1

2

3

4

5

6

Petitioner,

CLARK.

and

Respondent,

VS.

HYUKEEM TYRESE WELDON,

THE EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Real Party in Interest.

COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Erika Ballou District Court Judge

Department XXIV Las Vegas, NV 89155

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Dec 28 2021 12:34 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

No. 83378

RESPONSE

Case A-20-821331-C, a petition for writ of habeas corpus, was filed on September 16. 2020. The Petitioner, Mr. Weldon, also filed a Motion for Appointment of Counsel at that time. The previous department took no action on either the writ or the motion. The matter was reassigned to Department XXIV on January 4, 2021. Mr. Weldon filed a Motion for Hearing Request on May 12, 2021. However, this Department inadvertently overlooked this filing and did not become aware of Mr. Weldon's petition and motion until he appealed the same to the Nevada Supreme Court. At that time, this Department ordered the State to respond to Mr. Weldon's petition and motion. The matters are now scheduled to be argued on January 4, 2022. It is believed that the subsequent measures by this Department render Mr. Weldon's petition to the Supreme Court moot.

Courts have a duty "to decide actual controversies by a judgment which can be carried into effect, and not to give opinions upon moot questions or abstract propositions, or to declare principles of law which cannot affect the matter in issue before it." Univ. and Cmty Coll. Sys. of NV vs. Nevadans for Sound Government, 120 Nev. 712, 720, 100 P.3d

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

179, 186 (2004). This court's duty is to decide actual controversies by a judgment which can be carried into effect, and not to give opinions upon moot questions. NCAA v. Univ. of Nev., 97 Nev. 56, 57, 624 P.2d 10, 10 (1981). Although a case may present a live controversy at its beginning, subsequent events may render the case moot. Personhood Nevada v. Bristol, 126 Nev. 599, 602, 245 P.3d 572, 574 (2010). If the present controversy is not likely to often recur where the result avoids review or trial on the merits, then the controversy is moot. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n v. Univ. of Nevada, Reno, 97 Nev. 56, 58, 624 P.2d 10, 11 (1981).

Here, after receiving notice of Mr. Weldon's petition and appeal, the State filed a Response on December 10, 2021. Argument for the Petitioner's petition will be held on January 4, 2021, where the Court will then provide a ruling on the merits of Mr. Weldon's petition. Due to the fact that the hearing is already scheduled, Mr. Weldon's appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court has subsequently become moot.

Embo Ballo

28