IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

YOAV EGOSI,

Appellant,

No. 83454

VS.

PATRICIA EGOSI, N/K/A PATRICIA LEE WOODS,

Respondent.

FILED

FEB 0 3 2022

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEDUTED FOR

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion to have several volumes of the appendix filed under seal. The motion is denied without prejudice. Appellant offers no explanation for why the documents should be sealed. Documents filed in this court are presumed to be open to the public unless this court permits specific documents to be filed under seal based on a showing that such an action is required by law or an identified competing interest. Howard v. State, 128 Nev. 736, 744-45, 291 P.3d 137, 138-39 (2012). Because appellant's motion does not provide any information for this court to evaluate the request, the motion is denied.

Appellant may renew the motion, including a basis for sealing the documents. "[A] party who seeks to have documents or records filed with this court under seal must file a motion that identifies the information that the party seeks to have sealed, sets forth the reasons that such action is necessary, and specifies the duration of the sealing order." *Id.* The volumes of the appendix received on January 31, 2022, will remain confidential pending this court's ruling on any renewed motion to file the appendix under seal.

It is so ORDERED.

Rua, C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

22-03673

cc: Law Office of Aniela K. Szymanski, Esq. McFarling Law Group Isso & Hughes Law Firm