IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CRAIG A. MUELLER,

Appellant,

VS.

CRISTINA A. HINDS,

Respondent.

CRISTINA A. HINDS,

Appellant,

vs.

CRAIG A. MUELLER,

Respondent.

No. 83412 FILED

JAN 28 2022

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. YOUNG
No. 84077 DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

Docket No. 83412 is an appeal from an order denying a motion to modify or set aside divorce decree and marriage settlement agreement. Because it appeared at least peripherally to involve a question of child custody, the appeal was assigned to the court's fast track pursuant to NRAP 3E. Docket No. 84077 challenges a postjudgment order regarding attorney fees and has been referred to this court's settlement program pursuant to NRAP 16.

Appellant in Docket No. 83412, Craig Mueller, has filed a motion to remove the appeal from the fast track and a request to consolidate the appeals. Respondent Christina Hinds does not oppose consolidating the appeals but does object to removal from the fast track. Meuller has filed a reply. Having considered the arguments of the parties, and despite respondent Hinds's opposition, it appears that removal from the fast track is warranted. See NRAP 3E(g)(2). Accordingly, the motion to remove the appeal in Docket No. 83412 from the fast track is granted. Appellant shall have 45 days from the date of this order to file and serve an opening brief that complies with NRAP 28(a) and NRAP 32. Thereafter, briefing shall

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1). Appellant need not file a new appendix or supplemental request for transcripts unless deemed necessary. NRAP 3E(g)(3).

Because the appeal in Docket No. 84077 has been referred to this court's settlement program, Mueller's request to consolidate these appeals is denied without prejudice. A motion to consolidate may be filed after the completion of settlement proceedings in Docket No. 80477, if deemed warranted.

It is so ORDERED.

Parago, C.J.

cc: McAvoy Amaya & Revero, Attorneys Willick Law Group