IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RYAN WILLIAMS,

Electronically Filed Jan 11 2022 01:51 p.m. No. 83418 Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction in Case Number CR20-0630B The Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada The Honorable Kathleen M. Drakulich, District Judge

JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME FOUR

JOHN L. ARRASCADA Washoe County Public Defender CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS
Washoe County District Attorney

JOHN REESE PETTY Chief Deputy 350 South Center Street, 5th Floor Reno, Nevada 89501 JENNIFER P. NOBLE Chief Appellate Deputy One South Sierra Street, 7th Floor Reno, Nevada 89501

Attorneys for Appellant

Attorneys for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | Amended Judgment of Conviction <u>filed</u> on 1JA 243 |
|-----|---|
| 2. | Information <u>filed</u> on June 19, 2020 1JA 1 |
| 3. | Judgment of Acquittal and Notice $\underline{\text{filed}}$ on July 26, 2021 . 1JA 238 |
| 4. | Judgment of Conviction <u>filed</u> on July 26, 2021 1JA 235 |
| 5. | Jury Instructions (1-64) <u>filed</u> on April 29, 2021 |
| 6. | Motion in Limine Re: Other Act Evidence <u>filed</u> on November 9, 2020 |
| 7. | Notice of Appeal <u>filed</u> on August 23, 2021 1JA 241 |
| 8. | Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine Re: Other Act Evidence <u>filed</u> on November 21, 2020 1JA 23 |
| 9. | Opposition to State's Request for Hearing Re: Admission of Other Acts Evidence Regarding Defendant Williams' Prior Handgun Possession <u>filed</u> on December 1, 2020 1JA 35 |
| 10. | Order Granting Motion in Limine Re: Other Act Evidence <u>filed</u> on December 3, 2020 |
| 11. | Order Granting State's Motion Concerning the Admission of Defendant Williams' Prior Handgun Possession <u>filed</u> on February 18, 2021 |
| 12. | Reply in Support of Motion in Limine Re: Other Act Evidence <u>filed</u> on November 30, 2020 |
| 13. | Request for Hearing Re: Admission of Other Acts Evidence Regarding Defendant Williams' Prior Handgun Possession <u>filed</u> on November 19, 2020 |

| 14. | Transcript of Proceedings: Pretrial Motions <i>held</i> on January 25, 2021 |
|-----|---|
| 15. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 4 <i>held</i> on April 15, 2021, <u>filed</u> on September 22, 2021 |
| 16. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 5 <i>held</i> on April 16, 2021, <u>filed</u> on September 19, 2021 |
| 17. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 5 <i>held</i> on April 16, 2021, <u>filed</u> on September 1, 2021 |
| 18. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 6 <i>held</i> on April 19, 2021 |
| 19. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 7 held on April 20, 2021, <u>filed</u> on September 1, 2021 5JA 779 |
| 20. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 8 <i>held</i> on April 21, 2021, <u>filed</u> on September 20, 2021 6JA 955 |
| 21. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 9 <i>held</i> on April 22, 2021 |
| 22. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 10 <i>held</i> on April 23, 2021, <u>filed</u> on September 9, 2021 |
| 23. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 11 <i>held</i> on April 26, 2021, <u>filed</u> on September 15, 2021 9JA 1609 |
| 24. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 12 <i>held</i> on April 27, 2021, <u>filed</u> on September 22, 2021 10JA 1869 |
| 25. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 13 <i>held</i> on April 28, 2021 |
| 26. | Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Day 14 <i>held</i> on April 29, 2021, <u>filed</u> on September 1, 2021 10JA 2061 |

| 27. | Verdict filed on April 29, 2021 (Count I) | 1JA 229 |
|-----|--|-----------|
| 28. | Verdict <u>filed</u> on April 29, 2021 (Count II) | 1JA 230 |
| 29. | Verdict <u>filed</u> on April 29, 2021 (Count III) | 1JA 231 |
| 30. | Verdict filed on April 29, 2021 (Count V) | . 1JA 232 |
| 31. | Verdict filed on April 29, 2021 (Count VI) | 1JA 233 |
| 32. | Verdict filed on April 29, 2021 (Count VII) | 1JA 234 |

| 1 | 4185 | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE | | | | |
| 5 | THE HONORABLE KATHLEEN M. DRAKULICH, DISTRICT JUDGE | | | | |
| 6 | STATE OF NEVADA, Department No. 1 | | | | |
| 7 | Plaintiff, Case CR20-0630A | | | | |
| 8 | VS. | | | | |
| 9 | ADRIANNA MARIE NORMAN, | | | | |
| 10 | Defendant/ | | | | |
| 11 | Pages 1 to 194, inclusive. | | | | |
| 12 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL - DAY 6 | | | | |
| 13 | Monday, April 19, 2021 | | | | |
| 14 | APPEARANCES: | | | | |
| 15 | FOR THE PLAINTIFF: LUKE PRENGAMAN DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY | | | | |
| 16 | 1 So. Sierra St., So. Tower Reno, NV 89502 | | | | |
| 17 | FOR DEFENDANT WILLIAMS: KATHRYN HICKMAN, P.D. | | | | |
| 18 | EVELYN GROSENICK, P.D. 350 So. Center Street | | | | |
| 19 | Reno, NV 89520 | | | | |
| 20 | FOR DEFENDANT NORMAN: MARC PICKER, A.P.D. MELISSA ROSENTHAL, A.P.D. | | | | |
| 21 | 350 So. Center St., 6th Fl. Reno, NV 89520 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | REPORTED BY: Christina Amundson, CCR #641 Litigation Services 323-3211 | | | | |
| 24 | JOB ÑO: 746609 | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 1 | INDEX | |
|----|---|----------|
| 2 | EXAMINATION OF PATRICK MCNEELY: | |
| 3 | By Ms. Hickman 3 | |
| 4 | EXAMINATION OF DAVID COLE : | |
| 5 | By Mr. Prengaman 12, 74 | |
| 6 | By Ms. Hickman 34, 80 | |
| 7 | By Mr. Picker 55 | |
| 8 | EXAMINATION OF NICHOLAS CHAMBERS : | |
| 9 | By Mr. Prengaman 83 | |
| 10 | By Ms. Rosenthal 104, 147 | |
| 11 | By Ms. Grosenick 121 | |
| 12 | EXAMINATION OF STEVEN SIMS : | |
| 13 | By Mr. Prengaman 166 | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | EXHIBITS | |
| 16 | NO. MARKED | ADMITTED |
| 17 | 81 | 29 |
| 18 | 82 | 32 |
| 19 | 95 | 106 |
| 20 | 96 | 106 |
| 21 | 191 | 123 |
| 22 | 108 and 109 | 181 |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| | | |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

RENO, NEVADA -- 4/19/21 -- 8:06 A.M.

-000-

Thanks, everyone. Please be THE COURT: You may re-call your witness. seated.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Sergeant McNeely.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. HICKMAN:

- Good morning, Sergeant McNeely. 0.
- Good morning. Α.
- On Friday right before we broke for the 0. weekend, the state asked you about the laws of the state of Nevada. Do you remember that?
 - Yes. Α.
- And the question was, Do the laws of the 0. state of Nevada constrain Sparks Police Department in a pursuit. Do you remember that?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And what was your answer? 0.
- That there's no constraint on when an Α. officer can pursue, or something to that effect.
- Okay. And when you were talking about the laws of the state of Nevada, obviously, you're talking about the Nevada Revised Statutes, correct?
 - Correct. Α.

- Q. And you do have some training in those statutes, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And as an officer you go through the POST training, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that's where you learned about the statutes, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And so when you referred to statutes or the law of Nevada, you also said something about lights and sirens.
 - A. Yes.
 - O. What was that?
- A. In order to charge the crime of eluding -or I believe it's failure to yield to a police
 officer or emergency vehicle -- lights and sirens
 have to be on to charge that crime.
- Q. So, in order for someone to actually elude the police, the police have to have their lights and sirens on, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You're also familiar with the statute of Nevada that gives certain privileges to the driver

of an authorized emergency vehicle, correct?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And that statute gives us privileges to an authorized emergency vehicle when no lights and sirens are on, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In the pursuit of an actual or suspected violator of law, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And one of the privileges that that statute gives is that an authorized emergency vehicle -- which would be a police department car, correct --
 - A. Yes, generally.
 - Q. -- with their lights and sirens --
 - A. Correct.
- Q. -- may proceed past a red or a stop signal or a stop sign, but only after slowing down as may be necessary for safe operation, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that same statute allows a driver of an authorized emergency vehicle to exceed any speed limits so long as the driver does not endanger life or property, correct?
 - A. Yes.

11

10

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

2021

2.2

23

24

- Q. And those privileges given by that statute do not relieve the driver of an authorized emergency vehicle from the duty to drive with due regard for the safety of all persons, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And they do not protect the driver from the consequences of the driver's reckless disregard for the safety of others, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So, that statute does constrain the police in certain actions during pursuit of a suspected vehicle, right?
 - A. In regards to their own driving, correct.
- Q. Right. They have to slow down as necessary for safe action before they can go through a red light or a stop sign.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Objection, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman?

MR. PRENGAMAN: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: I'll allow. Ms. Hickman, avoid repeating the questions.

MS. HICKMAN: Okay. Thank you.

BY MS. HICKMAN:

- Q. You would agree with me that two cars running into each other would endanger property, right --
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. -- or the life of other people, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Even if those two cars happened to be Sparks Police Department cars, right?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. You would also agree with me that an authorized emergency vehicle driving the wrong way up the freeway onramp would endanger property?
 - A. Yes.

MS. HICKMAN: No further questions.

MR. PICKER: No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you. Officer McNeely, you are excused, sir.

THE WITNESS: Excused from -- I'm subpoenaed under both.

THE COURT: You are excused. Let's do this. We'll take a brief recess and return as soon as I indicate.

(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Counsel, on Friday after I dismissed the jury for the weekend, we spent some time talking about the jail calls that the state proposed to introduce. The Court made a ruling with regard to what I'm going to call Jail Call No. 2. And that's where that Defendant Williams — by the way, the date was April 16th — that's where Defendant Williams recaps the ongoings with — it's Mr. Kelly —

MR. PRENGAMAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- while they were driving away from Bob & Lucy's. One thing I want to say with regard to that call and with regard to Call No. 1, which is the other call where Mr. Williams is on the phone with his mom, the state must remove the audio that says "Ryan Williams" at the beginning of both of those calls. That needs to come out, okay? The representation can be made, but I think the fact that it's on the call may indicate some institutional context.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, if I may. So, I would say that that's parts of the foundation for the call, so there's a number parts to foundation. But part of it is that there's a system at the jail

where each defendant has to, essentially, sign in and they have a unique identifier for each inmate. That's part of the foundation for identifying that call as being — in addition to the content, for instance and the voice.

THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman, if you want to use that on the call when you lay the foundation, we'll go outside the presence. If you want to use that version of it so it can be identified and thereafter, provided the calls are actually admitted because the foundation has been laid, if that occurs, the version that goes to the jury cannot have that reference in it.

As for the second call, Counsel, it's this Court's review of the law that the fundamental evidentiary bars, such as relevance, the danger of unfair prejudice substantially outweighs the evidence's probative value.

With regard to the second call, there was a lot of debate principally around the issue of whether or not the phrase was "on accident" or "no accident," and what that actually says. I'm going to admit the call, and in a minute the law clerk will hand out to you a highlighted version of the

call. But I want you to know something. What is actually said on the call. If that call is admitted -- because it's my understanding no party wants to introduce a transcript -- is up to what the jury themselves hear. The Court will not rule on what is said there. The jury is the finder of fact in this case. How would you like the Court opining and telling the jury what I think? That's not going to happen here.

2.2

With regard to that call, if you would provide -- she's handing out a highlighted version. This is something to be clear about. This version of the transcript of the call comes from Mr. Williams' response to the state's trial statement. It starts at page seven of that trial statement, line 13, and goes to page eight, line two at the top of that page. That transcript is -- it's very important that the record reflect -- because I'm going to put this into the record -- it's very important that the record reflect that's Mr. Williams' counsel's review and representation of what that call says.

That is not for the record what the call says. What the call says is what's on the

recording. But the point of producing this highlighted version is so that the parties know and to instruct the state with regard to what will be admitted with regards to this call. Everything that's highlighted that is just handed out is what the Court is going to admit, provided proper foundation is laid and all of the other evidentiary issues that I have addressed — more probative than prejudicial and relevance — are all addressed prior to this call prior to its admission. Importantly, any reference to years related to the offense are not coming in. All right.

2.0

So, again, what's highlighted is actually what's coming in. What's left un-highlighted is not coming in but, again, only as represented by Mr. Williams, because the actual recording of the call speaks for itself. I'm not purporting to say this is the actual transcript but I know enough about what I heard to know what's not coming in. That's the Court's ruling. We will go back to recess until such time as you indicate your witness is ready.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you, your Honor. (Recess taken at 8:30 a.m.)

Thanks, everyone. THE COURT: 1 2 Mr. Prengaman. MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you, your Honor. The 3 state will call David Cole. 4 (Witness sworn.) 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. PRENGAMAN: 8 Q. Good morning. 9 Good morning. Α. Could you please tell us your name. 10 Q. David Cole. 11 Α. Mr. Cole, could you please spell your last 12 Q. name? 13 C-o-l-e. 14 Α. And, Mr. Cole, what do you do for a living? 15 0. I'm a tavern attendant at Bob & Lucy's. 16 Α. Is that the Bob & Lucy's on Oddie believed? 17 0. Yes, sir. 1515 Oddie Boulevard. 18 Α. Mr. Cole, how long have you held that 19 Q. position? 20 About 14 months. 21 Α. So, taking you back to February 22nd of 22 Q. 2020, you were a tavern attendant back on that day? 23 Yes. 24 Α.

- O. Do you recall that Saturday?
- A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. And were you working that morning between 5:00 and 7:00 a.m.?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Do you recall what your shift -- generally back at that time what was your shift in February of last year?
 - A. 11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.
- Q. And at that time -- so, again, focusing on Saturday, February $22^{\rm nd}$ of last year, was there a security guard working during your shift?
- A. Yeah. A security guard from midnight to 6:00 a.m.
- Q. So the security would leave at 6:00. That would be the end of his or her shift?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Back around that same time, February 22^{nd} , did you know a man named Steve Sims?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Was he staying with you at that time?
- A. He initially came to my apartment, you know, doing what he needed to do.

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

- Q. Okay. And that Saturday morning do you recall Mr. Sims being at Bob & Lucy's?
 - Yeah, he was there. Α.
- And do you recall about -- if you can, roughly when he got there?
- Right about 6:00. I believe my security guard was just leaving when he showed up.
- And at some point that morning did you see anyone standing near him or talking to him in the course of the morning?
- Shortly there after 6:00 I saw a woman's face as they were talking.
- And do you recall what Mr. Sims was doing around that time that the woman came in?
- He was gambling. And somebody came in and sat next to him and started talking to him.
- And at some point -- backing up, when you are working, is there a way for you to see what's happening outside?
- We have a small monitor but I'm usually so busy at that point in the morning that I'm not staring at the monitor. I'm trying to get my duties done for the day.
 - Were you able to see how the woman had

- A. I did not see how she got there but there was only one car in my parking lot.
- Q. Would you say that around that same time that the woman came in?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what type of vehicle was that?
 - A. It was a white pickup truck.
- Q. I'm showing you what we've admitted as Exhibit 2. Can you see on the monitor in front of you -- there should be on the monitor --
 - A. I'm sorry.
 - Q. Can you see that okay?
 - A. Relatively, yes.
- Q. Do you recognize what we're looking at here?
- A. Yeah. It's the back corner of the office and looks like Mr. Sims.
 - Q. So we're seeing the inside of Bob & Lucy's?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You mentioned you're able to see Mr. Sims in this frame?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Now, if you touch that monitor, you can

A. (Indicating).

- Q. Can you show us the general area where you would be working?
 - A. (Indicating).
- Q. Mr. Cole, I've moved forward to ten minutes in Exhibit No. 2.

Can you tell us what we see in this part of the footage, this frame?

- A. There's a woman that I don't know and she's by the machines.
 - Q. Do you see Mr. Sims in this frame?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Could you circle him?
 - A. (Indicating).
 - Q. The woman is not somebody you recognize?
 - A. No. She was not a regular.
- Q. When you talked about seeing the woman talk to Mr. Sims, is this the woman you're referring to?
 - A. Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman, did you make a record of which exhibit this is?

MR. PRENGAMAN: I did, your Honor. Exhibit 2.

- Q. Now, playing forward from ten minutes, somebody else just entered the frame.
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Who is that?
 - A. Me.
- Q. Could you again just show us where you are, circle it.
 - A. (Indicating).
- Q. Playing forward to 10 minutes, 12 seconds, pausing at 10:18, what just happened?
- A. I believe I just delivered somebody a drink and asked if they needed anything.
- Q. And when you were delivering that drink, did you overhear any conversation?
- A. Yeah. She was starting to yell at him, expletives, and asking him why he stole something from somebody, I think her children.
- Q. Okay. Now, if you can -- you mentioned expletives -- do you recall specifics? I'd like to ask you about that, even if it involves some --
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. -- insensitive or harsh language.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And if you don't, that's fine. Do you recall any specifics about what was said?
- A. "Why are you such a nigger? Why did you steal this from me, from my kids?"
 - Q. And that being from the woman to Mr. Sims?
- A. That is correct. She was loud enough where you could hear back in the bar area.
- Q. Now, at some point did you -- at some point that morning in terms of that monitor that you were talking about that allows you to see the parking lot and the white truck that you saw, did you ever see anyone getting in or out of that truck and coming in?
- A. There were a couple of guys. They came out and they walked in, walked around, then walked out, walked in again. I ID'd them, didn't have ID.
- Q. Do you recall them coming in before, after or both --
 - A. Both.
 - Q. -- the woman?
 - A. Both.
- Q. Now, Mr. Cole, I've gone back to one minute and one second into Exhibit 2 and I'll play forward from there.

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And are you able to see him in this frame when I pause it at one minute and 14 seconds? I'll play it forward, pausing at two minutes and 43 seconds in, do we see in this frame the other man you saw that morning?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Could you circle him?
 - A. (Indicating).
- Q. Now, Mr. Cole, with regard to those doors that we just saw the two men go in and out of, how do those operate?
- A. Well, from dark to light we keep them on magnetic lock.
- Q. And so, for instance, when somebody either comes from the outside, the exterior doors, the ones closest to the outside, are those open?
- A. The ones outside are open. They walk into that little area, and then the next door is locked. So, I have to unlock that door to let them in.
- Q. Is there another way -- is there a type of button or another way to open the door?

A. If somebody's in close proximity to the door, it will stay unlocked or on the wall there's a little button you can push to unlock the door from the inside to let yourself out.

Q. I'm going to play it forward from 2:43. I'm pausing at three minutes.

Mr. Cole, I'm going to circle this area back here where the man walked. What is back there?

- A. That is the men's restroom.
- Q. Now, Mr. Cole, as we're playing at roughly 4:12 and continuing to play, is this the truck you saw on the monitor?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Pausing at six minutes and six seconds into Exhibit 2, is this the same woman that you pointed out earlier that had come in to talk to Mr. Sims?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Stopping at seven minutes and 11 seconds, so at 10 minutes and 12 seconds, again, is this when you return when you were delivering a drink?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. I pause at 10:13 and move it forward.

Mr. Sims, as this continues to play and we're at about 13:41, this is what you'd you

A. Yes.

- Q. Mr. Cole, I paused at 16 minutes and 46 seconds to Exhibit 2. Now we see you again in this part of the footage?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And could you circle yourself.
 - A. (Indicating).
- Q. Then again we see one of the men that you referenced earlier coming back in.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So, can you tell us what's happening here?
- A. Well, I'm just not sure. I don't know why they're going over there and they're kinda harassing Steve at this point, Mr. Sims.
 - Q. Did you ask for some ID?
- A. Yeah, I did. I asked for ID. I thought the two gentlemen were together, but I know I asked them for ID so I could try and control the situation a little bit if I could get one of them out. But at this point they're just walking in and out.
- Q. And we see you push the door open. What were you doing there?
 - A. Just letting them out. Didn't have ID. He

had told me he didn't have ID.

Q. Going to move forward slightly from about 18 minutes and pausing at 22 minutes 41 seconds.

Mr. Cole, the man in this vestibule or that breezeway, is that the other man you had referenced earlier?

A. Yes.

- Q. How was he just able to get in through that interior door?
 - A. I let him in at this point.
- Q. We see I've paused the footage at 23 minutes, 15 seconds in.

Were you aware -- well, let me back up. So where has the man -- the second man gone to at this point?

- A. Steve and the woman talking.
- Q. While you were there that morning -- so not just looking at the footage here, but while you were there that morning, were you aware of the man standing there where she was?
- A. At some point, I mean, I saw him, yeah.

 They were -- when he came in, I might have been working in the back, you know, cleaning up still.

 But I know I saw him standing over there with the

- Q. As we play forward, are you able to see yourself?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. I'll pause it at 22:23. Can you show us where you were?
 - A. (Indicating).
 - O. Is that the bar area?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I've paused it at 23:30. Now, that morning when you were aware of the man standing there, did you notice anything about the demeanor of the man and/or the woman?
- A. He looked like he was trying to intimidate Steve at this point.
- Q. What was he doing or what could you see or hear that makes you think that?
- A. Just the way he -- I don't remember his exact words, but he was just standing over him and leaning into him talking to him. He started getting a little loud and I couldn't understand what he was saying. That's why I stopped to look at him at that

- Q. And at some point shortly after that does -- well, let me play it forward slightly. I'm going to pause at 25:21. At some point did Steve Sims approach you where you were at the bar?
- A. Yeah. A little bit after this point Mr. Sims came to talk to me, I believe.
- Q. And when he approached you, did he make a request?
- A. He asked me to call 9-1-1, said they had a qun --

MS. HICKMAN: Objection --

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Let me ask you this. Stop you there.

 Describe his demeanor.
- A. He was scared, terrified.
- Q. And did he ask you -- in making that request to call 9-1-1, did he then tell you some things about what had just happened and what was happening?
 - A. All he told me -
 MS. HICKMAN: Objection, calls for hearsay.

 MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor -
 THE COURT: Mr. Cole is not asking you to

1 | 2

tell him what someone else said. He's asking you what you observed. Go ahead, Mr. Prengaman.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Mr. Sims was he -- I'm not going to ask you right now the words he said.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. But was he describing to you events that were happening inside -- just happened or were happening inside the bar?

MR. PICKER: Objection, hearsay. He's still asking for a description of what it was Mr. Sims said. He's asking for the general information, not the exact words, which is the same exact objection you upheld last week.

THE COURT: Thank you. The question is, as I understand it, did Mr. Sims relay information to Mr. Cole about what had happened, not what that information was, but did he relay.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, I would offer as a present sense impression.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. What did Mr. Sims tell you when he asked you to call 9-1-1?
- A. That there was a gun in the casino and that they were gonna kill him, is what he said. So I called 9-1-1.
 - Q. And you did call 9-1-1, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then did you relay to 9-1-1 what Mr. Sims had told you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Immediately or very shortly after he told it to you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I want to go now to Exhibit 1. So,
 Mr. Cole, I'm going to offer Exhibit 1. I'm going
 to the fourth file on the Camera 7 folder. I'll
 play forward from 12 minutes and 48 seconds into
 this file.

Now, in this clip does this show a little bit forward in time from where we left Exhibit 2?

- A. Yes.
- Q. The point where Mr. Sims is at the door?

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

2122

23

24

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, have you been able to see Mr. Sims walk somewhere in this clip?
 - A. That door's around the bar.
- Q. Were you able to see where he's located at right now?
 - A. Barely. Just right there (indicating).
- Q. Okay. Playing forward to 13 minutes and two seconds, what have we just seen at this point?
- A. Where Mr. Sims came up to me and asked me to call 9-1-1.
- Q. Playing forward, the two of you are no longer on the camera view, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you recall where you went or where you were standing?
- A. My back room and to the right a little bit. I have a little beer storage, alcohol storage.
 - Q. Now, did Mr. Sims return to the door area?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to pause it at 13 minutes 59 seconds. So you called 9-1-1.

Do you remain in that bar area?

A. Yes. I'm there or in the back finishing up

duties still.

- Q. And while you're -- after you made that call to 9-1-1, can you hear anything that's being said between Mr. Sims and the woman that we see in the footage here?
 - A. No.
- Q. At some point did you -- after this did the woman ask a question -- ask you or Mr. Sims a question?
- A. She asked me or Mr. Sims how long it was gonna be.
- Q. And was that a question that either you or Mr. Sims responded to?
 - A. I responded, "About 15 minutes."
- Q. And did you understand what she was talking about?
 - A. Honestly, no.
- Q. Now, at some point after that call, did you receive a call back?
- A. Yes. A few minutes later 9-1-1 called me back and told me they were on their way.
- Q. Now, did they ask you for some kind of update? Again, at this moment I'm not asking you what was said. I'm just asking you, Did they ask

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

you for additional information or to update them about what was going on at the time of that callback?

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Mr. Cole, I'm going to show you what we have marked for identification as Exhibit 81. I'm just going to reach around the shield and hand you this exhibit and ask you to look at it and let me know when you've had a chance to do that.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Have you had a chance to listen to the contents of that flash drive before?
 - A. I have.
- Q. And does that contain a copy of the 9-1-1 call that you testified about earlier?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. It's an accurate copy of that call?
 - A. Yes.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, I move for the admission of Exhibit 81.

MS. HICKMAN: No objection.

MR. PICKER: No objection.

THE COURT: Eighty-one is in.

(Exhibit 81 admitted.)

(Audio played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Mr. Cole, who is the male voice? Is that your voice?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 (Audio played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. So, turning to Exhibit 2, I'll pause at 15 minutes and 51 seconds in. At some point after you made the call, does Mr. Sims come -- after we've seen him go back and talk to you, does he ever go back in that back area again?
- A. I don't remember if he goes back to the back area again. I thought maybe one more time.
- Q. Is there a point in time when Mr. Sims leaves the building?
 - A. Not that I recall until the police arrived.
- Q. So, moving forward to that point, what happens while you're waiting for the police to arrive as far as you can see and hear?
- A. I can't see or hear anything at this point. I'm in the back, and I believe I was scooping ice at that time to fill up my ice. I went back to work like everything was normal.

3

4

5

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

2223

O 1

24

Q. I'm going to show you now what we've marked for identification as Exhibit 82.

Have you seen that before?

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Have you had an opportunity to review or listen to the contents of that?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Does that flash drive contain a copy of the 9-1-1 call?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. When they called you back, did you talk to the operator about what was happening at the time that she was calling you back in the tavern?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you give her some information about events that were happening? Again, I'm not asking about what you said, but were you talking to her about events that were happening that you were observing at the time?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you talk about, for instance -- again, not specifics -- but talk about where you were located and what was happening?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20 21

2.2

23

24

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, I move for the admission of Exhibit 82.

MS. HICKMAN: No objection.

MR. PICKER: No objection.

THE COURT: Eighty-two is in.

(Exhibit 82 admitted.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. At some point shortly after that, did the police arrive --
 - A. Yes.
 - O. -- or begin arriving?
 - A. They arrived while I was on the phone.
- Q. And how were you -- how could you tell they had started arriving?
 - A. Sirens.
- Q. Do you recall generally, if you do -- where Steve Sims was located around the time you made that second call -- or that called made back when you were on the phone with them?
- A. No, no. But I know he left out the back door right after that. He ran to the back door. I didn't see him do it but that's what happened.
- Q. And then he was no longer inside the tavern?

- A. No. He left.
- Q. Then after that at some point were you aware of whether the woman -- the woman you were just talking about that was still inside -- whether she was still there or not?
- A. Yeah. I came out of the back room and went by the bar area and she caught herself in the breezeway and she asked me why I called the police.
- Q. When you say "caught in the breezeway," when you come out from the back room, where do you see her?
- A. Between the two entrance doors. So, the one -- my interior door was locked and she was just outside of that. First time she'd stepped outside of that and tried to keep her out.
 - Q. Did she ever come back inside the tavern?
 - A. No.
 - Q. So you did not open the door?
 - A. No, did not let her back in.
 - Q. Did you see her leave the breezeway or --
 - A. I did not see her.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you, Mr. Cole. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Hickman?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. HICKMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- So, Mr. Cole, on February 22nd, 2020, Mr. Sims was living with you, correct?
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. And he'd been living with you for about a month, right?
 - Yes. Α.
 - Was he paying you rent? Q.
 - Α. No.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Objection, relevance.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Hickman.

MS. HICKMAN: I'm just going to how he knows him.

THE COURT: I heard a word. I didn't hear an objection. Go ahead.

BY MS. HICKMAN:

- Was he paying rent?
- No. Α.
- And at that time do you know whether or not 0. he was working?

MR. PRENGAMAN: Objection, relevance.

MS. HICKMAN: It goes to how he knows Mr.

Sims.

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: That's fine. I'll allow it.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if he was

BY MS. HICKMAN:

working.

- Q. Okay. And as you watched the surveillance videos that morning, you see that you, Mr. Sims, and the people in the white truck are not the only people at Bob & Lucy's, correct?
 - A. There were a couple other people.
- Q. And those couple other people are regulars, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Meaning they're there fairly regularly.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And Mr. Sims is also a regular, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And to the best of your knowledge, he had been going to Bob & Lucy's even before you knew him, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And at Bob & Lucy's there are different slot machines, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know the values of those slot

- A. From a penny to a quarter on the ones that he plays. Up to -- I think \$3.75 is the most expensive game a hand.
- Q. Okay. So, looking at Exhibit 1, Channel 7, this is the last file on it. The machine Mr. Sims is playing is a penny slot?
 - A. Penny to a quarter.
 - Q. Penny to a quarter?
 - A. Yes. That game only goes to a nickel.
 - Q. That one only goes to a nickel?
 - A. That game, yes.
- Q. Okay. And how about the ones in the middle that I circled?
- A. Yeah. I think the highest is \$2. The maximum bet is \$2.
 - O. Maximum bet is \$2.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Is the max bet on this for that game is a quarter?
 - A. The max bet on that game is 20 cents.
- Q. Okay. I want to talk to you about Exhibit
 2. I'll actually go back to two minutes and 35
 seconds and let it play.

When this person walks in in the middle, you see you and him have a little interaction with each other?

- A. I see.
- Q. Okay. And he walked directly to the restroom, correct?
 - A. Yeah.

THE COURT: Ms. Hickman, this is Exhibit

1 -- can you make a record? Is this Channel 4?

MS. HICKMAN: No. I believe it's actually

Exhibit 2.

THE COURT: Thank you. And File 4?
MS. HICKMAN: It's only one file.
THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MS. HICKMAN:

- Q. And then you were testifying about the time that you walked over and you gave Mr. Sims a drink.

 Do you remember that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you said that you heard somebody talking loudly and talking to him about stealing something from her kids, correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. But you didn't hear any threats, right?

1 A. No, I did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. And you didn't hear anything about any guns, right?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. And you didn't see a gun, right?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. And you testified this conversation between the two of them was loud enough for you to hear all the way back in the bar.
- A. I could hear certain words. I did not hear the whole conversation.
- Q. And you could also hear Mr. Sims at the bar, correct?
 - A. No.
 - Q. You could only hear her?
 - A. I could only hear her talking?
- Q. And she was in there talking to Mr. Sims for a long period of time, correct?
 - A. For, yeah, a little while. I guess, yeah.
 - Q. Over 10 minutes?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Over 15 minutes?
- A. I can't recall exactly how long they were talking.

- Q. And you testified that this white truck that showed up was the only vehicle in your parking lot, correct?
- A. On that side of the parking lot, yeah. I can't see the cars on the other side. On camera I can't see the ones on the other side or what's across.
- Q. Okay. So, when you said it was the only car in the parking lot, that was the only car on that side.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Because there were other cars in the parking lot, correct?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. Let me go back to Exhibit 1. I'll show you Channel 3, Camera 3. I'll pause it. It's at 6:06 in the morning on February $22^{\rm nd}$, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You can see there's other cars in your parking lot.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. There's this car, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then this car, right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then there's what looks to be like a truck.
- A. That's a truck that's always in our parking lot.
- Q. But these trucks in the front are on the same side as the white truck, correct?
- A. No -- I'm sorry. They're not on the same side as the white truck. They're on the other side.
 - Q. They're on the other side of the building?
 - A. The other side of the doors.
- Q. Right. Because your doors are about right here where this handicapped --
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so the white truck is over to the left of the screen, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then these other two cars are to the right.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So, there's three cars in the front of the building, correct?
 - A. Looks that way, yes.
 - Q. And based on what you can hear coming from

the conversation Mr. Sims was having, you personally did not think to call the police, correct?

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. And none of the other regulars who were in the bar alerted you there were any issues, correct?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. No one came up to you other than Mr. Sims and asked you to call the police, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you didn't notice that other people in that area were watching this conversation, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you testified that you actually started to pay closer attention to it when you saw people coming in and out, right?
- A. The gentlemen walking in and out, they were walking in and out my door.
- Q. But even when you were paying more attention to that conversation, you didn't hear anything that made you personally think you needed to call the police, right?
 - A. That is correct, yeah.
- Q. Going back to Exhibit 2, going to 6:10 and 57 seconds, and that's when you see the female come

in, correct?

2.2

- A. Yes.
- Q. Thirteen minutes and 33 seconds on Exhibit 2, and you can see it's 6:17 and 35 seconds, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's when that smaller male comes in, right?
 - A. Yes, the second time, I believe.
- Q. And it's fair to say that -- and you testified when this man comes in, you start to notice -- and I think you said the word -- this man comes back in and at this point you thought they were harassing Steve, right?
 - A. Yes, because they were walking around.
- Q. Okay. But when this man comes in, he doesn't talk to Mr. Sims at all, right?
 - A. No.
 - Q. He doesn't stop.
 - A. Not that I can remember.
- Q. And from where you are, and given the fact that you're paying attention, you again don't see anything that makes you think you need to call the police, correct?

7

8

9

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

- A. That is correct.
- Q. When you tell this man -- when you ask him for his ID and he doesn't have it and you tell him to leave, what does he do?
 - A. He comes around and leaves.
 - Q. He does what you say, right?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. Again, we go forward to 23 minutes and 15 seconds on Exhibit 2. This is actually 23 minutes and 11 seconds. That's when you see the second person go stand over by where Mr. Sims is, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I believe you testified today you could hear him talking to Mr. Sims at this point, right?
 - A. I heard a couple of words.
 - Q. Okay. And you believe those are his voice?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And we can see you in the background here, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And it looks like you have ice in your hand?
 - A. Yes. Filling the ice up.
 - Q. Okay. So, it's fair to say filling the ice

you take that container and you have to dump it in, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Pretty loud?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Could you hear this person over that?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. And you testified that you thought that this person was trying to intimidate Mr. Sims, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Moving forward to 23 -- this is 6:24. I'm pausing it at 23 minutes and 41 seconds.

This is that man, right?

- - O. And this is Mr. Sims, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I'll play at 23:41. Did you see --
 - A. Shake hands.
 - Q. Shake hands, right?
 - A. (Witness nods.)
 - Q. But that's the man you said was trying to intimidate him, right?
 - A. After she got up and he went and cashed his

machine out is when it starts.

- Q. And at the time that machine is cashed out, what do you personally hear him say?
 - A. Nothing.
- Q. So, anything you heard him say was before or after that?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. No. That's my question.
- A. Oh. I believe it was right before that.
- Q. Right before --
- A. Seconds.
- Q. Okay.
- A. I don't know. Maybe even -- I don't know. It's hard to remember exactly.
- Q. Sure. So, let me see. He walks in, right, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. They clap hands, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then they talk for a little bit, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then that's when you hear him saying something about, What do you got?

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. And then that's when he cashes out his ticket, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And to cash out that ticket, you have to do two things, right? You have to push a button and then you have take it.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You testified when Mr. Sims came to talk to you, he was terrified, correct?
 - A. That's how he looked, his voice sounded.
- Q. I'm going to open Exhibit 1, Channel 7. I'll open the last file on this.

You could see this is a view that shows this bar back here, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And it's fair to say that surveillance actually shows that area much better than what we're looking at, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Because there's four cameras over that bar.
 - A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. So this is not our best way to see what happened between you and Mr. Sims, right?

8

9

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

A. No. And there's no cameras in the back.

Q. I'm talking about out front by the bar.

A. Yes. There's probably better cameras. I've never seen them. We're not privy to that display on my screen.

Q. 12:23, Exhibit 1, Channel 7, and it's the last file. I'll hit play.

Right there you see Mr. Sims?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And he's walking behind that man who took his ticket, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And that man's right here, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'll play it at 12 minutes and 24 seconds. I'll pause it at 12 minutes 56 seconds.

You just saw Mr. Sims walk away, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Play at 12:56 and it's kind -- right here you can see there's somebody sitting at the bar, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then there's somebody sitting right here gambling?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And we have Mr. Sims walking back to where you are, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to play at 13 minutes. We can see Mr. Sims back here, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let me play at 13:05. I'm pausing at 13:18. That's when you and Mr. Sims went to the back.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And that's the point where you testified he was terrified, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And he told you he's terrified with what's happening with that woman who is standing at the door, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. 13:18. I'll pause it at 13:43. This is Mr. Sims, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And he just came out of the back with you?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. This is a person who is terrified, right?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Yes.
- Q. Play 13:43. Pause it at -- he just walked by that man sitting at the bar, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. 13:50. And he goes back to that woman standing there, correct?
- A. It appears so, yes. I'm in back at this point.
 - Q. But you can see it on the video, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. This is six minutes and 26 seconds, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. This woman is not standing in this doorway holding that door open for someone else to come in?
 - A. Not at that point, no.
 - Q. Okay. At some point was she?
- A. At some point I recall that she was standing close to that door where -- I couldn't get it locked. I couldn't get her locked out.
 - Q. Well, she's not outside, correct?
 - A. No.
 - Q. So you wouldn't be able to lock her out.
 - A. No.

- O. But she's not holding it open.
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. If we switch to Exhibit 1, Channel 4 inside, this is the last file. Where we left Channel 7 was at 6:26 about, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. On Camera 4, this is a different angle --
 - A. Yes.
 - O. -- at 6:28:50 seconds, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And this is the man at the bar?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And this is Mr. Sims, right?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And he's leaning up against this wall, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So, this is the man who you said was terrified, right?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And you testified that Mr. Sims when he walked back there to talk to you told you somebody was going to kill him, correct?
 - A. Yes.

8

10

1112

13

1415

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

- Q. And you relayed that information to the 9-1-1 operator, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember telling that 9-1-1 operator?
 - A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Hold on. What you told that 9-1-1 operator was actually "There's people with guns outside," correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then she asked you, "Are they threatening people or what's going on," correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you responded, "Yes. They're threatening one person."
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You did not tell that 9-1-1 operator that they were going to kill him, right?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. And so everything that you told the 9-1-1 operator about threats or guns came from Mr. Sims.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Because you personally never heard a threat.

- A. No.
- Q. Never saw one gun?
- A. No.
- Q. Let alone two.
- A. Right.
- Q. But the way that Mr. Sims relayed that information to you made you think there were two guns.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you told that 9-1-1 operator that there were two people outside, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that the female was still inside, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then when they called back, you told that 9-1-1 operator that the female was still inside, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Cole, you actually -- you make the 9-1-1 call and actually you had an interview with a detective from the Sparks Police Department, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And that's after this has happened, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, that detective picks you up from your apartment or house and brings you to the Sparks Police Department.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And that was on February 22nd, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you never tell that officer or that detective that Mr. Sims said the people were going to kill him, correct?
 - A. I guess not, no.
- Q. And you testified on direct today that you heard that woman ask how long would it be, correct?
- A. Yeah. At one point when I was towards the back she said, How long is it going to be? She said it loud.
- Q. Okay. So, that's what you're testifying to today, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember telling that detective when you talked to him that Mr. Sims came back and asked you how long it would take and you told Mr. Sims 15 minutes?

- A. I do not recall.
- Q. You don't --
- A. I don't remember how that went exactly. I thought she asked me or asked him and I heard.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But he might have come around and asked me, could have been also.
- Q. Okay. So, it could have been Mr. Sims asked you that.
- A. Yes. I can't tell you when I heard it -- exactly when I heard it.
- Q. And Mr. Sims doesn't leave Bob & Lucy's until the police arrive. Is that true?
 - A. As far as I know, yeah.
 - Q. That's what you remember happening?
- A. Yeah. I didn't see him leave. I was told by somebody else that he ran out of the back door. My back door was unlocked and I was still at the cooler at that point in time.
- Q. Do you remember telling that detective when you interviewed with him that Mr. Sims said, They carry guns. Do you remember that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So, it's fair to say all the information

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that you relayed to 9-1-1 or to that detective came from Mr. Sims directly.

- That's correct. Α.
- There was nothing that you personally 0. observed that made you think you needed to report him.
 - No. Α.

No further questions. MS. HICKMAN:

THE COURT: Why don't we take about a 15-minute recess, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. (Jury admonished and excused.)

Thanks, everyone. Please be THE COURT: seated. Mr. Picker and Ms. Rosenthal.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PICKER:

- Good morning, Mr. Cole. 0.
- Good morning. Α.
- Again, Exhibit 1 showing the time 6:10:57, 0. you see the person in the doorway?
 - Yes. Α.
- Is that the female that you've been discussing this morning?
 - I believe so, yes. Α.
 - Okay. I'm going to play this forward and

then play the next clip from the same camera. And one of the things you said during your testimony this morning was that you remembered her holding the door open at one point. Do you recall saying that?

- A. Yes, sir. She stood in front of the door.
- Q. So, when you say she stood near the door, how close do you have to stand near that door to keep the mag lock from activating?
 - A. 3 feet.
- Q. So, you see this area right here on your screen, is that close enough to deactivate the lock?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to play this forward and then we'll play the next one. Every time you see this female standing close enough to keep the door from locking, tell me so I can stop it.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Thank you.

(Video played.)

BY MR. PICKER:

Q. One question, there seems to be no hesitation from this person as they walk into the —the outside door and inside door.

8

10 11

12

13

14

1516

1.7

18

1920

2122

23

24

A. When they walk in the first door, it rings a bell. So, when the bell rings on the first door, I just usually let people in. I'm not that concerned about and at that time in the morning.

- Q. Okay. So, as soon as you hear the bell, you're hitting --
 - A. The --
- Q. We can only speak one at a time so the court reporter can take this down.

Does that sound fair?

- A. Fair.
- Q. Okay. Restarting at 6:11, that's you on the screen now, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What time did you come on shift that day?
 - A. 11:00 p.m. Friday night.
 - Q. And your shift ends at what time?
 - A. 7:00 a.m. in the morning.
- Q. Safe to say at 6:00 you start cleaning up so that you can close down your shift?
- A. I'd been cleaning for at least an hour by that point. Yes.
 - Q. That's what you're doing here in the video?
 - A. That is correct.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

- Q. Okay. So, at this point the woman is not anywhere near the doors. Is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. I'm going to fast-forward a little bit. The woman still does not reappear. Is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Do you see the time on this? This picks up roughly about the same time as the other clip ended.

 Is that fair?
 - A. Okay. Yeah.
- Q. Let's go forward a little bit. This is when that video just ends. Sorry.
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. What are you doing at this point?
- A. Just regular duties, taking somebody else a drink at that point probably.
- Q. We're still not seeing her reappear to the door, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. This is one of the gentlemen that you saw?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And, again, he came right in. You heard the bell and you let him in.
 - A. Yeah.

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Neither one of those people are the people that you identified this morning --
 - A. No.
- Q. -- on the screen as being connected to this event?
 - A. No. They're just customers.
- Q. Okay. And that's where the first gentleman had left?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. This is where the first gentleman returns for the third time. Is that right?
 - A. Yes. I asked him for ID.
- Q. You told him to leave and you opened the door.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And he followed your instructions and left.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And you had gone over at that point to make sure he left, right?
 - A. Looks that way, yes.
- Q. At this point you can see the second gentleman walking up to the doors.
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And you saw him from a distance.

- Q. You're standing there and watching the front door. Do you know who that person was, you just let that gentleman in? Could you tell?
 - A. No.
 - O. Was it a regular?
 - A. I don't -- I can't tell.
 - Q. So are these regulars that came in or --
- A. Not sure. He's a regular -- the bottom of the screen is a regular.
 - Q. This is Mr. Sims. Is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I want you to tell me at what point anybody keeps that door open from this point forward?
- A. Mr. Sims at that point is close enough to keep that door open and she is too at that point.
- Q. Okay. And there's some kind of conversation going on, and you don't remember hearing anything about that.
- A. No. I had no idea what they were talking about at that point.
- Q. Okay. She talked to the gentlemen and then comes back, correct?

A. Yes. All activity here would hold the door open.

- Q. So, she's against the door and it opens, right?
- A. Yes. As long as you're that proximity it will allow that door to open.
- Q. Did you have any conversation with this person saying, You need to get away from the door so it will lock?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Then you see Mr. Sims walk back.

 Is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And she's having a conversation with that person. Is she now far enough away to keep that door from being unlocked?
- A. Really close right there. I'm not sure exactly.
- Q. Okay. Now, this is after Mr. Sims has talked to you and you described him as being terrified. Is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. He's pretty close to that woman, isn't he?

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 2021
- 2.2
- 23
- 24

- A. Yes.
- Q. They're having a conversation.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Were you listening to that conversation?
- A. Couldn't hear it at all.
- Q. Would you say at this point she's close enough to keep that door from locking?
- A. Not right there, I don't think so. Mr. Sims is again close --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- but I don't know.
- Q. Now, are you hearing any part of this conversation at this point when they're a little further away?
 - A. I'm not.
- Q. And now you see Mr. Sims leaning against the wall.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And this was after you had described him coming up to you saying he was terrified?
 - MR. PRENGAMAN: Asked and answered.
- MR. PICKER: I'm sorry. It was a different part of the tape. This was after.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear your objection.

MR. PRENGAMAN: I'm sorry, your Honor. Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr.

BY MR. PICKER:

Picker.

- Q. Again, this was after he came up to you and he said he was terrified.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Showing you Exhibit 2, can you see -- it's a little dark but can you see the people in this view that you have been describing as Mr. Sims and the female he is talking to?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. They're roughly in the center of the screen, aren't they?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And this part, is this you back here?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. 6:12:28, I'll stop it. Earlier you had described the second gentleman that came in as standing over Mr. Sims. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you see this view on the screen here?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What is Mr. Sims doing?
- A. Standing up talking to the female.
- Q. And now when he leans in, is that similar to what you saw that second man do to Mr. Sims?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Now, did you hear anything at this point between this female and Mr. Sims?
- A. No. I only heard her one outburst when she got really loud.
- Q. Now, this continues. He steps back and steps forward again. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, moving forward to 6:14:08 and playing forward from there. See Mr. Sims is reseated and they're still talking.

Is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. That's you again. Is that correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. You're bringing Mr. Sims a drink?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Did he at some point signal you or ask you to bring him a drink?
- A. No. I usually bring him. His standard is a Doctor Pepper.
 - Q. And did he pay for that drink?

 MR. PRENGAMAN: Objection, relevance.

THE COURT: The objection is relevance, Mr. Picker.

MR. PICKER: I'll just withdraw, your Honor.

BY MR. PICKER:

- Q. Now, at this point you said that you asked him if they -
- A. I'm sure I probably did, yes. Can I help you? That's all.
- Q. Okay. And did the female respond to you, ask you for a drink or anything like that?
 - A. She did not.
- Q. Now, you said earlier that one of the reasons you asked the first man who came back the third time and then you told him he had to leave because he didn't have an ID, that you didn't know

- A. I didn't know why they were walking around the casino. It just seemed uncomfortable for me.
- Q. Okay. So, when you said this morning they were going over harassing Steve, that's not what you meant.
 - A. I guess not, no.

- Q. Okay. Because you didn't realize that either of these men had anything to do with this female or with Steve Sims until the second man walked over to her.
 - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. And when you -- we saw that you basically told that first man to leave at about 6:21, and the second man didn't come in until three minutes later. Does that sound right?
 - A. I can't remember the time.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. That's when he came in the first time.
 - Q. No. The second time.
 - A. Then yes.
 - Q. Does that sound about right?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. You filled out a written incident report

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

24

for your job. Is that correct?

- A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. And the information that was in it that you wrote down, do you recall where you got that information?
- A. No. I can barely remember what I wrote down. It was over a year ago.
- Q. Would it refresh your recollection to see it?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. Okay.

THE COURT: Does that have an exhibit

number?

MR. PICKER: Number 131.

BY MR. PICKER:

Q. Tell me when you're done if that refreshes your recollection and I'll take it back.

Thank you. In your statement -- well, let me ask you this. At the top it says it's 2/22/20, time, 6:45. Did you write this statement at 6:45 or did you write it sometime later?

- A. I wrote it a few minutes there after 6:45, yeah. That's when I wrote the statement.
 - Q. You wrote it that morning.

- A. Yeah, yeah, right after they had -- after they were out of the casino.
- Q. Okay. And you said "Female got loud and brandished a firearm."
 - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Now, I believe you told us earlier in your earlier testimony you never saw a gun.
 - A. No.
 - O. So, that information came from Steve Sims?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. She held the door open so her partners could come and go?
- A. She was standing -- I thought she was standing close enough to the door to open it at this point in time, yes, between the first time of the 9-1-1 call and when 9-1-1 called me back. I could be mistaken because I was in the back.
- Q. So, you don't know if she was holding it open because you were in the back.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Again, you told us this morning that he was terrified that they were going to kill him. Is that correct? But that's not what you wrote on here.
 - A. No.

- Q. Now, at one point you did do an interview with the police.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you told them that you saw both the male and the female standing over Mr. Sims.
- A. At one point I believe they were together, yes.
 - Q. Did you see them both standing over him?
- A. I can't remember exactly right now. I know he was standing and she got up at one point and I thought they were standing together.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I was still working. My glimpses were glimpses.
 - Q. Okay. So, we're at 6:24:05.

 Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you agree that there's a gentleman standing there, but the female is still seated.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Now she gets up.

 At this point is she standing over Mr.

 Sims?
 - A. No, she's not. She's got her back to him.

7

8

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Tell me when she starts standing over him.
- A. She does not.
- Q. In fact, she walked away.
- A. She did.
- O. And Mr. Sims is still seated.
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And then at one point you told the police that both men came in together and they stood over Mr. Sims.
- A. I thought that's what I saw. I made a misstatement.
- Q. Now, from the time you heard -- well, you did hear, apparently, this female say something along the lines of stealing something from her kids.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, when you called 9-1-1, they asked you what this confrontation was about, that this patron said he was being threatened, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you said -- do you recall what your answer was?
 - A. No.
 - Q. You told them "I have no idea."
 - A. I really had no idea, because it could have

- Q. So, at that point, the fact that she had said, You stole from my kids, that didn't seem to you to be part of this whole story.
- A. I believe I was panicking when I called 9-1-1.
- Q. Okay. And, in fact, you made some comment that you thought you would be harmed for calling 9-1-1.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. During the course of this entire set of events, tell me who threatened you.
 - A. Nobody.
 - Q. Tell me who showed you a firearm.
 - A. Nobody.
- Q. Tell me who had any kind of conversation with you.
 - A. Me? Just Mr. Sims.
- Q. Now, when you walked over and gave Mr. Sims his Dr. Pepper, his regular drink, at that point you were not alarmed about the conversation going on, were you?
 - A. No, not at that point.

- Q. Okay. In fact, you didn't become alarmed about anything until Mr. Sims told you something was going on.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Other than that morning when Mr. Sims came to you and said to call 9-1-1, how many times have you talked to Mr. Sims about this situation?
 - A. I don't recall if I have. Maybe once.
- Q. Okay. He was still living with you after this, wasn't he?
- A. But he didn't show up to my house for a couple days and then got arrested shortly thereafter. His stuff was in my office. It doesn't mean he was always there.
- Q. All right. You told the police that, specifically, Mr. McNeely, who is a sergeant, you told him that you had seen her pull -- this female pull a firearm out and you weren't comfortable with that. Do you recall that?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I believe I might have said that at the time.
 - Q. Okay.

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

- A. And I was a little panicked afterwards.
- Q. But, again, you never saw --
- A. I never saw the firearm, no.
- Q. Okay. In fact, the reason you were concerned about the two men was you thought they were -- I think your words were "casing the joint."
 - A. Yeah. They walked around a couple times.
- Q. You also said that people were leaving Bob & Lucy's because this female was being so loud.
 - A. I believe a couple people did, yes.
 - Q. Okay. You watched the video?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Do you know of any -- at the time, February of 2020 -- do you know of any female regulars and patrons at the bar by the name of Tanya?
- A. I know Tanya, but I don't remember her being in that morning at all.
- Q. Do you know somebody by the name of Jennifer Fulch?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How do you know her?
- A. She was a -- she lived in my apartment complex, next building over.
 - Q. At the same time Mr. Sims was staying with

you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever see her and Mr. Sims interact?
- A. I know they were friends, yeah.

MR. PICKER: Just a moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PICKER: Pass the witness. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

Q. Mr. Cole, you were asked about your written statement and about -- you were asked, Did you reflect what Mr. Sims told you in terms of him feeling like he would be killed?

Do you recall that question?

- A. I remember them asking, yes.
- Q. When you made your written statement, did you write something about Mr. Sims, what he had conveyed to you in terms of his feelings about his own personal safety?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. May I show you?
 - A. Yes. Sorry.

2.3

MR. PICKER: I think it's improper use to refresh recollection when he said he did not.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: First of all, it's Exhibit 134.

Mr. Prengaman, Mr. Picker is saying it's improper
use.

MR. PRENGAMAN: To show him his statement to refresh his memory.

THE COURT: I'll allow it. Go ahead.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Mr. Cole, did you, in fact, characterize -write something in your statement about what Mr.
 Sims told you as far as his feeling for his own
 safety?
- A. Yes. I wrote, I was afraid for his well being.
- Q. Could you read that sentence verbatim of what you wrote.

MR. PICKER: Your Honor, this exhibit is not in evidence. Objection.

THE COURT: That objection is sustained.

Mr. Prengaman, are you introducing the exhibit?

MR. PRENGAMAN: I'm introducing the

statement.

THE COURT: Do you want to introduce the statement? You've gone beyond asking the witness to refresh his recollection. You're asking him to read from the exhibit, so are you offering it?

MR. PRENGAMAN: I'll offer it.

THE COURT: Any objection to 134, Ms.

Hickman?

MS. HICKMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: What is your objection?

MS. HICKMAN: Hearsay.

THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman.

MR. PRENGAMAN: The sentence I'm asking for is a past recollection. Well, why don't I ask this:

Mr. Cole --

THE COURT: Why don't you take the exhibit from him.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Mr. Cole, you've testified earlier that you wrote this statement fairly soon after these events transpired.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you make it at a time when it was fresh in your memory?

A. Yes, sir.

2.2

MR. PRENGAMAN: Then, your Honor, I would offer that statement as a past recollection. It's separate and apart from -- it's not a refreshing recollection. It is a statement made at a time when it was fresh in his memory, which is an exception to the hearsay rule.

THE COURT: Ms. Hickman?

MS. HICKMAN: Judge, if he wants to use this statement to refresh his recollection, that is fine. I object to admitting it. If he wants to impeach him, he can do that too, but I object to the admission of the entire statement.

THE COURT: Let's ask the witness the followup question as if you had refreshed his recollection with the document, please.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Mr. Cole, as you sit here now, do you remember what you wrote about what Mr. Sims expressed to you about his concerns for his safety?
 - A. Not word for word.
- Q. But you did write down what he expressed to you and it was fresh in your memory.
 - A. Yes.

MR. PRENGAMAN: I'd ask him to read that sentence only, your Honor, as a past recollection. He's testified that he can't remember all the information in it and, therefore, it's a past recollection.

THE COURT: Mr. Cole, having refreshed your recollection with your statement as you did with Mr. Picker when he was asking you questions, do you have a recollection now about what you said with regard to Mr. Sims?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And what was that?

THE WITNESS: That Mr. Sims was afraid for his well being.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Do you remember him asking you to call the police?
 - A. Yes.

MR. PICKER: Objection, leading.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

Q. Mr. Cole, you were asked some questions about some of the other patrons -- a couple of the other patrons that were in Bob & Lucy's that

morning. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Do you know the individuals that were in there that morning, do you know whether they were homeless or not?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Were they homeless?
- A. Yes. Homeless people come in very regularly.

MS. HICKMAN: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that.

THE WITNESS: The ones at the bar that was at the bottom of one of the pictures, yeah, he's a regular too.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Regulars, but you knew them to be homeless.
- A. And I knew them to be homeless, that is correct.
- Q. And did you -- did you know at the time -- did you even know their last names?
 - A. No. No.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Cole.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Hickman?

MS. HICKMAN: Thank you, your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. HICKMAN:

- Q. Mr. Cole, those two people that we're talking about at the bar that were homeless, are you with me?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. One of their names is Faustino, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And is the other one John?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And they actually still come into Bob & Lucy's, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. What is the last time you saw them?
 - A. Yesterday.
- Q. Yesterday. And between February 22nd, 2020, and yesterday, is it fair to say that they are at Bob & Lucy's fairly regularly?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So, if you wanted to find them, you could go to Bob & Lucy's and there would be a good chance they would be there?
 - A. Well, I mean, they pop in and out any time

of day. But they're usually in there at least once a day, I would say.

- Q. And one of them, actually, for a while parked his truck in the parking lot and slept there, right?
- A. He parked his car down the road and slept there.
 - Q. Okay. Who was that?
 - A. Faustino.
- Q. Did the Sparks Police Department ever come and ask you where that was to try to find those two people?
 - A. No, they did not.
- Q. And you were just testifying a little bit about the incident report that you wrote, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you wrote that at 6:45 in the morning on February $22^{\mbox{nd}}$.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. 10 minutes after, about, the police showed up, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And it's fair to say that you did not write that Mr. Sims feared for his life, correct?

- A. Feared for his well being.
- Q. You did not write that Mr. Sims thought he would be killed.
 - A. I did not.
- Q. You didn't write that Mr. Sims was being robbed, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You did not write that that female threatened him with a firearm, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. You used the word "brandished."
 - A. Yes.
- MS. HICKMAN: Thank you. I have no further questions.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Picker?
 - MR. PICKER: Nothing based on that.
- 7 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cole. You're
 - excused.
- Mr. Prengaman.
- MR. PRENGAMAN: State calls Officer
- 21 Nicholas Chambers.
 - (Witness sworn.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Good morning. Could you please state your name.
 - A. Nicholas Chambers.
- Q. Officer, could you please spell your last name.
 - A. C-h-a-m-b-e-r-s.
 - Q. And what's your occupation?
 - A. Police officer.
 - Q. And by whom are you employed?
 - A. City of Sparks.
- Q. How long have you been employed in any capacity as a peace officer with City of Sparks?
 - A. City of Sparks for approximately two years.
- Q. Any law enforcement training or experience prior to that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - O. What was that?
- A. I was a police officer in Las Vegas for 13 years.
- Q. Officer, taking you back to February $22^{\rm nd}$, 2020, what was your assignment back then?

1 A. I was working patrol.

- Q. And did you have a particular area of Sparks that you patrolled?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And what was that?
 - A. Assigned to the east side of Sparks.
- Q. And what was your shift back on -- what shift were you working on February 22nd?
 - A. Graveyard.
- Q. Taking you to the early morning hours -- and that graveyard was what hours?
- A. At that time I believe it was 10:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m.
- Q. So, around 6:20 in the morning you were on duty?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were you assigned or driving a marked Sparks patrol vehicle?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What was that? Can you describe that vehicle for us?
- A. My patrol vehicle was a Chevy Tahoe that had exterior markings and also was equipped with lights on the top of it -- of the patrol vehicle.

- Q. Was that police vehicle also equipped with a dash cam?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And that's video recording equipment.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And generally what area -- or where was that camera located? In what area? What was its field of view?
- A. The camera is mounted in the front windshield and it faces towards the front of the vehicle.
- Q. About 6:26 a.m. on February 22nd of last year, did you respond to a call for service?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Was that call for service to Bob & Lucy's at 5050 Oddie Boulevard?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What was the nature of the call?
- A. The nature of the call was subject with guns in front of the business.
- Q. And you begin responding, heading towards Bob & Lucy's?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And that morning did you have anyone with you or was it just you on patrol?
- A. I was by myself in my own car but I was with my partners.
- Q. In terms of in your vehicle, was it just you in your vehicle or --
 - A. Just me in my vehicle.
- Q. Describe your approach when you began to get into the vicinity.

THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman, a little louder, please.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Officer, could you please describe your approach as you got close to the vicinity of Bob & Lucy's?
- A. Yes, sir. So, as we were responding, due to the nature of the call, our sergeant requested us to rally up, to meet up prior to arriving in the area of Bob & Lucy's.

As I was kind of taking a shortcut through the apartment complex, I had heard over the radio that a black male was observed running from the business. As I exited that parking lot, I observed we had two marked patrol units already in the

parking lot of Bob & Lucy's. Therefore, I drove around and pulled up next to those two officers that were parked there.

- Q. I'll show you what has been admitted as Exhibit 73. Officer, do you recognize this?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And is Bob & Lucy's accurately labeled?
 - A. Yes. Yes, sir.
- Q. If you press on that monitor, you'll be able to draw. Could you show us -- with Xs or whatever is easier for you -- show us the two patrol cars that you saw were located.
- A. One was roughly here and roughly here and I pulled in there (indicating).
 - Q. And what happened next?
- A. So, as I pulled up next to my partners, due to there being alleged guns involved, I went to the back of my patrol car where I had my patrol rifle staged. I deployed my patrol rifle and started to walk around towards the passenger side of my car.

And that's when we observed the white pickup truck, which is parked in front of the business, observed the rear reverse lights turn on and start backing up.

- Q. Can you show us where that white truck was located generally?
 - A. That would be right here (indicating).
- Q. When you pulled up and saw those patrol cars there, were you aware of what their focus was? In other words -- I'll back up.

At some point did you find out that the white truck was the suspect vehicle?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is that before or after you arrived and parked your car?
- A. That was before my arrival. The description of the truck was put out over the radio that the white pickup truck was parked in front of the business.
- Q. So, when you got out of your car, were the officers from the other two cars inside or outside of their cars?
 - A. They were outside of their cars.
- Q. Describe what was happening. What were they doing?
- A. I believe the partner recognized me, he was also getting his rifle deployed. Before any commands were really given -- you have to do a

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

1920

21

22

2324

felony car stop. Before any commands were able to be given by any of the officers, the truck had started backing up.

- Q. Did any officers yell commands as the truck began backing up?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you describe that?
- A. As I observed the -- again, the reverse lights coming on and the truck backing up. I started yelling for the truck to stop.
 - Q. And at what volume?
 - A. Loud as I could, sir.
 - Q. Did the truck stop?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. What did you see?
- A. The truck continued backing up and then drove off eastbound through the parking lot and then out onto Rock Boulevard.
 - Q. And then what did you do?
- A. I got closer, jumped back into my patrol car and then started chasing after the suspect with lights and sirens activated.
- Q. Now, before I move forward, is there a reason that you and the other officers positioned

A. Yes. So, we positioned our cars -- we want the cars a distance back just for safety reasons. And then with where the vehicle was, trying to have the cars set up in a row. That's how we're trained. Obviously, provides protection for them from oncoming traffic.

- Q. So, now the truck drives onto Rock.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And is heading south?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Describe what you do.
- A. I get behind. There's probably about, maybe three, four patrol vehicles with lights and sirens ahead of me that are following behind the white pickup truck, and I was following behind them going southbound on Rock Boulevard.
- Q. You told us you had your red lights and sirens activated.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. As you were following in that position you described, did you see the truck?
 - A. Not directly, no.

Q. Did you see the truck ever stop anywhere on Rock Boulevard?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Describe how the pursuit continued.
- A. So, we continued southbound on Rock Boulevard again. The reason why I couldn't see the vehicle is the truck was driving at a high rate of speed, so it was pretty far ahead of me. I'd have to look at my report to give you an accurate speed.

I recall that he ran a couple red lights while traveling southbound on Rock Boulevard where he continued under the I-80 bridge. And then he made a left-hand turn on a street called Hymer.

- Q. Officer, referencing your report, back at the time did you document the speeds that the truck reached or that the pursuit reached while it was fresh in your memory?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And when you were recording those speeds was it, for instance, the speed your car was traveling as you pursued the truck or was it something about the truck itself?
- A. So, it was -- one is officers were giving out the radio information about what our speeds are

but also our dash cameras document the speeds our patrol vehicles were going.

- Q. So, during the pursuit were other patrol cars calling out the speeds they were driving at while they were pursuing the truck?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And you documented those speeds in your report?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. I'll show you --

MS. GROSENICK: I'm going to object.

There's lack of personal knowledge and this is hearsay.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Prengaman.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, as he testified to, it's either direct personal knowledge from his vehicle or a present-sense impression reported by the officers as they were pursuing and calling out their speeds.

THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman, you haven't asked him if he recalls what's in the report without showing it to him.

MR. PRENGAMAN: I can, your Honor. I believe he just said he couldn't tell us without

looking at his report.

THE COURT: All right.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

1.5

2.2

- Q. Officer Chambers, I'll start by asking you what speeds were reached during the pursuit going southbound on South Rock? Can you tell us that from memory?
- A. I can't tell you an exact number, but I can tell you in the area of probably 60, maybe 65 miles per hour.
- Q. Okay. What is the posted speed limit along that stretch of Rock?
 - A. I believe it's roughly 30 miles an hour.
- Q. I'll show you what we've admitted as Exhibit 77. You told us that the truck turned off of South Rock onto Hymer.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Could you show us on this aerial photograph the tail end of the path that the truck drove on Rock.
- A. So, we were coming southbound here and then made his eastbound turn onto Hymer.
 - Q. Describe what happened next.
 - A. Hymer dead-ends roughly down here. I

believe it's this building here, which is the Washoe Metal Fabricating Company. The truck drove around the backside of that business. As it was doing so, I drove onto this side of the business for potential foot pursuit, if they were to bail out of the vehicle.

As I drove up on this side here, the truck continued from behind the business where it went in front of me and then went southbound on 15th street. That's where I took up the number one position in the pursuit.

- Q. When you say you were number one, you were the car right behind them.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Did you still have your lights and sirens activated?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Including your red and blue lights?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were other police in other police cars following behind you in the pursuit?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So, as you were pursuing the truck, you were number one with other vehicles behind you?

A. Yes.

- Q. Could you tell if they had also their lights and sirens out?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - O. They did?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Describe what happens next.
- A. So, the vehicle continues south on 15th Street where it comes out onto Glendale and makes an eastbound turn onto Glendale.
- Q. Are you able to describe for us the rate of speed of the truck as it left the industrial area and took the path you just described?
- A. Yes, sir. So, going eastbound on Glendale, I believe our speeds were up in the area of 90 miles per hour.
- Q. And at that speed were you gaining on the truck?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. What happened next?
- A. So, continued down on Glendale and came up to a red light which was at McCarran. There was a marked patrol unit that was already stopped at that intersection with their red lights and blue lights

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

21

22

23 24 flashing. I started to slow down due to it being a red light intersection.

The white pickup truck drove right through the intersection and I followed behind him seeing that a patrol car was there and there was no oncoming traffic coming.

- Where did the truck go? Did the truck pull Ο. over, appear to make any effort to pull over at any point?
 - No, sir. Α.
- If the truck had stopped, would the pursuit 0. have stopped?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
 - What did you see the truck do next?
- So, the truck went through the McCarran and Glendale intersection going eastbound. It drove east all the way to where it dead-ends and made a southbound turn.
 - And to what area would that have been? 0.
 - It's still the industrial area.
- I'll show you what we've admitted as 0. Exhibit 78.

Does this aerial photograph show the area where the pursuit continued?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Please describe for us -- show us the path the truck took and what you observed.
 - A. Yes, sir.

So, we continued down Glendale. This is McCarran that I was talking about. Continued going eastbound, then came up to Deming Way here where he made a southbound turn, and then again this right here is a stop sign where he ran a stop sign and made a westbound turn onto Kleppe. Then he continued where he went through the parking lot, he was right here in this business — actually, it might be this business here.

Went through the parking lot of the business where he came back out on the road where we had another marked police unit with red and blue lights flashing stop in front of him, where he went around the marked unit and continued up through the industrial area here and back out on Glendale going westbound (indicating).

- Q. Describe the rate of speed that the vehicle traveled up until it got back to Glendale.
- A. I couldn't really give you an exact -probably in the 40, 50 mile-an-hour range, somewhere

in there.

2.2

- Q. Where did the truck go next?
- A. Truck went out to Glendale and went westbound where he went back out to McCarran and made a northbound turn onto McCarran Boulevard.
- Q. Showing you now Exhibit 79, do you recognize the area of Sparks shown here?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you able to show us where the truck continues to drive on this exhibit?
- A. Yes, sir. So, again, here's McCarran and continues north on McCarran. Right here at Nugget Avenue you have a couple red lights for Nugget Avenue and the on- and off-ramps to the freeway, which, if I recall, I believe he ran the Nugget Avenue light.

He went underneath the freeway here and passed Victorian. And then right here at Nichols, this is where he went into oncoming traffic going northbound in the southbound lanes.

- Q. At this point are you still the first car behind him, behind the truck?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Α.

- Q. Are there still other police cars following you pursuing the truck?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Yes.

- Q. Up to this point does the truck make any attempt to pull over and stop?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. What happens next?
- A. Again, the truck is going northbound in the southbound lanes. I follow the truck in the northbound lanes. He drove up to Lincoln, made a left-hand turn onto Lincoln here and I fall behind him. This is a residential area, 25-mile-an-hour zone, where he continues going through up to Stanford.

Here at Stanford he makes a hard left turn and goes southbound. Back where we turned onto McCarran going northbound, I had requested from the supervisor a pursuit intervention technique that was granted. As we were going southbound on Stanford, he's attempting to make a right-hand turn onto Victorian, where I felt the speeds were low enough

- Q. Can you describe that?
- A. Basically what it is is where we line up the front end of our car with the rear end of their car and turn into them, causing the truck to spin 180 degrees, which is supposed to stop the vehicle and proceed to safety.
- Q. So, you said you requested permission and it was granted.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Now, before we get to Stanford and Victorian, you indicated the truck went into the oncoming travel lane. Are you saying that it went all the way to Lincoln in the oncoming travel lane?
- A. Yes. So, he entered oncoming travel lanes at Nichols Boulevard and stayed in that lane until he made the left-hand turn on Lincoln.
- Q. And then are you able to tell us once the truck turned on Lincoln what speed the pursuit reached on Lincoln?
- A. Say roughly right around the 50-mile-an-hour range.
- Q. And do you recall what the posted speed limit is along that stretch?

- A. On that stretch, because it was residential, it was 25.
- Q. And then can you tell us the approximate speed of the pursuit once the truck turned onto Stanford?
- A. Again, I would say we were roughly -- got up to maybe the 40- to 50-mile-an-hour range.
- Q. So, now as the truck goes to Stanford, reaches Victorian coming off of Stanford, are there still other police cars behind you?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you recall seeing any other police cars other than those behind you as you reached Victorian?
- A. As we approached Victorian, I recalled there was a unit that was coming up westbound -- sorry -- from the east going west on Victorian Avenue toward Stanford.
- Q. Describe what happens as you try to execute the pit maneuver.
- A. So, as the truck slowed down to make the right-hand turn, I pulled up behind him where I put the front end of my car to the back rear passenger of his vehicle and I drove forward causing the truck

to spin 180 degrees.

- Q. And did the truck engine's stall out?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Did the truck stop?
- A. That, I don't know, because I had gone ahead into a fence.
- Q. What happened? Did the truck stop in the area where you were?
- A. I don't know if the truck ever came to a complete stop or not. I do recall hearing over the radio that it was successful. So, again, as I drove through the pit, I ended up crashing head on into a fence. I tried to exit my vehicle in case to get out of any kind of line of fire or anything, and then I just remember seeing the truck continue going eastbound on Victorian.
- Q. And did that end your involvement in the pursuit?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Officer, were you wearing any body camera that day?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And was it activated at the time that you -- at some point after you arrived and started

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

getting out of the vehicle?

I believe -- I believe so, yes.

I'll show you what we've marked for 0. identification as Exhibits 95 and 96.

Beginning with Exhibit 95, are you familiar with that exhibit?

- Yes, sir. Α.
- And you've seen the contents of that flash drive before?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
- And does that flash drive contain a segment of your body cam footage that shows some of the events you described when you got to Bob & Lucy's and saw the truck out front?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
- It's an accurate copy of that segment of 0. the footage?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
- With regard to Exhibit 96, do you recognize 0. that exhibit?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
- Have you had an opportunity to review the Ο. contents before?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Q. Does that contain a segment of your dash cam footage from your patrol vehicle that you were driving this morning?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And does that segment of footage show your pursuit of the truck up to the point where you -- after you -- up to the point where the pit maneuver and you were no longer involved?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Again, that's an accurate copy of your -- that segment of the footage?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. PRENGAMAN: I'd move for the admission of Exhibits 95 and 96.

MS. GROSENICK: No objection.

THE COURT: Ms. Rosenthal?

MS. ROSENTHAL: Thank you, your Honor. I just have a couple questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Officer Chambers, that's just a portion of your video from that day, correct?
 - A. Which one?

- Q. I'll start with Exhibit 95, the body camera. You reviewed it prior to today?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And is it a full video of your body cam?
- A. I don't 100 percent recall. Because once you get back in the vehicle, where it's mounted you can't see over the dash. But I noticed the body camera when I'm getting out of the vehicle and getting my AR-15 shows the truck parked in front of the business.
 - Q. Did you edit the body cam?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you know if it was edited?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. No, you don't know or, no, it was not edited?
 - A. No, I don't know.
- Q. And then in regards to Exhibit 96, dash cam, is that a full upload of your dash cam from that day?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. The full video?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. ROSENTHAL: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ninety-five and 96 are in.

Thank you, Ms. Rosenthal.

(Exhibits 95 and 96 admitted.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

Q. I'll show you what's marked as Exhibit 121. I'll ask you to look at that.

Do you recognize that?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 121, is it labeled as "Full dash cam"?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And did you have a chance to watch that as well?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. You just testified a few moments ago about Exhibit 96. Can you tell us how that one was labeled?
 - A. 96 is labeled "Dash cam edit."
- Q. Now, was there a time when you looked -- were able to look at both of those?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Looking at those now, does that kinda refresh your recollection about which has the full

Q. Is 96 an edited version that shows a segment of the pursuit?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. 121 is a copy of full footage that was uploaded to the -- basically the software that keeps your dash cam footage.

A. Correct.

MR. PRENGAMAN: With that, I'd move for the admission of nine --

THE COURT: Ninety-five and 96 are admitted. Are you offering 121 also?

MR. PRENGAMAN: I'm not at this time.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Based off that followup, I had a couple questions for 96.

BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Is it accurate that Exhibit 96 is an edited version?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you did not do the editing of that.
 - A. No, ma'am.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Rosenthal.

Mr. Prengaman.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. I'm showing you now the opening frames of Exhibit 95. I stopped it at two seconds in the footage. Can you tell us what we're looking at here.
- A. This is the one vehicle that I was talking about that was parked around the other side and this is where I had reached -- deployed my rifle from the back of my SUV.
 - Q. What is the building you see here?
- A. So, this building right here is the Bob & Lucy's.
- Q. And if you could go back to Exhibit 73, show us where that patrol car is.
 - A. Say that one more time.
- Q. Could you show us the patrol car we just saw in the previous footage? Could you show us where that was located. Orient us.
- A. So, the patrol car that I had circled was roughly right around here. Again, I had pulled in roughly there (indicating).

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. We're hearing no sound for the first 30 seconds of that.
- A. The reason is I had activated my lights into the -- well, the Position 3 mode on our console, which automates the activation of our body cameras and dash cameras.
- Q. And there's that 30-second gap with no sound, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Now, Officer, what did we just see?
- A. So, that's where we saw our vehicles setting up to do a felony car stop. The white pickup truck that was parked in front of the business was described as the suspect vehicle to us. As it was starting to reverse backwards, you saw me walk to the side, put my rifle in the truck and that's when I was yelling commands to the truck to stop.
- Q. And because of the way the camera functions, we didn't hear anything because it didn't capture it.
 - A. Right.

A. This is from the dash cam mounted in my patrol car. You obviously see the two cars parked here and then this is the suspect vehicle here (indicating).

(Video played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Officer Chambers, pausing at 1 minute and 26 seconds into the footage, is the truck and your vehicles and the other vehicles still on South Rock?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What area has the truck gone to?
 - A. He has -- sorry. Can you say that again?
 - Q. What area are we looking at here?
- A. So, this is now southbound Rock and the that's the I-80 freeway underpass.

(Video played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Stopping at two minutes and two seconds in, you've just veered off from following the patrol cars.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. What's happening?

A. So, as you heard on the radio, the officer advised there was a dead end. The truck went back behind this business. Not knowing if there was a fence back there or not, a lot of times what will happens is subjects will dump their car, essentially, or exit the vehicle and then flee on foot. And so I was coming over here to try and cut off that direction or set up a perimeter.

(Video Played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Stopping at two minutes and six seconds, what's happened?
- A. As you saw, the truck came back from behind the building and drove directly in front of me and I'm now No. 1 in the pursuit.

(Video played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Now pausing at four minutes and 13 seconds into the footage, Officer Chambers, where is the truck going now?
- A. Now, we're going southbound on I believe it was Deming.

(Video played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Now, Officer, pausing at five minutes and 32 seconds in, where has the truck just turned?
- A. We are now going back westbound on Glendale.

(Video played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Stopping at six minutes and 12 seconds, has the truck turned onto McCarran?
 - A. Yes. We're both on McCarran.
- Q. Did we just hear you say something about the pit maneuver?
- A. Yes. I just requested through a supervisor for a pit maneuver.

(Video played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Pausing at seven minutes and 22 seconds in, where has the truck just turned?
 - A. We're now southbound on Stanford.

(Video played.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. So, Officer, what did you see?
- A. So, that's where you saw me attempt to do the pit maneuver and then I crashed into the fence.

- Q. The truck was still proceeding as you earlier described?
 - A. What's that?
- Q. You heard radio traffic say the truck was continuing on, as you earlier described?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

MR. PRENGAMAN: I have no further questions. Thank you, Officer.

THE COURT: This might be a good time to take our lunch break, a forty-five-minute lunch break.

(Jury admonished and excused for lunch recess.)

THE COURT: Thanks, everyone. Please be seated.

(Proceeding out of the presence.)

Counsel, just a couple things before we seat the jury.

Mr. Picker and Ms. Rosenthal, in your supplemental trial statement there's an issue I want to bring to your attention, because we had a body cam video introduced through Loeschner and through Chambers. On page four you have a heading title entitled "Present-sense impression statements made"

by the police officers on --"

2.0

MR. PICKER: I can't hear you.

THE COURT: On page four of your supplemental trial statement you have a category heading "Present-sense impression statements" and you offer a limited instruction. So far, body cam footage has come in through some of these officers but you've not objected to it.

The issue is, as I stated, the present-sense impression. And now that it's obvious that Ms. Norman was not in the truck, this footage and what the officers are saying appears to be related to the pursuit of the vehicle. I'm wondering are you — because it's obvious now that your client is not in a truck, is this not an issue for you anymore?

MR. PICKER: Your Honor, it does remain an issue. The concern we have was some of the specific statements by some of the officers, those have not come in.

THE COURT: Okay. And you'll alert me to that later?

MR. PICKER: We will.

THE COURT: Thank you. I don't know how

much is left with the current witness on the stand, Officer Chambers, but after that we'll call Mr. Sims. And there are two issues but I want to give you an opportunity to confirm first that there are two issues related to Sims' testimony regarding limiting instructions. And then I wanted to read to you what I was going to read to jurors directly before that testimony is elicited.

2.0

I was prepared to do it as the witness -as the witness going to take the stand, but Ms.
Hickman has asked me if I would do it directly
before the questions asked. And so with regard to
this court's previous ruling that Mr. Sims can
testify as to the handgun, the instruction reads as
follows:

"You are about to hear testimony related to Mr. Williams' possession of a weapon that occurred prior to the events that occurred in this case, which was February 22nd, 2020. This evidence cannot be used to prove Mr. Williams' character — and it's to show he acted in such a way in this case. The information may, however, be used to establish a motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or as to

Sims" --

Then there's the instruction regarding the text messages that will come in that Ms. Norman sent to Mr. Sims. This is a limiting instruction to the benefit of Mr. Williams.

"You are about to hear testimony related to text messages Ms. Norman sent to Mr. Sims prior to the events that occurred in this case on February 22nd, 2020. These text messages may not be considered against Mr. Williams."

So, Counsel, my first question for you is with regard to Mr. Sims' testimony, not to prevent you from raising anything as we move through his testimony, but with regard to those things that were pretrial. Mr. Picker, anything else?

MR. PICKER: Just a moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Hickman and Ms. Grosenick, same question for you.

MS. HICKMAN: Your Honor, just one second to talk.

THE COURT: Of course.

MS. HICKMAN: With regards to the instruction proposed, I would ask that you say "Mr. Williams' alleged possession of a firearm." And

then when you're saying the reasons that it may come in, I would ask that that be limited to reasons noticed by the state in that the Court granted permission -- and I was trying to pull the order, actually.

THE COURT: Hang on. This is what -- that order was issued by this court February 18th, 2021. The court's analysis begins at page four, line 15, but the actual findings by the Court begin on line page 7, line 12. Go ahead and look at that.

MS. HICKMAN: Your Honor, it only comes in for the reason the Court ruled --

THE COURT: You need your mic on.

MS. HICKMAN: It is on. It should only come in for the reason the Court ruled.

THE COURT: I see it says beginning at line 19, page seven, "Specifically, Mr. Williams' prior handgun possession is relevant to the "by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future" element of the Robbery and Attempted Robbery Counts."

MS. HICKMAN: I don't know how you want to explain it. Just listing all of those in a big prong is too broad.

THE COURT: I just took what I was going for because the parties have characterized it in terms of 48.045 was a statutory definition.

2.0

MS. HICKMAN: Right, but it doesn't come in for all those definitions.

THE COURT: Second reason, page eight, line ten. "The prior possession is relevant for the additional purpose of explaining Sims' prior statements to police, should Williams seek to introduce the same."

It looks like, Counsel, pursuant to the order, those were the two grounds, only one of which is relevant during direct examination, which appears to be "by means of force or violence or fear of injury immediate or future." Mr. Prengaman?

MR. PRENGAMAN: I would suggest that the limiting instruction be framed in terms — in terms of that the evidence of the prior handgun possession may be considered by the jury in assessing the — something along the lines of assessing the circumstances of the offenses — something — because I think that gets at — obviously, that needs to be worked up a little bit.

But that, essentially, gets at the

appropriate use I believe the Court has authorized. In other words, Mr. Sims' knowledge of that prior possession is relevant not to prove that Williams is a bad guy, but it's relevant because it's one of the circumstances of the offense.

2.0

THE COURT: The order limits the circumstances to this. "Specifically, Mr. Williams' prior handgun possession is relevant to the, open quote, by means of force or violence or fear of injury immediate or future, closed quote, element of the Robbery or Attempted Robbery counts because it provides context to Williams's statement, You know how I roll." That' what the order says.

Counsel for Ms. Norman and Mr. Williams, have you had an opportunity to discuss this with Mr. Prengaman? I feel like you're on the same page and I'd be inclined to give you a few minutes to talk about it to see if you can come up with some language as opposed to going back and forth on the record. Can we take a few minutes off the record? I want to resolve this before I bring the jury back. I don't know how much is left of Officer Chambers, and I'd like to go right into Witness Sims.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Essentially, your Honor,

I'll request a recess after Chambers because Mr.

Sims is in custody and they'll have to move him -my understanding is due to logistics they can't

stage him here. They have to bring him over from
holding.

2.0

THE COURT: We'll take a break. Let's do that. We'll finish with Chambers and then take the break before Witness Sims, during which time you can all chat about the limiting instruction, please.

MS. HICKMAN: Before you bring them in, as the case goes forward I would ask the Court to turn on the Zoom link any time we are in court and the courtroom is not closed. Because anything outside the presence of the jury is not being broadcast and because, whether people are across the hall or on Zoom, the only way for it to be viewed is virtually.

So, anytime it's not on Zoom, it has the same effect as closing the courtroom. We need to be on Zoom any time we're on the record unless the Court makes a finding that the courtroom should be closed.

THE COURT: The only time I'd make a finding is when counsel says to me we don't want something that's outside the presence of the jury to

be broadcast because it's outside the presence of the jury and it's going to be broadcast and you're 2 concerned about communication or feedback to the 3 jury related to that issue because it's online. 4 Okay. You let me know, then. Bear that in mind. 5 MS. HICKMAN: Unless we object, it'll all 6 7 be broadcast. THE COURT: Unless you object, it will all 8 be broadast. 9 MS. HICKMAN: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Picker, do you agree to 11 that as well? 12 MR. PICKER: I do. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman? 14 MR. PRENGAMAN: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. We'll make sure that 16 happens. Anything else? 17 MS. HICKMAN: No. 18 (Jury seated.) 19 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be 20 seated. Ms. Grosenick. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MS. GROSENICK: 23

Officer Chambers, let's start with the

routes of this case.

2

your Honor, the witness?

3

4

5

BY MS. GROSENICK:

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. GROSENICK: Permission to approach,

THE COURT: Yes.

- I'll show you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 191. Sir, if you could please look at that and let me know if you recognize that area.
 - Yes, ma'am. Α.
- Do you see Bob & Lucy's in the top left-hand corner?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Do you see Interstate 80? Q.
 - Yes, ma'am. Α.
 - And do you see McCarran? Q.
 - Yes, ma'am. Α.
- And does that appear to be a fair and Q. accurate representation of the area of Sparks over which this case ensued?
 - Yes, ma'am. Α.
 - Thank you. 0.

MS. GROSENICK: Your Honor, I move to admit Exhibit 191.

MR. PRENGAMAN: No objection.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

ΤO

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

MS. ROSENTHAL: No objection.

THE COURT: 191 is in.

(Exhibit 191 admitted.)

MS. GROSENICK: May I publish to the jury?

THE COURT: Of course.

BY MS. GROSENICK:

- Q. All right. So, you've identified Bob & Lucy's tavern, and that is up here in the left-hand corner, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it's where that little red block is, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. I'll put an X there.

Now, this road that runs north to south, it goes right by there and that's Rock Boulevard, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And when the truck left the parking lot of Bob & Lucy's, it went south on Rock, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it drove south down Rock under the interstate to Hymer.
 - A. Correct.

5

7

9

10 11

12

14

13

1516

17

1819

20

21

22

23

- Q. And it took a left on Hymer, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Would it be accurate for me to draw from the Bob & Lucy's down Rock Boulevard to Hymer?
 - A. Correct, yeah.
 - Q. Now, the vehicle turned left onto Hymer?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And it went over into this area, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you can see that's 15th Street there at the bottom.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So, what happened right here at this corner of 15th and Hymer?
- A. Just east of 15th Street where it dead-ends there this metal fabrication company, which is where the suspect vehicle drove in.
- Q. Would you say it turned in a circle and then went down south on 15th?
 - A. Roughly.
 - Q. Well, how would you describe it?
 - A. More like a U shape.
 - Q. Okay. Like this (indicating)?
 - A. No. The other direction.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 2223
- 24

- Q. Up?
- A. East past 15th, went to the north around the business, back westbound and back down southbound.
- Q. Okay. Did it get onto 15th Street at Hymer?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So, like this (indicating)?
 - A. Can I draw on this and show you?
 - Q. Yeah.
- A. So, he came here, went around the business and then he came back down 15th Street (indicating).
- Q. Okay. Went all the way up to the railroad tracks?
 - A. Well, no.
- Q. Okay. So, then he travels south onto 15th, correct?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Down to Glendale?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. And this 648 is Glendale, correct?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Can you go ahead -- I think it will be easier if you draw on there.

- A. Okay. So, he went south on 15th and then he went eastbound on Glendale.
- Q. Okay. And it, in fact, continued eastbound on Glendale all the way to where it makes this turn, correct?
 - A. Yeah, I believe so. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you need to consult your report or anything to remember?
 - A. Just looking at the area.
- Q. Okay. If you could please finish that line.
- A. So, it goes eastbound on Glendale. This is McCarran right here. It goes over, all the way down to the end where he hits (indicating).
- Q. That's where the road kind of dead-ends, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And he makes that corner and then turns right onto Kleppe, correct?
- A. He comes down -- I believe that street -- wherever it dead-ends and then he makes that turn on Kleppe.
 - Q. And then what?
 - A. Somewhere right in there on Kleppe is where

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

you have all the industrial areas, which is where he went through the parking lot of the industrial area.

- Q. Okay. So, can you draw that, please.
- A. This is kind of a little more difficult.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Somewhere right -- this is just -- somewhere in this area is where he had turned.
 - Q. Okay. And then what?
- A. Then he proceeded northbound through the industrial area, come up this way and then back to Glendale and then westbound turn.
- Q. Okay. And was that on Deming that he traveled north?
- A. Again, without having a zoomed-in look of the industrial area, it's kinda hard for me to tell.
- Q. Okay. But he goes north onto Glendale, correct?
 - A. North up to Glendale, yes, ma'am.
 - Q. And took a left on Glendale?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And at that point the truck was traveling westbound on Glendale?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. And then do you see where Glendale

2.2

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. The truck turned right onto McCarran there?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. At that point the truck was traveling northbound on McCarran?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So, can you draw from Glendale north on McCarran the truck's route?
 - A. Where the truck what?
 - O. The truck's route.
- A. Okay. So, north on McCarran, this is where he goes under the I-80 freeway. I'm not sure what exactly this road is. It might be Nichols right here. In between Nichols and Lincoln is where he goes into oncoming traffic going southbound down the northbound lanes.
- Q. Okay. And at Lincoln the truck goes left, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Do you see Lincoln on the map?
- A. I -- without it being zoomed in on the street names, I'm just going from working the streets. I believe this right here is Nichols and I

think this might be Lincoln but, again, I'm not 100 percent accurate.

- Q. Do you want to look a little bit to your left where you drew that last dash and see if you recognize the street name.
 - A. Okay. It says "Lincoln."
 - Q. It does say "Lincoln"?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. So, the truck turns left onto Lincoln and then left again onto Stanford, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you see Stanford on the map?
- A. Is this it right here? Again, the word's are real blurry on my end. That might be it. It's hard to tell.
 - Q. Let me see if I can zoom it in for you.
- A. Yeah, this is Stanford right there. Here's Lincoln here and here's Stanford here (indicating).
- Q. Okay. So, the vehicle turns left onto Stanford, correct?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - O. So, it's headed south, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And right here at the intersection with

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And you said that right before initiating the pit maneuvers the vehicle was turning right onto Victorian?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Does what we have now on Exhibit 191 appear to be a fair and accurate representation of the route that the chase took that morning?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Up until your -- the end of your involvement in it, anyway.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. I want to talk to you about your involvement in this case. You were the third officer to park outside of Bob & Lucy's, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And two other officers were already there?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And at the time that you arrived, the other officers were already out of their vehicles.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. I'm going to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit 96. You recognize this as your dash cam

that day, correct?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. All right. Let's talk about what you knew at the time that you were outside of Bob & Lucy's.

This is at the beginning of your dash cam, so it's zero zero.

- A. Correct.
- Q. At this point you knew that the call was about threats with a gun in front of Bob & Lucy's?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You also knew that the white truck was the one you were looking for?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And at this point you had a clear view of the rear of that truck.
 - A. Correct.
 - O. You could see that it was a truck.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. It was white.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. You knew the license plate number, correct?
- A. Well, we didn't -- I don't recall there being a license plate number that was given to us by dispatch.

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

1718

1920

21

22

2324

Q. Would it refresh your recollection to see the catalog?

A. Yes, it would.

MS. GROSENICK: Permission to approach, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MS. GROSENICK:

Q. Showing you Exhibit 113, look at that but don't read it out loud. And let me know if a plate number was broadcast that morning.

(Witness reviewing document.)

THE COURT: Is there a page number?

BY MS. GROSENICK:

- Q. You can look at 6:33:44 seconds. That might refresh your recollection.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So, that means that a plate number was read out over the radio, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that plate number also made it into your report, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And as you are responding to this, the radio is available to you.

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

1.6

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

A. Yes and no. When you just arrive on scene, they close the channels, which means you're on the scene, and you're responding and you're supposed to stay off the radio.

- Q. Okay. But it's fair to say that the police force that you work for had that information at 6:33 a.m. and 44 seconds.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. If we turn back to Exhibit 96, which is up on the screen, which is your dash cam, at 6:34 a.m. and five seconds --
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so that information was available prior to the beginning of your dash cam.
 - A. It would appear so.
- Q. And at this time the truck that you're looking for is still parked outside of Bob & Lucy's.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Does not have on headlights?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Does not have on brake lights?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, that truck is parked in a parking space.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

- A. Correct.
- O. It had pulled forward into the spot.
- A. Correct.
- O. It had not backed in.
- A. Correct.
- Q. Let's talk about what happened when you arrived. So, none of the other police vehicles had red and blue lights on at that time.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. None of the other police vehicles had sirens on at that time.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Your vehicle did not have lights or sirens on at that time either.
 - A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. So you parked your vehicle?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. You got out?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. You grabbed your AR-15 from your trunk?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - O. You were getting in position?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. For a felony stop.

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And then that's the point at which the truck began to reverse.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you could see that the truck's windows were up.
- A. I only had a really good view of the back window.
- Q. So, we'll play Exhibit 96 starting at the beginning. Pausing at 19 seconds, you can see the windows are up?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When you see the vehicle begin to leave, that's when you yell "Stop"?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it's not through your vehicle's announcement system.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. That's just you yelling.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. At that time you were 15 to 20 yards away from that truck.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Now, when you and the other officers see

the truck start to leave, you got back in your vehicle.

- A. Correct.
- Q. And you testified on direct that you were approximately four to five cars back.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Playing from 19, I would ask for you to look for how many other cars are in front of you in the chase.

I'll pause at 28 seconds. There are at least three other police vehicles in view at this point, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So, if this is all there is, you would be No. 4.
- A. Correct. But then there's -- if you play the video, there's one more that comes into the camera view that is in front of me with lights and sirens on.
 - Q. Exactly. I'll play from 28.

Here we see this vehicle coming in from another direction.

- A. Correct.
- Q. And that vehicle ends up in front of you as

well.

- A. Correct.
- Q. So, at that point you're No. 5.
- A. Correct.
- Q. And at this point the information that you have about the reason for the call is threats with a qun.
- A. It was threats with a gun, and then there were also the details that the female was inside the business and hiding.
- Q. Okay. So, you knew one suspect was still inside.
- A. Wasn't sure exactly if the suspects were still inside or not.
- Q. So, at this point the information that you had about the reason for the call was threats with a qun.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you didn't get the information that there was an allegation of a robbery until later.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. I'm playing from 32 seconds.

(Video played.)

BY MS. GROSENICK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, there's a police vehicle directly in front of you, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that vehicle does not have its red and blue lights on, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And I stopped at 53 seconds for the record and I'll play from there now.

(Video played.)

BY MS. GROSENICK:

- Q. Pausing at two minutes and three seconds and you can see that this is the truck that you were chasing.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And it drove past you.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. At this point you become the lead car in the chase.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And you are the lead car in that chase all the way up until the pit maneuver.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Now, this is where the vehicle goes south

on 15th and left on Glendale? 1 2 A. Correct. Q. Playing from two minutes and three seconds. 3 (Video played.) 4 5 BY MS. GROSENICK: Stopping at two minutes and twenty seconds, 6 the truck put on its left-turn signal? 7 Correct. 8 Α. And the vehicle did, in fact, turn left? 9 10 Yes, ma'am: Α. (Video played.) 11 12 BY MS. GROSENICK: Pausing at three minutes and 17 seconds 13 just to orient us, at this point we're driving 14 15 eastbound on Glendale, correct? Yes, ma'am. 16 Α. Starting to play from 3:17. 17 0. (Video played.) 18 BY MS. GROSENICK: 19 Stopping at three minutes and 47 seconds, 2.0 Ο. this is the truck, correct? 21 22 Yes, ma'am. Α. And you just saw it put on its left 23 0. blinker, correct? 24

A. I believe so.

Q. Would you like to see it again?

A. Yes, ma'am.

(Video played.)

BY MS. GROSENICK:

Q. Backing up to 3:42 — sorry. Didn't go far enough. 3:35.

(Video played.)

BY MS. GROSENICK:

- Q. Stopping at 3:42, did you see the left blinker go on there?
- A. I can't really see it well from this distance.
- Q. Okay. Then I'll ask you to watch from here and see the right blinker go back on as it goes into the main lane of travel.

(Video played.)

BY MS. GROSENICK:

- Q. Stopping at five minutes and two seconds, the vehicle is essentially turned around at this point, correct?
 - A. What do you mean by "turned around"?
- Q. Well, the vehicle and you following entered that parking lot that's on the left?

- Correct. Α. 1 And then the vehicle left the parking lot, 0. correct? 3 Correct. 4 Α. And is now heading back in the direction of 5 where it entered, correct? 6 7 Correct. Α.
 - Q. Playing from 5:02. (Video played.)

BY MS. GROSENICK:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Pausing at 5:28, the vehicle has gotten back to Glendale?
 - A. Correct.
 - O. And the vehicle is now heading west?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Earlier you had been behind the same vehicle heading west on Glendale?
 - A. We were heading --
- Q. I'm sorry. You're right, heading east on Glendale.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So, the vehicle has essentially turned around.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. Playing from 5:28.

(Video played.)

BY MS. GROSENICK:

- Stopping at six minutes and 44 seconds, this is the intersection with Lincoln, correct?
 - Correct. Α.
- And what we see ahead is some oncoming traffic, correct?
 - Correct. Α.
- And if that vehicle had gone straight through that intersection, it likely would have hit another vehicle oncoming?
 - Α. Correct.
 - But it didn't do that. 0.
 - Correct. Α.
 - It turned left onto Lincoln, right? Ο.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- And it actually applied the brakes before 0. it did that.
 - Yes, ma'am. Α.
- Playing from six minutes and 44 seconds, the vehicle turned on its left turn signal, correct?
 - I believe so. Α.

(Video played.)

24

BY MS. GROSENICK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Officer, this is the intersection with Stanford, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And this is where the vehicle took a left?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. And you were pretty close at that point.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. In fact, if you hadn't applied your brakes, you could have rear-ended the vehicle.
 - A. Possibly.
- Q. I'm playing from seven minutes and 17 seconds.

(Video played.)

BY MS. GROSENICK:

- Q. Stopping at seven minutes and 38 section, this is the intersection of Stanford at Victorian?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And Victorian is the road that's -- that they're about to go into.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. There's no road, if you go straight across Stanford, correct?
 - A. Correct.

A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

- O. And there's a chain-link fence there.
- A. Correct.
- Q. This is where you performed the pit maneuver?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. I want to talk to you about a pit maneuver, because the purpose of that is to disable a vehicle that's being pursued, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it's done by intentionally using your vehicle to hit the other vehicle, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. The idea is to spin it 180 degrees.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And that should make the engine stall.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so in this pit maneuver you did, in fact, spin the vehicle 180 degrees.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. But the vehicle was not disabled.
 - A. Correct.

- O. Your vehicle was disabled.
- A. Correct.

- Q. Sufficiently that you couldn't participate any more that morning with your vehicle, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And just after this happened, your vehicle drives up on the little barrier and hits the fence.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. You testified on direct that that pit maneuver -- you saw your opportunity to use a pit maneuver here because the vehicle was turning right.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. At that point you had permission to use a pit maneuver?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that's something that you need permission for because you're talking about colliding your vehicle into another vehicle.
 - A. Depending on the circumstances.
 - Q. Oh, you didn't need permission?
- A. It just depends on the circumstances. If you have the opportunity, you can get permission.
- Q. So, at the time that this vehicle is about to turn right onto Victorian, it would be heading --

if it had completed that turn, it would be heading west.

A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And that would be away from the intersection with McCarran.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Now, you did employ the pit maneuver.
 - A. Correct.
 - O. You struck the white truck?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. As it was turning right.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. The pit maneuver caused the vehicle to spin 180 degrees.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that placed it pointing in the opposite direction than it had been going.
 - A. Correct.
 - O. Which would be eastbound on Victorian.
- A. In the direction that it had been going or it was going to originally go?
- Q. It was originally going westbound on Victorian.
 - A. Yes.

And you spun it 180 degrees. 1 0. 2 Correct. Α. Which meant it was pointing eastbound. 3 0. Yes, ma'am. Α. 4 5 Towards McCarran? 0. Yes, ma'am. 6 Α. Towards the I-80 offramp of McCarran. 7 Q. Just pointed -- you could have gone any 8 Α. direction. There were three different ways probably 9 could have gone. 10 And your pit was successful in that you did 11 0. spin the vehicle 180 degrees. 12 Yes. 13 Α. MS. GROSENICK: No further questions. 14 Ms. Rosenthal? THE COURT: 15 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 17 BY MS. ROSENTHAL: Mr. Chambers, you were parked right behind 18 the suspect vehicle when you were parked at Bob & 19 20 Lucy's. Correct. 21 Α. I'm sorry? 22 Q. A. Correct. Sorry. 23 Thank you. Were you close enough to see or

24

Q.

6

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

confirm the license plate that was provided over the radio at that time?

- A. I wasn't -- I really wasn't paying attention. The officers were concerned more about the suspects inside the car.
- Q. Were you close enough to see the occupant or occupants of the truck?
- A. From my distance with the tinted windows, again it was hard to tell if there were any occupants inside the truck.
- Q. At any point did you see Ms. Norman in the vehicle as you pursued it?
 - A. I did not.

MS. ROSENTHAL: No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Rosenthal.

MR. PRENGAMAN: No further questions.

MS. HICKMAN: No.

MS. GROSENICK: No further questions.

THE COURT: We're going to take another brief recess.

(Jury admonished and excused.)

(Proceeding out of jury presence.)

THE COURT: One other thing I neglected to address is I'm holding my ruling on -- I think it's

Exhibit 17, which is the picture of the knife that is attached to Mr. Williams' trousers.

2.0

And the reason I'm withholding it is because we don't know what Mr. Sims will say and Counsel represented on Friday that it may go to some statement that Mr. Sims may say about what he saw in Mr. Williams' waistband.

Given my ruling related to the other photographs that revealed weapons in the vehicle, it's my intention to also give a limiting instruction if that photograph comes in about the fact that it's being used for the limited purpose of establishing what Mr. Sims may have seen, because of the rationale for me not admitting the photographs of the weapons that were found in the truck and so it would be limited for that exclusive purpose. I just wanted to alert you to that as well.

We'll be in recess until Mr. Prengaman says he's ready.

(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: During the break Counsel had a task to see about earlier today and that was if they could come up with a stipulated limiting instruction regarding the -- this court's order regarding

Mr. Williams' alleged possession of a firearm prior to -- well, the only other incident where Mr. Sims had met him.

2.2

The parties had come up with their instructions and I'll ask the clerk to make sure each one of their versions is filed in the record.

Ms. Hickman, your instruction was, "You are about to hear testimony related to Mr. Williams' alleged possession of a handgun prior to the events of this case. This evidence cannot be used to prove Mr. Williams' character. It is being admitted for the limited purposes of giving context to a statement Mr. Williams alleged to have made to Mr. Sims and/or Mr. Williams' intent. As with all evidence, it is up to you, the jury, to decide whether to believe all, none, or part of the testimony and the weight to give it."

Mr. Picker on behalf of Ms. Norman objects to this instruction, and from what I can tell is asking for just this inclusion after the first sentence of the Williams instruction, which is "You may consider this evidence only against him" -- "him" being Mr. Williams -- "not against Ms. Norman."

And then Mr. Prengaman has proposed an instruction, "You are about to hear evidence of alleged prior possession of a handgun by Defendant Ryan Williams on the date other than February 22nd, 2020. This evidence is not to be considered for purposes of proving the character or action in conforming therewith on February 22, 2020. However, such evidence may be considered in determining motive and intent on February 22nd, 2020, and in determining the element of Robbery and Attempted Robbery, that the offense has been committed by use of force or violence or fear of injury immediate or future."

Counsel, having reviewed 48.045 and having reviewed your pleadings and this court's order related to whether or not this testimony was admissible, I'm prepared to give, in large part, the instruction proposed by Mr. Prengaman with the following changes. I'll let you know what I'm going to make changes to.

"You are about to hear evidence of an alleged prior possession of a handgun by Defendant Ryan Williams on a date other than February 20, 2020. This evidence is not to be considered for

purposes of proving character or an action in conformity therewith on February 22, 2020. However, such evidence may be considered in determining motive and intent" -- insert the language --"related to a statement Mr. Williams allegedly made to Mr. Sims on February 22, 2020" -- excuse me. "However, such evidence may be considered in determining motive and intent" -- no insertion. This is Mr. Prengaman's instruction -- "on February 22, 2020 and in determining the element of Robbery and Attempted Robbery that the offenses being committed by means of force or violence or fear of injury immediate or future. You may consider this evidence only against Mr. Williams, not against Ms. Norman. As with all evidence, it is up to you, the jury, to decide whether all or none or part of the testimony and the weight to give it."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Prengaman, we're going to have to talk about this. You need to move that microphone. I did not hear something you said this morning. The court reporters who have participated in this trial are having trouble hearing you. And I missed something you said this morning. We need to clip the mic to the mask. I want to test it to see if we

can hear you better.

Why don't you want to put it on your mask?

MR. PRENGAMAN: It's awkward.

THE COURT: Let's go off the record.

MR. PRENGAMAN: I would like to be heard on the limiting part of that, based on the Court's prior ruling, if this is okay.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PRENGAMAN: The Court previously ruled in the context of the motions that, with respect to the emails, those were heard prior. But the Court believed there's sufficient evidence that the standard of the state --

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. This is terrible. I can't hear every word. I guess we'll keep trying.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, I submit that based on the Court's ruling previously, this is a co-conspirator statement and it is made in the course and scope of the conspiracy, so I submit --

THE COURT: "You know how I roll"?

MR. PRENGAMAN: Yes, your Honor, because much like the statement that Ms. Norman makes that the Court has already ruled are co-conspirator

statements — in other words, the Court has ruled the state has sufficient evidence that there is a conspiracy and aiding and abetting to meet the standard.

So, I submit, your Honor, in the context of when this occurred, the Defendant Williams comes in, joins Ms. Norman, and then issues these statements to Mr. Sims to get him out of the business. That is in the course and scope of the conspiracy. In other words, his statements made in the course and scope are co-conspirator statements, which are admissible against both defendants. In other words, there should be no limiting instruction because they are admissible as co-conspirator statements.

THE COURT: My intention with regard to the limitation was only with regard to the prior handgun possession, not with regard to anything that was said on February 22nd related to that.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Okay. I see, your Honor.

And maybe -- I was looking at your ruling as a fair import of your ruling is the fact it made those statements -- I see what the Court is saying. No. I understand.

THE COURT: I was making clear that the

limiting piece which was going to be, You may consider this evidence only against Mr. Williams, not against Ms. Norman. To make it clearer, "You may consider the evidence regarding prior handgun possession only against Mr. Williams, not against Ms. Norman."

MR. PRENGAMAN: But in that respect, your Honor, so is part of the events — in other words, Mr. Sims' understanding of that statement, which, again, I would submit is a co-conspirator statement, his understanding of that as a threat would be admissible so that the conduct piece of that would be admissible against both — because it's a circumstance of the offense. In other words, two offenders go to do a bank robbery and one of them says, Everybody gets down on the ground or I'll shoot you or kill you. That statement is admissible against both defendants in the course and scope.

And so here it's Mr. Sims' understanding, but the statement itself is not, I would submit, not limited to against Mr. Williams.

THE COURT: What statement?

MR. PRENGAMAN: The import of the statement. In other words --

THE COURT: Which statement?

MR. PRENGAMAN: The statement, "You know how I roll" as a component of the force and fear element would be admissible against both, I would submit.

THE COURT: And I don't think this instruction prevents from arguing that.

MR. PRENGAMAN: It just sounds to me like, if we're not telling them what statement we're talking about, that seems to me like a -- I hate to say a flaw, but we're not telling them. We're saying a statement. In other words, if we get that specific, the jury doesn't know what statement we're talking about unless it's identified in the order -- I mean in the limiting instruction.

THE COURT: But what the instruction is designed to deal with is just the prior handgun possession. It doesn't deal with the statements by either party on February 22, 2020. The beginning of your instruction says, "You are about to hear evidence of alleged prior possession of a handgun by Defendant Ryan Williams on a date other than February 22, 2020. This evidence" -- meaning the evidence of a prior handgun possession -- "is not to

be considered for purposes of proving character or action or conformity therewith on February 22.

However, such evidence still may be considered in determining motive or intent on February 22, 2020," et cetera.

MR. PRENGAMAN: The Court goes on in that next sentence I believe that to my ears sounded like it was --

THE COURT: The next sentence is, "You may consider this evidence only against Mr. Williams, not against Ms. Norman." And the last sentence is, "As with all evidence, it is up to you, the jury, to decide whether to believe all, none, or part of the testimony and the weight to give it."

That's it. That's what I'm proposing. I started out and corrected myself by talking about a statement, but that's not in the instruction.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Correct.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. PRENGAMAN: To clarify, the Court's view is that doesn't prevent me from arguing the "You know how I roll" statement is admissible against both. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Hickman, would you like Ms.

Grosenick to make a record?

MS. GROSENICK: Can you please read it one more time?

THE COURT: You should have a copy of it there in front of you. It's Mr. Prengaman's instruction with two additions.

His instruction, "You are about to hear evidence of alleged prior possession of a handgun by Defendant Ryan Williams on a date other than February 22, 2020. This evidence is not to be considered for purposes of proving character or action in conformity therewith on February 22, 2020. However, such evidence may be considered in determining motive and intent on February 22, 2020, and determining the element Robbery, Attempted Robbery, that the offense was committed by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future."

Added to Mr. Prengaman's instruction, Mr. Picker's sentence, "You may consider this evidence only against Mr. Williams, not against Ms. Norman" and add the last sentence of the instruction you proposed, Ms. Grosenick, "As with all evidence, it is up to you, the jury, to decide whether to believe

all, none, or part of the testimony and the weight to give it."

MS. GROSENICK: Thank you, your Honor.

My first objection is including the "in this as a reason for which the jury can consider it." That was not part of the state's offer of reasons for using the prior handgun possession in briefing and arguing this motion and it was not grounds found by the Court. So, we have not had sufficient opportunity to research or provide evidence against why a motive would be an inappropriate use of this evidence. We object to "motive" being mentioned in there.

My second objection is that I think it's inappropriate. I think it's objectionable for the Court to be instructing the jury on how to use a piece of evidence in relation to elements of the offense. So, I think that offering — this may be used in considering intent or providing context to statements allegedly made by Mr. Williams is more neutral. I think that the state can argue in its closing argument why certain evidence is relevant, but I think that this instruction offered by the state announced as to the Court instructing the jury

to use it in any certain way because it's singling out elements -- it's singling out specific alleged crimes and telling the jury that this is what it could be used for without going specifically to the elements. I think that's too much direction from the Court to the jury.

And then this is a minute clarification. The Court is not planning on telling the jury what the statement is, right?

THE COURT: Right.

MS. GROSENICK: Okay.

MR. PICKER: I don't have an objection to the instruction. I would ask that given certain elements of Mr. Sims' testimony at the preliminary hearing, among other issues, that, actually, we wait until Williams testifies before we give the instruction as opposed to before it.

THE COURT: In other words, "You are about to hear," waiting until the testimony is elicited, and then say, "You just heard."

MR. PICKER: I would prefer that, your Honor, because I think that -- with all due respect to Mr. Sims --

THE COURT: The testimony you're talking

about is when the state asks the witness about, On a prior occasion did you meet Mr. Williams, what happened on that occasion, what did you observe, et cetera. It's after that testimony that you think it's appropriate to give the instruction.

2.0

MR. PICKER: I would agree, immediately after. If he does reference seeing a firearm in anyone's possession prior to February 22nd, then you do the instruction then.

THE COURT: Ms. Grosenick, any objection to the timing?

MS. GROSENICK: Not at all.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, just looking at the Court order, if I didn't raise -- I had it in my head -- in other words, Ms. Grosenick is correct that I did not raise motive, and that's fair to take that out, I think.

THE COURT: What do you think about her suggestion of taking out motive and reading as follows, paragraph starts, "However" -- here's what he says, "However, such evidence may be considered in determining" -- delete "motive or" -- "intent." So, it's, "However, such evidence may be considered in determining intent." Then Ms. Grosenick, "or

providing context to statements allegedly made by Mr. Williams on February 22, 2020."

2.0

MR. PRENGAMAN: I just -- I believe that without telling them what statements, that's too broad. In other words, if you look at it from the jury's perspective.

THE COURT: It's "intent or providing context to statements allegedly made."

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, I still think from the jury's perspective that's very broad because it doesn't tell them which statements. I do think -- well, our supreme court said the trial court should tell jurors both how it could be used so the Court should be specific in terms of how it should be used.

And I also think that's almost too
limiting, because the Court's ruling said you may
consider this because it's relevant to that element
of the offense. I think this tells them exactly the
element and I think that's consistent with what our
supreme court directed. The other way is just too
broad and doesn't even tell them they can only
consider it for that, for the context.
Theoretically, they can run wild with it. And any

statement that they hear Mr. Williams make theoretically that would apply to, unless you tell them which one.

THE COURT: I think that would carry more weight, Mr. Prengaman, if the state was favorable to Mr. Williams and not adverse. In this case it's adverse, so I'm prepared to add that in, Ms. Grosenick, to the instruction.

MS. GROSENICK: Okay.

2.0

THE COURT: I will either take my cue from Counsel when you think it's appropriate to read it or make that decision as we go forward once the testimony is upon us.

One other thing. I indicated -- and I think this may happen today as well -- that I was prepared, in light of my ruling, as to the photographs on the motion made by Mr. Williams that I will issue on Friday from the record related to all the weapons. And I was prepared to give a limiting instruction to the extent that Exhibit 17 is admitted.

Do you all remember what Exhibit 17 is? Everybody's nodding. The picture being admitted, "This picture is being admitted for the limited purpose of addressing Mr. Sims' allegation that he saw a -- I'll use the term "black handle" because I don't know what he'll testify to -- "he saw a black handle on the Mr. Williams waistband." I don't know what he will testify to, but it will reflect what he testifies to.

So, the picture's being admitted for the limited purpose of addressing Mr. Sims' allegation that he saw a black handle on Mr. Williams' waistband and cannot be used for any other purpose in this case. Mr. Picker.

MR. PICKER: I request that that instruction only be given if Mr. Sims testifies to that fact.

THE COURT: Yes, I agree. Or if the photograph is admitted. This one pertains to a photograph.

MR. PICKER: Yes, your Honor. It only becomes relevant if Mr. Sims actually testifies.

THE COURT: He can testify to the black handle. It's only if the photograph comes in. The purpose of the limiting instruction is that it's a weapon.

MR. PICKER: Thank you. With that

clarification, I understand.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Hickman and/or Ms. Grosenick?

MS. HICKMAN: One moment, please.

Given Mr. Sims' testimony at the preliminary hearing, I would like to see Mr. Sims testify to what he says before we agree to what the instruction would say. So, I'll keep that in mind, but I think we need to wait to see what he says.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's wait to see what he testifies to. And then, Counsel, at the appropriate time I'm going to leave it to one of you as we move through that testimony, I have no problem giving the instruction at the end of the case, if that's fine for all parties, as opposed to realtime with the testimony. If someone wants it given today during the testimony, indicate that for me. All right.

Deputy, go ahead. The jury, please. (Jury seated.)

THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman, your next witness.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ PRENGAMAN: The state calls Steven Sims.

2 ||

2

3

4

5

Ŭ

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1 1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Witness sworn.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- O. Good afternoon.
- A. Good afternoon.
- O. Would you see please state your name.
- A. Steven Marcel Sims.
- Q. Could you spell your first, middle, and last for the court reporter.
- A. Steve, S-t-e-v-e-n, Marcel, M-a-r-c-e-l, Sims, S-i-m-s.
 - Q. Mr. Sims, do you live in Washoe County?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - O. How long have you lived in Washoe County?
 - A. Since 2008.
- Q. And are you familiar with the Bob & Lucy's Tavern on Oddie Boulevard?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Do you recall an incident that occurred at that Bob & Lucy's on Oddie back on February 22nd, 2020?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Back on that day were you at the tavern, Bob & Lucy's Tavern, around 6:00 a.m.?

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 2122
- 23
- 24

- A. Yes.
- Q. And what were you doing there?
- A. Gambling.
- Q. And do you remember approximately, as best as you can recall as you sit here today, about what time you arrived at Bob & Lucy's that morning?
 - A. I'd say, like, 4:30, 4:00 -- 4:30 a.m.
 - O. Is that an estimate?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, back on that date did you go to the bartender who was there that morning?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Was that David Cole?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And back on that day were you staying with Mr. Cole?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Back then did you know a woman named Adrianna Norman?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. And when did you first meet Adrianna
- Norman?
 - A. October -- October of 2019.
 - Q. And was that in Winnemucca?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes.
- Q. And so you were in -- were you living in Winnemucca for a period of time at the end of the 2019?
 - A. Yes, I was.

MR. PICKER: Objection, leading.

MR. PRENGAMAN: I submit it's on a preliminary matter, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr.

Prengaman.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Mr. Sims, I didn't hear the answer.
- Were you living in Winnemucca for a period of time at the end of 2019?
 - A. Yes, I was.
 - Q. And did that continue to early 2020?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. At some point while you were in Winnemucca did you live with Ms. Norman?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And, again, as you sit here today from your memory, approximately when do you think you started staying with her at her residence?
 - A. December of 2019.

- Q. And, again, at some point did you leave her residence?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And about when was that?
- A. Mid-January -- yeah, mid-January.
- Q. Mid-January of 2020?
- A. Excuse me. Yes. January of 2020.
- Q. Okay. Did you consider her a friend when you were living with her?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see Adrianna Norman in the courtroom today?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Can you please describe so the record's clear what you're talking about, where you see her and the clothes she's wearing.
- A. Directly in front of me, turquoise blouse and black blazer.
- Q. And can you describe the clothing of the person to her immediate right.
- A. Looks like a gray shirt and brown and gray striped tie.
- Q. Now, the woman that you pointed out, was she wearing a mask?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you confident that you recognize her even though she's wearing a mask?

A. Yes.

Q. Any doubt in your mind that is Adrianna Norman?

MR. PICKER: Objection, asked and answered.
BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

Q. Any doubt in your mind that's Adrianna Norman?

A. No.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, may the record reflect the identification of Adrianna Norman.

THE COURT: It does.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Now, Mr. Sims, at some point when you were staying with Ms. Norman in Winnemucca, did you meet a man named Ryan?
 - A. Named who?
 - Q. Named Ryan.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Ryan Williams.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And how many times prior to February $22^{\rm nd}$, 2020, before that day how many times had you met Ryan Williams?
 - A. Once.
- Q. And did you see Ryan Williams again on February 22^{nd} , 2020?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And where did you see him?
 - A. Bob & Lucy's.
- Q. So, going back to the -- approximately -- so before the February 22nd, so before that day at Bob & Lucy's, the only time previously that you met Ryan Williams, about when was that approximately?
- A. It would have been -- it would have been end of November, the beginning of December, somewhere in that, give or take a week, of 2019.
- Q. Okay. And at this point I just want to ask you generally did you spend how much time did you spend with him on that occasion? Again, approximately as best as you can recall sitting here, how much time did you spend with him on that prior occasion prior to February 22nd, 2020?
- A. A whole day from 9:00 in the morning to 9:00 at night.

4 5

67

8

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And do you see that man Ryan Williams in the courtroom today?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And can you please describe for us where you see him and the clothing he is wearing.
- A. Pretty much in front of me wearing a gray shirt and striped tie.
- Q. And can you describe for me the clothing of the person who is to Mr. Williams' immediate right.
 - A. Adrianna.
- Q. I'm sorry. The man you've just identified is Ryan Williams is wearing a mask.
 - A. Yes, he is.
- Q. Are you able to identify him irrespective of the mask he's wearing?
 - A. Yes, I can.
- Q. Any doubt in your mind that's Ryan Williams?
 - A. No.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Your Honor, may the record reflect the identification of Ryan Williams?

THE COURT: It does.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Now, Mr. Sims, I want to focus on the prior occasion for the moment. We'll come back to Bob & Lucy's on February 22nd. Right now I want to ask about that prior occasion of who else was present that day when you went --
- A. Adrianna's roommate at the time. I can't recall his name.
 - Q. Was Adrianna present also?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I just want to ask again generally what types of things did you do together during that time that evening that you met Mr. Williams? Again, let me ask it this way.

Did you, for instance, have lunch at a restaurant?

- A. We had fast-food. We had In and Out, parked and ate.
 - Q. Mr. Williams was present for that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And was Adrianna Norman present for that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did the four of you, for instance, go to one or more casinos and gamble?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did the four of you go to one or more stores that day?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Who introduced you to Ryan Williams that day.
 - A. I guess Adrianna.
- Q. And at some point that day when you met him -- "him" being Mr. Williams -- did you know that he was carrying a gun?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did he show it to you?
- A. It was visible. It was sitting out. I don't want to say he took it out and said, Hey, look. I seen it.
- Q. Just focusing on what you observed, how did you first come to learn that he was carrying a gun?
- A. He sat it on the console. I was in the backseat and he was in the driver's seat. He sat it on the console.
- Q. And when he did that, did you at some point make any comment or remark about the gun?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you and he have some type of

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What did the gun look like?
- A. Black.
- Q. Are you able to say whether it was a semiautomatic or revolver?
 - A. Semiautomatic.
- Q. From what you observed, was he carrying that gun throughout the day?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. On his person?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. The very next time you saw Mr. Williams after that day was when?
 - A. Was the day February 22, the morning.
- Q. Now, let me go to you staying with Ms. Norman at her residence in Winnemucca.

THE COURT: Counsel, can I interject? Would this be an appropriate time?

MS. GROSENICK: Yes.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Prengaman.

"Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you just heard evidence of an alleged prior possession of a handgun by the Defendant Ryan Williams on a date

prior to February 22nd, 2020. This evidence is 1 not to be considered for purposes of proving 2 character or action in conformity therewith on 3 February 22, 2020. However, such evidence may be 4 considered in determining intent or providing 5 content to statements allegedly made by Mr. Norman 6 on February 22nd, 2020, and in determining the 7 element of Robbery and Attempted Robbery that the 8 offense was committed by means of force or violence 9 or fear of injury immediate or future. You may 10 consider this evidence only against Mr. Williams, 11

not against Ms. Norman.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"As with all evidence, it is up to you, the jury, to decide whether to believe all, none, or part of the testimony and the weight to give it."

Mr. Prengaman, go ahead and continue, please.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Mr. Sims, at some point did you leave Winnemucca and -- well, where did you live before you went to Winnemucca? Just the city.
 - A. Sparks.
 - Q. At some point did you leave Winnemucca and

come back to Sparks?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Was that that middle of January time frame you previously described?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, when you left on that day, were you still living at Ms. Norman's residence?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When you left did you tell her you were leaving?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. So you just left.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you receive any communications from her soon after you left?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Wham form?
 - A. What form?
- Q. Let me ask it this way: Telephone, phone call, text messages.
 - A. Text message.
- Q. And at that point you had a phone that you received those messages on.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And how did you come to have that phone?
- A. Adrianna purchased a new phone and gave me her old phone.
- Q. So, when you had left, was the phone that you received those messages on the phone she had given you?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q. And was that phone still on her account?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. In those messages -- well, was it one message or a series of messages?
 - A. Series.
- Q. And did those messages include some accusations?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what type of accusation was made in the text messages?
- A. That I had taken an X Box and/or a tablet.
 I believe that was it.
 - Q. Was there any content that it was a threat?
 - A. At some point I was threatened.
 - Q. In those messages?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Mr. Sims, I'm going to show you what we've

marked for identification as Exhibits 108 to 109. (Witness reviewing document.)

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Starting with Exhibit 108, do you recognize those as a series of text messages sent from Ms.

 Norman to you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And are those -- do those contain the content that we were just discussing, the accusation, the threat?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then does Exhibit 109 contain a message sent from Ms. Norman to you via Facebook Messenger?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Does it similarly contain content, a threat?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And are those messages that were received from you shortly after you left in mid-January 2020?
 - A. Yes, they are.
- MR. PRENGAMAN: We move for the admission of 108 and 109.
- MS. HICKMAN: No objection. I would ask the Court to read the limiting instruction regarding

those text messages.

THE COURT: Yes.

Mr. Picker?

MR. PICKER: Thank you, your Honor.

No objection.

THE COURT: "Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you're about to hear testimony related to text messages Ms. Norman sent Mr. Sims prior to the events that occurred in this case on February 22nd, 2020. These text messages may not be considered against Mr. Williams."

Now, I'll read the other instruction that I read to you again simply because I think I misread a piece of it, so I want to read it one more time to you.

"You just heard evidence" -- this is regarding the handgun possession -- "by Defendant Ryan Williams on a date other than February 22nd, 2020. This evidence is not to be considered for purposes of proving character or action in conformity therewith on February 22, 2020. However, such evidence may be considered in determining intent or providing content to statements allegedly made by Mr. Williams on February 22nd, 2020, and

in determining the elements of Robbery and Attempted Robbery that the offense be committed by means force or violence or fear of injury immediate or future.

"You may consider this evidence only against Mr. Williams and not against Ms. Norman. As with all evidence, it is up to you, the jury, to decide whether to believe all, none, or part of the testimony and the weight to give it."

Thank you so much.

Mr. Prengaman, you may continue.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: 108 and 109 are admitted over no objection.

(Exhibits 108 and 109 admitted.)
BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

Q. So, Mr. Sims, beginning at the bottom, the first message is, "So you left. And so, okay, I guess you could have said something."

Is that referencing the fact that you left without saying goodbye?

MR. PICKER: Objection, asks for speculation.

THE COURT: Can you adjust the exhibit, first of all. Okay, I see it. Thank you.

Mr. Prengaman, the objection is it calls for speculation.

MR. PRENGAMAN: It's a lay witness opinion based on his relationship.

THE COURT: I'll allow it. Go ahead. BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Let me ask it this way, Mr. Sims. Based on your relationship with Ms. Norman, what did you understand these communications to be referencing?
- A. They were in reference to her son's tablet that was missing and X Box.
- Q. When you talk about that, are you referencing the -- for instance, the second from the top, "You're a piece of shit. You stole from my motherfucking kids"?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then the fourth from the top, "Buy a new phone. This number will be turned off by the end of the day." Based on your relationship with Ms. Norman, what did you take that to be in reference to?
- A. The phone that she had given me, that she would have it discontinued.
 - Q. And then is it accurate that the last one

22

23

24

in the series is "Just know your day's coming"?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Then moving to Exhibit 109, the message says, "It's almost your time," correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Sims, after those communications from Ms. Norman, what was the next time you communicated with or spoke to Ms. Norman?
 - A. That morning, February 22nd, 2020.
 - O. At Bob & Lucy's Tavern?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And talking about communications, did you respond in any way to those messages?
 - A. Not that I remember, no.
- Q. So, you don't recall answering them or giving any type of response?

MR. PICKER: Objection, asked and answered.

MR. PRENGAMAN: That's fair.

THE COURT: Mr. Prengaman, next question.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Now, taking you to Bob & Lucy's that morning of February 22nd, 2020, do you recall where you first saw Adrianna Norman that morning?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Where were you in the tavern, as you recall?
- A. Sitting -- I guess it would be the front by the -- close to the ATM machine.
- Q. And is Bob & Lucy's an establishment that was familiar to you back then?
 - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Would it be fair to say that you were a regular there?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Where was Ms. Norman when you first saw her?
- A. Passing the coffee machine coming towards me.
 - O. Describe her to me when you first see her.
 - A. She has, like, a smirk on her face.
 - Q. Did she continue coming towards you?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Describe -- well, when she gets up to you, does she start talking to you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What is the first thing or things that she says when she approaches you?
 - A. I do not remember verbatim but her -- what

she said to me.

- Q. Maybe not verbatim, but to the best of your memory what are the first thing or things she says to you when she approaches you?
- A. It was something close to like, I told you, I told you I was gonna come or, You didn't think I was gonna come. It was regarding to the threats that I had gotten prior.

MR. PICKER: Objection, speculation, move to strike. He just tried to give meaning to my client's thoughts as opposed to what he --

THE COURT: Thanks. Mr. Prengaman.

MR. PRENGAMAN: Again, I would submit he was offering his lay witness opinion based on his relationship with Ms. Norman.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

BY MR. PRENGAMAN:

- Q. Did she bring up a particular subject when she approached you?
 - A. I can't recall.
- Q. What does she start talking about after she said what you just described?
- A. The best I can remember is she was kinda mocking me like, Here I am.

- Q. And when you said "mocking" you, mocking you in what way?
- A. That may not be a good description. Maybe I don't understand the question real well. Because I don't remember what Adrianna said to me as she was approaching me. I just remember she was smiling. I was very shocked to see her.
- Q. Okay. So, when she got up to -- did she come all the way up to where you were at the machine?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. And did she sit down next to you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did she start talking to you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What did she start talking about?
- A. Kinda like -- once again, I don't remember her exact words. It was just kinda like, Payback time. I was doing more talking than she was.
- Q. And what was the subject that you were talking about?
- A. That I would never take from her children or from her.
 - Q. And who brought up the accusation of taking

- A. I believe I probably brought it up.
- Q. Okay. And why?

- A. Because that's what she was -- in my knowledge, she was making good on her promise that I took from her kids and that my time is almost here. So, I was -- when I seen her, I was simply apologizing for leaving without letting her know I left and trying to get her to understand that I didn't take her kids' tablet or X Box.
- Q. At some point while you are -- when she sits down, how close is she situated to you?
- A. I'd say like 3 feet apart, 2 feet apart. Pretty close.
- Q. At some point while you're there talking to Ms. Norman, did you talk to her for some period of time?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. At some point while you're talking to her, do you see a gun?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Where did you see the gun?
 - A. It was under her arm in her jacket

(indicating).

- Q. You sort of indicated. You reached up with, I believe, your left arm -- is that right -- indicating under your right?
- A. Well, I'm not sure. I guess if I thought long enough -- I don't know which arm she had it under. It was under her arm (indicating).
- Q. You're indicating -- what you're describing is kinda tucked in her armpit.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit 1, specifically Channel 9 folder, the first file. I'm going to -- I've paused in the opening frame at two seconds. Are you able to see yourself in this view?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Sims, if you press on that screen, you can draw. Just circle yourself where you see yourself.
 - A. (Indicating).
- Q. I'll move to four minutes and 40 seconds into the footage.

And now what do you see yourself doing?

A. Looking for something, walking to another machine.

- Q. And did you see yourself carrying kind of a whitish item?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What was that?
- A. That was a ticket that I cashed out.
- Q. When you play the machines at Bob & Lucy's, is there some type of voucher system that reflects the value of what you put in the machine?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Just briefly how does that work?
- A. You put cash money in and, if you decide not to play that game anymore and you still have money in there, it prints out a voucher of the remaining amount of money. You can put that into another machine.
- Q. So, you take that and put it into another machine?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'll show you what has been marked for identification Exhibit 52. I want you to look at that. Tell me if that looks like the type of cash-out voucher issued at Bob & Lucy's from the machines.
 - A. Yes.

That's what they look like, correct? 0. Excuse me?

Α.

This is what they look like, correct? 0.

4

Yes, sir. Α.

5

3

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

here?

2.2

23

- 0.
- I'll move forward to eight minutes, 24 seconds. Does it appear at this point you've moved to a different machine?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
 - And now you have gone to another machine.
 - Yes. Α.
- I'll pause it there at 8:53. Please just circle the area where you are now seated.
 - (Indicating). Α.
- And is that the same machine that you were 0. sitting at when you first see Adrianna Norman that morning?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
- Now, I've now gone, still in Exhibit 1, to 0. Channel 7 folder and the first file ending in 4956.
 - Do you recognize what we're looking at
 - Yes. Α.
 - What are we looking at here? 0.
 - Bob & Lucy's. Α.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Can you circle where that machine would be located?
 - A. (Indicating).
- Q. Thank you. I'm going to move forward eight minutes, 25 seconds in. We're starting at eight minutes, 26. Is that you sitting at the machine you just circled?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And is that where you were seated when you first saw Ms. Norman that morning?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you see a gentleman walking towards the door?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recognize who that was?
 - A. If you play it again.
 - Q. I backed it up to 8:56.
 - A. That looks like George, the security guard.
- Q. We're going to move forward to 17 minutes, 20 seconds in. Now, Mr. Sims, looking at this

- A. That is one of the entrances and coffee area -- or bench area.
- Q. And that would be the door that people come in and out of at that time of morning?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- O. And then what's back here in this area?
- A. That is the bartender area.
- Q. I'm playing forward from 17 minutes, three seconds in. Did you see somebody come in through the doors?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And now they're right here?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm asking you, As you were recalling back then, not as you look at the video now, but as you recall back then, do you recall being aware of this man walking through the casino area?
 - A. No.
- MR. PRENGAMAN: I'm sorry, your Honor. I'm looking at the time.
- THE COURT: Might be a good time to break for the day.

```
Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we're
1
2
    going to take our evening recess.
3
                           (Jury admonished and excused for
                          the evening.)
 4
                          (End of proceedings at 3:31
 5
 6
                          p.m.)
 7
                          -000-
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

STATE OF NEVADA
COUNTY OF WASHOE

2.0

SS.

I, CHRISTINA MARIE AMUNDSON, official reporter of the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Washoe, do hereby certify:

That as such reporter, I was present via Zoom in Department No. 1 of the above court on April 19, 2021, at the hour of 8:06 a.m. of said day, and I then and there took verbatim stenotype notes of the proceedings had and testimony given therein in the case of State of Nevada, Plaintiff, v. Adrianna Norman, Defendant, Case No. CR20-0630A.

That the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my said stenotype notes so taken as aforesaid, and is a true and correct statement of the proceedings had and testimony given in the above-entitled action to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

DATED: At Reno, Nevada, on 23rd day of August 2021.

/S/ Christina Marie Amundson, CCR #641

Christina Marie Amundson, CCR #641

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 11th day of January 2022. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

> Jennifer P. Noble, Chief Appellate Deputy, Washoe County District Attorney

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

Ryan Williams (#96845) Northern Nevada Correctional Center P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702

> John Reese Petty Washoe County Public Defender's Office