custody, must testify in person except in certain circumstances, highlights the importance of a trial being conducted with all testimony being taken in person.

As such, Amanda respectfully requests a finding Electronically Filed a Jan 10 2022 11:28 p.m. personal appearance by all parties and witnesses is necessary of Supreme Court proceeding, and, as such, allow for an in-person trial.

B. The action should be stayed.

As outlined in detail below, this Court should stay this action. Pursuant to NRAP 8(d),¹ the Court must consider the following factors when determining whether to issue a stay in a civil case involving child custody:

1. Whether the children will suffer hardship or harm if the stay is either granted or denied:

If the stay is denied, there is a high likelihood that the case will ultimately result in an appeal following the trial in this matter. Should that happen, the parties will still not have finality and the children will still be subject to the high conflict that ongoing litigation creates.

2. Whether the nonmoving party will suffer hardship or harm if the stay is granted:

Plaintiff will suffer no hardship. He continues to enjoy joint physical custody, and is currently afforded all weekends with the minor children. Further, pending trial, his child support obligation has been stayed.

3. Whether movant is likely to prevail on the merits in the appeal:

There is no standard *per se* for a stay in the district court pending appeal. Defendant submits that the factors in the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure should be used in this Court.

Defendant believes she will prevail on the merits of a Writ related to whether the parties are entitled to an in-person trial.

4. Whether a determination of other existing equitable consideration, if any, is warranted.

This factor is not relevant.

As such, Amanda respectfully requests that, should the Court not see fit to grant her Motion for In-Person Trial, the trial on this matter be stayed until such time as a Petition for Writ on the same issue may be decided.

1	III.
2	Conclusion
3	Therefore, based upon the foregoing, Amanda respectfully requests this cour
5	to enter an order:
6	to enter an order.
7	 For trial to be conducted in-person; For the action to be stayed; For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
8	3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
9	DATED this 23 rd day of September, 2020.
10	HANRATTY LAW GROUP
11	
12	Carrie J. Primas, Esq.
13	Nevada Bar No. 12071
14	1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
15	Phone: (702) 821-1379 Fax: (702) 870-1846
16	Email: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com
17	Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

DECLARATION OF AMANDA REED

STATE OF NEVADA)
)ss
County of Clark)

I, Amanda Reed, am the Defendant in the above referenced matter and have read the foregoing Motion, and the factual averments it contains are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except as to those matters based on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. Those factual averments contained in the referenced filing are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.

Dated this 24h day of September, 2020.

Amanda Reed

MOFI

DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

	D-18-568055-D				
Dept.	<u>F</u>				
MOTION	N/OPPOSITION				
	ORMATION SHEET				
Notice: Motions and Oppositions filed after entry of a final order issued pursuant to NRS 125, 125B or 125C are subject to the reopen filing fee of \$25, unless specifically excluded by NRS 19.0312. Additionally, Motions and Oppositions filed in cases initiated by joint petition may be subject to an additional filing fee of \$129 or \$57 in					

n this form is sub	ject to the \$25 reopen fee.				
n this form is not	subject to the \$25 reopen				
d before a Divor	ce/Custody Decree has been				
l solely to adjust	the amount of child support				
. sorery to adjust	and amount of china support				
	new trial, and is being filed				
t or decree was e	ntered. The final order was				
☐ Other Excluded Motion (must specify)					
	Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in the box below.				
the box below.					
	subject to the \$129 or the				
n this form is not					
n this form is not ed in a case that v	was not initiated by joint petition.				
n this form is not ed in a case that v					
n this form is not ed in a case that valid tion previously p is subject to the	was not initiated by joint petition.				
n this form is not ed in a case that v tion previously p	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57.				
n this form is not ed in a case that valid tion previously p is subject to the der.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion				
ed in a case that valued in a case that valued in previously pris subject to the der.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is				
ed in a case that valued in a case that valued in previously pris subject to the der.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion				
n this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. of the this form is su djust or enforce	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is				
ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce id a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion				
this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce and a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion				
this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce and a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion				
this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce and a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion as form is:				
this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce and a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion				
	MOTION FEE INF nal order issued pure excluded by NRS 1 pe subject to an additional session. the box below. In this form is substituted before a Divorced before a Divorced solely to adjust ideration or for a tor decree was entired.				

Electronically Filed 9/24/2020 2:05 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

CERT 1 HANRATTY LAW GROUP 2 Carrie J. Primas, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 3 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846 5 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed 6 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 9 10 DEVIN REED, Case No: D-18-568055-D Dept No: 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF TRIPLE P POSITIVE PARENTING PROGRAM 13 AMANDA REED, 14 Defendant. 15 Please take notice that the Defendant, Amanda Reed, has completed the Court Ordered 16 Triple P Positive Parenting Program on the 12th day of September, 2020, a copy of which is 17 attached hereto and by reference fully incorporated herein. 18 Dated this 24th day of September, 2020. 19 20 HANRATTY LAW GROUP 21 22 Carrie J. Primas, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 23 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 24 PH: (702) 821-1379 25 FAX: (702) 870-1846 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com 26 Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed 27

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Hanratty Law Group, and on the <u>2411</u> day of September, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the *Notice of Completion of Triple P Positive Parenting Program* by using the Wiz-Net E-Service addressed to the following email registered on the E-Service List for case as follows:

Alex Ghibaudo, Esq. Michancy Cramer, Esq. alex@glawvegas.com michancy@glawvegas.com Attorney for Plaintiff

By: Kau Collis
Employee of Hanratty Law Group

Clark County Department of Family Services Parenting Project

This certifies that

Amanda Reed

has successfully completed

Triple P (Positive Parenting Program)

September 12, 2020

Program Coordinator

Parenting Project
3900 Cambridge Street, Suite 203
Las Vegas, NV 89119
(702) 455-5295

Hours: 20.0 Client Id: 106517

DISTRICT COURT 1 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 2 **** 3 Devin Bryson Reed, Plaintiff Case No.: D-18-568055-D 4 Amanda Raelene Reed, Defendant. Department F 5 6 NOTICE OF HEARING 7 Please be advised that the Defendant's Notice of Motion and Motion for In-Person 8 Trial and to Stay Action in the above-entitled matter is set for hearing as follows: 9 Date: November 06, 2020 10 Time: No Appearance Required 11 **Location:** Courtroom 03 Family Courts and Services Center 12 601 N. Pecos Road 13 Las Vegas, NV 89101 14 NOTE: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the 15 Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System, the movant requesting a hearing must serve this notice on the party by traditional means. 16 17 STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court 18 19 By: /s/ Carmelo Coscolluela Deputy Clerk of the Court 20 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 21 22 I hereby certify that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion Rules a copy of this Notice of Hearing was electronically served to all registered users on 23 this case in the Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System. 24 By: /s/ Carmelo Coscolluela 25 Deputy Clerk of the Court

26

27

28

Electronically Filed 9/24/2020 10:04 AM Steven D. Grierson

CLERK OF THE COURT

APPX1093

Case Number: D-18-568055-D

Electronically Filed 9/24/2020 9:59 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

MOT HANRATTY LAW GROUP Carrie J. Primas, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 3 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 PH: (702) 821-1379 5 FAX: (702) 870-1846 6 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 10 DEVIN REED, 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. 13 AMANDA REED, 14 Defendant.

Case No: D-18-568055-D

Dept No: F

NOTICE OF MOTION AND AMENDED MOTION FOR IN-PERSON TRIAL AND TO STAY ACTION

Date of Hearing: Time of Hearing:

Oral Argument Requested: YES

TO: Plaintiff, Devin Reed, and his attorney, Alex B. Ghibaudo, Esq.

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THIS MOTION WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND TO PROVIDE THE UNDER-SIGNED WITH A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION. FAILURE TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION MAY RESULT IN THE REQUESTED RELIEF BEING GRANTED BY THE COURT WITHOUT HEARING PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULED HEARING DATE.

///

26

27

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1					
1	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned will bring the foregoing				
2	Motion on for hearing before the Honorable Denise L. Gentile, in Dept. F,				
3 4	Courtroom #3 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division located at 601				
5	N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada on the day of, 2020, at				
6					
7	a.m./p.m. of said day, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be				
8	heard.				
9	COMES NOW the Defendant, Amanda Reed, by and through her attorney,				
10	Carrie J. Primas, Esq., of Hanratty Law Group, and hereby moves the Court for an				
11 12	order granting the following:				
13	 For trial to be conducted in-person; For the action to be stayed; 				
14	3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.				
15 16	This Motion is made and based on the attached Points and Authorities,				
17	Declaration of Amanda Reed, all papers and pleadings on file herein and argument				
18	of counsel at the hearing on this matter.				
19	DATED this 23 rd day of September, 2020.				
20	HANRATTY LAW GROUP				
21	Carrenina				
22	Carrie J. Primas, Esq.				
23	Nevada Bar No. 12071 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140				
24	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134				
25	Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed				
26					
27					

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

Statement of Facts

On August 5, 2020, this Court set a trial in this matter via an Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial. Trial is currently scheduled for October 22, 2020 and is scheduled to be conducted by Blue Jeans video conference as permitted by the Court's Administrative Orders.

As the Court is well aware, the parties to this case have been embroiled in litigation almost constantly since August, 2018. As the Court is also aware, Amanda has filed two (2) different Motions for orders to show cause for contempt, alleging numerous violations of this Court's Orders by Devin, the most recent of which is set to be heard at the time of trial in this matter.

Amanda's Motion to Modify Custody is based on Devin's history of Domestic Violence against her, as well as his neglect of and inability to provide proper care for the minor children. Much of the Domestic Violence is evidenced by audio and video recordings, which were also reviewed and considered by Dr. Paglini when conducting his Custodial Evaluation. Much of the evidence related to Devin's failure to provide proper care for the children will also be provided by way of audio and video recordings. Specifically, Amanda has disclosed 116 different audio and video recordings. Amanda is unaware of a way for the video evidence to be presented via Blue Jeans, as it would have to be visible to the Court, the witness

being questioned, undersigned counsel, and opposing counsel. Further, listening to audio over Blue Jeans, with all the same individuals needing to hear the audio, creates a high likelihood of technical difficulties that will either delay the trial or create issues related to whether the witness is able to hear the recordings as clearly and accurately as possible.

Moreover, the Minute Order filed on May 13, 2020, following the hearing on the same date, makes it clear that truthfulness and veracity of the parties is crucial to the Court's consideration of the Defendant's request to modify custody. Specifically, paragraph 7 of the Minute Order states that the Court will conduct an evidentiary hearing "to determine which person's representations are truthful and whether either party is litigating this matter in bad faith, or misrepresenting the facts to this Court." As such, it is crucial that the Court is able to ensure that there is no witness tampering or witness coaching, and that the witnesses are abiding by all regular guidelines such as not referring to notes or discussing their testimony with other witnesses.

II.

GOVERNING LAW AND ANALYSIS

A. The Trial should be heard in-person.

Administrative Order 20-17, issued on June 1, 2020 states in pertinent part as follows:

///

,,,

Bench trials in all case types should go forward when possible. Appearances by witnesses, parties, and lawyers should be by alternative means unless the District Court Judge finds that a personal appearance by an individual is necessary to conduct the proceeding. Emphasis added. *See* AO 20-17, pg. 16, ll. 9-11.

This order shall continue to stay trials in civil cases for purposes of tolling NRCP 41(e) except where a District Court Judge makes findings to lift the stay in a specific case to allow the case to be tried. *See* AO 20-17, pg. 17, ll. 4-6.

If a personal meeting is necessary, social distancing must be observed and all participants must wear face coverings covering their noses and mouths. *See* AO 20-17, pg. 17, ll. 23-25.

As noted above, the Court has already determined that the outcome of the pending trial will hinge on the truthfulness of the parties, yet in a trial conducted via Blue Jeans, the Court will not be able to accurately determine the credibility of the witnesses as the Court will not be able to read the body language of each witness, or to ensure that each witness is not reading notes, looking at their phone or another computer screen, or being coached, or whether another witness is present during testimony to hear what is being testified to prior to providing their own testimony.

The bulk of the evidence in this case is being provided by way of audio and video recordings, which will be impossible to accurately share for viewing by the Court, the witness, and both counsel simultaneously, to ensure accurate review of the evidence and related evidence. The accusations by both parties are serious – Defendant alleges a history of domestic violence and neglect by Plaintiff, while Plaintiff alleges sexual abuse of one of the minor children as well as prescription

drug abuse by Defendant. The parties went to the expense of a full Custodial Evaluation performed by Dr. Paglini, a large part of which was the audio and video recordings related to the domestic violence, which will be utilized during his testimony. As the Court is aware, his recommendations ultimately were driven by Plaintiff's history of domestic violence, as well as Plaintiff's reaction when presented with the audio and video recordings in question.

In addition to the above, as this Court is aware, there is absolutely no trust between the parties in this case. Both counsel have acknowledged that they are concerned about the trial being conducted via Blue Jeans due to all of the reasons listed above, as well as additional technical issues that have arisen for both parties in prior Blue Jeans appearances, and undersigned counsel is confident that, regardless of the outcome of the trial in this case, the non-prevailing party will likely file an Appeal based on any number of concerns, including, but not limited to, potential witness tampering and the Court or witnesses not being able to accurately view the evidence during questioning.

As noted above, Administrative Order 20-17 allows for a trial to go forward in person if the Court determines that a personal appearance is necessary, and further provides guidelines to follow in the case that a personal meeting is necessary. Specifically, all those involved must abide by social distances, and wear face coverings over their noses and mouths. As the Court is aware, the courtroom is

sufficiently big enough for the parties to social distance among themselves and from the Court, and all individuals involved can wear face coverings. If the Court has specific concerns related to the health of Judge Gentile, Defendant would request that the trial be conducted by another Family Court Judge, as there are numerous judges conducting in person trials and hearings with social distancing protocols in place.

The parties to this case have been litigating custody issues for almost two (2) years. There have been numerous contempt motions, an appeal, and a full Custody Evaluation, and the Court has already highlighted the importance of determining the truthfulness and veracity of the parties. Both sides have made extreme allegations about domestic violence, sexual abuse, and drug use, and it is crucial for the witnesses to be able to consider the audio and video evidence at the time of questioning. Further, it is crucial that the Court have the best ability to determine the credibility of the witnesses, especially the parties, without any concern for witness tampering, witness coaching, or other inappropriate actions by witnesses.

The Court must note that, in all other circumstances, parties must follow specific procedures if they wish to not appear in person at a trial. Even a party wishing for *child* to testify by alternative means must file a specific Motion requesting the same. The fact that the Nevada Revised Statutes presume that even children, who inarguably should not be subjected to litigation related to their own

custody, must testify in person except in certain circumstances, highlights the importance of a trial being conducted with all testimony being taken in person.

As such, Amanda respectfully requests a finding under AO 20-17 that a personal appearance by all parties and witnesses is necessary to conduct the proceeding, and, as such, allow for an in-person trial.

B. The action should be stayed.

As outlined in detail below, this Court should stay this action. Pursuant to NRAP 8(d),¹ the Court must consider the following factors when determining whether to issue a stay in a civil case involving child custody:

1. Whether the children will suffer hardship or harm if the stay is either granted or denied:

If the stay is denied, there is a high likelihood that the case will ultimately result in an appeal following the trial in this matter. Should that happen, the parties will still not have finality and the children will still be subject to the high conflict that ongoing litigation creates.

2. Whether the nonmoving party will suffer hardship or harm if the stay is granted:

Plaintiff will suffer no hardship. He continues to enjoy joint physical custody, and is currently afforded all weekends with the minor children. Further, pending trial, his child support obligation has been stayed.

3. Whether movant is likely to prevail on the merits in the appeal:

There is no standard *per se* for a stay in the district court pending appeal. Defendant submits that the factors in the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure should be used in this Court.

Defendant believes she will prevail on the merits of a Writ related to whether the parties are entitled to an in-person trial.

4. Whether a determination of other existing equitable consideration, if any, is warranted.

This factor is not relevant.

As such, Amanda respectfully requests that, should the Court not see fit to grant her Motion for In-Person Trial, the trial on this matter be stayed until such time as a Petition for Writ on the same issue may be decided.

1	III.
2	Conclusion
3	Therefore, based upon the foregoing, Amanda respectfully requests this cour
5	to enter an order:
6	to enter an order.
7	 For trial to be conducted in-person; For the action to be stayed; For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
8	3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
9	DATED this 23 rd day of September, 2020.
10	HANRATTY LAW GROUP
11	
12	Carrie J. Primas, Esq.
13	Nevada Bar No. 12071
14	1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
15	Phone: (702) 821-1379 Fax: (702) 870-1846
16	Email: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com
17	Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

DECLARATION OF AMANDA REED

STATE OF NEVADA)
County of Clark)ss
county of clark	,

I, Amanda Reed, am the Defendant in the above referenced matter and have read the foregoing Motion, and the factual averments it contains are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except as to those matters based on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. Those factual averments contained in the referenced filing are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.

Dated this 24h day of September, 2020.

Amanda Reed

MOFI

DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

	D-18-568055-D				
Dept.	<u>F</u>				
MOTION	N/OPPOSITION				
	ORMATION SHEET				
Notice: Motions and Oppositions filed after entry of a final order issued pursuant to NRS 125, 125B or 125C are subject to the reopen filing fee of \$25, unless specifically excluded by NRS 19.0312. Additionally, Motions and Oppositions filed in cases initiated by joint petition may be subject to an additional filing fee of \$129 or \$57 in					

n this form is sub	ject to the \$25 reopen fee.				
n this form is not	subject to the \$25 reopen				
d before a Divor	ce/Custody Decree has been				
l solely to adjust	the amount of child support				
. sorery to adjust	and amount of china support				
	new trial, and is being filed				
t or decree was e	ntered. The final order was				
☐ Other Excluded Motion (must specify)					
	Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in the box below.				
the box below.					
	subject to the \$129 or the				
n this form is not					
n this form is not ed in a case that v	was not initiated by joint petition.				
n this form is not ed in a case that v					
n this form is not ed in a case that valid tion previously p is subject to the	was not initiated by joint petition.				
n this form is not ed in a case that v tion previously p	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57.				
n this form is not ed in a case that valid tion previously p is subject to the der.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion				
ed in a case that valued in a case that valued in previously pris subject to the der.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is				
ed in a case that valued in a case that valued in previously pris subject to the der.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion				
n this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. of the this form is su djust or enforce	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is				
ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce id a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion				
this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce and a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion				
this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce and a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion				
this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce and a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion as form is:				
this form is not ed in a case that we tion previously p is subject to the der. Ith this form is subjust or enforce and a fee of \$129.	was not initiated by joint petition. aid a fee of \$129 or \$57. \$129 fee because it is a motion abject to the \$57 fee because it is a final order, or it is a motion				
	MOTION FEE INF nal order issued pure excluded by NRS 1 pe subject to an additional session. the box below. In this form is substituted before a Divorced before a Divorced solely to adjust ideration or for a tor decree was entired.				

Electronically Filed 9/28/2020 2:30 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **CSERV** HANRATTY LAW GROUP 2 Kari J. Hanratty, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 7677 3 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 4 PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846 5 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed 7 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 **FAMILY DIVISION** 10 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 11 Case No: D-18-568055-D DEVIN REED, Dept No: 12 Plaintiff, 13 CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC v. **SERVICE** 14 AMANDA REED, 15 Defendant. 16 I hereby certify that I am an employee of Hanratty Law Group, and on the 24th day of 17 September, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the Notice of Motion and Amended Motion 18 19 for In-Person Trial and to Stay Action by using the Wiz-Net E-Service addressed to the following 20 email registered on the E-Service List for this case as follows: 21 Alex Ghibaudo, Esq. Michancy Cramer, Esq. 22 alex@glawvegas.com 23 michancy@glawvegas.com office@glawvegas.com 24 Attorney for Plaintiff 25 By: Employee of Hanratty Law Group 26 27 28

Electronically Filed 9/28/2020 2:30 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **CSERV** HANRATTY LAW GROUP Kari J. Hanratty, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 7677 3 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846 5 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed 7 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 **FAMILY DIVISION** 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 Case No: D-18-568055-D DEVIN REED. Dept No: F 12 Plaintiff, 13 CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC v. SERVICE 14 AMANDA REED, 15 Defendant. 16 I hereby certify that I am an employee of Hanratty Law Group, and on the 28th day of 17 18 September, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the Re-Notice of Motion by using the Wiz-19 Net E-Service addressed to the following email registered on the E-Service List for this case as 20 follows: 21 Alex Ghibaudo, Esq. Michancy Cramer, Esq. 22 alex@glawvegas.com 23 michancy@glawvegas.com office@glawvegas.com 24 Attorney for Plaintiff 25 Employee of Hanratty Law Group 26 27

1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	CSERV HANRATTY LAW GROUP Kari J. Hanratty, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 7677 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	Electronically Filed 9/28/2020 2:30 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
8	DISTRIC	CT COURT
9	FAMILY	DIVISION
10	CLARK COU	UNTY, NEVADA
11	DEVIN REED,) Case No: D-18-568055-D) Dept No: F
12	Plaintiff,) Dept No. T
13	v.) CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC) SERVICE
14	AMANDA REED,)
15	Defendant.))
16	I hereby certify that I am an ampleyed	e of Hanratty Law Group, and on the 23 rd day of
17		-
18 19		copy of the Notice of Motion and Motion for In-
20		iz-Net E-Service addressed to the following email
21	registered on the E-Service List for this case as	follows:
22	Alex Ghibaudo, Esq. Michancy Cramer, Esq.	
23	alex@glawvegas.com	
24	michancy@glawvegas.com office@glawvegas.com	
25	Attorney for Plaintiff	Va - Con
26	Ву	Employee of Hanratty Law Group
27		
28		
- 1	1	

Case Number: D-18-568055-D

1

APPX1108

Electronically Filed 9/28/2020 2:14 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	RMOT HANRATTY LAW GROUP	Others. 2
2	Carrie J. Primas, Esq.	
•	State Bar of Nevada No. 12071	
3	1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
4	PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846	
5	EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	
6	Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	
7	DISTRIC	CT COURT
8	CLARK COU	JNTY, NEVADA
9	DEVIN REED,) Case No: D-18-568055-D
10	Plaintiff,) Dept No: F
11	v.) RE-NOTICE OF MOTION
12	AMANDA REED,) Oral Argument Requested: YES
13	Defendant.))
14 15	TO: Plaintiff, Devin Reed, and his att	torney, Alex B. Ghibaudo, Esq. & Michancy M.
16	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Mo	otion for In-Person Trial and to Stay Action and
17	Amended Motion for In-Person Trial and to S	Stay Action will come on for a hearing before the
18	Honorable Denise L. Gentile in Dept. "F", Co.	urtroom #3, of the above entitled Court, located at
19	601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89	
19		101, on the day of, 2020, at
20	a.m./p.m.	
21	Dated this 28 th day of September, 2020.	
22		HANRATTY LAW GROUP
23	Ву	
24		Carrie J. Primas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12071
		1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140
25		Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
26		Phone: (702) 821-1379 Fax: (702) 870-1846
27		Email: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com
28		Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed
	II .	

9/29/2020 10:25 AM Steven D. Grierson DISTRICT COURT 1 **CLERK OF THE COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 2 **** 3 Devin Bryson Reed, Plaintiff Case No.: D-18-568055-D 4 Amanda Raelene Reed, Defendant. Department F 5 6 NOTICE OF HEARING 7 Please be advised that the Defendant's Notice of Motion and Motion for In-Person 8 Trial and to Stay Action in the above-entitled matter is set for hearing as follows: 9 Date: November 18, 2020 10 Time: 2:00 PM 11 **Location:** Courtroom 03 Family Courts and Services Center 12 601 N. Pecos Road 13 Las Vegas, NV 89101 14 NOTE: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the 15 Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System, the movant requesting a hearing must serve this notice on the party by traditional means. 16 17 STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court 18 19 By: /s/ Juanito Nasarro Deputy Clerk of the Court 20 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 21 22 I hereby certify that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion Rules a copy of this Notice of Hearing was electronically served to all registered users on 23 this case in the Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System. 24 By: /s/ Juanito Nasarro 25

Electronically Filed

26

27

28

Deputy Clerk of the Court

Electronically Filed 10/1/2020 12:13 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	NEOJ HANRATTY LAW GROUP	u
2	Carrie J. Primas, Esq.	
3	State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 PH: (702) 821-1379	
5	FAX: (702) 870-1846 EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	
7	DISTRICT COURT	
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
9	DEVIN REED,) Case No: D-18-568055-D	
10	Plaintiff,) Dept No: F	
11	v. NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	
12	AMANDA REED,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	Please take notice that a Stipulation and Order to Continue Trial was duly entered in the	
16	above referenced case on the 1st day of October, 2020, a copy of which is attached hereto and	by
۱7	reference fully incorporated herein.	
18	Dated this 1 st day of October, 2020.	
19	HANRATTY LAW GROUP	
20	$O_{\alpha} = O_{\alpha}$	
21	By: Carrie J. Primas, Esq.	
22	State Bar of Nevada No. 12071 1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140	
23	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
24	PH: (702) 821-1379 FAX: (702) 870-1846	
25	EMAIL: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed	
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Hanratty Law Group, and on the 1st day of October, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the *Notice of Entry of Order* (with Order attached hereto) by using the Wiz-Net E-Service addressed to the following email registered on the E-Service List for this case as follows:

Alex Ghibaudo, Esq. Michancy Cramer, Esq. alex@glawvegas.com michancy@glawvegas.com office@glawvegas.com Attorney for Plaintiff

By: Au Olli
Employee of Hanratty Law Group

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 10/1/2020 11:29 AM

Electronically Filed 10/01/2020 11:28 AM Acus Acus CLERK OF THE COURT

1							
josek.	SAO HANRATTY LAW GROUP						
2	Carrie J. Primas, Esq. State Bar of Nevada No. 12071						
3	1815 Village Center Circle, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134						
4	Phone: (702) 821-1379						
5	Fax: (702) 870-1846 Email: attorneys@hanrattylawgroup.com						
6	Attorneys for Defendant, Amanda Reed						
7	DISTRIC	T COURT					
8	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA					
9							
10	DEVIN REED,	Case No: D-18-568055-D Dept No: F					
11	Plaintiff,)) 					
12	V. ()	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL					
13	AMANDA REED,)					
14	Defendant.))					
15	COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Devin Re	eed, by and through his attorney, Michancy M.					
16	Cramer, Esq., of Alex Ghibaudo, PC, and I	Defendant, Amanda Reed, by and through her					
17	attorneys, Carrie J. Primas, Esq., of Hanratty	Law Group and hereby stipulate and agree as					
18	follows:						
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG	GREED AND THEREFORE ORDERED that the					
20	Trial scheduled for October 22, 2020, at 9:00 a.	m., shall be continued for at least thirty (30) days					
21	to allow Defendant's Motion for an in-person tri	al to be heard and ruled on by this Court.					
22	\\\						
23	\\\						
24	\\\\\						
25	\\\\						
26	\\\\						
27							
28		1					
		•					

APPX1113

Case Number: D-18-568055-D

1					
Name of the last	IT IS HEREBY STIPULA	TED AND AGRI	EED AND T	HEREFORE	ORDERED that the
2	Trial shall be continued to	January 28, 202	21 at	at 9	_ a.m./p .m.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED this	day of		Dated Mish st o	day of October, 2020
4				S. i.	J'A
5				District C	ourt Judge
6	r.			EAB 1F2 C	C8B 6A7A
7 8	Dated this <u>21st</u> day of Septembe	er, 2020.	Dated this	Denise L G District Cou day of	entile Irt Judge September, 2020.
9	HANRATTY LAW GROUP		ALEX GH	JBAUDO, P	C
10	000		1	. h 1	r
park	By: Carrie J. Primas, Esq.		By: //	cy M. Crame	or Fsq
12	Nevada Bar No. 12071 1815 Village Center Circle, Su	rito 140	Nevada	Bar No. 115	
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	inc 140	Las Ve	gas. Nevada	89104
14	Phone: (702) 821-1379 Fax: (702) 870-1846		Fax: (7	(702) 978-70 02) 924-6553	}
15	Email: attorneys@hanrattylaw Attorney for Defendant, Amar		Email:	alex@glawve cy for Plaintif	gas.com f, Devin Reed
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	~				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26	,				
27					
70					