

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ERRYS DEE DAVIS, A MINOR,
THROUGH HER PARENTS TRACI
PARKS AND ERRICK DAVIS; THOMAS
ZIEGLER; FREDERICK BICKHAM;
AND JANE NELSON,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; THE HONORABLE SUSAN
JOHNSON, DISRICT JUDGE; AND
THE HONORABLE VERONICA
BARISICH, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

STEPHANIE A. JONES, D.O.; DANIEL
M. KIRGAN, M.D.; IRA MICHAEL
SCHNEIER, M.D.; MUHAMMAD
SAEED SABIR, M.D.; AND JAYSON
AGATON, APRN,

Real Parties in Interest.

Electronically Filed
Sep 02 2021 01:22 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

No. 83306

RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
AMICUS MOTION AND AMICUS BRIEF


Real parties in interest Jones, Kirgan and Schneier hereby respond to the motion of the Nevada Justice Association (NJA) for a 30-day extension of time for filing a motion for leave to file an amicus brief in favor of Petitioners' position.

This court ordered real parties in interest to file an answer to the writ petition. The court then approved a stipulation for an extension of time for the answer. The answer is now due on October 13, 2021.

The NJA's motion requests an extension until 30 days after this court issues its order on the motion for the extension. If this court grants the extension, the due date for the motion seeking leave to file the amicus brief will likely be extended to a date near or beyond the due date for the answer. And if the court allows the NJA to file an amicus brief, the answer filed by real parties in interest will need to address the arguments in the amicus brief.

Accordingly, real parties in interest Jones, Kirgan and Schneier do not oppose the NJA's requested extension, with the understanding that real parties in interest will be granted whatever extensions might be necessary for their answer as a result of any amicus filings by the NJA. Also, although this response is not opposing the NJA's requested extension for the amicus motion, real parties in interest Jones, Kirgan and Schneier reserve the right to oppose the NJA's motion for leave to file an amicus brief when the motion is eventually filed.

DATED this 2nd day of September, 2021.


ROBERT L. EISENBERG, ESQ. (SBN 950)
LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG
6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor
Reno, Nevada 89519
(775) 786-6868 (telephone)
rle@lge.net

*Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest,
Stephanie A. Jones, D.O.,
Daniel M. Kirgan, M.D., and
Ira Michael Schneier, M.D.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG and that on this date the foregoing document was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court, and therefore electronic service was made in accordance with the master service list as follows:

Breeden & Associates, PLLC
Hall Prangle & Schoonveld, LLC/Las Vegas
McBride Hall
Claggett & Sykes
Lauria Tokunaga Gates & Linn, LLP/Las Vegas

I further certify that on this date I served copies of the foregoing, postage prepaid, by U.S. mail to:

Hon. Veronica Barisich
Department 5
Eighth Judicial District Court
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89155

Hon. Susan Johnson
Department 22
Eighth Judicial District Court
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89155

DATED this 2nd day of September, 2021.



EMPLOYEE OF LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG