

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WILBERT ROY HOLMES,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
CAPUCINE YOLANDA HOLMES,  
Respondent.

No. 83405

FILED

SEP 28 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Pursuant to the notice of appearance filed on September 20, 2021, the clerk of this court shall add attorney Gary D. Reeves and Isso & Associates Law Firm, PLLC, to the docket of this appeal as counsel for appellant. Reeves shall have 7 days from the date of this order to file in this court a certificate of service of the notice of appearance on respondent.

The clerk of this court shall file the docketing statement received on September 15, 2021.

This is an appeal from an order imposing sanctions. Review of the docketing statement and the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals a potential jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the order may not be substantively appealable. See NRAP 3A(b). This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels*, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). Appellant challenges an order granting a motion for sanctions against him based on his filing repeated motions raising the same claims and for obstructing respondent's access to property as ordered by the court. No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order that solely concerns sanctions. *Cf. Pengilly v. Rancho*

*Santa Fe Homeowners Ass'n*, 116 Nev. 646, 649, 5 P.3d 569, 671 (2000) (recognizing that a contempt order entered in an ancillary proceeding is not appealable).

Accordingly, appellant shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in this court's dismissal of this appeal. The preparation of transcripts and the briefing schedule in this appeal shall be suspended pending further order of this court. Respondent may file any reply within 14 days from the date that appellant's response is served.

It is so ORDERED.

/ Sanderby, C.J.

cc: Wilbert Roy Holmes  
Isso & Associates Law Firm, PLLC  
Heaton Fontano, Ltd.