2) Dad and Mom shall both participate in the Cooperative Parenting Class offered by UNLV. This does not require them to participate jointly, but both are being required take this class.

3) Dad and Mom shall participate in the ABCs of Parenting and Triple P (**Hetronically**(**Biled**arate seminars) through the parenting project with Clark County; the classes ard anelon 2022stration p.m. available by calling the number on their website. http://www.clarkcounty**Elizabetta**. Brown services/Services/pages/ParentingProject.aspx Clerk of Supreme Court

4) All classes are to be completed within the next 6 months -- this time frame is provided given that there may be limited availability and/or delay due to the stay at home orders, or reduced staffing with the Parenting Project.

5) Mom is prohibited from allowing James Eatherly near her children. Period. If this Court hears that Mr. Eatherly is near her children, it is grounds for an immediate modification of custody.

6) Dad's request for sealing of the record is permitted, all that is necessary is a submission of a separate Order sealing the record. The Court will sign the Order Sealing the Record, upon submission of same.

7) Court will hold the request for declaration of vexatious litigant, until the time of trial. COURT FINDS that it is curious it was only days after the parties entered their decree of divorce that a motion was filed. But, the issues raised in both parties' papers are serious enough that this Court will conduct an evidentiary hearing to determine which person s representations are truthful and whether either party is litigating this matter in bad faith or misrepresenting the facts to this Court. If the Court conducts an evidentiary hearing, and either party is unable to prove what is being alleged and the matter proceeds in bad faith after discovery is conducted, an award of attorney's fees may be granted to the prevailing party.

8) Dad's child support shall be suspended at the present, given that he has been furloughed, and shall be suspended as of the date of the filing of his papers. COURT ORDERS that the actual child support that is supposed to be paid during these months, while Dad is furloughed, shall be determined at the time of trial. Dad must update his income information, including if he receives unemployment income, so that the calculations can properly be determined at the time of trial.

9) Based upon the current situation, where the children aren't going to school, and Dad is not working, the request from counsel to shift Dad's time to the weekends, the Court FINDS that it is in the children's best interests to modify the schedule as follows:

a. Week 1 shall be modified where Dad normally has mid-week time with the children; this weekly

PRINT DATE:	05/13/2020	Page 3 of 5	Minutes Date:	May 13, 2020

time shall be shifted to the following weekend from Saturday morning at noon to Monday at 7 p.m. after Abby's therapy session on that Monday. This will allow Dad to be involved in assisting Abby with her session, and can participate by attending or assisting Abby to do the session virtually. If there are sessions presently scheduled, then Mom must provide those dates and times to Dad. If not, Mom is going to be vested with the responsibility of setting the appointments for those Monday dates and advise Dad of the times. There should be no reason why the therapist who had a standing appointment with Abby for years, cannot accommodate a Monday virtual appointment. Dad will have the responsibility of ensuring Abby has her therapy appointments on his day. If he fails to do so, this will be another reason why Dad may have his time limited. COURT directs Dad to be sure to comply with these scheduled sessions.

b. Week 2 shall remain the same with Dad having Friday to Monday, as previously ordered. c. Given that this minute order is issued on a Wednesday, this schedule shall commence the next week that Dad is supposed to have the children mid-week. Instead, he will have them on that following weekend from Saturday to Monday, then will have them as usual the next Friday to Monday, then Saturday to Monday, and alternate that schedule thereafter.

10) This Court understands the allegations about Dad's failure to take the children to their activities during his time. The Court is unsure what is actually happening at the present, likely none of the activities are occurring, but if they resume during the summer, Mom will have the children during the weekdays mostly when the children's activities are scheduled.

11) This Court agrees with the Defendant's argument, and the Dr.'s recommendation, that the parties herein have such hostility that there is no way for them to agree on the legal custody issues at this time. Hopefully by the time the evidentiary hearing is conducted, there will have been some progress. COURT ORDERS that on a temporary basis, Mom will make the decision as it pertains to medical, dental, psychological, educational, etc. HOWEVER, she is required to immediately provide Dad with all information relating to those decisions on the communication app; Dad is entitled to all the information pursuant to statute. This may also reduce some of the conflict that currently exists between the parties at the present. Accordingly, the Court temporarily modifies legal custody for decision making purposes, but does not preclude dad from having access to information or access to the medical providers, educators, etc.

12.) Dad is hereby afforded the opportunity to supplement his papers, if he believes he has a basis to request any additional relief regarding custody. He must file his request within the next 30 days. COURT ORDERS that discovery is open for purposes of addressing the custody issues raised in each party's papers, as well as child support related thereto.

PRINT DATE:	05/13/2020	Page 4 of 5	Minutes Date:	May 13, 2020

13) Mom may submit her OSC as it pertains to the alleged violations raised in her Motion for this Court's signature.

All future dates shall be set by the Court in the ordinary course. A Pre-Trial Conference shall be set in 90 days on **August 12, 2020, at 1:30 p.m.**

All other requests are deferred to the evidentiary hearing on this matter.

Ms. Primas to prepare the Order from this Minute Order.

CLERK'S NOTE: On 5/13/20 a copy of the Court's Minute Order was provided to each Attorney. (mm)

FUTURE HEARINGS:	August 12, 2020 1:30 PM Pre Trial Conference Gentile, Denise L
	Courtroom 03
	McCulloch, Melissa

PRINT DATE:	05/13/2020	Page 5 of 5	Minutes Date:	May 13, 2020

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

APPX0840

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Compla	int	COURT MINUTES	May 13, 2020
D-18-568055-D	Devin Bryson I vs. Amanda Raele	Reed, Plaintiff ene Reed, Defendant.	
May 13, 2020	04:00 PM	Minute Order	
HEARD BY:	Gentile, Denise L	COURTROOM: Chambers	
COURT CLERK:	McCulloch, Melissa		
PARTIES PRESENT Devin Bryson Re Not Present	: ed, Counter Defendan	t, Plaintiff, Alex Ghibaudo, Attorney, Not P	resent
Amanda Raelene Defendant, Not P	Reed, Counter Claima resent	Int, Carrie J. Primas, ESQ, Attorney	, Not Present
Abby Reed, Subj	ect Minor, Not Present	Harvey Gruber, Attorney, Not Pr	resent

Shawn Reed, Subject Minor, Not Present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-01, this Court may issue a decision on the papers.

COURT heard this matter on 5/13/2020 and took the matter under advisement. COURT FINDS that Mom filed a Motion to Modify Custody Requesting the following:

o For this Court to Adopt Dr. Paglini's recommendations as it pertains to legal custody and recommendations for Dad to take parenting classes and anger management classes o Issue OSC against Dad for violation of the Mutual Behavior Order and other Others of this Court o PPC

o Attorney's fees and costs

COURT FINDS that Dad filed a Countermotion seeking the following relief:

- Requesting:

o Denv Mom's Motion

o Enter a Protective Order on behalf of the children against Mom's boyfriend, Jeffrey Eatherly

- o Enter an Order sealing the parties' case file pursuant to NRS 125.110
- o Declare Mom a vexatious litigant
- o Sanction Mom and her counsel pursuant to EDCR 7.60(b)
- o Suspend his Child Support obligation temporarily since he was furloughed from MGM
- o Admonish Mom regarding her failure to abide by the Honk-and-Seat Belt Rule
- o Attorney's fees

COURT heard compelling argument from both parties. COURT has reviewed the filings of both parties, and considered the concerns raised by each. COURT is very concerned for the parties' children, Abby being the most at risk at the present. COURT FINDS that Dr. Paglni's concerns are echoed by this Court. While Dad may have some defenses to his behavior at times, may be goaded by the behavior of mom and her father, may think that it is appropriate to intimidate or scare those around him, including scare his daughter with a gun, this Court FINDS that this is unacceptable. The sad thing is, the lack of awareness of this behavior and how it affects the children. COURT FINDS Minutes Date:

that this does not excuse the behavior of Mom which this Court FINDS is likely a result of the unhealthy relationship with Dad. That being said, one of the things that should cease immediately is the involvement of Ms. Reed's father. While this Court understands that exchanges are the time the parents have contact, and Ms. Reed wants to document said exchanges to keep the peace, the peace is broken when there is a hostile individual present who is videotaping all interactions. Each parent needs to have the assistance of resources available to them to move forward in parenting these chidlren, or they will forever have difficulty with co-parenting, and the children will be the victims of this unhealthy and tumultuous situation, sadly it will follow them into adulthood and their own interpersonal relationships, where they will have dysfunction, all due to the current situation which could be avoided if these parents acted like dignified and rational human beings that cared about their children and understood how their behavior affects the children.

In this regard, Court FINDS the following orders are in the children's best interests.

COURT ORDERS as follows:

1) Dad shall take a minimum of 20 sessions of anger management classes which are available online, and provide proof of the same to the Court.

2) Dad and Mom shall both participate in the Cooperative Parenting Class offered by UNLV. This does not require them to participate jointly, but both are being required take this class.

3) Dad and Mom shall participate in the ABCs of Parenting and Triple P (which consists of 3 separate seminars) through the parenting project with Clark County; the classes are free and registration is available by calling the number on their website. http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/family-services/Services/Pages/ParentingProject.aspx

4) All classes are to be completed within the next 6 months -- this time frame is provided given that there may be limited availability and/or delay due to the stay at home orders, or reduced staffing with the Parenting Project.

5) Mom is prohibited from allowing James Eatherly near her children. Period. If this Court hears that Mr. Eatherly is near her children, it is grounds for an immediate modification of custody.

6) Dad's request for sealin of the record is permitted, all that is necessary is a submission of a separate Order sealing the record. Upon submission of those two documents, this Court will sign the Order.

7) Court will hold the request for declaration of vexatious litigant, until the time of trial. COURT FINDS that it is curious it was only days after the parties entered their decree of divorce that a motion was filed. But, the issues raised in both parties papers are serious enough that this Court will conduct an evidentiary hearing to determine which person s representations are truthful and whether either party is litigating this matter in bad faith or misrepresenting the facts to this Court. If the Court conducts an evidentiary hearing, and either party is unable to prove what is being alleged and the matter proceeds in bad faith after discovery is conducted, an award of attorneys fees may be granted to the prevailing party.

8) Dad s child support shall be suspended at the present, given that he has been furloughed, and shall be suspended as of the date of the filing of his papers. COURT ORDERS that the actual child support that is supposed to be paid during these months, while Dad is furloughed, shall be determined at the time of trial. Dad must update his income information, including if he receives unemployment income, so that the calculations can properly be determined at the time of trial.

9) Based upon the current situation, where the children aren t going to school, and Dad is not working, the request from counsel to shift Dad s time to the weekends, the Court FINDS that it is in the children s best interests to modify the schedule as follows:

a. Week 1 shall be modified where Dad normally has mid-week time with the children; this weekly time shall be shifted to the following weekend from Saturday morning at noon to Monday at 7 p.m. after Abby s therapy session on that Monday. This will allow Dad to be involved in assisting Abby with her session, and can participate by attending or assisting Abby to do the session virtually. If there are sessions presently scheduled, then Mom must provide those dates and times to Dad. If not, Mom is going to be vested with the responsibility of setting the appointments for those Monday dates and advise Dad of the times. There should be no reason why the therapist who had a standing appointment with Abby for years, cannot accommodate a Monday virtual appointment. Dad will have the responsibility of ensuring Abby has her therapy appointments on his day. If he fails to do so, this will be another reason why Dad may have his time limited. COURT directs Dad to be sure to comply with these scheduled sessions.

b. Week 2 shall remain the same with Dad having Friday to Monday, as previously ordered. c. Given that this minute order is issued on a Wednesday, this schedule shall commence the next week that Dad is supposed to have the children mid-week. Instead, he will have them on that following weekend from Saturday to Monday, then will have them as usual the next Friday to Monday, then Saturday to Monday, and alternate that schedule therafter.

10) This Court understands the allegations about Dad s failure to take the children to their activities during his time. The Court is unsure what is actually happening at the present, likely none of the activities are occurring, but if they resume during the summer, Mom will have the children during the weekdays mostly when the children s activities are scheduled.

11) This Court agrees with the Defendant s argument, and the Dr. s recommendation, that the parties herein have such hostility that there is no way for them to agree on the legal custody issues at this time. Hopefully by the time the evidentiary hearing is conducted, there will have been some progress. COURT ORDERS that on a temporary basis, Mom will make the decision as it pertains to medical, dental, psychological, educational, etc. HOWEVER, she is required to immediately provide Dad with all information relating to those decisions on the communication app; Dad is entitled to all the information pursuant to statute. This may also reduce some of the conflict that currently exists between the parties at the present. Accordingly, the Court temporarily modifies legal custody for decision making purposes, but does not preclude dad from having access to information or access to the medical providers, educators, etc.

12.) Dad is hereby afforded the opportunity to supplement his papers, if he believes he has a basis to request any additional relief regarding custody. He must file his request within the next 30 days. COURT ORDERS that discovery is open for purposes of addressing the custody issues raised in each party s papers, as well as child support related thereto.

13) Mom may submit her OSC as it pertains to the alleged violations raised in her Motion for this Court s signature.

All future dates shall be set by the Court in the ordinary course. A Pre-Trial Conference shall be set in 90 days on August 12, 2020, at 1:30 p.m.

All other requests are deferred to the evidentiary hearing on this matter.

Ms. Primas to prepare the Order from this Minute Order.

CLERK S NOTE: On 5/13/20 a copy of the Court s Minute Order was provided to each Attorney. (mm)

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Page 3 of 4

Aug 12, 2020 1:30PM Pre Trial Conference Courtroom 03 Gentile, Denise L

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Compla	int	COURT MINUTES	May 13, 2020
D-18-568055-D	Devin Bryson I vs. Amanda Raele	Reed, Plaintiff ene Reed, Defendant.	
May 13, 2020	09:30 AM	All Pending Motions	
HEARD BY:	Gentile, Denise L	COURTROOM: Courtroom 03	
COURT CLERK:	McCulloch, Melissa		
PARTIES PRESENT Devin Bryson Re Present	: ed, Counter Defendant	, Plaintiff, Michancy Moonblossom Cram	er, Attorney, Present
Amanda Raelene Defendant, Prese	Reed, Counter Claima	nt, Carrie J. Primas, ESQ, Attorne	y, Present
Abby Reed, Subj	ect Minor, Not Present	Harvey Gruber, Attorney, Not I	Present

Shawn Reed, Subject Minor, Not Present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADOPT DR. PAGLINI'S RECOMMENDATIONS; FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT: TO MODIFY CUSTODY AND FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS... PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADOPT DR. PAGLINI'S RECOMMENDATIONS; FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT; TO MODIFY CUSTODY AND FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS AND COUNTERMOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER ON BEHALF OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILDREN FOR AN ORDER SEALING THE PARTIES CASE FILE: FOR AN ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT TO OBTAIN COURT APPROVAL PRIOR TO FILING FUTURE MOTIONS; TO DECLARE DEFENDANT A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT; FOR SANCTIONS, FEES AND COSTS AND FOR OTHER RELATED RELIEF., REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO ADOPT DR. PAGLINI'S RECOMMENDATIONS: FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT; TO MODIFY CUSTODY AND FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS AND OPPOSITION TO COUNTERMOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER ON BEHALF OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILDREN FOR AN ORDER SEALING THE PARTIES CASE FILE: FOR AN ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT TO OBTAIN COURT APPROVAL PRIOR TO FILING FUTURE MOTIONS: TO DECLARE DEFENDANT A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT: FOR SANCTIONS. FEES AND COSTS AND FOR OTHER RELATED RELIEF

All parties participated VIA TELEPHONICALLY.

Attorney Michancy Cramer, Bar No. 11545, also participated VIA TELEPHONICALLY on behalf of Plaintiff.

Statements by Attorney Primas regarding Defendant's request for Dr. Paglini's recommendations to
be adopted and for an Order to Show Cause be issued against Plaintiff for violations against the
Mutual Behavior Order and the Order for Talking Parents and request for a modification of custody.
Discussion regarding the recent abuse issue involving Abby and Plaintiff's actions against same.
Argument by Attorney Cramer regarding abuse allegations and Defendant's relationship with the
alleged abuser, Defendant excluding Plaintiff from involvement with the child's therapy and
allegations of Pathogenic Parenting by Defendant. Further statements as to issues in Dr. Paglini'sPrinted Date: 5/14/2020Page 1 of 2May 13, 2020

report and information and videos being withheld from him. Court NOTES, it was represented that Plaintiff is currently unemployed due to COVID.

Following argument from each side, COURT advised the issue will be taken UNDER ADVISEMENT and a MINUTE ORDER issued and electronically provided to each side.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Aug 12, 2020 1:30PM Pre Trial Conference Courtroom 03 Gentile, Denise L

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint	CC	OURT MINU	TES May 26, 2020
D-18-568055-D	Devin Bryson vs. Amanda Raele		
May 26, 2020	10:00 AM	Amended	Minute Order
HEARD BY: Genti	le, Denise L		COURTROOM: Chambers
COURT CLERK: M	Ielissa McCulloc	h	
PARTIES: Abby Reed, Subject Amanda Reed, Defe not present Devin Reed, Plaintif present Shawn Reed, Subjec	ndant, Counter (f, Counter Defen	Claimant, Idant, not	Carrie Primas, Attorney, not present Alex Ghibaudo, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- AMENDED MINUTE ORDER

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-01, this Court may issue a decision on the papers.

COURT heard this matter on 5/13/2020 and took the matter under advisement. COURT FINDS that Mom filed a Motion to Modify Custody Requesting the following:

o For this Court to Adopt Dr. Paglini's recommendations as it pertains to legal custody and recommendations for Dad to take parenting classes and anger management classes o Issue OSC against Dad for violation of the Mutual Behavior Order and other Others of this Court o PPC

o Attorney's fees and costs

PRINT DATE:	05/26/2020	Page 1 of 5	Minutes Date:	May 26, 2020

COURT FINDS that Dad filed a Countermotion seeking the following relief:

- Requesting:
- o Deny Mom's Motion
- o Enter a Protective Order on behalf of the children against Mom's boyfriend, Jeffrey Eatherly o Enter an Order sealing the parties' case file pursuant to NRS 125.110
- o Declare Mom a vexatious litigant
- o Sanction Mom and her counsel pursuant to EDCR 7.60(b)
- o Suspend his Child Support obligation temporarily since he was furloughed from MGM
- o Admonish Mom regarding her failure to abide by the Honk-and-Seat Belt Rule
- o Attorney's fees

COURT heard compelling argument from both parties. COURT has reviewed the filings of both parties, and considered the concerns raised by each. COURT is very concerned for the parties' children, Abby being the most at risk at the present. COURT FINDS that Dr. Paglni's concerns are echoed by this Court. While Dad may have some defenses to his behavior at times, may be goaded by the behavior of mom and her father, may think that it is appropriate to intimidate or scare those around him, including scare his daughter with a gun, this Court FINDS that this is unacceptable. The sad thing is, the lack of awareness of this behavior and how it affects the children. COURT FINDS that this does not excuse the behavior of Mom which this Court FINDS is likely a result of the unhealthy relationship with Dad. That being said, one of the things that should cease immediately is the involvement of Ms. Reed's father. While this Court understands that exchanges are the time the parents have contact, and Ms. Reed wants to document said exchanges to keep the peace, the peace is broken when there is a hostile individual present who is videotaping all interactions. Each parent needs to have the assistance of resources available to them to move forward in parenting these children, or they will forever have difficulty with co-parenting, and the children will be the victims of this unhealthy and tumultuous situation, sadly it will follow them into adulthood and their own interpersonal relationships, where they will have dysfunction, all due to the current situation which could be avoided if these parents acted like dignified and rational human beings that cared about their children and understood how their behavior affects the children.

In this regard, Court FINDS the following orders are in the children's best interests.

COURT ORDERS as follows:

1) Dad shall take a minimum of 20 sessions of anger management classes which are available online, and provide proof of the same to the Court.

2) Dad and Mom shall both participate in the Cooperative Parenting Class offered by UNLV. This

PRINT DATE:	05/26/2020	Page 2 of 5	Minutes Date:	May 26, 2020
-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	--------------

does not require them to participate jointly, but both are being required take this class.

3) Dad and Mom shall participate in the ABCs of Parenting and Triple P (which consists of 3 separate seminars) through the parenting project with Clark County; the classes are free and registration is available by calling the number on their website. http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/family-services/Services/Pages/ParentingProject.aspx

4) All classes are to be completed within the next 6 months -- this time frame is provided given that there may be limited availability and/or delay due to the stay at home orders, or reduced staffing with the Parenting Project.

5) Mom is prohibited from allowing James Eatherly near her children. Period. If this Court hears that Mr. Eatherly is near her children, it is grounds for an immediate modification of custody.

6) Dad's request for sealing of the record is permitted, all that is necessary is a submission of a separate Order sealing the record. Upon submission of those two documents, this Court will sign the Order.

7) Court will hold the request for declaration of vexatious litigant, until the time of trial. COURT FINDS that it is curious it was only days after the parties entered their decree of divorce that a motion was filed. But, the issues raised in both parties' papers are serious enough that this Court will conduct an evidentiary hearing to determine which person s representations are truthful and whether either party is litigating this matter in bad faith or misrepresenting the facts to this Court. If the Court conducts an evidentiary hearing, and either party is unable to prove what is being alleged and the matter proceeds in bad faith after discovery is conducted, an award of attorneys' fees may be granted to the prevailing party.

8) Dad s child support shall be suspended at the present, given that he has been furloughed, and shall be suspended as of the date of the filing of his papers. COURT ORDERS that the actual child support that is supposed to be paid during these months, while Dad is furloughed, shall be determined at the time of trial. Dad must update his income information, including if he receives unemployment income, so that the calculations can properly be determined at the time of trial.

9) Based upon the current situation, where the children aren't going to school, and Dad is not working, the request from counsel to shift Dad s time to the weekends, the Court FINDS that it is in the children s best interests to modify the schedule as follows:

a. Week 1 shall be modified where Dad normally has mid-week time with the children; this weekly time shall be shifted to the following weekend from Saturday morning at noon to Monday at 7 p.m.

PRINT DATE:	05/26/2020	Page 3 of 5	Minutes Date:	May 26, 2020
-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	--------------

after Abby s therapy session on that Monday. This will allow Dad to be involved in assisting Abby with her session, and can participate by attending or assisting Abby to do the session virtually. If there are sessions presently scheduled, then Mom must provide those dates and times to Dad. If not, Mom is going to be vested with the responsibility of setting the appointments for those Monday dates and advise Dad of the times. There should be no reason why the therapist who had a standing appointment with Abby for years, cannot accommodate a Monday virtual appointment. Dad will have the responsibility of ensuring Abby has her therapy appointments on his day. If he fails to do so, this will be another reason why Dad may have his time limited. COURT directs Dad to be sure to comply with these scheduled sessions.

b. Week 2 shall remain the same with Dad having Friday to Monday, as previously ordered. c. Given that this minute order is issued on a Wednesday, this schedule shall commence the next week that Dad is supposed to have the children mid-week. Instead, he will have them on that following weekend from Saturday to Monday, then will have them as usual the next Friday to Monday, then Saturday to Monday, and alternate that schedule thereafter.

10) This Court understands the allegations about Dad s failure to take the children to their activities during his time. The Court is unsure what is actually happening at the present, likely none of the activities are occurring, but if they resume during the summer, Mom will have the children during the weekdays mostly when the children's activities are scheduled.

11) This Court agrees with the Defendant's argument, and the Dr.'s recommendation, that the parties herein have such hostility that there is no way for them to agree on the legal custody issues at this time. Hopefully by the time the evidentiary hearing is conducted, there will have been some progress. COURT ORDERS that on a temporary basis, Mom will make the decision as it pertains to medical, dental, psychological, educational, etc. HOWEVER, she is required to immediately provide Dad with all information relating to those decisions on the communication app; Dad is entitled to all the information pursuant to statute. This may also reduce some of the conflict that currently exists between the parties at the present. Accordingly, the Court temporarily modifies legal custody for decision making purposes, but does not preclude dad from having access to information or access to the medical providers, educators, etc.

12.) Dad is hereby afforded the opportunity to supplement his papers, if he believes he has a basis to request any additional relief regarding custody. He must file his request within the next 30 days. COURT ORDERS that discovery is open for purposes of addressing the custody issues raised in each party's papers, as well as child support related thereto.

13) Mom may submit her OSC as it pertains to the alleged violations raised in her Motion for this Court's signature.

PRINT DATE:	05/26/2020	Page 4 of 5	Minutes Date:	May 26, 2020

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

APPX0850

All future dates shall be set by the Court in the ordinary course. A Pre-Trial Conference shall be set in 90 days on August 12, 2020, at 1:30 p.m.

All other requests are deferred to the evidentiary hearing on this matter.

Ms. Primas to prepare the Order from this Minute Order.

JUDGE'S NOTE COURT INADVERTENTLY ORDERED THE PARTIES to attend a class that had been ordered in the past and both parties had already attended. COURT's law clerk brought this matter to the Court's attention after the Minute Order had been issued. COURT REVISED Minute Order on 5/26/20 to eliminate the provision.

CLERK'S NOTE: On 5/26/20 a copy of the Court's Minute Order was provided to each Attorney. (mm)

FUTURE HEARINGS:

August 12, 2020 1:30 PM Pre Trial Conference Gentile, Denise L Courtroom 03 McCulloch, Melissa

PRINT DATE:	05/26/2020	Page 5 of 5	Minutes Date:	May 26, 2020

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint		COURT MINUTES	May 26, 2020
D-18-568055-D	568055-D Devin Bryson Reed, Plaintiff vs. Amanda Raelene Reed, Defendant.		
May 26, 2020	10:00 AM	Minute Order	
HEARD BY:	Gentile, Denise L	COURTROOM: Chambers	
COURT CLERK:	McCulloch, Melissa		
Devin Bryson Re Not Present			
Amanda Raelene Reed, Counter Claimant, Defendant, Not Present		nt, Carrie J. Primas, ESQ, Attorney,	Not Fresent
Abby Reed, Subject Minor, Not Present		Harvey Gruber, Attorney, Not Pre	esent

Shawn Reed, Subject Minor, Not Present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

AMENDED MINUTE ORDER

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-01, this Court may issue a decision on the papers.

COURT heard this matter on 5/13/2020 and took the matter under advisement. COURT FINDS that Mom filed a Motion to Modify Custody Requesting the following:

o For this Court to Adopt Dr. Paglini's recommendations as it pertains to legal custody and recommendations for Dad to take parenting classes and anger management classes o Issue OSC against Dad for violation of the Mutual Behavior Order and other Others of this Court o PPC

o Attorney's fees and costs

COURT FINDS that Dad filed a Countermotion seeking the following relief:

- Requesting:

o Deny Mom's Motion

o Enter a Protective Order on behalf of the children against Mom's boyfriend, Jeffrey Eatherly

- o Enter an Order sealing the parties' case file pursuant to NRS 125.110
- o Declare Mom a vexatious litigant
- o Sanction Mom and her counsel pursuant to EDCR 7.60(b)
- o Suspend his Child Support obligation temporarily since he was furloughed from MGM
- o Admonish Mom regarding her failure to abide by the Honk-and-Seat Belt Rule
- o Attorney's fees

COURT heard compelling argument from both parties. COURT has reviewed the filings of both parties, and considered the concerns raised by each. COURT is very concerned for the parties' children, Abby being the most at risk at the present. COURT FINDS that Dr. Paglni's concerns are echoed by this Court. While Dad may have some defenses to his behavior at times, may be goaded by the behavior of mom and her father, may think that it is appropriate to intimidate or scare those

around him, including scare his daughter with a gun, this Court FINDS that this is unacceptable. The sad thing is, the lack of awareness of this behavior and how it affects the children. COURT FINDS that this does not excuse the behavior of Mom which this Court FINDS is likely a result of the unhealthy relationship with Dad. That being said, one of the things that should cease immediately is the involvement of Ms. Reed's father. While this Court understands that exchanges are the time the parents have contact, and Ms. Reed wants to document said exchanges to keep the peace, the peace is broken when there is a hostile individual present who is videotaping all interactions. Each parent needs to have the assistance of resources available to them to move forward in parenting these children, or they will forever have difficulty with co-parenting, and the children will be the victims of this unhealthy and tumultuous situation, sadly it will follow them into adulthood and their own interpersonal relationships, where they will have dysfunction, all due to the current situation which could be avoided if these parents acted like dignified and rational human beings that cared about their children and understood how their behavior affects the children.

In this regard, Court FINDS the following orders are in the children's best interests.

COURT ORDERS as follows:

1) Dad shall take a minimum of 20 sessions of anger management classes which are available online, and provide proof of the same to the Court.

2) Dad and Mom shall both participate in the Cooperative Parenting Class offered by UNLV. This does not require them to participate jointly, but both are being required take this class.

3) Dad and Mom shall participate in the ABCs of Parenting and Triple P (which consists of 3 separate seminars) through the parenting project with Clark County; the classes are free and registration is available by calling the number on their website. http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/family-services/Services/Pages/ParentingProject.aspx

4) All classes are to be completed within the next 6 months -- this time frame is provided given that there may be limited availability and/or delay due to the stay at home orders, or reduced staffing with the Parenting Project.

5) Mom is prohibited from allowing James Eatherly near her children. Period. If this Court hears that Mr. Eatherly is near her children, it is grounds for an immediate modification of custody.

6) Dad's request for sealin of the record is permitted, all that is necessary is a submission of a separate Order sealing the record. Upon submission of those two documents, this Court will sign the Order.

7) Court will hold the request for declaration of vexatious litigant, until the time of trial. COURT FINDS that it is curious it was only days after the parties entered their decree of divorce that a motion was filed. But, the issues raised in both parties papers are serious enough that this Court will conduct an evidentiary hearing to determine which person s representations are truthful and whether either party is litigating this matter in bad faith or misrepresenting the facts to this Court. If the Court conducts an evidentiary hearing, and either party is unable to prove what is being alleged and the matter proceeds in bad faith after discovery is conducted, an award of attorneys fees may be granted to the prevailing party.

8) Dad s child support shall be suspended at the present, given that he has been furloughed, and shall be suspended as of the date of the filing of his papers. COURT ORDERS that the actual child support that is supposed to be paid during these months, while Dad is furloughed, shall be determined at the time of trial. Dad must update his income information, including if he receives unemployment income, so that the calculations can properly be determined at the time of trial.

9) Based upon the current situation, where the children aren t going to school, and Dad is not

Printed Date: 5/27/2020	Page 2 of 4	Minutes Date:	May 26, 2020
Notice: Journal Entries are prepa	red by the courtroom clerk	and are not the official rec	ord of the Court.3

working, the request from counsel to shift Dad s time to the weekends, the Court FINDS that it is in the children s best interests to modify the schedule as follows:

a. Week 1 shall be modified where Dad normally has mid-week time with the children; this weekly time shall be shifted to the following weekend from Saturday morning at noon to Monday at 7 p.m. after Abby s therapy session on that Monday. This will allow Dad to be involved in assisting Abby with her session, and can participate by attending or assisting Abby to do the session virtually. If there are sessions presently scheduled, then Mom must provide those dates and times to Dad. If not, Mom is going to be vested with the responsibility of setting the appointments for those Monday dates and advise Dad of the times. There should be no reason why the therapist who had a standing appointment with Abby for years, cannot accommodate a Monday virtual appointment. Dad will have the responsibility of ensuring Abby has her therapy appointments on his day. If he fails to do so, this will be another reason why Dad may have his time limited. COURT directs Dad to be sure to comply with these scheduled sessions.

b. Week 2 shall remain the same with Dad having Friday to Monday, as previously ordered. c. Given that this minute order is issued on a Wednesday, this schedule shall commence the next week that Dad is supposed to have the children mid-week. Instead, he will have them on that following weekend from Saturday to Monday, then will have them as usual the next Friday to Monday, then Saturday to Monday, and alternate that schedule therafter.

10) This Court understands the allegations about Dad s failure to take the children to their activities during his time. The Court is unsure what is actually happening at the present, likely none of the activities are occurring, but if they resume during the summer, Mom will have the children during the weekdays mostly when the children s activities are scheduled.

11) This Court agrees with the Defendant s argument, and the Dr. s recommendation, that the parties herein have such hostility that there is no way for them to agree on the legal custody issues at this time. Hopefully by the time the evidentiary hearing is conducted, there will have been some progress. COURT ORDERS that on a temporary basis, Mom will make the decision as it pertains to medical, dental, psychological, educational, etc. HOWEVER, she is required to immediately provide Dad with all information relating to those decisions on the communication app; Dad is entitled to all the information pursuant to statute. This may also reduce some of the conflict that currently exists between the parties at the present. Accordingly, the Court temporarily modifies legal custody for decision making purposes, but does not preclude dad from having access to information or access to the medical providers, educators, etc.

12.) Dad is hereby afforded the opportunity to supplement his papers, if he believes he has a basis to request any additional relief regarding custody. He must file his request within the next 30 days. COURT ORDERS that discovery is open for purposes of addressing the custody issues raised in each party s papers, as well as child support related thereto.

13) Mom may submit her OSC as it pertains to the alleged violations raised in her Motion for this Court s signature.

All future dates shall be set by the Court in the ordinary course. A Pre-Trial Conference shall be set in 90 days on August 12, 2020, at 1:30 p.m.

All other requests are deferred to the evidentiary hearing on this matter.

Ms. Primas to prepare the Order from this Minute Order.

JUDGE'S NOTE COURT INADVERTENTLY ORDERED THE PARTIES to attend a class that had been ordered in the past and both parties had already attended. COURT s law clerk brought this matter to the Court s attention after the Minute Order had been issued. COURT REVISED Minute Order on 5/26/20 to eliminate the provision.

CLERK'S NOTE: On 5/26/20 a copy of the Court s Minute Order was provided to each Attorney. (mm)

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Aug 12, 2020 1:30PM Pre Trial Conference Courtroom 03 Gentile, Denise L

1 2 3 4 5 6	NEOJ HANRATTY LAW GROUP Carrie J. Primas, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	Electronically Filed 6/4/2020 3:35 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
7		T COURT
8		NTY, NEVADA
9	DEVIN REED,	Case No: D-18-568055-D Dept No: F
10 11	Plaintiff,	
11	v. AMANDA REED,	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
12	Defendant.	
14		
15	Please take notice that an Order to Show	Cause was duly entered in the above referenced
16	case on the 4 th day of June, 2020, a copy of	which is attached hereto and by reference fully
17	incorporated herein.	
18	Dated this 4 th day of June, 2020.	
19	НА	NRATTY LAW GROUP
20		O
21		mehimas rie J. Primas, Esq.
22	Sta	e Bar of Nevada No. 12071 5 Village Center Circle, Suite 140
23	Las	Vegas, Nevada 89134 (702) 821-1379
24	FA	X: (702) 870-1846
25 26		AIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com orneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed
20		
28		
		1 APPX0856

Case Number: D-18-568055-D

1	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that I am an employee of Hanratty Law Group, and on the 4 day of
3	June, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the Notice of Entry of Order (with Order attached
4	hereto) by using the Wiz-Net E-Service addressed to the following email registered on the E-
5	Service List for this case as follows:
6	
7	Alex Ghibaudo, Esq. alex@glawvegas.com
8	Attorney for Plaintiff
9	By: <u>Kaui</u> <u>Celli</u> Employee of Hanratty Law Group
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2 APPX0857

1 2 3 4 5 6	OSC HANRATTY LAW GROUP Carrie J. Primas, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	Electronically Filed 6/4/2020 10:06 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
7	DISTRICT	COURT
8	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
9 10	DEVIN REED,	Case No: D-18-568055-D
10	Plaintiff,	Dept No: F
12	v.)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	AMANDA REED,	Hearing Date: Hearing Time:
14	Defendant.	
15	This court having reviewed and conside	ered the foregoing Motion for an Order Show
16	Cause why Plaintiff, Devin Reed, should not be h	
17		al Behavior Order filed on August 14, 2018, the
18 19	Order from the August 14, 2018 Hearing filed	
19 20		
21	October 16, 2018 Hearing filed on February 27, 2020, by:	2019, and the Decree of Divorce med April 6,
22	Count I: Allowing Jacob continued overni	i abta
23		
24	<u>Counts II, III, IV: Sending text messages t</u>	
25	<u>Counts V through XVI: Violations of the N</u>	
26	Counts XVII through XXI: Failure to take	
27	Counts XXII, XXIII, XXIV: Violation of Fi	nancial Orders
28	1	A DDV0050
	, 1	APPX0858

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff, Devin Reed, appear in District Court at the	
2		
3	courtroom of the above entitled court, located at 601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada, on the	
4,	5th day of August , 2020, at 1:30 a.m./p.m., in Department "F", Courtroom #3 of	
5	said Court, and then and there show cause, if any he has, why he should not be adjudicated guilty	
6	of contempt of court and punished accordingly. FOR A 1.5 HOUR SETTING	
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff, Devin Reed, has the right to file an Affidavit	
8	of his behalf and may appear personally or by the way of an attorney, and present testimony on	
9	his behalf at the time of the hearing.	
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff, Devin Reed, fails to appear, he shall be	
11	deemed to have waived his rights to a hearing and that such case, the court may hold him in	
12 13	contempt and issue a warrant for his arrest.	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED on the <u>3rd</u> day of Ma y, 2020.	
15	ρ γ ρ γ	
16	Denise Hereit	
17	District Court Judge	
18	Submitted this 26 th day of	
19	May, 2020: IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Pre-Trial	
20	HANRATTY LAW GROUP Conference presently scheduled for August 12, 20 shall be advanced to this date on August 5, 2020 in the interests of judicial economy.	
21	Camenimo	
22	Carrie J. Primas, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 12071	
23	1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
24	PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846	
25	EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	
26	Attomeys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	
27		
28		
	2	
	APPX0859	

1 2 3	NOTC Alex B. Ghibaudo, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10592	7/1/2 Stev	tronically Filed 020 11:20 AM en D. Grierson RK OF THE COURT
4	ALEX GHIBAUDO, PC 703 South Eighth Street		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 T: (702) 978-7090		
6	E: alex@glawvegas.com		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8		DISTRICT COURT	
9	FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
10			
11 12	DEVIN REED,	Case Number: D-18-568 Department: F	3055-D
12	Plaintiff,		
13	vs.		
15	AMANDA REED,		
16	Defendant.		
17	Defendant.		
18	NOTICE OF PROG	RAM COMPLETION	
19	COMES NOW, Plaintiff DEVIN		his attorney of
20			-
21	record, MICHANCY M. CRAMER, E		
22	hereby files this <i>Notice of Program Comp</i>	pletion attached herein as I	Exhibit 1.
23 24	DATED this 1st day of July, 2020.		
24 25	Re	spectfully Submitted,	
25 26	//s/	//Alex Ghibaudo	
20 27		ex Ghibaudo, Esq.	
27		orney for Plaintiff	
-0			
	Page	1 of 3	APPX0860
	Case Number: D-18	-568055-D	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I declare under penalty of perjury, under the law of
3	the State of Nevada, that I served a true and correct copy of Notice of Program
4	Completion, on July 1, 2020, as follows:
5	$[\mathbf{x}]$ Dursuant to EDCD 8.05(a) EDCD 8.05(f) NPCD 5(b)(2)(D) and
6	[x] Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), EDCR 8.05(f), NRCP 5(b)(2)(D), and Administrative Order 14-2 captioned " <i>In the Administrative Matter</i>
7 8	of Mandatory Electronic Service in the Eighth Judicial District
o 9	<i>Court,</i> " by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial
10	District Court's electronic filing system;
11	[] By depositing a copy of same in a sealed envelope in the United
12	States Mail, postage pre-paid, in Las Vegas, Nevada;
13	[] Pursuant to EDCR 7.26, sent via facsimile by duly executed
14	consent for service by electronic means.
15	To the following address:
16	Carrie Primas, Esq.
17	1815 Village Center Circle – Suite 140
18	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Attorney for Defendant
19	
20	//s//Michancy M. Cramer
21	Alex Ghibaudo, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff
22 23	Αποτηεί μοτη ταπτημ
23 24	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	

Exhibit 1



CENTER OF SOLUTIONS, LLC

888-606-1962

THIS CERTIFIES THAT

Devon Reed

has successfully completed a 20 Hour Anger Management Program

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

Given this 1st day of July 2020

Dr. Kathy S. Garber

Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist Certified Anger Management Facilitator Certified Mediator

1 2 2	EXHS Alex B. Ghibaudo, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10592	Electronically Filed 7/2/2020 2:57 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
3	ALEX GHIBAUDO, PC	
4 5	703 South Eighth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
6	T: (702) 978-7090 E: alex@glawvegas.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAI	DISTRICT COURT
9	FAMILY	DIVISION
10	CLARK COUI	NTY, NEVADA
11	DEVIN REED,	Case Number: D-18-568055-D
12	Plaintiff,	Department: F
13		
14	VS.	
15	AMANDA REED,	
16	Defendant.	
17 _		
18		FIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL PLEA FOR
19 20		LIEF
20 21	COMES NOW, Plaintiff DEVIN	REED, by and through his attorney of
21	record, MICHANCY M. CRAMER, E	SQ., of ALEX GHIBAUDO, P.C., and
22	hereby files the following Exhibits in Sup	pport of Plaintiff's Supplemental Plea for
24	Relief:	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Page	1 of 24 APPX0864
	Case Number: D-18	-568055-D

1	1. April 2018 picture of Amanda with Jeff Eatherly and Jeff Debose;
2	2. April 2018 screenshot of text messages showing Jeff playing with Abby;
3	3. Jeff and Amanda at Chrystal Tampke's daughter, Ava's birthday party;
4 5	4. Chrystal Tampke facebook photo with Jason Debose;
6	5. Jason Debose facebook page showing he is friends with Chrystal
7	Tampke;
8	6. June 29, 2019 Tuff n Uff event showing Amanda and Jeff on a date;
9	 June 29, 2019 Tuff n Uff event showing Jeff sitting with Amanda's father
10	
11	and other people;
12 13	8. Screen shots of April 2018 video;
13	9. District Court Register of Actions for Jason Debose;
15	10.Henderson Justice Court Register of Actions for Jason Debose;
16	11.Amanda's 2017 Prescription history;
17	12.Amanda's 2018-2019 Prescription history;
18	13.Evidence of some of Amanda's calls to LVMPD;
19 20	14.June 22, 2020 Summerlin Hospital visit for Abby;
21	15.June 24, 2020 Message regarding Summerlin Hospital;
22	16.June 21, 2020 picture of Abby with Devin – no swollen eye;
23	17.Toy hammer;
24	
25	
26 27	
27 28	
20	
	Page 2 of 24 APPX0865

1	18.April 17, 2020 video of exchange in which Amanda and her father stared	
2	at Devin for 2+ minutes;	
3	19.April 17, 2020 video of exchange with Abby;	
4		
5	20.July 1, 2020 CPS contact.	
6	DATED this 2nd day of July, 2020.	
7	Respectfully Submitted,	
8	//s//Alex Ghibaudo	
9	Alex Ghibaudo, Esq.	
10	Attorney for Plaintiff	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17		
10		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Page 3 of 24 APPX0866	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I declare under penalty of perjury, under the law of
3	the State of Nevada, that I served a true and correct copy of Exhibits in Support of
4	Plaintiff's Supplemental Plea for Relief, on July 2, 2020, as follows:
5	[] Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), EDCR 8.05(f), NRCP 5(b)(2)(D), and
6 7	Administrative Order 14-2 captioned "In the Administrative Matter
8	of Mandatory Electronic Service in the Eighth Judicial District
9	<i>Court,</i> " by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial
10	District Court's electronic filing system;
11	[x] By depositing a copy of same in a sealed envelope in the United
12	States Mail, postage pre-paid, in Las Vegas, Nevada;
13	[] Pursuant to EDCR 7.26, sent via facsimile by duly executed
14	consent for service by electronic means.
15	To the following address:
16	Carrie Primas, Esq.
17	1815 Village Center Circle – Suite 140
18	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Attorney for Defendant
19	
20	//s//Michancy M. Cramer
21	Alex Ghibaudo, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff
22	Αποιπεγς jor 1 ιαπιη
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
27	
28	
	Page 4 of 24 APPX0867