essentially, it's the same concept, entering with the intent for an unlawful purpose. So if you are entering, if you are going into a 7-Eleven, or a Sbarro's pizza, and you know that you're going to use a card that's not yours, that is burglary of a business. You don't even have to use the card. If you enter with the intent.

Now, in this case, we don't have to speculate about

what happened, because it's on video. We can match up the timestamps. And again, how close in proximity did this happen to Mr. Krusinski's room getting broken into? And again, we know his cards were in his room. We see the defendants in the elevator wells. We see them go straight over to Bally's and Sbarros. We see them then go to 7-Eleven, the ARCO, wearing the same clothing.

Again, this is explaining the intent. That's what matters for a burglary. What was the intent when you cross that threshold of that room, of that business, whatever it was.

So if you're in the commission of a burglary, and you and your co-conspirators have decided, hey, we're going to commit a burglary and another foreseeable crime happens.

For example, you enter -- you decide you're going to break into a hotel room and you're going to steal a bunch of property. And ultimately, the suspect -- or the -- not the suspect -- the victim comes back early.

Is that foreseeable that when you're breaking into someone's room that they could actually come back? Is that foreseeable that violence could ensue if you're in someone's essentially home? When you're out of town staying in a hotel, your hotel room's your home.

You are on the hook for that. The foreseeable possibilities based upon the conspiracy for the unlawful

purpose.

Now, again, the fraudulent use of credit card, we've talked about this. We know that Mr. Krusinski's card was used fraudulently. Now, was Defendant Clark the one that stuck it in the machine? No. But that does not matter.

Did he benefit from it? Yes. Was he with Defendant Oliver? Yes. And we've established that this is an ongoing conspiracy. So everything that happens during this unlawful plan, that they're working together to break into these rooms to steal property, it doesn't matter that she's the one that inserts the card. He's just as liable. The act of one is the act of all.

Now, again, 7-Eleven, you can see the clothing. And you can also see that Defendant Clark has a Sbarro Pizza bag. So again, don't take my word for it. Go back. Watch the video surveillance. Watch it over and over again. We're able to track from the time Mr. Krusinski's room gets broken into, where they go. They walk to the Bally's. They go use his card at Sbarro's. Then they go to 7-Eleven.

We also have video surveillance of then at ARCO.

And now in this still I tried to highlight to direct you to where they are in this, you know, exact surveillance video.

And in the right hand corner you can actually see Defendant Oliver hand Defendant Clark a bag. What's Defendant Clark carrying around the next time we see him? Again, look at the

bag in that left photo, red and white. It says, Sbarro clear as day wearing the same clothing, again, same time line, all very close in proximity. All these businesses and establishments are very close the Paris Hotel.

It would be extraordinarily difficult to believe that these people weren't, in fact, the ones that broke in, and instead, they just happened to meet somebody else who's broken into these rooms, who has all these -- who has all this property, and then they just take the property. It's not very reasonable.

So we've talked about entering with the intent to commit, you know, fraudulent use of credit card. There's also obtaining money under false pretenses. And that essentially means if you enter with any unlawful purpose to gain something under false pretenses, same thing. That is felonious intent, the crime of burglary has been committed.

And again, here's some more still surveillance photos from the relevant video at the Paris on 8/16. And this is all related to Mr. Krusinski's room burglary. All of these, using the credit card, surveillance video helps us tie them back to the burglary that occurred in Mr. Krusinski's room. And again, at this point, they've met up and they're walking out together. Here is that surveillance again. I've highlighted it for you.

So, you also heard from Rebecca Finger and Brooke

Bargholtz. They were here for a girls' weekend. It was someone's birthday. And they were also staying at the Paris when they came back from breakfast and noticed the damage to their door.

And if you'll recall, Brooke said they were so upset that two of them were crying. They're in Las Vegas for a fun weekend and this is what happens. They realize that their property is taken, their credit cards, they're traveling, they don't have their wallets, they don't have identification.

And this is the Paris, Oliver and Clark, 7:30 and 7:45. And remember, this room burglary happens early in the morning. They were gone for breakfast when they realize and come back that their room has been broken into.

And both girls established the timeline of around 7:45 to 8:30, not even really an hour. And within that short amount of time, their room was broken into by the defendants.

You can see Defendant Clark in the red shirt, red hat.

Defendant Oliver is in the black shorts, the black and white striped tank top. And you'll also note that that item -- that outfit that she has looks oddly familiar, because when Detective Lopez testified, who had done the examination of the phones that were recovered when Oliver and Clark were apprehended by police, she has selfies of herself on her phone, wearing that exact outfit.

So aiding, abetting conspiracy, you're on the hook

for the probably and natural consequences of the object of the conspiracy.

Also, it's important to note that the State is not required to prove precisely who did what, because again, they're acting in concert. They're acting under this criminal conspiracy, as co-conspirators, as aiders and abetters. They're on the hook for everything.

And this is important to note, is that although you must be unanimous in your deliberations to the charges, you don't all have to agree on the same theory of liability. And that's explained as the principal, the direct perpetrator, you know, this would be like in a bank robbery, the shooter.

You know, if me, Mr. Lexis, and one of our colleagues decided that, you know, we were going to rob a bank and I was the one that offered the firearm, I decided to stay at home, but Mr. Lexis drives one of our other colleagues.

Our colleagues goes in, commits the armed robbery --

MR. HART: Your Honor, I would object based on this is, (a) a hypothetical, and (b) it's not necessarily clearly the law.

MS. COLE: Your Honor, do you want me to respond, or do you want to go outside.

THE COURT: No, just go ahead and your response.

MS. COLE: Your Honor, I think it's absolutely appropriate. I'm demonstrating conspiracy liability. I think

it's appropriate to use examples and the examples that I'm using are absolutely proper examples of the law.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. COLE: And it is closing arguments.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to allow you to go into your argument.

The jury is instructed that they -- that the law on all these issues is contained in the jury instructions and you are to follow those instructions.

Go ahead, counsel.

MS. COLE: That's correct.

So with that example, again, I'm not even there.

Doesn't matter. All three of us are equally liable under the law.

And again, four of you could think, hey, it was Oliver. She did all of it. Four of you could think, no, I think it was him. He -- he was the aider and abetter. She was the principal. You don't have to all be unanimous on the theories of liability. Four of you could think they were coconspirators, four of you could think aider and abetter, four of you think principal. Doesn't matter. You just have to be unanimous on the charge.

Again, it's not necessary to prove that everybody entered. That's important to remember. And again, that demonstrates and illustrates the purpose and the law on the

different theories of liability. It's not necessary to prove the other individual actually entered, because one who aids and abets another in the commission of a burglary is equally quilty.

So don't get hung up on that. Don't get confused about that. Well, what if one of them didn't actually go into the room? Doesn't matter.

So attempt fraudulent use of a credit card. This is different in that if you remember Brooke and Rebecca, actually had cancelled their cards. And so when you see the video surveillance at Target, you'll notice, and when you saw the receipt transaction, those cards weren't actually able to be used. But they were attempted to be used.

And the three elements of the attempt to commit a crime are the intent to commit the crime, performance of some act towards its commission, and failure to consummate its commission. So the performance of some act, that's sticking the card in the card reader. And it doesn't matter if she actually got the goods. That was the performance of the act.

And again, just to refresh your memories, there's been a lot of surveillance video and a lot of different moving parts. But these were -- and I think the timestamps are cut off in some of them at the top. But again, you'll have the video surveillance back. You can corroborate that these occur approximately 9:28, 9:30, 9:32, lining up almost identically

with the credit card statements, or authorizations, should I say.

And then we also know that when Rebecca Finger's room was broken into, her Apple watch was taken. And she was able to identify this as her Apple watch, because she still had the box of the serial ID number and she was able to provide that to officers, and detectives. And ultimately, when they recovered it, they were able to link it back to her, and her room burglary.

Now, when Brooke and Rebecca came back into the room, they were scared, their other friends were freaking out, and they noticed a phone. Brooke, I think, even mentioned that she picked it up, because they didn't realize it wasn't theirs at first.

And you heard the DNA expert talk about DNA and -or excuse me, not DNA -- you heard the latent print examiner
talk about fingerprints and ridge detail, and all of that.
And you'll note that her fingerprint was, in fact, found on
the phone. The phone that was left in their room that wasn't
there when they left, that was back in there when they came
back from breakfast.

We had Detective Downing testify this morning. And I know a lot of the stuff he says is a little complicated and convoluted. But these maps really help get a depiction of where the defendants are at certain times. Or if their phones

happen to just be hitting off the same tower that's servicing the Paris. And they're using their phone. What does that tell us?

And Detective Downing testified that the red represents Oliver, the blue represents Clark, and in that left map he talks about, you know, when it's both shaded, that kind of tells us that both phones are hitting off the same tower.

Again, sometimes he couldn't map them right on top of one another because it was just too dense. So we have the same exact graph with the same time so we can how similar those little bubbles look, which essentially he described as the range of that specific tower.

And how do we know that this, in fact, is Veneshia Oliver's phone number? Well, there's a lot of reasons. We know that this is her phone number.

First off, James Methe testified to the phone number she gave him. And we saw on video surveillance him typing her name into his phone. He was asking how to spell her name. And we know that she had a pink LG phone on her person when she was arrested.

All these photos on the bottom, her in the black and white tank top, the photo all the way to the left of the hat, the photo of her and the defendant, these were all found on that pink LG phone that's associated with the number ending in 5174.

Again, we heard some testimony today from Detective Downing talking about how those two numbers talked to each other, how there was an incoming call when Defendant Clark is on video. And we'll get into that, because that's more related to the August 23rd events.

But we know that the call detail records en masse, corroborate what we see on video surveillance. When Oliver's phone is hitting off the tower that services the Paris, or the Harrah's, we saw that bubble. We saw that range. If you're using your phone and it's hitting off a specific tower, that little range gives us an idea.

And again, this T-Mobile receipt, which has the two phone numbers circled depicting which is the black phone, which is the pink phone. And again, 5174 is the pink phone. That screen shot of that receipt from T-Mobile was found in her phone.

And in the top right photo, you heard Detective Lopez testify, he was able to forensically examine these phones, he was able to do some extractions. And it was the pink phone that he was able to establish was the number ending in 5174. Based off the SIM card and all of that, he was able to establish that that physical phone does, in fact, correspond with 5174.

How do we know that this number belongs to Darrell Clark? Well, there is a lot of reasons how we know. There's

a cab receipt. We had the custodian of record from Desert Cab come. There was some video that you watched. There was a call detail receipt record wherein he identifies himself as Rico, and this is the number he gives.

And then we learned that Rico becomes relevant later when we see on his Facebook the he also identifies himself as Rico. He uses that name before. So he gives the name Rico, gives that phone number, and we see them in the cab.

Again, Detective Lopez testified to the LG phone that was on his -- that he forensically examined, as linking up to the phone number ending in 3090. And we also learned and heard that that number calls -- 3090 calls 5174, and vice versa.

The last map Detective Downing demonstrated today was the cell sites for the cell phone, essentially, the cell phone towers or cell sites that are in the area of 45 East Twain [sic] -- 45 -- 455 East Twain where the defendant are getting picked up from, where they're coming and going from, where they're residing.

He testified that over 50 percent of the usage or how much that phone is operating is hitting off of those towers. Again, that -- all those things help us to confirm that those are, in fact, their numbers. They're hitting off the towers where they live. When we see video surveillance of them depicted, at the Harrah's, at the Paris. Their cell

phones are hitting off the same towers that service those areas. That helps you establish that the person that you're viewing in the video is, in fact, Darrell Clark and Veneshia Oliver.

So now we're going into the Harrah's. Esther Chae testified in front of everybody and she told everybody what happened, how that made her feel, how she was just going up to her room and she thought that her card key didn't work. And again, the significant damage to the door, to the area that she was able to notice. The room key wouldn't work. She heard voices.

She testified that the Defendant Clark came out, he pushed her up against the wall, and grabbed her neck. She couldn't breathe. Even though Detective Mann did not do a photo lineup with Ms. Chae in regards to Veneshia Oliver, when Ms. Chae was giving a description, she was able to identify a bag, which we know Veneshia Oliver carries a large tote that's black and white, that's got a "C" on the side of it. That bag is everywhere she goes. She was able to describe her as a female suspect, thin frame, 5-5.

And we also learned that in the room across the hall from Esther Chae's door there was some wrappers that were placed in that keyhole. And that's odd. I mean, why would there be wrappers placed --

MR. HART: Objection.

- MS. COLE: Again, Your Honor --
- 2 MR. HART: That's not in evidence.
 - MS. COLE: -- this is closing arguments.
 - THE COURT: Hang on. What's your objection?
 - MR. HART: Stating facts not in evidence.
 - MS. COLE: It's absolutely --
- 7 MR. HART: The wrappers were on the ground.
 - MS. COLE: -- in evidence.
 - THE COURT: Okay. What was your last statement? Please restate it.
- MS. COLE: I'm talking about the wrappers that were tested for DNA that the CSA testified were in -- or from --
- 13 she was directed when she got on scene that they had been
- 14 placed in the -- the keyhole of the room across from Esther
- Chae's room. The facts are in evidence, Your Honor. The CSA
- 16 testified to that.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

- 17 THE COURT: I don't have a specific recollection.
- 18 The jury will base their decision on how -- on their
- 19 recollection of the testimony in this case.
- Go ahead, Ms. Cole.
- MS. COLE: And again, those wrappers are tested and
- 22 they come back with Veneshia Oliver's DNA. We know she was on
- 23 that floor when Esther Chae was robbed.
- 24 And again, if the conspiracy was for Veneshia Oliver
- 25 and Darrell Clark to break into hotel rooms to steal property

are they both on the hook for the robbery? And absolutely.

Again it's a natural, foreseeable probable consequence of that conspiracy.

Those are the wrappers. That's the keyhole. And Esther was staying in room 44001. 44002 is right across the way. It has a direct line of shot to 44001.

Again, for this incident, because of the date this crime occurred, this is still a burglary. But a burglary in June of '15 -- 2020 [sic] was any person who by day or night enters any house, room, apartment, tenant (phonetic), shop, warehouse, all of those other options, with the intent to commit larceny and/or obtain money or property by false pretenses, is guilty of burglary.

So same thing. They entered the room with unlawful intent. That's a burglary. As soon as they crossed that threshold. It doesn't matter if they don't even steal anything. It doesn't matter if they decide not to go through with it.

In regards to robbery, robbery is the unlawful taking of personal property, but it's doing so by means of force or violence or fear of injury.

So in this case, Defendant Clark was violent. He didn't just threaten Ms. Chae, but he slammed her against the wall, he grabbed her by the neck, he grabbed her phone out of her hand. That's a robbery. He didn't even have to go that

far. If he threatened violence, if he came up to her, and threatened her, do this or I'm going to do that. Give me your money, or I'm going to hurt you, that would be just a threat. That would be a robbery.

But obviously, in this case, there was force used, there was violence used. He made physical contact with Ms. Chae. He threw here against the wall, grabbed her neck, took her phone out of her hand.

And Subsequently, Defendant Oliver was walking out. And Clark has a backpack, Oliver has a tote bag. And again, the robbery, they're using force or violence. It can be also for the defendants to obtain or retain possession of the property.

They've got all the stolen goods. She's back. What do they do if she tries to get her stuff back? That force or fear can also be used to prevent or overcome resistence or to facilitate escape. And in this case, it's all three.

And again, Esther told you that she was scared, terrified, all of that. But even if she wouldn't -- even if she hadn't done that, again, the law will presume it, in such circumstances. And again, the value of the money or property taken is not an element of the crime.

But we know, not only did he grab her phone and throw it, but they were also leaving with her computer, other personal property. She had a credit card that was taken.

And I touched on this a little bit earlier. But again, that last part, even if it was not intended as part of the original plan, and even if the co-conspirator was not present at the commission of such act, it still counts, because it's foreseeable consequence of that conspiracy, of the conspiracy of burglarizing rooms, of stealing victims' properties, committing home invasions to break into those rooms.

Now, in regards to coercion. Coercion is the doing something with the specific intent to compel or abstain from doing an act which a person is entitled to do. So, when Esther was on her phone trying to call security, trying to get her room situation figured out, and Defendant Clark grabbed her phone, ran off and then threw it, that was preventing her from doing something she was lawfully allowed to do.

Under the law, she was allowed, she was permitted to be able to be making a call and him preventing her from doing that makes it a coercion.

And we know, again, this is focused on the viewpoint of a reasonable person. She told us how scared she was. She told us how it affected her. So in this case, you don't have to worry about that. But under the law, it's the viewpoint of a reasonable person.

Theft. We know that Mr. Chae's MacBook was taken. She talked about the alerts that she got after it was taken.

Again, with this specific event, based off the date the crime was committed, home invasion in relation to this event is this definition, same thing, it's still a home invasion, even though it says "inhabited dwelling" instead of "dwelling".

This is still the same elements.

You enter. You use force. There's some sort of destruction to the property to the door. That's a home invasion. And we know that in Ms. Chae's case, her room did have those pry marks.

So again, theft is charged throughout the Indictment, whether it's \$1200 or more. All of the victims testified about the value of the property that they had taken. Specifically, Esther was able to tell us that after her laptop was taken, she had some sort of a way, some sort of a tracking that she got a notification that a sound was played. Some individual had been using or had opened her lap book -- or her laptop. And then her credit card that was taken is found when Oliver and Clark are arrested in Oliver's purse.

Bertha Gerdeau was staying at the Harrah's. This was her room. Again, the photo is consistent with the same type of damage that we had seem repeatedly over and over again. And we have a still surveillance photo of the defendants in the Harrah's on August 6th.

Detective Mann was able to go on Darrell Clark's Facebook. That again is in evidence. You can review that.

And had made some sort of posting about all this stolen high end property. When Detective Mann gave that to Bertha, she was able to identify all of her property. And she was able to tell you how much those items cost, what specifically she had, how she recognized it was hers.

And again, look at the date stamp on Mr. Clark's Facebook in relation to this event that occurs on 8/6. How soon is that stolen property posted on Facebook? Take a look at that. Again, we know that these were all very expensive designer high end items that were taken from Bertha.

And then we have the Harrah's room number 15058.

Jewell Love and Patricia Williams testified, and they as well had other individuals that were staying with them. They were in Las Vegas vacationing. And they left their room around 9:15, and arrived back at 12:15 a.m.

And if you'll recall, Patricia testified that her and Devonica (phonetic), her wife, had actually not come right back to the room. They were down the hall. And Jewell and Raven had got in the room, and had assumed that Patricia and Devonica had just left it a mess.

And as soon as Patricia and Devonica got there, they were shocked at the state that they saw. They were leaving the next morning. Their suitcases were open. There was food all over the floor. The door, again, had that same damage.

The scratches from the blunt objects. And Patricia Williams

described a little pouch pocket that she had that she kept very important documents, including her social security card, and the social security cards of her children.

Well, we know that there was a photo of Patricia Williams' social security cards, and her children's social security cards in Darrell Clark's phone, that was forensically examined. And if you'll recall, Detective Lopez actually pulled up the program and did it right here in front of you.

Those photos of those social security cards were on his phone. Jewell Love talked about her Louis Vuitton backpack that was taken, as well as a Tupac shirt out of her room. And we know from Detective Downing's testimony and the maps that he analyzed and explained, the cell site or the cell tower that services Harrah's, both of their phones were hitting off that tower during the entire duration of 9:15 and 12:15 a.m.

And Harrah's as a lot of video. Clear video, clear dates, clear timestamps. We know, this is their MO. They arrive together, but get out of the car separately. They try to split up, avoid detection. We can see the black and white bag that Defendant Oliver carries with her routinely with the Gold "C". We can see the different still photos of them in the Harrah's.

And if you'll notice, that t-shirt that Defendant Clark is wearing, is also found on his Facebook photos. He's

seen on Facebook wearing that shirt. That light green and white striped shirt.

Now, there was testimony regarding this from both Detective Mann and Detective Downing today who had access to the call detail records, who was able to plot and analyze those call detail records using ZETX. And you can see on August 23rd, 2020, 21:38, Darrell Clark's on the phone.

And I asked Detective Downing, was there a call placed at that time, and there was an incoming call from 5174. And if you'll notice on that last line on the mapping page, you can see, and that line item matches up with one of those numbers in the blue circle. And again, that blue circle is indicating the range of that particular cell site or cell tower.

And so when Darrell Clark answers that phone call, he's on the phone with Veneshia Oliver. We have it on a video, we have it on their call detail records. And that's also depicted in the cell site tower mapping.

The photo to your right, that's another selfie that was taken from the LG pink phone that is Veneshia Oliver's phone. Again, the clothing she's wearing in that selfie look exactly like the clothing that she's wearing in that surveillance video, down to the tank top, down to the hat, down to the hair.

And you'll know and recognize that in all these

photos on Facebook, on Oliver's phone, she changes her hair color a lot. Her appearance changes a lot. And that's the Facebook photo of Defendant Clark wearing that same t-shirt that he's seen wearing in the Harrah's on the 23rd, the same date and time.

And again, you can look. Harrah's has great video surveillance. There's lots of angles. You can look. You can compare it to that shirt. Again, we've got more mapping of this specific time frame. That 9:15 to 12:15, that's the time frame that Patricia Williams and Jewell Love were able to give us in regards to when they left their room and when they came back.

This map indicates blue. Blue corresponds with Defendant Clark's phone of 684-3090. And in that blue tower, in the left hand corner, we can see the Harrah's Casino. And I'll point to it, because I got this wrong with the Detective. But this little red dot, that depicts the Harrah's Casino. And that's the exact time frame that is given by the victims when they say when they were out of their room. And we know that Defendant Clark's phone is hitting off that tower that services the Harrah's.

Now, Oliver's phone. And again, this was one of the maps that Detective Downing said it would have been great to illustrate as one with the color so you could see the blue and the red mixing. But because it was so dense, because there

was just too much data, it was easier to display them separately.

So again, we have identical time frames. This is, again, from 9:15 to 12:15, the same time frame that the victims gave And this is Defendant Oliver's phone number hitting off the same cell site tower that services the Harrah's Casino.

So not only do we have both of their phones utilizing that cell tower, but we have the video surveillance of them in that hotel. We have the property that was taken.

Jewell talked about this Tupac shirt. Everybody thought it was weird that it was a size large because she said she wanted to wear it as a dress. She identified that shirt as, in fact, her unique Tupac shirt that she had, that was taken from her when her room was burglarized.

We also know, and in that still photo on the right, that's more of a clear depiction of the coloring and what that shirt looks like compared to the shirt that's on Darrell Clark's Facebook, more than once, there's more than one photo of that specific shirt.

And again, you'll have these Facebook photos. Take a look at those. See what you think. See if it's the same shirt. And he's wearing the backpack. We know that when he enters the hotel -- or when he enters the elevator while up, he does not have a backpack on. When he comes down, all the

sudden he has a backpack.

Possession of a credit card without cardholder's consent. This is related to Esther Chae. But even if you don't use someone's credit, with Mr. Krusinski, with Rebecca Finger, with Brooke Bargholtz, their cards were actually used, or attempted to be used. But it is still a crime just to possess someone's credit card without the cardholder's consent. And Defendant Oliver was in possession of this card when she was arrested.

Burglary tools. Burglary tools are sharp metal objects, something under circumstances invincing (phonetic) an intent to use or employ.

Is that a coincidence? We have all these home invasions, all these residential burglaries, that have very distinct marks that we've heard testimony and evidence, some sort of tool. That's what she's found with.

Carrying concealed firearm or other deadly weapon.

Officer Montalbano talked about when they did this setup operation, and he was acting in a covert capacity. And it was at that time that he was acting as if he wanted to buy two Apple watches, one of which turned out to be Rebecca Finger's.

And when they approached the defendant, he notified them that he had a firearm. Now, Officer Montalbano was clear to state that he did not see him with a firearm before that. It was concealed. It was underneath his clothing. It was

tucked under his waistband. He had a baggy shirt on. It wasn't until he lifted up on the shirt that he was able to discern that, in fact, it was a firearm.

And you heard from expert Bodily who testified that this, in fact, you know, was operable, it functioned, it expelled through the barrel by force of any explosion or other form of combustion. We know that it was, in fact, a firearm.

Ladies and gentlemen, when you go back into deliberations, take the time to put the evidence together.

There's a lot of moving parts and there's a lot of things, but at the end of this trial, Mr. Lexis and I are going to ask you to return verdicts of guilty on all counts to both defendants.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

Mr. Hart, are you ready for your closing?

MR. HART: Can we take a brief recess?

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, let's just take a quick -- quick break this afternoon.

During this recess, you are not to discuss or communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits, either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media. You're not to read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case. You're not to do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using

the Internet or using reference materials. You're not to make any investigation, test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way to investigate or learn about the case on your own. And you're not to form or express an opinion regarding this matter until it's finally submitted to you.

We'll see you back in about ten minutes.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exit of the jury.

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Counsel, I provided the jurors -- we're outside the presence of the jury panel. I provided the jurors with their own individual set of -- for the verdict form.

Obviously, we have the -- the official set, which is -- will be in with the blue back -- blue backing on it.

On the verdict form for Mr. Clark, the caption says, Mr. Clark, but the first paragraph says, "We the jury in the above-entitled case find the Defendant Veneshia Oliver." So we went ahead and made that change. So the verdict form that will go back with the jury, the official one, has been changed already.

I just wanted to advise you that the jurors did have a verdict form with the two names on one form, and I'll explain to them that we have a corrected form.

MR. LEXIS: Okay.

THE COURT: All right?

We'll see you back in ten minutes or less. 1 (Court recessed at 2:28 p.m., until 2:40 p.m.) 2 THE COURT: All rise for the entry of the jury. 3 (Inside the presence of the jury.) 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. 6 7 Mr. Hart, are you ready for your closing? MR. HART: Yes, Your Honor. 8 All right. Go ahead. 9 DEFENDANT VENESHIA OLIVER'S CLOSING ARGUMENT 10 11 MR. HART: Hello. I'm Marty Hart and I'd like to 12 thank you all for your patience during this process. 13 understand the Judge has given you a set of instructions, and 14 at the beginning it says, all the instructions are equal. 15 I would like you to consider a couple specific instructions as 16 we go through this. 17 Instruction No. 34, okay. It says that the 18 defendant is presumed innocent until the contrary is proved. 19 It follows up with the presumption placed upon the State, the 20 burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every element of 21 the crime charged, and the defendant is the person that 22 committed it. These crimes, as you've -- we kind of went 23 Okay. 24 through, you know, the instructions, there's different 25 elements to the crimes. Kind of talk about burglary, entering

with the intent to commit a crime; okay?

Now, the important part of this is it talks about who bears the burden. The defense is not required to present any evidence. It's up to the State to prove their case.

It's also up to the State to charge their case properly. So in that vein, you can consider all the evidence presented, but if you look at Instruction No. 32, you're here to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the evidence.

You're not required to go -- you're not supposed to look at whether anybody is guilty or innocent. The only people you're considering are the people charged in this Indictment, this 38 pages of 38 counts of charges. You're not to consider if Mr. Hart, or Mr. Arnold is guilty of anything. It's not, Mr. Arnold did this, Mr. Arnold did this, or said this or said that.

Or -- and there are no unnamed co-conspirators in this. So it's not whether or not it's somebody else did stuff that's not included in this -- in this case.

Because you heard a lot of one -- one for all, all for one, or something to that; okay? If one of them did it, well, not if somebody did it. But if one did it.

And number 39, I love this; okay? This (indiscernible) really applied to you guys. I kind of commented on it when we were picking the jury panel, that was

probably one of the most educated panels I've ever, you know, dealt with. I don't know how many masters there were, running though there, or Ph.D.'s, etcetera.

You know, but it says, as a jury, you don't leave your common sense and knowledge at the door; okay? Although you're only to consider the evidence in the case reaching a verdict, you must bring into consideration your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women.

And you are not limited solely to what you see in here, what the witness testified, you may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence, which you are justified in light of your common experience; okay?

So you get to use the knowledge that you have and put it in the frame work of everything.

Also, it says you're not allowed to come to a -your verdict due to sympathy, prejudice or public opinion;
okay? Sympathy. Whether or not somebody cried because when
they came back to their room, that's not a basis for a
verdict; okay?

You're allowed to have empathy. You're not allowed to base your verdict on that. This is -- this is -- this is not supposed to be an emotional process. This is supposed to be a logical process; okay?

So with that, like I said, you don't get to include just what you were presented, because there is no burden of

proof on the defense. You also get to look at the lack of evidence that was presented. The information that was not presented. And as I stated before you get to only go through what is charged.

Do you remember we talked of -- they were talking about Mr. Krusinski's room being burglarized at one point in here, and how there was a short time frame between it being burglarized and a credit card being used.

Well, what do you know, or don't you know? You do know that there are phone -- or I'm sorry -- lock interrogation locks, which tells you when a door was opened, when a door was closed, would have told you when a door was opened, when a door was closed. If it was opened with a card, if it was left open, etcetera.

There were also no cell dumps done as to other people that were there during the time. There's a large difference between, well, there's not -- there's a difference between theft and possession of stolen property. We don't have possession of stolen property here. We have one possession of a credit card with intent to use. They are very -- they are different crimes, different elements.

What else do we not have? Okay. We have DNA on a gum wrapper outside a door, which tells you that someone -- that person who touched that gum wrapper at some time. And when it was touched, except now I think you get to use your

logical inference. It couldn't have been sitting there for two days. Even at Harrah's, the maid staff would have come through and cleaned it up within a couple days.

But what don't you have? And we asked repeatedly, did you fingerprint and where? Out of all these rooms, we had, oh the CSA decided to fingerprint a door handle. They're trained. You get to use your common sense. They are supposed to use their common sense where you're likely to get evidence.

If you're going in and out of a door, a door handle's pretty damn good place to start. Now, I understand that, hey, if we powder it, it might be a problem for DNA. There's a front and a back side to a door handle. There was not DNA gathered, unless I missed something, from one door handle in here.

There was not DNA gathered -- from -- we talked about how there's difference surfaces. Oh, I could touch this, and I wouldn't -- you know, maybe I'd leave a print, maybe I'd not leave a print. Okay. Maybe I'll leave DNA, or maybe I'll not leave DNA.

We asked, it's possible, is it probable? The answer was no. It's not probable. Probably should have asked, is it highly unlikely. But you saw a bunch of crime scene photos. Surface -- we're not worried about the texture when we're doing DNA. Hell, use your common sense and knowledge. I'm sure somebody's -- somebody has spent a little -- thinking

about this sometime, just but I -- it seems like you're a pretty curious group. The rougher the surface, generally better for DNA, period.

Also, all the items that were taken in here. Okay? We know a bunch of stuff was taken. Large amounts. Now, you're pointing fingers at my client. You've got the videos. She's carrying the same bag, it looks like, you know, the same bag a lot. Does it get bigger? Does it get thicker? Does it get wider because three computers have been put in there?

You're not here to decide if anybody else is guilty. You're here to decide the charges against our clients here.

And that's part of where Instructions No. 30 and 6 come in. Number 30 is mere presence at the scene of a crime or knowledge a crime is being committed is not sufficient to establish guilt beyond a reasonable doubt; okay?

You know, they're merely a spectator. And also the same with the conspiracies here. Mere knowledge (indiscernible) prove (indiscernible) acquiescence a conspiracy without a agreement to cooperate does not one make — make one a party to a conspiracy. You get to ask common sense questions.

As I've stated, you don't -- going to -- going to purchase stolen property is not the same as stealing the property. You can -- there is possession. You also get to use common sense.

Counts 34. Sorry. I lost my -- sorry. 15. The credit card. Okay. It was used at the Target. I'm not going to tell you nothing happened. Okay. The credit card got used. Credit cards got used, or attempted to be used, or should put attempt to be used. There (inaudible) at the Target store.

But the fact that the credit cards were attempted to be used does not show that my client broke into the room to take the cards. Throughout these events, what was (inaudible) something that was, you know, commented by co-counsel in a second was how long is it going up and down the elevator and coming out.

You don't have the video logs from the initial stuff at Harrah's. You don't have the lock interrogations going through there. We're not here looking at whether or not my client had stolen property. (Inaudible) for it. We're here for whether or not she took it. We're not here on coconspirators.

Remember as you're going through this and the information we had, people who work at the hotel know when people are going in and out. They can check the locks. It goes on as people are checking out of there because it goes through a central system.

We don't carry the burden of presenting the evidence. The State does. To call the proper witnesses, and

present it to you. I don't know, maybe a glaring lack of testimony from what many would consider the most important witness in this case, the lead Detective. And all the Paris stuff. We didn't hear a word about how that was done and gathered.

We did hear from the lead Detective on Harrah's. He couldn't remember when he was assigned the case. We don't have video because in the ordinary course of business, he didn't request it in time. We also heard the testimony, he is not required to request it. The arriving officers can also request it. Or shocker, Harrah's can (indiscernible) and save it on their own, as the Detective testified, happens. Calls up, oh yeah, we've already got it saved. We're ready to go. Come down and get it because they've got people that work there that know also.

So please, consider your obligations to consider each and every count separate; okay? Please use your natural curiosity and desire that I think you all have to read and actually your -- read the charges. Read the elements. See how they relate.

Ultimately, it's for you to decide if something was proved beyond a reasonable doubt. You've got the jury instruction we just read to you. Well, we didn't read the whole thing. But talks about the weightier affairs in life, I think it's Number 39, 38. No, 34. Sorry. And that tells you

what beyond a reasonable doubt is.

Just as an example, Count 36; okay? That is possession of a credit card -- there was a possession of a credit card without cardholder's consent, belonging to Esther Chae, the Victoria's Secret credit card. And one of the elements is, did somebody possess it with the intent to defraud or use; okay?

And that credit card disappeared in June, and August 27th it was found. You didn't hear any testimony about anybody trying to use it, or using it whatsoever.

Now, when it's all said and done, as we've just talked about before, the burden's on the State. The prosecutor gets to stand up here and lambaste everything I said. And I don't get to say anything back. I assume it will be Mr. Lexis, but who knows.

Evidence is not what we said, but you can use it, because as you've got the instructions. We are here to help guide you to look at the evidence. And that's what we're here to do. We ask certain questions for certain reasons. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hart.

Mr. Arnold, are you ready for your closing?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor.

DEFENDANT DARRELL CLARK'S CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. ARNOLD: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,

possession of stolen property is not theft, possession of property is not robbery, possession of stolen property is not burglary. Those are completely entire different charges. And I told you from the very beginning when I did my opening, possession doesn't mean that these crimes were committed by my client; okay?

Is before going into all the charges and everything like that, it hasn't been narrow focused in regards to this crime, the dates, everything like that. And it's like everyone's been saying, you've got to use your common sense. I want you to take some steps back. Not all the way back, but a couple of steps back.

This happened in the months of June through August, 2020. It was the COVID summer. It happened at Harrah's and at Paris. There is several room burglaries that occurred during this time. My clients are charged with several of those burglaries. Take your step back. Harrah's, huge hotel, at least 20 floors. How did my clients -- and remember, this is the COVID summer, still were not up to full occupancy; okay?

We have 20 floors. How did my client know which floor to go to? Which floors were being occupied by guests? Each and every one of these room burglaries occurred when the guests were out. How did my client guess the right floor, and the right room each and every time. Does that make sense to

you? It shouldn't.

How did he know that these people were not going to be in the room? How did he know that these people might have valuable possessions? How did he come to all of this knowledge? Or did he just run up and down each and every floor, each and every room, and keep knocking on doors, oh, nobody answered this door. I'm going to open the doors. And through all that, to not have been discovered by any hotel guests or reported by any hotel guests, that doesn't make sense; okay?

Am I suggesting somebody else was involved? Yes, I am. Why do the lock interrogations never make it into that big pile of evidence? The reason why it's key, it's key, we know when that door opens and closes. However, we don't have that information in front of us. That's important information, because like I said, you can look at when that door open and closes, and then you can trace it back to that floor, you can trace it back to that elevator opening and closing on that floor and then you can see the video of who popped out of that elevator at that time, a few seconds before, a few minutes before, and broke into that room. It's simple.

I mean, I don't watch CSI and I can figure that one out. Okay. How come this Detective, how come -- what was his name from Harrah's, Mr. Lemon, how come they didn't provide

that? It's not that they didn't want to provide it. It's because it's as simple as that. It didn't show my client. It didn't. For sure, if it did, you know we would have had a still photograph sitting up there on this computer screen, T.V. screen, whatever you call it, showing my client getting out of this elevator at that specific time and boom, there's the case.

It's not brain science. It's not a whole bunch of DNA fingerprints and everything. That's simple detective work which wasn't completed in this case.

I've got a I've got a lot to say, because we've got to go through -- we've got work to do. I've got to go through all 30 something charges, whatever. Please feel free to stand up, walk around. I don't want anyone passing out, falling asleep, because what I'm saying is very important, and it needs to be discussed and taken back during these deliberations.

So I'm not worried if you going to fall asleep.

I've a couple times during this trial have almost fell asleep.

I just want you to, you know, get back up and stay engaged with me; okay?

The other thing is, like I said, I'm saying a lot of stuff, but I'm just trying to like point you into a direction; okay? A direction that's in favor of my client. But regardless of anything coming out of my mouth, regardless of

anything that's come out of the State's counsel's mouth, or even Mr. Hart's mouth, those are just statements.

THE COURT RECORDER: (Inaudible)?

MR. ARNOLD: Can we switch to this, ma'am?

I'm going to zoom down.

Just because I make a statement does not mean that it's evidence. Just because the State has made a statement, doesn't mean that it's evidence. Just because I look up at a picture on that video on this screen that you've all been looking at and I say, that's Darrell Clark, that's just their opinion. What identifying features can you show me that that's Darrell Clark? What identifying features in those, you know, fuzzy videos, was that Ms. Oliver? Just because it's being said, doesn't mean that it's true.

So this is what I'm asking you to do. Go back and look at the evidence. Everything that I'm saying is guiding you to look with scrutiny at the evidence. Don't take anybody's word for it. Make your own opinions about all of this. And then sit there and have a discussion in regards to, is that evidence, in your opinion, beyond a reasonable doubt. That's how these deliberations should work.

The act of one is the act of all. That is not the law. Look at your jury instructions. I can tell you what the law is, because I know the law. The jury instructions are the law. And in nowhere does it say the act of one is the act of

all. Me and my buddies are walking down the street. My buddy turns, pulls something out of his pocket, sells some marijuana to a guy. An I liable for conspiracy? Heck, no, I'm not liable for conspiracy. Guess what? I'm there. My presence is there. I'm standing right next to him.

I have knowledge that he did a crime. I just saw him with my own eyes. But am I guilty of that crime? Hell, no, I'm not guilty of that crime. Here's the thing is, I have to do something -- read them all, don't take my word for it -- in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Meaning, I have to say, hey, that guy, go ahead and hit him up because I think he'll buy this marijuana. That's something in further of the conspiracy. But all these charges, that's what we're going to go through. What act was done in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Let's say you believe Ms. Oliver broke into all of these rooms. Okay. Let's say you believe that. What act did my client do in further of the conspiracy? Well, he was with her. His name is tattooed on her -- on his arm. Their phones, their phones are together in the same spot. They have Facebook pictures together. They got out of the cab together. They were in the hotel together. That is a mountain, a mountain of evidence, but not to the specific thing.

And what's the specific thing that we need to see? What act did he do in furtherance of the conspiracy? Did he

have a text message in his phone that said, hey, they just left out of that room on 24, the number is 2486. We got to meet there. I'll break into the room, put the stuff inside your bag and we'll run out of there. There no text message saying that. There's no conversations saying that.

There's no plan or anything that they were going to do that. So right now what's happening is the prosecutor, he's jotting down notes of what I'm saying. And so he's going to come back up here and say, well, no, no, no, on August 23rd, they had a plan. Are you bringing the tools? Are you doing -- are you doing this?

Listen, go ahead, don't take my word for it. Look at that text. August 23rd, the crimes that were committed on August 23rd were in the morning. Whatever they're talking about, is what they're talking about. We don't have to sit here and figure it out. But what I do know is the times on those text messages are later on that evening; okay?

So I say that example to say this. In your gut, man, these people must have done something wrong, in my gut, I feel that. This is not a gut feeling kind of thing. This an evidence kind of thing; okay? And so you have to look at every little piece of evidence with the thought process, does that equate to this -- how many counts, 41 page Indictment, and does it match up to my client being guilty.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Arnold. Make sure we

provide six feet social distancing.

MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor, I forgot about that. Sorry, Your Honor.

The other thing is, it doesn't matter who entered into the room. Lord Jesus, it does matter who entered into that room. That's the whole basis of the home invasion charge, the whole basis of the burglary charge. You have to first have an entering, and if you don't have the entering, you can't even get to those charges.

So who was the person that made the entrance? Was it Mr. Clark, was Ms. Oliver, or was it both? Remember, in regards to my client, Mr. Clark, not one single thing, not one. In regards to my client, there is no DNA. None. In regards to my client, there's no photograph, not one single one showing him getting off on any of the floors where this happened.

All they've got is possession. Possession. That's all they have, ladies and gentlemen.

All right. Let's start doing the work.

Count No. 1, Conspiracy to commit larceny. What's larceny? Larceny is the theft of property with the intent to take it away permanently. There's no proof of a larceny, because again, you have to have proof of somebody going into the room and taking that property out of the room. They do not have proof of that.

They do have proof that there were certain items, an orange shirt, and iPhone watch, and maybe I'll get to that backpack that's seen on my client. Well, I'll get to that. But those are three items. Not the rest of all those entire items.

So then in regards to this Count 1, it relate to Gary, Gary Krusinski. So, we go to the AMEX card. Who was in possession of the AMEX card? You don't have evidence as to who's in possession of the AMEX card.

You have evidence of the AMEX card being used. But nobody found the AMEX card on my client's possession, or Ms. Oliver's possession.

What they're saying is, well, they were sitting right outside of the place where the AMEX card was being used. Look at the receipt. The receipt says that they used it at --well, it was -- I believe it was 10:30. Again, that's a receipt that they pulled up with the AMEX card number on it.

However, you have conflicting evidence. You also have evidence -- again, don't take my word for it. You've got to review it, and go back and look at what the AMEX person provided us.

What the AMEX person provided us was a detailed list of when the card was being used. In that, we also see that the card was used at 20 -- it 22:30 mountain time. Why is there a difference? I don't know why there is a difference.

I don't know if -- I would imagine AMEX wouldn't be able to doctor a receipt. I don't think Sbarro's would doctor a receipt. What I do know, there's a doubt in regards to when that AMEX card was being used.

Why is there such a conflict in evidence? I don't know. Don't need to sit here and explain it. It's not my burden of proof. It's the State's burden of proof to show why there's a difference between the card being used in mountain time, and the card being used in pacific time.

Not only that, is well, they're going to say my client's walking around with a Sbarro's bag. Use your common sense. Well, use common sense. What is in the Sbarro's bag? We don't know if the specific food items on that list were in my client's bag. What we do know is, whoever had that bag, in the next videos, I believe it's the 7-Eleven videos, they take a phone out of that bag. How do we know there were any food items in that bag? We don't.

We would have to guess, and we would have to speculate that those food items that were on the receipt were the same food items that were in the bag, and we just don't have that information in front of us.

Not only that, go ahead and look at the videos. Still, it's my basis that in those videos you cannot clearly see that it's my client. He has a mask on, he has -- that person has his hat pulled down, the same identifying clothes

that we have in the videos that are clear, are not present in this video of 8/16. So again, look at the evidence to determine if there's sufficient basis to find that that was even my client at the Sbarro -- at the Sbarro's.

So again, that's conspiracy to commit larceny.

It's the same thing -- same arguments with conspiracy to commit burglary. Again, that requires my client to have entered the room. Again, conspiracy to commit residential burglary, invasion of the home. Again, that requires my client to have entered into the home, or at least aided and abetted into the entry of the home.

Remember, if you need to stand up, please stand up. Don't fall asleep on me here; okay?

So bottom line is, they don't have evidence of my client entering into this place. What evidence do they have that he aided and abetted into the entry of the place? They don't have any.

So that's Count 1, 2, 3, 4, all not guilty.

Count 5 is the burglary of the business. That's using the credit card at Sbarro's. Absolutely, no footage of my client using the card at Sbarro's.

More so, if you believe that it was Ms. Oliver in the videos that used the cards at other times, guess what? It was always Ms. Oliver. Look at the video immediately after the 7-Eleven video. Whoever that individual is, is -- was

sitting -- was moving around in the front of the store. He came up to the register. Whoever the female was in that video is rummaging through stuff. Something dropped out of her purse.

In the beginning of that video, she was going to the front of her purse.

MR. HART: And, Your Honor, I will reserve our right for a motion.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Arnold.

MR. ARNOLD: Again, I don't know who is in that video. But I do know that that person was in the front of their purse, something dropped. My client bends down, picks it up, hands it to that person. Steps back, walks away. Then whoever that person is in using the purse, goes to the back of her purse, pulls out a card, and uses that card.

Okay. How did my client aid and abet in that, if that is my client in the video? He didn't know what card she was going to use. Cards dropped on the floor. Multiple cards are in that person's purse. Again, if you believe that's my client, what knowledge does he have? That's the first thing that the card was being used. That's the first element.

The second element is, how does he aid and abet in the use of that card? He didn't say, hey, use that AMEX card that we just got out of the room. Of course he didn't. It's not on video. So again, not guilty.

That's the burglary of the business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Same argument, you could use at Sbarro's, it's the same argument for the 7-Eleven.

Count 7, fraudulent use of the credit card or debit card, same argument. The only person that was using the credit card was whoever that female was in the video. My client did not use the credit card, nor did he suggest what credit card to use. That's completely wrong what the State said about, well, he benefitted from it.

Again, he did not know what card she was going to use. If he gave her a counterfeit bill and said, go ahead and use this and make payment, that's aiding and abetting. course, he's going to be liable for that crime, because she actually used it, but he's getting the benefits from that.

There is nothing in that video that suggests that he knew what form of payment that she was going to use. In fact, look at the video. He wasn't even standing there when that form of payment was being used.

Not guilty, count 7.

Same argument, not guilty, count 8.

Count 9, conspiracy to commit larceny.

Count 10, conspiracy to commit burglary.

Count 11, residential burglary.

And now we're at the Paris Hotel room with Marissa -- Marissa Metro, Brooke Bargholtz that came and testified and Rebecca Finger that came and testified.

Again, the only connection my client has to this case is Rebecca Finger's stolen watch which he was attempting to sell. If you recall though, obviously, my client is in the business of selling watches, because not only did he bring one stolen watch, he brought another watch which was not stolen. Trust me. If it was stolen, they would have told us that it was stolen.

But the same serial number that they looked at on the second watch that he brought to this transaction at Casino Royale, that one wasn't stolen, and nor is he being charged with it; okay?

Again, possession of stolen property as opposed to, he stole the property, which I say he didn't. And again, the thing with this one is what's very important in the room. In the room, again, we have this cell phone on the bed. The CSAs picked up the cell phone, and what did they find? Not my client's fingerprint on it, but they did find another male's fingerprint on it.

Why is that relevant? It's very relevant. Agin, these were four women, two of them were repeatedly asked, did anybody else come into the room? No. There were no other males in that room. They were all four females.

That report, and you can go back and look at it, it says, the fingerprint lady specifically excluded my client as

having any fingerprints in that room. And more specifically, on that phone. So whoever that idiot was that left that phone on the bed, that's the person that we should have been investigating and charge, and tried to figure out how did he get into that room. But it wasn't my client.

MR. HART: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to stating facts not in evidence. I believe there was male DNA in the room.

THE COURT: I believe Mr. Arnold has stated it wasn't his client's DNA. Is that correct, Mr. Arnold?

MR. ARNOLD: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ARNOLD: And whether it be a DNA or it be a fingerprint, neither of them were my client's. Whether it be DNA of a male, whether it be the male's fingerprint, they were not my client's. They were excluded. Excluded means there's no way that he was in there. Okay? This stuff about touch DNA, well, my fingerprints could be in there, but they couldn't be in there.

Listen, there's where you use your common sense. You did one, two, three, four different room burglaries, and there is no DNA? There's no fingerprint stuff in you, and four of them, that just doesn't make sense. Somebody's going to make a mistake and their stuff is going to be in those rooms.

Count 10, conspiracy to commit burglary, residential burglary of the four girls' room at the Paris Hotel. Invasion of the home, of that same room, all not guilty for my client.

Same with count 13, theft. No proof that my client entered that room to take anything out and to keep it. The evidence, again, suggests my client was in possession. How he came into possession, don't sit up in here and wrack your brain. That was for the DA to prove how he came into possession of that.

And just because it's stolen doesn't mean that he entered into the room and got it.

14, my client is not charged in that. That's burglary of a business. That's Ms. Oliver going into a Target and using the credit cards.

The only thing I want to mention about this is, my client wasn't around. This was Ms. Oliver, or whoever it was, going into the business and doing this all on her own. This is a person with free independent will, committing a crime, that only is she committing a crime, it's like I told you, the DA can't even sit here and charge her, charge my client with that crime, because he was nowhere around.

In no form and no fashion did he aid or abet in the commission of her using that card.

MR. HART: Your Honor, I'm going to object. This does not relate to his client.

THE COURT: Well, overruled. Go ahead, Mr. Arnold.

MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. Again, I'm just using this

for an example, to show that the DA can't even charge my client for that, because there was no conspiracy. They couldn't figure out how they conspired to agree for her to use the card, if it's her. Or that he benefitted in some way from her use.

So Count 14, not guilty.

50 percent sure; okay?

Count 15, attempted fraudulent use. That would be a not guilty for my client. He's not charged in there.

Same with Count 16, it'd be a not guilty. He's not even charged in it.

Same as 17. Same as 18.

Now, we're down to 19. Esther Chae. Let's start here with Esther Chae. That photo lineup that Esther Chae did was 2 months and 15 days after this whole entire thing happened. How she fortuitously picked out my client is beyond me to this day.

However, she did. She picked number four; okay?
But what did she tell you? And this is the only thing you need to know, to find my client not guilty. She, herself, is only 50 percent knowingly that it's him. Fifty percent.
She's not 100 percent sure. She's not 80 percent sure. She's

She has doubt. With her having doubt, you should

have doubt. And why should you have doubt? Because, again, no DNA, no fingerprints, no video, nothing. And you had an officer, what do you call him, a TACK (sic) officer, you had an officer come into here today, and I don't know if you caught it, it's like, you know, I do this for a living, and so I heard it. But I was like, I wonder if the jury heard it.

And what he said was, he had a warrant from 6/14/2020 to August 27, 2020, for these phones and then the locations and everything like that. Not once through all their exhibits do we see where my client's alleged phone was at on 6/15. You know why? There ain't no evidence. Just like we don't have any evidence of any video of my client at 6/15. Just like we have no evidence of DNA, or fingerprints. My client wasn't there; okay?

And think about this. I'm sitting here choking you choking you, hands around your neck, and I'm looking at your nose and your mouth. That just doesn't make any sense. I'm looking at the eyes. The eyes. And I don't know if you guys can see it from there, but that's clear as day, my client doesn't have any eyebrows. That alone would have been shocking to me.

She came into this courtroom from this door, walked up here, got all the way up there, and then you know, the DA waits until the very last couple of questions, do you see that person in the courtroom today? She looks at you guys first.

Like, what was that? And then she looks at their table. This guy choked her.

Supposedly, she was in fear of her life. That's easy. I know where that person was sitting. I don't have to look all over the courtroom. It was for you. That wasn't for me. That was for you. That was dramatics. Okay?

Remember, each and every one of these witnesses, before they get up on the stand, are prepped by the DA.

That's their job. Remember that one witness who came in here, he told us that the social security photo, well, that came from what we associated with her phone, the lady's phone in this case. He leaves, walks out of here. And then what was it, an hour later, and he hustles back in here and tells us, oh, I made a mistake. Do you see how this is working?

These people are being fed the answers. These people are being reminded of what their testimony is. This is what's happening. That's why I'm asking you, just don't swallow it, review it. Look at the evidence for what it is; okay?

Esther Chae, 50 percent. That alone. You know, and here's the thing. Like you hear these charges, you know, fraudulent use of a credit card, commit larceny, commit burglary, you know. If it was a murder case, and this -- they said, well, the person that shot me, I'm only 50 percent sure that he shot and caused the murder, the jury would be like,