THAT DEVIN AND AMANDA BE AWARDED JOINT PHYSICAL AND

LEGAL CUSTODY THE MINOR CHILD Rectronically Filed

In determining the best interest of the child, the court scienk of Supreme Court

Jan 10 2022 10:31 p.m.

8

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2223

2425

26

2728

a. The wishes of the child if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to form an intelligent preference as to his or her physical custody.

b. Any nomination of a guardian for the child by a parent.

forth its specific findings concerning, among other things:

c. Which parent is more likely to allow the child to have frequent associations and a continuing relationship with the noncustodial parent.

d. The level of conflict between the parents.

e. The ability of the parents to cooperate to meet the needs of the child.

f. The mental and physical health of the parents.

g. The physical, developmental and emotional needs of the child.

h. The nature of the relationship of the child with each parent.

i. The ability of the child to maintain a relationship with any sibling.

j. Any history of parental abuse or neglect of the child or a sibling of the child.

- k. Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has engaged in an act of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or any other person residing with the child.
- 1. Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has committed any act of abduction against the child or any other child.

m.

- n. 5. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 6 or NRS 125C.210, a determination by the court after an evidentiary hearing and finding by clear and convincing evidence that either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has engaged in one or more acts of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or any other person residing with the child creates a rebuttable presumption that sole or joint physical custody of the child by the perpetrator of the domestic violence is not in the best interest of the child. Upon making such a determination, the court shall set forth:
- o. a) Findings of fact that support the determination that one or
- p. more acts of domestic violence occurred; and

- q. b) Findings that the custody or visitation arrangement ordered by the court adequately protects the child and the parent or other victim of domestic violence who resided with the child.
- r. 6. If after an evidentiary hearing held pursuant to subsection 5 the court determines that each party has engaged in acts of domestic violence, it shall, if possible, then determine which person was the primary physical aggressor. In determining which party was the primary physical aggressor for the purposes of this section, the court shall consider:
- s. a) All prior acts of domestic violence involving either party;
- t. b) The relative severity of the injuries, if any, inflicted upon the persons involved in those prior acts of domestic violence;
- u. c) The likelihood of future injury;
- v. d) Whether, during the prior acts, one of the parties acted in self-defense; and
- w. e) Any other factors which the court deems relevant to the determination. x.
- y. In such a case, if it is not possible for the court to determine which party is the primary physical aggressor, the presumption created pursuant to subsection 5 applies to both parties. If it is possible for the court to determine which party is the primary physical aggressor, the presumption created pursuant to subsection 5 applies only to the party determined by the court to be the primary physical aggressor.
- z. aa. 7. A determination by
- aa. 7. A determination by the court after an evidentiary hearing and finding by clear and convincing evidence that either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has committed any act of abduction against the child or any other child creates a rebuttable presumption that sole or joint physical custody or unsupervised visitation of the child by the perpetrator of the abduction is not in the best interest of the child. If the parent or other person seeking physical custody does not rebut the presumption, the court shall not enter an order for sole or joint physical custody or unsupervised visitation of the child by the perpetrator and the court shall set forth:
- bb.a) Findings of fact that support the determination that one or more acts of abduction occurred; and
- cc. b) Findings that the custody or visitation arrangement ordered by the court adequately protects the child and the parent or other person from whom the child was abducted.
- dd.8. For the purposes of subsection 7, any of the following acts constitute conclusive evidence that an act of abduction occurred:

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

25

26

27 28

23 24

pleadings. 00. pp.Sec. 10. 125C.0693, inclusive, and chapter 130 of NRS:

- ee. a) A conviction of the defendant of any violation of NRS 200.310 to 200.340, inclusive, or 200.359 or a law of any other jurisdiction that prohibits the same or similar conduct;
- ff. b) A plea of guilty or nolo contendere by the defendant to any violation of NRS 200.310 to 200.340, inclusive, or 200.359 or a law of any other jurisdiction that prohibits the same or similar conduct; or
- gg.c) An admission by the defendant to the court of the facts contained in the charging document alleging a violation of NRS 200.310 to 200.340, inclusive, or 200.359 or a law of any other jurisdiction that prohibits the same or similar conduct.
- hh.9. If, after a court enters a final order concerning physical custody of the child, a magistrate determines there is probable cause to believe that an act of abduction has been committed against the child or any other child and that a person who has been awarded sole or joint physical custody or unsupervised visitation of the child has committed the act, the court shall, upon a motion to modify the order concerning physical custody, reconsider the previous order concerning physical custody pursuant to subsections 7 and 8.
- ii. 10. As used in this section:
- jj. a) "Abduction" means the commission of an act described in NRS 200.310 to 200.340, inclusive, or 200.359 or a law of any other jurisdiction that prohibits the same or similar conduct.
- kk.b) "Domestic violence" means the commission of any act described in NRS 33.018. Sec. 9.
- ll. 1. Before the court makes an order awarding custody to any person other than a parent, without the consent of the parents, it shall make a finding that an award of custody to a parent would be detrimental to the child and the award to a nonparent is required to serve the best interest of the child.
- 2. No allegation that parental custody would be detrimental to the mm. child, other than a statement of that ultimate fact, may appear in the
- nn.3. The court may exclude the public from any hearing on this issue.
- qq.1. In any action for determining the custody of a minor child, the court may, except as otherwise provided in this section and NRS 125C.0601 to
- rr. a) During the pendency of the action, at the final hearing or at any time thereafter during the minority of the child, make such an order for the

- ss. b) At any time modify or vacate its order, even if custody was determined pursuant to an action for divorce and the divorce was obtained by default without an appearance in the action by one of the parties. The party seeking such an order shall submit to the jurisdiction of the court for the purposes of this subsection. The court may make such an order upon the application of one of the parties or the legal guardian of the minor.
- tt. 2. Any order for joint custody may be modified or terminated by the court upon the petition of one or both parents or on the court's own motion if it is shown that the best interest of the child requires the modification or termination. The court shall state in its decision the reasons for the order of modification or termination if either parent opposes it.
- uu.3. Any order for custody of a minor child entered by a court of another state may, subject to the provisions of NRS 125C.0601 to 125C.0693, inclusive, and to the jurisdictional requirements in chapter 125A of NRS, be modified at any time to an order of joint custody.
- vv.4. A party may proceed pursuant to this section without counsel.
- ww. 5. Any order awarding a party a limited right of custody to a child must define that right with sufficient particularity to ensure that the rights of the parties can be properly enforced and that the best interest of the child is achieved. The order must include all specific times and other terms of the limited right of custody. As used in this subsection, "sufficient particularity" means a statement of the rights in absolute terms and not by the use of the term "reasonable" or other similar term which is susceptible to different interpretations by the parties.
- xx.6. All orders authorized by this section must be made in accordance with the provisions of chapter 125A of NRS and NRS 125C.0601 to 125C.0693, inclusive, and must contain the following language:

APPX0118

zz. PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETEN-TION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

aaa.

- orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of The Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of The Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.
- ccc. 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:
- ddd. a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of The Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.
- eee. b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning the child to his or her habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.
- fff.9. Except where a contract providing otherwise has been executed pursuant to NRS 123.080, the obligation for care, education, maintenance and support of any minor child created by any order entered pursuant to this section ceases:

a) Upon the death of the person to whom the order was directed; or
b) When the child reaches 18 years of age if the child is no longer
enrolled in high school, otherwise, when the child reaches 19 years of age.

iii. 10. As used in this section, a parent has "significant commitments in a foreign country" if the parent:

jjj. a) Is a citizen of a foreign country;

kkk.b) Possesses a passport in his or her name from a foreign country;lll. c) Became a citizen of the United States after marrying the other parent of the child; or

mmm. d) Frequently travels to a foreign country.

nnn.

ooo. Sec. 11.

ppp. 1. The court may, when appropriate, require the parents to submit to the court a plan for carrying out the court's order concerning custody.

qqq. 2. Access to records and other information pertaining to a minor child, including, without limitation, medical, dental and school records, must not be denied to a parent for the reason that the parent is not the child's custodial parent.

rrr.

sss. Sec. 12.

- ttt. 1. If, during any action for determining the custody of a minor child, either before or after the entry of a final order concerning the custody of a minor child, it appears to the court that any minor child of either party has been, or is likely to
- uuu. be, taken or removed out of this State or concealed within this State, the court shall forthwith order such child to be produced before it and make such disposition of the child's custody as appears most advantageous to and in the best interest of the child and most likely to secure to him or her the benefit of the final order or the modification or termination of the final order to be made in his or her behalf.
- vvv. 2. If, during any action for determining the custody of a minor child, either before or after the entry of a final order concerning the custody of a minor child, the court finds that it would be in the best interest of the minor child, the court may
- www. enter an order providing that a party may, with the assistance of the appropriate law enforcement agency, obtain physical custody of the child from the party having physical custody of the child. The order must provide that if the party obtains physical custody of the child, the child must be produced before the court as soon as practicable to allow the court to make

2

3

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2425

26

2728

IIII. Sec. 14.

such disposition of the child's custody as appears most advantageous to and in the best interest of the child and most likely to secure to him or her the benefit of the final order or the modification or termination of the final order to be made in his or her behalf.

- a party may obtain physical custody of a child, the court shall order that party to give the party having physical custody of the child notice at least 24 hours before the time at which he or she intends to obtain physical custody of the child, unless the court deems that requiring the notice would likely defeat the purpose of the order.
- yyy. 4. All orders for a party to appear with a child issued pursuant to this section may be enforced by issuing a warrant of arrest against that party to secure his or her appearance with the child.
- zzz. 5. A proceeding under this section must be given priority on the court calendar.

aaaa.

bbbb. Sec. 13.

- cccc. 1. If joint physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and one parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the
- dddd. relocating parent desires to take the child with him or her, the relocating parent shall, before relocating:
- eeee. a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the non-relocating parent to relocate with the child; and
- ffff. b) If the non-relocating parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for primary physical custody for the purpose of relocating.
- gggg. 2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the relocating parent if the court finds that the non-relocating parent refused to consent to the relocating parent's relocation with the child:
- hhhh. a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal; or
- iii. b) For the purpose of harassing the relocating parent.
- jjjj. 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section before the court enters an order granting the parent primary physical custody of the child and permission to relocate with the child is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

23 24

26 27

28

- mmmm. 1. In every instance of a petition for permission to relocate with a child that is filed pursuant to NRS 125C.200 or section 13 of this act, the relocating parent must demonstrate to the court that:
- a) There exists a sensible, good-faith reason for the move, and the nnnn. move is not intended to deprive the non-relocating parent of his or her parenting time;
- b) The best interests of the child are served by allowing the relocating 0000. parent to relocate with the child; and
- c) The child and the relocating parent will benefit from an actual pppp. advantage as a result of the relocation.
- 2. If a relocating parent demonstrates to the court the provisions set forth in subsection 1, the court must then weigh the following factors and the impact of each on the child, the relocating parent and the non-relocating parent, including, with-out limitation, the extent to which the compelling interests of the child, the relocating parent and the non-relocating parent are accommodated:
- a) The extent to which the relocation is likely to improve the quality rrrr. of life for the child and the relocating parent;
- b) Whether the motives of the relocating parent are honorable and not designed to frustrate or defeat any visitation rights accorded to the nonrelocating parent:
- c) Whether the relocating parent will comply with any substitute tttt. visitation orders issued by the court if permission to relocate is granted;
- d) Whether the motives of the non-relocating parent are honorable in resisting the petition for permission to relocate or to what extent any opposition to the petition for permission to relocate is intended to secure a financial advantage in the form of ongoing support obligations or otherwise;
- e) Whether there will be a realistic opportunity for the non-relocating parent to maintain a visitation schedule that will adequately foster and preserve the parental relationship between the child and the non-relocating parent if permission to relocate is granted; and
- wwww. f) Any other factor necessary to assist the court in determining whether to grant permission to relocate.
- 3. A parent who desires to relocate with a child pursuant to NRS XXXX. 125C.200 or section 13 of this act has the burden of proving that relocating with the child is in the best interest of the child.

уууу. Sec. 15. ZZZZ.

aaaaa. If a parent with primary physical custody or joint physical custody relocates with a child in violation of NRS 200.359:

bbbbb. 1. The court shall not consider any post-relocation facts or circumstances regarding the welfare of the child or the relocating parent in making any determination.

cccc. 2. If the non-relocating parent files an action in response to the violation, the non-relocating parent is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of the violation.

DEVIN does not want to keep the children from AMANDA but instead share custody equally between parents. Further, there is no evidence that DEVIN has a substance abuse issue. Devin was injured and was prescribed narcotics for pain after suffering a crushing injury to his leg. However, upon feeling that he was becoming dependent on the pain medication he sought help and was prescribed methadone to help prevent addiction. In regard to the Temporary Protective Order AMANDA did not report the issue of domestic violence until a year after it allegedly occurred.

///

///

///

AMANDA also filed for the TPO the after she had a conversation with her trainer where she wanted him to accompany her to Utah and he declined because of her marriage to DEVIN. The following day AMANDA took out a TPO against DEVIN and messaged her trainer that DEVIN would no longer be an issue.

Respectfully Submitted by:

/s/ Louis Schneider

LOUIS C. SCHNEIDER, Attorney at Law Nevada Bar Number 9683 430 South 7th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 435-2121

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTIES OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

DATED this 29th day of March, 2018.

/s/ Louis Schneider LOUIS C. SCHNEIDER, ESQ. 430 South 7th Street, Las Vegas, NV 89101

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 430 S. 7th St. Las Vegas, NV 89101. On July 27, 2018, I served the OPPOSITION AND COUNTER MOTION FOR EXCULSIVE POSSESSION OF THE RESIDENCE, CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT, AND SPOUSAL SUPPORT on the parties listed on the attached service list via one or more of the methods of service described below as indicated next to the name of the served individual or entity by a checked box:

VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence be mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage fully prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing an affidavit.

VIA FACSIMILIE: by transmitting to a facsimile machine maintained by the attorney or the party who has filed a written consent for such manner of service.

BY PERSONAL SERVICE: by personally hand-delivering or causing to be hand-delivered by such designated individual whose particular duties include delivery of such, on behalf of the firm, addressed to the individual(s) listed, signed by such individual or his/her representative accepting on his/her behalf. A receipt of copy signed and dated by such an individual confirming delivery of the document will be maintained with the document and is attached.

BY EMAIL: by transmitting a copy of the document in the format to be used for attachments to the electronic mail address designated by the attorney or the party who has filed a written consent for such manner of service.

APPX0125

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the above is true and correct. I further declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

/s/ David Barragan

An Employee of Louis C. Schneider (9683)

SERVICE LIST

ATTORNEY OF RECORD	PARTY REPRESENTED	METHOD OF SERVICE
Amondo Dand	FRANK J. TOTI, ESQ	U.S. MAIL
Amanda Reed	6900 Westcliff Drive #500	X EMAIL
	Las Vegas, NV 89145	☐ FACSIMILE
	Email: frank@fjtesq.com	(FAX)
		□ PERSONAL
		SERVICE

**

ORDR

.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FILED IN OPEN COURT August 14, 2018

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Devin Reed,

Plaintiff.

Case No.: D-18-568055-D

٧.

Amanda Reed,

Department F

10. Amanda Reed

Defendant.

MUTUAL BEHAVIOR ORDER

The parties are hereby ORDERED to comply with the following:

- 1. You shall not engage in any abusive contact (foul language, name calling, etc.) with the other party or child(ren), including telephone calls, letters, e-mail, etc.
- 2. You shall avoid any unnecessary contact with the other party's family, friends, associates, neighbors, co-workers, "significant other", etc., and you shall not initiate conflicts with them.
- 3. You shall maintain respect toward the other party's friends, relatives, "significant other", etc.
- 4. You shall not contact any persons associated with the other party (including but not limited to: "significant others", friends, relatives, neighbors, employers, co-workers, business associates, and customers) for purposes of discussing court proceedings or making negative/disparaging allegations about the other party.
- 5. You will advise all your friends, relatives, and "significant others" not to disparage, criticize, or harass the other party.
- 6. Pursuant to EDCR 5.301, you <u>will not</u> communicate, discuss, or provide <u>any</u> information concerning court issues or proceedings with the minor child(ren) unless authorized by the Court.
- 7. You shall focus on your child(ren) and keep in mind what is in the child(ren)'s best interest.

27

ORDR

8. You shall not inappropriately question or interrogate your child(ren) about what occurs in the other parent's household.

- 9. You shall not provide, either directly or through third parties, copies of any unsolicited documents (personal letters, court pleadings, etc.) to anyone associated with a party (family members, neighbors, employers, etc.) for the intended purpose of casting the other party in a negative light.
- 10. There shall be no harassment at the other party's place(s) of employment, including contacting the employer to make negative or disparaging allegations.
- 11. Neither party shall interrogate the child(ren) as to the activities or events at the other parent's residence, etc., and both parties shall respect and not interfere with the child(ren)'s privacy and relationship with the other parent.
- 12. Neither party shall interfere with the other party's contact with the minor child(ren), including but not limited to telephone calls, e-mail, social networking, etc.
- 13. Neither party shall threaten to commit, or actually commit an act of violence upon the other party or the minor child(ren).
- 14. All primary communications between the parties, except for emergencies affecting the child(ren), shall be by texting, email, and/or Family Wizard. Both parties are under a continuing obligation to provide to the other party any change in their cell phone numbers and/or e-mail address within forty eight (48) hours of any change.
- 15. All child custody exchanges, visitations, etc., shall be done in a civil, law abiding manner and reasonably close to the times specified by the Court. In the event of an emergency or unforeseen circumstance that could affect an exchange of the child(ren) or the time of the exchange, the party experiencing the difficulty shall call or contact the other party via text messaging as soon as reasonably possible.
- 16. Except as modified herein, other court orders remain in full force and effect.

The parties are HEREBY PUT ON NOTICE THAT EACH AND EVERY VIOLATION of this order may result in the party being held in contempt of court pursuant to NRS Ch. 22, which could result in a fine of \$500, 25 days in jail, and/or attorney's fees for EACH **VIOLATION** (e.g., 4 separate violations could be 100 days in jail).

DATED: 14th day of August, 2018.

Honorable Denise L. Gentile

District Court Judge

Department F

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DENISE L. GENTILE DISTRICT JUDGE FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. F LAS VEGAS, NV 89101

ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 9/19/2018 10:24 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Stemp Street 1 **ORDR** HARVEY GRUBER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6329 3 Mayfield, Gruber & Sheets 223 S. Water Street, Ste. C Henderson, NV 89015 5 (702) 566-4099 Attorney for Defendant/Counterclaimant REED, AMANDA 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 DEVIN REED. 10 CASE NO. D-18-568055-D Plaintiff/Counterdefendant. 11 DEPT. NO. F VS. 12 ORDER 13 AMANDA REED, 14 Defendant/Counterclaimant. 15 16 This matter coming on for hearing on August 14, 2018 for a Case Management 17 Conference and Defendant/Counterclaimant's Motion for Exclusive Possession of 18 the Marital Residence, for Primary Physical Custody of the minor children, for Child 19 20 Support, for Interim Spousal Support to Extend Temporary Protective Order for One 21 year, for and Order to Show Cause why Plaintiff should not be held in contempt for 22 23 Violating the Joint Preliminary Injunction and for Preliminary Attorney's Fees. 24 Plaintiff, DEVIN REED was present and represented by his Attorney, LOUIS 25 SCHNEIDER, ESQ. and Defendant AMANDA REED also present with her 26 27 Attorney Harvey Gruber. 28 RECEIVED

Page 1

SEP 0 4 2018

DEPARTMENT F

ORIGINAL

Discussion regarding the Plaintiff's child support obligation to the Defendant;

Plaintiff's physical custody and financial support obligation to his two (2) additional children; and the Defendant's request for Child's Support arrears.

Further discussion regarding Plaintiff's Child Support Obligations and Defendant's request to extend the TPO. Court noted per the Wright vs. Osburn calculation applying Plaintiff's gross of \$5,765 child's support would be set at \$224 per month or Plaintiff's applying of \$6,818 child's support would be set at \$487 per month.

Court advised the parties child support arrears would be a trial matter and further advised counsel to communicate regarding a resolution of the alleged child support arrears.

Upon the Court's inquiry, Attorney Gruber represented the parties have resolved the matter with a temporary agreement and requested to place the agreement on the record.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that child support shall be deferred until Attorney Gruber has concluded his discovery and Counsel meet and confer regarding Plaintiff's Child Support obligations.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Temporary Protection Order issued against the Plaintiff on 6/25/18 shall be

dissolved and the parties shall follow and abide by Department F's MUTUAL BEHAVIOR ORDER.

parties shall have TEMPORARY JOINT LEGAL and TEMPORARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the minor children.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Defendant shall have TEMPORARY EXCLUSIVE POSSESSION of the marital home; however, the Plaintiff is NOT WAIVING any right or interest to the equity in the marital home.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff's TEMPORARY VISITATION with the minor children shall be as follows:

Plaintiff shall have the minor children EVERY FIRST and THIRD WEEK of the month. Commencing Tuesday 8/14/18, at 8:00 AM and Concluding Thursday at 3:00 PM.

Plaintiff shall have the minor children EVERY SECOND and FOURTH WEEK of the month. Commencing Friday at 8:00 AM and Concluding Monday at 8:00 AM.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff shall CONTINUE to MAINTAIN health/medical insurance for the minor children.

Any unreimbursed medical, dental, optical, orthodontic or other health-related expense incurred for the benefit of the minor child / children is to be divided equally between the parties. Either party incurring an out of pocket medical expense for the child / children shall provide a copy of the paid invoice / receipt to the other party within thirty days of incurring such expense, if not tendered within the thirty-day period; the Court may consider it as a waiver of reimbursement. The other party will then have thirty days from receipt within which to dispute the expense in writing of reimburse the incurring party for one-0half of the out of pocket expense; if not disputed or paid within the thirty-day period, the party may be subject to a finding of contempt and appropriate sanctions.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Defendant shall be 100% RESPONSIBLE for BABYISTTING COSTS for the parties' minor child; however, Plaintiff shall be 100% RESPONSIBLE for TRANSPORTING the minor child to the BABYSITTER during his parental timeshare.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED ALL COMMUNICATION between the parties shall be CONDUCTED through OUR FAMILY WIZARD except for EMERGENCIES regarding the party's minor children. FAMILY WIZARD shall be the ONLY source of communicating between parties.

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that then
2	
3	parental timeshare with the minor children Abby and Shawn.
5	COURT FURTHER ORDERED,
6	
7	RETURN HEARING set for 10/16/18 at 11:00 AM.
8	
9	DATED this day of August, 2018 DATED this day of August, 2018
10 11	DATED this day of August, 2018 DATED this day of August, 2018
12	HARVEY GRUBER, ESQ. LØUIS SCHNEIDER, ESQ.
13	223 Water Street Ste C 430 S. 7th St.
14	Henderson, Nevada 89015 Attorney for Defendant Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorney for Plaintiff
15	Attorney for Defendant Attorney for Plaintiff
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.
17	DATED and DONE 41: 17 1 a C
18	DATED and DONE this _// day of, 2018
19	DENISE L. GENTILE
21	FAMILY COURT JUDGE, DEPT. F
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 9/24/2018 12:11 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

		CLERK OF THE COURT
Years	CSERV HANRATTY LAW GROUP	Atumb. Line
2	Carrie J. Primas, Esq.	
3	State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 PH: (702) 821-1379	
5	FAX: (702) 870-1846	
6	EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	
7		
8	DISTRI	CT COURT
9		UNTY, NEVADA
10		
	DEVIN REED,) Case No: D-18-568055-D) Dept No: F
11	Plaintiff,)
12	V.) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
13	AMANDA REED,))
14	Defendant.	
15	I hereby certify that I am an employee	of Hanratty Law Group, and on the 24th day or
16		
17	September, 2018, I placed a true and correct of	copy of the Substitution of Attorney in the United
18	States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, with postage	e prepaid, and addressed as follows:
19	Louis C. Schneider, Esq. 430 South 7 th Street	
20	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
21	Attorney for Plaintiff	
22		By: Laci Colli
23		Employee of Hanratty Law Group
24		
25		
26		
27		

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint

COURT MINUTES

October 16, 2018

D-18-568055-D

Devin Bryson Reed, Plaintiff

Amanda Raelene Reed, Defendant.

October 16, 2018

11:00 AM

Case Management

Conference

HEARD BY:

Gentile, Denise L

COURTROOM: Courtroom 03

COURT CLERK: Antoria Pickens

PARTIES:

Abby Reed, Subject Minor, not present

Amanda Reed, Defendant, Counter Claimant,

present

Devin Reed, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,

present

Shawn Reed, Subject Minor, not present

Harvey Gruber, Attorney, not present

Carrie Primas, Attorney, present

Louis Schneider, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE.

The Court reviewed the case history and pleadings on file.

Attorney Primas represented the parties reached an agreement and requested to place the agreement on the record. Attorney Primas further represented the agreement is to clarify the terms of the Temporary Order and convert them to Permanent Orders.

Plaintiff and Defendant SWORN and TESTIFIED.

The parties PARENTAL TIMESHARE shall REMAIN STATUS QUO; however, the EXCHANGE locations and times shall change to DROP OFF at school and PICK-UP from school. No School days the exchange time shall be 8:00 AM and 3:00 PM. (Delivering parent to transport with ALL

PRINT DATE:	11/05/2018	Page 1 of 3	Minutes Date:	October 16, 2018

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

EXCHANGES taking place at the sitter's home of the minor children's school.

The parties shall ALTERNATE every other week.

Plaintiff's ex-wife shall not WATCH or TRANSPORT the minor children.

Daniel shall be allowed to TRANSPORT the minor children.

The parties shall FOLLOW and ABIDE by the previous HOLIDAY SCHEDULE implemented in the Partial Parenting Agreement; however, this schedule does not address Christmas.

Defendant's Christmas Parental Timeshare shall commence on Christmas Day and conclude on the December 31st in ALL EVEN YEARS; however, Defendant's Christmas Parental Timeshare in ALL ODD YEARS shall commence on Christmas Day and conclude on January 1st.

Defendant shall have the rest of the Christmas/Winter Break.

There shall be NO RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL.

The parties shall FOLLOW and ABIDE by the MUTUAL BEHAVIOR ORDER extended to the minor children's events and any third-party or family members of the parties.

The parties shall be RESPONSIBLE for transporting the minor children to all extracurricular activities during their parental timeshare.

Plaintiff shall NOT SMOKE in the car or house during his parental timeshare.

Defendant shall have two weeks to RETURN the dog CHIBA to Plaintiff; however, if Defendant has not taken family pictures with the dog and children; the minor children shall be allowed to take the dog during their visitation with Defendant for family pictures and RETURN the dog to Plaintiff.

Plaintiff shall CONTINUE to MAINTAIN the truck payment.

The parties shall CONTINUE to MAINTAIN the car insurance and cell phone bills. Plaintiff shall be responsible for the car insurance, and the Defendant shall be responsible for the cell phone.

Plaintiff's Child Support Obligation shall be WAIVED until he establishes employment. Defendant shall have TEMPORARY EXCLUSIVE POSSESSION of the marital home; however, the Plaintiff is NOT WAIVING any rights or interest to the equity in the marital home.

PRINT DATE:	11/05/2018	Page 2 of 3	Minutes Date:	October 16, 2018

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Counsel to speak regarding the marital home appraisal.

COURT SO ORDERED, Agreement is AFFIRMED, and ADOPTED.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED, as follows:

Case Management Conference set for 12/18/18 at 11:00 AM.

Attorney Primas to prepare the Order from today's hearing Attorney Schneider to Countersign and submit to the Court for signature.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

December 18, 2018 11:00 AM Case Management Conference Gentile, Denise L Courtroom 03 Slayton, Andrea

PRINT DATE:	11/05/2018	Page 3 of 3	Minutes Date:	October 16, 2018

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Electronically Filed
12/12/2018 4:34 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

	APPL COOK
1	APPL HANRATTY LAW GROUP
2	Carrie J. Primas, Esq.
3	State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
4	PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846
5	EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com
6	Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed
U	
7	DISTRICT COURT
8	
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
2000	DEVIN REED,) Case No: D-18-568055-D
10) Dept No: F
11	Plaintiff,) EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN
12	v. ORDER SHORTENING TIME ON
) DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO AMANDA REED,) ENFORCE; FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW
13	Defendant.) CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT;
14) FOR CLARIFICATION; AND FOR
15) ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS
16	
	COMES NOW Defendant, Amanda Reed, by and through her attorney of record, Carrie J.
17	Primas, Esq., of Hanratty Law Group, hereby moves this Court for an Order Shortening Time on
18	her Motion to Enforce; for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in
19	Contempt of Court; for Clarification; and for Attorney Fees and Costs.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	///
25	
26	
27	
28	
	II

This Application for an Ex Parte Order Shortening Time on Defendant's Motion is based upon the Affidavit of Carrie J. Primas, Esq. Moreover, this Application is made and based upon all the papers, pleadings and records on file herein, as well as the Points and Authorities attached hereto.

Dated this ______day of December, 2018.

HANRATTY LAW GROUP

Carrienimo

Carrie J. Primas, Esq.

State Bar of Nevada No. 12071

1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846

EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com

Attorney for Defendant, Amanda Reed

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. <u>Points and Authority</u>

Eighth Judicial District Court Rule ("EDCR") 5.31 states in pertinent part:

Ex Parte motions to shorten time may not be granted except upon affidavit or certificate of counsel . . . describing the circumstances claimed to constituting good cause and justifying shortening time.

There is currently a Case Management Conference hearing scheduled for December 18, 2018 at 11:00 a.m. Defendant's Motion to Enforce; for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court; for Clarification; and for Attorney Fees and Costs is currently pending e-filing.

As such, Defendant respectfully requests that the hearing on her instant Motion be consolidated with the hearing on December 18, 2018, at 11:00 a.m., in the interest of judicial economy, and also to ensure timely payment of the truck payment and avoid further damage to Defendant's credit.

54 E	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

II.

Conclusion

Based on the aforementioned reasons, it is respectfully requested that this Court enter an Order Shortening Time on Defendant's Motion to Enforce; for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court; for Clarification; and for Attorney Fees and Costs.

Dated this 12th day of December, 2018.

HANRATTY LAW GROUP

By: Carrena

Carrie J. Primas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12071

1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846

EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed.

1	AFFIDAVIT OF CARRIE J. PRIMAS, ESQ.
2	STATE OF NEVADA)
3	County of Clark)
4	1. I, Carrie J. Primas, Esq., the Plaintiff's attorney in the above referenced matter and
5	I can attest to the below reference facts as being true and correct to the best my knowledge as
6	represented by my client.
7	
8	2. There is currently a Case Management Conference hearing scheduled for
9	December 18, 2018 at 11:00 a.m. Defendant's Motion to Enforce; for an Order to Show Cause
10	Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court; for Clarification; and for Attorney Fees
11	and Costs is currently pending e-filing.
12	3. As such, Defendant respectfully requests that the hearing on her instant Motion be
13	consolidated with the hearing on December 18, 2018, at 11:00 a.m., in the interest of judicial
14	economy, and also to ensure timely payment of the truck payment and avoid further damage to
15	Defendant's credit.
16	FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.
17	FORTHER AFFIANT SATETH NAUGHT.
18	
19	Carrie J. Primas, Esq.
20 21	Subscribed and Sworn to before me this day of December, 2018.
22	La. A Con.
23	Notary Public in and for said County
24	And State
25	
26	PUBLIC MARI A. COLLIS STATE OF NEVADA SOUNTY OF CLARK
27	MY APPOINTMENT EXP JUVE 14 2021 No: 05-97452-1

Electronically Filed 12/12/2018 4:34 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

MOT

1

7

8

10

11

13

14

HANRATTY LAW GROUP

Carrie J. Primas, Esq.

State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 3

1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 PH: (702) 821-1379

FAX: (702) 870-1846 5 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com

Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

9 DEVIN REED,

Case No: D-18-568055-D

Plaintiff,

Dept No: F

V. 12

AMANDA REED,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF MOTION AND **MOTION TO ENFORCE; FOR AN** ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF SHOULD NOT BE **HELD IN CONTEMPT OF** COURT; FOR CLARIFICATION; AND FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

Esq.

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

COSTS

Date of Hearing: 22nd January 2019 Time of Hearing: 9:00 Am,

Oral Argument Requested: YES

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THIS MOTION WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND TO PROVIDE THE UNDER-SIGNED WITH A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION. FAILURE TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION MAY RESULT IN THE REQUESTED RELIEF BEING GRANTED BY THE COURT WITHOUT HEARING PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULED HEARING DATE.

TO: Plaintiff, Devin Reed, and his attorney of record, Louis C. Schneider,

1	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned will bring the foregoing
2	Motion on for hearing before the Honorable Denise L. Gentile, in Dept. F,
3	Countroom #2 of the Fighth Indicial District Count Family Division leasted at 601
4	Courtroom #3 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division located at 601
5	N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada on the 22nd day of January 2019, 2018, at
6	9:00 a.m./pxxx. of said day, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be
7	heard.
8	COMES NOW the Defendant, Amanda Reed, by and through her attorney,
9	COVIES NOW the Detendant, Amanda Reed, by and through her attorney,
10	Carrie J. Primas, Esq., of Hanratty Law Group, and hereby moves the Court for an
11	order granting the following:
12	1. Enforcing the Mutual Behavior Order and Order from the October 16,
13	1. Enforcing the Mutual Behavior Order and Order from the October 16, 2018 Hearing.
14	2. Issuing an Order to Show Cause why Plaintiff should not be held in contempt for Court for violation of the Mutual Behavior Order and
15	October 16, 2018 Orders;
16	3. Clarifying the custodial timeshare;4. Ordering Devin to work;
17	5. Permitting Defendant to inspect Plaintiff's living conditions;
18	6. Ordering the parties to equally divide the cost of an appraisal; and7. Awarding Defendant attorney fees and costs.
19	
20	
21	\\\\
22	\\\\
23	
24	
25	\\\\
26	\\\\
27	

This Motion is made and based on the attached Points and Authorities,

Declaration of Amanda Reed, all papers and pleadings on file herein and argument

of counsel at the hearing on this matter.

DATED this 12th day of December, 2018.

HANRATTY LAW GROUP

Carrie J. Primas, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 12071
1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Phone: (702) 821-1379 Fax: (702) 870-1846

Email: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed

i	l
	`
,	•
]

5

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

Statement of Facts

The Parties, Plaintiff Devin Reed ("Devin") and Defendant Amanda Reed ("Amanda") were married on the 2nd day of October, 2008. There are two (2) minor children born the issue of the marriage, to wit: Abby Reed ("Abby"), born April 6, 2013; and Shawn Reed, born July 3, 2015.

A Mutual Behavior Order was filed in this action on August 14, 2018. The parties were last before this Court on October 16, 2018, for a Case Management Conference. At said hearing, the parties stipulated to the following:

- 1. That Devin would continue to maintain the truck payment, which is due on the 22nd of each month.
- 2. To follow current timeshare, but specifically defined pick up and drop off times and locations for non-school days.
- 3. That Devin's child support obligation would be waived until he establishes employment

a. Mutual Behavior Order

Devin continues to violate the Mutual Behavior Order. Specifically, Devin repeatedly calls Amanda names and uses foul language toward her, as well as sends her threatening and intimidating messages through Our Family Wizard. Some of Devin's messages are blatantly abusive, such as when he said, "F*** youuuuuu" in

a message on November 19, 2018, or when Devin threatens to cancel Amanda's car insurance on December 4, 2018. Other communications contain veiled threats or are subtly abusive, such as when Devin states, "I bet u wish u could take what I had originally offered. That is funny also," in a message on December 5, 2018. A sample of the way Devin communicates with Amanda, including those referenced above, are attached as **Exhibit "A"** in the Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion and is hereby fully incorporated herein by reference.

b. Truck Payment

Since said hearing date, Devin has been late making the truck payment. Specifically, the truck payment for October and November was paid eighteen (18) days late. To date, December's payment has yet to be paid. The truck is held solely in Amanda's name and Devin's failure to pay in a timely fashion is having a negative impact on her credit, which will ultimately affect her ability to refinance the marital residence upon divorce.

c. Timeshare

The parties' custodial schedule was originally set at the August 14, 2018, hearing and stated as follows:

Plaintiff shall have the minor children EVERY FIRST and THIRD WEEK of the months. Commencing Tuesday 8/14/18 at 8:00 a.m. and concluding Thursday at 3:00 p.m.

Plaintiff shall have the minor children EVERY SECOND and FOURTH WEEK of the month. Commencing Friday at 8:00 a.m. and concluding Monday at 8:00 a.m.