IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE **GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON** AND ESTATE OF KATHLEEN JUNE JONES. AN ADULT PROTECTED PERSON.

Case No. 81799

Electronically Filed May 05 2021 05:50 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,

Appellant,

VS.

ROBYN FRIEDMAN; AND DONNA SIMMONS.

Respondents.

Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Linda **Marquis Presiding**

RESPONDENTS' APPENDIX, VOLUME 6 (Nos. 636-801)

John P. Michaelson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7822 MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. CLAGGETT & SYKES LAW FIRM 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 Henderson, Nevada 89052

Telephone: (702) 731-2333 Facsimile: (702) 731-2337 john@Michaelsonlaw.com

Micah S. Echols, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8437 4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Telephone: (702) 655-2346 Facsimile: (702) 655-3763

micah@claggettlaw.com

Attorneys for Respondents, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Guardianship of Adult COURT MINUTES January 14, 2020

G-19-052263-A

In the Matter of the Guardianship of: Kathleen Jones, Protected Person(s)

January 14, 2020

01:30 PM Return Hearing

HEARD BY: Marquis, Linda

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 10A

COURT CLERK:

Christensen, Karen; Stengel, Tanya

PARTIES PRESENT:

Robyn Friedman, Petitioner, Temporary Guardian,

John P. Michaelson, Attorney, Present

Present

Kathleen June Jones, Protected Person, Not

Rodney Gerald Yeoman, Other, Present

Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Attorney, Present

Present

Donna Simmons, Petitioner, Temporary Guardian,

Present

John P. Michaelson, Attorney, Present

Matthew C. Piccolo, Attorney, Present

Ty E. Kehoe, Attorney, Present

Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Person and Estate,

Other, Present

James A. Beckstrom, Attorney, Present

State Guardianship Compliance Officer, Agency,

Present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

RETURN HEARING FOR INVESTIGATOR'S REPORT

Court Clerks: Karen Christensen, Tanya Stengel (ts)

LaChasity Carroll, Supreme Court Guardianship Compliance Officer.

Donna Simmons appeared telephonically.

Counsel stated they reviewed the investigator's report.

Ms. Carroll stated she is still waiting for some medical records.

Attorney Michaelson requested the Court admonish the parties to continue to cooperate with the investigators.

Attorney Beckstrom gave the status of the A-Case.

Attorney Beckstrom stated the dogs were returned to Protected Person days late and not in compliance with the Court's Order but they were returned.

Court and Counsel engaged in discussion regarding the role of the investigation and the investigator's role in the case.

Attorney Parra-Sandoval made statements regarding visitation with Protected Person. Attorney Parra-Sandoval stated things have been getting better; the visitations are scheduled between the parties and supervised.

Printed Date: 1/18/2020 Page 1 of 2 Minutes Date: January 14, 2020

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Attorney Kehoe stated Guardian is only allowing supervised visits for one hour a day.

Court, Counsel and parties engaged in further discussion regarding visitation and communication.

Court noted parties can stipulate to using Talking Parents but it is not being Ordered.

Court and Counsel engaged in discussion regarding Evidentiary Hearing issues and Discovery.

Court stated DISCOVERY IS OPEN. The investigation is separate from Discovery.

Attorney Michaelson stated Attorney Kehoe has not turned over all documents requested and the Guardian does not have access to the Protected Person's accounts but the husband still has access to them. Attorney Michaelson stated Guardian does not know where the accounts are.

COURT ORDERED, the following:

All parties shall continue to operate in GOOD FAITH with the investigators.

Attorney Kehoe shall provide a list of ALL ACCOUNTS, including bank accounts (checking and savings), investments, retirement accounts and ALL account numbers WITHIN 7 DAYS in WRITING to Attorney Parra-Sandoval, Attorney Michaelson and the Guardian.

Sonja Jones, Financial Forensic Specialist, Guardianship Compliance Officer shall have the AUTHORITY to look into the FINANCES of Protected Person's Son in Law, RICHARD POWELL and husband, RODNEY GERALD YEOMAN.

Evidentiary Hearing set for 2/20/20 at 1:30 pm regarding the Return of Property (dogs) shall STAND.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Feb 13, 2020 10:00AM Hearing RJC Courtroom 10A Marquis, Linda

Feb 20, 2020 1:30PM Evidentiary Hearing Courtroom 07 Marquis, Linda

Printed Date: 1/18/2020 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: January 14, 2020

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

TRANS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

ORIGINAL

FILED

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

8

9

10

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF:

Protected Person(s).

KATHLEEN JONES,

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

CASE NO. G-19-052263-A DEPT. B

BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MARQUIS DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING MOTIONS

TUESDAY, JANUARY 14, 2020

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	APPEARANCES:		
2	The	Daughter:	DONNA SIMMONS
3	For	the Daughters:	(telephonically) JOHN MICHAELSON, ESQ. 2200 Paseo Verde Pkwy #160 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 731-2333
4			
5	mb a	Montana.	
6		Husband: the Husband:	JERRY YEOMAN TY KEHOE, ESQ.
7			MATTHEW PICCOLO, ESQ. 871 Coronado Center Dr., #200 Henderson, Nevada 89052
8			(702) 837-1908
9		Guardian: the Guardian:	KIMBERLY JONES JAMES BECKSTROM, ESQ. 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711
10			
11			
12	The For	Protected Person: the Protected Person:	KATHLEEN JONES CONSTANTINA RENTZIOS, ESQ. 725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 (702) 386-1070
13 14			
15			(102) 300 1010
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

2

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

(THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 1:32:17)

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: This is the matter of the Guardianship of Kathleen Jones, G-19-052263. Counsel, your appearances for the record?

MR. BECKSTROM: Good morning, Your Honor. James Beckstrom on behalf of Kimberly Jones, Guardian of the Protected Person.

MR. MICHAELSON: John Michaelson, Bar number 7822 on behalf of Donna Simmons and Robyn Friedman, the past guardians and the daughters of the protected person.

MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: Maria Parra-Sandoval, 13736 for Legal Aid Center on behalf of the protected person.

MS. CAROL: Chastity Carol (ph), Guardianship Compliance Investigator for the Supreme Court.

MR. KEHOE: Good morning, Your Honor. Ty Kehoe, Bar number 6011 for Jerry Yeoman.

THE COURT: And then --

MR. PICCOLO: Anthony Piccolo, 14331, also on behalf of Mr. Yeoman. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Piccolo. And then on the telephone, can you tell us your name for the record?

MS. SIMMONS: Donna Simmons.

THE COURT: Donna Simmons is on the telephone.

Ms. Simmons, if during this hearing you can't hear us or
misunderstand something, please feel free to interrupt and let
me know. I won't think you're rude, and I'll ask the person
to repeat themselves. Counsel, everybody have a seat.

Counsel, you saw Ms. Carol's report. Is that right?

MR. BECKSTROM: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anybody have any concerns or any statements they want to make about that?

MR. BECKSTROM: I mean --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BECKSTROM: I mean, I think the report speaks for itself to some extent. I will note that a lot of interaction in there was -- was when what we've alleged in our -- our complaint that's been filed now with the Court, and that was not actually interaction with the protected person or the guardian.

So to the extent that there was unable to reach certain people to get information, I mean, I think that's the issue we're really here for on now. So we plan on resolving a lot of that in the A case. We still need a lot of documents. I think everyone needs documents. So that's kind of where we all stand right now.

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 THE COURT: Okay. So that was going to be my next question. Ms. Carol, your report was filed on January 8 with the Court. You explain in there as part of your findings that you still are waiting for some medical records. 4 5 MS. CAROL: True. 6 THE COURT: Did you have the opportunity to receive those since you filed your report? You're still waiting for 8 those? 9 MS. CAROL: Yes, for -- from UCI. 10 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else that 11 you're waiting on or any other documents that you need? 12 MS. CAROL: No. 13 THE COURT: Do you have any other concerns other 14 than those outlined in your report? 15 MS. CAROL: I do not. 16 THE COURT: All right. Counsel --MR. MICHAELSON: Your Honor? 1.7 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. 18 MR. MICHAELSON: May I stand? 19 20 THE COURT: Absolutely. MR. MICHAELSON: Just we would ask if you would --21 we request that you admonish the parties to continue to cooperate with the investigators because as you know, they --23 they have a lot of information that could be provided, maybe

> G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

make their job easier, and wondered if you would be willing to admonish the parties to continue to provide that information.

They know things like financial information, bank records, they may have access to medical records and so forth because I think that the reports, if I'm not mistaken, those can be updated.

THE COURT: Absolutely. And, all right, I think it goes without saying, but I'm happy to offer a double dog order, Mr. Michaelson, that all the parties, my order and charge to you is to continue operating in good faith with the investigator.

You know that it takes a long time to get medical records, and it takes a long time to get banking records and all those things. If you have any additional information that can help her, or the Court, that's my order to you.

Counsel, have you had any other -- any other information that you need to bring to my attention other than what's contained in this order? The status of the A Case, Counsel?

MR. BECKSTROM: I think the time to respond is today, Judge. So we're waiting for response, and we'll proceed down that path if we need to. But nothing new in that case. We have served properly. It thought Ms. Carol referenced that she may need an amended order on something to

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 get some records, if I saw that in there. Do we need to amend 2 one of the order? 3 MS. CAROL: No, I think that maybe from Sonya (ph). 4 MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: Ms. Jones. 5 MR. BECKSTROM: Okay. 6 MS. CAROL: Ms. Jones, yes. 7. MR. BECKSTROM: Okay. All right. 8 THE COURT: All right. Two separate issues. 9 Counsel, what's your request today? 10 MR. BECKSTROM: You know, we have a status -- or we 11 have an evidentiary hearing, a short hearing on the -- on the 12 finality of the return of the dogs. So they were returned. 13 They were returned, you know, days late after Your Honor ordered, not compliant with Your Honor's order. But 14 15 nonetheless, they were returned. So we're ready to proceed to the upcoming evidentiary hearing, and we're going to proceed 16 17 to the A Case. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anybody have any request about any action that needs to be taken today short of or 19 20 before that evidentiary hearing that's already set? Counsel? 21 MR. KEHOE: I'm not sure the scope of that evidentiary hearing anymore because originally it was 22 23 scheduled for an evidentiary hearing on these reports that were to be returned today. Now that there has been a request

> G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

by the investigators for further time, I'm not sure that we're going forward on an evidentiary hearing regarding the guardianship.

Your Honor indicated that the guardianship was still in flux from the last hearing, and that once we got the reports back, you would be open to modifying that, reconsidering that, and having an evidentiary hearing related to the same. So --

THE COURT: I don't think that the reports raise any additional concerns for me, unless they raise additional concerns for you that need to be brought to my attention.

MR. KEHOE: Well, I'm only aware of one report, and it's based on not a single document presented by the guardian. And so we have a kind of a medical side and a financial side.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. KEHOE: The financial side, there's not a single document presented by the guardian as my understanding, and we have produced hundreds of pages of documents to the investigator.

MR. MICHAELSON: I have not received any documents.

THE COURT: Okay. So let me -- the investigator is not necessarily, although appointed by the Court, works independently. So I get what you get from her, okay? What she has filed in the case. Here report, it's not -- although

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

it says it's a confidential report, it's not recommendations to me.

It's I will appoint an investigator, and I'm allowed to appoint an investigator when I have some concerns. I leave the scope of the evidentiary hearing sometimes a little bit open because sometimes the investigation brings to light some additional concerns.

The scope of the evidentiary hearing is laid out, the discovery process is open. Working with the investigator to give her documents isn't discovery. My charge and order to you is that you participate in good faith with her so that she can make a finding and give her findings to the Court.

Certainly I don't adopt her factual findings. She might testify, or one of the parties might call her to testify. It's just an additional operation of the Court that's certainly absolutely unique to guardianship. And so her role, she certainly doesn't talk to me about her cases.

I see her in the courtroom on the record, and that's how I get the information, just the same as you guys, okay?

And so she's not -- the parties don't have to prove their case through the investigator, but she is appointed by me and works for the State of Nevada, the Supreme Court, not necessarily even me.

And the Supreme Court is generous enough to allow me

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	to utilize her services in certain cases where I think it was			
2	appropriate. I think it was appropriate in this case. So,			
3	Ms. Parra-Sandoval, do you have any requests?			
4	MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: In terms of any ongoing			
5	concerns, one of the things that the parties have been			
6	struggling with has been visitation			
7	THE COURT: Okay.			
8	MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: of my of my client. I			
9	know that since January 5th or so, it's it's been a better			
10	process where the guardian is able to communicate directly			
11	with Mr. Yeoman regarding visitation.			
12	THE COURT: Good.			
13	MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: So I'm very happy about that.			
14	THE COURT: How is that visitation happening?			
15	MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: As far as I know, it's			
16	scheduled between the guardian and Mr. Yeoman. And the			
17	parties are both of them are supervised			
18	THE COURT: Okay.			
19	MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: by either Kimberly, or she			
20	can choose someone to do the supervising.			
21	THE COURT: Okay.			
22	MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: I know that Mr. Yeoman would			
23	like to be unsupervised, but he did not provide medical			
24	records, and the order from the October 15th hearing, it's			

```
very clear regarding supervised visitation. So I just would
1
 2
   like the parties to continue to work together because my
   client has had medical issues --
 3
            THE COURT: Since the last hearing?
 4
 5
             MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: -- manifest in herself
 6
   regarding, you know, false promises that Jerry's going to go
 7
   visit her. And he doesn't.
             THE COURT: And we talked about that before.
 8
 9
             MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: And we've talked about that
10
   before.
             THE COURT: So that seems to be an ongoing concern
11
    for your client?
12
             MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: It is. And things have
13
14
    improved --
15
             THE COURT: Good.
             MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: -- in the last two weeks.
16
17
             THE COURT: Okay.
18
             MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: So I would like to let the
    Court know that things are improving.
19
             THE COURT: Was there something that happened that
20
    prompted that improvement, Counsel? Or --
21
             MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: Direct communication between --
22
23
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: -- the guardian and Mr. Jerry
24
```

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Yeoman. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any requests 3 regarding that, or anything moving forward before the 4 evidentiary hearing date? MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: I just want the parties to keep 5 6 working together for her best interests. 7 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Anything else? 8 MR. KEHOE: And that supervision, or that 9 visitation, Your Honor, has been only limited to one hour a 10 day right now --11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. KEHOE: -- is all that's being permitted. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. MICHAELSON: And that's not true, Judge. And 15 that's why I think Ms. Parra's representation to correct is 16 that it increased, okay, when Kimberly recommended that one, 17 they use an app because what was happening, there were unplanned visits coming over the house. 18 19 There is no time limitations on the visits. All 20 that Kimberly has asked is that for the benefit of Mom, because there were so many hey, we're going to come over and 21 then no-shows, that was putting her into a bad mental state. 22 She was defecating herself, she was becoming panicked, 23 hysteria. So that's why she asked.

> G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

She said listen, provide me a time when (indiscernible) going to come over, have at it. I'll be here, I'll take her to the dog park, we'll do it. I'll get someone to supervise or I'll supervise. So there's no time limitation. I want to make sure it's very clear.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KEHOE: And we disagree, Your Honor. As far as defecating, that has been happening for years. It's in this medical report that you have in front of you from the investigator today. It's listed as a side effect of the medithat she's on.

So the -- there are just a lot of representations here that have not been evidenced by facts. And that's what we were hoping for these reports to show. There have been allegations that years prior to the Kraft house transfer, Ms. Jones was mentally incompetent.

And they professed that they had medical records that they would provide evidencing that. We now have this first investigation report that evidences one alleged report from Irvine, and we don't have that report still, 60 days later.

So there's still not a shred of evidence that there was nay problem back in January of 2018, which I understand everybody has major concerns about that Kraft house transfer.

1 I totally get that. I think those concerns are fair and need to be resolved. 2 3 But we have produced multiple records to the 4 investigator regarding that transfer, and we have been waiting 5 for the medical records evidencing whether she could 6 competently enter into that transfer in January of 2018. 7 THE COURT: All --8 MR. KEHOE: We still don't --9 THE COURT: So hold on. 10 MR. KEHOE: -- have any of that. 11 THE COURT: So all issues for the evidentiary 12 hearing, my concern was what Ms. Parra-Sandoval talked about 13 was visitation. Okay? What we don't want, nobody wants a no-14 show/no-call, right? Nobody wants that. Nobody wants to have 15 a plan for a meeting and it to fall through. Nobody wants 16 that, okay? 17 What the specific side effects are, I'm not so concerned with. Am I very happy to hear that you're operating 18 19 directly to each other and you have an app -- what's the app that they're using? 20 MR. BECKSTROM: Well, no. There's a recommendation. 21 It's -- what was it called? It's the --22 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I requested Family Wizard or --23 MR. BECKSTROM: Family Wizard, they use --24

> G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: -- Talking Parents because the 2 communication was getting --3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: It was between -- Jerry and I 5 are --6 MR. BECKSTROM: But it's not in use, Judge. 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: -- going directly now. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So I'll tell you that I'm very 9 familiar with both, okay? And Our Family Wizard, there's a 10 cost associated with it. If you want to stipulate, I'm not 11 going to order at this time, that you use Talking Parents, I'm 12 happy for you to use that. That's free of charge. What it does is it creates a court ready specific 13 14 record that can be printed off as a PDF at little to no charge 15 to the parties. I believe, since I've been here for nine months, they may have increased the amount, but it used to be 16 17 \$19 for a PDF that was litigation ready. 18 What it shows me is the time you sent it, the time 19 you read it, how many times you checked in, and exactly the back and forth. Okay? It allows you to upload documents like medical records, schedules, pictures, videos, those kinds of 21 things. It might be of assistance to the parties in this 22 23 case. 24 It is a tool or resource that may help you create a

schedule, okay? Whatever we can do to facilitate visitation, that works out well for everybody, I'm happy to do that.

Ms. Parra-Sandoval, I'm going to ask you to take a look at that app. It is certainly not the only one. I don't want to order a specific app for you to use, but helpful if that's a resource.

Mr. Yeoman, that is not his preferred method of communication.

And that's understandable. And if he has another preferred method, we could try to make that work and set up visits that can work. I am happy for the visits to last longer than an hour, okay?

But we have to put our reasonable hat on and, like, if we want a visitation at 3:00 in the morning, that's not reasonable, okay? I don't think that's restricting visitation. I think that that's just an accommodation we all have to make.

And I don't think that Mr. Yeoman wants to visit with her at 3:00 a.m. Right? So let's make -- look, she may go to bed like me. Well, I want to go to bed at 8:30, but you know, that just doesn't happy. So we don't want -- and we want to have a visit where they enjoy each other, right, and they get to spend some time. So when is she at her best?

What time period during the day? I'm going to guess in the

```
morning? No?
1
 2
             UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, she doesn't like getting up
 3
    early.
 4
             THE COURT: Me either, but, yeah.
 5
             UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So Mr. Yeoman, I'm
 6
    communicating directly with him now.
             THE COURT: Good, okay.
 7
 8
             UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: And I just say, like last
    Sunday, hey, let me know what time you guys would like to come
10
    over and see Mom this week. He chose 1:30, and I was like
11
    awesome, that works. He --
12
             THE COURT: Okay.
13
             UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: He chooses to stay for half an
14
    hour. Because of that, I suggested because my -- and not go
15
    anywhere. Don't just stay right in the house.
16
             THE COURT: Okay.
17
             UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: And because f that I said hey,
18
    do you -- do you want to go to the dog park. So we've been
19
    going to the dog park. And I'll go, and if I can't go, Dean
    has been with them.
20
21
             THE COURT: Okay.
22
             UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So he has chosen for half an
23
    hour.
24
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: But it's open to as long as 2 they want. 3 THE COURT: Okay. I will look at, and I expect Counsel will give me binder after binder after binder of these 4 5 text messages. Right? And all these documents. So I'll see 6 them, okay? You guys know how I feel about it, right? 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We just talk directly now --8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: -- just Jerry and I. 10 THE COURT: Great, wonderful. Okay. And if that's working, Ms. Parra-Sandoval says it's working, then it's 11 working. All right. Anything else I need to do today before 12 the evidentiary hearing? Mr. Michaelson keeps going like 13 14 this, like he has something to say. But go ahead. No, go 15 ahead. I just don't want to forget him. I don't want to throw you all out and he still has something to tell me. Go 16 17 ahead, Mr. Kehoe. MR. KEHOE: I'm still confused about the evidentiary 18 hearing. I thought that -- I wasn't aware discovery was open. I was under the impression that the investigators were 20

THE COURT: No. Discovery's open. Discover away.

An investigator, she can't -- she is out there looking for issues and things. I have some specific concerns. I ordered

conducting that discovery --

21

22

23

```
1 her to get me more information. I still don't have medical
    records from her. That is not her fault. That's, you know, I
    would think pretty standard, ordinary that we're missing some
    records from medical providers that we still need to get.
 5
             MR. KEHOE: I don't know. Kimberly I understand
 6
    lives in Irvine. I presume she could go over to the hospital
 7
    with letters and pick up the medical records in ten minutes.
    But --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Is that how the hospital works? They
10
    just hand you over the medical records?
11
             MR. KEHOE: That's my experience.
12
             THE COURT: Oh.
13
             MR. KEHOE: Absolutely.
14
              THE COURT: I don't know.
15
              MR. KEHOE: If the individual who is under treatment
16
    goes to the hospital and asks for copies of their records, my
17
    understanding is they can get them.
18
             THE COURT: Okay.
19
             MR. KEHOE: And with her as the guardian --
20
              THE COURT: In 30 to 60 days, or 150 days and $200
21
    and all that. But look --
22
             MR. KEHOE: Okay.
23
              THE COURT: -- discovery's open. This is just like
    any other case. Right? If you have specific records you want
```

that you don't have, I guess you got to subpoena them. I 1 mean, or you have to ask for them. The investigator's role is 2 not one of some type of, like, discovery commissioner or, 3 like, document sorter. Mr. Michaelson? 4 5 MR. MICHAELSON: Ty, Your Honor. I just wanted to clarify because Mr. Kehoe does this throughout this 6 7 litigation, which is to imply that there's something that 8 there's a question about. So for example, we went to POA 9 litigation before Commissioner Yamashita. He declined to hear the case specifically and 10 11 expressly because of a lack of -- there was a notice problem. 12 But then Mr. Kehoe represented to me and to a lot of people, even when I brought this to his attention that Commissioner 13 14 Yamashita actually had questions about the POA, and that 15 wasn't the case. 16 At the last hearing, you -- we talked about an evidentiary hearing and he said that that's because you had 17

At the last hearing, you -- we talked about an evidentiary hearing and he said that that's because you had questions and you clarified. Now he's saying that the guardianship is in flux --

MR. KEHOE: Right.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MICHAELSON: -- still. And I just want to clarify with you, do you feel at this time that the guardianship is --

THE COURT: I don't --

MR. MICHAELSON: -- in flux?

THE COURT: -- think that there's a -- I mean, I read those statutes a couple of times, Mr. Michaelson.

They're not really well written, but I don't think you could have a guardianship in flux. I guess it could be in flux if somebody could always file a petition to terminate it tomorrow.

MR. MICHAELSON: Yeah.

THE COURT: You know, I mean so I guess it's --

MR. BECKSTROM: Well, and the report --

THE COURT: I don't --

MR. BECKSTROM: -- was clear that she cannot take care of herself. So that's -- that was reported. Right? I mean, there's -- there's no dispute about she can't care about herself, a guardian is needed. So to that extent, I don't find that in flux either. So I guess the clarification is, is the upcoming hearing going to be solely based on the financial transactions?

So we have the evidentiary hearing on the return of property, you've set that out clearly enough for us. And there will be another evidentiary hearing which I -- I'm imagining is pending. The investigators need to get these documents that will then address the concern of the Court which was property transaction, and financial transactions?

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: I think that both the -- so I made an order previously regarding the temporary return of the animals. I told you that that was a temporary decision, that I needed an evidentiary hearing to make the final decision.

And I think I was clear about that.

So part of that evidentiary hearing will be about the animals. I don't know that that will be very long. Okay? I think that is you have documents already, I know that. You may have additional information. The investigator's report is not finalized.

So I don't want to shut down that there might be something that she would update in those medical records that might turn that evidentiary hearing into something else, or allow those issues presented to expand based on her report. So she'll update that report when she has those.

At this point, based on what we have from the last hearing, that 220 130 shall stand. I said 30 minutes of argument and discussion regarding that. At the evidentiary hearing, Counsel may stipulate to the introduction of documents ahead of time.

I said you're not going to make any opening statements, and you're going to submit closing briefs because I talked to you specifically about that I think this is really a legal argument. There may be some facts that you might

1 stipulate to. But there might be some testimony as well you'd like to elicit at that time. That's what the evidentiary is 3 scheduled for. MR. KEHOE: But it wasn't, Your Honor. It was 4 5 scheduled months ago when Your Honor transitioned from a 6 temporary quardianship to a permanent guardianship and a -and ordered the investigations. That was the purpose of the 8 evidentiary hearing on February 20th. It was scheduled because Your Honor specifically 9 10 stated it was in flux, you were open to reconsidering things 11 at that time after you got the reports back. And to clarify, 12 there are two investigators. 13 THE COURT: Sure. MR. KEHOE: I just want to make clear that we have 14 15 Ms. Carol who's doing the medical side, but we have Ms. --16 THE COURT: Jones. 17 MR. KEHOE: -- Jones who is doing the financial 18 side. 19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 MR. KEHOE: And she filed a paper asking for an additional 60 days --21 THE COURT: Correct. 22 MR. KEHOE: -- to complete her financial side. So I 23 -- those issues is what the February 20th hearing was

> G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

originally scheduled for. It had nothing to do with the dogs. The dogs issues were filed months later. But your -- Your Honor had that on calendar and so we chose --

THE COURT: As for the same, yeah.

MR. KEHOE: -- that date. So that's -- that's why I am wondering whether we're going to move forward on an -- and we didn't understand discovery was open. I don't believe that was ever discussed, I don't believe that's in any order. So we've been waiting for the investigator's reports because we thought that would be helpful to all the parties, including ourselves, and to Your Honor.

And then if there were concerns about the investigations, then we could have an evidentiary hearing to - - to decide are there actually concerns with Mr. Yeoman being with his wife. Are there concerns with Mr. Yeoman being the guardian. There's -- he's the statutory preferred person.

And the only evidence that Your Honor has that's been verified is that there was this Kraft house transfer for less than fair market value, which nobody denies.

But the point of the investigations was to find out was she competent at that time to enter into that transaction, and what --

THE COURT: I didn't know that that was the scope of the investigation --

MR. BECKSTROM: That's not the point of the investigation.

1.3

THE COURT: -- because that's not for anybody other -- and I don't even know if that's for a -- look, I don't even know that that falls under the guardianship, my jurisdiction to make a determination if she was competent at that time to make those transactions. So that certainly never came out of my mouth, and that certainly was not a part of what I asked the investigators to take a look at. Counsel?

MR. MICHAELSON: Also, that's not part of the statute as well.

THE COURT: I have no jurisdiction to do that.

MR. MICHAELSON: Yeah, to say that there's a problem with that transaction doesn't require that she be incompetent at that time. There are a lot of other factors that are there. That was a dizzying set of issues that were there.

There's been a lot of -- one thing I wanted to -- to ask the Court -- bring to the Court's attention is that we have not had information provided by Mr. Kehoe. We've asked repeatedly. He now says that he's provided hundreds of pages of documents to the investigator, but I want to remind everyone, or suggest there that when a guardian is appointed, she is given authority to ask documents and people have to turn those over.

```
1
             You don't have to file an A Case in open discovery
 2
    to require people to turn over documents. So my understanding
    is the guardian does not have access to the protected person's
    accounts. That's Jerry that has access to that. They've been
 5
    paying --
 6
             MR. KEHOE: How is that possible?
 7
             MR. MICHAELSON: We've asked for that for months.
 8
             MR. KEHOE: How is that possible, Your Honor?
 9
             MR. MICHAELSON: It's been an issue of --
10
              MR. KEHOE: If they are the protected person's
11
    accounts, then by definition, the guardian has access to them.
    And that's the concern.
12
13
             MR. MICHAELSON: But if they don't --
14
             MR. KEHOE: She hasn't turned over --
15
              THE COURT: They'll tell you where they are.
16
              MR. MICHAELSON: Yeah, they need to be --
17
              MR. KEHOE: She knows exactly where they are.
18
              MR. MICHAELSON: -- disclosed where they are.
19
              MR. KEHOE: Her name's on them.
20
             MR. MICHAELSON: Your Honor, it is my --
              MR. KEHOE: And she hasn't turned --
21
22
             MR. MICHAELSON: Wait, listen to him.
23
              MR. KEHOE: -- a page over to the investigator.
24
              THE COURT: Mr. Michaelson?
```

1	MR. MICHAELSON: Yeah, when they're in the best			
2	position and they know where that is, we've asked for that fo			
3	months. And the guardian has asked for that. So I'm just			
4	asking the Court to admonish them again. You don't have to			
5	wait for discovery. If you're so good and have nothing to			
6	hide, it's normal in guardianship court to be forthcoming.			
7	They can voluntarily			
8	THE COURT: Seven days. Seven days, in writing,			
9	cc'd to Ms. Parra-Sandoval, both the guardian and			
10	Mr. Michaelson a list of the accounts, the bank, and the			
11	account numbers, investments, retirement accounts, all			
12	accounts.			
13	MR. MICHAELSON: Yeah, it's just a situation where			
14	he may have we believe he may have access to that, so we			
15	don't know and at some point we'd like to see an accounting.			
16	It could even be done voluntarily. Someone could say hey, I			
17	know we've had access to this or that account, here's			
18	everything I know, here's everything I've used our money on.			
19	And and we go from there.			
20	THE COURT: Counsel, do you think that the February			
21	20th date is too soon?			
22	MR. BECKSTROM: Well, no. No.			

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

23

24

THE COURT: Because this has been ongoing too long.

MR. BECKSTROM: Because I understood it different.

I understood it you set that very short to -- for appellate purposes only, to make a decision on the return of property.

As it stands right now, there's really no other open guardianship issue. She can't manage herself, she needs a guardian. That's been taken care of.

Now if the findings show there was some financial impropriety based on their limited search in the -- I mean, they don't have unlimited resources. That's why we filed the A Case. So now if he wants to try to modify the guardianship, then I understand that you need to set an evidentiary hearing for that issue.

THE COURT: Sure, but that would be separate.

MR. BECKSTROM: But right now, there's nothing else for you to set, in my opinion. You know, we have a guardian, the protected person is being cared for, she has representation. We need the financials that are going to be turned over, we're going to get them in the A Case, and we're apparently going to have hundreds of pages turned over to us. And that's going to be in the investigation that comes back when it's complete.

If that investigation reveals something, an issue, then I think that's when the Court sets the evidentiary hearing saying I want evidence and testimony on this issue.

But I don't know why we need to come back for two evidentiary

```
1
   hearings right now when we know she can't take care of herself
   and, you know, they're going to do a limited search to look at
   the financials.
3
             THE COURT: Mr. Michaelson?
 4
             MR. MICHAELSON: Yeah, I just, I agree with that.
5
6
   We would just add that our best information is that Jerry is
7
   controlling all the marriage assets. So we don't -- I don't
   believe the quardian is in control of any of the accounts. So
    it's helpful to tell people where it is. They have that
9
    information.
10
11
             MR. KEHOE: Your Honor --
             THE COURT: Mr. Kehoe?
12
             MR. KEHOE: -- her name is literally on the
13
    accounts. The guardian's name is on the accounts. That's why
14
15
    I'm really concerns that these are repeated, unsubstantiated
    allegations that are being thrown out in court.
16
             THE COURT: So you're saying they know where every
17
    account is?
18
19
             MR. KEHOE: Every account, absolutely 100 percent.
             THE COURT: And how do they know that?
20
             MR. KEHOE: Because she's been on those accounts.
21
    She's been involved. She gets all the mail to the Kraft
22
    house. Anything that would have existed was going to the
23
```

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

24

Kraft house.

```
THE COURT: All right --
1
 2
             MR. KEHOE: She claims she's been --
             THE COURT: In another --
 3
             MR. KEHOE: -- living there since May.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Hold on. In an abundance of caution, in
 6
    order to -- Ms. Parra-Sandoval, do you want to be heard on
 7
    that issue? Do you have a position?
             MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: So I don't have a position on
 8
    that, Your Honor.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay.
10
             MS. PARRA-SANDOVAL: My client can't direct me on
11
    financial stuff.
12
             THE COURT: Out of an abundance of caution, in order
13
    to protect all of the assets of Ms. Jones and to make sure
14
15
    that the guardians have all of the information they need, I
    would like within seven days of today for you to send an email
16
    to Counsel in this case indicating where the accounts are, and
17
    I'm talking about bank accounts, checking and savings,
    investment accounts, IRAs, any other type of account, the bank
19
    that it's at, and the account number. Okay? And so --
20
              MR. BECKSTROM: And the time period, Your Honor?
21
    I'm sorry, just I didn't want to --
22
23
             THE COURT: Sure.
             MR. BECKSTROM: Because there was an allegation, and
24
```

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

we know that the protected person was removed from joint 1 accounts. So the representation that she may be on the accounts now is not the same as before. So I think it needs 4 to be two years. MR. MICHAELSON: And if Mr. Yeoman or his son-in-law 5 6 as added to an account --7 MR. BECKSTROM: Correct. MR. MICHAELSON: -- so it may not now be in her 8 name, but anything going back a couple years that her name was 9 10 on. MR. BECKSTROM: Just so it's full disclosure, so we 11 12 have a time period. MR. KEHOE: And that was the point of the 13 investigator. And the investigator has not received a page of 14 documents from Kimberly. So -- so that's --15 THE COURT: So I understand your objection to my 16 request to get that information to them in seven days. 17 Understanding that, I look forward to -- to Ms. Jones' report. If I need to include authority for Ms. Jones to look into the 19 finances of specifically both the son-in-law and the husband, 20 we'll do that today. 21 Understanding that the cost of that investigation 22 could be reassessed if there's a finding that somebody's 23

> G-19-052263-A. GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

operating in bad faith or making this litigation longer than

it should be. I'm concerned, it concerns me.

б

We would want for all of Ms. Jones' assets to be protected. We would want for full disclosure. I'm concerned because this may show a pattern of behavior. During the first couple of hearings, there -- there was issues about -- about the prescriptions.

We want for Ms. Jones to have all of her prescriptions. We want to know exactly what she's taking and have those and know all of her doctors and so that she can be taken care of and has the ability to get the best services that we can give her.

The same is true of her finances. We need to make sure that no bill's going unpaid, no HOA is somehow bill is being overlooked. We want for everything to be straightened out. And so I'm real concerned about your unwillingness to stipulate to that -- that type of request.

MR. KEHOE: Your Honor, I have no problem providing those accounts, names and places and numbers, no problem at all. The concern that I have is that Your Honor is again accepting their unsubstantiated allegations without evidence.

THE COURT: So --

MR. KEHOE: And --

THE COURT: -- understand that I am not making findings today. Okay? I'm just not. What I am trying to do

1 is to get this family back on a path that benefits Ms. Jones, 2 okay? I am operating out of an abundance of caution.

If you have nothing to hide, if you want the very best for Ms. Jones, if you want her assets to be protected, the -- and you in fact sent this email before or gave them this information before, or there's nothing new, this should just be an effort to eliminate their argument. Right?

So if they have every single account and they have every medication and they have everything, it is a litigation tactic that removes all of their arguments, removes all the wind from their sails, and they have nothing else to prove to me. And it shows me that you have complied with all of my orders in good faith.

What I'm doing is not making a finding that any of their allegations are true. I'm not even talking about truth right now. What I'm talking about is information. Okay? And if I can marshal information and resources to them for the benefit of Ms. Jones, I'm going to do so. Okay?

This is cat herding, it is nothing else. All right. I'll see everybody back on the 20th. Thank you so much.

* * * * *

(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 1:32:17)

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

/s/ Kimberly C. McCright

Kimberly C. McCright, CET

d

G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF JONES 01/14/20 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1/15/2020 10:21 AM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT** 1 JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ., Bar No. 09619 jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ., Bar No. 11374 revans@sdfnvlaw.com SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Telephone: (702) 853-5483 5 Facsimile: (702) 853-5485 6 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 IN THE MATTER OF THE Case No.: G-19-052263-A 9060 WEST CHEYENNE AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89129 TELEPHONE (702) 833-5483 FACSIMITE (702) 833-5485 WWW.SDENVLAW.COM GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND Dept.: В 10 ESTATE OF: KATHLEEN JUNE JONES 11 12 An Adult Protected Person. 13 NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS FROM GUARDIANSHIP ESTATE 14 15 □TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP **☑**GENERAL GUARDIANSHIP ☐ Person □ Person 16 □ Estate ☐ Estate □Summary Admin. ☐ Person and Estate ☑ Person and Estate 17 □SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP □NOTICES/SAFEGUARDS 18 ☐ Blocked Account Required □ Person □Estate □Summary Admin. ☐ Bond Required 19 □Person and Estate 20 PETITIONER HEREBY GIVES NOTICE that they intend to seek reimbursement of 21 their attorneys' fees and costs incurred in seeking the Guardianship pursuant to NRS 159.344. 22 once and if appointed by the Court, and if there are sufficient funds in the Estate. As required by 23 NRS 159.344(3), and in support of the foregoing notice, Petitioner provides the following 24 information: 25 Compensation Arrangement. The attorneys and staff at Solomon Dwiggins & a. 26 Freer, Ltd., bill their services by the hour on a six minute increment of time rounded to the 27 nearest one-tenth of an hour. Billing occurs on a monthly basis and payment is required within 28

1 of 3

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Electronically Filed

fifteen days of the date of the billing statement.

- b. <u>Hourly Billing Rates</u>. The hourly billing rates of the attorneys and paralegals at Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., presently assigned to this matter are as follows:
 - i. Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq., \$425.00 per hour.
 - ii. Ross E. Evans, Esq. \$285.00 per hour.

Jeffrey Luszeck, Esq., is the principal attorney assigned to the matter. Ross E. Evans, Esq., is the associate attorney assigned to the matter. The firm reserves the right to change the attorneys assigned to the matter. Associates at the firm generally bill at hourly rates between \$250 and \$350. Senior paralegals of the firm bill at an hourly rate of \$200 per hour for Guardianship matters. An increase in billing rates may occur in the future.

Necessity of Services. The services of an attorney for the Guardian are necessary in this matter to aid Kimberly Jones in seeking appointment as Guardian of the Person and Estate, to investigate and respond to exploitative actions taken by certain interested parties, and to provide guidance to the Guardian on Nevada law for the Guardian to make informed decisions regarding the administration of the Guardianship.

DATED this 15th day of January, 2020.

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

/s/ Ross E. Evans

By:_____

JEFFREY P. LÜSZECK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 09619 ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11374 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

Attorneys for Kimberly Jones

2 of 3

	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 15th day of January, 2020, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I						
caused a true and cor	caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK PAYMENT					
OF ATTORNEYS'	FEES AND COSTS FROM GUARDIANSHIP ESTATE, to be served to					
the following in the n	nanner set forth below:					
Via:						
[] [] [] [XXX]	Hand Delivery U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid Certified Mail, Receipt No.: Return Receipt Request E-Service through Wiznet					
	Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons: John P. Michaelson, Esq. MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. john@michaelsonlaw.com					
·	Kathleen Jones, Adult Protected Person: Maria L. Parra Sandoval, Esq. LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC. mparra@lacsn.org					
	Rodney Gerald Yeoman: Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES TyKehoe@gmail.com					
	Matthew C. Piccolo PICCOLO LAW OFFICES matt@piccololawoffices.com					
	Kimberly Jones Geraldine Tomich, Esq. James A. Beckstrom, Esq. MARQUIS AURBACH & COFFING gtomich@maclaw.com jbeckstrom@maclaw.com					
	An employee of SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.					

3 of 3

Electronically Filed 1/15/2020 3:20 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT** 1 PET JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ., Bar No. 09619 2 jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ., Bar No. 11374 3 revans@sdfnvlaw.com SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 4 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 5 Telephone: (702) 853-5483 Facsimile: (702) 853-5485 6 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9060 WEST CHEYENNE AVENUE LAS VEGAS. NEVADA 89129 TELEPHONE (702) 853-5483 FACSIMITE (702) 835-5485 WWW, SDENVLAW, COM IN THE MATTER OF THE Case No.: G-19-052263-A 10 GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND Dept.: В ESTATE OF: 11 **HEARING REQUESTED** KATHLEEN JUNE JONES 12 An Adult Protected Person. 13 SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER 14 PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS 15 □TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP □ Person □ Person 16 ☐ Estate ☐ Estate □Summary Admin. ☐ Person and Estate 17 □SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP □NOTICES/SAFEGUARDS 18 □Person ☐ Blocked Account Required □Estate □Summary Admin. ☐ Bond Required 19 □Person and Estate 20 Kimberly Jones ("Kim"), by and through her counsel of record, Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq., 21 and Ross E. Evans, Esq., of the law firm Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., hereby Petitions this 22 Court for an Order directing payment of the Guardian's attorneys' fees and costs. In support 23 thereof, and pursuant to NRS 159.344. Kim submits the following Memorandum of Points and 24 Authorities. 25 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 26 1. June was born on January 20, 1937 and is presently 82 years old. 27 2. Kimberly Jones ("Kim") is one of three daughters of June. Robyn Friedman and 28 1 of 12

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Donna Simmons are also June's daughters.

- 3. On September 19, 2019, Kimberly's sisters, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons filed an *Ex Parte* Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship, seeking to be appointed as Guardians of the Protected Person.
- 4. On September 23, 2019, this Court entered its Order Granting Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship, appointing Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons as the Temporary Guardians.
- 5. This Court set a hearing on October 3, 2019 to determine whether to extend the temporary guardianship.
- 6. Upon receiving service of the Citation and Order appointing temporary guardians, Kimberly Jones engaged in the undersigned counsel to seek her appointment as the Guardian of the Protected Person, based, in part, on the fact that the Protected Person had previously designated Kimberly to serve as her Guardian and because Kimberly Jones was already providing caregiving to the Protected Person.
- 7. On October 2, 2019, Kimberly Jones filed her Opposition to the appointment of Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons as temporary and general guardians, and filed a Countermotion to appoint herself as Guardian of the Person and Estate.
- 8. On October 2, 2019, the Protected Person's spouse, Rodney Yeoman, filed an Opposition and Countermotion in which he sought appointment as the Protected Person's guardian.
- 9. As this Court will recall, the initial motions for appointment of Guardians were predicated on the Protected Persons' children seeking protection of their mother from allegations of financial abuse and misconduct by the Protected Person's spouse and son-in-law.
- 10. At the hearing on October 3, 2019, this Court extended the temporary guardianship of Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons, set a further hearing on October 15,

2019, and appointed a Guardianship Compliance Officer to investigate the allegations of financial abuse and misconduct. The Court heard from the Protected Person's counsel that she preferred that Kimberly Jones be appointed as guardian if necessary to continue under guardianship.

- 11. At the return hearing on October 15, 2019, this Court again heard from the Protected Person's counsel that the Protected Person had a strong preference for Kimberly Jones to serve as her Guardian. As a result of the October 15, 2019, hearing, the Court appointed Kimberly Jones to serve as Guardian.
- Order from the October 15, 2019, hearing. However, given the strong feelings by the interested parties in the case, Counsel could not reach consensus to the proposed Order and submitted competing Orders with Robyn Friedman, Donna Simmons, Kimberly Jones, and the Protected Person's counsel agreeing to one version of the Order, while the Protected Person's spouse submitted a separate Order for the Court's consideration.
- 13. On November 25, 2019, the Court entered the Order submitted by Kimberly Jones' counsel, which was counter-signed by counsel for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons and counsel for the Protected Person.
- 14. On or about November 22, 2019, Kimberly Jones retained counsel to assist her with prosecuting claims against the Protected Persons' spouse and son-in-law regarding the aforementioned allegations of financial abuse and misconduct, particularly with respect to the sale of the Protected Person's real property. On November 22, 2019, Kimberly Jones recorded a Notice of Lis Pendens against the real property, and instituted Petitions for the return of the Protected Person's property interests.
- 15. At a hearing before the Court on December 10, 2019, the Court set an evidentiary hearing for February 20, 2020 at 1:30 p.m. as to the return of the Protected Person's property by her spouse and son-in-law.
 - 16. On December 13, 2019, Kimberly Jones filed her Inventory, Appraisal, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Record of Value, establishing that the Protected Person's estate is valued at approximately \$435,159.00.

- 17. The undersigned counsel has provided legal services and representation to Kimberly Jones in seeking her appointment as Guardian of the Person and Estate, and providing legal counsel and advising Kimberly Jones with respect to her rights and duties as Guardian.
- 18. The Solomon Dwiggins & Freer law firm ("SDF") has incurred fees in connection with providing legal services to the Guardian of the Estate in the amount of \$21,346.50, and has incurred costs in the amount of \$1,684.85, for a total amount of \$23,031.35. A true and correct copy of the timesheets for attorneys working on this matter is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and a true and correct copy of an expense report showing the expenses incurred by SDF in this matter is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 19. Petitioner hereby requests that the Court grant the foregoing Petition, and enter its Order confirming that the Trustee shall pay Petitioner's fees and costs to the law firm of SDF in the amount of \$23,031.35.
- In regards to compensation and reimbursement for her attorneys' fees, NRS 159.183 provides that:
 - Subject to the discretion and approval of the court and except as otherwise provided in subsection 5, a guardian must be allowed:
 - (a) Reasonable compensation for the guardian's services;
 - (b) Necessary and reasonable expenses incurred in exercising the authority and performing the duties of a guardian; and

(c) Reasonable expenses incurred in retaining accountants, attorneys, appraisers or other professional services.

- 2. Reasonable compensation and services must be based upon similar services performed for persons who are not under a legal disability. In determining whether compensation is reasonable, the court may consider:
- (a) The nature of the guardianship;
- (b) The type, duration and complexity of the services required; and
- (c) Any other relevant factors.

- 3. In the absence of an order of the court pursuant to this chapter shifting the responsibility of the payment of compensation and expenses, the payment of compensation and expenses must be paid from the estate of the protected person. In evaluating the ability of a protected person to pay such compensation and expenses, the court may consider:
- (a) The nature, extent and liquidity of the assets of the protected person;
- (b) The disposable net income of the protected person;
- (c) Any foreseeable expenses; and
- (d) Any other factors that are relevant to the duties of the guardian pursuant to NRS 159.079 or 159.083.
- 4. Any compensation or expenses, including, without limitation, attorney's fees, must not be paid from the estate of the protected person unless and until the payment of such fees is approved by the court pursuant to this section or NRS 159.344, as applicable.
- 5. A guardian is not allowed compensation or expenses, including, without limitation, attorney's fees, for services incurred by the guardian as a result of a petition to have him or her removed as guardian if the court removes the guardian.
- 29. NRS 159.344, which as to the Guardian's attorneys' fees, further provides that:
 - 1. Any person, including, without limitation, a guardian or proposed guardian, who retains an attorney for the purposes of representing a party in a guardianship proceeding is personally liable for any attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such representation.
 - 2. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection 1 and except as otherwise provided in subsection 5 of NRS 159.183, a person who is personally liable for attorney's fees and costs may petition the court for an order authorizing such attorney's fees and costs to be paid from the estate of the ward in accordance with this section. Any such attorney's fees and costs must not be paid from the guardianship estate unless and until the court authorizes the payment pursuant to this section.
 - 3. When a person who intends to petition the court for payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate first appears in the guardianship proceeding, the person must file written notice of his or her intent to seek payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate. The written notice: (a) Must provide a general explanation of the compensation arrangement and how compensation will be computed; (b) Must include the hourly billing rates of all timekeepers, including, without limitation, attorneys, law clerks and paralegals; (c) Must provide a general explanation of the reasons why the services of the attorney are necessary to further the best interests of the ward; (d) Must be served by the person on all persons entitled to notice pursuant to NRS 159.034 and 159.047; and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(e) Is subject to approval by the court after a hearing.

4. If written notice was filed and approved by the court pursuant to subsection 3, a person may file with the court a petition requesting payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate. Such a petition must include the following information: (a) A detailed statement as to the nature and extent of the services performed by the attorney; (b) An itemization of each task performed by the attorney, with reference to the time spent on each task in an increment to the nearest one-tenth of an hour and with no minimum billing unit in excess of one-tenth of an hour; (c) An indication of whether any time billed, including, without limitation, any time spent traveling or waiting, benefited any clients of the attorney other than the ward and, if so, how many other clients benefited from such time; and (d) Any other information considered relevant to a determination of whether attorney's fees are just, reasonable and necessary.

→ Absent approval from all parties who have appeared in the proceeding, any supplemental requests for the payment of attorney's fees and costs cannot be augmented in open court and must be properly noticed in the same manner as the underlying petition requesting payment.

5. In determining whether attorney's fees are just, reasonable and necessary, the court may consider all the following factors: (a) The written notice approved by the court pursuant to subsection 3. (b) Whether the services conferred any actual benefit upon the ward or attempted to advance the best interests of the ward. (c) The qualities of the attorney, including, without limitation, his or her ability, training, education, experience, professional standing and skill. (d) The character of the work performed, including, without limitation, the difficulty, intricacy and importance of the work, the time and skill required to complete the work, the responsibility imposed and the nature of the proceedings. (e) The work actually performed by the attorney, including, without limitation, the skill, time and attention given to the work. (f) The result of the work, including, without limitation, whether the attorney was successful and any benefits that were derived. (g) The usual and customary fees charged in the relevant professional communities for each task performed, regardless of who actually performed the task. The court may only award: (1) Compensation at an attorney rate for time spent performing services that require an attorney; (2) Compensation at a paralegal rate for time spent performing paralegal services; (3) Compensation at a fiduciary rate for time spent performing fiduciary services; and (4) No compensation for time spent performing secretarial or clerical services. (h) The appropriate apportionment among multiple clients of any billed time that benefited multiple clients of the attorney. (i) The extent to which the services were provided in a reasonable, efficient and cost-effective manner, including, without limitation, whether there was appropriate and prudent delegation of services to others. (j) The ability of the estate of the ward to pay, including, without limitation: (1) The value of the estate; (2) The nature, extent and liquidity of the assets of the estate; (3) The disposable net income of the estate; (4) The anticipated

future needs of the ward; and (5) Any other foreseeable expenses. (k) The efforts made by the person and attorney to reduce and minimize any issues. (l) Any actions by the person or attorney that unnecessarily expanded issues or delayed or hindered the efficient administration of the estate. (m) Whether any actions taken by the person or attorney were taken for the purpose of advancing or protecting the interests of the person as opposed to the interests of the ward. (n) Any other factor that is relevant in determining whether attorney's fees are just, reasonable and necessary, including, without limitation, any other factor that is relevant in determining whether the person was acting in good faith and was actually pursuing the best interests of the ward.

- 6. The court shall not approve compensation for an attorney for: (a) Time spent on internal business activities of the attorney, including, without limitation, clerical or secretarial support; or (b) Time reported as a total amount of time spent on multiple tasks, rather than an itemization of the time spent on each task.
- 7. Any fees paid by a third party, including, without limitation, a trust of which the estate is a beneficiary, must be disclosed to and approved by the court.
- 8. In addition to any payment provided to a person pursuant to this section for the services of an attorney, a person may receive payment for ordinary costs and expenses incurred in the scope of the attorney's representation.
- 9. If two or more parties in a guardianship proceeding file competing petitions for the appointment of a guardian or otherwise litigate any contested issue in the guardianship proceeding, only the prevailing party may petition the court for payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate pursuant to this section. If the court determines that there is no prevailing party, the court may authorize a portion of each party's attorney's fees and costs to be paid from the guardianship estate if the court determines that such fees and costs are just, reasonable and necessary given the nature of any issues in dispute.
- 10. If an attorney is appointed by the court in a guardianship proceeding, he or she may petition the court for compensation for his or her services from the guardianship estate in accordance with the procedure set forth in this section.
- Written notice of the intent to seek attorneys' fees and costs from the guardianship estate was filed before this Court on January 15, 2020, in compliance with NRS 159.344(3). No objection was filed by any interested person to the Notice of Intent to Seek Payment of Guardian's Attorneys' Fees and Costs from the Guardianship Estate.
- 22. The Nevada Supreme Court has also adopted "well-known basic elements," which in addition to hourly time schedules kept by the attorney, are to be considered in determining the

reasonable value of an attorney's services, commonly referred to as the *Brunzell* factors. *See*, *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349-350, 455 P.2d 31, 33-34 (1969). "When determining whether to award attorney fees, the district court must review the reasonableness of the attorney fees under the *Brunzell* factors." *In re Margaret Mary Adams 2006 Trust. No. 61710*, 2015 WL 1423378, at *2 (Nev. Mar. 26, 2015); *see also, Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp.*, 121 Nev. 837, 865, 124 P.3d 530, 549 (2005) (holding that "the court must continue its analysis by considering the requested amount in light of the factors enumerated by this court in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank*, namely, the advocate's professional qualities, the nature of the litigation, the work performed, and the result.").

- Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349-350, 455 P.2d 31, 33-34 (1969), "...the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill[,]" this Court should determine that the fees sought to be paid by the Estate are reasonable in light of the quality of Petitioner's counsel, the firm of Solomon, Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. SDF is held in high regard in the community for its legal experience in complex Guardianship, Estate and Trust matters, especially in matters involving fiduciary conduct and ethics. SDF's fees are reasonable in that the firm has billed its attorney time at the rates of \$425.00 per hour for partners, and \$285 for associate attorneys assigned to the case. The associate rate time was expended predominantly on more time-consuming tasks such as analyzing documents and drafting legal briefs. Thus, SDF's fees are reasonable in light of the firm's experience, professional standing and skill.
- 24. In addition, with respect to the second factor, which references "...the character of work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time, and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of litigation[,]" this Court should determine that the fees are reasonable given the amount of work, its intricacy, importance in seeking the protection of the Protected Person and in securing the appointment of the Protected Person's choice for guardian. Moreover, the Court should be mindful that the issues presented at the outset of the ease were complex given the

competing allegations by the interested parties, and particularly complex given the family relationships, such as parent and offspring, and spouses. In this regard, Petitioner notes that the Firm reviewed the prior pleadings filed by the parties in the Probate Court relative to the relevant powers of attorney, researched and investigated the historical property records for the real property, and reviewed emails and correspondence between the parties spanning over a year. Accordingly, this Court should determine that SDF's fees were reasonable in light of the character of the work and the highly contested issues Ginger faced as Guardian.

25. In regard to the third *Brunzell* factor, "...the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and attention given to the work[,]" the Court should determine that the fees are reasonable in light of the skill, time and attention given to the work. Indeed, the Firm's timesheets demonstrate that the Firm carefully devoted the time and attention to ensuring that its tasks were performed and the Guardianship matters handled attentively and appropriately. The Firm's timesheets further demonstrate that counsel worked diligently at the onset of the Guardianship action to review the various pleadings, develop a legal strategy with the client, and to research, draft, and file the appropriate pleadings and response. Counsel's work tailored off once the Court appointed Kimberly Jones as Guardian, and counsel has been mindful to not unnecessarily increase costs. This Court should further find that SDF's fees are reasonable in light of the skill, time and attention given to the work, as SDF was required to perform difficult and complex legal services including case review, research, legal drafting and arguing, and advising the client as to multiple shifting issues, in a very small timeframe.

With respect to the last *Brunzell* factor, this Court should determine that the results obtained were favorable for the Guardian and the Protected Person, that the Estate has been secured from imposition of further undue influence, and that actions are currently pending to redress the financial abuse and misconduct by the Protected Person's spouse and son-in-law. The Nevada Supreme Court also noted that "good judgment should dictate that each of these factors be given consideration by the trier of fact and no one element should predominate or be given undue weight." *Id.* Here, this Court should determine that the Guardian acted reasonably by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

26

27

28

seeking out legal representation given the situation and complex nature of the issues. Moreover, the Court should find that SDF's fees were reasonable in light of the relief requested and ultimately obtained by the Guardian. Here, Kimberly Jones has been successful given her requests and this Court's authorization that Kimberly seek to redress the financial misconduct which has occurred. There is no question that Kimherly is the prevailing party in this regard, and that the services conferred by Kimberly's retention of SDF has had appreciable and substantial benefit to the Protected Person.

27. Accordingly, this Court should enter its Order granting fees and costs to be paid from the Guardianship Estate once it has sufficient funds, in the collective amount of \$23,031.35.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, Kimberly Jones as Guardian of the Person and Estate of Kathleen Jones respectfully requests that this Court enter its Order as follows:

Authorizing payment of attorneys' fees and costs to the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., from the Guardianship Estate in the amount of \$23,031.35; and

For any and all such further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

DATED this ____ day of January, 2020.

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

JEFFREY P/LUSZECK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 09619 ROSS E. EVANS, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 11374

9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

Attorneys for Kimberly Jones

SOLOMON LAS VEREN FLEEPHONE 7029 853-5483 FLEEPHONE (702) 853-5483 FACSIMILE (702) 853-5483 WWW.SDFNVLAW.COM

VERIFICATION

I, KIM JONES, declare under penalties of perjury of the State of Nevada:

That I am the Petitioner who makes the foregoing PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS; that I have read said Ex Parte Application and Petitions and know the contents thereof; and that the same is true of my own knowledge except as to those matters stated on information and belief and, as to such matters, I believe them to be true.

DATED this _____ day of January, 2020.

KIMBERI V IONES

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 15 day of January, 2020, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I 2 caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF 3 GUARDIAN'S ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, to be served to the following in the 4 manner set forth below: 5 Via: 6 Hand Delivery 7 U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid Certified Mail, Receipt No.: 8 Return Receipt Request 9 E-Service through Wiznet 10 Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons: John P. Michaelson, Esq. 11 MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 12 john@michaelsonlaw.com 13 Kathleen Jones, Adult Protected Person: Maria L. Parra Sandoval, Esq. 14 LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC. mparra@lacsn.org 15 16 Rodney Gerald Yeoman: Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. 17 KEHOE & ASSOCIATES TyKehoe@gmail.com 18 Matthew C. Piccolo 19 PICCOLO LAW OFFICES 20 matt@piccololawoffices.com 21 Kimberly Jones Geraldine Tomich, Esq. 22 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. MARQUIS AURBACH & COFFING 23 gtomich@maclaw.com 24 jbeckstrom@maclaw.com 25 26 An employee of SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 27 28 12 of 12

EXHIBIT "1"

EXHIBIT "1"

Detail Fee Transaction File List Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd.

Client	Trans Date	Tmkr	Rate	Hours to Bill	Amount	Personation
	09/16/2019	JPL	425	0.6	7 11110 01110	Description Prepare for and participate in conference with David
	00010010	0. 0	420	0.0	4200.00	Johnson and potential client.
7099.0001	09/19/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$85.00	Evaluate and respond to correspondence.
	09/20/2019	JPL	425	0.3		Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence.
7099.0001	09/23/2019	JPL	425	0.4	\$170.00	Telephone conference with (.1). Evaluate
						and respond to correspondence from David
						Johnson (.1). Telephone conference with with Dean Loggins (.1). Evaluate guardianship pleadings (.1).
7099.0001	09/24/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$127.50	Telephone conference with
	09/25/2019	JPL	425	0.1		Communicate with
7099.0001	09/30/2019	JPL	425	0.9		Prepare for and attend conference with
						(.4). Telephone conference with same (.4). Confer with
						Ross E. Evans regarding same (.1).
7099.0001	10/01/2019	JPL	425	1	\$425.00	Telephone conference with John Michaelson and
						Maria from Nevada Legal Services (.4). Evaluate
						numerous correspondence (.2). Brief meeting with
7000 0004	4010410040	101				client (.3). Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding same (.1).
7099.0001	10/01/2019	JPL	285	4.8	\$1,368.00	Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck regarding status
						and arguments (,1); Meeting with
						(1.0); Draft and revise Opposition and Counter-Petition (3.1).
7099.0001	10/02/2019	JPL	425	1.4	\$595.00	Supplement objection to ex parte application (1.0).
						Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding same (.2). Evaluate
						and respond to numerous correspondence t
						(.2).
7099.0001	10/02/2019	REE	285	6.5	\$1,852.50	
						Conference with (1.0); Conference with
						Michaelson 2x regarding
						(.8); Conference with
						Jeffrey P. Luszeck to (.3); Finalize
						revisions to Opposition (3.0); Assemble exhibits to
7099 0001	10/03/2019	JPL	425	1	\$425.00	Opposition (.4); Review Opposition filed by Ty Kehoe (.5). Numerous conference calls (.6). Evaluate
			420		\$120.00	supplement filed by Ty Kehoe, and order from
						hearing (.4).
7099.0001	10/03/2019	REE	285	3.5	\$997.50	Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck (.2); Review
						Supplement filed by Ty Kehoe (.1); Meeting with clients
						and counsel (.6); Attend hearing (2.0); Conference
						with Michaelson following hearing (.3); Conference with
						Jeffrey P. Luszeck regarding hearing outcome (.3).
7099.0001	10/04/2019	JPL	425	0.9	\$382.50	Evaluate hearing video (.6). Confer with Ross E. Evans
7000 0004	10/04/0040	DEE	005		457.00	and (.3).
7099.0001	10/04/2019	KEE	285	0.2	\$57.00	Review correspondence from Opposing counsel (.1);
						Draft email to client and review response (.1); Draft email to Michaelson (0.0).
7099.0001	10/07/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$85.00	Evaluate numerous correspondence from prior week (.1).
						Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding same (.1).
7099.0001	10/07/2019	REE	285	0.2	\$57.00	Draft email to client and review response (.1); Review
7000 0001	10/08/2019	JPL	425	0.5	\$212.EA	letter from Michaelson (.1).
7089.0001	10/00/2019	JPL	425	0.5	\$212.50	Telephone conference with client and opposing counsel (.3). Evaluate and respond to correspondence
						from same (.2).
7099.0001	10/09/2019	JPL	425	2.3	\$977.50	Prepare for and participate in conference with client
						and John Michaelson (1.5). Evaluate and respond to
						numerous correspondence (.8).

7099.0001 10/10/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$255.00	Evaluate questionnaire responses (x 2). Numerous telephone conferences with client regarding same.
7099.0001 10/11/2019	JDC	95	2	\$190.00	Confer with Jeffrey P. Luszeck regarding
7099.0001 10/11/2019	JPL	425	0.8	\$340.00	draft memorandum of same (1.7). Evaluate supplemental opposition to petition to appoint temporary guardian and declaration of Gerry Yoeman (.3). Evaluate legal research (.2). Evaluate correspondence from client (.1). Telephone conference with client
7099.0001 10/14/2019	JPL	425	1.6	\$680.00	(.2). Begin to evaluate response to objections filed by Michaelson and begin outlining response to same (1). Evaluate financial disclosure (.2). Telephone conference with client (.2). Evaluate and respond to
7099.0001 10/14/2019	REE	285	0.2	\$57.00	correspondence from client (.2). Review Reply brief filed by Michaelson (.1); Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck (0.0); Draft email to Michaelson regarding (.1).
7099.0001 10/15/2019	JPL	425	6.5	\$2,762.50	Continue evaluating response to objections to petition for guardianship filed by John Michaelson (.4). Meet with client before hearing (.6). Travel to hearing (.8). Wait for and attend hearing (2.8). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client and John Michaelson (.2). Conduct legal research regarding
7099.0001 10/16/2019	JPL	425	0.2	696.00	
7099.0001 10/16/2019	REE	285	0.1		Evaluate and respond to correspondence. Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck regarding Order and letters of guardianship.
7099.0001 10/17/2019	JPL	425	1.2	\$510.00	Prepare order from hearing (1). Evaluate and respond to correspondence (.2).
7099.0001 10/17/2019	REE	285	0.1	\$28.50	Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck regarding regarding guardianship.
7099.0001 10/18/2019	JPL	425	8.0	\$340.00	Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence (.2). Supplement order (.3). Evaluate notary book pages and correspondence regarding same (.3).
7099.0001 10/21/2019	JPL	425	0.4		Telephone conference with client (.2). Evaluate numerous correspondence from client (.1). Supplement order (.1).
7099.0001 10/22/2019	JPL	425	0.3		Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence.
7099.0001 10/22/2019	REE	285	0.1	\$28.50	Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck regarding terms of proposed Order and drafting letters of guardianship.
7099.0001 10/23/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	Evaluate and respond to correspondence. Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding same.
7099.0001 10/24/2019	JPL	425	0.5	\$212.50	Evaluate and respond to correspondence from John Michaelson (.1). Supplement order (.3). Draft correspondence to all counsel regarding same.
7099.0001 10/27/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$85.00	Evaluate and respond to correspondence from Kimberly.
7099.0001 10/28/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$127.50	Evaluate numerous emails from counsel regarding proposed revisions to orders (.2). Evaluate correspondence regarding appraisal of Kraft home (.1).
7099.0001 10/29/2019	JPL	425	0.5	\$212.50	(.3). Draft correspondence to client (x2), Ty Kehoe (x1) and all counsel regarding order (.2).
7099.0001 10/30/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$127.50	Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence

						from counsel.
7099.0001 10/30	/2019	REE	285	0.3	\$85.50	Draft email to client regarding guardianship (.3); Review client response and forward to Jeffrey P. Luszeck (0.0).
7099.0001 10/31	/2019	JPL	425	0.4	\$170.00	Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from other counsel regarding order (.3). Evaluate and respond to client (.1).
7099.0001 11/04	/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	Evaluate and respond to correspondence from Ty Kehoe.
7099.0001 11/04		JPL	425	0.3		Telephone conference with client (.2). Evaluate and respond to multiple correspondence from Ty Kehoe (.1).
7099.0001 11/05		JPL	425	0.3	\$127.50	Evaluate and respond to correspondence from David Johnson. Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding same.
7099.0001 11/07	/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding publication.
7099.0001 11/07	/2019	REE	285	0.3	\$85.50	Conference with client
7099.0001 11/08	/2019	JPL	425			Travel to and attend conference with John Michaelson.
7099.0001 11/09	/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	Evaluate correspondence from client.
7099.0001 11/12	/2019	JPL	425	0.8	\$340.00	Prepare for and participate in telephone conference with client (.5). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client (.3).
7099.0001 11/13	/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$255.00	Telephone conferences with Kimberly Jones (.3). Evaluate and respond to multiple correspondence from Marquis Aurbach Coffing (.3). Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding same (.1). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client (.2).
7099.0001 11/14	/2019	JPL	425	1.4	\$595.00	Prepare for and participate in numerous telephone conferences with Kimberly (.5), Marquis Aurbach Coffing (.3) and John Michaelson (.3). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client (.2). Evaluate minute order from (.1).
7099.0001 11/15	/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	Evaluate and respond to correspondence.
7099.0001 11/18		JPL	425	0.5		Prepare for and participate in conference call with client (.4). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from David Johnson (.1).
7099.0001 11/20		JPL	425	0.3	\$127.50	Telephone conference with Marquis Aurbach Coffing and client.
7099.0001 11/21	/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$255.00	Telephone conference with Marquis Aurbach Coffing (.2). Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from client (.2). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from Ty Kehoe (.2).
7099.0001 11/22	/2019	JPL	425	0.8	\$340.00	Evaluate order and correspondence from Ty Kehoe (.4). Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from Marquis Aurbach Coffing (.2). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client (.2).
7099.0001 11/22	/2019	REE	285	0.2	\$57.00	Conference with Ty Kehoe regarding status; Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck.
7099.0001 11/23	/2019	JPL	425	1.4	\$595.00	Evaluate correspondence (.1). Evaluate multiple voicemails (.1). Continue to evaluate Ty's proposed order and correspondence thereto (.2). Draft response to Ty's proposed order (1). Draft correspondence to client regarding same.
7099.0001 11/24	/2019	JPL	425	0.3		Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client (.1). Supplement correspondence to Judge Marquis (.2).
7099.0001 11/25	/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$255.00	Evaluate correspondence from Ty Kehoe (.1). Evaluate correspondence from John Michaelson (.1). Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from client (.2). Supplement correspondence to court and evaluate correspondence from same (.2).
7099.0001 11/29	/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$85.00	Evaluate email and declaration attached thereto.

7099.0001 12/03/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50 Evaluate correspondence.
7099.0001 12/04/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$255.00 Telephone conference with client (.2). Evaluate numerous correspondence from James, John and Ty regarding upcoming hearing (.3). Evaluate notices of hearing and orders shortening time (.1).
7099.0001 12/05/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$85.00 Evaluate and respond to correspondence.
7099.0001 12/06/2019	JPL	425	0.8	\$340.00 Evaluate and respond to correspondence from Ty Kehoe (.2). Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from client (.3). Evaluate oppositions filed by Ty Kehoe (.4).
7099.0001 12/09/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50 Evaluate and respond to correspondence.
7099.0001 12/10/2019	JPL	425	0.4	\$170.00 Evaluate numerous correspondence from client, Ty Kehoe, Marquis Aurbach Coffing and court-appointed investigator (over 10 emails).
7099.0001 12/11/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50 Evaluate numerous correspondence regarding dogs and order.
7099.0001 12/12/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50 Evaluate correspondence from Ty Kehoe.
7099.0001 12/18/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$85.00 Evaluate and respond to correspondence.
7099.0001 12/19/2019	REE	285	2.6	\$741.00 Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck regarding Petition for fees and draft petition for fees.
7099.0001 12/19/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50 Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding petition for fees.
7099,0001 12/20/2019	REE	285	1.3	\$370.50 Revise petition for reimbursement of attorney fees to Guardian.
7099.0001 12/24/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50 Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding matter.
Total for Client ID 7099.0	001	Billable	58.5	\$21,346.50 Jones/Kimberly
				June Jones Guardianship/Power of Attorney

EXHIBIT "2"

EXHIBIT "2"

Detail Cost Transaction File List Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd.

	Trans			
Client	Date	Rate	Amount	<u>Description</u>
7099.0001	10/02/2019		\$85.90	Electronic Filing Fee for Opposition to Ex Parte Petition for
				Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian of the Person
				and Estate; and Alternatively, Counter-Petition for Appointment of
				Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General Guardian of the Person
				and Estate
7099.0001	10/03/2019		\$3.50	Electronic Filing Fee for Supplement to Counter-Petition for
				Appointment of Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General
				Guardian of the Person and Estate
7099.0001	10/09/2019	0.1		Laser copy charges.
7099.0001	10/14/2019	0.1	\$15.25	Laser copy charges.
7099.0001	10/15/2019	0.1		Laser copy charges.
7099.0001	10/16/2019	0.1	\$1.25	Laser copy charges.
7099.0001	10/17/2019		\$24.00	Parking
7099,0001	10/18/2019	0.1	\$0.50	Laser copy charges.
7099.0001	10/29/2019	0.1		Laser copy charges.
7099.0001	10/31/2019	5		Westlaw online legal research.
7099.0001	10/31/2019	8		Courier fee.
7099.0001	10/31/2019	8	\$8.00	Courier fee.
7099.0001	10/31/2019	8		Courier fee.
7099.0001		8		Courier fee.
7099.0001		0,25		Copy charges.
7099.0001		0.1		Scan charges.
7099,0001	11/22/2019	0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001	11/22/2019	0.5		Color photocopies.
7099,0001		0.1		Scan charges.
7099,0001		0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001	11/25/2019	0.5		Color photocopies.
7099.0001		8		Courier fee.
7099.0001		8		Courier fee.
7099.0001		· ·		Electronic Filing Fee for Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing
7099,0001				Electronic Filing Fee for Notice of Entry of Order
7099.0001		0.1		Scan charges.
7099.0001		0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001		0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001		0.20		Electronic Filing Fee for Letters of Guardianship
7099.0001		0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001		0.20		Certified Copies of Letters of Guardianship
7099.0001		0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001		8		Courier fee.
7099.0001		0		Counter ree: Certified copies of Letters of Guardianship (x30)
7099.0001		0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001		U.Z.J		1,
7099.0001		0.25		Certified copies of Letters of Guardianship (x30) Copy charges.
7099.0001		0.25		Copy charges. Courier fee.
7099.0001		0.25		
1000.0001	7099.0001	∪.∠5	Φ1.UU	Copy charges.

\$1,684.85 Jones/Kimberly
June Jones Guardianship/Power of Attorney

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 Marquis Aurbach Coffing Geraldine Tomich, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8369 2 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 3 Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001 Park Run Drive 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 5 gtomich@maclaw.com jbeckstrom@maclaw.com 6 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, 7 Guardian of Kathleen June Jones 8 **DISTRICT COURT** 9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 10 11 IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP Case No.: G-19-052263-A MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF: 12 Dept. No.: 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 13 KATHLEEN JUNE JONES HEARING REQUESTED 14 An Adult Protected Person. 15 16 MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER 17 ☐ TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP □ GENERAL GUARDIANSHIP 18 □ Person □ Person 19 □ Estate Estate Summary Admin. Person and Estate □ Person and Estate 20 21 ☐ SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP □ NOTICES/SAFEGUARDS 22 □ Person ☐ Blocked Account Required ☐ Summary Admin. ☐ Bond Required □ Estate 23 ☐ Person and Estate 24 Kimberly Jones, by and through her counsel of record, Geraldine Tomich, Esq. and James 25 A. Beckstrom, Esq. of the law firm of Marquis Aurbach Coffing, hereby files her Motion for 26 Clarification. 27 28 Page 1 of 10 MAC:15820-001 2/6/2020 2:29 PM

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Electronically Filed 2/6/2020 2:32 PM

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Motion is made and based upon all papers, pleadings, and records on file herein, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and any oral argument allowed at a hearing on this matter.

Dated this 6th day of February, 2020.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

/s/ James A. Beckstrom Geraldine Tomich, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8369 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

INTRODUCTION I.

Rodney Gerald Yeoman's ("Yeoman") legal counsel continues to misunderstand and abuse the procedures of this guardianship court. Once again, counsel for the protected person has been forced to move the Court for relief based on Mr. Kehoe's attempt to increase the costs of litigation by unilaterally setting depositions and propounding discovery in a case that has no pending issue before the Court.

This case had one issue pending before it—an evidentiary hearing (requested by Yeoman) on the Guardian's Motion for Return of Property (relating to two dogs). Since then, Yeoman has stipulated that the dogs at issue are the sole and separate property of the protected person and all parties have asked the Court to vacate the evidentiary hearing on the Motion for Return of Property (previously set for 2/20).

Notwithstanding the fact that the Petition for Return of Property was the only issue pending before the Court, counsel for Yeoman has propounded aimless discovery on both the Guardian and non-parties to this case. In addition, counsel for Yeoman unilaterally set three depositions, two of

Page 2 of 10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

which are for non-parties (and one deponent that lives in California). With no issues pending before the Court, counsel for both the protected person, the Guardian, and the non-parties subject to the above stated abusive discovery asked Mr. Kehoe to withdraw the inappropriate discovery and vacate the depositions. However, Mr. Kehoe has refused these requests and instead maintains he is entitled to discovery, despite the fact no one in this case knows what Yeoman seeks to "discover." Based on Mr. Kehoe informing counsel for the protected person, the Guardian, and the non-parties at issue that the depositions and discovery would not be vacated (one deposition which is scheduled for 2/7/20), the instant Motion seeks a protective order from the discovery propounded as well as the depositions that were improperly set in a case that currently has no open issues to litigate.

STATEMENT OF FACTS II.

- 1. On September 23, 2019, this Court entered its Order Granting Ex Parte Petition for Temporary Guardianship wherein it appointed Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons as Temporary Guardians. On October 3, 2019, this Court extended the temporary guardianship.
- 2. On October 2, 2019, Rodney Gerald Yeoman, the husband of Kathleen June Jones, filed his Opposition to Appointment of Temporary Guardian and General Guardian and Counter-Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship and Counter-Petition for Appointment of General Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of General Guardianship ("Yeoman's Counter-Petition").
- 3. On October 2, 2019, Kimberly Jones filed her Opposition to Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate; Alternatively, Counter-Petition for Appointment of Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate ("Kimberly's Counter-Petition").
- 4. On October 15, 2019 at the Citation to Appear and Show Cause Hearing, Kathleen June Jones, by and through her Court appointed Counsel, Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, advised the Court that it was Kathleen June Jones' desire that Kimberly Jones be appointed as her client's guardian.

Page 3 of 10

5. On November 25, 2019, the Court signed and an entry of order was made with the following by the Court:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones' Counter-Petition is hereby GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones is hereby appointed as guardian of the Estate and Person of Kathleen June Jones and Letters of General Guardianship shall issue to Kimberly Jones.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman's Counter-Petition is hereby DENIED in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Letters of Temporary Guardianship entered on September 23, 2019 are **hereby revoked.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to issue Letters of Guardianship to Kimberly Jones upon subscribing to the appropriate oath of office, and bond be waived, since there are no liquid assets.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones shall investigate the facts and circumstances regarding the purported transfer of real property located at 6277 Kraft Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89130, APN 138-02-511-076, from June Jones to Richard & Kandi Powell on or around January 16, 2018, and pursue any potential claims and/or resolution relating to the same.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones shall disseminate the medical records and/or information relating to Kathleen June Jones to Robyn Friedman, Donna Simmons and Rodney Gerald Yeoman.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman shall be allowed to participate in visits with Kathleen June Jones, however, because Rodney Gerald Yeoman was unwilling to provide any information regarding his health/medical conditions said visits must be supervised by Kimberly Jones and/or an agent of her choosing so as to ensure the safety of Kathleen June Jones.

. . .

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a return hearing on the Investigative Reports is hereby scheduled for January 14, 2020, and <u>if necessary</u>, an evidentiary hearing <u>on the Investigative reports</u> is scheduled for February 20, 2020.

See Order From October 15, 2019 Hearing, attached as Exhibit 1.

6. On December 10, 2019, this Court heard oral argument on the Guardian's Petition for Return of Property of Protected Person and Petition for Confirmation to Bring Civil Actions of Behalf of Kathleen June Jones. The Court concluded this hearing with agreeing that the dogs—the real property at issue were the separate property of June Jones, but because Mr. Yeoman indicated

Page 4 of 10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

he would file an appeal, an evidentiary hearing was set out of an abundance of caution. That hearing was set for February 20, 2020.

- 7. On January 6, 2020, the State Guardianship Compliance Office requested an additional 60 days to conduct a financial review of the case. See Request, on file.
- 8. On January 24, 2020, counsel for Yeoman issued three "Notices of Deposition" to the following persons: (1) Donna Simmons; (2) Robyn Friedman; and (3) Kimberly Jones. See Notice of Deposition Subpoenas, collectively enclosed as Exhibit 2.
- 9. These depositions were e-served for the appearance of two non-parties (Simmons and Friedman) and the Guardian Kimberly Jones. The depositions were set unilaterally, with no communication to opposing counsel and while the only outstanding issue in this case was a Motion for Return of Property.
- 10. In addition to the improper deposition notices, Mr. Yeoman's counsel propounded aimless written discovery on both the Guardian and the same non-parties he unilaterally set depositions for. See Interrogatories, Requests for Admissions, and Requests for Production of Documents to Robyn Friedman, Donna Simmons, and Kimberly Jones, collectively enclosed as Exhibit 3.
- 11. On January 31, 2020, the Guardian of the protected person, counsel for the protected person, and Yeoman entered into a stipulation that the two dogs subject to the Motion for Return of Property were the sole and separate property of the protected person. See Unsigned Stipulation and Order on Petition for Return of Property of Protected Person, attached as Exhibit 4.
- 12. In the same stipulation and order, the parties agreed that the forthcoming February 20, 2020 hearing on the Return of Property was to be vacated. *Id.*
- 13. With the issue of the return of property completely resolved and because no pending petition for relief was in front of the Court, counsel for the protected person and Guardian notified the Court and the Court confirmed the February 20, 2020 evidentiary hearing would be vacated.
- 14. With the evidentiary hearing vacated and the Motion for Return of Property resolved, counsel for the Guardian, protected person, and the non-party deponents (Friedman and Simmons)

Page 5 of 10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

met and conferred with Mr. Kehoe on a number of occasions via e-mail, asking for the outstanding discovery (which was improper) to be retracted and for the depositions to be vacated.

15. Notwithstanding the undisputed fact that there is no pending petition for relief in front of the Court, counsel for Yeoman refused to (1) vacate what were already improperly set depositions; (2) or withdraw his burdensome written discovery. Instead, counsel for Mr. Yeoman continues to be operating under the belief that some open question as to guardianship exists and broadly asserts, he is "entitled to discovery" on issues never presented to the Court, counsel for the protected person, or the Guardian.

16. Now, with the deposition of Simmons set for February 7, 2020, who was never served with a subpoena in this case and lives in California, the Guardian has been forced to bring the instant Motion to once again reveal the abusive litigation tactics to this Court's attention and avoid the undue expense of (1) responding to discovery that has no bearing to any claims pending before this Court; and (2) avoid wasting financial resources of the protected person and every other individual involved in this case that would occur in attending depositions in a guardianship case with no active claims between the parties.

III. **LEGAL STANDARD**

Rule 26(b)(1) of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure provides, in pertinent part, "[p]arties may obtain discovery regarding any non-privileged matter that is relevant to any party's claims or defenses and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the action, the amount in controversy, the parties' relative access to relevant information, the parties' resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit."

"The court may, for good cause, issue an order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense " See NRCP 26(c)(1) (emphasis added.) In particular, the Court may specify the terms of discovery sought, including the time and place for disclosure or discovery. See NRCP 26(c)(1)(B). The Court has wide discretion regarding discovery matters. See Club Vista Fin. Servs., LLC v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 128 Nev. 224, 228, 276 P.3d 246, 249 (2012). The Court's discretion includes resolving disputes related to the Page 6 of 10

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 10001 Park Run Drive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

time and place of depositions. See Okada v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 131 Nev. 834, 843, 359 P.3d 1106, 1112 (2015); see also Hyde & Drath v. Baker, 24 F.3d 1162, 1166 (9th Cir. 1994). Pursuant to NRCP 32(a)(5)(A) once a protective order is filed challenging the setting or scope of a deposition, no deposition that moves forward can be used in the judicial proceedings.

IV. **LEGAL ARGUMENT**

A. A PROTECTIVE ORDER MUST ISSUE TO PREVENT YEOMAN IMPOSING YET ANOTHER FINANCIAL BURDEN ON THE GUARDIAN AND PROTECTED PERSON.

This is a very straightforward issue. Yeoman cannot propound discovery when there is no issue pending before the Court. Yeoman filed a counter-petition for guardianship almost four months ago. That petition was DENIED. See Exhibit 1. To date, Yeoman, nor any other person has filed any other petition that would necessitate discovery, with the exception of the Guardian who was tasked with investigating the highly suspect transfer of the protected person's real property for NO VALUE to Yeoman's son in law, Dick Powell. Id.

In addition, this Court already authorized the Guardian to file a separate civil lawsuit concerning the transfer of the property and the other concerns the Guardian raised. That lawsuit is pending in its ordinary course. See District Court Case No. A-19-807458-C. Notwithstanding these undisputed facts, Yeoman has (1) propounded discovery on the Guardian on a host of issues, ranging from the return of property, financial transactions, and issues. See Exhibits 2 & 3. (2) propounded similar written discovery on non-parties to this case (June's daughters) Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons (who lives in California); and (3) unilaterally set the depositions of the Guardian, Robyn Friedman, and Donna Simmons.

The above state discovery is nothing more than an attempt to increase the cost of litigation and is highly burdensome to the protected person, her estate, and non-parties to this litigation. Each of the above discovery devices is inappropriate, because it is undisputed that Yeoman maintains no action in front of this Court. This Court DENIED Yeoman's Counter-petition for guardianship months ago and Yeoman failed to file a timely appeal. See Docket. With Yeoman having no active petition or request in front of the Court, his attempts to force the Guardian and the protected person through an aimless discovery charade is a waste of resources, abusive, and highly burdensome.

Page 7 of 10

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 10001 Park Run Drive

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The litigation between Yeoman, Dick Powell, and Kandi Powell is ongoing in another district court case—while Yeoman can conduct as much discovery as he wants in that case to defend against the claims against him, the guardianship court is not the proper forum to conduct aimless discovery on civil claims not pending before this Court.

THE GUARDIAN IS ENTITLED TO FEES AND COSTS INCURRED FOR B. HAVING TO BRING THE INSTANT MOTION.

NRCP 26 (c)(3) governs fees to a party who prevails on moving for a protective order and incorporates the provisions of NRCP 37(a)(5), which states in relevant part as follows:

If the motion is granted — or if the disclosure or requested discovery is provided after the motion was filed — the court must, after giving an opportunity to be heard, require the party or deponent whose conduct necessitated the motion, the party or attorney advising that conduct, or both to pay the movant's reasonable expenses incurred in making the motion, including attorney fees. But the court must not order this payment if:

- (i) the movant filed the motion before attempting in good faith to obtain the disclosure or discovery without court action;
- (ii) the opposing party's nondisclosure, response, or objection was substantially justified; or
 - (iii) other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.

Here, there is no doubt that the discovery sought is (1) improper and (2) abusive. There is no pending petition or motion in front of the Court—despite this counsel for Yeoman continues to improperly propound discovery and set depositions. The email exchanges between the attorneys representing each person relevant to this Motion paint a surprisingly clear picture of yeoman's counsel's inability to follow proper procedures. See E-Mail Correspondence, attached as Exhibit 5. This is sanctionable and fees and costs should not be required to come from the protected person or Guardian's pocket. Instead, fees are required and should be ordered against Yeoman and his attorney. Upon the Court ordering fees and costs, counsel for the Guardian will timely submit a memorandum and points of authorities as to the fees sought.

CONCLUSION V.

As such, this Court should issue a protective order (1) quashing the deposition notices for Kimberly Jones, Robyn Friedman, and Donna Simmons; (2) ordering Yeoman to withdraw the written discovery propounded on Kimberly Jones, Robyn Friedman, and Donna Simmons; and (3)

Page 8 of 10

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER was submitted electronically for filing and/or service with the Eighth Judicial District Court on the 6th day of February, 2020. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the E-Service List as follows:¹

> Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. KEHŐE & ASSOCIÁTES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052 Email: tykehoelaw@gmail.com

> Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICÉS 2450 St. Rose Pkwy., Ste. 210 Henderson, NV 89074 Email: matt@piccololawoffices.com

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to:

N/A

/s/ Cheryl Becnel An employee of Marquis Aurbach Coffing

Page 10 of 10

¹ Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), each party who submits an E-Filed document through the E-Filing System consents to electronic service in accordance with NRCP 5(b)(2)(D).

Exhibit 1

Electronically Filed 11/25/2019 2:04 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **NEOJ** JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ., Bar No. 09619 2 iluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ., Bar No. 11374 3 revans@sdfnvlaw.com SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 5 Telephone: (702) 853-5483 Facsimile: (702) 853-5485 6 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 9 IN THE MATTER OF THE Case No.: G-19-052263-A 10 GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND Dept.: ESTATE OF: 11 KATHLEEN JUNE JONES NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 12 An Adult Protected Person. 13 **□TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP ⊠GENERAL GUARDIANSHIP** 14 ☐ Person ☐ Person ☐ Estate ☐ Estate □Summary Admin. 15 ☐ Person and Estate 16 □SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP **□NOTICES/SAFEGUARDS** ☐ Blocked Account Required □Person 17 □Estate □Summary Admin. ☐ Bond Required □Person and Estate 18 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing was entered in 19 the above-entitled matter on the 25th day of November, 2019, a true and correct copy of which is 20 attached hereto. 21 DATED this 25th day of November, 2019. 22 SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 23 Jeffrey P. Luszeck 24 By: JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ. (#9619) 25 ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ. (#11374) 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue 26 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 27 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones 28 1 of 2 Case Number: G-19-052263-A

	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
I HEREBY C	CERTIFY that on this 25 th day of November, 2019, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I
caused a true and c	orrect copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER, to be
served to the following	ng in the manner set forth below:
Via:	
[] [] [] [XXX]	Hand Delivery U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid Certified Mail, Receipt No.: Return Receipt Request E-Service through Wiznet
	Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons: John P. Michaelson, Esq. MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. john@michaelsonlaw.com
	Kathleen Jones, Adult Protected Person: Maria L. Parra Sandoval, Esq. LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC. mparra@lacsn.org
	Rodney Gerald Yeoman: Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES TyKehoe@gmail.com
	Matthew C. Piccolo PICCOLO LAW OFFICES matt@piccololawoffices.com
	Kimberly Jones Geraldine Tomich, Esq. James A. Beckstrom, Esq. MARQUIS AURBACH & COFFING gtomich@maclaw.com jbeckstrom@maclaw.com
	/s/ Gretta McCall
	An employee of SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

2 of 2

1 ORDR JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ., Bar No. 09619 2 jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ., Bar No. 11374 3 revans@sdfnvlaw.com SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 4 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 5 Telephone: (702) 853-5483 Facsimile: (702) 853-5485 6 Attorneys for Respondent Kimberly Jones 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 9060 WEST CHEYENNE AVENUE
1.45 YEGAS, NEYADA 89129
1 TELEPHONE 1702) 835-5483
F ACCIMILE 1702) 835-5485
WWW.SDFNVLAW.COM. IN THE MATTER OF THE Case No.: G-19-052263-A 10 GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND Dept.: В ESTATE OF: 11 KATHLEEN JUNE JONES 12 Date of Hearing: October 15, 2019 Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m. An Adult Protected Person. 13 SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER ORDER FROM OCTOBER 15, 2019 HEARING 14 15 ☐TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP **☑GENERAL GUARDIANSHIP** ☐ Person ☐ Person 16 ☐ Estate ☐ Estate □Summary Admin. ✓ Person and Estate ☐ Person and Estate 17 □SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP □NOTICES/SAFEGUARDS 18 □Person ☐ Blocked Account Required □Estate □Summary Admin. ☐ Bond Required 19 □Person and Estate 20 This matter having come on for hearing before the above entitled Court on October 15, 21 2019. Present at the hearing were: Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq. of the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins 22 & Freer, Ltd. on behalf of Kimberly Jones; Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. of Legal Aid Center of 23 Southern Nevada, on behalf of Kathleen June Jones, Protected Person; Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. of the 24 law firm Kehoe & Associates, and Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. of the law firm Piccolo Law Offices, 25 on behalf of Rodney Gerald Yeoman; and John P. Michaelson, Esq. of the law firm Michaelson 26 & Associates, Ltd., on behalf of Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons (collectively, the 27 "Parties"). After considering the papers and pleadings on file herein and the argument of counsel 28 NO' 11 009

1 of 5

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Electronically Filed 11/25/2019 1:19 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

at the time of hearing and good cause appearing, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. That on December 27, 2005, Kathleen June Junes executed a Healthcare Power of Attorney naming her daughter, Kimberly Jones, as her Attorney-in-Fact for healthcare decisions.
- 2. That on October 24, 2012, Kathleen June Jones executed a Financial Power of Attorney naming her daughter, Kimberly Jones, as her Attorney-in-Fact for financial matters.
- 3. That on November 23, 2012, Kathleen June Jones executed a Last Will and Testament naming her daughter, Kimberly Jones, as her Personal Representative and chosen guardian over her person and estate, should the need for a guardian ever arise.
- 4. That on September 19, 2019, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons filed their Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship, and Petition for Appointment of General Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of General Guardianship ("Ex Parte Petition for Temporary Guardianship").
- 5. That on September 19, 2019, the Clerk of the Court issued a Citation to Appear and Show Cause scheduling a hearing for October 15, 2019 to "show cause, if any, why Kathleen June Jones ("Protected Person"), should not be declared incapacitated or in need of a guardian to manage the Protected Person's personal and financial affairs and to further show cause, if any, why Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons, should not be appointed to act as Guardian of the protected person's Person and Estate."
- 6. That on September 23, 2019, this Court entered its Order Granting Ex Parte Petition for Temporary Guardianship wherein it appointed Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons as Temporary Guardians. On October 3, 2019, this Court extended the temporary guardianship.
- 7. That on October 2, 2019, Rodney Gerald Yeoman, the husband of Kathleen June Jones, filed his Opposition to Appointment of Temporary Guardian and General Guardian and Counter-Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship and Counter-Petition for Appointment of General Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of Letters of General Guardianship ("Rodney's Counter-Petition").

- 8. That on October 2, 2019, Kimberly Jones filed her Opposition to Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate; Alternatively, Counter-Petition for Appointment of Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate ("Kimberly's Counter-Petition").
- 9. That on October 15, 2019 at the Citation to Appear and Show Cause Hearing, Kathleen June Jones, by and through her Court appointed Counsel, Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, advised the Court that it was Kathleen June Jones' desire that Kimberly Jones be appointed as her client's guardian.

Good Cause Appearing Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones' Counter-Petition is hereby GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones is hereby appointed as guardian of the Estate and Person of Kathleen June Jones and Letters of General Guardianship shall issue to Kimberly Jones.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman's Counter-Petition is hereby DENIED in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Letters of Temporary Guardianship entered on September 23, 2019 are bereby revoked.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to issue Letters of Guardianship to Kimberly Jones upon subscribing to the appropriate oath of office, and bond be waived, since there are no liquid assets.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones shall investigate the facts and circumstances regarding the purported transfer of real property located at 6277 Kraft Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89130, APN 138-02-511-076, from June Jones to Richard & Kandi Powell on or around January 16, 2018, and pursue any potential claims and/or resolution relating to the same.

3 of 5

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones shall disseminate the medical records and/or information relating to Kathleen June Jones to Robyn Friedman, Donna Simmons and Rodney Gerald Yeoman.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman shall be allowed to participate in visits with Kathleen June Jones, however, because Rodney Gerald Yeoman was unwilling to provide any information regarding his health/medical conditions said visits must be supervised by Kimberly Jones and/or an agent of her choosing so as to ensure the safety of Kathleen June Jones.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court approve payment of attorneys' fees and costs from the guardianship estate to the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. at the conclusion of the guardianship proceeding, subject to Court confirmation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a return hearing on the Investigative Reports is hereby scheduled for January 14, 2020, and if necessary, an evidentiary hearing on the Investigative Reports is scheduled for February 20, 2020.

DATED this 25 day of November, 2019.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

LINDA MARQUIS

Submitted by:

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESO.

9060 West Cheyenne Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

Nevada Bar No. 09619

Nevada Bar No. 11374

ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

Attorneys for Kimberly Jones 28

Approved as to Form and Content:

LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN

NEVADA:

Nevada Bar No. 13736 725 E. Charleston Blvd.

Las Vegas, NV 89104

Attorney for Kathleen Jones, Protected Person

Approved as to Form and Content: KEHOE & ASSOCIATES DISAPPROVED By: TY E. KEHOE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6011 871 Coronado Center Dr. Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052 Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman

Approved as to Form and Content:

MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

By:

JOHN P. MICHAELSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7822

2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160

Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons

Henderson, NV 89052

5 of 5

Approved as to Form and Content:

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES

Bv:

TY E. KEHOE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6011 871 Coronado Center Dr. Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052

Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman

Approved as to Form and Content:

MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

By: May A Marchael

JOHN P. MICHAELSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7822 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 Henderson, NV 89052

Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons

Exhibit 2

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 1/24/2020 5:03 PM

1 2 3 4	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES TY E. KEHOE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006011 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 Telephone: (702) 837-1908 Facsimile: (702) 837-1932 TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com	
5	Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.	
6	Nevada Bar No. 14331 PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	
7	8565 S Eastern Ave Ste 150 Las Vegas, NV 89123	
8	Tel: (702) 749-3699 Fax: (702) 944-6630	
9	matt@piccololawoffices.com	
10	Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	
11		RICT COURT DUNTY, NEVADA
12	In the Metter of the Coordinachin of the	Coro No. C 10 052262 A
13	In the Matter of the Guardianship of the Person and Estate of	Case No: G-19-052263-A Dept. No. B
14	KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,	
15	Protected Person.	
16	NOTICE OF DEPOSIT	TION OF KIMBERLY JONES
17	NOTICE OF DETOSIT	HON OF KINIDERLY JONES
18 19	TO: Kimberly Jones by and through he A. Beckstrom, Esq.; TO: All Other Parties	er attorneys Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq. and James
20	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that purs	uant to Rule 30 Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure,
21	-	
22		0 a.m. , Ty E. Kehoe, Esq., of the law firm of Kehoe
23	& Associates, and Matthew C. Piccolo, Esc	q., of the law firm of Piccolo Law Offices, counsel
24	for Rodney Gerald Yeoman, will take the	deposition of the above captioned individual at the
25	law office of Kehoe & Associates, 871 Co	ronado Center Drive, Suite 200, Henderson, NV
26	89052, upon oral examination, by a meth	od authorized pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil
27	Procedure, including possible videotaped	deposition, before an officer authorized by law to
KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 20028 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908	administer oaths.	
		Page 1 of 2
		1 450 1 01 2

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

1	You are invited to attend and cross-example of the control of the	mine.						
2	Dated this 24 th day of January, 2020.	Submitted by:						
3		KEHOE & ASSOCIATES						
4		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe						
5		Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.						
6	<u>CERTIFICAT</u>	E OF SERVICE						
7	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 24 th day of January, 2020, I served a true and correct							
8	copy of the NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF K	XIMBERLY JONES via electronic service to the						
9								
10	following, or via US First Class Mail postage p	re-paid to the addresses listed:						
11	Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq.	John P. Michaelson, Esq.						
12	Ross E. Evans, Esq. jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com	john@michaelsonlaw.com						
13	revans@sdfnvlaw.com Counsel for Kimberly Jones	Counsel for Robyn Friedman and Donna						
14	The second secon	Simmons						
15								
16	Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.	Geraldine Tomich, Esq. gtomich@maclaw.com						
17	mparra@lacsn.org	James A. Beckstrom, Esq. jbeckstrom@maclaw.com						
18	Counsel for June Jones	Counsel for Kimberly Jones						
19		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe						
20		Ty E. Kehoe						
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 2002 8 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908		Page 2 of 2						

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 1/24/2020 5:01 PM

1 2 3 4	TY E. Nevad 871 C Hende Teleph	DE & ASSOCIATES KEHOE, ESQ. da Bar No. 006011 oronado Center Drive, Suite 200 erson, Nevada 89052 hone: (702) 837-1908 mile: (702) 837-1932	
5		hoeLaw@gmail.com	
6		ew C. Piccolo, Esq. la Bar No. 14331	
7	PICCO	OLO LAW OFFICES S Eastern Ave Ste 150	
8	Tel: (7	egas, NV 89123 702) 749-3699	
9		702) 944-6630 Ppiccololawoffices.com	
10	Attorr	neys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	
11			RICT COURT
12		CLARK C	OUNTY, NEVADA
13		e Matter of the Guardianship of the on and Estate of	Case No: G-19-052263-A Dept. No. B
14			Bepti No. B
15		KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,	
16		Protected Person.	
17		NOTICE OF DEPOSIT	TION OF ROBYN FRIEDMAN
18	TO: TO:	Robyn Friedman by and through All Other Parties	her attorney John P. Michaelson, Esq.;
19		PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pur	suant to Rule 30 Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure
20	comm	encing on February 11, 2020 at 10:0	00 a.m. , Ty E. Kehoe, Esq., of the law firm of Kehoe
21		•	
22	& Ass	sociates, and Matthew C. Piccolo, Es	q., of the law firm of Piccolo Law Offices, counsel
23	for Ro	odney Gerald Yeoman, will take the	deposition of the above captioned individual at the
24	law of	ffice of Kehoe & Associates, 871 Co	oronado Center Drive, Suite 200, Henderson, NV
25	89052	, upon oral examination, by a meth	nod authorized pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil
26	Proced	dure, including possible videotaped	deposition, before an officer authorized by law to
27			
KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 20028 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908	aumin	ister oaths.	
			Page 1 of 2
			Ç ·

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

1	You are invited to attend and cross-exa	mine.				
2	Dated this 24 th day of January, 2020.	Submitted by:				
3		KEHOE & ASSOCIATES				
4						
5		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.				
6	CEDTIFICAT	•				
7	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>					
8	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 24 th	day of January, 2020, I served a true and correct				
	copy of the NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF R	OBYN FRIEDMAN via electronic service to the				
9	 following, or via US First Class Mail postage p	ore-paid to the addresses listed:				
10	I-ff D. I	Labo D. Mishaalaan, Ess				
11	Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq. Ross E. Evans, Esq.	John P. Michaelson, Esq. john@michaelsonlaw.com				
12	jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com revans@sdfnvlaw.com	-				
13	Counsel for Kimberly Jones	Counsel for Robyn Friedman and Donna				
14		Simmons				
15	Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq.	Geraldine Tomich, Esq.				
16	Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.	gtomich@maclaw.com				
17	mparra@lacsn.org	James A. Beckstrom, Esq. jbeckstrom@maclaw.com				
18	Counsel for June Jones	Counsel for Kimberly Jones				
19		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe				
20		Ty E. Kehoe				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 2 8 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908		Poga 2 of 2				

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 1/24/2020 4:59 PM

1 2 3 4	TY E. Nevad 871 C Hende Telepl Facsin	DE & ASSOCIATES KEHOE, ESQ. la Bar No. 006011 oronado Center Drive, Suite 200 erson, Nevada 89052 hone: (702) 837-1908 mile: (702) 837-1932 hoeLaw@gmail.com	
5 6 7	Matth Nevad PICCO 8565 S Las V	ew C. Piccolo, Esq. la Bar No. 14331 OLO LAW OFFICES S Eastern Ave Ste 150 egas, NV 89123	
8 9	Fax: (702) 749-3699 702) 944-6630 Ppiccololawoffices.com	
10	Attorn	neys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	
11			RICT COURT OUNTY, NEVADA
12 13		e Matter of the Guardianship of the	Case No: G-19-052263-A
14	Perso	on and Estate of	Dept. No. B
15		KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,	
16		Protected Person.	
17		NOTICE OF DEPOSI	TION OF DONNA SIMMONS
18	TO: TO:	Donna Simmons by and through I All Other Parties	ner attorney John P. Michaelson, Esq.;
19 20		PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pur	suant to Rule 30 Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure
21	comm	encing on February 7, 2020 at 10:0	a.m. , Ty E. Kehoe, Esq., of the law firm of Kehoe
22	& Ass	sociates, and Matthew C. Piccolo, Es	q., of the law firm of Piccolo Law Offices, counse
23	for Ro	odney Gerald Yeoman, will take the	deposition of the above captioned individual at the
24	law of	ffice of Kehoe & Associates, 871 Co	oronado Center Drive, Suite 200, Henderson, NV
25	89052	, upon oral examination, by a metl	nod authorized pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civi
26	Proced	dure, including possible videotaped	deposition, before an officer authorized by law to
27 KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 20028 Henderson, Nevada 89052		ister oaths.	
(702) 837-1908			Page 1 of 2

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

1	You are invited to attend and cross-exa	mine.						
2	Dated this 24 th day of January, 2020.	Submitted by:						
3		KEHOE & ASSOCIATES						
4		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe						
5		Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.						
6	<u>CERTIFICAT</u>	<u>'E OF SERVICE</u>						
7	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 24 th day of January, 2020, I served a true and correct							
8	copy of the NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF DONNA SIMMONS via electronic service to the							
9								
10	following, or via US First Class Mail postage p	ore-paid to the addresses listed:						
11	Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq. Ross E. Evans, Esq.	John P. Michaelson, Esq. john@michaelsonlaw.com						
12	jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com	joint@inchactsoniaw.com						
13	revans@sdfnvlaw.com Counsel for Kimberly Jones	Counsel for Robyn Friedman and Donna						
14		Simmons						
15	Maria I. Dama Sandayal Esa	Caraldina Tamiah Ega						
16	Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.	Geraldine Tomich, Esq. gtomich@maclaw.com						
17	mparra@lacsn.org	James A. Beckstrom, Esq. jbeckstrom@maclaw.com						
18	Counsel for June Jones	Counsel for Kimberly Jones						
19		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe						
20		Ty E. Kehoe						
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 20028 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908		Page 2 of 2						

Exhibit 3

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 1/20/2020 10:11 PM

	1	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES TY E. KEHOE, ESQ.						
	2	Nevada Bar No. 006011 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200						
	3	Henderson, Nevada 89052 Telephone: (702) 837-1908						
	4	Facsimile: (702) 837-1932 TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com						
	5	Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.						
	6	Nevada Bar No. 14331 PICCOLO LAW OFFICES						
	7	8565 S Eastern Ave Ste 150						
	8	Las Vegas, NV 89123 Tel: (702) 749-3699						
	9	Fax: (702) 944-6630 matt@piccololawoffices.com						
	10	Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman						
	11		ICT COURT					
	12	CLARK CO	UNTY, NEVADA					
	13	In the Matter of the Guardianship of the Person and Estate of	Case No: G-19-052263-A Dept. No. B					
	14							
	15	KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,						
	16	Protected Person.						
	17		ATORIES, FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF					
	18		D ROBYN FRIEDMAN					
	19	TO: ROBYN FRIEDMAN						
	20	Rodney Gerald Yeoman, by and throu	gh his attorneys Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. and Matthew C					
	21	Piccolo, Esq., hereby submits his First Set of Interrogatories, First Set of Requests for						
	22		oduction of Documents to Robyn Friedman. These					
	23	_						
	24	Requests are made pursuant to Nevada Rules	of Civil Procedure 33, 34, and 36.					
	25	DATED this 20th day of January, 202						
	26		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.					
	27		Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICES					
CHOE & ASSOCIATES Coronado Center Drive, Suite inderson, Nevada 89052 2) 837-1908	28	///	PICCOLO LAW OFFICES					
			Page 1 of 14					
		Case Number: G-19-0522	263-A					
			721					

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

DEFINITIONS

- 1. As used herein, the terms "you" and "your" refer to Robyn Freidman in the aboveentitled litigation responding to this written discovery, together with agents, employees, other representatives or successors in interest.
- 2. As used herein, the term "June" refers to Kathleen June Jones, the Protected Person herein.
- 3. As used herein, the term "Gerry" refers to Rodney Gerald Yeoman, the husband of the Protected Person herein.
- 4. As used herein, the term "Guardianship" refers to the above captioned guardianship matter and all issues, claims, disputes and arguments flowing from and related to the same.
- 5. As used herein, the term "Evidentiary Hearing" refers to the evidentiary hearing currently scheduled herein for February 20, 2020.
- 6. As used herein, the term "Kandi" refers to Kandi Powell, a daughter of Gerry and husband of Richard Powell.
- 7. As used herein, the term "Dick" refers to Richard Powell, a son-in-law of Gerry and husband of Kandi.
- 8. Of the two dogs that were living with June and Gerry in January 2019, the dog that was purchased in approximately October 2010 is referred to herein as "First Dog."
- 9. Of the two dogs that were living with June and Gerry in January 2019, the dog that was mothered by the First Dog is referred to herein as "Second Dog."
- 10. As used herein, the term "Dogs" refers to the First Dog and Second Dog collectively.
- 11. As used herein, the term "Account 7492" refers to a Bank of America account with June's name ending in 7492.

Page 2 of 14

25

26

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

- 12. As used herein, the term "Lawsuit" refers to the proceeding in Clark County Nevada District Court known as Case No. A-19-807458-C.
- 13. As used herein, the term "Anaheim Property" refers to the real property that June owns in California.
- 14. As used herein, the term "Kraft Property" refers to the real property that June resides in in Nevada.
- 15. As used herein, the term "Medical Power of Attorney" refers to the Durable Power of Attorney produced herein dated December 27, 2005.
- 16. As used herein, the term "General Power of Attorney" refers to the Power of Attorney produced herein dated October 24, 2012.
- 17. As used herein, the term "Holographic Document" refers to the alleged Holographic Will produced herein dated November 23, 2012.
- 18. As used herein, the terms "document" and "documents" mean the original, drafts, or exact copies thereof, of any kind of written or graphic matter, however produced or reproduced, of any kind or description, and all copies thereof which are different in any way from the original including, without limitation, any paper, correspondence, telegram, telex, facsimile, contract, book, manual, account, invoice, report, record, transcript, letter, memorandum, statement, check, checkstub, note, chart, log, ledger, drawing, sketch, inventory or survey whether written, typed, printed, punched, filmed or marked in any way, and any records, tape or wire, film computer disc, photograph, movie, or other graphic or electronic sound recordings or transcripts thereof, to which you have or have had access to, or of which you have knowledge.
- 19. As used herein, the term "person" includes natural persons, corporations, partnerships and all other forms of organization or association.

Page 3 of 14

20. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to an individual person means to state (i) the person's full name, (ii) the person's present or last known business address and telephone number, (iii) the person's present or last known home address and telephone number, and (iv) the person's present employer and position.

- 21. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to a person other than an individual person means to state (i) such person's name, (ii) whether such person is a corporation partnership or other organization, (iii) such person's present or last known address and telephone number, and (iv) such person's principal place of business.
- 22. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to a document means to state (i) the date that such document bears, or if not dated, the date that it was prepared, (ii) the identity of the person who prepared such document, (iii) the present location and identity of the custodian of the original of such document and all known copies thereof, and (iv) the type of document or means to identify the document with sufficient particularity to meet the requirements for inclusion in a request for production of documents under Rule 34, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

INSTRUCTIONS

As to each Request for Admission:

If, in good faith, only a part of said statement should be denied, you should specify
that portion of the statement which is true and admit to the same and deny the
remainder of the statement. If the responding party is unable to admit or deny, the
responding party shall set forth in detail the reason why as to each Request for
Admission.

As to each Request for Production:

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

Page 4 of 14

- 1. If you are unable to locate any document called for in this request after conducting a reasonable investigation, so state and (i) identify the specific documents which you could not obtain, (ii) your efforts to obtain such document and (iii) the person or persons who are likely to have custody of the document.
- 2. In producing these documents, you are requested to furnish all documents known or available to you regardless of whether these documents are possessed directly by you or your agents, accountants, employees, representatives, investigators, or by your attorneys, including former attorneys, or their agents, employees, representatives or investigators.
- 3. IN PRODUCING THESE DOCUMENTS, YOU ARE TO INDICATE THE PARAGRAPH NUMBER OF THE PARTICULAR REQUEST IN RESPONSE TO WHICH EACH DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED.
- 4. If any of the requested documents cannot be produced in full, you are to produce to the extent possible, specifying whatever information, knowledge or belief you do have concerning the unproduced portion.
- 5. Each document you produce should have a Bates Number on the bottom indicating "Robyn" and the specific number assigned to that document (ie. The first document you produce will be noted on the bottom of the page as Robyn 0001, the second document you produce will be Robyn 0002, etc.). Providing Bates Numbers on the documents will assist in insuring that documents are not lost, and will assist in referencing the documents during depositions and trial.
- 6. If any documents or thing requested was at one time in existence, but is no longer in existence, please so state, specifying for each document or thing, (i) the type of document or thing, (ii) the types of information contained therein, (iii) the date

Page 5 of 14

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 371 Coronado Center Drive, Suite	28

upon which the document or thing was destroyed or ceased to exist, (iv) the circumstances under which it was destroyed or ceased to exist, (v) the identity of all persons having knowledge of the circumstances under which it was destroyed or ceased to exist, and (vi) the identity of all persons having knowledge or persons who had knowledge of the contents thereof.

- 7. If you seek to withhold any documents on the basis of attorney-client privilege, as work product or other legal protection, you shall, at the time of your response to this Request, supply a numerical list of the documents for which limitation of discovery is claimed, providing the following information:
 - (i) Identify each person who wrote it or participated in any way in its preparation;
 - (ii) Identify each person who signed it;
 - (iii) Identify each person to whom it was addressed, to whom a copy was addressed or who received a copy of each such document;
 - (iv) Identify each person who presently has custody of each such document or a copy thereof;
 - (v) The date of each such document, if any, or estimate thereof and so indicated as an estimate if no date appears on said documents;
 - (vi) The general subject matter as described in each such document, or, if no such description exists, then such other description sufficient to identify said document; and
 - (vii) A specific statement of the privilege, doctrine, or other legal protection on which you rely in refusing to produce such document.

Page 6 of 14

All discovery Requests and Interrogatories are continuing ones. If after responding to these discovery Requests and Interrogatories, you obtain or become aware of any further documents or information responsive to the Requests or Interrogatories, you are required to produce such additional documents or information.

FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

- Identify all persons who have any knowledge of the facts and circumstances related to the Guardianship, and for each person identified, summarize the nature, extent or subject matter of its/his/her knowledge.
- Identify all persons who you intend to call as a witness at the Evidentiary Hearing, and for each person identified, summarize the nature, extent or subject matter of its/his/her knowledge.
- 3. What conditions were expressed to June by any of her family when June obtained the First Dog?
- 4. What was expressed to June and Gerry to indicate to them that the Dogs were a gift specifically to June (and not to June and Gerry) from her family?
- 5. How was the purchase of the First Dog paid for, including who paid, what form of payment was used and the date on which such payment was made?
- 6. Who was involved in choosing and picking up the First Dog?
- 7. What information suggests June did not decide to share ownership of the Dogs with Gerry?
- 8. Detail what care June provided for the Dogs, since she first obtained possession of them.
- 9. What steps did you take since January 1, 2014 to ensure that June's finances were being properly handled by June?

Page 7 of 14

26

	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	I

10.	Who	was	involv	ed in fa	acilitatin	g the mos	t recent	Anaheim	Property	refinance	or new
	mortg	gage'	?								

- 11. Provide an accounting of June's finances, including income, receipts, expenses and assets and liabilities from January 1, 2014, through the present.
- 12. Detail any efforts you have made to ensure your brother is paying fair market value for rental of the Anaheim Property.
- 13. Account for each payment you made to an attorney related to the Guardianship or related to the Lawsuit for the benefit of June or Kimberly.
- 14. Provide a list of all financial accounts in which June had an interest from January 1, 2014 to the present.
- 15. Detail any assets you believe Gerry, Dick or Kandi converted from June.
- 16. How did you first discover that June sold the Kraft Property to Dick and Kandi?
- 17. What causes you to believe that June did not voluntarily sell the Kraft Property to Dick and Kandi?
- 18. Detail any information you have indicating that Dick and Kandi intended to harm June by June's sale of the Kraft Property to Dick and Kandi.
- 19. Detail any concerns expressed by you to anyone in the world regarding June's care and condition from January 1, 2014 to the present, including to whom you expressed the concern, the medium by which such concern was expressed, and the date on which such concern was expressed.
- 20. Describe who filed a complaint with Las Vegas Metro related to June's care and condition, when such complaint was filed, and the case number and current status of such complaint.

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES Henderson, Nevada 89052

26
27

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES
871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite
28
Henderson, Nevada 89052
(702) 837-1908

- 21. Detail which of June's children offered to provide assistance for June when Gerry's family requested such assistance in approximately April 2019, including who offered assistance, how such assistance was offered, when such assistance was offered, and whether such assistance was actually provided.
- 22. Describe how much money you have paid for June's care or other expenses, including an amount and purpose of each payment, from January 1, 2014 to present.
- 23. Detail any and all allegations of elder abuse against June.
- 24. Detail any harm or benefits which might be caused to June if Gerry and June were again living together.
- 25. Account for any financial benefits received by June from Dick or Kandi from January 1, 2014 to the present.
- 26. Detail your in-person visits with June from January 1, 2014 to September 7, 2019.
- 27. Describe any complaints you had or are aware of prior to August 2019 made about Gerry's care for June.
- 28. Detail where and who with June lived between January 1, 2014 and September 7, 2019.
- 29. Account for any expenses related to June's use of Dick's motorhome that June paid for.
- 30. Describe any reason in detail why you believe Gerry is mentally or physically unable to care for June as he did while they were living together.
- 31. Explain what interaction you had with Dick, if any, in the courtroom hallway after the court hearing on January 14, 2020, including what you said and did and how Dick and John Michaelson reacted.

Page 9 of 14

32. Describe	your	understanding	of	the	history	and	progression	of	June's	menta
impairme	nt?									

- 33. Describe every instance in which you communicated to Gerry or any member of Gerry's family about June's mental state, including when, where, and what you communicated, from January 1, 2014 to present.
- 34. Describe the conversation you had with a member of Gerry's family approximately six years ago wherein you were asked to assist with June's expenses, as you discussed with Dick and others in the courtroom hallway on September 6, 2019.
- 35. Describe how and why the Medical Power of Attorney was created.
- 36. Describe how and why the General Power of Attorney was created.
- 37. Describe how and why the Holographic Document was created.
- 38. Identify all documents you are aware of or have heard about related to this Guardianship, whether or not you have ever had possession of the same, that you are not otherwise producing in response to a Request for Production herein.
- 39. If you deny any of the Requests for Admission, state with specificity why you are denying the same.
- 40. Set forth all other facts you believe are relevant to this Guardianship and the Evidentiary Hearing.

FIRST REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

- Admit that Gerry provided more care for the Dogs than June since they were first obtained by June and Gerry.
- 2. Admit that June has expressed during the pendency of the Guardianship a desire to share possession of the Dogs with Gerry.

Page 10 of 14

3.	Admit that if the Dogs were gifted to June, then once she owned them, she could do
	anything she wanted with them, including sharing ownership with Gerry.

- 4. Admit that your brother is renting the Anaheim Property and is paying less than fair market value.
- 5. Admit that even if Kimberly had a valid power of attorney at the time of the Kraft Property sale to Dick and Kandi, June is legally permitted to conduct her personal financial transactions without the permission or involvement of Kimberly or any of her other adult children.
- 6. Admit that June has not paid any mortgage payment or rental payment related to use of the Kraft Property since December 2017.
- 7. Admit that Gerry lived in the Kraft Property with June for approximately 9 years, until approximately April 2019.
- 8. Admit that Dick paid off June's mortgage on the Kraft Property in the total amount of approximately \$140,000.
- 9. Admit that June was benefited in the amount of approximately \$140,000 by Dick paying of June's mortgage on the Kraft Property.
- 10. Admit that June has expressed an interest in living with Gerry again, since she was taken from Gerry on September 7, 2019.
- 11. Admit that June expressed an interest in staying in Phoenix with Gerry when she was taken on September 7, 2019.
- 12. Admit that you encouraged or supported the taking of June from Phoenix against her will and the will of Gerry on September 7, 2019.
- 13. Admit that June and Gerry shared their finances as a marital community.
- 14. Admit that June had problems with defecating on herself prior to April 2019.

Page 11 of 14

26

15. Admit that Gerry's family reached out to June's family and requested June's family to assist June with her financial obligations.

- 16. Admit that Gerry's family ask you to assist with June's finances approximately six years ago.
- 17. Admit that in response to the request from Gerry's family you declined to assist with June's finances approximately six years ago.
- 18. Admit that you were aware prior to May 2019 of Dick financially helping June and Gerry.
- 19. Admit that June's social security income and rental income from June's Anaheim house are June's only sources of income.
- 20. Admit that prior to January 2018, June's social security income and rental income from June's Anaheim Property were insufficient to pay the mortgage on the Anaheim Property and the Kraft Property and June's other living expenses.
- 21. Admit that for years June and Gerry traveled together in a motorhome provided by Dick.
- 22. Admit that you are not aware of any present physical or mental condition that would prevent Gerry from caring for June as he did while they were living together.
- 23. Admit that you have no medical records indicating June suffers from a medical impairment which are dated prior to September 5, 2019.
- 24. Admit that no legal authority existed to take June from the care of her husband Gerry on September 7, 2019.
- 25. Admit that you are not aware of the existence of the original copies of the Medical Power of Attorney, General Power of Attorney, or Holographic Document.

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

26

Page 12 of 14

FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

- 1. Produce any documents evidencing June providing care for the Dogs.
- 2. Produce any documents evidencing June's ownership of the Dogs.
- 3. Produce any documentation you have evidencing that you or June asked for possession of the Dogs after September 7, 2019 and prior to the Petition for Return of the Dogs filed herein.
- 4. Produces any documents related to June obtaining the Dogs.
- 5. Produce any and all documents related to the most recent Anaheim Property refinance or new mortgage.
- 6. Produce all of June's financial records from January 1, 2017 to the present.
- 7. Produce any of Gerry's documents that you have located at the Kraft Property.
- 8. Produce any documents evidencing your belief that Gerry, Dick or Kandi converted assets from June.
- 9. Produce any documents evidencing your belief during the past six months that June had a financial account sometime in the past with approximately \$800,000, which you believe Gerry or Dick converted money from.
- 10. Produce any documentation which evidences that June was gifted tens of thousands of dollars in gift cards from her children.
- 11. Produce any documentation which evidences that Gerry, Dick or Kandi stole gift cards from June.
- 12. Produce all documents evidencing your communications with Gerry or any member of his family regarding your concerns about June's mental condition.
- 13. Produce all medical records which describe June's physical and mental condition in January 2018.

Page 13 of 14

1	14. Produce an records of any type ev	videncing June's aneged mental impairment.
2	15. Produce all medical records for Ju	une from January 2014 through the present.
3	16. Produce any and all documents e	evidencing complaints you are aware of made abou
4	Gerry's care for June prior to Auş	gust 2019.
5	17. Produce evidence of any and all	communications you have had regarding June fron
6	,	uding communications have had with your attorneys
7		
8	18. Produce all documents related to	a complaint with Las Vegas Metro related to June's
9	care and condition.	
10	19. Produce all documents that evide	ence that Gerry is mentally or physically unable to
care for June as he did while they were living together.		were living together.
12	20. Produce all documents evidencing	g who stayed with June during the January 14, 2020
13	court hearing.	
14	21 Produce all documents identified	d or referred to in the above interrogatories and/o
15		_
16	your responses to those interrogat	tories.
17	22. Produce all documents identified	l or referred to in the above requests for admission
18	and/or your responses to those red	quests.
19	23. Produce all additional documents you relied upon when responding to any of the discovery requests. 24. To the extent any other documents exist which are related in any year to the	
20		
21		
22		
23	proceeding, which have not alread	dy been requested herein, produce the same.
24	DATED this 20th day of January, 2020.	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES
25		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe
26		Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.
27		Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICES
KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908		Tieddle Litti Gillelli

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 1/20/2020 10:12 PM

1 2 3 4	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES TY E. KEHOE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006011 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 Telephone: (702) 837-1908 Facsimile: (702) 837-1932 TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com	
5 6 7 8	Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14331 PICCOLO LAW OFFICES 8565 S Eastern Ave Ste 150 Las Vegas, NV 89123 Tel: (702) 749-3699 Fax: (702) 944-6630	
9	matt@piccololawoffices.com	
10	Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	
11	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Guardianship of the Person and Estate of	Case No: G-19-052263-A Dept. No. B
14	KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,	
15		
16	Protected Person.	
17		S FOR ADMISSIONS, AND SECOND SET OF F DOCUMENTS TO KIMBERLY JONES
18	TO KINDEDLY IONES	-
19	TO: KIMBERLY JONES	
20	Rodney Gerald Yeoman, by and throu	gh his attorneys Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. and Matthew C
21	Piccolo, Esq., hereby submits his Second So	et of Requests for Admissions, and Second Set of
22	Requests for Production of Documents to Kir	nberly Jones. These Requests are made pursuant to
23	Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 33, 34, and	36.
24	D. ITTED 11 COURT OF THE COURT	
25	DATED this 20th day of January, 2020	O. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES /s/ Ty E. Kehoe
26		Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.
27		Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICES
KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908	///	
		Page 1 of 7
	Case Number: G-19-052	263-A
'	••	725

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

DEFINITIONS

- 1. As used herein, the terms "you" and "your" refer to Kimberly Jones in the aboveentitled litigation responding to this written discovery, together with agents, employees, other representatives or successors in interest.
- 2. As used herein, the term "June" refers to Kathleen June Jones, the Protected Person herein.
- 3. As used herein, the term "Gerry" refers to Rodney Gerald Yeoman, the husband of the Protected Person herein.
- 4. As used herein, the term "Guardianship" refers to the above captioned guardianship matter and all issues, claims, disputes and arguments flowing from and related to the same.
- 5. As used herein, the term "Evidentiary Hearing" refers to the evidentiary hearing currently scheduled herein for February 20, 2020.
- 6. As used herein, the term "Kandi" refers to Kandi Powell, a daughter of Gerry and husband of Richard Powell.
- 7. As used herein, the term "Dick" refers to Richard Powell, a son-in-law of Gerry and husband of Kandi.
- 8. Of the two dogs that were living with June and Gerry in January 2019, the dog that was purchased in approximately October 2010 is referred to herein as "First Dog."
- 9. Of the two dogs that were living with June and Gerry in January 2019, the dog that was mothered by the First Dog is referred to herein as "Second Dog."
- 10. As used herein, the term "Dogs" refers to the First Dog and Second Dog collectively.
- 11. As used herein, the term "Account 7492" refers to a Bank of America account with June's name ending in 7492.

Page 2 of 7

25

26

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

- 12. As used herein, the term "Lawsuit" refers to the proceeding in Clark County Nevada District Court known as Case No. A-19-807458-C.
- 13. As used herein, the term "Anaheim Property" refers to the real property that June owns in California.
- 14. As used herein, the term "Kraft Property" refers to the real property that June resides in in Nevada.
- 15. As used herein, the term "Medical Power of Attorney" refers to the Durable Power of Attorney produced herein dated December 27, 2005.
- 16. As used herein, the term "General Power of Attorney" refers to the Power of Attorney produced herein dated October 24, 2012.
- 17. As used herein, the term "Holographic Document" refers to the alleged Holographic Will produced herein dated November 23, 2012.
- 18. As used herein, the terms "document" and "documents" mean the original, drafts, or exact copies thereof, of any kind of written or graphic matter, however produced or reproduced, of any kind or description, and all copies thereof which are different in any way from the original including, without limitation, any paper, correspondence, telegram, telex, facsimile, contract, book, manual, account, invoice, report, record, transcript, letter, memorandum, statement, check, checkstub, note, chart, log, ledger, drawing, sketch, inventory or survey whether written, typed, printed, punched, filmed or marked in any way, and any records, tape or wire, film computer disc, photograph, movie, or other graphic or electronic sound recordings or transcripts thereof, to which you have or have had access to, or of which you have knowledge.
- 19. As used herein, the term "person" includes natural persons, corporations, partnerships and all other forms of organization or association.

Page 3 of 7

20. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to an individual person means to state (i) the person's full name, (ii) the person's present or last known business address and telephone number, (iii) the person's present or last known home address and telephone number, and (iv) the person's present employer and position.

- 21. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to a person other than an individual person means to state (i) such person's name, (ii) whether such person is a corporation partnership or other organization, (iii) such person's present or last known address and telephone number, and (iv) such person's principal place of business.
- 22. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to a document means to state (i) the date that such document bears, or if not dated, the date that it was prepared, (ii) the identity of the person who prepared such document, (iii) the present location and identity of the custodian of the original of such document and all known copies thereof, and (iv) the type of document or means to identify the document with sufficient particularity to meet the requirements for inclusion in a request for production of documents under Rule 34, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

INSTRUCTIONS

As to each Request for Admission:

1. If, in good faith, only a part of said statement should be denied, you should specify that portion of the statement which is true and admit to the same and deny the remainder of the statement. If the responding party is unable to admit or deny, the responding party shall set forth in detail the reason why as to each Request for Admission.

As to each Request for Production:

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

Page 4 of 7

- 1. If you are unable to locate any document called for in this request after conducting a reasonable investigation, so state and (i) identify the specific documents which you could not obtain, (ii) your efforts to obtain such document and (iii) the person or persons who are likely to have custody of the document.
- In producing these documents, you are requested to furnish all documents known 2. or available to you regardless of whether these documents are possessed directly by you or your agents, accountants, employees, representatives, investigators, or by your attorneys, including former attorneys, or their agents, employees, representatives or investigators.
- 3. IN PRODUCING THESE DOCUMENTS, YOU ARE TO INDICATE THE PARAGRAPH NUMBER OF THE PARTICULAR REQUEST IN RESPONSE TO WHICH EACH DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED.
- 4. If any of the requested documents cannot be produced in full, you are to produce to the extent possible, specifying whatever information, knowledge or belief you do have concerning the unproduced portion.
- 5. Each document you produce should have a Bates Number on the bottom indicating "Kimberly" and the specific number assigned to that document (ie. The first document you produce will be noted on the bottom of the page as Kimberly 0001, the second document you produce will be Kimberly 0002, etc.). Providing Bates Numbers on the documents will assist in insuring that documents are not lost, and will assist in referencing the documents during depositions and trial.
- 6. If any documents or thing requested was at one time in existence, but is no longer in existence, please so state, specifying for each document or thing, (i) the type of document or thing, (ii) the types of information contained therein, (iii) the date

Page 5 of 7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite upon which the document or thing was destroyed or ceased to exist, (iv) the circumstances under which it was destroyed or ceased to exist, (v) the identity of all persons having knowledge of the circumstances under which it was destroyed or ceased to exist, and (vi) the identity of all persons having knowledge or persons who had knowledge of the contents thereof.

- 7. If you seek to withhold any documents on the basis of attorney-client privilege, as work product or other legal protection, you shall, at the time of your response to this Request, supply a numerical list of the documents for which limitation of discovery is claimed, providing the following information:
 - (i) Identify each person who wrote it or participated in any way in its preparation;
 - (ii) Identify each person who signed it;
 - (iii) Identify each person to whom it was addressed, to whom a copy was addressed or who received a copy of each such document;
 - (iv) Identify each person who presently has custody of each such document or a copy thereof;
 - (v) The date of each such document, if any, or estimate thereof and so indicated as an estimate if no date appears on said documents;
 - (vi) The general subject matter as described in each such document, or, if no such description exists, then such other description sufficient to identify said document; and
 - (vii) A specific statement of the privilege, doctrine, or other legal protection on which you rely in refusing to produce such document.

Page 6 of 7

1	All discovery Requests and Interrogatories are continuing ones. If after responding to		
2	these discovery Requests and Interrogatories, you obtain or become aware of any further		
3	documents or information responsive to the Requests or Interrogatories, you are required to		
4	produce such additional documents or info	rmation.	
5	SECOND SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS		
6	25. Admit that Gerry provided more care for the Dogs than June since they were first		
7			
8	obtained by June and Gerry.		
9	SECOND SET OF THE QUEEN TO SET OF SECONDARY		
10	20. Produce any documents related to June obtaining the Dogs.		
11	21. Produce any documents evidencing your belief that Gerry, Dick or Kandi converted		
12	assets from June.		
13	22 Produce all documents evidencing your communications with Gerry or any member		
14 15			
16			
17			
18	care for June as he did while they were living together.		
19	24. Produce all documents evidencing who stayed with June during the January 14, 2020		
20	court hearing.		
21	DATED this 20 th day of January, 2020.	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES	
22		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe	
23		Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.	
24		Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	
25		TICCOLO EN WOTTELS	
26			
27			
### ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908			

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 1/20/2020 10:09 PM

	1	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES	
		TY E. KEHOE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006011	
	2	871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200	
	3	Henderson, Nevada 89052	
		Telephone: (702) 837-1908 Facsimile: (702) 837-1932	
	4	TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com	
	5		
		Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14331	
	6	PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	
	7	8565 S Eastern Ave Ste 150	
		Las Vegas, NV 89123 Tel: (702) 749-3699	
	8	Fax: (702) 944-6630	
	9	matt@piccololawoffices.com	
	10	Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	
	10	Attorneys for Rouney Gerard Teoman	
	11		ICT COURT
	12	CLARK CO	UNTY, NEVADA
	12	In the Matter of the Guardianship of the	Case No: G-19-052263-A
	13	Person and Estate of	Dept. No. B
	14	r crossit and Estate or	26p.: 1.0. 2
	14	KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,	
	15	5	
	16	Protected Person.	
		GERRY'S FIRST SET OF INTERROG	ATORIES, FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR
	17		OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF
	18	DOCUMENTS T	O DONNA SIMMONS
	19	TO: DONNA SIMMONS	
	19	TO. DONNA SIMIMONS	
	20	Rodney Gerald Yeoman, by and throu	gh his attorneys Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. and Matthew C
	21	·	
		Piccolo, Esq., hereby submits his First S	et of Interrogatories, First Set of Requests for
	22	Admissions and First Sat of Paguasts for Pro	oduction of Documents to Donna Simmons. These
	23	Admissions, and this set of Requests for the	duction of Documents to Donna Simmons. These
	.	Requests are made pursuant to Nevada Rules	of Civil Procedure 33, 34, and 36.
	24		
	25	DATED this 20th day of January, 202	20. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES
	26	l a sa ang a sa an gy	/s/ Ty E. Kehoe
	20		Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.
	27		Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.
THOE & ASSOCIATES Coronado Center Drive, Suite	28		PICCOLO LAW OFFICES
nderson, Nevada 89052 2) 837-1908	20	///	
			Page 1 of 14
			rage 1 01 14
		0 0	000 A
	I	Case Number: G-19-052	^{263-A} 7 <i>1.</i> 2
			IAI

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

DEFINITIONS

- 1. As used herein, the terms "you" and "your" refer to Donna Simmons in the aboveentitled litigation responding to this written discovery, together with agents, employees, other representatives or successors in interest.
- 2. As used herein, the term "June" refers to Kathleen June Jones, the Protected Person herein.
- 3. As used herein, the term "Gerry" refers to Rodney Gerald Yeoman, the husband of the Protected Person herein.
- 4. As used herein, the term "Guardianship" refers to the above captioned guardianship matter and all issues, claims, disputes and arguments flowing from and related to the same.
- 5. As used herein, the term "Evidentiary Hearing" refers to the evidentiary hearing currently scheduled herein for February 20, 2020.
- 6. As used herein, the term "Kandi" refers to Kandi Powell, a daughter of Gerry and husband of Richard Powell.
- 7. As used herein, the term "Dick" refers to Richard Powell, a son-in-law of Gerry and husband of Kandi.
- 8. Of the two dogs that were living with June and Gerry in January 2019, the dog that was purchased in approximately October 2010 is referred to herein as "First Dog."
- 9. Of the two dogs that were living with June and Gerry in January 2019, the dog that was mothered by the First Dog is referred to herein as "Second Dog."
- 10. As used herein, the term "Dogs" refers to the First Dog and Second Dog collectively.
- 11. As used herein, the term "Account 7492" refers to a Bank of America account with June's name ending in 7492.

Page 2 of 14

25

12. As used herein, the term "Lawsuit" refers to the proceeding in Clark County Nevada District Court known as Case No. A-19-807458-C.

- 13. As used herein, the term "Anaheim Property" refers to the real property that June owns in California.
- 14. As used herein, the term "Kraft Property" refers to the real property that June resides in in Nevada.
- 15. As used herein, the term "Medical Power of Attorney" refers to the Durable Power of Attorney produced herein dated December 27, 2005.
- 16. As used herein, the term "General Power of Attorney" refers to the Power of Attorney produced herein dated October 24, 2012.
- 17. As used herein, the term "Holographic Document" refers to the alleged Holographic Will produced herein dated November 23, 2012.
- 18. As used herein, the terms "document" and "documents" mean the original, drafts, or exact copies thereof, of any kind of written or graphic matter, however produced or reproduced, of any kind or description, and all copies thereof which are different in any way from the original including, without limitation, any paper, correspondence, telegram, telex, facsimile, contract, book, manual, account, invoice, report, record, transcript, letter, memorandum, statement, check, checkstub, note, chart, log, ledger, drawing, sketch, inventory or survey whether written, typed, printed, punched, filmed or marked in any way, and any records, tape or wire, film computer disc, photograph, movie, or other graphic or electronic sound recordings or transcripts thereof, to which you have or have had access to, or of which you have knowledge.
- 19. As used herein, the term "person" includes natural persons, corporations, partnerships and all other forms of organization or association.

Page 3 of 14

20. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to an individual person means to state (i) the person's full name, (ii) the person's present or last known business address and telephone number, (iii) the person's present or last known home address and telephone number, and (iv) the person's present employer and position.

- 21. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to a person other than an individual person means to state (i) such person's name, (ii) whether such person is a corporation partnership or other organization, (iii) such person's present or last known address and telephone number, and (iv) such person's principal place of business.
- 22. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to a document means to state (i) the date that such document bears, or if not dated, the date that it was prepared, (ii) the identity of the person who prepared such document, (iii) the present location and identity of the custodian of the original of such document and all known copies thereof, and (iv) the type of document or means to identify the document with sufficient particularity to meet the requirements for inclusion in a request for production of documents under Rule 34, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

INSTRUCTIONS

As to each Request for Admission:

1. If, in good faith, only a part of said statement should be denied, you should specify that portion of the statement which is true and admit to the same and deny the remainder of the statement. If the responding party is unable to admit or deny, the responding party shall set forth in detail the reason why as to each Request for Admission.

As to each Request for Production:

- 1. If you are unable to locate any document called for in this request after conducting a reasonable investigation, so state and (i) identify the specific documents which you could not obtain, (ii) your efforts to obtain such document and (iii) the person or persons who are likely to have custody of the document.
- 2. In producing these documents, you are requested to furnish all documents known or available to you regardless of whether these documents are possessed directly by you or your agents, accountants, employees, representatives, investigators, or by your attorneys, including former attorneys, or their agents, employees, representatives or investigators.
- 3. IN PRODUCING THESE DOCUMENTS, YOU ARE TO INDICATE THE PARAGRAPH NUMBER OF THE PARTICULAR REQUEST IN RESPONSE TO WHICH EACH DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED.
- 4. If any of the requested documents cannot be produced in full, you are to produce to the extent possible, specifying whatever information, knowledge or belief you do have concerning the unproduced portion.
- 5. Each document you produce should have a Bates Number on the bottom indicating "Donna" and the specific number assigned to that document (ie. The first document you produce will be noted on the bottom of the page as Donna 0001, the second document you produce will be Donna 0002, etc.). Providing Bates Numbers on the documents will assist in insuring that documents are not lost, and will assist in referencing the documents during depositions and trial.
- 6. If any documents or thing requested was at one time in existence, but is no longer in existence, please so state, specifying for each document or thing, (i) the type of document or thing, (ii) the types of information contained therein, (iii) the date

Page 5 of 14

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
20

upon which the document or thing was destroyed or ceased to exist, (iv) the circumstances under which it was destroyed or ceased to exist, (v) the identity of all persons having knowledge of the circumstances under which it was destroyed or ceased to exist, and (vi) the identity of all persons having knowledge or persons who had knowledge of the contents thereof.

- 7. If you seek to withhold any documents on the basis of attorney-client privilege, as work product or other legal protection, you shall, at the time of your response to this Request, supply a numerical list of the documents for which limitation of discovery is claimed, providing the following information:
 - (i) Identify each person who wrote it or participated in any way in its preparation;
 - (ii) Identify each person who signed it;
 - (iii) Identify each person to whom it was addressed, to whom a copy was addressed or who received a copy of each such document;
 - (iv) Identify each person who presently has custody of each such document or a copy thereof;
 - (v) The date of each such document, if any, or estimate thereof and so indicated as an estimate if no date appears on said documents;
 - (vi) The general subject matter as described in each such document, or, if no such description exists, then such other description sufficient to identify said document; and
 - (vii) A specific statement of the privilege, doctrine, or other legal protection on which you rely in refusing to produce such document.

371 Coronado Center Drive, Suite

All discovery Requests and Interrogatories are continuing ones. If after responding to these discovery Requests and Interrogatories, you obtain or become aware of any further documents or information responsive to the Requests or Interrogatories, you are required to produce such additional documents or information.

FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

- Identify all persons who have any knowledge of the facts and circumstances related to the Guardianship, and for each person identified, summarize the nature, extent or subject matter of its/his/her knowledge.
- Identify all persons who you intend to call as a witness at the Evidentiary Hearing, and for each person identified, summarize the nature, extent or subject matter of its/his/her knowledge.
- 3. What conditions were expressed to June by any of her family when June obtained the First Dog?
- 4. What was expressed to June and Gerry to indicate to them that the Dogs were a gift specifically to June (and not to June and Gerry) from her family?
- 5. How was the purchase of the First Dog paid for, including who paid, what form of payment was used and the date on which such payment was made?
- 6. Who was involved in choosing and picking up the First Dog?
- 7. What information suggests June did not decide to share ownership of the Dogs with Gerry?
- 8. Detail what care June provided for the Dogs, since she first obtained possession of them.
- 9. What steps did you take since January 1, 2014 to ensure that June's finances were being properly handled by June?

Page 7 of 14

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES

10	. Who was involved in facilitating the most recent	Anaheim	Property	refinance o	or new
	mortgage?				

- 11. Provide an accounting of June's finances, including income, receipts, expenses and assets and liabilities from January 1, 2014, through the present.
- 12. Detail any efforts you have made to ensure your brother is paying fair market value for rental of the Anaheim Property.
- 13. Provide a list of all financial accounts in which June had an interest from January 1, 2014 to the present.
- 14. Detail any assets you believe Gerry, Dick or Kandi converted from June.
- 15. How did you first discover that June sold the Kraft Property to Dick and Kandi?
- 16. What causes you to believe that June did not voluntarily sell the Kraft Property to Dick and Kandi?
- 17. Detail any information you have indicating that Dick and Kandi intended to harm June by June's sale of the Kraft Property to Dick and Kandi.
- 18. Detail any concerns expressed by you to anyone in the world regarding June's care and condition from January 1, 2014 to the present, including to whom you expressed the concern, the medium by which such concern was expressed, and the date on which such concern was expressed.
- 19. Describe who filed a complaint with Las Vegas Metro related to June's care and condition, when such complaint was filed, and the case number and current status of such complaint.
- 20. Detail which of June's children offered to provide assistance for June when Gerry's family requested such assistance in approximately April 2019, including who offered

Page 8 of 14

assistance, how such assistance was offered, when such assistance was offered, and whether such assistance was actually provided.

- 21. Describe how much money you have paid for June's care or other expenses, including an amount and purpose of each payment, from January 1, 2014 to present.
- 22. Detail any and all allegations of elder abuse against June.
- 23. Detail any harm or benefits which might be caused to June if Gerry and June were again living together.
- 24. Account for any financial benefits received by June from Dick or Kandi from January 1, 2014 to the present.
- 25. Detail your in-person visits with June from January 1, 2014 to September 7, 2019.
- 26. Describe any complaints you had or are aware of prior to August 2019 made about Gerry's care for June.
- 27. Detail where and who with June lived between January 1, 2014 and September 7, 2019.
- 28. Account for any expenses related to June's use of Dick's motorhome that June paid for.
- 29. Describe any reason in detail why you believe Gerry is mentally or physically unable to care for June as he did while they were living together.
- 30. If you were told about, by someone other than your attorney, your sister Robyn interacting with Dick in the courtroom hallway after the court hearing on January 14, 2020, describe the interaction as it was described to you.
- 31. Describe your understanding of the history and progression of June's mental impairment?

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

32.	Describe every	instance in	which you	commu	nicated	to Gerry	or any	membe	er o
	Gerry's family	about June'	s mental s	state, inc	luding v	when, wh	nere, an	d what	you
	communicated,	from Januar	y 1, 2014 to	o present.					

- 33. If you were told about, by someone other than your attorney, the conversation your sister Robyn had with a member of Gerry's family approximately six years ago wherein she was asked to assist with June's expenses, as she discussed with Dick and others in the courtroom hallway on September 6, 2019, then describe your understanding of the same.
- 34. Describe how and why the Medical Power of Attorney was created.
- 35. Describe how and why the General Power of Attorney was created.
- 36. Describe how and why the Holographic Document was created.
- 37. Describe your understanding of how and why June was taken from Phoenix against her will and the will of Gerry on September 7, 2019.
- 38. Identify all documents you are aware of or have heard about related to this Guardianship, whether or not you have ever had possession of the same, that you are not otherwise producing in response to a Request for Production herein.
- 39. If you deny any of the Requests for Admission, state with specificity why you are denying the same.
- 40. Set forth all other facts you believe are relevant to this Guardianship and the Evidentiary Hearing.

FIRST REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

 Admit that Gerry provided more care for the Dogs than June since they were first obtained by June and Gerry.

Page 10 of 14

21 22

25

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

- 2. Admit that June has expressed during the pendency of the Guardianship a desire to share possession of the Dogs with Gerry.
- 3. Admit that if the Dogs were gifted to June, then once she owned them, she could do anything she wanted with them, including sharing ownership with Gerry.
- 4. Admit that your brother is renting the Anaheim Property and is paying less than fair market value.
- 5. Admit that even if Kimberly had a valid power of attorney at the time of the Kraft Property sale to Dick and Kandi, June is legally permitted to conduct her personal financial transactions without the permission or involvement of Kimberly or any of her other adult children.
- 6. Admit that June has not paid any mortgage payment or rental payment related to use of the Kraft Property since December 2017.
- 7. Admit that Gerry lived in the Kraft Property with June for approximately 9 years, until approximately April 2019.
- 8. Admit that Dick paid off June's mortgage on the Kraft Property in the total amount of approximately \$140,000.
- 9. Admit that June was benefited in the amount of approximately \$140,000 by Dick paying of June's mortgage on the Kraft Property.
- 10. Admit that June has expressed an interest in living with Gerry again, since she was taken from Gerry on September 7, 2019.
- 11. Admit that June expressed an interest in staying in Phoenix with Gerry when she was taken on September 7, 2019.
- 12. Admit that you encouraged or supported the taking of June from Phoenix against her will and the will of Gerry on September 7, 2019.

Page 11 of 14

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

71 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 28

13. Admit that June and Gerry shared their finances as a marital community.
14. Admit that June had problems with defecating on herself prior to April 2019.

- 15. Admit that Garry's family reached out to June's family and requested June's fo
- 15. Admit that Gerry's family reached out to June's family and requested June's family to assist June with her financial obligations.
- 16. Admit that you were aware prior to May 2019 of Dick financially helping June and Gerry.
- 17. Admit that June's social security income and rental income from June's Anaheim house are June's only sources of income.
- 18. Admit that prior to January 2018, June's social security income and rental income from June's Anaheim Property were insufficient to pay the mortgage on the Anaheim Property and the Kraft Property and June's other living expenses.
- Admit that for years June and Gerry traveled together in a motorhome provided by Dick.
- 20. Admit that you are not aware of any present physical or mental condition that would prevent Gerry from caring for June as he did while they were living together.
- 21. Admit that you have no medical records indicating June suffers from a medical impairment which are dated prior to September 5, 2019.
- 22. Admit that no legal authority existed to take June from the care of her husband Gerry on September 7, 2019.
- 23. Admit that you are not aware of the existence of the original copies of the Medical Power of Attorney, General Power of Attorney, or Holographic Document.

FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

- 1. Produce any documents evidencing June providing care for the Dogs.
- 2. Produce any documents evidencing June's ownership of the Dogs.

Page 12 of 14

3.	Produce any documentation you have evidencing that you or June asked for
	possession of the Dogs after September 7, 2019 and prior to the Petition for Return of
	the Dogs filed herein.
4.	Produce any documents related to June obtaining the Dogs.

- 5. Produce any and all documents related to the most recent Anaheim Property refinance or new mortgage.
- 6. Produce all of June's financial records from January 1, 2017 to the present.
- 7. Produce any of Gerry's documents that you have located at the Kraft Property.
- 8. Produce any documents evidencing your belief that Gerry, Dick or Kandi converted assets from June.
- 9. Produce any documents evidencing your belief during the past six months that June had a financial account sometime in the past with approximately \$800,000, which you believe Gerry or Dick converted money from.
- 10. Produce any documentation which evidences that June was gifted tens of thousands of dollars in gift cards from her children.
- 11. Produce any documentation which evidences that Gerry, Dick or Kandi stole gift cards from June.
- 12. Produce all documents evidencing your communications with Gerry or any member of his family regarding your concerns about June's mental condition.
- 13. Produce all medical records which describe June's physical and mental condition in January 2018.
- 14. Produce all records of any type evidencing June's alleged mental impairment.
- 15. Produce all medical records for June from January 2014 through the present.

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 371 Coronado Center Drive, Suite Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

25

26

Page 13 of 14

1	16. Produce any and all documents evidencing complaints you are aware of made about			
2	Gerry's care for June prior to August 2019.			
3	17. Produce evidence of any and all communications you have had regarding June from			
4	April 1, 2019 to the present, excluding communications have had with your attorneys			
5	18. Produce all documents related to a complaint with Las Vegas Metro related to June's			
7	care and condition.			
8	19. Produce all documents that evidence that Gerry is mentally or physically unable to			
9	care for June as he did while they were living together.			
10	20. Produce all documents evidencing who stayed with June during the January 14, 2020			
11	court hearing.			
12	21. Produce all documents identified or referred to in the above interrogatories and/or			
13	your responses to those interrogatories.			
14 15	22. Produce all documents identified or referred to in the above requests for admission			
16	and/or your responses to those requests.			
17	23. Produce all additional documents you relied upon when responding to any of these			
18	discovery requests.			
19	24. To the extent any other documents exist which are related in any way to this			
20	proceeding, which have not already been requested herein, produce the same.			
21	DATED this 20th day of January, 2020. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES			
22				
23	/s/ Ty E. Kehoe			
24 25	Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.			
26	PICCOLO LAW OFFICES			
27				
28				

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 1/18/2020 11:51 PM

	1	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES	
		TY E. KEHOE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006011	
	2	871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200	
	3	Henderson, Nevada 89052	
		Telephone: (702) 837-1908 Facsimile: (702) 837-1932	
	4	TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com	
	5		
		Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14331	
	6	PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	
	7	8565 S Eastern Ave Ste 150	
		Las Vegas, NV 89123 Tel: (702) 749-3699	
	8	Fax: (702) 944-6630	
	9	matt@piccololawoffices.com	
	10	Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	
	10	Attorneys for Rouncy Gerard Teoman	
	11		ICT COURT
	12	CLARK CO	UNTY, NEVADA
	12	In the Matter of the Guardianship of the	Case No: G-19-052263-A
	13	Person and Estate of	Dept. No. B
	14	r croon and Estate or	26p1.0. 2
	14	KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,	
	15		
	16	Protected Person.	
		GERRY'S FIRST SET OF INTERROG	ATORIES, FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR
	17		OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF
	18	DOCUMENTS TO	O KIMBERLY JONES
	19	TO: KIMBERLY JONES	
	19	10. KIMBERL I JONES	
	20	Rodney Gerald Yeoman, by and throu	gh his attorneys Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. and Matthew C
	21	·	
		Piccolo, Esq., hereby submits his First S	et of Interrogatories, First Set of Requests for
	22	Admissions and First Sat of Paguests for Pr	oduction of Documents to Kimberly Jones. These
	23	Admissions, and thist Set of Requests for the	oddetion of Documents to Kimberry Jones. These
	.	Requests are made pursuant to Nevada Rules	of Civil Procedure 33, 34, and 36.
	24		
	25	DATED this 18th day of January, 202	20. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES
	26		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe
	20		Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.
	27		Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.
THOE & ASSOCIATES Coronado Center Drive, Suite	28		PICCOLO LAW OFFICES
nderson, Nevada 89052 2) 837-1908	20		
			Page 1 of 14
			rage 1 01 14
			000 A
	I	Case Number: G-19-052	^{263-A} 756
			/ 70

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

26

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 371 Coronado Center Drive, Suite Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

DEFINITIONS

- 1. As used herein, the terms "you" and "your" refer to Kimberly Jones in the aboveentitled litigation responding to this written discovery, together with agents, employees, other representatives or successors in interest.
- 2. As used herein, the term "June" refers to Kathleen June Jones, the Protected Person herein.
- 3. As used herein, the term "Gerry" refers to Rodney Gerald Yeoman, the husband of the Protected Person herein.
- 4. As used herein, the term "Guardianship" refers to the above captioned guardianship matter and all issues, claims, disputes and arguments flowing from and related to the same.
- 5. As used herein, the term "Evidentiary Hearing" refers to the evidentiary hearing currently scheduled herein for February 20, 2020.
- 6. As used herein, the term "Kandi" refers to Kandi Powell, a daughter of Gerry and husband of Richard Powell.
- 7. As used herein, the term "Dick" refers to Richard Powell, a son-in-law of Gerry and husband of Kandi.
- 8. Of the two dogs that were living with June and Gerry in January 2019, the dog that was purchased in approximately October 2010 is referred to herein as "First Dog."
- 9. Of the two dogs that were living with June and Gerry in January 2019, the dog that was mothered by the First Dog is referred to herein as "Second Dog."
- 10. As used herein, the term "Dogs" refers to the First Dog and Second Dog collectively.
- 11. As used herein, the term "Account 7492" refers to a Bank of America account with June's name ending in 7492.

Page 2 of 14

- 12. As used herein, the term "Lawsuit" refers to the proceeding in Clark County Nevada District Court known as Case No. A-19-807458-C.
- 13. As used herein, the term "Anaheim Property" refers to the real property that June owns in California.
- 14. As used herein, the term "Kraft Property" refers to the real property that June resides in in Nevada.
- 15. As used herein, the term "Medical Power of Attorney" refers to the Durable Power of Attorney produced herein dated December 27, 2005.
- 16. As used herein, the term "General Power of Attorney" refers to the Power of Attorney produced herein dated October 24, 2012.
- 17. As used herein, the term "Holographic Document" refers to the alleged Holographic Will produced herein dated November 23, 2012.
- 18. As used herein, the terms "document" and "documents" mean the original, drafts, or exact copies thereof, of any kind of written or graphic matter, however produced or reproduced, of any kind or description, and all copies thereof which are different in any way from the original including, without limitation, any paper, correspondence, telegram, telex, facsimile, contract, book, manual, account, invoice, report, record, transcript, letter, memorandum, statement, check, checkstub, note, chart, log, ledger, drawing, sketch, inventory or survey whether written, typed, printed, punched, filmed or marked in any way, and any records, tape or wire, film computer disc, photograph, movie, or other graphic or electronic sound recordings or transcripts thereof, to which you have or have had access to, or of which you have knowledge.
- 19. As used herein, the term "person" includes natural persons, corporations, partnerships and all other forms of organization or association.

Page 3 of 14

20. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to an individual person means to state (i) the person's full name, (ii) the person's present or last known business address and telephone number, (iii) the person's present or last known home address and telephone number, and (iv) the person's present employer and position.

- 21. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to a person other than an individual person means to state (i) such person's name, (ii) whether such person is a corporation partnership or other organization, (iii) such person's present or last known address and telephone number, and (iv) such person's principal place of business.
- 22. As used herein, the term "identify" when used with reference to a document means to state (i) the date that such document bears, or if not dated, the date that it was prepared, (ii) the identity of the person who prepared such document, (iii) the present location and identity of the custodian of the original of such document and all known copies thereof, and (iv) the type of document or means to identify the document with sufficient particularity to meet the requirements for inclusion in a request for production of documents under Rule 34, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

INSTRUCTIONS

As to each Request for Admission:

If, in good faith, only a part of said statement should be denied, you should specify
that portion of the statement which is true and admit to the same and deny the
remainder of the statement. If the responding party is unable to admit or deny, the
responding party shall set forth in detail the reason why as to each Request for
Admission.

As to each Request for Production:

- If you are unable to locate any document called for in this request after conducting
 a reasonable investigation, so state and (i) identify the specific documents which
 you could not obtain, (ii) your efforts to obtain such document and (iii) the person
 or persons who are likely to have custody of the document.
- 2. In producing these documents, you are requested to furnish all documents known or available to you regardless of whether these documents are possessed directly by you or your agents, accountants, employees, representatives, investigators, or by your attorneys, including former attorneys, or their agents, employees, representatives or investigators.
- 3. IN PRODUCING THESE DOCUMENTS, YOU ARE TO INDICATE THE PARAGRAPH NUMBER OF THE PARTICULAR REQUEST IN RESPONSE TO WHICH EACH DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED.
- 4. If any of the requested documents cannot be produced in full, you are to produce to the extent possible, specifying whatever information, knowledge or belief you do have concerning the unproduced portion.
- 5. Each document you produce should have a Bates Number on the bottom indicating "Kimberly" and the specific number assigned to that document (ie. The first document you produce will be noted on the bottom of the page as Kimberly 0001, the second document you produce will be Kimberly 0002, etc.). Providing Bates Numbers on the documents will assist in insuring that documents are not lost, and will assist in referencing the documents during depositions and trial.
- 6. If any documents or thing requested was at one time in existence, but is no longer in existence, please so state, specifying for each document or thing, (i) the type of document or thing, (ii) the types of information contained therein, (iii) the date

Page 5 of 14

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

upon which the document or thing was destroyed or ceased to exist, (iv) the circumstances under which it was destroyed or ceased to exist, (v) the identity of all persons having knowledge of the circumstances under which it was destroyed or ceased to exist, and (vi) the identity of all persons having knowledge or persons who had knowledge of the contents thereof.

- 7. If you seek to withhold any documents on the basis of attorney-client privilege, as work product or other legal protection, you shall, at the time of your response to this Request, supply a numerical list of the documents for which limitation of discovery is claimed, providing the following information:
 - (i) Identify each person who wrote it or participated in any way in its preparation;
 - (ii) Identify each person who signed it;
 - (iii) Identify each person to whom it was addressed, to whom a copy was addressed or who received a copy of each such document;
 - (iv) Identify each person who presently has custody of each such document or a copy thereof;
 - (v) The date of each such document, if any, or estimate thereof and so indicated as an estimate if no date appears on said documents;
 - (vi) The general subject matter as described in each such document, or, if no such description exists, then such other description sufficient to identify said document; and
 - (vii) A specific statement of the privilege, doctrine, or other legal protection on which you rely in refusing to produce such document.

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite

Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908

Page 6 of 14

All discovery Requests and Interrogatories are continuing ones. If after responding to these discovery Requests and Interrogatories, you obtain or become aware of any further documents or information responsive to the Requests or Interrogatories, you are required to produce such additional documents or information.

FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

- Identify all persons who have any knowledge of the facts and circumstances related to the Guardianship, and for each person identified, summarize the nature, extent or subject matter of its/his/her knowledge.
- Identify all persons who you intend to call as a witness at the Evidentiary Hearing, and for each person identified, summarize the nature, extent or subject matter of its/his/her knowledge.
- 3. What conditions were expressed to June by any of her family when June obtained the First Dog?
- 4. What was expressed to June and Gerry to indicate to them that the Dogs were a gift specifically to June (and not to June and Gerry) from her family?
- 5. How was the purchase of the First Dog paid for, including who paid, what form of payment was used and the date on which such payment was made?
- 6. Who was involved in choosing and picking up the First Dog.
- 7. What information suggests June did not decide to share ownership of the Dogs with Gerry?
- 8. Detail what care June provided for the Dogs, since she first obtained possession of them.
- 9. When, why and how were you added to Account 7492?

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908 24

25

26

Page 7 of 14

10. What	steps did you	ı take since	January	1, 2014	to ensure	that June'	s finances	were
being	properly han	dled by June	??					

- 11. Who was involved in facilitating the most recent Anaheim Property refinance or new mortgage?
- 12. Provide an accounting of June's finances, including income, receipts, expenses and assets and liabilities from January 1, 2014, through the present.
- 13. Detail any efforts you have made as June's power of attorney to ensure your brother is paying fair market value for rental of the Anaheim Property.
- 14. Detail all actions you have taken as the agent named in the Medical Power of Attorney or General Power of Attorney since such documents were created.
- 15. Account for any cash you withdrew from a bank account with June's name since January 1, 2014, including the \$2,000.00 cash withdrawn on July 22, 2019 from Account 7492 and \$4,836.00 cash withdrawn on September 10, 2019 from Bank of America account No. ending in 6668.
- 16. Explain the benefit to June of each withdrawal that you made or authorized from Account 7492.
- 17. Explain how you made each payment to an attorney related to the Guardianship or related to the Lawsuit.
- 18. Provide a list of all financial accounts in which June had an interest from January 1, 2014 to the present.
- 19. Detail any assets you believe Gerry, Dick or Kandi converted from June.
- 20. How did you first discovery that June sold the Kraft Property to Dick and Kandi?
- 21. What causes you to believe that June did not voluntarily sell the Kraft Property to Dick and Kandi?

Page 8 of 14

- 22. Detail any information you have indicating that Dick and Kandi intended to harm June by June's sale of the Kraft Property to Dick and Kandi.
- 23. Detail any concerns expressed to you by your siblings regarding June's care and condition from January 1, 2014 to the present, including who expressed the concern, when the concern was expressed, the medium by which such concern was expressed and the date on which such concern was expressed.
- 24. Describe who filed a complaint with Las Vegas Metro related to June's care and condition, when such complaint was filed, and the case number and current status of such complaint.
- 25. Detail which of June's children offered to provide assistance for June when Gerry's family requested such assistance in approximately April 2019, including who offered assistance, how such assistance was offered, when such assistance was offered, and whether such assistance was actually provided.
- 26. Detail any and all allegations of elder abuse against June.
- 27. Detail any harm or benefits which might be caused to June if Gerry and June were again living together.
- 28. Account for any financial benefits received by June from Dick or Kandi from January 1, 2014 to the present.
- 29. Detail your in-person visits with June from January 1, 2014 to September 7, 2019.
- 30. Describe any complaints you had or are aware of prior to August 2019 made about Gerry's care for June.
- 31. Detail where and who with June lived between January 1, 2014 and September 7, 2019.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

32.	Account	for any	expenses	related to	June's	use of 1	Dick's	motorhor	ne that .	June pa	aic
	for										

- 33. If you saw your sister Robyn interacting with Dick in the courtroom hallway after the court hearing on January 14, 2020, describe the interaction as you observed it.
- 34. Describe your understanding of the history and progression of June's mental impairment?
- 35. Describe how and why the Medical Power of Attorney was created.
- 36. Describe how and why the General Power of Attorney was created.
- 37. Describe how and why the Holographic Document was created.
- 38. Identify all documents you are aware of or have heard about related to this Guardianship, whether or not you have ever had possession of the same, that you are not otherwise producing in response to a Request for Production herein.
- 39. If you deny any of the Requests for Admission, state with specificity why you are denying the same.
- 40. Set forth all other facts you believe are relevant to this Guardianship and the Evidentiary Hearing.

FIRST REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

- Admit that Gerry provided more care for the Dogs than June since they were first obtained by June and Gerry.
- 2. Admit that June has expressed during the pendency of the Guardianship a desire to share possession of the Dogs with Gerry.
- 3. Admit that if the Dogs were gifted to June, then once she owned them, she could do anything she wanted with them, including sharing ownership with Gerry.

Page 10 of 14

4.	Admit that your brother is renting the Anaheim Property and is paying less than fa
	market value.

- 5. Admit that without permission from Gerry you took \$4,836.00 out of an account on which Gerry was an account holder.
- 6. Admit that even if Kimberly had a valid power of attorney at the time of the Kraft Property sale to Dick and Kandi, June is legally permitted to conduct her personal financial transactions without the permission or involvement of Kimberly or any of her other adult children.
- Admit that June has not paid any mortgage payment or rental payment related to use of the Kraft Property since December 2017.
- 8. Admit that neither you nor your boyfriend Dean have paid June or Dick any rental payment related to your use of the Kraft Property.
- 9. Admit that Gerry lived in the Kraft Property with June for approximately 9 years, until approximately April 2019.
- 10. Admit that Dick paid off June's mortgage on the Kraft Property in the total amount of approximately \$140,000.
- 11. Admit that June was benefited in the amount of approximately \$140,000 by Dick paying of June's mortgage on the Kraft Property.
- 12. Admit that June has expressed an interest in living with Gerry again, since she was taken from Gerry on September 7, 2019.
- 13. Admit that June expressed an interest in staying in Phoenix with Gerry when she was taken on September 7, 2019.
- 14. Admit that you were involved in taking June from Phoenix against her will and the will of Gerry on September 7, 2019.

Page 11 of 14

1	15. Admit that June and Gerry shared their finances as a marital community.
2	16. Admit that June had problems with defecating on herself prior to April 2019.
3	17. Admit that Gerry's family reached out to June's family and requested June's family
4	to assist June with her financial obligations.
5	18. Admit that you were aware prior to May 2019 of Dick financially helping June and
7	Gerry.
8	19. Admit that June's social security income and rental income from June's Anaheim
9	house are June's only sources of income.
10	20. Admit that prior to January 2018, June's social security income and rental income
11	from June's Anaheim Property were insufficient to pay the mortgage on the Anaheim
12	Property and the Kraft Property and June's other living expenses.
13 14	21. Admit that for years June and Gerry traveled together in a motorhome provided by
15	Dick.
16	22. Admit that you have no medical records indicating June suffers from a medical
17	impairment which are dated prior to September 5, 2019.
18	23. Admit you had no legal authority to take June from the care of her husband Gerry on
19	September 7, 2019.
20	24. Admit that you are not aware of the existence of the original copies of the Medical
21 22	Power of Attorney, General Power of Attorney, or Holographic Document.
23	FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
24	Produce any documents evidencing June providing care for the Dogs.
25	2. Produce any documents evidencing June's ownership of the Dogs.
26	
	1

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES
871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite
200
Henderson, Nevada 89052
(702) 837-1908

Page 12 of 14

- Produce any documentation you have evidencing that you or June asked for possession of the Dogs after September 7, 2019 and prior to the Petition for Return of the Dogs filed herein.
- Produce any and all documents related to the most recent Anaheim Property refinance or new mortgage.
- 5. Produce all of June's financial records from January 1, 2017 to the present.
- 6. Produce any of Gerry's documents that you have located at the Kraft Property.
- 7. Produce any documents evidencing your belief during the past six months that June had a financial account sometime in the past with approximately \$800,000, which you believe Gerry or Dick converted money from.
- 8. Produce any documentation which evidences that June was gifted tens of thousands of dollars in gift cards from her children.
- Produce any documentation which evidences that Gerry, Dick or Kandi stole gift cards from June.
- Produce all medical records which describe June's physical and mental condition in January 2018.
- 11. Produce all records of any type evidencing June's alleged mental impairment.
- 12. Produce all medical records for June from January 2014 through the present.
- 13. Produce any and all documents evidencing complaints you are aware of made about Gerry's care for June prior to August 2019.
- 14. Produce evidence of any and all communications you have had regarding June from April 1, 2019 to the present, excluding communications have had with your attorneys.
- 15. Produce all documents related to a complaint with Las Vegas Metro related to June's care and condition.

Page 13 of 14

	1	16. Produce all documents identified	d or referred to in the above interrogatories and/o	
	2	your responses to those interrogat	tories.	
	3 17. Produce all documents identified or referred to in the above requ			
	and/or your responses to those requests.			
	5		s you relied upon when responding to any of thes	
	6		s you renea upon when responding to any or thes	
	7	discovery requests.		
	8	-	nents exist which are related in any way to thi	
	9	proceeding, which have not alread	dy been requested herein, produce the same.	
	10	DATED this 18th day of January, 2020.	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES	
	11		/s/ Ty E. Kehoe	
	12		Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.	
	13 14		Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 837-1908	28		Page 14 of 14	

Exhibit 4



DIRECT LINE: (702) 207-6081 DIRECT FAX: (702) 856-8981 EMAIL: JBECKSTROM@MACLAW.COM

ALBERT G. MARQUIS PHILLIP S. AURBACH AVECE M. HIGBEE TERRY A. COFFING SCOTT A. MARQUIS JACK CHEN MIN JUAN CRAIG R. ANDERSON TERRY A. MOORE GERALDINE TOMICH NICHOLAS D. CROSBY TYE S. HANSEEN LIANE K. WAKAYAMA DAVID G. ALLEMAN CODY S. MOUNTEER CHAD F. CLEMENT CHRISTIAN T. BALDUCCI

JARED M. MOSER
MICHAEL D. MAUPIN
PATRICK C. MCDONNELL
KATHLEEN A. WILDE
JACKIE V. NICHOLS
RACHEL S. TYGRET
JORDAN B. PEEL
TOM W. STEWART
JAMES A. BECKSTROM
EMILY D. ANDERSON
COLLIN M. JAYNE
ALEXANDER K. CALAWAY

JOHN M. SACCO [RET.] LANCE C. EARL WILLIAM P. WRIGHT TROY R. DICKERSON BRIAN R. HARDY OF COUNSEL February 3, 2020

Honorable Judge Linda Marquis EJDC Dept. B

Re: <u>Guardianship of Kathleen June Jones</u>

Case No.: G-19-052263-A Our File No. 15820-1

Dear Honorable Judge Marquis:

Enclosed is a Stipulation and Order resolving the outstanding Motion for Return of Property filed on behalf of the protected person June Jones. As you can see, the dispute concerning ownership of the dogs has been fully resolved in favor of the protected person. As a result, it is the understanding of the protected person's attorney, Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq. and I, that the evidentiary hearing currently set for February 20, 2020 concerning the Motion for Return of Property is no longer necessary. As such, we would ask the Court to vacate the forthcoming evidentiary hearing consistent with the enclosed Stipulation. Should the Court feel any additional issues remain subject to an evidentiary hearing, I would ask the Court to set a telephonic status check to clarify any such issues.

Sincerely,

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

/s James A. Beckstrom

James A. Beckstrom, Esq.

JAB:cb

Cc: Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq., - mparra@lacsn.org Ty Kehoe, Esq. - tykehoelaw@gmail.com

John Michaellson, Esq. – John@michaelsonlaw.com

MAC: 3961034 2/3/2020 10:34 AM

10001 Park Run Drive • Las Vegas, NV 89145 • Phone 702.382.0711 • Fax 702.382.5816 • maclaw.com

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Marquis Aurbach Coffing Geraldine Tomich, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8369 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 gtomich@maclaw.com jbeckstrom@maclaw.com Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones

ļ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF:

Case No.:

G-19-052263-A

Dept. No.:

KATHLEEN JUNE JONES

An Adult Protected Person.

STIPULATION AND ORDER ON PETITION FOR RETURN OF PROPERTY OF PROTECTED PERSON

Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Protected Person Kathleen June Jones, by and through her attorneys of the law firm of Marquis Aurbach Coffing; Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq. counsel for the Protected Person; and Rodney Gerald Yeoman, by and through his attorneys of the law firm Kehoe & Associates and Piccolo Law Firm, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On October 15, 2019, Kimberly Jones ("Kimberly") was appointed as Guardian of the Person and Estate of Kathleen June Jones, an Adult Protected Person (the "Protected Person") and vested with the authority to act on behalf of the Protected Person as provided by Nevada law.
- 2. On November 22, 2019, Kimberly filed a Petition for Return of Property of Protected Person concerning two Shih Tzu dogs commonly referred to as "Nikki" and "Charlie."

Page 1 of 3

MAC:15820-001 1/31/2020 1:44 PM

I 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

28

3.		Within the Petition for Return of Property, Kimberly asserted Nikki and Charlie
were the solo	e a	nd separate property of the Protected Person and were being wrongfully retained
by the Protec	ete	d Person's husband Rodney Gerald Yeoman ("Yeoman").

- 4. On December 6, 2019, Yeoman, filed an Opposition to the Petition for Return of Property, asserting among other things, he maintained an ownership interest in Nikki and Charlie.
- 5. On December 10, 2019, following oral argument on the Motion for Return of Property, the Court set an evidentiary hearing on February 20, 2020, to establish ownership of Nikki and Charlie.
- 6. Yeoman and Kimberly, through their counsel of record have agreed to resolve their disputes related to Nikki and Charlie as a compromise of disputed claims and to avoid further disputes and the costs of potential litigation concerning Nikki and Charlie. Neither Yeoman nor Kimberly admit to any fault, wrongdoing or liability to the other.
- 7. As a resolution, Yeoman and Kimberly, through their counsel of record bereby agree, and stipulate that Nikki and Charlie shall be the sole and separate personal property of the Protected Person.
- 8. Yeoman and Kimberly, through their counsel of record further hereby agree that Cause of Action No. 10 for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress in Clark County District Court Case No. A-19-807458-C, concerning the alleged wrongful retention of Nikki and Charlie, shall be dismissed with prejudice.
- 9. Yeoman and Kimberly, through their counsel of record further intend that this Stipulation and Order shall constitute an enforceable contract between the parties.
- 10. Each party hereto shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this Stipulation and Order, and the Motion for Return of Property.

111

26 111

27 111

Page 2 of 3

MAC:15820-001 1/31/2020 1:44 PM

2	vacate the evidentiary hearing, as to the issues related to ownership of Nikki and Charlie, set to				
3	begin on February 20, 2020.				
4	Dated this 315 day of January, 2020.	Dated this day of January, 2020.			
5	MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES			
6					
7	James A. Beckstrom, Esq.	Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.			
8	Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001-Park Run Drive	871 Ceronado Center Drive, Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052			
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian	Miorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman			
10	of Kathleen June Jones	D. 14: 318ti av 3000			
11	Dated this day of January, 2020.	Dated this 31st day of January, 2020.			
12	PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.			
13		MA D 9 0 1			
14	Ву:	By: Maintana Sundon			
15	Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. 2450 St. Rose Pkwy., Stc. 210	Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq. 725 E. Charleston Blyd.			
16	Herderson, NV 89074 Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorney for Protected Person Kathleen			
17		June Jones			
18	ORDI	ER			
19	Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation,	it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and			
20	DECREED that the terms thereof are hereby approved and enforceable, and the evidentiary				
21	hearing, as to the issues related to ownership of Nikki and Charlie, set to begin February 20, 2020				
22	is vacated.				
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	HONORABLE LINDA MARQUIS			
24	Submitted by:	HONOKABLE LINDA MARQUIS			
25	MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING				
26	BV:				
27	James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 1000 L Park Run Drive				
28	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145				
	Page 3 c	of 3			

11. Based on the resolution of the above stated dispute, the parties further stipulate to

MAC:13820-001 1/31/2020 10:24 AM

11. Based on the resolution of the above stated dispute, the parties further stipulate to					
vacate the evidentiary hearing, as to the issues related to ownership of Nikki and Charlie, set to					
begin on February 20, 2020.					
Dated this day of January, 2020.	Dated this Let day of Lanuary, 2020.				
MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES				
By: James A. Beckstrom, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14032 1000/ Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones	By: Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. 871 Coronado Center Drive, Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052 Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman				
Dated this 31 day of January, 2020.	Dated this day of January, 2020.				
PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.				
By: Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. 2450 St. Rose Pkwy., Ste. 210 Henderson, NV 89074 Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	By: Maria Patra-Sandoval, Esq. 725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorney for Protected Person Kathleen June Jones				
<u>ORI</u>	<u>DER</u>				
Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation,	it is hereby ORDERED. ADJUDGED and				
DECREED that the terms thereof are hereby	approved and enforceable, and the evidentiary				
hearing, as to the issues related to ownership of	of Nikki and Charlie, set to begin February 20.				
2020 is vacated.					
IT IS SO ORDERED.	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE				
Submitted by:MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING					
James A. Boekstrom, Esq. 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	·				

Page 3 of 3

MAC 15820-001 1/31/2020 1.44 PM

Exhibit 5

James A. Beckstrom

From: James A. Beckstrom

Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 6:25 PM **To:** 'Ty Kehoe'; Maria Parra-Sandoval

Cc: Matthew C. Piccolo; John Michaelson; '15820_001 _Friedman_ Robyn _ Simmons_ Donna_Quiet Title _

Lis Pendens_ 4_ E_Mails _EMAIL_ 15820_001'

Subject: RE: In the guardianship matter of Kathleen June Jones, Case no. G-19-052263-A [IWOV-

iManage.FID1091261]

Attachments: G-19-052263-A (12).pdf

Your attempt to discover something that doesn't exist is a waste of time and money. You need to file a petition for whatever "relief" you are seeking first. You don't just aimlessly propound discovery in a guardianship case. The result of what you have done has caused unnecessary confusion and expense for everyone.

To be clear, your counter-petition for guardianship was DENIED months ago. The court made findings as required and appointed Kimberly as guardian. There was never a hearing set on changing that, rather the order set a hearing for the results of the investigators reports—to see what if anything they found. I have enclosed that order for your review.

The sole reason I had to issue subpoenas in the guardianship case was because Kimberly has an obligation to obtain these records. I was forced to subpoena them because to date you have not turned over a single document.

As such, I do object to the discovery. As I view this case, guardianship has been established. If you believe you have a basis to remove my client as Guardian, you need to file a motion to do so. The scope of discovery cannot be established until a pending issue is in front of the court. Judge Marquis will not look fondly on your attempts to increase the costs of litigation on the guardian and the protected person, for no apparent reason. If I am forced to file a motion to bring these points to Judge Marquis, as I have stated before it will be accompanied with a request for fees and costs.

To be clear, your discovery should be (1) withdrawn; (2) depositions vacated; and (3) your letter regarding the need for an evidentiary hearing retracted. There is no adversarial issues pending in the guardianship court at this time.



James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89145 t | 702.207.6081 f | 702.856.8981 jbeckstrom@maclaw.com

maclaw.com

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail!

DO NOT read, copy or disseminate this communication unless you are the intended addressee. This e-mail communication contains confidential and/or privileged information intended only for the addressee. If you have received this communication in error, please call us (collect) immediately at (702) 382-0711 and ask to speak to the sender of the communication. Also please e-mail the sender and notify the sender immediately that you have received the communication in error. Thank you. Marquis Aurbach Coffing - Attorneys at Law

From: Ty Kehoe <tykehoelaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 6:01 PM

To: Maria Parra-Sandoval <mparra@lacsn.org>

Cc: Matthew C. Piccolo <Matt@piccololawoffices.com>; James A. Beckstrom <jbeckstrom@maclaw.com>; John

Michaelson < john@michaelsonlaw.com>

Subject: Re: In the guardianship matter of Kathleen June Jones, Case no. G-19-052263-A

All but the Law Clerk,

To be clear, we do not believe a decision in either direction regarding the February 20th evidentiary hearing resolves the underlying discovery requests. Such requests are valid, relevant and enforceable even if the February 20th hearing is taken off calendar. The scope of information being sought exceeds neither the original scope of the evidentiary hearing nor exceeds relevant concerns currently existing in the guardianship case (other than those specific written discovery requests related to ownership of the dogs). Kimberly is relevant as the current guardian and Robyn and Donna are relevant as the temporary guardians. We are allowed to pursue discovery regarding the same. Additionally, my client is entitled to file a motion to modify the guardianship and is entitled to conduct discovery regarding the same (even if the court vacates the evidentiary hearing, and even if no pleading is currently pending). The Court specifically stated: "discovery is open, discover away." There were no limitations expressed, and no parties asked for limitations.

Furthermore, my understanding from James is that he has not objected to the discovery, and my understanding from John is that he does not intend to proceed with his original objections to the discovery (other than as to rescheduling Robyn's deposition date).

Sincerely,

Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. Kehoe & Associates 871 Coronado Center Drive Suite 200 Henderson, NV 89052 Telephone: 702.837.1908

Facsimile: 702.837.1932 Cellular: 702.528.8704

E-Mail: TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com (Changed from TyKehoeLaw@aol.com)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message and any attachments are for the named person's use only. The message and any attachment may contain confidential, proprietary or privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you receive this message in error, please DO NOT OPEN ANY ATTACHMENTS, immediately notify the sender, delete all copies of it from your system, and destroy any hard copies of it. Please do not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, distribute, print or copy any part of this message if you are not the intended recipient. Thank you.

On Wed, Feb 5, 2020 at 5:37 PM Maria Parra-Sandoval < MParra@lacsn.org > wrote:

Dear Sahar,

Correspondence was sent to Judge Marquis from attorneys James Beckstrom and Ty Kehoe. The issue on the ownership of the dogs has been resolved, and a stipulation and order has been attached to the correspondence filed by Mr. Beckstrom. In his letter, Mr. Beckstrom requested a telephonic hearing to clarify the scope of the upcoming evidentiary hearing.

Mr. Beckstrom and I believe there are no other pending issues, but Mr. Kehoe believes there are. Because there is a scheduled deposition for this Friday, February 7th, I was hoping we can all get an answer from Judge Marquis as soon as possible--simply to avoid any extra litigation costs related to the upcoming deposition, as well as two others scheduled the following week.

I respectfully request that the scope of the evidentiary hearing be clarified.

Thank you for your attention,

Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq.

Attorney for Kathleen June Jones



Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq.

Attorney, Consumer Rights Project

Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.

725 E. Charleston Blvd.

Las Vegas, NV 89104

702-386-1526 direct/fax

702-386-1070 ext. 1526

mparra@lacsn.org

www.lacsn.org

Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc. is a 501 (c) (3) organization

and your contribution may qualify as a federally recognized tax deduction.



Please remember Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada in your estate plan.

James A. Beckstrom

From: Ty Kehoe <tykehoelaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2020 11:07 AM

To: James A. Beckstrom

Cc: Maria Parra-Sandoval; Matthew C. Piccolo; John Michaelson; 15820_001 _Friedman_ Robyn _

Simmons Donna Quiet Title Lis Pendens 4 E Mails EMAIL 15820 001

Subject: Re: In the guardianship matter of Kathleen June Jones, Case no. G-19-052263-A [IWOV-

iManage.FID1091261]

Attachments: image006.jpg

James,

Do what you need to do. If you are going to to seek a protective order, that's up to you. If you want to have a meet and confer we can do that. I disagree with your positions. If you are truly trying to limit time and expense, then it doesn't make sense for us to file a petition, have you object to the petition, have us reply, and then all of us go to a hearing just to have the Court again say that discovery is open. Our position has been clear from before the guardianship was even filed (ie in the probate court matter) and we are entitled to discovery about the same. The Court agreed at the last hearing. So, if the disputes are improper by anyone, it would not seem to be us.

Even if your arguments were correct, which we dispute, you seem to concede that if we filed a petition then we would be entitled to the discovery. Thus, your current position simply doesn't make sense, and definitely doesn't accomplish your purported objective of limiting expenses.

Ty

On Wed, Feb 5, 2020, 6:25 PM James A. Beckstrom < jbeckstrom@maclaw.com> wrote:

Your attempt to discover something that doesn't exist is a waste of time and money. You need to file a petition for whatever "relief" you are seeking first. You don't just aimlessly propound discovery in a guardianship case. The result of what you have done has caused unnecessary confusion and expense for everyone.

To be clear, your counter-petition for guardianship was DENIED months ago. The court made findings as required and appointed Kimberly as guardian. There was never a hearing set on changing that, rather the order set a hearing for the results of the investigators reports—to see what if anything they found. I have enclosed that order for your review.

The sole reason I had to issue subpoenas in the guardianship case was because Kimberly has an obligation to obtain these records. I was forced to subpoena them because to date you have not turned over a single document.

As such, I do object to the discovery. As I view this case, guardianship has been established. If you believe you have a basis to remove my client as Guardian, you need to file a motion to do so. The scope of discovery cannot be established until a pending issue is in front of the court. Judge Marquis will not look fondly on your attempts to increase the costs of litigation on the guardian and the protected person, for no apparent reason. If I am forced to file a motion to bring these points to Judge Marquis, as I have stated before it will be accompanied with a request for fees and costs.

James A. Beckstrom

From: Maria Parra-Sandoval <MParra@lacsn.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 5:40 PM

To: Nawabzada, Sahar

Cc: Ty Kehoe; Matthew C. Piccolo; James A. Beckstrom; John Michaelson

Subject: In the guardianship matter of Kathleen June Jones, Case no. G-19-052263-A

Dear Sahar,

Correspondence was sent to Judge Marquis from attorneys James Beckstrom and Ty Kehoe. The issue on the ownership of the dogs has been resolved, and a stipulation and order has been attached to the correspondence filed by Mr. Beckstrom. In his letter, Mr. Beckstrom requested a telephonic hearing to clarify the scope of the upcoming evidentiary hearing.

Mr. Beckstrom and I believe there are no other pending issues, but Mr. Kehoe believes there are. Because there is a scheduled deposition for this Friday, February 7th, I was hoping we can all get an answer from Judge Marquis as soon as possible--simply to avoid any extra litigation costs related to the upcoming deposition, as well as two others scheduled the following week.

I respectfully request that the scope of the evidentiary hearing be clarified.

Thank you for your attention,

Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq. Attorney for Kathleen June Jones



Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq.
Attorney, Consumer Rights Project
Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.
725 E. Charleston Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89104
702-386-1526 direct/fax
702-386-1070 ext. 1526
mparra@lacsn.org
www.lacsn.org

Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc. is a 501 (c) (3) organization and your <u>contribution</u> may qualify as a federally recognized tax deduction.







Legal Aid Center E-Newsletter

Please remember Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada in your estate plan.

James A. Beckstrom

From: John Michaelson < john@Michaelsonlaw.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2020 9:22 AM

To: James A. Beckstrom; 'Ty Kehoe'; Maria Parra-Sandoval

Cc: Matthew C. Piccolo; Lora L. Caindec-Poland; '15820_001 _Friedman_ Robyn _ Simmons_ Donna_Quiet

Title _ Lis Pendens _ 4_ E_Mails _EMAIL _ 15820_001'

Subject: RE: In the guardianship matter of Kathleen June Jones, Case no. G-19-052263-A [IWOV-

iManage.FID1091261]

Ty, I echo James' comments completely. I would emphasize, you objected to the guardianship, the court nevertheless implemented a guardianship for June's stability and protection and you failed to file any motion for reconsideration or notice of appeal. You have not filed any motion to remove the guardian so we can identify what your issues are. Without a pending motion for reconsideration, you are effectively conducting post-judgment discovery that is generally impermissible. Moreover, as there is no present matter in controversy (beyond my clients' entitlement to attorney fees and/or motions for sanctions which have not been filed) I have no ability to adequately prepare my clients for deposition – even if you could articulate a rational basis for the depos.

The Court's order was clear, the evidentiary hearing was in re the reports. In context, the judge was setting a hearing to bring things to a close in the event the reports or other issues sparked motions or petitions based on the information they produced. One example, the dog issue. But that has been resolved. No motions are pending and you agreed to vacate the hearing.

Your clients' continued expressions of "concerns" over the guardianship is really an effort to punish Mrs. Jones and her family and deplete her estate. Your clients should be expressing concern over Mrs. Jones, her welfare and her estate. The issues should be naturally narrowing where appropriate. Relitigating the guardianship is a waste and anything relating to the transfer of the house should be addressed in the A-case that the Court authorized.

Also, re depositions. I informed you last week that neither Donna's nor Robyn's would go forward as they are not no longer parties inasmuch as the temporary guardianship has been revoked and the petition for the appointment of a guardian has been fully adjudicated. If you can support your request for discovery, and the Court orders the same, you must issue a valid subpoena to compel attendance. Finally, even assuming, that you are entitled to take their depositions, they are simply unavailable on the dates you have unilaterally chosen

John P. Michaelson, Esq. | MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. | john@michaelsonlaw.com | 702.731.2333

From: James A. Beckstrom < jbeckstrom@maclaw.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 05, 2020 6:25 PM

To: 'Ty Kehoe' <tykehoelaw@gmail.com>; Maria Parra-Sandoval <mparra@lacsn.org>

Cc: Matthew C. Piccolo <Matt@piccololawoffices.com>; John Michaelson <john@Michaelsonlaw.com>; '15820 001

Friedman Robyn _ Simmons_ Donna_Quiet Title _ Lis Pendens_ 4_ E_Mails _EMAIL_ 15820_001'

<{F1091261}.iManage@AMUN.marquisaurbach.com>

Subject: RE: In the guardianship matter of Kathleen June Jones, Case no. G-19-052263-A [IWOV-iManage.FID1091261]

Your attempt to discover something that doesn't exist is a waste of time and money. You need to file a petition for whatever "relief" you are seeking first. You don't just aimlessly propound discovery in a guardianship case. The result of what you have done has caused unnecessary confusion and expense for everyone.

To be clear, your counter-petition for guardianship was DENIED months ago. The court made findings as required and appointed Kimberly as guardian. There was never a hearing set on changing that, rather the order set a hearing for the results of the investigators reports—to see what if anything they found. I have enclosed that order for your review.

The sole reason I had to issue subpoenas in the guardianship case was because Kimberly has an obligation to obtain these records. I was forced to subpoena them because to date you have not turned over a single document.

As such, I do object to the discovery. As I view this case, guardianship has been established. If you believe you have a basis to remove my client as Guardian, you need to file a motion to do so. The scope of discovery cannot be established until a pending issue is in front of the court. Judge Marquis will not look fondly on your attempts to increase the costs of litigation on the guardian and the protected person, for no apparent reason. If I am forced to file a motion to bring these points to Judge Marquis, as I have stated before it will be accompanied with a request for fees and costs.

To be clear, your discovery should be (1) withdrawn; (2) depositions vacated; and (3) your letter regarding the need for an evidentiary hearing retracted. There is no adversarial issues pending in the guardianship court at this time.



James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 10001 Park Run Drive

Las Vegas, NV 89145 t | 702.207.6081 f | 702.856.8981

jbeckstrom@maclaw.com

maclaw.com

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail!

DO NOT read, copy or disseminate this communication unless you are the intended addressee. This e-mail communication contains confidential and/or privileged information intended only for the addressee. If you have received this communication in error, please call us (collect) immediately at (702) 382-0711 and ask to speak to the sender of the communication. Also please e-mail the sender and notify the sender immediately that you have received the communication in error. Thank you. Marquis Aurbach Coffing - Attorneys at Law

From: Ty Kehoe < tykehoelaw@gmail.com Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 6:01 PM
To: Maria Parra-Sandoval < mparra@lacsn.org

Cc: Matthew C. Piccolo < Matt@piccololawoffices.com; James A. Beckstrom < jbeckstrom@maclaw.com; John

Michaelson < john@michaelsonlaw.com >

Subject: Re: In the guardianship matter of Kathleen June Jones, Case no. G-19-052263-A

All but the Law Clerk,

To be clear, we do not believe a decision in either direction regarding the February 20th evidentiary hearing resolves the underlying discovery requests. Such requests are valid, relevant and enforceable even if the February 20th hearing is taken off calendar. The scope of information being sought exceeds neither the original scope of the evidentiary hearing nor exceeds relevant concerns currently existing in the guardianship case (other than those specific written discovery requests related to ownership of the dogs). Kimberly is relevant as the current guardian and Robyn and Donna are relevant as the temporary guardians. We are allowed to pursue discovery regarding the same. Additionally, my client is

entitled to file a motion to modify the guardianship and is entitled to conduct discovery regarding the same (even if the court vacates the evidentiary hearing, and even if no pleading is currently pending). The Court specifically stated: "discovery is open, discover away." There were no limitations expressed, and no parties asked for limitations.

Furthermore, my understanding from James is that he has not objected to the discovery, and my understanding from John is that he does not intend to proceed with his original objections to the discovery (other than as to rescheduling Robyn's deposition date).

Sincerely,

Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. Kehoe & Associates 871 Coronado Center Drive Suite 200 Henderson, NV 89052

Telephone: 702.837.1908 Facsimile: 702.837.1932 Cellular: 702.528.8704

E-Mail: TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com (Changed from TyKehoeLaw@aol.com)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message and any attachments are for the named person's use only. The message and any attachment may contain confidential, proprietary or privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you receive this message in error, please DO NOT OPEN ANY ATTACHMENTS, immediately notify the sender, delete all copies of it from your system, and destroy any hard copies of it. Please do not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, distribute, print or copy any part of this message if you are not the intended recipient. Thank you.

On Wed, Feb 5, 2020 at 5:37 PM Maria Parra-Sandoval < <u>MParra@lacsn.org</u> > wrote:
Dear Sahar,
Correspondence was sent to Judge Marquis from attorneys James Beckstrom and Ty Kehoe. The issue on the ownership of the dogs has been resolved, and a stipulation and order has been attached to the correspondence filed by Mr. Beckstrom. In his letter, Mr. Beckstrom requested a telephonic hearing to clarify the scope of the upcoming evidentiary hearing.
Mr. Beckstrom and I believe there are no other pending issues, but Mr. Kehoe believes there are. Because there is a scheduled deposition for this Friday, February 7 th , I was hoping we can all get an answer from Judge Marquis as soon as possiblesimply to avoid any extra litigation costs related to the upcoming deposition, as well as two others scheduled the following week.
I respectfully request that the scope of the evidentiary hearing be clarified.
Thank you for your attention,

Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq.

Attorney for Kathleen June Jones



Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq.

Attorney, Consumer Rights Project

Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.

725 E. Charleston Blvd.

Las Vegas, NV 89104

702-386-1526 direct/fax

702-386-1070 ext. 1526

mparra@lacsn.org

www.lacsn.org

Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc. is a 501 (c) (3) organization and your <u>contribution</u> may qualify as a federally recognized tax deduction.







🚮 in 🕒 🛎 Legal Aid Center E-Newsletter

Please remember Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada in your estate plan.

Electronically Filed 2/6/2020 4:51 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT ASSC 1 SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. JEFFREY R. SYLVESTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4396 3 1731 Village Center Circle Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Telephone: (702) 952-5200 Facsimile: (702) 952-5205 Email: jeff@SylvesterPolednak.com Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons 7 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 8 FAMILY DIVISION 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP Case No. G-19-052263-A 11 OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF: Dept. No. B 12 KATHLEEN JUNE JONES, 13 14 An Adult Protected Person. 15 NOTICE OF ASSOCIATION OF COUNSEL 16 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Jeffrey R. Sylvester, Esq., of the law firm of Sylvester & 17 Polednak, Ltd., 1731 Village Center Circle, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134, is hereby associated as 18 co-counsel for the Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons. It is respectfully requested that all 19 future documents in this action be served upon Sylvester & Polednak, Ltd. on behalf of Robyn 20 Friedman and Donna Simmons. 21 DATED this 6th day of February, 2020. 22 SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. 23 24 By /s/ Jeffrey R. Sylvester 25 Jeffrey R. Sylvester, Esq. 1731 Village Center Circle 26 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

27

28

Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
2	Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of SYLVESTER			
3	POLEDNAK, LTD. and that on this 6th day of February, 2020, I caused to be served a copy			
4	the above-entitled document on the parties set	forth below via the CM/ECF system where an		
5	email address is provided:			
6 7 8 9	Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq. Ross E. Evans, Esq. SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones	Ty Kehoe, Esq. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 Attorneys for Richard Powell, Candice Powell, and Rodney Gerald Yeoman		
11 12 13 14	Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICES 8565 S. Eastern Ave., Stc. 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 Attorneys for Richard Powell, Candice Powell, and Rodney Gerald Yeoman	Maria L. Parra Sandoval, Esq. Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc. mpatra@lacsn.org Attorney for Kathleen June Jones, Adult Protected Person		
15 16 17 18	John P. Michaelson, Esq. MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. john@michaelsonlaw.com Attorney for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	/s/ <u>Kell</u> An emplo	y L. Easton yee of SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD.		
24	•			
	(

Electronically Filed 2/6/2020 6:11 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 JOIN John P. Michaelson, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 7822 Email: john@michaelsonlaw.com 3 Lora Caindec-Poland, Esq. 4 Nevada Bar No. 14178 Email: lora@michaelsonlaw.com 5 MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Ste. 160 Henderson, Nevada 89052 Ph: (702) 731-2333 Fax: (702) 731-2337 SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. JEFFREY R. SYLVESTER, ESO. 10 Nevada Bar No. 4396 1731 Village Center Circle 11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Telephone: (702) 952-5200 Facsimile: (702) 952-5205 13 Email: jeff@SylvesterPolednak.com Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons 14 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 15 FAMILY DIVISION 16 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 17 18 IN THE MATTER OF THE Case No. G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND Dept. No. B 19 ESTATE OF: 20 ROBYN FRIEDMAN and DONNA KATHLEEN JUNE JONES. SIMMONS' JOINDER TO KIMBERLY 21 JONES' MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER 22 An Adult Protected Person. 23 Hearing Date: March 17, 2020 Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m. 24 25 ROBYN FRIEDMAN ("Robyn") and DONNA SIMMONS ("Donna"), interested persons 26 and former temporary guardians in this matter, by and through their attorneys of record, John P. 27 28

21 22

20

23 24

25 26

27 28 Michaelson, Esq., with the law firm of Michaelson & Associates, Ltd., and Jeffrey R. Sylvester, Esq., with the law firm of Sylvester & Polednak, Ltd., hereby file this Joinder in full support of Kimberly Jones' Motion for Protective Order and further allege as follows:

There are no pending petitions before the guardianship Court. An Order was entered on November 25, 2019, appointing Kimberly Jones as the General Guardian of her mother, Kathleen June Jones ("Ms. Jones.") Under NRS 159.375 any order granting letters of guardianship must be appealed within 30 days of the notice of entry of order. In this case, a Notice of Entry of Order was filed the same day as the Order and properly served on counsel for Rodney Gerald Yeoman ("Mr. Yeoman"). No objection to the Order or any notice of appeal was ever filed by counsel for Mr. Yeoman or any other party. Robyn and Donna are no longer guardians and, therefore, not parties to this matter. The order appointing Kimberly Jones as permanent guardian was not appealed; therefore, all pending motions or petitions were resolved. As a result, discovery cannot proceed because no issues for the Court's consideration have been identified or articulated.

Accordingly, Robyn and Donna request this Court quash the depositions of Donna Simmons and Robyn Friedman scheduled for February 7, and 11th and order Mr. Yeoman to withdraw the written discovery e-served upon counsel for Robyn and Donna.

This Joinder is based on the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in the Motion For Protective Order filed by counsel for Kimberly, all of the pleadings and papers on file in this case, and any oral argument allowed by the Court.

DATED this 6th day of February 2020.

MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

John P. Michaelson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7822

Lora Caindec-Poland, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 14178

2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Ste. 160

Henderson, Nevada 89052

Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
2	Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 5(b) and NEFCR 9, I hereby certify that I am an employee of					
3	MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. and that on this day of February, 2020, I caused to					
4	be served a copy of the above-entitled document on the parties set forth below via the Court e-					
5	filing system where an email address is provided and/or by depositing the same in the United					
6	States Mail, first class, postage prepaid,	addressed as follows:				
7	Geraldine Tomich, Esq. gtomich@maclaw.com	Ty Kehoe, Esq. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES				
9	James A. Beckstrom, Esq. jbeckstrom@maclaw.com	871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89052 TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com				
11	Attorneys for Kimberly Jones	Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman				
12	Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.	Maria L. Parra Sandoval, Esq.				
13	PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.				
14	8565 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123	mparra@lacsn.org				
15	matt@piccololawoffices.com	Alexa Reanos				
16		areanos@lacsn.org				
17	Attorneys for Richard Powell					
18		Attorney for Kathleen June Jones, Adult Protected Person				
19	LaChasity Carroll lcarroll@nvcourts.nv.gov					
20	icarron any courts.nv.gov					
21	Sonia Jones					
	sjones@nvcourts.nv.gov					
22	Kate McCloskey					
23	NVGCO@nvcourts.nv.gov					
24						
25						
26						
27						

II .	
Teri Butler	Jen Adamo
586 N. Magdelena Street Dewey, AZ 86327	14 Edgewater Drive
	Magnolia, DE 19962
Scott Simmons 1054 S. Verde Street	Tiffany O'Neal
Anaheim, CA 92805	177 N. Singingwood Street, Unit 13 Orange, CA 92869
780	
Courtney Simmons 765 Kimbark Avenue	Ampersand Man
San Bernardino, CA 92407	2824 High Sail Court Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
	01,020
	James & Mhulle a
/ 5	In employee of MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD
	Estimate of Michael Bolv & Associates, LID
	4

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **Marquis Aurbach Coffing** Geraldine Tomich, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 8369 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 3 Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001 Park Run Drive 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 5 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 gtomich@maclaw.com jbeckstrom@maclaw.com 6 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones 7 8 **DISTRICT COURT** 9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP 10 OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF: Case No.: G-19-052263-A 11 KATHLEEN JUNE JONES Dept. No.: В MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 12 An Adult Protected Person. 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 13 14 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER 15 Please take notice that a Stipulation and Order on Petition for Return of Property of 16 Protected Person was filed on the 7th day of February, 2020, a copy of which is attached hereto. 17 Dated this 7th day of February, 2020. 18 MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 19 20 /s/ James A. Beckstrom Geraldine Tomich, Esq. 21 Nevada Bar No. 8369 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 22 Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001 Park Run Drive 23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, 24 Guardian of Kathleen June Jones 25 26 27 28 Page 1 of 2 MAC:15820-001 3965288_1 2/7/2020 10:51 AM

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Electronically Filed 2/7/2020 11:03 AM

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 12 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER** was submitted electronically for filing and/or service with the Eighth Judicial District Court on the 7th day of February, 2020. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the E-Service List as follows:¹

Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052 Email: tykehoelaw@gmail.com

Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICES 2450 St. Rose Pkwy., Ste. 210 Henderson, NV 89074 Email: matt@piccololawoffices.com

John P. Michaelson, Esq. MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Email: john@michaelsonlaw.com

Jeffrey R. Sylvester, Esq. SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. 1731 Village Center Circle Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Email: jeff@sylvesterpolednak.com

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to:

N/A

/s/ Cheryl Becnel
An employee of Marquis Aurbach Coffing

Page 2 of 2

MAC:15820-001 3965288_1 2/7/2020 10:51 AM

¹ Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), each party who submits an E-Filed document through the E-Filing System consents to electronic service in accordance with NRCP 5(b)(2)(D).

ibeckstrom@maclaw.com Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones

Marquis Aurbach Coffing Geraldine Tomich, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8369

James A. Beckstrom, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14032

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816

10001 Park Run Drive

gtomich@maclaw.com

8

ì

2

3

4 5

6

7

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX (702) 382-5816

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF:

An Adult Protected Person.

KATHLEEN JUNE JONES

Case No.:

G-19-052263-A

Electronically Filed 2/7/2020 10:39 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Dept. No.:

STIPULATION AND ORDER ON PETITION FOR RETURN OF PROPERTY OF PROTECTED PERSON

Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Protected Person Kathleen June Jones, by and through her attorneys of the law firm of Marquis Aurbach Coffing; Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq. counsel for the Protected Person; and Rodney Gerald Yeoman, by and through his attorneys of the law firm Kehoe & Associates and Piccolo Law Firm, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On October 15, 2019, Kimberly Jones ("Kimberly") was appointed as Guardian of the Person and Estate of Kathleen June Jones, an Adult Protected Person (the "Protected Person") and vested with the authority to act on behalf of the Protected Person as provided by Nevada law.
- 2. On November 22, 2019, Kimberly filed a Petition for Return of Property of Protected Person concerning two Shih Tzu dogs commonly referred to as "Nikki" and "Charlie."

Page 1 of 3

MAC.15820-001 1/31/2020 1:44 PM

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

795

į

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

- 3. Within the Petition for Return of Property, Kimberly asserted Nikki and Charlie were the sole and separate property of the Protected Person and were being wrongfully retained by the Protected Person's husband Rodney Gerald Yeoman ("Yeoman").
- 4. On December 6, 2019, Yeoman, filed an Opposition to the Petition for Return of Property, asserting among other things, he maintained an ownership interest in Nikki and Charlie.
- 5. On December 10, 2019, following oral argument on the Motion for Return of Property, the Court set an evidentiary hearing on February 20, 2020, to establish ownership of Nikki and Charlie.
- 6. Yeoman and Kimberly, through their counsel of record have agreed to resolve their disputes related to Nikki and Charlie as a compromise of disputed claims and to avoid further disputes and the costs of potential litigation concerning Nikki and Charlie. Neither Yeoman nor Kimberly admit to any fault, wrongdoing or liability to the other.
- 7. As a resolution, Yeoman and Kimberly, through their counsel of record hereby agree, and stipulate that Nikki and Charlie shall be the sole and separate personal property of the Protected Person.
- 8. Yeoman and Kimberly, through their counsel of record further hereby agree that Cause of Action No. 10 for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress in Clark County District Court Case No. A-19-807458-C, concerning the alleged wrongful retention of Nikki and Charlic, shall be dismissed with prejudice.
- 9. Yeoman and Kimberly, through their counsel of record further intend that this Stipulation and Order shall constitute an enforceable contract between the parties.
- 10. Each party hereto shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this Stipulation and Order, and the Motion for Return of Property.

111

111 26

27 111

28

Page 2 of 3

MAC:15820-001 1/31/2020 1:44 PM

1	11. Based on the resolution of the above stated dispute, the parties further stipulate to				
2	vacate the evidentiary hearing, as to the issues related to ownership of Nikki and Charlie, set to				
3	begin on February 20, 2020.				
4	Dated this 3153 day of January, 2020.	Dated this day of January, 2020.			
5	MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES			
6	P. 1	Part Part Part Part Part Part Part Part			
7	James A. Beckstrom, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14032	Ty E. Keboe, Esq.			
8	10004 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	871 Ceronado Center Drive, Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052			
9	Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones	Morney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman			
10	Dated this day of January, 2020.	Dated this 31st day of January, 2020.			
11					
12	PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.			
13		MAPROD			
14	By: Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.	By: Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq.			
15	2450 St. Rose Pkwy., Stc. 210 Henderson, NV 89074	725 E, Charleston Blvd.			
16	Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorney for Protected Person Kathleen			
17		June Jones			
18	<u>01</u>	RDER			
19	Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulatio	n, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and			
20	DECREED that the terms thereof are hereby approved and enforceable, and the evidentiary				
21	hearing, as to the Issues related to ownership of	Nikki and Charlie, set to begin February 20, 2020			
22	is vacated.				
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	HONORABLE LINDA MARQUIS			
24	Submitted by:	not to this is a second of the			
25	MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING				
26	BV:				
27	James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 10001 Park Run Drive				
28	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145				
	Page	: 3 of 3 MAC:15820-001 1/31/2020 10:24 AM			

1	11. Based on the resolution of the above stated dispute, the parties further stipulate to				
2	vacate the evidentiary hearing, as to the issues related to ownership of Nikki and Charlie, set to				
3	begin on February 20, 2020.	. 4 668			
4	Dated this day of January, 2020.	Dated this Last day of January, 2020.			
. 5	MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES			
6		3/Lehol			
7	James A. Beckstrom, Esq.	By: Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. 871 Coronado Center Drive, Ste. 200			
8	Nevada Bar No. 14032 1000/ Park Run Drive	Henderson, NV 89052 Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman			
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones	Adorney for Rouney Gerald Teaman			
10		Davidskin der af Legens 2020			
11	Dated this 31 day of January, 2020.	Dated this day of January, 2020.			
12	PICCOLO LAW OFFICES	LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.			
13					
14	By: Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.	By: Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq.			
15	2450 St. Rose Pkwy., Ste. 210	725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89104			
16	Henderson, NV 89074 Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	Attorney for Protected Person Kathleen			
17		June Jones			
18	ORD				
19	1	it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and			
20	DECREED that the terms thereof are hereby a				
21	hearing, as to the issues related to ownership of Nikki and Charlie, set to begin February 20.				
22	2020 is vacated.	\mathcal{M}			
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE JO .			
24	Submitted by:MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING	LINDA MARQUIS Sh			
25		_			
26	James A. Beekstrom, Esq.	_			
27	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145				
28					
	Page 3	01.3			

MAC 15820-091 1/31/2020 1.44 PM

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Guardianship of Adult

COURT MINUTES

February 07, 2020

G-19-052263-A

In the Matter of the Guardianship of: Kathleen Jones, Protected Person(s)

February 07, 2020

7:30 AM

Minute Order

HEARD BY: Marquis, Linda

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 10A

COURT CLERK: Karen Christensen

PARTIES:

Donna Simmons, Petitioner, Temporary

Guardian, not present

Kathleen Jones, Protected Person, not present Kimberly Jones, Other, Guardian of Person

and Estate, not present

Richard Powell, Other, not present

Robyn Friedman, Petitioner, Temporary

Guardian, not present

Rodney Yeoman, Other, not present State Guardianship Compliance Officer,

Agency, not present

John Michaelson, Attorney, not present

Maria Parra-Sandoval, Attorney, not present Geraldine Tomich, Attorney, not present

Pro Se

John Michaelson, Attorney, not present

Tv Kehoe, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

MINUTE ORDER: NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES

RE: G-19-052263-A

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. Pursuant to EDCR 2.23(c), this Court can consider a motion and issue a decision on the papers at any time without a hearing.

At the October 15, 2019 hearing, the Court ordered that Kimberly Jones shall be appointed as the Guardian over the Person and Estate of the Protected Person in this matter. The Court ordered the

PRINT DATE:	02/07/2020	Page 1 of 3	Minutes Date:	February 07, 2020

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Guardian to file an Inventory within 60 days. The Court set a Status Check for February 20, 2020 at 1:30 p.m. to determine if an Evidentiary Hearing was needed in this matter.

On December 10, 2019, the Court held a Hearing on the Petition for Return of Property of Protected Person and related matters. At this hearing, the Court ordered that it would make the final determination as to the issue of the Return of Property of the Protected Person at the Evidentiary Hearing on February 20, 2020.

On February 4, 2020, the Court received a Stipulation and Order resolving the issue of the Petition for Return of Property of Protected Person. The Court signed this Order, and it was filed on February 7, 2020.

There are no unresolved issues remaining in this matter. The Court orders stand. Accordingly, the Evidentiary Hearing on February 20, 2020 SHALL be vacated.

A copy of this minute order shall be provided to all Parties.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this Minute Order was mailed to parties at the address(es) listed in court records 2/7/20. (kc)

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: February 13, 2020 10:00 AM Hearing

RJC Courtroom 10A Marquis, Linda Christensen, Karen Stengel, Tanya

Canceled: February 20, 2020 1:30 PM Evidentiary Hearing

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated - per

Stipulation and Order RJC Courtroom 10A Marquis, Linda Stengel, Tanya Christensen, Karen

March 17, 2020 9:30 AM Motion for Protective Order

RJC Courtroom 10A Marquis, Linda Christensen, Karen

PRINT DATE:	02/07/2020	Page 2 of 3	Minutes Date:	February 07, 2020

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Stengel, Tanya

March 17, 2020 9:30 AM Motion for Protective Order RJC Courtroom 10A Marquis, Linda Christensen, Karen Stengel, Tanya

PRINT DATE:	02/07/2020	Page 3 of 3	Minutes Date:	February 07, 2020

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.