IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF KATHLEEN JUNE JONES, AN ADULT PROTECTED PERSON.

KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,

Appellant,

VS.

ROBYN FRIEDMAN; AND DONNA SIMMONS,

Respondents.

Case No. 81799

Electronically Filed May 05 2021 05:53 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Linda Marquis Presiding

RESPONDENTS' APPENDIX, VOLUME 7 (Nos. 802–948)

John P. Michaelson, Esq. Micah S. Echols, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7822 Nevada Bar No. 8437

MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. CLAGGETT & SYKES LAW FIRM 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 100

Henderson, Nevada 89052

Telephone: (702) 731-2333

Facsimile: (702) 731-2337

Telephone: (702) 655-2346

Facsimile: (702) 655-3763

john@Michaelsonlaw.com

micah@claggettlaw.com

Attorneys for Respondents, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons

Electronically Filed 2/7/2020 2:16 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

	RESP
1	John P. Michaelson, Esq.
	Nevada Bar No. 7822
2	Email: john@michaelsonlaw.com
٦	Lora Caindec-Poland, Esq.
3	Nevada Bar No. 14178
4	Email: lora@michaelsonlaw.com
1	MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Ste. 160
5	Henderson, Nevada 89052
	Ph: (702) 731-2333
6	Fax: (702) 731-2337
	Counsel for Petitioner
7	
	DISTRICT COURT
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
9	IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP)
	OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF:
10	Case Number: G-19-052263-A
	Kathleen June Jones, Department: B
11) Department. D
12	An Adult Protected Person.) Date of Hearing: 02/13/2020
	Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.
13	James of Hearing. Total arms
	RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S
14	ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS FILED 01/15/2020
,	
15	☐ TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP ☐ GENERAL GUARDIANSHIP
16	☐ Person ☐ Person
-	☐ Estate ☐ Summary Admin. ☐ Estate ☐ Summary Admin.
17	☐ Person and Estate ☐ Person and Estate
18	☐ SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP ☐ NOTICES / SAFEGUARDS
,	☐ Person ☐ Blocked Account
19	☐ Estate ☐ Summary Admin. ☐ Bond Posted
20	☐ Person and Estate ☐ Public Guardian Bond
-	
21	COMEG NOW BILLETING
	COMES NOW, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons (hereinafter "Robyn" and
22	"Danna") interested narroung and farmers towns are supplied. In the second state of th
,	"Donna"), interested persons and former temporary guardians, by and through the law firm,
23	Michaelson & Associates, Ltd., who respectfully submit to this Honorable Court this Response to
24	Whenaelson & Associates, Ltd., who respectivity submit to this frontiable Court this Response to
-	-1-
25	
	Case Number: G-19-052263-A
	·

Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorneys' Fees and Costs that the guardian, Kimberly Jones, filed on January 15, 2020 ("Petition for Fees"), and represents the following to this Honorable Court:

SUMMARY

- 1. The fees requested should be reduced by the amounts requested for work done that only benefitted Kimberly Jones, who was opposing the temporary guardianship, and did not benefit the protected person;
- 2. This Court may take into consideration that the filing of Kimberly Jones' Notice of Intent to Seek Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs from Guardianship Estate did not coincide with her first filing in the case under statute.
 - 3. The Court may choose to deny charges for Westlaw online legal research.

ANALYSIS UNDER GOVERNING LAW

- Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") 159.344 governs payment of attorney's fees and costs in guardianship proceedings.
- 5. NRS 159.344(1) makes Kimberly Jones personally liable for any attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of her retention of the law firm Solomon, Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. to represent her in this guardianship proceeding.
- 6. NRS 159.344(2) permits Kimberly Jones, who is personally liable for attorney's fees and costs, to petition this Court for payment of her attorney's fees and costs from the estate of the protected person, while also prohibiting payments from the protected person's estate "unless and until [this Court] authorizes payment pursuant to [NRS 159.344]."

-2-

7. NRS 159.344(3) required Kimberly Jones to file written notice of her intent to seek
payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate when she first appeared in the
guardianship proceeding. Kimberly Jones first appeared in this case on October 2, 2019 when she
filed her Opposition and Counter-Petition. Unfortunately, said Opposition and Counter-Petition
did not include written notice of Kimberly Jones' intent to seek payment of fees from the
guardianship estate. However, on January 15, 2020, Kimberly Jones' filed her Notice of Intent to
Seek Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs from Guardianship Estate that does provide the
information required under NRS 159.344(3)(a-d), but is still subject to this Court's approval after
a hearing under NRS 159.344(3)(e).

- 8. Kimberly Jones' Petition for Fees contains a detailed statement as to the nature and extent of the services performed pursuant to NRS 159.344(4)(a); each task is itemized and billed as required pursuant to NRS 159.344(4)(b); but no indication is made as to whether time could be divided for benefitting other clients pursuant to NRS 159.344(4)(c), although it may simply be the case that no time used could be so divided.
- 9. Under NRS 159.344(5)(b), this Court may consider the factor of whether the services conferred any actual benefit upon the protected person or attempted to advance the best interests of the protected person. This factor calls into question the billing entries by JPL and REE, on 12/19/2019 (x2), 12/20/2019 and 12/24/2019 where time was billed to prepare the Petition for Fees. Said Petition does not benefit the protected person and this Court should reduce the amount of fees accordingly by \$1,196.50.
- 10. Also under NRS 159.344(5)(b), although Kimberly Jones counter-petitioned to be appointed as guardian for the protected person and eventually agreed to serve as guardian at a

hearing, she did so very reluctantly and only after much argument where she opposed Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons' appropriate appointment as guardians. Kimberly Jones' actions in this case essentially served to oppose guardianship and unnecessarily extend the proceedings. Further, Kimberly's counter-petition did not allege any wrongdoing on the part of the temporary guardians. Robyn and Donna were fulfilling their statutory and fiduciary duties as guardians and nothing to the contrary was ever put before this court. Kimberly's counter-petition to remove Robyn and Donna as guardians did not confer a benefit upon the protected person because the protected person was already under the care of competent and suitable guardians and this Court should reduce her requested reimbursement accordingly.

11. Under NRS 159.344(5)(1), the Court may consider whether any action by the person or attorney delayed or hindered the efficient administration of the Estate. In this case, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons recognized that Ms. Jones had a need for the stability and protections of a guardian under the circumstances of this case and they repeatedly asked Kimberly Jones to petition the court for appointment as Ms. Jones' guardian. Kimberly refused to do so. In light of Kimberly's unwillingness to pursue guardianship of Ms. Jones, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons filed their own Petition for temporary and general guardianship on September 19, 2019 and were thereafter appointed as temporary guardians of Ms. Jones. Kimberly's Objection and Counter-Petition, her first filing in this matter, only occurred after a need for guardianship was recognized by this Court and after Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons were appointed as temporary guardians. Kimberly, as Ms. Jones' preferred guardian (as stated in her Last Will and Testament), should have been the original petitioner for guardianship. Her unwillingness to do so and her subsequent opposition to Robyn and Donna's petition only served

20

21

22

23

24

25

to delay and hinder the efficient administration of the Estate. Kimberly's tactics resulted in additional fees for all parties as well as a delay in having a general guardian appointed for Ms. Jones.

12. Under NRS 159.344(9), this Court may authorize a portion of each party's just, reasonable, and necessary fees and costs to be paid from the guardianship estate in the event that two or more parties in a guardianship proceeding file competing petitions for the appointment of a guardian. In her Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorney's Fees and Costs, Kimberly seeks payment for attorney's fees and cost which were incurred during the time that a valid guardianship was in place; a time period where individuals other than Kimberly had prevailed in getting a guardianship approved and were properly appointed as guardians. The Court should consider that, upon proper petition filed by the temporary guardians seeking reimbursement for their fees, any fees granted from the guardianship estate should be apportioned between the temporary guardians and Kimberly. In other words, the Court should prevent "double-dipping"; Kimberly should not be awarded fees during any time the temporary guardians were serving because the temporary guardians will seek reimbursement for fees incurred in their course of initiating this guardianship, acting as temporary guardians, and generally performed services to benefit Ms. Jones. The former temporary guardians intend to file a petition for their own attorney's fees, which they will agree shall not be paid until Ms. Jones has passed away, and then only if assets are left in her estate, in order to maximize the funds available to her for her care.

13. Under NRS 159.344(5)(j), this Court may consider the ability of the estate to pay, including (1) the value of the estate; nature, (2) the extent and liquidity of the assets of the estate; (3) the disposable net income of the protected person; (4) the anticipated future needs of the protected

person; and (5) any other foreseeable expenses. Under this factor, according to the Inventory, Appraisal and Record of Value on file herein, the total value of the estate is \$435,159.00. However, the vast majority of this value is not liquid, it is in real property in California that is providing a monthly rent to the protected person. A lesser portion of the value is in a Chevy Equinox that is jointly owned with Gerry Yeoman. Finally, only \$159.44 is held as liquid funds in the Bank of America account. With no Budget having been filed, it is difficult to assess the estate's ability to pay based on income. However, upon information and belief, the protected person's monthly social security income is approximately \$1,200 per month and her approximate rental income for the real property in California is \$1,500 per month, which is less than market value. Based upon these approximations, but without a definitive listing of the protected person's current monthly expenses, it does appear there is a lack of liquid assets to pay the requested attorney's fees.

14. NRS 159.344(8) allows Kimberly Jones payment for ordinary costs and expenses. It is this factor that calls into question the \$1,216.50 cost/expense for Westlaw online legal research. It does not appear that this cost/expense is ordinary, and this Court has discretion as to whether to order its payment from the guardianship estate.

15. Under NRS 159.344(5)(n), this Court may consider any other factors relevant in determining whether attorney's fees are just, reasonable and necessary, including whether Kimberly Jones acted in good faith and was actually pursuing the best interests of the protected person. It is this factor that provides the Court with discretion when weighing determining whether to award Kimberly Jones' requested fees and costs from the guardianship estate.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays:

-6-

1.	That this Court exercise its discretion regarding the time of the filing of Kimberly Jone	es
Notice	of Intent to Seek Fees;	

- 2. That this Court exercise its discretion as to whether to reduce the requested fees by the amounts charged to prepare Kimberly Jones' Petition for Fees;
- 3. That this Court exercise its discretion as to whether to reduce the fees requested in light of Kimberly Jones' reluctance to become her mother's much-needed guardian and her unnecessary actions and arguments that prolonged this litigation and unnecessary increased fees;
- 4. That this Court exercise its discretion in considering that fees may be apportioned between two parties who filed competing petitions for appointment of a guardian and reduce requested fees incurred during the time that others were serving as guardians;
- 5. That this Court exercise its discretion as to whether to reduce the costs/expenses charged by the amount included for Westlaw online legal research;
- 6. That this Court carefully examine the illiquid nature of the estate and the proposed protected person's limited monthly income before awarding the requested fees and cost; and
 - 7. That the Court order such other and further relief as it deems appropriate.

DATED: February 7, 2020.

MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

/s/Lora Caindec-Poland

John P.Michaelson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7822 Lora Caindec-Poland, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14178 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Ste. 160 Henderson, Nevada 89052

-7-

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5 and NEFCR 9, the undersigned hereby certifies that on February 7, 2020, a copy of the foregoing RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS FILED 01/15/2020 was e-served or mailed by USPS regular mail, postage prepaid, in a sealed envelope in Henderson, Nevada to the following individuals and entities at the following addresses:

Kathleen June Jones	Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq.
6277 Kraft Avenue	mparra@lacsn.org
Las Vegas, Nevada 89130	
	Alexa Reanos
	areanos@lacsn.org
Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.	Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.
matt@piccololawoffices.com	TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com
Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq.	Teri Butler
Ross E. Evans, Esq.	586 N. Magdelena Street
jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com	Dewey, AZ 86327
revans@sdfnvlaw.com	
7	2 2
Jen Adamo	Scott Simmons
14 Edgewater Drive	1054 S. Verde Street
Magnolia, DE 19962	Anaheim, CA 92805
Ti'cc on 1	
Tiffany O'Neal	Courtney Simmons
177 N. Singingwood Street, Unit 13	765 Kimbark Avenue
Orange, CA 92869	San Bernardino, CA 92407
136	D CM/10 10 4. G
Ampersand Man	Division of Welfare and Supportive Services
2824 High Sail Court	Medicaid Chief Eligibility and Payments
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117	1470 College Parkway
	Carson City, Nevada 89706

James Beckstrom	LaChasity Carroll
jbeckstrom@maclaw.com	lcarroll@nvcourts.nv.gov
Cheryl Becnel	Sonia Jones
cbecnel@maclaw.com	sjones@nvcourts.nv.gov
David C Johnson	Kate McCloskey
dcj@johnsonlegal.com	NVGCO@nvcourts.nv.gov
Geraldine Tomich	
gtomich@maclaw.com	
	MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LT
	<u>/s/Lora Caindec-Poland</u> Employee of Michaelson & Associates
	Employee of whenacison & Associates
	-9-
	-7-

Electronically Filed 2/11/2020 1:50 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	OBJ	Denn P.
2	Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 13736 mparra@lacsn.org	
3	LEGAL AID CENTER OF	
4	SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.	
	725 E. Charleston Blvd.	
5	Las Vegas, NV 89104	
6	Telephone: (702) 386-1526 Facsimile: (702) 386-1526	
7	Attorney for Kathleen June Jones, Adult Protecte	d Person
8	Thorney for Rumeen same sones, riam i rocece	u i crson
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL 1	DISTRICT COURT
9	FAMILY D	IVISION
10	CLARK COUNT	ΓY, NEVADA
	In the Matter of Guardianship of the Person and	Case No.: G-19-052263-A
11	Estate of:	Dept. No.: B
12	KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,	
13	Adult Protected Person.	
14		
15	PROTECTED PERSON'S OBJECTION	TO PETITION FOR PAVMENT OF
	GUARDIAN'S ATTORNE	
16		
17	Kathleen June Jones ("June"), the protect	ed person herein, by and through her counsel,
18	Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq., hereby objects	to the Petition for Payment of Guardian's
19	Attorney's Fees and Costs, filed by Kimberly Jo	nes, ("Guardian"), the guardian herein. June's
20	objection is based upon and supported by the follo	owing Memorandum of Points and Authorities,
21	the pleadings and papers on file in this case, and the	ne argument of counsel as allowed by the Court
22	at the time of hearing.	
23		
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28		

Page 1 of 14

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

1

3

4 5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

⁴ See NRS 159.344(3). ⁵ See NRS 159.344(3)-(4).

1 See NRS 159.344(1). ² See NRS 159.344(2).

³ See NRS 159.344(3).

A. Guardian failed to provide timely notice of intent to seek attorney's fees in the guardianship case and is therefore not entitled to any fees from the guardianship estate.

- 1. Pursuant to NRS 159.344(1), any person who retains an attorney in a guardianship case proceeding is personally liable for any attorney's fees and costs incurred in the course of such representation. A person may petition the court to have these fees and costs paid from the guardianship estate.² Pursuant to NRS 159.344(3), "When a person who intends to petition the court for payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate first appears in the guardianship proceeding, the person must file written notice of his or her intent to seek payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate." Section three clearly requires that any person who intends to seek payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate must file a written notice of such intent when that person first appears in the guardianship proceedings. The written notice of intent:
 - (a) Must provide a general explanation of the compensation arrangement and how compensation will be computed;
 - (b) Must include the hourly billing rates of all timekeepers, including, without limitation, attorneys, law clerks and paralegals;
 - (c) Must provide a general explanation of the reasons why the services of the attorney are necessary to further the best interests of the ward;
 - (d) Must be served by the person on all persons entitled to notice pursuant to NRS 159.034 and 159.047; and
 - (e) Is subject to approval by the court after a hearing.⁴

No petition for attorney's fees and costs may be submitted in a guardianship case unless such written notice was filed by the person seeking fees and approved by the court.⁵ NRS 159.344 (4) clearly states: "If written notice was filed and approved by the court pursuant to

Page 2 of 14

subsection (3), a person may file with the court a petition requesting payment of attorney fees and costs from the guardianship estate."

- 2. Here, the guardian *first* appeared in this case upon filing the Opposition to Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate; Alternatively, Counter-Petition for Appointment of Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate.⁷ This is the first pleading the guardian filed on October 2, 2019. There is no written notice filed before this pleading, and neither did the guardian include with the first pleading a written notice of intent to seek payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate. Because the guardian did not follow the statutory requirements regarding providing the Court with a written notice, Mr. Jeffrey P. Luszeck and his law firm should not be able to recover from the guardianship estate. As a result, Kimberly Jones is personally liable for her own attorney's fees and costs. NRS 159.344 (2) is also clear that "[a]ny such attorney's fees and costs must not be paid from the guardianship estate unless and until the court authorizes the payment pursuant to this section." This means that NRS 159.344 must be followed in its entirety. An attorney must file a written notice of intent to seek fees from the guardianship estate when he or she first appears on the guardianship proceeding. The guardian did not comply with this requirement.
- 3. On January 15, 2020 at 10:21 a.m., more than three months after first appearing on this matter, the guardian filed the Notice of Intent to Seek Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs from the Guardianship Estate. That same day, the guardian filed the Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Additionally, the petition states, "No objection was filed by any interested person to the Notice of Intent to Seek Payment of Guardian's Attorneys'

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

^{25 || 6} See NRS 159.344(4).

⁷ See Opposition to Exparte Petition for Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate; Alternatively, Counter-Petition for Appointment of Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate, filed October 2, 2019.

⁸ See Notice of Intent to Seek Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs From Guardianship Estate, filed January 15, 2020.

⁹ See Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorneys' Fees and Costs, filed January 15, 2020. Page 3 of 14

the Court and to the protected person's attorney of vital information regarding his compensation arrangement and hourly billing rates. These are statutory provisions meant to protect the protected person since the protected person had no say regarding who the guardian contracted with to represent her. As such, the guardian should remain *personally liable* to Mr. Luszeck for her own attorneys' fees and costs.

4. While Mr. Luszeck alleges that his fees are reasonable and that his work was done in a "very small timeframe," attorneys are supposed to stay on top of legal changes in the types

Fees and Costs from the Guardianship Estate." ¹⁰ A failure to object to the Notice of Intent to

Seek Payment does not equate with its approval. Mr. Luszeck should not be authorized to be

paid from the guardianship estate as a result of not complying with the statutes correctly.

Providing a written notice after appearing on the case defeats the purpose of providing notice to

- 4. While Mr. Luszeck alleges that his fees are reasonable and that his work was done in a "very small timeframe," attorneys are supposed to stay on top of legal changes in the types of law their law firms practice in. The written notice should have been filed *timely* if attorney fees and costs were going to be sought from the guardianship estate. If Mr. Luszeck filed a 36-page opposition as the guardian's *first* pleading "in a very small timeframe," he could have easily filed a two or three-page written notice of intent to seek fees from the guardianship estate at the same time, with the necessary information required under NRS 159.344(3).
- 5. Although there is no question about it—Kimberly Jones was the prevailing party at the October 15, 2019 Citation Hearing, the guardian should remain personally liable to Mr. Luszeck under NRS 159.344(1) due to noncompliance with NRS 159.344(3).
 - B. In the alternative, if any fees are to be paid from the guardianship estate, the fees should be significantly reduced. The billing entries filed are largely redacted and/or lacking clarity and thus do not allow the protected person's attorney or this Court to analyze and determine whether the task actually benefited the protected person.
- 6. Pursuant to NRS 159.344(5)(b), in determining whether attorney's fees are just, reasonable and necessary, the court may consider: "Whether the services conferred any actual

¹⁰ *Id.*, at p. 7.

benefit upon the ward or attempted to advance the best interests of the ward." The following billing entries are either redacted or too general and vague to analyze whether they provided an actual benefit to June. As such, if any fees are to be paid at all from the guardianship estate, the total should be reduced. Undersigned counsel was unable to evaluate and analyze \$7,416.00 worth of billing entries attached to Guardian's Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Without any way to evaluate these, a reduction of \$7,416.00 would be justified based on each objection made for each entry.

Date	Tmkr	Rate (\$)	Time	Amount		Description	Objection
						Prepare for and	
						participate in conference	
0.4.5.5.0.4.0			0.5			with David Johnson and	NRS
9/16/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$	255.00	potential client.	159.344(5)(b)
0/40/5040						Evaluate and respond to	NRS
9/19/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$	85.00	correspondence.	159.344(5)(b)
						Evaluate and respond to	3.TD 0
0/20/2010	IDI	40.5	0.2	Ф	107.50	numerous	NRS
9/20/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$	127.50	correspondence.	159.344(5)(b)
0/22/2010	IDI	405	0.1	Ф	40.50	Telephone conference	NRS
9/23/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	with	159.344(5)(b)
						Evaluate and respond to	NDC
0/22/2010	IDI	405	0.1	Ф	40.50	correspondence from	NRS
9/23/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	David Johnson	159.344(5)(b)
0/22/2010	IDI	405	0.1	Ф	40.50	Telephone conference	NRS
9/23/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	with Dean Loggins	159.344(5)(b)
0/24/2010	JPL	125	0.2	\$	127.50	Telephone conference with	NRS
9/24/2019	JPL	425	0.3	Э	127.50	Communicate with	159.344(5)(b) NRS
0/25/2010	IDI	125	0.1	\$	42.50	Communicate with	
9/25/2019	JPL	425	0.1	Э	42.50	D f 1 -44 1	159.344(5)(b)
						Prepare for and attend conference with	NRS
9/30/2019	JPL	425	0.4	\$	170.00	conference with	
9/30/2019	JIL	423	0.4	Ф	1/0.00	Telephone conference	159.344(5)(b) NRS
9/30/2019	JPL	425	0.4	\$	170.00	with same	159.344(5)(b)
7/30/2017	JIL	723	0.4	Ψ	170.00	with same	NRS
							159.344(5)(b) &
							Under NRS
							159.344
						Confer with Ross E.	(6)(a)(internal
9/30/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	Evans regarding same.	business activity)
7,50,2017	U1 L	123	0.1	Ψ	12.50	Telephone conference	Under NRS
10/1/2019	JPL	425	0.4	\$	170.00	with John Michaelson	159.344(6)(b), no
13/1/2017	1 -1 -2	123	V. I	Ψ	170.00		127.511(0)(0); 11

¹¹ See NRS 159.344 (5)(b)

Page 5 of 14

	 	l	l I				and Maria from Nevada	award is to be
1							Legal Services.	made for time that
2							8	is block-billed.
2							Evaluate numerous	NRS
3	10/1/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$	85.00	correspondence	159.344(5)(b)
	10/1/2019	JPL?	285	1	\$	285.00	Meeting with	NRS 159.344(5)(b)
4	10/1/2019	JI L.	263	1	Ф	265.00	Evaluate and respond to	139.344(3)(0)
5							numerous	NRS
	10/2/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$	85.00	correspondence.	159.344(5)(b)
6							Review	NRS
7	10/2/2019	REE	285	0.5	\$	142.50	Opposition	159.344(5)(b)
′	10/2/2019	REE	285	1	\$	285.00	Conference with	NRS 159.344(5)(b)
8	10/2/2019	KEE	203	1	Ψ	203.00		NRS
9								159.344(5)(b) &
9								Under NRS
10								159.344(6)(b), no
							Conference with Michaelson 2x regarding	award is to be made for time that
11	10/2/2019	REE	285	0.8	\$	228.00	Whichaelson 2x regarding	is block-billed.
12	10/2/2019	TCLL	203	0.0	Ψ	220.00		NRS
								159.344(5)(b) &
13								Under NRS
14							Conference with Jeffrey	159.344
17	10/2/2019	REE	285	0.3	\$	85.50	P. Luszeck to	(6)(a)(internal business activity)
15	10/2/2019	KEE	203	0.5	Ψ	65.50		NRS
1.6								159.344(5)(b) &
16								Under NRS
17								159.344(6)(b), no
							Numerous conference	award is to be made for time that
18	10/3/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$	255.00	calls	is block-billed.
19	10/3/2019	JIL	123	0.0	Ψ	233.00	Cuits	NRS
								159.344(5)(b) &
20								Under NRS
21							C	159.344
21	10/3/2019	REE	285	0.2	\$	57.00	Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck	(6)(a)(internal business activity)
22	10/3/2019	KLL	203	0.2	Ψ	37.00	Meeting with clients and	ousiness activity)
22							counsel	
23								
24	10/3/2019	REE	285	0.6	\$	171.00		NID C
								NRS 159.344(5)(b) &
25								Under NRS
26							Conference with Jeffrey	159.344
							P. Luszeck regarding	(6)(a)(internal
27	10/3/2019	REE	285	0.3	\$	85.50	hearing outcome	business activity)

				ı	ī			
$_{1}\parallel$								NRS
1								159.344(5)(b) & Under NRS
2							Confer with Ross E.	159.344
3							Evans and	(6)(a)(internal
ا ا	10/4/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$	127.50		business activity)
4							Evaluate numerous	NIDG
5	10/7/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	correspondence from prior week.	NRS 159.344(5)(b)
3	10/ // 2019	31 L	123	0.1	Ψ	12.50	prior week.	NRS
6								159.344(5)(b) &
7								Under NRS
/							Confer with Ross E.	159.344 (6)(a)(internal
8	10/7/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	Evans regarding same.	business activity)
			-				8 8	NRS
9								159.344(5)(b) &
10							D f 1	Under NRS
.							Prepare for and participate in conference	159.344(6)(b), no award is to be
11							with client and John	made for time that
12	10/9/2019	JPL	425	1.5	\$	637.50	Michaelson.	is block-billed.
.								NRS
13								159.344(5)(b) & Under NRS
14								159.344(6)(b), no
							Evaluate and respond to	award is to be
15	10/0/2010	IDI	40.5	0.0	ф	240.00	numerous	made for time that
16	10/9/2019	JPL	425	0.8	\$	340.00	correspondence.	is block-billed. NRS
								159.344(5)(b) &
17							Evaluate questionnaire	Under NRS
18							responses (x2).	159.344(6)(b), no
							Numerous telephone conferences with client	award is to be made for time that
19	10/10/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$	255.00	regarding same.	is block-billed.
20	10/10/2019	31 L	123	0.0	Ψ	233.00	regarding burne.	NRS
								159.344(5)(b) &
21								Under NRS
22							Confer with Jeffrey P. Luszeck regarding	159.344 (6)(a)(internal
	10/11/2019	JDC	95	0.3	\$	28.50	Luszeck regarding	business activity)
23								NRS
24								159.344(5)(b) &
								Under NRS 159.344(6)(b), no
25							conduct legal research	award is to be
26							draft	made for time that
	10/11/2019	JDC	95	1.7	\$	161.50	memorandum of same	is block-billed.
27							Conduct local man	NRS
28							Conduct legal research regarding	159.344(5)(b) & this task should
20	10/15/2019	JPL	425	0.7	\$	297.50		have been
	-				F	Page 7 of	14	

					_			
1								delegated to an
1								associate, to be billed at an
2								associate rate.
3							Evaluate and respond to	NRS
3	10/16/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$	85.00	correspondence.	159.344(5)(b)
4					_	0 = 00	Evaluate and respond to	NRS
_	10/17/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$	85.00	correspondence	159.344(5)(b) NRS
5								159.344(5)(b) &
6								Under NRS
_								159.344(6)(b), no
7							Evaluate and respond to	award is to be
8	10/18/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$	127.50	numerous correspondence.	made for time that is block-billed.
	10/10/2019	31 L	123	0.5	Ψ	127.50	correspondence.	NRS
9								159.344(5)(b) &
10							Evaluate and respond to	Under NRS
							correspondence. Confer with Ross E. Evans	159.344 (6)(a)(internal
11	10/23/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	regarding same.	business activity
12							5 5	NRS
10								159.344(5)(b) &
13							Evaluate and respond to	Under NRS 159.344(6)(b), no
14							numerous	award is to be
							correspondence from	made for time that
15	10/30/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$	127.50	counsel.	is block-billed.
16								NRS
								159.344(5)(b) & Under NRS
17								159.344(6)(b), no
18								award is to be
							Evaluate and respond to	made for time that is block-billed &
19							correspondence from	Under NRS
20							David Johnson. Confer	159.344
							with Ross E. Evans	(6)(a)(internal
21	11/5/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$	127.50	regarding same.	business activity
22								NRS 159.344(5)(b) &
								Under NRS
23							Confer with Ross E.	159.344
24	11/7/2010	IDI	425	0.1	d.	12.50	Evans regarding	(6)(a)(internal
	11/7/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	publication. Conference with	business activity) NRS
25	11/7/2019	REE	285	0.3	\$	85.50	client	159.344(5)(b)
26					Ť			NRS
								159.344(5)(b) &
27								Under NRS 159.344
28							Confer with Ross E.	(6)(a)(internal
	11/13/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	Evans regarding same.	business activity
					F	Page 8 of	14	

			l I	ı			Evaluate and respond to	NRS
1	11/15/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	correspondence.	159.344(5)(b)
2								NRS
								159.344(5)(b) & Under NRS
3								159.344(6)(b), no
4								award is to be
								made for time that
5							Comfomon oo vyith Tv	is block-billed, & Under NRS
6							Conference with Ty Kehoe regarding status;	159.344
							Conference with Jeffrey	(6)(a)(internal
7	11/22/2019	REE	285	0.2	\$	57.00	P. Luszeck.	business activity)
8							Evaluate email and	NDC
	11/29/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$	85.00	declaration attached thereto.	NRS 159.344(5)(b)
9	11/2//2017	J1 L	723	0.2	Ψ	05.00	Evaluate	NRS
10	12/3/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	correspondence.	159.344(5)(b)
10							Evaluate and respond to	NRS
11	12/5/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$	85.00	correspondence.	159.344(5)(b) NRS
12	12/9/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	Evaluate and respond to correspondence.	NRS 159.344(5)(b)
12	12/9/2019	31 L	123	0.1	Ψ	12.50	Evaluate and respond to	NRS
13	12/18/2019	JPL	425	0.2	\$	85.00	correspondence.	159.344(5)(b)
14								NRS
14								159.344(5)(b) & Under NRS
15								159.344(6)(b), no
1.6								award is to be
16								made for time that
17							C C :4 I CC	is block-billed, &
10							Conference with Jeffrey P. Lszeck regarding	Under NRS 159.344
18							Petition for fees and	(6)(a)(internal
19	12/19/2019	REE	285	2.6	\$	741.00	draft petition for fees.	business activity)
•								Under NRS
20							Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding petition	159.344 (6)(a)(internal
21	12/19/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	for fees.	business activity)
22								NRS
22								159.344(5)(b) & Under NRS
23								159.344(5)(i),
24								time spent on task
∠ 4								is unreasonable
25								after spending close to 2.6 hours
26								drafting petition,
26								where the bulk of
27							Revise petition for	the writing is in
20	12/20/2010	REE	205	1 2	¢	270.50	reimbursement of	about 9 pages; the rest is the exhibit.
28	12/20/2019	KEE	285	1.3	\$	370.50	attorney fees to Guardian	rest is the exhibit.

Page 9 of 14

1 2	12/24/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$	42.50	Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding matter.	Under NRS 159.344 (6)(a)(internal business activity)			
3	12/24/2019	JFL	423	0.1		7,416.00	Total problematic entries	business activity)			
4					Ф	7,410.00	entries				
5	7.	Addit	ionally, Ju	ıne obj	ects	to the fe	ollowing listed billable	costs, as Guardian			
6	should explain how the expense actually benefited the protected person. On 10/31/2019, there is										
7	a cost of \$1	,216.50	for "Wes	tlaw 01	nline	e legal re	search." This expense w	vas made after the			
8	guardianship) was gr	anted in fa	avor of	the	guardian	. On 12/06/2019, there is	s a \$90.00 cost for			
9							" and on 12/09/2019, the				
10						• ()	dianship (x30)." Typical				
11 12			•				t on 12/05/2019, the gu	•			
13			•		•		, ,	•			
14			•				ianship for \$25.00. Wit				
15	explanation	on how	this expen	se bene	fited	d June, an	d if any fees and costs ar	e to come from the			
16	guardianship	estate,	a further re	eduction	ı of	\$1,366.50) is warranted, for a total j	proposed reduction			
17	of \$8,782.50										
18	1						e paid from the guardia June's guardianship es	•			
19	8.						etermining whether attor				
20					`	, 3,,					
21					y co	onsider Th	ne ability of the estate of the	ne protected person			
22	to pay, inclu	ding, wi	thout limit	ation:							
23			the estate; xtent and l		v of	the assets	of the estate;				
24	(3) The c	disposab	le net inco	me of t	he e	estate;	l person; and				
25			reseeable e			protected	, person, and				
26 27											
20											

¹² See NRS 159.344(5)(j).

Based on the filed Inventory, Appraisal and Record of Value filed on December 13, 2019, June's 1 estate is largely in the form of real estate, located in Anaheim, California. 13 Of the total 2 guardianship estate value, \$435,159, the current value of the Anaheim property is listed as 3 4 \$428,000.00.14 This property provides June with a monthly rental income along with her social 5 security income. Because a current budget has not been filed, June's monthly income and 6 expenses for her care are unknown. June's 2018 Chevy Equinox is her next largest asset of value 7 where she holds a community interest of \$7,000. Finally, her Bank of America Account lists a 8 value of \$159.44. Currently, based just on this filed information, there is a lack of liquid assets 9 to pay any of the guardian's attorneys' fees and costs. June respectfully requests for a Budget to 10 11 be filed. 12 13 /// 14 /// 15 /// 16 /// 17 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 25 /// 26 /// 27 28 ¹³ See Inventory, Appraisal and Record of Value, filed December 13, 2019.

Page 11 of 14

D. Conclusion

Based upon the foregoing, June asks the Court to *deny all* requested attorney's fees and costs based on the Guardian's failure to provide *timely* notice of intent to seek attorney's fees in the guardianship case. The guardian should be held personally liable for her own attorneys' fees and costs. In the alternative, if this Court determines that the guardian's attorneys' fees and costs are to come from the guardianship estate, June requests for this matter to be taken under advisement and fees and costs *reduced* consistent with the stated proposed reductions. Finally, because there are no liquid assets available, except for June's daily care, it is respectfully requested that these fees and costs be deferred until June passes.

DATED this 11th day of February, 2020.

LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.

/s/ Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq.
Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 13736
725 E. Charleston Blvd
Las Vegas, NV 89104
Telephone: (702) 386-1526
Facsimile: (702) 386-1526

mparra@lacsn.org

Attorney for Adult Protected Person Kathleen

June Jones

Page 12 of 14

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 11th day of February 2020, I deposited in the United 2 States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of the foregoing document entitled PROTECTED 3 4 PERSON'S OBJECTION TO PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S 5 ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS in a sealed envelope, mailed regular U.S. mail, upon 6 which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to the following: 7 Teri Butler Tiffany O'Neal 8 586 N. Magdelena Street 177 N. Singingwood Street, Unit 13 Dewey, AZ 86327 Orange, CA 92869 Jen Adamo **Courtney Simmons** 10 14 Edgewater Drive 765 Kimbark Avenue 11 Magnolia, DE 19962 San Bernardino, CA 92407 12 **Scott Simmons** Ampersand Man 1054 S. Verde Street 2824 High Sail Court 13 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Anaheim, CA 92805 14 Division of Welfare and Supportive Services 15 Medicaid Chief Eligibility and Payments 1470 College Parkway 16 Carson City, NV 89706 17 AND I FURTHER CERTIFY that on the same date I electronically served the same 18 document to the following via ODYSSEY, the Court's electronic filing system, pursuant to 19 EDCR 8.05: 20 21 Jeffrey Luszeck, Esq Ross Evans, Esq. iluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com revans@sdfnvlaw.com 22 Attorneys for Guardian 23 James Beckstrom John Michaelson, Esq. jbecstrom@maclaw.com john@michaelsonlaw.com 24 Attorney for Guardian 25 Lora Caindec-Poland Ty Kehoe, Esq. 26 TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com lora@michaelsonlaw.com Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna 27 Simmons

	N. 1. 7: 1. 7:	
1	Matthew Piccolo, Esq. matt@piccololawoffices.com	Cheryl Becnel
2	Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	ebecnel@maclaw.com
3	David C. Johnson dcj@johnsonlegal.com	Geraldine Tomich Gtomich@maclaw.com
4		
5	LaChasity Carroll lcarroll@nvcourts.nv.gov	Sonia Jones sjones@nvcourts.nv.gov
6	Kate McCloskey	
7	NVGCO@nvcourts.nv.gov	
8		
9		
10	/ <u>s/Al</u> Emp	lexa Reanos Ployee of Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada
11		, ,
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	F	Page 14 of 14

Electronically Filed 2/12/2020 2:08 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

COURT CODE: BUDG
Your Name: Kimberly Jones
Address: 9060 W. Cheyenne Ave
City, State, Zip: Las Vegas, NV 89129
Telephone: 702-853-5483
Email Address: revans@sdfnvlaw.com
Self-Represented

DISTRICT COURT			
CLARK	COUNTY, NEVADA		

In the Matter of the Guardianship of the: ☐ Person ☐ Estate ☑ Person and Estate	CASE NO.: <u>G-19-052263-A</u> DEPT: <u>B</u>
of:	
KATHLEEN JUNE JONES (name of person who needs a guardian) A Proposed Protected Person.	

MONTHLY BUDGET

The Proposed Guardian(s) submit the following monthly budget for the proposed protected person.

Protected Person's Monthly Income (write "0" for any income the person does not have)			
Wages from Employment (before taxes)	\$	0.00	
Unemployment Benefits	\$	0.00	
Social Security	\$	1,536.00	
Veteran's Affairs	\$	0.00	
Retirement / Pension	\$	0.00	
Interest / Dividends	\$	0.00	
Rental Income	\$	1,200.00	
Mandatory Trust Distributions	\$	0.00	
Discretionary Trust Distributions	\$	0.00	
Other:	. \$	0.00	
TOTAL MONTHLY INCOME	\$	2,736.00	

© 2018 Nevada Supreme Court

Page 1 of 4 - Monthly Budget

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Monthly Expenses (write "0" for any expense the person	n does not have)
Housing	
Rent / Mortgage	\$ 985.15
Facility (room and board, patient liability)	\$ 0
Homeowner's/Rental Insurance	\$ 101 + 0
Property Taxes	\$ 01.69
Home Maintenance (yard, pool, housecleaning, etc.)	\$ 84.00
HOA Dues	\$ 40.00
Utilities (electricity, gas, phone, sewer/water, other utilities)	\$ 278.00
Transportation Is the Protected Person Able to Drive? Yes No If no, who is the primary driver? Nimberly Jones	3 278.00
Car Payment	s 0
Insurance	\$ 0
Gas	\$ 150.00
Maintenance	\$ 0
Public Transportation	\$ 0
Groceries	\$ 300
Dining Out	\$ 50
Personal Hygiene (toiletries, haircuts, etc.)	\$ 50
Household Supplies	\$ N
Medical Expenses (including health insurance)	\$ 250.00
Dental Expenses	\$ 100.00
Caregiving Services	\$ 0
Travel / Entertainment	\$ 200.80
Gifts	\$ 1
Charitable Giving	\$ 0
Taxes	\$ 0
Accountant Fees	\$ 0
Child Support / Alimony paid	\$ D
	บ บ

© 2018 Nevada Supreme Court

Page 2 of 4 - Monthly Budget

Bank Fees	8 O
*Guardian / Attorney Fees (see worksheet below)	\$ 0
Other:	\$
TOTAL MONTHLY EXPENSES	\$ 0

]	Projected Monthl	y Gua	rdianship Fees	
	Hourly Rate		Estimated Hours Per Month	Monthly Expense
Guardian's Fees:	\$	X	=	\$ 0
Attorney's Fees	\$	X	=	\$ 0
TOTAL MONTHLY GUARDIANSHIP EXPENSES				\$ 0

TOTALS	PART CONTROL OF THE PART OF TH
TOTAL MONTHLY INCOME	\$ 2 73(4,00
TOTAL MONTHLY EXPENSES	- \$ 2.588 84
DIFFERENCE (income – expenses)	= \$ 147.16 *

If this is a positive (+) number, sign and date page 4.
If this is a negative (-) number, complete all of the remaining sections.

1.	If t	If the monthly income is not enough to cover the monthly expenses, explain how long							
	the	shortfall	can b	e maintained	in relation	to the	protected	person's l	ife expectancy
						·			
									
			•						
							·		

© 2018 Nevada Supreme Court

Page 3 of 4 - Monthly Budget

2.	Will assets need to be sold or liquidated to pay the proposed protected person's monthly
	expenses?
	If yes, list the assets that may need to be sold or liquidated to pay the monthly expenses:
	(COURT APPROVAL IS NEEDED TO SELL OR LIQUIDATE ANY ASSETS):

Asset Description	Value
	\$
	\$
	\$
	\$
TOTAL VALUE	\$

	If these assets are sold / (number)		will they cover the monthly budget expenses?
pro			s a true and accurate representation of the reces of income and monthly expenses.
	DATED (month) Fe	purch	(day) <u>\1</u> , 20 <u>20</u> .
	imberly 5. Jones (First Poposed Guardian		(Second Proposed Guardian's Signature)
1-3	(Printed Name	e)	(Printed Name)

© 2018 Nevada Supreme Court

Page 4 of 4 - Monthly Budget

Electronically Filed 2/12/2020 2:18 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT**

1 JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESO., Bar No. 09619 jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com 2 ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ., Bar No. 11374 revans@sdfnvlaw.com SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Telephone: (702) 853-5483 5 Facsimile: (702) 853-5485 6 Attorneys for Respondent Kimberly Jones

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE Case No.: G-19-052263-A GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND Dept.: ESTATE OF: KATHLEEN JUNE JONES

An Adult Protected Person.

OMNIBUS REPLY TO THE RESPONSE AND OBJECTION TO THE PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

Kimberly Jones ("Kim"), by and through her counsel of record, Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq., and Ross E. Evans, Esq., of the law firm Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., submits the foregoing Omnibus Reply to the Response and Objection to the Petition for Attorney Fees.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

- 1. On January 15, 2020, Kim as Guardian of the Person and Estate filed her Petition for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, seeking reimbursement of \$21,031.35 in attorneys' fees and \$1,684.85 in costs related to this matter.
- On February 7, 2020, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons filed their Response 2. to the Petition for Attorneys' fees. This Response was untimely under EDCR 2.20(e), which requires filing of an opposition within 10 days after service of the motion.
- 3. On February 11, 2020, Court-appointed counsel for the protected person, the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc., filed the Protected Person's Objection to the Petition for Attorneys' Fees and Costs. This Objection was likewise untimely under EDCR 2.20(e).

1 of 7

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

9060 WEST CHEYENNE AVENUE I LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89129 TELEPHONE [702] 853-5483 FACSIMITE [702] 835-5485 WWW SDENVLAW, COM

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 5. The Court should excuse the Guardian's failure to timely file the NRS 159.344 Notice of Intent to Seek Fees at the onset of this case. Here, it was understood that Kim would be seeking reimbursement of her fees from the Guardianship Estate, if and once the Estate has sufficient resources to provide for both the care and support of the protected person as well as reimburse the Guardian for the expense. Indeed, in her initial filing, Kim asserted that she had "...resigned from her job so as to relocate to live with her mother to provide around-the-clock care for her." Respondents (Kim's sisters), knew this as they knew she was providing in home care for her mother, and Kim had discussed this with the Respondents. Had Kim filed the Notice of Intent to Seek Fees earlier in her Opposition and Counter-Petition, none of the Parties would have objected. Indeed, the Respondents filed their own Notice of Intent to Seek Fees in their Ex **Parte** Petition to be appointed as the temporary Guardians. Respondents only object to Kim's failure to file the Notice of Intent earlier because it is low hanging fruit.
- Once Petitioner's counsel discovered the error in failing to file the standard NRS 159.344 notice, which is ordinarily set forth in counsel's form for a Petition for Guardianship, Petitioner's counsel immediately filed the same. Still, no party has objected to Kim's retention of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., nor to Kim generally giving notice that she intends to seek reimbursement of her attorneys' fees from the Protected Person's estate once sufficient assets become available and going forward. Indeed, all of the parties have counsel, and it was not unanticipated that Kim would seek reimbursement of her attorneys' fees at some point in the

See, Opposition and Counter-Petition, filed October 2, 2019, at p. 2, 11, 20-21.

See, Ex-Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian, dated September 19, 2019, at

future.

7. Moreover, Respondents misstate the relevant events which transpired relating to the establishment of the Guardianship. Respondents, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons, Kim's sisters, initially filed for Guardianship on an *ex-parte* basis, alleging that the Protected Person was not able to respond to a threat of financial or physical harm. This Court granted the temporary Guardianship on that basis and appointed Respondents as temporary guardians without any notice to any of the interested parties. At that point, it was incumbent upon Kim to seek a dismissal of the temporary guardianship or alternatively to have herself appointed as Guardian, consistent with her mother's wishes.

- 8. In fact, as Kim initially demonstrated in her Opposition and Counter-Petition, the alleged threat of financial harm upon which the Respondents' ex parte application was based, had already occurred over a year earlier when the Protected Persons' spouse and son-in-law sold and acquired June's interest in real property. Indeed, at the time of the Respondents' filing for temporary guardianship, Petitioner had already obtained an attorney and was negotiating with the attorney for the Protected Person's spouse and son-in-law to return this property. Respondents had their own attorney who was also participating in this process. In addition, Petitioner was already personally providing in-home care and support for the Protected Person. As the Petitioner was the Protected Person's attorney-in-fact under a previously established power of attorney, there was no current threat of financial or physical harm. Respondents' moved for temporary guardianship on the sole basis that they could take over control of their mother from Kim, to do things their way. As Temporary Guardians, however, Respondents still relied upon Kim to provide caregiving for the Protected Person which Kim continued to do.
- 9. Accordingly, due to Respondents' errant filings, Kim was reluctantly forced to respond and Counter-Petition for Guardianship as the Protected Person's preferred Guardian. Kim desired to avoid Guardianship <u>only</u> because Guardianship was not necessary given Kim's active role as attorney-in-fact. Indeed, at the time of the *ex parte* Guardianship filing by Respondents, Kim and her counsel were already investigating and exploring settlement options

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

with the Protected Person's spouse and son-in-law, and Kim was ready to file a civil case for elder abuse and return of the property if the settlement negotiations hroke-down. Kim was hesitant to initiate a guardianship for the sole basis that it would cause additional unnecessary costs to accomplish the same end goal of seeking a return of the Protected Person's property.

- 10. As this Court is aware, Kim has since filed a civil action against the Protected Person's spouse and son-in-law for return of the ill-gotten property. Indeed, Respondents' errant rush to file for Guardianship has only interjected delay and undue expense into this private family matter. Kim should not be punished with the legal fees associated with having to respond to Respondents filings only to enforce her mother's wishes that Kim he the Guardian. While the Court maintained the Guardianship over Kim's objections, Kim was successful in becoming appointed as the Guardian which is what the Protected Person desired.
- 11. In fact, at the initial hearing before this Court on October 3, 2019, this Court heard from the Protected Person's counsel that she wanted her daughter, Kim. to be appointed as Guardian. Other family members present at the hearing echoed that Kim should be the Guardian.
- 12. Kim should be awarded reimbursement of her attorneys' fees for seeking guardianship, because she was involuntarily thrust into these proceedings by her sisters, the Respondents, having filed for and obtained temporary guardianship on an ex parte basis despite the Protected Person's stated intent that Kim be her Guardian. Kim had to resign her job to move to Nevada to take care of her mom. Kim had to obtain counsel to respond to refute the improper allegations made by Respondents' ex parte filings that initiated the Guardianship. Kim had to obtain counsel to enforce her mother's wishes that she, not Respondents, be appointed as Guardian.
- 13. Kim's retention of counsel, however, has served the Protected Person's best interests. Kim's counsel participated in hearings related to where the Protected Person should reside, visitation with her spouse, and return of her property. Kim's counsel has always argued for the best interests of the Protected Person.
 - 14. Petitioner understands Respondents and the Protected Person's concerns about

resources to reimburse attorneys' fees. Here, the Protected Person currently receives approximately \$2,700 in monthly income which goes almost entirely to the Protected Person's costs of care. A copy of the Budget is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

- 15. Petitioner understands that the Protected Person owns real estate in California which is being rented to the Protected Person's son at a below market rate. Petitioner intends to re-let the property at a market rental rate which will bring in additional income to the Protected Person. In addition, Petitioner intends to present a Petition to the Court authorizing the Guardian to re-finance the mortgage to lower the interest rate from the current 6.7% rate, which will result in additional savings to the Protected Person and should provide additional sufficient funds to satisfy Petitioner's legal expenses. Thus, at this juncture, Petitioner only requests that this Court enter an Order as to the amount of Petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs which are subject to being reimbursed from the Estate.
- 16. Respondents' other objections lack merit. The \$1,216.50 in Westlaw research was performed relative to the Opposition and Counter-Petition Kim filed on October 2, 2019. The Westlaw billing is usually about a month delayed from the initial use and expense. The research was necessary to advance Petitioner's legal arguments relative to Kim's Opposition and Counter-Petition for Guardianship.
- 17. Counsel for the Protected Person objects to various billing entries between September 16, 2019, and December 24, 2019. Many of these entries related to receipt and review of correspondence and conferring with counsel for the interested parties in this proceeding. These were necessary legal services. Counsel is required to work with other counsel on many different issues pertaining to the Guardianship, and communications were necessary. These communications took the form of written correspondence and telephone conferences. Counsel additionally objects to inter-office conferences between attorneys Jeff Luszeck and Ross Evans, however, these conferences were necessary to relay information, analyze developments, and strategize. None of the inter-office conferences objected to by counsel were for longer than 20 minutes.

18.	Counsel for the Protected Person further identifies two transactions of $\$90.00$ and
\$60.00 respec	tively to obtain certified copies of the Letters of Guardianship. The certified copies
cost a nomina	l \$5.00 each, and the Guardian obtained 30 copies split over two transactions. This
was necessary	to provide Letters of Guardianship to the Protected Person's creditors, bank,
social security, etc., and to hold for use in the future.	
1.0	

19. Petitioner, therefore, requests that the Court review the Petition and fee invoices and determine the reasonable amount to reimburse the Guardian for her attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, Kimberly Jones as Guardian of the Person and Estate of Kathleen Jones respectfully requests that this Court enter its Order as follows:

a. Authorizing payment of attorneys' fees and costs to the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., from the Guardianship Estate or Thompson Special Needs Trust in the amount of \$23,031.35; and

b. For any and all such further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

DATED this day of February, 2020.

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 09619 ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11374

9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Telephone No: (702) 853-5483

Facsimile No: (702) 853-5485

Attorneys for Kimberly Jones

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 12th day of February, 2020, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), 1 2 caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing OMNIBUS REPLY TO THE RESPONSE 3 AND OBJECTION TO THE PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S 4 ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS, to be served to the following in the manner set forth below: 5 Via: 6 Hand Delivery 7 U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid Certified Mail, Receipt No.: __ 8 Return Receipt Request 9 E-Service through Wiznet 10 Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons: John P. Michaelson, Esq. 11 MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 12 john@michaelsonlaw.com 13 Kathleen Jones, Adult Protected Person: Maria L. Parra Sandoval, Esq. 14 LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC. mparra@lacsn.org 15 16 Rodney Gerald Yeoman: Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. 17 KEHOE & ASSOCIATES TyKehoe@gmail.com 18 Matthew C. Piccolo 19 PICCOLO LAW OFFICES 20 matt@piccololawoffices.com 21 Kimberly Jones Geraldine Tomich, Esq. 22 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. MARQUIS AURBACH & COFFING 23 gtomich@maclaw.com 24 jbeckstrom@maclaw.com 25 26 employee of SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 27 28

7 of 7

EXHIBIT 1

Electronically Filed 2/12/2020 2:08 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

COURT CODE: BUDG
Your Name: Kimberly Jones
Address: 9060 W. Cheyenne Ave
City, State, Zip: Las Vegas, NV 89129
Telephone: _702-853-5483
Email Address: revans@sdfnvlaw.com
Self-Represented

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of the Guardianship of the: Person Estate Person and Estate of:	CASE NO.: <u>G-19-052263-A</u> DEPT: <u>B</u>
KATHLEEN JUNE JONES (name of person who needs a guardian) A Proposed Protected Person.	

MONTHLY BUDGET

The Proposed Guardian(s) submit the following monthly budget for the proposed protected person.

Protected Person's Monthly Income (write "0" for have)	any income the per	rson does not
Wages from Employment (before taxes)	\$	0.00
Unemployment Benefits	\$	0.00
Social Security	\$	1,536.00
Veteran's Affairs	\$	0.00
Retirement / Pension	\$	0.00
Interest / Dividends	\$	0.00
Rental Income	\$	1,200.00
Mandatory Trust Distributions	\$	0.00
Discretionary Trust Distributions	\$	0.00
Other:	\$	0.00
TOTAL MONTHLY INCOME	\$	2,736.00

© 2018 Nevada Supreme Court

Page 1 of 4 - Monthly Budget

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Monthly Expenses (write "0" for any expense the perso	n does not have)
Housing	
Rent / Mortgage	\$ 985.15
Facility (room and board, patient liability)	\$ 0
Homeowner's/Rental Insurance	\$ 101 (0
Property Taxes	\$ 01.69
Home Maintenance (yard, pool, housecleaning, etc.)	\$ 84.00
HOA Dues	\$ 40.00
Utilities (electricity, gas, phone, sewer/water, other utilities)	\$ 278.00
Is the Protected Person Able to Drive? Yes No If no, who is the primary driver? himbely Jones	870,00
Car Payment	s D
Insurance	\$ 0
Gas	\$ 150.00
Maintenance	\$ 0
Public Transportation	8 0
Groceries	\$ 300
Dining Out	\$ 50
Personal Hygiene (toiletries, haircuts, etc.)	\$ 50
Household Supplies	\$ 0
Medical Expenses (including health insurance)	\$ 250.00
Dental Expenses	\$ 100.00
Caregiving Services	\$ 0
Travel / Entertainment	\$ 200.00
Gifts	\$ 1
Charitable Giving	s D
Taxes	s D
Accountant Fees	\$ 0
Child Support / Alimony paid	\$ 0

© 2018 Nevada Supreme Court

Page 2 of 4 - Monthly Budget

Bank Fees	\$ 0
*Guardian / Attorney Fees (see worksheet below)	\$ 0
Other:	\$
TOTAL MONTHLY EXPENSES	\$ 0

J	Projected Month	ly Gua	rdianship Fees	
	Hourly Rate		Estimated Hours Per Month	Monthly Expense
Guardian's Fees:	\$	Х	=	\$ 0
Attorney's Fees	\$	Х	=	\$ 0
TOTAL MONTHLY GUARDIANSHIP EXPENSES				\$ 0

TOTALS	The state of the s
TOTAL MONTHLY INCOME	\$ 2 736 00
TOTAL MONTHLY EXPENSES	- \$ 2,588,84
DIFFERENCE (income – expenses)	= \$ 147.16 *

If this is a positive (+) number, sign and date page 4.
If this is a negative (-) number, complete all of the remaining sections.

1.	If t	he month	dy inc	ome	is not en	ouş	gh to cov	er f	he	monthly e	xpenses,	expla	in how long
	the	shortfall	can l	be m	aintained	in	relation	to	the	protected	person's	life	expectancy:
			<u>.</u>										
									· <u> </u>		··		
													

© 2018 Nevada Supreme Court

Page 3 of 4 - Monthly Budget

2.	Will assets need to be sold or liquidated to pay the proposed protected person's monthly
	expenses? Yes X No (if no, skip to the bottom for the date and signature)
	If yes, list the assets that may need to be sold or liquidated to pay the monthly expenses:
	(COURT APPROVAL IS NEEDED TO SELL OR LIQUIDATE ANY ASSETS):

Asset Description	Value
	\$
	\$
	\$
	\$
TOTAL VALUE	\$

3.	If these assets ar	e sold / liquidated, how l	ong will they cover the monthly budget expenses?
	(number)	☐ Years ☐ Mo	nths
pro			esents a true and accurate representation of the sources of income and monthly expenses.
	DATED (mon	th) February	(day) 12 , 20 20 .
	imberly 5. J (First Poposed Chirobechy 5.	Fuardian's Signature)	(Second Proposed Guardian's Signature)
		ed Name)	(Printed Name)

© 2018 Nevada Supreme Court

Page 4 of 4 - Monthly Budget

Electronically Filed 2/12/2020 3:40 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 NOA

GHANDI DEETER BLACKHAM

2 | LAURA A. DEETER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 10562

3 Email: laura@ghandilaw.com NEDDA GHANDI, ESQ.

4 | Nevada Bar No. 11137

Email: nedda@ghandilaw.com

5 725 S. 8th Street, Suite 100

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

6 Telephone: (702) 878-1115 Facsimile: (702) 979-2485

Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of the Guardianship of the

Estate of

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CASE NO.: G-19-052263-A

DEPT. NO.: B

KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,

PROTECTED PERSON.

NOTICE OF ASSOCIATION OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST FOR NOTICE

TO: ALL INTERESTED PARTIES; and

TO: ATTORNEY OF RECORD.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that LAURA A. DEETER, ESQ., of the law firm GHANDI

DEETER BLACKHAM, appears and associates with current counsel TY E. KEHOE, ESQ., of the

law firm KEHOE & ASSOCIATES and MATTHEW C. PICCOLO of the law firm PICCOLO

LAW OFFICES, on behalf of Rodney Gerald Yeoman ("Gerry"), the husband of the Protected

person, KATHLEEN JUNE JONES. All notices, papers and pleadings in this matter that are to be

directed to, should be provided to counsel as follows:

23 | ///

24

Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4	GHANDI DEETER BLACKHAM Laura A. Deeter, Esq. 725 S. 8 th Street, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 laura@ghandilaw.com Telephone: (702) 878-1115 Facsimile: (702) 979-2485
5	DATED this <u>12</u> day of February, 2020.
6	GHANDI DEETER BLACKHAM
7	COULD AIR HA
8	LAURA A. DEETER, ESQ.
9	Nevada Bar No. 10562 725 S. 8th Street, Suite 100
10	Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 878-1115
11	Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	CERTIFICAT	TE OF MAILING
2	That on the day of February, 20	20, I deposited in the Post Office at Las Vegas,
3	Nevada, a copy of the within NOTICE OF ASS	SOCIATION OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST FOR
4	NOTICE, enclosed in a sealed envelope, upon	which postage was fully prepaid, and addressed as
5	follows, and pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a) and 8.0	05(f) and Rule 9 of N.E.F.C.R, caused an electronic
6	copy to be served via Odyssey to the email addr	resses noted below:
7	Via Electronic Service	Via Electronic Service
	Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.	Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq.
8	Kehoe & Associates	Piccolo Law Offices
	871 Coronado Center Drive, Suite 200	2450 St. Rose Pkwy, Suite 210
9	Henderson, NV 89052	Henderson, NV 89074
	tykehoelaw@gmail.com	matt@piccololawoffices.com
0	Co-Counsel for Rodney Gerald	Co-Counsel for Rodney Gerald Yeoman
	Yeoman	
11		
	Via Electronic Service	Via Electronic Service
12	Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq.	Geraldine Tomich, Esq.
	Legal Aid Center of	Marquis Aurbach Coffing
13	Southern Nevada, Inc.	10001 Park Run Drive
	725 E. Charleston Blvd.	Las Vegas, NV 89145
4	Las Vegas, NV 89104	gtomich@maclaw.com
	mparra@lacsn.org	Attorneys for Kimberly Jones
15	Attorney for Protected Person	
16	Via Electronic Service	Via Electronic Service
	James Beckstrom, Esq.	Ross E. Evans, Esq.
17	Marquis Aurbach Coffing	Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, LTD
	10001 Park Run Drive	9060 West Cheyenne Ave.
18	Las Vegas, NV 89145	Las Vegas, NV 89129
0	jbeckstrom@maclaw.com	revans@sdfnvlaw.com
19	Attorneys for Kimberly Jones	Attorneys for Kimberly Jones
20	Via Electronic Service	Via Electronic Service
	Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq.	John P. Michaelson, Esq.
21	Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, LTD	Michaelson & Associates, LTD.
100	9060 West Cheyenne Ave.	2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160
22	Las Vegas, NV 89129	Henderson, NV 89052
	jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com	john@michaelsonlaw.com
,,		, 0
23	Attorneys for Kimberly Jones	Attorneys for Robyn Friedman
		and Donna Simmons

- 11		
1		
2000	Via Electronic Service	Via First Class Mail
2	Jeffrey R. Sylvester, Esq.	Teri Butler
	Sylvester & Polednak, LTD.	586 N. Magdelena St.
3	1731 Village Center Circle	Dewey, AZ 86327
	Las Vegas, NV 89134	
4	jeff@sylvesterpolednak.com	
200	Attorneys for Robyn Friedman	
5	and Donna Simmons	
6	Via First Class Mail	Via First Class Mail
	Scott Simmons	Jen Adamo
7	1054 S. Verde St.	14 Edgewater Dr.
1000	Anaheim, CA 92805	Magnolia, DE 19962
8		
	Via First Class Mail	Via First Class Mail
9	Jon Criss	Ryan O'Neal
100.00	804 Harksness Ln., Unit 3	112 Malvern Ave., Apt. E
10	Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Fullerton, CA 92832
11	Via First Class Mail	Via First Class Mail
	Tiffany O'Neal	Cortney Simmons
12	177 N. Singingwood St., Unit 13	765 Kimbark Ave.
	Orange, Ca 92869	San Bernardino, CA 92407
13	5-1-1-5-1 cm / 2-0-1-1	July Dellin and Crity 2107
	Via First Class Mail	Via First Class Mail
14	Jen Adamo	Jen Adamo
	14 Edgewater Dr.	14 Edgewater Dr.
15	Magnolia, DE 19962	Magnolia, DE 19962
16	Via First Class Mail	
	Ampersand Man	
17	c/o Robyn Friedman	
	2824 High Sail Ct.	
18	Las Vegas, NV 89117	
19		to Beak new
20		An employee of Ghandi Deeter Blackham
		. In employee & Sharai Decter Diackhair
21		
22		
23		
24		

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Guardianship of Adult COURT MINUTES February 13, 2020

G-19-052263-A

In the Matter of the Guardianship of: Kathleen Jones, Protected Person(s)

February 13, 2020 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Marquis, Linda COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 10A

COURT CLERK: Christensen, Karen; Madrigal, Blanca

PARTIES PRESENT:

Robyn Friedman, Petitioner, Temporary Guardian, John P. Michaelson, Attorney, Present

Present

Kathleen June Jones, Protected Person, Not Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Attorney, Present

Present

Donna Simmons, Petitioner, Temporary Guardian, John P. Michaelson, Attorney, Present

Present

Rodney Gerald Yeoman, Other, Not Present Laura A Deeter, Attorney, Present

Ty E. Kehoe, Attorney, Present

Ross E Evans, ESQ, Attorney, Present

Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Person and Estate,

Other, Present

State Guardianship Compliance Officer, Agency,

Not Present

Richard Powell, Other, Not Present Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

COURT CLERKS: Karen Christensen, Blanca Madrigal (mb).

Attorneys, James Beckstrom, Ross Evans, and Laura Deeter, also present in court. Donna Simmons and Robyn Friedman present by telephone.

Discussion regarding payment of guardian's fees and costs from the estate. The Notice of Intent was filed on January 15th. Mr. Evans argued the Guardian was unemployed, relocated to care for the Protected Person, and there was no opposition to the guardianship in general; an opposition was filed as to the temporary guardianship only.

Mr. Beckstrom acknowledged a guardianship was necessary, and Guardian was providing excellent care for the Protected Person; however, Mr. Beckstrom argued against payment of attorney fees. Ms. Parra-Sandoval argued against payment of fees and costs from the estate, and had no objection to payment of fees after the filing of the Notice of Intent; however, she objected to undecipherable entries.

The Court finds Notice was not given at the onset and asked counsels if she had discretion to grant fees from the estate under the statute. Ms. Parra-Sandoval noted the statute was silent and requested the Court provide a written opinion if the Court grants fees; based on the lack of notice of intent.

Printed Date: 2/21/2020 Page 1 of 2 Minutes Date: February 13, 2020

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Ms. Deeter stated that the issue with the investigators fell off the radar, and requested the Court set the matter for a status check on 3/17/2020. No objection by either counsel.

COURT ORDERED:

- 1) The Court will allow fees after January 15th; the Court will review the entries after the same date and issue a written decision. The Court believes the statute does not give this Court jurisdiction and requires the filing of a Notice at the onset. The Court did not know Guardian needed fees at the onset. The Guardian was a successor guardian on a temporary guardianship and ultimately made the permanent guardian; therefore, attorney's fees post-January 15th are appropriate, subject to Ms. Parra-Sandoval's specific objections;
- 2) Matter set for STATUS CHECK on Investigative Reports on 3/17/2020 at 9:30 AM.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Mar 02, 2020 8:30AM Status Check RJC Courtroom 10A Marquis, Linda

Mar 17, 2020 9:30AM Motion for Protective Order RJC Courtroom 10A Marquis, Linda

Mar 17, 2020 9:30AM Motion for Protective Order RJC Courtroom 10A Marquis, Linda

Mar 17, 2020 9:30AM Hearing RJC Courtroom 10A Marquis, Linda

Printed Date: 2/21/2020 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: February 13, 2020

Electronically Filed 2/13/2020 5:52 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT PET 1 John P. Michaelson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7822 Email: john@michaelsonlaw.com Lora L. Caindec-Poland, Esq. 3 Nevada Bar No. 14178 Email: lora@michaelsonlaw.com MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Ste. 160 Henderson, Nevada 89052 Ph: (702) 731-2333 6 Fax: (702) 731-2337 Attorneys for Petitioners 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF: 10 Case Number: G-19-052263-A Kathleen June Jones. Department: B 11 An Adult Protected Person. Hearing Requested 12 13 PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS AND REQUEST 14 TO ENTER A JUDGMENT AGAINST THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE 15 ☐ TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP □ GENERAL GUARDIANSHIP 16 Person Person ☐ Estate ☐ Summary Admin. ☐ Estate ☐ Summary Admin. 17 Person and Estate Person and Estate 18 ☐ SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP ☐ NOTICES / SAFEGUARDS Person ☐ Blocked Account 19 ☐ Estate ☐ Summary Admin. ☐ Bond Posted 20 Person and Estate Public Guardian Bond 21 COME NOW Petitioners, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons, by and through the law 22 firm of Michaelson & Associates, Ltd., who respectfully petition this Court for approval of 23 attorney's fees and costs, and request to enter a judgment against the real property of the above-24 captioned guardianship estate, and in so doing represent as follows: 25 -1-

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Summary

Kathleen June Jones (hereinafter "Ms. Jones") is 82 years of age. Ms. Jones has been married to Rodney Gerald Yeoman ("Mr. Yeoman") for approximately eleven years.

Both prior to and after the marriage, Ms. Jones executed Power of Attorney documents always naming her daughter Kimberly Jones ("Kimberly") as Ms. Jones' preferred and chosen agent for both healthcare decisions and financial transactions. Mr. Yeoman was aware of the existence of the Healthcare and Financial Powers of Attorney and that Kimberly was the designated agent.

For the first ten years of the marriage, Ms. Jones and Mr. Yeoman lived together in Las Vegas in a house located at 6277 Kraft Avenue, Las Vegas, which was acquired by Ms. Jones long before their marriage as her sole and separate property ("Kraft house"). Ms. Jones had owned the Kraft house since 2002, seven years prior to her marriage to Mr. Yeoman.

In or about 2015, Ms. Jones began showing signs of cognitive impairment and was examined and treated for her cognitive decline at the University of California, Irvine, Medical Center in late 2015 and early 2016.

In January 2018, despite being aware of the existence of the Power of Attorney whereby Ms. Jones named her daughter Kimberly as financial agent for Ms. Jones, and also despite being well aware of the diagnosed cognitive impairment which had been progressing for over two years, Mr. Yeoman allowed his own daughter and son-in-law, Kandi and Richard Powell, ("Kandi" and "Dick") to transfer the Kraft house away from his wife and to themselves for significantly less than fair market value. A Quitclaim Deed signed by Ms. Jones was used to transfer the property. No purchase and sale agreement, nor any other documentation whatsoever,

was prepared to memorialize any agreement between Mr. Yeoman and/or his family and Ms. Jones. Ms. Jones was not represented by counsel.

Payment for the preparation of this deed was provided by Gerry's son-in-law Dick, who took the property. Notwithstanding the fact that Ms. Jones did not have the requisite level of capacity to enter into any type of agreement with regard to her real property, Mr. Yeoman and his family knowingly proceeded with and paid for the self-dealing transaction without giving any notice to Kimberly, whom they knew Ms. Jones had appointed as her financial agent, nor any other members of Ms. Jones' family.

When Ms. Jones' children became aware of the transfer of the Kraft house in early 2019, they stepped in to investigate the transaction and to protect Ms. Jones from further financial exploitation. Robyn immediately contacted Elder Protective Services to report the transfer. Elder Protective Services attempted to investigate, however their access to Ms. Jones was limited by Mr. Yeoman and they were unable to perform a complete investigation.

About this time, Mr. Yeoman was undergoing cancer treatments and became unable to care for Ms. Jones while he was either hospitalized or visiting specialized facilities for treatment. In April 2019, Mr. Yeoman's family requested that Kimberly travel to Las Vegas to provide care for her mother because Mr. Yeoman was unable to provide the necessary care. Kimberly immediately came to Las Vegas from her home in California to care for Ms. Jones and has remained in Las Vegas in her caregiving role ever since. The discovery of the transfer of the Kraft house along with other concerns about what had been going on with Ms. Jones finances, as well as having Kimberly here to oversee Ms. Jones' financial and healthcare needs, has given rise to much contention between all parties involved. The procedural history below outlines the events that have taken place since the guardianship proceedings were commenced in September

 2019, however the hostilities between the families were in evidence well before the initial filing in guardianship court.

Ms. Jones was caught in the middle of the disagreements between Kimberly and her husband and his family. In August 2019, Ms. Jones was moved out of her Kraft house to a house owned by Mr. Yeoman's son-in-law, Dick; a house that was right next door to Dick's own residence. Ms. Jones was kept there and isolated from her children with only limited phone contact with Kimberly and no contact at all with any of her other four children, despite her children's attempts to communicate. During this time, police were called on approximately six different occasions in attempts to allow Kim's access to Ms. Jones. Also, during this time, Mr. Yeoman's children began suggesting that Ms. Jones should be moved to a senior care facility despite the availability and willingness of Ms. Jones' children to care for her.

Shortly thereafter, Mr. Yeoman had to travel to Arizona for cancer treatment. He wasn't able to care for Ms. Jones while undergoing treatment but, instead of asking any one of her children, all of whom had been willing and able to care for her in the past, Mr. Yeoman took Ms. Jones to Arizona and left her in the care of his family and outside caregivers while they were staying in a hotel near the hospital. Ms. Jones was taken to Arizona despite the fact that counsel for Robyn and Donna had been in regular communication with counsel for Mr. Yeoman and Dick wherein Robyn and Donna's counsel repeatedly stated Robyn and Donna's willingness to care for Ms. Jones while Mr. Yeoman was receiving treatment in Arizona.

Thereafter, Kimberly travelled to Arizona on September 7, 2019, to pick her mother up and bring her back home to the Kraft house in Las Vegas. Dick filed a police report with both the Phoenix Police Department and the FBI regarding this incident. Around the same time [September 2019] Dick also filed an eviction action to have Kimberly removed from the Kraft

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

20

21

23

25

house where she had been living with Ms. Jones and providing the constant care that Ms. Jones required.

With tensions escalating and no evidence of cooperation between the parties, and with Dick and Gerry through their counsel continuing to deny the efficacy of the POA's, Petitioners saw no other recourse than to involve the guardianship court in order to ensure the safety and continuity of care that Ms. Jones desperately needed. As the guardianship proceedings unfolded, a clear need for guardianship was recognized by this Court.

Robyn and Donna now bring this Petition for approval of attorney's fees incurred to help bring about the stability their mother so desperately needed. Petitioners do not propose to take the fees from Ms. Jones' estate while she is living and while the funds could potentially be needed for her ongoing care and medical treatment; instead they are asking for approval of their fees with the understanding that such fees will be treated as a lien against Ms. Jones' estate while Ms. Jones is alive.

Procedural History

- Ms. Jones is 82 years of age.
- On December 27, 2005, Ms. Jones executed a Healthcare Power of Attorney naming her daughter Kimberly as her Attorney-in-Fact for healthcare decisions and a General Power of Attorney naming Kimberly as her Attorney-in-Fact for financial matters.
 - 3. On or about January 2009, Ms. Jones married Mr. Yeoman.
- On October 24, 2012, Ms. Jones executed a new Financial Power of Attorney naming Kimberly as her Attorney-in-Fact for financial matters.

 On November 23, 2012, Ms. Jones executed a Last Will and Testament which named Kimberly as Ms. Jones' chosen Personal Representative and as chosen guardian over her person and estate.

- 6. In 2019, Ms. Jones had a neurological evaluation at the Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health at the Cleveland Clinic. Dr. Marwan Sabbagh indicated in his letter of September 5, 2019, that Ms. Jones suffered a degenerative neurological disorder resulting in impairment of memory, judgment and other cognitive functions and recommended Ms. Jones be appointed a guardian. See Confidential Physician's Certificate of Incapacity and Medical Records (hereinafter "Confidential Medical Records") filed with this Court on September 19, 2019.
- 7. On September 9, 2019, Ms. Jones was evaluated by Dr. Gregory Brown who indicated that Ms. Jones suffered from "Dementia [Neurocognitive Disorder]" and that Ms. Jones "would fulfill the requirements for a guardianship of both person and estate as defined by Nevada Revised Statute." See Confidential Medical Records.
- On September 19, 2019, Petitioners filed an Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Petition for Appointment of General Guardianship (hereinafter "Petition").
- 9. As set forth in the Petition, there was great concern regarding who should care for Ms. Jones, what kind of care she should receive, where she should live, i.e., visitation by family members, accountability for expenditures of Ms. Jones' funds, and the sale of her home to the daughter and son-in-law of her most recent husband for far less than market value without any notice to or discussion with any of Ms. Jones children, nor Kimberly, her designated attorney-infact, even though Mr. Yeoman and his family knew full-well about Kimberly being Ms. Jones' attorney-in-fact.

25

10. As their mother's ability to care for herself had declined over the years, Petitioners had asked Kimberly and Mr. Yeoman on multiple occasions to provide a care plan for Ms. Jones, Petitioners felt strongly that plans needed to be made in advance for the inevitable day that Mr. Yeoman would be unable to provide the necessary care for Ms. Jones. Kimberly, despite her training and professional experience in handling these types of matters for others, failed to enact such a plan for her mother. 11. On September 23, 2019, an Order Granting the Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardians of the Person and Estate was entered with this Court appointing Petitioners as Temporary Guardians. Letters of Temporary Guardianship were subsequently granted. 12. On September 25, 2019, Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. of the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada was appointed as counsel for Ms. Jones. 13. On October 1, 2019, Ty E. Kehoe, Esq., counsel for Mr. Yeoman filed a Notice of Appearance and Request for Notice. 14. On October 2, 2019, Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. and Co-Counsel, Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. filed an: (1) Opposition to the Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian; (2) Counter Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian; and (3) Counter Petition for Appointment of General Guardian on the basis that there were no grounds for an emergency guardianship or a general guardianship and if there were sufficient grounds for appointment of a guardianship, Mr. Yeoman, as Ms. Jones' husband, should be appointed guardian.

15. On October 2, 2019, Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq. as counsel for Kimberly also filed an Opposition to the Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian and Counter-Petition For Appointment of Kimberly as Ms. Jones Temporary and General Guardian

of the Person and Estate on the grounds that there was no need for an immediate temporary guardian because Kimberly was doing just fine protecting their mother.

16. On October 3, 2019, after a hearing on the matter, an Order was entered extending the temporary guardianship and Robyn and Donna's appointment as temporary guardians. During this hearing, Kimberly never once acknowledged that there was a need for guardianship and she, through her counsel, maintained that the Powers of Attorney were sufficient and that there was no need for guardianship because of the existing Powers of Attorney.

17. On October 11, 2019, Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. and Co-Counsel, Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. filed a Supplement to: (1) Opposition to the Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian; (2) Counter Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian; and (3) Counter Petition for Appointment of General Guardian to clarify facts of the case and request that Ms. Jones has a constitutional right to remain together as husband and wife which is supported by a Declaration of Rodney Yeoman.

18. On October 11, 2019, Petitioners filed a Notice of Intent to Move the Protected Person indicating that Ms. Jones was being moved to the home of Robyn Friedman located at 2824 High Sail Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117. This move did not take place, but was contemplated as an option in the event that Kimberly was not willing or able to stay in the Kraft house in the role of caregiver for Ms. Jones. With the eviction proceeding filed to remove Kimberly from the Kraft house, Kimberly's ability to provide stable care for Ms. Jones in the Kraft house was in question. For a time, Robyn paid approximately \$10,000.00 per week for caregivers. In light of the exorbitant fees for an outside caregiver if Kimberly was unable to provide the needed care to Ms. Jones in the Kraft house, Petitioners felt that moving Ms. Jones to Robyn Friedman's house

would be the most fiscally responsible alternative. This was also the Petitioners' conclusion because Kimberly was unable or unwilling to propose another alternative.

19. On October 14, 2019, Petitioners filed a Reply to Mr. Yeoman's Opposition and Counter Petition For Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian and to Kimberly's Opposition and Counter Petition For Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian, reiterating the need to intervene with regard to their mother's care as Kimberly was unable as both healthcare and financial agent for Ms. Jones to protect her from losing her house, her bank accounts and from having her doctors' appointments cancelled or to ensure her whereabouts or even visitation and communication with her children, including Kimberly. Mr. Yeoman's interference with and lack of respect for Ms. Jones' choices as set forth in her powers of attorney and as expressed to her children, as well as the almost complete lack of cooperation on the part of both Kimberly and Mr. Yeoman in supporting the efforts of the Temporary Guardians also underscored the need to move forward with an appointment of a general guardian to ensure that Ms. Jones would not be subjected to abuse in many forms and have stable and reliable care and that her finances would be protected.

20. On October 15, 2019, this Court heard oral argument on the appointment of Petitioners as General Guardians for Ms. Jones. In short, counsel for Petitioners informed the Court that a General Guardianship was needed in order to file a civil action to recover Ms. Jones' real property in Las Vegas, Nevada, which had been sold to Mr. Yeoman's daughter and son-in-law for below fair market value; counsel for Ms. Jones informed this Court that Ms. Jones wished to have Kimberly act as her guardian and that she did not remember selling her house; counsel for Mr. Yeoman indicated that Mr. Yeoman's son was willing to return the property, requested that Mr. Yeoman be appointed as guardian, but would not provide his medical information as needed

to demonstrate that he would be able to care for Ms. Jones in light of his medical condition and, should Kimberly be appointed as guardian that he be allowed to reside with his wife without Kimberly residing in the home; counsel for Kimberly argued that based upon the power of attorney, she should be appointed as guardian over her mother; the parties discussed Kimberly's suitability to serve as guardian.

- 21. Kimberly maintained throughout this hearing that she would prefer to rely on the existing Powers of Attorney and that a guardianship was not necessary. Kimberly only acquiesced to her appointment as general guardian after this Court made it clear that the Powers of Attorney had not been sufficient to protect Ms. Jones and that a general guardianship was, in fact, necessary.
- 22. At the October 15, 2019 hearing the Court ordered that Kimberly be appointed as General Guardian of the Person and Estate, that Kimberly file an Inventory within 60 days, that Mr. Yeoman have supervised visits with Ms. Jones, and that Kimberly inform Mr. Yeoman regarding Ms. Jones care. The court requested that counsel for Kimberly prepare and submit the Order to the court. This court further set an evidentiary hearing for February 20, 2020 to consider the state investigators' reports and any petitions or motions that might have been filed.
- 23. After lengthy and multiple discussions among counsel for all parties, counsel for Kimberly thereafter submitted an order in accordance with this Court's direction at the October 15, 2019, hearing.
- 24. On or about November 6, 2019, counsel for Mr. Yeoman contacted the court and indicated there was a disagreement among counsel concerning the language in the proposed order. This Court granted Mr. Kehoe an opportunity to submit a competing order and requested Mr. Kehoe serve his order on all parties.

25. This Court set the matter on the Court Chamber Calendar for November 25, 2019, to review the competing orders and make a determination. This Court further informed the parties that no appearance was required.

26. Due to Gerry and Dick's inappropriate behind-the-scenes tactics dealing the order, not until November 25, 2019, did this Court enter an Order from the October 15, 2019, hearing confirming Kimberly as general guardian of the person and estate. The Court entered the Order submitted by Kimberly's counsel.

ARGUMENTS

Fees Will Not Be Taken From Ms. Jones' Liquid Estate

27. Petitioners are requesting that the Court approve their attorney's fees in this matter because they have been the driving force in moving these the stabilization of Ms. Jones' living situation forward via this Honorable Court's protection. But for the efforts of the Petitioners and their counsel in petitioning this Court, Ms. Jones would still be in the same precarious position that she was in before she was protected by a guardian, a court-appointed attorney, and the oversight of this Court, being whipsawed about and denied access to stable living conditions, medicine, a plan of care, her family and her dogs.

28. Petitioners were apparently the only members of Ms. Jones' family that recognized the need for a guardian to be appointed for Ms. Jones under the circumstances; a need which this Court repeatedly affirmed. See, e.g., video transcript of October 3, 2019 hearing at 15:08. In spite of police being called numerous times and the police failing to recognize or enforce Kimberly's authority under the Power of Attorney, and in spite of all sides acknowledging Ms. Jones' property had been taken for far less than market value and despite all sides claiming granny snatching, etc. and in spite of Ms. Jones being at risk of a complete disruption of her

medical care, and despite her inability or unwillingness to provide an accounting or plan of care, or a contingency plan in the event she were evicted from the Kraft property, Kimberly repeatedly asked this Court to allow her to rely on her appointment as Ms. Jones' attorney-in-fact rather than appoint a guardian for Ms. Jones. In fact, during the entirety of the hearing on October 3, 2019, Kimberly never once acknowledged that there was a need for guardianship and she, through her counsel maintained that the Powers of Attorney were sufficient and that there was no need for guardianship because of the Powers of Attorney. *Id at 2:41*. At the hearing on October 15, 2019, Kimberly again repeated her desire to rely on the Powers of Attorney rather than a guardianship. *See video transcript of October 15, 2019 hearing at 34:03 and 35:00*. She only reluctantly consented to her appointment as guardian because the Court found that appointment of a guardian was necessary in this matter.

- 29. Petitioners Robyn and Donna always maintained that a guardianship was necessary to protect Ms. Jones from the chaos and instability created by the opposing factions in the family and they took all of the necessary steps to get a guardian appointed for the benefit of Ms. Jones, to oversee her care and protect her from further financial exploitation.
- 30. Petitioners are asking that the award of attorney's fees be reduced to judgment because Petitioners do not intend to collect any fees awarded until after Ms. Jones has passed away. Petitioners recognize that preserving Ms. Jones' estate for her care while she is alive is of utmost importance and will not jeopardize Ms. Jones' estate's ability to fund her care while she is alive.
- 31. Petitioners may take steps to record the judgment or Order awarding fees against Ms.
 Jones' real property in California, which is currently generating income as a rental property.

Legal Basis and Justification for Approval of Attorney's Fees

- 32. Pursuant to NRS 159.344(1), any person who retains an attorney to represent a party in a guardianship proceeding is personally liable for any attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such representation.
- 33. Pursuant to NRS 159.344(2), notwithstanding the provisions of NRS 159.344(1), Petitioners may petition this Court for an order authorizing attorney's fees and costs incurred in this case to be paid from the estate of the protected person. Any such attorney's fees and costs must not be paid from the guardianship estate of Ms. Jones unless and until this Court authorizes the payment pursuant to NRS 159.344. Petitioners are requesting an order for attorney's fees and costs in the total amount of \$62,029.66; of which \$61,755.00 is attorney's fees and \$274.66 is costs.
- 34. Petitioners have not accrued any compensation or incurred any expenses or attorney's fees as a result of a petition to have Petitioners removed as guardian, nor have Petitioners been removed as guardian. Thus, NRS 159.183(5) does not apply herein.
- 35. Under NRS 159.344(3), Petitioners filed written notice of its intent to seek payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate when it filed its Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate on September 19, 2019. Said Petition also complied with NRS 159.344(e) in that it acknowledges its request for attorney's fees is subject to Court confirmation.
- 36. Pursuant to NRS 159.344(4)(a-d), attached hereto as Exhibit 1 are itemized, detailed statements as to the nature and extent of the legal services performed. Some non-reimburseable entries have been redacted and subtracted from the amount being requested for reimbursement.

A spreadsheet with amounts and explanations of the redacted entries, as well as a breakdown of total costs, is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

- Under NRS 159.344(5)(a), the adequacy of the written notice provided pursuant to NRS 159.344(3) is described above.
- 38. Under NRS 159.344(5)(b), the services provided have conferred an actual benefit upon Ms. Jones and have advanced her best interest.
- 39. The services provided have properly provided a temporary and general guardian for Ms. Jones' person and estate. Having a guardian advances Ms. Jones' best interest and benefits her by ensuring she has adequate shelter, food, clothing and medical care and ensuring her finances and assets are safeguarded and managed well, as explained in detail above in the section describing the services Petitioners have provided.
- 40. In deciding the reasonableness of attorney's fees, the Nevada Supreme Court looks to four factors outlined in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349-350, 455 P.2d 31, 33-34 (1969) as follows: "(1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time, and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and attention given to the work; and (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived."
- 41. Pursuant to NRS 159.344(5)(c) Michaelson & Associates, Ltd. is a reputable firm practicing in the area of guardianship and elder law. Michaelson & Associates, Ltd. was founded in Nevada in 1992 with an emphasis on business and estate planning. The firm's attorneys also provide representation to seniors in the areas of Veterans Administration benefits and Medicaid.

- 42. Under NRS 159.344(5)(d), the character of the work completed in this matter was reasonable and necessary to establish a Temporary and General Guardianship due to Ms. Jones' need for guardianship services to take care of her person and to manage her estate.
- 43. Under NRS 159.344(5)(e), the work actually performed is documented in Exhibit 1, which also shows the time and attention given to the legal services provided in relation to seeking appointment of Petitioners as guardians of her person and estate.
- 44. Under NRS 159.344(5)(f), counsel succeeded in establishing guardianships for Ms. Jones and the benefits to Ms. Jones are described above in the description of benefits under NRS 159.344(5)(b) and NRS 159.344(5)(e).
- 45. Under NRS 159.344(5)(g), Mr. Michaelson charges an hourly rate of \$450.00 per hour. His senior and associate attorneys charge a rate of \$350.00 and \$300.00 per hour, respectively, and his paralegals charge a rate of \$150.00 per hour. Further all fees charged are itemized in Exhibit 1.
- 46. Under NRS 159.344(5)(h), the apportionment of time among multiple clients, if any, is documented in Exhibit 1.

47. Under NRS 159.344(5)(i), services were provided in a reasonable, efficient and cost-effective manner. Much work was performed by a paralegal or secretary and prior work product was emulated as much as possible to reduce the total time spent working on this case.

48. Under NRS 159.344(5)(j), as shown by the Inventory on file, the nature, extent and liquidity of Ms. Jones estate are not sufficient to pay the requested attorney's fees outright. Ms. Jones' foreseeable expenses that could take precedence over the requested attorney's fees include costs for her facility, medications and day-to-day needs. Said expenses are documented in the Budget on file herein. Although the funds in Ms. Jones' accounts are not sufficient to pay the fees requested while continuing to pay for Ms. Jones' care, maintenance and support, Ms. Jones has real property in California, the value of which will be sufficient to pay the fees requested upon its sale. Petitioners intend to simply file a judgment or order for fees as a lien against Ms. Jones' real property in California as stated hereinabove to allow her continued use of her assets during her lifetime.

49. Under NRS 159.344(5)(k), Petitioners and counsel have been diligent in their efforts to work efficiently in this case and in caring for Ms. Jones. This helped to reduce and minimize current issues and prevent any additional issues form arising.

50. This matter has been contentious and has involved a number of efforts to reach agreements to streamline the resolution of various issues.

51. In an effort to resolve the issue and minimize attorney's fees and costs, counsel for Petitioner attempted on numerous occasions to meet and confer with counsel for Mr. Yeoman and various counsel retained by Kimberly, to work effectively towards a solution and ensure that the protected person's interests were being safeguarded. Counsel has also generally refrained from filing unneeded pleadings or responses to the various unneeded pleadings that Mr. Yeoman

24

25

filed herein. Counsel has, however, made numerous phone calls and written numerous emails in support of the protected person throughout the negotiations. He has also responded to many, many phone calls and emails from counsel for other parties in an effort to resolve concerns and assist in a speedier resolution of contested matters.

- 52. Under NRS 159.344(5)(1), neither Petitioners nor counsel acted in a way that unnecessarily expanded issues or delayed or hindered the efficient administration of the guardianship estate of Ms. Jones.
- 53. Under NRS 159.344(5)(m), neither Petitioners nor counsel took any action for the purpose of advancing or protecting their own interests rather than the interest of Ms. Jones.
- 54. Under NRS 159.344(5)(n), additional factors are not relevant to determine whether attorney's fees are just, reasonable or necessary. As shown above, Petitioners and counsel were acting to advance Ms. Jones' best interest and succeeded in doing so.
- 55. Under NRS 159.344(6)(a-b), undersigned counsel is not requesting compensation for time spent on internal business activities, clerical or secretarial support or time reported as a block of time spent on multiple tasks. Exhibit 1 shows that the time spent is itemized by task.
 - 56. Under NRS 159.344(7), no third party is applicable to the fees requested herein.
- 57. Under NRS 159.344(8), payment of ordinary costs and expenses incurred in the scope of counsel's representation is being requested, as shown in Exhibit 1.
- 58. Pursuant to NRS 159.344(9), "if two or more parties in a guardianship proceeding file competing petitions for the appointment of a guardian or otherwise litigate any contested issue in the guardianship proceeding, only the prevailing party may petition the court for payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate pursuant to this section." Here, three competing petitions were filed for the appointment of a guardian; the original petition for

temporary guardianship filed by Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons, your Petitioners herein and then Oppositions and Counter-Petitions for Guardianship filed by both Kimberly and Mr. Yeoman. Petitioners' ex parte petition was granted on September 23, 2019, and petitioners were appointed temporary guardians. The temporary guardianship was extended on October 3, 2019 and Petitioners remained in their roles as temporary guardians. While Kimberly was ultimately appointed as general guardian pursuant to Ms. Jones' wishes as set forth in her estate planning documents, petitioners Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons were the prevailing party on the initial petition for temporary guardianship and were the driving force in getting the protective temporary guardianship framework in place and then working to ensure that the protections would remain in place by way of a general guardianship appointment. But for the efforts of Petitioners, Ms. Jones might still be living in uncertain conditions, moving between locations and having police involvement in her custody, all with no written plan of care. Immediately after their appointment as temporary guardians, however, your Petitioners herein paid for and provided such a care plan. Ms. Jones might still be financially vulnerable with Powers of Attorney that were not being respected and financial transactions being done without knowledge of Ms. Jones or her family. Instead, Ms. Jones is currently living in the Kraft house, which she believes to be her home despite the questioned sale, with Kimberly acting as her caregiver and as her guardian authorized to make both healthcare and financial decisions.

59. NRS. 159.344(10) does not apply to Petitioners or undersigned counsel. Neither is courtappointed counsel in this matter.

///

///

111

25

22

23

24

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, Petitioner prays:

- That attorney fees and costs in the amount of \$62,029.66 be approved and reduced to judgment such that Petitioners can file a lien against Ms. Jones' real property in California as stated herein;
 - 2. For such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

DATED: February 13, 2020.

MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

John Michaelson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7822

2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Ste. 160

Henderson, Nevada 89052

Attorney for Petitioner

VERIFICATION

4 5

I, Robyn Friedman, state under penalty of perjury: That I am the Petitioner in the above referenced action; that I have read the PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS AND REQUEST TO ENTER A JUDGMENT AGAINST THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE; and know the contents thereof; that the same is true of my knowledge except as to those matters therein stated upon information and belief and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

In

Robyn Friedman

VERIFICATION

I, Donna Simmons, state under penalty of perjury: That I am the Petitioner in the above referenced action; that I have read the PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS AND REQUEST TO ENTER A JUDGMENT AGAINST THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE; and know the contents thereof; that the same is true of my knowledge except as to those matters therein stated upon information and belief and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Domna Simmons
Donna Simmons



INVOICE

Invoice # 12460 Date: 08/30/2019

2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 Henderson, Nevada 89052 United States Phone: 702.731.2333

Robyn Friedman 2824 High Sail Ct. Las Vegas, NV 89117

Guardianship 59: Friedman, Robyn and Simmons, Donna (June Jones)-2019-08-01582

Date	Type	Attorney	Notes	Quantity	Rate	Total
08/21/2019	Service	JPM	Consultation (1.5). Dictation [NO CHARGE], staff direction (.40), file setup [NO CHARGE].	1.90	\$450.00	\$855.00
08/21/2019	Service	HAR	Regard service agreement.	0.30	- Constitution	-500
08/22/2019	Service	LM	Telephone call and leave message for Robert Johnson regarding possible guardianship of Kathleen Jones (.1); telephone call with Robert Johnson and set up telephone conference with John Michaelson this afternoon (.3); email JPM regarding same and calendar (.2); telephone call and leave message with Robyn regarding John's telephone call with David Johnson and request to provide information for family members (.1).	0.70	\$200.00	\$140.00
08/22/2019	Service	HAR	Review questionnaire; emails to/from Perry Friedman for further information.	0.40	\$200.00	\$80.00
08/23/2019	Service	LM	Numerous telephone calls with Robyn Friedman regarding contact information for Scott and Teri and whereabouts of holographic will.	0.90	\$200.00	\$180.00
08/23/2019	Service	LM	Office conference with attorney regarding a proceeding with a contested guardianship where the husband is refusing medical teatment and is isolating Ms. Jones from	0.40	\$200.00	\$90.00

08/23/2019	Service	JPM	Phone conference with Kimberly and her attorney re factual background (.3). Dictation and staff direction re next steps (.3).	0.60	\$450.00	\$270.00
08/23/2019	Service	JPM	Conference with team re arguments, next steps and options including options to guardianship.	0.40	\$450.00	\$180.00
08/23/2019	Service	JPM	Conference call with opposing counsel Ty Kehoe (1.0). Dictation and staff direction (.2).	1.20	\$450.00	\$540.00
08/26/2019	Service	LM	Telephone call with David Johnson to request a copy of June Jones healthcare power of attorney.	0.30	\$200.00	\$60.00
08/26/2019	Service	JPM	Phone conference with clients(.6). Efforts to obtain HCPOA (.4). direct team (.2).	1.20	\$450.00	\$540.00
08/27/2019	Service	LM	Receipt and review of email and Health Care Power of Attorney for June Jones received from Johnson & Johnson (.3); telephone call and leave message with Monica Gillins, Mr. Johnson's paralegal regarding providing a copy of the health care power of attorney to Ty Kehoe (.3).	0.60	\$200.00	\$120.00
08/28/2019	Service	LM	Receipt of email from Ty Kehoe regarding telephone conference with JPM this afternoon; receipt of email from Monica at Mr. Johnson's office regarding approval to forward the health care power of attorney to Ty Kehoe.	0.40	\$200.00	\$80.00
08/28/2019	Service	JPM	Prepare for tc w/ opposing counsel. Phone conference with client.	0.40	\$450.00	\$180.00
08/28/2019	Service	JPM	Teleconference with opposing counsel. Dictation.	0.70	\$450.00	\$315.00
08/28/2019	Service	LM	Review of facts of case to proceed with guardianship.	0.80	\$200,00	\$160.00
08/28/2019	Service	LM	Telephone with Robyn regarding points that refute the allegation that they were absent from their mother's life.	0.30	\$200.00	\$60.00
08/29/2019	Service	JPM	Review email and facts provided by Robyn.	0.30	\$450.00	\$135.00
08/29/2019	Service	LM	Telephone call with David Johnson to schedule a conference call with Mr. Michaelson.	0.20	\$200.00	\$40.00
08/29/2019	Service	JPM	Prepare for and conduct conference call with attorney David Johnson.	0.50	\$450.00	\$225.00

Page 2 of 3

				t (09/05/20 alance Ov		-\$200.00 \$0.00
			Paymen	it (08/30/20	019)	-\$5,000.00
				т	otal	\$5,200.00
08/30/2019	Service	JPM	Email communications with attorney David Johnson.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
08/30/2019	Service	JPM	Review client communications - several emails - and prepare email to clients based upon my conversation with opposing counsel and answering their questions.	0.90	\$450.00	\$405.00
			(.3); conduct tc w/ attorney Ty Kehoe to settle various matters (.6); Dictation [NO CHARGE].			

Please make all amounts payable to: Michaelson & Associates Ltd.

Payment is due upon receipt. You may pay online using the link below. Please be sure to include the invoice number when submitting a payment.

https://app.clio.com/link/4HAcxKJ27WhK



INVOICE

Invoice # 12560 Date: 09/10/2019

2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 Henderson, Nevada 89052 United States Phone: 702.731.2333

Robyn Friedman 2824 High Sail Ct. Las Vegas, NV 89117

Guardianship 59: Friedman, Robyn and Simmons, Donna (June Jones)-2019-08-01582

Date	Type	Attorney	Notes	Quantity	Rate	Total
08/30/2019	Service	JPM	Prepare for and conduct to with Robyn and Donna about numerous issues and firming up factual background.	1.30	\$450.00	\$585.00
08/30/2019	Service	JPM	Direct associate attorney on research re next week's POA hearing and also commencement of guardianship petition.	0.40	\$450.00	\$180.00
08/30/2019	Service	JPM	Email opposing counsel Ty Kehoe re visitation.	0.10	\$450.00	\$45.00
09/03/2019	Service	LCP	Review notes in preparation to begin drafting Petition	0.50	\$300.00	\$150.00
09/04/2019	Service	LCP	Email response to T. Kehoe	0.40	\$300.00	\$120.00
09/04/2019	Service	JPM	Review opposing counsel email.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
09/04/2019	Service	JPM	Review opposition filed in probate matter (.5). Begin drafting guardianship petition and arguments (1.3).	1.80	\$450.00	\$810.00
09/04/2019	Service	LCP	Strategy with JPM (1.2); receive and review opposition to Petition (.5); email to opposing counsel (.3); email to clients responding to questions (.2)	2.20	\$300.00	\$660.00
09/04/2019	Service	JPM	Phone conference with opposing counsel trying to resolve outstanding issues.	1.20	\$450.00	\$540.00
09/04/2019	Service	JPM	Client communication.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00

09/05/2019	Service	LM	Telephone call with Robyn Friedman regarding medical documentation to support a guardianship (.3); draft notice of appearance(.4); arranged for mailing (.3).	1.00	\$200.00	\$200.00
09/05/2019	Service	LCP	Call to Donna Simmons; left VM at 9:50am	0.10	\$300.00	\$30.00
09/05/2019	Service	LCP	TC with Donna Simmons (.2); email to JMP [NO CHARGE]; email to D. Simmons to provide my contact information (.2)	0.40	\$300.00	\$120.00
09/05/2019	Service	LCP	Review medical records received from attorney D. Johnson	0.40	\$300.00	\$120.00
09/05/2019	Service	SJ	Effie - Notice of Appearance	0.10	\$40.00	\$4.00
09/05/2019	Expense	SJ	Reimbursable expenses: Efile - Notice of Appearance	1.00	\$3.50	\$3.50
09/05/2019	Service	SJ	Regular US Mail - Notice of Appearance	0.10	\$40.00	64.00
09/05/2019	Expense	SJ	Reimbursable expenses: Regular US Mail - Notice of Appearance	5.00	\$0.50	\$2.50
09/05/2019	Service	SJ	FILED - Notice of Appearance	0.10	\$40.00	\$4.00
09/05/2019	Service	LCP	Research Nevada Statute regarding POA validity (.5); draft memo on findings (.4)	0.90	\$300.00	\$270.00
09/05/2019	Service	JPM	Various communications re guardianship petition facts, tomorrow's hearing to enforce POA in order to avoid guardianship (1.2) Review of pleadings (.6).	1.80	\$450.00	\$810.00
09/06/2019	Service	LCP	Communication with JPM re: research	0.20	\$300.00	\$60.00
09/06/2019	Service	LM	validity of power of attorney, execution and presumption in favor of validity; email clindings to altorney.	1.30	\$200.00	\$260.03
09/06/2019	Service	JMP	Research for JPM re: court hearing 2019.9.6.	0.30	\$75.00	\$22.50
09/06/2019	Service	LCP	Confer with JPM prior to Probate Court	0.50	\$300.00	\$150.00
09/06/2019	Service	JPM	Prepare for and participate in hearing to enforce POA's as least restrictive means rather than guardianship (2.5). Also participate in direct negotiations with all	4.00	\$450.00	\$1,800.00
09/07/2019	Service	JPM	parties(1.5). Multiple communications to/from opposing counsel Ty Kehoe now that Kimberly has picked up her mother in AZ. Also communications with client.	1.80	\$450.00	\$810.00
09/08/2019	Condes	AEF	Telephone conference with John	0.40	\$350.00	\$140.00

Page 2 of 3

			regarding case status, power of attorney responsibilities, location of proposed protected person, law enforcement response, next steps, etc. (24).			
09/08/2019	Service	LCP	TC with JPM and and AEF re: current events and strategy re: same	0.40	\$300.00	\$120.00
09/08/2019	Service	JPM	Communication with Dr. Brown to see if he can meet Kimberly and evaluate June Jones on a very expedited basis to gauge capacity.	0.50	\$450.00	\$225.00
09/08/2019	Service	JPM	Update team and discussion options going forth. Client communications.	0.70	\$450.00	\$315.00
09/09/2019	Service	LCP	Email Dr. Brown's address to client	0,10	\$300.00	\$30.00
09/09/2019	Service	LCP	Discuss strategy with JPM and AEF	0.20	\$300.00	\$60.00
09/09/2019	Service	LCP	Begin drafting Petition for Guardianship	3.50	\$300.00	\$1,050.00
09/09/2019	Service	JPM	Coordinate with Kimberly's counsel, Dr. Brown's office to facilitate evaluation.	0.70	\$450.00	\$315.00
09/09/2019	Service	JPM	Work on petition for temp and special guardianship. Direct team. Client communications.	1.20	\$450.00	\$540.00
09/09/2019	Service	AEF	Office conference with John Michaelson and Lora Candaro Poland regarding temporary guardianship, refusals of involved persons to honor power of attorney documents, responses/positions, posturing of other attorney, next steps, etc.	0.30	\$350.00	\$106.00

Total \$10,840.50
Payment (09/12/2019) -\$10,840.50
Balance Owing \$0.00

Please make all amounts payable to: Michaelson & Associates Ltd.

Payment is due upon receipt. You may pay online using the link below. Please be sure to include the invoice number when submitting a payment.

https://app.clio.com/link/4HAcxKJ27WhK



INVOICE

Invoice # 12595 Date: 09/25/2019

2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 Henderson, Nevada 89052 United States Phone: 702.731,2333

Robyn Friedman 2824 High Sail Ct. Las Vegas, NV 89117

Guardianship 59: Friedman, Robyn and Simmons, Donna (June Jones)-2019-08-01582

Date	Type	Attorney	Notes	Quantity	Rate	Total
09/10/2019	Service	HAR (Phone call to client regarding payment to Or Brown update case file.	0.10	\$200.00	\$20.04
09/10/2019	Service	LCP	Draft Guardianship Petition	3.40	\$300.00	\$1,020.00
09/10/2019	Service	JPM	Phone conference with attorney David Johnson re pros and cons of guardianship petition in this matter.	0.40	\$450.00	\$180.00
09/10/2019	Service	JPM	Various communications including getting Dr. Brown paid. Draft/edit/revise petition for guardianship.	1.00	\$450.00	\$450.00
09/11/2019	Service	LCP	Draft Petition for Guardianship	1.80	\$300.00	\$540.00
09/11/2019	Service	JPM	Coordinate with Dr. Brown, including review his report. Client communications.	0.70	\$450.00	\$315.00
09/12/2019	Service	LCP	Meet with JPM re; changes to be made to guardianship petition based on had conversation with R. Friedman this morning.	0.40	\$300.00	\$120.00
09/12/2019	Service	LCP	TC with R. Friedman	0.30	\$300.00	\$90.00
09/12/2019	Service	JPM	Review preemptively void transfer statute relating to caregivers and vulnerable persons (.3). Meeting with clients to review same and plan next steps/ arguments and assess situation (1.4).	1.70	\$450.00	\$765.00
09/13/2019	Service	LCP	Call from R. Friedman (.2); revisions to Petition	n 2.80	\$300.00	\$840.00

			for Guardianship to reflect clients as Petitioners (2.6)			
09/13/2019	Service	LCP	Petition for Guardianship; forward draft to JPM for review	1.00	\$300.00	\$300.00
09/13/2019	Service	LCP	TC with JPM; email to clients re: info needed for Petition	0.40	\$300.00	\$120.00
09/13/2019	Service	JPM	GC with with team and direct staff.	0.50	\$450.00	\$225.00
09/16/2019	Service	LCP	Further revisions to Petition for Guardianship	2.30	\$300.00	\$690.00
09/16/2019	Service	LM	Begin preparing ancillary documents for appointment of temporary guardianship	0.30	\$200.00	\$60.00
09/16/2019	Service	LCP	Research Temporary vs. Special Guardianship and discuss with JPM review of draft of Petition	1.00	\$300.00	\$300.00
09/16/2019	Service	JPM	Review draft petition. Edit and revise. Direct team.	1.60	\$450.00	\$720.00
09/16/2019	Service	JPM	Phone conference with Kimberly's attorney re petition for guardianship.	0.60	\$450.00	\$270.00
09/16/2019	Service	JPM	Review email from attorneysfor Gerry and Dick.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
09/17/2019	Service	LM	Continue to Draft all ancillary temporary guardianship documents; draft guardians' acknowledgment of duties; draft citation to appear and show cause for general (1.2); draft certificate of service for appointment of general guardian (.2)	1.40	\$200.00	\$280.00
09/17/2019	Service	LCP	Further draft Petition for Temporary and General Guardianship	1.50	\$300.00	\$450.00
09/17/2019	Service	LCP	Further draft Petition for guardianship	1.00	\$300.00	\$300.00
09/17/2019	Service	LCP	Revisions to Petition; email to clients for review	3.60	\$300.00	\$1,080.00
09/17/2019	Service	JPM	Gather facts, research arguments, direct team and draft/edit/revise petition for temp and petition for general guardianship.	3.00	\$450.00	\$1,350.00
09/18/2019	Service	LM	Compile exhibits to be attached to ex parte petition for appointment of temporary guardian.	0.40	\$200.00	\$80.00
09/18/2019	Service	LCP	Revisions to Petition per clients comments on draft	1.60	\$300.00	\$480.00

						U2333333
09/18/2019	Service	LM	Email Robyn and Donna regarding signatures on verifications to ex parte petition and on oath for the Letters of Temporary Guardianship (.3); review requirements for notifying family members before filing of a temporary guardianship (.3). Telephone call and leave message with Teri and Scott regarding our filing for appointment of temporary guardianship (.3); telephone call with Teri regarding her opposing the petition for appointment of temporary guardian (.4).	1.30	\$200.00	\$260.00
09/18/2019	Service	LCP	Further revisions to Petition; email draft to clients	2.40	\$300.00	\$720.00
09/18/2019	Service	JPM	Gather facts, research arguments, direct team and draft/edit/revise petition for temp and petition for general guardianship.	5.00	\$450.00	\$2,250.00
09/18/2019	Service	LCP	Various tasks associated with finalizing Petition (.9); discuss with JPM re: strategy, timing (.2); TC with R. Friedman re: revisions needed (.3); revisions made per client request (.6)	2.00	\$300.00	\$600.00
09/19/2019	Service	LCP	TC with JPM (.1); receive signed Verification pages from R. Friedman (.1); TC from D. Simmons re: Verification pages (.3); revisions to Petition (.5)	1.00	\$300.00	\$300.00
09/19/2019	Service	LM	Efiled petition for appointment of temporary guardian (.2); drafted order granting temporary guardianship (1.0); efiled citation to appear and show cause (.2); prepared amended citation (.3).	1.70	\$200.00	\$340.00
09/19/2019	Service	LCP	File Petition	0.50	\$300.00	\$150.00
09/19/2019	Service	LCP	Email to clients re: status of filing and next steps; sign Citation; review and sign Order	0.50	\$300.00	\$150.00
09/19/2019	Service	JPM	Various calls and communications with staff and attorneys for other parties in attempts to meet and confer to resolve claims and also prepare our petition for guardianship - draft/edit/and revising same.	1.70	\$450.00	\$765.00
09/19/2019	Expense	LM	Court Filing Fee - Petition and Citation to Appear and Show Cause.	1.00	\$3.50	\$3.50
09/20/2019	Service	LM	Arrange for mailing of the citation and settion to all interested parties.	0.40	\$200.00	\$80.00
09/20/2019	Service	LM	Receipt of email from client with location of her mother (.2); email Dave at Servlaw to attempt personal service at the Kraft house	0.40	\$200.00	\$80.00

09/20/2019	Expense	LT	address (.2).			
	Expense	LT				
09/20/2019			Mail: Certified USPS Mail Amended citation to appear and show cause and Ex Parte petition for appt. to all on Cert of Service	14.00	\$6.40	\$89.60
- SULVENTO 10	Expense	LT	Filing Fee: E-Filed Amended citation to appear and show cause and Ex Parte petition	1.00	\$3.50	\$3.50
09/20/2019	Service	LCP	Emails to/from R. Friedman (.4); TC with JPM re: emails from opposing counsel (.1)	0.50	\$300.00	\$150.00
09/20/2019	Service	LCP	TC with JPM re: providing advance copy of pleading to opposing counsel (.2); email to clients re: same (.2)	0.40	\$300.00	\$120.00
09/20/2019	Service	JPM	Various communications re obtaining guardianship and noticing other parties, as well as logistics b/w the parties re June's care and including responding to Ty Kehoe's ex parte contact with probate court re POA's that are not being honored, as well as emails from attorneys for other parties.	1.30	\$450.00	\$585.00
09/23/2019	Service	LM	Telephone call with Chryste in Dept. B. regarding approval of order granting temporary guardianship (.2); calendar return date for appointment of temporary guardian (.1); telephone call with Dave at Servlaw regarding status of service of amended citation and petition upon June Jones(.2); follow-up email from Dave at Servlaw to also serve the order granting the temporary guardianship (.1); second telephone call with Chryste regarding faxing over a copy of the order (.2); emailed a copy of the order granting the temporary guardianship to the clients (.2); efiled the notice of entry of order granting temporary guardianship and arranged for mailing of same (.2); emailed Dave to also serve the Order Granting the Temporary Guardianship (.1).	1.30	\$200.00	\$260.00
09/23/2019	Service	LCP	Call from JPM re: obtaining Order from Judge's Clerk (.1); arrange with L. Murnane re: same [NO CHARGE]; call from D. Johnson (.2); communication with JPM re: status of Order and message from D. Johnson (.1)	0.40	\$300.00	\$120.00
09/23/2019	Service	SJ	Regular US Mail - NEO Granting Ex Parte	0.20	\$40.00	\$8.00
09/23/2019	Expense	SJ	Reimbursable expenses: Regular US Mail - NEO Granting Ex Parte	13.00	\$0.50	\$6.50
09/23/2019	Service	LCP	Call from R. Friedman re: events of the	0.20	\$300.00	\$60.00

			afternoon; email to JPM re: same			
09/23/2019	Service	JPM	Various communications and direction to team re guardianship.	0.40	\$450.00	\$180.00
09/23/2019	Service	JPM	Various communications with client, counsel for Kimberly, counsel for Dick and Gerry. On phone while Robyn visits Kraft house and informs Kimberly of guardianship, to answer any questions. Later conversations and emails with clients.	2.20	\$450.00	\$990.00
09/23/2019	Expense	LM	Court filing fee - Notice of Entry of Order granting temporary guardianship.	1.00	\$3.50	\$3.50
09/23/2019	Expense	LM	Service fee - Personal Service fee.	1.00	\$50.00	\$50.00
09/24/2019	Service	LCP	Call from R. Friedman re: service of Citation on J. Jones	0.10	\$300.00	\$30.00
09/24/2019	Service	LM	Emailed a copy of the Letters of Temporary Guardianship to the clients (.2) arrange to obtain certified copies of both the order and letters (.2); emailed a copy of the Letters of Temporary Guardianship to Ty Kehoe and David Johnson (.1).	0.50	\$200.00	\$100.00
09/24/2019	Service	JPM	phone conference with Robyn.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
09/24/2019	Service	LCP	Draft demand letters to be sent to T. Kehoe and D. Johnson.	1.50	\$300.00	\$450.00
09/24/2019	Service	JPM	Draft/edit/revise letters to attorneys for other parties re various demands and logistical coordination. Review client communications.	0.70	\$450.00	\$315.00

Total \$20,444.60
Payment (09/30/2019) -\$20,444.60
Balance Owing \$0.00

Please make all amounts payable to: Michaelson & Associates Ltd.

Payment is due upon receipt. You may pay online using the link below. Please be sure to include the invoice number when submitting a payment.

https://app.clio.com/link/4HAcxKJ27WhK



INVOICE

Invoice # 12720 Date: 10/10/2019

2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 Henderson, Nevada 89052 United States Phone: 702.731.2333

Robyn Friedman 2824 High Sail Ct. Las Vegas, NV 89117

Guardianship 59: Friedman, Robyn and Simmons, Donna (June Jones)-2019-08-01582

Date	Type	Attorney	Notes	Quantity	Rate	Total
09/25/2019	Service	LM	Receipt of email from Robyn Friedman regarding obtaining certified copies(.1); respond to same (.2); prepare receipt of documents (.1); email Robyn that certified copies are ready for pickup (.1); telephone call and leave message with Donna to confirm her personal appearance at the hearing; efiled affidavit of personal appearance.(.1)	0.60	\$200.00	\$120.00
09/25/2019	Service	LCP	Review multiple emails from client; lengthy response email re: duties of guardian	1.10	\$300.00	\$330.00
09/25/2019	Service	AR	Received call from Robyn Friedman, Emailed information to attorney, and notated file.	0.20	\$0.00	\$0.00
09/25/2019	Service	JPM	Review some communications. Phone conference with Robyn. Direct team.	0.60	\$450.00	\$270.00
09/25/2019	Service	LCP	Redraft of demand letters to T. Kehoe and D. Johnson per request of R. Friedman	0.70	\$300.00	\$210.00
09/25/2019	Service	JPM	Review of correspondence from Robyn. Direct team re letters to attorneys for other parties. Draft/edit/revise those letters. Send email to client with letter attached.	0.70	\$450.00	\$315.00
09/26/2019	Service	LCP	Revisions to demand letters to T. Kehoe and D. Johnson per client request	0.90	\$300.00	\$270.00
09/26/2019	Expense	LCP	Reimbursable expenses: Court Filing Fee -	1.00	\$3.50	\$3.50

			Petition.			
09/26/2019	Expense	SJ	Reimbursable expenses: Certification of Copy	1.00	\$20.00	\$20.00
09/26/2019	Service	AR	Revise and finalize invoice and email to client.	0.50	\$0.00	\$0.00
09/26/2019	Service	LCP	Send demand letters to opposing counsel	0.30	\$300.00	\$90.00
09/26/2019	Service	JPM	Draft/edit/revise letters to attorneys for other parties, read and forward email from attorney Kehoe. Direct team on sending letters.	0.40	\$450.00	\$180.00
09/27/2019	Service	AEF	Review email from opposing counsel regarding requested items, temporary guardianship and visitation, then review and revise draft response email to opposing counsel regarding same (0.4).	0.40	\$350.00	\$140.00
09/27/2019	Service	LM	Telephone call with Robyn Friedman regarding email to her sister.	0.20	\$200.00	\$40.00
09/27/2019	Service	JPM	Numerous communications and emails to/ from clients, David Johnson, Ty Kehoe trying to obtain June's identification and other property and resolve visitation issues.	2.00	\$450.00	\$900.00
09/27/2019	Service	JPM	Later phone call with Ty Kehoe. Call with client.	0.50	\$450.00	\$225.00
09/27/2019	Service	JPM	Still later call with Ty Kehoe who represents Gerry and Dick trying to resolve visitation and other issues.	0.30	\$450.00	\$135.00
09/28/2019	Service	JPM	Review of combative Ty Kehoe communication and response thereto. Multiple communications with clients, counsel for Kimberly and Mr. Kehoe.	0.80	\$450.00	\$360.00
09/29/2019	Service	JPM	Communications with all parties. Setup and participate in phone conference with Kimberly and her attorney.	0.60	\$450.00	\$270.00
09/30/2019	Service	LCP	Discuss with J. Pairman re: contact information for Geriatric Care Manager [NO CHARGE]; TC with R. Friedman to give her contact information and to discuss medical records (.2); email to R. Friedman with requested information (.1).	0.30	\$300.00	\$90.00
09/30/2019	Service	LCP	2x calls from R. Friedman	0.20	\$300.00	\$60.00
09/30/2019	Service	LCP	TC with Legal Aid attorney, M. Parra- Sandoval	0.30	\$300.00	\$90.00

10/01/2019	Service	JPM	Communication with attorney David Johnson.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
10/01/2019	Service	JPM	Phone conference with Kimberly's new attorney Jeff Luszeck. Dictation and staff direction.	0.50	\$450.00	\$225.00
10/01/2019	Service	LM	Review court file for oppositions to petition for appointment of guardianshop.	0.30	\$200.00	\$60.00
10/01/2019	Service	LCP	Prepare for Hearing	1.60	\$300.00	\$480.00
10/01/2019	Service	LCP	Draft Notice of Intent to Move Protected Person	0.50	\$300.00	\$150.00
10/01/2019	Service	JPM	Communication with attorney Ty Kehoe re visitation, plan of care, etc.	0.10	\$450.00	\$45.00
10/01/2019	Service	JPM	Further communications with Kimberly's attorney's outlining issues.	0.10	\$450.00	\$45.00
10/02/2019	Service	LCP	Strategy for hearing with JPM, discuss correspondence with Kimberly's new	0.70	\$300,00	\$210.00
0/02/2019	Service	LM	Receipt and review of Ty Kehoe's opposition to petition for appointment of temporary guardian and counter petition for appointment of temporary and general guardian.	1.40	\$200.00	\$280.00
10/02/2019	Service	LCP	TC with R. Friedman (.2); TC with D. Simmons (.2); receive and review Opposition filed by T. Kehoe (.5); email same to clients (.1)	1.00	\$300.00	\$300.00
0/02/2019	Service	LCP	Prepare for hearing	3,30	\$300.00	\$990.00
10/02/2019	Service	JPM	Communications all day with clients, opposing counsel re hearing prep and efforts to settle issues. Review opposition briefs and supplements thereto.	4.50	\$450.00	\$2,025.00
10/02/2019	Service	JPM	Visit with clients and protected person. Prior phone call to attorney for protected person.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
10/03/2019	Service	LM	Effled order extending temporary guard anship (.1); prepared notice of entry of order extending temporary guard anship (.2); officed same and mailed to all interested parties (.2); emailed Donna and Robyn with a copy of the order extending temporary guardianship (.3); arranged for mailing of same, (.1)	0.70	\$200.00	\$140.00
10/03/2019	Service	LCP	Attend hearing [NO CHARGE]	3.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Page 3 of 6

\$4.5	\$0.50	9.00	Reimbursable expenses: Regular US Mail - Proposed Care Plan	SJ	Expense	10/03/2019
\$12.0	\$40.00	0.30	(Regular US Mail - NEO Extending Temp	SJ	Service	10/03/2019
\$5.0	\$0.50	10.00	Reimbursable expenses: Regular US Mail - NEO Extending Temp	SJ	Expense	10/03/2019
\$1,125.0	\$450.00	2.50	Review numerous materials and prepare arguments for hearing.	JPM	Service	10/03/2019
\$1,440.0	\$450.00	3.20	Settlement negotiations at court; client conferences at court; participate in hearing and follow up conversations with clients and opposing attorneys.	JPM	Service	10/03/2019
\$210.0	\$300.00	0.70	Generate list of items needed from Kim and Gerry (.5); email same to R. Friedman and D. Simmons (.2)	LCP	Service	10/03/2019
\$90.0	\$300.00	0.30	Call from R. Friedman (.2); email to SDF attorneys to follow up information reported by R. Friedman (.1)	LCP	Service	10/04/2019
\$90.00	\$300.00	0.30	TC x2 with D. Simmons (.2); email to D. Simmons attaching Oppositions per her request (.1)	LCP	Service	10/04/2019
\$300.00	\$300.00	1.00	Call from R. Friedman (.4); discussion of payments to caregivers (.3); email to R. Evans re: same(.2); email to JPM re: same (.1)	LCP	Service	10/04/2019
\$100.00	\$200.00	0.50	Receipt of email from Donna to confirm her address and to send future mail to her certified mail (.2); email to Donna and Robyn letting them know certified copies of the Order Extending the Temporary Guardianship are ready for pickup (.3).	LM	Service	10/04/2019
\$60.06	\$60.06	1.00	Mail: Fed Ex Overnight Envelope to Donna Simmons Priority only option because it's being delivered on Saturday.	LT	Expense	10/04/2019
\$180.00	\$300.00	0.60	Discuss with JPM re: caregiver compensation (.4); Call from R. Friedman re: same (.2)	LCP	Service	10/04/2019
\$150.00	\$300.00	0.50	Incorporate R. Friedman's requests for items into the existing list of demanded items	LCP	Service	10/04/2019
\$135.00	\$450.00	0.30	Communications re compensation for Kimberly as caregiver.	JPM	Service	10/04/2019
\$60.00	\$200,00	0.30	Office conference with attorneys regarding filling a responsive pleading to opposition a for appointment of guardian, scheduling a face to face meeting with all parties.	LM	Service	10/07/2019

Page 4 of 6

			involved.			
10/07/2019	Service	LCP	Update on status	.0.20	\$300.00	\$60.0
10/07/2019	Service	JPM	Meet with legal team and consider next steps in light of events and communications over the past few days.	0.40	\$450.00	\$180.0
10/07/2019	Service	JPM	Phone conference with Kimberly's attorney Ross Evans.	0.30	\$450.00	\$135.00
10/07/2019	Expense	SJ	Reimbursable expenses: Certification of Copy	1.00	\$10.00	\$10.0
10/07/2019	Service	LM	Review of email from Geraldine Tomich requesting a copy of the petition for guardianship (.2); emailed a copy to Ms. Tomich (.2).	0.40	\$200.00	\$80.0
10/07/2019	Service	JPM	Contact Kate McCloskey with guardianship compliance office re coordinating sharing of information in support of financial investigation.	0.10	\$450.00	\$45.0
10/07/2019	Service	JPM	Contact clients' real estate attorney re claims against Dick re recovery of home.	0.10	\$450.00	\$45.0
10/07/2019	Service	JPM	Draft/edit/revise letters to opposing parties re demands for various items.	0.40	\$450.00	\$180.0
0/08/2019	Service	LCP	Research Subpoena issues.	0.70	\$300.00	\$210,0
10/08/2019	Service	LM	Attempt to call Cindy Sauchak of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (.1); email Ms. Sauchak regarding setting up a telephonce conference with JPM (.1); telephone call with Metro's abuse and neglect (.1)	0.30	\$200.00	\$60.00
0/08/2019	Service	JPM	Meet with staff to debrief last week's hearing and plan strategy going forward including possible settlement conference.	0.80	\$450.00	\$380,0
0/08/2019	Service	JPM	Communications with clients and Kimberly's counsel discussing issues and trying to arrange face to face settlement meeting.	0.30	\$450.00	\$135.00
0/08/2019	Service	JPM	Communications with state guardianship compliance office re status of their investigation.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
0/09/2019	Service	LM	Telephone call with Detective Ludwig at Metro's abuse and neglect unit regarding setting up conference call.	0.70	\$200.00	\$140.0
0/09/2019	Service	LCP	Strategy with JPM	1.00	\$300.00	\$300.0
0/09/2019	Service	JPM	phone conference with clients re possible settlement conference today.	0.50	\$450.00	\$225.00

Page 5 of 6

10/09/2019	Service	JPM	Communication with Kimberly's attorneys re settlement conference.	0.30	\$450.00	\$135.00
10/09/2019	Service	JPM	Prepare for hearing and settlement conference, possible arguments, solutions, possible responses to oppositions filed.	1.10	\$450.00	\$495.00
10/09/2019	Service	LCP	Travel to and attend meeting at SDF law firm	2 50	\$300.00	\$750.00
10/09/2019	Service	JPM	Continue preparing for settlement conference, travel to and participate in settlement conference at Kimberly's attorney's office.	2.80	\$450.00	\$1,260.00

Total \$18,117.06
Payment (10/14/2019) -\$18,117.06

Balance Owing \$0.00

Please make all amounts payable to: Michaelson & Associates Ltd.

Payment is due upon receipt. You may pay online using the link below. Please be sure to include the invoice number when submitting a payment.

https://app.clio.com/link/4HAcxKJ27WhK



INVOICE

Invoice # 12748 Date: 10/18/2019

2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 Henderson, Nevada 89052 United States Phone: 702,731,2333

Robyn Friedman 2824 High Sail Ct. Las Vegas, NV 89117

Guardianship 59: Friedman, Robyn and Simmons, Donna (June Jones)-2019-08-01582

Date	Type	Attorney	Notes	Quantity	Rate	Total
10/10/2019	Service	LM	Drafted notice of intent for Scott Simmons to appear by telephone at the hearing on October 15th (.5); telephone call and leave message for Scott to confirm the telephone number we can reach him at next week (.1).	0.60	\$200.00	\$120.00
10/10/2019	Service	LCP	Review Notice to Appear by Communication Equipment for S. Simmons, email to clients to confirm telephon number	0.10	\$300.00	\$30.00
10/10/2019	Service	JPM	Work on reply to opposition.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
10/10/2019	Service	SJ d	Regular US Mail - Notice of Intent	0.20	\$40.00	\$8.00
10/10/2019	Expense	SJ	Reimbursable expenses: Regular US Mail - Notice of Intent	6.00	\$0.50	\$3.00
10/10/2019	Service	JPM	further work on reply to opposition.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
10/10/2019	Service	JPM	Respond to attorney Ty Kehoe by calling him.	0.10	\$450.00	\$45.00
10/11/2019	Service	JPM	Draft/edit/revise reply to oppositions. Formulate arguments.	1.80	\$450.00	\$810.00
10/11/2019	Service	LM	Review of emails received from client to compel opposing party to provide information and documentation on finances and personal information such as passport and medical records (.20); review guardianship		\$200.00	\$100.00

			statutes regarding petition for instruction (.3).			
10/11/2019	Service	LCP	Draft Reply to Opposition	4.20	\$300.00	\$1,260.00
10/11/2019	Service	LCP	Draft Reply to Opposition	0.50	\$300.00	\$150.00
10/11/2019	Service	LM	Prepare response to counter petition for guardianship (.8); filing response before Tuesday's hearing and preparing a notice of move (.2); prepared a notice of move; efiled and eserved same with the court (.4).	1.40	\$200.00	\$280.00
10/11/2019	Service	LCP	Work on Reply to Opposition	1.70	\$300.00	\$510.00
10/11/2019	Service	JPM	Phone conference with attorney Ty Kehoe trying to resolve issues.	1.60	\$450.00	\$720.00
10/11/2019	Service	JPM	Later phone conferences with clients.	0.50	\$450.00	\$225.00
10/12/2019	Service	JPM	Review numerous pleadings and communications and draft/edit/revise response pleading. Communications with client and team re the same.	3.50	\$450.00	\$1,575.00
10/13/2019	Service	LCP	Work on Reply to Opposition	2.60	\$300.00	\$780.00
10/13/2019	Service	JPM	Review some emails and direct team on draft of response.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
10/14/2019	Service	LCP	Work on Reply to Oppositions	1.50	\$300.00	\$450.00
10/14/2019	Service	LCP	Gather and assemble documents that will be attached as exhibits to Reply	0.90	\$300.00	\$270.00
10/14/2019	Service	LCP	Review and accept JPM's revisions to Reply to Opposition	0.50	\$300.00	\$150.00
10/14/2019	Service	LM	Telephone call with Robyn Friedman and Donna to sign the respective verification pages to reply (.3); draft order granting petition for appointment of general guardian. (1.7)	2.00	\$200.00	\$400.00
10/14/2019	Service	LCP	Email from R. Friedman requesting revisions to Reply (.1); revisions made per her request (.5)	0.60	\$300.00	\$180.00
10/14/2019	Service	SJ	Regular US Mail - Notice of Intent	0.30	\$40.00	\$12.00
10/14/2019	Expense	SJ	Reimbursable expenses: Regular US Mail – Notice of Intent	6.00	\$0.50	\$3.00
10/14/2019	Service	JPM	Draft/edit/revise supplement and prepare arguments for hearing tomorrow.	2.50	\$450.00	\$1,125.00
10/15/2019	Service	LM	Receipt of email from Geri Tomich regarding scheduling a 2:00 p.m. meeting with JPM (.2); respond to same and calendar (.2).	0.40	\$200.00	\$80.00

10/15/2019	Service	LM	Telephone call with Sharon Coates regarding latest version of the care plan approved by the Nevada Legislature (.2); receipt and review of Rule 6 the initial guardianship care plan rule (.2).	0.40	\$200.00	\$80.00
10/15/2019	Service	LCP	Attend court hearing	3.50	\$300,00	\$1,050.00
10/15/2019	Service	LM	Prepared supplement to reply to oppositions to include executed verification of clients (.4); efiled and mailed same (.2).	0.60	\$200.00	\$120.00
10/15/2019	Service	JPM	Prepare for hearing. Participate in hearing including client conferences and negotiations.	5.20	\$450.00	\$2,340.00
10/15/2019	Service	JPM	Phone conference with real estate attorney Geri Tomich re next steps.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
0/15/2019	Service	JPM	email counsel for Kimberly re order.	0.10	\$450.00	\$45.00
10/16/2019	Service	SJ	Regular US Mail - Supplement TO Reply to Oppositions	0.20	\$40.00	\$8,00
10/16/2019	Expense	SJ	Reimbursable expenses: Regular US Mail - Supplement To Reply To Oppositions	6.00	\$0.50	\$3.00
10/16/2019	Service	JPM	Confer with counsel for Kimberly re guardianship order and outcome of hearing.	0.20	\$450.00	\$90.00
10/18/2019	Service	LM	Review court file for order regarding hearing; calendared evidentiary hearing and return hearing on investigator's report.	0.20	\$200.00	\$40.00

Total \$13,422.00
Payment (10/20/2019) -\$13,422.00
Balance Owing \$0.00

Please make all amounts payable to: Michaelson & Associates Ltd.

Payment is due upon receipt. You may pay online using the link below. Please be sure to include the invoice number when submitting a payment.

https://app.clio.com/link/4HAcxKJ27WhK

	invoice total	red	redacted amount	date	reason	reimbursable amount
#12460	\$ 5,200.00					
		s	60.00	8/21/2019	admin	
		s	80.00	8/23/2019	office conference	
		s	160.00	8/28/2019	office conference	
	total redacted	s	300.00			\$ 4,900.00
#12560	10840.5					
		s	4.00	9/5/2019	admin	
		s	4.00	9/5/2019	admin	
		s	4.00	9/5/2019	admin	
		s	260.00	9/6/2019	research	
		s	22.50	9/6/2019	research	
		s	150.00	9/6/2019	office conference	
		s	30.00	9/9/2019	admin	
		s	60.00	9/9/2019	office conference	
		s	105.00	9/9/2019	office conference	
	total redacted	s	639.50			\$ 10,201.00
#12595	20444.6					
		s	20.00	9/10/2019	admin	
		s	120.00	9/12/2019	office conference	
		s	225.00	9/13/2019	office conference	
		s	150.00	9/19/2019	admin	
		s	80.00	9/20/2019	admin	
		s	8.00	9/23/2019	admin	
	total redacted	s	603.00			\$ 19.841.60

\$ 62,029.66				total fees and costs requested for reimbursement	total f
\$ 12,314.00			\$ 1,108.00	total redacted	
	admin	10/16/2019	\$ 8.00		
	attorney support	10/15/2019	\$ 1,050.00		
	admin	10/14/2019	\$ 12.00		
	admin	10/10/2019	\$ 8.00		
	admin	10/10/2019	\$ 30.00		
				748 13422	#12748
\$ 14,773.06			\$ 3,344.00	total redacted	
	attorney support	10/9/2019	\$ 750.00		
	office conference	10/9/2019	\$ 300.00		
	office conference	10/8/2019	\$ 360.00		
	research	10/8/2019	\$ 210.00		
	office conference	10/7/2019	\$ 180.00		
	office conference	10/7/2019	\$ 60.00		
	office conference	10/7/2019	\$ 60.00		
	admin	10/3/2019	\$ 12.00		
	admin	10/3/2019	\$ 12.00		
	admin	10/3/2019	\$ 140.00		
upport	admin/attorney support	10/2/2019	\$ 990.00		
	office conference	10/2/2019	\$ 210.00		
	office conference	10/1/2019	\$ 60.00		
				2720 18117.06	#12720

costs requested for reimbursement

10/16/2019	3.00	s	
10/14/2019	3.00	s	
10/10/2019	3.00	s	
10/7/2019	10.00	S	
10/4/2019	60.06	s	
10/3/2019	5.00	S	
10/3/2019	4.50	S	
9/26/2019	20.00	s	
9/26/2019	3.50	s	
9/23/2019	50.00	s	
9/23/2019	3.50	s	
9/23/2019	6.50	s	
9/20/2019	3.50	S	
9/20/2019	89.60	s	
9/19/2019	3.50	s	
9/5/2019	2.50	s	
9/5/2019	3.50	S	

total fees [invoice total minus costs]

total costs

\$ 274.66

\$ 61,755.00

CLERK OF THE COURT 1 Marquis Aurbach Coffing Geraldine Tomich, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 8369 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 3 Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001 Park Run Drive 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 5 gtomich@maclaw.com jbeckstrom@maclaw.com 6 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones 7 8 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF: 10 Case No.: G-19-052263-A Dept. No.: В 11 KATHLEEN JUNE JONES MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 12 An Adult Protected Person. Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 13 14 10001 Park Run Drive NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS 15 FROM GUARDIANSHIP CASE 16 ☐ TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP **⊠** GENERAL GUARDIANSHIP □ Person □ Person 17 □ Estate □ Estate □ Summary Admin. 18 ☐ Person and Estate Person and Estate 19 ☐ SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP □ NOTICES/SAFEGUARDS 20 □ Person ☐ Blocked Account Required 21 □ Estate □ Summary Admin. ☐ Bond Required ☐ Person and Estate 22 23 MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING HEREBY GIVES NOTICE that they intend to 24 seek reimbursement of their attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this Guardianship action and 25 any necessary action resulting therein, pursuant to NRS 159.344 from the date of this Notice 26 forward. As required by NRS 159.344(3) and in support of the foregoing notice, Marquis 27 Aurbach Coffing provides the following information: 28 Compensation Arrangement. a. Page 1 of 3 MAC:15820-001 2/21/2020 3:08 PM

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Electronically Filed 2/21/2020 3:12 PM Steven D. Grierson

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The attorneys and staff at Marquis Aurbach Coffing, bill their services by the hour on a six-minute increment of time rounded to the nearest one-tenth of an hour. Billing occurs on a monthly basis and payment is required within fifteen days of the date of the billing statement.

- Hourly Billing Rates. The hourly billing rates of the attorneys and paralegals at Marquis Aurbach Coffing, presently assigned to this matter are as follows:
 - i. Geraldine Tomich, Esq. - \$415.00 per hour.
 - ii. James Beckstrom, Esq. - \$275.00 per hour.

Geraldine Tomich, Esq., is the principal attorney assigned to the matter. James A. Beckstrom, Esq., is the associate attorney assigned to the matter. The firm reserves the right to change the attorneys assigned to the matter. Attorneys at the firm generally bill at hourly rates between \$235 and \$450. Senior paralegals of the firm bill at an hourly rate of \$170 per hour for Guardianship matters. An increase in billing rates may occur in the future.

Necessity of Services. The services of an attorney for the Guardian is necessary in c. this matter to aid Kimberly Jones in preserving her status as Guardian of the Person and Estate, to investigate and respond to exploitative actions taken by certain interested parties, and to provide guidance to the Guardian on Nevada law for the Guardian to make informed decisions regarding the administration of the Guardianship. To the extent the Guardian requires counsel to prosecute any collateral case on behalf of the Protected Person as a result of the Guardianship, including the civil action approved by this Court, future fees and costs incurred after this Notice may accrue.

Dated this 21st day of February, 2020.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

By /s/ James A. Beckstrom Geraldine Tomich, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8369 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones

Page 2 of 3

MAC:15820-001 2/21/2020 3:08 PM

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 12 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS FROM GUARDIANSHIP CASE** was submitted electronically for filing and/or service with the Eighth Judicial District Court on the <u>21st</u> day of February, 2020. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the E-Service List as follows:¹

Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052

Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICES 2450 St. Rose Pkwy., Ste. 210 Henderson, NV 89074

Laura Deeter, Esq. Nedda Ghandi, Esq. 725 S. 8th Street, Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman

Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. LEGAL AID OF SOUTHERN NEVADA 725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorneys for Protected Person

John P. Michaelson, Esq.
MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Ste. 160
Henderson, NV 89052
Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to:

N/A

/s/ Cheryl Becnel
An employee of Marquis Aurbach Coffing

Page 3 of 3

MAC:15820-001 2/21/2020 3:08 PM

¹ Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), each party who submits an E-Filed document through the E-Filing System consents to electronic service in accordance with NRCP 5(b)(2)(D).

W W SUPINITAM COM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ., Bar No. 09619 jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com
ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ., Bar No. 11374 revans@sdfnvlaw.com
SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89129
Telephone: (702) 853-5483
Facsimile: (702) 853-5485

Attorneys for Respondent Kimberly Jones

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF:

KATHLEEN JUNE JONES

An Adult Protected Person.

Case No.: G-19-052263-A Dept.: B

Electronically Filed 2/21/2020 3:26 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

No Hearing Requested

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF TO PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS; OR, ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION TO RECONSIDER

Kimberly Jones ("Kim"), by and through her counsel of record, Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq., and Ross E. Evans, Esq., of the law firm Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., hereby submits her Supplemental Brief to Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorney Fees and Costs, or Alternatively Motion to Reconsider ("Supplement") ruling that Guardian may request reimbursement of attorney fees from January 15, 2020 forward. The foregoing Supplement relates to Kim's Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorneys' Fees and Costs filed January 15, 2020 and the hearing which took place on February 13, 2020. Petitioner does not request a hearing as to this Supplement or Motion to Reconsider.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1. At the hearing on February 13, 2020, this Court ordered that because Petitioner's Notice of Intent to Seek Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs from Guardianship Estate was not filed until January 15, 2020, the Court would only consider reimbursing Petitioner's attorneys' fees incurred after January 15, 2020.

1 of 5

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

896

27 28

SOLONA SERENE AVENUE AVENUE AVENUE AVENUE AVENUE SWICKING SERER FILEPHONE (702) 853-5483 RIST AND SEVIL A TOOR SES-SARS WWW.SDENULAW.COM

2. At the hearing, however, this Court did not consider that it already ruled in its November 25, 2019 Order, a copy of which is attached hereto, that it would in fact approve the attorneys' fees of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd.:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court approve payment of attorneys' fees and costs from the guardianship estate to the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., at the conclusion of the guardianship proceeding, subject to Court confirmation.

See Order from October 15, 2019, Hearing, attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

- 3. The November 25, 2019 Order was circulated to counsel for each of the interested parties on October 29, 2019, and was executed on the following dates: (a) by Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq., counsel for the Protected Person, on October 29, 2019; (b) by John Michaelson, Esq., counsel for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons, on October 31, 2019. Mr. Kehoe, Esq., refused to execute the proposed Order, and submitted a letter to the Court which delayed entry of the Order until November 25, 2019. Each of the parties were on notice that Kim sought reimbursement of her attorneys' fees and costs as early as October 29, 2019.
- 4. Accordingly, Kim requests that the Court consider the effect of the November 25, 2019 Order imparting notice to the interested parties of Kim's intent to seek her attorneys' fees from the Estate.
- 5. This Court should grant reimbursement of all of Petitioner's expenses in the amount of \$1,684.85. See, NRS 159.183(1)(b), providing that "a guardian must be allowed ... [n]ecessary and reasonable expenses incurred in exercising the authority and performing the duties of a guardian[.]"
- 6. Kim hereby requests that in preparing its Order, this Court grant a reimbursement of Kim's attorneys' fees incurred from October 29, 2019 forward. Thus, Kim requests that the Court grant reimbursement of attorneys' fees incurred in the amount of \$6,652.00, and costs in the full amount of \$1,684.51, for a total reimbursement of \$8,336.51.

¹ See, revised attorney fee ledger attached hereto as Exhibit 2, and cost ledger attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

7. Accordingly, this Court should enter its Order granting fees and costs to be paid from the Guardianship Estate once it has sufficient funds, in the collective amount of \$8,336.51.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner Kimberly Jones, as Guardian of the Person and Estate of Kathleen Jones, respectfully requests that this Court enter its Order as follows:

- a. Authorizing payment of attorneys' fees and costs to the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., from the Guardianship Estate in the amount of \$8,336.51; and
 - b. For any and all such further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

 DATED this Asia day of February, 2020.

OMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

WEFEREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 09619 -ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11374 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

Attorneys for Kimberly Jones

	ſ	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	1	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 21 day of February, 2020, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I
	2	caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF TO PETITION
	3	FOR PAYMENT OF GUARDIAN'S ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS; OR,
	4	ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION TO RECONSIDER, to be served to the following in the
	5	manner set forth below:
	6	 Via:
	7	
	8	Hand Delivery U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
	9	Certified Mail, Receipt No.:
CHEYENNE AVENUE , NEVADA 89129 (702) 853-5483 (702) 853-5485 NLAW,COM	10	[] Return Receipt Request [XXX] E-Service through Wiznet
CHEYENNE AVER NEVADA 89129 (702) 853-5483 (702) 853-5485 VLAW.COM	11	Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons:
9060 WEST CHEYENNE A LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89 ER IELEPHONE (702) 853-54 FACSIMILE (702) 853-54 WWW.SDFNVLAW.COM	12	John P. Michaelson, Esq.
	13	MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. john@michaelsonlaw.com
	14	Kathleen Jones, Adult Protected Person:
VO 8 RRE	15	Maria L. Parra Sandoval, Esq.
OLOM IGGINS & I	16	LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC. mparra@lacsn.org
SC		Rodney Gerald Yeoman:
	17	Ty E. Kehoe, Esq.
	18	KEHOE & ASSOCIATES <u>TyKehoe@gmail.com</u>
	19	
	20	Matthew C. Piccolo PICCOLO LAW OFFICES
	21	matt@piccololawoffices.com
	22	Laura A. Deeter, Esq.
	23	GHANDI DEETER BLACKAM 725 S. 8 th Street, Ste. 100
	24	Las Vegas, NV 89101 laura@ghandilaw.com
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	/ / /

Kimberly Jones
Geraldine Tomich, Esq.
James A. Beckstrom, Esq.
MARQUIS AURBACH & COFFING
gtomich@maclaw.com
jbeckstrom@maclaw.com

An employee of SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

EXHIBIT 1

Electronically Filed 11/25/2019 1:19 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

26

27

28

ORDR JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ., Bar No. 09619 iluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ., Bar No. 11374 revans@sdfnvlaw.com SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Telephone: (702) 853-5483 Facsimile: (702) 853-5485

Attorneys for Respondent Kimberly Jones

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE Case No.: GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND Dept.: ESTATE OF:

G-19-052263-A

В

KATHLEEN JUNE JONES

An Adult Protected Person.

Date of Hearing: October 15, 2019 Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.

ORDER FROM OCTOBER 15, 2019 HEARING

☐ TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP ☐ Person ☐ Estate ☐ Person and Estate	☐ GENERAL GUARDIANSHIP ☐ Person ☐ Estate ☐ Summary Admin. ☐ Person and Estate
□SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP □Person □Estate □Summary Admin. □Person and Estate	□ NOTICES/SAFEGUARDS □ Blocked Account Required □ Bond Required

This matter having come on for hearing before the above entitled Court on October 15, 2019. Present at the hearing were: Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq. of the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. on behalf of Kimberly Jones; Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. of Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, on behalf of Kathleen June Jones, Protected Person; Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. of the law firm Kehoe & Associates, and Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. of the law firm Piccolo Law Offices, on behalf of Rodney Gerald Yeoman; and John P. Michaelson, Esq. of the law firm Michaelson & Associates, Ltd., on behalf of Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons (collectively, the "Parties"). After considering the papers and pleadings on file herein and the argument of counsel

RECEIVED

1 of 5 Case Number: G-19-052263-A

01 01 039 And the second of the second of the second

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

at the time of hearing and good cause appearing, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. That on December 27, 2005, Kathleen June Junes executed a Healthcare Power of Attorney naming her daughter, Kimberly Jones, as her Attorney-in-Fact for healthcare decisions.
- 2. That on October 24, 2012, Kathleen June Jones executed a Financial Power of Attorney naming her daughter, Kimherly Jones, as her Attorney-in-Fact for financial matters.
- 3. That on November 23, 2012, Kathleen June Jones executed a Last Will and Testament naming her daughter, Kimberly Jones, as her Personal Representative and chosen guardian over her person and estate, should the need for a guardian ever arise.
- 4. That on September 19, 2019, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons filed their Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship, and Petition for Appointment of General Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of General Guardianship ("Ex Parte Petition for Temporary Guardianship").
- 5. That on September 19, 2019, the Clerk of the Court issued a Citation to Appear and Show Cause scheduling a hearing for October 15, 2019 to "show cause, if any, why Kathleen June Jones ("Protected Person"), should not be declared incapacitated or in need of a guardian to manage the Protected Person's personal and financial affairs and to further show cause, if any, why Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons, should not be appointed to act as Guardian of the protected person's Person and Estate."
- 6. That on September 23, 2019, this Court entered its Order Granting Ex Parte Petition for Temporary Guardianship wherein it appointed Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons as Temporary Guardians. On October 3, 2019, this Court extended the temporary guardianship.
- 7. That on October 2, 2019, Rodney Gerald Yeoman, the husband of Kathleen June Jones, filed his Opposition to Appointment of Temporary Guardian and General Guardian and Counter-Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship and Counter-Petition for Appointment of General Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance

of Letters of General Guardianship ("Rodney's Counter-Petition").

- 8. That on October 2, 2019, Kimberly Jones filed her Opposition to *Ex Parte* Petition for Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate; Alternatively, Counter-Petition for Appointment of Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate ("Kimberly's Counter-Petition").
- 9. That on October 15, 2019 at the Citation to Appear and Show Cause Hearing, Kathleen June Jones, by and through her Court appointed Counsel, Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, advised the Court that it was Kathleen June Jones' desire that Kimberly Jones be appointed as her client's guardian.

Good Cause Appearing Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones' Counter-Petition is hereby GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones is hereby appointed as guardian of the Estate and Person of Kathleen June Jones and Letters of General Guardianship shall issue to Kimberly Jones.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman's Counter-Petition is hereby DENIED in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Letters of Temporary Guardianship entered on September 23, 2019 are hereby revoked.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to issue Letters of Guardianship to Kimberly Jones upon subscribing to the appropriate oath of office, and bond be waived, since there are no liquid assets.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones shall investigate the facts and circumstances regarding the purported transfer of real property located at 6277 Kraft Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89130, APN 138-02-511-076, from June Jones to Richard & Kandi Powell on or around January 16, 2018, and pursue any potential claims and/or resolution relating to the same.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones shall disseminate the medical records and/or information relating to Kathleen June Jones to Robyn Friedman, Donna Simmons and Rodney Gerald Yeoman.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman shall be allowed to participate in visits with Kathleen June Jones, however, because Rodney Gerald Yeoman was unwilling to provide any information regarding his health/medical conditions said visits must be supervised by Kimberly Jones and/or an agent of her choosing so as to ensure the safety of Kathleen June Jones.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court approve payment of attorneys' fees and costs from the guardianship estate to the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. at the conclusion of the guardianship proceeding, subject to Court confirmation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a return hearing on the Investigative Reports is hereby scheduled for January 14, 2020, and if necessary, an evidentiary hearing on the Investigative Reports is scheduled for February 20, 2020.

DATED this 25 day of November, 2019.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE LINDA MARQUIS

Submitted by:

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

JEFFREY, P. LUSZECK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 09619

24

22

23

ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ. 25 Nevada Bar No. 11374

By:

26

27 28

Attorneys for Kimberly Jones

9060 West Cheyenne Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

Approved as to Form and Content:

LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN

NEVADA

MARIA L. PARRA SANDOVAL, ESO.

Nevada Bar No. 13736 725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89104

Attorney for Kathleen Jones, Protected Person

Approved as to Form and Content:

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES

DISAPPROVED

By: 10-31-19

TY E. KEHOE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6011 871 Coronado Center Dr. Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052

Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman

Approved as to Form and Content:

MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

By: _____

JOHN P. MICHAELSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7822 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 Henderson, NV 89052

Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons

Approved as to Form and Content:

KEHOE & ASSOCIATES

By: _____

TY E. KEHOE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6011 871 Coronado Center Dr. Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052

Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman

Approved as to Form and Content:

MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

By: John P. MICHAELSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7822 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 Henderson, NV 89052

Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons

EXHIBIT 2

Detail Fee Transaction File List Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd.

Client	Trans Date	Tmkr	Rate	Hours to Bill	Amount	Description
	10/29/2019	JPL	425	0.5		Supplement order to incorporate counsel's requests
					,	(.3). Draft correspondence to client (x2), Ty Kehoe (x1) and all counsel regarding order (.2).
7099.0001	10/30/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$127.50	Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from counsel.
7099.0001	10/30/2019	REE	285	0.3	\$85.50	Draft email to client regarding (.3); Review client response and forward to Jeffrey P. Luszeck (0.0).
7099,0001	10/31/2019	JPL	425	0.4	\$170.00	Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from other counsel regarding order (.3). Evaluate and respond to client (.1).
7099.0001	11/04/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	Evaluate and respond to correspondence from Ty Kehoe.
7099.0001	11/04/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$127.50	Telephone conference with client (.2). Evaluate and respond to multiple correspondence from Ty Kehoe (.1).
7099.0001	11/05/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$127.50	Evaluate and respond to correspondence from David Johnson. Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding same.
7099.0001	11/07/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding publication,
7099.0001	11/07/2019	REE	285	0.3	\$85.50	Conference with client regarding case issues.
	11/08/2019	JPL	425		\$0.00	Travel to and attend conference with John Michaelson.
	11/09/2019	JPL	425	0.1		Evaluate correspondence from client.
7099.0001	11/12/2019	JPL	425	0.8	\$340.00	Prepare for and participate in telephone conference with client (.5). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client (.3).
7099.0001	11/13/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$255.00	Telephone conferences with Kimberly Jones (.3). Evaluate and respond to multiple correspondence from Marquis Aurbach Coffing (.3). Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding same (.1). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client (.2).
7099.0001	11/14/2019	JPL	425	1.4		Prepare for and participate in numerous telephone conferences with Kimberly (.5), Marquis Aurbach Coffing (.3) and John Michaelson (.3). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client (.2). Evaluate minute order from (.1).
	11/15/2019	JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	Evaluate and respond to correspondence.
7099.0001	11/18/2019	JPL	425	0.5	\$212.50	Prepare for and participate in conference call with client (.4). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from David Johnson (.1).
7099.0001	11/20/2019	JPL	425	0.3	\$127.50	Telephone conference with Marquis Aurbach Coffing and client.
7099.0001	11/21/2019	JPL	425	0.6	\$255.00	Telephone conference with Marquis Aurbach Coffing (.2). Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from client (.2). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from Ty Kehoe (.2).
7099.0001	11/22/2019	JPL	425	0.8	\$340.00	Evaluate order and correspondence from Ty Kehoe (.2). (.4). Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from Marquis Aurbach Coffing (.2). Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client (.2).
7099.0001	11/22/2019	REE	285	0.2	\$57.00	Conference with Ty Kehoe regarding status; Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck.
7099.0001	11/23/2019	JPL	425	1.4	\$595.00	Evaluate correspondence (.1). Evaluate multiple voicernails (.1). Continue to evaluate Ty's proposed order and correspondence thereto (.2). Draft response to Ty's proposed order (1). Draft correspondence to client regarding

						June Jones Guardianshin/Power of Attorney
Total for Client			Billable	17.2		Jones/Kimberly
7099.0001 12	2/24/2019	JPL	425	0.1		Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding matter.
7099.0001 12	2/20/2019	REE	285	1.3		Revise petition for reimbursement of attorney fees to Guardian.
7099.0001 12		JPL	425	0.1		Confer with Ross E. Evans regarding petition for fees.
7000 0004 40	2/40/2040	101	405	0.4		for fees and draft petition for fees.
7099.0001 12	2/19/2019	REE	285	2.6		Conference with Jeffrey P. Luszeck regarding Petition
7099,0001 12		JPL	425	0.2		Evaluate and respond to correspondence.
7099.0001 12		JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	Evaluate correspondence from Ty Kehoe.
7099.0001 12		JPL	425	0.1	\$42.50	court-appointed investigator (over 10 emails). Evaluate numerous correspondence regarding dogs and order.
7099.0001 12	2/10/2019	JPL	425	0.4		Evaluate numerous correspondence from client, Ty Kehoe, Marquis Aurbach Coffing and court-appointed investigator (over 10 emails).
7099.0001 12	_	JPL	425	0.1		Evaluate and respond to correspondence.
						Kehoe (.2). Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from client (.3). Evaluate oppositions filed by Ty Kehoe (.4).
7099.0001 12	2/06/2019	JPL	425	0.8		Evaluate and respond to correspondence from Ty
7099.0001 12	2/05/2019	JPL	425	0.2		numerous correspondence from James, John and Ty regarding upcoming hearing (.3). Evaluate notices of hearing and orders shortening time (.1). Evaluate and respond to correspondence.
7099.0001 12	2/04/2019	JPL	425	0.6		Telephone conference with client (.2). Evaluate
7099.0001 12		JPL	425	0.1		Evaluate correspondence.
7099.0001 11	1/29/2019	JPL,	425	0.2	\$85.00	Evaluate email and declaration attached thereto.
7050 0004 44						Evaluate correspondence from John Michaelson (.1). Evaluate and respond to numerous correspondence from client (.2). Supplement correspondence to court and evaluate correspondence from same (.2).
7099.0001 11	1/25/2019	JPL	425	0.6		(.1). Supplement correspondence to Judge Marquis (.2). Evaluate correspondence from Ty Kehoe (.1).
7099.0001 11	1/24/2019	JPL	425	0.3		Evaluate and respond to correspondence from client

June Jones Guardianship/Power of Attorney

EXHIBIT 3

Detail Cost Transaction File List Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd.

Client	Trans	B. 4		
Client	Date	Rate	Amount	Description
7099.0001 1	10/02/2019		\$85.90	Electronic Filing Fee for Opposition to Ex Parte Petition for
				Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian of the Person
				and Estate; and Alternatively, Counter-Petition for Appointment of
				Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General Guardian of the Person
7000 0004	1010010010			and Estate
7099.0001 1	10/03/2019		\$3.50	Electronic Filing Fee for Supplement to Counter-Petition for
				Appointment of Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General
7000 0004				Guardian of the Person and Estate
7099.0001 1		0.1		Laser copy charges.
7099.0001 1		0.1		Laser copy charges.
7099.0001 1		0.1		Laser copy charges.
7099.0001 1		0.1		Laser copy charges.
7099.0001 1				Parking
7099.0001 1		0.1		Laser copy charges.
7099.0001 1		0.1	\$0.50	Laser copy charges.
7099.0001 1		5		Westlaw online legal research.
7099.0001 1		8		Courier fee.
7099.0001 1		8	\$8.00	Courier fee.
7099.0001 1		8	\$8.00	Courier fee.
7099.0001 1		8	\$8.00	Courier fee.
7099.0001 1	1/13/2019	0.25	\$0.50	Copy charges.
7099.0001 1	1/15/2019	0.1	\$0.60	Scan charges.
7099.0001 1	1/22/2019	0.25	\$6.75	Copy charges.
7099.0001 1	1/22/2019	0.5	\$1.50	Color photocopies.
7099.0001 1	1/25/2019	0.1		Scan charges.
7099.0001 1	1/25/2019	0.25	\$9.00	Copy charges.
7099.0001 1		0.5	\$1.50	Color photocopies.
7099.0001 1	1/25/2019	8	\$8.00	Courier fee.
7099.0001 1		8	\$8.00	Courier fee.
7099.0001 1	1/25/2019		\$3.50	Electronic Filing Fee for Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing
7099.0001 1	1/25/2019		\$3.50	Electronic Filing Fee for Notice of Entry of Order
7099.0001 1	1/27/2019	0.1	\$0.20	Scan charges.
7099.0001 1	1/27/2019	0.25	\$1.50	Copy charges.
7099.0001 1	1/27/2019	0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001 1	1/27/2019		\$3.50	Electronic Filing Fee for Letters of Guardianship
7099.0001 1	2/02/2019	0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001 1	2/05/2019		\$25.00	Certified Copies of Letters of Guardianship
7099.0001 1	2/05/2019	0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001 1	2/05/2019	8	\$8.00	Courier fee.
7099.0001 1	2/06/2019		\$90.00	Certified copies of Letters of Guardianship (x30)
7099.0001 1	2/06/2019	0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001 1	2/09/2019		\$60.00	Certified copies of Letters of Guardianship (x30)
7099.0001 1	2/09/2019	0.25		Copy charges.
7099.0001 1	2/09/2019	8	\$8.00	Courier fee.
7099.0001 1	2/23/2019	0.25	\$1.00	Copy charges.
I for Client ID	7099.0001	Billable		Jones/Kimberly

June Jones Guardianship/Power of Attorney

Electronically Filed 2/26/2020 1:39 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq.

2 Nevada Bar No. 13736

mparra@lacsn.org

LEGAL AID CENTER OF 4 SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.

725 E. Charleston Blvd 5 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Telephone: (702) 386-1526 Facsimile: (702) 386-1526

Attorney for Kathleen June Jones, Adult Protected Person

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of the Guardianship of the Person and Estate of:

Case No.: G-19-052263-A

Dept. No.: B

KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,

Adult Protected Person.

RESPONSE TO GUARDIAN'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF TO PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS; OR ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION TO RECONSIDER

Kathleen June Jones ("June"), the protected person herein, by and through her counsel, Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. of Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc., hereby responds to Kimberly Jones' ("Guardian") Supplemental Brief to Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorney Fees and Costs; Or Alternatively, Motion to Reconsider. June's response is based upon and supported by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the pleadings and papers on file in this case, and attached exhibits.

DATED this 26th day of February, 2020.

LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.

/s/ Maria L. Parra-Sandoval Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13736 Attorney for Kathleen June Jones, Adult Protected Person

Page 1 of 9

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. Pursuant to NRS 159.344(3), the Court has correctly ruled that Guardian may request payment of attorney fees and costs incurred from January 15, 2020 (and forward), the date her attorney filed the Notice of Intent to Seek Fees from the Guardianship Estate.

NRS 159.344(3) clearly requires that any person who intends to seek payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate must file a written notice of such intent when that person *first* appears in the guardianship proceedings. The Guardian's first pleading was filed on October 2, 2019, yet the Guardian filed the Notice of Intent to Seek Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs from the Guardianship Estate on January 15, 2020. On February 13, 2020, this Court correctly ruled that if a guardian intends to seek the payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate, a Notice of Intent to Seek Fees from the Guardianship Estate must be filed "at the onset." The Guardian did not comply with this requirement and should remain personally liable for her own attorney's fees before January 15, 2020. Since the Guardian's attorney's last billed entry in this matter occurred on December 24, 2019, *he is not entitled to any fees and costs from the guardianship estate*. Thus, the Guardian's Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorneys' Fees and Costs should rightly be denied under NRS 159.344(3). The Guardian raises no new facts or issues in the Motion to Reconsider and the Court did not err in determining the Notice of Intent must be filed upon the attorney first appearing in the case. Therefore, the Motion to Reconsider should be denied.

A. The Order From the October 15, 2019 Hearing, filed on November 25, 2019, included a boilerplate paragraph that does not translate into approval of a Notice of Intent to Seek Fees or to actual payment of attorney's fees and costs.

The Guardian's attorney argues that this Court has "already ruled in its November 25,

¹ Court Hearing, February 13, 2020.

² See Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Exhibit 1, p. 17, filed January 15, 2020.

7 8

2019 Order...that it would in fact approve the attorneys' fees of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd." The language in the Order From October 15, 2019 Hearing, ("Order") to which counsel refers is as follows:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court approve payment of attorneys' fees and costs from the Guardianship estate to the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd., at the conclusion of the guardianship proceeding, subject to Court confirmation.⁴

The Guardian's attorney confuses this boilerplate language in an attorney proposed order from actual relief sought in a petition. Nowhere in the Guardian's first pleading⁵ did she state she intended to have her attorney's fees paid from the estate; nowhere did her attorney include the billing rates for the firm's attorneys and paralegals; nor did she include an explanation of the compensation arrangement or the reasons for the services. In short, the Guardian failed to comply with NRS 159.344's requirement to file a notice of intent to seek fees from the guardianship estate upon the attorney's first appearance.

While there is language, in the Order granting the general guardianship, regarding fees, what this Court approved in that Order was simply that any legitimate and lawful request for the payment of fees from the guardianship estate first would be subject to Court approval. Nothing in the language referred to by the Guardian's attorney suggests that the Court approved the Guardian's bypassing the specific requirements of NRS 159.344 (3) to have the fees paid from the estate. Furthermore, at the October 15, 2019 citation hearing, this Court was never asked to rule on the issue of attorney's fees and never issued any ruling on the issue.

³ See Supplemental Brief to Petition for Payment of Guardian's Attorney Fees and Costs; Or, Alternatively, Motion to Reconsider, p. 2, filed February 21, 2020.

⁴ See Order From October 15, 2019 Hearing, granting general guardianship, p. 4, filed November 25, 2019.

⁵ See Opposition to Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate; Alternatively, Counter-Petition for Appointment of Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate, filed October 2, 2019.

On October 24, 2019, Mr. Jeffrey P. Luszeck ("Mr. Luszeck") circulated the Order from the October 15, 2019 hearing to the relevant parties, and requested any proposed revisions by October 28, 2019. On October 28, 2019, counsel for June objected to the entire paragraph at issue regarding payment of attorney's fees and sent revisions to Mr. Luszeck, deleting that language. Mr. Luszeck replied on the same date, as follows:

"Maria,

I am not sure that I understand your requests to delete certain section of the Order as both sections were in the Order appointing John Michaelson's clients as Temporary Co-Guardians. Specifically, I believe there needs to be specific instruction that the Clerk of the Court issues Letters to Kimberly. Further, I am familiar NRS 159.344 and the language referencing fees does not eradicate that requirement. Once again, it was included in Mr. Michaelson's proposed order without objection. In light of the foregoing I do not intend to remove either section. Jeff"

(emphasis added). Thus, Mr. Luszeck clearly recognizes that this languages is not a preemptive grant of approval of fees before a request for fees has been made. Now that this Court has ruled that it will only consider the payment of fees from the estate that were incurred after January 15, 2020, when the notice of intent to seek fees was actually filed, Mr. Luszeck is scrambling to find creative ways to get paid. The Guardian argues that since the October 29, 2019 Order, the parties were, in effect, on "notice" that the Guardian would be seeking fees from the guardianship estate. Mr. Luszeck is wrong. The parties were put on notice that the guardian intended to seek fees from the estate only when the Notice of Intent to Seek Fees was filed on January 15, 2020.

The reality is that the Guardian failed to follow the specific requirements under NRS 159.344(3). The written notice of intent clearly *requires all of the following*:

- (a) Must provide a general explanation of the compensation arrangement and how compensation will be computed;
 - (b) Must include the hourly billing rates of all timekeepers, including,

⁶ See Exhibit A, email chain.

7 8 9

 without limitation, attorneys, law clerks and paralegals;

- (c) Must provide a general explanation of the reasons why the services of the attorney are necessary to further the best interests of the ward;
- (d) Must be served by the person on all persons entitled to notice pursuant to NRS 159.034 and 159.047; and
 - (e) Is subject to approval by the court after a hearing.⁷

If, as the Guardian argues, the Order From October 15, 2019 Hearing, filed on November 25, 2019, was sufficient to provide the required information under NRS 159.344, then it would not have been necessary to file the Notice of Intent on January 15, 2020. Mr. Luszeck introduced boilerplate language into an Order as an afterthought where the original petition did not include a notice of intent to seek payment of fees from the estate and where the Court never ruled on fees. This language included in the Order does not satisfy the requirements of NRS 159.344.

Most importantly, on October 28, 2019, undersigned counsel submitted revisions objecting to the paragraph approving payment of attorneys' fees and costs—and instructed attorney Jeffrey P. Luszeck to submit his written notice: "Remove this. You need to submit a notice of intent to petition the court for payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate, consistent with NRS 159.344. See the full statute." Accordingly, as early as October 28, 2019, the Guardian's attorney knew this language was not sufficient under NRS 159.344 and that a written notice was required prior to seeking fees from the estate yet took no action to correct the problem until January 15, 2020.

Because Mr. Luszeck did not file his Notice of Intent to Seek Fees from the Guardianship Estate upon his first appearance and later when June's counsel brought the matter

⁷ See NRS 159.344(3).

⁸ See Exhibit B, Email to Jeffrey P. Luszeck, with attached Order Revisions, October 28, 2019,

to his attention, counsel believed that Guardian would be responsible for her own attorney fees and costs. In fact, undersigned counsel was surprised to see both the Notice of Intent to Seek Payment of Attorney's Fees and Costs from the Guardianship Estate and Petition for Payment of Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on January 15, 2020.

It should be noted that Guardian has not replied to *any* of undersigned counsel's line-item objections filed on February 11, 2020. Guardian's attorney simply requests his fees to be paid from October 29, 2019 based on a misleading argument and without addressing any of undersigned counsel's objections. If this Court is going to consider payment of any of Mr. Luszeck's fees from June's estate, he should be required to respond to counsel's specific objections.

II. The legal standard for a Motion to Reconsider has not been met.

A Motion to Reconsider should only be considered if: 1) there are new facts or issues raised supporting a ruling contrary to the ruling already made or 2) the law was not applied correctly by the court. A district court "may reconsider a previously decided issue if substantially different evidence is subsequently introduced or the decision is clearly erroneous." Thus, the purpose of bringing a Motion to Reconsider is to correct an error of law or fact. Further, under Local Rule 2.24 (b), "... A motion for rehearing or reconsideration must be served, noticed, filed *and heard* as is any other motion..."

Here, Mr. Luszeck does not introduce any new facts that would change the Court's ruling. Guardian did not comply with NRS 159.344 in its entirety, until January 15, 2020. The language included in the Order is not a new fact and it does not change anything. Furthermore,

⁹ Masonry & Tile Contractors Ass'n. of Southern Nevada v. Jolley, Urga & Wirth, Ltd., 113 Nev. 737, 741, 941 P.2d 486, 489 (1997).

⁴⁰ See Local Rule, Rule 2.24 (b). Rehearing of motions.

the Court applied the law correctly. NRS 159.344(3) requires that any person who intends to seek payment of attorney's fees and costs from the guardianship estate must file a written notice of such intent when the attorney *first* appears in the guardianship proceedings. ¹¹ The Guardian failed to file this notice in the first pleading which reflects her attorney's appearance in the matter. The Court's decision to refuse to consider the payment of Mr. Luszeck's fees from June's estate prior to the filing of the Notice of Intent was not wrong.

Finally, a motion for reconsideration requires that it be *actually heard*, and here, Mr. Luszeck has forfeited his request for a hearing. This Court should not consider this pleading as a Motion to Reconsider as it has not met the legal requirements.

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should deny the Motion to Reconsider. This Court correctly ruled that the Notice of Intent to Seek Fees from the Guardianship Estate must be filed "at the onset" of the case and this was not done. The Court's decision that the Guardian may only seek payment of fees from the estate from January 15, 2020 and forward was not incorrect. Accordingly, this ruling should not be disturbed.

DATED this 26th day of February, 2020.

LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.

/s/ Maria L. Parra-Sandoval Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13736

¹¹ See NRS 159.344(3).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 26th day of February 2020, I deposited in the United							
3	States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of the foregoing document entitled RESPONSE TO							
4	GUARDIAN'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF	TO PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF						
5	ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS; C	R ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION TO						
6 7	RECONSIDER in a sealed envelope, mailed re	egular U.S. mail, upon which first class postage						
8	was fully prepaid, addressed to the following:							
9	Teri Butler	Tiffany O'Neal						
10	586 N. Magdelena Street	177 N. Singingwood Street, Unit 13						
11	Dewey, AZ 86327 Orange, CA 92869							
12	Jen Adamo 14 Edgewater Drive	Courtney Simmons 765 Kimbark Avenue						
13	Magnolia, DE 19962	San Bernardino, CA 92407						
14	Scott Simmons 1054 S. Verde Street	Ampersand Man 2824 High Sail Court						
15	Anaheim, CA 92805	Las Vegas, NV 89117						
16 17	Division of Welfare and Supportive Services							
18	Medicaid Chief Eligibility and Payments 1470 College Parkway							
19	Carson City, NV 89706							
20	AND I FURTHER CERTIEV that on	the same date Lelectronically served the same						
21	AND I FURTHER CERTIFY that on the same date I electronically served the same							
22	document to the following via ODYSSEY, the Court's electronic filing system, pursuant to							
23	EDCR 8.05:							
24	Jeffrey Luszeck, Esq jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com	Ross Evans, Esq. revans@sdfnvlaw.com						
25		Attorneys for Guardian						
26		7.1 M. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
27	James Beckstrom jbecstrom@maclaw.com	John Michaelson, Esq. john@michaelsonlaw.com						
28	Attorney for Guardian							

1 2	Lora Caindec-Poland lora@michaelsonlaw.com	Jeffrey Sylvester, Esq. jeff@sylvesterpolednak.com Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna				
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ty Kehoe, Esq. TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com Laura A. Deeter, Esq. laura@ghandilaw.com Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoma David C. Johnson dcj@johnsonlegal.com LaChasity Carroll	Matthew Piccolo, Esq. matt@piccololawoffices.com Cheryl Becnel ebecnel@maclaw.com Geraldine Tomich Gtomich@maclaw.com Kate McCloskey				
11	lcarroll@nvcourts.nv.gov	NVGCO@nvcourts.nv.gov				
12						
13						
14	=					
15		/./4I P				
16	/s/Alexa Reanos Employee of Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada					
17						
18	¥					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

EXHIBIT A

Maria Parra-Sandoval

From:

Jeffrey P. Luszeck < jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 28, 2019 6:52 PM

To: Cc:

Maria Parra-Sandoval Gretta G. McCall

Subject:

RE: Jones - Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing

Maria,

I am not sure that I understand your requests to delete certain sections of the Order as both sections were in the Order appointing John Michaelson's clients as Temporary Co-Guardians. Specifically, I believe there needs to be a specific instruction that the Clerk of the Court issues Letters to Kimberly. Further, I am familiar NRS 159.344 and the language referencing fees does not eradicate that requirement. Once again, it was included in Mr. Michaelson's proposed order without objection. In light of the foregoing I do not intend to remove either section. Jeff

Jeffrey P. Luszeck

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

Cheyenne West Professional Center | 9060 W. Cheyenne Avenue | Las Vegas, NV 89129

Direct: 702.589.3511 | Office: 702.853.5483

Facsimile: 702.853.5485

Email: <u>||uszeck@sdfnvlaw.com</u> | Website: <u>www.sdfnvlaw.com</u>

www.facebook.com/sdfnvlaw

www.linkedin.com/company/solomon-dwiggins-&-freer-ltd-







🚰 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This message contains confidential information and may also contain information subject to the attorney client privilege or the attorney work product rules. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the message and contact Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. at 702-853-5483. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, reliance on or use of the contents of this message by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

From: Maria Parra-Sandoval <MParra@lacsn.org> Sent: Monday, October 28, 2019 12:26 PM

To: Jeffrey P. Luszeck < jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com>
Subject: RE: Jones - Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing

Dear Jeffrey,

Please see my proposed revisions, attached.

Kind Regards, Maria

LEGAL AID CENTER of Southern Nevada

Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq.
Attorney, Consumer Rights Project
Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.
725 E. Charleston Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89104
702-386-1526 direct/fax
702-386-1070 ext. 1526
mparra@lacsn.org
www.lacsn.org

Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc. is a 501 (c) (3) organization and your <u>contribution</u> may qualify as a federally recognized tax deduction.



Please remember Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada in your estate plan.

From: Jeffrey P. Luszeck [mailto:jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com]

Sent: Monday, October 28, 2019 7:48 AM
To: Ty Kehoe < tykehoelaw@gmail.com>

Cc: John & Gina Michaelson < john@michaelsonlaw.com>; Maria Parra-Sandoval < MParra@lacsn.org>; Ross E. Evans

<revans@sdfnvlaw.com>; Gretta G. McCall <gmccall@sdfnvlaw.com>

Subject: RE: Jones - Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing

Sure. Please provide me with any proposed revisions by the close of business today.

Jeffrey P. Luszeck

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

Cheyenne West Professional Center | 9060 W. Cheyenne Avenue | Las Vegas, NV 89129

Direct: 702.589.3511 | Office: 702.853.5483

Facsimile: 702.853.5485

Email: <u>| luszeck@sdfnvlaw.com</u> | Website: <u>www.sdfnvlaw.com</u>

www.facebook.com/sdfnvlaw

in www.linkedin.com/company/solomon-dwiggins-&-freer-ltd-







Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This message contains confidential information and may also contain information subject to the attorney client privilege or the attorney work product rules. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the message and contact Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. at 702-853-5483. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, reliance on or use of the contents of this message by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

From: Ty Kehoe <tykehoelaw@gmail.com> Sent: Friday, October 25, 2019 1:33 PM

To: Jeffrey P. Luszeck < luszeck@sdfnvlaw.com>

Cc: John & Gina Michaelson < john@michaelsonlaw.com>; mparra@lacsn.org; Ross E. Evans < revans@sdfnvlaw.com>;

Gretta G. McCall <gmccall@sdfnvlaw.com>

Subject: Re: Jones - Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing

With the holiday today, can this wait until Monday?

Тγ

On Thu, Oct 24, 2019, 11:06 AM Jeffrey P. Luszeck < jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com> wrote:

Counsel,

Please find the proposed Order from the October 15, 2019 hearing. Please provide me with any proposed revisions by the close of business tomorrow. If none, please execute and return to my attention.

Jeffrey P. Luszeck

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

Cheyenne West Professional Center | 9060 W. Cheyenne Avenue | Las Vegas, NV 89129

Direct: 702.589.3511 Office: 702.853.5483
Facsimile: 702.853.5485
Email: jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com Website: www.sdfnvlaw.com
www.facebook.com/sdfnvlaw
www.linkedin.com/company/solomon-dwiggins-&-freer-ttd-
×
×

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This message contains confidential information and may also contain information subject to the attorney client privilege or the attorney work product rules. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the message and contact Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. at 702-853-5483. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, reliance on or use of the contents of this message by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

EXHIBIT B

Maria Parra-Sandoval

From:

Maria Parra-Sandoval

Sent:

Monday, October 28, 2019 12:26 PM

To:

'Jeffrey P. Luszeck'

Subject:

RE: Jones - Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing

Attachments:

Order from October 15 2019 Hearing - JPL - 10-24-19 4843-2368-6058 MP

Revisions.docx

AmicusId:

525651

AmicusStatus:

Saved

AmicusFileName:

Jones, Kathleen J. re: Adults Under Guardianship

AmicusFileIds:

79094

AmicusDealtWith:

Yes

AmicusTimeEntry:

Yes

Dear Jeffrey,

Please see my proposed revisions, attached.

Kind Regards, Maria



Maria Parra-Sandoval, Esq. Attorney, Consumer Rights Project Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc. 725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89104 702-386-1526 direct/fax 702-386-1070 ext. 1526 mparra@lacsn.org www.lacsn.org

Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc. is a 501 (c) (3) organization and your contribution may qualify as a federally recognized tax deduction.





Legal Aid Center E-Newsletter

Please remember Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada in your estate plan.

From: Jeffrey P. Luszeck [mailto:jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com]

Sent: Monday, October 28, 2019 7:48 AM To: Ty Kehoe <tykehoelaw@gmail.com>

Cc: John & Gina Michaelson < john@michaelsonlaw.com>; Maria Parra-Sandoval < MParra@lacsn.org>; Ross E. Evans

<revans@sdfnvlaw.com>; Gretta G. McCall <gmccall@sdfnvlaw.com>
Subject: RE: Jones - Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing

Sure. Please provide me with any proposed revisions by the close of business today.

Jeffrey P. Luszeck

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

Cheyenne West Professional Center | 9060 W. Cheyenne Avenue | Las Vegas, NV 89129

Direct: 702.589.3511 | Office: 702.853.5483

Facsimile: 702.853.5485

Email: Juszeck@sdfnvlaw.com | Website: www.sdfnvlaw.com

www.facebook.com/sdfnvlaw

www.linkedin.com/company/solomon-dwiggins-&-freer-ltd-







Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This message contains confidential information and may also contain information subject to the attorney client privilege or the attorney work product rules. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the message and contact Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. at 702-853-5483. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, reliance on or use of the contents of this message by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

From: Ty Kehoe <tykehoelaw@gmail.com> Sent: Friday, October 25, 2019 1:33 PM

To: Jeffrey P. Luszeck < jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com>

Cc: John & Gina Michaelson < john@michaelsonlaw.com >; mparra@lacsn.org; Ross E. Evans < revans@sdfnvlaw.com >;

Gretta G. McCall <gmccall@sdfnvlaw.com>

Subject: Re: Jones - Order from October 15, 2019 Hearing

With the holiday today, can this wait until Monday?

Ту

On Thu, Oct 24, 2019, 11:06 AM Jeffrey P. Luszeck < iluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com > wrote:

Counsel,

Please find the proposed Order from the October 15, 2019 hearing. Please provide me with any proposed revisions by the close of business tomorrow. If none, please execute and return to my attention. Jeffrey P. Luszeck

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

Cheyenne West Professional Center | 9060 W. Cheyenne Avenue | Las Vegas, NV 89129

Direct: 702,589,3511 | Office: 702,853,5483

Facsimile: 702.853.5485

Email: jluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com | Website: www.sdfnvlaw.com

www.facebook.com/sdfnvlaw

www.linkedin.com/company/solomon-dwiggins-&-freer-ltd-





Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This message contains confidential information and may also contain information subject to the attorney client privilege or the attorney work product rules. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the message and contact Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. at 702-853-5483. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, reliance on or use of the contents of this message by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDR
JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ., Bar No. 09619
jluszeck@sdfivlaw.com
ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ., Bar No. 11374
revans@sdfivlaw.com
SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.
9060 West Cheyenne Avenue
Las Vogas, Nevada 89129
Telephone: (702) 853-5483
Facsimile: (702) 853-5485

Attorneys for Respondent Kimberly Jones

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF:

Case No.

□ Person

G-19-052263-A

STATEOR

KATHLEEN JUNF JONES

An Adult Protected Person.

Date of Hearing: October 15, 2019 Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.

□GENERAL GUARDIANSHIP

ORDER FROM OCTOBER 15, 2019 HEARING

™TEMPORARY GUARDIANSHIP

- ☐ Person ☐ Estate
- ☐ Person and Estate

□SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP

- □Person
 □Estate □Summary Admin.
 □Person and Estate
- ☐ Estate ☐ Summary Admin. ☐ Person and Estate

□NOTICES/SAFEGUARDS □ Blocked Account Required

☐ Bond Required

This matter having come on for hearing before the above entitled Court on October 15, 2019. Present and the hearing were: Jeffrey P. Luszeck, Esq. of the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. on behalf of Kimberly Jones; Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. of Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, on behalf of Kathleen June Jones, Protected Person; Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. of the law firm Kehoe & Associates, and Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. of the law firm Piccolo Law Offices, on behalf of Rodney Gerald Yeoman; and John P. Michaelson, Esq. of the law firm Michaelson & Associates, Ltd., on behalf of Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons (collectively, the "Parties"). After considering the papers and pleadings on file herein and the argument of

1 of 5

3

4

5

б

8

9

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

counsel at the time of hearing and good cause appearing, the Court finds as follows:

- That on December 27, 2005, Kathleen June Junes executed a Healthcare Power of Attorney naming her daughter, Kimberly Jones, as her Attorney-in-Fact for healthcare decisions.
- That on October 24, 2012, Kathleen June Jones executed a Financial Power of Attorney naming her daughter, Kimberly Jones, as her Attorney-in-Fact for financial matters.
- That on November 23, 2012, Kathleen June Jones executed a Last Will and Testament naming her daughter, Kimberly Jones, as her Personal Representative and chosen guardian over her person and estate, should the need for a guardian ever arise.
- That on September 19, 2019, Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons filed their Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship, and Petition for Appointment of General Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of General Guardianship ("Ex Parte Petition for Temporary Guardianship").
- That on September 19, 2019, the Clerk of the Court issued a Citation to Appear and Show Cause scheduling a hearing for October 15, 2019 to "show cause, if any, why Kathleen June Jones ("Protected Person"), should not be declared incapacitated or in need of a guardian to manage the Protected Person's personal and financial affairs and to further show cause, if any, why Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons, should not be appointed to act as Guardian of the protected person's Person and Estate."
- That on September 23, 2019, this Court entered its Order Granting Ex Parte Petition 6. for Temporary Guardianship wherein it appointed Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons as Temporary Guardians. On October 3, 2019, this Court extended the temporary guardianship.
- That on October 2, 2019, Rodney Gerald Yeoman, the husband of Kathleen June Jones, filed his Opposition to Appointment of Temporary Guardian and General Guardian and Counter-Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship and Estate and Issuance of Letters of Temporary Guardianship and Counter-Petition for Appointment of General Guardian of the Person and Estate and Issuance

2 of 5

]

of Letters of General Guardianship ("Rodney's Counter-Petition").

- 8. That on October 2, 2019, Kimberly Jones filed her Opposition to Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate; Alternatively, Counter-Petition for Appointment of Kimberly Jones as Temporary and General Guardian of the Person and Estate ("Kimberly's Counter-Petition").
- 9. That on October 15, 2019 at the Citation to Appear and Show Cause Hearing, Kathleen June Jones, by and through her Court appointed Counsel, Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, advised the Court that it was Kathleen June Jones' desire that Kimberly Jones be appointed as her client's guardian.

Good Cause Appearing Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones' Counter-Petition is hereby GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones is hereby appointed as guardian of the Estate and Person of Kathleen June Jones and Letters of General Guardianship shall be issued to Kimberly Jones.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman's Counter-Petition is hereby DENIED in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Letters of Temporary Guardianship entered on September 23, 2019 are hereby revoked.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to issue Letters of Guardianship to Kimberly Jones upon subscribing to the appropriate oath of office, and bond be waived, since there are no liquid assets.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones shall investigate the facts and circumstances regarding the purported transfer of real property located at 6277 Kraft Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89130, APN 138-02-511-076, from June Jones to Richard & Kandi Powell on or around January 16, 2018, and pursue any potential claims and/or resolution relating to the same.

Commented [A1]: This whole sentence is not necessary
Commented [MP2R1]:

3 of 5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Return Hearing is set for January 14, 2020 at 1:30 p.m. to reconvene on the investigation results from the appointed State Guardianship Compliance Investigator and Financial Forensic Specialist regarding the transfer of the protected person's real property with address 6277 Kraft Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89130.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones will* devise a housing plan to address the current unstable housing situation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones shall disseminate the medical records and/or information relating to Kathleen June Jones to Robyn Friedman, Donna Simmons and Rodney Gerald Yeoman.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman shall be allowed to participate in visits with Kathleen June Jones, however, because Rodney Gerald Yeoman was-is unwilling to provide any information regarding his health/medical conditions said visits must be supervised by Kimberly Jones and/or an agent of her choosing so as to ensure the safety of Kathleen June Jones.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman shall provide dates to Kimberly Jones of when he will be away in Arizona getting medical treatment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yourson, as an interested party, shall be allowed access to the Physician's Certificate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court approve payment of attorneys' fees and costs from the guardianship estate to the law firm of Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd. at the conclusion of the guardianship proceeding, subject to Court confirmation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that attorney Jeffrey P. Luszeck shall prepare and submit an Order.

DATED this ____ day of ____ 2019.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

4 of 5

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5'

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

Commented [A3]: Remove this You need to submit a notice of ition the court for payment of attorney's fees and cost ardianship estate, consistent with NRS 159.344. See

Commented [MP4R3]:

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5*

1 Submitted by: Approved as to Form and Content: 2 SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD. LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN 3 NEVADA 4 5 JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ. MARIA L. PARRA_-SANDOVAL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13736 Nevada Bar No. 09619 6 ROSS E. EVANS, ESQ. 725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89104 Nevada Bar No. 11374 9060 West Cheyenne Avenue 8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Attorney for Kathleen Jones, Protected Person 9 Attorneys for Kimberly Jones 10 11 12 Approved as to Form and Content: Approved as to Form and Content: 13 KEHOE & ASSOCIATES MICHAELSON & ASSOCIATES, LTD 14 15 JOHN P. MICHAELSON, ESQ. TY E. KEHOE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6011 871 Coronado Center Dr. Ste. 200 16 Nevada Bar No. 7822 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 160 17 Henderson, NV 89052 Henderson, NV 89052 18 Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Attorney for Rodney Gerald Yeoman Simmons 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 5 of 5

Electronically Filed 3/3/2020 4:08 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JOIN

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 13736

mparra@lacsn.org

LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.

4 725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89104

> Telephone: (702) 386-1526 Facsimile: (702) 386-1526

Attorney for Kathleen June Jones, Adult Protected Person

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of the Guardianship of the Person and Estate of:

KATHLEEN JUNE JONES,

Adult Protected Person.

Case No.: G-19-052263-A Dept. No.: B

PROTECTED PERSON'S JOINDER TO GUARDIAN'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Kathleen June Jones ("June"), the protected person herein, by and through her counsel, Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq., hereby files this Joinder in support of Guardian's Motion for Protective Order. June's Joinder is based upon and supported by the Memorandum of Points contained in the Guardian's Motion for Protective Order, the pleadings and papers on file in this case, and the argument of counsel as allowed by the Court at the time of hearing.

June further alleges as follows:

June requests for the guardianship to stay in place *as is* with Kimberly Jones ("Kimberly") serving as guardian of the person and estate. June is content and feels comfortable with visitations being *supervised*, as they currently are, including with Kimberly as supervisor.

It is clear that Gerry Yeoman ("Mr. Yeoman") seeks to ultimately modify the guardianship. Mr. Yeoman's Opposition to the Guardian's Motion for Protective Order states, "The Parties claim they are not aware of the scope of Gerry's discovery without a petition Page 1 of 5

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

discovery, in his original petition herein and at every hearing held herein, including, but not limited to, issues involving the suitability of the proposed and current guardians, the Protected Person's physical and mental state, Gerry's visitation rights, the sale of the Kraft House, and the guardian's use of the Protected Person's assets." What Mr. Yeoman is seeking with his tactics and depositions is to somehow persuade this Court to make him June's guardian. Mr. Yeoman is disgruntled that he did not get his way from the beginning of this guardianship case and is not willing to give up.

pending; however, this argument is not sound. Gerry raised factual concerns, subject to

Furthermore, Mr. Yeoman advances: "The Motion argues much about wasted resources and yet continues to demand Gerry file an *additional pleading* prior to conducting discovery which would be a tremendous and legally unnecessary waste." (Emphasis added). In fact, there is absolutely no reason for Mr. Yeoman to waste time and resources by filing any kind of pleading to remove the current guardian since June is happy with the status quo. Under NRS 159.328 (h), a protected person has the right to "Remain as independent as possible, including, without limitation, to have his or her preference honored regarding his or her residence and standard of living, either as expressed or demonstrated before a determination was made relating to capacity or as currently expressed, if the preference is reasonable under the circumstances."

The Bill of Rights also states that a protected person has the right to "Be granted the greatest degree of freedom possible, consistent with the reasons for a guardianship, and exercise control of all aspects of his or her life that are not delegated to a guardian specifically by a court order." The purpose of these rights is to give the protected person the driver's seat in his or her guardianship case. Thus, the law is clear that it is June who decides who she wants to manage her affairs as well as her daily care. June is able to make her preferences known. Mr. Yeoman has never been June's first choice nor her second choice for that matter. Since June is able to direct her attorney, there is no reason for Mr. Yeoman to increase litigation costs for all parties

¹ Opposition to Motion for Protective Order, p. 9, filed February 20, 2020.

^{2 10}

³ See NRS 159.328(h)

⁴ See NRS 159.328(i).

1 involved by filing a petition regarding June's stated preferences; Mr. Yeoman should refrain 2 from doing so. 3 However, if Mr. Yeoman chooses to depose a party regarding the Kraft home, the 4 deposition or depositions should be appropriately filed in the civil action matter, not this 5 guardianship case. 6 DATED this 3rd day of March, 2020. 7 8 LEGAL AID CENTER OF 9 SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC. 10 /s/ Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. Maria L. Parra-Sandoval, Esq. 11 Nevada Bar No. 13736 12 LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC. 13 725 E. Charleston Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89104 14 Telephone: (702) 386-1526 15 Facsimile: (702) 386-1526 mparra@lacsn.org 16 Attorney for Adult Protected Person Kathleen June Jones 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Page 3 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 3rd day of March 2020, I deposited in the United States 2 Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of the foregoing document entitled PROTECTED 3 4 PERSON'S JOINDER TO GUARDIAN'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER in a 5 sealed envelope, mailed regular U.S. mail, upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, 6 addressed to the following: 7 8 Teri Butler Tiffany O'Neal 177 N. Singingwood Street, Unit 13 586 N. Magdelena Street 9 Dewey, AZ 86327 Orange, CA 92869 10 Jen Adamo Courtney Simmons 11 14 Edgewater Drive 765 Kimbark Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92407 Magnolia, DE 19962 12 **Scott Simmons** Ampersand Man 13 1054 S. Verde Street 2824 High Sail Court Anaheim, CA 92805 Las Vegas, NV 89117 14 15 Division of Welfare and Supportive Services Kimberly Jones Medicaid Chief Eligibility and Payments 6277 Kraft Avenue 16 1470 College Parkway Las Vegas, NV 89130 Carson City, NV 89706 17 18 AND I FURTHER CERTIFY that on the same date I electronically served the same 19 document to the following via ODYSSEY, the Court's electronic filing system, pursuant to 20 EDCR 8.05: 21 22 Jeffrey Luszeck, Esq Ross Evans, Esq. iluszeck@sdfnvlaw.com revans@sdfnvlaw.com 23 Attorneys for Guardian 24 25 James Beckstrom John Michaelson, Esq. jbecstrom@maclaw.com john@michaelsonlaw.com 26 Attorney for Guardian 27

28

1	Lora Caindec-Poland lora@michaelsonlaw.com	Jeffrey Sylvester, Esq. jeff@sylvesterpolednak.com				
2		Attorneys for Robyn Friedman and Donna Simmons				
3	Tu Vahaa Ega	Matthew Discale For				
4	Ty Kehoe, Esq. TyKehoeLaw@gmail.com	Matthew Piccolo, Esq. matt@piccololawoffices.com				
5	Laura A. Deeter, Esq.	Cheryl Becnel				
6	laura@ghandilaw.com Attorneys for Rodney Gerald Yeoman	ebecnel@maclaw.com				
7	D :10 11					
8	David C. Johnson dcj@johnsonlegal.com	Geraldine Tomich Gtomich@maclaw.com				
9	LaChasity Carroll	Kate McCloskey				
10	lcarroll@nvcourts.nv.gov	NVGCO@nvcourts.nv.gov				
11						
12						
13	/s/Alexa Reanos_					
14	Emplo	oyee of Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada				
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20 21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	P	age 5 of 5				
	1					

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 Marquis Aurbach Coffing Geraldine Tomich, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 8369 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 3 Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001 Park Run Drive 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 5 gtomich@maclaw.com 6 jbeckstrom@maclaw.com Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, 7 Guardian of Kathleen June Jones 8 **DISTRICT COURT** 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP Case No.: G-19-052263-A OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF: Dept. No.: В 11 12 KATHLEEN JUNE JONES Date of Hearing: March 17, 2020 Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m. 13 An Adult Protected Person. 14 15 REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER 16 Kimberly Jones, by and through her counsel of record, Geraldine Tomich, Esq. and James 17 A. Beckstrom, Esq. of the law firm of Marquis Aurbach Coffing, hereby files her Reply in Support 18 of Motion for Protective Order. This Motion is made and based upon all papers, pleadings, and 19 records on file herein, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and any oral argument 20 allowed at a hearing on this matter. 21 Dated this 3rd day of March, 2020. 22 MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 23 24 By /s/ James A. Beckstrom Geraldine Tomich, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8369 25 James A. Beckstrom, Esq. 26 Nevada Bar No. 14032 10001 Park Run Drive 27 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 28 Page 1 of 8 MAC:15820-001 3977470

Case Number: G-19-052263-A

Electronically Filed 3/3/2020 4:40 PM

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 10001 Park Run Drive

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Discovery is not free of boundaries and is not a freestanding legal device. Indeed, by definition, the legal prerequisite to discovery is an actionable claim between one or more parties. In the present Guardianship action, this basic prerequisite does not exist because there is no actionable claim advanced by Mr. Yeoman. While counsel for Mr. Yeoman continually attempts to ignore this basic fundamental of civil litigation and has fallen increasingly out of touch with the purpose of Guardianship and this Court's prior orders, this is a simple issue. A party cannot conduct blind discovery with no pending cause of action before the Court.

Rather than file a Petition to provide notice to the Court, the Guardian, or the Protected Person's legal counsel regarding any issues Mr. Yeoman believes require judicial intervention, Mr. Yeoman and his counsel have served as the proverbial bull in a china shop throughout these proceedings. In doing so, Mr. Yeoman seems to be under the impression that some unknown adversarial proceeding remains in this guardianship action for him to litigate tooth and nail. This is incorrect.

These guardianship proceedings remain dormant as a matter of law as to Mr. Yeoman who remains nothing more than an interested party. A guardian has been appointed by a final order of this Court and no appeal has been taken within the statutory time to do so. Any pending petition of Mr. Yeoman was denied in full. All that remains following this Court's Order is for the investigators to provide their report(s) to the Court and for Kimberly to conduct any discovery she feels is necessary to marshal the assets of the Protected Person.

Thus, while Mr. Yeoman attempts to scream procedural murder, it is he who fails to recognize that none of the discovery he propounded was authorized and therefore the Guardian, nor any other interested party to this case, was under any obligation to take any action in response to the deposition notices, nor written requests for information. The Protective Order was filed after an exhausting back and forth with Mr. Yeoman's counsel who simply did not understand this. The Motion was filed properly and the request for fees and costs should be granted.

Page 2 of 8

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court never authorized Mr. Yeoman to start engaging in discovery. While Mr. Yeoman attempts to mischaracterize snippets from the January 14, 2020 hearing, which was needlessly expanded by Mr. Kehoe, Mr. Yeoman never raised any specific issue as to what he sought discovery on and the Court referenced discovery in only the most cursory and general fashion. While the Court is capable of making its own arguments concerning what was said, the undisputed fact remains that no legal issue remains subject to litigation in the guardianship proceedings and this case is not a facility for Mr. Yeoman to vindicate his personal pride. Indeed, the Court was well aware during the January 14, 2020 hearing, that an A-Case was filed and acknowledged that while "somebody could always file a petition to terminate [the Guardianship] tomorrow" as it stood, nothing concerning the Guardianship was in a state of flux. See Hearing Transcript, January 14, 2020 at 21:2-7, on file.

Accordingly, the Motion must be granted as a matter of law and fees and costs should be awarded.

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

AS A MATTER OF LAW, MR. YEOMAN HAS NOTHING TO CONDUCT A. DISCOVERY ON, BECAUSE THERE IS NO PENDING PETITION BEFORE THIS COURT.

Discovery flows from an actionable legal claim, it is not an independent right. Mr. Yeoman's attorney mistakes the Court's generic reference to discovery being open to mean he can conduct discovery on everything under the sun with no notice to any party as to what he seeks to adjudicate. While Mr. Yeoman did have a pending petition, that petition was denied, eliminating any need for Mr. Yeoman to conduct any discovery. To be clear, the Court's order did not mince words:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Rodney Gerald Yeoman's Counter-Petition is hereby DENIED in its entirety.

Order at Exhibit 1.

Thus, as a matter of law, with no pending petition on file for any legal relief, discovery cannot take place on behalf of Mr. Yeoman. While Mr. Yeoman's attorney likely knows this, he refuses to file a petition to state what legal issues he believes exist, because he knows the

Page 3 of 8

guardianship statutes allow for the Guardian to move for fees and costs against him should a frivolous or meritless petition be filed. See NRS 159.1853. Nonetheless, as it stands, an interested party cannot conduct discovery without some cognizable legal claim at issue and as it stands Mr. Yeoman is nothing more than a party on the sideline.

The only person who has the right to conduct discovery is the Guardian, because this right was specifically granted to the Guardian by written order of the Court, as follows:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Kimberly Jones shall investigate the facts and circumstances regarding the purported transfer of real property located at 6277 Kraft Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89130, APN 138-02-511-076, from June Jones to Richard & Kandi Powell on or around January 16, 2018, and pursue any potential claims and/or resolution relating to the same.

Order at Exhibit 1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Order properly tasked Kimberly with taking any action necessary to protect the Protected Person. Kimberly has abided by that duty and has engaged in no discovery in the Guardianship case, with the exception of subpoening financial documents connected to transactions and accounts of the Protected Person, because Mr. Yeoman has still never disclosed those documents, even after he was ordered to do so by the Court. Therefore, while Kimberly maintains an ongoing duty to conduct discovery should she see it necessary, there is no other party in this case at the present, except for interested parties who are as a matter of law, sitting on the sideline.

In short, Mr. Yeoman can seek no relief from the Court, nor meaningfully oppose this Motion because he has no present claims to litigate.

В. THE PROTECTIVE ORDER WAS PROPER AND SHOULD BE GRANTED.

Counsel for Mr. Yeoman has lost sight of the forest for the trees. The purpose of a protective order is to challenge improper and abusive discovery. While the filing of a motion for protective order does not as a matter of law halt the complained of discovery, procedurally and logically, a protective order that is granted does. In the Eighth Judicial District, the Federal District of Nevada, and courts across the nation, a party seeking a protective order often does so at its own risk. This case is no different. Mr. Yeoman refused to cooperate in good faith and his attorney

Page 4 of 8

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

attempted to move forward with three depositions unilaterally set in a case with no pending adversarial dispute. In response, counsel for the protected person met and conferred with Mr. Yeoman's attorney on a number of occasions. See Emails, Mtn. at Exhibit 5. After realizing Mr. Kehoe was out of touch with reality and had no legal basis to support his position, the undersigned refused to kowtow to the unsupported demands and sought refuge from the Court.

Despite this, Mr. Kehoe refused to vacate his unilaterally set depositions and insisted his "written discovery" be answered. In response to Mr. Kehoe's apparent inability to set forth any viable legal argument in support of the Guardian expending thousands of dollars of additional fees and costs in Mr. Kehoe's boundless discovery, on February 6, 2020, well before the deposition of the Guardian was set, the instant motion was filed. After filing the Motion for Protective Order, Mr. Kehoe was well aware the Guardian would not be appearing for the unilaterally set deposition, nor responding to the abusive discovery requests he propounded. Mr. Kehoe having practiced in this town for as long as he has apparently thought it was still a good idea to appear for a deposition and incur costs. Opposition at 3:16-19. That is his fault. The idea of Mr. Kehoe even hinting at fees or costs is absurd and is a true snapshot of the professionalism the undersigned is dealing with.

C. THE GUARDIAN IS ENTITLED TO FEES AND COSTS INCURRED FOR HAVING TO BRING THE INSTANT MOTION.

NRCP 26 (c)(3) governs fees to a party who prevails on moving for a protective order and incorporates the provisions of NRCP 37(a)(5), which states in relevant part:

If the motion is granted — or if the disclosure or requested discovery is provided after the motion was filed — the court must, after giving an opportunity to be heard, require the party or deponent whose conduct necessitated the motion, the party or attorney advising that conduct, or both to pay the movant's reasonable expenses incurred in making the motion, including attorney fees. But the court must not order this payment if:

- (i) the movant filed the motion before attempting in good faith to obtain the disclosure or discovery without court action;
- (ii) the opposing party's nondisclosure, response, or objection was substantially justified; or
 - (iii) other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.

Here, there is no doubt that the discovery sought is (1) improper and (2) abusive. There is no pending petition or motion in front of the Court—despite this counsel for Yeoman continues to improperly propound discovery and set depositions. The email exchanges between the attorneys

Page 5 of 8

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

representing each person relevant to this Motion paint a surprisingly clear picture of Yeoman's counsel's inability to follow proper procedures. See E-Mail Correspondence, at Exhibit 5. This is sanctionable and fees and costs should not be required to come from the protected person or Guardian's pocket. Instead, fees are required and should be ordered against Yeoman and his attorney. Upon the Court ordering fees and costs, counsel for the Guardian will timely submit a memorandum and points of authorities as to the fees sought.

To the extent Mr. Yeoman contends a "meet and confer" did not take place, that too is simply false. The email chain provided for the Court makes it very clear that numerous efforts by the undersigned took place to discuss this issue. The attestation of a licensed attorney signing a pleading under penalty of perjury in Nevada satisfies the certification requirement of NRCP 37. Any argument that fees and costs shouldn't follow because some sort of notarized declaration did not accompany the Motion is further proof of Mr. Yeoman's failure to appreciate the purpose of Guardianship court, which is to protect the Protected Person, by among other things, conserving costs and avoiding excessive and unnecessary motion practice.

///

///

19 /// 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21 /// 22

23 /// 24

25 /// 26

27 ///

28

Page 6 of 8

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

To date, the Protected Person and the Guardian have been victimized by the actions of Mr. Yeoman and his counsel. First by withholding the Protected Person's two dogs and now with abusive attempts to harass the Guardian by increasing litigation costs. The Guardian has enough to deal with in taking care of the Protected Person and needless "discovery" on a dispute that simply doesn't exist is a waste of the Protected Person's resources, this Court's resources, and the time of all interested parties involved. Consequently, the Protective Order must be granted and fees and costs awarded to the Guardian for the work performed in having to bring this issue to the Court's attention.

Dated this 3rd day of March, 2020.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

y /s/ James A. Beckstrom
Geraldine Tomich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8369
James A. Beckstrom, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14032
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorneys for Kimberly Jones, Guardian of Kathleen June Jones

Page 7 of 8

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER** was submitted electronically for filing and/or service with the Eighth Judicial District Court on the <u>3rd</u> day of March, 2020. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the E-Service List as follows:¹

Ty E. Kehoe, Esq. KEHOE & ASSOCIATES 871 Coronado Center Drive, Ste. 200 Henderson, NV 89052 Email: tykehoelaw@gmail.com

Matthew C. Piccolo, Esq. PICCOLO LAW OFFICES 2450 St. Rose Pkwy., Ste. 210 Henderson, NV 89074 Email: matt@piccololawoffices.com

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to:

N/A

/s/ Cally Hatfield
An employee of Marquis Aurbach Coffing

Page 8 of 8

¹ Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), each party who submits an E-Filed document through the E-Filing System consents to electronic service in accordance with NRCP 5(b)(2)(D).