IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THOMAS A. PICKENS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE LV BLUE TRUST,

Appellant,

Electronically Filed Feb 23 2022 12:02 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

vs.

DR. DANKA K. MICHAELS, D.C. Case N INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE MICH-MICH TRUST,

Respondent;

S.C. DOCKET NO.: 83491 D.C. Case No. D-17-560737-D

APPENDIX

Volume XXXII of XXXVII

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

JOHN D. JONES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6699 JONES & LOBELLO 9950 W. Flamingo Road, #100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 702-318-5060

T ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

Jennifer V. Abrams, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7575 The Abrams & Mayo Law Firm 6252 South Rainbow Blvd., #100 Las Vegas, NV 89118 702-222-4021

and

Shawn M. Goldstein, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9814 GOLDSTEIN FLAXMAN, PLLC 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89145 702-919-1919

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Complaint for Divorce and for Set Aside of Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest	10/24/2017	I/AA00001-00015
Request for Issuance of Joint Preliminary Injunction	10/25/2017	I/AA00016
Affidavit of Process Server	11/02/2017	I/AA00017-00022
Notice of Appearance of Attorney	11/27/2017	I/AA00023-00024
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss	11/29/2017	I/AA00025-00044
Motion to Dismiss	11/29/2017	I/AA00045-00061
Petition to Seal Records Pursuant to NRS 125.110(2)	12/15/2017	I/AA00062-00063
Exhibit Appendix to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	12/20/2017	I/AA00064-00093
Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet	12/20/2017	I/AA00094
Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	12/20/2017	I/AA00095- I/AA00111
Order to Seal Records Pursuant to NRS 125.110(2)	12/22/2017	I/AA00112- I/AA00113
Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing	12/28/2017	I/AA00114- 000115
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	12/29/2017	I/AA00116- 000119
Notice of Entry of Order to Seal Records	01/03/2018	I/AA00120-00124
Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Opposition to Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	01/09/2018	I/AA00125-00141
Court Minutes	01/25/2018	I/AA00142-00143
Court Minutes	02/23/2018	I/AA00144-00145
Order	03/09/2018	I/AA00146-00154

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Notice of Entry of Order	03/12/2018	I/AA00155-00164
Order	03/12/2018	I/AA0065-00173
First Amended Compliant for Divorce; for Set Aside of Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest; and for Alternative Equitable Relief Under the Putative Spouse Doctrine	03/22/2018	I/AA00174-00188
Answer to First Amended Complaint for Divorce; for Set Aside of Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest; and for Alternative Equitable Relief Under the Putative Spouse Doctrine; Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaim	05/02/2018	I/AA00189-00211
Reply to Defendant's Counterclaim	05/30/2018	I/AA00212-00219
Plaintiff, Danka K. Michaels' Initial Expert Witness List	07/11/2018	I/AA00220-00229
Declaration of Service	07/13/2018	I/AA00230
Joint Early Case Conference Report Pursuant to N.R.CP 16.2(i)(2)	07/13/2018	I/AA00231-00237
Declaration of Service	07/19/2018	I/AA00238
Order Setting Case Management Conference and Directing Compliance with NRCP 16.2	07/31/2018	I/AA00239-00242
Declaration of Service Robert Semonian	08/03/2018	I/AA00243
Declaration of Service Shannon L. Evans	08/03/2018	I/AA00244
Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint	09/07/2018	I/AA00245- II/AA00270
Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet	09/07/2018	II/AA00271
Case and Trial Management Order	09/10/2018	II/AA00272- 00274
Court Minutes	09/10/2018	II/AA00275- 00276

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Certificate of Service	09/11/2018	II/AA00277- 00278
Stipulation and Order Granting Leave to File Second Amended Complaint, and Vacating Motion Hearing	10/08/2018	II/AA00279- 00281
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	10/10/2018	II/AA00282- 00287
Second Amended Complaint for Equitable Relief Under (1) the Putative Spouse Doctrine, and (2) Pursuant to Express and/or Implied Agreement to Hold Property as if the Parties Were Married Under <i>Michoff</i> ; and to Set Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest	10/15/2018	II/AA00288- 00305
Answer to Second Amended Complaint for Equitable Relief Under (1) the Putative Spouse Doctrine, and (2) Pursuant to Express and/or Implied Agreement to Hold Property as if the Parties Were Married Under <i>Michoff</i> ; and to Set Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest; Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaim	11/19/2018	II/AA00306- 00329
Declaration of Danka K. Michaels in Support of Answer to Second Amended Complaint for Equitable Relief Under (1) the Putative Spouse Doctrine, and (2) Pursuant to Express and/or Implied Agreement to Hold Property as if the Parties Were Married Under <i>Michoff</i> ; and to Set Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest; Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaim	11/21/2018	II/AA00330- 00332
Order After Hearing of September 10, 2018	12/11/2018	II/AA00333- 00336

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Reply to Defendant's Counterclaim	12/12/2018	II/AA00337- 00344
Notice of Entry of Order	12/17/2018	II/AA00345- 00351
Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet	01/08/2019	II/AA00352
Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Records for Plaintiff	01/08/2019	II/AA00353- 00358
Certificate of Service	01/09/2019	II/AA00359- 00360
Order Granting Withdrawal as Attorney of Record for Plaintiff	02/05/2019	II/AA00361- 00362
Notice of Entry of Order	02/06/2019	II/AA00363- 00367
Notice of Taking Videotaped Deposition	02/15/2019	II/AA00368- 00370
Defendant's Witness List (Non-Expert)	02/20/2019	II/AA00371- 00375
Amended Notice of Taking Videotaped Deposition	03/05/2019	II/AA00376- 00378
Second Amended Notice of Taking Videotaped Deposition	03/05/2019	II/AA00379- 00381
Notice of Appearance	03/08/2019	II/AA00382- 00383
Notice of Department Reassignment	03/11/2019	II/AA00384- 00385
Peremptory Challenge of Judge	03/11/2019	II/AA00386- 00388
Case Management Order – Domestic	03/21/2019	II/AA00389- 00394
Notice of Attorney's Lien	04/05/2019	II/AA00395- 00397

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses	04/22/2019	II/AA00398- 00440
Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Reponses	04/22/2019	II/AA00441- 00458
Notice of Hearing	04/22/2019	II/AA00459
Defendant's Supplemental Witness List (Non- Expert)	04/24/2019	II/AA00460- 00464
Notice of Unavailability of Counsel	05/08/2019	II/AA00465- 00467
Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Response and Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses	05/13/2019	II/AA00468- 00495
Plaintiff's Response and Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Reponses	05/13/2019	II/AA00496- III/AA00516
Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses	05/15/2019	III/AA00517- 00522
Plaintiff's Supplement to Response and Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses	05/21/2019	III/AA00523- 00527
Stipulation and Order RE: Motion to Compel	05/28/2019	III/AA00528- 00534
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order RE: Motion to Compel	05/29/2019	III/AA00535- 00543
Receipt of Check	06/03/2019	III/AA00544
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue	06/13/2019	III/AA00545- 00551
Stipulation and Order to Continue	06/13/2019	III/AA00552- 00556
Stipulation and Order to Vacate Discovery Hearing	06/18/2019	III/AA00557- 00559
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Vacate Discovery Hearing	06/19/2019	III/AA00560- 00564

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Satisfaction and Release of Lien	07/31/2019	III/AA00565- 00566
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees	08/01/2019	III/AA00567- IV/AA00702
Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees	08/01/2019	IV/AA00703- 00736
Notice of Hearing	08/01/2019	IV/AA00737
Notice of Unavailability of Counsel	08/05/2019	IV/AA00738- 00740
Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines and Continue Trail (First Request) and Order Continuing Trial	08/05/2019	IV/AA00741- 00745
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Countermotion for Leave of Court to File Supplemental Points and Authorities	08/12/2019	IV/AA00746- V/AA00754
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	08/16/2019	V/AA0055-00762

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Countermotion 1) to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgement as to Defendant's Causes of Action for Intentional Misrepresentation/Fraud; Negligent Misrepresentation; Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Promissory Estoppel; Express Agreement; Implied Agreement; and Malicious Abuse of Process; (2) for Summary Judgement Setting Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest; and (3) for Permission to Submit Points and Authorities in Excess of 30 Pages Pursuant to EDCR 5.503(e)	08/19/2019	V/AA00763- 00813
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Countermotion (1) to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgement as to Defendant's Causes of Action for International Misrepresentation/Fraud; Negligent Misrepresentation; Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Promissory Estoppel; Express Agreement; Implied Agreement; and Malicious Abuse of Process; (2) for Summary Judgement Setting Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest; and (3) for Permission to Submit Points and Authorities in Excess of 30 Pages Pursuant to EDCR 5.503(e)	08/19/2019	V/AA00814- 00843
Declaration of Service	09/05/2019	V/AA00844

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Opposition to Countermotion (1) to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgement as to Defendant's Causes of Action for Intentional Misrepresentation; Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Promissory Estoppel; Express Agreement Implied Agreement; and Malicious Abuse of Process; (2) for Summary Judgement Setting Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest; and (3) for Permission to Submit Points and Authorities in Excess of 30 Pages Pursuant to EDCR 5.503(e)	09/06/2019	V/AA00845- 00861
Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Opposition to Countermotion (1) to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgement as to Defendant's Causes of Action for Intentional Misrepresentation/Fraud; Negligent Misrepresentation; Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Promissory Estoppel; Express Agreement; Implied Agreement; and Malicious Abuse of Process; (2) for Summary Judgement Setting Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest; and (3) for Permission to Submit Points and Authorities in Excess of 30 Pages Pursuant to EDCR 5.503(e)	09/06/2019	V/AA00862- 00879
Minute Order	09/10/2019	V/AA00880- 00881

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Declaration of Service	11/01/2019	V/AA00882
Notice of Taking Custodian of Records Deposition and Seven Day Notice of Intent to Serve Subpoena Duces Tecum	12/09/2019	V/AA00883- 00885
Declaration of Service	12/20/2019	V/AA00886
Defendant's Second Supplemental Witness List (Non-Expert)	12/27/2019	V/AA00887- 00891
Trial Subpoena Robert Semonian	01/28/2020	V/AA00892- 00898
Trial Subpoena Shannon L. Evans, Esq.	01/28/2020	V/AA00899- 00905
Trial Subpoena	01/29/2020	V/AA00906- 00909
Declaration of Service	02/04/2020	V/AA00910
Declaration of Service	02/05/2020	V/AA00911
Stipulation and Order to Extend Filing of Pre- Trial Memorandum and Trail Exhibits	02/06/2020	V/AA00912- 00913
Defendant's Pre-Trial Memorandum	02/07/2020	V/AA00914- 00932
Plaintiff Thomas Pickens Pretrial Memorandum	02/07/2020	V/AA00933- 00950
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/10/2020	V/AA00951- 00954
Plaintiff Thomas Pickens General Financial Disclosure Form-Trial	02/11/2020	V/AA00955- 00962
Receipt of Copy	02/11/2020	V/AA00963
General Financial Disclosure Form	02/13/2020	V/AA00964- 00981
Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/13/2020	V/AA00982- VII/AA01254

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Supplemental Exhibit in Support of Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/13/2020	VII/AA01255- VIII/AA01727
Court Minutes	02/14/2020	VIII/AA01728
Notice of Intent to Appear by Communication Equipment	02/20/2020	VIII/AA01729- IX/01768
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/20/2020	IX/AA01769- 01770
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/20/2020	IX/AA01771- 01780
Court Minutes	02/21/2020	IX/AA01781- 01793
Notice of Hearing	03/20/2020	IX/AA01794- 01798
Stipulation and Order to Continue Day Three of Trial	06/24/2020	IX/AA01799- 01800
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	06/25/2020	IX/AA01801- 01810
Notice of Change of Firm	06/26/2020	IX/AA01811- 01819
Court Minutes	07/20/2020	IX/AA01820- 01823
Estimated Cost of Expedited Transcripts	07/22/2020	IX/AA01824- 01826
Notice of Hearing	08/26/2020	IX/AA1827- X/AA2051
Final Billing for Transcripts	09/01/2020	X/AA02052- 02054
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial	09/01/2020	X/AA02055- 02070
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial Day 2	09/01/2020	X/AA02071- 02086

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Notice of Appearance of Co-Counsel for Defendant	10/16/2020	X/AA02087- 02122
Notice of Hearing	10/26/2020	X/AA02123- 02190
Notice of Hearing	11/17/2020	X/AA02191- 02201
Notice of Hearing	11/25/2020	X/AA02202- 02209
Court Minutes	01/22/2021	X/AA02210- 02220
Notice of Hearing	01/22/2021	X/AA02221- 02232
Notice of Change of Firm Address	01/27/2021	X/AA02233- 02243
Notice of Hearing	02/23/2021	X/AA02244- XI/AA02252
Court Minutes	03/05/2021	XI/AA02253- 02261
Notice of Hearing	03/08/2021	XI/AA02262- 02271
Court Minutes	03/12/2021	XI/AA02272- 02284
Court Minutes	04/02/2021	XI/AA02285- 02301
Defendant's EDCR 7.27 Brief	04/02/2021	XI/AA02302- 02320
Stipulation and Order to Extend Briefing Deadlines	04/14/2021	XI/AA02321- 02329
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	04/19/2021	XI/AA02330- 02351
Stipulation and Order to Extend Briefing Deadline	04/22/2021	XI/AA02352- 02369

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Closing Argument	04/23/2021	XI/AA02370- 02834
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	04/23/2021	XI/AA02835- 02406
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	04/23/2021	XI/AA02407- 02424
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	04/23/2021	XI/AA02425- 02443
Defendant's Closing Argument Brief	05/28/2021	XI/AA02444- 02467
Stipulation and Order to Extend Deadline for Plaintiff to File His Rebuttal Brief	06/14/2021	XI/AA02468- 02488
Plaintiff's Rebuttal to Defendant's Closing Argument	06/15/2021	XI/AA02489- XII/AA02524
Notice of Change of Firm Address	08/01/2021	XII/AA02525- 02567
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgement	08/03/2021	XII/AA02568- 02613
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgement	08/05/2021	XII/AA02614- 02657
Defendant Danka K. Michaels Memorandum of Fees and Costs	08/25/2021	XII/AA02658- 02671
Exhibit of Appendix to Defendant Danka K. Michaels Memorandum of Fees and Costs	08/25/2021	XII/AA02672- 02716
Case Appeal Statement	09/02/2021	XII/AA02717- 02743
Notice of Appeal	09/02/2021	XII/AA02744- XIII/AA02768
Estimated Cost of Transcript	09/07/2021	XIII/AA02769- 02791
Estimated Costs of Transcript	09/07/2021	XIII/AA02792- 02822

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant Danka K. Michaels' Memorandum of Fees and Costs	09/07/2021	XIII/AA02823- 02854
Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Objection to Memorandum of Fees and Costs	09/20/2021	XIII/AA02855- 02885
Certification of Transcripts Notification of Completion	10/28/2021	XIII/AA02886- 02913
Final Billing for Transcripts	10/28/2021	XIII/AA02914- 02956
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial Day 3	10/28/2021	XIII/AA02957- XIV/AA03007
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial Day 4	10/28/2021	XIV/AA03008- 03040
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial Day 5	10/28/2021	XIV/AA03041- 03054
Receipt of Copy	11/10/2021	XIV/AA03055- 03069
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 1 - Photographs of the parties' wedding on April 7, 2002 and announcement	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03070- 03083
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 2 - Litterae Matrimoniales (Marriage Certificate) of Thomas Pickens and Danka Katarina Oltusova dated April 7, 2002	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03084- 03096
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 3 - Medical Records for Tom Pickens produced by Danka Michaels, his physician	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03097- 03111
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 4 - Nevada Prescription Monitoring Program Prescription log for Tom Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03112- 03116
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 5 - Chain of Title with Applicable Deeds for 9517 Queen Charlotte Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03117- 03127

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 6 - Chain of Title with Applicable Deeds for 7608 Lowe Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03128- 03136
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 7 - Affidavit of Custodian of Records and file from First American Title Company—purchase of 9517 Queen Charlotte Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 on October 7, 2004	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03137- 03150
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 8 - Certificate of Custodian of Records for Ticor Title of Nevada—purchase of 7608 Lowe Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131 on February 28, 2011	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03151- 03164
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 9 - 2005 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA3165- 03180
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 10 - 2006 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03181- 03196
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 11 - 2007 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03197- 03210
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 12 - 2008 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03211- 03224
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 13 - 2009 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03225- XV/AA03262
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 14 - 2010 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03263- 03319
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 15 - 2011 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03320- 03372
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 16 - 2012 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03373- 03429
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 17 - 2013 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03430- 03478
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 18 - 2014 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03479- 03494

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 19 - 2015 1040	02/14/2020	XV/AA03495-
Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens		XVI/AA03543
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 20 - 2016 1040	02/14/2020	XVI/AA03544-
Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens		03639
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 21 - 2005 1040	02/14/2020	XVI/AA03640-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		03735
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 22 - 2006 1040	02/14/2020	XVI/AA03736-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		XVII/AA03823
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 23 - 2007 1040	02/14/2020	XVII/AA03824-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		03848
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 24 - 2008 1040	02/14/2020	XVII/AA03849-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		03998
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 25 - 2009 1040	02/14/2020	XVII/AA03999
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		XVIII/AA04127
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 26 - 2010 1040	02/14/2020	XVIII/AA04128-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		04239
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 27 - 2011 1040	02/14/2020	XVIII/AA04240-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		XIX/AA04361
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 28 - 2012 1040	02/14/2020	XIX/AA04362-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		04482
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 29 - 2013 1040	02/14/2020	XIX/AA04483-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		XX/AA04646
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 30 - 2014 1040	02/14/2020	XX/AA04647-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		XXI/AA04755
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 31 - 2015 1040	02/14/2020	XXI/AA04756-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		04842
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 32 - 2016 1040	02/14/2020	XXI/AA04843-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		04879
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 35 - 2006 1120S	02/14/2020	XXI/AA04880-
Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC		04908

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 36 - 2007 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXI/AA04909- XXII/AA05059
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 37 - 2008 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXII/AA05060- 05200
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 38 - 2009 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXII/AA05201- XXIII/AA05305
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 39 - 2010 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIII/AA05306- 05391
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 40 - 2011 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIII/AA05392- 05488
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 41 - 2012 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIII/AA05489- XXIV/AA05577
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 42 - 2013 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIV/AA05578- 05669
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43 - 2014 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIV/AA05670- XXV/AA05758
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 44 - 2015 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXV/AA05759- 05802
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 45 - 2016 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXV/AA05803- 05934
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 46 - 2017 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXV/AA005935- XXVI/AA06106

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 47 - 2012 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVI/AA06107- XXVII/AA06297
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 48 - 2013 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVII/AA06298- 06490
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 49 - 2014 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVII/AA06491- XXVIII/ AA06589
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 50 - 2015 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVIII/ AA06590-06672
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 51 - 2016 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVIII/ AA06673-06691
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 52 - 2008 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXVIII/ AA06692- XXIX/ AA06759
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 53 - 2009 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06760-06832
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 54 - 2010 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06833-06862
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 55 - 2011 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06863-06912
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 56 - 2012 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06913-06930
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 57 - 2013 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06931-06962
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 58 - 2014 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06963-06998

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 59 - 2015 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06999
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 60 - 2016 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07000
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 63 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 05/29/2014 through 12/31/2014	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07001- 07002
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 65 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2015 through 12/31/2015	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07003- 07006
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 67 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2016 through 12/31/2016	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07007- 07008
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 69 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2017 through 12/31/2017	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07009- 07010
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 70 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2018 through 12/31/2018	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07011
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 71 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2019 through 04/30/19	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07012- 07013
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 74 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 07/01/2014 through 12/31/14	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07014

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 76 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 01/01/2015 through 12/31/15	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07015- 07016
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 78 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 01/01/2016 through 12/31/16	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07017- 07050
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 79 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 01/01/2017 through 12/31/17	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07051
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 80 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 01/01/2018 through 04/30/18	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07052
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 82 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/30/10 through 12/15/11	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07053
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 83 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/16/11 through 12/14/12	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07054- 07057
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 84 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/15/12 through 12/15/13	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07058
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 85 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/16/13 through 12/15/14	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07059

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 86 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/16/14 through 12/15/15	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07060
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 87 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004 #73002	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07061- 07092
#75002 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/16/15 through 12/15/16		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 88 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #73002 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/16/16 through 12/15/17	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07093- 07095
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 89 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #73002 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/16/17 through 12/15/18	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07096- 07204
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 90 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #73002 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/16/18 through 04/14/19	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07205- 07228
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 93 - Lowes house summary with supporting Wells Fargo Home Mortgage #9607 (PMA #3436) titled in the names of Danka Katarina Michaels and Thomas A. Pickens 07/02/14 through 07/01/2016	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07229- 07230
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 97 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/08/10 through 12/08/11	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07231
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 98 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/11 through 12/07/12	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07232- 07236

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 99 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/08/12 through 12/08/13	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07237- 07239
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 100 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/13 through 12/08/14	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07240- 07247
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 101 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/14 through 12/08/15	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07248- 07250
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 102 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/15 through 12/08/16	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07251- 07255
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 103 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/16 through 12/08/17	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07256- 07258
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 104 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 01/08/18 through 12/07/18	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07259
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 105 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/08/18 through 05/08/19	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07260
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 106 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/05/12 through 12/20/13	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07261- 07262
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 107 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/13 through 12/19/14	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07263
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 108 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/20/14 through 12/20/15	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07264- XXXII/AA 07516
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 109 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/15 through 12/20/16	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07517-07682

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 110 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/16 through 12/20/17	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07683-07685
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 111 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/17 through 12/20/18	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07686-07687
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 112 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/18 through 04/19/19	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07688-07689
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 113 - Bank of America Bank Statements #2561 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 10/29/12 through 02/28/14	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07690-07691
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 114 - Bank of America Bank Statements #0222 titled in the name of Patience One LLC 11/01/12 through 12/31/13	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07692-07693
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 115 - Wells Fargo Visa #0648 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 06/06/17 through 12/08/17	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07694-07695
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 116 - Wells Fargo Visa #0648 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/17 through 12/07/18	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07696-07698
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 117 - Wells Fargo Visa #0648 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/08/18 through 05/08/19	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07699-07700
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 118 - Wells Fargo Checking #8952 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 10/16/18 through 12/31/18	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07701-07702
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 119 - Wells Fargo Checking #8952 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 01/01/19 through 04/30/19	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07703-07704
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 125 - Land Rover Financial Group statement 12/13/13 – 01/12/14	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07705-07706

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 126 - Lexus Statement – 12/24/13	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07707
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 127 - Southwest Pension Services – Danka Michaels. Statements 09/03/2013 and 12/31/13	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07708- XXXIII/AA 07769
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 128 - Valic – Danka Michalecko statements 9/30/13, 12/31/13, and 9/30/15	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07770-07772
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 129 - Pinnacle Health Systems – Danka K. Michaels. Statements 9/30/13 and 12/31/13	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07773-07778
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 132 - Danka Michaels Pinnacle Health Systems Statement 7/1/15	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07779-07780
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 133 - Bank of the West – 2015 Porsche statement 12.2.14	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07781-07841
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 134 - Life Insurance Statement 11/25/15	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07842-07849
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 138 - Thomas Pickens UBS Retirement statements dated June 2017 and October-December 2017 (Supplemental Response to Request for Production No. 16.)	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07850-07857
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 144 - JP Morgan Statements, Danka K. Michaels IRA, August 31, 2019 through September 30, 2019	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07858-07866
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 146 - Plaintiff email dated April 3, 2014	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07867-07919
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 147 - Plaintiff email dated August 26, 2014	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07920-07922
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 148 - Plaintiff email dated May 22, 2013	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07923-07930
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 149 - Plaintiff email dated July 9, 2012	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07931-07933

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 150 - Plaintiff email dated May 9, 2012	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07934-07964
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 151 - Plaintiff email dated November 13, 2011	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07965-07998
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 152 - Plaintiff email dated December 2, 2016	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07999- XXXIV/AA 08018
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 153 - Plaintiff email dated June 30, 2014	02/14/2020	XXXIV/AA 08019-08202
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 154 - #002651 Emails between Dr. Michaels and R. Semonian	02/21/2020	XXXIV/AA 08203-08209
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 155 – NV Prescription Monitoring Program	02/21/2020	XXXIV/AA 08210-08247
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 156 – Request to appeal denial of unemployment benefits	02/21/2020	XXXIV/AA 08248
Defendant's Trial Exhibit A – Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's First Request for Production of Documents and Tangible Things from Plaintiff (with certain attachments thereto)	02/14/2020	XXXIV/AA 08249
Defendant's Trial Exhibit C – Documentation of \$450,000 loan taken by Danka K. Michaels, M.D., PC for tenant improvements	02/14/2020	XXXIV/AA 08250- XXXV/AA 08257
Defendant's Trial Exhibit G – Records produced by Equity Title, LLC, in response to Subpoena Duces Tecum for Blue Mesa property (Affidavit and relevant documents)	02/14/2020	XXXV/AA 08258-08270
Defendant's Trial Exhibit J – Plaintiff's Decree of Divorce filed June 26, 2021	02/14/2020	XXXV/AA 08271

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Defendant's Trial Exhibit K – Blue Point Development account statement and record produced by Wells Fargo Bank, in response to Subpoena Duces Tecum	02/14/2020	XXXV/AA 08272
Defendant's Trial Exhibit L – Wells Fargo billing Statement dated November 2016	02/14/2020	XXXV/AA 08273- XXXVI/AA 08571
Defendant's Trial Exhibit M – Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed on June 1, 2018 in the matter of <i>Bluepoint</i> <i>Development Inc. v. Patience One, LLC</i>	02/14/2020	XXXVI/AA 08572- XXXVII/AA 08867
Defendant's Trial Exhibit N – Records evidencing attorney's fees and expert fees paid by Defendant in this action	02/14/2020	XXXVII/AA 08868-08938
Receipt of Copy	11/10/2021	XXXVII/AA 08939

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Affidavit of Process Server	11/02/2017	I/AA00017-00022
Amended Notice of Taking Videotaped	03/05/2019	II/AA00376-
Deposition		00378
Answer to First Amended Complaint for Divorce; for Set Aside of Deeds of Real	05/02/2018	I/AA00189-00211
Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest; and		
for Alternative Equitable Relief Under the		
Putative Spouse Doctrine; Affirmative Defenses		
and Counterclaim		
Answer to Second Amended Complaint for Equitable Relief Under (1) the Putative Spouse Doctrine, and (2) Pursuant to Express and/or Implied Agreement to Hold Property as if the Parties Were Married Under <i>Michoff</i> ; and to Set Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest; Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaim	11/19/2018	II/AA00306- 00329
	08/01/2019	III/AA00567-
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees	08/01/2019	IV/AA00307-
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses	04/22/2019	II/AA00398- 00440
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss	11/29/2017	I/AA00025-00044

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Opposition to Countermotion (1) to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgement as to Defendant's Causes of Action for Intentional Misrepresentation; Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Promissory Estoppel; Express Agreement Implied Agreement; and Malicious Abuse of Process; (2) for Summary Judgement Setting Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest; and (3) for Permission to Submit Points and Authorities in Excess of 30 Pages Pursuant to EDCR 5.503(e)	09/06/2019	V/AA00845- 00861
Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Countermotion 1) to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgement as to Defendant's Causes of Action for Intentional Misrepresentation/Fraud; Negligent Misrepresentation; Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Promissory Estoppel; Express Agreement; Implied Agreement; and Malicious Abuse of Process; (2) for Summary Judgement Setting Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest; and (3) for Permission to Submit Points and Authorities in Excess of 30 Pages Pursuant to EDCR 5.503(e)	08/19/2019	V/AA00763- 00813
Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Response and Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses	05/13/2019	II/AA00468- 00495

ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Case and Trial Management Order	09/10/2018	II/AA00272- 00274
Case Appeal Statement	09/02/2021	XII/AA02717- 02743
Case Management Order – Domestic	03/21/2019	II/AA00389- 00394
Certificate of Service	09/11/2018	II/AA00277- 00278
Certificate of Service	01/09/2019	II/AA00359- 00360
Certification of Transcripts Notification of Completion	10/28/2021	XIII/AA02886- 02913
Complaint for Divorce and for Set Aside of Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest	10/24/2017	I/AA00001-00015
Court Minutes	01/25/2018	I/AA00142-00143
Court Minutes	02/23/2018	I/AA00144-00145
Court Minutes	09/10/2018	II/AA00275- 00276
Court Minutes	02/14/2020	VIII/AA01728
Court Minutes	02/21/2020	IX/AA01781- 01793
Court Minutes	07/20/2020	IX/AA01820- 01823
Court Minutes	01/22/2021	X/AA02210- 02220
Court Minutes	03/05/2021	XI/AA02253- 02261
Court Minutes	03/12/2021	XI/AA02272- 02284
Court Minutes	04/02/2021	XI/AA02285- 02301

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Declaration of Danka K. Michaels in Support of Answer to Second Amended Complaint for Equitable Relief Under (1) the Putative Spouse Doctrine, and (2) Pursuant to Express and/or Implied Agreement to Hold Property as if the Parties Were Married Under <i>Michoff</i> ; and to Set Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest; Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaim	11/21/2018	II/AA00330- 00332
Declaration of Service	07/13/2018	I/AA00230
Declaration of Service	07/19/2018	I/AA00238
Declaration of Service	09/05/2019	V/AA00844
Declaration of Service	11/01/2019	V/AA00882
Declaration of Service	12/20/2019	V/AA00886
Declaration of Service	02/04/2020	V/AA00910
Declaration of Service	02/05/2020	V/AA00911
Declaration of Service Robert Semonian	08/03/2018	I/AA00243
Declaration of Service Shannon L. Evans	08/03/2018	I/AA00244
Defendant Danka K. Michaels Memorandum of Fees and Costs	08/25/2021	XII/AA02658- 02671
Defendant's Closing Argument Brief	05/28/2021	XI/AA02444- 02467
Defendant's EDCR 7.27 Brief	04/02/2021	XI/AA02302- 02320
Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Reponses	04/22/2019	II/AA00441- 00458
Defendant's Pre-Trial Memorandum	02/07/2020	V/AA00914- 00932
Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Objection to Memorandum of Fees and Costs	09/20/2021	XIII/AA02855- 02885
Defendant's Second Supplemental Witness List (Non-Expert)	12/27/2019	V/AA00887- 00891

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Defendant's Supplemental Witness List (Non- Expert)	04/24/2019	II/AA00460- 00464
Defendant's Trial Exhibit A – Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's First Request for Production of Documents and Tangible Things from Plaintiff (with certain attachments thereto)	02/14/2020	XXXIV/AA 08249
Defendant's Trial Exhibit C – Documentation of \$450,000 loan taken by Danka K. Michaels, M.D., PC for tenant improvements	02/14/2020	XXXIV/AA 08250- XXXV/AA 08257
Defendant's Trial Exhibit G – Records produced by Equity Title, LLC, in response to Subpoena Duces Tecum for Blue Mesa property (Affidavit and relevant documents)	02/14/2020	XXXV/AA 08258-08270
Defendant's Trial Exhibit J – Plaintiff's Decree of Divorce filed June 26, 2021	02/14/2020	XXXV/AA 08271
Defendant's Trial Exhibit K – Blue Point Development account statement and record produced by Wells Fargo Bank, in response to Subpoena Duces Tecum	02/14/2020	XXXV/AA 08272
Defendant's Trial Exhibit L – Wells Fargo billing Statement dated November 2016	02/14/2020	XXXV/AA 08273- XXXVI/AA 08571
Defendant's Trial Exhibit M – Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed on June 1, 2018 in the matter of <i>Bluepoint</i> <i>Development Inc. v. Patience One, LLC</i>	02/14/2020	XXXVI/AA 08572- XXXVII/AA 08867
Defendant's Trial Exhibit N – Records evidencing attorney's fees and expert fees paid by Defendant in this action	02/14/2020	XXXVII/AA 08868-08938
Defendant's Witness List (Non-Expert)	02/20/2019	II/AA00371- 00375

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Estimated Cost of Expedited Transcripts	07/22/2020	IX/AA01824- 01826
Estimated Cost of Transcript	09/07/2021	XIII/AA02769- 02791
Estimated Costs of Transcript	09/07/2021	XIII/AA02792- 02822
Exhibit Appendix to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	12/20/2017	I/AA00064-00093
Exhibit of Appendix to Defendant Danka K. Michaels Memorandum of Fees and Costs	08/25/2021	XII/AA02672- 02716
Final Billing for Transcripts	09/01/2020	X/AA02052- 02054
Final Billing for Transcripts	10/28/2021	XIII/AA02914- 02956
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgement	08/03/2021	XII/AA02568- 02613
First Amended Compliant for Divorce; for Set Aside of Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest; and for Alternative Equitable Relief Under the Putative Spouse Doctrine	03/22/2018	I/AA00174-00188
General Financial Disclosure Form	02/13/2020	V/AA00964- 00981
Joint Early Case Conference Report Pursuant to N.R.CP 16.2(i)(2)	07/13/2018	I/AA00231-00237
Minute Order	09/10/2019	V/AA00880- 00881
Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint	09/07/2018	I/AA00245- II/AA00270
Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees	08/01/2019	IV/AA00703- 00736
Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet	12/20/2017	I/AA00094

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet	09/07/2018	II/AA00271
Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet	01/08/2019	II/AA00352
Motion to Dismiss	11/29/2017	I/AA00045-00061
Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Records for Plaintiff	01/08/2019	II/AA00353- 00358
Notice of Appeal	09/02/2021	XII/AA02744- XIII/AA02768
Notice of Appearance	03/08/2019	II/AA00382- 00383
Notice of Appearance of Attorney	11/27/2017	I/AA00023-00024
Notice of Appearance of Co-Counsel for Defendant	10/16/2020	X/AA02087- 02122
Notice of Attorney's Lien	04/05/2019	II/AA00395- 00397
Notice of Change of Firm	06/26/2020	IX/AA01811- 01819
Notice of Change of Firm Address	01/27/2021	X/AA02233- 02243
Notice of Change of Firm Address	08/01/2021	XII/AA02525- 02567
Notice of Department Reassignment	03/11/2019	II/AA00384- 00385
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgement	08/05/2021	XII/AA02614- 02657
Notice of Entry of Order	03/12/2018	I/AA00155-00164
Notice of Entry of Order	12/17/2018	II/AA00345- 00351
Notice of Entry of Order	02/06/2019	II/AA00363- 00367
Notice of Entry of Order to Seal Records	01/03/2018	I/AA00120-00124
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	12/29/2017	I/AA00116- 000119

ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	10/10/2018	II/AA00282- 00287
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	08/16/2019	V/AA0055-00762
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	06/25/2020	IX/AA01801- 01810
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	04/19/2021	XI/AA02330- 02351
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order RE: Motion to Compel	05/29/2019	III/AA00535- 00543
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue	06/13/2019	III/AA00545- 00551
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Vacate Discovery Hearing	06/19/2019	III/AA00560- 00564
Notice of Hearing	04/22/2019	II/AA00459
Notice of Hearing	08/01/2019	IV/AA00737
Notice of Hearing	03/20/2020	IX/AA01794- 01798
Notice of Hearing	08/26/2020	IX/AA1827- X/AA2051
Notice of Hearing	10/26/2020	X/AA02123- 02190
Notice of Hearing	11/17/2020	X/AA02191- 02201
Notice of Hearing	11/25/2020	X/AA02202- 02209
Notice of Hearing	01/22/2021	X/AA02221- 02232
Notice of Hearing	02/23/2021	X/AA02244- XI/AA02252
Notice of Hearing	03/08/2021	XI/AA02262- 02271

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Notice of Intent to Appear by Communication Equipment	02/20/2020	VIII/AA01729- IX/01768
Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/13/2020	V/AA00982- VII/AA01254
Notice of Taking Custodian of Records Deposition and Seven Day Notice of Intent to Serve Subpoena Duces Tecum	12/09/2019	V/AA00883- 00885
Notice of Taking Videotaped Deposition	02/15/2019	II/AA00368- 00370
Notice of Unavailability of Counsel	05/08/2019	II/AA00465- 00467
Notice of Unavailability of Counsel	08/05/2019	IV/AA00738- 00740
Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	12/20/2017	I/AA00095- I/AA00111
Order	03/09/2018	I/AA00146-00154
Order	03/12/2018	I/AA0065-00173
Order After Hearing of September 10, 2018	12/11/2018	II/AA00333- 00336
Order Granting Withdrawal as Attorney of Record for Plaintiff	02/05/2019	II/AA00361- 00362
Order Setting Case Management Conference and Directing Compliance with NRCP 16.2	07/31/2018	I/AA00239-00242
Order to Seal Records Pursuant to NRS 125.110(2)	12/22/2017	I/AA00112- I/AA00113
Peremptory Challenge of Judge	03/11/2019	II/AA00386- 00388
Petition to Seal Records Pursuant to NRS 125.110(2)	12/15/2017	I/AA00062-00063
Plaintiff Thomas Pickens General Financial Disclosure Form-Trial	02/11/2020	V/AA00955- 00962

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff Thomas Pickens Pretrial Memorandum	02/07/2020	V/AA00933- 00950
Plaintiff, Danka K. Michaels' Initial Expert Witness List	07/11/2018	I/AA00220-00229
Plaintiff's Closing Argument	04/23/2021	XI/AA02370- 02834
Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant Danka K. Michaels' Memorandum of Fees and Costs	09/07/2021	XIII/AA02823- 02854
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Countermotion for Leave of Court to File Supplemental Points and Authorities	08/12/2019	IV/AA00746- V/AA00754
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Countermotion (1) to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgement as to Defendant's Causes of Action for International Misrepresentation/Fraud; Negligent Misrepresentation; Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Promissory Estoppel; Express Agreement; Implied Agreement; and Malicious Abuse of Process; (2) for Summary Judgement Setting Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest; and (3) for Permission to Submit Points and Authorities in Excess of 30 Pages Pursuant to EDCR 5.503(e)	08/19/2019	V/AA00814- 00843
Plaintiff's Rebuttal to Defendant's Closing Argument	06/15/2021	XI/AA02489- XII/AA02524
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/10/2020	V/AA00951- 00954

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix			
VOLUME XXXII OF X	VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.	
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/20/2020	IX/AA01769- 01770	
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/20/2020	IX/AA01771- 01780	
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	04/23/2021	XI/AA02835- 02406	
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	04/23/2021	XI/AA02407- 02424	
Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	04/23/2021	XI/AA02425- 02443	
Plaintiff's Response and Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Reponses	05/13/2019	II/AA00496- III/AA00516	
Plaintiff's Supplement to Response and Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses	05/21/2019	III/AA00523- 00527	
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 1 - Photographs of the parties' wedding on April 7, 2002 and announcement	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03070- 03083	
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 10 - 2006 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03181- 03196	
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 100 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/13 through 12/08/14	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07240- 07247	
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 101 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/14 through 12/08/15	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07248- 07250	
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 102 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/15 through 12/08/16	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07251- 07255	
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 103 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/16 through 12/08/17	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07256- 07258	

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 104 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 01/08/18 through 12/07/18	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07259
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 105 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/08/18 through 05/08/19	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07260
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 106 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/05/12 through 12/20/13	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07261- 07262
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 107 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/13 through 12/19/14	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07263
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 108 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/20/14 through 12/20/15	02/14/2020	XXXI/AA07264- XXXII/AA 07516
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 109 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/15 through 12/20/16	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07517-07682
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 11 - 2007 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03197- 03210
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 110 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/16 through 12/20/17	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07683-07685
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 111 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/17 through 12/20/18	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07686-07687
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 112 - American Express #51001 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 12/21/18 through 04/19/19	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07688-07689
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 113 - Bank of America Bank Statements #2561 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 10/29/12 through 02/28/14	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07690-07691

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix Volume XXXII of XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 114 - Bank of America Bank Statements #0222 titled in the name of Patience One LLC 11/01/12 through 12/31/13	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07692-07693
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 115 - Wells Fargo Visa #0648 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 06/06/17 through 12/08/17	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07694-07695
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 116 - Wells Fargo Visa #0648 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/17 through 12/07/18	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07696-07698
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 117 - Wells Fargo Visa #0648 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/08/18 through 05/08/19	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07699-07700
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 118 - Wells Fargo Checking #8952 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 10/16/18 through 12/31/18	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07701-07702
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 119 - Wells Fargo Checking #8952 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 01/01/19 through 04/30/19	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07703-07704
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 12 - 2008 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03211- 03224
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 125 - Land Rover Financial Group statement 12/13/13 – 01/12/14	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07705-07706
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 126 - Lexus Statement – 12/24/13	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07707
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 127 - Southwest Pension Services – Danka Michaels. Statements 09/03/2013 and 12/31/13	02/14/2020	XXXII/AA 07708- XXXIII/AA 07769
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 128 - Valic – Danka Michalecko statements 9/30/13, 12/31/13, and 9/30/15	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07770-07772

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 129 - Pinnacle Health Systems – Danka K. Michaels. Statements 9/30/13 and 12/31/13	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07773-07778
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 13 - 2009 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03225- XV/AA03262
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 132 - Danka Michaels Pinnacle Health Systems Statement 7/1/15	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07779-07780
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 133 - Bank of the West – 2015 Porsche statement 12.2.14	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07781-07841
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 134 - Life Insurance Statement 11/25/15	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07842-07849
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 138 - Thomas Pickens UBS Retirement statements dated June 2017 and October-December 2017 (Supplemental Response to Request for Production No. 16.)	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07850-07857
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 14 - 2010 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03263- 03319
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 144 - JP Morgan Statements, Danka K. Michaels IRA, August 31, 2019 through September 30, 2019	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07858-07866
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 146 - Plaintiff email dated April 3, 2014	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07867-07919
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 147 - Plaintiff email dated August 26, 2014	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07920-07922
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 148 - Plaintiff email dated May 22, 2013	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07923-07930
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 149 - Plaintiff email dated July 9, 2012	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07931-07933
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 15 - 2011 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03320- 03372
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 150 - Plaintiff email dated May 9, 2012	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07934-07964

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 151 - Plaintiff email dated November 13, 2011	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07965-07998
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 152 - Plaintiff email dated December 2, 2016	02/14/2020	XXXIII/AA 07999- XXXIV/AA 08018
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 153 - Plaintiff email dated June 30, 2014	02/14/2020	XXXIV/AA 08019-08202
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 154 - #002651 Emails between Dr. Michaels and R. Semonian	02/21/2020	XXXIV/AA 08203-08209
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 155 – NV Prescription Monitoring Program	02/21/2020	XXXIV/AA 08210-08247
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 156 – Request to appeal denial of unemployment benefits	02/21/2020	XXXIV/AA 08248
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 16 - 2012 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03373- 03429
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 17 - 2013 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03430- 03478
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 18 - 2014 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03479- 03494
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 19 - 2015 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XV/AA03495- XVI/AA03543
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 2 - Litterae Matrimoniales (Marriage Certificate) of Thomas Pickens and Danka Katarina Oltusova dated April 7, 2002	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03084- 03096
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 20 - 2016 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XVI/AA03544- 03639
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 21 - 2005 1040 Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels	02/14/2020	XVI/AA03640- 03735
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 22 - 2006 1040 Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels	02/14/2020	XVI/AA03736- XVII/AA03823

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix Volume XXXII of XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 23 - 2007 1040	02/14/2020	XVII/AA03824-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		03848
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 24 - 2008 1040	02/14/2020	XVII/AA03849-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		03998
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 25 - 2009 1040	02/14/2020	XVII/AA03999
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		XVIII/AA04127
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 26 - 2010 1040	02/14/2020	XVIII/AA04128-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		04239
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 27 - 2011 1040	02/14/2020	XVIII/AA04240-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		XIX/AA04361
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 28 - 2012 1040	02/14/2020	XIX/AA04362-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		04482
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 29 - 2013 1040	02/14/2020	XIX/AA04483-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		XX/AA04646
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 3 - Medical Records	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03097-
for Tom Pickens produced by Danka Michaels,		03111
his physician		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 30 - 2014 1040	02/14/2020	XX/AA04647-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		XXI/AA04755
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 31 - 2015 1040	02/14/2020	XXI/AA04756-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		04842
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 32 - 2016 1040	02/14/2020	XXI/AA04843-
Income Tax Return for Danka Michaels		04879
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 35 - 2006 1120S	02/14/2020	XXI/AA04880-
Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD,		04908
PC		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 36 - 2007 1120S	02/14/2020	XXI/AA04909-
Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD,		XXII/AA05059
PC		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 37 - 2008 1120S	02/14/2020	XXII/AA05060-
Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC		05200

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix Volume XXXII of XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 38 - 2009 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXII/AA05201- XXIII/AA05305
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 39 - 2010 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIII/AA05306- 05391
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 4 - Nevada Prescription Monitoring Program Prescription log for Tom Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03112- 03116
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 40 - 2011 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIII/AA05392- 05488
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 41 - 2012 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIII/AA05489- XXIV/AA05577
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 42 - 2013 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIV/AA05578- 05669
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43 - 2014 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXIV/AA05670- XXV/AA05758
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 44 - 2015 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXV/AA05759- 05802
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 45 - 2016 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXV/AA05803- 05934
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 46 - 2017 1120S Income Tax Return for Danka K. Michaels MD, PC	02/14/2020	XXV/AA005935- XXVI/AA06106
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 47 - 2012 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVI/AA06107- XXVII/AA06297

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix Volume XXXII of XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 48 - 2013 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVII/AA06298- 06490
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 49 - 2014 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVII/AA06491- XXVIII/ AA06589
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 5 - Chain of Title with Applicable Deeds for 9517 Queen Charlotte Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03117- 03127
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 50 - 2015 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVIII/ AA06590-06672
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 51 - 2016 1065 Income Tax Return for Patience One LLC	02/14/2020	XXVIII/ AA06673-06691
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 52 - 2008 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXVIII/ AA06692- XXIX/ AA06759
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 53 - 2009 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06760-06832
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 54 - 2010 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06833-06862
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 55 - 2011 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06863-06912
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 56 - 2012 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06913-06930
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 57 - 2013 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06931-06962

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 58 - 2014 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06963-06998
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 59 - 2015 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXIX/ AA06999
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 6 - Chain of Title with Applicable Deeds for 7608 Lowe Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03128- 03136
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 60 - 2016 1120 Income Tax Return for Blue Point Development LLC	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07000
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 63 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 05/29/2014 through 12/31/2014	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07001- 07002
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 65 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2015 through 12/31/2015	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07003- 07006
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 67 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2016 through 12/31/2016	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07007- 07008
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 69 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2017 through 12/31/2017	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07009- 07010
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 7 - Affidavit of Custodian of Records and file from First American Title Company—purchase of 9517 Queen Charlotte Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 on October 7, 2004	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03137- 03150

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix Volume XXXII of XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 70 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2018 through 12/31/2018	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07011
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 71 - Wells Fargo Business Checking #9112 titled in the name of Blue Point Development 01/01/2019 through 04/30/19	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07012- 07013
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 74 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 07/01/2014 through 12/31/14	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07014
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 76 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 01/01/2015 through 12/31/15	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07015- 07016
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 78 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 01/01/2016 through 12/31/16	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07017- 07050
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 79 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 01/01/2017 through 12/31/17	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07051
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 8 - Certificate of Custodian of Records for Ticor Title of Nevada—purchase of 7608 Lowe Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131 on February 28, 2011	02/14/2020	XIV/AA03151- 03164
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 80 - Wells Fargo Checking ending 3436 titled in the names of Thomas A. Pickens and Danka K. Michaels 01/01/2018 through 04/30/18	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07052

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix Volume XXXII of XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 82 - American Express	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07053
Statements #72004		
Thomas Pickens card #72004		
Danka Michaels card #72020		
12/30/10 through 12/15/11		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 83 - American Express	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07054-
Statements #72004		07057
Thomas Pickens card #72004		
Danka Michaels card #72020		
12/16/11 through 12/14/12		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 84 - American Express	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07058
Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004		
Danka Michaels card #72020		
12/15/12 through 12/15/13		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 85 - American Express	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07059
Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004		
Danka Michaels card #72020		
12/16/13 through 12/15/14		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 86 - American Express	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07060
Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004		
Danka Michaels card #72020		
12/16/14 through 12/15/15		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 87 - American Express	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07061-
Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #72004		07092
#73002		
Danka Michaels card #72020		
12/16/15 through 12/15/16		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 88 - American Express	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07093-
Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #73002		07095
Danka Michaels card #72020		
12/16/16 through 12/15/17		

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix Volume XXXII of XXXVII		
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 89 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #73002 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/16/17 through 12/15/18	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07096- 07204
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 9 - 2005 1040 Income Tax Return for Thomas A. Pickens	02/14/2020	XIV/AA3165- 03180
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 90 - American Express Statements #72004 Thomas Pickens card #73002 Danka Michaels card #72020 12/16/18 through 04/14/19	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07205- 07228
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 93 - Lowes house summary with supporting Wells Fargo Home Mortgage #9607 (PMA #3436) titled in the names of Danka Katarina Michaels and Thomas A. Pickens 07/02/14 through 07/01/2016	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07229- 07230
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 97 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/08/10 through 12/08/11	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07231
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 98 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/09/11 through 12/07/12	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07232- 07236
Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 99 - American Express Statements #63006 titled in the name of Thomas Pickens 12/08/12 through 12/08/13	02/14/2020	XXX/AA07237- 07239
Receipt of Check	06/03/2019	III/AA00544
Receipt of Copy	02/11/2020	V/AA00963
Receipt of Copy	11/10/2021	XIV/AA03055- 03069
Receipt of Copy	11/10/2021	XXXVII/AA 08939
Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses	05/15/2019	III/AA00517- 00522
Reply to Defendant's Counterclaim	05/30/2018	I/AA00212-00219

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF X	XXVII	
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Reply to Defendant's Counterclaim	12/12/2018	II/AA00337- 00344
Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement, to Dismiss, for Protective Order and for Attorney Fees and Opposition to Countermotion (1) to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgement as to Defendant's Causes of Action for Intentional Misrepresentation/Fraud; Negligent Misrepresentation; Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Promissory Estoppel; Express Agreement; Implied Agreement; and Malicious Abuse of Process; (2) for Summary Judgement Setting Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest; and (3) for Permission to Submit Points and Authorities in Excess of 30 Pages Pursuant to EDCR 5.503(e)	09/06/2019	V/AA00862- 00879
Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Opposition to Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	01/09/2018	I/AA00125-00141
Request for Issuance of Joint Preliminary Injunction	10/25/2017	I/AA00016
Satisfaction and Release of Lien	07/31/2019	III/AA00565- 00566
Second Amended Complaint for Equitable Relief Under (1) the Putative Spouse Doctrine, and (2) Pursuant to Express and/or Implied Agreement to Hold Property as if the Parties Were Married Under <i>Michoff</i> ; and to Set Aside Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of L.L.C. Interest	10/15/2018	II/AA00288- 00305
Second Amended Notice of Taking Videotaped Deposition	03/05/2019	II/AA00379- 00381

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix		
VOLUME XXXII OF X DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Stipulation and Order Granting Leave to File Second Amended Complaint, and Vacating Motion Hearing	10/08/2018	II/AA00279- 00281
Stipulation and Order RE: Motion to Compel	05/28/2019	III/AA00528- 00534
Stipulation and Order to Continue	06/13/2019	III/AA00552- 00556
Stipulation and Order to Continue Day Three of Trial	06/24/2020	IX/AA01799- 01800
Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing	12/28/2017	I/AA00114- 000115
Stipulation and Order to Extend Briefing Deadline	04/22/2021	XI/AA02352- 02369
Stipulation and Order to Extend Briefing Deadlines	04/14/2021	XI/AA02321- 02329
Stipulation and Order to Extend Deadline for Plaintiff to File His Rebuttal Brief	06/14/2021	XI/AA02468- 02488
Stipulation and Order to Extend Filing of Pre- Trial Memorandum and Trail Exhibits	02/06/2020	V/AA00912- 00913
Stipulation and Order to Vacate Discovery Hearing	06/18/2019	III/AA00557- 00559
Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines and Continue Trail (First Request) and Order Continuing Trial	08/05/2019	IV/AA00741- 00745
Supplemental Exhibit in Support of Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiff's Request for the Court to Take Judicial Notice Pursuant to NRS 47.130	02/13/2020	VII/AA01255- VIII/AA01727
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial	09/01/2020	X/AA02055- 02070
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial Day 2	09/01/2020	X/AA02071- 02086

Alphabetical Index of Appellant's Appendix Volume XXXII of XXXVII		
DESCRIPTION	DATE FILED	VOL./PAGE NO.
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial Day 3	10/28/2021	XIII/AA02957- XIV/AA03007
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial Day 4	10/28/2021	XIV/AA03008- 03040
Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial Day 5	10/28/2021	XIV/AA03041- 03054
Trial Subpoena	01/29/2020	V/AA00906- 00909
Trial Subpoena Robert Semonian	01/28/2020	V/AA00892- 00898
Trial Subpoena Shannon L. Evans, Esq.	01/28/2020	V/AA00899- 00905

1	Q Did Danka have any demands as far as you living in
2	the home with her in Queen Charlotte?
3	A As soon as I signed the documents, she informed me
4	that her son was at the house, and that I was to go get my
5	stuff and move out.
6	Q Was there anything instructed by Danka as to the
7	building?
8	A At that point, there was no discussion of me moving
9	out of the building.
10	Q Were you under the impression that you would not
11	have to move out of the building?
12	A Absolutely.
13	Q And at some point, did you find that you had to move
14	out of the building?
15	A Yes.
16	Q How did you find that out?
17	A They ended up, what do you call it, kicking me out
18	the building. So they they asked me to leave. They said I
19	was
20	Q Who's they?
21	A Her son and her got a order from a judge saying I
22	was delinquent on a rent payment. And so they kicked me out
23	of the building.
24	Q So when you met with Shannon Evans on September 13,
ñ	D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT
	VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	238

1 2016, who else was present at that meeting?

2 A Just the three of us and her legal aide. I don't3 know who that was.

Q So why did you sign two deeds relinquishing your interest in the two homes that you bought together, and the LLC that owned the building? Explain to the Court why you would sign something like that.

8 A Because I was trying to make amends. I mean, I
9 didn't -- I was really messed up at that time.

10

Q How were you messed up?

A Just between everything that happened. The girl that I had an affair with was pregnant. She had an abortion the day I signed the documents. That completely threw me over the, I mean, that threw me for a loop. Just the fact that everything I worked so hard for was gone.

16 Q What does that mean, everything you worked so hard 17 for was gone?

18 A I worked so hard to get where we were. And I did 19 everything I could to make our lives better, and it just was 20 completely destroyed. I mean, everything.

Q So now when you met with Shannon Evans in September of 2016, that wasn't the first time either you or Danka had seen her, correct?

24

A That's correct.

D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	Q Who did your estate planning?		
2	A Shannon Evans.		
3	Q Who did Danka's estate planning?		
4	A Shannon Evans.		
5	Q How did you find Shannon Evans?		
6	A It was Danka's connection. I don't know how long		
7	she's known Shannon. She did Danka's estate planning, and		
8	Danka said well, just go have Shannon do yours. And I told		
9	Shannon just whatever Danka did is fine. Just leave		
10	everything to Danka and Jakub, and I was okay with that.		
11	Q So now when you did your estate plan with Shannon		
12	Evans, why would you sign a trust or a will that says that		
13	you're an unmarried man?		
14	A One, that was how Danka put it in her plan. And so		
15	I did exactly what she did. I didn't		
16	Q Did you		
17	A Because we were married		
18	Q read Danka's plan?		
19	A Because we were married, I didn't, you know, I left		
20	everything to her. So it didn't, you know, didn't matter how		
21	it got to her. It just got to her.		
22	Q Now, during the marriage, you and Danka used the		
23	same accountant?		
24	A Yes.		
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356		
	240		

1 2 Q Bob Samonian (ph)?

A That's correct.

3 Q So why would you sign tax returns that say you're an 4 unmarried man?

5 A When we went to Slovakia, we got married in 6 Slovakia. Everyone -- Bob knew we were -- got married in 7 Slovakia. When he filled out the tax returns, that's what he 8 put on it. And I am not an accountant. And whatever he said 9 was good. I signed, being stupid. But, I did.

10 Q Did you ever provide your accountant information 11 about the mortgages that were paid for purposes of deciding 12 which of the two of you would claim deductions for the 13 mortgages?

Whenever we did taxes, I would send Bob all the bank А 14 accounts, all the credit card accounts that we had on my 15 business, my personal stuff. He would prepare the bank 16 account, or the -- the taxes from there. I would gather 17 Danka's stuff and provide him with as much stuff as I could. 18 She wrote more checks than I did. I hardly ever wrote checks. 19 I did everything on online banking. So mine was much easier 20 21 to do.

Q So, following your executing the deeds and the assignment of your interest in Patience One, LLC, did things qet better?

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	A No. No. They actually got worse. I mean, once sh	e	
2	kicked me out of the house, you know, I went back to Florida.		
3	I had to finish the job that I was doing down there. I came		
4	back. After I came back, I had to reestablish my life. I		
5	ended up taking the money out of my 401k, purchasing a house,		
6	the one I live in now, the 14, or the 4514 Blue Mason		
7	property. So I bought all new furniture, everything I could		
8	to reestablish my life here. So it was tough, and it still is		
9	tough.		
10	Q At any time, did you provide financial assistance to	>	
11	Danka's son, Jakub?		
12	A Yes. I mean, he was an employee. And after this	-	
13	Q He was an employee of yours?		
14	A That's correct.		
15	Q Of Bluepoint Development, you mean?		
16	A That's correct.		
17	Q Any other financial assistance you provided him		
18	besides a job?		
19	A The only other assistance I gave him, when he got		
20	trouble, he was in trouble with DUI, he asked me to bail him		
21	out, and I did.		
22	Q When did that occur?		
23	A I think 2017.		
24	Q After you signed the deeds, you still bailed him		
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356		
	24	2	

out? 1 2 А Yes. 3 MS. LOBELLO: Your Honor, could we take a brief 4 recess? 5 THE COURT: Yes, you may. MS. LOBELLO: For comfort. And I will make sure --6 7 I think I'm finished, but I just want to go through my exhibit list briefly and make sure I didn't miss anything. 8 9 THE COURT: Ten minutes? MS. LOBELLO: That would be lovely. 10 THE COURT: Let's do it. 11 (Off record) 12 (Recess from 3:49 p.m. to 4:06 p.m.) 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat, please. Before 1415 we --MS. LOBELLO: I have two more questions, and then I 16 will --17 THE COURT: Two more questions, and then we'll talk 18 about logistics. Go ahead. We're back on the record. 19 BY MS. LOBELLO: 20 Mr. Pickens, you heard the witness who testified 21 0 prior to you, Dara, talk about a meeting scheduled with an 22 attorney. When you heard her testimony, was she referring to 23 24 your -- your understanding, she was referring to the meeting D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

that you had with Shannon Evans and Danka on September 13, 1 2 2016? MS. ABRAMS: Objection, calls for speculation. 3 THE COURT: He can say what his opinion is. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. LOBELLO: 6 7 Q Who made the appointment with Shannon Evans that you attended on September 13, 2016? 8 Danka. 9 А 10 MS. LOBELLO: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. So here we are. Again, you 11 12 don't -- step down for now until we decide what we're going to 13 do next. We have one hour left, and hours are treasurable 14 things to have. I'm going to look at my calendar because I'll be here all next week and the following week. You, of course, 15 are going to be on the 21st. There were some matters on there 16 17 with you. I moved them already. MR. JONES: I feel comfortable that we can finish 18 19 next Friday. And --20 THE COURT: I know. I just want a fall-back date in the event. 21 MR. JONES: I think that's a great idea. 22 THE COURT: Can you --23 24 MR. JONES: Plus, I -- I kind of agree with Counsel D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

about --1 2 THE COURT: -- fast message Peggy (ph) and ask her what --3 MR. JONES: -- continuity of examination. 4 THE COURT: -- trial she vacated? Or whatever she 5 6 vacated. 7 MS. ABRAMS: Just admit it --MR. JONES: It's absolutely not true. 8 9 MS. ABRAMS: -- you have a bottle of wine waiting for you, right? 10 11 MR. JONES: That's absolutely not true. She's giving me a hard time about it. I'm trying to be courteous. 12 MS. LOBELLO: I didn't hear what you said. 13 MS. ABRAMS: I said you just want to leave. It's 14 Valentine's Day. There's a bottle of wine cooling. 15 MR. JONES: You know what, there isn't one cooling 16 17 in the car, but I might get one. MS. LOBELLO: His wife's already mad at him that he 18 had to be here on Valentine's Day. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm not seeing a full chunk of 20 21 time. MS. ABRAMS: Well, if you want to do written 22 closings, we could probably finish on Friday. 23 MR. JONES: And that's probably not a bad idea. 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 245

THE COURT: I think written closings --1 2 MS. LOBELLO: I think written closings are helpful. 3 THE COURT: -- are probably a great idea because --MR. JONES: And proposed findings. 4 THE COURT: -- then you can -- and proposed 5 findings. Yes, because I would like those to have -- you'd 6 7 have to get a copy of the --MS. ABRAMS: Do we want --8 THE COURT: -- transcript and --9 10 MS. ABRAMS: Do we want to maybe start earlier? Maybe start an hour earlier next week, and that way if we --11 12 with the extra hour and written closings, I think we could 13 probably finish. MR. JONES: 8:00 a.m.? I don't have an issue with 14 15that. THE COURT: I get up at 5:00 in the morning. 16 MS. ABRAMS: So do I. 17 MR. JONES: I was in the office at 5:45 this 18 19 morning, so --THE COURT: All right. So we can go at --20 MR. JONES: -- we can be here at 8:00 next Friday --21 THE COURT: We can do 8:00. 22 MR. JONES: -- just to be safe. 23 24 MS. ABRAMS: At 8:00?

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: We can do 8:00 to be safe. 1 MR. JONES: Assuming your staff can. 2 MS. LOBELLO: Our first witness is Shannon Evans, so 3 you're going to start with Tom, and then stop at 9:00 for 4 Shannon, or --5 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. And I don't think that's --6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Here -- here we go. I had a cancellation because they were so fearful of me they got 8 back together again. Oh, Judge Steel's on the bench, we got 9 to -- we got to fix this. 10 MR. JONES: Not enough people look ahead to see 11 who's sitting. So they probably don't even know it's you. 12 THE COURT: On the 24th I have a full day available. 13 So if we don't --14 MR. JONES: The 24th. 15 THE COURT: -- finish on the 21st --16 MR. JONES: So that's Monday following next Friday. 17 THE COURT: -- we can do closings on the 24th. 18 MS. ABRAMS: I have -- I have four depositions that 19 20 day. THE COURT: Sounds like a personal problem. 21 MS. ABRAMS: Not my -- not -- not for --22 MR. JONES: You don't have anybody else who can 23 defend depos? 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MS. ABRAMS: No, I'm taking four depos that day. 1 THE COURT: All day long, then? 2 3 MS. ABRAMS: It is. THE COURT: Well, four depos would be all day. 4 5 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. MS. LOBELLO: Doesn't sound like fun at all. 6 7 THE COURT: That's the only time that I can see where I have a chunk of time, unless, let's see, we do our --8 everything on the 21st. What about I'll be here on the 26th, 9 10 right? THE CLERK: Yes. 11 THE COURT: And I'm not seeing anything after in the 12 13 afternoon. THE CLERK: Correct. 14 THE COURT: How about the afternoon of the 26th? 15 MS. ABRAMS: I have just a quick hearing for one of 16 my CAP (ph) kids. But other than that, I can be available. 17 So --18 THE COURT: For closings. In other words, you'll 19 20 finish up your trial on the 21st, and we'll come in and do 21 closing argument. That gives you time to put it down --MS. ABRAMS: Oh, instead of doing written closings? 22 THE COURT: Whichever works. 23 24 MR, JONES: Listen, Judge, you know I don't ever D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

tire of hearing myself talk, so I'll do it whatever way --1 2 THE COURT: I do like written closings. 3 MR. JONES: That's what I --4 MS. ABRAMS: I would prefer --5 MR. JONES: I figured --MS. ABRAMS: I would prefer written. 6 7 MR. JONES: I figured that you would. THE COURT: I would, too. 8 9 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. MR. JONES: I think -- I think it makes it easier 10 for the Court, frankly, particularly if there's --11 THE COURT: Well, you got to show me how you proved 12 it and where you proved it. 13 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. I think that -- yeah. 14 THE COURT: That's -- yes. You have anything with 15 that? 16 MR. JONES: No. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. JONES: In fact, I was probably going to suggest 19 20 written closings anyway. THE COURT: Hopefully we'll get done on the 21st. 21 If we don't get done on the 21st, then we'll continue minimal 22 stuff to the 26th. 23 24 MS. LOBELLO: At what time? 1:30? D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 249

23/

THE COURT: I'm showing --1 2 THE CLERK: You can -- there's nothing on the afternoon, so you can do whenever. 3 4 THE COURT: So anything? 5 THE CLERK: Yes. THE COURT: So anytime. What time is your purported 6 7 child interview CAP thing? MR. JONES: Those CAP kids are just nuisances, you 8 know? 9 THE COURT: Stop. You said that on the record. 10 MR. JONES: You know I'm kidding. 11 THE COURT: You can never run for office. 12 MS. ABRAMS: I know. 13 MR. JONES: You're right. You're right. 14 MS. ABRAMS: Oh my God. Okay. It is --15 MR. JONES: Yeah, we're free. 16 17 MS. ABRAMS: It's a review hearing, so it should be pretty quick. And --18 THE COURT: What time? 19 MS. ABRAMS: It's from 1:30. 20 THE COURT: So why don't we do it -- anything we 21 need straggling will be 3:00 on Monday. We'll grind it down 22 'till we finish it. 23 MR. JONES: On Wednesday, the 26th? 24 TRANSCRIPT D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 250

THE COURT: Yeah. 1 2 MR. JONES: That's fine. THE COURT: Does that work? 3 4 MR. JONES: Yeah. 5 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. THE COURT: That's only if you have trailing --6 7 MS. LOBELLO: 3:00? Okay. THE COURT: -- rebuttals or anything like that that 8 have to be heard. 9 MS. ABRAMS: Otherwise, we'll do written closings. 10 THE COURT: That's fine. I'm just trying to squeeze 11 12 it in so I don't have to take extra days. I'm already getting 13 paid for that day. MS. ABRAMS: Oh, and are we --14 THE COURT: So the taxpayers might as well benefit 15 from that. 16 MS. ABRAMS: Are we starting -- did we decide to 17 start at 8:00 next week, or at 9:00? 18 THE COURT: We can start at 8:00. 19 20 MS. ABRAMS: 8:00, okay. THE COURT: So hopefully, we'll be done. But if 21 we're not, I've got a back drop day. 22 MR. JONES: I didn't want to be sitting at my desk 23 at 8:15 getting ready to leave. 24

D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MS. LOBELLO: I always review my notes with Heather 1 (ph) after the first day, don't worry. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. MS. LOBELLO: So we're coming back --4 THE COURT: Now we're going to secure these things 5 6 somewhere? MR. JONES: Yeah. I'm just putting our set back 7 8 here. 9 THE COURT: Okay. MR. JONES: I'll put the witnesses' ones over here. 10 THE COURT: And we'll secure my judge's ones. And 11 what are you going to do with yours over here? 12 MS. ABRAMS: Oh, those we're taking with us. 13 THE COURT: All of them? 14 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. 15 THE COURT: Those are his exhibits, right? 16 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. 17 THE COURT: For you. 18 MS. ABRAMS: Those are our copies of his exhibits. 19 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to take them with 20 you? 21 MS. ABRAMS: We're going to --22 THE COURT: They're leaving theirs here. 23 MR. JONES: Well, our copies of ours because we have 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

digital copies of everything organized as if it was in a book 1 2 anyway. 3 THE COURT: Okay. So you're going to take yours. MS. ABRAMS: We're going to take ours. 4 THE COURT: You're putting yours here. My clerk's 5 going to take care of the Judge's copies, because I have other 6 7 trials and stuff. Otherwise --(COURT AND CLERK CONFER BRIEFLY) 8 THE COURT: We're off the record then until we 9 return on Friday. 10 11 MR. JONES: 8:00 next Friday. MS. ABRAMS: Thank you. 12 MR. JONES: Thank you very much for your time. 13 (Proceedings concluded at 4:06 p.m.) 14 * * * * * * 15 ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and 16 correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the 17 above-entitled case to the best of my ability. 18 19 20 /s/ Michelle Rogan 21 Michelle Rogan 22 23 24 TRANSCRIPT D-17-560737-D PICKENS VS. MICHAELS 02/14/2020 VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 253

AA07516

1 2	TRANS SEP - 1 2020	
2	ORIGINAL	
3		
5	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT	
6	FAMILY DIVISION	
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
8	·	
9	THOMAS A. PICKENS,)	
10) Plaintiff,) CASE NO. D-17-560737-D	
11) vs.) DEPT. J	
12	DANKA K. MICHAELS,) (SEALED)	
13) Defendant.	
14)	
15		
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CYNTHIA DIANNE STEEL SENIOR DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
17	SENIOR DISTRICT COURT CODGE	
18	TRANSCRIPT RE: NON-JURY TRIAL DAY 2	
19	FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2020	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356	

AA07517

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		HOMAS A. PICKENS DHN D. JONES, ESQ.
3	B MI	CHELE TOUT LOBELLO, ESQ. NNES & LOBELLO
4	10	1777 West Twain Avenue Lite 300
5	La	us Vegas, Nevada 89135 02) 318-5060
6		
7	For the Defendant: JE	NKA K. MICHAELS NNIFER V. ABRAMS, ESQ.
8	62	E ABRAMS LAW FIRM, LLC 52 South Rainbow Boulevard
9	La	ite 100 s Vegas, Nevada 89118
10 11		02) 222-4021
11		
12		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356	

INDEX OF WITNESSES 1 2 PLAINTIFF'S DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS WITNESSES: 3 4 Shannon Evans 10 5 6 DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES: 7 Shannon Evans 38 65 8 67/104 Danka Michaels ---_ _ 9 Robert Simonian 73 81 96 100 10 Todd Kilde 148 152 160 _ _ 11 12 13 14 INDEX OF EXHIBITS 15 16 PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: ADMITTED 17 Exhibit 154 Bates 2651 - 5/10/2017 email 96 18 Exhibit 155 Updated prescription log 118 19 Exhibit 156 Unemployment appeal documents 157 20 DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS: 21 22 Exhibit B Complete file produced by S. Evans 13 23 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 3

AA07519

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2020 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 (THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 08:20:44) 4 5 THE COURT: This is the Pickens versus Michaels matter. This is case 560737. Could I have appearances? 6 7 MR. JONES: John Jones, bar number 6699, appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff, who is present, with also Michelle 8 9 LoBello, bar number 5527. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. MS. ABRAMS: Good morning, Your Honor. Jennifer 11 Abrams, bar number 7575, on behalf of Dr. Danka Michaels. 12 Your Honor, just as a preliminary matter, as we were 13 walking into the courthouse today my client received a text 14 || message from her brother in Slovakia that her mother passed 15 16 away. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MS. ABRAMS: I asked her -- are you okay? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I'm good. I just don't want to talk 20 about it. 21 THE COURT: I'm uncomfortable --MR. JONES: Some things are far more important 22 23 than --24 THE COURT: Yes. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 4

MR. JONES: -- than this, you know, so -- and if 1 2 there are matters that she needs to attend to --3 MS. LOBELLO: It's totally up to her. 4 MR. JONES: Yeah. 5 MS. LOBELLO: I know my client would say the same. 6 THE PLAINTIFF: Yeah, that's --7 MS. ABRAMS: She wants -- I've talked to her about 8 She wants to not waste everybody's time. She wants to go it. forward today. But I'm just asking as her counsel, if I feel 9 like she's not able to --10 THE COURT: We'll break. 11 MS. ABRAMS: -- to do this today that -- yeah. 12 MR. JONES: Well, and --13 14 MS. ABRAMS: We have other witnesses. Obviously we'll get through all of our other witnesses. 15 16 MR. JONES: Right. 17 MS. ABRAMS: But I would -- I was planning on 18 putting her on to testify today. 19 THE COURT: We may have to use our back -- well --20 MS. ABRAMS: We may not --21 MR. JONES: We only have a short period of time. 22 THE COURT: Short period of time on that day. MR. JONES: And her testimony -- we would probably 23 24 need to find another day.

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MS. ABRAMS: [Cross-talk] --1 MR. JONES: I don't have any issue with -- I guess 2 we have Evans today, cross of our client, and that's all I 3 know other than --4 MS. LOBELLO: Mr. Simonian (ph). 5 MR. JONES: And Simonian this afternoon, right? 6 7 THE COURT: Doctor, I will just say that -- my 8 condolences to you. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. THE COURT: I know it was probably a surprise this 10 morning to get that text message. It might not hit you yet, 11 as you stand here saying that you can go forward. Any moment 12 that you feel like you just cannot manage it anymore, or you 13 can't assist your attorney with your claim, then you need to 14 || let your attorney know right away and we can always move these 15 proceedings to another day. 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: I appreciate it. Thank you. I think I will be fine. Thank you, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. Okay. So then let's have appearance -- oh, we've already done appearances? 20 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. 21 THE COURT: No. We did? 22 MR. JONES: We have. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So everybody have a D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 6

1 seat and I guess -- any other preliminary issues? 2 MR. JONES: There's -- there's a couple of 3 housekeeping matters. One was yesterday at 4:25 we received a 4 purported notice to appear by communication equipment for a witness for the Defendant. 5 6 THE COURT: I haven't even seen that. 7 MR. JONES: Yeah. Well, the issue obviously is that it's not timely, and you can't do it by anything other than 8 9 the court-mandated system, not by Skype or any personal 10 computer or anything of that -- and it would have to be five days' notice, and there would have to be extraordinary 11 circumstances if they intend to call a witness without having 12 13 them present in the court. MS. ABRAMS: And, Your Honor, this particular 14 witness, his wife had a stroke and he does not feel 15 comfortable leaving her alone, which is why he asked to appear 16 by electronic means. 17 18 MR. JONES: Still doesn't get around the notice 19 requirement by the statute. 20 THE COURT: Right. 21 MS. ABRAMS: He was -- he was noticed --MR. JONES: No, no. 22 23 MS. ABRAMS: -- as a witness long ago. 24 MR. JONES: Hey, stop.

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MS. ABRAMS: We just didn't realize that he --1 MR. JONES: It's five days for notice to appear by 2 3 any other means. 4 THE COURT: Really missed the notice on that. 5 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. Well, then I will be making an offer of proof. I will ask to call him. I'll make an offer 6 7 of proof as to what he would have testified to and then the Court can do with it what the Courts wants to do with it. 8 MR. JONES: But there -- there's no offer of proof 9 in lieu of testimony at a trial. 10 THE COURT: I understand that. 11 MR. JONES: So --12 THE COURT: I understand that. When she intends to 13 call him, she will at that point in time put it on the record 14 why it would be important enough for me to go around that 15 notice rule. But it's pretty strident. 16 17 MR. JONES: Well, I don't know that you -- I don't know that you get --18 19 THE COURT: Right. 20 MR. JONES: You could -- if there was proper notice and I fought -- fought against it under the case law and the 21 statutory theory, you would have some level of discretion. 22 23 But without the proper notice --24 THE COURT: Thanks for the heads up. Whenever D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 you're ready to call him, we'll deal with the issue at that 2 time. MR. JONES: And then we didn't mention it the first 3 day of trial, but when we had our pretrial conference we asked 4 5 -- we asked Defendant's counsel if she was pursuing her 6 counterclaims at trial and she said she was not. I just 7 wanted to clarify that --8 MS. ABRAMS: We are pursuing attorney fees. 9 MR. JONES: Right. But other than that, the other 10 counterclaims you're not? 11 MS. ABRAMS: Right. Right. 12 MR. JONES: Okay. MS. ABRAMS: We're not going to spend the time to do 13 that. I think it's all encompassed within what we're doing. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then let's begin. 15 MR. JONES: Okay. I think Shannon Evans is outside. 16 17 THE COURT: Let's call her. 18 (Witness summoned) 19 THE COURT: And I need to set up over here. 20 MR. JONES: I think we'll be working out of Defendant's exhibit book this morning with Ms. Evans. 21 22 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 23 MR. JONES: So it's only one, and it's small. 24 THE COURT: Yeah, but I've still got to set up. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 MR. JONES: All right. 2 THE COURT: Go ahead and swear her in. 3 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony 4 you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the 5 whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God? 6 THE WITNESS: I do. 7 THE CLERK: You may be seated. 8 THE COURT: You may proceed. 9 MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 SHANNON EVANS 11 called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff and being first 12 duly sworn, testified as follows on: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES: 14 15 0 Can you please state your name for the Court? 16 А Shannon Evans. 17 And, Ms. Evans, are you an attorney licensed to Q practice law in the State of Nevada? 18 Yes, I am. 19 А What is your bar number? 20 Q 21 Α 4266. No, 4262, I think. 22 THE COURT: 4262? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 BY MR. JONES: D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 10

And you have at various times represented both the 1 Q 2 parties in this action? 3 Α Yes. 4 In 2010 I believe you did an estate plan for the Q Defendant --5 6 А Yes. -- Danka Michaels? Dr. Michaels? 7 0 8 А Yes 9 0 And in 2012 you did an estate plan for the Plaintiff? 10 11 А Yes. 12 And you also did some measure of work with regard to 0 13 forming an LLC for them? 14 А Correct. Now, I've reviewed your file that was produced in 15 0 discovery. I noted that the only conflict waiver that exists 16 | 17 was one that was signed on September 13th, 2016, at the time of the various transactions that we'll talk about in a little 18 bit. 19 I believe that is correct. 20 А 21 Is that your understanding? Q 22 А I believe that is correct. But when I first did his 23 estate planning in 2012, my notes said there was another 24 conflict waiver which is standard, but I didn't see them in D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

the file. 1 2 And I -- I thought it was standard as well and I 0 didn't see one in the file --3 4 А Yes. -- for when you did his plan because of how they 5 0 6 were intertwined. 7 А But there were notes in my file that there was one, but I didn't find it, so I'm not sure. 8 9 Q Now, before you is an exhibit binder labeled Defendant's Trial Exhibits. 10 11 Yes, sir. А Could you please turn to Exhibit B. 12 Q 13 MR. JONES: And this has already been stipulated in, 14 || right? 15 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. MS. LOBELLO: No, it hasn't been. 16 MS. ABRAMS: Oh. 17 18 THE CLERK: No. MS. LOBELLO: It has not been stipulated in. 19 MR. JONES: Do we have an issue with any of it? 20 MS. ABRAMS: No. It was in our book. We stipulate 21 22 to it, yes. 23 MS. LOBELLO: It wasn't agreed upon last time. 24 MR. JONES: Okay. Then we'll stipulate to it coming D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 12

in if that's --1 2 THE COURT: All right. It will come in. 3 (DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT B ADMITTED) BY MR. JONES: 4 5 0 All right. I'm going to have you turn to -actually before I go there, since the first day of trial our 6 7 office reached out to your office about the original operating 8 agreement for Patience One, LLC. Are you aware of that? 9 А Yes. 10 Did you search your office for the original 0 agreement? 11 12 А Yes. 13 And did you find it? Q No. A signed one you mean? 14 А A signed one. 15 0 Correct. I did not find a signed one. I found one 16 А on our computer system that they had created an operating 17 18 agreement, but I do not have a copy of the signed one. But it was called an amended operating agreement, 19 0 the one that was sent to us. 20 21 A It might have been. 22 But as far as an original operating agreement, you 0 23 didn't find any version of that? 24 That's correct. А D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 13

All right. If you could turn to -- and I'm sorry, I 1 Q don't know if -- how your eyes are, but the Bates numbers in 2 Exhibit B, Danka Michaels 000615, it is your item number 16 3 from your log. 4 Okay. I have it. 5 А Do you see that? 6 0 7 Yes, I do. А 8 All right. Now, is this an email that you received 0 from the Defendant on or about September 9th, 2016? 9 10 А It's an email that I provided to my paralegal. Oh, I'm sorry. Right. So it's an email from you to 11 Q your staff. 12 13 А Correct. Okay. And it's related to a conversation I'm 14 Q assuming you had with the Defendant? 15 Exactly. 16 Α 17 Q All right. 18 А No, excuse me. I think it was a conversation with Ms. Michaels. 19 20 The Defendant. 0 Right. 21 А Okay. 22 I'm sorry. I'll -- I -- so that I don't mess up not 0 calling her a doctor, since I don't want to be disrespectful, 23 24 I'm going to call her the Defendant throughout these D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 14

1 proceedings.

2	A Okay.
3	Q Now, do you believe that this email was sent roughly
4	around the time you received a phone call from the Defendant?
5	A Yes. It would have been the day before or that day.
6	Usually on Friday I don't usually have office hours on
7	Friday, so it probably was Thursday.
8	Q Thank you. Now, at the time you sent this email,
9	you were technically still the esate planning attorney and
10	business formation attorney for both parties, right?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Now, you mentioned if you look at the third line
13	down that reads: Since they do not need a divorce and he will
14	agree to assets being Danka's since she pays for the
15	properties and he is guilty, ASAP Monday or Tuesday please
16	prepare, colon.
17	Where did you get the idea that Danka pays for the
18	properties?
19	A My understanding was that all the finances came from
20	the practice and her income and that Mr. Pickens sometimes
21	provided construction services for the properties.
22	Q So and that's based upon something that the
23	Defendant told you?
24	A Probably, yes.
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	Q You never saw any financial documents showing Mr.
2	Pickens's financial contributions?
3	A No.
4	Q You never saw bank statements?
5	A No.
6	Q You never saw his tax returns?
7	A No.
8	Q So you don't know for a fact that that was true or
9	not true, right?
10	A That's fair, yes.
11	Q Now, what did you mean that he is guilty?
12	A That my understanding was that he was having a child
13	by another woman in Florida and that there was going to be a
14	separation of their relationship, and that obviously both
15	their trusts would be changed to reflect that, and that he was
16	going to be in Florida with his family.
17	Q And was that also based upon a conversation you had
18	with the Defendant?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now, on September 13, did you have a conversation
21	with Tom and ask him why he would be doing this?
22	A I don't remember phone conversations. I know that
23	eventually we had a meeting together to discuss it.
24	Q I meant September 13th when the meeting occurred.
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
	VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	16

1	А	Oh, when we had a meeting together we talked about
2	that. We	didn't have a phone call though. We just talked
3	together,	the two of them in the room with me.
4	Q	You never talked to Tom independently?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Now, when you did the estate plan, or at least the
7	estate pl	an for Danka Michaels, for the Defendant, she had
8	named Tom	Pickens as her durable power of attorney
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	her agent for that purpose?
11	А	Yes. Originally.
12	Q	Originally, sure. In her initial estate plan.
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	There have been amendments since, right?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. If you could turn to Bates number 609,
17	000609, ya	our log number 12.
18	A	Okay.
19	Q	I note that in the initial estate planning document
20	for the De	efendant that there's a schedule of trust assets
21	that's at	tached.
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Do you see that?
24	А	Yes.
		D-17-560737-D PICKENS V MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
		17

1 0 In reviewing the trust that was prepared for the Plaintiff, there is no similar schedule of assets attached. 2 3 Do you know why? Probably I didn't have the information on his 4 А 5 assets. I ask for them when we have our initial meeting, and if I have information on deeds and bank accounts I put them on 6 || the schedule. 7 8 0 And at the same time that the deeds and the purported assignment of the LLC interest occurred on September 9 13, the Defendant amended her trust; is that right? 10 11 А Yes. THE COURT: What date were you saying that she 12 13 admitted it? MR. JONES: September 13th, 2016. 14 15 BY MR. JONES: 16 Now, if you could turn to Bates 691. Q Okay. I'm there. 17 А This appears, and correct me if I'm wrong, to be the 18 0 application for EIN number for Patience One, LLC; is that 19 20 right? 21 Yes. Α Okay. And the care of name there on line 3 is the 22 0 23 Defendant, correct? 24 А Correct. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 18

The name of the responsible party is also the 1 0 2 Defendant? 3 Α Yes. Now, next to either one of those do you see the word 4 0 5 trustee of the Mich Mich Trust? 6 No, but you never put the trustee on the SS4 А application. One persons's Social Security number has to 7 apply for the EIN number, but it doesn't have --8 I'm just asking if it mentions trustee anywhere. 9 0 Α No, it wouldn't. 10 Okay. Now, if you could turn to Bates number 11 0 12 000698. 13 А Yes. This would be the conflict waiver that you had the 14 0 15 parties execute on September 13th, 2016; is that right? 16 А Yes. Now -- actually, strike that. 17 0 Now, why did you feel like you needed a conflict 18 waiver for that -- for those transactions? 19 I understood that the meeting between the three of 20 А us in my office was going to be somewhat stressful to both the 21 parties given the personal issues that had arisen and that it 22 23 would be necessary to have a conflict waiver for them to both 24 speak with me.

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)** VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to Bates Number 000699. Actually, you know what? We're going to move to a 2 3 different exhibit. 4 Let's go to Bates Number -- I don't see a Bates 5 number on this one. Well, go to Bates Number 001157, and then let's go two pages past that to a document without a Bates 6 7 number in this exhibit. It's an officer list? 8 А 9 Yes. 0 10 А Okay. Okay. 11 Q THE COURT: I'm sorry, which one? 12 MR. JONES: It's not -- it's not Bates numbered, 13 14 Your Honor. It's two pages after --THE COURT: I'm looking at two pages after. I'm 15 seeing 1059. 16 17 MR. JONES: 1157. THE COURT: Oh, 1-1. I'm sorry. 18 19 MR. JONES: So it's two pages --THE COURT: I'm a thousand pages behind -- or a 20 21 hundred pages behind you. 1-1-9 --MR. JONES: 1157. 22 23 THE COURT: 1157. I'm sorry. BY MR. JONES: 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 20

Is that a form that you would have filed on behalf 1 Q 2 of the parties? 3 Α Yes. And who is listed as the managing member? 4 Ο 5 Α Excuse me. It's a manager. Manager, yeah ---6 Q 7 Usually manager managed LLCs, and it's Mr. Pickens. А 8 Q And it's just Mr. Pickens individually, right? Correct. 9 Α MR. JONES: Oh, this is going to be tough. There's 10 no Bates on the remainder of these pages, and I'm not sure how 11 to -- I mean, I guess I can count -- actually maybe we should 12 count from the back. Okay. 13 THE COURT: Here's what we need to do. I don't know 14 how many pages there are. But I guess from the 1157 we need 15 16 to put it in the -- make a mark on there where these pages are A, B, C after that, 1157A or point 1, point 2, point 3, 17 18 however you want to do it. 19 MR. JONES: Okay. THE COURT: And we'll just number the unnumbered 20 pages as 1157 point 1, 2, 3, 4? 21 Madam Clerk, if you'll do that for me, please. 22 23 MR. JONES: All right. 24 MS. LOBELLO: So it'll be 1157A, 1157B? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 21

~ +

THE COURT: No, 1157.1, 2, 3 because you guys are --1 2 MS. LOBELLO: Oh, sorry. Sorry. 3 THE COURT: Point 1, point 2. All right? 4 MR. JONES: All right. So then the one we just 5 covered would be point 2 because it's two pages after. THE COURT: Uh-huh (affirmative). 6 7 MR. JONES: All right. BY MR. JONES: 8 9 All right. 33 pages after --Q А If you could just describe it, I could probably pop 10 to it since it's my document. 11 It's Articles of Organization, Limited Liability 12 0 13 Company from 2012. 14 А Okay. Yes. 15 This is another document that you would have filed 0 on behalf of Patience One and the parties? 16 That was the initial filing of the articles to 17 А 18 create the LLC. Okay. And the name and address of the manager there 19 Q is Danka Michaels; is that right? 20 21 Correct. Correct. А 22 Q And you attached to it her address. It says "See 23 attached, " and attached is Danka Michaels, 1930 Village 24 Center; is that right? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 A Correct. 2 And on that page it also doesn't say anything about Q 3 trustee, right? 4 No, it would not. A trust is not the manager. A А 5 human being or a company needs to be. Right. I understand. 6 0 7 Now, turning back to where we have Bates numbers, which lets start with Bates Number 00699. 8 Got it. 9 А 10 What was your understanding were the assets in 0 Patience One, LLC were? 11 12 А I believed it was going to be two rental properties 13 that each trust was a 50 percent member of. THE COURT: I'm sorry, the Bates number again? Your 14 15 Bates number again, Counsel? MR. JONES: Oh, I'm sorry. 00699. 16 17 BY MR. JONES: So as of 2016 it was -- September 13th, 2016, it was 18 Q your understanding that it was two residential parcels of --19 20 or pieces of property? 21 А Correct. And was it your understanding that those pieces of 22 0 23 property were also the pieces of property that were the 24 subject to the deeds that were executed that day? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Α Yes. 1 2 Okay. So you weren't aware that Patience One owned Q a commercial building worth at that time \$4 million, three-3 and-a-half million dollars? 4 5 Α No. Okay. Had you known that would you possibly have 6 0 advised Mr. Pickens he should get counsel of his own before 7 transferring 3 or \$4 million? 8 I can't second guess. I had no idea about the value 9 А of the assets in the LLC at all. I thought there were some 10 11 rental properties. I can't say. You mentioned that the properties that you thought 12 0 were in Patience One, LLC were 50 percent owned by the 13 Defendant's trust and 50 percent owned by the Plaintiff's 14 trust; is that right? 15 16 That was my --Α Is that what your understanding was? 17 0 18 А That was my understanding. Okay. But the deeds that were signed were all deeds 19 0 that were signed by them individually, right? 20 21 Correct. А And you don't have a document -- I've scoured this 22 0 file now multiple times. You don't have a document wherein 23 the Plaintiff, Mr. Pickens, conveyed his interest in Patience 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

One, LLC into his trust, right? 1 2 I believe there were unsigned deeds that were sent А to them that they never notarized or recorded. 3 Okay. But you don't have a document that evidences 4 0 5 a transfer --6 А That was [cross-talk] --7 -- of the interest in the LLC from Mr. Pickens into 0 his trust? 8 No. That was signed and recorded, no. 9 А THE COURT: As of September 13th, is that what 10 you're talking about? 11 MR. JONES: September 13th -- well --12 THE WITNESS: At any time. 13 MR. JONES: -- anywhere in the file. 14 15 THE WITNESS: At any time. 16 THE COURT: Right. BY MR. JONES: 17 18 Q Now, looking at the Bates number I just referenced, 19 the assignment and assumption of membership interest, if you can turn to page 2 of that document. 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what's the Bates number? 21 MR. JONES: The Bates number is 000700 is the second 22 page of the document. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 BY MR. JONES:

2

3

6

Q Do you see that page?

A Yes, I do.

4 Q And the signature line for Mr. Pickens is on behalf5 of the LV Blue Trust, right?

A Correct.

7 Q And you agree that -- you would agree that if the LV 8 Blue Trust did not own the interst in the LLC, then that 9 signature line would have required Mr. Pickens's signature as 10 an individual, right, not as the trustee of the trust? 11 A Correct, because it was my understanding that both 12 their trusts were 50/50 partners based on a deed that they had 13 not signed and recorded that I had provided in the past.

Q Okay. But you agree with the concept that in order for an individual to transfer his interest it wouldn't -- it would not say LV Blue Trust, it would just say Tom Pickens, right?

18 A Correct. The member should reflect a signed19 operating agreement at the time.

20 Q Okay. But we don't have that signed operating 21 agreement, right?

22 A Correct.

23 Q Now, as it pertains to the deed transfers on that 24 day, the deeds were drafted such that they were transferred

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

from the parties as husband and wife -- or actually wife and 1 2 husband -- to the parties as individuals, right, first? 3 А Correct. And then they were transferred as parties as 4 Q 5 individuals as single, unmarried people, to the Defendant, 6 right? 7 А Correct. 8 0 And then the Defendant transferred the deeds into her trust? 9 Correct 10 А Okay. Now, did any part of what you did that day 11 Q indemnify the Plaintiff from the liability on the mortgage on 12 one of the properties? 13 (No verbal response) 14 А Or a mortgage on any of the properties rather? 15 Q No, I had no information about mortgages on any of 16 Α the properties. 17 So there was no promise on behalf of the Defendant 18 0 to hold him harmless from any liability associated with any of 19 the properties? 20 No. I did not understand that there was any 21 Ά 22 liability on those properties. 23 And there was no payment made from the Defendant to Q the Plaintiff for the transfer of either of the parcels of 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 27 1 real property?

A Not to my knowledge.	
Q And was there, in your presence on September 13, any	
other consideration of any kind provided by the Defendant to	
the Plaintiff for the transfer of the two residential pieces	
of property?	
A Not at that meeting with me, no.	
Q Are you aware of any consideration any other time?	
A No.	
Q Now, as it pertains we'll go back to 700 again	
actually 000699, page 1 of the purported assignment. In the	
second recital it says: Assignor desires to assign for good	
and valuable consideration all its right, title, duties,	
obligations and interest in and to the 50 percent interest of	
the LLC to assignee. Do you see that?	
A Yes, I do.	
Q Was there any good and valuable consideration	
conveyed that day in your presence?	
A To my knowledge it was a gift and not a purchase.	
Q If it was a gift do you understand the legal	
impact of recitals in a contract?	
A Yes.	
Q In the State of Nevada?	
A Yes.	
D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 28	

It's a unique legal impact. This means that there 1 Q is a presumption that good and valuable consideration was 2 required for this transaction, by my reading of the law, 3 4 right? 5 А Yes. Yes. 6 Okay. But to your knowledge there was no good and 0 valuable consideration, right? 7 8 А Correct. And there was no other agreement whereby the 9 0 Defendant would indemnify and hold the Plaintiff harmless from 10 11 any liability in any mortgage associated with the LLC? MS. ABRAMS: Objection, calls for speculation. 12 THE WITNESS: There was no discussion of 13 14 mortgages --THE COURT: Excuse me. There's an objection on the 15 floor. 16 17 MR. JONES: I asked if there was any other agreement assigned that day --18 19 MS. ABRAMS: No, you didn't say assigned that day. 20 MR. JONES: Okay. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MS. ABRAMS: You said was there any other agreement, and I said it calls for speculation. She doesn't know. 23 24 MR. JONES: Okay. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 29 1 BY MR. JONES:

2	Q Is there any other is there any other agreement
3	of which you are aware whereby the Defendant would have on
4	September 13th, 2016 agreed to hold the Plaintiff harmless and
5	indemnify him from any liabilities of the LLC?
6	A No. Because there was no discussion of any debt or
7	mortgages on the properties. There was a discussion of there
8	being gift tax returns instead after the transaction the next
9	April.
10	MR. JONES: Move to strike everything after "no,"
11	Your Honor, as nonresponsive.
12	THE COURT: I'll strike it.
13	BY MR. JONES:
14	Q Now, if you can turn to
15	(COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY)
16	MR. JONES: Give me one second, please, Your Honor.
17	I'm sorry.
18	BY MR. JONES:
19	Q If you can turn to Bates Number 001124.
20	THE COURT: 00124?
21	MR. JONES: No, 1124, Your Honor. I'm sorry.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	BY MR. JONES:
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	30

1 Q Okay. 2 MS. ABRAMS: Wait. We're not there yet. 3 BY MR. JONES: 4 This appears to me, and I'll ask you if I'm right, 0 5 to be a internal voicemail memo or voice message memo? A phone log. 6 А Phone log for your firm? 7 0 (Nods head in the affirmative) 8 А It says: Tom Pickens re meeting with himself and 9 0 Danka approximately two weeks ago. There is something that we 10 talked about that didn't get done and what can be done about 11 it and why it wasn't done. 12 13 The word pull documents, is that something you wrote? 14 15 No. А 16 Q Was it something your staff would have written? 17 Yes. А 18 Okay. Did you ever talk to Tom Pickens about what 0 this message was about? 19 20 А No. To your knowledge my staff would have scheduled a meeting with both of them, and I don't know if they did. I 21 never met with them after that. 22 23 Q And the instructions about what transpired on September 13th, 2016, those were all instructions given to you 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 31

1 by the Defendant, right?

2 А I'm not sure what you're asking. Meaning the email that we talked about at the 3 0 4 beginning of your examination where you were instructing your 5 staff what to do based upon what the Defendant told you, 6 right? 7 (No verbal response) А All of the instructions for the documents signed 8 0 that day came from the Defendant, right? 9 А No. The documents signed that day were prepared at 10 the time we had the meeting together, after we had talked. I 11 12 did not pre-prepare them. You get a call sometime before September 9, 2016, 13 0 right? 1415 A Correct. You instruct your staff on September 9, 2016 to 16 0 prepare these documents and that to have a meeting on Tuesday 17 the 13th, right? 18 Correct. 19 А Okay. What I'm suggesting to you is prior to the 20 0 21 13th did you get a call from Mr. Pickens giving you instructions about any of those documents? 22 23 Α No. 24 0 All of your instructions regarding those documents D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 32 1 came from the Defendant, right?

2	MS. ABRAMS: Objection. That's not what she said.
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would have (indiscernible) the
4	instructions. I understood there was going to be a splitting
5	up of the assets based on a breakup of the relationship, that
6	we were going to meet together in my conference room, that it
7	would be somewhat stressful, and we would see what the parties
8	agreed to. That was my understanding.
9	BY MR. JONES:
10	Q Okay. But that understanding came only from a phone
11	call with the Defendant, right?
12	A Yes. I did not talk to Mr. Pickens about the
13	breakup of the relationship.
14	Q That's what I was getting at.
15	A Correct.
16	Q Thank you. Were you aware during any of this
17	representation of both parties that the Defendant was the
18	Plaintiff's treating physician?
19	A No.
20	Q And you were aware that the parties held them out to
21	third parties as husband and wife, right?
22	A No. I have no idea how they held them out to third
23	parties. I've never seen them in front of third parties.
24	Q But you prepared deeds that would transfer the
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
	VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

properties from them as wife and husband to them as 1 2 individuals, right? I know that there were original deeds that I did not 3 А prepare as husband and wife, but that had nothing to do with 4 5 my work. 6 Right. But when you read that, did you have any Q 7 understanding that they ever held themselves out as husband and wife? 8 А 9 No, I wouldn't call it that. We had a tax 10 discussion about --Did Danka Michaels ever tell you when you first met 11 0 with her that she and Tom presented themselves as husband and 12 wife? 13 А No. 14 MR. JONES: Do we have her original transcript? 15 I'll publish the deposition transcript of the witness. 16 17 BY MR. JONES: Do you recall having your deposition taken? 18 Q 19 Α Yes, sir. 20 Q Do you recall specifically being asked: So tell me the entire story about how these deeds came to be? 21 🛛 22 And your answer was: When I met Danka Michaels, she 23 told me that she and Tom presented themselves as husband and wife --24

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MS. ABRAMS: What page are you on? 1 BY MR. JONES: 2 -- but really they only had a religious ceremony 3 0 somewhere in Eastern Europe where she was from and they did 4 5 not have a legal marriage license. That's correct. 6 А Okay. So --7 0 8 А That was my understanding. 9 Right. But --0 But the deeds themselves were not prepared by me and 10 А 11 we had a discussion that they were incorrect tax-wise and they needed to be corrected --12 13 Right. 0 -- based on there not being a legal marriage. 14 А 15 That's all. That's what I [cross-talk] --That was your testimony though, right? 16 0 Yeah. 17 А 18 0 My question was did Danka Michaels ever tell you that they held each other out as husband and wife? Do you 19 recall that question? 20 21 А Yes. Okay. And so now you remember that she did do that, 22 Q right? 23 24 А Yes, but not in relation to those deeds that you D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 35

were talking about. 1 2 THE COURT: Pardon me? BY MR. JONES: 3 I wasn't -- I -- the question had nothing to do with 4 0 5 deeds. THE COURT: Excuse me? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. I thought it was. THE COURT: Okay. 8 THE WITNESS: I thought we were talking about the 9 10 deeds. MR. JONES: No. 11 MS. ABRAMS: What page were you reading from in her 12 deposition? 13 MR. JONES: I'm sorry. It was page 14, lines 1 --14 15 the answer was lines 1 through 6. I apologize for not giving that. 16 (COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY) 17 18 BY MR. JONES: And on September 13th, 2016, Tom seemed a little bit 19 Q 20 out of sorts; is that right? 21 Both parties were very upset. А 22 He was nervous? 0 Both parties were nervous. 23 А 24 Okay. If I asked you just about Tom, I'm going to 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 36

ask you to answer about Tom. Is that okay? 1 2 А Sure. 3 Okay. Did Tom seem nervous? 0 4 А Yes. 5 Did he seem upset? Q 6 Yes. А 7 Q And it was a very tense situation, right? 8 А Exactly. MR. JONES: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Counsel? 10 MS. ABRAMS: Well, actually, are you resting your 11 case in chief? I'm going to call her in my case in chief. So 12 13 if they're done then I'll start --MR. JONES: Well, no, because you still haven't 14 15 crossed your client. MS. ABRAMS: I reserved everything for when they're 16 done with their case in chief. 17 MS. LOBELLO: You're not crossing our client? 18 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. I'm going to reserve everything 19 20 for when I do my case in chief. 21 MR. JONES: Well, and other than rebuttal, Your Honor, I mean, I -- our case in chief at this point, other 22 than a rebuttal case, is concluded. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. So you're resting with a D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 37

reservation that you can cross in her case in chief? 1 2 MR. JONES: Oh, yeah. I can -- sure. 3 THE COURT: That's the reservation? MR. JONES: But depending on what -- I don't know 4 5 what issues she's going to present --6 THE COURT: You may have rebuttal. 7 MR. JONES: I may have to call my client again to 8 rebut. 9 THE COURT: If you have a rebuttal case, then that's a rebuttal case. 10 MR. JONES: Right. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. So you're resting absent a rebuttal case? 13 MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And you're going to call her now 15 or not? 16 17 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. 20 THE COURT: So you're calling her in your case in 21 chief. 22 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. 23 THE COURT: All right. SHANNON EVANS 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 38

called as a witness on behalf of the Defendant and being first 1 2 duly sworn, testified as follows on: DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. ABRAMS: 4 5 Q Good morning. Good morning. 6 Α We're going to be looking at the documents that are 7 Q -- that we were just looking at in Exhibit B. But I'd like to 8 ask you about when you first met -- you testified that you 9 first met Dr. Michaels in 2010. Can you tell me what you 10 recall from that meeting? 11 It was an initial client meeting to set up trusts 12 A and wills and power of attorneys, like I do every day, and we 13 discussed who her assets would pass to if she were deceased, 14 15 who would be the trustee to handle finances, who would be the medical power of attorney, the standard things you ask for in 16 any kind of estate planning. 17 Okay. And there's -- if you would look, please, to 18 Q Bates stamp Danka Michaels 000545. There's an estate planning 19 20 questionnaire. 21 MR. JONES: 545? THE WITNESS: I have it. 22 BY MS. ABRAMS: 23 Okay. And who would have filled this out? 24 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Me, by my own handwriting. 1 А 2 Okay. And you would do that with -- in consultation Q 3 with the client? 4 A During the meeting I'm making notes. 5 THE COURT: 545? MS. ABRAMS: Yes. Well, actually that's just the 6 cover page. If you turn the page --7 8 THE COURT: 546. Thank you. MS. ABRAMS: -- I'm talking about the handwriting 9 there. I'm sorry. Okay. 10 BY MS. ABRAMS: 11 12 Q So that's your handwriting from your meeting with 13 Dr. Michaels? Yes. During the meeting or immediately thereafter I А 14 15 make those notes. And this was in 2010? 16 0 17'А Yes. Okay. And you have some notes with regards to -- at 18 Q the very bottom you wrote some handwritten notes. Can you 19 || tell us what you wrote and what it meant? 20 21 А I wrote, Note: Thomas Pickens is not -- they're not married. They own the home together. He is not good with 22 23 money. Okay. And that would have been something that your 24 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

client told you? 1 2 А Directly, yes. 3 Okay. And so you prepared a trust for Dr. Michaels? 0 4 А Yes. 5 And a will, correct? Q 6 Correct. А 7 Okay. Let's actually turn to Danka Michaels 000554. 0 8 THE COURT: This previous one was in 2010 alone with Dr. Michaels? 9 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 12 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. That was --BY MS. ABRAMS: 13 Was that meeting alone with Dr. Michaels? 14 Q 15 А Yes. Okay. So we're looking at Danka Michaels 000554. 16 Q Are you on that page? 17 Yes, I am. 18 А 19 Okay. Is this also your handwriting? 0 20 Yes, it is. Α And is this also something that you would have 21 0 filled out at the initial meeting with Dr. Michaels? 22 Yes. 23 А 24 Q Okay. And so the schedule of assets is completed D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 41

here and there's only one property that is listed as being 1 owned at that time in 2010, correct? 2 3 А Correct. Okay. And it says: J-T-D-N-T squiggle 800 4 0 something. Can you tell us what that is? 5 6 А It meant it's joint, do not transfer. That squiggle means mortgage 800,000. 7 I'm sorry, what was that? 8 0 That squiggle M is mortgage 800,000. 9 А Oh, mortgage 800,000. Okay. 10 0 And so the -- so that means the property was held in 11 joint tenancy? 12 13 А Correct. And do not transfer means leave that the way that it 14 0 is, correct? 15 16 А That's exactly what it means. Okay. Let's look at what she actually did in the 17 0 18 trust -- the will and the trust that were signed. The Bates 19 stamp is right on top of her initials so we can't see what it is, but the page before it is Danka Michaels 000560, so that 20 would be 561. 21 22 А Yes. 23 Does this -- would you call this a pour-over will? Q 24 А Yes. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 42

Okay. And what does this will do? 1 0 2 А It just cross refers to her trust for the distribution and the trustee terms. 3 4 0 So in other words, if she had any assets that were 5 not directly in the trust, this would sweep it and pour it into the trust? 6 7 Yes. А 8 Q Okay. 9 Via probate if she had died. А Okay. And who is the executor listed -- who's 10 0 listed as the executor? 11 12 Her son Jakub first and Thomas second. А 13 Okay. And who is listed as the guardian in case she Q becomes incapacitated? 14 || 15 Ά Her son Jakub. 16 Okay. Let's look at what she did with her trust. 0 That is Danka Michaels 000581. 17 18 А Okay. 19 Let's look at Section 1.4 of the trust. It's on 0 page Danka Michaels 000585. 20 21 А Okay. What is listed as her marital status? 22 Q 23 That she is unmarried. A 24 0 And did you tell us what the conversation was that D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 43

you had with her about being unmarried? 1 2 MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay. 3 MS. ABRAMS: What was --4 THE WITNESS: She and -- she and I had a 5 conversation at the meeting. MR. JONES: Wait. 6 THE COURT: She's allowed to say what she said. 7 8 MR. JONES: She's not allowed to say what the Defendant said. 9 THE COURT: Correct. 10 BY MS. ABRAMS: 11 Okay. What was your understanding of her marital 12 Q 13 status --MR. JONES: Objection, foundation. 14 If the foundation of her understanding is a conversation with the 15 Defendant, then the foundation is hearsay and she can't 16 testify to that either. 17 MS. ABRAMS: Actually that's --18 19 THE COURT: That's not true. MS. ABRAMS: That's not -- that's not true. She's 20 21 prepared these as single trusts, so we can get into that as well. 22 BY MS. ABRAMS: 23 24 0 When you prepare trusts, is there a difference D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

between preparing a trust for a married person or an unmarried 1 2 person? They're very different. 3 А Can you explain that to the Court, please. 4 0 If a person is married and they're leaving assets to 5 А a spouse, there's an unlimited marital deduction that you 6 always have a different clause in the trust for married 7 people. And in a married trust there is always a provision 8 where the trust may break up into surviving half and a 9 deceased spouse's half, which is known as the AB trust. In a 10 single person's trust, you don't have any of those provisions. 11 It's much shorter. 12 So it's a different doc -- it's a different type of 13 0 document? 14 || 15 А Absolutely very different. 16 So is that of critical importance for you to know at Q the beginning? 17 18 Α Yes. I draft a completely different document based on that fact. 19 Okay. And is the legal marital status of the -- of 20 Q your client, therefore, of critical importance to you? 21 22 А Yes. 23 So you have those conversations on a regular basis? 0 24 Every time. А D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Did you have that conversation with Dr. Michaels? 1 Q 2 Yes. А What was your understanding of her marital status 3 Q 4 after your conversation with Dr. Michaels? 5 MR. JONES: Then that's hearsay. THE COURT: It doesn't matter because the document 6 7 is, like you said, prepared for a single person. Go ahead. 8 MS. ABRAMS: Right. BY MS. ABRAMS: 9 10 0 In this trust, this Mich Mich trust that you prepared for Dr. Michaels in 2010, is a trust for a single 11 individual? 12 13 А Yes. 0 Unmarried? 14 15 А Yes. 16 Q Okay. And so that's -- we were just looking at Danka Michaels 000585, paragraph 1.4, where it says marital 17 status you have there that the settler is unmarried, correct? 18 Correct. Yes. 19 А 20 Okay. Who did she name as the beneficiaries of this 0 trust in the event of her death? 21 22 It was generation skipping for Jakub and his А 23 eventual children. 24 So her son? 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Yes: 1 Α 2 Was Tom Pickens named as a beneficiary of this 0 trust? And I think we're looking at Danka Michaels 000591. 3 4 А No, he was not. 5 Who did she designate as the trustee? Or as the 0 successor trustee? I guess she was the trustee during the 6 lifetime. 7 Correct. It would be her son Jakub. 8 А And who did she name as an -- as an alternate 9 0 trustee in the event that Jakub wasn't around? 10 11 Α Eugene Altas (ph), Jr. Do you know who that is? 12 0 13 А No. Okay. That's -- do you know if that's her brother? 14 Q It might be. It's someone she trusted. I don't 15 Α 16 know. But it's not Mr. Pickens. 17 0 18 А Correct. Okay. Now, by setting this up this way, if Dr. 19 0 Michaels were to die, what would have happened to her assets? 20 21 Α All her assets would have been held in trust pursuant to 5.5 in a generation-skipping trust for her son and 22 then his children and would avoid state tax until his 23 24 grandchildren died.

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 Q And what would have happened to the house that was 2 held in joint tenancy? If she had lived longer than Mr. Pickens, it would 3 А 4 have been a hundred percent her house and it would have gone 5 via this trust. And if she died first? 6 0 Then it would have been a hundred percent Mr. 7 А Pickens's house and it would have gone to his trust. 8 9 So he would have a place to live, right? Q It would have gone for his trust, yes. 10 А So -- so he would have a place to live? 11 0 12 I presume. Joint tenancy, whoever survives longer Α owns the property. 13 Okay. All right. Now let's look at what happened 14 Q 15 in 2012. I'd ask you to please turn to Bates stamp Danka Michaels 001051. 16 17 А Okay. Was this -- can you tell the Court what this is? 18 Q This is the same single person questionnaire that I 19 А filled out when I was meeting with Mr. Pickens about his 20 21 estate plan. 22 Okay. And there is a note at the bottom of that Q page. What does that say? 23 24 А Conflict waiver with Danka Michaels. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 48

Is that the note you were referring to earlier in 1 Q 2 your testimony just now? 3 Yes. Α 4 Okay. Is this -- if we turn the page to -- I'm just 0 5 going to read 1052 instead going through the whole thing if that's okay. Is this also your handwriting? 6 Yes, it is. 7 А 8 Was this from a meeting you had directly with Mr. 0 9 Pickens? А Yes. 10 Okay. Is this a form that you use for a single 11 Q individual or a married individual? 12 Single. 13 Α 14 Q Okay. Do you know whether you spoke with Mr. 15 Pickens at that time about his marital status? Yes. 16 А And -- okay. And so you prepared a will and a trust 17 Q for Mr. Pickens at that time? 18 Correct. 19 Α 20 Okay. Q 21 А After this meeting, the second meeting, but yes. 22 Okay. Let's look at 1060. Now, you said earlier Q 23 that you would fill this out, this schedule of assets, you 24 would fill this out during your meeting with the client, D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

correct? 1 2 Α Or shortly thereafter based on my notes. Okay. And so when you went -- when you met with Mr. 3 0 4 Pickens, he didn't give you information for any assets that he 5 had? Correct. I didn't fill out the schedule of assets 6 А at all, which means I had no information about his assets. 7 8 0 Okay. So did you -- do you normally ask? 9 Yeah, we talk about it. Α Okay. Did you ask him? 10 0 11 Ά I'm sure I did. Okay. And so he would have said he had no assets? 12 0 Or he didn't want to provide them. I don't know. 13 Α 14 Q Okay. Let's look at the document number 1068. 15 А Okay. 16 Can you tell the Court what this is? Q 17 Α It's a testamentary handwritten will by Mr. Pickens. Dated when? 18 Q May 17th, 2012. 19 А 20 Okay. And what does it say that he is doing? Q 21 Α Leaving his entire estate to Danka Michaels. Is this a valid and binding document? 22 Q 23 Yes. А 24 Q Okay. On the next page, 1069, there is a Last Will D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 50

and Testament of Thomas Pickens. 1 2 А Correct. Article 4 --3 0 THE COURT: I'm sorry, which -- next page? 4 5 MS. ABRAMS: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, 1069. THE COURT: Thank you. 6 BY MS. ABRAMS: 7 8 0 It says that he is not married and does not have any children? 9 That's correct. 10 А 11 Okay. But do you recall if he had any other living Q 12 family members? Yes, he had family members as noted on the schedule 13 А -- the questionnaire. 14 15 0 Okay. But in the will it only lists spouse and children. 16 А You don't list brothers and sisters and aunts and uncles. 17 Q Understood. I'm sorry. I jumped. So let's look at 18 1052 for a moment. 19 20 А Yeah. 21 At the time that he came to see you, he had two Q living parents noted by you? 22 Yes. 23 А 24 Q And he had four living siblings, correct? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 51

1 А Correct. 2 Okay. In his Last Will and Testament, is this also Q what you would call a pour-over will? 3 4 А Yes, it poured over to his revokable trust. 5 Okay. So everything he owned that wasn't already 0 titled in the name of his trust would be swept and poured into 6 7 the trust upon his death? 8 А Correct. Okay. Let's look at his trust. And that's on 1090. 9 0 Have it. Α 10 So his trust was called the LV Blue Trust? 11 0 12 Correct. А Okay. And on page 1094 again it recites that the 13 Q settler is not married? 14 15 А Correct. Okay. Now, who did he name in this trust as the 16 0 beneficiary in the event of his death? 17 Bates stamp 01099, 5.4, left everything to Danka 18 А Michaels, otherwise Jakub Michaels, otherwise Lucas Michaels. 19 20 So either Dr. Michaels, her son or her grandson? Q 21 А Correct. Who did she name as the successor trustees? 22 Q You mean he? 23 А 24 Q I'm sorry. Who did he name as the successor D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 trustees? Thank you.

Bates stamp 01102, he named Danka Michaels, 2 А otherwise Jakub, her son. 3 Her son. Okay. Did you have any reason to believe 4 0 that Mr. Pickens did not understand he was signing a will and 5 a trust that indicate he is single, unmarried? 6 7 No. We talked about it. We talked about the tax Α basis and passing things on to a person that's not his spouse. 8 9 So he was well aware that he wasn't legally married 0 to Dr. Michaels, correct? 10 11 А He was aware. He told me that. 12 Q Okay. Did you prepare a homestead for the Queen Charlotte property? 13 Yes, I did. 14 А 15 Q Do you recall who signed that homestead? I'd have to look at it, if you could tell me the 16 А Bates stamp. 17 I honestly wish my tab was on there with the Bates 18 Q stamp number. I think it's --19 MS. ABRAMS: Can you find it? We'll find it. 20 BY MS. ABRAMS: 21 22 Q Do you recall at any time when you were preparing 23 Mr. Pickens's estate planning documents in 2012 if there was a meeting where Dr. Michaels was present as well? 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	A No, I don't think I would.
2	Q You don't recall?
3	A I don't recall. With the estate planning sessions
4	we meet individually and I don't have other people in the
5	room. I don't know if we met together about the LLC, but I
6	don't think so.
7	Q Okay. So I was looking at Danka Michaels 000645.
8	THE COURT: Danka Michaels which?
9	MS. ABRAMS: 645.
10	THE COURT: 11645?
11	MS. ABRAMS: No, just 000645.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	BY MS. ABRAMS:
14	Q So this is the declaration of homestead.
15	A Correct.
16	Q Can you tell us the significant like who signed
17	this and what is the significance of this document the way
18	that it was prepared?
19	A Homestead creditor protects the house of the owner
20	against creditors up to 550,000. And Danka signed it in April
21	of 2010 and we recorded it. Well, I don't have a record
22	stamp, but I assume we recorded it. Oh. There should be a
23	record stamp on it, so I don't have the record stamped one.
24	But it should have been recorded.

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 0 Okay. Does Tom Pickens's signature appear anywhere 2 on this homestead? No, because it goes with the bargain and sale deed 3 Ά where he signed the deed giving it to her, and the homestead 4 goes with that deed. Bates stamp 0647 and 48 is the deed that 5 6 goes with this homestead. 7 (COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY) THE WITNESS: Ah, no, that doesn't. Retract that. 8 9 I'm wrong. MR. JONES: Sorry, I -- sorry, I spoke too loudly: 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's wrong. No, the dates 11 are -- there should be a deed that goes with the homestead 12 every time. That's how they go together. But I don't know --13 I don't see it in this binder. 14 BY MS. ABRAMS: 15 Do you recall when you prepared paperwork to form 16 Q Patience One? 17 It was around the time we did Mr. Pickens's estate А 18 planning, so maybe May of 2012. 19 May of 2012, right around the time that Mr. Pickens 20 0 signed that handwritten holographic will saying everything 21 22 he's leaving to Danka Michaels? Yes, about that time. 23 Α Did you have any understanding of why Tom was being 24 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 55

named on Patience One? 1 As the manager or as the member? 2 Α As -- either one, any understanding. 3 0 My understanding, which is not based on anything 4 А written down, was that he helped with the properties. He did 5 -- some kind of experience in handling the properties and 6 construction, maybe managing rentals, so that's why he is 7 included. 8 Okay. Let's talk about what happened in 2016. Both 9 Q parties came to your office on September 13th, 2016, correct? 10 Correct. Correct. 11 А You had the opportunity to personally observe Mr. 12 Q Pickens, correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. So let me just ask you some preliminary 15 0 questions. How long have you been practicing law? 16 I think in Nevada since 1991, in California since 17 А 1990. 18 So --19 0 Thirty-some years. 20 А Almost -- or approximately 30 years? 21 Q 22 А Correct. Okay. Have you been -- how long have you been 23 0 practicing exclusively in estate planning? 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	A Since September 1997.	
2	Q How many estate planning documents or deeds or LLCs,	
3	how many of these types of transactions have you done in your	
4	career?	
5	A Thousands. Three or four a day for 22 years. I	
6	don't know.	
7	Q And you've taken CLE of what things to look for as	
8	an estate planning attorney and those types of things,	
9	correct?	
10	A Certainly. And I have an LLM in tax, which includes	
11	education in those areas.	
12	Q Okay. Have you ever had a situation in your career	
13	where you questioned whether somebody was competent to sign?	
14	A Certainly.	
15	Q Okay. And is that something important in your line	
16	of work?	
17	A Of course.	
18	Q Is it your normal practice to pay attention to signs	
19	that might cause you concern as to somebody's competency or	
20	voluntariness in signing documents?	
21	A Certainly.	
22	Q All right. When you saw Mr. Pickens in September of	
23	2016, did you notice anything about him that caused you	
24	concern about his competency to sign the documents?	
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356	
	57	
	A A 07572	

1	A No, not at all.
2	Q When you saw Tom Pickens in September of 2016, did
3	you notice anything about Tom that caused you concern about
4	whether he was signing documents voluntarily or not?
5	A No.
6	Q You didn't think it was necessary for Mr. Pickens to
7	seek medical advice as to whether or not he was competent to
8	sign deeds, correct?
9	A I'm not a doctor, but both parties were in my room
10	together. I knew it was going to be an emotional meeting,
11	they were both emotional, but we had a discussion about what
12	would be the right thing to do. And I didn't think it was
13	unfair or unexpected about the conversation and what happened.
14	Q He understood what he was doing?
15	A I believe so.
16	Q You explained it?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You explained what did you explain what the
19	documents were?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. Was there a discussion about whether there
22	was a need or not a need for these parties to file for
23	divorce?
24	A There was a discussion that since they were not
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

•

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

married they could voluntarily gift or change title to assets 1 2 between them without a divorce. Yes, there was a discussion about that. 3 4 0 Did Tom during that meeting ask Dr. Michaels for 5 money? 6 А No. 7 Did Tom during that meeting ask Dr. Michaels for 0 cash or a check? 8 9 А No. Did he ask Dr. Michaels for anything during that 10 0 meeting? 11 12 Α No. At any point during your conversations with Tom, did 13 0 you ever get the impression that he was confused about whether 14 or not he and Dr. Michaels were legally married? 15 16 Α No. Now, in your notes you indicated that -- in the 17 0 phone notes -- or I mean in the email from you to your staff 18 that Mr. Jones referenced, you indicated -- there's a note 19 there that says he's agreeable to transferring the properties 20 21 because she paid for them and because he's guilty. Do you recall that? 22 23 Ά Yes. 24 Q Okay. Was there any discussion about -- that the D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 59

1	understanding that he was agreeing to transfer these to Dr.
2	Michaels because she paid for them?
3	A At the meeting there was a discussion about him
4	transferring the assets to her because he was going to move to
5	Florida and be with another family, and they were primarily
6	financed by her medical practice and that seemed like the fair
7	thing to do since he was going to start a different life.
8	Q Okay. Now, the you indicated that there were
9	deeds on these properties that had these parties listed as
10	husband and wife, and I think you said that you did not create
11	those deeds, correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Were those deeds incorrect?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did you correct those deeds?
16	A I tried to.
17	Q So did you tell please explain to the Court
18	how that was corrected.
19	A There were a series of deeds transferring them from
20	husband and wife to them as joint tenants, then to their
21	trusts.
22	Q So as joint tenants unmarried?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Like individual and individual as opposed to calling
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	60

them husband and wife? 1 2 А Correct. So that correction was made first before further 3 0 4 transfers were made? 5 А Right. 6 Okay. 0 7 Correct. Α 8 Q And I think you indicated that there was a discussion about a gift tax return? 9 А Yes. 10 Let's turn, please, to --11 Q 12 But if I may clarify? Α 13 0 Yes. 14 А I don't prepare the gift tax returns. I believe I told Mr. Pickens that he would need to have his CPA file the 15 gift tax return the next April, which is standard when you 16 make a gift. 17 Okay. And there was a conflict -- a waiver of 18 Q conflict -- conflict waiver signed that day as well, correct? 19 20 А Correct. 21 Q Did you explain to the parties what that was and why they were signing it? 22 23 А Yes. We had a -- we had a discussion that we needed 24 a conflict waiver because I prepared estate planning for both D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 61

of them and we were having a pretty serious discussion about 1 2 breakup of the relationship and what was going to happen to 3 the assets. Did you give both parties the -- or did you tell 4 0 anybody that day that they could or should seek independent 5 6 legal advice if they chose to? 7 Α Well, the waiver says that. And if they didn't want 8 to meet voluntarily with me to try to work it out, obviously they would have different attorneys. It was an attempt to try 9 to work out the situation without having to go further. 10 Okay. On the same day that the deeds were signed 11 0 and the transfer was signed, did Dr. Michaels sign a -- an 12 amendment to her Mich Mich Trust? 13 || 14 А I don't know the day she signed it, but I remember 15 her signing an amendment, yes. 16 0 Okay. Let's look at Danka Michaels 000637. 17 А Okay. 18 0 Okay. And this is the first amendment to the Mich Mich Trust? 19 Correct. 20 Α 21 This is dated September 13th, 2016? Q 22 Α Yes. 23 Is this the same date that the -- that Mr. Pickens Q 24 signed his transfer documents to Dr. Michaels? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

I don't know. I'd have to look. I don't know the 1 А 2 date on that, but. 3 Okay. Let's take a look at that. 0 0665 and 0666 I think. 4 Α 5 0665. Okay. So does that refresh your recollection Q 6 that it was on the same day that the deeds were signed? 7 А Yes. 8 Q Okay. And how did this first amendment to Mich Mich Trust modify the Mich Mich Trust that we looked at from 2010? 9 10 А The original trust that she signed did not leave anything to Mr. Pickens. But this bequest amended it to leave 11 him 50 percent of rent interest in Lowe and Queen Charlotte 12 and Buffalo. 13 Okay. If you turn to the next document following 14 0 the one we just looked at, which is Bates Number 641, there's 15 a second amendment to the Mich Mich Trust, correct? 16 17 А Yes. 18 It's dated the same exact day, correct? 0 19 А Yeah. Is this any different than the document we just 20 0 21 looked at? No. It's the exact same language. And it appears 22 Α on the first one, which is Bates stamp 0637, it's stamped the 23 date on the top, which is how we typically do it when we are 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

notarizing something. And this 0641 is handwritten. It 1 2 appears to be my paralegal's handwriting, and she's the notary on both, but I don't know why there were two. They're the 3 4 same -- it looks like the word -- the numbering second 5 amendment was instead of the first amendment, but I don't know. 6 7 0 Okay. 8 Α I was not present probably at that second one. But 9 they say the same thing. Now, you're not aware of when Dr. Michaels may have 10 0 refinanced mortgages out of Mr. Pickens's name or when she 11 started or when those refinances would have actually taken 12 place, correct? 13 А No. I have no information about any of that on the 14 15 property. Okay. But at some point Dr. Michaels did sign a 16 Q third amendment to the Mich Mich Trust. 17 Yes. That's true. 18 A 19 Do you recall that? Q 20 Yes, I do. Α 21 0 Okay. And do you recall what the third amendment to the Mich Mich Trust --22 23 If I saw it I'd know better, but I really А 24 (indiscernible) Mr. Pickens's bequest and left everything to D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 64 1 her son and his children.

2 Okay. And I don't know the Bates stamp number of Q 3 that just at this moment but --4 I do remember it, so. Oh, here it is. It's 0689. А 5 Okay. And that was signed by Dr. Michaels when? 0 On January 11th, 2017. 6 А 7 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. I do not have any further questions for this witness. 8 9 THE COURT: Redirect or --MR. JONES: I just have a few questions. 10 11 THE COURT: -- however we're looking at this. Go 12 ahead. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. JONES: On September 13th, 2016, did you inquire of Mr. 15 Q Pickens what medications he was on? 16 17 А No. Between the time of your deposition and today, did 18 Q you do anything that put you in a position to have a better 19 memory regarding conversations on September 13th than you did 20 21 on the day of your deposition? 22 А No. 23 0 When I examined you earlier you testified that you 24 were unaware of mortgages on the real property that the D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 parties owned together, right? 2 Correct. А 3 But your notes from the intake sheet from 2010 0 4 reflected an \$800,000 mortgage on the Queen Charlotte house, 5 right? Sure. Sure, at our initial conversation that first 6 А meeting in 2010, correct. 7 But you -- did you have any understanding of whether 8 Q Mr. Pickens was an obligor on the mortgage on that property? 9 А 10 No. 11 MR. JONES: I don't have any further questions. 12 MS. ABRAMS: I do not have any. THE COURT: Okay. Can she be excused or will she be 13 called again in rebuttal? 14 || MR. JONES: I think she could be excused. If I --15 if I needed her for some reason, I would either try to get her 16 or get her before the next date with a subpoena. 17 THE COURT: With a subpoena. All right. You're 18 excused then. 19 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony. 22 MR. JONES: Thank you for coming. 23 (Witness excused) 24 MS. ABRAMS: I'm going to see if Mr. Simonian is D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 66

here. Did you see --1 2 THE BAILIFF: What's his name? 3 MS. ABRAMS: Robert Simonian. 4 MR. JONES: Is it okay to take a break right now, 5 Your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Sure. It's a good time. 7 MS. LOBELLO: Thank you, Judge. 8 (Off record) 9 THE COURT: All right. You can have a seat. Thank 10 you. 11 MS. ABRAMS: Actually I'm going to call Dr. Michaels 12 to the stand. THE COURT: Dr. Michaels to the stand then. 13 14 MS. ABRAMS: I am expecting a witness, Mr. Robert Simonian. When he gets here --15 THE COURT: You'll break? 16 17 MS. ABRAMS: Is it okay if I put him out of order --THE COURT: Yes. 18 MS. ABRAMS: -- just so that he doesn't have to 19 20 wait? 21 THE COURT: Certainly. 22 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony 23 24 you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God? THE WITNESS: I do. 2 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. 3 DANKA K. MICHAELS 4 called as a witness on her own behalf, having been first duly 5 sworn, did testify upon her oath as follows on: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. ABRAMS: 8 9 Dr. Michaels, please explain to the Court how you 0 and Tom first met. 10 11 А Hmm. Tom was admitted to -- overnight to ICU at 12 Summit Medical Hospital Center with chest pain and the cardiologist on call did angiogram. I was asked in the 13 morning to take over as admitting physician. 14 So you were the attending physician there that day? 15 0 I was asked to take over the case as an attending 16 Α physician from the cardiology. 17 And is that because Mr. Pickens did not have a 18 0 19 primary care physician? Correct. 20 А 21 Q Okay. And when was this? 22 It was early 2000. А 23 And when did you and Tom start dating? Q 24 А In summer 2001. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 68

Okay. So that's talk about your physician-patient 1 0 2 relationship with Tom from the time you first treated him in early 2000 until you started dating in the summer of 2001. So 3 this is the time period before you started dating. When you 4 saw him in the ICU at Summerlin Hospital, what did you treat 5 him for? 6 So cardiology took care of his heart problems. 7 А Ι was there to give as-needed medications and take care of 8 whatever needed, if there was a fever, if there was nausea, if 9 he needed a sleeping pill, if -- make sure his daily 10 11 medications were continued. And do you -- do you recall what his daily 12 0 medications were? 13 14 А At that time? Yeah Okay. Would you -- do you recall if he was on blood 15 0 pressure medication at that time or cholesterol medication? 16 On the admission I don't remember if he was. 17 А 18 Q Okay. I'm sure we did later, we started later. 19 А 20 Okay. But he was seeing specialists at that time Q 21 for his medical condition? For cardiology. 22 А MR. JONES: Objection, foundation, leading. 23 24 MS. ABRAMS: I actually said he was seeing a D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 69

AA07585

cardiologist. He was there for a heart --1 2 THE WITNESS: Cardiologist is --3 MR. JONES: The question said specialists. 4 THE COURT: I'm going to uphold it. That's fine. MS. ABRAMS: Okay. Okay. That's fine. 5 THE COURT: I approved your objection. 6 BY MS. ABRAMS: 7 All right. Was Tom required to follow up with you 8 Q after his visit in the ICU? 9 We have to give patients a follow-up. It's up to the 10 А patient to follow up with me. He was given follow-up with me 11 in the discharge summary, this is a follow-up in two weeks 12 with your primary care physician. If he follows up with me, 13 it's his choice. 14 15 Q Okay. And he did in fact follow up with you, 16 correct? He did follow up, and his wife established with me 17 А as a new patient. 18 19 So both Tom and his wife became patients of yours? Q 20 Α Yes. 21 0 And you were working at Summit Medical Center at the 22 time? 23 Ά Yes. 24 Q Okay. And did you treat him for medical problems at D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 70

AA07586

that time? 1 2 А He had, in addition to his cardiac problems, he had 3 high cholesterol, high blood pressure, gout, and joint pain 4 due to the gout. 5 (BAILIFF AND COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY) 6 THE COURT: The witness you're waiting for is here? 7 THE BAILIFF: Yeah. 8 THE COURT: Break when you're ready. 9 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. BY MS. ABRAMS: 10 When was Tom's bypass surgery at Cleveland Clinic? 11 Q End of September or early October 2001 -- ah, 2000. 12 А 2000? 13 0 2000, yes. 14 А 15 So before you started dating? Q 16 Before I started what? Α Dating. 17 Q 18 Α Oh, yes. He was married at that time. And when did he have the blood clot in his lungs? 19 0 20 I believe he was discharged from Cleveland Clinic Α with blood clots or a blood clot. I don't know. I wasn't 21 there. I only saw discharge summary from Trumbull Medical 22 Hospital. He was admitted for a PE, pulmonary embolus. He 23 was admitted -- in 2000 the protocol for PE was five to ten 24

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

days of IV heparin. When stabilized you started Coumadin --1 2 Okay. I'm sorry. 0 3 Α I'm sorry. 4 0 I don't think the Court needs to know that much 5 detail, but the --6 А Sorry. 7 The question really was the timing of that event was Q -- would it also have been fall of 2000? 8 9 А Yes. And it also would have been prior to your dating? 10 0 Yes. 11 Α 12 Okay. And then you saw him in Summit Medical Group Q in 2000 after his bypass surgery? 13 Yeah. I saw him before, after -- not after bypass. Α 14 15 After bypass he went to his parents' house. There, that's where he got diagnosed with the PE and he was admitted, and 16 then he went back to Vegas and he had to follow up for 17 Coumadin levels for six months. He had to be on Coumadin for 18 six months. 19 MR. JONES: PE is pulmonary embolism. 20 21 THE COURT: I heard that earlier. Thank you. 22 MR. JONES: I feel like I'm in an episode of ER. BY MR. ABRAMS: 23 24 And who did he follow up with? 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Me. I followed up his Coumadin levels. 1 А Okay. 2 0 After six months we stopped Coumadin. 3 А Okay. All right. I think this is probably a good 4 Q breaking point so that we don't keep Mr. Simonian waiting. 5 THE COURT: Okay. You may step down. We'll call 6 7 the witness out of order and in the middle of another witness, 8 but. 9 (Witness excused) THE COURT: Good morning. 10 11 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand. You do solemnly swear the testimony you're about to 12 give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and 13 nothing but the truth so help you God? 14 THE WITNESS: I do. 15 THE CLERK: You may be seated. 16 ROBERT SIMONIAN 17 18 called as a witness on behalf of Defendant, having been first duly sworn, did testify upon his oath as follows on: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MS. ABRAMS: Good morning. Can you please state your name for 22 Q the record? 23 Robert Scott Simonian. 24 А D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 73

Do you know the Plaintiff and the Defendant in this 1 Q 2 case? I do. 3 А How do you know them? 4 0 I've been their -- previously I've been Mr. 5 А Pickens's accountant for many years, and I'm still currently 6 Dr. Michaels's accountant. 7 8 Q How long have you been preparing taxes for Mr. Pickens and Dr. Michaels? 9 I don't remember the year that I started, but I 10 А believe it was somewhere 2003, might have been earlier, but 11 somewhere around there. 12 Okay. And you produced a number of tax returns in 13 0 14 response to subpoenas and authorizations that you received relating to this case, correct? 15 16 I have, yes. Α Okay. And you produced over a decade's worth of tax 17 0 returns for Mr. Pickens and Dr. Michaels? 18 19 А I did, yes. Were any of those tax returns filed by then either 20 0 21 as married filing joint or married filing separate? 22 No. They were all married -- they were all filed as А single individuals. 23 24 Q Okay. So can you explain to me how that came about? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	A The first year that I began working with them, I had
2	discussions with Mr. Pickens in which he over their tax
3	structure. I actually had started to prepare the first tax
4	return as married filing joint, but after discussions with Mr.
5	Pickens I learned that they were they had a marriage
6	ceremony in a church, but they did not have a marriage license
7	and that they were not legally married. And as such, we
8	agreed that it would be best to file each individual as single
9	as opposed to being married.
10	Q And you heard that from Mr. Pickens himself
11	directly, correct?
12	A Yes, ma'am.
13	Q Was that the only conversation you ever had with Mr.
14	Pickens about his marital status?
15	A No. We we had this discussion almost annually.
16	Q Almost annually for how many years?
17	A For as long as I was doing his tax returns.
18	Q Okay. Do you recall Tom Pickens being on the
19	payroll and Dr. Michaels's medical practice?
20	A For every year that I did their tax returns he
21	received a W2, yes.
22	Q And did he receive a W2 even if he was working a
23	full-time job somewhere else?
24	A Yes.
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	75
	/3 A A 07501

Okay. Do you have an idea if you were to add up all 1 Q 2 the W2s for the papers that you have provided to us, do you --3 can you -- do you have an idea of what that number would be? I don't remember what the first year that I provided 4 А 5 documents for. He was receiving anywhere from a 20,000 to a 35,000 a year salary. 6 7 Okay. Now, if Dr. Michaels was contributing to a 0 401K for Mr. Pickens, would that have showed up on the W2 or 8 9 would that be in addition to the numbers you just talked about 10 on the W2? A little clarification. 11 Δ 12 0 Okay. 13 А So a 401K contribution is made by the employee, so it would have shown up on Mr. Pickens's W2 as a reduction of 14 his salary. That's separate from a contribution that an 15 16 employer may make on behalf of an employee on top of that. So for many of the years Mr. Pickens did have 401K withheld from 17 his W2, but that was his contribution. 18 19 0 Okay. And there were additional contributions made 20 by Dr. Michaels? 21 А She has had off and on with her practice an employer contribution. I don't remember the amount or the years. 22 23 Okay. As of two thousand and -- you're familiar Q with Patience One, correct? 24

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 А Yes. 2 0 The LLC. And did you prepare the tax returns for 3 Patience One every year as well? 4 А Yes, I have. 5 Q Okay. Who did you primarily deal with when you were 6 preparing tax returns? 7 А During the years -- for most of the years it was with Mr. Pickens, and in the latter years it has been with 8 9 Jakub, Dr. Michaels's son. 10 0 And since when has it been with Jakub, Dr. 11 Michaels's son? I think it would be the 2017-'18. I don't recall in 12 Ά 2016. 13 14 0 Okay. But you're not sure about 2016, but for all 15 the years prior to 2016 was Tom your primary contact? 16 Yes. А 17 Did you have very much dealings with Dr. Michaels Q directly at all? 18 19 А A few. We chatted. We'd have some slight 20 discussions on it, but they were fairly mundane. Now, as of 2016, had Patience One -- and this is the 21 0 22 entity that holds the office building, correct? 23 А That's correct. 24 Okay. Had it ever shown income on its tax return? 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 77

Showing income on Mr. Pickens's tax return? 1 А 2 No, on the Patience One tax return. Q 3 It has always shown income, but that's not profits. Α 4 So I'm not sure exactly --5 0 Oh, I'm sorry. I meant profits. 6 Just being -- I don't mean to be too technical there Α but we do have to make a decision between income and profits. 7 8 0 Sorry. Had ever shown profits on its tax return? 9 I don't really recall. I think it's almost always Α shown a loss. But that's not unusual for buildings. 10 11 0 Now, in 2014 Tom received a \$1 million bonus, 12 correct? 13 Α I believe so, yes. 14 Q Do you know if he was trying to find expenses or 15 deductions to reduce his tax obligation? 16 Α We both were. That was my job, yes. 17 Q Okay. Now, is there a -- under Section 175 -- 9 a 18 ability to deduct a -- as a commercial vehicle a vehicle that 19 weighs over 6,000 pounds? 20 Okay. So, again, as a point of clarification. Α 21 Section 179 is an escalated depreciation code of Internal 22 Revenue Service -- Internal Revenue Code. 23 Okay. Q 24 Α It allows for expensing in lieu of depreciation D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 78

1	capital equipment purchased by the company. And a gross	
2	vehicle a vehicle with a gross vehicle weight over 6,000 is	
3	considered a commercial vehicle for most years, not quite	
4	currently. The rules have changed recently, but for most of	
5	the time it was. And there are thresholds every year for the	
6	amount of 179 exclusions, but for the most part, yes, to	
7	answer your question.	
8	Q Okay. Now, if Tom wanted to write something off as	
9	a business expense, would it be proper for that expense to	
10	have been paid from his business account?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q Now, that's regardless of where the money actually	
13	originated from, correct?	
14	A (No verbal response)	
15	Q Actually, don't even	
16	A Okay.	
17	Q It really I could tell that that's going to be a	
18	very long answer.	
19	A Yes, sorry.	
20	Q And may be more technical than we need.	
21	Tom referenced a conversation that he had with you	
22	about having to file a gift tax return. Do you recall having	
23	such a conversation with Tom?	
24	A I do.	
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356	
	79	

1 0 Do you recall when you -- around what time frame you 2 would have had that conversation with Tom? 3 It was around the time that he was discussing -- we А 4 were having discussions about his separation from the business and assets with Dr. Michaels. 5 6 Now, if he and Dr. Michaels were actually married, 0 would you have a conversation with him about a gift tax 7 return? 8 9 No, I would not: А 10 Okay. And why is that? 0 11 Because separation of assets in a divorce are not А 12 subject to gift tax. So during that conversation, did you -- well, 13 0 actually, subsequent to that conversation did you have a 14 conference call with Ms. Lobello and myself regarding what Mr. 15 Pickens's gift tax obligation could potentially be? 16 Yes, I did. 17 А Okay. And what is your conclusion -- and I'm --18 0 we're not going to hold you to this because we know that you 19 need -- you know, you may need some documentation and some 20 21 other things. But based on that conversation, what was -what did you tell us about what his gift tax obligation would 22 potentially be? 23 24 А Okay. So I'm not exactly sure of all the details,

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 but in essence what I was probably trying to convey was once 2 you have a gift to an individual of over \$15,000 a gift tax is 3 -- gift tax return is required. That doesn't necessarily 4 trigger a gift tax, but it certainly triggers a requirement 5 for the return.

6 And then depending upon when the gift is actually made determines what gift tax exclusion applies. It has been 7 changing over the years, and so we have higher and higher 8 9 thresholds. So depending upon the year that the gift is actually made could trigger a gift tax, but it's a gift -- and 10 I think I kind of explained that if the gift tax is currently 11 12 -- if the gift is made currently then there would probably be 13 no gift tax. 14 Q Okay. 15 Given under the current threshold. Α 16 Q Okay.

17 THE COURT: Currently 2020?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 THE WITNESS: Or actually 2019.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. I do not have any further

23 questions.

24

THE COURT: Okay.

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	MR. JONES: Just briefly, Your Honor.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. JONES:
4	Q During the time that you filed returns for the
5	parties, part of your job was to apportion the deductions for
6	their jointly owned properties between them, right?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q And generally you would figure out what was the
9	what would be the most tax-avoidance-based allocation before
10	you then would put some on her returns, some on his return,
11	right?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q Talked tax avoidance, not tax evasion, right?
14	A That's correct. No, I got the gist.
15	Q Was there ever a time that Tom received, if you
16	recall, Tom received a W2 when he from Patience One or from
17	Dr. Michaels's practice when he was not otherwise employed?
18	A It was my understanding that first of all, he
19	never received a W2 from Patience One that I'm aware of, and
20	from Dr. Michaels's practice a W2 is in fact something you
21	give to an employee for wages earned. We're not talking about
22	substantial wages, so.
23	Q And were you aware that he was made the office
24	manager of her practice?
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
	VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	82
	A A 07508

A Yes. 1 And regardless of the status of the filing of taxes, 2 0 they did hold themselves out as husband and wife, right? 3 I believe so socially. 4 А 5 I'm going to have --0 6 (Pause) 7 THE COURT: I'm still unpacking mine. MR. JONES: It'll be Plaintiff's binder number 3. 8 THE COURT: Volume 3? 9 MR. JONES: Volume 3, and then we'll probably be 10 11 moving on to Volume 11. BY MR. JONES: 12 All right. If you -- I've opened the book there in 13 0 front of you to Exhibit 51. Can you take a look at that 14 document? 15 16 А Sure. 17 0 Is that a tax return for Patience One that you prepared? 18 MS. ABRAMS: Your Honor, I'm going to object. 19 This 20 exceeds the scope of direct. MR. JONES: Actually, Your Honor --21 MS. LOBELLO: Does not. 22 MR. JONES: -- what a waste of time that we have to 23 24 have this discussion. She asked if it ever turned a profit or D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 83

made any money during their relationship. 1 2 MS. ABRAMS: I --3 MR. JONES: I'm going to the tax return that shows a 4 distribution to my client. I'm allowed to talk about that. 5 And the objection is just a waste of time. 6 MS. ABRAMS: Your Honor, the question was whether 7 the tax returns for those years had shown that this entity was 8 showing profits. THE COURT: You asked about it. 9 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. 10 THE COURT: So I'm going to let him go there. 11 12 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. Fair enough. 13 MR. JONES: Thank you. BY MR. JONES: 14 15 Is this the 2016 tax return for Patience One? Q THE COURT: Counsel, can you give me the page, the 16 Bate again? 17 MR. JONES: It's Exhibit 51, and I haven't gone to a 18 page yet. I'm just asking him to identify it as the 2016 19 return. 20 21 THE COURT: Thank you. THE WITNESS: By all appearances it looks that it 22 23 is. BY MR. JONES: 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 Q Okay. And is that your -- I'm not going to say 2 signature -- but at the bottom of what's Bates Number 1526, is 3 that a representation that you prepared this return? 4 А It is. 5 Now, earlier you asked about profitability of 0 6 Patience One and you said it's not uncommon for a building. 7 Can you explain that? 8 А Most people take property, depending on the level of 9 debt and how it's handled, usually runs at -- for tax purposes, minor profits, sometimes major losses, we have a 10 11 non-cash deduction for depreciation, and so that generally 12 will trigger a loss otherwise. 13 And let's talk about the non-cash deduction for 0 14 depreciation. I think depreciation is not something that --15 it's not a expense line item like utilities, mortgage, 16 interest, things like that, right? 17 А Depreciation? 18 Yes. Q 19 А Yes, it is. But I mean it's not a -- it's not something that the 20 Q 21 LLC has to write a check for. 22 А That's correct. It's a non-cash deduction. 23 Q Okay. Right. So if we turn to what is Bates Number 24 1531 of that exhibit.

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

You're speaking of the Form 8825? 1 А 2 The 8825 is exactly what I'm speaking of. 0 3 Α Okay. So if we look at Column A there, you have gross 4 Ο 5 rents \$270,911, right? 6 А That's correct. 7 And then the first deduction is auto and travel. Q That would be for the members? I'm assuming the building 8 9 doesn't travel or need a car, right? 10 А It would be for anybody working on the building that 11 may have had some sort of auto expense at the time. Right. And then there's a line item for insurance. 12 0 I'm assuming that would be the insurance to insure a 13 commercial building, right? 14 That would be correct. 15 А 16 Q Then you have legal and other professional fees \$950. Not worth talking about. Interest \$73,380. That would 17 be the interest component of the mortgage payment, right? 18 19 А That's correct. Just -- but for clarity that would be just the interest component. 20 Correct. Not the principal pay down. 21 0 22 That's correct. А 23 Q And then repairs 41,156. Could tenant improvements 24 or improvements to the facility fall under that category? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 86

1	A Want to be very clear here. So there are
2	differentiations between improvements that are required to be
3	capitalized as opposed to significant repairs, some of which
4	have to be capitalized, some of which do not. It depends on
5	the nature. So repairs and maintenance could be replacements,
6	it could be improvements, it could be a whole list of generic
7	it's a fairly generic expense item, so it could entail a
8	lot.
9	Q Okay. And then taxes, line 11, that would be real
10	estate taxes?
11	A For the most part, but it could also include any
12	business license or taxes to maintain the LLC.
13	Q Then utilities 33,928, that would be the
14	basically what it says, power, gas, water
15	A Yes.
16	Q that type of thing.
17	And then line item 14, depreciation \$52,884. That's
18	the non-cash reduction of gross receipts?
19	A That's correct. That's the that's the non-cash
20	deduction for the annual depreciation expense on the building,
21	just for clarification.
22	Q Right. And you figure that number based upon the
23	expected life expectancy of the building?
24	A No.
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
	VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	87
11	A A 07603

.

AA07603

1	Q How do you figure that out?
2	A Internal Revenue Code. This is a tax return
3	Q They've got a chart?
4	A Thirty-two years, yeah.
5	Q Okay. So it's 32 years is the default?
6	A That's the default, yes.
7	Q Okay, great.
8	A It's a declining balance calculation, but it's over
9	a 32-year period.
10	Q And then line item 15, it says amortization and it's
11	got two numbers there, unless I I'm misreading it. It says
12	statement number 8A, which if we turn to where is statement
13	8A? Maybe you can find me statement 8A.
14	A Okay. Statement 8A, that is going to be page if
15	I have 1539.
16	Q Okay. Statement 8A. There it is. So these are all
17	additional expenses that didn't fall into any of the other
18	categories?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q Okay. And those include inspections, janitorial,
21	licenses, management fees, office expenses, and security; is
22	that right?
23	A That's correct.
24	Q Okay. Now, looking back to Bates 1531 you have a
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	88
	A A 07604

1 loss of \$2,964; is that right?

_		-,,
2	A	That's correct.
3	Q	Now, if depreciation wasn't an allowable expense,
4	then the	LLC would have actually had a profit, right?
5	A	If depreciation weren't in there as an expense, yes.
6	Q	And then if we turn to Bates Number 1533, regardless
7	of the pr	ofitability of the company, the K1 for Dr. Michaels
8	shows a d	istribution of \$10,868; is that right?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	And the K1 for Tom Pickens is a hundred and twenty-
11	nine thou	sand it's the next page, sorry \$129,920?
12	А	That's correct.
13	Q	And on that K1 you have a beginning capital account
14	of 130,96	l; is that right, for Tom?
15	A	Yes
16	Q	And that number is nearly the same for Dr. Michaels,
17	right?	
18	A	That's correct.
19	Q	Do you know why the K1s were different, as far as
20	distribut.	ions were concerned?
21	A	I was advised that Mr. Pickens was no longer a
22	partner i	n Patience One and that he had transferred his
23	ownerships	s to Dr. Michaels. And in the transfer of ownerships
24	you'll not	tice there is a distribution showing basically
		D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

bringing his capital account to zero, and that's really the 1 distribution of ownership. And if you'll look, you'll see 2 that there's a Mich Mich Trust where the capital contribution 3 shows up. So that's just the transfer of his ownership to the 4 5 Mich Mich Trust. 6 0 Right. And so he didn't actually get a check for \$129,000, right? 7 He -- I'm not sure what he received in cash off the 8 А top of my head. 9 10 Now, I'm going to have you go to the next book. 0 11 Turn to Exhibit 120. 12 THE COURT: I'm sorry, the next book will be 2011 13 [cross-talk] --MR. JONES: It's -- the book is book number 11 of 14 12. It's Exhibit 120. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 BY MR. JONES: 17 Turning to what is Bates Number 002651. Do you see 18 Q it? 19 20 I'm not sure what you're looking at. Α THE COURT: What number? 21 22 MR. JONES: Danka Michaels 002651. THE WITNESS: I have a 1584 in front of me. 23 24 MR. JONES: No, no, I -- yeah. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 90

THE COURT: They're all mixed up. 1 2 MR. JONES: They are mixed up. 3 THE COURT: You'll have to look for it. 4 MR. JONES: I'll find it for you. I apologize. 5 MS. ABRAMS: I have no idea where we are. 6 THE COURT: They're out of order. 7 BY MR. JONES: 2651. 8 Q 9 А Okay. And this is going be another one of these --10 Q 11 THE COURT: Wait a minute. We all need to find it. I haven't gotten there yet. 12 MR. JONES: It's about eight pages in. 13 14 THE COURT: (Indiscernible) 15 MR. JONES: Six, seven, yeah, eight pages in on the exhibit. 16 17 THE COURT: I've got it. 2651? 18 MR. JONES: 2651, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. BY MR. JONES: 20 21 Q Do you see this page? Because we're going to make it the next in order, take it out of this -- this big exhibit 22 because this exhibit isn't in evidence yet. Do you see this 23 24 exchange of emails between you and Danka Michaels? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 Α Yes. THE COURT: This page will be next in order so take 2 3 it out and put it next in order. 4 BY MR. JONES: 5 0 Do you recall having this discussion with her? Not really. 6 А 7 Q Well, let me ask you this. You write to her at the very bottom on the May 10th email to her: I know he was a 8 partner for part of the year, but I need to know how he gave 9 10 up his interest and the date it was transferred to you. Do 11 you see that? THE COURT: I don't. 26 -- oh, 81. I'm on the 12 13 wrong page. MR. JONES: 2651. 14 15 THE COURT: 2651? MR. JONES: Yes. It's the eighth email -- eighth 16 17 page into the exhibit on 120. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so the full -- that was just 18 part of the sentence. That's why I was having a hard time 19 20 finding it. 21 MR. JONES: Right, right, right. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. 23 MR. JONES: Yeah, it was -- it says -- it's the 24 third line-sized paragraph. Do you see that, Your Honor? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE WITNESS: Where it begins with "It is possible 1 to" --2 MR. JONES: Yeah. It is --3 4 THE COURT: I've got it. Yes. BY MR. JONES: 5 6 It says is it possible? Did you ever get an Q 7 explanation from Dr. Michaels about that transaction? 8 I believe she gave me a copy of a document showing Α the transfer or ownerships. I don't remember the document off 9 10 the top of my head, but I believe I was given a document. 11 But other than that she didn't explain to you Q 12 whether she paid him anything or transferred anything to him 13 for that interest? 14 А I remember we had some discussions. I don't remember the details of them. 15 She never -- other than that doc -- there was no 16 0 17 other document other than the assignment document, is that right, that she sent you? 18 MS. ABRAMS: Your Honor, objection, exceeds the 19 20 scope of direct. 21 MR. JONES: Exceeds the scope of direct regarding --22 well, I think she asked about Patience One; I think she asked 23 about the tax returns. We just went over the 2016 tax return, 24 the operative year.

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MS. ABRAMS: Talking about agreements? We didn't 1 2 talk about, you know, any of that stuff. 3 THE COURT: That's true. 4 MR. JONES: Well, let me -- I can bridge -- I can 5 bridge the gap. THE COURT: You better. 6 MR. JONES: Okay. 7 THE COURT: Or I'll sustain her. 8 BY MR. JONES: 9 Was there -- was this question to her about the 10 0 transfer of the interest related to the 2016 tax return that 11 12 we just went over? 13 Α Yes. 14 0 There wouldn't be another reason for you to ask her that, right, if it didn't -- wasn't relevant for the 15 preparation of the return? 16 17 Α That would be correct. MR. JONES: I'm pretty sure that that --18 THE COURT: Go ahead 19 BY MR. JONES: 20 Other than the assignment document, did you receive Q 21 any other agreement or other document that you can recall? 22 I don't recall. 23 А 24 Now, you were aware that in addition to having their 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 94

1 personal relationship that she was also his physician? 2 А Vaguely. 3 0 Based upon your preparation of tax returns on behalf of Patience One over the course of -- at least from 2012 to 4 5 2016, do you -- did you or do you now believe that as of 6 September 13, 2016 Patience One had a value? 7 MS. ABRAMS: I'm sorry, can you repeat that question? I didn't --8 9 MR. JONES: Based upon his preparation of the tax 10 returns from 2012 to 2016 do you believe that Patience One had a value as of 2016? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 13 MS. ABRAMS: I -- I -- okay. Have we established if 14 this is a person who has the ability to appraise or put a value on an LLC or a piece of property? I mean, I would 15 16 object to that. 17 MR. JONES: I didn't -- I didn't ask for a number. THE COURT: He didn't ask for a number. 18 19 MR. JONES: I didn't ask for a number. 20 THE COURT: He said yes. MR. JONES: As a CPA --21 22 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. 23 MR. JONES: -- preparing tax returns -- and he 24 answered. So I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 95

THE COURT: Redirect. 1 2 MS. ABRAMS: I just have a couple. 3 MR. JONES: Oh, actually I did want to move in that 4 email. MS. LOBELLO: It would be Plaintiff's Exhibit 154. 5 6 THE COURT: Is that how you have it labeled, Madam 7 Clerk? Is 154 your next in order? 8 MS. LOBELLO: And again the Bate number on that 9 document? 10 MR. JONES: Was 2651 THE COURT: Hold on. Did you give it a number next 11 in order for the Plaintiff? 12 13 MS. LOBELLO: What's the date of the email, May --MR. JONES: May 10, 2017. 14 15 THE COURT: Hold on. She's giving it a number now. 16 MS. LOBELLO: Thank you. THE COURT: What do you think it is? 17 MS. LOBELLO: Exhibit 154. 18 THE COURT: Is 153 the last exhibit and this will be 19 20 154 to your knowledge? Oh, she doesn't have all of her boxes out either. 21 22 (Pause) 23 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Yes, 154. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 96

(Plaintiff's counsel confer) 1 2 THE COURT: It's in. Go ahead. 3 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 154 ADMITTED) MR. JONES: I pass the witness. 4 5 MS. LOBELLO: Thank you, Judge. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MS. ABRAMS: 8 Mr. Simonian, why does the IRS allow depreciation to 0 be taken as a deduction? 9 10 А Because the buildings over time they deteriorate, 11 and so you're allowed to essentially take a deduction for the deterioration of the building. 12 13 0 And is that because there's actual out-of-pocket expense associated with the deterioration of the building? 14 Yes, but this is a separate issue. This is 15 А 16 acknowledgment that buildings do deteriorate over time and you 17 don't always repair everything that happens. Okay. And so when we went through the 2016 tax 18 0 19 return, there's no principal payments that are deducted in the -- on page 1531, Danka Michaels 1531, that 2016 tax return 20 Form 8825, correct? 21 That is correct. 22 А 23 Q Okay. But principal payments are an actual out-ofpocket expense? 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	So just because depreciation is not an out-of-pocket
3	expense,	doesn't mean that there wasn't negative cash flow
4	necessari	.ly?
5	A	That's correct.
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	Because there is a difference between profits and
8	cash flow	
9	Q	Now, when you were apportioning deductions between
10	the tax r	eturns, did that include the Patience One tax return?
11	А	No.
12	Q	It did not?
13	А	No. That was a 50/50 partnership and the K1
14	Q	Okay.
15	A	allocated the net taxable income 50/50, I
16	believe.	
17	Q	Maybe I didn't ask my question clearly enough.
18	A	Okay.
19	Q	Okay. So there's a line item for repairs, correct?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. Mr. Pickens had a LLC, Bluepoint Development,
22	correct?	
23	А	That's correct.
24	Q	And that was a construction management business.
		D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
		98
		 ۵۵07614

1

A That's correct.

2	Q Did you ever monitor which deductions for let's say
3	there was a Home Depot expense, right? Do we know if that was
4	for Bluepoint Development or Patience One? How was that
5	managed? Did you rely on Tom for that information?
6	A In the latter years I actually relied upon Sarah,
7	his office manager, to provide me those documents. Tom was at
8	that stage just giving me an overview.
9	Q Okay. All right. I don't oh, actually I
10	actually have one other question.
11	In 2014 did Tom deduct an this is exceeding the
12	scope of what Mr. Jones asked him, but I forgot to ask him
13	this originally so this part
14	MR. JONES: I don't have an issue, Judge.
15	THE COURT: Go ahead.
16	MS. ABRAMS: Okay.
17	BY MS. ABRAMS:
18	Q In 2014, do you recall the deductions do you
19	recall if Mr. Pickens deducted a vehicle as a business
20	expense, specifically a Porsche?
21	A I'd be surprised if he didn't.
22	Q Okay. Do you
23	A I don't recall.
24	Q Do you recall if he deducted expenses related to
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 99
	99

house repairs for the Queen Charlotte property or the rental 1 2 property? If he had them included in -- I don't look at every 3 А 4 receipt to know the justification. 5 Q Okay. Α I'm not auditing books. 6 7 MS. ABRAMS: Thank you so much. I don't have any further questions. 8 MR. JONES: Just a couple questions --9 10 THE COURT: Okay. MR. JONES: -- following up on that. 11 12 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. JONES: 14 Q We're going to go back to Exhibit 51 in binder 3, and it's actually (indiscernible). I'll take that away from 15 16 you. 17 А Sure. If you could turn to -- of Exhibit 51, Bates Number 18 0 1530. Down at -- this is the balance sheet page of the tax 19 20 return; is that right? That's correct. 21 А 22 Down at line item 19B, do you see that? That says 0 23 mortgages? 24 That's correct. А D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 100

Column B and Column D are beginning of year, end of 1 Q 2 year, right? 3 That's correct. А So beginning of year the balance on the mortgage was 4 0 one million four fifty-eight four thirty-one; the end of the 5 year was one four two five five one four. So basically the 6 7 principal pay-down was roughly \$33,000? 8 That's correct, roughly. А I didn't do the math, but I might be off by a buck. 9 0 10 And now turning to the K1 pages, the K1 -- the first K1 for Danka Michaels, that says Danka Michaels, M.D., right? 11 That's correct. 12 Α And that referred to the Defendant --13 0 14 THE COURT: Refer to Bates stamp. MR. JONES: Oh, I'm sorry. The Bates stamp, Your 15 Honor, is 1533. 16 17 THE COURT: Got it. BY MR. JONES: 18 19 And that would be the Defendant as an individual, 0 20 correct? 21 А That's correct. 22 Q And the second page, the K1 is for Tom Pickens, right? 23 24 А That's correct. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 101

And that would be Tom Pickens as an individual, 1 0 2 right? That's correct. 3 Α Now, the third page is after the transaction. You 4 0 have it listed as the Mich Mich Trust as the member; is that 5 6 right? 7 That's correct. А 8 MR. JONES: Nothing further. THE COURT: Thank you. I have a burning question. 9 MR. JONES: Yes. 10 11 THE COURT: Always scary when the judge does this. I've heard a lot about gift tax advice. Did anybody ever take 12 a gift tax? Pay a gift tax to your knowledge? 13 14 THE WITNESS: I have never filed a gift tax return for either of them, so I'm not --15 16 THE COURT: You do not? 17 THE WITNESS: -- aware of any being made. MR. JONES: And actually I just -- I did want --18 19 THE COURT: Thank you. I was just curious about 20 that. 21 MR. JONES: I meant to clarify that. BY MR. JONES: 22 23 24 0 Because you have -- I used -- shows how old I am. I D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 102

call it the unified credit. 1 2 А Yes, It's not -- probably not called that anymore, right? 3 0 4 We still refer to it as that way because everybody Α 5 refers -- yeah. 6 So if you give a gift that exceeds the amount that 0 7 you can give to a family member in a year --8 Or anybody. А 9 -- or anybody that you can give in a year under the 0 Internal Revenue Code, while you will not incur a tax for 10 11 which you have to write a check to the IRS, you are required to file a tax -- a gift tax return, right? 12 That is correct. 13 А THE COURT: But you never prepared one for the 14 parties? 15 THE WITNESS: I did not. 16 MR. JONES: That's all. 17 18 THE COURT: Any other questions because I asked one? 19 Follow-up? Okay. Thank you, sir. Can he be excused? 20 21 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. MR. JONES: Yes. 22 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. 24 THE COURT: Yes. You may go about your business. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Do you want me to leave 2 this here? 3 MR. JONES: Yes, you can leave it there. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. 5 (Witness excused) 6 THE COURT: Give us a minute for us to organize up 7 here a little bit. 8 (Pause) 9 THE COURT: Are we recalling Dr. Michaels? 10 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Retake the stand, please. 12 You're still under oath. DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 13 BY MS. ABRAMS: 14 Okay. So we were talking about the time period 15 Q between when you first saw Tom Pickens as a patient and the 16 time that you and Tom Pickens started to date, correct? And 17 that was roughly 15 months or so? 18 Yeah. 19 А 20 Okay. During that time period, did you do your Q 21 workup and treatment plan for Mr. Pickens? Cardiology was basic. It was his biggest problem, 22 Α so I didn't deal with his cardiology problems. 23 24 0 Who dealt with his cardiology problems? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 А His cardiology group. 2 0 Okay. But anything that you were doing, you would 3 have done within that --4 А Yeah. 5 0 -- first 12-month period? 6 A Yes. 7 Okay. Now let's start talking about the time period Q 8 when you -- I'm sorry. 9 THE COURT: Can we shut the door, unless it is a problem? 10 BY MS. ABRAMS: 11 Now let's talk about the time period when you and 12 0 Tom started dating in the summer of 2001. Can you please 13 explain to the Court how you and Tom found yourself out to 14 15 dinner together? 16 А Oh, Tom told me that when he was in Cleveland Clinic after bypass surgery his wife left the hospital. Apparently 17 18 emotionally she couldn't deal with the fact that he's this 19 sick, he's got such a -- his heart problem. And I think the marriage deteriorated at that point and eventually they got 20 21 divorced. 22 When they filed for divorce, I saw him in the office 23 and he came with chest pains again, and I told him that he's a 24 heart patient, he has chest pains, he needs to be readmitted.

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

He was fighting with me, No, I'm not going. I'm not going anywhere, and nah, nah, nah, nah, nah.

I finally asked him that if he's not going to go he needs to sign the AMA. So he went. He went to the emergency room, got admitted. I ruled him out. Everything was fine.

6 Next morning I came to the hospital and asked him 7 what's happening? Everything is fine. So this is not your heart. This is probably some kind of an anxiety you're 8 dealing with, some kind of a life problem. And he says, Well, 9 I just got divorced. And I told him, Don't worry about it. 10 You're a good guy. You have a good job. You're making decent 11 12 money. You will be fine. You will find somebody eventually. I've been through that. And so when he came back for a 13 follow-up he asked me out. 14

15 Q Now, how many times did he ask you out before you 16 agreed to meet him for dinner?

17 A Few times.

18

Q And what did you say those few times?

19 A No. I wasn't interested in dating a patient.

20 Q Okay. And then --

A But then he came up with a very good excuse.

22 Q Okay. Why don't you tell us about that.

A He asked would you please go out to dinner with me 24 and tell -- teach me how to choose better when I go to the

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

restaurant. What's a healthy food for me as a heart patient? 1 2 And I thought, hmm, that's a nice way to go about it. And I 3 said no. And I thought, okay, if he's going to ask me again 4 it shows his persistence and I'll -- I will say yes. And he 5 did ask again and I said yes. And then he said, How are we going to get together? And I --6 7 Okay. So we --0 8 А Okay. 9 We need to keep our time constraints here. So did 0 you have a conversation with Tom about continuing as -- to be 10 11 his primary care physician after you started dating? 12 When we were dating, no. After he moved -- we moved Α in together I told him that he is fired as a patient because I 13 14 can't see him anymore as a patient. 15 Okay. And what happened after that? Q He refused to see anybody else. 16 Α So what kind of ethical problem did that cause for 17 Q 18 you? Well, if a patient refuses to go and see somebody 19 А 20 else, I could be accused of abandoning the patient if the 21 patient clearly wishes to follow up with me, and so I am stuck as a primary care physician. And he repeated over and over I 22 don't want to see anybody else. 23 24 So his cardiac problems were followed up with his D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 cardiology. So for his gout and blood pressure, cholesterol I 2 had no problem following up with him. Okay. At that point were you just prescribing him 3 0 4 refills pretty much? 5 The medications that cardiology started were А refills, the pain medications for his gout, his gout 6 7 medications, his -- that was it. All of it was refills? 8 0 That was old, old stuff that was refilled constantly 9 А over the years. 10 Okay. Now let's talk about what specialists Tom was 11 0 12 seeing. How many cardiologists was he seeing during your relationship? 13 14 А He saw a group, and in that group he saw four 15 cardiologists over the years. 16 There was always a cardiologist involved in his Q treatment? 17 18 А As far as I know, yes. 19 Okay. Did he have a rheumatologist? Q 20 He was referred to a rheumatologist. Α 21 0 What about an orth --MR. JONES: Objection, nonresponsive. Move to 22 23 strike. THE WITNESS: It's in his chart. 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 108

1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	BY MS. ABRAMS:
3	Q Did he have a rheumatologist who was seeing him?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Who was that?
6	A Dr. Tim Kelley.
7	Q Was he being seen by an orthopedists?
8	A Multiple orthopedists.
9	Q Who?
10	A He was referred to Dr. Tingey, Dr. Bradford, Dr.
11	Ashman, and when he moved to Florida he needed somebody to
12	help with his knee pain so I asked Dr. Tingey if he knows
13	anybody in Naples. And so he gave me a name and I passed on
14	the name to Tom, and Dr. Tingey was supposed to connect with
15	that guy in Naples so he would see Tom fast.
16	Q Do you know if Tom saw the orthopedist in Florida?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And do you know roughly what time frame that was?
19	A 2014?
20	Q Okay. And what why was he seeing you said he
21	was seeing an orthopedist for what? You mentioned knee pain?
22	A He had knee pains, hip pains, neck pains, and back
23	pain and elbow pains.
24	Q All right. Was this related to gout?
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

	II IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
1	A Yes, and progressive arthritis.
2	Q All right. Did he have a dermatologist?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Who was that?
5	A Dr. Handler.
6	Q What about a gastroenterologist?
7	A He saw two GI doctors. He was admitted once to the
8	hospital for chest pains and neck pains and anxiety attack,
9	and he was he had MRI of the neck. He was code by Dr.
10	Shiposhnikov (ph)
11	Q Okay. So he had a gastroenterologist.
12	A (Nods head in the affirmative)
13	Q Did he also have an ENT and a general surgeon who
14	were seeing him?
15	A Yes.
16	Q All right. Now, you were asked last week whether
17	tramadol, Xanax, Ambien, and Percocet were the primary
18	medications that were prescribed by you or by Robert Carillo
19	to Tom Pickens. Do you recall that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. And I think you said that those were not your
22	primary medications. Can you explain what Tom's primary
23	medications were and what his as-needed medications were?
24	MR. JONES: I'm going to suggest to you that that
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	110

completely misstates her testimony and that she absolutely 1 2 confirmed that those were the medicines she was prescribing 3 him. If she wants to ask the question a different way, I'll withdraw my objection. 4 5 THE WITNESS: May I say something? 6 THE COURT: No. Sorry. 7 My recollection was that she was trying to make a clarification that she wasn't refilling anything, that it was 8 9 her assistant -- was that the Carillo person you're referring 10 to? THE WITNESS: (Nods head in the affirmative) 11 (Indiscernible). 12 13 MS. ABRAMS: I guess my -- the quest --THE COURT: But I do recall her saying it's -- those 14 were the primary ones that he was being prescribed. I don't 15 16 know if she said she prescribed them, but those are the primary ones --17 MR. JONES: The question I asked was "And you were 18 19 prescribing him the following med" -- because we have the exhibit that's in evidence. 20 THE COURT: Uh-huh, right. 21 MR. JONES: That reflects all of the medicines that 22 are on -- oh, wait. Here's the thing. It doesn't reflect the 23 medicines for like high blood pressure and stuff --24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 MS. ABRAMS: Right. 2 MR. JONES: -- because that's not tracked by the 3 State of Nevada. 4 MS. ABRAMS: Right. 5 MR. JONES: But the ones that are tracked by the State of Nevada are the ones that I was referring to. 6 7 THE COURT: Do you want to ask a question about those medications and how they were prescribed or who 8 9 prescribed them? MS. ABRAMS: Well, okay. So --10 11 THE COURT: Because she did say that he was being 12 prescribed through her office those medications. MS. ABRAMS: Right. Right. I'm not -- I'm not -- I 13 14 have no issue with that. But I think when he asked if those 15 were the primary medications that she said that she didn't know what he meant by primary or something where she was not 16 17 necessarily agreeing with the word "primary." 18 THE COURT: I recall. Go ahead. 19 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. And so that's what I'm asking 20 about 21 BY MS. ABRAMS: 22 So can you explain to the Court what medications Tom Q was taking, what would you consider his primary medications 23 24 and what you would consider his as-needed medications and what D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 112 1 that difference is?

2	A Sure. So primary medications are medications that
3	are used for baseline diseases and that you take every day.
4	Lisinopril for blood pressure, carvedilol for heart and blood
5	pressure, cholesterol medication, Allopurinol for gout, and
6	that would be that was his four medications he took every
7	day. The rest of it as needed. It's written as needed.
8	You're not supposed to take Xanax every day. You're not
9	supposed to take Ambien every day. If you do, it's your
10	choice. I don't police patients. We prescribe these
11	medications as needed. It's up to you to decide how often you
12	want to take it.
13	Q Okay. And it's as needed for pain?
14	A As needed for pain, as needed for anxiety, as needed
15	for sleep.
16	Q Tell me about heart patients and how they may be
17	affected with anxiety, if there's any correlation there.
18	MR. JONES: Objection. Is she a cardiologist, Your
19	Honor?
20	MS. ABRAMS: No, but she's a primary care physician
21	who's been taking care of patients who have had cardiology
22	issues.
23	THE COURT: Go ahead.
24	THE WITNESS: After bypass surgery cardiac patients
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
	VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	113
J	Δ Δ 07620

1	have a lot of anxiety. He had open heart surgery. The
2	sternum is wired. It is not doesn't grow back. So every
3	time he moves, when you make certain movement, it can move, it
4	creates chest pain. It gives them anxiety with I'm having
5	another heart attack. And so a lot of patients the
6	statistics are between 50 to 75 percent of patients after open
7	heart surgery have a depression for couple of years. Tom
8	refused antidepressants even though they were prescribed, but
9	Xanax worked for him. He said it worked. It's a good thing
10	for him, so he can take it as needed. So that's what we did.
11	Q How long was Tom on Xanax?
12	A Since the bypass surgery, since 2001. Maybe 2002,
13	because then he had the PE and we were following more of the
14	2001 or 2002.
15	Q How long was he taking Percocet?
16	A Since I have met him.
17	Q Okay. Now, there was also a prescription you gave
18	him for tramadol. Can you explain to the Court why he was
19	prescribed tramadol?
20	A I was getting unhappy with him living on Percocet,
21	meaning taking it not as needed but more regularly, and
22	tramadol is not an opiate. Tramadol, chemically speaking, is
23	anti-inflammatory but a very good pain control. So that would
24	be a step down to the pain medications, and he didn't like it.

He just didn't want to take it. 1 2 And how long, if you recall, was he taking Xanax? 0 3 Α Since two --Oh, no, I think I asked you that for Xanax. 4 0 5 Yeah. А 6 0 Ambien? 7 I think we started Ambien when I think he lost his Α job in Station Casinos. Maybe 2008, 2009? 8 9 0 Okay. And tell me about the dosages and the I mean, Counsel tried to make it sound like this was 10 amounts. a tremendous amount of medication for you to be prescribing to 11 12 someone. 13 MR. JONES: Objection. That's not a question. Move to strike. 14 15 MS. ABRAMS: Well, it's part of a question. 16 MR. JONES: She's testifying. 17 MS. ABRAMS: It's -- part of my question is has 18 there been a recent change in the regulations for the 19 prescription of narcotics? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, as of January 1st the Nevada --21 the governor signed a new what we call the (indiscernible) 22 law. The regulations were released in March two -- 2018. It 23 requires us to file all kinds of paperwork. The patient has 24 to have an agreement, consent form, drug testing, and before

1	2018 we didn't have these restrictions. Before 2018 pain was	
2	treated as a fifth vital sign. We treated pain aggressively.	
3	If we didn't treat aggressively we were sued.	
4	BY MS. ABRAMS:	
5	Q So at the time that the prescriptions were you	
6	know, the combination of prescriptions that are there were	
7	prescribed to Tom, were they unusual in amount or in	
8	combination?	
9	A No, they're not unusual, and they are still not	
10	unusual. They are still even under the current law it is	
11	not exceeding the recommendations.	
12	Q So can you explain the dosages and the amounts? And	
13	why don't we turn to Exhibit 2 so that you have	
14	MR. JONES: I think it's 4, Counsel.	
15	MS. ABRAMS: Is it 4? I'm sorry. Yes, Exhibit 4.	
16	THE COURT: Volume 1?	
17	MS. LOBELLO: Yeah, volume 1.	
18	MR. JONES: I'll get it. I'll get it. That's the	
19	wrong book. I'll get you the right book.	
20	THE COURT: Has 4 been admitted?	
21	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.	
22	THE COURT: Thank you.	
23	MR. JONES: There you go.	
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356	
	116	
	A A 07632	

MR. JONES: You're welcome. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 4. 3 MS. ABRAMS: Actually I think we said we were going 4 to replace this with the updated one. So why don't we go 5 ahead and do that? I have that here. THE COURT: Counsel? 6 7 MR. JONES: She has the updated ones? 8 THE COURT: She has the replacements for --9 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah, that's what you emailed to me. 10 THE COURT: -- for part 4. 11 MS. LOBELLO: Why don't we use both just to be clean 12 and make this the next exhibit? Because this is a different report. We -- on Friday they asked if they could have an 13 14 updated so we --15 THE COURT: Next in order. 16 THE CLERK: 155. 17 THE COURT: 155. MS. ABRAMS: Wait. How is this different? 18 I'm 19 sorry. 20 MS. LOBELLO: It's updated. You asked for an 21 updated. That's updated. So it's the additional dates on it. 22 THE COURT: If it was exactly the same you wouldn't 23 need to present this one. 24 MS. ABRAMS: Right. I think that's what I said is D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 117

1 that it was updated. 2 4 is already in. MR. JONES: THE COURT: 4's in. 3 MR. JONES: I'd rather have 4 in because we've 4 5 already examined her on 4. 6 THE COURT: Right. This is in. 7 MR. JONES: So if she wants to examine her on this, 8 we have no objection to this. 9 THE COURT: Because you already said -- this comes 10 in. Is it one fifty --11 THE CLERK: Five. THE COURT: -- five. 12 13 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 155 ADMITTED) 14 THE COURT: And I don't know that I have Bates stamps on this one, but we'll go with the page number 15 16 indications. Go ahead, Counsel. 17 BY MS. ABRAMS: Okay. So looking at this exhibit that I just handed 18 Q to you, can you explain the dosages and the amount that was 19 20 prescribed and whether -- explain to the Court regarding those dosages and amounts. Are they considered high? Are they 21 considered normal? What are they considered? 22 I 23 А I don't know how to explain this. I mean, here is 24 alprazolam written July 21st. It was filled July 21st, 2011. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

He got 180 pills, and it was -- it says zero out of three, 1 2 which I don't know what it means because it's either one out of three, two out of three, or three out of three. So either 3 4 they did not dispense it -- but it looks like they dispensed 5 it. 6 The -- in September, two months later, he got the 7 same prescription and it was one out of three. So the pharmacy would not give him 180 pills. They only gave him a 8 third of the prescription, 60 pills. 9 10 Then in January, which is four months later, they 11 gave him -- they gave him the second refill, which was 60 12 pills. So to take --13 Q Okay. Well, let me -- let me ask you this. Looking 14 at this exhibit, you see where it has the --15 THE COURT: What page are you on? One of --16 MS. ABRAMS: I'm on page 2 of 5. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. Date? BY MS. ABRAMS: 18 19 So look at the very -- for example, the very bottom 0 20 one, the 7/15/2015. 21 А 7/11? Oh, the next page? 22 Yeah, page 2. 0 23 Α 7/15/2015, yes. 24 0 Okay. So the alprazolam --D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

It's Xanax. Alprazolam is Xanax. That's a 1 А 2 prescription by Mr. Carillo. Okay. And that's .5 milligram. Can you --3 Q 4 А Correct. Can you explain to the Court, is that --5 0 Of the lowest dosage .25 and the highest dosage is 2 6 Ά 7 milligrams. But that's -- it's the strength of the pill. There's psych patients who are on 4 milligrams three times a 8 9 day. Okay. Is that unusual for someone who's had heart 10 0 surgery and who has complained about anxiety for years? 11 No. This has nothing to do with heart surgery. 12 Α This is anxiety medication. It's anxiolytic. It's very 13 popular, it's very common because it has very little side 14 effect and the lowest addictive properties from -- it's less 15 addictive than Valium, less addictive than Ativan. So Xanax 16 17 is on the low --Okay. What about the next one up, tramadol 50 18 Q milligram tablet. Does that come in more than one dosage? 19 20 А No, it's just one dose. Tramadol is generic, one dose 50 milligrams. 21 Okay. Now, does -- in your experience, does pain 22 0 vary with the amount of physical activity somebody's involved 23 24 in?

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 А Of course. 2 Okay. So can you explain like in 2015, '14, '15, 0 3 '16 what was your understanding of Tom's work schedule and his physical --4 5 А He was flying around, walking construction sites, he 6 was -- he would drive to Colorado for the construction site, 7 walk it, spend a couple of days, drove back, flew to Florida, 8 walked construction site. He was building his business. He was anxious, he was in pain, he was miserable. He was 9 10 constantly asking for pain medications, and at that time we 11 were supposed to treat. Okay. Now, these were prescriptions written by 12 0 Roberto Carillo, correct? 13 14 Α Yes. MR. JONES: Objection, foundation. Which is 15 "these"? 16 17 MS. ABRAMS: The ones we were just talking about. Okay. They have prescriber ROCAR next to them, do you see 18 that? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 MR. JONES: 2015? MS. ABRAMS: Correct. 22 23 MR. JONES: Okay. 24 THE WITNESS: 2016, 2015, 2014. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

BY MS. ABRAMS: 1 Now, is Mr. Carillo legally permitted to prescribe 2 0 3 without supervision? 4 MR. JONES: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion, 5 Your Honor. It's in the -- it's in the word. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 BY MS. ABRAMS: Mr. Carillo works in your office, correct? 8 Q 9 Yes. And I was asked this question by the previous А -- during my deposition, my testimony. Yes, Mr. Carillo is a 10 nurse practitioner fully licensed in the State of Nevada, 11 fully licensed to prescribe any medications, any controlled 12 13 substance. 14 Within the scope of his practice, correct? Q 15 At any -- no. Nurse practitioner can open their own А 16 practice. They are completely independent. 17 Q And how many years of experience does Mr. Carillo have at your office? 18 19 А Twelve years. 20 Q And do you know --21 THE COURT: Excuse me. Would Mr. Carillo be able to 22 diagnose a symptom? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Okay. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 BY MS. ABRAMS: 2 If someone is -- well, let's talk about Tom. Tom 0 was taking the same medications for many years, correct? 3 4 А Yes. 5 How does that affect the way the medication would 0 impact him? 6 Some people are fine for 20, 30 years with the same 7 А 8 dose, some people dosages are escalating. I didn't -- I have 9 never been happy with when people were asking for higher and 10 higher amount and higher and higher dose and eventually we 11 part because I don't like to be the doctor in town that you 12 can get anything you want. So we were very careful. So the report was available in 2015. I think the prescription 13 14 monitoring program started could be 2014, I'm not sure. But it wasn't available before. 15 16 Okay. When was the last time that you and Mr. Q 17 Pickens had any form of physical, sexual, or intimate relationship? 18 19 Fall 2004. А 20 2004 Q 21 А Yes. 22 So 16 years ago? Q

23 A Correct.

24 Q Twelve years before the deeds were signed.

1 А Yes. 2 So there was a small period of time where you 0 Okay. 3 were dating and involved in an intimate relationship with Mr. 4 Pickens. That would have been from summer of 2001 until fall of 2004? 5 6 А About three years. 7 Okay. And after fall of 2004 nothing? 0 8 А No. 9 You became -- how would you describe your 0 relationship as that -- at that point? 10 А 11 Roommates. 12 What is the American Medical Association? Q 13 It's a political body. Α 14 Q Are you a member? 15 Α No. 16 Q Have you ever been a member? 17 А No. Is membership mandatory for physicians? 18 Q 19 A No. 20 You were asked about the American Medical Q Association's Code of Ethics. Is that authoritative in your 21 22 field? 23 No. It's not a governing body. А 24 0 Let's talk about your relationship with Tom and the D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 124

1 events that led up to the commitment ceremony in Bratislava, 2 Slovakia. When you and Tom were dating, did you have a 3 conversation with him about what each of you were looking for 4 in a relationship?

- A Yes.
- 5 6
- Q What did you discuss?

7 I wanted to make clear that anybody I'm going to be Α involved with next time, after the first marriage and a 8 horrible divorce I went through, I did not want to get 9 married, I do not want to have a dead relationship. I want to 10 have equality intellectually, financially, in interests, I 11 12 wanted to have a partner. And he seemed to be agreeing with everything. He says, yeah, he wanted to do, too. <<< He 13 would love to go to concerts, he would love to go to see 14 15 ballet, he would love to see opera and would love to travel, and he is going to build such a big business that -- and he 16 will make so much money I will not know what to do with it. 17 He was full of enthusiasm and it was -- he was very, very -- a 18 nice guy. I really fell in love with him. 19

20 Q Did you believe that he had educational degrees? 21 A Yes. He told me he had a master's degree, and he 22 quit a semester before his Ph.D. because he was offered a very 23 good job in construction. I did not have any way to justify 24 his --

Now, let's talk about your marriage that ended in 1 0 2 divorce that you were just talking about a moment ago. Was there domestic violence in that -- in that marriage? 3 4 Α Yes. 5 You have limited hearing in one of your ears. 0 Yes. I have 25 percent loss in my left ear. 6 А 7 Can you explain to the Court how that happened? 0 MR. JONES: Objection. Your Honor, how is this 8 relevant? 9 MS. ABRAMS: It's relevant --10 11 MR. JONES: This is domestic violence from a prior 12 relationship? MS. ABRAMS: Yes. You're going to hear about the 13 relevance. 14 THE COURT: Relevance? 15 16 MS. ABRAMS: Because she's very sensitive to people who get angry, and you're going to hear about this. But the 17 background I think is very important for the Court to 18 understand where she is coming from. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. I'll hear it. 21 THE WITNESS: We talked about this with Tom. My ex-22 husband beat me up unconscious. I had a concussion, bleeding into the left ear, and broken tympanic membrane. 23 BY MS. ABRAMS: 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Okay. And so that was a very bad experience for you 1 Q 2 obviously. 3 А Yes. Was -- when you say you went through -- because a 4 0 lot of people will say they went through an ugly divorce. 5 When you say you went through an ugly divorce, this was a 6 7 traumatic experience for you? 8 Α Yes. If it was any worse I would be dead. Okay. And so when you had the conversation with 9 Q Tom, did you have one conversation with him about what your 10 11 expectations were or more than one conversation? Oh, multiple. We loved to walk and we loved to talk 12 А about what we're going to do and how -- which -- I had all 13 kinds of ideas, which concert to see and which opera to see 14 and what we're going to do and -- it was, you know, what we 15 expect of each other, how we're going to live. He was all in 16 agreement of not getting married, not having -- he said I was 17 married twice. It didn't go well. I am very happy not to be 18 19 married again. Did you have these discussions with Tom before or 20 0 after he moved into your house? 21 Before. 22 А 23 And Tom moved in with you in September of 2001. Q When he moved in with you, where were you living? 24

1	A	My house. Copparo Place.
2	Q	Copparo?
3	A	Copparo Place.
4	Q	When did you purchase that property?
5	A	June 1998.
6	Q	Okay. Did it have a mortgage?
7	A	Yes. I put money down and the rest was mortgage.
8	Q	Okay. Now, Counsel brought up that you had a
9	bankruptc	y in 1996.
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Did you in fact have a bankruptcy in '96?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Why did you file bankruptcy in 1996?
14	А	After this ugly divorce I wanted to have nothing to
15	do with m	y ex-husband and he wanted the two prop we had
16	two properties. We he insisted on getting the properties,	
17	and I wanted to have nothing to do with him so I signed over	
18	the property. I Quit Claimed the property. I had a very bad	
19	financial advisor. I had a bad paralegal who was taking care	
20	of the paperwork and didn't notice that the loans were still	
21	in my nam	e. I didn't own the properties, but the loans were
22	still in	my name. So I had to file bankruptcy.
23	Q	Is that because your ex-husband stopped making
24	payments	on those properties?

1 Α Yes. 2 Okay. Now, in 1998 you were approved for a mortgage Q 3 even though you had a prior bankruptcy. Yeah, no problem. 4 А Let's talk about Tom's contributions to the 5 0 6 household expenses when he moved in with you in September of 7 2001. Was he making any contributions? No. 8 А 9 Did you travel with Tom in 2001? Q 10 We went to visit my family in Slovakia at the end of Α September because I wanted to make sure that my parents 11 12 approved of him. This was my second time around and I had all 13 kinds of doubts. I didn't trust myself. 14 Who paid for that trip? 0 15 А I did. 16 After that trip, did you and Tom have further 0 17 discussions about Tom's expectations regarding your 18 relationship and did his expectations create a little bit of conflict for you? 19 20 We had -- we started living socially and he got Α 21 irritated every time I introduced him as a boyfriend. So eventually he started whining about he doesn't want to be 22 23 called boyfriend. He wants to be called a husband. 24 0 So what came of that conversation? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 A I talked to my mother one day about it and I talked 2 to him and I said I don't want to get married, never want to 3 get married ever again. Don't want to go through the pain of 4 the lawsuits and whatever. If we don't like each other, let's 5 just split. Everybody's going to go their way.

And my mother says, well, you know, you can have a church ceremony in Slovakia and it's not legal here. I'm thinking okay. That's true. The church ceremony in Slovakia has never been legal. You have to register the marriage.

10 So I talked to him about it and he agreed to it. My 11 mother went around the churches and nobody would agree to do 12 it. Then one of the churches the priest says he will do it if 13 we get a letter from a Catholic parish locally that we are in 14 good standing as good Catholics, which I thought how are we 15 going to get it? I am not a Catholic, he's not a Catholic, 16 how are we going to get the letter? But he managed.

17 Q Okay. Was any of Tom's family in attendance at the 18 commitment ceremony?

19 A No.

20 Q In what language did the ceremony take place?21 A It was Slovakian and Latin.

22 Q Now, on the day of the ceremony did you and Tom meet 23 with the priest?

24

A Yes.

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Did the priest ask you to translate anything for 0 1 2 Tom? 3 He requested that I translate everything in Ά Slovakian to Tom, word for word. 4 5 Okay. And was there anything that he specifically 0 6 wanted you to translate for Tom? 7 MR. JONES: Objection. I let it go for a long time. It's absolute hearsay. The priest isn't here to say what he 8 9 said. She certainly can't say it. 10 THE COURT: Certainly. BY MS. ABRAMS: 11 What did you translate -- what did you say to him 12 Q 13 when you were translating words to Tom that day? 14 The fact that the priest demanded that I make sure А Tom understands this is not a legal ceremony --15 16 MR. JONES: Objection. Move to strike. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. THE WITNESS: I don't know what the -- what else --18 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 BY MS. ABRAMS: 21 So I need you to --0 22 А The -- all of the language of the ceremony. So the words that you translated to Tom, that you 23 Q 24 said to Tom when you were making that translation, what did D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 131

you tell him? 1 2 A The ceremony is not legal. Do you understand it? 3 Do you remember the -- the priest asked before, the ceremony 4 is not legal. 5 MR. JONES: Objection as to anything the priest 6 said. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. ABRAMS: 8 9 So if I understood you correctly, you -- when you 0 were translating you said to Tom, This is not a legal 10 ceremony. He is telling me he wants to make sure you know 11 12 it's not legal? 13 А Correct. Okay. Please look at Exhibit 2. 14 0 THE COURT: 2 or 4? 2? 15 16 MS. ABRAMS: 2. BY MS. ABRAMS: 17 18 Q Does your signature appear anywhere on Exhibit 2? 19 No. А 20 Do you recall signing anything? Q 21 А No. 22 Did you intend to marry Thomas Pickens on April 7th, Q 23 2002? 24 Ά No. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 132

Is there any doubt in your mind that Tom knew the 1 0 2 two of you were not engaging in a legal marriage? 3 Α No. 4 At any time did you believe you and Tom were legally 0 5 married? 6 А No. 7 If you knew that Tom would someday use the 0 8 commitment ceremony to claim there was a legal marriage, would you have participated in the ceremony? 9 Of course not. Α 10 Would you have continued a relationship with Tom if 11 Q 12 you knew he would claim there was a marriage? 13 А No. 14 Would you have continued a relationship with Tom if Q 15 you thought there was any chance you would end up in divorce court? 16 Of course not. 17 Ά Now, you're not denying that you referred to Tom as 18 Q your husband, right? 19 20 No. А Let's talk about earnings, assets, and contributions 21 Ο 22 during the relationship. What assets did Tom have in 2002? 2002? 23 Α 2002 he quit working for Peck/Jones the next month 24 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 133

after the ceremony. He had debts from his second marriage. 1 2 He had nothing except an old car. Did you provide him with financial assistance around 3 0 that time? 4 5 А Yes. What form of financial assistance? Did you help him 6 0 7 pay off his debt? I paid off the credit card debt that he took over 8 А from his divorce. His car was breaking up constantly, so we 9 went in to trade it in and I gave him \$20,000 on top of the 10 car so he can get a decent car. He bought a Toyota, the big 11 12 SUV. 13 0 And was there a loan on that car? А Yes. 14 15 0 Okay. What else did you help with financially at that time? 16 In 2002 my son skipped -- how do you say it -- get 17 А out of college, and I bought a duplex in Reno when he started 18 19 college. So at the time I sold it, my profit was about 20 \$30,000 and I gave it to Tom to start his own company, because that's what he said he wants to start a construction 21 22 management -- construction company. 23 0 Did he start a construction company in 2002? 24 А No. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 134

Did he start a construction company in 2003? 1 0 2 А No. 3 Did he start a construction company in 2004? Q 4 No. А Was he working during those years? 5 0 No. He started working for Stations in 2004. 6 А 7 Okay. So for that two-year period of time he was 0 not working? 8 А He had no other income except from -- I started 9 paying him salary. 10 Okay. Do you know what happened to that \$30,000, 11 Q what he used it for? 12 13 А No. Who was paying the living expenses? 14 Q 15 А I did. MR. JONES: Objection, foundation. From when to 16 17 when? MS. ABRAMS: We're talking about the 2002 to 2004 18 time period. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. Is your answer still the same? Is your answer the same with that time frame you were paying 21 22 for everything? THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 24 THE COURT: Thank you. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 135

BY MS. ABRAMS: 1 2 Who was paying for travel? 0 3 I did. А 4 Who was paying for dining? 0 5 Α I did. MR. JONES: Same, foundation. 6 7 THE COURT: Same time frame? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, all same time frame. Yes. I'm 8 9 not -- do you want me to repeat between --THE COURT: No. 10 MR. JONES: No, just let me know when you move to a 11 different time frame. 12 THE COURT: Yeah. 13 14 THE WITNESS: And I paid the credit cards and I paid for everything. 15 BY MS. ABRAMS: 16 Okay. And then 2004 is when he got a job at Station 17 Q Casinos? 18 19 А Yes. So there was a bunch of things that happened 20 Q Okay. in 2004. We're going to get to that in just a second. But I 21 22 want to touch upon during that same time period, 2002 to 2004, who was paying his car payment? 23 I did. 24 А D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 136

You opened your own medical practice sometime in 1 0 2 2003, correct? 3 А Yes. And how much did you have to invest in starting up 4 Ο 5 your medical practice? 6 I actually spent all of my savings account, which А was about \$180,000. 7 Did Tom contribute anything financially to the start 8 0 of your medical practice? 9 10 No. He had no money at that time. Α 11 Did he contribute time and did he help with the 0 management of the tenant improvements? 12 13 Oh, yes. That was --Α Okay. Now, the landlord paid for those tenant 14 0 15 improvements, correct? 16 Α So how it works is you have a tenant improvement and then you pay back the owner through the rent every year. So 17 18 you pay him back with an interest, right? So it's all me, all 19 of the amount of money that we got. But Tom was able to arrange the -- the amount that we got for tenant improvement, 20 that amount of money was used for the remodeling, and I think 21 it was -- there was some money that I had to pay extra because 22 I needed the sinks, and sinks apparently are very expensive. 23 24 Okay. That was a leased property. You didn't get 0

1	to take any benefit from that with you when you left that		
2	lease [cross-talk]		
3	A No, and it was like 4,500 square feet, so it's not		
4	like a huge office.		
5	Q Do you know if Tom was using that address as well?		
6	A Yes. He was using it as his business address.		
7	Q Okay. And who paid the rent?		
8	A I did.		
9	Q Did Tom ever work in your front office?		
10	A No.		
11	Q Did Tom ever work in your back office?		
12	A No.		
13	Q You heard Tom testify that he arranged for a friend		
14	of his named Avi Schlesinger (ph) or something along those		
15	lines to give you advice on management of your medical		
16	practice. Do you remember that testimony?		
17	A Yes.		
18	Q And he thought that that was very valuable to you.		
19	Can you tell me what happened with this person?		
20	A We met with Avi at the Nevada Orthopedics, what he		
21	was managing at that time, and he referred me to a practice		
22	management person whose name was Linda and said she'll take		
23	care of me. Linda cost me about \$160,000. She		
24	Q How?		
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356		
	138		
	A A 07(54		

1 Α She said that I don't need to do any 2 credentialing --3 MR. JONES: Objection, hearsay --4 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. JONES: -- as to everything that Linda allegedly 5 6 said. 7 BY MS. ABRAMS: So you can't -- you can't say what she said, but you 8 0 can tell us what happened from your own direct personal 9 knowledge. 10 I was advised that I don't have to do anything --11 А MR. JONES: Objection. 12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 14 MS. ABRAMS: No, no, no. THE WITNESS: I don't know how to say it. 15 BY MS. ABRAMS: 16 Okay. 17 0 So I was under the --18 А 19 THE COURT: As a result of her --20 MS. ABRAMS: Right. THE WITNESS: Of her advice? 21 THE COURT: Yeah. 22 23 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I lost the money because no 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 139

1	credentialing was done. I was told the insurance companies		
2	will send me a letter if they need something from me. So by		
3	the time my insurance agent came by, I lost three months of		
4	hard worl	k.	
5	BY MS. AF	BRAMS:	
6	Q	That you never got paid for?	
7	A	No. We never collected. You can't collect after	
8	three mor	nths.	
9	Q	And Tom was not employed in 2003?	
10	А	No.	
11	Q	Did you put Tom on payroll of your medical practice?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	When did you do that?	
14	А	Maybe since the beginning, 2002, 2003. I don't	
15	really re	emember.	
16	Q	Was it shortly after you opened your practice?	
17	A	It could have been the same year, but I don't really	
18	remember.		
19	Q	There were a number of things that happened in 2004.	
20	And I'd l	ike to go through with you	
21	А	Okay.	
22	Q	these various things that happened in 2004. Did	
23	Tom go ba	ck to work in 2004?	
24	A	Yes. He got a job at Station Casinos	
		D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356	
		140	

1	Q	Do you remember
2	A	as project manager.
3	Q	Do you remember roughly when in 2004 that happened?
4	A	Early summer.
5	Q	Okay. Did you purchase a new home in 2004?
6	A	Yes. We purchased a house and moved in in
7	September	•
8	Q	Is that the Queen Charlotte property?
9	A	It's the Queen Charlotte property.
10	Q	Is that where you live today?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	What was the purchase price?
13	А	999,999.
14	Q	How much did you put down?
15	А	I put down over \$200,000 because that was the profit
16	I made on	selling my Copparo Place house. So all of that went
17	into a	s a down payment.
18	Q	Did Tom make any financial contribution to the
19	purchase	price of the property?
20	А	No.
21	Q	What do you estimate the property is worth today?
22	А	It's much less due to all of the problems with the
23	Badlands	(ph) but it may be eight hundred, eight-fifty.
24	Q	Okay. So it's not worth as much today as when you
		D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
		141

1	bought	it?
---	--------	-----

2

A Unfortunately.

3 Now, Tom's name is on the Queen Charlotte property. 0 4 Α Yes. 5 0 Can you explain to the Court how and why that I mean, did you have conversations about it? 6 happened? 7 No, we didn't have any conversation about it. Α Ι don't even remember why his name is on the property. As I 8 said in my deposition, my house sold overnight, and then we 9 had six weeks to find a house and it was me working 14 hours a 10 I didn't really have that much time to look, so this is 11 day. 12 the house we saw like three times and it was the most suitable 13 house for us so we went for it. We put -- we put in the offer, it was accepted and we bought it. And then the 14 paperwork was done by him, and then he picked me up in the 15 hospital, took me to title company. They told me, Here, you 16 17 have 500 pages, sign wherever it's -- initial -- wherever it's 18 showing. So I signed, went back to hospital. 19 Q Okay. There is -- there was -- most likely I agreed with 20 Α 21 him to being on the property because he had nothing. And if I

22 drop dead, for instance, he would be left on the street. So I
23 -- I think that's probably what I was agreeing to, that if I
24 drop dead, which can happen to anybody, anytime, where is he

going to live? 1 2 Q Okay. And those words, "be left on the street," are those words that you've heard Tom say? 3 4 Yes. He repeated a lot --Α 5 What did he say? 0 -- I don't want to be left on the street. I don't 6 А 7 want to be on the street. Okay. So we know that Tom got a job in mid-2004, 8 0 you bought Queen Charlotte in 2004. You mentioned that you 9 10 and Tom stopped being intimate in 2004, right? 11 А Uh-huh, yes. 12 Okay. How did that affect you? 0 13 А I went into a deep depression. I was -- it was so bad I had to go and seek counseling. I didn't know how to 14 deal with it. I have no family here, I didn't have very many 15 friends here. He was the only person here that was -- like I 16 thought that was my buddy and kind of -- it was difficult. So 17 I went through counseling. 18 19 Q Okay. 20 It helped. Α Did you have conversations with Tom about that 21 0 topic, about that issue, why that was happening? 22 23 А Yes. 24 Did you ever get an answer? 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	A No. He stonewalls. He once told me that, oh,	
2	because I can't get an erection. Well you can get intimate	
3	without having erection. He can kiss and hug and smooch,	
4	right?	
5	Q But did he did he even want to touch you?	
6	A He didn't want to be touched even to that point.	
7	Q Okay. How did that impact your relationship with	
8	Tom?	
9	A I was depressed and I for some reason he became	
10	very resentful.	
11	Q So how did he express his resentment to you over	
12	and this started in 2004?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q And how long did it go on for?	
15	A Until the end of the relationship. I mean,	
16	eventually when he was traveling it was a relief because the	
17	coming home was like what else is going to happen? What else	
18	he's going to whine about? What else he's going to demand?	
19	How much money he wants from me today? What else is he going	
20	to, I don't know, talk about? His pillow talk was how was	
21	your collection? How is the new office manager working? How	
22	is the front desk working? How is the biller working? That's	
23	a pillow talk.	
24	Q Was there anything else that happened in 2004	

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 relating to who was actually paying the bills and control of 2 the checking account?

A Oh, we had perpetual fights about finances. Since he started working he took over paying bills. The electronic banking system started so he put everything on the electronic system. So he had it in his computer how the bills are being set up and when is it due, and it was really smart. Was very good. I really liked that.

When the things started getting worse I was --9 10 several years later I demanded to pay my own bills, and he 11 wouldn't let me. And we had multiple fights about it. We had 12 fights about how the bills are going to get -- how the bills 13 are getting paid, who is paying for what, how am I -- why am I paying for this? Why am I paying for this? How much money 14 15 more do you need from me? And I remember him once -- several times yelling at me, I have nothing, everything belongs to 16 17 you. Nothing is mine.

18 Q So Tom works at Station Casinos until 2008?
19 A I think so.

20 Q Okay. And why did he stop working there, if you 21 know?

A He told me that they closed the constructiondepartment of Station Casino.

24

Q So he was laid off?

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	A	I beg your pardon?
2	Q	He was laid off?
3	А	He never applied for unemployment though.
4	Q	Okay. I was just asking that's when he okay.
5		What did he do for work after he was laid off from
6	Station C	asinos?
7	А	He was not working. He was trying to build his
8	business.	
9	Q	Okay. So is that when he started Bluepoint
10	Developme	nt?
11	A	Have he's opened up he filed for Bluepoint
12	Developme	nt in 2008.
13	Q	Okay. That's when he filed?
14	А	Yes.
15		MS. ABRAMS: Do you mind if we take a quick break?
16		MR. JONES: Do you want to go ahead and just break
17	for lunch	then?
18		THE COURT: I think we should if we're going to take
19	a break now because ten minutes then come back for ten minutes	
20	is crazy.	
21		MS. LOBELLO: That's fine.
22		THE COURT: So be back here at 1:00?
23		MS. LOBELLO: Is that okay?
24		MS. ABRAMS: We however you want to do it. We
		D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
		146

can either do a break -- yeah, we can do that. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Back at one. (Off record) 3 4 THE COURT: Where we left off? MS. ABRAMS: Your Honor, the reason I stopped when I 5 did was I was getting the sense that my client's not operating 6 7 on all cylinders. She's not. She's not okay. And so I have one witness who traveled here. I'd like to call him, and then 8 I think she needs to not be in this room today. She's -- what 9 10 you saw on the stand is not the person I've been dealing with for the past two-and-a-half years, and I can tell you she's 11 irritable, she's -- she's just not herself. 12 13 THE COURT: That's fine. MR. JONES: You know what? I've already --14 THE COURT: We all understand. 15 MR. JONES: What I've already said, so. 16 17 THE COURT: So if you want -- you don't even have to call the next witness if you don't want to. 18 MS. ABRAMS: Well, this witness, only because he 19 traveled here to testify, I don't want to have to --20 21 THE COURT: From another area of the world? MS. ABRAMS: From Minnesota. From Minnesota. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 23 24 MS. ABRAMS: And we have like ten minutes.

> D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 MS. ABRAMS: Maybe five minutes. 3 THE COURT: All right. MS. ABRAMS: Really not a lot. 4 THE COURT: Is this the one that you may have an 5 objection to? 6 7 MS. ABRAMS: No, this is a different one. MR. JONES: No, that's someone different. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Let's call him in then. 9 THE BAILIFF: What's his name? 10 MS. ABRAMS: Todd Kilde. 11 THE BAILIFF: Kilde? 12 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. 13 THE BAILIFF: Okay. 14 15 (Witness summoned) THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony 16 17 you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God? 18 THE WITNESS: I do. 19 THE CLERK: You may be seated. 20 THE COURT: Go ahead. 21 22 TODD KILDE 23 called as a witness on behalf of the Defendant and being first duly sworn, testified as follows on: 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. A	BRAMS:
3	Q	Good afternoon.
4	А	Hi.
5	Q	Can you please state your name for the record?
6	А	Todd Kilde.
7	Q	Do you know the Plaintiff in this matter? He's
8	sitting (over there.
9	А	Yes, I do.
10	Q	How do you know Mr. Pickens?
11	А	We worked together. I worked for him. I mean,
12	actually	we I still consider as friends for about 30 years,
13	30-plus	years.
14	Q	Do you remember how you met?
15	А	Yeah, it was a project here in Las Vegas. We were
16	working :	for both for the same company.
17	Q	Do you did you know if Tom was married at the
18	time?	
19	А	Yes, he was.
20	Q	Who was he married to?
21	А	That I don't remember.
22	Q	Okay. Was it Dr. Michaels?
23	А	No. No.
24	Q	Okay. Did Tom ever tell you about his relationship
		D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
		VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
		149

with Dr. Michaels? 1 2 А As far as in what? I mean, we never went into 3 any --4 Did he refer to her as his wife? 0 5 Yes. Α Did he ever have a conversation with you about his 6 0 actual marital status? 7 Α Yes. 8 When was that? 9 0 10 А It was probably a couple times over the last -well, that's the first time I've seen him in over three years. 11 12 It was probably at lunch or some, you know, summer deal. I 13 mean, we talked a lot on and off on a lot of different topics. Around what time frame would it have been the first 14 0 time that you had this conversation? 15 Somewhere between three-and-a-half to five, six 16 А years ago probably. 17 Okay. And what did Tom tell you about his marital 18 0 status or with referring to Dr. Michaels as his wife? 19 20 А That they'd gotten married in Slovakia but that it was never -- whatever you call it -- authorize it or approve 21 it and -- in the States, that it was never really a legal, 22 binding marriage here. 23 24 Okay. Did you have the opportun -- you said that 0 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

you and Tom worked together. What years did you work 1 2 together? I think the first time, give or take a year or so, 3 А I'm saying right -- probably right around '98, '98, '99 would 4 be my guess. 5 And was there a time where you worked for Tom when 6 0 7 he was operating Bluepoint Development? 8 А Yes. When was that? 9 Q 10 That would have been probably '13 through '16, again А give or take a couple months in there. 11 Did you have an opportunity to observe Tom's 12 0 13 spending habits? Probably to some degree: 14 А How would you describe his spending? 15 0 16 MR. JONES: Objection, foundation as to how he observed. 17 18 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. THE COURT: Fair. 19 MR. JONES: The answer was to some degree so it 20 21 seems very spotty. 22 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. 23 BY MS. ABRAMS: 24 Q To what degree did you -- were you able to observe D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 151

1 his spending habits? 2 I mean, he wasn't cheap. I mean, Tom -- Tom's А 3 always -- I mean, he's -- he spends money. I mean, he buys things. If he wants it he buys -- at least that I know of, he 4 usually ends up buying it. 5 Were there occasions where he bought lunch for 6 0 7 everybody in the office? Oh, he did that frequently. 8 А 9 0 How frequently? Anytime we all went to lunch together. I mean, it 10 А could be -- heck, it might be three, four times in a week, it 11 might be one time, depending -- you know, a lot of times he 12 13 wasn't in the office, so. Okay. What about with regards to vehicles or 14 Q anything that you personally observed? 15 16 What do you mean on that? I'm sorry. А 17 0 Was there -- were you working on a project in Florida? 18 19 А Yes. 20 What year? Q 21 What was that, '15, '16, I think? Α Was there a situation where there was maybe a 22 0 vehicle that needed to be rented in Florida --23 Purchased an Escalade. 24 А D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

And what was your opinion of that? 1 0 I thought it was excessive, but --2 А What was it supposed to be used for? 3 Q 4 А Transportation from the airport to the condo or 5 apartment. 6 MS. ABRAMS: I don't have any further questions. 7 THE COURT: Questions for this witness? CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. JONES: 9 10 You sought unemployment benefits from -- let's see. Q Who was this written to -- from the State of Nevada through 11 Bluepoint Development, right? 12 13 I don't think so. А Did you file a request to appeal denial of 14 Q unemployment benefits? 15 16 А Oh, I did, yeah. 17 So you were denied benefits --0 Right. 18 А 19 -- and you requested an appeal? 0 Correct. Yeah, and that was rejected too. 20 А 21 And that was after your separation from employment 0 22 from Bluepoint, right? 23 Ά Correct. 24 Q Okay. So on or about October 10, 2016, you mailed D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 153

to the Unemployment Security Division Adjudication Center a --1 MS. ABRAMS: I'm going to object. This really is 2 3 exceeding the scope. MR. JONES: It's just -- this is bias, Judge. I get 4 to explore his credibility on bias. 5 THE COURT: I'll allow it for a little while. 6 7 MS. ABRAMS: Credibility [cross-talk] --MR. JONES: I mean, credibility is an issue that 8 isn't -- doesn't have to stay within the scope. 9 MS. ABRAMS: Well, I don't think --10 MS. LOBELLO: There's also things in this that are 11 12 directly within the scope. MR. JONES: They -- they produced this document in 13 14 discovery. 15 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. 16 17 MR. JONES: And I can refresh his recollection with it all day long on issues of credibility. 18 MS. ABRAMS: Well, I don't think that he's 19 established that -- that there's any -- I mean, biased as to 20 21 what? Biased as to saying that Tom said that we were married, I mean, weren't married or were married or --22 23 THE COURT: Which bias are you trying to elicit? 24 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah, bias as to what part of that

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

testimony? 1 2 MR. JONES: I want to explore with him -- well, actually, Judge, it's within the scope because the questions 3 that I'm going to ask him that are contained in here deal with 4 his spending, since that's exactly what he asked -- she asked 5 6 about. 7 THE COURT: Okay. That's fair. Go ahead. MR. JONES: And then there's one credibility issue 8 9 that you can weigh in on but I'll address that. MS. LOBELLO: You should make that an exhibit. 10 MR. JONES: Do you have a problem with this being an 11 exhibit? It's not in our exhibits. You produced it. 12 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: What's the exhibit number? 14 MS. LOBELLO: It would just be a new one, 156. 15 MR. JONES: It would be a new one. We didn't even 16 17 know he was going to come and testify. THE COURT: Next in order, 156? 18 MR. JONES: Yeah. 19 MS. ABRAMS: I haven't looked at this. 20 MS. LOBELLO: You produced it. 21 THE COURT: Doesn't mean she wants it in. She 22 produced what she --23 24 MS. ABRAMS: This case has been going -- just for D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

the record, this case has been going on for two-and-a-half 1 years. 2 3 THE COURT: Right. I saw that. MS. ABRAMS: This might be something that was 4 5 produced like a very significantly long time --6 THE COURT: And that's why I stepped in last Friday 7 so you wouldn't have to get it further down the road. MS. ABRAMS: And it wasn't in any of the exhibit 8 books so --9 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. ABRAMS: There you go. 12 MR. JONES: Well, I can ask him the question and 13 then he can --THE COURT: Yes. 14 BY MR. JONES: 15 16 0 So did you make a -- did you make a statement to the Employment Security Division Adjudication Center that he has 17 spent hundreds of thousands of Bluepoint Development's 18 revenues on his wife's business? 19 20 А I don't -- if I said it in there I probably did. Let me show you what's been --21 0 MR. JONES: You said it's one fifty --22 THE CLERK: Six. 23 BY MR. JONES: 24 D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 156

-- what's been marked as 156. 1 0 2 THE COURT: Did you get a copy of it yet? 3 THE CLERK: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 BY MR. JONES: 6 0 And if you can take a look at the -- well, let me --7 let me have you look at the entirety of this document. Is this a document that you sent in to the Employment Security --8 9 А Yes. 10 -- Division Adjudication Center? Q 11 А Yes. Do you recall writing this document? 12 Q 13 А Yes. And you believe that to be a true and correct copy 14 Q of the document you sent? 15 || 16 А Yeah, I would have thought I would have signed 17 something on it, but -- can I --18 Now, in the second -- in the second paragraph --0 MR. JONES: Well, I'll move to admit 156. 19 20 THE COURT: Hearing no objection, it's in. 21 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 156 ADMITTED) 22 BY MR. JONES: 23 In the second paragraph you write --0 24 MS. ABRAMS: Well, I'm going to again --D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 157

AA07673

BY MR. JONES: 1 2 -- he has spent --Q MS. ABRAMS: Go ahead. 3 THE COURT: Do you want to raise an objection? 4 MS. ABRAMS: I really see this as being really 5 outside the scope. He --6 7 THE COURT: I understand. 8 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. BY MR. JONES: 9 In the second paragraph, second full sentence --10 Q Well, you're still on the first page, right? 11 Α First page. 12 Q 13 А Yep. Second full paragraph. You write: He has spent 14 Q hundreds of thousands of Bluepoint Development's revenues on 15 his wife's business. Do you see that? 16 17 MS. ABRAMS: I'm going to object. That's not what it says, so I object to the form of the question. 18 19 MR. JONES: You're saying it doesn't say those 20 words? 21 MS. ABRAMS: It says --MR. JONES: You can question him --22 MS. ABRAMS: -- personal use --23 24 MR. JONES: -- on the remainder of the sentence all D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 158

1 day you want. 2 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. 3 MR. JONES: That's your right under the rules to 4 have completion. 5 BY MR. JONES: 6 But I want to know if you made the representation Q 7 that he spent hundreds of thousands of Bluepoint Development's revenues on his wife's business. 8 9 MS. ABRAMS: And I'm going to object to the form of the question because it's not what it says. 10 11 THE COURT: I will sustain that. You need to put in the whole sentence. 12 13 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. MR. JONES: Okay. 14 BY MR. JONES: 15 16 0 He spent hundreds of thousands of Bluepoint 17 Development's revenues on his wife's business, personal use, 18 and mistress, yet unwilling to give raises or yearly bonuses as promised. Did you write that? 19 20 А Yes. 21 0 And did you believe that was a true statement at the 22 time? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q If you'd turn to the last page. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 159

AA07675

1 А Oh, I didn't see the last page. 2 The sentence you have is below the -- below is a 0 3 list of contacts that can confirm the unethical and 4 unacceptable behavior Bluepoint Development employees are 5 subject to. And the contacts include Dara Lesmeister, right? 6 А Correct. 7 MR. JONES: Nothing further. 8 THE COURT: Cross? Or, sorry, redirect? 9 MR. JONES: As to those two questions. 10 MS. ABRAMS: Yes. As to those two questions, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. ABRAMS: 12 When you wrote this, did you have any understanding 13 Q of how much was spent on what -- the items that you listed 14 || here? In other words, was it just like that combination 15 number or did you have any actual knowledge of how much you 16 17 were saying went to wife's business, personal use, mistress? No. I have no idea. 18 А 19 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. That's it. I don't have any further questions. 20 21 MR. JONES: He can be excused. THE COURT: Okay. You can be excused, sir. Thank 22 23 you so much for coming. 24 THE WITNESS: That's it? D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 160

THE COURT: Yeah, that's it. 1 MR. JONES: Hey, you got a free trip to Vegas. 2 Be excited about that. 3 4 THE COURT: Yeah. Go leave some money in our 5 casinos for our schools. 6 (Witness excused) 7 THE COURT: All right. Here's the deal. I don't have the understanding of how -- when I can reschedule this 8 9 for to come back to finish the trial. So I'm going to have to get Chris Tristy (ph), the JEA for Judge Hughes, to either 10 find me a day on her calendar or somewhere where -- I can't 11 12 just say let's come back on a so-and-so day, because I have to make sure I've got a courtroom and a clerk. 13 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. 14 15 THE COURT: At a minimum. MS. LOBELLO: We still have Wednesday afternoon, the 16 17 26th, is that right where we're going to do our closings? 18 MR. JONES: Yeah, but --THE COURT: That's really kind of close to what's 19 20 going on right now, so. MS. LOBELLO: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. 21 22 MR. JONES: Right, right. Well, I just -- I think 23 that probably needs to be vacated because --24 THE COURT: If she's not feeling well today it's

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 going to be vacated.

Ţ	going to be vacated.
2	MR. JONES: Because three to five is not going to be
3	enough time to finish her testimony anyways.
4	THE COURT: No. No. So
5	MS. LOBELLO: We're vacating the 26th?
6	THE COURT: Let's give her some time to take a deep
7	breath. So we'll vacate that date and we will contact you
8	guys or network with you guys to find another day where I'll
9	come back and finish up the trial with you.
10	MS. ABRAMS: Okay.
11	MR. JONES: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Judge Hughes's next available full day
13	is when? It's a long time from now.
14	MR. JONES: I'm sure you could probably scout around
15	for another courtroom.
16	THE COURT: Well, that's what we'll do, but I don't
17	I can't do it right now.
18	MR. JONES: Right. No, I understand.
19	MS. ABRAMS: And my client has hip surgery on March
20	5th. Correct, March 5th?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	MS. ABRAMS: Yes. So that's
23	THE COURT: Factor in two months there.
24	MS. ABRAMS: I don't think two months.
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: Are you having a replacement or 1 2 arthroscopic? 3 THE DEFENDANT: It's a whole total knee replacement. I'm only going to take ten days off. 4 5 MS. ABRAMS: Hip or knee? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Hip replacement. 7 MS. ABRAMS: Oh, hip. THE COURT: I've had two of them. If you can get it 8 9 done in ten days, bless you. THE DEFENDANT: I did my other one last year --10 THE COURT: Huh? 11 MS. ABRAMS: Well, I mean for purposes --12 THE DEFENDANT: I did my left last year and I went 13 back after two weeks full time. 14 15 THE COURT: August 24th is the next day I know that Judge Hughes has a day that's open as far as her courtroom is 16 17 concerned, but I'd like to do --18 MR. JONES: I'm sorry, what day? 19 THE COURT: August 24th. But this is February. MR. JONES: Right. 20 21 THE COURT: So I'm going to call -- I'm going to network with Eileen, see if I can find a date --22 23 MR. JONES: Right. 24 THE COURT: -- and we'll network with you guys and D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

find a comfortable time to come back. 1 2 MR. JONES: Oh, did we -- did 155, the updated 3 prescription log, get moved into evidence? 4 THE COURT: Yeah. 5 THE CLERK: Got it. 6 MR. JONES: Do you have 155 in? 7 MS. ABRAMS: I thought so. THE CLERK: Yes. 8 9 MR. JONES: Okay. 10 MS. LOBELLO: Thank you. MR. JONES: Just wanted to make sure before I left. 11 12 (COURT AND CLERK CONFER) 13 THE COURT: Oh, we just got a message. We've got March 2nd. 14 15 MR. JONES: Another case I have with Ms. Abrams goes to trial on March 4, and I probably have to spend virtually 16 17 every minute of every hour or every day because I just came on 18 the case after three years. 19 MS. ABRAMS: I'm in trial on March 2nd on another 20 case anyway. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MS. ABRAMS: In front of Judge Pomerantz. 23 THE COURT: That's it? We will -- I'll call Eileen, 24 who is the senior judge settlement -- or senior judge --

D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 MR. JONES: JEA. 2 THE COURT: -- coordinator and I'll tell her I need 3 to continue this trial, the circumstances, and that we will need a courtroom and -- it may be downtown. Who knows? We'll 4 5 find one. 6 MS. ABRAMS: Okay. 7 THE COURT: Okay. As soon as possible. How much time do you think for us to -- because are you going to need 8 9 to go to Slovakia for any reason? 10 MS. ABRAMS: I don't --11 THE DEFENDANT: I don't know yet. 12 THE COURT: You don't know yet. And then the hip 13 surgery in March. So sometime after March? We're looking at 14 April? 15 MS. ABRAMS: Right. Maybe after March -- you said 16 -- two weeks after March 5th is going to be, what? 17 MR. JONES: The 19th. 18 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. So somewhere towards the end of March maybe. 19 20 THE COURT: I'm booked for the last two weeks in 21 March for two different venues already, so maybe the first 22 part of April? I'll do what I can do. 23 MS. ABRAMS: Yeah. Okay. 24 THE COURT: All right. D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 165

AA07681

1	MS. ABRAMS: That sounds good.
2	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 01:31:41)
3	* * * * *
4	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and
5	correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the
6	above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
7	
8	
9	<u>/s/ Lee Ann Nussbaum</u> LEE ANN NUSSBAUM, CET
10	Certified Electronic Transcriber
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	D-17-560737-D PICKENS v MICHAELS 02/21/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356
	166

Electronically Filed 10/16/2020 10:06 AM Steven D. Grierson

1 2 3 4 5 6	NOA GOLDSTEIN LAW LTD. Shawn M. Goldstein, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 009814 10161 W. Park Run Dr., STE 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 T: 702.919.1919 F: 702.637.4357 shawn@goldsteinlawltd.com Co-counsel for Defendant, DANKA K. MICHAELS	CLERK OF THE CO	JURT
7	DISTRICT <i>FAMILY DI</i> CLARK COUNT	VISION	
GOLDSTEIN LAW LTD. GOLDSTEIN LAW LTD. 10161 W. Park RUN DR., STE 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 1702.919.1919 F. 702.637.4357 12	THOMAS A. PICKENS, individually, And as trustee of the LV Blue Trust, Plaintiff, vs. DANKA K. MICHAELS, individually, and as trustee of the Mich-Mich Trust, Defendant.	CASE NO.: D-17-560737-D DEPT. NO.: J	
16 17 18	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF CO TO: THOMAS A. PICKENS individually, and		
19 20	TO: JONES and LOBELLO, attorneys for Plaint TO: THE ABRAMS & MAYO LAW FIRM, att		
21	Page 1 of Case Number: D-17-5607		83

COMES NOW, Shawn M. Goldstein, Esq. of GOLDSTEIN LAW LTD. and hereby
 enters his appearance as co-counsel in the above-referenced matter for and on behalf of
 Defendant, DANKA K. MICHAELS, individually, and as trustee of the Mich-Mich
 Trust.

Dated: October 15, 2020

Goldstein Law Ltd.

Bv:

Shawn M. Goldstein, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 009814 10161 Park Run Dr., STE 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorney for Defendant, DANKA K. MICHAELS

8 GOLDSTEIN LAW LTD. 10161 W. PARK RUN DR., STE 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 T: 702.919.1919 | F: 702.637.4357 C 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

5

6

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of GOLDSTEIN LAW
3	LTD., and that on October 16, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the documents
4	described herein by the method indicated below, and addressed to the following:
5	Documents served:
6	Notice of Appearance of Co-Counsel for Defendant
7	Persons Served:
IN LAW LTD. Run Dr., Ste 150 Nevada 89145 1 F: 702.637.4357 0 6 8	Jennifer V. Abrams, Esq. The Abrams & Mayo Law Firm 6252 S. Rainbow Boulevard, STE 100 Las Vegas, NV 89118
GOLDSTEIN LAW LTD. 10161 W. Park Run Dr., Ste 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 7: 702.919.1919 F: 702.637.4357 7: 702.919.1919 F: 702.637.4357	John D. Jones, Esq. Jones & LoBello 10777 West Twain Ave., Ste. 300 Las Vegas, NV 89135
13	Manner of Service:
14	Via Electronic Service through the Court's electronic filing system.
15	Dated: October 16, 2020.
16	Goldstein Law Ltd.
17	MA D. L.
18	Jeanette Lacker
19	
20	
21	
	Page 3 of 3 AA07685

				Electronically Filed				
1		DISTRIC	T COURT	10/26/2020 3:23 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT				
2		CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA	Aten A. Shum				
3			_					
4	Thomas A. Pic vs.	ckens, Plaintiff	Case No.: D-17-5607	37-D				
5	Danka K. Mic	haels, Defendant.	Department J					
6								
7		<u>NOTICE O</u>	<u>F HEARING</u>					
8	Please be	e advised that the Day 3 to be h	neard by Judge Steel (In P	erson and BJ) in the				
9	above-entitled	matter is set for hearing as foll	ows:					
10	Date:	November 18, 2020						
	Time:	9:00 AM						
11	Location:	Courtroom 02						
12		Phoenix Building 330 S. 3 rd Street						
13		Las Vegas, NV 89101						
14	NOTE: Unde	NOTE: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the						
15	Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System, the movant requesting a							
16	hearing must serve this notice on the party by traditional means.							
17	STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court							
18								
19	By: /s/ Kimberly Estala							
20	Deputy Clerk of the Court							
21		CERTIFICAT	E OF SERVICE					
22	I hereby certif	Ty that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of	the Nevada Electronic Fi	ling and Conversion				
23		of this Notice of Hearing was Fighth Judicial District Court		l registered users on				
24		this case in the Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System.						
25		By: _/s/ Kimberly	v Estala					
26		Deputy Cler	k of the Court					
27								
28								
				AA07686				
		Case Number: D-'	17-560737-D					

1 2	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA ****					
3						
4	Thomas A. Pic	ckens, Plaintiff	Case No.: D-17-560737-D			
5	Danka K. Micl	haels, Defendant.	Department J			
6		NOTICE	NE HEADING			
7		NOTICE	DF HEARING			
8	Please be	advised that the Day 3 to be	heard by Judge Steel (In Person and BJ) in the			
9	above-entitled	matter is set for hearing as for	llows:			
	Date:	November 18, 2020				
10	Time:	9:00 AM				
11	Location:	Courtroom 02 Phoenix Building				
12 13		330 S. 3 rd Street				
		Las Vegas, NV 89101				
14			not receiving electronic service through the			
15	Eighth Judici	ial District Court Electron	ic Filing System, the movant requesting a			
16	hearing must serve this notice on the party by traditional means.					
17	STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court					
18						
19	By: /s/ Kimberly Estala					
20	Deputy Clerk of the Court					
21		CERTIFICAT	TE OF SERVICE			
22		• •	f the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion			
23		of this Notice of Hearing was Eighth Judicial District Cour	electronically served to all registered users on telectronic Filing System.			
24						
25		By: <u>/s/ Kimberl</u>	y Estala			
26	Deputy Clerk of the Court					
27						
28						
20						
			AA07687			

			Electronically Filed			
1	DISTRICT	COURT	11/17/2020 9:13 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT			
2	CLARK COUNT	,	Atump. atum			
3	Thomas A. Pickens, Plaintiff	Case No.: D-17-5607	37-D			
4	VS.	Department J				
5		Department J				
6	NOTICE OF I	HEARING				
7						
8	Please be advised that the Day 4 to be h	eard by Judge Steele in	n the above-entitled			
9	matter is set for hearing as follows:					
10	Date: December 03, 2020					
	Time: 1:00 PM					
11	Location:					
12	Phoenix Building 330 S. 3 rd Street					
13	Las Vegas, NV 89101					
14	NOTE: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the					
15	Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System, the movant requesting a					
16	hearing must serve this notice on the party by traditional means.					
17	STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court					
18	SIEVEN D. OF	CIERSON, CEO/CIEIR C				
19	By: /s/ Kimberly Es	tala				
20	Deputy Clerk of the Court					
21	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
22	I hereby certify that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion					
23	Rules a copy of this Notice of Hearing was ele	ectronically served to all	5			
24	this case in the Eighth Judicial District Court El	ectronic Filing System.				
	By: /s/ Kimberly E	stala				
25	Deputy Clerk of					
26						
27						
28						
			AA07688			
			AAU/000			
- 1	Case Number: D-17-5	10131-D				

1 2	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA ****					
3	Thomas A. Pickens, Plaintiff Case No.: D-17-560737-D					
4	vs. Danka K. Mic	chaels, Defendant.	Department J			
5						
6		NOTICE	OF HEARING			
7						
8		-	be heard by Judge Steele in the above-entitled			
9	Date:	or hearing as follows: December 03, 2020				
10	Time:	1:00 PM				
11	Location:	1.00 1 W				
12	Location	Phoenix Building				
13		330 S. 3 rd Street Las Vegas, NV 89101				
14		-	s not receiving electronic service through the			
15			nic Filing System, the movant requesting a			
16	hearing must serve this notice on the party by traditional means.					
17						
18	STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court					
19 20	By: /s/ Kimberly Estala Deputy Clerk of the Court					
20			TE OF SERVICE			
21		CERTIFICA	IE OF SERVICE			
22		• •	of the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion s electronically served to all registered users on			
23		e Eighth Judicial District Cou				
24						
25		By: /s/ Kimber				
26		Deputy CI	erk of the Court			
27						
28						
			AA07689			

Ш

				Electronically Filed 11/25/2020 4:49 PM				
1		DISTRIC	T COURT	Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT				
2			NTY, NEVADA ***	Atump. Atum				
3	Thomas A. Pickens, Plaintiff Case No.: D-17-560737-D							
4	VS.							
5			Department J					
6		NOTICE O	F HEARING					
7								
8	Please b	be advised that the Day 4 to be	heard by Judge Steele	n the above-entitled				
9	matter is set f	for hearing as follows:						
10	Date:	January 22, 2021						
11	Time:	9:00 AM						
	Location:	Dhooniy Duilding						
12		Phoenix Building 330 S. 3 rd Street						
13		Las Vegas, NV 89101						
14	NOTE: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the							
15	Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System, the movant requesting a							
16	hearing must serve this notice on the party by traditional means.							
17 18	STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court							
10	By: /s/ Kimberly Estala							
		By: /s/ Kimberly Deputy Clerk						
20	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE							
21								
22		fy that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of of this Notice of Hearing was		0				
23		e Eighth Judicial District Court						
24								
25		By: /s/ Kimberly						
26		Deputy Cler	k of the Court					
27								
28								
20								
				AA07690				
		Case Number: D-1	7-560737-D					

1		DICTR	ICT COURT		
$\frac{1}{2}$			DUNTY, NEVADA		
3	Thomas A Pi	ckens, Plaintiff	Case No.: D-17-560737-D		
4	vs.				
5	- Danka K. Mic	chaels, Defendant.	Department J		
6		NOTICE	OF HEARING		
7					
8		•	be heard by Judge Steele in the above-entitled		
9		or hearing as follows:			
10	Date:	January 22, 2021			
11	Time:	9:00 AM			
12	Location:	Phoenix Building			
13		330 S. 3 rd Street Las Vegas, NV 89101			
14	NOTE: Unde	NOTE: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the			
15		• • • •	nic Filing System, the movant requesting a		
16	hearing must serve this notice on the party by traditional means.				
17					
18	STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court				
19	By: /s/ Kimberly Estala				
20	Deputy Clerk of the Court				
20		CERTIFICA	ATE OF SERVICE		
	Thereby conti				
22	Rules a copy	of this Notice of Hearing wa	of the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion as electronically served to all registered users on		
23	this case in th	e Eighth Judicial District Cou	art Electronic Filing System.		
24					
25		By: /s/ Kimbe Deputy C	rly Estala lerk of the Court		
26		1 5			
27					
28					
			AA07691		

Ш

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complain	t	COURT MINU	UTES January 22, 2021		
D-17-560737-D	vs.	. Pickens, Plaint Michaels, Defen			
January 22, 2021	nuary 22, 2021 9:00 AM Non-Jury Trial				
HEARD BY: Senio	HEARD BY: Senior Judge Steele COURTROOM: Phoenix Building				
COURT CLERK: 1	OURT CLERK: Nicole Hutcherson				
PARTIES:					
Danka Michaels, De Claimant, not prese	,	unter	Jennifer Abrams, Attorney, present		
Thomas Pickens, Pl not present		ter Defendant,	John Jones, Attorney, present		

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, Mr. Jones, Ms. Lobello, Ms. Abrams, and Mr. Goldstein were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Mr. Goldstein stated Defendant had to have emergency surgery and is unable to proceed with trial today.

Discussion regarding Defendant's medical condition and resetting Day 4 of the trial and how it related to the Parties civil action.

COURT NOTED the exhibits from Day 3 of the hearing held in February 2020 will need to be located and be available at the next date set.

COURT ORDERED the following:

PRINT DATE:	01/22/2021	Page 1 of 2	Minutes Date:	January 22, 2021

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

1. Court RESETS Day 4 of TRIAL for March 5, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. If needed, March 12, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. has been scheduled for Day 5 of Trial.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

PRINT DATE:	01/22/2021	Page 2 of 2	Minutes Date:	January 22, 2021
-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

				Electronically Filed		
1	DISTRICT COURT		1/22/2021 11:58 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT			
2		CLARK COU	UNTY, NEVADA	Atump. Atum		
3				727 D		
4	Thomas A. Pickens, I vs.	Plaintiff	Case No.: D-17-5607	/3/-D		
5	Danka K. Michaels, I	Defendant.	Department J			
6		NOTICE	NE HEADING			
7		NOTICE	<u>DF HEARING</u>			
8	Please be advise	d that the in the above	entitled matter is set for h	earing as follows:		
9	Date: Mar	ch 05 and March 12, 20	021			
10	Time: 9:00	AM				
10	Location:	niv Duilding				
	330	enix Building S. 3 rd Street				
12	Las	Vegas, NV 89101				
13	NOTE: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the					
14	Eighth Judicial Dis	trict Court Electron	ic Filing System, the m	ovant requesting a		
15	hearing must serve	this notice on the part	y by traditional means.			
16		STEVEN D	. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk	of the Court		
17						
18		By: /s/ Kimberly				
19		Deputy Cler	k of the Court			
20		CERTIFICAT	FE OF SERVICE			
21			of the Nevada Electronic F			
22			s electronically served to a t Electronic Filing System.	-		
23			······································			
24		By: /s/ Kimberl				
25		Deputy Cle	erk of the Court			
26						
27						
28						
20						
				AA07694		
		Case Number: D	-17-560737-D			

1 2	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA ****					
3	Thomas A. Pickens, Plaintiff Case No.: D-17-560737-D					
4	vs.	haels, Defendant.	Department J			
5						
6		<u>NOTI</u>	CE OF HEARING			
7						
8	Please be	advised that the in the al	pove-entitled matter is set for hearing as follows:			
9	Date:	March 05 and March 1	2, 2021			
10	Time:	9:00 AM				
11	Location:	Phoenix Building				
12		330 S. 3 rd Street Las Vegas, NV 89101				
13	NOTE: Unde	r NEFCR 9(d), if a par	ty is not receiving electronic service through the			
14		_	tronic Filing System, the movant requesting a			
15			party by traditional means.			
16						
17		STEVE	N D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court			
18		Dru /o/Vim	harly Estals			
19			berly Estala Clerk of the Court			
20		CERTIFI	CATE OF SERVICE			
21	I harabu aartif					
22	Rules a copy of	of this Notice of Hearing	(b) of the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion was electronically served to all registered users on			
23		Eignin Judicial District	Court Electronic Filing System.			
24		By: /s/ Kin	iberly Estala			
25			Clerk of the Court			
26						
27						
27						
20						
			AA07695			

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NCOA JONES & LOBELLO Michele LoBello Nevada Bar No. 5527 John D. Jones, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6699 10777 West Twain Avenue, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone Number: 702-318-5060 Email Address: lobello@joneslobello.com jones@joneslobello.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, THOMAS A. PICKENS	Electronically Filed 1/27/2021 4:07 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT			
	10 11	DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION				
	11	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA			
LLO	13	THOMAS A. PICKENS, individually, and as trustee of the LV Blue Trust	CASE NO.: D-17-560737-D DEPT. NO.: J			
: LOBELL(in Avenue, 3 rd Floor Nevada 89135 AX: 702-318-5070	14	Plaintiff,				
& LC wain Ave gas, Nevac 0 FAX:	15	VS.				
NES (777 W. Tw. Las Vega -318-5060	16	DANKA K. MICHAELS, individually,				
JON 1077 1077 702-3	17	and as trustee of the Mich-Mich Trust,				
	18 19	Defendant.				
	20	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF FIRM ADDRESS				
	21	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, e	effective February 1, 2021, JONES &			
	22	LOBELLO, attorneys for Plaintiff, has a new office address as follows:				
	23	JONES & LOBELLO				
	24	9900 Covington Cr Las Vegas, Ne				
	25	Please note our new email addresse				
	26		s@joneslobello.com			
	27		llo@joneslobello.com			
	28					
		Page 1	of 3 AA07696			

Case Number: D-17-560737-D

1	Delwyn Webber:	webber@joneslobello.com
2	Shannon M. Wilson:	wilson@joneslobello.com
3	Heather Ritchie:	heather@joneslobello.com
4	Mariella Dumbrique:	mariella@joneslobello.com
5	Please direct all future pleadi	ngs, papers, correspondence, etc. to the above-
6	referenced address. The firm's tele	ephone number and facsimile number remain
7		
8	unchanged.	
9	DATED this 27 day of Janu	uary, 2021.
10		Jones & LoBello
11		Michele Colocelo
12		Michele LoBello
13		Nevada Bar No. 5527 John D. Jones, Esq.
14 205-31 205-31 205-31		Nevada Bar No. 6699
13 Tas vegas, nevada 8213 702-318-5060 FAX: 702-318-5070 16 17 17 17 17 17 18-5070		10777 West Twain Avenue, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
18-209(18-209(Attorneys for Plaintiff,
		THOMAS A. PICKENS
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
24		
25		
20		
28		
20		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LOBE foregoinserved	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of JONES & LLO and that on the <u>A</u> day of January 2021, I caused the above and ing document entitled NOTICE OF CHANGE OF FIRM ADDRESS to be as follows: by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada; and			
10	\boxtimes	pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. 9, to be sent via electronic service;			
11		pursuant to EDCR 7.26, to be sent via facsimile;			
12		by email to			
13 14		party or their attorney(s) listed below at the address and/or facsimile number ed below:			
15 16 17 18 19		Jennifer V. Abrams, Esq. Abrams & Mayo 6252 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89118 Email: jvagroup@theabramslawfirm.com Attorney for Defendant, Danka K. Michaels			
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	and tha	Shawn M. Goldstein, Esq. Goldstein Law Ltd. 10161 West Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Email: <u>shawn@goldsteinlawltd.com</u> <i>Co-Counsel for Defendant</i> , Danka K. Michaels t there is regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and			
26 27 28		An Employee of Jones & LoBello Page 3 of 3 AA07698			

JONES & LOBELLO 10777 W. Twain Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 702-318-5060 FAX: 702-318-5070

				Electronically Filed 2/23/2021 8:14 AM		
1	DISTRICT COURT			Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT		
2		CLARK CO	UNTY, NEVADA ****	Atump. atum		
3	Thomas A Pie	ckens, Plaintiff	Case No.: D-17-5	60737-D		
4	vs.					
5	Danka K. Mic	haels, Defendant.	Department J			
6		NOTICE	OF HEARING			
7						
8	Please be	e advised that the in the abov	e-entitled matter is set fo	r hearing as follows:		
9	Date:	March 05, 2021 and Marc	h 12, 2021			
10	Time:	9:00 AM				
11	Location:	Phoenix Building 11th Flo Phoenix Building	oor 110			
12		330 S. 3 rd Street				
12		Las Vegas, NV 89101				
13	NOTE: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the					
	Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System, the movant requesting a					
15	hearing must	serve this notice on the par	rty by traditional means	3.		
16 17		STEVEN I	D. GRIERSON, CEO/Cle	erk of the Court		
18						
		By: /s/ Kimber Deputy Cle	ly Estala erk of the Court			
19 20	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
20				Elling and C		
22	Rules a copy	fy that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of this Notice of Hearing wa	as electronically served to	o all registered users on		
22	this case in the	e Eighth Judicial District Cou	art Electronic Filing Syste	em.		
		D., 1/17:1	rly Fotolo			
24		By: <u>/s/ Kimbe</u> Deputy C	lerk of the Court			
25						
26						
27						
28						
				AA07699		
		Case Number:	D-17-560737-D			

1	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA					
2		CLARK CO	UNIY, NEVADA ****			
3	Thomas A. Pic	kens, Plaintiff	Case No.: D-17-560737-D			
4	vs. Danka K. Micl	haels, Defendant.	Department J			
5						
6		NOTICE	OF HEARING			
7						
8	Please be	advised that the in the abov	e-entitled matter is set for hearing as follows:			
9	Date:	March 05, 2021				
10	Time:	9:00 AM				
11	Location:	Phoenix Building 11th Flo Phoenix Building	oor 110			
12		330 S. 3 rd Street Las Vegas, NV 89101				
13	NOTE: Unde	r NEFCR 9(d), if a party i	s not receiving electronic service through the			
14			nic Filing System, the movant requesting a			
15	hearing must	serve this notice on the par	ty by traditional means.			
16						
17		STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court				
18		By: /s/ Kimber	y Estala			
19		Deputy Clerk of the Court				
20		CERTIFICA	TE OF SERVICE			
21	I hereby certif	y that pursuant to Rule 9(b)	of the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion			
22	1	of this Notice of Hearing wa Eighth Judicial District Cou	s electronically served to all registered users on			
23			it Electronic I ming System.			
24		By: /s/ Kimbe	rly Estala			
25		Deputy C	erk of the Court			
26						
27						
28						
20						
			AA07700			

D-17-560737-D

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Compla	lint	t COURT MINUTES			March 05, 2021
D-17-560737-D	VS.	ckens, Plaintiff naels, Defenda	nt.		
March 05, 2021	09:00 AM	Non-Jury Tria	al		
HEARD BY:	Steel, Dianne	COU	RTROOM:	Phoenix Building 11th Floor	r 110
COURT CLERK:	Cunningham, Miche	nningham, Michelle; Varona, Leo			
PARTIES PRESENT Thomas A. Picke Present	Ր։ ns, Counter Defendar			ones, Attorney, Present ouby Lobello, Attorney, Prese	nt
Danka K. Michaels, Counter Claimant, Defendant, Present				V. Abrams, Attorney, Present Goldstein, Attorney, Present	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

NON-JURY TRIAL (DAY 3)

COURT CLERKS: Leo Varona, Michelle Cunningham (mlc)

Attorney Abrams appeared via BLUEJEANS. All other parties appeared IN PERSON.

Paralegal, Stephanie Stolz, also present with Defendant.

Housekeeping issues regarding the last day of trial were dealt with. Attorney Goldstein addressed a discussion that was had whether not Plaintiff was still pursuing a marriage claim or not and whether or not Defendant waived their counterclaims. Further discussion.

Witnesses and Testimony presented. (See Worksheets)

Argument regarding presenting and admitting Defendant's Exhibit O. Court allowed the exhibit to be introduced.

Argument regarding Plaintiff's Exhibit 93 which was previously admitted into evidence. Court set aside it's previous ruling and Bates stamps 6233- 6235 and 6237 within the exhibit shall be SET ASIDE.

Attorney Goldstein offered 2 pages of Defendant's Exhibit E, Attorney Jones objected, Court denied admitting the exhibit so Attorney Goldstein withdrew his offering of the exhibit.

Discussion regarding how many more witnesses, how much more time counsel thinks they will need and closing arguments.

COURT ORDERED the matter shall be CONTINUED to 3/12/2021 at 9:00 a.m. for Day 4 and 4/2/2021 at 9:00 a.m. for Day 5.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Printed Date: 3/6/2021

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

March 05, 2021

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Mar 12, 2021 9:00AM Non-Jury Trial Phoenix Building 11th Floor 110 Steel, Dianne

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court. AA07702

D-17-560737-D

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Compla	int	COURT	MINUTES		March 05, 2021
D-17-560737-D	Thomas A. Pie vs. Danka K. Micl				
March 05, 2021	09:00 AM	Non-Jury	Trial		
HEARD BY:	Steel, Dianne	C	OURTROOM:	Phoenix Building 11th	Floor 110
COURT CLERK:	Cunningham, Miche	elle; Varona,	Leo		
PARTIES PRESENT Thomas A. Picke Present	: ns, Counter Defendan	t, Plaintiff,		ones, Attorney, Present ouby Lobello, Attorney, F	Present
Danka K. Michaels, Counter Claimant, Defendant, Present			V. Abrams, Attorney, Pre Goldstein, Attorney, Pre		

JOURNAL ENTRIES

NON-JURY TRIAL (DAY 3)

COURT CLERKS: Leo Varona, Michelle Cunningham (mlc)

Attorney Abrams appeared via BLUEJEANS. All other parties appeared IN PERSON.

Paralegal, Stephanie Stolz, also present with Defendant.

Housekeeping issues regarding the last day of trial were dealt with. Attorney Goldstein addressed a discussion that was had whether not Plaintiff was still pursuing a marriage claim or not and whether or not Defendant waived their counterclaims. Further discussion.

Witnesses and Testimony presented. (See Worksheets)

Argument regarding presenting and admitting Defendant's Exhibit O. Court allowed the exhibit to be introduced.

Argument regarding Plaintiff's Exhibit 93 which was previously admitted into evidence. Court set aside it's previous ruling and Bates stamps 6233- 6235 and 6237 within the exhibit shall be SET ASIDE.

Attorney Goldstein offered 2 pages of Defendant's Exhibit E, Attorney Jones objected, Court denied admitting the exhibit so Attorney Goldstein withdrew his offering of the exhibit.

Discussion regarding how many more witnesses, how much more time counsel thinks they will need and closing arguments.

COURT ORDERED the matter shall be CONTINUED to 3/12/2021 at 9:00 a.m. for Day 4 and 4/2/2021 at 9:00 a.m. for Day 5.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Printed Date: 3/6/2021

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

March 05, 2021

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Mar 12, 2021 9:00AM Non-Jury Trial Phoenix Building 11th Floor 110 Steel, Dianne

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court. AA07704

D-17-560737-D

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Compla	lint	COURT	MINUTES		March 12, 2021
D-17-560737-D	Thomas A. Pi	ckens, Plaint	iff		
	vs. Danka K. Mic	haels, Defen	dant.		
March 12, 2021	09:00 AM	Non-Jury	Frial		
HEARD BY:	Steel, Dianne	C	OURTROOM:	Phoenix Building 1	1th Floor 110
COURT CLERK:	Cunningham, Miche	elle; Varona,	Leo		
PARTIES PRESENT Thomas A. Picke Present	Ր։ ns, Counter Defendar	it, Plaintiff,		nes, Attorney, Prese uby Lobello, Attorne	
Danka K. Michae Present	ls, Counter Claimant,	er Claimant, Defendant,		7. Abrams, Attorney, Goldstein, Attorney,	
		JOURN	AL ENTRIES		
NON-JURY TRIA	AL (DAY 4)				
COURT CLERKS	S: Leo Varona, Miche	lle Cunningh	am (mlc)		
Attorney Abrams appeared IN PEF	and Attorney Shanno RSON.	on Wilson ap	peared via E	LUEJEANS. All oth	er parties
Attorney Shanno	n Wilson, Bar #13988	3, also presei	nt with Plaint	iff.	
Paralegal, Steph	anie Stolz, also prese	ent with Defe	ndant.		
Discussion regar	ding the Briefing sche	edule.			
Witnesses and T	estimony presented.	(See Works	heets)		
Attorney Goldstein PUBLISHED Thomas Pickens		nas Pickens I	Deposition IN	N OPEN COURT.	
Matter trailed for	morning break.				
Matter recalled.					
Matter trailed for	lunch.				
Matter recalled.					
Argument regard	ing the transcript that	was filed int	o the case o	n 2/13/2020.	
Matter trailed for	Attorney Goldstein to	consult with	co-counsel.		
Matter recalled.					
COURT ORDER	ED the matter shall b	e CONTINUI	ED to 4/2/20	21 at 9:00 a.m.	
Printed Date: 3/13	3/2021	Page 1	of 2	Minutes Date:	March 12, 2021

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Apr 02, 2021 9:00AM Non-Jury Trial Phoenix Building 11th Floor 110 Steel, Dianne

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court. AA07706

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint		COURT MINUTES	April 02, 2021
D-17-560737-D Thomas A. Pickens, Plain vs. Danka K. Michaels, Defer			
April 02, 2021	09:00 AM	Non-Jury Trial	
HEARD BY:	Steel, Dianne	COURTROOM: Phoenix Building	g 11th Floor 116
COURT CLERK:	Cunningham, Miche	elle; Varona, Leo	
PARTIES PRESENT: Thomas A. Pickens, Counter Defendant, Plaintiff, Present		t, Plaintiff, John D. Jones, Attorney, Pro Michele Touby Lobello, Atto	
Danka K. Michaels, Counter Claimant, Defendant, Present		Defendant, Jennifer V. Abrams, Attorn Shawn M. Goldstein, Attorne	•

JOURNAL ENTRIES

NON-JURY TRIAL (DAY 5)

COURT CLERKS: Leo Varona, Michelle Cunningham

Attorney Abrams appeared via BLUEJEANS. All other parties appeared IN PERSON.

Paralegal, Stephanie Stolz, also present with Defendant.

Exhibits and Testimony presented. (See worksheets)

Attorney Jones called Defendant for a rebuttal witness, however, Attorney Goldstein objected. Further argument. Court granted the rebuttal witness.

Discussion regarding presentation of closing arguments. Court stated if it needs further instruction from the parties, the Court will have the parties come in to make limited presentation on any questions the Court may have. Written closing arguments shall be submitted. If the Court is unclear on a particular issue from the closing arguments, The Court may ask for a limited oral argument to obtain any necessary information. The closing arguments shall have no page limitation but the rebuttal to opposing party's closing arguments will be limited to fifteen (15) pages.

COURT ORDERED Attorney Jones' CLOSING BRIEF shall be due by 04/16/2021. Attorney Goldstein shall submit Defendant's CLOSING BRIEF by 04/30/2021 and Attorney Jones shall have his final REBUTTAL submitted by 05/07/2021. Court will issue a written decision

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Printed Date: 4/6/2021

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

April 02, 2021

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court. AA07707

Electronically Filed 4/2/2021 9:57 AM Steven D. Grierson C

Sleven D. Gherson	
CLERK OF THE COURT	
~ 1	
A A A A	man
Cam	_

	CLERK OF THE COURT	ł
1	BREF CLERK OF THE COURT	-
	Jennifer V. Abrams, Esq.	l
2	Nevada State Bar Number: 7575	
	THE ABRAMS & MAYO LAW FIRM	
3	6252 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 100	
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118	
4	T: (702) 222-4021; F: (702) 248-9750	
	Email: JVAGroup@TAMLF.com	
5	Attorney for Defendant	
		l
6	Shawn M. Goldstein, Esq.	
~	Nevada State Bar Number: 9814	
7	GOLDSTEIN LAW LTD.	
	10161 W. Park Run Dr., Suite 150	
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	
0	T: (702) 919.1919; F: (702) 637.4357	
9	Email: shawn@goldsteinlawltd.com Co-counsel for Defendant	
10		
10	Eighth Judicial District Court - Family Division	
11	Clark County, Nevada	
11	Clark County, Nevaua	
12	THOMAS A. PICKENS, individually,) Case No.: D-17-560737-D	
	and as trustee of the LV Blue Trust,)	
13) Department: J	
	Plaintiff,	
14	vs.) Dates of Trial: February 14, 2020	
) February 21, 2020	
15	DANKA K. MICHAELS,) March 5, 2021	
	individually, and as trustee of the) March 12, 2021	
16	Mich-Mich Trust,) April 2, 2021	
17	Defendant.) Time of Trial: 9:00 a.m.	
10		
18	DEFENDANT'S EDCR 7.27 BRIEF	
19		
10	I. Relevant Facts	l
20	The following undisputed facts are crucial to avaluating Tom's	
~ 0	The following undisputed facts are crucial to evaluating Tom's	l
21	claims:	
	Page 1 of 62 AA07708	l
	Case Number: D-17-560737-D	ł
		_

Tom has been married and divorced twice. Danka was married
 and divorced once. After her divorce, Danka decided never to get
 married again.

4 -Tom and Danka met in or around 2000 when Tom was
5 experiencing cardiac issues and still married to his second wife. Tom
6 pursued Danka heavily and they eventually established a relationship.

7 -Tom had no assets. He had debt. Danka paid off Tom's debt8 during the early years of their relationship.

9 -There were periods of time when Tom was not employed at all.
10 During such times, Danka provided for all of Tom's financial support.
11 When he was employed, Danka still contributed to Tom's financial
12 support. Danka gave Tom \$30,000 to purchase a truck after his vehicle
13 broke down.

14 -Tom and Danka participated in a commitment ceremony in April
15 2002 but they were never legally married.

In 2002, Tom and Danka founded Bluepoint Development &
Construction, Inc. Danka provided all of the seed money and other
tangible things necessary for the entity to get off the ground. She was a
50% owner of the company formed on July 1, 2002. Tom was the
resident agent. Tom failed to file the annual report with the Nevada
Secretary of State when it came due on July 31, 2005, the entity fell into

AA07709

default status, and ultimately the entity was permanently revoked. Tom
 formed a new entity, Bluepoint Development, Inc. in his name alone. He
 transferred all of the assets from the jointly owned entity into the entity
 solely in his name, without any payment to Danka.

5

-Tom and Danka's intimate relationship ended in 2004.

By 2004, Tom's critical medical issues had resolved. His chronic
medical issues were being handled by his various specialists. Danka was
merely providing refills and occasionally treating a cold or flu.

9 -Danka did not wish to be Tom's Primary Care Provider. Tom
10 refused to go elsewhere. When Roberto Carillo, A.P.R.N., F.N.P. joined
11 Blue Point Medical in 2008, Carillo became Tom's Primary Care
12 Provider specifically to relieve Danka from that role. As a licensed
13 A.P.R.N., Mr. Carillo had the authority to treat patients and to prescribe
14 medication (including narcotics) completely independent of any doctor
15 (including Danka).

-For the entire duration of the relationship, the parties each filed
their taxes as single, unmarried individuals each and every year. Every
year Tom signed his tax return and Form 8879 acknowledging under
oath each time that he was a single man.

- 20 || / / /
- 21 || / / /

For the vast majority of the relationship, Danka paid a "salary" to
 Tom through her medical practice, even though Tom wasn't working in
 her office.

4 -For the majority of the relationship, Danka funded a 401K for
5 Tom through her medical practice, even though Tom wasn't working in
6 her office.

7 -The Queen Charlotte residential property was purchased with
8 Danka's separate property funds during a time when Tom had been
9 unemployed.

10 -The Lowe residential rental property was purchased with Danka's
11 separate property funds during a time when Tom had been unemployed.
12 -The Patience One commercial property was purchased with
13 Danka's separate property funds.

-Tom took charge of the paperwork associated with the closings on
the purchases of property because Danka was working long hours. The
two residential properties were titled jointly with rights of survivorship;
the commercial property was held in the name of Patience One, LLC.
Both parties' trusts were members of Patience One.

19 -Danka's estate planning documents all indicate that she is a
20 single, unmarried woman. All of her assets were left to her son and
21 grandson.

Page 4 of 62

-Tom's estate planning documents all indicate he is a single,
 unmarried man. All assets titled in his name (funded by Danka) were left
 to Danka's son and grandson, even though Tom has other family
 members and other people in his life that he could have named as
 beneficiaries of his estate.

-Roberto Carillo, A.P.R.N., F.N.P. substantially took over primary
care and prescribing refills for Tom beginning in or about 2008 or 2009.
-Tom began a relationship with Stacey Mittelstadt and began living
with her in Florida in a home that he rented from her father no later
than 2015.

-The final separation of Tom and Danka occurred more than one 11 12 year later, in September 2016. The parties had been living separate and apart for over a year. The parties had already began closing jointly titled 13 14 accounts. Tom was expecting a child with his live-in girlfriend, Stacey. Tom voluntarily flew from Florida to Las Vegas and stayed at a hotel. He 15 16 chose not to retain independent counsel despite being advised to do so 17 and executing a waiver of counsel informing him of his right to do so. He 18 paid Shannon Evans, Esq., to prepare and record the transfer documents wherein Tom signed over title to the two residential properties and the 19 20 commercial property to Danka. Tom was coherent, lucid, and executed 21 the documents voluntarily.

Tom left the relationship with several vehicles, a multi-million dollar business, a 401K worth over \$200,000, various accounts with
 hundreds of thousands of dollars, personal property and furniture,
 furnishings, and jewelry, vastly more than what he brought to the
 relationship.

-From January 2016 to December 2016, there was only one 30-6 day prescription prescribed by Danka to Tom in May, when she was 7 8 cross-covering for Mr. Carillo. Undisputedly, there was no treatment by 9 Danka of Tom in the four months leading up to the signing of the documents or when the documents were executed. The last prescription 10 refill Tom obtained from Danka was in January 2017 to give him three 11 12 months to establish a relationship with a Primary Care Provider other 13 than Mr. Carillo. The three-month supply would have been exhausted by April 2017. 14

In May 2017, Tom purchased a home on Blue Mesa as a "single,
unmarried man." He executed multiple documents wherein he made the
representation that he was a single man, including, vesting instructions,
the loan application he executed in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section
1001 (the general federal false statements statute),¹ and the deed.

20

²¹ Directly above Tom's signature the loan application states, "I/we fully 21 understand that it is a Federal crime punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, to knowingly make any false statements concerning any of the above facts as applicable under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq."

Between September 2016 and October 2017, both parties followed
 through with the terms of the parties' agreements. Tom vacated the
 Queen Charlotte property, transferred the leases and control of Patience
 One to Danka, and paid rent each month for the space he occupied in the
 Patience One commercial building.

-Danka caused the Patience Once commercial building to be
refinanced, removing Tom's name from the obligations thereon. Danka
invested funds to repair and improve the property and to pay down the
debt on the property. Danka also found renters to occupy the property.

On October 24, 2017 (14 months after the transfers and final
separation), Tom filed a *Complaint for Divorce and for Set Aside of Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest*. His claims for
relief were (1) Divorce; (2) Set Aside of Deeds of Real Property and
Assignment of LLC Interest. Tom attached the Church Certificate from
the commitment ceremony to his Complaint for Divorce.

-On March 22, 2018, Tom filed a *First Amended Complaint for Divorce, for Set Aside of Deeds of Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest, and for Alternative Equitable Relief Under the Putative Spouse Doctrine*. His claims for relief were (1) Divorce; (2) Set Aside of Deeds of
Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest; (3) Equitable Relief
Under the Putative Spouse Doctrine.

1	-On October 15, 2018, Tom filed a <i>Second Amended Complaint for</i>
2	Equitable Relief Under (1) The Putative Spouse Doctrine and (2)
3	Pursuant to Express and/or Implied Agreement to Hold Property as if
4	the Parties Were Married under Michoff; and to Set Aside Deeds of
5	Real Property and Assignment of LLC Interest. Tom's claims for relief
6	were (1) Equitable Relief Under the Putative Spouse Doctrine; (2)
7	Equitable Relief Under Express and/or Implied Contract to Acquire and
8	Hold Property as if Married; (3) Set Aside of Deeds of Real Property and
9	Assignment of LLC Interest. Tom dropped his cause of action for
10	"divorce."
11	-In each of his three Complaints, Tom consistently alleged that he
12	executed the deeds and transfer documents "with the sole intention of
13	ameliorating Michaels' rage and restoring marital peace."
14	II. Law and Argument
15	1. There can be no finding of a "marriage" in this case.
16	We already know that there is no legal marriage on record or
17	recognized between these parties in Slovakia. As a matter of comity, ²
18	Nevada's recognition or non-recognition of a purported foreign marriage
19	depends on its legality in the foreign country; if Slovakia does not
20	$\frac{1}{2}$ "This doctrine is a principle of courtesy by which 'the courts of one invision may give effect to the laws and indicial decisions of enother invision of the laws and indicial decisions of enother invision."
21	jurisdiction may give effect to the laws and judicial decisions of another jurisdiction out of deference and respect." <i>Gonzales-Alpizar v. Griffith</i> , 130 Nev. 10, 317 P. 3d 820 (2014), quoting <i>Mianecki v. Second Judicial Dist. Court</i> , 99 Nev. 93, 98, 658 P.2d 422, 424-25 (1983).
	Page 8 of 62AA07715

recognize a valid marriage between the parties, Nevada should not do so
 either.³

3	Internal Nevada law is not directly relevant, but there could be no
4	finding of a valid marriage between Tom and Danka under Nevada law
5	or Slovakian law because there was no intent to form a legal marriage. In
6	both jurisdictions, the mutual intent of the parties is of critical
7	importance. ⁴ As succinctly put by various courts, "It is not legally
8	possible to get legally married by accident." ⁵
9	Since before Nevada became a State, the <i>intention</i> of the parties
10	has been the most important single consideration to whether they did, in
11	fact, "get married" no matter what documents exist (or not). The
12	Territorial Legislature declared as public policy: "That marriage, so far
13	as its validity in law is concerned, is a civil contract, to which the consent
14	$\frac{1}{3}$ This doctrine is nearly universal, as recognized in each iteration of the
15	RESTATEMENT OF CONFLICT OF LAWS since 1934, recognized as authoritative by the Nevada Supreme Court in its adoption of the RESTATEMENT (THIRD) OF FOREIGN
16	RELATIONS LAW OF THE UNITED STATES IN <i>Gonzales-Alpizar v. Griffith</i> , 130 Nev. 10, 317 P. 3d 820 (2014); see also 1978 HAGUE CONVENTION ON CELEBRATION AND
17	RECOGNITION OF THE VALIDITY OF MARRIAGES; Ann Estin, Marriage and Divorce Conflicts in International Perspective, 27 DUKE JOURNAL OF COMPARATIVE & INTERNATIONAL LAW 485 (2017) reprinted in 16 LL M 18 21 (1077) 25 AM L COMP
18	INTERNATIONAL LAW 485 (2017), reprinted in 16 I.L.M. 18-21 (1977), 25 AM. J. COMP. L. 399 (1977). It is for this reason that Nevada recognizes common-law marriages entered into in other states, despite having banned them by statute here in 1943. <i>See</i>
19	NRS 122.010.
20	⁴ See e.g., In re Marriage of Keig, 59 Cal. App. 2d 812, 140 P.2d 163) (Dist. Ct. App. 1943) (applying Nevada law in holding that "mutual consent" is an absolute requirement).
21	⁵ See, e.g., Jennings v. Hurt, 160 A.D.2d 576, 554 N.Y.S.2d 220, 220 (1990) ("One cannot be married unwittingly or accidentally.")

AA07716

1	of the parties capable in law of contracting, is essential."6 That
2	expression of public policy has remained the guiding principle in matters
3	of marriage from then until now. ⁷
4	In other words, the "essential element" is <i>intent</i> – an overriding
5	critical requirement such that, if present, it can overcome virtually any
6	defect in form or procedure, including the lack of witnesses, ⁸ an
7	ineligible officiant, ⁹ or even the absence of a license. ¹⁰ If there is <i>not</i> an
8	intention or capacity to be married, however, no rite, act, or piece of
9	paper can make a party involuntarily married, ¹¹ as the court in <i>Callen</i>
10	
11	 Laws of the Territory of Nevada, Ch. 33, §1 (1861). See NRS 122.010.
12	8 Barnett v. Hudspeth, 211 Cal. App. 2d 310, 27 Cal. Rptr. 140 (1962).
13	⁹ NRS 122.090. Intent is paramount; the marriage is valid so long as the parties seeking to be married both believed in good faith that they were lawfully married.
14 15 16 17 18	¹⁰ See, e.g., Fryar v. Roberts, 57 S.W.3d 727 (Ark. 2001); Rivera v. Rivera, P.3d (N.M. Ct. App., No. 29511, Aug. 13, 2010); Carabetta v. Carabetta, 438 A. 2d 109 (Conn. 1980); DePotty v. DePotty, 295 S.W.2d 330 (Ark. 1956); Haderaski v. Haderaski, 112 N.E.2d 714 (Ill. 1953); Feehley v. Feehley, 99 A. 663 (Md. 1916); Johnson v. Johnson, 112 S.E.2d 647 (S.C. 1960). See also State v. Zichfeld, 23 Nev. 304, 313-14, 46 P. 802, 805 (1896) ("Our statute does not expressly, nor by necessary implication, as we view it, render a marriage had in disregard of its prescribed formalities void.")
19	¹¹ See, e.g., Error! Main Document Only. <i>In re JKNA</i> , 454 P.3d 642, 650 (Mont. 2019) (discussing how the status of being married requires a deliberate agreement to be legally bound at a particular time, "because 'marriage cannot be said
20	to steal upon them unawares.' In other words, one 'cannot become married unwittingly or accidentally' and the 'consent required must be seriously given with the deliberate intention that marriage result'"); <i>Callen v. Callen</i> , 620 S.E.2d 59
21	(SC 2005); <i>Renshaw v. Heckler</i> , 787 F.2d 50 (2nd Cir. 1986); <i>McNee v. McNee</i> , 49 Nev. 90, 237 P. 534 (1925) (regardless of having pulled a license and gone through a ceremony, where the evidence showed that one participant was intoxicated and did
	Page 10 of 62 AA07717

1 explained:

2

3

4

5

6

A party need not understand every nuance of marriage or divorce law, but he must at least know that his actions will render him married as that word is commonly understood. If a party does not comprehend that his "intentions and actions" will bind him in a "legally binding marital relationship," then he lacks intent to be married. A lack of intent to be married overrides the presumption of marriage....

7 In fact, it was not until 1943 that the Legislature added the
8 requirement of some form of solemnization in *addition* to proof of
9 certain consent/intent to create a lawful marriage: "Consent alone will
10 not constitute marriage; it must be followed by solemnization as
11 authorized and provided by this chapter."¹²

The grounds for annulment of an apparent marriage when there
was not an actual intention to marry is mistake, either mutual or
unilateral, either of which is "grounds for declaring a contract void in
equity."¹³

16 According to the Nevada Supreme Court in Vaile I,¹⁴ a trial court 17 ruling on a party's intent is to look for **behavior** that is compatible or 18 not therefore have the requisite intent to marry, the marriage would be annulled upon request). 19 12 NRS 122.010(1). 20 13 NRS 125.350. See also McNee v. McNee, 49 Nev. 90, 237 P.534 (1925); Smith v. Smith, 68 Nev. 10, 226 P.2d 279 (1951). 21 14 Vaile v. District Court, 118 Nev. 262, 44 P.3d 506 (2002).

incompatible with a specific intention. Here, Danka made clear to Tom
 that she had no intention of ever getting married again; the reason her
 relationship with Tom continued beyond Tom's initial courtship was
 Tom's representation to her that he *shared* the same intention not to
 ever be legally married again.

6 The mutual intention *not* to be legally married – only to pretend a marriage existed for social purposes - is evident from the parties' 7 8 conduct over the past 18 years, starting when they intentionally skirted 9 the legal requirements for a valid marriage when they arranged the 10 ceremony in Slovakia. While they referred to each other as "husband" and "wife" in social settings, in areas where the truth about their legal 11 12 marital status was important, they both acknowledged, represented, and 13 swore under oath that they were single, unmarried persons.

14 What they did at the conclusion of their relationship in 2016 is further evidence that they *knew* they were not legally married – deeds 15 16 were corrected to reflect that they were single, unmarried individuals, 17 they each took assets and debts as they deemed equitable, and they 18 parted ways without filing for divorce as they both recognized that no "divorce" is necessary for unmarried persons. Tom then "started his new 19 20 life" with the purchase of the Blue Mesa home, solely in his name, 21 correctly identifying himself on title as a "single, unmarried man."

AA07719

1	Tom and his business, Bluepoint Development, paid rent to
2	Patience One (Danka) for occupying space in the building. It wasn't until
3	14 months after the break-up that Tom stopped paying rent, Danka
4	initiated eviction proceedings, and Tom filed for "divorce."
5	There is overwhelming evidence that there was never an intention
6	by the parties to legally marry. Therefore, no marriage can be found
7	under NRS 122.010 because "the consent of the parties capable in law of
8	contracting is essential" and there was no such consent. ¹⁵
9	And if Tom decides at this late date to protest that he had some
10	secret intent to "be married" it would not make any difference; the
11	courts that have reviewed such situation have made it clear that "[I]f one
12	party to a purported common law marriage believes she is married, but
13	the other party does not, a marriage cannot be established." ¹⁶
14	Tom should be judicially estopped from alleging there was a valid
15	marriage because he withdrew his action for "divorce" in his Second
16	Amended Complaint acknowledging that there was no legal marriage. ¹⁷
17	In reliance upon Tom's Second Amended Complaint, Danka did not
18	
19	¹⁵ Intent to marry of both parties is likewise a requirement for a valid marriage under Slovakian law.
20	¹⁶ <i>Gill v. Van Nostrand</i> , 206 A.3d 869, 881 (D.C. App. 2019), quoting <i>Hogsett v. Neale</i> , No. 17CA1484, 2018 Colo. App. LEXIS 1820, at *20, 2018 COA 176 (Colo. App. 2018).
21	¹⁷ <i>Vaile v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court</i> , 118 Nev. 262 (2002).

prepare to call her expert witness, Daniela Jezova, LL.M., PhD. to testify
 that there was no legal marriage.

 3
 2. Tom cannot be a "putative spouse" under Nevada law, either for purposes of alimony or for purposes of property division.

A litigant claiming to be a "putative spouse" must have 5 participated in a marriage ceremony in "good faith," believing at all 6 times that they were validly, legally married.¹⁸ That did not happen here. 7 8 In Williams, both parties believed they were legally married and, 9 had Wife's divorce decree from her prior spouse been entered, their marriage *would* have been valid. It wasn't until the time of divorce that 10 the parties learned Wife was not legally divorced from her prior spouse 11 12 at the time of marriage.

On those facts, the Nevada Supreme Court adopted the putative
spouse doctrine for division of assets and debts but rejected it as a basis
for alimony. While the Nevada Supreme Court did leave open the
question of whether the putative spouse doctrine could serve as the basis
of an equitable alimony claim when there is a showing of bad faith or
fraud, Tom cannot be found to be a "putative spouse" under Nevada
law for multiple reasons.

20 First the *Williams* court adopted the putative spouse doctrine "in

21

18

Williams v. Williams, 120 Nev. 559, 97 P.3d 1124 (2004).

annulment proceedings for purposes of property division" when a 1 "marriage is void due to a *prior* legal impediment." [Emphasis added]. 2 3 This is not an annulment case, and this is *not* a case where the parties tried to be lawfully married but had some kind of technical "prior legal 4 impediment." Here, there was *no* intent to be legally married which is 5 the reason the parties intentionally skirted the requirements for legal 6 marriage in Bratislava, Slovakia. Thus, this is not a case where the 7 8 marriage is void due to a prior legal impediment. It was not a valid or 9 legal marriage because it was never intended to be such and the requirements for a legal marriage were deliberately omitted by these 10 parties. 11

Tom acknowledged in writing, under oath, year after year, that the
parties were not legally married by preparing and signing U.S. Federal
Income Tax Returns as a "single, unmarried" individual. Furthermore,
he prepared and signed off on his estate planning documents as a single
– not a married – man. His actions after the parties parted ways is
further evidence that he knew there was no marriage.

Tom understood in 2016 that a divorce would not be necessary to
divide assets, so he paid Attorney Shannon Evans to prepare the transfer
documents. He knowingly and voluntarily signed off on the deeds and
related documents to effectuate the transfer of assets and then he and

AA07722

Danka went their separate ways. Months later, Tom purchased real
 property as a single, unmarried man.

- More than a year after the final breakup, Tom falsely alleged that he and Danka were married in a purported "*Complaint for Divorce*." The contents of that *Complaint for Divorce* are very telling as to what Tom "knew" at the time he falsely alleged there was a marriage. In the thousands of divorce filings, it is rare for a Marriage Certificate to be attached to a Complaint for Divorce and for allegations that the marriage was "valid" to be included in the aversions.
- And according to Tom himself, it was only after Tom allegedly
 learned of possible *tax* consequences from the transfer of assets between *unmarried people* that he decided to pursue his bogus claim against
 Danka because transfers of assets between *married* people do not
 create taxable events.
- As the evidence clearly establishes that Tom was well aware at all
 times that the parties were not legally married, Tom's cause of action for
 equitable relief under the putative spouse doctrine cannot stand and
 must be dismissed.
- Under Nevada law, Tom cannot be found to be a "putative spouse"
 as the facts of this case fall squarely *outside* the scope of the putative
 spouse doctrine. Accordingly, Tom's claim for spousal support, as well as

his claim for property division under the putative spouse doctrine,
 should be denied.

3 Even if this Court does find that there was a marriage (there was no marriage) or that Tom was a putative spouse (he was not), NRS 4 123.080 permits the spouses to alter their legal relations as to property. 5 Nevada and neighboring jurisdictions have held that disputes regarding 6 the construction and enforcement of settlement agreements are 7 8 governed by state contract law.¹⁹ The Nevada Supreme Court has unequivocally held that, "the evidence that the parties had intended 9 presently to be bound should in our view, be convincing and subject to 10 no other reasonable interpretation."20 The Court further upheld an 11 12 unwritten mediation agreement and was upheld on appeal.²¹ 13 Here, the parties' agreement had been fully performed before Tom 14 filed his *Complaint for Divorce* – he took his assets, Danka took her

15 assets, Tom liquidated the 401K and purchased a home solely in his

16 name, Danka paid down mortgages and refinanced property, etc.

- 17 || / / /
- 18

20 20 Dolge v. Masek, 70 Nev. 314, 319, 268 P.2d 919, 921 (1954)

 ¹⁹ Resnick v. Valente, 97 Nev. 615, 637 P.2d 1205 (1982); Jeff D. v. Andrus, 899

 19
 F.2d 753, 759 (9th Cir. 1989); United Commercial Ins. v. Paymaster Corp., 962 F.2d

 853, 857 (9th Cir.1992)

²¹ *Phung v. Doan*, Nevada Supreme Court docket no. 69030, Order Affirming in Part, Dismissing in Part, Reversing in Part, and Remanding (Unpublished Disposition May 10, 2018)

3. Tom has not set forth evidence sufficient to warrant a set aside of the deeds and assignment of interest in this case.

- 2 It is undisputed that Tom transferred the Queensridge and Lowe 3 properties to Danka as an "unmarried woman" and the office building 4 into Danka's individual trust. In each of his three Complaints, Tom 5 consistently alleged that he executed the deeds and transfer documents 6 "with the sole intention of ameliorating Michaels' rage and restoring 7 marital peace." At his deposition, Tom alleged that the reason he is 8 seeking to set aside the deeds and transfer documents had to do with the 9 possibility he would owe gift tax associated with the transfers of property 10 unmarried people. It wasn't until just the between before 11 commencement of *trial*, that Tom alleged (as an afterthought) that he 12 was "unduly influenced" to sign the deeds and transfer documents 13 during a time when there was an alleged doctor-patient fiduciary 14 relationship and Tom purportedly "lacked mental vigor." Each of those 15 newly concocted false assertions will be addressed in turn: 16
- 17

1

- a. The facts of this case do not fall within the "fiduciary physician-patient relationship" cases.
- Case law across the country is clear: Tom must prove the existence of a physician-patient relationship before a fiduciary duty can be established.²² Here, the evidence shows that in 2008, Mr. Carillo became

²¹ See Jennings v. Badgett, 2010 OK 7, 230 P.3d 861, 865-66 (Okla. 2010); Mead v. Legacy Health System, 352 Ore. 267, 283 P.3d 904, 909-10 (Ore. 2010); Seeber v. Ebeling, 36 Kan. App. 2d 501, 141 P.3d 1180 (Kan. Ct. App. 2006); St. John

Tom's primary care provider for the specific purpose of relieving Danka
 from that role. Danka was only seeing Tom as necessary for cross coverage purposes. For the entirety of 2016, Danka only prescribed *one* prescription in May (which was only a 30-day supply) and did not
 otherwise treat him between May 2016 through January 2017.

6 The initial work-up and treatment plan of Tom was done within
7 the first 12 months after Danka first saw Tom in early 2000. By mid8 2001 when the parties started dating, Danka was primarily prescribing
9 *refills* of medications Tom was already taking, several of which had been
10 recommended by his specialists. Tom was also being seen by multiple
11 specialists.

Next, it is incumbent upon a patient to prove, by a preponderance
of the evidence, that a doctor "violated his fiduciary responsibilities." To
do so, the patient must show that the doctor held a superior
authoritative position in the professional relationship and that, as a
result of patient's illness, the patient was *vulnerable*. Additionally, the
patient must show that doctor *exploited that vulnerability*. In *Hoopes v. Hammargren*,²³ the Nevada Supreme Court explained the

 ²⁰ v. Pope, 901 S.W.2d 420, 423 (Tex. 2005)(establishing a physician-patient relationship is pre-requisite for a malpractice claim); *Gross v. Burt*, 149 S.W.3d 213 (Tex. Ct. App. 2004); *Millard v. Corrado*, 14 S.W.3d 42 (Mo. Ct. App. 1999); *Roberts v. Hunter*, 310 S.C. 364, 426 S.E.2d 797 (S.C. 1993).
 21 102 Nev. 425 (1986).

1 standard applicable to all physicians' fiduciary relationship with their

2 || patients:

3

4

5

6

7

A patient generally seeks the assistance of a physician in order to resolve a medical problem. The patient expects that the physician can achieve such resolution. Occasionally (due to illness), the patient is emotionally unstable and often vulnerable. There is hope that the physician possesses unlimited powers. *It is at this point in the professional relationship that there is the potential and opportunity for the physician to take advantage of the patient's vulnerabilities.* [Emphasis added].

8 In *Richelle L. v. Roman Catholic Archbishop*,²⁴ the court emphasized
9 that "vulnerability" is an "absolutely essential" and "necessary predicate"
10 of a confidential relationship. Such vulnerability "usually arises from
11 advanced age, youth, lack of education, weakness of mind, grief,
12 sickness, or some other incapacity.

Tom never made a claim that he was emotionally unstable or vulnerable due to his illness. His claim is that his emotional instability was the result of his parents dying, his dog dying and his girlfriend having an abortion. However, these matters had nothing to due with his health/illness. *Hoopes v. Hammargren* makes it clear that the vulnerability or emotional distress must be directly related to the illness which did not occur in this case according to Tom's own testimony.

- 20
- 21

24

106 Cal. App. 4th 257, 270-72, 130 Cal. Rptr. 2d 601 (Cal. Ct. App. 2003).

Tom had at least 4 cardiologists who treated him for his heart

condition; he had a rheumatologist and at least 3 orthopedists to treat
him for gout, he had a gastroenterologist, a dermatologist, and a general
surgeon. Tom also had orthopedists treating him for the arthritic pain in
his knees and hips exacerbated by frequent gouty flare ups. He saw each
of these specialists "in order to resolve a medical problem." Danka did
not operate on Tom to save his life – that was done by heart surgeons at
the Cleveland Clinic.

8 Tom did not see Danka in order to resolve his heart problems or
9 his gout. Occasionally, she prescribed antibiotics for an upper
10 respiratory infection or some such minor need. And by 2004, their
11 physical, intimate and sexual relationship had completely ended.

More than a decade later -- by 2015 and 2016 when the deeds and
transfer documents were executed by Tom -- Danka wasn't even refilling
Tom's prescriptions. Tom was under the care of Roberto Carillo,
Licensed Nurse Practitioner, who had complete prescribing privileges
under NRS 632.237.

In order to show "exploitation of the physician-patient
relationship," Tom would have to prove that Danka held a superior
authoritative position in the professional relationship and that, as a
result of his illness, he was vulnerable *at the time of signing* the deeds
and assignment of interest in 2016. Additionally, he would have to prove

AA07728

that Danka exploited the vulnerability, and that the exploitation was the
 proximate cause of any claimed harm.

3 Tom has not, and cannot, make any such showings. From the beginning of the relationship until 2014 (approximately 13 years), Danka 4 supported Tom. It can hardly be said that she took advantage of or 5 exploited *him*. The facts show that Tom exploited Danka. Their intimate 6 relationship ended in 2004, 12 years before the signing of the deeds 7 8 and the assignment of interest. And Danka had not been seeing Tom as a patient in 2016, although he was seen by another *independent* 9 professional in her practice. Tom was seeing doctors in Florida in 2016 10 for his medical problems at that time. 11

12 The fact of the prior relationship between Tom and Danka alone is insufficient to make such a claim. In Odegard v. Finne,25 the court held 13 14 that nonmental health physician liability would be restricted to situations in which the sexual relationship was commenced under the 15 16 "guise of treatment." Finding no facts to support a claim that the relationship was anything other than "consensual," the court reasoned 17 18 that "essentially appellant complains that she had an unhappy affair with a man who happened to be her doctor. This [complaint] is plainly 19 20 insufficient to make out a cause of action for professional negligence."

21

25

500 N.W.2d 140 (Minn. Ct. App. 1993).

In this case, Danka is not a mental health physician. Here the Court says
 that the appellant having an unhappy affair with a man that happens to
 be her doctor does not equate to a breach of fiduciary duty, nor can such
 be found in this case.

In Iwanski v. Gomes,26 the plaintiff first saw the defendant, a 5 general practitioner, "for treatment regarding a constant lack of energy." 6 A sexual relationship between the two developed shortly thereafter that 7 lasted for roughly five years; after it ended unhappily, the plaintiff 8 9 suffered severe emotional distress, became suicidal, and was unable to work. The plaintiff filed suit, and the trial court granted the defendant 10 doctor's motion for summary judgment, holding that the defendant's 11 12 conduct did not amount to malpractice. The Supreme Court of Nebraska affirmed. Other states have reached similar conclusions. See, e.g., 13 14 Gunter v. Huddle,²⁷ where the court held "[T]he great weight of authority holds that a sexual relationship between a nonpsychiatric 15 16 physician and a patient is outside the scope of the physician's treatment, and is not actionable as malpractice" citing cases from California, 17 18 Minnesota, and Oregon; Atienza v. Taub,28 holding that malpractice claims against a physician who treated plaintiff for phlebitis and then 19 20 26 259 Neb. 632, 611 N.W.2d 607 (Neb. 2000). 27 724 So.2d 544, 546 (Ala. Civ. App. 1998).

21

28

194 Cal. App. 3d 388, 239 Cal. Rptr. 454, 456-58 (Cal. Ct. App. 1987).

engaged in an affair with plaintiff did not state cause of action; *Collins v. Covenant Mut. Ins. Co.*,²⁹; *Korper v. Weinstein*,³⁰ holding that "It is
settled that consensual sexual conduct between a medical practitioner
and a patient does not constitute medical malpractice"); *Darnaby v. Davis*,³¹ holding that "sexual activity between a doctor and a patient,
notwithstanding the existence of a doctor-patient relationship, without
more, does not give rise to a cause of action."

8 In Persson v. Smart Inventions, Inc., 125 Cal. App. 4th 1141, 23 9 Cal. Rptr. 3d 335 (2005), the Court held that "the existence of a confidential relationship generating a fiduciary duty is a question of fact. 10 Nonetheless, because of '[t]he vagueness of the common law definition 11 12 of the confidential relation that gives rise to a fiduciary duty, and the 13 range of the relationships that can potentially be characterized as fiduciary,' the 'essential elements' have been distilled as follows: " '1) The 14 vulnerability of one party to the other which 2) results in the 15 16 empowerment of the stronger party by the weaker which 3) 17 empowerment has been solicited or accepted by the stronger party and 18 4) prevents the weaker party from effectively protecting itself."

19

In short, vulnerability "is the necessary predicate of a confidential

- 20 || ²⁹ 604 N.E.2d 1190, 1196-97 (Ind. App. 1992).
- 21
 30
 57 Mass. App. Ct. 433, 783 N.E.2d 877, 879 (Mass. App. Ct. 2003).

 31
 2002 OK CIV APP 103, 57 P.3d 100, 104 (Okla. Civ. App. 2002).

relation," and "the law treats [it] as 'absolutely essential'" Tom and 1 Danka had not had a physical relationship in over a decade, they were no 2 longer living in the same home, or even in the same State, Tom had 3 already established a years-long relationship with a new sweetheart, and 4 he and that sweetheart were expecting a baby at the time Tom 5 voluntarily flew to Las Vegas to finalize his agreement with Danka by 6 signing the transfer documents to her. He was not "vulnerable" and his 7 transfer had nothing to do with their long-past physician-patient 8 relationship. 9

10

11

b. Tom has not shown "undue influence" to set aside the deeds and assignment of interest.

In *Ross v. Giacomo*,³² undue influence was found where a neurologist who examined the donor 12 days before the alleged "gift," testified that the donor "did not know the day, month, or year, could not repeat a test phrase three minutes after it was given him, and could not think properly because his brain was being destroyed by lack of oxygen." The neurologist further testified that he diagnosed the donor as "incompetent" within the meaning of NRS 159.019.³³

- 18
- 19

32

20
 ³³ NRS 159.019 provides: "'Incompetent' includes any person who, by reason of mental illness, mental deficiency, advanced age, disease, weakness of mind or any other cause, is unable, without assistance, properly to manage and take care of himself or his property."

Tom has not alleged any facts or circumstances, any physician

⁹⁷ Nev. 550 (1981).

testimony, or any expert opinion, that he was incompetent or incapable
 of consenting or executing deeds in September 2016.

3	Tom cites to <i>In re Estate of Bethurem</i> , 129 Nev. 869 (2013), for the
4	proposition that there is a presumption of undue influence when a
5	fiduciary relationship exists and the fiduciary benefits from the
6	questioned transaction. In that case, Husband disinherited his step-
7	daughters and left assets to his sister-in-law after the death of Wife
8	because Wife's daughters (i.e., his step-daughters) did not help or care
9	for Wife when she fell ill but Wife's sister (i.e., his sister-in-law) traveled
10	from Texas to help care for Wife before her death. Step-daughters
11	challenged the will, alleging that sister-in-law unduly influenced
12	Husband. The Nevada Supreme Court held that:

In order to establish undue influence under Nevada law, 'it must appear, either directly or by justifiable inference from the facts proved, that the influence . . . destroyed the free agency of the testator.' The influence that may arise from a family relationship is only unlawful if it overbears the will of the testator. Moreover, the fact a beneficiary merely possesses or is motivated to exercise influence is insufficient to establish undue influence. [Internal citations omitted].

17

18 The High Court went on to explain that while the sister-in-law through frequent 19 have influenced [Husband] telephone "may 20 conversations, influence resulting merely from [their] family relationship is not by itself unlawful, and there is no indication in the 21

record that any influence [Sister-in-law] may have exercised prevented
 [Husband] from making his own decisions regarding his will. Moreover,
 the fact that [Sister-in-law] may have possessed influence does not
 amount to undue influence unless her influence destroyed [Husband's]
 free agency."

6 Tom does not allege any facts sufficient to support a conclusion 7 that Danka's influence "destroyed Tom's free agency" – he does **not** 8 allege that he was threatened or harmed, or that he was misled, or that 9 he didn't possess sufficient business acumen to understand the 10 consequences of his actions, or that he did not understand the financial 11 situation or the potential rights being forfeited.

In fact, Tom avers in paragraph 23 of his Second Amended
Complaint that he chose to execute the deeds and transfer documents
allegedly "with the sole intention of ameliorating Michaels' rage and
restoring marital peace." During his testimony at trial, he claimed that
he was distraught over the death of his elderly and ailing parents in 2015
and 2016, the death of his dog, and what "really threw him for a loop"
was his secret lover's decision to abort her pregnancy.

19 *None* of those allegations amount to "undue influence" *by*20 *Danka*. In other words, Tom did not allege that Danka had anything to
21 do with the death of his parents, the death of his dog, his impregnation

AA07734

1	of the woman he was living with in Florida, or that woman's decision to
2	have an abortion. Tom further does not allege that Danka coerced him to
3	sign the deeds and transfer documents. Instead, Tom alleged that it was
4	<i>his</i> intention to "ameliorate Michaels' rage and restore marital peace." ³⁴
5	Even if we assume that allegation is true, it has been longstanding law in
6	Nevada that "[a] party's undisclosed, subjective intent is immaterial
7	when determining the existence of a contract."35 Verbal agreements of
8	parties, especially when acted upon, are generally held to be binding. ³⁶
9	c. Tom doesn't make a showing of duress or coercion
10	sufficient to set aside the transfers.
11	Duress is defined as the threat of confinement or detention, or
	other threat of harm, used to compel a person to do something against
12	his or her will or judgment. ³⁷ Coercion is defined as "compulsion by
13	physical force or threat of physical force." ³⁸ Tom did not allege any
14	
15	violence or threats of any kind by Danka, and there were none. She was
	fed up with Tom's nonsense, and rightfully so. That does not amount to
16	
17	³⁴ The parties were never married.
18	³⁵ <i>James Hardie Gypsum (Nevada) Inc. v. Inquipco</i> , 112 Nev. 1397, 1402, 929 P.2d 903, 906 (1996), overruled on other grounds by <i>Sandy Valley Assocs. v. Sky</i> <i>Ranch Estates Owners Ass'n</i> , 117 Nev. 948, 955 n.6, 35 P.3d 964, 968-69 n.6 (2001).
19	³⁶ See <i>Grisham v. Grisham</i> , 128 Nev. 679; 289 P.3d 230 (2012); <i>Phung v. Doan</i> ,
20	420 P.3d 1029 (2018) unpub.
21	³⁷ Black's Law Dictionary (7 th ed. 1999) at 520.
~1	³⁸ Black's Law Dictionary (7 th ed. 1999) at 252.
	Page 28 of 62 AA07735

1 || "duress."

2 The contrast between these facts and those in which some kind of "duress" or "coercion" are in play are stark. For example, the Nevada 3 Court of Appeals set held unenforceable a provision in a prenuptial 4 agreement awarding husband lifetime alimony where wife was found not 5 to have signed the agreement freely or voluntarily.³⁹ The district court 6 held Katie credibly testified that she signed the agreement only because 7 8 "Stephan had previously committed acts of domestic violence against 9 her, threatened to take the couple's son away from her, and was holding a pending criminal investigation over her head with threats to file 10 charges against her if she did not sign the agreement." Accordingly, the 11 12 Court of Appeals held that the district court did not abuse its discretion 13 by invalidating a provision in the prenuptial agreement on the basis of 14 duress.

⁴⁰ 154 Cal. App. 3d 1051 (Ct. App. 1984).

 ²⁰ 39 Stephan Newell v. Katie Newell, Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part, and Remanding (Unpublished Disposition June 9, 2017).
 21

Marriage of Baltins,⁴¹ a two-prong analysis is used: The first looks to the
 actions of the person accused – whether Danka intentionally "threatened
 or pressured" Tom into signing the transfer documents. The second
 prong looks to the effect of the alleged wrongful behavior on Tom.

Here, the analysis ends at the first prong - there have been no
allegations of threats, violence, or any other conduct by Danka that could
have "threatened or pressured" Tom to sign the transfer documents.
Tom alleged in his Second Amended Complaint for Divorce that he
signed the transfer documents "with the sole intention of ameliorating
Michaels' rage and restoring marital peace."

In his testimony at trial, Tom alleged that Danka "demanded that I 11 12 come home." He further testified that "she wanted me to sign over the all 13 of the properties." Tom admitted that he scheduled his own travel to Las 14 Vegas from Florida, he arranged his own transportation to a hotel, and he met Danka days later at Attorney Shannon Evans' office. Most 15 16 importantly, he testified that he was advised by Attorney Evans to retain 17 his own independent counsel. He chose not to do so. Tom then paid 18 Attorney Evans for preparing the transfer documents. He offered no testimony whatsoever that there was any threatened consequence had he 19 20 not signed the transfer documents. He chose to sign them.

21

41

212 Cal. App. 3d 66, 260 Cal. Rptr. 403 (1989).

In sum, while Tom was purportedly stressed about the situation *he created* by impregnating the woman he was living with in Florida while
 hiding that information from Danka, he has not alleged facts of duress or
 undue influence by Danka sufficient under the law to warrant a set aside
 of the deeds or the transfer of membership interest at issue.

Here, even if Tom was able to establish that he was under some
form of "duress" or "undue influence" when he voluntarily signed the
transfer documents at Attorney Evans' office in September 2016 (which
he hasn't established), his subsequent conduct reinforces the conclusion
that he ratified the terms of the agreement by performing his obligation
under the agreement and reaping the benefits of the agreement for
approximately fourteen (14) months thereafter.

13

d. Tom's assertion of lack of capacity fail

As a part of his attempts to have the Assignment undone, Tom has
argued that the Assignment should be set aside on the basis that Dr.
Michaels had "plied him" with sufficient medication so as to render him
unable to enter into a contract. Like his rescission argument, this
argument fails because he fails to meet the legal standard for asserting a
lack of capacity defense.

- 20
- 21

1	The legal standard for a lack of capacity defense has been recently
2	clarified by the Nevada Supreme Court. In order to assert a lack of
3	capacity defense to a breach of contract, the court held:
4 5	[a] person incurs only voidable contractual duties by entering into a transaction if the other party has reason to know that by reason of intoxication
6	(a) he is unable to understand in a reasonable manner the nature and consequences of the transaction, or
7 8	(b) he is unable to act in a reasonable manner in relation to the transaction. 42
9	The Court further recognized the responsibilities of the party asserting
10	lack of capacity as a defense:
11 12 13 14	In an action on a contract, a party must present convincing proof of claims that due to intoxication at the time of making a contract, the party was bereft of mental faculties. When a party to a contract was lacking in mental capacity at the time of execution by reason of drunkenness, proof of a subsequent ratification must be clear and convincing . ⁴³ (Emphasis added).
15	In addition to a higher burden of proof, the court adopted a Restatement
16	(Second) of Contracts provision in stating:
17	A duty on the part of an intoxicated person to promptly disaffirm the contract. ⁴⁴
18	And finally, for a party asserting a lack of capacity defense:
19	⁴² LaBarbera v. Wynn Las Vegas, LLC, 134 Nev. 393, 396–97, 422 P.3d 138, 141
20	(2018). ⁴³ <i>Id.</i>
21	⁴⁴ <i>Id.</i> at 396, 141.

2

1

The power of avoidance also terminates if the incapacitated party, upon regaining capacity, affirms or ratifies the contract."⁴⁵

Under the LaBarbera standard Tom completely failed to 3 demonstrate that he lacked the capacity to enter into contractual 4 arrangements. First, the incapable party has an elevated burden of 5 proof. They must be able to assert by clear and convincing evidence that 6 they lacked capacity to enter into a contract in the first place at the 7 specific time the contract was made. The evidence presented thus far in 8 the current proceedings shows Tom knew that he was transferring his 9 interest in the three properties to Danka and he intended to do so "with 10 the sole intention of ameliorating Michaels' rage and restoring marital 11 peace." 12

Further, Tom showed at least sufficient capacity to continue performing his work duties in Florida for BPD.⁴⁶ It can be assumed that Tom executed contracts as a part of those duties and Tom has never put forward any evidence that he at any time asserted a lack of capacity to enter into agreements on behalf of his company.

18Additionally, there is absolutely no evidence that Tom at *any* time19sought to disaffirm the Assignment or the real property transfers, much

20

21

⁴⁵ *Id.* at 398, 142.

⁴⁶ See 2016 U.S. Corporation Income Tax Return, form 1120, for Blue Point Development, Inc. showing Tom's business had gross receipts of over \$1.4 Million.

less did so "promptly" as required under the LaBarbera standard. Four 1 years have passed since execution of the Assignment and the lack of 2 capacity allegations made as a part of this trial reflect the first time such 3 an attempted disaffirmation has ever been made. The real property 4 transfers for 9517 Queen Charlotte Drive and 7608 Lowe Avenue were 5 likewise conducted in September 2016 prior to any allegations of 6 incapacity being brought. The passage of several years hardly qualifies 7 8 as "prompt". To assert a lack of capacity defense, Tom was under an 9 affirmative obligation to promptly disaffirm any agreements he lacked 10 the capacity to enter into. He didn't. As several years passed before he 11 made any attempt at disaffirmance, his attempt to undo the contracts 12 through assertion of a lack of capacity fail.

13 What is more, Tom's power to avoid any of the transfers 14 terminated because he effectively affirmed every one of the agreements. Regarding the Assignment, long after transferring his trust's interest to 15 16 the Mich-Mich trust, Tom abrogated his role as manager of Patience One 17 by transferring the leases in his possession over to Jakub Michalecko, 18 the new manager of the company. Tom further ceased collecting rents on behalf of Patience One, and he affirmatively paid rent to Patience One 19 20 by check for ten months, whereas during the time he controlled Patience 21 One he had always previously paid the rent for BPD by electronic funds transfer. All these actions were effective affirmations of the validity of
 the Assignment and the transfer of his control over Patience One to the
 Mich-Mich Trust.

Tom similarly affirmed the transfer of his interest in the two 4 residential properties. Far from disaffirming the transfers, Tom, after 5 transferring his interest in the properties, moved all of his possessions 6 out of the Queen Charlotte property, changed his mailing address, closed 7 8 joint accounts, terminated Danka's use of his American Express credit 9 card, stopped speaking to one another, and ceased all involvement with management and finance of the properties. Each of these acts effectively 10 affirmed the transfers in question, which terminated any ability to avoid 11 12 the transfers under LaBarbera due to an asserted lack of capacity to 13 contract.

14 **4.** Under *Hay*,⁴⁷ <u>*Michoff*</u>,⁴⁸ and *Howard*,⁴⁹ the transfers should be confirmed.

Hay and Michoff both stand for the proposition that unmarried
persons who are living together have the same rights to lawfully contract
with each other regarding their property as do other unmarried

20 47 Hay v. Hay, 100 Nev. 196 (1984).

15

19

- 21 48 Western States Constr. v. Michoff, 108 Nev. 931 (1992).
 - ⁴⁹ *Howard v. Hughes*, 427 P.3d 1045 (2018).

individuals.⁵⁰ Their agreement may be express or implied from the
conduct of the parties. Although they may not contract for meretricious
sexual services, they may expect that courts will protect their reasonable
expectations with respect to transactions concerning property rights.
Each case should be assessed on its own merits with consideration given
to the purpose, duration and stability of the relationship and the
expectations of the parties.

8 It is readily apparent from the testimony of both parties that they 9 did not consider their money or assets to be "pooled," "joint," or "community" in any way. Tom's testimony as to \$30,000 given to him by 10 Danka for a truck and money she gave him to start a business, repairs or 11 12 mortgage payments that "he" paid for, his identification of a jointly titled 13 Bank of America account as "his" account, and the business as "his" business, all prove this point. Likewise, Danka testified as to down 14 payments made from "her" money, and money that she contributed to 15 16 Tom for the purchase of a truck and for the start-up of a business. There 17 can be no doubt from the manner in which both parties testified that 18 they each considered their assets and property to be separate from one another. Thus, the agreement and expectations of the parties were to 19 20 keep their assets separate and this Court should protect those reasonable

²¹ See also Rivero v. Rivero, 125 Nev. 410, 216 P.3d 213 (2009) ("Parties are free to contract, and the courts will enforce their contracts if they are not unconscionable, illegal, or in violation of public policy.")

1 || expectations with respect to their September 2016 transfer of property.

Here, both parties were well aware that the source of the funds 2 used to acquire the real properties came from Danka, not Tom, she 3 supported both parties, paid for vacations and entertainment, and she 4 provided Tom with funds in the form of payroll as well as retirement 5 benefits. She also helped Tom pay off his debt, purchase a new vehicle, 6 finance a business, and she paid for Tom's health insurance premiums 7 8 for over a decade. The parties always recognized the properties as belonging to Danka: Danka did not name Tom as the beneficiary of her 9 10 Last Will and Testament or her Mich-Mich Trust (except for 3 to 4 months between September 2016 and January 2017) but Tom, who had 11 12 other family members he could have named beneficiaries of his estate 13 planning, **did** name Danka, her son, and her grandson as the 14 beneficiaries of his Last Will and Testament and his LV Blue Trust.

For years, Tom acknowledged to Danka that the properties belonged to her. And beginning in January 2016, when Tom first broke up with Danka, the disposition of jointly titled assets was at issue and a discussion of the very transfers at issue in this case was had between the parties. In April 2016, Danka removed Tom from her payroll and in the summer of 2016, the parties began separating their accounts. As reflected in Attorney Evans' file and by her testimony, Tom agreed to

AA07744

transfer the properties to Danka because "she paid for them and he was
 guilty" – i.e., equity dictated that they were hers and there was no longer
 any reason for Danka to allow Tom to remain on title to her properties.

As expressed in *Hay* and *Michoff*, this Court should uphold the
parties' implied and express agreements and protect their reasonable
expectations with respect to transactions concerning property rights.

7 The Nevada Supreme Court cases of *Sack v. Tomlin⁵¹* and
8 *Langevin v. Langevin⁵²* stand for the proposition that when unmarried
9 cohabiting couples purchase property titled in both parties' names, with
10 or without the right of survivorship, they own the property in proportion
11 to the amounts they each contributed to the purchase price.

12 Here, it is undisputed that the properties in question were 13 purchased with Danka's money. While Tom claims he made some 14 contributions to "remodeling" which consisted of maintenance and repairs of "wear and tear" (which he has not proven), any such 15 16 contributions paled in comparison to the hundreds of thousands of 17 dollars Tom received from Danka over the years, her funding of his 18 retirement account and the money she gave Tom towards his business, Bluepoint Development. Additionally, Tom had many undisclosed 19 20

21

51

110 Nev. 204, 871 P.2d 298 (1994)

⁵² 111 Nev. 1481, 907 P.2d 981 (1995)

accounts with undisclosed tens or hundreds of thousands of dollars
 which remained solely under his control.

Under Nevada law which looks to contributions towards the
purchase, the equity in the three properties belonged to Danka even *before* Tom signed the transfer documents. What Tom actually
transferred to Danka in September 2016 was formal *title*, not any equity
that he contributed.

8 Even if Tom had made contributions to the properties, it would 9 not alter the result. The transferring party in *Howard v. Hughes* also made contributions of labor and funding to the property in question, and 10 the Nevada Supreme Court held that "In Nevada, a valid donative 11 12 transfer requires a donor's intent to voluntarily make a present transfer of property to a donee without consideration, the donor's actual or 13 constructive delivery of the gift to the donee, and the donee's acceptance 14 of the gift." 15

16 Tom's voluntary execution of the transfer documents at Attorney
17 Evans' office, his delivery of those transfer documents to Attorney Evans
18 for recording on behalf of Danka, and Danka's acceptance of the transfer
19 documents constitute a valid donative transfer under Nevada law.⁵³ His

20

²¹ Even if this Court were to (incorrectly) apply principles of community property law to the facts of this case (which it should not do), the Nevada Supreme Court has consistently held that a spouse-to-spouse conveyance of title to real property creates a presumption of gift that can only be overcome by clear and

change of mind long after the fact does not entitle him to change
 ownership of the property.

It is worth emphasizing that Tom has *acknowledged* that the funds
used to purchase the properties came from Danka. He confirmed that he
took the retirement account valued at over \$200,000 and that he took
the business, Bluepoint Development. He also testified during his
deposition that he is not seeking an interest in Danka's medical practice,
even though he made such a claim in this Second Amended Complaint.

9 In sum, there is no basis to set aside the fully executed agreement of the parties or the transfer documents for the three properties in 10 question. This would be true even in the context of a marriage; in 11 12 Anderson v. Anderson,⁵⁴ the parties divided a sum of cash into two 13 accounts. The trial court described this division as a "final division of these funds." The wife received \$56,000 more than the husband 14 received. Husband appealed. The Nevada Supreme Court affirmed, 15 16 finding the unequal division was supported by husband getting a more 17 valuable car, receiving twice as much in Social Security, and having 18 convincing evidence. Kerley v. Kerley, 112 Nev. 36 (1996); Graham v. Graham, 104

- 19 Convincing evidence. *Kerley v. Kerley*, 112 Nev. 36 (1996); *Graham v. Graham*, 104
 19 Nev. 473 (1988); *Todkill v. Todkill*, 88 Nev. 231 (1972); *Peardon v. Peardon*, 65 Nev.
 717 (1948). Moreover, property acquired by gift during marriage is separate property pursuant to NRS 123.130, and therefore is not community property pursuant to NRS 123.220. Tom could not claim any interest in the three properties at issue, even if principles of community property law *were* applied here.
- 21

54

107 Nev. 570, 816 P.2d 463 (1991).

Page 40 of 62

1	moved in with his girlfriend so he had no rental expense. The Court
2	made no decision as to whether a written agreement was necessary
3	because the same result could be obtained by estoppel.55 Here, Danka
4	relied on the fully executed 2016 agreement. Since then and in reliance
5	upon their fully executed agreement, she invested additional money into
6	the properties, refinanced the mortgages, and paid down the debt. In
7	fact, there is litigation currently pending as to Tom's misappropriation of
8	hundreds of thousands of dollars from Patience One, LLC, including
9	security deposits. Accordingly, the fully executed agreement from 2016
10	should not now be disrupted to the detriment of Danka.
11	5. Tom ratified the division of assets and debts each and every month for approximately thirteen (13) months
12	after his voluntary execution of the transfer documents.
13	
14	It is well established in Nevada law that a contract entered during
	incapacity, insanity, or even as a result of fraud can be ratified by
15	
15 16	incapacity, insanity, or even as a result of fraud can be ratified by
	incapacity, insanity, or even as a result of fraud can be ratified by subsequent conduct. For example, in Nevada's annulment statutes, NRS
16	incapacity, insanity, or even as a result of fraud can be ratified by subsequent conduct. For example, in Nevada's annulment statutes, NRS 125.320 provides that when a minor marries without the consent of a

- 20 couple."
- 21

⁵⁵ See also *Cartan v. David*, 18 Nev. 310 (1884) (once an agreement is fully executed, the rights of the parties become fixed).

In the event of insanity, NRS 125.330 provides that the marriage of 1 any insane person shall not be adjudged void if after his or her 2 3 restoration to reason, the parties freely cohabited together as a married couple. Again, ratification after the removal of the impediment validates 4 the act. Ratification even applies to fraud under NRS 125.340 which 5 states: "No marriage may be annulled for fraud if the parties to the 6 marriage voluntarily cohabit as a married couple having received 7 8 knowledge of such fraud."

9 The doctrine of ratification also applies as to contractual agreements. For example, in Shelton v. Shelton,⁵⁶ the Nevada Supreme 10 Court held "Moreover, the parties' subsequent conduct reinforces this 11 12 conclusion, in that Roland ratified the terms of the agreement by 13 performing his obligations under the decree for a period of two years." Whiston v. McDonald,57 the Nevada Supreme Court held: 14 In "Furthermore, by her conduct, Nan ratified the agreement of May 15th 15 which was executed by Al Anders. For more than a year, without protest, 16 17 she performed under that agreement, she allowed her equipment to be used and she accepted checks from one or more of Art Wood's 18 corporations in the exact amount provided for in the May 15th 19

- 20
- 21

56

119 Nev. 492, 78 P.3d 507 (2003).

⁵⁷ 85 Nev. 508, 510, 458 P.2d 107, 108 (1969).

1 agreement."

Other jurisdictions have also acknowledged the doctrine of 2 ratification. For example, in *Hoskins v. Skojec*,⁵⁸ the court held: 3 Here, the record reveals that the parties freely entered into 4 the separation agreement, each with the benefit of counsel, and its terms were complied with by both parties for more 5 than four years. Furthermore, by accepting the benefits of the agreement and performing his obligations for years, 6 defendant is deemed to have ratified the terms of the agreement (see, Beutel v Beutel, 55 NY2d 957, 958; Lavelle v 7 Lavelle, 187 AD2d 912, 913; Bonem v Garriott, 159 AD2d 8 206,207). 9 From January 2016 to December 2016, there was only one 30-day 10 prescription prescribed by Danka to Tom in May which was a result of 11 cross-covering. Tom admitted in his trial testimony that there was no 12 treatment by Danka of Tom between May 2016 and January 2017, 13 specifically no treatment in months leading up to the signing of the 14 documents or when the documents were executed. The last prescription 15 refill Tom obtained from Danka was in January 2017. That three-month 16 supply would have been exhausted by April 2017. 17 Thereafter, Tom relinquished control of the operating account for 18 the building to Danka and began sending her rent payments for his 19 occupancy in her building. He cashed out the retirement account that 20 she funded and purchased a home in his sole name as an unmarried 21 58 265 A.D.2d 706, 707, 696 N.Y.S.2d 303, 304 (App. Div. 1999).