IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALI KIA, M.D.	E
Petitioner, vs.	A E C
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE JASMIN LILLY- SPELLS.	Supreme Court No.: District Court No.: A
Respondents.	
and	
FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company; and NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP. Real Parties in Interest.	

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX – Volume 1

PATRICIA EGAN DAEHNKE Nevada Bar No.: 4976 Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com LINDA K. RURANGIRWA Nevada Bar No.: 9172 Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorney for Petitioner Ali Kia, M.D.

lectronically Filed ug 12 2021 08:36 a.m. lizabeth A. Brown lerk of Supreme Court

-17-757722-C

	ALPHABETICAL APPENDIX	Volume	Bates No.
1.	Amended Complaint for Medical Malpractice	2	PA0310-PA0324
2.	Complaint for Medical Malpractice	1	PA0001- PA0007
3.	Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	7	PA1216- PA1226
4.	Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	5 -6	PA0728-PA1174
5.	Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	3	PA0340- PA0474
6.	Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	6	PA1188- PA1195
7.	Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	4	PA0652- PA0666
8.	Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Joinder to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	6	PA1175- PA1177
9.	Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Joinder to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	3	PA0475-PA0477

10.	Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss	4	PA0667- PA0680
11.	Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center's Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint for Medical Malpractice	2	PA0325-PA0332
12.	Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint	1	PA0008- PA0014
13.	Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center's Limited Opposition to Plaintiff's "Motion for Leave of Court to Amend Complaint"	2	PA0209- PA0220
14.	Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC's Motion for Leave to File Third Party Complaint on Order Shortening Time	1	PA0021- PA0048
15.	Defendants Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Frank J. DeLee, M.D., PC's Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint for Medical Malpractice	2	PA0333-PA 0339
16.	Defendants Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Frank J. DeLee, M.D., PC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint	1	PA0015- PA0020
17.	Motion for Leave of Court to Amend Complaint	2	PA0186- PA0208
18.	Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Answer to Amended Complaint	5	PA0722- PA0727

19.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration	6	PA1205- PA1215
20.	Notice of Entry of Order from March 16 2021 Hearing	4	PA0708- PA0721
21.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint	2	PA0301-PA0309
22.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center LLC's Motion to File Third Party Complaint for Contribution and Indemnity	1	PA0051- PA0054
23.	Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Joinder Thereto	1	PA0173- PA0185
24.	Opposition to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	6	PA1178- PA1187
25.	Opposition to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	4	PA0478-PA0651
26.	Order Denying Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration	6	PA1196- PA1204
27.	Order from March 16, 2021 Hearing	4	PA0696- PA0707

28.	Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint	2	PA0294- PA0300
29.	Order Granting Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center LLC's Motion to File Third Party Complaint for Contribution and Indemnity (Ali Kia, M.D.)	1	PA0049- PA0050
30.	Order Regarding Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Moton for Judgment on the Pleadings and Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Joinder Thereto	1	PA0164- PA0172
31.	Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration and Reply in Support of Motion for Leave of Court to Amend Complaint	2	PA0221-PA0252
32.	Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC's Third Party Complaint for Contribution and Indemnity (Ali Kia, M.D.)	1	PA0055- PA0060
33.	Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Answer to Third Party Complaint	1	PA0061- PA0075
34.	Third Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Joinder in Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	1	PA0140- PA0143
35.	Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Answer to	1	PA0076- PA0082

	Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC's Third Party Complaint		
36.	Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	1	PA0083- PA0090
37.	Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	1	PA0133- PA0139
38.	Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's Opposition to Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	1	PA0091- PA0132
39.	Transcript of Proceedings: All Pending Motions	2	PA0253-PA0293
40.	Transcript of Proceedings: Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Joinder to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	4	PA0681- PA0695
41.	Transcript of Proceedings: Third Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings; Third Party Defendant Kia's Joinder to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	1	PA0144- PA0163

VOLUME APPENDIX	Bates No.
<u>Volume 1</u>	
Complaint for Medical Malpractice	PA0001- PA0007
Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint	PA0008- PA0014
Defendants Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Frank J. DeLee, M.D., PC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint	PA0015- PA0020
Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC's Motion for Leave to File Third Party Complaint on Order Shortening Time	PA0021- PA0048
Order Granting Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center LLC's Motion to File Third Party Complaint for Contribution and Indemnity (Ali Kia, M.D.)	PA0049- PA0050
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center LLC's Motion to File Third Party Complaint for Contribution and Indemnity	PA0051- PA0054
Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC's Third Party Complaint for Contribution and Indemnity (Ali Kia, M.D.)	PA0055- PA0060
Third Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Answer to Third Party Complaint	PA0061- PA0075
Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Answer to Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC's Third Party Complaint	PA0076- PA0082
Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	PA0083- PA0090
Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's Opposition to Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	PA0091- PA0132

Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	PA0133- PA0139
Third Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Joinder in Third- Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	PA0140- PA0143
Transcript of Proceedings: Third Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings; Third Party Defendant Kia's Joinder to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	PA0144- PA0163
Order Regarding Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Moton for Judgment on the Pleadings and Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Joinder Thereto	PA0164- PA0172
Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Joinder Thereto	PA0173- PA0185

Volume 2	Bates No.
Motion for Leave of Court to Amend Complaint	PA0186- PA0208
Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center's Limited Opposition to Plaintiff's "Motion for Leave of Court to Amend Complaint"	PA0209- PA0220
Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration and Reply in Support of Motion for Leave of Court to Amend Complaint	PA0221-PA0252
Transcript of Proceedings: All Pending Motions	PA0253-PA0293

Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint	PA0294-PA0300
Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint	PA0301-PA0309
Amended Complaint for Medical Malpractice	PA0310-PA0324
Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center's Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint for Medical Malpractice	PA0325-PA0332
Defendant Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Frank J. DeLee, M.D., PC's Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint for Medical Malpractice	PA0333-PA 0339

Volume 3	Bates No.
Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA0340- PA0474
Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Joinder to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA0475-PA0477

Volume 4	Bates No.
Opposition to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA0478- PA0651
Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA0652- PA0666
Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss	PA0667- PA0680
Transcript of Proceedings: Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Joinder to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA0681- PA0695
Order from March 16, 2021 Hearing	PA0696- PA0707
Notice of Entry of Order from March 16 2021 Hearing	PA0708- PA0721

Volume 5	Bates No.
Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Answer to Amended Complaint	PA0722- PA0727
Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA0728- PA0967

Volume 6	Bates No.
Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (continued)	PA0968- PA1174
Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Joinder to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA1175- PA1177
Opposition to Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA1178- PA1187
Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA1188- PA1195
Order Denying Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration	PA1196- PA1204
Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Motion for Reconsideration	PA1205- PA1215

Volume 7	Bates No.
Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	PA1216- PA1226

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this appendix consists of true and correct copies of

papers in the Clark County District Court file pursuant to NRAP 30 (g).

Dated: August 11, 2021

COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO

/s/ Linda Rurangirwa

By___

Patricia Egan Daehnke Nevada Bar No. 4976 Linda K. Rurangirwa Nevada Bar No. 9172 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212 Las Vegas, NV 89119 Attorneys for Petitioner Ali Kia, M.D.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of COLLINSON, DAEHNKE,

INLOW & GRECO; that service of the foregoing PETITIONER'S APPENDIX -

VOLUME 1 was made on August 11, 2021, via mandatory electronic service,

proof of electronic service attached to any copy filed with the Court. Pursuant to

Eighth Judicial District Court Administrative Order 21-04, filed June 4, 2021,

Respondent does not accept any paper copies and thus was not served by mail.

Pursuant to agreement of Real Parties in Interest, proof of which is attached, mail

service of the foregoing is waived.

DANIEL MARKS, ESQ. NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ. Law Office of Daniel Marks 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0536 <u>DMarks@danielmarks.net</u> <u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u> <u>Attorneys for Real Party in Interest</u> Choloe Green

ERIC K. STRYKER, ESQ. BRIGETTE FOLEY, ESQ. WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 6689 Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89119 11th Floor (702) 727-1400 Eric.stryker@wilsonelser.com Brigette.Foley@wilsonelser.com Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest Frank J. Delee, M.D. and Frank J. Delee, M.D., P.C. MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. HALL PRANGLE AND SCHOONVELD LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive Suite 350 20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 mprangle@HPSLAW.COM tdobbs@HPSLAW.COM Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC

S. BRENT VOGEL, ESQ. ERIN E. JORDAN, ESQ. LEWSI BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP 6385 Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP

THE HONORABLE JASMIN LILLY-SPEARS The Eighth Judicial District Court Department 23 Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155 dept231c@clarkcountycourts.us *Respondent*

/s/ Lacey Ambro

An Employee of COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO

Deborah Rocha

From:	Stryker, Eric K. <eric.stryker@wilsonelser.com></eric.stryker@wilsonelser.com>	
Sent:	Monday, August 9, 2021 12:23 PM	
То:	Linda K. Rurangirwa; Daniel Marks; Jordan, Erin; Vogel, Brent; Tyson Dobbs; Mike Prangle	
Cc:	Deborah Rocha; Nicole Young; Foley, Brigette E.; Clark, Angela; Lord, Nicole N.; Office; Nicole M.	
	Etienne	
Subject:	RE: Green v. Sunrise Hospital	

Yes, thanks.

Eric K. Stryker Attorney at Law Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP 6689 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89119 702.727.1242 (Direct) 702.727.1400 (Main) 702.727.1401 (Fax) eric.stryker@wilsonelser.com

From: Linda K. Rurangirwa [mailto:Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com]
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:16 PM
To: Daniel Marks <DMarks@danielmarks.net>; Stryker, Eric K. <Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com>; Jordan, Erin
<Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com>; Vogel, Brent <Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com>; Tyson Dobbs
<tdobbs@HPSLAW.COM>; Mike Prangle <mprangle@hpslaw.com>
Cc: Deborah Rocha <deborah.rocha@cdiglaw.com>; Nicole Young <NYoung@danielmarks.net>; Foley, Brigette E.
<Brigette.Foley@wilsonelser.com>; Clark, Angela <Angela.Clark@wilsonelser.com>; Lord, Nicole N.
<Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com>; Office <office@danielmarks.net>; Nicole M. Etienne <netienne@HPSLAW.COM>
Subject: Green v. Sunrise Hospital

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Good afternoon:

We are filing a writ with regard to the court's decision on Dr. Kia's motion to dismiss. Would you be agreeable to only receiving an electronic copy of the Writ and Petitioner's Appendix?

Thank you,

Linda



Linda K. Rurangirwa | Partner Collinson, Daehnke, Inlow & Greco – Attorneys at Law 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212, Las Vegas, NV 89119 Phone: (702) 979-2132 | Facsimile: (702) 979-2133 linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com | www.cdiglaw.com

Deborah Rocha

From:	Nicole Young <nyoung@danielmarks.net></nyoung@danielmarks.net>
Sent:	Monday, August 9, 2021 2:38 PM
То:	Tyson Dobbs; Vogel, Brent; Linda K. Rurangirwa; Daniel Marks; Stryker, Eric K.; Jordan, Erin; Mike
	Prangle
Cc:	Deborah Rocha; Foley, Brigette E.; Clark, Angela; Lord, Nicole N.; Office; Nicole M. Etienne
Subject:	RE: Green v. Sunrise Hospital

An electronic copy by email works for us as well.

Nicole M. Young, Esq. Associate Attorney Law Office of Daniel Marks 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 386-0536 Facsimile: (702) 386-6812

From: Tyson Dobbs [mailto:tdobbs@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2021 12:42 PM
To: Vogel, Brent <Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com>; Linda K. Rurangirwa <Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com>; Daniel
Marks <DMarks@danielmarks.net>; Stryker, Eric K. <Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com>; Jordan, Erin
<Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com>; Mike Prangle <mprangle@HPSLAW.COM>
Cc: Deborah Rocha <deborah.rocha@cdiglaw.com>; Nicole Young <NYoung@danielmarks.net>; Foley, Brigette E.
<Brigette.Foley@wilsonelser.com>; Clark, Angela <Angela.Clark@wilsonelser.com>; Lord, Nicole N.
<Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com>; Office <office@danielmarks.net>; Nicole M. Etienne <netienne@HPSLAW.COM>
Subject: RE: Green v. Sunrise Hospital

Fine with us as well.



1140 North Town Center Dr. Suite 350 Las Vegas, NV 89144 F: 702.384.6025 Tyson Dobbs Partner O: 702.212.1457 Email: tdobbs@HPSLAW.COM

Legal Assistant: Nicole Etienne O: 702.212.1446 Email: netienne@hpslaw.com

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

From: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>> Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:29 PM To: Linda K. Rurangirwa <<u>Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Daniel Marks <<u>DMarks@danielmarks.net</u>>; Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Jordan, Erin <<u>Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Tyson Dobbs <<u>tdobbs@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Mike Prangle <<u>mprangle@HPSLAW.COM</u>> Cc: Deborah Rocha <<u>deborah.rocha@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; Foley, Brigette E. <<u>Brigette.Foley@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Clark, Angela <<u>Angela.Clark@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N. <<u>Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Office <<u>office@danielmarks.net</u>>; Nicole M. Etienne <<u>netienne@HPSLAW.COM</u>> Subject: RE: Green v. Sunrise Hospital

[External Email] CAUTION!.

Yes, that's fine. Thank you.



Brent Vogel **State** Partner Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com

T: 702.693.4320 F: 702.893.3789

6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, NV 89118 | LewisBrisbois.com

Representing clients from coast to coast. View our locations nationwide.

This e-mail may contain or attach privileged, confidential or protected information intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, any review or use of it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are required to notify the sender, then delete this email and any attachment from your computer and any of your electronic devices where the message is stored.

From: Linda K. Rurangirwa <<u>Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:16 PM
To: Daniel Marks <<u>DMarks@danielmarks.net</u>>; Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Jordan, Erin
<<u>Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Tyson Dobbs
<<u>tdobbs@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Mike Prangle <<u>mprangle@hpslaw.com</u>>
Cc: Deborah Rocha <<u>deborah.rocha@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; Foley, Brigette E.
<<u>Brigette.Foley@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Clark, Angela <<u>Angela.Clark@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N.
<<u>Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Office <<u>office@danielmarks.net</u>>; Nicole M. Etienne <<u>netienne@HPSLAW.COM</u>>
Subject: [EXT] Green v. Sunrise Hospital

Caution:This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon:

We are filing a writ with regard to the court's decision on Dr. Kia's motion to dismiss. Would you be agreeable to only receiving an electronic copy of the Writ and Petitioner's Appendix?

Thank you,

Linda



Linda K. Rurangirwa | Partner Collinson, Daehnke, Inlow & Greco – Attorneys at Law 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212, Las Vegas, NV 89119 Phone: (702) 979-2132 | Facsimile: (702) 979-2133 linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com | www.cdiglaw.com

This electronic message is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by reply e-mail or by telephone at (424) 212-7777, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving them to disk. No waiver of privilege or confidentiality should be inferred from any error in transmittal.

Electronically Filed 6/30/2017 10:29 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1		No. 1 Shaw
1 2	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS	Atump. Frun
3	Nevada State Bar No. 002003 NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ.	
4		
5		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	7 DISTRICT COU	RT
8	CLARK COUNTY, N	EVADA
9	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	A-17-757722-C
10		Dept. No.
11	Plaintiff,	Department 8
12	2 v.	
13	FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic	Arbitration Exempt Action for Medical Malpractice
14	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign	
15	Limited-Liability Company.	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	COMPLAINT FOR MEDICAL	MALPRACTICE
19	COMES NOW Plaintiff Choloe Green, by and throug	hundersigned counsel Daniel Marks, Esq., and
20	Nicole M. Young, Esq., of the Law Office of Daniel Marks,	and for her claims against Defendants herein
21	allege as follows:	
22	1. That at all times material hereto, Plaintiff	Choloe Green (hereinafter "Choloe") was a
23	resident of Clark County, Nevada.	
24	2. That at all times material hereto, Defendan	t FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., was a licensed
25	medical doctor in the State of Nevada, and pra	acticed in his professional corporation entitled
26	FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC.	
27	////	
28	B ////	

1	3.	That at all times material hereto, Defendant FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, was a domestic
2		professional corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Nevada and
3		registered to do business, and doing business in the State of Nevada in Clark County, Nevada.
4	4.	That Defendant FRANK J. DELEE, MD, is the President of Defendant FRANK J. DELEE
5		MD, PC (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Dr. DeLee").
6	5.	That Defendant SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, (hereinafter
7		"Sunrise Hospital"), was a foreign limited-liability company, registered to do business and
8		doing business in the State of Nevada in Clark County, Nevada.
9	6.	That on or about July 9, 2016, Dr. DeLee performed a cesarean section (C-Section) on
10		Choloe at Sunrise Hospital. Choloe was discharged from the hospital the following day, on
11		July 10, 2016, even though she did not have bowel movement prior to being discharged from
12		the hospital.
13	7.	On July 13, 2016, Choloe had an appointment with Dr. DeLee. At that appointment, Choloe
14		notified Dr. Delee that she had not had a bowel movement post C-section. He did not provide
15		any care or treatment to Choloe regarding her lack of a bowel movement.
16	8.	On July 14, 2016, after still not having a bowel movement post C-section, Choloe went to
17		the emergency room at Sunrise Hospital, with severe abdominal pain and reports of nausea,
18		vomiting, fever, and chills. She was admitted to the medical/surgical unit because of the
19		diagnosis of sepsis. Sunrise Hospital discharged Choloe on July 16, 2016, despite having a
20		small bowel obstruction. The discharge was discussed and confirmed by Dr. DeLee.
21	9.	On July 17, 2016, Choloe went to the emergency room at Centennial Hills Hospital where
22		she was admitted until she was finally discharged on September 2, 2016. Centennial Hills
23		admitted Choloe with the diagnosis of small bowel obstruction. She had an NG Tube placed,
24		underwent surgery, had diffuse pulmonary infiltrates, suggestive of pulmonary edema or ARDS,
25		and eventually needed a tracheostomy and PEG tube placement.
26	10.	That Defendant Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital breached the standard of care in their
27		treatment of Choloe and as a direct and proximate result of that breach, Choloe has been
28		damaged.

1	11.	That as a direct and proximate result of all of the Defendants' negligence, Choloe has been
2	10	damaged in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00.
3	12.	This Complaint is supported by the Affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D., a copy of which
4	10	is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
5	13.	Choloe has been forced to retain counsel to bring this action and should be awarded his
6		reasonable attorneys fees and costs.
7		REFORE, Choloe prays for judgment against the Defendants, and each of them, as follows:
8	1.	For special damages in a sum in excess of \$15,000.00;
9	2.	For compensatory damages in a sum in excess of \$15,000.00;
10	3.	For reasonable attorney's fees and litigation costs incurred;
11	4.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
12	DATI	ED this 30 day of June, 2017.
13		LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS
14		
15		DANIEL MARKS, ESQ.
16		Nevada State Bar No. 002003 NICOLE M. VOUNG, ESQ.
17	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Nevada State Bar No. 012659 610 South Ninth Street
18		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff
19 20		
20		
21		
22		
23		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
∠0		
		3
		PA0003

1	VERIFICATION			
2	STATE OF NEVADA)			
3	COUNTY OF CLARK) ss:			
4	CHOLOE GREEN, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:			
5	That I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled matter; that I have read the above and foregoing			
6	Complaint and know the contents thereof; that the same are true of my knowledge except for those			
7	matters stated upon information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.			
8	N.L.C			
9	Cholae Green CHOLOE GREEN			
10	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me			
11	this that of June, 2017.			
12	Notary Public State of Nevada No. 99-58298-1 My Appt. Exp. Jan. 20, 2018			
13	COUNTY and STATE			
14				
15 16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	4			
	PA0004			

EXHIBIT 1

-	AFFIDAVIT OF DR. LISA KARAMARDIAN
-	altonia
COUNTY O	
00011110	F <u>Orenel</u> }:s:
DR. 1	LISA KARAMARDIAN, being first duly sworn, under penalty of perjury, does say and
depose the fo	ollowing:
1.	That I am a medical doctor licensed in the State of California and am board certified in
	the field of Obstetrics and Gynecology.
2.	This affidavit is executed pursuant to NRS 41A.071 in support of a Complaint for
	Medical Malpractice against Dr. Frank DeLee and Sunvise Hospital and Medical Center,
3.	That I have reviewed Plaintiff Choloe Green's medical records relating to the care and
	treatment she received from Dr. Frank DeLee, Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center,
	Valley Hospital Medical Center and Centennial Hills Medical Center.
4.	A review of the medical records reveals that on July 9, 2016, Ms. Green had a cesarean
	section birth at Sunrise Hospital with Dr. DeLee as the obstetrician. She was released
	home on post-operative day number one. This was a breach of the standard of care by Dr.
	DeLee and Sunrise Hospital. The typical post-operative course for a routine cesarean is a
	3-4 night stay in the hospital. The standard of care was also breached because Ms. Green
	had not even attempted to tolerate clear liquids and she had not passed flatus when she
	was released on post-operative day number one.
5.	A review of the medical records also reveals that on July 14, 2016, Ms. Green presented
	again to Sunrise Hospital , now five (5) days post-partum, with severe abdominal pain
	and reports of nausea, vomiting, fever, and chills. She was admitted to the
	medical/surgical unit because of the diagnosis of sepsis. She was discharged on July 16,
	2016. The discharge was discussed and confirmed by Dr. DeLee. This discharge violated
	the standard of care. Ms. Green was discharged despite the fact that she was not able to
	tolerate a regular diet. Further, on the day of her discharge, her KUB showed multiple
	dilated loops of bowel, thought to be related to a small bowel obstruction, yet she was
	sent home. An intraperitoneal abscess was suspected on a CT scan, yet she was still sent
	home. This was a violation of the standard of care by Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee.
	depose the fo I. 2. 3.

\$24.34

PA0006

連続会

б.	The day after she was released from Sunrise Hospital, Ms. Green presented at Centennial
	Hills Hospital, on July 17, 2016. At the time of presentation she was now 7 days
	postpartum, had not had a bowel movement, and was unable to even tolerate liquids. She
	was still in severe pain. Her imaging studies had worsened and she was now admitted,
	again, with the diagnosis of small bowel obstruction. An NG tube was finally placed and
	a general surgery evaluation ordered. She was admitted for concern for bowel perforation.
	She underwent an exploratory laparotomy on July 18th for what was presumed to be a
	perforated viscus, but none was found intraoperatively, just diffuse ascites. Infarcted
	mesentery was removed and post-op her condition deteriorated, culminating in a rapid
	response call on July 20th when she was found to be hypoxic. By the 22nd she had diffuse
	pulmonary infiltrates, suggestive of pulmonary edema or ARDS, and her condition worsened. CT
	guided drain placement cultures of fluid revealed enterococcus faecalis, supporting the fact that
	there must have been a bowel perforation. She then developed a pneumothorax and eventually
	needed a tracheostomy and PEG tube placement. On August 5, 2016, there was difficulty with
	her airway support.
7.	Because of the violations of the standard of care, her hospital course was protracted with
	multiple complications and she was apparently discharged to a step down facility once her
	antibiotic course was felt to be completed, still on a feeding tube and in need of rehabilitation.
8.	That in my professional opinion, to a degree of medical probability, the standard of care
	was breached by both Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center in their
	treatment of Ms. Green,
FURT	THER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said COUNTY and STATE

LIS A KARAMARDIAN, MD.



ALMAN SA

(1877) (1977)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ANS MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619 JOHN F. BEMIS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 9509 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 11953 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144 (702) 889-6400 – Office (702) 384-6025 – Facsimile <u>efile@hpslaw.com</u> Attorneys for Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC	Electronically Filed 7/20/2017 10:15 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT	
10	DISTRICT COURT		
11		NTY, NEVADA	
12	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C DEPT NO.: VIII	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	vs.		
15	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual;	DEFENDANT SUNRISE HOSPITAL	
16	FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic	AND MEDICAL CENTER'S ANSWER	
17	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER,	TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
18	LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21			
22			
23	COMES NOW, Defendant, SUNRISE I	HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, by and	
24	through its attorneys of the law firm of HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC, and hereby		
25	provides its answer to Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:		
26	1. In answering paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this		
27	answering Defendant states it is without sufficient	ent information to form a belief as to the truth of	
28	the allegations contained in said paragraphs and therefore denies the same.		

Case Number: A-17-757722-C

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025 2. In answering paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant admits each and every allegation contained therein.

3. In answering paragraphs 10, 11 and 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

4. In Answering paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant states that this paragraph call for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, this answering Defendant admits an affidavit is attached to the Complaint. As to the remaining allegations contained therein, this answering Defendant denies the same.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein fails to state a claim against this Defendant upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The injuries, if any, complained of by Plaintiff in the Complaint were proximately caused by the acts or omissions of unknown third parties or other persons over whom this Defendant exercised no control and over who this Defendant had no right or duty to control, nor ever has had a right or duty to exercise control.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff did not exercise ordinary care, caution or prudence in the conduct of her affairs relating to the allegations of the Complaint herein for damages in order to avoid the injuries or damages of which Plaintiff complained and said injuries or damages, if any, were directly and proximately contributed to or caused by the fault, carelessness and negligence of the Plaintiff.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The risks and consequences, if any, attendant to the recommendations and treatment proposed by this Defendant were fully explained to the Plaintiff who freely consented to such treatment and thereby assumed risks involved in such matter.

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 felephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The damages, if any, alleged by Plaintiff were not the result of any acts of omission, or commission, or negligence, but were the results of known risks which were consented to by the Plaintiff, such risks being inherent in the nature of the care rendered and such risks were assumed by the Plaintiff when they consented to treatment.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

In all medical attention rendered by this Defendant to Plaintiff, this Defendant possessed and exercised that degree of skill and learning ordinarily possessed and exercised by the members of his profession in good standing, practicing in similar localities, and that at all times this Defendant used reasonable care and diligence in the exercise of his skills and the application of his learning, and at all times acted according to his best judgment; that the medical treatment administered by this Defendant was the usual and customary treatment for the physical condition and symptoms exhibited by Plaintiff, and that at no time was this Defendant guilty of negligence or improper treatment; that, on the contrary, this Defendant did perform each and every act of such treatment in a proper and efficient manner and in a manner most thoroughly approved and followed by the medical profession generally and under the circumstances and conditions as they existed when such medical attention was rendered.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The injuries complained of in the Complaint, if any, were not the result of willful, malicious or deliberate conduct on the part of this answering Defendant.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That it has been necessary for the Defendant to employ the services of an attorney to defend this action and a reasonable sum should be allowed Defendant for attorneys' fees, together with costs of suit incurred herein.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is liable for only that portion of the Plaintiff's claims that represents the percentage of negligence, if any, attributed to Defendant.

1	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	Plaintiff has failed to plead any acts or omissions of this answering Defendant sufficient
3	to constitute punitive damages.
4	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	Plaintiff failed to file her Complaint before the running of the applicable statute of
6	limitation, thereby barring their claims for relief.
7	TWELFTH AFFIMRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each claim asserted therein and the relief sought, is barred by
9	the statute of frauds.
10	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	Plaintiff's action is barred and/or diminished by the doctrines of waiver, laches, estoppel,
12	and/or unclean hands.
13	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	The incident alleged in the Complaint and the resulting damages, if any, to Plaintiff were
15	proximately caused or contributed to by Plaintiff's own negligence, and such negligence was
16	greater than the alleged negligence of Defendants.
17	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	If Plaintiff has sustained any injuries or damages, such were the result of intervening
19	and/or superseding events, factors, occurrences, or conditions, which were in no way caused by
20	Defendant, and for which Defendant is not liable.
21	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
22	Plaintiff is barred from recovering any special damages herein as a result of the failure to
23	comply with the provisions of N.R.C.P. 9(g).
24	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25	Defendant alleges that Plaintiff have a duty to mitigate their damages and have failed to
26	do so.
27	
28	

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vecas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025

1	EIGHTTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	To the extent Plaintiff have been reimbursed from any source for any special damages
3	claimed to have been sustained as a result of the incidents alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint,
4	Defendant may elect to offer those amounts into evidence and, if Defendant so elects, Plaintiff's
5	special damages shall be reduced by those amounts pursuant to NRS 42.021.
6	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	Plaintiff has failed to join all necessary parties.
8	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	Defendant alleges that at all times mentioned in Plaintiff's Complaint, Plaintiff were
10	suffering from a medical condition(s) which Defendant did not cause, nor was Defendant
11	responsible for said medical condition(s).
12	TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	Defendant asserts that the Complaint should be dismissed on the basis that Plaintiff have
14	not complied with NRS 41A.071.
15	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15 16	<u>IWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in
16	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in
16 17	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42.
16 17 18	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42. <u>TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
16 17 18 19	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42. <u>TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible Affirmative Defenses may not have
16 17 18 19 20	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42. <u>TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible Affirmative Defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon
16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42. <u>TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible Affirmative Defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Defendant's Answer, and therefore, Defendant reserves the right to amend his
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42. <u>TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible Affirmative Defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Defendant's Answer, and therefore, Defendant reserves the right to amend his Answer to allege additional Affirmative Defenses if subsequent investigation warrants.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42. <u>TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible Affirmative Defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Defendant's Answer, and therefore, Defendant reserves the right to amend his Answer to allege additional Affirmative Defenses if subsequent investigation warrants. <u>TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42. <u>TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible Affirmative Defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Defendant's Answer, and therefore, Defendant reserves the right to amend his Answer to allege additional Affirmative Defenses if subsequent investigation warrants. <u>TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Defendant hereby incorporates by reference those affirmative defenses enumerated in
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42. <u>TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible Affirmative Defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Defendant's Answer, and therefore, Defendant reserves the right to amend his Answer to allege additional Affirmative Defenses if subsequent investigation warrants. <u>TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Defendant hereby incorporates by reference those affirmative defenses enumerated in Rule 8 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure as if fully set forth herein. In the event further
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Defendants are entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs available to Defendants in medical malpractice actions under NRS Chapters 41, 41A, and 42. <u>TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible Affirmative Defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Defendant's Answer, and therefore, Defendant reserves the right to amend his Answer to allege additional Affirmative Defenses if subsequent investigation warrants. <u>TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> Defendant hereby incorporates by reference those affirmative defenses enumerated in Rule 8 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure as if fully set forth herein. In the event further investigation or discovery reveals the applicability of any such defenses, Defendant reserves the

Page 5 of 7

1	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment as follows:
2	1. That Plaintiff take nothing by virtue of the Complaint;
3	2. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and
4	3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
5	DATED this day of July, 2017.
6	HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC
7	
8	By:
9	JOHN F. BEMIS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 9509
10	TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ.
11	Nevada Bar No.: 11953 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200
12	Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Defendant
13	Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Page 6 of 7
	PA

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10	LLC; that on the deal day of July, 2017, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing DEFENDANT SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT via the E-Service Master List for the above referenced matter in the Eighth Judicial District Court e-filing System in accordance with the electronic service requirements of Administrative Order 14-2 and the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion Rules to the following: Daniel Marks, Esq. Nicole M. Young, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff An employee of HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 4846-5582-2923, v. 1
	Page 7 of 7 PA0014

		Electronically Filed 7/31/2017 4:10 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	ANS	Cum .
2	Eric K. Stryker Nevada Bar No. 5793	
3	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN 300 South 4 th Street, 11 th Floor	N & DICKER LLP
4	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
5	(702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401 Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com	
6	Attorney for Defendants Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and	l Frank J. DeLee MD, PC
7	DISTRIC	T COURT
8	CLARK COUN	ITY, NEVADA
o 9	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C DEPT. NO.: VIII
10	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS FRANK J. DeLEE, M.D.
11	v.	AND FRANK J. DeLEE, M.D., PC's ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT
12	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual;	
13	FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic	
14	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC,	
15	a Foreign Limited-Liability Company,	
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants, Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Fr	ank J. DeLee, M.D., PC through their attorney of
18	record, Eric K. Stryker, of the law firm of Wil	son, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP,
19	hereby answers Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein	n, as follows:
20	1. Answering paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint,
21	these answering Defendants state they do not hav	e sufficient knowledge or information upon which
22	to base a belief as to the truth of the allegations co	ontained therein, and upon said grounds deny each
23	and every allegation contained therein.	
24	2. Answering paragraph 10 of Plaintif	T's Complaint, these answering Defendants DENY
25	each and every allegation contained therein.	
26		
27	• • •	
28		
	1180265v.1	PA0015
		53700.0

1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
2	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
3	Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim against these answering Defendants upon which
4	relief can be granted.
5	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
6	The loss, injuries, and damages that the Plaintiff alleges, if any, were directly and
7	proximately caused by the negligence, carelessness or fault of the Plaintiff(s), which is greater than
8	the alleged negligence, carelessness, or fault of these answering Defendants, and, therefore,
9	Plaintiff(s) claims against these answering Defendants are barred.
10	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	These answering Defendants state that the damages, if any, alleged by the Plaintiff(s) were
12	the result of independent intervening acts, over which these answering Defendants had no control or
13	right of control, which resulted in a superseding cause of Plaintiff(s) alleged damages.
14	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	That the damage sustained by the Plaintiff(s), if any, was caused by the acts of third persons
16	who are not agents, servants or employees of these answering Defendants, and were not acting on
17	behalf of these answering Defendants in any manner or form, and, as such, these answering
18	Defendants are not liable in any manner to the Plaintiff(s).
19	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	These answering Defendants allege that the Plaintiff failed to mitigate their damages.
21	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
22	The Plaintiff(s) claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.
23	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	These answering Defendants allege that at all times mentioned herein, these answering
25	Defendants acted reasonably and in good faith, with regard to the acts and transactions which are the
26	subject of this pleading.
27	
28	Page 2 of 6
	1180265v.1 PA0016
	PA0016

1	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	The complained of acts of these answering Defendants were justified under the
3	circumstances.
4	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	The injuries suffered by the Plaintiff(s), if any, as set forth in the Complaint, were caused by
6	a pre-existing condition.
7	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	These answering Defendants have been forced to retain the services of an attorney to defend
9	this action and are entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.
10	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	The injuries or damages, if any, complained of by Plaintiff's in the Complaint for damages
12	were caused by the forces of nature and not by any acts or omissions of these answering Defendants.
13	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	The damages claimed by Plaintiff's in the Complaint were not the result of any acts of
15	omission or commission or negligence but were the result of a known risk, which was consented to,
16	such risk being inherent in the nature of the treatment, procedures, and medical care rendered to the
17	Plaintiff(s), and that such risks were assumed.
18	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	That Plaintiff failed to join an indispensible party to this action.
20	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
21	That in the event these answering Defendant(s) may be found liable for negligence, to which
22	each of these answering Defendants deny, each Defendant is only severally liable and not jointly
23	liable as to the other Defendants and that Plaintiffs shall only recover that portion of any judgment
24	that represents the percentage of negligence attributable to each Defendant.
25	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	Plaintiff(s)' non-economic damages, if any, may not exceed \$350,000.00 pursuant to NRS
27	§41A.035.
28	Page 3 of 6

ļ

1 SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 To the extent Plaintiff(s) have been reimbursed from any source for any special damages 3 claimed to have been sustained as a result of the incidents alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint 4 Defendant(s) may elect to offer those amounts into evidence and, if the Defendant(s) so elect 5 Plaintiff's special damages shall be reduced by those amounts pursuant to NRS §42.021. 6 SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 7 To the extent Plaintiff(s) is/are entitled to recover any future damages from Defendant(s) 8 Defendant(s) may satisfy that amount through periodic payments pursuant to NRS §42.021. 9 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 This Court has no personal jurisdiction over Defendant(s). 11 NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE 12 Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been 13 alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available affer reasonably inquiry upon the film 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend theil 15 Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 17 18
3 claimed to have been sustained as a result of the incidents alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint 4 Defendant(s) may clect to offer those amounts into evidence and, if the Defendant(s) so elect 5 Plaintiff's special damages shall be reduced by those amounts pursuant to NRS §42.021. 6 SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 7 To the extent Plaintiff(s) is/are entitled to recover any future damages from Defendant(s) 8 Defendant(s) may satisfy that amount through periodic payments pursuant to NRS §42.021. 9 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 This Court has no personal jurisdiction over Defendant(s). 11 NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE 12 Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 15 Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 18 19 20 21 22 Image: Additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 </td
4 Defendant(s) may elect to offer those amounts into evidence and, if the Defendant(s) so elect 5 Plaintiff's special damages shall be reduced by those amounts pursuant to NRS §42.021. 6 SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 7 To the extent Plaintiff(s) is/are entitled to recover any future damages from Defendant(s) 8 Defendant(s) may satisfy that amount through periodic payments pursuant to NRS §42.021. 9 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 This Court has no personal jurisdiction over Defendant(s). 11 NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE 12 Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been 13 alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their 15 Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 17 18 19 Image: Subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 17 18 19 Image: Subsequent investigation so warrants.
5 Plaintiff's special damages shall be reduced by those amounts pursuant to NRS §42.021. 6 SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 7 To the extent Plaintiff(s) is/are entitled to recover any future damages from Defendant(s) 8 Defendant(s) may satisfy that amount through periodic payments pursuant to NRS §42.021. 9 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 This Court has no personal jurisdiction over Defendant(s). 11 NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE 12 Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 17 18 19 Image: Additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 17 18 19 Image: Additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 17 18 19 Image: Additional Additingent to the
6 SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 7 To the extent Plaintiff(s) is/are entitled to recover any future damages from Defendant(s) 8 Defendant(s) may satisfy that amount through periodic payments pursuant to NRS §42.021. 9 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 This Court has no personal jurisdiction over Defendant(s). 11 NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE 12 Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 17 18 19 Image: Additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 19 Image: Additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 19 Image: Additional Affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 19 Image: Additional Affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 19 Image: Additional Affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 19 Image: Additional Affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. <tr< td=""></tr<>
7 To the extent Plaintiff(s) is/are entitled to recover any future damages from Defendant(s) 8 Defendant(s) may satisfy that amount through periodic payments pursuant to NRS §42.021. 9 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 This Court has no personal jurisdiction over Defendant(s). 11 NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE 12 Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 17 18 19 20 20 21
8 Defendant(s) may satisfy that amount through periodic payments pursuant to NRS §42.021. 9 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 This Court has no personal jurisdiction over Defendant(s). 11 NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE 12 Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 17 18 19 Image: Set
9EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE10This Court has no personal jurisdiction over Defendant(s).11NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE12Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been13alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the film14of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their15Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants.161718192021
10 This Court has no personal jurisdiction over Defendant(s). 11 NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE 12 Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been 13 alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their 15 Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 16 17 18 19 20 21
11NINETEENTH SEVENTH DEFENSE12Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been13alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing14of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their15Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants.1617182021
Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. 17 18 19 20 21
 alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonably inquiry upon the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants.
 of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants.
 Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants. .
16 17 18 19 20 21
17 18 19 20 21
18 19 20 21
19 20 21
20 21
21
22
31
23
24
25
26
27
28 Page 4 of 6
1180265v.1 PA0018

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
2	WHEREFORE, Defendants prays as follows:
3	1. That Plaintiff takes nothing by reason of her Complaint on file herein;
4	2. For all attorneys' fees incurred in the defense of Plaintiff's Complaint against these
5	answering Defendants;
6	3. For costs and disbursements incurred herein; and
7	4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper in these
8	premises.
9	DATED this $3/2$ day of July, 2017
10	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
11	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
12	BY: Eric K. Stryker
13	Nevada Bar No. 5793
14	300 South 4 th Street, 11 th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101
15	Attorneys for Defendants Frank J. Delee, M.D. and Frank J. Delee MD,
16	PC
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Dece 5 of 6
	Page 5 of 6 1180265v.1 PA0019

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ
3	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP, and that on this 31 day of July, 2017, I served a true and correct
4	copy of the foregoing DEFENDANTS FRANK J. DeLEE, M.D. AND FRANK J. DeLEE, M.D.,
5	PC's ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT as follows:
6 7	by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada;
8 9	via electronic means by operation of the Court's electronic filing system, upon each party in this case who is registered as an electronic case filing user with the Clerk; and pursuant to Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R.
10	via hand-delivery to the addressees listed below;
11	by transmitting via email the document listed above to the email address set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
12 13	Daniel Marks
14	Nicole M. Young LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS
15	610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0536: Fax (702) 386-6812
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff
17 18	BY LICUMARAMEN
19	An Employee of AN ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
20	······································
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
	Page 6 of 6 1180265v.1 PA0020

Electronically Filed 5/1/2019 4:49 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT MLEV 1 MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619 2 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No.: 11953 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. 4 Nevada Bar No. 1491 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 5 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 6 Las Vegas, NV 89144 (702) 889-6400 – Office 7 (702) 384-6025 - Facsimile efile@hpslaw.com 8 Attorneys for Defendant 9 Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC 10 DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 11 12 CHOLOE GREEN, an individual, CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C DEPT NO .: X 13 Plaintiff. 14 **DEFENDANT SUNRISE HOSPITAL** vs. 15 AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC'S FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; **MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE THIRD** 16 FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic PARTY COMPLAINT ON ORDER 17 Professional Corporation, SUNRISE SHORTENTING TIME HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, 18 LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, 19 Defendants. 20 21 COMES NOW Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, by and through its 22 counsel of record, HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC, and moves this Honorable Court for an 23 order granting Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC leave to add Ali Kia, M.D. 24 and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP as Third-Party Defendants in this litigation (on an Order 25 26 Shortening Time). 27 28

Page 1 of 8

PA0021

Case Number: A-17-757722-C

702-384-6025 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC **160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE** FACSIMILE: LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: SUITE 200 **TELEPHONE:**

t This Motion is made and based upon the papers and pleadings on file herein, the 2 3 following points and authorities, and any oral argument which may be adduced at a hearing set 4 for this matter. 5 DATED this 21 day of April, 2019. 6 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 7 8 By: 9 MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619 10 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC Nevada Bar No.: 11953 11 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. 12 1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE Nevada Bar No. 1491 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 13 Las Vegas, NV 89144 14 Attorneys for Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC 15 16 17 18 **ORDER SHORTENING TIME** 19 It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS 20 HEREBY ORDERED that the foregoing DEFENDANT SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND 21 22 MEDICAL CENTER, LLC'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE THIRD PARTY 23 COMPLAINT ON ORDER SHORTENTING TIME shall be heard on the day of 24 May 2019, at the hour of 3:00 p.m. in Department 9. m 25 DATED April 29, 2019 26 27 28 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE Page 2 of 8 PA0022

- E.

DECLARATION OF SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ., IN SUPPORT OF ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR SUNRISE HOSPITAL'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE THRID PARTY COMPLAINT

STATE OF NEVADA)) ss: COUNTY OF CLARK)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ., attests and states as follows:

- 1. Your affiant is an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada and is practicing with the law firm of Hall, Prangle and Schoonveld, LLC. Your affiant is a counsel of record for Defendant, Sunrise Hospital and Medical, LLC., in the above-entitled matter.
- 2. Sunrise Hospital recently filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. That motion sought, in part, to dismiss any claim of vicarious liability or ostensible agency that might be imposed against Sunrise Hospital as a result of care and treatment rendered to Plaintiff by Ali Kia, M.D. during the hospitalization at issue in this case.
- 3. The court, by minute order dated April 1, 2019, determined that there was a factual question as to whether Dr. Kia was an ostensible agent of the hospital when he cared for Plaintiff, Chole Green. Accordingly, the motion for partial summary judgment to dismiss the ostensible agency claim as to Dr. Kia was denied. The final proposed order for this ruling has been submitted to the court for consideration.
- 4. As a result, Sunrise Hospital is seeking leave to file a third-party complaint against Ali Kia, M.D. (a physician who is not named in Plaintiff's underlying complaint for medical malpractice). In addition, Ali Kia, M.D. was an agent and/or employee of Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP. Leave is also sought to add Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP as a third-party defendant.

Page 3 of 8

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5. The purpose of the third-party complaint is for Sunrise Hospital to seek equitable indemnity and/or contribution from Dr. Kia and/or Nevada Hospitalist Group should liability be imposed upon the hospital as a result of the care rendered by these two potential Third-Party Defendants. 6. Currently, this case is scheduled for status check to take place on June 18, 2019 to schedule the case for trial. 7. However, the court recently signed a stipulation by all parties to extend the discovery cut-off to June 1, 2020. 8. Defendant Sunrise Hospital seeks leave to file its motion for leave to file third party complaint so that: (1) Dr. Kia and Nevada Hospitalist Group can participate in any discovery as the case progresses; and (2) Sunrise Hospital will participate in discovery with knowledge that its third-party complaint is in place. 9. It is therefore requested that a hearing on Sunrise Hospital's motion for leave to file third party complaint on an order shortening time be granted and this matter be scheduled accordingly. 10. This motion for leave to file third party complaint is brought in good faith and not for purposed of undue delay or harassment. 11. I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of your Affiant's knowledge.

Page 4 of 8

SHERMAN B. MAY

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

26

27

28

1

2

PREFATORY NOTE

Although Defendant Sunrise Hospital's motion for leave to file third-party complaint seeks authority to bring third party claims against *both* Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, Sunrise Hospital reserves the right to only pursue a third-party claim against Ali Kia, M.D. (and not Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP should additional discovery and malpractice insurance documentation indicate a third-party action against the group is unnecessary).

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. FACTS

This is a medical practice action. Plaintiff, Choloe Green, delivered her 4th child by caesarian section birth at Defendant, Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center ("Sunrise Hospital") on July 9, 2016. Defendant, Frank J. DeLee, M.D., Plaintiff's treating OB/GYN, then discharged Ms. Green from the hospital on July 10, 2016. Plaintiff contends this discharge was premature as she had not had a bowel movement and a typical post-operative course for caesarian section is 3-4 days. Plaintiff alleges Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital breached the standard of care.

Plaintiff then alleges she was readmitted to Sunrise Hospital on July 14, 2016 (nausea,
 vomiting, fever, and chills). Plaintiff contends she was discharged prematurely, a second time,
 on July 16, 2016. Plaintiff asserts this second discharge also violated the standard of care as she
 was not able to tolerate a regular diet and her KUB x-ray showed dilated bowel loops.

Plaintiff contends that this second hospital discharge was "discussed and confirmed with Dr. DeLee." The medical records, however, reveal that Ali Kia, M.D. (internal

FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 **FELEPHONE: 702-889-6400** 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

medicine/hospitalist) was actually the physician who ordered and electronically signed the second hospital discharge of July 16, 2016. See Exhibit "A."

Recently, Sunrise Hospital filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment seeking to dismiss any claims of vicarious liability or ostensible agency on the part of the hospital with regard to Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Ali Kia, M.D. The court granted the partial summary judgment motion (in part) and denied the motion (in part). Specifically, the claims, if any, that the hospital may have vicarious liability for either Dr. DeLee or Dr. Kia were dismissed. Further, any claim that Dr. DeLee (Plaintiff's long-time treating OB/GYN) was the ostensible agent of the hospital was also dismissed.

In Plaintiff's "Complaint for Medical Malpractice," there is no mention of Ali Kia, M.D. Nor is there any mention that the Dr. Kia is an agent or employee of Sunrise Hospital. Sunrise Hospital moved for partial summary judgment to dismiss any potential claim in discovery or trial that Dr. Kia was an ostensible agent of Sunrise Hospital. The court, by decision rendered on April 1, 2019, denied the hospital's motion as it pertained to the ostensible agency issue and Dr. Kia. See Exhibit "B."

Sunrise Hospital denies any allegations of negligence against the hospital. The hospital 19 20 also denies that Dr. Kia is an ostensible agent of the hospital. However, this court has ruled that 21 there is a factual question concerning ostensible agency that should be resolved by the finder of 22 fact (the jury). As such, Sunrise Hospital seeks leave to file a third-party complaint naming Ali 23 Kia, M.D. as a third-party defendant. Further, it appears that Dr. Kia was the agent and/or 24 employee of Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, which is also being added. Sunrise Hospital files 25 26 this third-party complaint, specifically, for equitable indemnity and/or contribution from Dr. Kia

28

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, should Sunrise Hospital be liable for any verdict or judgment arising from from Dr. Kia's care of Plaintiff, Choloe Green.

Additionally, Sunrise Hospital is <u>not</u> enclosing an expert affidavit with its third-party complaint. Instead, the hospital is attaching Plaintiff's underlying complaint and the expert affidavit attached to the complaint (Lisa Karamardian, M.D.) to comply with the requirements of NRS 41A.071. *A copy of Sunrise's Hospital proposed Third-Party Complaint (with Exhibits) is attached to this motion for leave as Exhibit "C."*

Defendant, Sunrise Hospital motion for leave to file third-party complaint to add Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, as third-party defendants is necessitated by the court's recent ruling finding that there is a factual question (to be resolved at trial) as to whether Dr. Kia is an ostensible agent of the hospital. The court's minute order in this regard is dated April 1, 2019. The final proposed order has been submitted to the court and is pending the court's review, consideration, and approval.

II. ARGUMENT

NRCP 14 provides in relevant part:

(a) When Defendant May Bring in Third Party. At any time after commencement of the action a defending party, as a thirdparty plaintiff, may cause a summons and complaint to be served upon a person not a party to the action who is or may be liable to the third-party plaintiff for all or part of the plaintiff's claim against the third-party plaintiff. The third-party plaintiff need not obtain leave to make the service if the third-party plaintiff files the third-party complaint not later than 10 days after serving the original answer. Otherwise the third-party plaintiff must obtain leave on motion upon notice to all parties to the action.

A defendant is permitted to defend the case and at the same time assert his right of indemnity against the party ultimately responsible for the damage. *Reid v. Royal Ins. Co.*, 80

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Nev. 137, 390 P.2d 45 (1964). The clear import of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure is to enable litigants to try fully their issues before the court. . ." *Morris v. Morris* 83 Nev. 412, 414, 432 P.2d. 1022 (1967).

Sunrise Hospital now brings the instant motion for leave to assert a third-party complaint against Ali Kia M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP. The court's recent decision that the issue as to whether Dr. Kia is an ostensible agent of Sunrise Hospital is a factual question for the finder of fact. If, during trial, a jury determines that Dr. Kia is an ostensible agent of Sunrise Hospital, the hospital will be seeking, as part of the verdict, relief in the form of equitable indemnity and/or contribution for any hospital liability arising out of Dr. Kia's care of underlying Plaintiff, Choloe Green.

III. CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Defendant Sunrise Hospital respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order Granting its Motion for Leave to File a Third-Party Complaint Against Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, and for any other relief that this Honorable Court deems just and proper. DATED this 24 day of April, 2019.

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC By: MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESO. Nevada Bar No.: 11953 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1491 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

RUK TIME: 0110 RUK USER: HPF.FEED	HEDITECH FACILITY: COCSZ IDEV - Discharge Report	PAGE 51
PATIENT: GREEN.CHOLOE S ACCOUNT ND: D00113938887	A/S: 30 F ADMIT: 07/14/16 LOC: D.E4 DISCH/DEP: 07/16/16 RM: D.4508 STATUS: IN	
ATTEND DR: K1a.A11 MD REPORT STATUS: FINAL	BD: 0 UNIT NO: D001315049	
Press <enter> for Order De</enter>	etails below	
Comment:	PER OR KIA DO NOT CALL FOR KUB RESULT NO WILL FOLLOW UP IN AM 07/16/16	
5 07/16/16 0540 DNUR.CCV 6 07/16/16 0713 DNUR.CCV	Order ENTER in CM Ordering Doctor: Kia.Ali KD Order Source: TELEPHONE &VERIFIEDq order's status changed from TRANS to ACTIVE by NUR	
Electron		
Order Date: 07/16/16 Category Procedure Name DISCHG DISCHARGE ORDER Other Provider :	Service Order Number Date Time Pri Qty Ord Source Status Ordered By 20160716-0093 07/16/16 R E TRN KIAAL Sig Lv] Provider :	,
: Discharge order written d Discharge order written t Discharge To: Discharge Type: * New/Additional DHE/Home	ate: 07/16/16 Ime: 1521 Home Adult Health orders with Discharge? N	
Does natient have any of t	the following conditions at discharge?	
Does patient have any of the Aspirin at Discharge? Aspirin Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: EJ Fraction: ACE/ARB at Discharge? ACE/ARB Contraindications: Other Specific Reason:		
Aspirin at Discharge? Aspirin Contraindications Other Specific Reason: EJ Fraction: ACE/ARB at Discharge? ACE/ARB Contraindications	NONE :	
Aspirin at Discharge? Aspirin Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: EJ Fraction: ACE/ARB at Discharge? ACE/ARB Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: LDL Level: Statin at Discharge? Statin Contraindications:	NONE :	
Aspirin at Discharge? Aspirin Contraindications Other Specific Reason: EJ Fraction: ACE/ARB at Discharge? ACE/ARB Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: LDL Level: Statin at Discharge? Statin Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: Beta Blocker at Discharge? Beta Blocker Contraindicat	NONE : :	
Aspirin at Discharge? Aspirin Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: EJ Fraction: ACE/ARB at Discharge? ACE/ARB Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: LDL Level: Statin at Discharge? Statin Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: Beta Blocker at Discharge? Beta Blocker Contraindicat Other Specific Reason:	NONE : : ? tions: ge? cations:	

• •

۲

٩.

Patient:GREEN, CHOLOE S MRN:D001315049 Encounter:D00113938887 Page 51 of 54 SH000638

RUN DATE: 07/27/16 RUN TIME: 0110 RUN USER: HPF.FEED			FACILITY scharge i			PAGE 52
PATIENT: GREEN.CHOLOE S ACCOUNT NO: DO0113938887		LOC:	30 F 0.E4 0.4508	ADMIT: DISCH/DEP: STATUS:	07/14/16 07/16/16 IN	
ATTEND DR: K1a.Ali HD REPORT STATUS: FINAL		BD:		UNIT NO:	D001315049	
Antiplatelet Contraindications:					***	
Other Specific Reason:						
HX or current AFIB/AFLUTTER: Anticoagulation Therapy at Disch	arge?					
Anticoagulation Contraindication	s:					
Other Specific Reason: Assessed for Rehabilitation? Reason for not ordering Rehab:						
Weight Monitoring: Kg: Weight - Lb: Other Specific Frequency:	104.54 230					
Hhat anticoagulation med is pati	ent being sent ho	me on	:			
List reason for medication choic	e:					
Diet: Activity/Exercise/Limitations: Lifting Restrictions:	Soft No limitations			••		
Return to Hork/School: OK to Drive:						
Call Your Doctor If - Fever Greater Than:	101.5					
1st Follow Up: 2nd: 3rd: Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow up in:	NO PRIMARY OR FA Provider Entere 1 Keek			w		
Reason: Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow up in: Reason: Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow-Up with: Follow-Up in: Reason:	NED FUP Delee,Frank J i Provider Entere 1 Keek OB FUP		ve			
Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow up in: Reason:						
P	PERMANENT MI	EDIC	CAL RE	CORD COPY	1	

• ,

f

.

Patient:GREEN, CHOLOES MRN:D001315049 Encounter:D00113938887 Page 52 of 54 SH000639

RUN DATE: 07/27/16 RUN TIME: 0110 RUN USER: HPF.FEED		FACILITY: (scharge Rep			PAGE 53
PATIENT: GREEN.CHOLOE S ACCOUNT NO: DOO113938887 ATTEND DR: Kia.Ali HD	LOC:	30 F D.E4 D.450B 0	ADMIT: DISCH/DEP: STATUS: UNIT NO:	07/14/16 07/16/16 IN D001315049	
REPORT STATUS: FINAL					
Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow up in: Reason: Physician:					
Follow-ຟູວ Mith: Follow ຟູວ In: Reason: Physician: Follow-ຟູວ With: Follow ຟູວ In: Reason:					
Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow up in:					
Reason: Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow up in: Reason:					
· INFANT/NICU ····					
INFANT/PEDIATRIC/NICU Primary Dx of Asthma:					
Provide Pre-printed Kother/Infant Instruction	ans:				
🛥 Outpatient Services Needs 🚥					
REHAB / SNF / LTAC / KOSPICE ONLY					
Rehabilitation Potential: (Group res Anticipated LOS: I certify that post-hospital skilled service	ponse undel es are requi		extended		
care facility as a continuation for which he	/she was re	ceiving in	-patient		
hospital services prior to the transfer to t	he extended	care faci	lity.		
Order's Audit Trail of Events 07/16/16 1521 DR.KIAAL Order ENTER in PO 07/16/16 1521 DR.KIAAL Ordering Doctor: 07/16/16 1521 DR.KIAAL Order Source: EPO 07/16/16 1524 DRUKRAW order viewed from 07/16/16 1554 DRUKRAW order viewed from	Kia.Ali KC M MANA Order Kana	genent			
5 07/16/16 1736 DNURNPS order acknowledge	d				
Electronical		assessible to			
PERMANEN					

• ,

٢

۱

Patient:GREEN, CHOLOE S MRN:D001315049 Encounter:D00113938887 Page 53 of 54 SH000640



r

/

· ·

EXHIBIT B

From: Judd, Joshua [mailto:Dept08LC@clarkcountycourts.us]
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2019 3:03 PM
To: efile; Tyson Dobbs; Office (office@danielmarks.net)
Subject: A757722 (Green v. DeLee et al.) Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

Good Afternoon,

\$°

At the hearing on March 12, 2019, Judge Smith deferred his decision on Defts' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. He has reviewed the pleadings and has asked that the parties submit proposed Orders Granting in Part and Denying in Part the Motion, consistent with the following:

- GRANTED as to Pltf's claims against the hospital for vicarious liability
- GRANTED as to Pltf's claims against the hospital for any of Dr. DeLee's actions
- DENIED as to Pltf's claims against the hospital for any of Dr. Kia's actions, under the theory of ostensible agency

ł

Please submit your orders to me in Word format, for Judge Smith's consideration. Judge intends to write and issue his own Order from Chambers. Please let me know if you have any questions, or if anything remains unclear.

Thank you,

Joshua D. Judd, Esq. Court Law Clerk to the Honorable Douglas E. Smith Eighth Judicial District Court | Department VIII P: (702) 671-4335 F: (702) 671-4337 . . .



• •

EXHIBIT C

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	TPC MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 11953 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1491 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144 (702) 889-6400 – Office (702) 384-6025 – Facsimile <u>efile@hpslaw.com</u> Attorneys for Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC DISTRICC CLARK COUN CHOLOE GREEN, an individual, Plaintiff, VS. FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, Defendants. SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive. Third-Party Defendants.	
---	--	--

•

.

٢

x

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 felephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMES NOW Third-Party Plaintiff, Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center ("Sunrise Hospital"), by and through its counsel of record HALL PRANGLE AND SCHOONVELD, LLC, and hereby complains and alleges against Third-Party Defendants, Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Third-Party Plaintiff, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, a Nevada Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "SUNRISE HOSPITAL"), is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Nevada and is authorized to do business as a hospital in Clark County, Nevada.
- 2. Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D., is a Board-Certified Internist who practices as a "Hospitalist." Dr. Kia holds himself out as duly licensed to practice his profession under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Nevada and was, and now is, engaged in the practice of his profession in the State of Nevada.
- 3. Ali Kia, M.D., is an agent and/or employee of Third-Party Defendant, Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP. Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP is a Nevada Limited Liability Partnership in Clark County, Nevada.
- 4. Plaintiff, Choloe Green, an individual, has asserted that Ali Kia, M.D., is an ostensible agent of Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital. The court has denied Sunrise Hospital's motion to dismiss such potential claim finding there is a factual issue to be resolved by the finder of fact.
- 5. On information and belief DOES/ROE Corporations were the employer and/or were responsible for Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia M.D. being called into consulting and/or treating Plaintiff Choloe Green for her Sunrise hospitalization which commenced on July

14, 2016. When the true names and capacities of said Third-Party Defendants DOES/ROE Corporations have been ascertained, Third-Party Plaintiff will amend this Third-Party Complaint accordingly.

STATEMENTS OF FACTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

702-384-6025

FACSIMILE:

FELEPHONE: 702-889-6400

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE

- 6. Third-Party Plaintiff, Sunrise Hospital repeats and realleges and incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-5 as though fully set forth herein.
- 7. Plaintiff, Choloe Green, had a caesarian section birth on July 9, 2016 at Sunrise Hospital with Frank J. DeLee, M.D., as the treating Obstetrician. Plaintiff was released home on the first post-operative day, July 10, 2016. Plaintiff contends in her complaint that her release was premature since a routine post-operative course is 3-4 days. Plaintiff also contends in her complaint that she was released prior to tolerating clear liquids and passing flatus.
- 8. Plaintiff alleges that Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee breached the applicable standard of care in discharging Plaintiff from the hospital on July 10, 2016. See attached Exhibit "A" (Plaintiff's Choloe Green's Complaint for Medical Malpractice and Affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D.).
- 9. Plaintiff, Choloe Green asserts that she was readmitted to Sunrise Hospital on July 14, 2016 with severe abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, fever and chills. Ms. Green was admitted to the medical/surgical unit of the hospital. She was seen, treated, and/or consulted by Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Ali Kia, M.D.
- 10. Plaintiff was discharged from Sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016. Plaintiff alleges that her discharge was "discussed and confirmed by Dr. DeLee. . ."
- 11. The Sunrise Hospital records indicate that Ali Kia, M.D. ordered and electronically signed Plaintiff's July 16, 2016 discharge from Sunrise Hospital.

Page 3 of 6

12. Plaintiff contends that her second discharge from sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016 1 violated the standard of care. Plaintiff asserts that she was not able to tolerate a regular 2 diet at the time of discharge and that her KUB showed multiple dilated loops of bowe 3 4 (which Plaintiff asserts are related to small bowel obstruction). 5 13. Plaintiff alleges in her underlying complaint that because of the aforementioned 6 negligence and breaches of the standard of care she suffered a protracted hospital course 7 with multiple complications including discharge to a step-down facility once her 8 9 antibiotic course was felt to be completed. Plaintiff asserts that she remained on a 10 feeding tube and in need of rehabilitation. 11 14. Plaintiff contends that it was Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee that breached the standard 12 of care in discharging her from the hospital July 16, 2016. 13 15. Sunrise Hospital filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment which, in part, sought to 14 dismiss any potential claim that Ali Kia, M.D. was an ostensible agent of the hospital 15 16 during Plaintiff's July 14 – 16, 2016 hospitalization. The court denied the motion finding 17 that there was a genuine issue of fact to be resolved by the finder of fact (jury). 18 16. Third-Party Defendant, Ali Kia, M.D. was "on call" for Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP 19 which resulted in Dr. Kia becoming a treating physician of the underlying Plaintiff, 20 Choloe Green. 21 22 17. When Dr. Kia was "on call" for Nevada Hospitalist Group he was employed and/or an 23 agent of Nevada Hospitalist Group. 24 THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRISE HOSPITAL CLAIM FOR INDEMNITY AND 25 CONTRIBUTION AGAINST ALI KIA, M.D., AND NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP 26 18. Third-Party Plaintiff, Sunrise Hospital repeats and realleges and incorporates each and 27 every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-17 as though fully set forth herein. 28

19. Plaintiff contends that she suffered injury and damage as a result of the care and treatment she received at Sunrise Hospital for her July 9, 2016 and July 14, 2016 hospitalizations.

- 20. Frank J. DeLee, M.D. discharged Choloe Green from her first hospitalization at Sunrise Hospital on July 10, 2016. Ali Kia, M.D. discharged Choloe Green from her second hospitalization at Sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016.
- 21. The court has determined that during Plaintiff's July 9, 2016 hospitalization and July 16, 2016 hospitalization, Frank J. DeLee, M.D. was not an ostensible agent of the hospital and the hospital is not vicariously liable for Dr. DeLee.

22. The court has also determined that Sunrise Hospital is not vicariously liable for any care or treatment rendered by Ali Kia, M.D. to Plaintiff, Choloe Green during her July 16, 2016 hospital admission. The court, however, denied Sunrise Hospital's motion to dismiss any claim that Dr. Kia was an ostensible agent of the hospital during this same hospital admission (genuine issue of material fact precluding summary judgment).

23. Although unnamed as a party in Plaintiff Choloe Green's underlying complaint, Ali Kia, M.D. (Third-Party Defendant) discharged Plaintiff on July 16, 2016. As such, Dr. Kia's care of Choloe Green is at issue in Plaintiff's underlying complaint.

24. Attached as Exhibit "A" to this Third-Party Complaint is the Plaintiff, Choloe Green's underlying complaint for medical malpractice and attached expert affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D.

25. Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital pursuant to NRS 17.225 and 17.285, Nevada's contribution statutes, and also the doctrine equitable indemnity, seeks judgment

Page 5 of 6

1	against Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group for any amount awarded (by					
2	verdict or judgment) against the hospital resulting from Ali Kia, M.D.'s treatment and					
3	care of Choloe Green during her July 14, 2016 hospital admission.					
4	26. WHEREFORE, Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center prays that					
5	judgment be entered in its favor and against Third-Party Defendants, Ali Kia, M.D.,					
6	and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, in an amount commensurate with the relative					
7	degree of fault by Dr. Kia in causing the Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages.					
8 9	DATED this 2 day of April, 2019.					
10						
11	HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC					
12	Pu					
13	By: MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ.					
14	Nevada Bar No.: 8619 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ.					
15	Nevada Bar No.: 11953 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ.					
16	Nevada Bar No. 1491					
17	1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144					
18	Attorneys for Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27 28						
	Page 6 of 6 PA0041					
1						

• •

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1. 1. en en esta a standieren Terre
• • • • •	COMP		Electronically Filed 6/30/2017 10:29 Als Steven D. Griersen CLERK OF THE COURT
3	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS		
2	DANIEL MARKS, BSQ. Nevada State Bar No. 002003		
3	NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESO. Nevada State Bar No. 12659		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
. 5	(702) 386-0536: Fax (702) 386-6812 Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6			
7	DIS	TRICT COURT	
8	CLARK	COUNTY, NEVADA	
9			A-17-757722-C 4
10	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	Case No. Dept. No.	. 0
11	Plaintiff,	•	Department 8
12	♥.		
13	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE MD, FC, a Domestic	Arbitration Ba	mpt Action
14	AND MEDICAL CENTER LLC. a Foreign	lan Madiaal Ma	upractice
15	Limited-Liability Company.		
16	Defendants.	,	
17			
18	<u>COMPLAINT FOR</u>	MEDICAL MALPRACTI	
19	COMES NOW Plaintiff Cholos Green,	by and through undersigned co	unsel Daniel Marks, Esq., and
. 20	Nicole M. Young, Esq., of the Law Office of I	Daniel Marks, and for her clair	ns against Defendants herein
21	allege as follows:	•	
22	1. That at all times material here	to, Plaintiff Cholce Green (hereinafter "Choloe") was a
23	resident of Clark County, Nevas		-
. 24	2. That at all times material here	io, Defendant FRANK J. DI	ilee, m.d., was a licensed
25	medical doctor in the State of N		
26	FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC.	- •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
27	1111		
28	////	• • •	
	-		
	•		

Case Number: A-17-757722-C

•

• ٩

. 1

1	3.	That at all times material hereto, Defendant FRANK J. DELEB MD, PC, was a domestic
2		professional corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Neveda and
3	_	registered to do business, and doing business in the State of Nevada in Clark County, Nevada.
4	4.	That Defandant FRANK J. DELEE, MD, is the President of Defendant FRANK J. DELEE
S		MD, PC (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Dr. DeLee").
6	5.	That Defendant SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, (hereinafter
7		"Sunrise Hospital"), was a foreign limited-liability company, registered to do business and
8		doing business in the State of Neveda in Clark County, Nevada.
9	6.	That on or about July 9, 2016, Dr. DeLee performed a cesarean section (C-Section) on
10		Choloe at Sumise Hospital. Choloe was discharged from the hospital the following day, on
11		July 10, 2016, even though she did not have bowel movement prior to being discharged from
12		the hospital.
13	7.	On July 13, 2016, Cholos had an appointment with Dr. DeLee. At that appointment, Cholos
14		notified Dr. Delee that she had not had a bowal movement post C-section. He did not provide
15		any cars or treatment to Cholos regarding her lack of a bowal movement.
16	8,	On July 14, 2016, after still not having a bowel movement post C-section, Choloe went to
17		the emergency room at Sunrise Hospital, with severe abdominal pain and reports of nausea,
18		vomiting, fever, and chills. She was admitted to the medical/surgical unit because of the
19		diagnosis of sepsis. Sunrise Hospital discharged Cholos on July 16, 2016, despite having a
20		small bowel obstruction. The discharge was discussed and confirmed by Dr. DaLee.
21	9.	On July 17, 2016, Cholos went to the emergency room at Centennial Hills Hospital where
22		she was admitted until she was finally discharged on September 2, 2016. Centennial Hills
23		admitted Choloe with the diagnosis of small bowel obstruction. She had an NG Tube placed,
24		underwent surgery, had diffuse pulmonary infilirates, suggestive of pulmonary edoma or ARDS,
25		and eventually needed a trachecstomy and PEG tube placement.
26	10.	That Defendant Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital breached the standard of care in their
27		treatment of Choloe and as a direct and proximate result of that breach, Choloe has been
28		damaged.
28		damaged.

H

2

:-

•

i

1

1

1	11.	That as a direct and proximate result of all of the Dafendants' negligence, Choice has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00.
3	12.	This Complaint is supported by the Affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D., a copy of which
4		is attached hereto as Exhibit "]".
5	13.	Cholos has been forced to relain counsel to bring this action and should be awarded his
6		reasonable attorneys fees and costs.
7	WHE	REFORE, Cholos prays for judgment against the Defendants, and each of them, as follows:
8	1.	For special damages in a sum in excess of \$15,000.00;
9	2.	For compensatory damages in a sum in excess of \$15,000.00;
10	3.	For reasonable attorney's fees and litigation costs incurred;
11	4.	For such other and further relief as the Court decons just and proper.
12	DAT	ED this 30 day of June, 2017.
13		LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS
14		
15		DANIEL MARKS, ESQ.
16 17	•	Nevada State Bar No. 602003 NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESO. Nevada State Bar No. 012659
18		610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff
20		
21		
22		
23		•
[.] 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		3
	ł	•

.

.

Ì

ŧ

I

£

ŧ

ł

VERIFICATION 1 2 STATE OF NEVADA **SS:** COUNTY OF CLARK 3 CHOLOE GREEN, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: 4 That I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled matter; that I have read the above and foregoing 5 Complaint and know the contents thereof; that the same are true of my knowledge except for those 6 7 matters stated upon information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. 8 tol (on 9 10 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me 11 thisk Bay of June, 2017. GLENDA GUO Public State of a 12 Ny Acel, B NOTARY PUBLIC in A COUNTY and STATE 13 UBLIC in And for said 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PA0045

۰.

EXHIBIT'I

:

ļ

ĩ

ł

Ę,

8

.

ļ	ח		
1			
2	STATEOF	APPEIDAVIT OF DR. LISA KARAMARDIAN	
3	COUNTY O		
4	1	LISA KARAMARDIAN, being first duly sworn, under penalty of parjury, does say and	
S	depose the fe		• 1545
6	-	That I am a medical doctor licensed in the State of California and am board certified in	
7		the field of Obstatrice and Gynecology.	
8	2,	This affidevit is executed pursuant to NRS 41A.071 in support of a Complaint for	
9		Medical Malpractice against Dr. Frank DeLes and Sumise Hospital and Medical Canter,	
10	3.	That I have reviewed Plaintiff Choles Green's medical records relating to the care and	
n		treatment she received from Dr. Frank DoLee, Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center,	
12		Valley Hospital Medical Center and Centennial Hills Medical Center.	iven
13	4.	A review of the modical records reveals that on July 9, 2016, Ms. Green had a casarean	
14		section birth at Survise Hospital with Dr. DeLee as the obstatrician. She was released	1
15		home on post-operative day number one. This was a breach of the standard of care by Dr.	
16		DeLes and Sunrise Hospital. The typical post-operative course for a reutice cesarean is a	
17		3-4 night stay in the hospital. The standard of care was also breached because Ms. Green	
18		had not even attempted to tolerate clear liquids and she had not passed fistus when she	
19	2	was released on post-oparative day number one.	ani
20	5.	A review of the madical records also reveals that on July 14, 2016, Ms. Green presented	
21		again to Surriss Hospital , now five (3) days post-partum, with severe abdominal pain	
22		and reports of naussa, vemiting, fever, and chills. She was admitted to the	
23		medical/surgical unit because of the disgnasis of sopais. She was discharged on July 16,	
24		2016. The discharge was discussed and confirmed by Dr. DaLeo. This discharge violated	
25		the standard of cara. Ms. Oreen was discharged despite the fast that she was not able to	
26		tolerate a regular dist. Further, on the day of her discharge, her KUB showed multiple	5 7 20 10 ⁻¹ 0
27	2	dilated loops of bowel, thought to be related to a small bowel obstruction, yet she was	
28	5	sent bome. An intraportioneal abscess was suspected on a CT sean, yet she was still sent	
		home. This was a violation of the standard of care by Sumrise Hospital and Dr. DeLoc.	
	8		

..

1

ł

6. 1 The day after she was released from Suprise Hospital, Ms. Green presented at Centennial 2 Hills Hospital, on July 17, 2016. At the time of presentation sho was now 7 days 3 postpartum, had not had a bowel movement, and was unable to even tolerate liquids. She 4 was still in sovere pain. Her imaging studies had worsened and she was now admitted, 5 again, with the diagnosis of small bowel obstruction. An NG tube was finally placed and 6 a general surgery evaluation ordered. She was admitted for concern for bowel perforation. 1 She underwant an exploratory laparotomy on July 18th for what was presumed to be a 8 perforated viscus, but none was found intraoperatively, just diffuse asolites. Infarcted 9 mesentery was removed and post-op her condition deteriorated, culminating in a rapid 10 response cell on July 20th when sho was found to be hypoxic. By the 22nd she had diffuse 11 pulmonary infilirates, suggestive of pulmonary edoms or ARDS, and her condition workened. CT 12 guided drain placement entures of fluid revealed entercocces faccalis, supporting the fact that 13 there must have been a bowel perferation. She then developed a prosmethorax and eventually 14 needed a tracheostomy and PEC tube placement. On August 5, 2016, there was difficulty with 15 her alrwey support. 16 Because of the vielations of the standard of ears, her hespital course was protracted with 7. 17 multiple complications and the was apparently discharged to a stop down facility once har 18 antibiotic course was fait to be completed, still on a feeding tube and in need of rehabilitation. 19 8. That in my professional opinion, to a degree of medical probability, the standard of care 20 was breached by both Dr. DeLee and Sumize Hospital and Medical Center in their 21 treatment of Mr. Grean. FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT. 22 23 24 KARAMARDIAN, MD SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me 25 THEY READ this 19 day of June, 2017, Notary Peblic - Califo 26 Grants Could na @ 2148823 tas 10 202 27 ARY FUELIC'in and for said 28 COUNTY and STATE 2

4.2

Electronically Filed 6/14/2019 9:40 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. 1 Nevada Bar No.: 8619 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No.: 11953 3 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1491 4 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 5 Las Vegas, NV 89144 6 (702) 889-6400 - Office (702) 384-6025 - Facsimile 7 efile@hpslaw.com Attorneys for Defendant 8 Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 702-384-6025 11 CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C CHOLOE GREEN, an individual, 9 12 DEPT NO .: VIII-LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: Plaintiff, 13 ORDER GRANTING SUNRISE 14 vs. HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, 15 LLC'S MOTION TO FILE THIRD FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; PARTY COMPLAINT FOR FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic 16 CONTRIBUTION AND INDEMNITY Professional Corporation, SUNRISE (ALI KIA, M.D.) HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, 17 LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, 18 Defendants. 19 SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability 20 Company, 21 Third-Party Plaintiff, 22 VS. 23 ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his 24 employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE 25 CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive. 26 Third-Party Defendants. 27 28 Page 1 of 2

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE

Case Number: A-17-757722-C

On May 1, 2019, Defendant Sunrise Hospital Medical Center, LLC filed its Motion for 1 Leave to File Third-Party Complaint on Order Shortening Time. No Opposition was filed and 2 the Court considered the Motion in Chambers on May 13, 2019. 3 The Court, having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, HEREBY ORDERS, 4 ADJUDGES, AND DECREES that Defendant Sunrise Hospital Medical Center's Motion for 5 Leave to File Third-Party Complaint is hereby GRANTED. 6 DATED this 2019. day of 7 8 9 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 10 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Respectfully Submitted: 11 12 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD LLC 13 TE 200 14 15 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11953 16 1160 North Town Center Drive, Ste. 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff 18 Valley Health System, LLC d/b/a Valley Hospital Medical Center 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Page 2 of 2

Electronically Filed 6/14/2019 10:55 AM Steven D. Grierson



		CLERK OF THE CO
	ТРС	Atump.
1	MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ.	(Cut -
2	Nevada Bar No.: 8619	
	TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ.	
8	Nevada Bar No.: 11953	
r	SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ.	
	Nevada Bar No. 1491	
5	HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC	
6	1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200	
	Las Vegas, NV 89144	
7	(702) 889-6400 – Office (702) 384-6025 – Facsimile	
	efile@hpslaw.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendant	
9	Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC	
0		
v		CT COURT
1	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
2	CHOLOF OPERAL - individual	CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C
	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	DEPT NO.: IX
	Plaintiff,	DEI I NO IX
1	T tantin,	
	vs.	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
5		
6	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual;	
	FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic	
7	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE	
8	HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER,	
J	LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company,	
9	Defendants.	
20	SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL	-
	CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability	
21	Company,	
22	Third-Party Plaintiff,	
23	vs.	
24		
	ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his	
25	employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST	
26	GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE	
	CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive.	
27	Third-Party Defendants.	
28		
	Pa	ge 1 of 2
	Coop Number: A 17 757	PA005
	Coop Number A 17 757	

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE SUTTE 200

Case Number: A-17-757722-C

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702-889-6400 Facismile: 702-384-6025	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	LLC's Motion to File Third Party C was entered on the 14 th day of June, 2 DATED this 14 th day of June, By:	an an Order Granting Sunrise Hospital Complaint for Contribution and Indema 2019. A copy of which is attached hereta 2019. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD <u>/s/ Tyson J. Dobbs</u> MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 11953 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1491 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144 <i>Attorneys for Defendant</i> <i>Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center</i> ,	nity (Ali Kia, M.D.) p. , LLC
	27			
			Page 2 of 2	PA0052

Electronically Filed 6/14/2019 9:40 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. 1 Nevada Bar No.: 8619 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No.: 11953 3 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1491 4 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 5 Las Vegas, NV 89144 (702) 889-6400 - Office 6 (702) 384-6025 - Facsimile 7 efile@hpslaw.com Attorneys for Defendant 8 Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 CASE NO .: A-17-757722-C CHOLOE GREEN, an individual, DEPT NO .: VHH-9 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER GRANTING SUNRISE 14 VS. HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC'S MOTION TO FILE THIRD FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; 15 PARTY COMPLAINT FOR FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic CONTRIBUTION AND INDEMNITY 16 Professional Corporation, SUNRISE (ALI KIA, M.D.) HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, 17 LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, 18 Defendants. 19 SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability 20 Company, 21 Third-Party Plaintiff, 22 VS. 23 ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his 24 employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE 25 CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive. 26 Third-Party Defendants. 27 28 Page 1 of 2 Case Number: A-17-757722-C PA0053

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE

SUITE 200

On May 1, 2019, Defendant Sunrise Hospital Medical Center, LLC filed its Motion for ī Leave to File Third-Party Complaint on Order Shortening Time. No Opposition was filed and 2 the Court considered the Motion in Chambers on May 13, 2019. 3 The Court, having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, HEREBY ORDERS, 4 ADJUDGES, AND DECREES that Defendant Sunrise Hospital Medical Center's Motion for 5 Leave to File Third-Party Complaint is hereby GRANTED. 6 DATED this $|||^{\Gamma}$ day of _____ line 2019. 7 8 9 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 10 FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025 Respectfully Submitted: 11 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD LLC 12 1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE LAS VECAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: 13 SUITE 200 14 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. 15 Nevada Bar No. 11953 16 1160 North Town Center Drive, Ste. 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff 18 Valley Health System, LLC d/b/a Valley Hospital Medical Center 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Page 2 of 2

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

Electronically Filed 6/14/2019 11:04 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

(Hu

		ТРС	Atump. Au	
	1	MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ.		
	2	Nevada Bar No.: 8619		
		TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ.		
	3	Nevada Bar No.: 11953		
	4	SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ.		
		Nevada Bar No. 1491 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC		
	5	1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200		
	6	Las Vegas, NV 89144		
	_	(702) 889-6400 – Office		
	7	(702) 384-6025 – Facsimile		
	8	efile@hpslaw.com		
	0	Attorneys for Defendant		
	9	Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC		
, ,	10	DISTRIC	TCOUDT	
	11	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
5	11			
	12	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C	
	13		DEPT NO.: IX	
		Plaintiff,		
-	14			
	15	VS.	SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC'S THIRD PARTY	
		FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual;	<u>COMPLAINT FOR CONTRIBUTION</u>	
	16	FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic	AND INDEMNITY (ALI KIA, M.D.)	
	17	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE		
	10	HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER,		
	18	LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company,		
•	19			
	20	Defendants.	-	
	20	SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability		
	21	Company,		
	22	Third-Party Plaintiff,		
	23	VS.		
	24			
		ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his		
	25	employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE		
	26	CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive.		
	27			
	27	Third-Party Defendants.		
	28			
		Page	1 of 6	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMES NOW Third-Party Plaintiff, Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center ("Sunrise Hospital"), by and through its counsel of record HALL PRANGLE AND SCHOONVELD, LLC, and hereby complains and alleges against Third-Party Defendants, Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Third-Party Plaintiff, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, a Nevada Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "SUNRISE HOSPITAL"), is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Nevada and is authorized to do business as a hospital in Clark County, Nevada.
- 2. Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D., is a Board-Certified Internist who practices as a "Hospitalist." Dr. Kia holds himself out as duly licensed to practice his profession under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Nevada and was, and now is, engaged in the practice of his profession in the State of Nevada.
- Ali Kia, M.D., is an agent and/or employee of Third-Party Defendant, Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP. Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP is a Nevada Limited Liability Partnership in Clark County, Nevada.
- 4. Plaintiff, Choloe Green, an individual, has asserted that Ali Kia, M.D., is an ostensible agent of Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital. The court has denied Sunrise Hospital's motion to dismiss such potential claim finding there is a factual issue to be resolved by the finder of fact.
- 5. On information and belief DOES/ROE Corporations were the employer and/or were responsible for Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia M.D. being called into consulting and/or treating Plaintiff Choloe Green for her Sunrise hospitalization which commenced on July

Page 2 of 6

14, 2016. When the true names and capacities of said Third-Party Defendants DOES/ROE Corporations have been ascertained, Third-Party Plaintiff will amend this Third-Party Complaint accordingly.

STATEMENTS OF FACTS

- 6. Third-Party Plaintiff, Sunrise Hospital repeats and realleges and incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-5 as though fully set forth herein.
- 7. Plaintiff, Choloe Green, had a caesarian section birth on July 9, 2016 at Sunrise Hospital with Frank J. DeLee, M.D., as the treating Obstetrician. Plaintiff was released home on the first post-operative day, July 10, 2016. Plaintiff contends in her complaint that her release was premature since a routine post-operative course is 3-4 days. Plaintiff also contends in her complaint that she was released prior to tolerating clear liquids and passing flatus.
- Plaintiff alleges that Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee breached the applicable standard of care in discharging Plaintiff from the hospital on July 10, 2016. See attached Exhibit "A" (Plaintiff's Choloe Green's Complaint for Medical Malpractice and Affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D.).
- 9. Plaintiff, Choloe Green asserts that she was readmitted to Sunrise Hospital on July 14, 2016 with severe abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, fever and chills. Ms. Green was admitted to the medical/surgical unit of the hospital. She was seen, treated, and/or consulted by Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Ali Kia, M.D.
- 10. Plaintiff was discharged from Sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016. Plaintiff alleges that her discharge was "discussed and confirmed by Dr. DeLee. . ."
- 11. The Sunrise Hospital records indicate that Ali Kia, M.D. ordered and electronically signed Plaintiff's July 16, 2016 discharge from Sunrise Hospital.

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Page 3 of 6

12. Plaintiff contends that her second discharge from sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016 violated the standard of care. Plaintiff asserts that she was not able to tolerate a regular diet at the time of discharge and that her KUB showed multiple dilated loops of bowel (which Plaintiff asserts are related to small bowel obstruction).

13. Plaintiff alleges in her underlying complaint that because of the aforementioned negligence and breaches of the standard of care she suffered a protracted hospital course with multiple complications including discharge to a step-down facility once her antibiotic course was felt to be completed. Plaintiff asserts that she remained on a feeding tube and in need of rehabilitation.

- 14. Plaintiff contends that it was Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee that breached the standard of care in discharging her from the hospital July 16, 2016.
- 15. Sunrise Hospital filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment which, in part, sought to dismiss any potential claim that Ali Kia, M.D. was an ostensible agent of the hospital during Plaintiff's July 14 16, 2016 hospitalization. The court denied the motion finding that there was a genuine issue of fact to be resolved by the finder of fact (jury).
- 16. Third-Party Defendant, Ali Kia, M.D. was "on call" for Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP which resulted in Dr. Kia becoming a treating physician of the underlying Plaintiff, Choloe Green.
- 17. When Dr. Kia was "on call" for Nevada Hospitalist Group he was employed and/or an agent of Nevada Hospitalist Group.

THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRISE HOSPITAL CLAIM FOR INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION AGAINST ALI KIA, M.D., AND NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP

18. Third-Party Plaintiff, Sunrise Hospital repeats and realleges and incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-17 as though fully set forth herein.

19. Plaintiff contends that she suffered injury and damage as a result of the care and treatment she received at Sunrise Hospital for her July 9, 2016 and July 14, 2016 hospitalizations.

- 20. Frank J. DeLee, M.D. discharged Choloe Green from her first hospitalization at Sunrise Hospital on July 10, 2016. Ali Kia, M.D. discharged Choloe Green from her second hospitalization at Sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016.
- 21. The court has determined that during Plaintiff's July 9, 2016 hospitalization and July 16, 2016 hospitalization, Frank J. DeLee, M.D. was not an ostensible agent of the hospital and the hospital is not vicariously liable for Dr. DeLee.
- 22. The court has also determined that Sunrise Hospital is not vicariously liable for any care or treatment rendered by Ali Kia, M.D. to Plaintiff, Choloe Green during her July 16, 2016 hospital admission. The court, however, denied Sunrise Hospital's motion to dismiss any claim that Dr. Kia was an ostensible agent of the hospital during this same hospital admission (genuine issue of material fact precluding summary judgment).
- 23. Although unnamed as a party in Plaintiff Choloe Green's underlying complaint, Ali Kia, M.D. (Third-Party Defendant) discharged Plaintiff on July 16, 2016. As such, Dr. Kia's care of Choloe Green is at issue in Plaintiff's underlying complaint.
- 24. Attached as Exhibit "A" to this Third-Party Complaint is the Plaintiff, Choloe Green's underlying complaint for medical malpractice and attached expert affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D.
- 25. Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital pursuant to NRS 17.225 and 17.285, Nevada's contribution statutes, and also the doctrine equitable indemnity, seeks judgment

Page 5 of 6

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

against Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group for any amount awarded (by verdict or judgment) against the hospital resulting from Ali Kia, M.D.'s treatment and care of Choloe Green during her July 14, 2016 hospital admission.

26. WHEREFORE, Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center prays that judgment be entered in its favor and against Third-Party Defendants, Ali Kia, M.D., and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, in an amount commensurate with the relative degree of fault by Dr. Kia in causing the Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages. DATED this 14th day of June, 2019.

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

By: /s/ Tyson J. Dobbs

MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 11953 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1491 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC

Electronically Filed 8/2/2019 1:01 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

			CLERK OF THE COU			
	1	ANTC	Atump.			
	2	Patricia Egan Daehnke Nevada Bar No. 4976				
		Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com				
	3	Linda K. Rurangirwa				
	4	Nevada Bar No. 9172 Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com				
	5	COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO	C			
	6	2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119				
	7	(702) 979-2132 Telephone				
		(702) 979-2133 Facsimile				
	8	Attorneys for Third- Party Defendant				
	9	Ali Kia, M.D.				
	10	DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT			
	11					
0	12	CLARK COUN				
INLOW & GRECO ad, Suite 305 ADA 89119 X (702) 979-2133	13	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C DEPT. NO.: IX			
NLOW & GR I, Suite 305 0A 89119 (702) 979-2133		Plaintiffs,				
KE, IN Road, EVADA FAX (7	14		THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT ALI KIA, M.D.'S ANSWER TO THIRD PARTY			
DAEHNKE, E. Flamingo Ro VEGAS, NEV, 979-2132 FA	15	VS.	COMPLAINT			
SON, DAEI 2110 E. Flam LAS VEGA . (702) 979-2:	16					
COLLINSON, 2110 E LAS TEL. (702)	17	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic				
Ö	18	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC,				
	_	a Foreign Limited-Liability Company.				
	19	Defendants.				
	20	SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL				
	21	CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability				
	22	Company,				
	23	Third-Party Plaintiff,				
	24					
		VS.				
	25	ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST				
	26	GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE				
	27	CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive.				
	28	Third-Party Defendants.				
	-					

COMES NOW Third Party Defendant, ALI KIA, M.D. ("Answering Third Party Defendant") by and through his attorneys, COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO and in answer to Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint on file herein, admits, denies and alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Answering Paragraph 1 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

2. Answering Paragraph 2 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

3. Answering Paragraph 3 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant denies he is an agent and/or employee of Third Party Defendant, Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

4. Answering Paragraph 4 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

5. Answering Paragraph 5 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint,
Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a
belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies
each and every allegation contained therein.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Answering Paragraph 6 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint,
Answering Third Party Defendant repeats, realleges and incorporates each and every

COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 TEL. (702) 979-2132 | FAX (702) 979-2133 2.12 | FAX (702) 979-2133 2.13 | FAX (702) 979-2133 2.14 | FAX (702) 979-2133 2.15 | FAX (702)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

26

response to allegations contained in paragraphs 1-5 as though fully set forth herein.

7. Answering Paragraph 7 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

8. Answering Paragraph 8 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint,
Answering Third Party denies there was an Exhibit "A" attached to the Third Party
Complaint served on him and contends the document speaks for itself. As to all other
allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient
knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said
paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 305 2130 F FAX (702) 979-2132 148 VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 154 (702) 979-2133 154 (702) 759 (702) 759 (702) 759 (702) 750 (702

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

9. Answering Paragraph 9 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party contends the document speaks for itself. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

10. Answering Paragraph 10 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party contends the document speaks for itself. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

11. Answering Paragraph 11 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party contends the document speaks for itself. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

27 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint,
28 Answering Third Party contends the document speaks for itself. As to all other allegations

-3-

contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

13. Answering Paragraph 13 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party contends the document speaks for itself. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

14. Answering Paragraph 14 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party contends the document speaks for itself. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

15. Answering Paragraph 15 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

16. Answering Paragraph 16 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant admits he was covering for Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP and on call which resulted in him becoming at treating physician of Choloe Green. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

17. Answering Paragraph 17 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint,
Answering Third Party Defendant denies he was employed by Nevada Hospitalist Group.
As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without
sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

PA0064

-4-

22

23

24

25

contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained
 therein.

THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRISE HOSPITAL'S CLAIM FOR INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION AGAINST ALI KIA, M.D. AND NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP.

18. Answering Paragraph 18 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant repeats, realleges and incorporates each and every response to allegations contained in paragraphs 1-17 as though fully set forth herein.

19. Answering Paragraph 19 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

20. Answering Paragraph 20 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant admits he discharged Choloe Green from Sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

21. Answering Paragraph 21 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

22. Answering Paragraph 22 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

26 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint,
 27 Answering Third Party Defendant admits he discharged Plaintiff on July 16, 2016. As to all
 28 other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient

-5-

knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

24. Answering Paragraph 24 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party denies there was an Exhibit "A" attached to the Third Party Complaint served on him and contends the document speaks for itself. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

25. Answering Paragraph 25 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party denies Sunrise Hospital is entitled to equitable indemnity or contribution from Answering Third Party Defendant. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

26. Answering Paragraph 26 of Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Answering Third Party denies he is at fault in causing Plaintiff's allege injuries and damages and further denies Sunrise Hospital is entitled to judgment against him for such alleged injuries and damages. As to all other allegations contained therein, Answering Third Party Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint fails to state a claim against Answering Third Party Defendant upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering Third Party Defendant alleges that in all medical care rendered to Plaintiff
Choloe Green, Answering Third Party Defendant possessed and exercised that degree of skill

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

7 8 9 10 11 COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 8919 TEL. (702) 979-2132 | FAX (702) 979-2133 12 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

1

2

3

5

6

and learning ordinarily possessed and exercised by members of the medical profession in good standing practicing in similar localities, and that at all times Answering Third Party Defendant used reasonable care and diligence in the exercise of this skill and application of 4 this learning, and at all times acted in accordance with his best medical judgment.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering Third Party Defendant alleges that any liability or damages assessed against Third Party Plaintiff is not based on, or secondary to, any acts or omissions including negligence and/or medical negligence of Answering Third Party Defendant.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering Third Party Defendant made, consistent with good medical practice, a full and complete disclosure to Plaintiff Choloe Green of all material facts known to him or reasonably believed by him to be true concerning Plaintiff Choloe Green's physical condition and the appropriate alternative procedures available for treatment of such condition. Further, each and every service rendered to Plaintiff Choloe Green by Answering Third Party Defendant was expressly and impliedly consented to and authorized by Plaintiff Choloe Green, on the basis of said full and complete disclosure.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint is barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff Choloe Green assumed the risks of the medical procedures and treatment performed by Answering Third Party Defendant.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24 Plaintiff Choloe Green's damages, if any, were caused by, and due to, an unavoidable condition or occurrence.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27 Third Party Plaintiff and Plaintiff Choloe Green have failed to mitigate their damages, 28 if any, in spite of a duty to do so.

1	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	The injuries and damages alleged by Plaintiff Choloe Green were caused by the	
3	actions or inactions of third parties over whom Answering Third Party Defendant has no	
4	liability, responsibility or control.	
5	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	The injuries and damages alleged by Plaintiff Choloe Green were unforeseeable.	
7	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
8	The injuries and damages alleged by Plaintiff Choloe Green were caused by forces of	
9	nature over which Answering Third Party Defendant had no responsibility, liability or control.	
10	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
11	Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint violates the Statute of Frauds.	
12	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
13	Pursuant to Nevada law, Third Party Defendants cannot be jointly liable and that if	
14	liability is imposed, such liability would be several for that portion of Third Party Plaintiff's	
15	damages, if any, which represents the percentage attributed to each Third Party Defendant.	
16	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
17	The injuries and damages alleged by Plaintiff Choloe Green were caused by new,	
18	independent, intervening and superseding causes and not by Answering Third Party	
19	Defendant's alleged negligence or other actionable conduct, the existence of which is	
20	specifically denied.	
21	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
22	Any damages assessed against Answering Defendant are subject to the limitations and	
23	protections as set forth in Chapter 41A of the Nevada Revised Statutes including, without	
24	limitation, several liability and limits on noneconomic damages.	
25	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
26	It has been necessary to employ the services of an attorney to defend this action and a	
27	reasonable sum should be allowed Answering Third Party Defendant for attorney's fees,	
28	together with his costs expended in this action.	
	-8- PA0068	

COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 TEL. (702) 979-2132 | FAX (702) 979-2133

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The injuries and damages alleged by Plaintiff Choloe Green can and do occur in the absence of negligence.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Should liability be found against Answering Third Party Defendant—which is expressly denied—and damages assessed, the proportionate degree of negligence, fault, and/or legal responsibility of each and every person or entity (whether such other person or entity are parties to the Third Party Complaint) must be determined and prorated, and any judgment which may be rendered against Answering Third Party Defendant must be reduced by the degree of negligence, fault and/or other legal responsibility found to exist as to the other parties, persons or entities.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

No contractual guarantees or warranties were in existence and there is no privity of contract between Third Party Plaintiff and Answering Third Party Defendant, or between Plaintiff Choloe Green and Answering Third Party Defendant.

TWENTETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering Third Party Defendant is entitled to assert all available defenses to contract, the existence of which is specifically denied.

19

17

18

23

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20 Answering Third Party Defendant asserts all defenses available to him in law and 21 equity, including, without limitation, all available defenses pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil 22 Procedure 12.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24 Answering Third Party Defendant is entitled to all protections, benefits, and set offs 25 available to Answering Defendant in medical malpractice actions under Nevada Revised 26 Statutes Chapters 41A and 42.

27 111

28 111

COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 8919 TEL. (702) 979-2132 | FAX (702) 979-2133 13 14 15 16

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent Plaintiff Choloe Green has been reimbursed from any source for any special damages claimed to have been sustained as a result of the incidents alleged in Plaintiff Choloe Green's Complaint against Third Party Plaintiff, Answering Third Party Defendant may elect to offer those amounts into evidence and, if Third Party Answering Defendant so elects, Third Party Plaintiff's special damages shall be reduced by those amounts pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 42.021.

TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent a portion of Third Party Plaintiff's claimed damages are based on future damages, Answering Third Party Defendant may satisfy that amount through payments pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 42.021.

TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

At all times mentioned herein, Answering Third Party Defendant acted reasonably, in good faith, and within the applicable standard of care with regard to the acts and transactions which are the subject of the Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint.

TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The complained of acts of Answering Third Party Defendant were justified under the circumstances.

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering Third Party Defendant is entitled to a conclusive presumption of informed consent pursuant to NRS 41A.110.

TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

23 The expert affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D. attached to Third Party Plaintiff's 24 Third Party Complaint does not comply with NRS 41A.071 in that it fails to demonstrate 25 Answering Third Party Defendant breached the standard of care in Plaintiff Choloe Green's 26 case, and fails to demonstrate an alleged causal link between the Answering Third Party 27 Defendant's treatment of Plaintiff Choloe Green and Third Party Plaintiff's alleged injuries 28 and damages.

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The expert affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D. attached to Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint does not comply with NRS 41A.071 in that it fails to support the allegations contained in the Third Party Complaint.

THIRTEETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Third Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint fails to comply with NRS 41A.100 as Third Party Plaintiff has failed to provide expert medical testimony to demonstrate the alleged deviation from the accepted standard of care in the specific circumstances of this case and to prove causation of the alleged personal injury.

THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering Third Party Defendant has fully performed his duties owed to Plaintiff Choloe Green and Third Party Plaintiff is, therefore, estopped to assert any claim against him.

THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Third Party Plaintiff has not suffered any compensable injury as a result of Answering Defendant's alleged actions and, as a result, is not entitled to contribution or indemnification against Answering Third Party Defendant.

THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Third Party Plaintiff's contribution and indemnification claims are premature, not ripe for consideration, and request speculative damages as Third Party Plaintiff has not suffered any actual injury or damages.

THIRTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Third Party Plaintiff is barred from bringing the current contribution claim against
Answering Defendant as medical negligence is a foreseeable consequence of the alleged
negligence of Third Party Plaintiff and, therefore, Third Party Plaintiff is responsible for any
and all injuries and damages stemming from the alleged medical negligence of Answering
Third Party Defendant.

27 ///

28 ///

THIRTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any injuries or damages allegedly sustained or suffered by Plaintiff Choloe Green referred in the Complaint, were caused, in whole or in part, or were contributed to, by the negligence or fault or want of care of Plaintiff Choloe Green, and that the negligence, fault or want of care on the part of Plaintiff Choloe Green was greater than that, if any, of the Answering Third Party Defendant, the existence of which is specifically denied.

THIRTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 11, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged as sufficient facts were not available, after reasonable inquiry, upon the filing of Answering Defendant's Answer to Third Party Complaint and, therefore, Answering Third Party Defendant reserves the right to amend his Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation warrants. Additionally, one or more of these affirmative defenses may have been pled for the purposes of non-waiver.

WHEREFORE, Answering Third Party Defendant prays as follows:

1. That Third Party Plaintiff take nothing by reason of its Third Party Complaint; 2. For all attorney's fees incurred in the defense of Third Party Plaintiff's Third

- Party Complaint against Answering Third Party Defendant;
- 3. For costs and disbursements incurred herein; and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

	1					
	2					
	3	DATED: Au	igust 2, 2019	COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO		
	4					
	5			BY: /s/ Linda K. Rurangirwa		
	6			PATRICIA EGAN DAEHNKE Nevada Bar No. 4976		
	7			LINDA K. RURANGIRWA Nevada Bar No. 9172		
	8			2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212		
	9			Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Tel. (702) 979-2132		
	10			Fax (702) 979-2133		
	11			Attorneys for Third- Party Defendant		
BRECO	12			Ali Kia, M.D.		
DW & G uite 305 9119 2) 979-21	13					
COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suita 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 TEL. (702) 979-2132 FAX (702) 979-2133	14					
)AEHNK ⁼ lamingo EGAS, NI 79-2132	15					
SON, D 2110 E. F LAS VI . (702) 97	16					
COLLIN	17					
0	18					
	19					
	20					
	21					
	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					
	26					
	27					
	28					
				-13-	PA007	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on this 2 nd day of August, 2019, a true and correct copy of
3	THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT ALI KIA, M.D.'S ANSWER TO THIRD PARTY
4	COMPLAINT was served by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the
5	Odyssey File & Serve system and serving all parties with an email address on record, who
6	have agreed to receive Electronic Service in this action.
7	
8	DANIEL MARKS, ESQ. NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ.
9	Law Office of Daniel Marks
10	610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
11	(702) 386-0536 Attorneys for Plaintiff Choloe Green
12	ERIC K. STRYKER, ESQ.
13	Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP 300 South Fourth Street
14	11th Floor
15	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 727-1400
16	Attorneys for Defendants Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Frank J. DeLee, M.D., P.C.:
17	
18	MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ.
19 20	SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ.
20 21	Hall Prangle and Schoonveld LLC 19 1160 North Town Center Drive
21	Suite 200 20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
22	Attorneys for Defendant and Third Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC
24	
25	
26	By <u>/s/ Linda K. Rurangirwa</u>
27	An employee of COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO
28	
	-14- PA0074

COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 TEL. (702) 979-2132 | FAX (702) 979-2133

COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 TEL. (702) 979-2132 | FAX (702) 979-2133

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 006858 E-Mail: Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com ERIN E. JORDAN Nevada Bar No. 10018 E-Mail: Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP	Electronically Filed 12/27/2019 3:48 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
8	DISTRIC	T COURT
9 10	CLARK COUN	NTY, NEVADA
11		CASE NO. A 17 757722 C
12	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO. A-17-757722-C Dept. No.: IX
13	Plaintiff,	THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA
14	VS.	HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S ANSWER TO SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL
15	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE, MD, PC, a Domestic	CENTER, LLC'S THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT
16	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a foreign Limited-Liability Company, ,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability	
20	Company,	
21	Third Party Plaintiff,	
22	vs.	
23	ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his	
24	employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE	
25	CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive.,	
26	Third Party Defendants.	
27		
28		

4836-4874-2576.1

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Third-Party Defendant NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP, ("Defendant") by and
 through its attorneys of record, S. Brent Vogel, Esq. and Erin E. Jordan, Esq. of LEWIS
 BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP, hereby answers Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party
 Complaint as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

6 1. Answering Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Third7 Party Defendant is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth or
8 falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon that basis, denies each and every allegation
9 contained therein.

10 2. Answering Paragraph 3 of Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Third-Party
11 Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

12 3. Answering Paragraphs 4 and 5 of Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Third13 Party Defendant is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth or
14 falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon that basis, denies each and every allegation
15 contained therein.

16

5

STATEMENT OF FACTS

17 4. Answering Paragraph 6 of Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Third-Party
18 Defendant hereby repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1
19 through 5, supra, as though fully set forth herein.

20 5. Answering Paragraphs 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 of Third-Party Plaintiff's Third
21 Party Complaint, Third-Party Defendant is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a
22 belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon that basis, denies each
23 and every allegation contained therein.

24 6. Answering Paragraphs 16 and 17 of Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Third25 Party Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

26 || / / /

27 || / / /

28

111

/IS OIS

THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRISE HOSPITAL CLAIM FOR INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION AGAINST ALI KIA, M.D., AND NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP

3 Answering Paragraph 18 of Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint, Third-Party 7. 4 Defendant hereby repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 5 through 17, supra, as though fully set forth herein. 8. Answering Paragraphs 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25 of Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party 6 7 Complaint, Third-Party Defendant is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief 8 as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon that basis, denies each and 9 every allegation contained therein. 10 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint on file herein fails to state a claim against 11 1. 12 Third-Party Defendant upon which relief can be granted. 13 2. Third-Party Plaintiff's Third Party Complaint on file herein is barred by the applicable statute of limitations. 14 The injuries, if any, allegedly suffered by Third-Party Plaintiff as set forth in the Third-15 3. Party Complaint were caused in whole or in part by the negligence of a third party or third parties 16 17 over which Third-Party Defendant had no control. 18 The damages, if any, alleged by Third-Party Plaintiff are not the result of any acts of 4. 19 omission, commission, or negligence by this Third-Party Defendant, but were the result of a 20 known risk, which was consented to by Third-Party Plaintiff. 21 5. Pursuant to NRS 41A.110, Defendant is entitled to a conclusive presumption of informed 22 consent. 23 6. The damages, if any, incurred by Third-Party Plaintiff are not attributable to any act, 24 conduct, or omission on the part of this Third-Party Defendant. Third-Party Defendant denies that it was negligent or otherwise culpable in any matter or in any degree with respect to the matters set 25

26 forth in Third-Party Plaintiff's Third-Party Complaint.

27 7. That it has been necessary for Third-Party Defendant to employ the services of an attorney
28 to defend this action and a reasonable sum should be allowed Third-Party Defendant for attorneys'



1 || fees, together with costs of suit incurred herein.

2 8. Pursuant NRS 41A.035 Plaintiffs' non-economic damages, if any, may not exceed
3 \$350,000.

4 9. Third-Party Defendant is not jointly liable with any other entity that may or may not be
5 named in this action, and will only be severally liable for that portion of Third-Party Plaintiff's
6 claims that represent the percentage of negligence attributable to Third-Party Defendant, if any.

7 10. Third-Party Plaintiff's damages, if any, were not proximately caused by Third-Party
8 Defendant.

9 11. Third-Party Plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any, are the result of forces of nature over
10 which Third-Party Defendant had no control or responsibility.

11 12. Third-Party Plaintiff are barred from asserting any claims against Third-Party Defendant
12 because the alleged damages were the result of one or more unforeseeable intervening and
13 superseding causes.

14 13. Third-Party Plaintiff failed to mitigate damages, if any.

15 || 14. Third-Party Plaintiff failed to allege facts in support of any award of pre-judgment interest.

16 15. The incident alleged in the Third-Party Complaint, and the resulting damages, if any, to 17 Third-Party Plaintiff, were proximately caused or contributed to by the decedent's own 18 negligence, and such negligence was greater than the negligence, if any, of Third-Party Defendant. 19 16. Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all applicable Affirmative Defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing 20 21 of Third-Party Defendant's Answer and, therefore, Third-Party Defendant reserves the right to amend its Answer to allege additional Affirmative Defenses if subsequent investigation warrants. 22

23 17. Third-Party Plaintiff failed to substantively comply with NRS 41A.071.

24 18. At all times mentioned herein, Third-Party Defendant acted reasonably and in good faith
25 with regard to the acts and transactions which are the subject of this lawsuit.

26 19. To the extent Third-Party Plaintiff has been reimbursed from any source for any special
27 damages claimed to have been sustained as a result of the incidents alleged in Third-Party
28 Plaintiff's Third-Party Complaint, Third-Party Defendant may elect to offer those amounts into



evidence and, if Third-Party Defendant so elects, Third-Party Plaintiff's special damages shall be
 reduced by those amounts pursuant to NRS 42.021.

3 20. Third-Party Defendant hereby incorporates by reference those affirmative defenses
4 enumerated in NRCP 8 as if fully set forth herein. In the event further investigation or discovery
5 reveals the applicability of such defenses, Third-Party Defendant reserves the right to seek leave
6 of the Court to amend his Answer to assert the same. Such defenses are incorporated herein by
7 reference for the purpose of not waiving the same.

8 21. Third-Party Defendant avail itself of all affirmative defenses and limitations of action as
9 set out in NRS 41.085, 41A.035, 41A.045, 41A.061, 41A.071, 41A.097, 41A.100, 42.005, 42.021,
10 41.141, and all applicable subparts.

11 22. NRS Chapters 41 and 41A limit damages that may be collectable against Third-Party
12 Defendant.

13 23. Third-Party Plaintiff is barred from bringing this action for failure to comply with
14 applicable contractual remedies and requirements, including arbitration, if applicable. Third-Party
15 Plaintiff's failure to comply with the contractual remedies and requirements notwithstanding,
16 Third-Party Defendant reserves his right to enforce any applicable arbitration provision.

17

WHEREFORE, Third-Party Defendant prays for judgment as follows:

18 1. That Third-Party Plaintiff take nothing by way of the Third Party Complaint on file19 herein;

- 20 ///
- 21 ///
- 22 || / / /
- 23 ////
- 24
- 25 || / / /
- 26 / / /
- 27 || / / /

111

28

VIS BOIS

4836-4874-2576.1

5

1	2.	For reasonable attorneys' fee	es a	nd costs of suit incurred herein;	
2	3.	For trial by jury, and;			
3	DATE	ED this 27th day of December	; 20	19	
4		L	LEW	IS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH	H LLP
5					
6		F	Зy	/s/ Erin E. Jordan	
7			- 5	S. BRENT VOGEL	
8				Nevada Bar No. 006858 ERIN E. JORDAN	
9				Nevada Bar No. 10018 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 60	00
10				Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Tel. 702.893.3383	
11				Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant	Nevada
12				Hospitalist Group, LLP	
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	4836-4874-2576.1			6	10001

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on this 27th day of December, 2019, a true and correct copy
3	of THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S ANSWER
4	TO SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC'S THIRD PARTY
5	COMPLAINT was served by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the Electronic
6	Service system and serving all parties with an email-address on record, who have agreed to receive
7	Electronic Service in this action.
 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	Daniel Marks, Esq.Erik Stryker, Esq.Nicole M. Young, Esq.WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMANLAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS& DICKER LLP610 S. 9 th St.300 S. 4 th St.Las Vegas, NV 89101Las Vegas, NV 89101Tel: 702.386.0536Tel: 702.727.1400Fax: 702.386.6812Fax: 702.727.1401Attorneys for PlaintiffAttorneys for Defendants Frank J. Delee, M.D.Michael E. Prangle, Esq.and Frank J. Delee, M.D., PCMichael E. Prangle, Esq.Sherman B. Mayor, Esq.HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC1160 N. Town Center Dr., Suite 200Las Vegas, NV 89144Tel: 702.384.6025Attorneys for Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff
18 19	
20	By <u>/s/ J</u> ohana Whitbeck
21	An Employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27	
20	
	4836-4874-2576.1 7

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

	S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 006858 E-Mail: Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com ERIN E. JORDAN Nevada Bar No. 10018 E-Mail: Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP	Electronically Filed 3/19/2020 5:07 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
9	DISTRIC	T COURT
10		NTY, NEVADA
11	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO. A-17-757722-C Dept. No.: IX
12	Plaintiff, vs.	HEARING REQUESTED
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE, MD, PC, a Domestic Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a foreign Limited-Liability Company, , Defendants. SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, Third-Party Plaintiff, vs. ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his ampleuer NEVADA HOSPITAL IST	THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive., Third-Party Defendants. Third-Party Defendant NEVADA HOS	SPITALIST GROUP, LLP, by and through its d Erin E. Jordan, Esq. of LEWIS BRISBOIS otion for Judgment on the Pleadings.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	This Motion is based upon the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the		
2	papers and pleadings on file in this matter, and any oral argument offered at the hearing of this		
3	matter.		
4	DATED this 19th day of March, 2020.		
5	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
6			
7			
8	By /s/ Erin E. Jordan		
9	S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 006858		
	ERIN E. JORDAN		
10	Nevada Bar No. 10018		
11	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600		
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Tel. 702.893.3383		
12	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada		
13	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP		
14			
15			
16	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES		
17	I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND		
18	This is a professional negligence case that arises out of medical care and treatment		
19	Defendants Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital provided to Choloe Green between July 9, 2016 and		
20	July 17, 2016 following a cesarean section. Complaint, ¶¶ 6-17. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants		
21	Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital breached the standard of care while caring for her following the		
22	cesarean section and that she sustained injury requiring long-term hospitalization as a result. <i>Id.</i> ,		
23	¶¶ 10-11.		
24	Plaintiff Choloe Green brought a claim for professional negligence against Dr. DeLee and		
25	Sunrise Hospital on June 20, 2017. Defendant Sunrise Hospital filed a Third-Party Complaint		
26	against two Third-Party Defendants, Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP on June		
27	14, 2019. Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital brought claims against Dr. Kia and Nevada		
28	Hospitalist Group, LLP for contribution and indemnity. The basis for Sunrise Hospital's third-		



party claims against Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP was alleged vicarious liability for the alleged 1 professional negligence of Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D. Third-Party Complaint, ¶¶ 6-17. 2

3

Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital specifically alleges that the bases of its claims against Third-Party Defendants Dr. Kia and Nevada Hospitalist Group is the medical care and 4 5 treatment that Dr. Kia provided to Choloe Green on July 16, 2016. Third-Party Complaint, ¶ 23 ("Although unnamed as a party in Plaintiff Choloe Green's underlying complaint, Ali Kia, M.D. 6 7 (Third-Party Defendant) discharged Plaintiff on July 16, 2016. As such, Dr. Kia's care of 8 Choloe Green is at issue in Plaintiff's underlying complaint.") (emphasis added). Sunrise 9 Hospital did not attach an affidavit of merit specifying breaches of the standard of care of either 10 Dr. Kia or Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, and has therefore failed to satisfy NRS 41A.071.

11

II. ARGUMENT

12

a. Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings Standard of Review

13 Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c) provides that "[a]fter the pleadings are closed but early enough not to delay trial, a party may move for judgment on the pleadings." NRCP 14 12(h)(2)(B) further provides that the "defense of failure to state a claim upon which relief can be 15 granted...may be raised...by a motion under Rule 12(c)." 16

17 The Nevada Supreme Court has held that a motion for judgment on the pleadings should be granted where material facts "are not in dispute and the movant is entitled to judgment as a 18 matter of law." Bonicamp v. Vazquez, 120 Nev. 377, 379, 91 P.3d 584, 585 (2004). The motion is 19 useful where only questions of law remain. Bernard v. Rockhill Dev. Co., 103 Nev. 132, 135, 20 21 (1987). NRCP 12(c) may also be utilized where there are "allegations in the plaintiff's pleadings that, if proved, would [not] permit recovery." Id. at 136. See also NRCP 12(h)(2)(B) (allowing the 22 23 defense of failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted to be asserted in a motion for 24 judgment on the pleadings). The latter scenario is the one applicable here.

25 The defense of failure to state a claim may be raised at any time. Clark County Sch. Dist. v. Richardson Constr., Inc., 123 Nev. 382, 396 (2007) ("a defense under NRCP 12(b)(5) need not 26 27 be pleaded affirmatively because it may be asserted at any time."). It is appropriate to grant a Defendant judgment on the pleadings pursuant to NRCP 12 when a professional negligence 28



1	Plaintiff has failed to comply with NRS 41A.071. Peck v. Zipf, 133 Nev. Adv. Rep. 108 (2017)
2	("Based on the foregoing, we affirm the district court's order granting Doctors Zipf's and
3	Barnum's motion for judgment on the pleadings because Peck failed to include a medical expert
4	affidavit with his medical malpractice complaint.").
5	Here, the Plaintiff has failed to comply with NRS 41A.071, and therefore, judgment on the
6	pleadings in Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLC's favor should be granted.
7	b. The Third-Party Plaintiff Has Failed to State A Claim for Professional
8	Negligence by Failing to Comply with NRS 41A.071, and Therefore, Third- Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP is Entitled to Judgment as a Matter of Law
9	A Plaintiff that files a professional negligence action must attach a supporting affidavit to
10	his or her Complaint, which supports the allegations in the Complaint. NRS 41A.071. This
11	statute requires a Plaintiff to provide an expert opinion that supports the allegations in the
12	complaint. The expert must practice in an area that is substantially similar to the type of practice
13	engaged in at the time of the alleged professional negligence.
14	NRS 41A.071 Dismissal of action filed without affidavit of medical expert. If
15	an action for professional negligence is filed in the district court, the district court shall dismiss the action, without prejudice, if the action is filed without an
16	affidavit that: 1. Supports the allegations contained in the action;
17	2. Is submitted by a medical expert who practices or has practiced in an area that is substantially similar to the type of practice engaged in at the
18	time of the alleged professional negligence; 3. Identifies by name, or describes by conduct, each provider of
19	health care who is alleged to be negligent; and 4. Sets forth factually a specific act or acts of alleged negligence
20	separately as to each defendant in simple, concise and direct terms.
21	It is well-established that NRS 41A.071 was enacted to deter frivolous claims and provide
22	Defendants with notice of the claims against them. Zohar v. Zbiegien, 130 Nev. Adv. Rep. 74, *2
23	(2014). A Complaint that is filed in violation of NRS 41A.071 is void ab initio and must be
24	dismissed. Washoe Med. Ctr. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 122 Nev. 1298, 1300 (2006) ("We
25	conclude that, under NRS 41A.071, a complaint filed without a supporting medical expert
26	affidavit is void ab initio and must be dismissed.").
27	In this case, the Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital filed a Third-Party Complaint that
28	fails to satisfy NRS 41A.071 and therefore, judgment on the pleadings in favor of Defendant



1 Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP is warranted.

2 Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital did not attach a NRS 41A.071 affidavit to its Third3 Party Complaint. However, Sun rise Hospital acknowledges that this is a professional negligence
4 claim and that NRS 41A.071 applies by referencing it in the Third-Party Complaint. Third-Party
5 Complaint, ¶ 24.

24. Attached as Exhibit "A" to this Third-Party Complaint is the Plaintiff, Choloe Green's underlying complaint for medical malpractice and attached expert affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D.

10 Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital only refers to the affidavit filed by Plaintiff Choloe Green.
11 Plaintiff Choloe Green's NRS 41A.071 affidavit does not state that Dr. Kia breached the standard
12 of care or caused injury to her. Rather, it identifies alleged breaches of the standard of care by
13 Defendants Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital only. Karamardian Affidavit Attached to Complaint,
14 ¶ 5. The following paragraph discusses the hospital admission during which Dr. Kia provided care
15 to Ms .Green, but does not identify any alleged breaches of the standard of care by Dr. Kia. *Id*.

- 16 5. A review of the medical records also reveals that on July 14, 2016, Ms. Green presented 17 again to Sunrise Hospital, now five (5) days post-partum, with severe abdominal pain 18 and reports of nausea, vomiting, fever, and chills. She was admitted to the 19 medical/surgical unit because of the diagnosis of sepsis. She was discharged on July 16, 20 2016. The discharge was discussed and confirmed by Dr. DeLee. This discharge violated 21 the standard of care. Ms. Green was discharged despite the fact that she was not able to tolerate a regular diet. Further, on the day of her discharge, her KUB showed multiple 22 dilated loops of bowel, thought to be related to a small bowel obstruction, yet she was 23 sent home. An intraperitoneal abscess was suspected on a CT scan, yet she was still sent 24 home. This was a violation of the standard of care by Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee. 25
- 26

27

28

6

7

8

9

Sunrise Hospital did not provide an affidavit that states that Dr. Kia breached the standard of care, which is required by NRS 41A.071. While Sunrise Hospital labeled its claims against Dr.



Kia and Nevada Hospitalist Group as claims for contribution and indemnity, the gravamen of
 those claims is the alleged professional negligence of Dr. Kia. Without any professional
 negligence by Dr. Kia, Sunrise Hospital's claims for contribution and indemnity would fail.
 Therefore, a NRS 41A.071 requires an affidavit setting forth alleged breaches of the standard of
 care on the part of Dr. Kia and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP.

A claim sounds in malpractice if it is related to medical diagnosis, judgment, or treatment. 6 7 Deboer v. Senior Bridges of Sparks Family Hospital, Inc., 282 P.3d 727 (Nev. 2012). ("Savage's 8 complaint was grounded in ordinary negligence, as it was not related to medical diagnosis, 9 judgment, or treatment. As such, the district court erred in branding Savage's complaint as a 10 medical malpractice claim."). Here, Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims for contribution and indemnity against Dr. Kia are based upon allegations that he was professionally 11 negligent and its claims against Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP are based upon allegations that it 12 13 is vicariously negligence for the alleged professional negligence of Dr. Kia. Therefore, pursuant to Deboer and Szymborski, the claims are grounded in professional negligence and NRS 41A.071 14 applies. Id., Szymborski v. Spring Mt. Treatment Ctr., 133 Nev. Adv. Rep. 80, ("Allegations of 15 breach of duty involving medical judgment, diagnosis, or treatment indicate that a claim is for 16 17 medical malpractice.").

18 The Nevada Supreme Court has adopted this analysis and held that a contribution claim
19 based upon medical malpractice allegations is subject to the affidavit requirement found in NRS
20 41A.071. *Pack v. LaTourette*, 128 Nev. 264, 270 (2012).

Here, Sun Cab's complaint rested upon the theory that La Tourette's negligence had contributed to Zinni's injuries. In other words, to establish a right to contribution, Sun Cab would have been required to establish that LaTourette committed medical malpractice. Thus, Sun Cab is required to satisfy the statutory prerequisites in place for a medical malpractice action before bringing its contribution claim.

25 || *Id*.

26 There can be no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital did not attach an
27 affidavit that discusses alleged breaches of the standard of care by either Dr. Kia or Nevada
28 Hospitalist Group, LLP and that, therefore, it did not satisfy NRS 41A.71.

III.CONCLUSION

1

2 Judgment on the Pleadings in favor of Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, 3 LLP is appropriate in this case because Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital has failed to state a claim for which relief may be granted by failing to comply with NRS 41A.071. Therefore, 4 5 Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor 6 based upon the pleadings in this case. DATED this 19th day of March, 2020. 7 8 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 9 10 By /s/ Erin E. Jordan 11 S. BRENT VOGEL 12 Nevada Bar No. 006858 ERIN E. JORDAN 13 Nevada Bar No. 10018 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 14 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Tel. 702.893.3383 15 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada 16 Hospitalist Group, LLP 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

7

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that on this 19th day of I	March, 2020, a true and correct copy of THIRD-	
3	PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIS	ST GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT	
4	ON THE PLEADINGS was served by electronic	cally filing with the Clerk of the Court using the	
5	Electronic Service system and serving all parti	es with an email-address on record, who have	
6	agreed to receive Electronic Service in this action		
 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	Daniel Marks, Esq. Nicole M. Young, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS 610 S. 9 th St. Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel: 702.386.0536 Fax: 702.386.6812 nyoung@danielmarks.net Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael E. Prangle, Esq. Tyson J. Dobbs, Esq. Sherman B. Mayor, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144 Tel: 702.889.6400 Fax: 702.384.6025 smayor@hpslaw.com	Erik Stryker, Esq. WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 300 S. 4 th St. Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel: 702.727.1400 Fax: 702.727.1401 eric.stryker@wilsonelser.com Attorneys for Defendants Frank J. Delee, M.D. and Frank J. Delee, M.D., PC Patricia E. Daehnke, Esq. Linda K. Rurangirwa, Esq. COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW, GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212 Las Vegas, NV 89119 Tel: 702.979.2132 Fax: 702.979.2133 patricia.daehnke@cdiglaw.com linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com	
19	tdobbs@hpslaw.com Attorneys for Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia,	
20			
21			
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Johana Whitbeck	
23		Employee of WIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4849-0268-1271.1	BA0000	

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Electronically Filed 3/25/2020 10:04 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

OPPM

- 1 MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ.
- Nevada Bar No.: 8619 2 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ.
- 3 Nevada Bar No.: 11953
- SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ.
- 4 Nevada Bar No. 1491
- HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 5
- 1140 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 350
- 6 Las Vegas, NV 89144
- (702) 889-6400 Office 7
- (702) 384-6025 Facsimile
- efile@hpslaw.com 8
- Attorneys for Defendant / Third-Party Plaintiff
- 9 Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

14 vs. 15

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

28

FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company,

Defendants.

SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company,

Third-Party Plaintiff,

Third-Party Defendants.

vs. ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE

CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive.

26 27

21 22 23

THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRISE **HOSPITAL'S OPPOSITION TO THIRD-**PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA **HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

Hearing Date: April 21, 2020 Hearing Time: 8:30 a.m.

CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C

DEPT NO.: IX

Page 1 of 10

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC **1140 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

<u>THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRISE HOSPITAL'S OPPOSITION TO THIRD-</u> PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

COMES NOW, Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC ("Sunrise Hospital" or "Defendant") by and through its counsel of record, HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC and hereby files its Opposition to Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.

This Opposition is made and based upon the papers and pleadings on file herein, the points and authorities attached hereto and such argument of counsel, which may be adduced at the time of hearing such Motion.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

(PREFATORY NOTE)

Although Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital filed an action for indemnity and contribution against <u>both</u> Dr. Ali Kia and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, only the Group is seeking dismissal by Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.

I.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On June 30, 2017, Plaintiff, Choloe Green, filed a Complaint for Medical Malpractice. At the heart of Ms. Green's Complaint is a contention that she was prematurely discharged from Sunrise Hospital on July 10, 2016, following the caesarean section delivery of her fourth child. Ms. Green then also complains that following readmission to Sunrise Hospital she was prematurely discharged, a second time, on July 14, 2016. Ms. Green contends that she subsequently was hospitalized for an extended period of time at Centennial Hills Hospital from complications allegedly suffered due to her two Sunrise Hospital discharges.

Ms. Green's treating OBGYN, Frank J. DeLee, M.D., is a named defendant in Ms.
 Green's Complaint. Dr. DeLee issued the first discharge order for July 10, 2016. However, and
 for reasons unknown, Plaintiff did not name Ali Kia, M.D. as a defendant in her complaint even
 though Dr. Kia ordered her second discharge from Sunrise Hospital on July 14, 2016. In
 addition, and also for unknown reasons, Plaintiff failed to name Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive Suite 350 Las Vecas, Nevada 89144 Felephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

as a defendant in her Complaint. Nevada Hospitalist Group is alleged to be the employer of Dr. Kia and the group's call schedule assigned Dr. Kia to treat Choloe Green.

Dr. Kia has testified in this case, in Interrogatory and/or Deposition, that Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP was his employer on July 14, 2016, and that he came to treat the Plaintiff, Choloe Green, because he was on the Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP call schedule. Nevada Hospitalist Group denies that it was Dr. Kia's employer, creating a genuine issue of fact.

On January 15, 2019, Sunrise Hospital filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment to Dismiss any Claim of "Ostensible Agency" for Dr. DeLee or Dr. Kia. No party has challenged Sunrise Hospital's assertion that neither Dr. DeLee or Dr. Kia were "employees" of Sunrise Hospital. They were not. As such, the only liability the hospital could have for the care rendered by Dr. DeLee or Dr. Kia would be via "ostensible agency."

District Court Judge Doug Smith heard Sunrise Hospital's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. Judge Smith ruled that both Dr. DeLee and Dr. Kia were not employees of Sunrise Hospital. Further, Judge Smith dismissed any claim of "ostensible agency" by the hospital for Dr. DeLee (first hospital discharge). However, Judge Smith decided that there was a factual question as to whether Dr. Kia was an "ostensible agent" of the hospital (second hospital discharge) and denied the Motion to that extent.

Accordingly, and since Sunrise Hospital could conceivably have liability exposure for
 Dr. Kia's care via the Doctrine of Ostensible Agency, Sunrise Hospital decided to file a Third Party Complaint against Dr. Kia and his employer, Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP (via the
 group's call schedule) for indemnity and contribution. That Motion for Leave to File Third-Party
 Complaint against <u>both</u> Dr. Kia and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP <u>was granted</u> on June 14,
 2019 (*See* Exhibit A – Motion for Leave to File Third Party Complaint and Exhibit B – Order
 Granting Motion for Leave to File Third Party Complaint).

On June 14, 2019, with leave of court having been granted, Sunrise Hospital filed a
Third-Party Complaint for Contribution and Indemnity against both Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada
Hospitalist Group, LLP. In the Third-Party Complaint, Ali Kia, M.D. is described as an agent
and/or employee of Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP.

PA0093

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive Sufte 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702-889-6400 Facsmille: 702-384-6025 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In Paragraph 22 of the Third-Party Complaint, it is noted that Sunrise Hospital's Motion to Dismiss any claim that Dr. Kia was an ostensible agent of the hospital was denied. In Paragraph 16 of the Third-Party Complaint, it is alleged that Ali Kia, M.D. was "on call" for Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP which resulted in Dr. Kia becoming a treating physician of Plaintiff, Choloe Green.

In Paragraph 23 of the Third-Party Complaint, Sunrise Hospital notes that although Ali Kia, M.D. was unnamed as a party in Choloe Green's underlying complaint, his care was criticized in the underlying Complaint. (Please see paragraphs 23 and 24 of Third-Party Complaint). Further, Sunrise Hospital attached, as *Exhibit A* to its Third-Party Complaint, (to comply with NRS 41A.071) the underlying Complaint filed by Choloe Green. The underlying Complaint (which is attachment "A" to Sunrise's Third-Party Complaint) sets forth the criticism Dr. Kia's care although that care was erroneously attributed to Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee:

"... A review of the medical records also reveals that on July 14, 2016, Ms. Green presented again to Sunrise Hospital, now five (5) days post-partum, with severe abdominal pain and reports of nausea, vomiting, fever, and chills. She was admitted to the medical/surgical unit because of the diagnosis of sepsis. She was discharged on July 16, 2016. The discharge was discussed and confirmed by Dr. DeLee. This discharge violated the standard of care. Ms. Green was discharged despite the fact that she was not able to tolerate a regular diet. Further, on the day of her discharge, her KUB showed multiple dilated loops of bowel, though to be related to bowel obstruction, yet she was sent home. An intraperitoneal abscess was suspected on a CT scan, yet she was still sent home. This was a violation of the standard of care by Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee."

To be clear, Plaintiff's underlying complaint repeatedly criticizes the care rendered by Dr. Kia in discharging Choloe Green from Sunrise Hospital on July 14, 2016. It is acknowledged that the underlying complaint mistakenly attributes that discharge to a conversation between Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital. Dr. Kia has stated, under oath, twice, by Interrogatory Answer and Deposition, that he discharged Choloe Green from Sunrise Hospital on July 14, 2016. Dr. Kia's actual discharge order, for July 14, 2016, is attached as an exhibit to Sunrise Hospital's Motion for Leave to File Third-Party Complaint, which was granted by the Court.

Sunrise Hospital is in an interesting legal position here. On the one hand, the hospital denies that Ali Kia, M.D. was a hospital employee or ostensible agent. However, the Court has found that whether Dr. Kia was or was not an ostensible agent of the hospital presents a factual issue. As such, Sunrise Hospital could potentially have liability exposure for Dr. Kia's discharge order of July 14, 2016 via the Doctrine of Ostensible Agency. On the other hand, if the hospital does have liability exposure for Dr. Kia's discharge order of July 14, 2016, the hospital, then, would not be in a position to provide an expert affidavit challenging Dr. Kia's care of Choloe Green (since he is a a potential hospital ostensible agent).

Sunrise Hospital satisfies this conundrum by having attached Plaintiff's underlying complaint (with expert criticism of Dr. Kia's care) as Exhibit "A" to its Third-Party Complaint. This would satisfy any requirement about an expert affidavit as to the care provided by Dr. Kia. With regard to Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, Sunrise Hospital, in its Third-Party Complaint, does not contend that Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP was negligent. There is no contention by Sunrise Hospital that Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP violated the standard of care. Instead, there is a claim that Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP was the employer of Dr. Kia on July 14, 2016, and is therefore liable for any negligence on his part. AS the argument below will demonstrate, there is no requirement to provide an expert affidavit, per NRS 41A.071, against a professional group or corporation whose liability arises out solely of vicarious liability or agency.¹

II.

ARGUMENT

A. Plaintiffs' Underlying Complaint Contains an Expert Affidavit, Which Criticizes the Care of Dr. Kia.

In Plaintiff Choloe Green's Underling Complaint, she attached an Expert Affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, MD. In Paragraph 5 of her expert affidavit, Dr. Karamardian criticized the

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025

FELEPHONE: 702-889-6400

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

1140 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144

¹ Sunrise Hospital's Third-Party Complaint against Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP is solely for 27 indemnity and contribution. The claim arises out of an employment relationship. There is no claim of direct negligence against the group, separate and apart from the care provided by Dr. 28 Kia.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hospital discharge of Choloe Green on July 14, 2016, as premature. Unfortunately, Dr.
Karamardian attributed that decision to discharge to Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee. In this
case, Dr. Kia has acknowledged, twice, that he was the discharging physician of Choloe Green
on July 14, 2016. Although unnamed, Dr. Kia's care was criticized and is at the heart of
Plaintiffs' Complaint.

Plaintiff, Choloe Green's expert criticized the July 14, 2016, discharge (which we now know was issued by Dr. Kia). To make such claim, Plaintiff had to satisfy the requirements of NRS 41A.071. If such requirement was not satisfied in Plaintiff's underling complaint, then respectfully, Sunrise Hospital should have no liability exposure via ostensible agency for Dr. Kia's care. Since the Court denied Sunrise's Hospital's Motion for Summary Judgment, based upon a claim of ostensible agency, then attachment of the underlying Complaint to Sunrise Hospital's Third-Party Complaint for contribution and indemnity necessarily satisfies the expert affidavit requirement.²

B. <u>If the Underlying Complaint Does Not Establish a Claim Against Dr. Kia, Then</u> <u>There is No Basis for An Ostensible Agency Claim for Sunrise Hospital Due to</u> <u>His Care.</u>

Sunrise Hospital's Third-Party Complaint seeks contribution and/or indemnity from Dr. Kia arising from the care he rendered, which is criticized by Plaintiff in her underlying Complaint. That underlying Complaint and criticism was attached to Sunrise Hospital's Third-Party Complaint to satisfy the requirements of NRS 41A.071.

Sunrise does not challenge the authority provided by Nevada Hospitalist Group stating that a Third-Party Complaint for contribution and/or indemnity, which is based on medical malpractice, must comply with the requirements of NRS 41A.071. That is, it is agreed that if no claim for professional negligence is made against Dr. Kia, then he cannot be liable for indemnity and/or contribution for the care he rendered to Choloe Green. *See Pack v. LaTourette*, 277 P.3d 1246, 1249 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 25 (2012). Such, however, is not the case with regard to Sunrise

²⁶ 27

 <sup>28
 &</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If no claim against Dr. Kia can be found, in name or deed, then there can be no ostensible agency for him, in name or in deed.

Hospital's Third-Party claim for indemnity and contribution from Nevada Hospitalist Group. (See the argument immediately below).

C. <u>NRS 41A.071 Only Applies Against Defendants Against Whom Specific Acts of Negligence are Asserted.</u> No Acts of Negligence are Asserted Against Nevada <u>Hospitalist Group.</u>

NRS 41A.071 (2017) provides that if an action for professional negligence, is filed without an affidavit setting forth specific acts of alleged negligence, such action shall be dismissed. In applying that statute to Dr. Kia, against whom allegations of negligence are asserted, NRS 41A.071 must be satisfied. However, with regard to Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, Sunrise Hospital is not asserting any specific act of alleged negligence against that group Instead, the hospital is merely asserting a Third-Party claim for Indemnity and Contribution based upon the facts that the group was the employer of Dr. Kia and that the Group's call schedule steered Dr. Kia into treating Choloe Green.

Sunrise Hospital does not contend that Nevada Hospitalist Group committed any independent act of negligence. Further, Sunrise Hospital does not contend that Nevada Hospitalist Group negligently hired Dr. Kia. Sunrise Hospital does not contend that Nevada Hospitalist Group was negligent in having Dr. Kia on its call schedule for patient referral. Sunrise Hospital is contending that because of such activities Nevada Hospitalist Group is vicariously liable for Dr. Kia either through his employment relationship or ostensible agency.

In *Fierle v. Perez*, 125 Nev. 728, 736 (Nev. 2009), the Nevada Supreme Court concluded that NRS 41A.071 required the attachment of an expert affidavit for "professional negligence claims against providers of healthcare and that such requirement applied to professional corporations. However, in *Fierle*, the Court is very clear that the professional corporation in that case was subject to claims of direct negligence. That is, the Plaintiff in *Fierle* was asserting that the Professional Corporation of Dr. Perez was also actively negligent. While Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP may be a professional group or corporation there is no allegation of direct negligence against it asserted by Sunrise Hospital's Third-Party Complaint.

Page 7 of 10

2

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

As the Nevada Supreme Court stated in Szydel v. Markman, 121 Nev. 453, 460 (Nev. 2005) it would be unreasonably to suspect a Plaintiff to provide expert testimony against a Defendant against whom expert testimony is not needed in order for a Plaintiff to prevail.³ Third 3 Party Defendant, Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's reliance upon the case of Pack v. LaTourette, 4 128 Nev. 264 (Nev. 2012), is misplaced. In Pack, the case involved an automobile accident. The 5 Defendant Sun-Cab sued Dr. LaTourette for negligence claiming that he was the cause of 6 Plaintiff's injuries. In Pack, Sun-Cab's Complaint for indemnity and contribution required a finding of medical malpractice against Dr. LaTourette. Here, no finding of medical malpractice 8 need be established to prove that Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP is vicariously liable for Dr. 9 10 Kia.

A claim for indemnity and contribution against Dr. Kia required satisfaction of the requirements of NRS 41A.071 to support a claim or indemnity and contribution. That is why Sunrise Hospital attached Plaintiffs' underlying Complaint and expert affidavit to its Third-Party Complaint. No such attachment is needed to prove that Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP had an employee/employer relationship with Dr. Kia that subjects the group to vicarious liability (and Sunrise Hospital's indemnity and contribution claims).⁴

³ While Szydel was a "res ipsa loquitor" case, the principle is the same. If no expert affidavit is required then a case 26 cannot be dismissed for a lack of one. Sunrise Hospital does not need expert testimony to prove an agency relationship between Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP and Dr. Kia. Nothing more is asserted. 27

⁴ It is a straw argument to contend that Sunrise has to comply with NRS 41A.071 to bring an indemnity claim 28 against a professional group against which no negligence is asserted. There can be no expert affidavit formulated when no specific acts of negligence are asserted.

	1	III.	
	2	CONCLUSION	
	3	Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the	,
	4	Pleadings, should be denied.	
	5	DATED this 25th day of March 2020.	
	6	HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC	
	7	IIALE I RANGEL & SCHOONVELD, ELC	
	8	By: /s/ Sherman B. Mayor	
	9	MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619	
r) vi	10	TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ.	
LLC 84-602	11	Nevada Bar No.: 11953 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ.	
HOONVELD, LLC Center Drive 50 Ada 89144 Facsimile: 702-384-6025	12	Nevada Bar No. 1491 1140 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 350	
ONV FER DR 89144 SIMILE	13	Las Vegas, NV 89144	
SCHO N CENT 350 EVADA FAC	14	Attorneys for Defendant / Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC	
ALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, 1140 North Town Center Drive Sufte 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Lephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-3	15		
ALL PRANGLE & 1140 North To Sut Las Vegas, Lephone: 702-889-6400	16		
PRA 114(17		
	18		
TE H	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		Page 9 of 10	
		PA0099	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD,		
3	LLC; that on the 25th day of March 2020, I ser	ved a true and correct copy of the foregoing	
4	THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRISE HO	OSPITAL'S OPPOSITION TO THIRD-	
5	PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITA	ALIST GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR	
6 7	JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS to the follo	owing parties via:	
8	XX the E-Service Master List for the above re-	ferenced matter in the Eighth Judicial District	
9	Court e-filing System in accordance with the elec	stronic service requirements of Administrative	
10	Order 14-2 and the Nevada Electronic Filing and C	Conversion Rules;	
11		e following parties at their last known address;	
12	Receipt of Copy at their last known address		
13 14			
15	S. Brent Vogel, Esq. Erin E. Jordan, Esq.	Eric K. Stryker, Esq. WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ	
16	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 300 S. 4 th Street	
17	6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89118	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
18	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP	Attorney for Defendants Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and	
19		Frank J. DeLee, M.D., PC	
20	Patricia Egan Daehnke, Esq. Linda K. Rurangirwa, Esq.	Daniel Marks, Esq. Nicole M. Young, Esq.	
21 22	COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS 610 South Ninth Street	
23	Las Vegas, NV 89119 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
24	Ali Kia, M.D.		
25	/s/ Kelli Wightman		
26	An employee of HA	LL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC	
27			
28			

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1140 North Town Center Drive Sufte 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025

PA0100

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

		MUEN	• •	Electronically Filed 5/1/2019 4:49 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
	1	MLEV MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ.		Comment
	2	Nevada Bar No.: 8619		
	3	TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 11953		
	4	SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ.		
	5	Nevada Bar No. 1491 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC		
		1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200		
	6	Las Vegas, NV 89144 (702) 889-6400 – Office		
	7	(702) 384-6025 – Facsimile		
	8	efile@hpslaw.com Attorneys for Defendant		
	9	Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC		
2 C) 22	10	DISTRIC	CT COURT	
HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 felephione: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025	11		NTY, NEVADA	
SLD, IVE 702-3	12	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO.: A	-17-757722-C
DNVI ER DRI 89144 MILE:	13		DEPT NO .: '[
RANGLE & SCHOONVEL 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 2: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 70	14	Plaintiff,		
& SCHC Town Cen Suite 200 As, Nevada 400 Fac	15	vs.		NT SUNRISE HOSPITAL
ANGLE & 60 North To Sur Las Vecas, 702-889-6400		FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual;		DICAL CENTER, LLC'S R LEAVE TO FILE THIRD
ANG 60 No LAS 702-81	16	FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic	PARTY C	OMPLAINT ON ORDER
L PR 11 ONE:	17	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER,	SHO	RTENTING TIME
HALL I	18	LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company,		
F F	19	Defendants.		
-	20	Defendants.		
	21	COMES NOW Defendant Sunrise Ho	spital and Medi	ical Center by and through its
	22			
	23	counsel of record, HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONV	ELD, LLC, and m	oves this Honorable Court for an
	24	order granting Defendant Sunrise Hospital and	Medical Center,	LLC leave to add Ali Kia, M.D.
	25	and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP as Third-	Party Defendant	s in this litigation (on an Order
	26	Shortening Time).		
	27			
00:5 10	28			
-				
(celesdanac)		Pag	e 1 of 8	
		5-17-19		PA0102

1 This Motion is made and based upon the papers and pleadings on file herein, the 2 3 following points and authorities, and any oral argument which may be adduced at a hearing set 4 for this matter. 5 DATED this 21 day of April, 2019. 6 HALL PRANCLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 7 8 By: 9 MICHAELE. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619 10 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025 Nevada Bar No.: 11953 11 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESO. 12 **1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE** Nevada Bar No. 1491 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 13 Las Vegas, NV 89144 SUITE 200 14 Attorneys for Defendant Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC **TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400** 15 16 17 18 ORDER SHORTENING TIME 19 It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS 20 HEREBY ORDERED that the foregoing DEFENDANT SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND 21 22 MEDICAL CENTER, LLC'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE THIRD PARTY 23 COMPLAINT ON ORDER SHORTENTING TIME shall be heard on the day of 24 May 2019, at the hour of 3:00 p.m.) in Department 9. 25 DATED APPEN 29 2019 26 27 28 JUDGE DISTRICT CO Page 2 of 8 PA0103

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

DECLARATION OF SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ., IN SUPPORT OF ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR SUNRISE HOSPITAL'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE THRID PARTY COMPLAINT

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ., attests and states as follows:

) ss:

1. Your affiant is an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada and is practicing with the law firm of Hall, Prangle and Schoonveld, LLC. Your affiant is a counsel of record for Defendant, Sunrise Hospital and Medical, LLC., in the above-entitled matter.

- 2. Sunrise Hospital recently filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. That motion sought, in part, to dismiss any claim of vicarious liability or ostensible agency that might be imposed against Sunrise Hospital as a result of care and treatment rendered to Plaintiff by Ali Kia, M.D. during the hospitalization at issue in this case.
- 3. The court, by minute order dated April 1, 2019, determined that there was a factual question as to whether Dr. Kia was an ostensible agent of the hospital when he cared for Plaintiff, Chole Green. Accordingly, the motion for partial summary judgment to dismiss the ostensible agency claim as to Dr. Kia was denied. The final proposed order for this ruling has been submitted to the court for consideration.
- 4. As a result, Sunrise Hospital is seeking leave to file a third-party complaint against Ali Kia, M.D. (a physician who is not named in Plaintiff's underlying complaint for medical malpractice). In addition, Ali Kia, M.D. was an agent and/or employee of Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP. Leave is also sought to add Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP as a third-party defendant.

- HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025 **1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE** LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: SUITE 200
- 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- 5. The purpose of the third-party complaint is for Sunrise Hospital to seek equitable indemnity and/or contribution from Dr. Kia and/or Nevada Hospitalist Group should liability be imposed upon the hospital as a result of the care rendered by these two potential Third-Party Defendants.
- 6. Currently, this case is scheduled for status check to take place on June 18, 2019 to schedule the case for trial.
- 7. However, the court recently signed a stipulation by all parties to extend the discovery cut-off to June 1, 2020.
- 8. Defendant Sunrise Hospital seeks leave to file its motion for leave to file third party complaint so that: (1) Dr. Kia and Nevada Hospitalist Group can participate in any discovery as the case progresses; and (2) Sunrise Hospital will participate in discovery with knowledge that its third-party complaint is in place.
- 9. It is therefore requested that a hearing on Sunrise Hospital's motion for leave to file third party complaint on an order shortening time be granted and this matter be scheduled accordingly.
- 10. This motion for leave to file third party complaint is brought in good faith and not for purposed of undue delay or harassment.

11. I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of your Affiant's knowledge.

SHERMAN B. MAYO

PA0105

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

19

20

25

26

27

28

PREFATORY NOTE

Although Defendant Sunrise Hospital's motion for leave to file third-party complaint seeks authority to bring third party claims against both Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, Sunrise Hospital reserves the right to only pursue a third-party claim against Ali Kia, M.D. (and not Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP should additional discovery and malpractice insurance documentation indicate a third-party action against the group is unnecessary).

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. FACTS

12 This is a medical practice action. Plaintiff, Choloe Green, delivered her 4th child by caesarian section birth at Defendant, Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center ("Sunrise Hospital") on July 9, 2016. Defendant, Frank J. DeLee, M.D., Plaintiff's treating OB/GYN, then discharged Ms. Green from the hospital on July 10, 2016. Plaintiff contends this discharge was 16 17 premature as she had not had a bowel movement and a typical post-operative course for 18 caesarian section is 3-4 days. Plaintiff alleges Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital breached the standard of care.

Plaintiff then alleges she was readmitted to Sunrise Hospital on July 14, 2016 (nausea, 21 vomiting, fever, and chills). Plaintiff contends she was discharged prematurely, a second time, 22 23 on July 16, 2016. Plaintiff asserts this second discharge also violated the standard of care as she 24 was not able to tolerate a regular diet and her KUB x-ray showed dilated bowel loops.

Plaintiff contends that this second hospital discharge was "discussed and confirmed with Dr. DeLee." The medical records, however, reveal that Ali Kia, M.D. (internal

Page 5 of 8

medicine/hospitalist) was actually the physician who ordered and electronically signed the second hospital discharge of July 16, 2016. See Exhibit "A."

Recently, Sunrise Hospital filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment seeking to dismiss any claims of vicarious liability or ostensible agency on the part of the hospital with regard to Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Ali Kia, M.D. The court granted the partial summary judgment motion (in part) and denied the motion (in part). Specifically, the claims, if any, that the hospital may have vicarious liability for either Dr. DeLee or Dr. Kia were dismissed. Further, any claim that Dr. DeLee (Plaintiff's long-time treating OB/GYN) was the ostensible agent of the hospital was also dismissed.

In Plaintiff's "Complaint for Medical Malpractice," there is no mention of Ali Kia, M.D. Nor is there any mention that the Dr. Kia is an agent or employee of Sunrise Hospital. Sunrise Hospital moved for partial summary judgment to dismiss any potential claim in discovery or trial that Dr. Kia was an ostensible agent of Sunrise Hospital. The court, by decision rendered on April 1, 2019, denied the hospital's motion as it pertained to the ostensible agency issue and Dr. Kia. *See* Exhibit "B."

Sunrise Hospital denies any allegations of negligence against the hospital. The hospital 19 20 also denies that Dr. Kia is an ostensible agent of the hospital. However, this court has ruled that 21 there is a factual question concerning ostensible agency that should be resolved by the finder of 22 fact (the jury). As such, Sunrise Hospital seeks leave to file a third-party complaint naming Ali 23 Kia, M.D. as a third-party defendant. Further, it appears that Dr. Kia was the agent and/or 24 employee of Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, which is also being added. Sunrise Hospital files 25 26 this third-party complaint, specifically, for equitable indemnity and/or contribution from Dr. Kia 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, should Sunrise Hospital be liable for any verdict or judgment arising from from Dr. Kia's care of Plaintiff, Choloe Green.

Additionally, Sunrise Hospital is <u>not</u> enclosing an expert affidavit with its third-party complaint. Instead, the hospital is attaching Plaintiff's underlying complaint and the expert affidavit attached to the complaint (Lisa Karamardian, M.D.) to comply with the requirements of NRS 41A.071. A copy of Sunrise's Hospital proposed Third-Party Complaint (with Exhibits) is attached to this motion for leave as Exhibit "C."

Defendant, Sunrise Hospital motion for leave to file third-party complaint to add Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, as third-party defendants is necessitated by the court's recent ruling finding that there is a factual question (to be resolved at trial) as to whether Dr. Kia is an ostensible agent of the hospital. The court's minute order in this regard is dated April 1, 2019. The final proposed order has been submitted to the court and is pending the court's review, consideration, and approval.

II. ARGUMENT

NRCP 14 provides in relevant part:

(a) When Defendant May Bring in Third Party. At any time after commencement of the action a defending party, as a thirdparty plaintiff, may cause a summons and complaint to be served upon a person not a party to the action who is or may be liable to the third-party plaintiff for all or part of the plaintiff's claim against the third-party plaintiff. The third-party plaintiff need not obtain leave to make the service if the third-party plaintiff files the third-party complaint not later than 10 days after serving the original answer. Otherwise the third-party plaintiff must obtain leave on motion upon notice to all parties to the action.

26

27

A defendant is permitted to defend the case and at the same time assert his right of indemnity against the party ultimately responsible for the damage. *Reid v. Royal Ins. Co.*, 80

28

Nev. 137, 390 P.2d 45 (1964). The clear import of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure is to enable litigants to try fully their issues before the court. . ." Morris v. Morris 83 Nev. 412, 414, 432 P.2d. 1022 (1967).

Sunrise Hospital now brings the instant motion for leave to assert a third-party complaint against Ali Kia M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP. The court's recent decision that the issue as to whether Dr. Kia is an ostensible agent of Sunrise Hospital is a factual question for the finder of fact. If, during trial, a jury determines that Dr. Kia is an ostensible agent of Sunrise Hospital, the hospital will be seeking, as part of the verdict, relief in the form of equitable indemnity and/or contribution for any hospital liability arising out of Dr. Kia's care of underlying Plaintiff, Choloe Green.

Ш. CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Defendant Sunrise Hospital respectfully requests that the 15 Court enter an Order Granting its Motion for Leave to File a Third-Party Complaint Against Ali 16 17 Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, and for any other relief that this Honorable Court 18 deems just and proper. 19 DATED this 14 day of April, 2019. 20 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 21 By: 22 MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. 23 Nevada Bar No.: 8619 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESO. 24 Nevada Bar No.: 11953 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. 25 Nevada Bar No. 1491 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

27

28

FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025

Page 8 of 8

Las Vegas, NV 89144

Attorneys for Defendant

1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200

Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC

PA0109



EXHIBIT A

PA0110

RUX DATE: 07/27/16 RUX TIME: 0110 RUX USER: KPF.FEED	NEDITECH FACILITY: COCSZ IDEV - Discharge Report	PAGE 51
PATIENT: GREEN, CHOLOE S ACCOUNT NO: DOD113938887	A/S: 30 F ADHIT: 07/14/16 LOC: D.E4 DISCH/DEP: 07/16/16 RH: D.4508 STATUS: IN BD: 0 UNIT ND: D001315049	
ATTEND DR: K10.A11 HD REPORT STATUS: FINAL	BD: 0 CMIL KD: CONTRIAN	
Press <enter> for Order Detai</enter>	is below	
Compent:	PER DR KIA DO NOT CALL FOR KUB RESULT NO WILL FOLLOW UP IN AM 07/16/16	
3 07/16/16 0522 DNUR.CCV 0r 4 07/16/16 0522 interface or 5 07/16/16 0540 DNUR.CCV or 6 07/16/16 0713 DNUR.CCV or 7 01/16/16 0713 DNUR.CCV or 7 01/16/16 0713 DNUR.CCV or	der Source: TELEPHIAE AVERIFIENG der's status changed der acknowledged der viewed from Order Hanagement Telefonder Annagement	
Electronic		
Order Date: 07/16/16 Category Procedure Name DISCHG DISCHARGE ORDER Other Provider : Si	Service Order Number Date Time Pri Qty Ord Source Status Orde 20160716-0093 07/16/16 R E TRN KIAA g Lv] Provider :	red By L
: Discharge order written date: Discharge order written time: Discharge To: Discharge Type: * New/Additional DHE/Home Hee	1521 Kase Adult	
Does patient have any of the	following conditions at discharge? NONE	
Aspirin at Discharge? Aspirin Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: EJ Frection: ACE/ARB at Discharge? ACE/ARB Contraindications: Other Specific Reason:		
LOL Level: Statin at Discharge? Statin Contraindications: Other Specific Reason: Beta Blocker at Discharge? Beta Blocker Contraindication	s: ·	
Other Specific Reason:		
Antithrombotic at Discharge? Antithrombotic Contraindicati	ons:	
Other Specific Reason: Antiplatelet Therapy at Disch	arge?	
	PERMANENT MEDICAL RECORD COPY	

, r

• •

-

١

Patient:GREEN, CHOLOE S MRN:D001315049 Encounter:D00113938887 Page 51 of 54 SH000638

RUN DATE: 07/27/16 RUN TIME: 0110 RUN USER: HPF.FEED	MEDITEC IDEV - 1	H FACILITY: Discharge Re	COCSZ port		:	PAGE 52
PATIENT: GREEN.CHOLOE S ACCOUNT NO: COOII3938887	Ū.	5: 30 F C: D.E4 : D.4508	ADNIT: DISCH/DEP: STATUS:	07/14/16 07/16/16 IN		
ATTEND DR: Kia.Ali HO REPORT STATUS: FINAL		: 0	UNIT NO:	D001315049		
Antiplatelet Contraindications:						
Other Specific Reason:						
HX or current AFIB/AFLUTTER: Anticoagulation Therapy at Disch	arge?					
Anticoagulation Contraindication	5:					
Other Specific Reason: Assessed for Rehabilitation? Reason for not ordering Rehab:						
Reight Konitoring: Kg: Veight - Lb: Other Specific Frequency:	104.54 230					
What anticoagulation med is pati	ent being sent home	c n:				
List reason for medication choic						
•						
Diet: Activity/Exercise/Limitations: Lifting Restrictions:	Soft No Timitations	••				
Řeturn to Kork/School: OK to Drive: -						
Call Your Doctor If - Fever Greater Than:	101.5					
ist Follow Up: 2nd: 3rd: Physician: Follow Up with: Follow Up in: Reason:	KO PRIMARY OR FAHI Provider Entered A 1 Keek NED FUP	ly physician bove	l			
Physicien: Follow-Up with: Follow up in: Reason: Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow-Up with: Follow up in: Reason:	Delee.Frank J HD Provider Entered A 1 Keek 08 FUP	bove				
Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow up in: Reason:						
	PERMANENT MED			•		

• *

• •

-

Patient:GREEN, CHOLOE S MRN:D001316049 Encounter:D00113938887 Page 52 of 54 SH000639

NUM DATE: 07/27/16 NUM TIME: 0110 NUM USER: HPF.FEED	NEDITECH FACILITY: IDEV - Discharge Re	COCSZ	PAGE 53
PATIENT: GREEN.CKOLCE S ACCOUNT NO: CGO113938887	A/S: 30 F LOC: D.E4 RH: D.4508	ADMIT: 07/14/16 DISCH/DEP: 07/16/16 STATUS: IN	
ATTEND DR: K18,A11 HD REPORT STATUS: FINAL	BD: 0	UNIT NO: D001315049	
Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow up in: Reason: Physician:			
Follow-up with: Follow-up in: Reason: Physician: Follow-up with: Follow up in: Reason: Physician: Follow-up with: Follow-up in:			
reason: Physician: Follow-Up with: Follow up in: Reason:			
· INFANT/NICU -			
INFANT/PEDIATRIC/NICU			
Provide Pre-printed Hother/Infant Instr	uctions:		
🛥 Outpatient Services Needs 🚥			
Rehabilitation Potential: (Grou Anticipated LOS: I certify that post-hospital skilled se	p response undefined) rvices are required at an	extended	
care facility as a continuation for whi	ch he/she was receiving i	n-patient	
hospital services prior to the transfer	to the extended care fac	ility.	
Order's Audit Trail of Events 1 07/16/16 1521 DR.KIAAL Order ENTER 2 07/16/16 1521 DR.KIAAL Ordering Doc 3 07/16/16 1521 DR.KIAAL Order Source 19 07/16/16 1521 DR.KIAAL Order Source 5 07/16/16 1534 DAURAW Order viewed 5 07/16/16 1736 DAURAPS order viewed	from Order Hanagement		
Electronic			
PERMA	NENT MEDICAL RE(CORD COPY	

.

ъ г

• .

-

Patient:GREEN, CHOLOE S MRN:D001315049 Encounter:D00113938887 Page 53 of 54 SH000640



1

• •



From: Judd, Joshua [mailto:Dept08LC@clarkcountycourts.us] Sent: Monday, April 01, 2019 3:03 PM To: efile; Tyson Dobbs; Office (<u>office@danielmarks.net</u>) Subject: A757722 (Green v. DeLee et al.) Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

Good Afternoon,

r

At the hearing on March 12, 2019, Judge Smith deferred his decision on Defts' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. He has reviewed the pleadings and has asked that the parties submit proposed Orders Granting in Part and Denying in Part the Motion, consistent with the following:

- GRANTED as to PItf's claims against the hospital for vicarious liability
- GRANTED as to Pltf's claims against the hospital for any of Dr. DeLee's actions
- DENIED as to Pltf's claims against the hospital for any of Dr. Kia's actions, under the theory of ostensible agency

.

Please submit your orders to me in Word format, for Judge Smith's consideration. Judge intends to write and issue his own Order from Chambers. Please let me know if you have any questions, or if anything remains unclear.

Thank you,

Joshua D. Judd, Esq. Court Law Clerk to the Honorable Douglas E. Smith Eighth Judicial District Court | Department VIII P: (702) 671-4335 F: (702) 671-4337 • • •



.

EXHIBIT C

1 2 3 4		r court VTY, NEVADA CASE NO: A-17-757722-C DEPT NO: VIII SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC'S THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT FOR CONTRIBUTION AND INDEMNITY (ALI KIA, M.D.)
27		
28	Third-Party Defendants.	
	Page	1 of 6

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025

٢

2

FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town CENTER DRIVE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 SUITE 200

TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

COMES NOW Third-Party Plaintiff, Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center ("Sunrise Hospital"), by and through its counsel of record HALL PRANGLE AND SCHOONVELD, LLC, and hereby complains and alleges against Third-Party Defendants, Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Third-Party Plaintiff, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, a Nevada Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "SUNRISE HOSPITAL"), is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Nevada and is authorized to do business as a hospital in Clark County, Nevada.
- 2. Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D., is a Board-Certified Internist who practices as a "Hospitalist." Dr. Kia holds himself out as duly licensed to practice his profession under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Nevada and was, and now is, engaged in the practice of his profession in the State of Nevada.
- 3. Ali Kia, M.D., is an agent and/or employee of Third-Party Defendant, Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP. Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP is a Nevada Limited Liability Partnership in Clark County, Nevada.
- 4. Plaintiff, Choloe Green, an individual, has asserted that Ali Kia, M.D., is an ostensible agent of Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital. The court has denied Sunrise Hospital's motion to dismiss such potential claim finding there is a factual issue to be resolved by the finder of fact.
- 5. On information and belief DOES/ROE Corporations were the employer and/or were responsible for Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia M.D. being called into consulting and/or treating Plaintiff Choloe Green for her Sunrise hospitalization which commenced on July

14, 2016. When the true names and capacities of said Third-Party Defendants DOES/ROE Corporations have been ascertained, Third-Party Plaintiff will amend this Third-Party Complaint accordingly.

STATEMENTS OF FACTS

- 6. Third-Party Plaintiff, Sunrise Hospital repeats and realleges and incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-5 as though fully set forth herein.
- 7. Plaintiff, Choloe Green, had a caesarian section birth on July 9, 2016 at Sunrise Hospital with Frank J. DeLee, M.D., as the treating Obstetrician. Plaintiff was released home on the first post-operative day, July 10, 2016. Plaintiff contends in her complaint that her release was premature since a routine post-operative course is 3-4 days. Plaintiff also contends in her complaint that she was released prior to tolerating clear liquids and passing flatus.
- 8. Plaintiff alleges that Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee breached the applicable standard of care in discharging Plaintiff from the hospital on July 10, 2016. See attached Exhibit "A" (Plaintiff's Choloe Green's Complaint for Medical Malpractice and Affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D.).
- 9. Plaintiff, Choloe Green asserts that she was readmitted to Sunrise Hospital on July 14, 2016 with severe abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, fever and chills. Ms. Green was admitted to the medical/surgical unit of the hospital. She was seen, treated, and/or consulted by Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Ali Kia, M.D.
- 10. Plaintiff was discharged from Sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016. Plaintiff alleges that her discharge was "discussed and confirmed by Dr. DeLee. . ."
- 11. The Sunrise Hospital records indicate that Ali Kia, M.D. ordered and electronically signed Plaintiff's July 16, 2016 discharge from Sunrise Hospital.

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Sutte 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 felephone: 702-889-6400 Facsimile: 702-384-6025 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12. Plaintiff contends that her second discharge from sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016 1 violated the standard of care. Plaintiff asserts that she was not able to tolerate a regular 2 diet at the time of discharge and that her KUB showed multiple dilated loops of bowel 3 4 (which Plaintiff asserts are related to small bowel obstruction). 5 13. Plaintiff alleges in her underlying complaint that because of the aforementioned 6 negligence and breaches of the standard of care she suffered a protracted hospital course 7 with multiple complications including discharge to a step-down facility once her 8 antibiotic course was felt to be completed. Plaintiff asserts that she remained on a 9 10 feeding tube and in need of rehabilitation. 11 14. Plaintiff contends that it was Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee that breached the standard 12 of care in discharging her from the hospital July 16, 2016. 13 15. Sunrise Hospital filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment which, in part, sought to 14 dismiss any potential claim that Ali Kia, M.D. was an ostensible agent of the hospital 15 16 during Plaintiff's July 14 – 16, 2016 hospitalization. The court denied the motion finding 17 that there was a genuine issue of fact to be resolved by the finder of fact (jury). 18 16. Third-Party Defendant, Ali Kia, M.D. was "on call" for Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP 19 which resulted in Dr. Kia becoming a treating physician of the underlying Plaintiff 20 Choloe Green. 21 22 17. When Dr. Kia was "on call" for Nevada Hospitalist Group he was employed and/or an 23 agent of Nevada Hospitalist Group. 24 THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRISE HOSPITAL CLAIM FOR INDEMNITY AND 25 CONTRIBUTION AGAINST ALI KIA, M.D., AND NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP 26 18. Third-Party Plaintiff, Sunrise Hospital repeats and realleges and incorporates each and 27 every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-17 as though fully set forth herein. 28

FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE:

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE

SUITE 200

19. Plaintiff contends that she suffered injury and damage as a result of the care and treatment she received at Sunrise Hospital for her July 9, 2016 and July 14, 2016 hospitalizations.

20. Frank J. DeLee, M.D. discharged Choloe Green from her first hospitalization at Sunrise Hospital on July 10, 2016. Ali Kia, M.D. discharged Choloe Green from her second hospitalization at Sunrise Hospital on July 16, 2016.

21. The court has determined that during Plaintiff's July 9, 2016 hospitalization and July 16, 2016 hospitalization, Frank J. DeLee, M.D. was not an ostensible agent of the hospital and the hospital is not vicariously liable for Dr. DeLee.

22. The court has also determined that Sunrise Hospital is not vicariously liable for any care or treatment rendered by Ali Kia, M.D. to Plaintiff, Choloe Green during her July 16, 2016 hospital admission. The court, however, denied Sunrise Hospital's motion to dismiss any claim that Dr. Kia was an ostensible agent of the hospital during this same hospital admission (genuine issue of material fact precluding summary judgment).

23. Although unnamed as a party in Plaintiff Choloe Green's underlying complaint, Ali Kia, M.D. (Third-Party Defendant) discharged Plaintiff on July 16, 2016. As such, Dr. Kia's care of Choloe Green is at issue in Plaintiff's underlying complaint.

24. Attached as Exhibit "A" to this Third-Party Complaint is the Plaintiff, Choloe Green's underlying complaint for medical malpractice and attached expert affidavit of Lisa Karamardian, M.D.

25. Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital pursuant to NRS 17.225 and 17.285, Nevada's contribution statutes, and also the doctrine equitable indemnity, seeks judgment

Page 5 of 6

	· .
1	against Ali Kia, M.D. and Nevada Hospitalist Group for any amount awarded (by
2	verdict or judgment) against the hospital resulting from Ali Kia, M.D.'s treatment and
3	care of Choloe Green during her July 14, 2016 hospital admission.
4	26. WHEREFORE, Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center prays that
5	judgment be entered in its favor and against Third-Party Defendants, Ali Kia, M.D.,
6	and Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, in an amount commensurate with the relative
7	degree of fault by Dr. Kia in causing the Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages.
9	DATED this $2 day of April, 2019.$
10	HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC
11	HALL FRANCIE & SCHOONVELD, LLC
12	By:
13	MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8619
14	TY SON J. DOBBS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 11953
15	SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ.
16	Nevada Bar No. 1491 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200
17	Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Defendant
18	Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Page 6 of 6
	DA0400

, *•*

•

				 ,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COMP LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS DANIEL MARKS, ESO. Nevada State Bar No. 002603 NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESO. Novada State Bar No. 12659 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Novada 89101 (702) 386-0536: Fax (702) 386-6812 Attomneys for Plaintiff		Electronically Filed excurator (0:20 Abs Stevan C. Grieruan CLERK OF THE COURT CLERK OF THE COURT	
7	DIS	TRICT COURT		ļ
8	CLARK	County, Nevada		
9 10	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	Case No. Dept. No.	A-17-757722-C ¥	
11	Plaintiff,		Department 8	•
12	▼.			ľ
13	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE MD, FC, a Domestic	Arbitration Esc for Medical Mal	mpt Action	Ī
14 15	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPIT AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Forsign Limited-Liability Company.	AL.	praence	
16	Defendants.			•
17		_J		
18	COMPLANT FOR	R MEDICAL MALPRACTIC	<u>i</u> z .	
19	COMES NOW Plaintiff Cholos Green,	by and through undersigned cou	nsel Daniel Marks, Esq., and	•
. 20	Nicole M. Young, Esq., of the Law Office of I	Daniel Marics, and for her claim	a against Defendants herein	:
21	allege as follows:			i
22	1. That at all times material here	zto, Plaintiff Cholce Green (h	sreinafter "Cholos") was a	i
23	resident of Clark County, Neva	đa,		
. 24	2. That at all times material here	to, Defendant FRANK J. DE	LEE, M.D., was a licensed	
25	medical doctor in the State of N	evada, and practiced in his profi	essional corporation entitled	
26	FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC.			
27	////	• • •		•
28	////			
				l

.

Coso Number: A-17-757722-C

٠

٩ •

. 1

٠

• •		· ; •
1	3.	That at all times material hereto, Defendant FRANK J. DELEB MD, PC, was a domestic
2		professional corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Nevada and
3		registered to do business, and doing business in the State of Nevada in Clark County, Nevada.
4	4.	That Defendant FRANK J. DELEE, MD, is the President of Defendant FRANK J. DELEE
5		MD, PC (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Dr. DeLee").
6	5,	That Defendant SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, (hereinafter
7	•	"Survise Hospital"), was a foreign limited-liability company, registered to do business and
8		doing business in the State of Novada in Clark County, Nevada.
9	6.	That on or about July 9, 2016, Dr. DeLee performed a cessman section (C-Section) on
10		Cholos at Sumise Hospital. Cholos was discharged from the hospital the following day, on
11		July 10, 2016, even though she did not have bowel movement prior to being discharged from
12		the hospital.
13	7.	On July 13, 2016, Choloe had an appointment with Dr. DeLee. At that appointment, Cholos
14		notified Dr. Deles that she had not had a bowel movement post C-section. He did not provide
15	2	any care or treatment to Cholos regarding her lack of a bowal movement.
16	8,	On July 14, 2016, after still not having a bowel movement post C-section, Cholce went to
17		the emergency room at Suurise Elospital, with severe abdominal pain and reports of nausea,
18		vomiting, favor, and chills. She was admitted to the medical/surgical unit because of the
19		diagnosis of sepsis. Sumise Hospital discharged Cholos on July 16, 2016, despite having a
20		small bowel obstruction. The discharge was discussed and confirmed by Dr. DeLee.
21	9.	On July 17, 2016, Choice went to the emergency room at Centennial Hills Hospital where
22		she was admitted until she was finally discharged on September 2, 2016. Centennial Hills
23		edmitted Choloe with the diagnosis of small bowel obstruction. She had an NG Tube placed,
24		underwent surgery, had diffuse pulmenary infiltrates, suggestive of pulmenary edame or ARDS,
25		and eventually asseded a trachectomy and PBG tube placement.
26	10.	That Defandant Dr. DeLee and Sunrise Hospital breached the standard of care in their
27		treatment of Choloe and as a direct and proximate result of that breach, Choloe has been
28		đamaged.
	1	-

2

ļ

**

t ť

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1. 2. 3. 4.	That as a direct and proximate result of all of the Defendants' negligenes, Choles has been demaged in an emount in excess of \$15,000.00. This Complaint is supported by the Affidavit of Lies Karamardian, M.D., a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "1". Choles has been foreed to relain counsel to bring this action and should be awarded his reasonable attorneys fees and costs. REFORE, Choles prays for judgment against the Defendants, and each of them, as follows: For special damages in a sum in excess of \$15,000.00; For compensatory damages in a sum in excess of \$15,000.00; For reasonable attorney's fees and litigation costs incurred; For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. ED this SD_ day of June, 2017.
		Attorneys for Plaintiff
21		
22		
23		,
.24		
25		
26		
27 28		
		3

I

i

1

ł

İ

Ł

VERIFICATION STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK CHOLOE GREEN, being first duly swom, deposes and says: That I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled metter; that I have read the above and foregoing Complaint and know the contents thereof; that the same are true of my knowledge except for these matters stated upon information and balief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. . Childe Conce CHOLDE GREEN **LIBED AND SWORN to before me** SIRGO thisk day of June, 2017. GLENDA GUO y Public St Hy Appl. B NOTARY PUBLIC in And for said COUNTY and STATE ŧ,

EXELBIT 1

;

î

į

4

1-1-1-1

1	1		İ.
			ļ
1		APPIDA VIT OF DR. LISA KARAMARDIAN	
2	STATE OF	alfromay.	
3	COUNTYO	Porcel S.	
4	DR.	LISA KARAMARDIAN, being first duly sworn, under penalty of parjury, does say and	
5	depose the fi	allowing:	
6	1.	That I am a modical ductor licensed in the State of California and am board contified in	
7		the field of Obstatules and Gyncoology.	
8	2,	This affidavit is executed pursuant to NRS 41A.071 in support of a Complaint for	ļļ
9		Medice) Malprastics against Dr. Frank DeLes and Sumise Hospital and Medical Canter,	
10	3.	That I have reviewed Plaintiff Choice Green's medical records relating to the care and	
n		treatment she received from Dr. Frank DeLee, Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center,	
12		Valley Hospital Medical Center and Centennial Hills Medical Center.	િલ્લ
13	4,	A review of the modical records covered that on July 9, 2016, Ms. Green had a cosmen	
14		section birth at Survise Haspital with Dr. DeLee as the obstatricise. She was released	
15		home on post-operative day number one. This was a breach of the standard of care by Dr.	
16		DeLes and Sunrise Hospital. The typical post-operative course for a routine caserean is o	
17		3-4 night stay in the hospital. The standard of care was also breached because Mr. Green	
18		had not oven attempted to tolerate cless liquids and she had not passed fictus when she	
19		was released on post-operative day number one.	a.
20	5.	A review of the medical recercis also reveals that on July 14, 2016, Ms. Green presented	
21		again to Sumise Hospital , now five (5) days post-partum, with severe abdominal pain	
22		and reports of names, vomiling, fover, and chills. She was admitted to the	
23		medical/surgical unit because of the diagnosis of sepsis. She was discharged on July 16,	
24		2016. The discharge was discussed and confirmed by Dr. DaLee. This discharge violated	
25		the standard of care. Ms. Creen was discharged despite the fact that she was not able to	
26		tolerate a regular dist. Further, on the day of her discharge, her KUB showed multiple	
27		dilated loops of bowel, timught to be related to a musil bowel obstruction, yet she was	**
28		sent bome. An intraperitoneal abseass was suspented on a CT sean, yet she was still sent	
	1	home. This was a visistion of the standard of care by Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLoc.	ł

1

1713

I

	•	i
1	6. The day after she was released from Sundis Kospital, Ms. Green presented at Centenniel	
2	Hills Hospital, on July 17, 2016. At the time of presentation sho was now 7 days	
3	postpartum, had not had a bowal movement, and was unable to even tolerate liquids. She	
4	was still in sovere pain. Her imaging studies had worsened and she was now admitted,	ينتي
5	again, with the diagnosis of small bowe) obstruction. An NO tube was finally placed and	
6	a general surgery evaluation ordered. She was admitted for concern for bowel perforation.	
. 7	She underweet an exploratory laparotomy on July 18th for what was presumed to be a	
8	performed viscus, but none was found introperatively, just diffuse excites. Infanced	
9	mesantery was removed and post-op her condition deteriorated, culminating in a rapid	
10	rasponse cell on July 20th when she was found to be hypexia. By the 22nd she had diffuse	
- 11	pulmanary infilirates, suggestive of pulmonary edams or ARDS, and her condition workened. CT	
12	guided drain pleasment cultures of fiuld revealed enteressours facealis, supporting the face that	14
13	there must have been a bowel perforation. She then developed a prosumotherax and eventually	
14	needed a trachessionly and PBO tube placement. On August 5, 2016, there was difficulty with	٠
15	har aliway support.	
16	7. Because of the violations of the standard of ease, her haspital course was protreated with	
17	multiple complications and the was apparently disolarged to a stop down facility once har	
18	antibistic course was fait to be completed, still on a feeding tube and in need of refubilistion.	
19	8. That is my professional opinion, to a degree of medical probability, the standard of care	-
20	was breached by both Dr. DeLee and Sumise Hospital and Medical Center in their	
21	treatment of Ms. Green.	
22	further your affiant sayeth naught.	
23	limb ais	•
24	LIBA KARAMARDIAN, MD.	
25	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before ma	1
26	this <u>SA</u> day of June, 2017, Grans Courty Composition of 211007	I
27	Her Grann, Backen Ans 10, 2020	۱
28	COUNTY and STATE	
		1

2

....

•

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B

Electronically Filed 6/14/2019 9:40 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. 1 Nevada Bar No.: 8619 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No.: 11953 3 SHERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1491 4 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 5 Las Vegas, NV 89144 6 (702) 889-6400 - Office (702) 384-6025 - Facsimile 7 efile@hpslaw.com Attorneys for Defendant 8 Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 702-384-6025 11 CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C CHOLOE GREEN, an individual, DEPT NO .: VIII- 9 12 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: Plaintiff, 13 ORDER GRANTING SUNRISE 14 VS. HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, 15 LLC'S MOTION TO FILE THIRD FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; PARTY COMPLAINT FOR FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic 16 CONTRIBUTION AND INDEMNITY Professional Corporation, SUNRISE (ALI KIA, M.D.) HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, 17 LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, 18 Defendants. 19 SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability 20 Company, 21 Third-Party Plaintiff, 22 VS. 23 ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his 24 employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE 25 CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive. 26 Third-Party Defendants. 27 28 Page 1 of 2

HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC

1160 NORTH TOWN CENTER DRIVE

ITE 200

Case Number: A-17-757722-C

PA0131

On May 1, 2019, Defendant Sunrise Hospital Medical Center, LLC filed its Motion for 1 Leave to File Third-Party Complaint on Order Shortening Time. No Opposition was filed and 2 the Court considered the Motion in Chambers on May 13, 2019. 3 The Court, having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, HEREBY ORDERS, 4 ADJUDGES, AND DECREES that Defendant Sunrise Hospital Medical Center's Motion for 5 Leave to File Third-Party Complaint is hereby GRANTED. 6 DATED this 2019. day of 7 8 9 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 10 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TELEPHONE: 702-889-6400 FACSIMILE: 702-384-6025 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 North Town Center Drive Respectfully Submitted: 11 12 HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD LLC 13 TE 200 14 15 TYSON J. DOBBS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11953 16 1160 North Town Center Drive, Ste. 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff 18 Valley Health System, LLC d/b/a Valley Hospital Medical Center 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Page 2 of 2

PA0132

	S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 006858 E-Mail: Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com ERIN E. JORDAN Nevada Bar No. 10018 E-Mail: Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP	Electronically Filed 4/6/2020 4:25 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
9		T COURT
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	CLARK COUN CHOLOE GREEN, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE, MD, PC, a Domestic Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a foreign Limited-Liability Company, , Defendants. SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, Third-Party Plaintiff, vs. ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive., Third-Party Defendants.	NTY, NEVADA CASE NO. A-17-757722-C Dept. No.: IX THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
25 26 27 28	attorneys of record, S. Brent Vogel, Esq. an	BPITALIST GROUP, LLP, by and through its d Erin E. Jordan, Esq. of LEWIS BRISBOIS Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on the

1	Pleadings.		
2	This Reply is based upon the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the papers		
3	and pleadings on file in this matter, and any oral argument offered at the hearing of this matter.		
4	DATED this 6th day of April, 2020.		
5	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
6			
7	By /s/ Erin E. Jordan		
8	S. BRENT VOGEL		
9	Nevada Bar No. 006858 ERIN E. JORDAN		
	Nevada Bar No. 10018		
10	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600		
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Tel. 702.893.3383		
12	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada		
13	Hospitalist Group, LLP		
14			
15	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES		
16	I. ARGUMENT		
17	Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group LLP filed a Motion for Judgment on the		
	Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP filed a Motion for Judgment on the		
18	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it		
18 19	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it because the claims Sunrise Hospital brought did not comply with NRS 41A.071. There is no		
18 19 20	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it because the claims Sunrise Hospital brought did not comply with NRS 41A.071. There is no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital seeks to hold Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLC		
18 19 20 21	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it because the claims Sunrise Hospital brought did not comply with NRS 41A.071. There is no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital seeks to hold Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLC vicariously liable for the actions of Third-Party Defendant hospitalist Dr. Kia. The basis of		
18 19 20 21 22	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it because the claims Sunrise Hospital brought did not comply with NRS 41A.071. There is no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital seeks to hold Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLC		
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it because the claims Sunrise Hospital brought did not comply with NRS 41A.071. There is no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital seeks to hold Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLC vicariously liable for the actions of Third-Party Defendant hospitalist Dr. Kia. The basis of		
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it because the claims Sunrise Hospital brought did not comply with NRS 41A.071. There is no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital seeks to hold Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLC vicariously liable for the actions of Third-Party Defendant hospitalist Dr. Kia. The basis of Sunrise Hospital's third-party claims is alleged negligence on the behalf of Dr. Kia as a basis for		
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it because the claims Sunrise Hospital brought did not comply with NRS 41A.071. There is no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital seeks to hold Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLC vicariously liable for the actions of Third-Party Defendant hospitalist Dr. Kia. The basis of Sunrise Hospital's third-party claims is alleged negligence on the behalf of Dr. Kia as a basis for indemnity and contribution claim against Dr. Kia and vicarious liability for Nevada Hospitalist		
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it because the claims Sunrise Hospital brought did not comply with NRS 41A.071. There is no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital seeks to hold Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLC vicariously liable for the actions of Third-Party Defendant hospitalist Dr. Kia. The basis of Sunrise Hospital's third-party claims is alleged negligence on the behalf of Dr. Kia as a basis for indemnity and contribution claim against Dr. Kia and vicarious liability for Nevada Hospitalist Group for the actions of Dr. Kia. All parties agree that the basis of all third-party claims in this		
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Pleadings asking this Court to dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital's claims against it because the claims Sunrise Hospital brought did not comply with NRS 41A.071. There is no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital seeks to hold Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLC vicariously liable for the actions of Third-Party Defendant hospitalist Dr. Kia. The basis of Sunrise Hospital's third-party claims is alleged negligence on the behalf of Dr. Kia as a basis for indemnity and contribution claim against Dr. Kia and vicarious liability for Nevada Hospitalist Group for the actions of Dr. Kia. All parties agree that the basis of all third-party claims in this matter is the alleged professional negligence of Dr. Kia. Defendant NHG filed the instant Motion		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Sunrise Hospital filed an Opposition to NHG's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in 1 which it made the following arguments: 1) the affidavit that Plaintiff originally filed contains 2 3 criticism of Dr. Kia by implication, although he is never named in the affidavit; 2) Sunrise Hospital cannot produce an affidavit critical of Dr. Kia because Sunrise Hospital is concerned that 4 5 it may become liable for Dr. Kia's actions through the doctrine of ostensible agency; and 3) Sunrise Hospital does not need to provide a NRS 41A.071 affidavit against NHG because the 6 7 claims against NHG are vicarious in nature only.

8 Sunrise Hospital has failed to give this Court any reason to deny NHG's Motion for 9 Judgment on the Pleadings for the reasons set forth below.

10

11

a. A NRS 41A.071 Affidavit Regarding Acts of Negligence by Dr. Kia Is Required

Sunrise Hospital argues in its Opposition that NHG's Motion for Judgment on the 12 Pleadings that the Motion should be denied because it is not required to provide an NRS 41A.071 13 affidavit against NHG because Sunrise Hospital has not alleged any acts of negligence against 14 NHG. Opposition, pp. 7-8 ("However, with regard to Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP, Sunrise 15 Hospital is not asserting any specific act of alleged negligence against that group."). Sunrise 16 Hospital has misconstrued NHG's position. NHG has never argued that Sunrise Hospital needed 17 to attach a NRS 41A.071 affidavit regarding NHG. Rather, NHG very clearly explained in its 18 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings that the third-party claims all fail because Sunrise Hospital 19 did not satisfy NRS 41A.071 for the allegations of professional negligence against Dr. Kia, the 20 alleged professional negligence of which forms the basis of the alleged vicarious liability of 21 NHG.¹ Motion, pp. 5-6. 22

- 23
- 24

28

those that it brought in this action must satisfy NRS 41A.071 if the basis of those claims is alleged 25

Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital admits that contribution and indemnity claims like

Sunrise Hospital repeatedly refers to NHG as Dr. Kia's employer, however, it is surely aware of 26 Dr. Kia's Answers to Requests for Admission in which he clearly states that he was not an employee of NHG. However, a factual determination need not be made regarding this issue for a 27 decision on the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings that is before this Court.



1 professional negligence. Opposition, p. 6.

Sunrise does not challenge the authority provided by Nevada Hospitalist Group stating that a Third-Party Complaint for contribution and/or indemnity, which is based upon medical malpractice, must comply with the requirements of NRS 41A.071. That is, it is agreed that if no claim for professional negligence is made against Dr. Kia, then he cannot be liable for indemnity and/or contribution for the care he rendered to Choloe Green.

6 || *Id*.

2

3

4

5

7 There is, therefore, no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital was required to
8 provide a NRS 41A.071 affidavit that supported its indemnity and contribution claims against Dr.
9 Kia. As any vicarious liability claim against NHG is dependent upon the claim against Dr. Kia,
10 the claims against NHG fail if Sunrise Hospital failed to satisfy NRS 41A.071 regarding Dr. Kia.

- 11
- 12

b. Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital did Not Satisfy NRS 41A.071 Regarding its Allegations of Professional Negligence Against Dr. Kia

Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital contends that it satisfied the requirement that it 13 admits that it has, to provide a NRS 41A.071 affidavit of merit supporting allegations of 14 professional negligence against Dr. Kia. Sunrise Hospital argues that it satisfied this requirement 15 by simply attaching Plaintiff Choloe Green's NRS 41A.071 affidavit to the Third-Party 16 Complaint. This affidavit is insufficient. It does not mention Dr. Kia one single time. It cannot 17 be concluded that the affidavit is referencing Dr. Kia because Dr. Kia is not a Defendant in the 18 underlying action. Sunrise Hospital asks this Court to make a factual finding that even thought the 19 affidavit does not discuss Dr. Kia at all, the affidavit is really talking about Dr. Kia. Opposition, 20 p. 6. The argument is silly. Additionally, a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings does not 21 require factual findings, but rather, is made based upon the pleadings as they are pled. Asking this 22 Court to deny the Motion based upon anything other than what is in the pleadings is asking this 23 Court to disregard the law of motions for judgment on the pleadings.

25

26

27

28

24

A motion for judgment on the pleadings should be granted when a decision can be made as a matter of law. *Bernard v. Rockhill Dev. Co.*, 103 Nev. 132, 135, (1987). *Id.* at 136. It must be determined whether as a matter of law, Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital has stated a claim for relief. There can be no dispute that Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital did not attach an



affidavit that discusses alleged breaches of the standard of care by either Dr. Kia or Nevada
 Hospitalist Group, LLP and that, therefore, it did not satisfy NRS 41A.71.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

27

28

///

c. Ostensible Agency Does Not Excuse Sunrise Hospital From the Requirements of NRS 41A.071

Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital also argues that it is in a unique position that prevents it from being able to file an affidavit alleging professional negligence of Dr. Kia. Opposition, p. 5 ("On the other hand, if the hospital does have liability exposure for Dr. Kia's discharge order of July 14, 2016, the hospital, then, would not be in a position to provide an expert affidavit challenging Dr. Kia's care of Choloe Green (since he is a potential hospital ostensible agent)". The fact that Sunrise Hospital's own litigation strategy has forced it into the position where it must comply with NRS 41A.071 for claims it chose to bring against Dr. Kia and an entity that has proven not to be his employer is not a burden that NHG must bear.

12 Sunrise Hospital made the strategic decision to file a Motion for Summary Judgment 13 regarding ostensible agency of then non-party Dr. Kia. Sunrise Hospital then, presumably upset 14 with this Court's ruling on that Motion, filed a Third-Party Complaint against Dr. Kia and also 15 NHG. Sunrise Hospital was well within the bounds of proper litigation tactics pursuant to the 16 Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure when it filed the Third-Party Complaint. However, Sunrise 17 Hospital, as a provider of healthcare, is intimately familiar with NRS 41A.071 and is aware that 18 there is no ostensible agency exception to the affidavit requirement. The claims against Dr. Kia 19 and NHG are subject to NRS 41A.071 like any other professional negligence claims.

II. CONCLUSION

Judgment on the Pleadings in favor of Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP is appropriate in this case because Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital has failed to state a claim for which relief may be granted by failing to comply with NRS 41A.071. Therefore, Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor based upon the pleadings in this case. The claims against NHG are vicarious only, and therefore ///

5

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARI & SMITH LL

PA0137

1	may only succeed if the claims against Dr. Kia succeed. The claims against Dr. Kia fail as	a			
2	matter of law because Sunrise Hospital has failed to comply with NRS 41A.071.				
3	DATED this 6th day of April, 2020.				
4	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP				
5					
6					
7	By /s/ Erin E. Jordan				
8	S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 006858				
9	ERIN E. JORDAN Nevada Bar No. 10018				
10	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600				
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Tel. 702.893.3383				
12	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	4844-5294-1753.1 6				

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2	I hereby certify that on this 6th day of April, 2020, a true and correct copy of THIRD-				
3	PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF				
4	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADI	NGS was served by electronically filing with the			
5	Clerk of the Court using the Electronic Service	e system and serving all parties with an email-			
6	address on record, who have agreed to receive El	ectronic Service in this action.			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Daniel Marks, Esq. Nicole M. Young, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS 610 S. 9 th St. Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel: 702.386.0536 Fax: 702.386.6812 nyoung@danielmarks.net Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael E. Prangle, Esq. Tyson J. Dobbs, Esq. Sherman B. Mayor, Esq. HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC 1160 N. Town Center Dr., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144 Tel: 702.889.6400 Fax: 702.384.6025 smayor@hpslaw.com tdobbs@hpslaw.com Attorneys for Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC	Erik Stryker, Esq. WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 300 S. 4 th St. Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel: 702.727.1400 Fax: 702.727.1401 eric.stryker@wilsonelser.com Attorneys for Defendants Frank J. Delee, M.D. and Frank J. Delee, M.D., PC Patricia E. Daehnke, Esq. Linda K. Rurangirwa, Esq. COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW, GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212 Las Vegas, NV 89119 Tel: 702.979.2132 Fax: 702.979.2133 patricia.daehnke@cdiglaw.com linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.			
22 23 24 25	An	Johana Whitbeek Employee of WIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP			
26 27 28					
	4844-5294-1753.1	7			

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Electronically Filed 4/13/2020 10:18 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

	1 2	JOIN Patricia Egan Daehnke	Atum A. L					
	2	Nevada Bar No. 4976 <u>Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com</u> Linda K. Rurangirwa						
	4 5	 Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 979-2132 Telephone 						
	8	(702) 979-2133 Facsimile Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant						
	9 10	9 ALI KIA, M.D.						
	11	CLARK COUNTY, NEVEDA						
GRECO	12	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO.: A-17-757722-C DEPT. NO.: VIII					
LOW & Suite 305 89119 (22) 979-2	13	Plaintiffs,	THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT ALI KIA,					
NKE , I N go Road, NEVADA 2 FAX (7	14	VS.	M.D.'S JOINDER IN THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST					
COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 TEL. (702) 979-2132 FAX (702) 979-2133	15 16 17	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE MD, PC, a Domestic Professional Corporation, SUNRISE	GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE					
Coll	-	HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company.	PLEADINGS					
	19	Defendants.						
	20 21	SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company,	DATE: APRIL 21, 2020 TIME: 8:30 A.M.					
	22 23	Third-Party Plaintiff,						
	24	vs.						
	25	ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his employer NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP, DOES 1-10; AND ROE CORPORATION 1-						
	26 27	10, inclusive.						
	28	Third-Party Defendants.						
		-1 Case Number: A-						

COMES NOW Third-Party Defendant ALI KIA, M.D., by and through his attorneys, the law office of COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO, and hereby file this Joinder in NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.

This Joinder is made and based on the Points and Authorities contained in Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, as such applies equally to Dr. Kia. Thus, Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings is hereby referenced and incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

This Joinder is also based on the pleadings and papers on file herein and any oral argument that may be permitted at the hearing on this matter.

DATED: April 13, 2020

COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO

BY: /s/ Linda K. Rurangirwa PATRICIA EGAN DAEHNKE Nevada Bar No. 4976 LINDA K. RURANGIRWA Nevada Bar No. 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Tel. (702) 979-2132 Fax (702) 979-2133

Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant ALI KIA, M.D.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on this 13 th day of April 2020, a true and correct copy of THIRD
3	PARTY DEFENDANT ALI KIA, M.D.'S JOINDER IN THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT
4	NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE
5	PLEADINGS AND REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE
6	PLEADINGS was served by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the
7	Odyssey File & Serve system and serving all parties with an email address on record, who
8	have agreed to receive Electronic Service in this action.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	 DANIEL MARKS, ESQ. NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ. Law Office of Daniel Marks 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0536 Attorneys for Plaintiff Choloe Green ERIC K. STRYKER, ESQ. Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP 300 South Fourth Street 11th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 727-1400 Attorneys for Defendants Frank J. DeLee, M.D. and Frank J. DeLee, M.D., P.C.: MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ. HIERMAN B. MAYOR, ESQ. Hall Prangle and Schoonveld LLC 19 1160 North Town Center Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Attorneys for Defendant and Third Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC
28	

COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 TEL. (702) 979-2132 | FAX (702) 979-2133

	1 2 3 4	S. BRENT VOGEL, ESQ. ERIN E. JORDAN Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP 6385 Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP		
	5			
	6		By _	/s/ <i>Linda K. Rurangirwa</i> An employee of COLLINSON, DAEHNKE,
	7			INLOW & GRECO
	8			
	9 10			
	10			
0	11			
COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW & GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suita 305 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89119 TEL. (702) 979-2132 FAX (702) 979-2133	12			
NLOW d, Suite 3 DA 89119 (702) 97	13			
HNKE, I ingo Road S, NEVAE 32 FAX	15			
I, DAEH E. Flam S VEGA 2) 979-21	16			
2110 2110 2110 1.2	17			
COL	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
				-4- PA0143

	Electronically Filed 4/2/2021 11:23 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	RTRAN Otemp, Frum
2	DISTRICT COURT
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
4	* * * *
5	
6	CHOLOE GREEN,)
7) CASE NO. A-17-757722-C Plaintiff,)
8	vs.) DEPT. NO. IX
9) FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., FRANK J.)
10	DELEE, M.D., PC, SUNRISE) Transcript of Proceedings
11	HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER,) LLC,)
12	Defendants.
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CRISTINA D. SILVA, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14	THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S
15	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS; THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT KIA'S JOINDER TO MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND
16	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
17	WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29, 2020
18	APPEARANCES [ALL VIA VIDEO AND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE]:
19	For the Plaintiff: NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ.
20	For Sunrise Hospital:SHERMAN BENNETT MAYOR, ESQ.For Dr. DeLee:ERIC K. STRYKER, ESQ.
21	For Dr. Kia: LINDA RURANGIRWA, ESQ.
22	For NHG: ERIN E. JORDAN, ESQ.
23	RECORDED BY: GINA VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT TRANSCRIBED BY: KRISTEN LUNKWITZ
24	
25	Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording; transcript produced by transcription service.
	1
	PA0144

1 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29, 2020 AT 12:00 P.M. 2 3 THE COURT: Let's turn to page 4, which is A-17-4 757722-C, Choloe Green versus Frank DeLee, M.D. Who is 5 here for that case? 6 MS. JORDAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is 7 Erin Jordan appearing on behalf of Nevada Hospitalist 8 Group. We are the party that brought the instant motion. 9 THE COURT: Good -- let's see here. Good 10 afternoon. It's just switched. 11 MS. YOUNG: good afternoon. This is Nicole Young, 12 appearing on behalf of the plaintiff, Choloe Green. 13 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. So, we 14 are here on Third Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, 15 LLP's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. In a 16 nutshell, Nevada Hospitalist Group argues that the third 17 party plaintiff has failed to state a claim for which 18 professional negligence can be asserted because they had 19 failed to comply with NRS 41A.071 and then, therefore, they 20 argue they're entitled to relief. The plaintiffs respond 21 in opposition that the underlying Complaint contains an 22 expert affidavit that does comply with 41A.071, but also 23 argues, at the same time, this is an issue, essentially, of 24 indemnity or contribution, and so it's not a direct 25 professional negligence claim against Dr. -- that would be

2

PA0145

1 -- that would require compliance with 41A.071. 2 So, that's what I've reviewed here today. So, my 3 first question is for the Hospitalist Group and I 4 understand you're arguing that there should be an affidavit 5 complaining -- or attached to the Complaint or attached to the actions that comply with 41A.071. I guess my question 6 7 for you is: What do you think that affidavit will look 8 like, in light of what the plaintiff is arguing? 9 Uh oh. Counsel, did we lose you? THE COURT RECORDER: There's two more people on --10 11 MS. RURANGIRWA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. You're 12 not talking to me, are you? On video? 13 THE COURT: No. I think we lost who was here. 14 There -- I don't see another person on BlueJeans anymore. 15 MR. MAYOR: This is Sherman Mayor for the 16 Hospital, Judge. I am here. 17 THE COURT: Oh, good afternoon. 18 MS. YOUNG: And Nicole Young for the plaintiff is still here. 19 I think you lost --20 MR. STRYKER: Eric Stryker for Dr. DeLee and his 21 professional corporations is here. 22 THE COURT: Okay. We lost Erin Jordan, I think. 23 Ms. Jordan, are you there and we can just not see you? 24 We seem to have lost Ms. Jordan who my question was Hmm. 25 directed at. Let's see if we can get her on the phone or

1	at least shoot her an e-mail and see if she can rejoin
2	BlueJeans. So, I apologize. I'm going to put this case on
3	hold and I ask everyone for patience during these all
4	these interesting technical difficulties.
5	THE CLERK: There she is.
6	THE COURT: Oh, there she is. Ah, Ms. Jordan.
7	MS. JORDAN: Hi. Sorry about that.
8	THE COURT: Oh, that's okay.
9	MS. JORDAN: Here I am.
10	THE COURT: Glad to see you back. I had posed a
11	question and I think I posed it after we lost you for a
12	few minutes there and my question to you is: What
13	you're arguing that an affidavit should be attached that
14	complies with 41A.071. But my question for you is: What
15	do you think that affidavit would look like, in light of
16	what the plaintiff is arguing or asserting against your
17	client?
18	MS. JORDAN: Thank you, Your Honor. That
19	affidavit would need to support any allegations that Dr.
20	Kia fell below the standard of care. The Pack versus
21	LaTourette case states that even claims for contribution
22	and indemnity need to be supported by the affidavit,
23	weighing the underlying reason that could cause potential
24	liability is medical malpractice. So, the fact that the
25	underlying potential liability stems from medical

1 malpractice, which all parties agree here, that's not 2 disputed, there needed to be an affidavit attached to the 3 Third Party Complaint that stated that Dr. Kia was 4 negligent and his care and treatment of the patient.

5 Nevada Hospitalist Group is a separate entity and 6 the claims against Nevada Hospitalist Group are vicarious 7 in nature only. So, I agree with plaintiff that, as plaintiff stated in their Opposition -- or third party 8 9 plaintiff, rather, stated in his Opposition papers that 10 they don't need to provide an affidavit when the claims are 11 purely vicarious against Nevada Hospitalist Group. I agree with that. But the basis for a potential liability against 12 13 Nevada Hospitalist Group is the medical malpractice of Dr. Kia. And they did not provide an affidavit that stated 14 15 that Dr. Kia fell below the standard of care, that the 16 standard -- that that breach of the standard of care 17 allegedly injured the plaintiff, the original plaintiff, 18 Choloe Green. And that was required under the statute.

They did, as you know, attach the plaintiff's 19 20 affidavit, which does not mention Dr. Kia in the affidavit 21 anywhere. The affidavit and the Complaint are supposed to 22 be read together, pursuant to the Nevada Supreme Court And I understand that fully, but Dr. Kia's name 23 caselaw. and care is not in either the affidavit or the original 24 25 Complaint. And plaintiff -- I think the reason they didn't

1	get they informed the Court the reason they didn't
2	provide an affidavit is because it would potentially be
3	against their own interest, as they feared that they may be
4	held liable through for the actions of Dr. Kia through
5	the doctrine ostensible agency. But if you need if you
6	bring a claim against a physician and an entity related to
7	that claim, then you are subject to the statute NRS
8	41A.071. Hall Prangle is intimately familiar with that
9	statute, files motions with it all the time, and I think
10	that, here, it's a pretty simple issue.
11	Everyone agrees that the underlying claim is med-
12	mal. Everyone agrees that 41A.071 applies. And it's
13	simply just was not complied with, as the unique
14	situation that Sunrise Hospital put itself into makes them
15	disinclined to produce an affidavit that states that Dr.
16	Kia acted with negligence and that injured plaintiff.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you for that. Let
18	me hear from plaintiff regarding that argument.
19	MR. MAYOR: This is Sherman
20	MS. YOUNG: So, this yeah. Go ahead.
21	MR. MAYOR: Mayor I'm sorry.
22	THE COURT: No, go ahead.
23	MS. YOUNG: Go ahead, Mr. Mayor.
24	MR. MAYOR: This is Sherman Mayor for Sunrise
25	Hospital, which is a defendant and the third party

1 || plaintiff.

2 Your Honor, when the plaintiff, Choloe Green, 3 brought this case, she sued two defendants: Sunrise 4 Hospital and Dr. DeLee. So, her expert affidavit attached 5 to her original Complaint criticized three healthcare 6 Sunrise, Dr. DeLee, and an unnamed Dr. Kia. providers: 7 Dr. Kia wasn't named, but his care was described and criticized in the underlying Complaint and expert affidavit 8 9 of Lisa Karamardian.

10 The plaintiffs -- the plaintiff, Choloe Green, 11 claims that Dr. DeLee discharged -- wrongfully discharged 12 the plaintiff from Sunrise Hospital on her first discharge 13 and the plaintiff claims that Dr. Kia discharged her a 14 second time wrongfully in her second hospital discharge. 15 Sunrise Hospital filed a Motion for Partial Summary 16 Judgment in the case and the Motion was to seek dismissal 17 of any claims against the Hospital, that the Hospital 18 [indiscernible] the employer of either Dr. DeLee or Dr. Kia 19 or that either of those two physicians were ostensible 20 agents of the Hospital.

The Court, at that time, in June of 2019, granted
the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, finding that Dr.
DeLee and Dr. Kia were not employees of Sunrise Hospital.
The Court further found that Dr. DeLee was not an
ostensible agent of the Hospital. So, the Hospital was not

1 liable for Dr. DeLee's care. The Court found that there 2 was a factual question as to whether the Hospital -- as to 3 whether Dr. Kia was an ostensible agent of the Hospital or 4 not.

5 So, it is possible, through the doctrine of 6 ostensible agency, that the Hospital could have liability 7 for Dr. Kia's care and Dr. Kia's discharge. So, the -and, so that I'm saying this clearly, Judge, if -- there is 8 9 no case made against Dr. Kia in the underlying Complaint. 10 If the Court finds that the underlying Complaint and expert 11 affidavit of the original Complaint don't make a case 12 against Dr. Kia that would satisfy NRS 41A.071, then there 13 can't be a case of ostensible agency of the Hospital for 14 Dr. Kia's negligence. But, right now, as it sits, Sunrise 15 is in the position that it -- that Dr. Kia may be an 16 ostensible agent of the Hospital based on the claims of the 17 underlying Complaint.

18 So, the deposition of Dr. Kia was taken. In that 19 deposition, he testified, --

THE COURT: Well, hold --

MR. MAYOR: -- and I have the pages --

THE COURT: -- on. Counsel. Counsel. Hold on.
Before we get to the deposition, I want to focus on the
affidavit that accompanied the Complaint.

MR. MAYOR: Yes.

20

21

25

THE COURT: I read that in preparation for this hearing and then I read it again this morning, and I am looking for where it would criticize Dr. Kia's care. I do find --

5

MR. MAYOR: The --

6 THE COURT: -- delay -- DeLee, excuse me, and 7 Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, but there is no, that 8 I could find, mention of a third doctor or another doctor 9 in the affidavit itself. So, I'm happy to admit when I 10 miss something. So, direct me to what paragraph I should 11 be looking at.

MR. MAYOR: Your Honor, my computer just shut off,
but I think it's paragraph 4 or paragraph 5, by memory,
where they talk about the Hospital discharge of July 14 -of July 14th.

16 THE COURT: That's paragraph 5. You have a good17 memory. Yes.

18 MR. MAYOR: That discharge was done by Dr. Kia. He testified it was his discharge and not Dr. DeLee's. 19 The 20 underlying expert is critical of the discharge, although 21 she misnamed it as a DeLee discharge when, in fact, it was 22 a Dr. Kia discharge. And that is uncontested in this case. 23 Dr. Kia has answered interrogatories stating that he made 24 that discharge, which is being criticized. And that 25 discharge, which is being criticized, is the only basis for

1 ostensible agency against the Hospital. Either it's there 2 or it's not. If it's not there, the ostensible agency 3 should go away. And, if that goes away, our Third Party 4 Complaint goes away. If it is there, well then that's why 5 we have a Third Party Complaint in effect right now, for 6 that criticism of Dr. Kia's discharge. He's unnamed, but 7 it's his care that we're -- the liability for which is trying to be imposed on Sunrise Hospital. 8

9 THE COURT: So, are you saying that the Complaint 10 captures the -- what's required under 41A.071 with the last 11 sentence that states:

12 This was a violation of the standard of care by13 Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee?

14 MR. MAYOR: I do, because the underlying expert 15 affidavit criticizes the care of Dr. Kia, although it's 16 misnamed as Dr. DeLee. And what I'm saying is if there's a 17 finding that that is insufficient as an expert affidavit to 18 identify Dr. Kia's contribution to this case, well then there can't be an ostensible agency against Sunrise 19 20 Hospital for the same care. Either there is a claim for which we can be liable or there isn't a claim and we can't 21 22 be liable. So, we attach the underlying Complaint and 23 affidavit saying that is the crux of the ostensible agency 24 case against the Hospital. If it doesn't present a case, 25 there shouldn't be ostensible agency. If it does present a

1 case, well then we've complied with 41A.071. That's our 2 argument with regard to Dr. Kia.

3 THE COURT: Well, 41A.071 provides that the 4 affidavit must support the allegations contained in the 5 action, be submitted by a medical expert who practices or 6 has practiced in the area that is substantially similar to 7 the practice engaged at the time of that alleged professional negligence, must identify by name or describes 8 9 by conduct each provider of healthcare who was alleged to 10 be negligent, and, four, sets forth the factually specific 11 act or acts of the alleged negligence separately as to each 12 defendant in simple, concise, and direct terms. I -- so, -13 14 MR. MAYOR: Yes. Dr. Kia's care is described [indiscernible] and that it violated the standard of care 15 16 that's described in the affidavit. And that, although it's 17 misnamed, it is his care and he is -- he has agreed to that 18 in interrogatory answers. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. MAYOR: That is the basis of the ostensible 21 agency case against Sunrise Hospital. 22 So, if I may just proceed one -- just another 23 moment or two, Your Honor? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MR. MAYOR: As to Nevada Hospitalist Group, Dr.

1 Kia testified that although he's an independent contractor, 2 he was affiliated with Nevada Hospitalist Group, that 3 Nevada Hospitalist Group was the HPN provider that -- and 4 the HPN insurance of Choloe Green required they be used. 5 Contact was made with Nevada Hospitalist Group and they 6 provided Dr. Kia for care of Ms. Green from their call 7 schedule. Dr. Kia billed through Nevada Hospitalist Group and testified at page 12 of his deposition that when he was 8 9 covering Ms. Green, he was an employee of Nevada 10 Hospitalist Group. So, they're being sued in a Third Party 11 Complaint solely as the employer and the person that -- and the entity that selected Dr. Kia to treat Choloe Green. 12 13 We're not saying that Nevada Hospitalist Group was 14 negligent. We're saying that they were he employer and 15 it's an employee agency -- employer/employee agency 16 Complaint.

17 Counsel has misstated the Pack versus LaTourette 18 case. The Pack versus LaTourette case that she bases her 19 Opposition -- her Motion on was a case where a cab company 20 was sued by a plaintiff because of an accident. The cab 21 company then sued the doctor and claimed the doctor 22 committed medical malpractice. Under those circumstances, you would have to have an 41A.071 Motion against the 23 24 doctor. But not against the employer of the doctor if 25 there's no allegation of negligence against the employer.

1 And Pack versus LaTourette does not stand for the 2 proposition that the employer, here Nevada Hospitalist 3 Group, has to have a 41A.071 affidavit. We're not saying 4 the employer did any negligent act. We're simply saying 5 they were the employer of Dr. Kia. Therefore, if Sunrise 6 Hospital is required to pay money because Dr. Kia's care is 7 found negligent, then we're seeking indemnity from Dr. Kia for his care and from Nevada Hospitalist Group as his 8 9 employer. We don't need a 41A.071 affidavit for the 10 employer.

11 And, as to Dr. Kia, if the underlying affidavit of 12 Lisa Karamardian doesn't state a case against his care and 13 states that he violated the standard of care, if it doesn't 14 make that claim, there can't be an ostensible agency claim 15 against the Hospital. It should be dismissed, in which 16 case our Third Party Complaint will be dismissed. If there 17 is a claim against Dr. Kia in that Complaint, which, of 18 course, there is because his care is being criticized 19 specifically as being below the standard of care, and he 20 has acknowledged that that was his care, well then we've 21 complied with 41A.071 by providing the underlying Complaint 22 and affidavit. Thank you, Judge.

23 THE COURT: No. All right. I appreciate that.
24 All right. Hospitalist Group, do you want to respond?
25 MS. JORDAN: I would like to respond, Your Honor.

1 Thank you very much.

The first point I'd like to make in response is 2 3 that this is not the opportunity for the Hospital to ask 4 for reconsideration of the Court's prior ruling regarding ostensible agency. 5 THE COURT: But that wasn't my ruling, right? 6 7 That --8 MS. JORDAN: The Hospital --9 THE COURT: -- was Mr. Doug Smith's ruling. I 10 just adopted the Order based on what had happened in Court 11 in the briefing. I don't -- my -- or am I misremembering 12 the hearing on this one? 13 MS. JORDAN: No, I believe that's true. I wasn't 14 involved in the case, but that's what was represented in 15 the papers. THE COURT: Okay. 16 17 MS. JORDAN: But this isn't the prior -- this 18 isn't the correct time to relitigate the issue of ostensible agency. This is a Motion brought by Nevada 19 20 Hospitalist Group, and joined by Dr. Kia, and what is being 21 adjudicated here today is whether the claims were filed in 22 compliance with NRS 41A.071. Whether or not that has an impact on the ostensible liability or not of the Hospital 23 24 is not at issue here. And I think that putting that issue 25 aside really simplifies the issues before this Court.

If the Hospital feels that, however the ruling is today, impacts that prior ruling, certainly they will be well within their right to file a Motion for Reconsideration. But this is not the time to litigate that issue.

This is a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. 6 7 So, the comments about the discovery and what it did or did not show is not relevant. What is relevant to the Court's 8 9 determination pursuant to the rule is whether or not --10 it's just like a Motion to Dismiss, but after a responsive 11 So, it's whether or not the pleading has been file. 12 pleading requirements were met. And, so, in this case, 13 every -- it's well accepted that you fail to state a claim 14 if you fail to comply with NRS 41A.071.

15 And Your Honor nailed it right on the head when 16 you pointed out that Dr. Kia is not in the affidavit. It's 17 really simple. As I stated, when I originally spoke, I was 18 not saying that there needs to be an affidavit against Nevada Hospitalist Group in order for the Nevada 19 20 Hospitalist Group claim to survive. However, the 21 underlying claim needs to survive and there is no NRS 22 41A.071 affidavit that mentions Dr. Kia in any way.

And I wasn't involved in the case. I have read the entire case file and I believe that the Hospital's issues with Dr. Kia are not appropriately brought up before

1 this Court. These factual issues are going well beyond the 2 bounds of the law on a Motion for Judgment on the 3 Pleadings.

4 And the Pack versus LaTourette case simply states 5 that a contribution claim based on medical malpractice 6 requires an affidavit and that's what we've said all along. 7 So, I believe that it is appropriate to dismiss the Third Party Complaint and, you know, if they're able to procure 8 9 an affidavit or if circumstances change, obviously, the 10 statute of limitations for contribution and indemnity is 11 different than a medical malpractice straight claim. So, 12 if they're able to comply with the statute, perhaps they --13 now this is not a they would be able to refile if they felt 14 that that was necessary, but their Third Party Complaint 15 does not satisfy NRS 41A.071, Your Honor.

16 And I'm happy to answer any questions if you have17 any. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

18

MR. MAYOR: Your Honor, the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings was brought by Nevada Hospitalist Group, not by Dr. Kia. Nevada Hospitalist Group has no right to a 41A.071 affidavit because there is no allegation of negligence against the Group. The Group is indicated solely because they are the employer of Dr. Kia. Dr. Kia filed the Joinder in this Motion, after Sunrise filed an

Opposition, and after a Reply brief was filed by Nevada
 Hospitalist Group, and there was no Opposition filed to
 their Joinder because it was after the pleadings were done.

The issue before the Court is the judgment on the
pleadings by Nevada Hospitalist Group. They are the
employer of Dr. Kia. There's been nothing in this hearing
to contradict that. They employed Dr. Kia and we're
seeking contribution indemnity from Dr. Kia and his
employer by a Third Party Complaint.

10 THE COURT: All right. I understand the issues 11 and the fact that this is an indemnity and contribution issue is one that's interesting and one that I want to 12 13 spend a little bit more time on before I issue my decision. 14 So, I'm going to take this under advisement, but I know we 15 have a couple of other parties that were present. Did 16 anyone want to argue or request anything that is also 17 present for this case?

MS. RURANGIRWA: Linda Rurangirwa on behalf of Dr.
Kia and I just want to join in the arguments made by Nevada
Hospitalist Group.

THE COURT RECORDER: Can she say her name again?
THE COURT: I'm sorry. What was your name again?
MS. RURANGIRWA: Linda Rurangirwa.
THE COURT: How do you spell that?
MS. RURANGIRWA: R-U-R-A-N-G-I-R-W-A.

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Wanted to make sure we got that for my Court Recorder to take down any 2 3 transcript. 4 All right. And, then, I thought I heard another 5 voice. 6 MS. YOUNG: Yes. This is Nicole Young. 7 THE COURT: Ms. Young, yes. 8 MS. YOUNG: Sorry. This is Nicole Young for the 9 plaintiffs. We would just join in Mr. Mayor's argument 10 that Dr. Kia's conduct is described in the underlying 11 affidavit. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. STRYKER: Your Honor, Eric Stryker for 14 defendant Dr. DeLee and his professional corporation. Ι 15 don't know if you can hear me. 16 THE COURT: I can hear you. Yes. 17 MR. STRYKER: Excellent. I have nothing to add 18 but I also join in Mr. Mayor's argument that the statute clearly says -- describes by conduct and the conduct was 19 20 described in the affidavit. Dr. DeLee also indicate -- in 21 the records, Dr. DeLee also indicated he was out of town. 22 So, there couldn't be any confusion that it was Dr. DeLee 23 discharged. And, as Mr. Mayor expressed, it was admitted 24 by Dr. Kia to have been his own discharge. So, the conduct 25 of Dr. Kia was described in the original affidavit, but I

have nothing further to add and I thank the Court for its time. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm going to take this under submission. Thank you, everybody, for appearing today remotely. Our new normal, if you will. I'm going to put this on my chamber's calendar on May 11th for a decision. You'll get --MS. JORDAN: Thank you very much, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- a decision on or before that day. All right. Thank you. I appreciate it. Have a great day, everyone. Stay safe. MR. MAYOR: Thank you, Judge. MS. YOUNG: Thank you, Your Honor. PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 12:23 P.M.

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
5	the audio-visual recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
6	
7	
8	AFFIRMATION
9	
10	I affirm that this transcript does not contain the social security or tax identification number of any person or
11	entity.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	1-1-12 12 12
19	fristen unkurth
20	KRISTEN LUNKWITZ INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	20

	ELECTRONICALLY S	
	6/2/2020 4:29 F	Electronically Filed
		06/02/2020 A
		CLERK OF THE COURT
1	S. BRENT VOGEL	
	Nevada Bar No. 006858	
2	E-Mail: Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com ERIN E. JORDAN	
3	Nevada Bar No. 10018	
	E-Mail: Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com	
4	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118	
6	702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789	
	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada	
7	Hospitalist Group, LLP	
8		
9	DISTRIC	T COURT
	CLARK COUN	NTY, NEVADA
10		
11		
12	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO. A-17-757722-C Dept. No.: IX
	Plaintiff,	-
13	vs.	ORDER REGARDING THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST
14		GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR
15	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE, MD, PC, a Domestic	JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT ALI KIA,
	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE	M.D.'S JOINDER THERETO
16	HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a foreign Limited-Liability Company, ,	
17		
18	Defendants.	
19	SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability	
20	Company,	
21	Third Party Plaintiff,	
22	VS.	
23	ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his	
24	employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE	
	CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive.,	
25	Third Party Defendants.	
26		
27	The above-entitled matter having come	before the Court for decision upon Third-Party
28		· · ·
20	Detendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's M	lotion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Third-

1 Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Joinder there-to, and oral argument being held on April 29, 2020, 2 Erin E. Jordan, Esq. appearing on behalf of Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, 3 LLP, Sherman Mayor, Esq. appearing on behalf of Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC, Linda Rurangirwa, Esq. appearing on behalf of Third-Party Defendant Ali 4 5 Kia, M.D., Eric Stryker, Esq. appearing on behalf of the DeLee Defendants and Nicole Young, Esq. appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff, this Court, having considered the pleadings and papers 6 7 on file, and then taken the matter under advisement, and for other good cause appearing finds as 8 follows:

9 Similar to a motion to dismiss pursuant to NCRP 12(b)(5), when reviewing a judgment on 10 the pleadings, the Court accepts the factual allegations in the complaint as true and draws all inferences in favor of the nonmoving party. Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 11 228, 181 P.3d 670, 672 (2008) (setting forth the standard of review for an order dismissing a 12 13 complaint under NRCP 12(b)(5)). Judgment on the pleadings (or a motion to dismiss pursuant to 14 NRCP 12(c)) is proper when as determined from the pleadings, the material facts are not in dispute and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Bonicamp v.Vazquez, 120 15 16 Nev. 377, 379, 91 P.3d 584, 585 (2004).

17 When evaluating complaints that assert claims of medical negligence, a Plaintiff must 18 comply with NRS 41A.071, which requires not only a complaint but also an accompanying 19 affidavit setting forth the professional negligence allegations. The Supreme Court held "that courts should read the complaint and the plaintiff's NRS 41A.071 expert affidavit together when 20 21 determining whether the expert affidavit meets the requirements of NRS 41A.071." Zohar v. Zbiegien, 130 Nev. 733, 739, 334 P.3d 402, 406 (2014) (citing Great Basin Water Network v. 22 23 Taylor, 126 Nev. 187, 196, 234 P.3d 912, 918 (2010); Washoe Med. Ctr. v. Second Judicial Dist. 24 Court, 122 Nev. 1298, 1304, 148 P.3d 790, 794 (2006)). The same decision went on to hold that 25 the NRS 41A.071 affidavit requirement is a preliminary procedural rule subject to the noticepleading standard, and must be liberally construe[d] ... in a manner that is consistent with our 26 27 NRCP 12 jurisprudence." Borger v. Eighth Judicial District Court, 120 Nev. 1021, 1028, 102 P.3d 28 600, 605 (recognizing that "NRS 47A.071 governs the threshold requirements for initial pleadings



in medical malpractice cases, not the ultimate trial of such matters") (emphasis added); see also 1 2 Baxter v. Dignity Health, 131 Nev. 759, 763-64, 357 P.3d 927, 930 (2015) (holding that NRS 3 41A.071 must be liberally construed). The affidavit must (1) support the allegations contained in the action; (2) be submitted by a medical expert who practices or has practiced in an area that is 4 5 substantially similar to the type of practice engaged in at the time of the alleged professional negligence; (3) identify by name, or describe by conduct, each provider of health care who is 6 7 alleged to be negligent; and (4) set forth factually a specific act or acts of alleged negligence 8 separately as to each defendant in simple, concise and direct terms. A complaint that does not 9 comply with NRS 41A.071 is void ab initio, it does not legally exist and thus it cannot be 10 amended. Washoe Medical Center v. Second Judicial Dist. Court of State of Nevada ex rel. County of Washoe, 122 Nev. 1298, 148 P.3d 790 (2006). Dismissal applies even when only some of the 11 12 claims violate the requirements of NRS 41A.071 affidavit requirement.

13 Here, Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital incorporated Plaintiff's affidavit in the filing of 14 their Third-Party Complaint. Plaintiff's complaint and affidavit do not identify Dr. Kia or Nevada Hospitalist Group ("NHG"). Nor does either document identify any John Doe, "unknown" or 15 16 "unidentified" potential defendants that could arguably be Dr. Kia and/or NHG. Because neither 17 Dr. Kia nor NHG are identified in the complaint or the affidavit there is no identified specific act 18 or specific acts of alleged professional negligence by Dr. Kia and NHG. Instead, the complaint and 19 affidavit only identifies Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee when laying the facts and circumstances 20 that form the cause of action involving the alleged professional negligence. Because the Plaintiff's 21 affidavit fails to meet the third and fourth prongs of the NRS 41A.071 affidavit requirements regarding professional negligence claims against Defendants Dr. Kia and NHG, so does the Third-22 23 Party Complaint, rendering it void ab initio. The Court recognizes that the opposition argues that 24 this Third-Party Complaint is brought only for the purposes of contribution and indemnity. But the 25 Court is unaware of any authority that would relieve a party of meeting the requirements set forth in NRS 41A.071 in circumstances where a Third-Party Plaintiff is only seeking indemnity and/or 26 27 contribution.



28

Finally, the Court declines to address Third-Party Plaintiff's argument that the granting of

1	this motion renders the Court's prior ruling regarding the applicability of ostensible agency theory			
2	erroneous. Assuming arguendo that that is true, there is no motion, or requested relief, related to			
3	that issue pending before the Court.	that issue pending before the Court.		
4	Consequently, and based upon the foregoing,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED		
5	AND DECREED that Third-Party Defendant Neva	da Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for		
6	Judgment on the Pleadings and Third-Party Defend			
7	GRANTED.	Dated this 2nd day of June, 2020		
8	B Dated this day of May, 2020.			
9		h		
10		STRICT COURT JUDGE		
10		MK 28B 6D1 A711 ED7D		
11	Submitted by:	Cristina D. Silva		
12	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP			
13				
14	S. BRENT VOGEL			
14	Nevada Bar No. 6858 ERIN E. JORDAN			
15				
1	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP			
16	6 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118			
17	Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com			
18	Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada			
19	Hospitalist Group, LLP			
20	Approved as to Form:			
	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS HA	ALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC		
21				
22	/s/ Nicole M. Young /s	/ Sherman B. Mayor		
23	/	chael E. Prangle, Esq.		
24		erman B. Mayor, Esq.		
24		60 N. Town Center Dr., Suite 200 s Vegas, NV 89144		
25		ayor@hpslaw.com		
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff tde	bbs@hpslaw.com		
	Att	torneys for Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff		
27	⁷ Su	nrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC		
28	3			



1 2 3 4 5		Green v. Delee, et al. Case No. A-17-757722-C Order Regarding Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion For Judgment On The Pleadings And Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'S Joinder Thereto
6 7	WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW, GRECO
8 9 10 11 12	Approved, did not specifically grant permission for e-signatureErik Stryker, Esq.6689 Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89119 eric.stryker@wilsonelser.comAttorneys for Defendants Frank J. Delee, M.D.and Events L Deles M.D.	/s/ Linda K. Rurangirwa Patricia E. Daehnke, Esq. Linda K. Rurangirwa, Esq. COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW, GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212
13 14 15	and Frank J. Delee, M.D., PC	Las Vegas, NV 89119 patricia.daehnke@cdiglaw.com linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.
16 17 18		
19 20		
20 21 22		
23		
24 25		
26 27		
28		

Whitbeck, Johana

From:	Linda K. Rurangirwa <linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com></linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, May 26, 2020 4:35 PM
То:	Jordan, Erin; Nicole Young; Kelli N. Wightman; Stryker, Eric K.; Sherman Mayor; Grijalva, Trisha E.; Patricia Daehnke; Laura Lucero; Lord, Nicole N.
Cc: Subject:	Vogel, Brent; Whitbeck, Johana [EXT] RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

You may use my electronic signature. Thanks.

Linda K. Rurangirwa Collinson, Daehnke, Inlow & Greco

From: Jordan, Erin < Erin. Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 3:51 PM

To: Nicole Young <NYoung@danielmarks.net>; Kelli N. Wightman <kwightman@HPSLAW.COM>; Stryker, Eric K. <Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com>; Sherman Mayor <smayor@HPSLAW.COM>; Grijalva, Trisha E. <Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com>; Linda K. Rurangirwa <Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com>; Patricia Daehnke <Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com>; Laura Lucero <Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com>; Lord, Nicole N. <Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com>

Cc: Vogel, Brent <Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com>; Whitbeck, Johana <Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com> **Subject:** RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

Great, thanks! I think we've heard from everyone, but can Linda and Eric please confirm that we may use their esignature on this chain? I'd appreciate it.

Thanks,

Erin

From: Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 11:07 AM

To: Kelli N. Wightman <<u>kwightman@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Jordan, Erin <<u>Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Sherman Mayor <<u>smayor@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E.

<<u>Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com</u>>; 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <<u>linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>;

<u>Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com</u>; Laura Lucero (<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>) <<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N. <<u>Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com</u>>

Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; **Subject:** [EXT] RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

Hi Erin:

I approve the proposed order as to form. You may use my e-signature.

Nicole M. Young, Esq. Associate Attorney Law Office of Daniel Marks 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 386-0536 Facsimile: (702) 386-6812

From: Kelli N. Wightman [mailto:kwightman@HPSLAW.COM]

Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 2:27 PM

To: Jordan, Erin <<u>Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; Sherman Mayor <<u>smayor@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E.

<<u>Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com</u>>; 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <<u>linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>;

<u>Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com</u>; Laura Lucero (Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com) <<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N. <<u>Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com</u>>

Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>> Subject: RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

Erin:

Regarding the proposed Order on the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, you may apply the e-signature of Sherman B. Mayor, Esq. as approved as to form.



1140 North Town Center Dr. Suite 350 Las Vegas, NV 89144 F: 702.384.6025 Kelli Wightman Legal Assistant O: 702.212.1445 Email: kwightman@HPSLAW.COM

Legal Assistant to: Mari Schaan Sherman Mayor

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

From: Jordan, Erin < Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com >

Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 12:46 PM

To: Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; Sherman Mayor <<u>smayor@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Kelli N. Wightman <<u>kwightman@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E.

<Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com>; 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com>;

<u>Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com</u>; Laura Lucero (Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com) <<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N. <Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com>

Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Subject: RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

All,

Here is the version with Linda's requested addition to the title. Please let us know if we may use your e-signature when we submit the Order to the Court.

Thanks,

Erin

From: Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 4:40 PM

To: Jordan, Erin <<u>Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; <u>smayor@HPSLAW.COM</u>;
 Kelli N. Wightman <<u>kwightman@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E. <<u>Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com</u>>;
 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <<u>linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>; <u>Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com</u>; Laura Lucero
 (<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>) <<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N. <<u>Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com</u>>
 Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>
 Subject: [EXT] RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

No changes from me – thanks for sending.

Eric K. Stryker Attorney at Law Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP Attorney at Law Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP 6689 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89119 702.727.1242 (Direct) 702.727.1400 (Main) 702.727.1401 (Fax) eric.stryker@wilsonelser.com

PLEASE NOTE OUR NEW ADDRESS

From: Jordan, Erin [mailto:Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 4:29 PM
To: Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; smayor@HPSLAW.COM; Kelli N. Wightman
<<u>kwightman@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E.
<<u>Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com</u>>; 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <<u>linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>;
Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com; Laura Lucero (Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com) <Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com>
Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>
Subject: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

All,

Attached please find a draft Order regarding the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings for your review. Please let me know if you have any requested changes or if we may use your e-signature to approve as to form.

Thanks, Erin



: 702.693.4354 F: 702.893.3789

6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, NV 89118 | LewisBrisbois.com

Representing clients from coast to coast. View our locations nationwide.

This e-mail may contain or attach privileged, confidential or protected information intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, any review or use of it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are required to notify the sender, then delete this email and any attachment from your computer and any of your electronic devices where the message is stored.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message is intended to be viewed only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited without our prior permission. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, or if you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and delete the original message and any copies of it from your computer system.

For further information about Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP, please see our website at www.wilsonelser.com or refer to any of our offices. Thank you.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 6858 E-Mail: Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com ERIN E. JORDAN Nevada Bar No. 10018 E-Mail: Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP DISTRIC	T COURT
9	CLARK COUT	NTY, NEVADA
10		
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE, MD, PC, a Domestic Professional Corporation, SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a foreign Limited-Liability Company, , Defendants. SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, Third Party Plaintiff, vs. ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive.,	CASE NO. A-17-757722-C Dept. No.: IX NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER REGARDING THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
23 26	Third Party Defendants.	
27	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the	ne ORDER REGARDING THIRD-PARTY
28	DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST GR	OUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON

Case Number: A-17-757722-C

1	THE PLEADINGS AND THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT ALI KIA, M.D.'S JOINDER		
2	THERETO was entered with the Court in the above-captioned matter on the 2nd day of June,		
3	2020, a copy of which is attached hereto.		
4	DATED this 3rd day of June, 2020		
5	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
6			
7			
8	By /s/ Erin E. Jordan		
9	S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 6858		
10	ERIN E. JORDAN		
11	Nevada Bar No. 10018 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600		
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Tel. 702.893.3383		
13	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada		
14	Hospitalist Group, LLP		
15			
16			
17			
18			
10			
20			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24			
26 27			
27			
28			
	4812-0798-6623.1 2		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on this 3rd day of June, 2020, a true and correct copy of NOTICE OF
3	ENTRY OF ORDER REGARDING THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA
4	HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND
5	THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT ALI KIA, M.D.'S JOINDER THERETO was served by
6	electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the Electronic Service system and serving all
7	parties with an email-address on record, who have agreed to receive Electronic Service in this
8	action.
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Daniel Marks, Esq.Erik Stryker, Esq.Nicole M. Young, Esq.WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMANLAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS& DICKER LLP610 S. 9 th St.6689 Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 200Las Vegas, NV 89101Las Vegas, NV 89119Tel: 702.386.0536Tel: 702.727.1400Fax: 702.386.6812Fax: 702.727.1401nyoung@danielmarks.neteric.stryker@wilsonelser.comAttorneys for PlaintiffAttorneys for Defendants Frank J. Delee, M.D.and Frank J. Delee, M.D., PCMichael E. Prangle, Esq.Nichael E. Prangle, Esq.Patricia E. Daehnke, Esq.Linda K. Rurangirwa, Esq.COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW, GRECO1160 N. Town Center Dr., Suite 200Las Vegas, NV 89119Tel: 702.384.6025Fax: 702.979.2132Fax: 702.384.6025Fax: 702.979.2133smayor@hpslaw.compatricia.daehnke@cdiglaw.comAttorneys for Defendant/Third-Party PlaintiffAttorneys for Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.
23 24	By <u>/s/ Johana Whitbeck</u>
25	An Employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
26	
27	
28	
	4812-0798-6623.1 3

	ELECTRONICALLY S	
	6/2/2020 4:29 F	Electronically Filed
		06/02/2020 A
		CLERK OF THE COURT
1	S. BRENT VOGEL	
	Nevada Bar No. 006858	
2	E-Mail: Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com ERIN E. JORDAN	
3	Nevada Bar No. 10018	
	E-Mail: Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com	
4	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118	
6	702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789	
	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada	
7	Hospitalist Group, LLP	
8		
9	DISTRIC	T COURT
	CLARK COUN	NTY, NEVADA
10		
11		
12	CHOLOE GREEN, an individual,	CASE NO. A-17-757722-C Dept. No.: IX
	Plaintiff,	-
13	vs.	ORDER REGARDING THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT NEVADA HOSPITALIST
14		GROUP, LLP'S MOTION FOR
15	FRANK J. DELEE, M.D., an individual; FRANK J. DELEE, MD, PC, a Domestic	JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT ALI KIA,
	Professional Corporation, SUNRISE	M.D.'S JOINDER THERETO
16	HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a foreign Limited-Liability Company, ,	
17		
18	Defendants.	
19	SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability	
20	Company,	
21	Third Party Plaintiff,	
22	VS.	
23	ALI KIA, M.D., Individually and his	
24	employer, NEVADA HOSPITALIST GROUP, LLP; DOES 1-10; AND ROE	
	CORPORATION 1-10; inclusive.,	
25	Third Party Defendants.	
26		
27	The above-entitled matter having come	before the Court for decision upon Third-Party
28		· · ·
20	Detendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's M	lotion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Third-

1 Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'s Joinder there-to, and oral argument being held on April 29, 2020, Erin E. Jordan, Esq. appearing on behalf of Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, 2 3 LLP, Sherman Mayor, Esq. appearing on behalf of Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC, Linda Rurangirwa, Esq. appearing on behalf of Third-Party Defendant Ali 4 5 Kia, M.D., Eric Stryker, Esq. appearing on behalf of the DeLee Defendants and Nicole Young, Esq. appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff, this Court, having considered the pleadings and papers 6 7 on file, and then taken the matter under advisement, and for other good cause appearing finds as 8 follows:

9 Similar to a motion to dismiss pursuant to NCRP 12(b)(5), when reviewing a judgment on 10 the pleadings, the Court accepts the factual allegations in the complaint as true and draws all inferences in favor of the nonmoving party. Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 11 12 228, 181 P.3d 670, 672 (2008) (setting forth the standard of review for an order dismissing a 13 complaint under NRCP 12(b)(5)). Judgment on the pleadings (or a motion to dismiss pursuant to 14 NRCP 12(c)) is proper when as determined from the pleadings, the material facts are not in dispute and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Bonicamp v.Vazquez, 120 15 16 Nev. 377, 379, 91 P.3d 584, 585 (2004).

17 When evaluating complaints that assert claims of medical negligence, a Plaintiff must 18 comply with NRS 41A.071, which requires not only a complaint but also an accompanying 19 affidavit setting forth the professional negligence allegations. The Supreme Court held "that courts should read the complaint and the plaintiff's NRS 41A.071 expert affidavit together when 20 21 determining whether the expert affidavit meets the requirements of NRS 41A.071." Zohar v. Zbiegien, 130 Nev. 733, 739, 334 P.3d 402, 406 (2014) (citing Great Basin Water Network v. 22 23 Taylor, 126 Nev. 187, 196, 234 P.3d 912, 918 (2010); Washoe Med. Ctr. v. Second Judicial Dist. 24 Court, 122 Nev. 1298, 1304, 148 P.3d 790, 794 (2006)). The same decision went on to hold that 25 the NRS 41A.071 affidavit requirement is a preliminary procedural rule subject to the noticepleading standard, and must be liberally construe[d] ... in a manner that is consistent with our 26 27 NRCP 12 jurisprudence." Borger v. Eighth Judicial District Court, 120 Nev. 1021, 1028, 102 P.3d 28 600, 605 (recognizing that "NRS 47A.071 governs the threshold requirements for initial pleadings



in medical malpractice cases, not the ultimate trial of such matters") (emphasis added); see also 1 2 Baxter v. Dignity Health, 131 Nev. 759, 763-64, 357 P.3d 927, 930 (2015) (holding that NRS 3 41A.071 must be liberally construed). The affidavit must (1) support the allegations contained in the action; (2) be submitted by a medical expert who practices or has practiced in an area that is 4 5 substantially similar to the type of practice engaged in at the time of the alleged professional negligence; (3) identify by name, or describe by conduct, each provider of health care who is 6 7 alleged to be negligent; and (4) set forth factually a specific act or acts of alleged negligence 8 separately as to each defendant in simple, concise and direct terms. A complaint that does not 9 comply with NRS 41A.071 is void ab initio, it does not legally exist and thus it cannot be 10 amended. Washoe Medical Center v. Second Judicial Dist. Court of State of Nevada ex rel. County of Washoe, 122 Nev. 1298, 148 P.3d 790 (2006). Dismissal applies even when only some of the 11 12 claims violate the requirements of NRS 41A.071 affidavit requirement.

13 Here, Third-Party Plaintiff Sunrise Hospital incorporated Plaintiff's affidavit in the filing of 14 their Third-Party Complaint. Plaintiff's complaint and affidavit do not identify Dr. Kia or Nevada Hospitalist Group ("NHG"). Nor does either document identify any John Doe, "unknown" or 15 16 "unidentified" potential defendants that could arguably be Dr. Kia and/or NHG. Because neither 17 Dr. Kia nor NHG are identified in the complaint or the affidavit there is no identified specific act 18 or specific acts of alleged professional negligence by Dr. Kia and NHG. Instead, the complaint and 19 affidavit only identifies Sunrise Hospital and Dr. DeLee when laying the facts and circumstances 20 that form the cause of action involving the alleged professional negligence. Because the Plaintiff's 21 affidavit fails to meet the third and fourth prongs of the NRS 41A.071 affidavit requirements regarding professional negligence claims against Defendants Dr. Kia and NHG, so does the Third-22 23 Party Complaint, rendering it void ab initio. The Court recognizes that the opposition argues that 24 this Third-Party Complaint is brought only for the purposes of contribution and indemnity. But the 25 Court is unaware of any authority that would relieve a party of meeting the requirements set forth in NRS 41A.071 in circumstances where a Third-Party Plaintiff is only seeking indemnity and/or 26 27 contribution.



28

Finally, the Court declines to address Third-Party Plaintiff's argument that the granting of

1	this motion renders the Court's prior ruling regarding the applicability of ostensible agency theory		
2	erroneous. Assuming arguendo that that is true, there is no motion, or requested relief, related to		
3	that issue pending before the Court.		
4	Consequently, and based upon the foregoin	ng, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED	
5	AND DECREED that Third-Party Defendant N	levada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion for	
6	Judgment on the Pleadings and Third-Party De		
7	GRANTED.	Dated this 2nd day of June, 2020	
8	Dated this day of May, 2020.		
9		h	
10		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
11	Submitted by:	MK 28B 6D1 A711 ED7D	
11	Submitted by:	Cristina D. Silva	
12	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
13	/s/ Erin E. Jordan		
14	S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 6858		
	ERIN E. JORDAN		
15	Nevada Bar No. 10018 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
16	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600		
17	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118		
17	Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com		
18	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP		
19	Approved as to Form:		
20	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS	HALL DRANCLE & SCHOONWELD, LLC	
21	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS	HALL PRANGLE & SCHOONVELD, LLC	
22	/s/ Nicole M. Young	/s/ Sherman B. Mayor	
23	Daniel Marks, Esq.	Michael E. Prangle, Esq.	
	Nicole M. Young, Esq.	Sherman B. Mayor, Esq.	
24	610 S. 9 th St.	1160 N. Town Center Dr., Suite 200	
25	Las Vegas, NV 89101 nyoung@danielmarks.net	Las Vegas, NV 89144 smayor@hpslaw.com	
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff	tdobbs@hpslaw.com	
		Attorneys for Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff	
27		Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, LLC	
28			



1 2 3 4 5		Green v. Delee, et al. Case No. A-17-757722-C Order Regarding Third-Party Defendant Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP's Motion For Judgment On The Pleadings And Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.'S Joinder Thereto
6 7	WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW, GRECO
8 9 10 11 12	Approved, did not specifically grant permission for e-signatureErik Stryker, Esq.6689 Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89119 eric.stryker@wilsonelser.comAttorneys for Defendants Frank J. Delee, M.D.and Events L Deles M.D.	/s/ Linda K. Rurangirwa Patricia E. Daehnke, Esq. Linda K. Rurangirwa, Esq. COLLINSON, DAEHNKE, INLOW, GRECO 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212
13 14 15	and Frank J. Delee, M.D., PC	Las Vegas, NV 89119 patricia.daehnke@cdiglaw.com linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Ali Kia, M.D.
16 17 18		
19 20		
20 21 22		
23		
24 25		
26 27		
28		

Whitbeck, Johana

From:	Linda K. Rurangirwa <linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com></linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, May 26, 2020 4:35 PM
То:	Jordan, Erin; Nicole Young; Kelli N. Wightman; Stryker, Eric K.; Sherman Mayor; Grijalva,
	Trisha E.; Patricia Daehnke; Laura Lucero; Lord, Nicole N.
Cc:	Vogel, Brent; Whitbeck, Johana
Subject:	[EXT] RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

You may use my electronic signature. Thanks.

Linda K. Rurangirwa Collinson, Daehnke, Inlow & Greco

From: Jordan, Erin < Erin. Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 3:51 PM

To: Nicole Young <NYoung@danielmarks.net>; Kelli N. Wightman <kwightman@HPSLAW.COM>; Stryker, Eric K. <Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com>; Sherman Mayor <smayor@HPSLAW.COM>; Grijalva, Trisha E. <Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com>; Linda K. Rurangirwa <Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com>; Patricia Daehnke <Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com>; Laura Lucero <Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com>; Lord, Nicole N. <Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com>

Cc: Vogel, Brent <Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com>; Whitbeck, Johana <Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com> **Subject:** RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

Great, thanks! I think we've heard from everyone, but can Linda and Eric please confirm that we may use their esignature on this chain? I'd appreciate it.

Thanks,

Erin

From: Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 11:07 AM

To: Kelli N. Wightman <<u>kwightman@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Jordan, Erin <<u>Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Sherman Mayor <<u>smayor@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E.

<<u>Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com</u>>; 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <<u>linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>;

<u>Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com</u>; Laura Lucero (Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com) <<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N. <<u>Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com</u>>

Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; **Subject:** [EXT] RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

Hi Erin:

I approve the proposed order as to form. You may use my e-signature.

Nicole M. Young, Esq. Associate Attorney

Law Office of Daniel Marks 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 386-0536 Facsimile: (702) 386-6812

From: Kelli N. Wightman [mailto:kwightman@HPSLAW.COM]

Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 2:27 PM

To: Jordan, Erin <<u>Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; Sherman Mayor <<u>smayor@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E.

<<u>Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com</u>>; 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <<u>linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>;

<u>Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com</u>; Laura Lucero (<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>) <<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N. <<u>Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com</u>>

Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>> Subject: RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

Erin:

Regarding the proposed Order on the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, you may apply the e-signature of Sherman B. Mayor, Esq. as approved as to form.



1140 North Town Center Dr. Suite 350 Las Vegas, NV 89144 F: 702.384.6025 Kelli Wightman Legal Assistant O: 702.212.1445 Email: kwightman@HPSLAW.COM

Legal Assistant to: Mari Schaan Sherman Mayor

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

From: Jordan, Erin < Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com >

Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 12:46 PM

To: Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; Sherman Mayor <<u>smayor@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Kelli N. Wightman <<u>kwightman@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E.

<Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com>; 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com>;

<u>Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com</u>; Laura Lucero (Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com) <<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N. <Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com>

Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Subject: RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

All,

Here is the version with Linda's requested addition to the title. Please let us know if we may use your e-signature when we submit the Order to the Court.

Thanks,

Erin

From: Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 4:40 PM

To: Jordan, Erin <<u>Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; <u>smayor@HPSLAW.COM</u>;
 Kelli N. Wightman <<u>kwightman@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E. <<u>Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com</u>>;
 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <<u>linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>; <u>Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com</u>; Laura Lucero
 (<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>) <<u>Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com</u>>; Lord, Nicole N. <<u>Nicole.Lord@wilsonelser.com</u>>
 Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>
 Subject: [EXT] RE: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

No changes from me – thanks for sending.

Eric K. Stryker Attorney at Law Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP Attorney at Law Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP 6689 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89119 702.727.1242 (Direct) 702.727.1400 (Main) 702.727.1401 (Fax) eric.stryker@wilsonelser.com

PLEASE NOTE OUR NEW ADDRESS

From: Jordan, Erin [mailto:Erin.Jordan@lewisbrisbois.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 4:29 PM
To: Nicole Young <<u>NYoung@danielmarks.net</u>>; smayor@HPSLAW.COM; Kelli N. Wightman
<<u>kwightman@HPSLAW.COM</u>>; Stryker, Eric K. <<u>Eric.Stryker@wilsonelser.com</u>>; Grijalva, Trisha E.
<<u>Trisha.Grijalva@wilsonelser.com</u>>; 'linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com' <<u>linda.rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com</u>>;
Patricia.Daehnke@cdiglaw.com; Laura Lucero (Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com) <Laura.Lucero@cdiglaw.com>
Cc: Vogel, Brent <<u>Brent.Vogel@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>; Whitbeck, Johana <<u>Johana.Whitbeck@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>
Subject: Green v. Sunrise and DeLee; Sunrise v. Kia and NHG; proposed Order

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

All,

Attached please find a draft Order regarding the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings for your review. Please let me know if you have any requested changes or if we may use your e-signature to approve as to form.

Thanks, Erin



: 702.693.4354 F: 702.893.3789

6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, NV 89118 | LewisBrisbois.com

Representing clients from coast to coast. View our locations nationwide.

This e-mail may contain or attach privileged, confidential or protected information intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, any review or use of it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are required to notify the sender, then delete this email and any attachment from your computer and any of your electronic devices where the message is stored.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message is intended to be viewed only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited without our prior permission. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, or if you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and delete the original message and any copies of it from your computer system.

For further information about Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP, please see our website at www.wilsonelser.com or refer to any of our offices. Thank you.

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Law Office of Daniel Marks and that on the <u>3rd</u>
3	day of June, 2020, pursuant to NRCP 5(b) and Administrative Order 14-2, I electronically transmitted a
4	true and correct copy of the above and foregoing MOTION FOR LEAVE OF COURT TO AMEND
5	COMPLAINT by way of Notice of Electronic Filing provided by the court mandated E-file & Serve
6	System, as follows:
7	following:
8	Erik K. Stryker, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
9	300 South 4 th Street, 11 th floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
10	Attorneys for Frank J. Delee M.D. and Frank J. Delee P.C.
11	Sherman Mayor, Esq. HALL PRANGLE& SCHOONVELD, LLC.
12	1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
13	Attorneys for Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center LLC.
14	Linda K. Rurangirwa, Esq. Collinson, Daehnk, Inlow & Greco
15	2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
16	Attorney for Ali Kia, M.D.
17	Erin Jordan, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP
18	6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
19	Attorney for Nevada Hospitalist Group, LLP
20	
21	/s/ Nicole M. Young
22	An employee of the LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS
23 24	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS
25 26	
20 27	
27	
_0	6
	U U