IN THE NEVADA SUPREME COUR Electronically Filed Mar 07 2022 10:34 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Eric Abasta,

Appellant,

v.

State of Nevada,

Respondent.

Direct Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty) Eighth Judicial District Court Honorable Michael Villani, District Court Judge; Honorable David Barker, District Court Judge; Honorable Cristina Silva, District Court Judge, District Court Case No. C-20-349045-1

Appellant's Appendix (Volume 3 of 3)

JoNell Thomas Special Public Defender Nevada State Bar No. 4771 *Melinda Simpkins Chief Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Ŝtate Bar No. 7911 330 S. 3rd St., Suite 800Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 $(702)\ 455-6265$ (702) 455-6273 (fax) Melinda.Simpkins@ClarkCountyNV.gov *Counsel for Eric Abasta

$\underline{\text{VOLUME}}$	<u>PLEADING</u> <u>PGS</u>
3	Amended Indictment (3/15/2021)
3	Criminal Court Minutes (unfiled)580-606
3	Guilty Plea Agreement (5/12/2021)
2	Indictment (6/26/2020)
3	Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty) (7/9/2021) 574-76
3	Motion to Dismiss Due to Speedy Trial Violations (3/12/2021)
3	Notice of Appeal (8/6/2021)
2	Opposition to State's Motion to Amend Indictment (2/17/2021)
3	Order Granting State's Motion to Amend Indictment (3/15/2021)
3	Second Amended Indictment (5/11/2021)499-502
3	Settlement Conference Acknowledgement (4/6/21)495-98
2	State's Motion to Amend Indictment (2/10/2021) 404-20
3	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Due To Speedy Trial Violations (3/19/2021)470-79
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Vol 1 June 18, 2020 (6/30/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Vol 2 June 25, 2020 (7/12/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing June 26, 2020 (9/3/2021)358-66
2	Transcript of Hearing July 2, 2020 (8/24/2021)367-76
2	Transcript of Hearing July 7, 2020 (9/7/2021)377-82

2	Transcript of Hearing July 16, 2020 (9/7/2021) 383-86
2	Transcript of Hearing August 25, 2020 (9/7/2021)387-93
2	Transcript of Hearing November 25, 2020 (9/3/21)394-98
2	Transcript of Hearing January 13, 2021 (9/24/21)399-400
2	Transcript of Hearing January 27, 2021 (9/24/2021)401-03
2	Transcript of Hearing February 17, 2021 (9/27/21) 425-28
2	Transcript of Hearing February 23, 2021 (3/16/21) 429-36
2	Transcript of Hearing February 23, 2021 (second transcript) (9/7/2021)
3	Transcript of Hearing March 23, 2021 (9/7/2021)480-87
3	Transcript of Hearing March 24, 2021 (9/3/2021)488-94
3	Transcript of Hearing May 11, 2021 (9/7/2021)503-25
3	Transcript of Hearing June 25, 2021 (9/7/2021)537-73

Electronically Filed 3/12/2021 4:04 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **MDSM** JONELL THOMAS 2 SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER Nevada Bar #4771 3 ROBERT ARROYO Chief Deputy Special Public Defender 4 Nevada Bar #11512 TEGAN MACHNICH 5 Chief Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #11642 330 South Third Street, Suite #800 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 7 $(702)\ 455-6265$ FAX: (702) 455-6273 8 robert.arroyo@clarkcountynv.gov tegan.machnich@clarkcountyny.gov 9 Attorneys for Abasta

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C-20-349045-1
v.) DEPT. NO. 17
ERIC ABASTA, ID #5010484))
Defendant,)))

MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION

COMES NOW, the defendant, ERC ABASTA, by and through his attorneys of record, JONELL THOMAS, Special Public Defender, ROBERT ARROYO, Chief Deputy Special Public Defender and TEGAN C. MACHNICH, Chief Deputy Special Public Defender, and hereby moves this Honorable Court to dismiss this case due to speedy trial violation.

This Motion is based upon the attached points and authorities, the papers and pleadings on file herein, and any oral argument at the time set for hearing on the matter.

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff

TO: STEVEN WOLFSON, District Attorney, Attorney for Plaintiff

YOU AND EACH OF YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above and foregoing Motion will be heard before the above entitled Court on the _____ day of ______, 2020, at ______.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The alleged criminal offenses in this case took place between January 5, 2020 and March 24, 2020 in Clark County, Nevada. While Mr. Abasta was originally charged by way of criminal complaint in multiple cases, the State chose to indict the alleged incident together.

Grand Jury proceedings were held on June 18, 2020 and June 25, 2020. An Indictment was filed on June 26, 2020. Mr. Abasta entered his plea of "Not Guilty" on July 7, 2020, at which time he invoked his right to a speedy trial.

Jury trials were set, and later vacated, on September 8, 2020, November 30, 2020, January 19, 2021 and February 22, 2021. See District Court Minutes attached as Exhibit A. The first three continuances were issued sua sponte by the Court due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The February 22, 2021 trial date was continued based largely on the fact that the State had not turned over relevant evidence to the Defense in a timely fashion¹. Trial is currently set for March 29, 2021.

¹ The court minutes from the February 22 trial readiness central calendar are not posted to Odyssey yet.

The State filed a Motion to Amend on February 10, 2020 – after the case had been set for trial and continued four times. In that Motion, the State sought to amend the Murder with Use of a Deadly Weapon charge to include the theory of liability of Felony Murder. Specifically, a subsection (2) was added that stated the following: "committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery."

Thirteen days after the Motion to Amend was filed, on February 23, 2020, Co-Defendant Mason Arney entered his plea contingent on his agreement to testify against Defendant Abasta (upon information and belief, and based upon conversations between Counsel and the State). For the first time, in the Amended Indictment attached to Co-Defendant Mason Arney's Guilty Plea Agreement, Mr. Arney acknowledged involvement in an alleged robbery of the decedent. Prior to this time, the Indictment had included only Mr. Abasta in relation to the decedent and surrounding circumstances.

ARGUMENT

This Court Should Dismiss Mr. Abasta's Current Charges Pursuant to NRS 178.556(1) Because the State Has Failed to Bring Mr. Abasta to Trial Within 60 Days and No Good Cause Exists for the Delay.

This Court should dismiss this case because Mr. Abasta was denied his statutory right to a speedy trial when he was forced to continue his trial beyond 60 days from the date of his arraignment due to the State's failure to timely produce discovery and when the Court continued his case multiple times due to the lack of courtrooms due to the self-imposed COVID restrictions. Nevada law provides as follows: If no indictment is found or information filed against a person within 15 days after he has been held to answer for a public offense which must be prosecuted by indictment or information, the Court may dismiss the complaint. If a defendant whose trial has not been postponed upon his application is not brought to trial within

60 days after his arraignment on the indictment or information, the district court may dismiss the indictment or information. NRS 178.556(1) (emphasis added). The sixty-day time frame is mandatory when there is no good cause for delay. *Byford v. State*, 116 Nev. at 230, 994 P.2d at 710, citing *Sessions v. State*, 111 Nev. 328, 332 n.4, 890 p.2d 792 n.4 (1995). The burden is on the prosecution to show good cause for delay. *Huebner v. State*, 103 Nev. 29, 31, 731 P.2d 1330, 1332 (1987). There is no presumption that good cause exists. *In re Morris*, 78 Nev. 123, 369 P.2d 456 (1962), cited, *In re Hansen*, 79 Nev. 492 at 495, 387 P.2d 659 (1963).

This case should be dismissed because Mr. Abasta should not have been forced into a "Hobson's choice" of choosing between his right to a Speedy Trial and right to a fair trial and effective assistance of counsel. See Simmons v. United States, 390 U.S. 377, 394 (1968). Eric was forced to announce he was not ready to proceed with trial due to the late disclosure of evidence by the State. Late disclosure of evidence within the State's control for months before disclosure should not be considered good cause. Therefore, this Court should dismiss the current charges pending against Mr. Abasta.

CONCLUSION

Mr. Abasta respectfully requests that this Court dismiss the case due to the State violating his right to a trial within 60 days.

Dated: March 12, 2021

SUBMITTED BY:

/s/ ROBERT ARROYO

ROBERT ARROYO Attorney for Abasta

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that service of the above Motion To Dismiss Due To Speedy Trial

Violation, was made on March 12, 2021, by Electronic Filing to:

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

email: motions@clarkcountyda.com

/s/ Elizabeth (Lisa) Araiza

Legal Secretary Special Public Defender

EXHIBIT A

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

June 26, 2020

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

Eric Abasta, Jr.

June 26, 2020

11:00 AM

Grand Jury Indictment

HEARD BY:

Bell. Linda Marie

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Estala, Kimberly

RECORDER:

Vincent, Renee

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Michael Dickerson

Attorney for Plaintiff

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Kenneth Dickinson, Grand Jury Foreperson, stated to the Court that at least twelve members had concurred in the return of the true bill during deliberation, but had been excused for presentation to the Court. State presented Grand Jury Case Number 19CGJ051A to the Court. COURT ORDERED, the Indictment may be filed and is assigned Case Number C-20-349045-1, Department III.

State requested a warrant, argued bail, and advised Deft is in custody. COURT ORDERED, NO BAIL; INDICTMENT WARRANT ISSUED, and matter SET for Arraignment.

Upon Court's inquiry, the State advised there are no material witness warrants to quash. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Exhibits 1-24 and 26-35 to be lodged with the Clerk of the Court; Las Vegas Justice Court case no. 20F02017B DISMISSED per the State's request. In addition, a Pre-Trial Risk Assessment will be prepared if one was not previously done.

I.W. (CUSTODY)

07/02/20 3:30 P.M. INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT (DEPT III)

Printed Date: 6/30/2020

Prepared by: Kimberly Estala

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

June 26, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

July 02, 2020

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

July 02, 2020

03:30 PM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY:

Herndon, Douglas W.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16C

COURT CLERK: Schlitz, Kory

RECORDER: Ray, Stacey

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Nicole J. Cannizzaro Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN... INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT...

APPEARANCES CONTINUED: Defendant ABASTA present in custody represented by Special Public Defender Robert Arroyo; Defendant MASON ARNEY present in custody represented by James Gallo Esq.; Defendant JAMES ARNEY present out of custody represented by Daniel Lippmann Esq.

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Arroyo stated the Special Public Defender's Office can confirm as counsel of record on behalf of Defendant ABASTA, Mr. Gallo informed the Court he did not have a chance to speak with Defendant MASON ARNEY, pointing out he went over to the jail twice and the Defendant was in transit each time. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant JAMES ARNEY stated his first name was WAYLON, not JAMES, COURT SO NOTED, Upon Court's further inquiry, Defendant ABASTA stated Mr. Arroyo went over the charges with him, however he is confused as to what charges relate to him. DEFENDANT JAMES WAYLON ARNEY ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY, and WAIVED the 60-DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED. matter set for status check. Mr. Lippmann requested a status check in two weeks. Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Cannizzaro indicated she believed the matter is not going before the Death Review Committee with regards to Defendant ABASTA. COURT ORDERED, pursuant to Statute, Counsel has 21 days from today for the filing of any Writs, if the Transcript has not been filed as of today; Counsel has 21 days from the filing of the Transcript. COURT ORDERED, arraignment CONTINUED with regards to Defendants ABASTA and MASON ARNEY; status check SET with regards to Defendant JAMES WAYLON ARNEY. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Defendant JAMES WAYLON ARNEY's presence can be waived at the next hearing, adding since this is a homicide case, the matter will need to be reassigned.

CUSTODY

7/7/2020 3:30 P.M. ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED

Printed Date: 7/6/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: July 02, 2020

Prepared by: Kory Schlitz

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

July 07, 2020

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

VS

Eric Abasta, Jr.

July 07, 2020

03:30 PM **Arraignment Continued**

HEARD BY:

Herndon, Douglas W.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16C

COURT CLERK: Schlitz, Kory

RECORDER:

Ray, Stacey

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr.

Defendant

Michael Dickerson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo

Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

APPEARANCES CONTINUED: Defendant ABASTA present in custody represented by Special Public Defender Robert Arroyo: Defendant MASON ARNEY present in custody represented by James Gallo Esq.; Defendant JAMES WAYLON ARNEY present represented by Daniel Lippmann Esq.

DEFENDANT ABASTA ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY, and INVOKED the 60-DAY RULE. DEFENDANT MASON ARNEY ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY, and INVOKED the 60-DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, matter set for status check. Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Lacher indicated she does not know if the matter is going before the Death Review Committee with regards to Defendant ABASTA. COURT ORDERED, pursuant to Statute, Counsel has 21 days from today for the filing of any Writs, if the Transcript has not been filed as of today; Counsel has 21 days from the filing of the Transcript. Pursuant to Administrative Order 17-05 this COURT ORDERS the case REASSIGNED to Department 17.

CUSTODY

7/16/2020 10:15 A.M. STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING (DEPT 17)

Printed Date: 7/8/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: July 07, 2020

Prepared by: Kory Schlitz

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

July 16, 2020

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

vs

Eric Abasta, Jr.

July 16, 2020

10:15 AM

Status Check: Trial Setting

HEARD BY:

Villani, Michael

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Reid, Shannon

RECORDER:

Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr.

Defendant

Robert Arroyo

Attorney for Defendant

Sarah Overly

Attorney for Plaintiff

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

James Gallo, ESQ present on behalf of Co Defendant Mason Arney. Daniel Lippman, ESQ. present on behalf of Co Defendant James Arney.

Mr. Arroyo advised Defendants had invoked the 60-Day Rule. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for trial. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Counsel has 21 days from the filing of the Grand Jury Indictment transcript to file a Writ.

CUSTODY

08/25/2020 8:30 AM CALENDAR CALL

09/08/2020 9:00 AM JURY TRIAL

Printed Date: 7/23/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: July 16, 2020

Prepared by: Shannon Reid

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

August 25, 2020

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

VS

Eric Abasta, Jr.

August 25, 2020

10:15 AM Calendar Call

HEARD BY:

Villani, Michael

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Reid, Shannon

RECORDER:

Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr.

Defendant

Nicole J. Cannizzaro

Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo

Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Daniel Lippman, ESQ. present on behalf of Co Defendant James Arney. James Gallo, ESQ. present on behalf of Co Defendant Mason Arney.

Court noted no trials were going forward due to COVID-19 pandemic. Upon Court's inquiry. Mr. Arroyo advised Defendants had previously invoked the 60-Day Rule. COURT FINDS good cause due to the Court's schedule and nature of this case to continue the trial beyond 60 days.

CUSTODY

11/17/2020 10:15 AM CALENDAR CALL

11/30/2020 9:00 AM JURY TRIAL

Printed Date: 8/28/2020

Prepared by: Shannon Reid

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

August 25, 2020

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

November 25, 2020

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

VS

Eric Abasta, Jr.

November 25, 2020

02:00 PM

Central Calendar Call

HEARD BY:

Bell, Linda Marie

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Estala, Kimberly

RECORDER:

Esparza, Kerry

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr.

Defendant

Nicole J. Cannizzaro

Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo

Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

Tegan Machnich

Attorney for Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Co-Defendant Mason Arney present with counsel James Gallo, Esq. Parties present via Bluejeans.

State noted a file review was done with Mr. Arroyo and additional discovery was requested from the investigator some of which has been turned over. Court noted due to current Covid-19 protocols trials are unable to proceed and ORDERED, trial date VACATED and RESET.

CUSTODY

01/13/21 2:00 PM CENTRAL CALENDAR CALL (LLA) 01/19/21 9:00 AM JURY TRIAL (DEPT 17)

Printed Date: 12/2/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: November 25, 2020

Prepared by: Kimberly Estala

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 13, 2021

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

Eric Abasta, Jr.

January 13, 2021

2:00 PM

Central Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK:

Teri Berkshire

RECORDER:

Victoria Boyd

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Abasta, Eric, Jr.

Cannizzaro, Nicole J.

Machnich, Tegan

State of Nevada

Defendant

Attorney

Attorney

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- APPEARANCES CONTINUED: Ms. Cannizzaro present via video, on behalf of the State. Ms. Machnich present via video, on behalf of deft.

Court noted the Court cannot currently do Jury trials at this time, due to orders from the Governor. COURT ORDERED, trial date VACATED and matter SET for Central Trial Readiness, on the date given, as to both defts.

CUSTODY ABASTA / ARNEY

01/27/21 11:30 A.M. CENTRAL TRIAL READINESS ABASTA / ARNEY

PRINT DATE:

01/26/2021

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

January 13, 2021

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 27, 2021

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

Eric Abasta, Jr.

January 27, 2021

11:30 AM

Central Trial Readiness Conference

HEARD BY:

Jones, Tierra

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Ortega, Natalie

RECORDER:

Boyd, Victoria

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Danielle K. Pieper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Eric Abasta, Jr.

Defendant

Robert Arroyo

Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Special Public Defendant Robert Arroyo present with Defendant Eric Abasta, Jr. (C349045-1)

James C. Gallo, Esq., present with Defendant Mason Arney (C349045-2)

COURT NOTED this case could be accommodated on the February 22, 2021 as number 2 on the stack. Counsel announced trial ready. Colloquy regarding filing a motion to exclude and resolving the case. COURT ORDERED, trial SET.

CUSTODY - ABASTA

CUSTODY - ARNEY

02/17/21 2:00 PM CENTRAL TRIAL CALENDAR CALL (ABASTA and ARNEY)

02/22/21 9:00 AM CENTRAL TRIAL JURY TRIAL (ABASTA and ARNEY)

Printed Date: 2/6/2021 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: January 27, 2021

Prepared by: Natalie Ortega

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 3/15/2021 11:27 AM

Electronically Filed 03/15/2021 11:26 AM CLERK OF THE COURT

1	ORDR							
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney							
3	Nevada Bar #001565 MICHAEL R. DICKERSON							
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013476							
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212							
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff							
7	DISTRICT COURT							
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA							
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,							
10	Plaintiff,							
11	-VS-	CASE NO:	C-20-349045-1					
12	ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr., #5010484	DEPT NO:	XVII					
13	Defendant.							
14	Defendant.							

ORDER GRANTING STATE'S MOTION TO AMEND INDICTMENT

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATE OF HEARING: February 23, 2021 TIME OF HEARING: 8:30 A.M.

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing before the above entitled Court on the 23rd day of February, 2021, the Defendant being present, represented by ROBERT ARROYO, Chief Deputy Special Public Defender, the Plaintiff being represented by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney, through MICHAEL R. DICKERSON, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having heard the arguments of counsel and took the matter under advisement.

Thereafter on the 1st day of March, 2021, after considering all pleadings and arguments, the Court renders its decision as follows: The State seeks to add an additional theory of liability felony murder for Count five murder with use of a deadly weapon of the Indictment. Under NRS 173.095(1), the court may permit an indictment or information to be amended at any time before verdict or finding if no additional or different offense is charged

\\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\2020\142\63\202014263C-ORDR-(ERIC ABASTA JR)-001.DOCX

1	and if substantial rights of the defendant are not p	rejudiced. Moreover, felony murder does					
2	not amount to the charging of additional or different offenses. See State v. Eighth Judicial						
3	Dist. Court, ex rel. County of Clark, 116 Nev. 37	4 378-79, 997 P.2d 126 129-130 (2000).					
4	Here, the State request to amend their indictment merely adds an alternate liability theory,						
5	felony murder. Also, the State placed Defendant of	on notice regarding felony murder during					
6	grand jury. See GJT Vol. 2, at 40-53. Therefore,	THIS COURT FINDS the amendment to					
7	count five does not charge an additional offense,	and the addition of felony murder theory					
8	does not prejudice Defendant s substantial rights.						
9	Therefore, COURT ORDERED, State's Mo	tion to Amend Indictment, shall be, and it					
10	is GRANTED.						
11		Dated this 15th day of March, 2021					
12		Man 10					
13	DIS	TRICT JUDGE					
14	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney	C2A CFD 300F 52B3 Michael Villani					
15	Nevada Bar #001565	District Court Judge					
16							
17	MICHAEL R. DICKERSON						
18	Nevada Bar #013476						
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28	20F06387A/lm/GU						

1	CSERV									
2										
3	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA									
4										
5										
6	State of Nevada	CASE NO: C-20-349045-1								
7	VS	DEPT. NO. Department 17								
8	Eric Abasta, Jr.									
9										
10	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE									
11	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District									
12	Court. The foregoing Order Granting Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:									
13 14	Service Date: 3/15/2021									
15	Robert Arroyo	rarroyo@clarkcountynv.gov								
16	Elizabeth Araiza	elizabeth.araiza@clarkcountynv.gov								
17	Office of the Special Public Defender	SpecialPDdocs@clarkcountynv.gov								
18	District Attorney	motions@clarkcountyda.com								
19	James Gallo	james@gallolawoffice.com								
20	Daniel Lippmann	daniel@lipplaw.vegas								
21 22	Tergan Machnich	Tegan.Machnich@ClarkCountyNV.gov								
23	Dept 17 Law Clerk	dept17lc@clarkcountycourts.us								
24										
25										
26										
27										

Electronically Filed 3/15/2021 8:42 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **AIND** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 MICHAEL R. DICKERSON Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #013476 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 Plaintiff. CASE NO: C-20-349045-1 11 DEPT NO: XVII -VS-12 ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr., #5010484 MASON ARNEÝ, #8412715 13 JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, AMENDED 14 aka Waylan Arney, Jr., #5098193 INDICTMENT 15 Defendant(s). 16 STATE OF NEVADA) ss. 17 COUNTY OF CLARK

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr., MASON ARNEY, and JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, aka Waylan Arney, Jr., accused by the Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 50201); OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON (Category B Felony - NRS 202.360 - NOC 51460); CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON (Category C Felony - NRS 202.350 (1)(d)(3) - NOC 51459); ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.380, 193.330, 193.165 - NOC 50145); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001); CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 200.380, 199.480 - NOC 50147); ROBBERY WITH USE OF

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.380, 193.165 - NOC 50138); GRAND LARCENY AUTO (Category B Felony - NRS 205.228.3 - NOC 56014); ATTEMPT ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 200.380, 193.330 - NOC 50144); ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165 - NOC 50031) and BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM (Category B Felony - NRS 200.481 - NOC 50226), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or between January 5, 2020, and March 24, 2020, as follows:

COUNT 1 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about January 5, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: ISIAH WASHINGTON, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing an unknown make and/or model firearm at the said ISIAH WASHINGTON.

<u>COUNT 2</u> - OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr.did on or about January 5, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously own, or have in his possession and/or under his custody or control, a firearm, to wit: an unknown make and/or model firearm, the Defendant being a convicted felon, having in 2016, been convicted of Battery with Substantial Bodily Harm, in Case No. C311831-1, and/or, having in 2016, been convicted of Attempt Carry Conceal Weapon, in case No. C318316-1, in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, a felony under the laws of the State of Nevada.

<u>COUNT 3</u> - CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about January 5, 2020, then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously carry concealed upon his person, a firearm or other deadly weapon, to wit: an unknown make and/or model firearm.

//

23

24

25

26

27

COUNT 4 - ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about January 14, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to wit: a cell phone, from the person of KEVIN FARNSWORTH, or in his presence, without the consent and against the will of KEVIN FARNSWORTH, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person, the person of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery, defendant using force or fear to attempt to obtain or retain possession of the property, attempt to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or attempt to facilitate escape, by approaching the said KEVIN FARNSWORTH and shooting at ot into the body of the said KEVINS FARNSWORTH while attempting to take his property, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm.

COUNT 5 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about January 14, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought, kill KEVIN FARNSWORTH, a human being, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by shooting at or into the body of the said KEVIN FARNSWORTH, the said killing having been (1) willful, deliberate and premeditated, and/or (2) committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery.

COUNT 6 - OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about January 14, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously own, or have in his possession and/or under his custody or control, a firearm, to wit: a firearm, the Defendant being a convicted felon, having in 2016, been convicted of Conspiracy to Commit Robbery and Battery With Substantial Bodily Harm, in Case No. C311831 and/or Attempt Carrying Concealed Firearm or Other Deadly Weapon in Case No. C318316, in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, felonies under the laws of the State of Nevada.

//

28 //

COUNT 7 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, aka Waylan Arney, Jr. did on or about January 26, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with each other and J.G. to commit a robbery, by the Defendants and J.G. committing the acts as set forth in Counts 8 and 9, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

COUNT 8 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, aka Waylan Arney, Jr. did on or about January 26, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: U.S. Currency, a telephone, identification and a Mazda bearing Nevada License No. 890ZFW, from the person of TRISTAN HIMLIN, or in his presence, without the consent and against the will of TRISTAN HIMLIN, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person, the person of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery, defendant using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm; the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendants and/or J.G. aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendants and/or J.G. acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 9 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, aka Waylan Arney, Jr. did on or about January 26, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: U.S. Currency, a telephone, identification and a Mazda bearing Nevada License No. 890ZFW, from the person of KAREN SALAZAR, or in her presence,

without the consent and against the will of KAREN SALAZAR, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to her person, the person of a member of her family, or of anyone in her company at the time of the robbery, defendant using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm; the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendants and/or J.G. aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendants and/or J.G. acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 10 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, aka Waylan Arney, Jr. did on or about January 26, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: TRISTAN HIMLIN, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing said firearm at the said TRISTAN HIMLIN.

COUNT 11 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, aka Waylan Arney, Jr. did on or about January 26, 2020, willfully unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: KAREN SALAZAR, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing said firearm at the said KAREN SALAZAR.

COUNT 12 - GRAND LARCENY AUTO

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, aka Waylan Arney, Jr. did on or about January 26, 2020, then and there willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and intentionally, with intent to deprive the owner permanently thereof, steal, take

and carry away, drive away or otherwise remove a motor vehicle owned by another person, having a value of \$3,500.00, or greater, in the possession of TRISTAN HIMILIN, to wit: a 2014 Mazda 6, bearing Nevada License No. 890ZFW; the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendants and/or J.G. aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendants and/or J.G. acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 13 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about February 2, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: PASQUAL ABASTA, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by brandishing said firearm in the said PASQUAL ABASTA'S presence while cocking it and walking towards him.

COUNT 14 - OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about February 2, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously own, or have in his possession and/or under his custody or control, a firearm, to wit: a firearm, the Defendant being a convicted felon, having in 2016, been convicted of Conspiracy to Commit Robbery and Battery With Substantial Bodily Harm, in Case No. C311831 and/or Attempt Carrying Concealed Firearm or Other Deadly Weapon in Case No. C318316, in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, felonies under the laws of the State of Nevada.

COUNT 15 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about March 15, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with J.G. to commit a robbery, by the

3

4

COUNT 16 - ATTEMPT ROBBERY

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant and/or J.G. committing the acts as set forth in Count 16, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about March 15, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to wit: a golf cart and/or cellular telephone, from the person of PAVEL ALLING-PENA, or in his presence, without the consent and against the will of PAVEL ALLING-PENA, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person, the person of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery, defendant using force or fear to attempt to obtain or retain possession of the property, attempt to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or attempt to facilitate escape, by demanding the keys to said golf cart and/or attempting to take said cellular telephone from PAVEL ALLING-PENA while striking him; the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and/or J.G. aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and/or J.G. acting in concert throughout...

COUNT 17 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about March 15, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: PAVEL ALLING-PENA, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a vehicle, by driving said vehicle at the said PAVEL ALLING-PENA, attempting to strike him.

// //

2 3

4 5

6

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT 18 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and MASON ARNEY did on or about March 23, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with each other to commit a robbery, by the Defendants committing the acts as set forth in Count 19, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

COUNT 19 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and MASON ARNEY did on or about March 23, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: a cellular telephone, from the person of ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, or in his presence, without the consent and against the will of ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person, the person of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery, Defendants using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm; the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendants aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendants acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 20 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and MASON ARNEY did on or about March 23, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought attempt to kill ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, a human being, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by shooting at or into the body of the said ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS; the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the

commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendants aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendants acting in concert throughout.

<u>COUNT 21</u> - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and MASON ARNEY did on or about March 23, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to wit: ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by shooting at or into the body of the said ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, resulting in substantial bodily harm to ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendants aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendants acting in concert throughout.

<u>COUNT 22</u> - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and MASON ARNEY did on or about March 24, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: MATTHEW WARREN, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing said firearm at the said MATTHEW WARREN; the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise

procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendants aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendants acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 23 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. and MASON ARNEY did on or about March 24, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: KATELYN MENDES, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing said firearm at the said KATELYN MENDES; the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendants aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendants acting in concert throughout.

DATED this 9th day of March, 2021.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY/s/ MICHAEL R. DICKERSON MICHAEL R. DICKERSON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013476

24

25

26

27

28

19CGJ051A-C/C348213(20F06387A-B)/20F02017A-B/20F06403X/C348152(20F07884X)/20F07202X/ed/lm/GU LVMPD EV#200300114309; 200300108165; 200100022920 CCPP EV# 20010124150 HPD EV# 2000988 (TK3)

3/19/2021 2:44 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **OPPS** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 MICHAEL R. DICKERSON Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #013476 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 Plaintiff, 11 -VS-CASE NO: C-20-349045-1 12 ERIC ABASTA, aka DEPT NO: XVII Eric Abasta, Jr., #5010484 13 Defendant. 14 15 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATIONS 16 DATE OF HEARING: 3/25/2021 17 TIME OF HEARING: 8:30 AM 18 COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County 19 District Attorney, through MICHAEL R. DICKERSON, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and 20 hereby submits the attached Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion To 21 Dismiss Due To Speedy Trial Violations. 22 This Opposition is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the 23 attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if 24 deemed necessary by this Honorable Court. 25 // 26 // 27 // 28

Electronically Filed

//

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

T	STATEMENT	
	SIAIRAVIRANI	UPPALIS

D	efendant	is charged	by way	of Indi	ictment	filed	June	26,	2020,	with	the	follow	ving
crimes:													

Count 1 - Assault With A Deadly Weapon, Count 2 - Ownership Or Possession Of Firearm By Prohibited Person, and Count 3 - Carrying Concealed Firearm Or Other Deadly Weapon, reference the January 5, 2020 event related to named victim Isiah Washington;

Count 4 - Attempt Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon, Count 5 - Murder With Use Of A Deadly Weapon, and Count 6 - Ownership Or Possession Of Firearm By Prohibited Person reference the January 14, 2020 event related to named, deceased victim Kevin Farnsworth;

Count 7 - Conspiracy To Commit Robbery, Counts 8 and 9 - Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon, and Count 12 - Grand Larceny Auto reference the January 26, 2020 event related to named victims Tristan Himlin and Karen Salazar;

Count 13 - Assault With A Deadly Weapon and Count 14 - Ownership Or Possession Of Firearm By Prohibited Person reference the February 2, 2020 event related to named victim Pasqual Abasta;

Count 15 - Conspiracy To Commit Robbery, Count 16 - Attempt Robbery, and Count 17 - Assault With A Deadly Weapon reference the March 15, 2020 event related to named Pavel Alling-Pena;

Count 18 - Conspiracy To Commit Robbery, Count 19 - Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon, Count 20 - Attempt Murder With Use Of A Deadly Weapon, and Count 21 - Battery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon Resulting In Substantial Bodily Harm reference the March 23, 2020 event related to named victim Ernesto Santana-Rosas;

Counts 22 and 23 - Assault With A Deadly Weapon reference the March 24, 2020 event and related to named victims Matthew Warren and Katelyn Mendes.

27 | //

28 //

II. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On June 26, 2020, Defendant was charged by way of Indictment with the aforementioned criminal charges. The global COVID-19 pandemic had been going on for months at this point and, as such, the Court's trial and appearance restrictions were already in effect.

On July 2, 2020, the Special Public Defender confirmed as counsel and Defendant's arraignment was continued.

On July 7, 2020, Defendant was arraigned, pleaded not guilty and invoked his statutory right to proceed to trial within sixty (60) days.

On July 16, 2020, trial was officially set for September 8, 2020.

On August 25, 2020, calendar call was held for the September 8, 2020 trial. This Court made a record no trials were going forward due to COVID-19 pandemic and found good cause due to the Court's schedule and nature of this case to continue the trial beyond 60 days. At that time, the Court reset trial to November 30, 2020.

On September 9, 2020, at a Central Trial Readiness Conference, Defendant's counsel made a record that discovery was received three (3) weeks prior. The indication was that defense counsel needed additional time to review discovery. The Court continued conference for counsel to consider discovery.

On October 7, 2020, at the continued Central Trial Readiness Conference, defense counsel acknowledged they were looking for additional discovery and that a file review was pending. The Court continued the conference file review and any additional updates.

On October 28, 2020, at the continued Central Trial Readiness Conference, defense counsel advised they believed there was outstanding discovery and, as such, had a file review scheduled for the following week. Defense counsel indicated that they could not be ready for the upcoming November 30, 2020 trial. The Court set the case for Central Trial Calendar Call on November 25, 2020.

On November 25, 2020, at Central Trial Calendar Call, the record indicates that a file review was done with defense counsel and the State requested additional discovery from

//

investigating agencies. The Court made a record that, due to current COVID-19 protocols, trials are unable to proceed. The Court reset trial to January 19, 2021.

On January 13, 2021, at Central Trial Calendar Call, the Court made a record that jury trials cannot proceed at this time due to orders from the Governor of Nevada. The Court scheduled this case for Central Trial Readiness Conference on January 27, 2021.

On January 27, 2021, the Court made a record that this case could be accommodated for jury trial in 26 days, on the February 22, 2021, where it would be scheduled as second in line behind one other case.

On February 10, 2021, the State filed State's Motion to Amend Indictment, which was set to be heard on February 18, 2021. On February 12, 2021, the hearing was continued by stipulation of the parties, to February 23, 2021. On February 17, 2021, Defendant filed Opposition to State's Motion to Amend Indictment. The hearing was held on February 23, 2021, and the Court took the matter under advisement, ultimately issuing a minute order on March 1, 2021, granting the State's Motion to Amend Indictment. Amended Indictment charging the same crimes but adding a felony-murder theory to Count 5 - Murder With Use Of A Deadly Weapon.

During that same timeframe covered in the paragraph above, the parties attended Central Trial Calendar Call on February 17, 2021, at which time defense counsel sought a continuance and referenced that they had received additional discovery, though provided no specificity. The State would have been ready to proceed to trial as scheduled. The Court vacated the February 23, 2021 trial.

Trial is currently scheduled to begin on March 29, 2021, which is 61 days since COVID-19 health and safety protocols gave way for a jury trial to be made available in this case.

On March 12, 2021, Defendant filed Motion to Dismiss Due to Speedy Trial Violation. The parties have submitted a stipulated Order Shortening Time seeking to have this matter heard by March 23, 2021.

III. ARGUMENT

Defendant now argues that "[t]his Court should dismiss this case because Mr. Abasta was denied his statutory right to a speedy trial when he was forced to continue his trial beyond 60 days from the date of his arraignment due to the State's failure to timely produce discovery and when the Court continued his case multiple times due to the lack of courtrooms due to the self-imposed COVID restrictions." (Def's Mot. at 3:20-25). Good cause exists for all delay and no prejudice has been shown related to any delay in this case.

In Nevada, under NRS 178.556(1), a defendant has a statutory right to a trial within 60 days after arraignment. NRS 178.556(1) specifically provides "[i]f a defendant whose trial has not been postponed upon the defendant's application is not brought to trial within 60 days after the arraignment on the indictment or information, the district court may dismiss the indictment or information." Dismissal of the information or indictment is within the discretion of the district court where the defendant is not brought to trial within sixty days. See Browning v. State, 104 Nev. 269, 271, 757 P.2d 351, 352 (1988).

Where good cause for continuance or delay exists, dismissal is not warranted; to warrant dismissal, Defendant must show that unfair prejudice resulted from the delay. See Byford v. State, 116 Nev. 215, 230, 994 P.2d 700, 711 (2000) (one-year delay "not extreme, but long enough to conceivably cause prejudice," though the alleged prejudice of allowing "the State to reassemble its witnesses" is not unfair prejudice); Sessions v. State, 111 Nev. 328, 332, at fn.4, 890 P.2d 792, 794 (1995) (defendant speedy-trial contentions meritless where the State demonstrated good cause for delay, and defendant failed to address the issue of prejudice attributable to delay); Huebner v. State, 103 Nev. 29, 31, 731 P.2d 1330, 1332 (1987) (60—day time frame under NRS 178.556 is mandatory only when there is a lack of good cause for delay; 22-day delay due to unavailable critical State witness deemed good cause); Redmen v. State, 108 Nev. 227, 231, 828 P.2d 395, 398 (1992) ("Appellant cannot force the court to begin a trial when neither party is prepared to litigate;" good cause existed where prosecutor had scheduling conflict due to another trial and, though defense opposed State's continuance, defense counsel indicated it could not be prepared to go to trial), overruled by on

1 other grounds by Alford v. State, 111 Nev. 1409, 906 P.2d 714 (1995); Rodriguez v. State, 91 2 Nev. 782, 542 P.2d 1065 (1975) (defendant did not object that his right to a speedy trial had 3 been violated until the day of the trial, six-day delay was insubstantial and unprejudicial); Schultz v. State, 91 Nev. 290, 291, 535 P.2d 166, 167 (1975) ("delay beyond the statutory [60-4 5 day] period was insubstantial (2 days), and the prosecution showed good cause (plea

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

bargaining) for the delay.

a. COVID-19 Global Pandemic is Good Cause for Delay.

The cause for delay in this case has been due to the COVID-19 global pandemic¹ and the resulting health and safety protocols that the Governor of the State of Nevada² and the Chief Judge of this Court, with the co-signed authority of the Chief Justice of the Nevada Supreme Court, were forced by necessity to enact to protect the health of all persons, including Defendant. See EJDCAO 20-01 (issued March 13, 2020, by Chief Judge of this Court Linda Bell and Chief Justice Kristina Pickering, finding that COVID-19 risk to the public necessities alteration of court procedures, including suspending jury trials, to protect the public); EJDCAO 20-06 (In The Administrative Matter Of Court Operations In Response To COVID-19); EJDCAO 20-10 (In The Administrative Matter Of Court Operations In Response To COVID-19); EJDCAO 20-13 (In The Administrative Matter Of Court Operations In Response To COVID-19); EJDCAO 20-17 (In The Administrative Matter Regarding All Court Operations In Response To COVID-19); EJDCAO 20-22 (issued October 21, 2020, prioritizing trials based upon invocation, age of case and limited safety-configured

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

¹ https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020 (On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization, noting their deep concern as to "both [] the alarming levels of spread and severity, and [] the alarming levels of inaction," to the COVID-19 outbreak, officially declared the outbreak as a pandemic. While doing so, the WHO noted they "have never before seen pandemic that can be controlled," and since they were first notified, they have "called everyday [sic] for countries to take urgent and aggressive action," further noting, "[w]e have rung the alarm bell loud and clear.") ²http://gov.nv.gov/News/Press/2020/Governor Sisolak Updates Public on State Action and Guidance Regarding C

OVID-19/; https://nvhealthresponse.nv.gov/preparation-in-nv/; Governor Sisolak issued a Declaration of Emergency in the State of Nevada on March 12, 2020. On Sunday, March 15, 2020, Governor Sisolak ordered all K-12 schools in the State of Nevada closed through April 6, 2020. Later that same day, he announced further directives, which included: closing state offices to the public, a call to transition to working as much as possible over the phone or online for essential services, and strongly encouraged gaming properties to close to the public. Governor Sisolak stated these efforts are required to "protect the health and safety of the public and our state workforce while ensuring that the important work of our state government does not grind to a halt." Further, he noted that we all "must do what we can to be part of the solution and share[] responsibility for each other as Nevadans."

courtrooms, and adopting COVID-19 Jury Trial Plan 9/28/2020); EJDCAO 20-23 (issued November 11, 2020, suspending jury trials scheduled prior to November 30, 2020);EJDC Administrative Order ("AO") 20-24 (issued November 24, 2020, suspending jury trials from November 2020 to January 11, 2021); EJDCAO 21-01 (In The Administrative Matter Related To Extending The "Pause" In Response To COVID-19); EJDCAO 21-02 (In The Administrative Matter Of Suspending Certain District Court Rules To Conform To The Statewide Rules Of Criminal Practice); EJDCAO 21-03 (In The Administrative Matter Regarding All Court Operations In Response To COVID-19). In the United States of America, the current global pandemic has resulted in 29,699,099 cases of COVID-19 and caused 540,430 deaths, to date.³ Federal, state and local court systems across the country, and the world, have had to enact safety measures to mitigate the extreme impact of this pandemic. All delay resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic and the necessary health and safety protocols was clearly for good cause and also tolled the time at issue here.

b. Delay is Not Attributable to State, Good Cause Exists Were Delay Attributable to the State, and the State is Ready To Start Trial and Suggests March 26, 2021.

As to any delay that Defendant attempts to attribute to the State, the allegation is incorrect, as defense consistently indicated they were not ready, which is understandable given the sheer size of this case and severity of the charges at hand. Delay is not because of any discovery issues, as Defendant nakedly alleges without any specificity or indication of unfair prejudice. This case consists of very serious charges and no less than seven (7) events that took place during a months-long crime spree. As such, the discovery is substantial and also involves at least three (3) separate investigating law enforcement agencies. The State has been in regular and productive communication with current defense counsel about discovery in this case, including seeking out and subsequently providing multiple productions of discovery at defense counsel's request and holding a file review on November 4, 2020. The previously assigned prosecutor provided the substantive material evidence the State intended to introduce

³ https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html (accessed 3/19/2021 12:01 PM).

3 4 5

6 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 in trial at the time. The State has never sought a continuance and has remained prepared to afford Defendant his trial within 60-days.

In Browning, 104 Nev. at 270–71, 757 P.2d at 352, Browning was charged with firstdegree murder, robbery, and burglary, invoked statutory speedy trial, and the State subsequently moved to continue due to an error by the deputy district attorney who had written down the wrong trial date. The court granted the State's continuance, setting the trial for March 31, 1986, which was the date the deputy district attorney originally erroneously wrote down. Id. The Nevada Supreme Court found that the "mere twenty-eight day delay is insufficient to justify dismissal of the charges against him. Due to the severity of the crimes charged, we will tolerate a longer delay than we might for a crime of less egregious proportions." Id., 104 Nev.at 271 (citing Barker v. Wingo, 407 U.S. 514, 92 S.Ct. 2182, 33 L.Ed.2d 101 (1972)). The Court added that "the deputy district attorney's honest, but negligent, mistake in transcribing the appropriate trial date and the professed inability to locate key prosecution witnesses prior to trial do not reveal an improper motive by the state in requesting the delay. Thus, this is not a case involving a deliberate attempt to delay trial in order to hamper the defense, and therefore we need not be so concerned with policing the state's activity." Id. Furthermore, the Court found "Browning has not reasonably identified how the twenty-eight day delay has prejudiced his defense" and concluded "[i]n light of the overwhelming evidence of guilt presented against him at trial, it is clear that any alleged prejudice would not rise to the level justifying dismissal of the charged crimes." Id.

Here, the undersigned prosecutor was assigned this case in early February 2021, after the previously assigned lead prosecutor was called to serve the citizens of the State of Nevada in another governmental position. The undersigned prosecutor immediately began reviewing this case, including records of prior discovery productions and requests. The undersigned prosecutor was ready, willing, and able to proceed to trial as scheduled from that point. On February 9, 2021, defense counsel made a request of the undersigned prosecutor for additional items of discovery, including audio of statements for which Defendant had already received the transcripts, photos from a search warrant, color copies of items previously received in

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

black and white, and the raw case file from the forensic laboratory related to the firearm experts' reports that had been previously provided. On February 11, 2021, defense counsel requested all data recovered from cellular forensic examination, related to the data they had already been provided in reports detailing the material findings of the digital forensic examinations. The State very timely provided defense counsel the items they requested. Furthermore, the undersigned provided defense counsel a large amount of the bates-stamped discovery that was previously provided early in this prosecution but believed to be without bates stamps. This additional bates stamping was done for the purpose of maintaining clear communication and records with defense counsel and in litigation moving forward. The undersigned has since received and provided Defendant a victim's medical records that were held by Sunrise Hospital and within the power of defense counsel to obtain themselves should they have wished. During this aforementioned time period, the State also relayed an offer for plea negotiations to defense counsel, which has not been accepted or rejected to date. The delay in this case is not attributable to the State. Even if delay were attributable to the State, good cause exists by way of the State seeking out and providing records to defense counsel all at defense counsel's request and said records not otherwise already existing in this party's possession, extending an offer and being open to further negotiations at defense counsel's request and also due to the personnel constraints faced by the State.

This case has only been able to proceed to trial within the current health and safety protocols since January 27, 2021. On January 27, 2021, the Court notified the parties it could accommodate the case for jury trial in 26 days, on the February 22, 2021. At that time, this trial was in a stack of other trials and not first in line, so it was not certain the Court's calendar could even accommodate this trial. Because the February 12, 2021 trial was continued at defense counsel's request, the trial is now scheduled to begin on March 29, 2021. This equals a 61-days, or a 1-day delay since the COVID-19 health and safety protocols gave way for a jury trial to be made available in this case. To alleviate Defendant's concerns about his statutory speedy trial right, the State is willing to begin trial on Friday, March 26, 2021, which would provide Defendant a trial within 58 days of a trial being available.

1	IV. CONCLUSION
2	Based upon all of the foregoing, the State respectfully requests that Defendant's Motion
3	to Dismiss be DENIED.
4	DATED this 19th day of March, 2021.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
7	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
8	
9	BY <u>/s/MICHAEL R. DICKERSON</u> MICHAEL R. DICKERSON
10	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013476
11	
12	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION
13	I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made this 19th day of
14	March, 2020, by electronic transmission to:
15	ROBERT ARROYO
16	Robert.arroyo@clarkcountynv.gov
17	TEGAN MACHNICH <u>Tegan.machnich@clakcountynv.gov</u>
18	
19	BY /s/E. Del Padre
20	E. DEL PADRE Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	MRD/ed/GU

Electronically Filed 9/7/2021 2:19 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

STATE OF NEVADA,

ERIC ABASTA, JR.,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

VS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO: C-20-349045-1

DEPT. XVII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION

TUESDAY, MARCH 23, 2021

APPEARANCES VIA BLUEJEANS VIDEO CONFERENCING:

For the State: MICHAEL DICKERSON, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

For Defendant Abasta: ROBERT ARROYO, ESQ.

Chief Deputy Special Public Defender

RECORDED BY: CYNTHIA GEORGILAS, COURT RECORDER

1	Las Vegas, Nevada; Tuesday, March 23, 2021
2	
3	[Proceeding commenced at 9:26 a.m.]
4	THE COURT: Okay, page 22 is Eric Abasta. This is Special
5	Public Defender's Office.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, I believe Mr. Arroyo
7	is present.
8	MR. ARROYO: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning, Robert
9	Arroyo on behalf of Mr. Abasta.
10	THE COURT: All right, and who do we have on behalf of the
11	State?
12	MR. DICKERSON: Mike Dickerson on behalf of the State,
13	Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay, are the parties ready to go forward on
15	the argument of the motion?
16	MR. DICKERSON: State's ready.
17	MR. ARROYO: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right, go ahead, Mr. Arroyo.
19	MR. ARROYO: Your Honor, there's one thing I think I would
20	agree with on the State's opposition is that there has been good
21	communication between the defense and the State regarding discovery
22	Ms. Cannizzaro was originally the prosecutor handling the case before
23	Mr. Dickerson took over the case. We were in constant contact with Ms
24	Cannizzaro regarding the discovery we needed.
25	This case has been pending since March. It was indicted in

June, and a bunch of individual cases were consolidated. But Mr. Abasta's been in custody since March.

We took over the case in June, and as soon as we got on, we've been talking about discovery. We had a file review in November, and then, you know, we got a lot of stuff at the file review. But we also made it clear what we were missing at that file review. We didn't get any of it until February. So, that was on the eve of trial, we got a dump of a hundred gigabytes of surveillance videos. And then, I think at that point was the last time Ms. Cannizzaro was on the case.

Then, Mr. Dickerson took over the case right after that, and I let him know what we were missing, and he was able to turn it over within days. So, that was another hundred gigabyte of phone data, witness statements.

So, the fact that Mr. Dickerson was able to get it so fast leads me to believe that it's been ready. This isn't a case where we have DNA that was outstanding and we were waiting for the different tests to be completed. This is just discovery that Ms. Cannizzaro was sitting on and just failed to turn over for months. And there's no reason why it couldn't have been disclosed for the many months that we were asking for it.

I -- the State points out that a lot of the continuances were for COVID-related lack of courtrooms, but I don't think that paints a whole picture of what was happening. There were other options for trial.

There were several bench trials that went forward during the COVID era, that if we had all discovery and we were prepared, we might have asked for a bench trial to go forward.

Mr. Abasta has been wanting to go to trial for -- you know, since I got on the case, he's made it clear that he wanted to go to trial, but as his attorney, there's no way that we -- I could go forward when he's facing the rest of his life in prison with all this outstanding discovery. And we just got another dump on March 11th of jail calls from -- the jail calls were between March 2020 and August 2020. Some of them were previously disclosed, but others aren't. So, we're having to go back and listen to each file again and compare it to what we had before.

All this stuff is just making it impossible for us to be ready for a murder trial. All this new discovery is also leading for further investigation. I need to go out of state, interview witnesses. So, Mr. Abasta's been put in a situation where he's either -- goes to trial with an attorney that's not prepared and not able to give him the best defense possible or to ask for continuances and pretty much waive his right to a trial within 60 days.

As we point out, our motion that's -- you know, that puts him in an unfair position and the -- this is basically because of the State's late disclosure of discovery which shouldn't be considered good cause for any of the continuances. And based upon that, we believe his right to a trial within 60 days has been violated. And therefore, the case should be dismissed.

THE COURT: All right, I do note from the record that there was a Central Trial Readiness on November 25th of last year and then January 13th, 2021. Were both of those continuances based upon lack of discovery or were there other reasons for the trials being continued at

those times?

MR. ARROYO: I believe -- in November -- I believe that was COVID related that they were all continued. It was not until February that we -- that I stated that we couldn't be ready. I believe it wasn't until February that we had a solid trial date.

THE COURT: All right, anything further, Mr. Arroyo? MR. ARROYO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, let me hear from the State.

MR. DICKERSON: Mike Dickerson on -- Your Honor, as you've seen in the briefing and heard from Mr. Arroyo, all the continuances in this case up until February were as a result of the COVID-19 global pandemic, which undoubtedly is good cause for a continuance. And also -- so, that's not something on the State, that's not something on the defense or even the Court. That's just pure necessity of the natural causes that have occurred beyond anybody's control, which led to these safety measures having to be put in place. And ultimately, this trial having to be continued.

It wasn't until January 23rd -- or sorry, bear with me one second. It wasn't until late January that we ultimately were made aware that we could have a jury trial and that was January 27th, set for jury trial for February 22nd. That was a 26-day setting. We did everything we could to be ready to go at that time. Defense was provided discovery before that, continued to request discovery, provided what they asked for. They've been provided everything they've asked for, and we've had to go to actually seek that out.

1 2 3

So, it's a massive case, as you've seen in the briefing, and this isn't something where I just had discovery laying around when I took this case over. I still had to go and then make efforts to find discovery, contact detectives, contact law enforcement agencies to get what defense counsel asked for and provide it to them, which did occur within days. But it took a substantial amount of effort and time on my part. It wasn't that these things were just sitting around and not discovered.

So, with that 26-day trial setting that was ultimately continued in February brings us now to the trial setting that we have for March 29th. It leads us to 61 days since this trial -- since this case has been available for trial. As a result, I don't believe that the continuance that occurred for the March 22nd trial -- or the February 22nd trial date is as a result of the State's late disclosure. The basis of having to go to trial in a speedy fashion in the first place, and especially on a case of this magnitude, this many events, and this amount of seriousness involved is going to cause any counsel to feel flustered, right? And I think that's what obviously has occurred here.

But now, we sit at 61-day trial setting, the State is prepared to go to trial. We're prepared to start trial this Friday. So, that would give us a 58-day trial setting from the time the trial's become available in this case. There would be no worries about Mr. Abasta's speedy trial rights given that. And so, if that's what defense counsel would like to do, we're ready, we've been pretrialing our witnesses, we've made sure that all discovery is available. Let's go.

THE COURT: All right, and I do see that you have a Central

Calendar Call actually tomorrow; is that correct?

MR. DICKERSON: Correct.

MR. ARROYO: That is correct, Your Honor. And that's -- and thank you for accommodating us earlier. That's why we asked that the motion be heard today.

THE COURT: All right, anything further, Mr. Arroyo?

MR. ARROYO: Your Honor, I would just like to -- just once again point out that had all this been disclosed earlier, we could have gotten our investigation done, we may have asked for a bench trial. So, there was other ways that trials were going forward beyond jury trials. So, there were no jury trials going forward until February, but we do know that there were a couple of bench trials that did go forward, and Mr. Abasta did not have the option because we did not have all the discovery.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Based upon all the factors that have been presented by both attorneys and the history of this matter and particularly the COVID issues, I don't find any legal basis at this time to dismiss the case based upon any speedy trial violations. I don't know what's going to happen at Central Trial -- or the Central Calendar Call tomorrow. But if the parties -- I don't know if there's going to be a courtroom or not or what the status is, but if the parties want to go forward on a bench trial, I'll be more than happy to accommodate the parties. Obviously, everyone has to agree on that.

But we'll see how it, you know, pans out tomorrow for all of you. And otherwise, we'll see you whenever I'm advised that this

1	matter's going to go forward, okay? But I am available for a bench trial.
2	MR. ARROYO: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	MR. DICKERSON: And with that, Your Honor, we've never
4	been approached requesting any sort of stipulation to a bench trial. At
5	this point in time, we would not have agreed to any bench trial. So,
6	we're still requesting a jury trial for the State.
7	THE COURT: Okay. No, I'm just saying I'm available if that's
8	it's up to the parties.
9	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	MR. ARROYO: Thank you.
12	[Proceeding concluded at 9:37 a.m.]
13	* * * * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed
22	the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
23	
24	Kaihla Berndt
25	Kaiñla Berndt Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 9/3/2021 3:23 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-20-349045-1 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 10 VS. 11 **ERIC ABASTA** 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID BARKER, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 WEDNESDAY, MARCH 24, 2021 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 16 CENTRAL CALENDAR CALL 17 APPEARANCES: 18 MICHAEL DICKERSON, ESQ. For the State: 19 **Deputy District Attorney** 20 Appeared by Video 21 For the Defense: ROBERT ARROYO, ESQ. TEGAN MACHNICH, ESQ. 22 Appeared By Video 23 24 RECORDED BY: PATTI SLATTERY, COURT RECORDER 25

000488

Case Number: C-20-349045-1

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Wednesday, March 24, 2021
2	
3	[Case called at 2:09 p.m.]
4	THE COURT: Abasta. Mr. Abasta's present in custody
5	CCDC. Do I have a lawyer for Mr. Abasta remote?
6	MR. ARROYO: Good Afternoon, Your Honor, Robert Arroyo
7	and Tegan Machnich on behalf of Mr. Abasta.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Arroyo. Do I have a district
9	attorney on this case?
10	MR. DICKERSON: Michael Dickerson on behalf of the State,
11	Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dickerson. Time set central trial
13	readiness my notes reflect your originating out of seventeen. You are
14	on the top of the stack for trial next week.
15	Are the parties prepared to proceed?
16	MR. DICKERSON: State's prepared to go to trial, Your Honor
17	THE COURT: Very good. Defense, Mr. Arroyo?
18	MR. ARROYO: Your Honor, we are not prepared to go to trial
19	I know Mr. Abasta is not willing to waive his right to a trial within sixty
20	days. But I would note that this is a rather large case. We are less than
21	one year from indictment which is in any murder case it's extremely
22	quick during the Covid era. This case is actually seven individual cases,
23	different robberies in addition to the murder and they've all been
24	consolidated into one indictment.
25	There was a large amount of discovery that was produced in

February that was given to our expert who wouldn't be available to have it all done and testify by next week. There's just no way that defense counsel can be prepared to move forward. So, I'm going to be asking for a continuance of the trial today over Mr. Abasta's reluctance to continue.

THE COURT: Mr. Abasta, your lawyer indicates he doesn't believe he can be ready to defend you. Are you insistent on your sixty day rights, knowing that your lawyer maybe hamstrung as a function of that decision?

MR. ARROYO: And Your Honor, I would also just for the record add that there was an amended indictment filed a couple weeks ago which added a felony murder theory and a co-defendant recently flipped just last -- just a couple weeks ago as well. There's just no way that this case can be ready to proceed to trial next week.

You know, there's a reason why Mr. Abasta has counsel as we know the evidentiary foundations and how this is all going to play out at trial and just have two experienced attorneys on it that feel that there's no way that this can go forward.

THE COURT: Mr. Abasta what's your position? Your lawyer tells me that if we went to trial he doesn't believe, he believes there wouldn't be a fair decision based upon merit because he hasn't had adequate opportunity to prepare.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah I understand that, I spoke to my other counsel yesterday. They -- I mean I'm not willing to waive my sixty day speedy trial but the same time I understand my counsels need more time. We just got discovery not even a month ago, barely we were

handed over the discovery but if there's a way we could just extend it from what my attorneys are asking and I could stay in the speedy trial.

THE COURT: Okay Mr. -- okay I understand. Mr. Dickerson what is your position?

MR. DICKERSON: Our position is that discovery has been provided in this case throughout. We actually had some litigation on that earlier yesterday regarding defenses motion to dismiss the trial for speedy trial violations, which was denied. Though there was discovery provided in February, substantial material discovery which would have been sufficient to introduce in the States case in chief to convict the defendant was already produced prior to that time. We understand defense counsel's request to continue. We just out of courtesy we don't oppose that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DICKERSON: I hear what Mr. Abasta's saying, I don't think that's totally correct what he's saying. The place that this case is at right now, and I don't know where Mr. Abasta's at, but it may be a good time to discuss the possibility of a settlement conference. And if that's the case I would suggest that we actually set that out for a settlement conference and a status check on that rather than playing leap frog or having Mr. Abasta continue to try and stay invoked despite him clearly here indicating that he is okay with a continuance.

THE COURT: Mr. Arroyo, what is your thoughts on what Mr. Dickerson is basically outlined? We're setting conference right now, we've set them all, we're all in April we're pushing to May correct?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PERRY: That's correct the first available is May 3rd at 1:30 p.m.

THE COURT: May 3rd at 1:30 is the next available conference date. We could set a conference on this matter, have Mr. Abasta procedurally remain invoked and if that effort isn't successful set a trial date within 60 days thereafter. What are your thoughts?

MR. ARROYO: Your Honor, I believe that would be the best course of action. I do think a settlement conference or settlement conference talks would be the wise way to go at this point, and if as long as Mr. Abasta doesn't have to waive his right to a speedy trial. I think he would be okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Abasta, do you agree to that?

THE DEFENDANT: I was listening and I kinda understand but what exactly are you speaking about? Your speaking about to set it for a trial or a to without waiving my speeded trial but to set it for --

THE COURT: Right have you been, have you heard, or do you know what a settlement conference is?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah I believe so.

THE COURT: Okay, basically let me tell you kind of my view of it. What happens is you get a senior judge that is not assigned to your case, not your trial judge. You come down to this room. You between now and the time of that settlement conference had a change to discuss the evidence that the discovery and all the evidence that you think the DA's going to present. We get a DA down here, your lawyers here, you're here, frankly anybody who's important to the case either from

1	your side or from the DA's side can participate with in the rules and we
2	have a frank and honest discussion about the evidence of the case. And
3	we look for a compromise. We look for a settlement, some sort of
4	bargain of some sort that both sides can agree on. It's completely
5	voluntary you don't have to participate if you don't want to. But were
6	setting a lot of them and frankly we've had some success some good
7	success with being able to find that middle ground. But it requires
8	everybody to want to do it.
9	Are you interested in that?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Can I ask for my attorney?
11	THE COURT: I can actually bring you back next week if you'c
12	like, Mr. Arroyo so you can have a private conversation?
13	THE DEFENDANT: I would just like to know what his
14	THE COURT: Thoughts are?
15	THE DEFENDANT: positionyeah just real quick.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Arroyo?
17	MR. ARROYO: Yeah I believe settlement conference is the
18	best course of action and Eric it's not binding so if we don't like the offer
19	at the end we just continue to trial.
20	THE DEFENDANT: All right that's fine.
21	THE COURT: All right so let's head that direction. Let's set a
22	conference.
23	MS. PERRY: Monday, May 3rd at 1:30 p.m.
24	THE COURT: Monday, May 3rd at 1 [sic] p.m. Of course, as
25	the lawyers appreciate continue to move forward in trial prep, it can only

1	help us, help all of you come to a greater understanding of the evidence
2	from both sides perspective at that conference. And of course again, if it
3	doesn't settle then you're further along ultimately in the evidence so a
4	trial, a real trial date set shortly thereafter can be a realistic one.
5	Everybody have your date gentlemen?
6	MR. DICKERSON: I do.
7	MR. ARROYO: Yes. Thank you.
8	THE COURT: All right thank you.
9	[Proceedings concluded at 2:17 p.m.]
10	* * * * *
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
21	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
22	1 10541
23	Liniorgestala
24	Kimberly Estala ^J Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 4/6/2021 4:21 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

	Otenus. At
ACKN	
Attorney Robert Arroyo	
Bar # Nevada 11512	
Address Clark County Special Public Defender	
330 S. 3rd Street, Ste 800, Las Vegas, NV 89155	
Telephone 702-455-6265	
Fax 702-455-6273	
Email robert.arroyo@clarkcountynv.gov	
Attorneys for Abasta	
DISTRIC	T COURT
CI VBK COID	ITY, NEVADA
CLARK COOL	VII, IVL VADA
	CASE NO. <u>C-20-349045-1</u>
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	DEDECATO 47
Disintiff	DEPT NO. 17
Plaintiff,	
VS. ERIC ABASTA, #5010484	
,	
Defendant.	
CETTI EMENT CONFEDE	NCE ACKNOWLEDGMENT
SETTLEMENT CONFEREN	TCE ACKITO WEEDGINERY
Defendant ERIC ABASTA, followi	ng discussion with his (or her) counsel
ROBERT ARROYO agrees to participate in	the settlement conference program, which is
, agrees to participate in	the settlement conference program, which is
described in the attached Exhibit. Defendant us	nderstands that program is voluntary, that he (or
she) may	
111	
111	
///	
///	

decline to participate, and that he (or she) may stop participating while the settlement conference is underway.

Dated this day of APRIL , 2020.

Defendant

Defendant's Counsel

EXHIBIT SUPREME COURT RULE 252

Rule 252(2).

Settlement conferences in criminal cases. The purpose of a settlement conference is to facilitate good faith discussions to resolve any criminal case before the district court in a manner that serves the interest of justice.

- (a) In any criminal case before the district court, either party may request a settlement conference, or the trial judge may, on its own, recommend that counsel with settlement authority participate in a settlement conference. A case will not be referred to a settlement conference if any party objects. The defendant must consent on the record or in writing before a case is referred to a settlement conference. In all cases, the settlement conference must not be before the trial judge. If settlement discussions do not result in an agreement, the case must be returned to the trial judge.
- (b) Beyond all else, participation in a settlement conference is voluntary by the parties, and no party has any right to an offer, or may raise any claim from any fact or circumstance that occurs during the settlement conference, including but not limited to the bad faith of the parties in participating in the conference. Decision-making authority remains with the parties and not the settlement judge. The trial judge, the settlement judge, or any party may unilaterally terminate the settlement conference at any time.
- (c) Settlement conferences must, in all respects, be confidential and not reported or recorded.
- (d) Communications between the settlement judge and the trial judge.

 The settlement judge and the trial judge must have no contact or

communication, except that the settlement judge may, without comment or observation. report to the trial judge that:

- (1) The parties cannot reach an agreement:
- (2) The parties have reached an agreement, and the agreement reached may be reduced to writing, signed by the prosecuting attorney, the defendant, and defense counsel and submitted to the court for approval;
 - (3) Meaningful attempt to settle is ongoing: or
- (4) The settlement Judge withdraws from further participation in potential settlements.
- (e) Should the settlement conference result in a settlement agreement, the terms of the agreement must be reduced to a guilty plea agreement in accordance with NRS 174.063 and signed by the defendant, defense counsel (if any), and the prosecutor. The parties must file the guilty plea agreement with the trial judge. Any party may withdraw from an agreement before the trial judge accepts the plea.
- (f) If the parties reach a guilty plea agreement that involves any stipulations, the trial judge agrees that such a settlement shall be conditioned on the trial judge's acceptance of and agreement to follow the stipulations. If the trial judge is unwilling to abide by the stipulations, then either side may withdraw from the guilty plea agreement.

Electronically Filed
5/11/2021 4:45 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

AIND 1 STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 MICHAEL R. DICKERSON Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #013476 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 Plaintiff. CASE NO: C-20-349045-1 11 DEPT NO: XVII -VS-12 ERIC ABASTA. aka Eric Abasta, Jr., #5010484 13 SECOND AMENDED Defendant. **INDICTMENT** 14 15 STATE OF NEVADA

The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr., accused by the Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of MURDER (FIRST DEGREE) (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030 - NOC 50005); ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 50201); and ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 200.380 - NOC 50137), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or between January 5, 2020, and March 24, 2020, as follows:

COUNT 1 - MURDER (FIRST DEGREE)

COUNTY OF CLARK

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

) ss.

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about January 14, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought, kill KEVIN FARNSWORTH, a human being, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by shooting at or into the body of the said KEVIN FARNSWORTH, the said killing having been (1) willful, deliberate and premeditated and (2) committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery.

COUNT 2 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about January 5, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: ISIAH WASHINGTON, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing an unknown make and/or model firearm at the said ISIAH WASHINGTON.

COUNT 3 - ROBBERY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr., did on or about January 26, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: U.S. Currency, a telephone, identification and a Mazda bearing Nevada License No. 890ZFW, from the person of KAREN SALAZAR and TRISTAN HIMLIN, or in her presence, without the consent and against the will of KAREN SALAZAR and TRISTAN HIMLIN, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to her person, the person of a member of her family, or of anyone in her company at the time of the robbery, defendant using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape; the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant, JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, aka Waylan Arney, Jr., and J.G. aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 4 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about February 2, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use

physical force against another person, to wit: PASQUAL ABASTA, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by brandishing said firearm in the said PASQUAL ABASTA'S presence while cocking it and walking towards him.

COUNT 5 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about March 15, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: PAVEL ALLING-PENA, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a vehicle, by driving said vehicle at the said PAVEL ALLING-PENA, attempting to strike him.

COUNT 6 - ROBBERY

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about March 23, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: a cellular telephone, from the person of ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, or in his presence, without the consent and against the will of ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person, the person of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery, Defendants using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape; the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and M.A. acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 7 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA did on or about March 24, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate

1	bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another
2	person, to wit: MATTHEW WARREN and KATELYN MENDES, with use of a deadly
3	weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing said firearm at the said MATTHEW WARREN and
4	KATELYN MENDES; the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the
5	following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or
6	(2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be
7	committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise
8	procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this
9	crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant aiding or abetting and/or
10	conspiring by Defendant and unnamed person(s) acting in concert throughout.
11	DATED this 11th day of May, 2021.
12	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
13	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
14	
15	BY /s/MICHAEL R. DICKERSON
16	MICHAEL R. DICKERSON Chief Deputy District Attorney
17	Nevada Bar #013476
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

19CGJ051A-C/C348213(20F06387A-B)/20F02017A-B/20F06403X/C348152(20F07884X)/20F07202X/ed/lm/GU LVMPD EV#200300114309; 200300108165; 200100022920 CCPP EV# 20010124150 HPD EV# 2000988 (TK3)

Electronically Filed 9/7/2021 2:30 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE #: C-20-349045-1 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XVII 10 VS. 11 ERIC ABASTA, aka, Eric Abasta, Jr., 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 TUESDAY, MAY 11, 2021 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 16 **ENTRY OF PLEA** 17 **APPEARANCES:** 18 For the State: MICHAEL DICKERSON 19 Chief Deputy District Attorney 20 For the Defendant: **ROBERT ARROYO** 21 TEGAN C. MACHNICH Chief Special Deputy Public Defenders 22 ASHLEY L. SISOLAK Deputy Public Defender 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: CYNTHIA GEORGILAS, COURT RECORDER

1	[Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, May 11, 2021, at 5:03 p.m.]
2	
3	THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. Is everybody
4	ready?
5	MS. SISOLAK: Yep, ready to go, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
7	All right, Mr. Abasta, you've been advised that you went
8	through a settlement conference this afternoon and then you have
9	resolved your case. Is that correct, sir?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: And, sir, furthermore, I understand that Senior
12	Judge David Barker was your settlement judge. Is that correct?
13	THE DEFENDANT: I don't know.
14	MS. SISOLAK: Yes.
15	MR. ARROYO: Yes, he was.
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I don't know who the judge was,
17	but there was a judge here.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And, sir, did you sign an authorization to
19	enter into the settlement conference?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: And, sir, during the settlement conference, did
22	you have an opportunity to speak to your settlement judge?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: As well as discuss the settlement offer in this
25	matter with your attorneys?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to ask one of your attorneys to advise me as to what the negotiations are and then I'll go through your entry of plea, sir, so please listen carefully. Counsel.

MR. ARROYO: Yes, Your Honor. Robert Arroyo on behalf of Mr. Abasta. A negotiation was reached today. Mr. Abasta is going to plead guilty to: Count 1, murder in the first degree; Counts 2, 4, 5, and 7, assault with a deadly weapon; Counts 3 and 6, robbery. Both parties will stipulate to an aggregate total sentence of 24 to 65 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections which will be structured as follows: Count 1, 240 to 600 months in NDOC; Count 2, 28 to 72 months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Count 3, 48 to 180 months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Count 4, 28 to 72 months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Count 5, 28 to 72 months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Count 6, 48 to 180 months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1, and Count 7, 28 to 72 months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Counts 3 through 7 to run concurrent with Count 2.

Furthermore, the State agrees to dismiss the criminal case pending in North Las Vegas Township Justice Court case against Mary Anne Abasta, Case Number 20CRN001225.

THE DEFENDANT: Can I ask a question?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: I just -- I was just curious, is it going to matter if my mom's last name is Espinoza?

MR. DICKERSON: It does not matter, Your Honor. The case

1	number is what will be going off of there, and I negotiated that. I'm
2	handling that case, it should be no problem at all.
3	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
4	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Mr. Dickerson.
5	THE COURT: All right. Is Mary Anne Abasta is that your
6	mother, sir?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, that's my mother.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And so the State agrees, by entry of
9	your plea in this case, to dismiss the case pending against her. Is that
10	your understanding, sir?
11	THE DEFENDANT: That is my understanding.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And, sir, it looks like you have some
13	paperwork in front of you. Is that a copy of the Guilty Plea Agreement
14	that you have in your hand?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
17	Sir, do you wish to accept these negotiations to resolve
18	your case?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: And for the record, what is your legal name?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Eric Abasta, Junior.
22	THE COURT: How old are you?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Twenty-three.
24	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
25	THE DEFENDANT: I graduated, and I got my GED.

1	THE COURT: All right. Sir, so do you read, write and
2	understand the English language?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: Sir, are you pleading guilty to Count 1, murder
5	in the first degree?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: And are you pleading guilty to Count 2, assault
8	with a deadly weapon?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: Count 3, robbery?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12	THE COURT: Count 4, assault with a deadly weapon?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: Count 5, assault with a deadly weapon?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: Count 6, robbery?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: And Count 7, assault with a deadly weapon?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: Before I can accept your pleas of guilty, I must
21	make sure they're freely and voluntarily entered. Has anyone forced you
22	to plead guilty?
23	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
24	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or anyone closely
25	associated with you in order to get you to plead guilty?

1	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
2	THE COURT: No one's threatened you, sir?
3	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
4	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened anyone closely
5	associated with you in order to get you to plead guilty?
6	THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. What was that?
7	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or threatened
8	anyone closely associated with you in order to get you to plead guilty?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	Sir, I'm going to go over the I understand the
12	negotiations, and I'm going to go along with the negotiations. But I am
13	still required to advise you as to the possible penalties that you could be
14	facing, okay, sir. And I'm going to be going through page 2 and 3 of the
15	GPA; so if you want to follow along, go ahead and do so, sir. I'm going
16	to the bottom of page 2; I'm going to start there.
17	On Count 1, which is murder in the first degree, the
18	potential penalties for such a charge that the Court could sentence you
19	to life without the possibility of parole or life with the possibility of parole
20	with eligibility for parole beginning at 20 years, or a definite term of 50
21	years with eligibility of parole beginning at 20 years. Do you understand
22	that, sir?
23	MR. ARROYO: Those are just the possibilities.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Is that a yes? I have to have --

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
3	Now, Counts 2, 4, 5, and 7, which are the assault with a
4	deadly weapon charges, okay. The Court can sentence you to no more
5	than six years; no less than one year, and you can also be fined the five
6	thousand dollars for each count. Do you understand that, sir?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: And Counts 3 and 6, which are the robbery
9	counts, the Court can sentence you to no more than 15 years and no
10	less than two years in the Nevada Department of Corrections. Do you
11	understand that, sir?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: And do you understand that sentencing is
14	strictly up to the Court; no one can make any
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: Hang on; no one can make any other promises
17	to you other than those identified in the Guilty Plea Agreement. Do you
18	understand that, sir?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: Sir, did either one of your attorneys make any
21	additional promises to you other than those contained in the Guilty Plea
22	Agreement?
23	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
24	THE COURT: All right. And, sir, I know this case may have
25	started back in 2020; my question to you is, how long have you had to

1	discuss the facts and circumstances of your case with your attorney?
2	THE DEFENDANT: About, like, 10 months. I don't know,
3	like I think what June or July of last year.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	THE DEFENDANT: The end of June.
6	THE COURT: Like 10 months or so, okay. And then, sir, and
7	then you went through a settlement conference this afternoon. I believe
8	it started around 1:30, is that correct?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10	THE RECORDER: Judge
11	THE COURT: There had
12	THE RECORDER: Judge, I'm sorry, this is your recorder.
13	Our BlueJeans session is going to expire in four minutes, so I'll just be
14	creating a new one.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	THE RECORDER: So I have to log out of this one that you're
17	in now and log back in.
18	THE COURT: Do I need to go to a different BlueJeans?
19	THE RECORDER: Yes. Olivia sent you an e-mail, or I
20	forwarded you the e-mail with the link.
21	THE COURT: Okay, sir, we're just going to sign off and come
22	back in about one minute, okay.
23	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
24	THE COURT: Yes, go ahead and e-mail the new BlueJeans,
25	and I'll log back on. Thank you.

1	THE RECORDER: Okay.
2	THE CLERK: You should have it now.
3	THE RECORDER: You should have it now, Judge.
4	[Brief pause for the Court to rejoin BlueJeans]
5	THE RECORDER: There you go, Judge. Okay, we're on.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	All right, sir, have you ever been advised you have a
8	mental illness?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No.
10	THE COURT: Have you ever been diagnosed with a mental
11	illness?
12	THE DEFENDANT: I don't think so.
13	THE COURT: All right. Either, Counsel, are you aware as to
14	whether or not Mr. Abasta has ever been diagnosed with a mental
15	illness?
16	MR. ARROYO: Not that I've seen, Your Honor.
17	MS. SISOLAK: No.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	Mr. Abasta, have you ever had to take special
20	education classes?
21	THE DEFENDANT: No.
22	THE COURT: During the last 30 days, sir, have you had to be
23	on any type of medication?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: And what type of medication is that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Um, I'm not sure what it was called. But it's just like medication that they prescribed me in here when I seen a doctor for -- um, I think it's like allergy related or -- um. I had a rash, so it was just something for that.

THE COURT: Okay. And so are you on any medication today, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Well just what they give me.

THE COURT: All right, just for an allergy that you might have?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, something like that. Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Sir, is one of the reasons you are pleading guilty to these various charges, because in truth and fact, you are guilty of the charges you have pled guilty to? Is that why you're pleading guilty, sir? Because, you, in fact are guilty of Count 1, 2 -- Count 1 through 7 of the Second Amended Indictment. Are you guilty of those counts, sir?

MR. ARROYO: Remember, we talked about not having to actually pull the trigger to be guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: So you're guilty of all of the counts, Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 and, sir, I want you to look through your Guilty Plea Agreement; at the back of the Guilty Plea Agreement is a copy of the Second Amended Indictment. I want you to flip through that and tell me if that's -- if you're guilty of all those counts and that's why you're pleading guilty today.

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.		
2	THE COURT: All right. Sir, I have a copy of the Guilty Plea		
3	Agreement, it's a copy what you have in front of you. Did you authorize		
4	your attorney to sign your name at page 6 of the agreement?		
5	THE DEFENDANT: Well I signed it myself.		
6	THE COURT: Yeah, you signed it yourself. Okay. And, sir,		
7	did you read the agreement yourself? Or was it read to you by one of		
8	your attorneys?		
9	THE DEFENDANT: I read it. And also, Mr. Arroyo went ove		
10	it.		
11	THE COURT: All right. Did you understand everything that		
12	you read and everything that was read to you?		
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I believe so.		
14	THE COURT: Well I need to know. Do you believe so? Or		
15	you know so?		
16	THE DEFENDANT: Well, yeah. Yes, sir.		
17	THE COURT: Okay, so you understood everything that you		
18	read?		
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. And the things I didn't, Mr.		
20	Arroyo answered.		
21	THE COURT: Okay. Now, I'm going to I'm going to get to		
22	that right now.		
23	THE DEFENDANT: Oh, okay.		
24	THE COURT: If you had any questions regarding the		
25	agreement, were they answered by Mr. Arroyo?		

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And, sir, do you realize that, you have
3	the right to go to trial on all of the original charges?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: And, sir, I'm going to since you since you
6	have the Guilty Plea Agreement in front of you, I'm going to ask you to
7	look to page 5 of the Guilty Plea Agreement. Under waiver of rights, it
8	advises you of your constitutional rights that you have. Did you read
9	those rights, sir?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Arroyo read them to me and went
11	over them with me.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And if you had questions regarding your
13	constitutional rights, were they answered by Mr. Arroyo?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: And, sir, before we go any further, do you have
16	any questions for me about those rights?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
18	THE COURT: Sir, did your attorneys have the opportunity to
19	go over the evidence with you? The evidence meaning any police
20	reports, witness statements; there could be photographs; there could be
21	forensic test; there could be reports from experts. Did they have an
22	opportunity to go over those reports with you?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: And have your attorneys, through the last 10
25	months I know Ms. Sisolak may be new to your case. Has Mr. Arroyo,

in the last 10 months and particular today, have they been able to 1 2 answer all of your questions that you have? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Sir, based upon all the facts and circumstances 4 of your case, are you satisfied with the services of your attorney? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 6 7 THE COURT: Sir, do you feel that your attorneys have done 8 everything that they can do within the law to competently represent you in this matter? THE DEFENDANT: I certainly hope so. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Do you believe that they have? If they 12 have done everything you asked them to do? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Sir, are you a U.S. Citizen? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. Sir, I'm going to read to you the 16 various allegations contained in the Second Amended Indictment. And 17 again, I want you to follow along with me. I'm going to ask you if you've 18 committed the acts contained in each of the counts, Counts 1 through 7. 19 20 Okay, sir, so please listen to me as well as follow along. 21 It says -- and I'm going to start at page 1, sir. It says that on or between January the 5th, 2020 and March 24th, 2020, herein 22 Clark County, Nevada -- and this is going to reference Count 1 that, you 23

24

25

did on or about January 14, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and

with malice aforethought, kill Kevin Farnsworth, a human being, with use

of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by shooting at or into the body of the said Kevin Farnsworth; the said killing having been willful, deliberate and premeditated and committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of robbery.

Did you do those things that I just read?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Sir, and I'm going to page 2 of the Second Amended Indictment, and I'm going to read that to you. It's assault with a deadly weapon, it says Defendant Eric Abasta did on or about January 5th, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: Isiah Washington, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing an unknown make or model of a firearm at said Isiah Washington.

Did you do those things, sir, I just read to you regarding Count 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And I'm going to read to you Count 3, sir, please follow along. It says Defendant Eric Abasta did on or about January 26, 2020, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously take personal property, to wit: U.S. Currency, a telephone, identification and a Mazda bearing License Number 890ZFW, from the person of Karen Salazar and Tristan Himlin, or in her presence, without the consent and against the will of Karen Salazar and Tristan Himlin, by means of force or

violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to her person, the person of a member of her family, or of anyone in her company at the time of the robbery, that you using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape; that you being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that the crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime and/or pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that the crime be committed; that Defendant and James Waylon Arney, aka Waylan Arney, Junior, and J.G. aiding or abetting and/or conspiring with defendant acted in concert throughout.

Sir, did you do those things that I just read to you regarding Count 3, the robbery charge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Sir, I'm going to Count 4, please follow along. Defendant Eric Abasta did on or about February 2nd, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension or immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: Pasqual Abasta, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by brandishing said firearm in the said Pasqual Abasta's presence while cocking it and walking toward him.

1	Did you do those things, sir, I just read to you regarding
2	Count 4?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Can I talk to my attorney real quick?
4	THE COURT: Absolutely.
5	[Colloquy between Mr. Arroyo and Defendant]
6	THE COURT: Mr. Abasta, we were on Count 4 yes,
7	Counsel?
8	MR. ARROYO: We're okay to proceed, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	Mr. Abasta, I'm going to read to you Count 4 again.
11	First off, Mr. Abasta, we just took a very brief break. Did you have an
12	opportunity to speak with Mr. Arroyo on the telephone?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: And I'm assuming it's regarding you had
15	some questions regarding Count 4. I don't need to know the specifics
16	right now, but did he answer all of your questions regarding Count 4?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Are you sure? Because you sort of
19	hesitated; I want to make sure that he has answered your questions. If
20	you have more questions for him, we will take the time and he'll get you
21	back on the phone. Do you want to speak with your attorney one more
22	time, sir?
23	THE DEFENDANT: No, can you just nah, that's fine. We'll
24	just go forward, it doesn't matter.
25	THE COURT: Well it does matter, sir, okay. Sir, what we're

1	going to do is we're going to have Mr. Arroyo go back on the phone and		
2	talk to you, okay.		
3	[Colloquy between Mr. Arroyo and Defendant]		
4	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Abasta, did you have another		
5	opportunity to speak with your attorney?		
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.		
7	THE COURT: Sir, do you want to go forward? Or do you		
8	want some more time to discuss your case with your attorney? Because		
9	if the offer is still open		
10	THE DEFENDANT: I would like to go forward.		
11	THE COURT: Okay. We can continue this another day, you		
12	know, another day or two. Do you want to do that, sir?		
13	THE DEFENDANT: I would like to go forward today if it's		
14	possible please.		
15	THE COURT: Okay. It is, but I just want to make sure. Did		
16	Mr. Arroyo when he got on the phone with you a second time, did he		
17	answer all of your questions?		
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.		
19	THE COURT: And you still want you still want to go		
20	forward, sir?		
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.		
22	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to read to you again Count		
23	4, which is on page 2 and 3 of the Second Amended Indictment, so		
24	please follow along. It says Defendant Eric Abasta did on or about		
25	February 2 nd , 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally		

place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: Pasqual Abasta, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by brandishing the said firearm at the said Pasqual Abasta's presence -- or in the said Pasqual Abasta's presence while cocking it and walking towards him.

Did you do those things, sir, I just read to you in regards to Count 4?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And I'm going to Count 5, sir, and it's on page 3 of the Second Amended Indictment. Count 5 says that Eric Abasta did on or about March 15, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: Pavel Alling-Pena, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a vehicle, by driving said vehicle at the said Pavel Alling-Pena, attempting to strike him.

Did you do those things, sir, in reference to Count 5? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And, sir, I'm going to read to you Count 6 which is on page 3 of the Second Amended Indictment. The Defendant -- that's regarding robbery. Defendant Eric Abasta did on or about March 23, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: a cellular telephone from the person of Ernesto Santana-Rosas, or in his presence, without the consent and against the

will of Ernesto Santana-Rosas, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person, the person of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery; that you using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape; that you being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: by directly committing this crime and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of the crime, with the intent that the crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime and/or (3) pursuant to conspiracy to commit the crime, with the intent that the crime be committed, Defendant aiding or abetting and/or conspiring with Defendant and M.A. acting in concert throughout.

Did you do those things, sir, I just read to you in regards to Count 6, the robbery?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And, sir, I'm going to read to you now Count 7, assault with a deadly weapon which is on page 3 and 4 of the Second Amended Indictment. It says Defendant Eric Abasta did on or about March 24, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: Matthew Warren and Katelyn Mendes, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing said firearm at the said

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Matthew Warren and Katelyn Mendes; that Defendant, you, being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: by directly committing this crime and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of the crime, with the intent that the crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime and/or (3) pursuant to conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that the crime be committed; that you aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by you and an unnamed person acting in concert throughout.

Did you do those things, sir, I just read to you in reference to Count 7?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Sir, have you considered your attorney's advice in accepting these negotiations?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. What was that?

THE COURT: Have you considered your attorney's advice in accepting the negotiations?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And, sir, do you understand even though your attorney has recommended that you accept the negotiations, it is still up to you as to whether or not you accept the negotiations. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And, sir, before we go any further, do you have additional questions for your attorney?

1	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
2	THE COURT: Before we go any further, do you have any
3	questions for me?
4	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
5	THE COURT: And do you understand that I will not allow
6	anyone to rush you into accepting these negotiations?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: And do you understand that if you do not want
9	to accept the negotiations, you do not have to; you can go to trial on all
10	the original charges. Do you understand that, sir?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12	THE COURT: Sir, based upon your discussions with your
13	attorney and the negotiations that, you determined that accepting the
14	negotiations are in your best interest?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: And you also made a determination that going
17	to trial on all of the original charges are contrary to your best interest?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Contrary means like not
19	THE COURT: Yeah, it means to your best interest.
20	THE DEFENDANT: All right. Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And, sir, do you understand that you are
22	not guaranteed to have me as your sentencing judge? It can be any
23	constitutionally seated district court judge or any senior judge assigned
24	by the Supreme Court. Do you understand that, sir?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Sir, what I'm saying to you is, for
2	example, if I am ill on a particular day, they could have another judge
3	sentence you.
4	THE DEFENDANT: Oh, yeah. Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And, sir, again, before we go any
6	further, do you have any additional questions for your attorney?
7	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
8	THE COURT: And, sir, are you entering your plea freely and
9	voluntarily?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: All right, the Court so finds. And, sir, we will
12	set this matter for sentencing on the following day.
13	THE CLERK: We'll do June 25 th at 8:30.
14	THE COURT: All right. And, Counsel Mr. Arroyo, I just want
15	to ask you are you convinced that Mr. Abasta is entering his plea freely
16	and voluntarily?
17	MR. ARROYO: Your Honor, we discussed it at length. And
18	can't speak for Mr. Abasta, but he has represented that he is. I don't
19	have any concerns of the proceedings today.
20	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. And, sir, we will
21	see you at the time of sentencing. Okay, sir?
22	THE DEFENDANT: That was June 25 th at 8:30, all right.
23	THE COURT: All right, sir. Thank you, everybody. Thank
24	you, Counsel and staff.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	[Hearing concluded at 5:37 p.m.]
4	
5	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
6	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
7	angie Caliello
8	Angie Calvillo
9	Court Recorder/Transcriber
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

())

Electronically Filed 5/12/2021 6:47 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 GPA

2

STEVEN B. WOLFSON

Clark County District Attorney

Nevada Bar #001565

3 MICHAEL R. DICKERSON

Chief Deputy District Attorney

4 Nevada Bar #013476 200 Lewis Avenue

5 | Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212

(702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7

6

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

11 | -vs-

ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr., #5010484

Defendant.

CASE NO:

C-20-349045-1

DEPT NO:

XVII

GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT

I hereby agree to plead guilty to: COUNT 1 - MURDER (FIRST DEGREE) (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030 - NOC 50005); COUNTS 2, 4, 5, and 7 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 50201); COUNTS 3 and 6 - ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 200.380 - NOC 50137), as more fully alleged in the charging document attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

My decision to plead guilty is based upon the plea agreement in this case which is as follows:

Both parties stipulate to an aggregate total sentence of twenty-four (24) to sixty-five (65) years in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC), which will be structured as follows: Count 1 - two hundred forty (240) to six hundred (600) months in NDOC; Count 2 - twenty-eight (28) to seventy-two (72) months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Count 3 - forty-eight (48) to one hundred eighty (180) months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Count 4 - twenty-eight (28) to seventy-two (72) months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Count 5

V:\2020\142\63\202014263C-GPA-(ERIC ABASTA \$26\61.DOCX

- twenty-eight (28) to seventy-two (72) months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Count 6 – forty-eight (48) to one hundred eighty (180) months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; and Count 7 - twenty-eight (28) to seventy-two (72) months in NDOC, consecutive to Count 1; Counts 3 through 7 to run concurrent with Count 2. Furthermore, the State agrees to dismiss the criminal case pending in North Las Vegas Township Justice Court against Marianne Abasta Case No. 20CRN001225.

I agree to the forfeiture of any and all weapons or any interest in any weapons seized and/or impounded in connection with the instant case and/or any other case negotiated in whole or in part in conjunction with this plea agreement.

I understand and agree that, if I fail to interview with the Department of Parole and Probation, fail to appear at any subsequent hearings in this case, or an independent magistrate, by affidavit review, confirms probable cause against me for new criminal charges including reckless driving or DUI, but excluding minor traffic violations, the State will have the unqualified right to argue for any legal sentence and term of confinement allowable for the crime(s) to which I am pleading guilty, including the use of any prior convictions I may have to increase my sentence as an habitual criminal to five (5) to twenty (20) years, life without the possibility of parole, life with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years, or a definite twenty-five (25) year term with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years.

Otherwise I am entitled to receive the benefits of these negotiations as stated in this plea agreement.

CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLEA

I understand that by pleading guilty I admit the facts which support all the elements of the offense(s) to which I now plead as set forth in Exhibit "1".

As to Count 1, I understand that as a consequence of my plea of guilty the Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the Nevada State Prison for Life without the possibility of parole OR Life with the possibility of parole with eligibility for parole beginning at twenty (20) years; OR a definite term of fifty (50) years with eligibility for parole beginning at twenty //

(20) years. I understand that I am not eligible for probation for the offense to which I am pleading guilty.

As to Counts 2, 4, 5, and 7, I understand that as a consequence of my plea of guilty the Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of not less than one (1) year and a maximum term of not more than six (6) years (EACH COUNT). The minimum term of imprisonment may not exceed forty percent (40%) of the maximum term of imprisonment. I understand that I may also be fined up to \$5,000.00 (EACH COUNT). I understand that I am eligible for probation for the offense to which I am pleading guilty. I understand that, except as otherwise provided by statute, the question of whether I receive probation is in the discretion of the sentencing judge.

As to Counts 3 and 6, I understand that as a consequence of my plea of guilty the Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of not less than two (2) years and a maximum term of not more than fifteen (15) years (EACH COUNT). The minimum term of imprisonment may not exceed forty percent (40%) of the maximum term of imprisonment. I understand that I am eligible for probation for the offense to which I am pleading guilty. I understand that, except as otherwise provided by statute, the question of whether I receive probation is in the discretion of the sentencing judge.

I understand that the law requires me to pay an Administrative Assessment Fee.

I understand that, if appropriate, I will be ordered to make restitution to the victim of the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty and to the victim of any related offense which is being dismissed or not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement. I will also be ordered to reimburse the State of Nevada for any expenses related to my extradition, if any.

I understand that I must submit to blood and/or saliva tests under the Direction of the Division of Parole and Probation to determine genetic markers and/or secretor status.

I understand that if I am pleading guilty to charges of Burglary, Invasion of the Home, Possession of a Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell, Sale of a Controlled Substance, or Gaming Crimes, for which I have prior felony conviction(s), I will not be eligible for probation and may receive a higher sentencing range.

I understand that if more than one sentence of imprisonment is imposed and I am eligible to serve the sentences concurrently, the sentencing judge has the discretion to order the sentences served concurrently or consecutively.

I understand that information regarding charges not filed, dismissed charges, or charges to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement may be considered by the judge at sentencing.

I have not been promised or guaranteed any particular sentence by anyone. I know that my sentence is to be determined by the Court within the limits prescribed by statute.

I understand that if my attorney or the State of Nevada or both recommend any specific punishment to the Court, the Court is not obligated to accept the recommendation.

I understand that if the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty was committed while I was incarcerated on another charge or while I was on probation or parole that I am not eligible for credit for time served toward the instant offense(s).

I understand that if I am not a United States citizen, any criminal conviction will likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to:

- 1. The removal from the United States through deportation;
- 2. An inability to reenter the United States;
- 3. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency;
- 4. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or
- 5. An indeterminate term of confinement, with the United States Federal Government based on my conviction and immigration status.

Regardless of what I have been told by any attorney, no one can promise me that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact my ability to become a United States citizen and/or a legal resident.

I understand that the Division of Parole and Probation will prepare a report for the sentencing judge prior to sentencing. This report will include matters relevant to the issue of sentencing, including my criminal history. This report may contain hearsay information regarding my background and criminal history. My attorney and I will each have the opportunity to comment on the information contained in the report at the time of sentencing.

Unless the District Attorney has specifically agreed otherwise, the District Attorney may also comment on this report.

WAIVER OF RIGHTS

By entering my plea of guilty, I understand that I am waiving and forever giving up the following rights and privileges:

- 1. The constitutional privilege against self-incrimination, including the right to refuse to testify at trial, in which event the prosecution would not be allowed to comment to the jury about my refusal to testify.
- 2. The constitutional right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury, free of excessive pretrial publicity prejudicial to the defense, at which trial I would be entitled to the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained. At trial the State would bear the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the offense(s) charged.
- 3. The constitutional right to confront and cross-examine any witnesses who would testify against me.
- 4. The constitutional right to subpoena witnesses to testify on my behalf.
- 5. The constitutional right to testify in my own defense.
- 6. The right to appeal the conviction with the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained, unless specifically reserved in writing and agreed upon as provided in NRS 174.035(3). I understand this means I am unconditionally waiving my right to a direct appeal of this conviction, including any challenge based upon reasonable constitutional, jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings as stated in NRS 177.015(4). However, I remain free to challenge my conviction through other post-conviction remedies including a habeas corpus petition pursuant to NRS Chapter 34.

VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

I have discussed the elements of all of the original charge(s) against me with my attorney and I understand the nature of the charge(s) against me.

I understand that the State would have to prove each element of the charge(s) against me at trial.

I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies and circumstances which might be in my favor.

All of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to me by my attorney.

1	I believe that pleading guilty and accepting this plea bargain is in my best interest, and		
2	that a trial would be contrary to my best interest.		
3	I am signing this agreement voluntarily, after consultation with my attorney, and I am		
4	not acting under duress or coercion or by virtue of any promises of leniency, except for those		
5	set forth in this agreement.		
6	I am not now under the influence of any intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance of		
7	other drug which would in any manner impair my ability to comprehend or understand this		
8	agreement or the proceedings surrounding my entry of this plea.		
9	My attorney has answered all my questions regarding this guilty plea agreement and its		
10	consequences to my satisfaction and I am satisfied with the services provided by my attorney.		
11	DATED this day of May, 2021.		
12	12001		
13	ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr.		
14	Defendant		
15	AGREED TO BY:		
16			
17	/s/ MICHAEL R. DICKERSON MICHAEL R. DICKERSON		
18	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013476		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL:

I, the undersigned, as the attorney for the Defendant named herein and as an officer of the court hereby certify that:

- 1. I have fully explained to the Defendant the allegations contained in the charge(s) to which guilty pleas are being entered.
- 2. I have advised the Defendant of the penalties for each charge and the restitution that the Defendant may be ordered to pay.
- 3. I have inquired of Defendant facts concerning Defendant's immigration status and explained to Defendant that if Defendant is not a United States citizen any criminal conviction will most likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to:
 - a. The removal from the United States through deportation;
 - b. An inability to reenter the United States;
 - c. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency;
 - d. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or
 - e. An indeterminate term of confinement, by with United States Federal Government based on the conviction and immigration status.

Moreover, I have explained that regardless of what Defendant may have been told by any attorney, no one can promise Defendant that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact Defendant's ability to become a United States citizen and/or legal resident.

- 4. All pleas of guilty offered by the Defendant pursuant to this agreement are consistent with the facts known to me and are made with my advice to the Defendant.
- 5. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the Defendant:
 - a. Is competent and understands the charges and the consequences of pleading guilty as provided in this agreement,
 - b. Executed this agreement and will enter all guilty pleas pursuant hereto voluntarily, and
 - c. Was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance or other drug at the time I consulted with the Defendant as certified in paragraphs 1 and 2 above

Dated: This ____ day of May, 2021.

Chief Deputy Special Public Defender

20F06387A/lm/GU

1	AIND		
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		
3	MICHAEL R. DICKERSON		
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013476		
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212		
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	DICTRI	OT COLDT	
8		CT COURT NTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO:	C-20-349045-1
11	-vs-	DEPT NO:	XVII
12	ERIC ABASTA,		
13	aka Eric Abasta, Jr., #5010484		COND AMENDED
14	Defendant.	j	NDICTMENT
	STATE OF NEVADA)		
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
15 16	STATE OF NEVADA) ss.		
	ss.	CABASTA, aka Eric	Abasta, Jr., accused by the
16	COUNTY OF CLARK ss.		•
16 17	COUNTY OF CLARK ss. The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC	MURDER (FIRST	DEGREE) (Category A
16 17 18	COUNTY OF CLARK ss. The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of	MURDER (FIRST 5); ASSAULT WITI	DEGREE) (Category A H A DEADLY WEAPON
16 17 18 19	COUNTY OF CLARK The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030 - NOC 5000	MURDER (FIRST 5); ASSAULT WITI 50201); and ROBBE	DEGREE) (Category A H A DEADLY WEAPON RY (Category B Felony -
16 17 18 19 20	COUNTY OF CLARK The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030 - NOC 5000 (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 5	MURDER (FIRST 5); ASSAULT WITH 50201); and ROBBE and within the County	DEGREE) (Category A H A DEADLY WEAPON RY (Category B Felony -
16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNTY OF CLARK The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030 - NOC 5000 (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 500.000 NRS 200.380 - NOC 50137), committed at a	MURDER (FIRST 5); ASSAULT WITH 50201); and ROBBE and within the County	DEGREE) (Category A H A DEADLY WEAPON RY (Category B Felony -
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNTY OF CLARK The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030 - NOC 5000 (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 5 NRS 200.380 - NOC 50137), committed at a on or between January 5, 2020, and March 24	MURDER (FIRST 5); ASSAULT WITH 50201); and ROBBE and within the County 4, 2020, as follows:	DEGREE) (Category A H A DEADLY WEAPON RY (Category B Felony - of Clark, State of Nevada,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COUNTY OF CLARK The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030 - NOC 5000 (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 5 NRS 200.380 - NOC 50137), committed at a on or between January 5, 2020, and March 24 COUNT 1 - MURDER (FIRST DEGREE)	MURDER (FIRST 5); ASSAULT WITH 50201); and ROBBE and within the County 4, 2020, as follows: Abasta, Jr. did on on	DEGREE) (Category A H A DEADLY WEAPON RY (Category B Felony - of Clark, State of Nevada,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COUNTY OF CLARK The Defendant(s) above named, ERIC Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030 - NOC 5000 (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 5000 NRS 200.380 - NOC 50137), committed at a on or between January 5, 2020, and March 24 COUNT 1 - MURDER (FIRST DEGREE) Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric	MURDER (FIRST 5); ASSAULT WITH 50201); and ROBBE and within the County 4, 2020, as follows: Abasta, Jr. did on or lice aforethought, kill	DEGREE) (Category A H A DEADLY WEAPON RY (Category B Felony - of Clark, State of Nevada, r about January 14, 2020, KEVIN FARNSWORTH,

premeditated and (2) committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery.

11

14

15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

COUNT 2 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about January 5, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: ISIAH WASHINGTON, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing an unknown make and/or model firearm at the said ISIAH WASHINGTON.

COUNT 3 - ROBBERY

Defendants ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr., did on or about January 26, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: U.S. Currency, a telephone, identification and a Mazda bearing Nevada License No. 890ZFW, from the person of KAREN SALAZAR and TRISTAN HIMLIN, or in her presence, without the consent and against the will of KAREN SALAZAR and TRISTAN HIMLIN, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to her person, the person of a member of her family, or of anyone in her company at the time of the robbery, defendant using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape; the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant, JAMES WAYLON ARNEY, aka Waylan Arney, Jr., and J.G. aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 4 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about February 2, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use

physical force against another person, to wit: PASQUAL ABASTA, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by brandishing said firearm in the said PASQUAL ABASTA'S presence while cocking it and walking towards him.

COUNT 5 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about March 15, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: PAVEL ALLING-PENA, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a vehicle, by driving said vehicle at the said PAVEL ALLING-PENA, attempting to strike him.

COUNT 6 - ROBBERY

Defendant ERIC ABASTA, aka Eric Abasta, Jr. did on or about March 23, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: a cellular telephone, from the person of ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, or in his presence, without the consent and against the will of ERNESTO SANTANA-ROSAS, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person, the person of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery, Defendants using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape; the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and M.A. acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 7 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ERIC ABASTA did on or about March 24, 2020, willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1

bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: MATTHEW WARREN and KATELYN MENDES, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by pointing said firearm at the said MATTHEW WARREN and KATELYN MENDES; the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and unnamed person(s) acting in concert throughout.

DATED this 11th day of May, 2021.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/MICHAEL R. DICKERSON
MICHAEL R. DICKERSON
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #013476

19CGJ051A-C/C348213(20F06387A-B)/20F02017A-B/20F06403X/C348152(20F07884X)/20F07202X/ed/lm/GU LVMPD EV#200300114309; 200300108165; 200100022920 CCPP EV# 20010124150 HPD EV# 2000988 (TK3)

Electronically Filed 9/7/2021 2:37 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-20-349045-1 9 DEPT. XVII Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 ERIC ABASTA, JR. 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CRISTINA D. SILVA, 14 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 FRIDAY, JUNE 25, 2021 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: **SENTENCING** 17 18 **APPEARANCES ON PAGE 2:** 19 20 21 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: KRISTINE SANTI, COURT RECORDER 25

000537

Case Number: C-20-349045-1

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the State:	MICHAEL DICKERSON, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney
4	For the Defendant:	ROBERT ARROYO, ESQ.
5		TEGAN C. MACHNICH, ESQ. Chief Deputy Special Public Defenders
6		[Appearing via videoconference]
7	ALSO PRESENT: Victim Speakers:	JAMES FARNSWORTH
8	·	COREY FARNSWORTH DEBRA FARNSWORTH
9		DEDICT / ICHOWOTCH
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	İ	

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Friday, June 25, 2021
2	
3	[Case called at 9:14 a.m.]
4	THE COURT: C349045, State of Nevada versus Eric Abasta
5	Jr.
6	MR. DICKERSON: Good morning, Your Honor, Mike
7	Dickerson on behalf of the State.
8	THE COURT: Good morning. Who is present on behalf of
9	Mr. Abasta?
10	MR. ARROYO: Good morning, Your Honor, Robert Arroyo
11	and Tegan Machnich for Eric Abasta.
12	THE COURT: All right and good morning to you as well. And
13	Mr. Abasta is present in custody.
14	MR. DICKERSON: And, Your Honor, we have family for the
15	deceased victim in this case, Kevin Farnsworth, outside, three victim
16	speakers included. Can I they come in at this time?
17	THE COURT: They may.
18	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: All right. We'll get started here in a moment.
20	Three victim speakers are coming in.
21	Good morning. Go ahead and have a seat.
22	MR. DICKERSON: Three of them will be speaking. Not all of
23	them, I promise.
24	THE COURT: All right. Good morning. Go ahead and have a
25	seat. I'm Judge Silva. I'm will be sentencing Mr. Abasta this morning.
l	

We're going to go ahead and get started. I'm going to let everyone know how this process is going to go. I'm going to first talk to Mr. Abasta's attorneys. Then I'm going to talk to Mr. Abasta briefly. I'll speak to the State. I will then return to talking to Mr. Abasta. He can make a statement if he would like to. I will then talk to Mr. Abasta's attorney's again regarding sentencing. And last to present this morning will be the victim speakers. And you can choose whatever order you want to go in. You are welcome to either stand at the table, you're welcome to stand next to Mr. Dickerson or you're welcome to stand at the podium, the choice is yours.

And we'll go ahead and get started at this time. So let me just confirm that all parties are ready to proceed. Is that correct, counsel?

MR. DICKERSON: State is ready to proceed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Arroyo, Ms. Machnich?

MR. ARROYO: Your Honor, there was an issue with restitution. The State provided me with some documentation. I've been trying to go through it. There seems to be some duplicate charges. So I'm just trying to go through and make sure the numbers add up.

THE COURT: That's fine. So --

MR. ARROYO: So I am trying to get that done.

THE COURT: -- to the extent that we -- you are unable to resolve any discrepancies before the end of sentencing here today, I'll set this for a status check regarding restitution to finalize any outstanding questions or concerns.

MR. DICKERSON: Fine with the State.

1	MR. ARROYO: Sounds good. Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. So let me start again with counsel for
3	Defendant. Have you reviewed the Presentence Investigation Report
4	dated June 4 th , 2021?
5	MR. ARROYO: We have, Your Honor, and Mr. Abasta has a
6	copy.
7	THE COURT: All right any issues in there or anything that
8	needs to be changed or brought to my attention?
9	MR. ARROYO: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11	And good morning, Mr. Abasta, can you hear me okay?
12	MR. ARROYO: Yes.
13	THE COURT: All right. Have you had an opportunity to
14	review that Presentence Investigation Report or has someone reviewed
15	it with you?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I got it.
17	THE COURT: All right. Anything that needs to be changed or
18	brought to my attention?
19	THE DEFENDANT: No, it's fine.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
21	I'm going to turn back to the State at this time. I understand
22	that pursuant to negotiations the parties are stipulating to a certain term.
23	Is that State standing by that negotiation?
24	MR. DICKERSON: We are, Your Honor. We stipulated to the
25	total aggregate of the total sentence of 24 to 65 years in the Nevada

Department of Corrections. That came after settlement conference in this case with consultation with the victims and the victim's families. As far as that, we do submit as far as abiding by those negotiations today. If you'd like to hear anything further about just a brief background of the case, I'd be happy to give that to you. But I am asking you to follow the negotiations.

THE COURT: All right. And I did read the summary of the facts and based on the representation here the victims were involved with the settlement conference, is that correct?

MR. DICKERSON: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'm glad to hear that. I'm certainly inclined to follow the negotiations in light of the fact that this is a settlement case and the victims were heard as part of that settlement conference. So thank you, I'm good.

I'm going to go ahead and turn back to Mr. Abasta. Mr. Abasta, you can make a statement this morning, but you don't have to. Would you like to make a statement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't got nothing to say.

THE COURT: All right. No problem. Your attorneys can speak on your behalf. I'll hear from your attorneys at this time.

MR. ARROYO: Your Honor, just briefly I would just say that Mr. Abasta has taken responsibility today. There were two codefendants who got substantially less time, one them is on the street, one of them will be on the street soon. So Mr. Abasta has taken responsibility for his actions. He is doing a lengthy prison term, so we

1	would ask that you follow the negotiations as well.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you for that.
3	All right. Well this is the time for the victim speakers and Mr.
4	Dickerson, whoever would like to speak first can come up.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes. So the speakers are going to be
6	Kevin Farnsworth's family. First we're going to call his brother, James
7	Farnsworth.
8	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Farnsworth. And like I
9	said, you're welcome stand next to Mr. Dickerson. You can stand at that
10	table or you can some up to the podium, wherever you're most
11	comfortable.
12	THE RECORDER: Close to a microphone, Judge.
13	THE COURT: Yeah.
14	All right, we're going to swear you in. It's part of a standard
15	process for anyone who speaks before the Court, okay.
16	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Okay.
17	JAMES FARNSWORTH
18	[having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn,
19	testified as follows:]
20	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please state and spell your first
21	and last name for the record.
22	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: James, J-A-M-E-S, Farnsworth, F-
23	A-R-N-S-W-O-R-T-H.
24	THE COURT: All right, and good morning to you.
25	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Good morning.

THE COURT: If you ever need a moment to take a break you're welcome to do so and just talk to me.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Okay.

THE COURT: All right, when you're ready.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: All right. Thank you, Judge Silva, for being here this morning and your time in listening to the influence into the great man that Kevin Farnsworth was.

Kevin was my younger brother with 5 years between us.

Growing up we shared a room until I was about 13. But our rooms were still right next to each other. When we were able to separate, because of the age gap, at the time of the life that we were in we were not very close in childhood but we begun to become friends about the time that I was 16 and 17. I was working a part-time job after school at a local grocery store and one thing that I would do is I started bringing home Little Caesar Pizza and that brought him around. And we would play videogames together and begun bonding and sharing in those life experiences together. This became something that we would do on a continual basis. It would -- we'd get together, we'd get Little Caesar's Pizza and we'd play videogames or watch movies.

When I was about 19 years old I would have left home to serve a mission for the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints. At the beginning of that service, I was taken to Provo, Utah, the Missionary Training Center and I had to say goodbye to my family who I would not see for the next two years. I would only be able to email once a week and occasionally write letters. But I would not be able to see them for

the next two years. As we parted to say our goodbyes, one was missing. Kevin was hiding behind my older sister Jennifer, because he was crying very hard. And it was in that moment that I realized how much I meant to him. And it in turn filled a spot in my heart, one that would be held by him for the remainder of his life.

Over the next two years as I served my church, I would write Kevin learning more about him and we continued to be close. I helped him, through encouragement, to pursue his Eagle Scout award, which he waited until I got home from my service to receive that award so that we could be there together.

Also when I came home from my mission, because Kevin had grown physically while I was gone, he assumed because he hadn't seen me that he was finally going to be big enough that we could wrestle and he'd have a chance. Needless to say, I've always been about twice Kevin's size. It's just the nature of us and so it came time that we could finally have this wrestling match and Kevin, with all his might tried to move me and he couldn't. And I flipped him one time, put him on the ground and we never wrestled ever again. Because he was just -- I mean, I tried to go antagonize him a couple times, but he would never participate because he knew he didn't have a chance.

But we loved each other and -- anyway. I would have shortly after getting back from LDS mission, would have gotten married to my first wife and begun working two jobs. One of those jobs was at KFC with Kevin. In that job we would have shared many times. Because Kevin had worked there longer, he was my boss and so that was a little

bit different of a dynamic. But occasionally we would throw mashed potatoes at each other and soapy water or other things just to banter one another. And we don't -- we would always laugh.

One of the things that Kevin really loved in life was cars. Shortly after being done at KFC I would have gotten a job at an Acura dealership up in Salt Lake City, which was able to provide enough that I could quit the two jobs and just have the one. And Kevin thought it was the most wonderful thing in the world that I worked at Acura and he would often come and visit. He loved coming to the storeroom to be able to be there with the different luxury vehicles that were there.

After a short time, I would have been able to move up as a -to a sales associate from the phone receptionist. And one of the perks
that came with having a sales associate position is I got to lease a
vehicle and the dealership paid for most of it. So I got a brand new
Acura TSX. And it was a lot of fun to drive and Kevin and I had an
exciting time riding in that.

I would shortly thereafter, I would have gone through a divorce with my first wife which was a very difficult time. In so doing, Kevin was the only one that was in the courtroom at the time of that proceeding. And it was two days later that Kevin would have left himself on the mission for the church to Scotland and Ireland. And I always felt like that was a huge blessing that I got to have my brother there with me before he parted ways.

While Kevin was gone though, I would have met my current wife and she and I quickly fell in love. When we made the choice that

we would get married, we made sure that the plans were for after Kevin had completed his mission as I would have no one else be my best man. That was Kevin's title. Kevin came home on November 5th. My wife and I got married on November 14th. He continued to be very involved in our lives. He was my best friend. My wife and Kevin were also very close because Kevin was just a very genuine person. He made everybody he encountered with would feel as if they were the most special person on earth.

In September of 2017 my wife and I would have planned a trip to Orlando Florida to experience Universal Studios and Disney World. At the time we had my son who was 9 months old. Knowing that we would need to take turns on the ride we decided that we needed to invite somebody else to be able to child swap with. And Kevin eagerly jumped at that opportunity. So for the duration of that trip, two adults were able to go on the rides together while one of us stayed back with the 9 month old. And those will be cherished memories for the rest of my life and it's something that my wife and I have talked about again and again since his passing. It was a trip full of laughter. There's a lot of one-liners that we still have from that trip. It was shortly thereafter that Kevin would have moved to Las Vegas. He was always willing to talk on the phone and catch up on things.

On January 14th, 2020, I went to work but something felt off and I didn't know why. So I decided to take the day off. And I would have called and talked to Kevin which was our final conversation and I'm grateful that I was able to speak with him. The night before -- we, my

wife and I had been talking about wanting to go back to Orlando since we had had another child in that time and wanted to experience those same experiences. Amongst our conversation between Kevin and I, we would have talked about making those plans to be able to go that fall. I wish now that I would have known that this was the last time that I would get to talk to him, but I'm grateful that I got to talk to him that day.

I know -- I knew he loved -- I'm sorry. I know he knew I loved him, but I was not ready to let him go. I was shocked to be woken up that night and told by my sister that she believed that he had been shot. It didn't seem real as I was trying to wake up. It felt like it was a very bad dream. My wife and I would have left our kids with her parents and we drove to my parents. It was shortly after arriving that it was confirm by -- over the phone by the Sheriff's Department that Kevin had been murdered. This was by far the most difficult thing I've ever had to face.

Kevin, as I have said, was my best friend. He was the one that I could go or would call when life got overwhelming and I needed to talk to somebody that would not judge me or would not stab me in the back. He was so easy to talk to and confide in. I will never be able to [indiscernible] hole that's in my heart. He has left a legacy even with the next generation in our family. He loved my kids along with my sister's kids as well. He called his nieces and nephews the cutest humans on the planet and he had a special relationship with all of them.

My children still today say hi to Uncle Kevin whenever we turn on a memorial crystal we had made after he passed. They still tell him about their day and how much they love him. In fact, earlier this week

on Monday, my wife unexpectedly went into labor with our third child at 32 weeks. My son, who my wife and I chose to name after Kevin was born this week and I feel that Kevin, my brother had a lot to do with making the events of that day go smoothly amongst the chaos. So I named my son Kevin Wyatt after Kevin.

Kevin's impact on the world was huge. At his viewing we stayed for a few hours after it was ended as people continued to come in and share with our loss and to convey their loss they felt within their hearts. The following day at his funeral, many who had not been able to get in the night before tried to get in to share in their grief. As I heard the stories from many people, it became clear that Kevin's reach was far and wide. He touched many lives. People from all over the world came to mourn his passing. He was a shining light wherever he went. I'm grateful that I knew him and that I got to share so much of my life with him and I hope to live my life in a way to be able to see him again in the afterlife. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Congratulations on your new baby.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you.

THE COURT: And I hope he's healthy.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you.

THE COURT: And what a wonderful legacy.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yeah, baby's doing as good as can

be.

THE COURT: Good. I'm glad to hear that.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you.

1	THE COURT: Truly wonderful.		
2	MR. DICKERSON: We'll next call Kevin's father, Cory		
3	Farnsworth.		
4	THE COURT: All right. Corey Farnsworth. Good morning.		
5	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Good morning.		
6	THE COURT: We're going to swear you in as well.		
7	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.		
8	COREY FARNSWORTH		
9	[having been called as a victim witness and being first duly sworn,		
10	testified as follows:]		
11	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please state and spell your first		
12	and last name for the record.		
13	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: My name is Corey. May I remove		
14	this, Your Honor?		
15	THE COURT: You may are you vaccinated?		
16	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I am not.		
17	THE COURT: You're not vaccinated. So pursuant to court		
18	policy you need to keep it on. I apologize. I know it's challenging. Take		
19	your time and if you need to take a break. Because I know I have to		
20	wear it too. Feel free to do that okay?		
21	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: No, apologies necessary, but thank		
22	you.		
23	THE COURT: Well, you know, I think we're all over 2020. But		
24	here we are in mid-2021 still going through it.		
25	THE CLERK: Can you spell your name?		

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: My name, first name is Corey, spelled C--O-R-E-Y, last name Farnsworth, F-A-R-N-S-W-O-R-T-H.

THE COURT: Thank you. And thank you for being present this morning. When you're ready, you can talk to me.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you. And I wish to begin my remarks by expressing a deep thanks to you personally for allowing us as family members to come in and express our thoughts and feelings to you. I know that that's not 100% policy across the board and that you are in charge and we appreciate your generosity.

THE COURT: While I appreciate that, I have to say we have to give thanks. We have three branches of the government, right. And so our legislatures -- legislative here at our legislature here in Nevada saw it fit that victims have a right to come in and speak, which I think is appropriate, right, because one of the things I need to consider is the impact on the victims. And so, absolutely, I'll let you in and that is part of my policy it's also part of the law and we're -- we live in a great country that we can let that happen, so but thank you for the thanks. I thank you. So I can hear your words and hear how this impacted your family.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you. Here comes a parental point of view. This is very difficult for us to do obviously being in a courtroom setting which is unusual for us, but also the reason for which we are gathered is difficult for us. And I appreciate very much my son and my wife being willing to come forward and share their thoughts because of the challenge that's there.

Perhaps as you've been able to tell, we are a very close knit

family. Even us as parents with our children are in contact almost daily with our children, Kevin's siblings have been very close throughout the years. They've been the best of friends throughout their lives. They've grown up -- as they have grown up into adulthood those bonds have become much stronger and deeper.

Being single, Kevin had the unique opportunity and position that allowed him to visit and associate with his siblings on a regular basis. His older sister had previously been through a divorce and she and Kevin spent a lot of time talking on the phone. He was her outlet and go to person. She was the one on the phone with him the night that he was killed. Kevin was her lifeline and to have this valuable resource taken from her caused -- has caused enormous trauma. Professional counseling has been able to help somewhat, but the challenges are deep and continue for her. The toll on our little family has been incalculable.

I would like to share a few more personal thoughts and insights for myself. I've had the privilege you just heard of our son's experience this week. As the father I've had the unique privilege and opportunity to be present when each of our children were born. Each was special but I was affected differently by Kevin's birth. All of the deliveries are beautiful and a joyous experience. But there was just something different as Kevin entered the world. It caught me by surprise and caused heavy emotion within me. I've struggled to find the words to accurately describe what I felt as it was a feeling. it was something very profound and different than I had experienced with the previous three. It

was very special.

As a young boy Kevin was always on his bike riding through the neighborhood, visiting with the neighbors. He knew everyone and everyone knew him, even the adults. It wasn't uncommon to talk with the neighbor and have them say, we sure enjoy our visit from Kevin this week or Kevin stopped by to visit us this week. He seemed to know everything that was going on in the neighborhood.

Kevin loved celebrations and activities, but Christmas was by far his favorite. So much so that when he --that he wasn't satisfied with having just a family Christmas tree. He wanted his own -- as a young boy he saved his money and bought himself a Christmas tree and that he would decorate every year. And it wasn't just the Christmas tree that got decorated. His whole entire bedroom was decorated top to bottom with lights and all kinds of Christmas decorations. His excitement was contagious and it spread into us as family members and helped us catch the Christmas spirit each year. This past Christmas we found a huge hole as he was not there and I guess it will just be that way from this point moving forward.

Kevin was very responsible. When he came of age to obtain his driver's license he wasn't satisfied with the old jalopy form of transportation we as parents were willing to provide. So he got a job working part time after school to pay for a car. His job was, as you have just heard, was in the fast food industry. He became a team lead and was very instrumental in the success of that location. There were many people that we found out came to that location just specifically for him,

knowing that he was there because of the service he provided. He was a people person and he knew how to make people feel important.

When he turned 19 he also volunteered to spend two years as a missionary for our church. He served in both Scotland and Ireland during that timeframe. He touched and positively influenced the lives of many, many people. We continue to receive messages from people in that location. The correspondence sharing with us memories and about something special he did to care for them during the time that he was there.

I would like to share just a little bit of more personal experience, something that came to me during his funeral. There was a young man named Walton who came. He traveled the 450 miles one way to attend Kevin's funeral and he told me he could not let the opportunity go by without honoring Kevin for what he did for him personally. Walton shared with me that many months earlier his mother, Walton's mother, had been put in the hospital and because of her condition had to stay for an extended period. And following the hospital stay had to be transferred to a long care -- long-term care facility.

Walton is a young man of very simple means. His only possession is a bicycle. Walton because of the distance involved from where he lives as to where his mother was located, visiting her was very difficult. Walton told me that when Kevin found out about the situation his immediate response was, Walton, let's go see your mom. And he drove her there -- drove him there to visit her. And that that Kevin continued regularly thereafter to drive Walton to see his mother at the

hospital.

He, Walton, wasn't quite sure at the time he shared this story with me what the future held for him and when he was going to be able to see his mother again and deeply appreciated all the care and generosity shown by Kevin. For Walton himself to attend Kevin's funeral was in itself a miracle as he had to make special arrangements and favors to coordinate the travel of that distance to and fro. To me this was just one example of the depth of love and appreciation that people have for our son, Kevin and how he went out of his way to show that love -- his love and help for them. Kevin's death has not only devastated our family, but has ruined the lives of many others.

Kevin had a deep love for our country. He always did. But it seemed to sink deeper and more profound from the years -- the two years he spent away as a missionary. When he returned home from living away he found a small blanket of the United States flag and put that on his bed and that was there at all times. He also chose to hang a flag separately of -- he also chose to hang a flag of the United States in his room. And he did so because for him it was a constant reminder of the blessing it was to live in our great country and something that he didn't take for granted.

The last two lines of a hymn seem relevant this morning.

They say let the lower lights be burning, send a gleam across the wave.

Some poor fainting struggling seaman you may rescue, you may save.

Imagine in the beginning of my remarks about the something special that I felt when Kevin was born. I think in all that's gone on right now that

6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

something special was an indication of the light he was going to be to the world throughout his life by possessing a special ability to touch others and to help others navigate some of the tides and currents of life. He would be, as it were, a light upon the shore, helping to rescue, helping to save as he assisted those around him getting through life's challenges and difficulties.

The apparent positive influence he has had on so many has been quite amazing to realize the apparent positive influence he has had on so -- on people all over the world has been remarkable. As parents we had no idea the magnitude of his positive impact. He truly had a special way of making everyone feel as if they were his favorite.

Having Kevin taken from us has caused pain beyond description and a grief deeper than we thought possible. We are reminded daily that he's no longer with us. But we choose to help him live on by allowing his life to be an example and working to be more -for us to be more kind to those around us and helping others on their way.

And again on behalf of my family and me we express thanks to you for allowing us into your courtroom today to speak about him and to share some of our thoughts. I am grateful for my dear son. He has made me a much, much better person. He truly was wonderful and outstanding. And I truly am proud to call him my son. And I thank you for letting us be here.

THE COURT: Thank you so much. Those are truly wonderful words and someone with that kind of life just because they're not here

1	doesn't mean they're not here.		
2	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Exactly. We continue to feel		
3	influence even now.		
4	THE COURT: And through your words and the words of you		
5	family you can feel that. Thank you.		
6	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you.		
7	MR. DICKERSON: Lastly, Your Honor, we'll call Kevin's		
8	mother Debra Farnsworth.		
9	THE COURT: Okay. Debra.		
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, they gonna have a ton of		
11	people.		
12	MR. ARROYO: Your Honor, can I have permission for Mr.		
13	Abasta to sit?		
14	THE COURT: Yeah, that's perfectly fine, yes. Thank you.		
15	MR. ARROYO: Sir, you can sit if you need to.		
16	THE COURT: One second before we swear you in, okay.		
17	They're getting a chair. Try and minimize some noise in the		
18	background. Okay. All right, I think we're ready.		
19	He can sit if he would like. And we're going to go ahead and		
20	continue here.		
21	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.		
22	DEBRA FARNSWORTH		
23	[having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn,		
24	testified as follows:]		
25	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please state and spell your first		

and last name for the record.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: My first name is Debra, D-E-B-RA and Farnsworth.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. When you're ready and like everyone else, if you need to take a break or you need a moment, you go ahead and do that okay.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you.

I too would like to say good morning, Judge, and thank you for allowing us to be here. As you've been able to hear this morning, I've had the privilege of being the mother of four beautiful children. Jennifer is our oldest, James is our second. Thank you. This is hard to follow Corey and James. Melanie is my third and Kevin was our baby. And being a mom I learned from my father to tell my children every day how much I love them and how special they were and that there wasn't anything in life they couldn't accomplish by just setting their mind to be able to do it.

Kevin was a very busy little boy. He made me a better mom. He was always so bus, like he was into one thing and on to ten more and keeping track of him sometimes was challenging. He was full of energy. He had so much energy. And one of Corey's clients nicknamed him Mr. Energy.

And I know that I was necessarily perfect all the time. When I did something or said something or didn't handle it in the best way, I would always apologize to my children and tell them I was sorry. Losing Kevin has been extremely devastating to our family. All of my children

separation and the heartache that this has caused, a senseless, senseless act. So because my girls emotionally weren't able to come I thought that it would be nice to share some of their thoughts with you and --

have had to have therapy of some kind to deal with the grief and

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hell no.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: -- our daughter Jennifer,

Thanksgiving time this last year, our community did I'm Grateful For, for about a week or ten days of writing things they're grateful for on Facebook.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: And this is what Jennifer wrote. And Jennifer was the one that was talking to Kevin on the phone at the time this happened. Jennifer says as I have grown older and become a parent myself I have realized how much my parents sacrificed for me and my siblings over the years. They gave us a safe loving environment to grow up in and all the dreaded teen building activities over the years paid off, because I really like spending time with my siblings. My parents took us on fun family vacations near and far and those are some of the best memories. They taught us the gospel of Jesus Christ and they showed us how to be good people. They took me and my boys in when we needed a place to live and they are wonderful grandparents. Today I give thanks for goodly parents who love and support and encourage me, Jennifer.

And then I chose to share from Melanie. Melanie would have

posted this on Facebook January 14th this year when it had been a year that this tragic -- tragedy happened. And just to let you know she addressed it -- all of my children gave Kevin nicknames out of love. And my daughter Melanie called him Chummy [phonetic], and I'll explain that in a minute. But this is what Melanie had to say on the year anniversary of Kevin's death. She said I can't believe it's been a year already that you were called home to heaven. I miss you dearly every day. But I am very grateful for the love you continue to share with me from the other side of the veil. Thank you for continuing to take good care of me and make me laugh. I'm so grateful for all the good memories we have together and for your ability to remind me of them. I can't wait to hug you and see you again. Until then, thank you for being one of my guardian angles watching over me and many others. You are the best. Love always, Melon. And her name is Melanie, but Melon was what Kevin called her. That was his nickname.

So Jennifer, her nickname for Kevin was Culley [phonetic] because when he was a little boy he couldn't pronounce his Rs and so he would say my name is Kevin Culley. And so Jennifer called him Culley. And James called him the Chi. I don't really know why. But he was the Chi for James. And like I said Melanie called him Chummy. And so the thing that was unique about Kevin was that whoever he was with, he had a way of making them feel like they were the most important person ever.

And Jennifer and Kevin whenever they would get together enjoyed watching romantic comedy movies together. And when James

and Kevin would get together they would watch science fiction, the Lord of the Rings, those types of movies they really enjoyed. And my Melanie daughter, I can still see and hear the two of them in the next room laughing as they watched the reruns of Reba and how funny she was.

Corey mentioned that Kevin bought his own car when he was 16. He came to me one day. The car that we had for the kids to drive was a Ford Tempo, 1990. And Kevin was born in '93. And so he came to me and he said, Mom, do you realize that the Tempo is older than me. And I said yes. And he said I can't drive a car older than me. And I said well, you know, then you're just going to I guess have to get your own car. And so that was what he started out to do. He didn't feel like Melanie would share the car with him too much. By then Jennifer and James had their own vehicles.

But he came back to me and showed me how much money he made, very responsible. This is how much of a car payment I can handle and it's over five years. And I said to him, well sweetheart you're going to serve a mission for our church, so in reality you only have three years to pay for that car. So he left and he came back and had worked out a schedule where he could afford to pay for it for three years. And like my husband said he, you know, went to work and in the beginning had two jobs and just the one to carry out. And he completely paid or that car before he left on his mission and sold it. So he was very, very resourceful.

It's been mentioned that Kevin was an Eagle Scout. And Kevin did all of the work and got his Eagle Scout a year before his

brother James was due to come home from his mission. But he didn't want to receive his Eagle Scout without his brother. So he waited a year for that award to be presented to him, so that his brother could be there.

Kevin and I took a lot of trips together and we went back and forth to St. George a lot. It was one of our favorite places to hang out. And I went to Vegas with him on another one. We also went to California and enjoyed the beach the way that we so much enjoyed the beach. And Kevin and I texted or talked every single day, maybe occasionally we might skip a day or something. But generally speaking we always were in communication with each other. The day that Kevin died I actually talked to him, I texted him and I also Facetimed him. Because he was my -- I had something going on with my phone. I needed his help with and he's the one who could always help me with that.

And Corey mentioned Kevin loving the holidays, the Fourth of July. Like he said, he loved our American flag and for what it stood for. But Christmas was definitely his favorite holiday. And when he was a little boy he told me he wanted to have a Christmas tree in his own bedroom. And I said okay, you need to save your allowance money, so that you can, you know, buy it. And so he did. He diligently saved. And of course he didn't save enough. Mom and dad had to, you know, pitch in at the end. But he worked so hard for that tree and was so excited.

And his room was delightful. Rounding the corner in the hallway where James and Kevin's bedrooms were, Kevin had light up and around the door frame and in the room up and around the door

frame and the baseboard and the windows. And he had all decorations everywhere. It was just decked out. And that was just Kevin.

I had the opportunity to be with Kevin his last Christmas.

Corey and I have two homes and one of our homes is in St. George.

Kevin's work didn't give him enough time to be able to get away to go up north. So he and I were together and we did Facetime with family so we were able to be a part of them that way. But at the end of the Christmas day, we were sitting around the couch and the only lights in the room that were one were the Christmas tree and he made a comment about how beautiful they were and how much he loves the Christmas lights.

And I'm so grateful that I had that time with him.

I am the last one that got to spend time with Kevin. On Sunday the 12th, I came from St. George and Kevin from Henderson and we met in Mesquite and had brunch and spent the day together. And that was the last time I was with Kevin.

It's been mentioned about Kevin's funeral. Our whole family spoke and I was very proud of the good job that my kids did at such a hard time and Corey and I. And people made contact with us or came from Scotland, Ireland, France, Australia, from North Carolina to California. Kevin, it was amazing, amazing to us, the love that we received from people in support in this difficult time and how much he did for people in sharing the light that he had.

I wanted to show this to you. This is our son, Kevin and this is a book that our daughter, Jennifer made. I believe it was probably part of her therapy. But inside there are pictures of Kevin and posts that

people made on Facebook and so you can see what a --

THE COURT: What a great memory.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: -- a wonderful young man he was.

One of my neighbors, her name is Linda. She has a son that lives in Henderson. They came down to see her grandbaby within a month or so after the murder happened. And so she went to the place where it happened and there was a memorial that was set up there on the sidewalk for several months honoring Kevin. And she took the time to call the Henderson police and she told them you need to find out who did this. You need to get him off the streets. And you need to know that our community is mourning for the loss of Kevin. He was such a dynamic young man. When she called and told me that had been --

Kevin dated a young woman in high school. They worked together. Her name was Kayla Christiansen and Kayla was back east. Her husband was going to school. And one of their common friends, Christian would have called her to tell her of Kevin's death. And she described it to me that she was standing in her kitchen frying hamburger when the phone call came in and Christian told her that Kevin had been murdered. And she said I could not believe it. And she said I slid down the stove onto the floor and just cried. I share this with you to let you the dynamic of the love that people have for my son.

Another thing that I think that I think is worthy for you to know is because I was in St. George, I drove here that night hoping to find my son wounded and that I could take care of him and nurse him back to health, but that was not what I found. That next day Corey flew into

Vegas and we started taking care of preparations that we had to, because of what had just happened to our son. And it was about noon and I realized that I hadn't called Corey -- or Kevin's work. And so we stopped in the parking lot there and I took a minute and I asked for Amber, because I knew that was his manager and they told me that she wasn't there but that Damian was. And so I identified myself to Damian who I was. And he said oh yeah, I've been calling Kev all morning. It just goes to voicemail. We don't know why he hasn't shown up for his shift. And I said well he was murdered last night. And you should have heard him on the other end of the phone just fall apart.

And the thing that I was so touched by is that Kevin worked at a bank. They waited on the customers that were there in the bank. They closed the door and they locked it, after they heard that Kevin had been murdered. And the bank was closed for an hour or so waiting for other employees from other banks to come and they worked the shifts and all of the employees that Kevin worked with were taken into a conference room and for a couple of weeks instead of working they had therapy sessions to be able to be taught how to deal with this kind of grief. He just had a very, very, very strong love for people and was so happy and his happiness just always [noise from videoconference].

Corey and I have been married for 38 years, a little bit over that. And I want you to know that when I married my husband I gave him my whole heart. I am so blessed. But when I think of my heart, Corey has the whole of it. And I have four children and each of them have a fourth of it. My heart where Kevin was has been blasted out. It's

shredded. It's just -- hold on -- that's what I get to deal with every day. But I also feel like it wouldn't be fair to not to acknowledge from which my blessings come from heaven. Because at the same time I feel like I have a cocoon wrapped around me from my savior with his atonement and knowing that my son is still my son and that after this life I can be with him again.

In closing I would like to share this poem that says, in memory of all those who left us too soon we remember you in the morning, at night, in the song, a smell, a place, and when we look at the stars. You will always be with us.

Thank you for letting me have this time.

THE COURT: Thank you so much. I can feel the love of a mom and it's incredible.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: It's been a privilege. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. I want to thank the victim speakers again for coming in this morning. I know it is very difficult to come in to put emotions to words and try and convey the impact it had on your family and friends. But I hope at a minimum it is cathartic and brings you some level of closure. Of course I recognize they'll be never full closure. I do find that often times, victims will say that it was important to come in and to say those things because you need to be heard. And so I thank you for that.

I always hope that his legacy helps you -- by carrying on his legacy and his positivity and his energy, which is very clear from all the speakers that I heard from today and I'll note I also read a couple of

letters that came through on his behalf, that he was a positive energy and how amazing that he has this network of family and friends who love him and can carry that legacy on. That isn't always the case. And so that in itself is truly wonderful. And there's, you know, very difficult to put into words how to kind of move forward from here. But I certainly hope that in some degree that you can, because this ends this chapter of that part of your life. Certainly you'll carry the memory on and the trauma with you.

I'm also really glad to hear that you are all in therapy, absolutely necessary. I think often times people don't recognize the loss of one person, but it's not just the loss of one person, right. It's the loss of that person and everyone they touched, their lives are forever changed and so I'm really glad to hear that. Certainly would have wished certainly something different for you and your family. But I'm grateful that you have each other and may that help carry you through as well.

So, Mr. Abasta, we're going to go ahead and get started here. In accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada, I hereby adjudge you guilty of Count 1, murder in the first degree, which is a category A felony, in violation of NRS 200.010 and 200.030. I also adjudge you guilty of Counts 2, 4, 5, and 7, which is assault with a deadly weapon, which is a category B felony, in violation of 200.471. And I adjudge you guilty of counts 3 and 6, robbery, which is a category B felony in violation of NRS 200.380.

I'm going to order that you pay some standard fines and fees.

 That is a \$25 Administrative Assessment Fee, a \$150 DNA Fee. You're to submit to testing for your DNA and there's a \$3 Collection Fee that goes along with that. Last but not least, you do have to pay a \$250 Court Appointed Counsel Fee and that's for having the Public Defender represent you during the pendency of this case.

As I noted I am inclined to follow the negotiations as this is the result of a settlement conference that involved the victims. Given the tragic nature of this offense, certainly they need to be part of that process. I think somebody called this a senseless act and I think that is correct. Mr. Abasta, not only did you take the life of someone who had family and friends that loved him; you took your own life really. You're a young man who should have been doing good things in the world and making a difference in the world and instead you opted to commit this senseless act of violence that forever changed not just one person by taking his life, but the life of his family friends and everyone who knew him.

It's always disheartening to me when I don't hear a particular defendant apologize or express regret for the acts they did. Though I also recognize that could be difficult. And it doesn't -- I'm not -- I don't lose the fact that you did accept responsibility. It was just certainly a huge step in the right direction.

I hope that you use this time that you are in custody to reflect to get the help that you clearly need to prevent yourself from engaging in this type of behavior before. It's a tragedy that you didn't learn from the first two times you had contact with the criminal justice system that the

behavior you're engaging with is dangerous and certainly anytime that firearms are involved that this sort of senseless act can happen.

But here we are and I do believe that the sentence that was reached during the course of the settlement conference was sufficient but not greater than necessary to achieve what I consider to be important sentencing factors, one, taking into account you as an individual, your criminal history, the need to protect the community, the need to deter others from engaging in this type of conduct and hopefully to provide needed therapy for you, because really there's just no reason why an individual would engage in this type of behavior without the need of some sort of help.

As I noted, I did read some letters. I do find them to be reliable letters that were written on behalf of the victim. They are friends -- or one is an aunt. One is a friend of the victim and the third -- excuse me there as four that were two other friends. And so I want to thank them for submitting these letters on his behalf. And again I thank the family for being present here today.

You are going to be sentenced as follows: As to Count 1, you'll be sentenced to 240 -- hold on, I want to make sure I'm saying this right, Mr. Dickerson, so just go along with me.

MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, absolutely, Your Honor.

THE COURT: 200 to 600 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections. Or is it 240?

MR. DICKERSON: 240.

THE COURT: All right.

1	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.		
2	THE COURT: 240 months in the Nevada Depart to 600		
3	months in the Nevada Department of Corrections.		
4	As to Count 2, you'll be sentenced to 28 to 72 months in the		
5	Nevada Department of Corrections. And that will run consecutive to		
6	Count 1.		
7	As to Count 3, you'll be sentenced to 48 to 180 months in the		
8	Nevada Department of Corrections. And that shall also run consecutive		
9	to Count 1.		
10	As to Count 4, you'll be sentenced to 28 to 72 months in the		
11	Nevada Department of Corrections, also consecutive to Count 1.		
12	Count 5, you'll be sentenced to 28 to 72 months in the Nevada		
13	Department of Corrections, consecutive to Count 1.		
14	Count 6, you'll be sentenced to 48 to 180 months in the		
15	Nevada Department of Correction, consecutive to Count 1.		
16	Count 7, you'll be sentenced to 28 to 72 months in the Nevada		
17	Department of Corrections, consecutive to Count 1.		
18	Counts 3 through 7 will run concurrent to Count 2.		
19	MR. DICKERSON: That's going to give us		
20	THE COURT: I need a sentence for that. Is that		
21	MR. DICKERSON: That's correct, Your Honor. That's going		
22	to give us an aggregate sentence of 288 months to 780 months.		
23	THE COURT: And what is the sentence as to counts oh, I		
24	see. I see. Counts 3 through 7 will run concurrent with Count 2 and that		
25	will result in an aggregate sentence of 24 to 65 months 65 years in the		

1	Nevada Department of Corrections.	
2	I have the credit for time served calculation as 458 days. Do	
3	both parties stipulate that's a correct calculation?	
4	MR. DICKERSON: We do, Your Honor.	
5	MR. ARROYO: Yes, Your Honor.	
6	THE COURT: All right, thank you. All right, anything else that	
7	we need to address this morning?	
8	MR. DICKERSON: No, Your Honor, thank you.	
9	THE COURT: Thank you and	
10	MR. ARROYO: Your Honor, I just wanted just real quick	
11	because I'm not great at math. I just wanted to be clear that the parties'	
12	intent was for the aggregate total sentence to be 24 to 65 years in	
13	NDOC?	
14	THE COURT: That's correct.	
15	MR. ARROYO: Just in case this does come back.	
16	THE COURT: Yeah, that is the intent that was my	
17	MR. ARROYO: I've had these come back sometimes.	
18	THE COURT: That's my that was the attempt and I	
19	announced that as the aggregate sentence. To the degree there needs	
20	to be any more clarity, we can do that.	
21	One thing we did not address his restitution. Mr. Dickerson,	
22	do you have a restitution amount?	
23	MR. DICKERSON: We did have a restitution amount	
24	submitted by victim Ernesto Santana Rosas. It's for \$5,672.60. In	
25	speaking with him this morning, that's for medical bills that he continues	

to receive from being shot in his particular event in this case. I submitted that restitution request to Mr. Arroyo. I think that he was reviewing it. I'm not sure where he stands on that now.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arroyo, do you need some additional time to review that restitution request?

MR. ARROYO: Well, Your Honor, I have gone through everything while we were going through this calendar. And it's my understanding that some of the bills are duplicates. Some are collections for the original bills. And I have a total of \$3,756.30 is the total I got to. So I guess it would be up to the State to either they accept my representations or if we're going to set it for a hearing.

MR. DICKERSON: Well --

THE COURT: Well, why don't we go ahead and set this for a status on the 16th of June for you all to meet and confer on that question in case there is any questions regarding any duplicates or not.

MR. DICKERSON: What my thoughts are is that Mr. Abasta is going to be spending the better part of his life, if not his whole life, in prison. And that is very unlikely to be paid by him. We have two other defendants in this case that can share the jointly and severally. I will be seeking full restitution from those two. So I will accept the stipulation that \$3,756.70 to be ordered to pay -- be paid by Mr. Abasta.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I appreciate that Mr. Dickerson. So, Mr. Arroyo, we'll accept your representation. I'm going to order restitution in the amount of \$3,756.70. That is to be paid joint and severally with his codefendants.

1	All right, anything else we need to address this morning?
2	MR. ARROYO: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	MR. DICKERSON: No, Your Honor, thank you so much.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And thank you all again.
5	[Hearing concluded at 10:19 a.m.]
6	* * * * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
23	Jestica Kirkpatrick
24	Jessica Kirkpatrick
25	Court Recorder/Transcriber

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 7/9/2021 7:30 AM

Electronically Filed 07/09/2021 7:30 AM CLERK OF THE COURT

JOCP

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Plaintiff,

-VS-

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

ERIC ABASTA aka Eric Abasta Jr. #5010484

Defendant.

CASE NO. C-20-349045-1

DEPT. NO. XVII

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA OF GUILTY)

The Defendant previously appeared before the Court with counsel and entered a plea of guilty to the crimes of COUNT 1 – MURDER (FIRST DEGREE) (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.010, 200.030; COUNT 2 – ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.471; COUNT 3 – ROBBERY (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.380; COUNT 4 – ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.471; COUNT 5 – ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.471; COUNT 6 – ROBBERY

000574

Case Number: C-20-349045-1

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.380; and COUNT 7 – ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.471; thereafter, on the 25th day June, 2021, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with counsel ROBERT ARROYO, Chief Deputy Special Public Defender and TEGAN C. MACHNICH, Chief Deputy Special Public Defender, and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offenses and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment, \$250.00 Indigent Defense Civil Assessment Fee, \$3,756.70 Restitution to be paid Jointly and Severally by Defendant and Co-Defendants Mason Arney and James Arney to Victim Ernesto Santana-Rosas and \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee including testing to determine genetic markers plus \$3.00 DNA Collection Fee, the Defendant is sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: COUNT 1 - a MAXIMUM of SIX HUNDRED (600) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWO HUNDRED FORTY (240) MONTHS; COUNT 2 – a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNT 3 – a MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNT 4 – a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNT 5 – a MAXIMUM of SEVNTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNT 6 – a MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; and COUNT 7 – a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM

Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNTS 3,4,5,6 and 7 to RUN CONCURRENT with COUNT 2; with FOUR HUNDRED FIFTY-EIGHT (458) DAYS credit for time served. The AGGREGATE TOTAL sentence is SIXTY-FIVE (65) YEARS MAXIMUM with a MINIMUM of TWENTY-FOUR (24) YEARS.

Dated this 9th day of July, 2021

69A 91A 6CB3 5128 Michael Villani District Court Judge

Mun 14

Electronically Filed 8/6/2021 8:11 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NOA Melinda Simpkins, NSB #7911 Chief Deputy Special Public Defender 3 Robert Arroyo, NSB #11512 Chief Deputy Special Public Defender 4 JoNell Thomas, NSB #4771 5 Special Public Defender 330 South Third Street, No. 800 Las Vegas NV 89101 7 702-455-6265 Fax 455-6273 Melinda.simpkins@clarkcountynv.gov 9 Robert.arroyo@clarkcountynv.gov ionell.thomas@clarkcountynv.gov 10 11 Attorneys for Abasta 12 DISTRICT COURT 13 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 14 15 * * * 16 THE STATE OF NEVADA. CASE NO. C-20-349045-1 17 18 Plaintiff, **DEPARTMENT: 17** 19 vs. 20 21 ERIC ABASTA, JR. 22 Defendant. 23 24 NOTICE OF APPEAL 25 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, TO: 26 TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Plaintiff's attorney; and 27 28

1

1 2	TO: DEPARTMENT 17 OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUF OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK:				
3	NOTICE is hereby given that Eric Abasta Jr. hereby appeals to the				
4					
5	Neva	da Supreme Court from the Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty)			
6	filed July 9, 2021.				
7		DATED August 6, 2021.			
8		CHDMITTED DV.			
9		SUBMITTED BY:			
10					
11		/s/ MELINDA SIMPKINS			
12					
13		BY MELINDA SIMPKINS			
14		ROBERT ARROYO			
15		JONELL THOMAS			
		Attorneys for ABASTA			
16	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING				
17					
18		The undersigned does hereby certify that on August 6, 2021, I			
19	depos	sited in the United States Post Office at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of			
20					
21	the Notice of Appeal, postage prepaid, addressed to the following:				
22		District Attorney's Office			
23	200 Lewis Ave., 3rd Floor				
24		Las Vegas NV 89155			
25		Nevada Attorney General 100 N. Carson			
26		Carson City, NV 89701-4717			
27					
28					
	1				

1		
2	Eric Abasta Jr., ID 1165002 High Desert State Prison	
3	P.O. Box 650	
4	Indian Springs NV 89070	
5	Dated: 8/6/2021	
6		/s/ MELINDA SIMPKINS
7		78/ WELINDA SIMI KINS
8		An employee of The Special Public
9		Defender's Office
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES June 26, 2020

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

vs

Eric Abasta, Jr.

June 26, 2020 11:00 AM Grand Jury Indictment

HEARD BY: Bell, Linda Marie COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Estala, Kimberly RECORDER: Vincent, Renee

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Michael Dickerson Attorney for Plaintiff

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Kenneth Dickinson, Grand Jury Foreperson, stated to the Court that at least twelve members had concurred in the return of the true bill during deliberation, but had been excused for presentation to the Court. State presented Grand Jury Case Number 19CGJ051A to the Court. COURT ORDERED, the Indictment may be filed and is assigned Case Number C-20-349045-1, Department III.

State requested a warrant, argued bail, and advised Deft is in custody. COURT ORDERED, NO BAIL; INDICTMENT WARRANT ISSUED, and matter SET for Arraignment.

Upon Court's inquiry, the State advised there are no material witness warrants to quash. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Exhibits 1-24 and 26-35 to be lodged with the Clerk of the Court; Las Vegas Justice Court case no. 20F02017B DISMISSED per the State's request. In addition, a Pre-Trial Risk Assessment will be prepared if one was not previously done.

I.W. (CUSTODY)

07/02/20 3:30 P.M. INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT (DEPT III)

Prepared by: Kimberly Estala

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES July 02, 2020

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

July 02, 2020 03:30 PM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Herndon, Douglas W. COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16C

COURT CLERK: Schlitz, Kory
RECORDER: Ray, Stacey

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Nicole J. Cannizzaro Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN... INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT...

APPEARANCES CONTINUED: Defendant ABASTA present in custody represented by Special Public Defender Robert Arroyo; Defendant MASON ARNEY present in custody represented by James Gallo Esq.; Defendant JAMES ARNEY present out of custody represented by Daniel Lippmann Esq.

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Arroyo stated the Special Public Defender's Office can confirm as counsel of record on behalf of Defendant ABASTA. Mr. Gallo informed the Court he did not have a chance to speak with Defendant MASON ARNEY, pointing out he went over to the jail twice and the Defendant was in transit each time. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant JAMES ARNEY stated his first name was WAYLON, not JAMES. COURT SO NOTED. Upon Court's further inquiry, Defendant ABASTA stated Mr. Arroyo went over the charges with him, however he is confused as to what charges relate to him. DEFENDANT JAMES WAYLON ARNEY ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY, and WAIVED the 60-DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, matter set for status check. Mr. Lippmann requested a status check in two weeks. Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Cannizzaro indicated she believed the matter is not going before the Death Review Committee with regards to Defendant ABASTA. COURT ORDERED, pursuant to Statute, Counsel has 21 days from today for the filing of any Writs, if the Transcript has not been filed as of today; Counsel has 21 days from the filing of the Transcript. COURT ORDERED, arraignment CONTINUED with regards to Defendants ABASTA and MASON ARNEY; status check SET with regards to Defendant JAMES WAYLON ARNEY. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Defendant JAMES WAYLON ARNEY's presence can be waived at the next hearing, adding since this is a homicide case, the matter will need to be reassigned.

CUSTODY

7/7/2020 3:30 P.M. ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED

Prepared by: Kory Schlitz

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES July 07, 2020

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

July 07, 2020 03:30 PM Arraignment Continued

HEARD BY: Herndon, Douglas W. COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16C

COURT CLERK: Schlitz, Kory
RECORDER: Ray, Stacey

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Michael Dickerson Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

APPEARANCES CONTINUED: Defendant ABASTA present in custody represented by Special Public Defender Robert Arroyo; Defendant MASON ARNEY present in custody represented by James Gallo Esq.; Defendant JAMES WAYLON ARNEY present represented by Daniel Lippmann Esq.

DEFENDANT ABASTA ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY, and INVOKED the 60-DAY RULE. DEFENDANT MASON ARNEY ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY, and INVOKED the 60-DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, matter set for status check. Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Lacher indicated she does not know if the matter is going before the Death Review Committee with regards to Defendant ABASTA. COURT ORDERED, pursuant to Statute, Counsel has 21 days from today for the filing of any Writs, if the Transcript has not been filed as of today; Counsel has 21 days from the filing of the Transcript. Pursuant to Administrative Order 17-05 this COURT ORDERS the case REASSIGNED to Department 17.

CUSTODY

7/16/2020 10:15 A.M. STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING (DEPT 17)

Prepared by: Kory Schlitz

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES July 16, 2020

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

July 16, 2020 10:15 AM Status Check: Trial Setting

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Reid, Shannon

RECORDER: Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant
Sarah Overly Attorney for Plaintiff

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

James Gallo, ESQ present on behalf of Co Defendant Mason Arney. Daniel Lippman, ESQ. present on behalf of Co Defendant James Arney.

Mr. Arroyo advised Defendants had invoked the 60-Day Rule. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for trial. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Counsel has 21 days from the filing of the Grand Jury Indictment transcript to file a Writ.

CUSTODY

08/25/2020 8:30 AM CALENDAR CALL

09/08/2020 9:00 AM JURY TRIAL

Prepared by: Shannon Reid

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES August 25, 2020

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

vs

Eric Abasta, Jr.

August 25, 2020 10:15 AM Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Reid, Shannon

RECORDER: Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Nicole J. Cannizzaro Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Daniel Lippman, ESQ. present on behalf of Co Defendant James Arney. James Gallo, ESQ. present on behalf of Co Defendant Mason Arney.

Court noted no trials were going forward due to COVID-19 pandemic. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Arroyo advised Defendants had previously invoked the 60-Day Rule. COURT FINDS good cause due to the Court's schedule and nature of this case to continue the trial beyond 60 days.

CUSTODY

11/17/2020 10:15 AM CALENDAR CALL

11/30/2020 9:00 AM JURY TRIAL

Printed Date: 8/28/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: August 25, 2020

Prepared by: Shannon Reid

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES September 09, 2020

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

September 09, 2020 11:30 AM Central Trial Readiness Conference

HEARD BY: Bell, Linda Marie COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Estala, Kimberly RECORDER: Vincent, Renee

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Nicole J. Cannizzaro Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Also present: James Gallo, Esq. counsel for Co-Defendant Mason Arney who refused transport.

Mr. Gallo advised he is still waiting on an offer to be extended from the State. State advised it is still discussing potential offers with the victim and detective. Mr. Arroyo advised discovery was received three weeks ago and this appearance my be a bit premature. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for counsel to consider discovery and State to convey offers.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 10/07/20 11:30 AM

Printed Date: 9/15/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: September 09, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES October 07, 2020

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

vs

Eric Abasta, Jr.

October 07, 2020 11:30 AM Central Trial Readiness Conference

HEARD BY: Togliatti, Jennifer COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Estala, Kimberly

RECORDER: Delgado-Murphy, Melissa

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Also present: Co-Defendant Mason Arney with counsel James Gallo, Esq.

Mr. Arroyo advised there is no current offer at this time and a file review is pending as there is potentially missing discovery. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for file review and any additional updates.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 10/28/20 11:30 AM

Printed Date: 10/9/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: October 07, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES October 28, 2020

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

October 28, 2020 11:30 AM Central Trial Readiness Conference

HEARD BY: Bell, Linda Marie COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Estala, Kimberly RECORDER: Vincent, Renee

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Nicole J. Cannizzaro Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

Tegan Machnich Attorney for Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Co-Defendant Mason Arney present with counsel James Gallo, Esq.

Mr. Arroyo advised he is missing discovery however has a file review next week. State advised an offer has been extended to Defendant Arney but not Abasta. Mr. Gallo advised an offer was extended about two weeks ago and negotiations are on going. Mr. Gallo further advised he should know within two weeks if he will be ready for trial however he does not believe Defendant wants to waive speedy. Mr. Arroyo advised he does not think he can be ready. COURT ORDERED, department calendar call VACATED; central calendar call SET.

CUSTODY

11/25/20 2:00 PM CENTRAL CALENDAR CALL (LLA)

Printed Date: 10/30/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: October 28, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES November 25, 2020

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

November 25, 2020 02:00 PM Central Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Bell, Linda Marie COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Estala, Kimberly RECORDER: Esparza, Kerry

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Nicole J. Cannizzaro Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

Tegan Machnich Attorney for Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Co-Defendant Mason Arney present with counsel James Gallo, Esq. Parties present via Bluejeans.

State noted a file review was done with Mr. Arroyo and additional discovery was requested from the investigator some of which has been turned over. Court noted due to current Covid-19 protocols trials are unable to proceed and ORDERED, trial date VACATED and RESET.

CUSTODY

01/13/21 2:00 PM CENTRAL CALENDAR CALL (LLA) 01/19/21 9:00 AM JURY TRIAL (DEPT 17)

Printed Date: 12/2/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: November 25, 2020

COURT MINUTES

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

December 15, 2020

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

VS

Eric Abasta, Jr.

December 15, 2020

10:15 AM

Motion for Own Recognizance Release/Setting

Reasonable Bail

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Carina Bracamontez-Munguia/cbm

RECORDER: Cynthia Georgilas

PARTIES

PRESENT: Abasta, Eric, Jr. Defendant

Arroyo, Robert Attorney for Defendant Cannizzaro, Nicole J. Attorney for Plaintiff

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Arroyo argued the State has the burden of proving proof evident presumption great and he believes they cannot meet that standard at this point. Ms. Cannizzaro argued for denial of motion and requested Deft. continue to be held without bail pursuant to statue because proof is evident and presumption great for first degree murder charge; if court is not inclined she would offer additional evidence regarding other charges in the indictment and request monetary bail. Court noted there are a lot of pieces to this puzzle and believes the State has presented enough for this Court that there is proof evident presumption great on the murder charge, therefore, COURT ORDERED Deft. HELD WITHOUT BAIL.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 12/23/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: December 15, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 13, 2021

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

vs

Eric Abasta, Jr.

January 13, 2021

2:00 PM

Central Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK:

Teri Berkshire

RECORDER: \

Victoria Boyd

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Abasta, Eric, Jr. Defendant Cannizzaro, Nicole J. Attorney Machnich, Tegan Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- APPEARANCES CONTINUED: Ms. Cannizzaro present via video, on behalf of the State. Ms. Machnich present via video, on behalf of deft.

Court noted the Court cannot currently do Jury trials at this time, due to orders from the Governor. COURT ORDERED, trial date VACATED and matter SET for Central Trial Readiness, on the date given, as to both defts.

CUSTODY ABASTA / ARNEY

01/27/21 11:30 A.M. CENTRAL TRIAL READINESS ABASTA / ARNEY

PRINT DATE: 01/26/2021 Page 1 of 2 Minutes Date: January 13, 2021

PRINT DATE: 01/26/2021 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: January 13, 2021

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES January 27, 2021

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

vs

Eric Abasta, Jr.

January 27, 2021 11:30 AM Central Trial Readiness Conference

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra **COURTROOM:** RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Ortega, Natalie RECORDER: Boyd, Victoria

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Danielle K. Pieper Attorney for Plaintiff

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Special Public Defendant Robert Arroyo present with Defendant Eric Abasta, Jr. (C349045-1)

James C. Gallo, Esq., present with Defendant Mason Arney (C349045-2)

COURT NOTED this case could be accommodated on the February 22, 2021 as number 2 on the stack. Counsel announced trial ready. Colloquy regarding filing a motion to exclude and resolving the case. COURT ORDERED, trial SET.

CUSTODY - ABASTA

CUSTODY - ARNEY

02/17/21 2:00 PM CENTRAL TRIAL CALENDAR CALL (ABASTA and ARNEY)

02/22/21 9:00 AM CENTRAL TRIAL JURY TRIAL (ABASTA and ARNEY)

Printed Date: 2/6/2021 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: January 27, 2021

Prepared by: Natalie Ortega

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	February 12, 2021
C-20-349045-1	State of Neva	ada.	
C-20-349043-1	State of Neva	aua	
	VS		
	Eric Abasta,	Īr.	

February 12, 2021 3:00 AM Minute Order

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Samantha Albrecht

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- By stipulation and agreement by Parties via communications with Court s Law Clerk. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for February 23, 2021 VACATED and RESET to February 18, 2021 at 8:30 AM.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this Minute Order was distributed by e-mail to counsel. 2/12/2021 sa

PRINT DATE: 02/12/2021 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: February 12, 2021

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

February 17, 2021

C-20-349045-1

State of Nevada

vs

Eric Abasta, Jr.

February 17, 2021 2:00 PM Central Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra **COURTROOM:** RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Teri Berkshire

RECORDER: Victoria Boyd

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Abasta, Eric, Jr. Defendant

Arroyo, Robert Attorney
Dickerson, Michael Attorney
State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- APPEARANCES CONTINUED: Mr. Dickerson present via video, on behalf of the State. Mr. Arroyo present via video, on behalf of deft. Abasta. Mr. Gallo present on behalf of co-deft. Arney.

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Arroyo advised Mr. Dickerson recently took over the case from Ms. Cannizzaro, and there's been a lot of new discovery, and counsel will need to travel out of State for new witnesses. Further, deft. would like to keep his invoked status, and the State is not opposed. Mr. Dickerson advised that's correct, and they are open to negotiations, however, deft. would have to waive a certain amount of time to fully negotiate the case. Mr. Gallo advised that's fine, however, codeft. would like to keep his invoked status also. Statements by deft. Court noted counsel can file a bail motion if they choose to do that, and that would be heard by Judge Villani. COURT ORDERED, trial date VACATED and RE-SET for Central Jury Trial and Central Calendar Call, on the date given. FURTHER COURT ORDERED, date for Motion in front of Judge Villani, STANDS. FURTHER, both Defendants REMAIN INVOKED.

PRINT DATE: 03/23/2021 Page 1 of 2 Minutes Date: February 17, 2021

CUSTODY ABASTA/ARNEY

03/24/21 2:00 P.M. CENTRAL CALENDAR CALL - LLA

03/29/21 9:00 A.M. CENTRAL JURY TRIAL - 3E or 3F

PRINT DATE: 03/23/2021 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: February 17, 2021

000595

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES February 18, 2021

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

February 18, 2021 08:30 AM State's Motion to Amend Indictment

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Albrecht, Samantha RECORDER: Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Michael Dickerson Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant
Special Public Defender Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Court noted an Opposition had been filed yesterday, however has not had an opportunity to review it. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to Tuesday.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 2/23/2021 8:30 AM

Printed Date: 2/20/2021 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: February 18, 2021

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES February 23, 2021

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

February 23, 2021 08:30 AM State's Motion to Amend Indictment

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Albrecht, Samantha RECORDER: Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Michael Dickerson Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

Special Public Defender Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Mr. Dickerson requested to amend the Complaint to add the theory of felony murder. Mr. Dickerson argued that Defendant Abasta has been on notice due to the testimony before the Grand Jury, which indicated this was a murder committed in furtherance of an attempt robbery. Mr. Dickerson provided argument regarding the Chamberlain case and noted NRS 173.095(1) allows the State to amend an Information and an Indictment. Mr. Arroyo argued that the State presented only cases regarding the amending of an Information, not the amending of an Indictment which would require them to go back to the Grand Jury. COURT ORDERED, matter TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT and a decision would be issued today or tomorrow.

CUSTODY

Printed Date: 2/25/2021 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: February 23, 2021

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada vs Eric Abasta, Jr.

March 01, 2021 3:00 AM Minute Order

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Samantha Albrecht

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- The State's Motion to Amend Indictment came before the Court, whereupon the Court took the matter under further advisement. After considering all pleadings and arguments, the Court renders its decision as follows:

The State seeks to add an additional theory of liability felony murder for Count five murder with use of a deadly weapon of the Indictment. Under NRS 173.095(1), the court may permit an indictment or information to be amended at any time before verdict or finding if no additional or different offense is charged and if substantial rights of the defendant are not prejudiced. Moreover, felony murder does not amount to the charging of additional or different offenses. See State v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, ex rel. County of Clark, 116 Nev. 374 378-79, 997 P.2d 126 129-130 (2000).

Here, the State request to amend their indictment merely adds an alternate liability theory, felony murder. Also, the State placed Defendant on notice regarding felony murder during grand jury. See GJT Vol. 2, at 40-53. Therefore, THIS COURT FINDS the amendment to count five does not charge an additional offense, and the addition of felony murder theory does not prejudice Defendant s substantial rights.

PRINT DATE: 03/01/2021 Page 1 of 2 Minutes Date: March 01, 2021

Therefore, COURT ORDERED, Motion to Amend Indictment, GRANTED. COURT ORDERS State to submit a proposed order consistent with the foregoing and is approved by the State regarding its form and content within ten (10) days after Counsel is notified of the ruling and distribute a filed copy to all parties involved pursuant to EDCR 7.21. Such order should set forth a synopsis of the supporting reasons proffered to the Court in briefing. Status check SET for March 16, 2021 at 8:30 am regarding the filing of the order. That date to be vacated if the Court receives the order prior to March 16, 2021.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this Minute Order was provided to counsel via e-mail. 3/1/2021 sa

PRINT DATE: 03/01/2021 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: March 01, 2021

000599

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES March 23, 2021

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

March 23, 2021 08:30 AM Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Due to Speedy Trial Violation

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Albrecht, Samantha RECORDER: Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Michael Dickerson Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant
Special Public Defender Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Mr. Arroyo stated he agreed with the State's Opposition on the good communication regarding discovery and noted Ms. Canizzarro was previously on this case which had been pending since March. Mr. Arroyo noted they had a file review in November, however they did not receive the missing discovery until February. Mr. Arroyo further noted Mr. Dickerson turned over the discovery within a few days. Mr. Arroyo stated their were other options for trial and a bench trial might have been requested. Mr. Arroyo further stated they received additional jail calls on 3/11/2021, which require further investigation. Mr. Arroyo advised this puts the Defendant in a situation where his speedy trial rights would be violated as the trial would need to be continued or proceed with counsel not being fully prepared.

Mr. Dickerson noted the continuances were due to COVID and the State provided all the discovery to defense counsel. Mr. Dickerson stated the State was ready to proceed to trial.

Court FINDS based on all the factors and the COVID issues, there is no legal basis to dismiss the case, based on speedy trial violations, therefore ORDERED, Motion DENIED. Mr. Dickerson noted he had never been approached regarding a bench trial and would be opposed to a bench trial.

CUSTODY

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES March 24, 2021

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

March 24, 2021 02:00 PM Central Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Barker, David COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Garcia, Louisa RECORDER: Slattery, Patti

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Michael Dickerson Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

Tegan Machnich Attorney for Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Court noted this case is on the top of the stack to start trial next week. Mr. Dickerson stated he is ready to proceed to trial.

Mr. Arroyo stated they are not ready to proceed to trial and the Deft. is not willing to waive his right to a speedy trial, however, noted this is a large case and received a large amount of discovery in February, 2021 that was given to the expert who wouldn't be available to have it all done and testify by next week, therefore, requested matter be continued over the Deft's objection. Upon Court's inquiry, Deft. stated that he understands, however, is not willing to waive the speedy trial rights and requested to extend the trial date without having to waive speedy trial rights. Statement by Mr. Dickerson; further, out of courtesy, doesn't have any objection and suggested this matter be set for a settlement conference. Colloquy between Court, counsel and the Deft. regarding a settlement conference. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for a settlement conference.

CUSTODY

5/03/21 1:30 PM SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

CLERK'S NOTE: Minute order completed by Court Clerk, Kristen Brown by way of JAV's recording, kb 4/02/2021.

Prepared by: Kristen Brown

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES May 06, 2021

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

May 06, 2021 08:30 AM Status Check: Negotiations

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Albrecht, Samantha RECORDER: Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Madilyn M. Cole Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant
Special Public Defender Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Mr. Arroyo advised this case was scheduled for a Settlement Conference on May 11th and requested a continuance after that date. COURT SO ORDERED.

CUSTODY

5/28/2021 8:30 AM STATUS CHECK: NEGOTIATIONS

Printed Date: 5/11/2021 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: May 06, 2021

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES May 11, 2021

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

May 11, 2021 03:50 PM Entry of Plea

HEARD BY: Villani, Michael COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Albrecht, Samantha RECORDER: Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ashley L. Sisolak Attorney for Defendant

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Michael Dickerson Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant

Special Public Defender Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

Tegan Machnich Attorney for Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

NEGOTIATIONS are as contained in the Guilty Plea Agreement to be electronically filed and placed on the record by Mr. Arroyo. DEFT. ABASTA ARRAIGNED AND PLED GUILTY TO COUNT 1 - MURDER (FIRST DEGREE) (F), COUNTS 2, 4, 5, 7 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON AND COUNTS 3, 6 - ROBBERY (F). Court ACCEPTED plea, and ORDERED, matter SET for sentencing.

CUSTODY

6/25/2021 8:30 AM SENTENCING

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada vs Eric Abasta, Jr.

May 11, 2021 1:30 PM Settlement Conference

HEARD BY: Barker, David **COURTROOM:** RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Carina Bracamontez-Munguia/cbm

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Parties participated in a settlement conference with Senior Judge Barker where a settlement was reached.

PRINT DATE: 05/24/2021 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: May 11, 2021

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES June 25, 2021

C-20-349045-1 State of Nevada

٧S

Eric Abasta, Jr.

June 25, 2021 08:30 AM Sentencing

HEARD BY: Silva, Cristina D. COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11A

COURT CLERK: Albrecht, Samantha RECORDER: Georgilas, Cynthia

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Eric Abasta, Jr. Defendant

Michael Dickerson Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert Arroyo Attorney for Defendant
Special Public Defender Attorney for Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff

Tegan Machnich Attorney for Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Arroyo stated they have reviewed the Pre-Sentence Investigation (PSI) Report with the Defendant. Defendant stated he has reviewed the Report and there is nothing to change. State submitted, Argument by Mr. Arrovo, Victim Speaker, James Farnsworth, SWORN and TESTIFIED. Victim Speaker, Corey Farnsworth, SWORN and TESTIFIED. Victim Speaker, Debra Farnsworth, SWORN and TESTIFIED. DEFT. ABASTA ADJUDGED GUILTY OF COUNT 1 - MURDER FIRST DEGREE (F), COUNTS 2, 4, 5, 7 -ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (F) AND COUNTS 3, 6 - ROBBERY (F). Pursuant to NRS 176.063, COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee, \$3.00 DNA Collection fee, \$150.00 DNA Analysis fee including testing to determine genetic markers, \$250.00 Indigent Defense Civil Assessment fee, and Restitution in the amount of \$3,756.70 to Ernesto Santana-Rosas, to be paid jointly and severally by Defendant and Co-Defendants Mason Arney and James Arney, Defendant SENTENCED to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: COUNT 1 - to a MAXIMUM OF SIX HUNDRED (600) MONTHS AND A MINIMUM OF TWO HUNDRED FORTY (240) MONTHS; COUNT 2 to a MAXIMUM OF SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS AND A MINIMUM OF TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNT 3 - to a MAXIMUM OF ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS AND A MINIMUM OF FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS, to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNT 4 - to a MAXIMUM OF SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS AND A MINIMUM OF TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNT 5 - to a MAXIMUM OF SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS AND A MINIMUM OF TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNT 6 - to a MAXIMUM OF ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS AND A MINIMUM OF FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS, to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; AND COUNT 7 - to a MAXIMUM OF SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS AND A MINIMUM OF TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; COUNTS 3 TO 7 to run CONCURRENT to COUNT 2; for a TOTAL AGGREGATE SENTENCE of A MAXIMUM OF SIXTY-FIVE (65) YEARS AND A MINIMUM OF TWENTY-FOUR (24) YEARS in the NDC, with FOUR HUNDRED FIFTY-EIGHT

(458) DAYS credit for time served. Colloquy regarding restitution.

BOND, if any, EXONERATED.

NDC

Printed Date: 7/1/2021 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: June 25, 2021