# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Apr 16 2021 01:12 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

NATASHA EARLY, Appellant(s),

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA
EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION;
AND KIMBERLY GAA [NOW LYNDA
PARVEN] IN HER CAPACITY AS
ADMINISTRATOR OF THE
EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION,
Respondent(s),

Case No: A-20-826013-W

Docket No: 82721

# RECORD ON APPEAL

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT NATASHA EARLY, PROPER PERSON 4650 W. OAKLEY BLVD., #2035 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT TROY C. JORSAN, ESQ. 500 E. THIRD ST. CARSON CITY, NV 89731

# A-20-826013-W Natasha Early, Plaintiff(s) vs. Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada, Defendant(s)

# I N D E X

<u>vor</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
1	12/08/2020	APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CONFIDENTIAL)	1 - 3
1	12/10/2020	APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CONFIDENTIAL)	6 - 8
1	01/12/2021	AUDIOVISUAL TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT APPEARANCE REQUEST	57 - 60
1	02/02/2021	AUDIOVISUAL TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT APPEARANCE REQUEST	79 - 83
1	04/01/2021	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	164 - 165
1	04/16/2021	CERTIFICATION OF COPY AND TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD	
1	04/16/2021	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES	166 - 169
1	01/17/2021	MEMORANDUM	69 - 70
1	02/02/2021	MEMORANDUM	77 - 78
1	02/01/2021	MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS	71 - 76
1	03/29/2021	NOTICE OF APPEAL	162 - 163
1	01/05/2021	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE	52 - 54
1	03/01/2021	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING EDS'S MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, AND DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS	111 - 115
1	01/04/2021	NOTICE OF HEARING	48 - 48
1	02/03/2021	NOTICE OF HEARING	84 - 84
1	01/05/2021	NOTICE OF INTENT TO APPEAR BY COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	55 - 56
1	01/04/2021	NOTICE OF ORDER SETTING HEARING	49 - 51

# A-20-826013-W Natasha Early, Plaintiff(s) vs. Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada, Defendant(s)

# I N D E X

<u>vor</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
1	12/09/2020	ORDER DENYING APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CONFIDENTIAL)	4 - 5
1	03/01/2021	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, AND DENYING PETITION OF WRIT OF MANDAMUS	108 - 110
1	12/11/2020	ORDER TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CONFIDENTIAL)	9 - 10
1	12/11/2020	PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS	11 - 47
1	02/03/2021	PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, REQUEST TO STRIKE MOTION	85 - 107
1	01/15/2021	SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS	61 - 68
1	03/16/2021	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2021	116 - 161

THIS SEALED
DOCUMENT,
NUMBERED PAGE(S)
1 - 3
WILL FOLLOW VIA
U.S. MAIL

THIS SEALED
DOCUMENT,
NUMBERED PAGE(S)
4 - 5
WILL FOLLOW VIA
U.S. MAIL

THIS SEALED
DOCUMENT,
NUMBERED PAGE(S)
6 - 8
WILL FOLLOW VIA
U.S. MAIL

THIS SEALED
DOCUMENT,
NUMBERED PAGE(S)
9 - 10
WILL FOLLOW VIA
U.S. MAIL

Electronically Filed 12/11/2020 1:15 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Natasha Early

4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035

Las Vegas, NV. 89102

(323) 713-8613

Natashalee0601@gmail.com

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

NATASHA EARLY

Petitioner,

llvs.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY

DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA and

KIMBERLY GAA in her capacity as

13 ADMINISTRATOR of the

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY

14 | DIVISION

Respondents

16

15

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CASE NO: A-20-826013-V

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

# I. STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED AND RELIEF SOUGHT

The Petition requests issuance of a writ of mandamus pursuant to NRAP 21 and NRS 34.160, directing the Employment Security Division, State of Nevada and Kimberly Gaa in her capacity as Administrator of the Employment Security Division (herein after "Respondents") to pay the Petitioner, Natasha Early (herein after "Petitioner") her weekly unemployment benefit payments due for each weekly claim filed because she has been deemed eligible by the Respondents to

Case Number: A-20-826013-W

 receive said weekly benefit payments however the Respondents have failed to pay in contravention to their ministerial duty to pay. Said weekly benefit payments include the additional \$600 a week per the Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (herein after "FPUC) mandated by the Cares Act of 2020 until June 25, 2020 and \$300 per week per the Lost Wages Act from August 1, 2020.

This petition falls under NRAP 17(a)(11).

# POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

# II. STATEMENT OF FACTS & PROCEDURE

In March of 2020, due to the Covid 19 Pandemic crisis sweeping the nation, a statewide shut down of non-essential industries has deprived Petitioner of her ability to be gainfully employed and was forced to apply for unemployment benefits with the Employment Security Division (Respondents), an agency of the Department of Employment, Training, and Rehabilitation.

Petitioner had an existing claim for unemployment benefits that began on April 28, 2019 and expired on April 25, 2020 for a weekly benefit amount of \$285.00 for 26 weeks, a maximum of \$7,282.00 (See Exhibit 1). Petitioner was prevented from applying for unemployment benefits until August of 2020 because the Respondents failed to provide a functional website that could process her claim and

she could not access them by telephone as all she got was a busy signal; she could not access the system to file her claim. The Governor declared that all Unemployment Benefit Claims would be backdated to March 15, 2020, this is of public record and the Court should take Judicial Notice.

Petitioner finally filed a claim for Unemployment Benefits in August of 2020, because she could not file any time earlier, since March of 2020. The Respondents did not process her claim under the 2019 existing claim when the Covid 19 shut down occurred in March of 2020 but treated her application as a new claim commencing on August 9, 2020 (See Exhibit 2), which only granted her \$71.00 for 12 weeks. She also did not get this claim approved by the Respondents until November 4, 2020 when she was paid all of the 12 weeks at once totaling \$816.00 and to Emergency Extended benefits per the Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation in the same amount of \$71.00 a week for 12 weeks, in which she was paid \$213.00 on November 25, 2020.

Petitioner contacted the Respondents to backdate the claim to March 15, 2020 and to in fact re-open the 2019 claim in Exhibit 1 and to treat her application for that governing claim which would entitle her to \$285.00 a week for 26 weeks, \$600 a week per Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation until July 25, 2020, and also to a first extension the Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation in

 the amount of \$285.00 per week for 13 weeks, and additions State Unemployment Compensation for \$285.00 per week for 13 more weeks.

Instead of the Respondents treating Petitioner fair and equitably, the Respondents erected a complete farce of Petitioner's request and claimed she attempted to backdate her claim to April 26, 2020 (one day after the expiration of the 2019 benefit year, see end year date of the 2019 claim in Exhibit 3) and that she did not file her claim back then because she merely chose not to do so, and determined that she is ineligible for any benefits and that both the \$816.00 and \$213.00 paid is an overpayment which she must repay. Because Petitioner attempted to exercise her rights to her 2019 claim she had been penalized by the Respondents and deprived of even the funds she has received. (See Exhibits 4 and 5). Because Respondents placed Petitioner outside her 2019 claim she has not been able to receive any of the \$600.00 per week as mandated by the Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation, nor could she receive the \$300.00 per week per the Lost Wages Act. Petitioner is deprived in every sense of the word and the Respondents will not offer any remedy.

Petitioner is a single mother and is presently being evicted per the expiration of the CDC Moratorium. The Respondents have failed to pay her the benefits she is entitled to per the 2019 claim as shown in Exhibit 1 as mandated by law and

Governor Sisilak executive orders concerning the backdate of the claims. The writ of mandate must issue to command the Respondents to pay Petitioner according to her 2019 claim.

# III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

# (a) THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS IS WARRANTED

This Court has original jurisdiction over the extraordinary remedies of writs of mandamus, prohibition, and certiorari. 1 The Court has exclusive jurisdiction to issue a writ of mandamus to compel a district court to perform a required act, 2 or to refrain from performing a prohibited act, such as one beyond its subject matter iurisdiction.3

Specifically, "A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act which the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station, NRS 34.160, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion." <sup>4</sup> It is the appropriate remedy to compel performance of a judicial act.<sup>5</sup> Its counterpart, a writ of prohibition, act to prevent a court from Transcending the limitation of its jurisdiction. 6

Both writs are to be issued when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law. 7

<sup>1</sup> Nev. Const. Art 6 §§ 4, 6.

<sup>2</sup> NRS. 34.160.

<sup>3</sup>NRS 34.320; NRS 34.330

<sup>4</sup> Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

<sup>5</sup> Solis-Ramirez v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court ex rel. County of Clark, 112 Nev. 344, 913 P. 2d 1293 (1996).

<sup>6</sup> Goicoechea v. Fourth Judicial Dist. Court ex re. County of Elko, 96 Nev. 287, 607 P.2d 1140 (1980)

<sup>7</sup> Hickey v. District Court, 105 Nev. 729, 782 P. 2.d 1336 (1989); NRS 34.160; NRS 34.330.

# (b) THE RESPONDENTS HAVE A DUTY TO PAY PETITIONER HER WEEKLY BENEFIT PAYMENTS.

N.R.S. 612,220 holds in relevant part that the Administrator of the Employment Security Division must pay petitioner for each week she is entitled as follows....

3. Has power and authority to adopt, amend or rescind such rules and regulations, to employ, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter,

such persons, make such expenditures, require such reports, make such investigations, and take such other action as the Administrator deems necessary or suitable to that end.

Additionally, per N.R.S 612.350 the Administrator must pay petitioner each weekly benefit payment she is eligible to receive as follows...

1. An eligible person who is unemployed and otherwise entitled to receive benefits in any week must be paid for that week a benefit in an amount equal to the person's weekly benefit amount, less 75 percent of the remuneration payable to him or her for that week.

# (C) WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO ISSUE WHEN NO PLAIN, SPEEDY, AND ADEQUATE REMEDY IN LAW

NRS. 34.170 provides that the writ of mandamus shall be issued, "in all cases where there is not a plain, speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law". Mandamus is a proper remedy to compel performance of a judicial act when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law in order to compel the performance of an act which the law requires as a duty resulting from office. Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court ex rel. County of Clark, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P 2.d 849, (1991). Where the law especially enjoins a duty upon an officer, and leaves him no discretion, and there is no other adequate remedy, performance may be enforced by

23

24

25

28

mandamus. State ex rel. Mighels v. Eggers, 36 Nev. 364, 136 P. 104, (1913). Mandamus is a remedy which may be invoked to cause an administrative officer to perform a ministerial act when the duty to perform such act is clear Gill v. State ex rel Booher, 75 Nev. 448, 345 P. 2d 421, (1959). The writ of mandamus should be resorted to only when the usual and ordinary remedies fail to afford adequate relief, and without it there would be a failure of justice; if there is an adequate remedy at law by which relief may be attained, is should be taken. State ex rel. Torreyson v. Board of Comm'rs, 22 Nev. 263, 38 P. 668, (1895). Since a reference to a special master is not an appealable order in the ordinary course of law, mandamus is an appropriate remedy if it found that the trial judge exceeded his authority by appointing a special master, Russell v. Thompson, 96 Nev. 830, 619 P. 2d 537, (1980). If an important issue of law needs clarification and public policy is served by the exercise of jurisdiction, then extraordinary review may be justified. Lowe Enters. Residential Partners, L.P. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 118 Nev. 92, 40 P. 3d 405 (2002).

# WRIT OF PROHIBITION MAY BE ISSUED BY SUPREME **(D)** COURT WHEN

NO PLAIN, SPEEDY AND ADEQUATE REMEDY IN LAW

NRS 34.330 provides the "writ of prohibition may be issued only by the Supreme Court....to an inferior tribunal, board or person.....in all cases where

there is not a plain, speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law".

The writ of prohibition ought to issue freely whenever it is necessary for the protection of the rights of a litigant and he has no other plain, speedy, and adequate remedy. Silver Peak Mines v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 33 Nev. 97, 110 P. 503 (1910). Petitions for writs were appropriate vehicle for challenging orders because no statute or rule provided for an appeal from the contested orders entered by the district court, petitions for writs of prohibition and mandamus were the appropriate vehicle for challenging such orders. Angell v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court ex rel. County of Clark, 108 Nev. 923, 839 P. 2d 1329, (1992).

Accordingly, as supported above, the writs of mandamus or prohibition may issue forth as Petitioner's issues fall within the necessary scope judicial officer's exceeding their jurisdiction and the necessity to protect the Petitioner from a failure of justice.

# IV. ARGUMENT

Petitioner is deemed eligible to receive unemployment weekly benefits payments with an effective date of April 28, 2019 to expire on April 25, 2020. She is entitled to the additional \$600 per week, per the FPUC of the Cares Act from April 5, 2020 until July 25, 2020. She will also be entitled the two extensions of unemployment weekly benefit payments, as her claim would have expired on

April 25, 2020 and she would file for the extensions which would again entitle her to the extra \$600.00 per week. At this point she is in the hole for the full 13 weeks of the first extension and at least 11 weeks into the second, if her claim was treated properly, as well the extra \$300.00 per week from August 1, 2020 per the Lost Wages Act. The Administrator is prohibiting petitioner from receiving her weekly past due benefit payments in contravention of her duty and the law. The Administrator is preventing Petitioner from applying for and receiving extended benefit payments for which she would be deemed eligible.

The Respondents have failed to execute their duty, any appeal for her denial of the most recent benefits is arbitrary and capricious decisions as shown in Exhibit 3 and 4 and are just another delay and detour into injustice because they will not recognize her 2019 claim. There exist no plain, speedy or adequate remedy to resolve this matter as Petitioner's attempts to address and correct these matters with the Respondents have resulted in illegally penalized her into further deprivation. The Petitioner is in dire need of her 2019 Claim and the all the funds due to her, poverty and homelessness are a present and sure reality, the Wirt of Mandamus must issue.

////

27 | | | | | |

# V. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Accordingly, as shown above and good cause appearing, there exists no plain, adequate or speedy remedy available to resolve the failure of the Respondents to pay Petitioner her unemployment benefits, to include the additional \$600 per week of FPUC owed, and it requested that the writ of mandamus be issued on the Respondents to pay Petitioner all past due weekly benefits payments according to the 2019 claim and to allow Petitioner to apply for the first and second of the extension rate of \$285 per week and to the \$300.00 a week per the Lost Wages Act.

Respectfully Submitted

December 11, 2020

Natasha Early

nataha &

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing PETITION

FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS to the Defendant's via First Class Prepaid U.S

Postal Mail on December 1, 2020 addressed as follows:

Employment Security Division, Kimberly Gaa 500 E. Third St. Carson City, NV. 89713

Office of the Attorney General for the State of Nevada 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, Nevada 

December 1, 2020

Natasha Early

# EXHIBIL I

# **Employment Security Division**

Monetary Unit 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713-0035 Tel (775) 684-0444 Fax (775) 684-0463





NATASHA EARLY 9105 W FLAMINGO RD APT 2014 LAS VEGAS, NV 89147-6438 Claimant ID: 5101463
Re: Notice of Monetary
Determination

Date Mailed: 05/07/2019 Appeal Date: 05/20/2019 Claim Effective Date:

04/28/2019 Program: UI

This Notice of Monetary Determination is to inform you of the wages used by the Division to calculate your monetary eligibility for unemployment benefits. Your benefit amount is calculated on base period wages reported by your Employer(s). Your base period is 01/01/2018 through 12/31/2018.

Per Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 612.025 [1], the base period is defined as the first four of the last five completed calendar quarters immediately preceding the effective date of your initial claim for benefits. For additional information regarding Alternate Base Period eligibility, contact Nevada Telephone Claim Center.

Your benefit year is a consecutive 52 week period beginning the effective date of your claim. Benefits may be paid for any period you are out of work during the benefit year, as long as you have a remaining benefit balance.

If a person does not meet the wage requirements by using the first four of the last five completed calendar quarters, then an Alternate Base Period claim may be established using the last four completed calendar quarters.

Per Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 612.025 [2], the alternate base period is defined as the last four completed calendar quarters immediately preceding the effective date of your initial claim for benefits.

Receipt of this letter does not necessarily mean that you are qualified for unemployment benefits. Its purpose is to advise you of the benefit amount you are entitled to if you are meeting all other eligibility requirements for unemployment benefits. See the Nevada Unemployment Insurance Facts for Claimants booklet for more information about eligibility issues and the method used to calculate your benefit amount. This booklet is available on-line at <a href="http://ui.nv.gov">http://ui.nv.gov</a>.

Carefully examine the wages which were reported by your employer(s). Errors or missing wages could reduce your benefits or prevent you from qualifying for unemployment benefits. If the information below contains errors, omissions, or reported wages not earned by you, you are responsible for notifying the Division. Your written request must include the reason that you disagree with the determination. Include your name, social security number and any proof of



Report suspected UI Fraud online at https://ulfraud.nvdetr.org

LET142\_80.0.0

work such as copies of paycheck stubs, W2 forms, etc. Failure to provide accurate information may result in the overpayment of benefits for which you may be responsible for repayment.

Please be advised that Military, Federal Government or wages from another state will be shown as pending until the wages are received. These wages are requested at the time you filed your claim. You will receive a redetermination when these wages are added to your claim. Please continue to file your weekly claims during this process.

**NOTE:** Unemployment compensation information may be requested and utilized for other governmental purposes, including, but not limited to, verification of an individual's eligibility for other governmental programs and may be subject to verification through computer matching programs with other agencies, pursuant to applicable federal and state law, including 20 C.F.R. § 603.11, NRS 612.265, and the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a.

Employer	QTR Q1/2018	QTR Q2/2018	QTR Q3/2018	QTR Q4/2018	Employer Total	
CALABRINI LLC	\$4,286.42	\$745,86	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,032.28	
AIRPORT MGMT SERVICES LLC	\$0.00	\$2,651.85	\$7,131.93	\$5,765.67	\$15,549.45	
LOGICAL POSITION NEVADA LLC	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$1,267.00	
Total Wages	\$4,286.42	\$3,397.71	\$7,131.93	\$7,032.67	\$21,848.73	

Based on the above information you are potentially eligible for:

Weekly Amount	Total Weeks	Maximum Payable Amount
\$285.00	26	\$7,282.00

You have the right to file an appeal. In accordance with NRS 612.485, the last day to protest this determination or request cancellation of this claim is 05/20/2019.

\_Page 2 of 3

LET142\_80.0.0

# APPEAL RIGHTS AND INFORMATION

If you disagree with this determination you may file an appeal. An appeal must be filed within 11 days of the date the decision was sent to you.

You may request an appeal date extension, if you did not file your appeal timely; however, you must show good cause for the delay in filing. You may appeal by writing a letter to the address shown below. Your appeal must include the reason for appealing, the employer name, your social security number, and your signature. If an interpreter is needed, please include this request in the appeal letter. If you need additional information please contact the Telephone Claims Office at (888) 890-8211.

Your last day to appeal this decision is 05/20/2019. You may appeal this decision by sending a fax or letter to:

For immediate consideration Fax to:

(775) 684-0463

or

Mail to:

**Employment Security Division/Monetary** 

500 East Third Street

Carson City, Nevada 89713

An equal opportunity employer/program.

Auxiliary aids and services available upon request for individuals with disabilities

TTY (775) 687-5353 Relay 711 or (800) 326-6868

During the appeal process you must continue to file claims for any week you are unemployed to preserve any benefit rights that may be established as a result of the appeal.

\_Page 3 of 3

LET142\_80.0.0

# EXHIBIT 2

# **Employment Security Division** Monetary Unit 500 East Third Street

Carson City, NV 89713-0035 Tel (775) 684-0444 Fex (775) 684-0463





**NATASHA EARLY** 4650 W OAKEY BLVD INTO 2035 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

**Claimant ID: 5101463** Re: Notice of Monetary Determination Date Mailed: 08/11/2020

Appeal Date: 08/24/2020 Claim Effective Date:

08/09/2020 Program: UI

This Notice of Monetary Determination is to inform you of the wages used by the Division to calculate your monetary eligibility for unemployment benefits. Your benefit amount is calculated on base period wages reported by your Emptoyer(s). Your base period is 04/01/2019 through 03/31/2020.

Per Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 612.025 [1], the base period is defined as the first four of the last five completed calendar quarters immediately preceding the effective date of your initial claim for benefits. For additional information regarding Alternate Base Period eligibility, contact Nevada Telephone Claim Center.

Your benefit year is a consecutive 52 week period beginning the effective date of your claim. Benefits may be paid for any period you are out of work during the benefit year, as long as you have a remaining benefit balance.

If a person does not meet the wage requirements by using the first four of the last five completed calendar quarters, then an Alternate Base Period claim may be established using the last four completed calendar quarters.

Per Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 612.025 [2], the alternate base period is defined as the last four completed calendar quarters immediately preceding the effective date of your initial claim for benefits.

Receipt of this letter does not necessarily mean that you are qualified for unemployment benefits. Its purpose is to advise you of the benefit amount you are entitled to if you are meeting all other eligibility requirements for unemployment benefits. See the Nevada Unemployment Insurance Facts for Claimants booklet for more information about eligibility issues and the method used to calculate your benefit amount. This booklet is available on-line at http://ui.nv.gov.

Carefully examine the wages which were reported by your employer(s). Errors or missing wages could reduce your benefits or prevent you from qualifying for unemployment benefits. If the information below contains errors, omissions, or reported wages not earned by you, you are responsible for notifying the Division. Your written request must include the reason that you disagree with the determination. Include your name, social security number and any proof of



Report suspected UI Fraud online at https://uifraud.nvdetr.org

LET142\_86.0.0

work such as copies of paycheck stubs, W2 forms, etc. Failure to provide accurate information may result in the overpayment of benefits for which you may be responsible for repayment.

Please be advised that Military, Federal Government or wages from another state will be shown as pending until the wages are received. These wages are requested at the time you filed your claim. You will receive a redetermination when these wages are added to your claim. Please continue to file your weekly claims during this process.

**NOTE:** Unemployment compensation information may be requested and utilized for other governmental purposes, including, but not limited to, verification of an individual's eligibility for other governmental programs and may be subject to verification through computer matching programs with other agencies, pursuant to applicable federal and state law, including 20 C.F.R. § 603.11, NRS 612.265, and the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a.

To protect your benefit rights, please download and read the Nevada Unemployment Insurance Facts for Claimants Handbook located at ui.nv.gov ui.nv.gov/Handbooks/uinv\_handbook.htm

Para proteger sus derechos a sus beneficios, descargue y lea el manual de Informacion para Reclamantes del Seguro de Desempleoen Nevada en ui.nv.gov ui.nv.gov/Handbooks/uinv\_handbook.htm

Employer	QTR Q2/2019	QTR Q3/2019	QTR Q4/2019	QTR Q1/2020	Employer Total	
AUS MARKETING RESEARCH SYSTEMS	\$150.66	\$1,598.78	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,749.44	
LOGICAL POSITION NEVADA LLC	\$386.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		NV
GSK RESEARCH INC	\$0.00	\$184.47	\$128.81	\$0.00	<b>40.00</b>	NV
Total Wages	\$536.66	\$1,783.25	\$128.81	\$0.00	\$2,448.72	

Based on the above information you are potentially eligible for:

Weekly Amount	Total Weeks	Maximum Payable Amount
\$71.00	12	\$816.00

You have the right to file an appeal. In accordance with NRS 612.485, the last day to protest this determination or request cancellation of this claim is 08/24/2020.

After your Unemployment Insurance (UI) claim expires or exhausts, you may be eligible to receive an additional 13 weeks of benefits under Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation (PEUC). After your PEUC claim exhausts, you may be eligible to receive another additional 13 weeks of benefits under State Extended Benefits (SEB). In order to receive these additional benefits you must take action on ui.nv.gov or call the telephone claims center at (702-486-0350,

\_Page 2 of 4

LET142\_86.0.0

775-684-0350, or 1-888-890-8211) to file for PEUC or SEB. All other eligibility requirements must be met in order to receive additional PEUC or SEB benefits.

\_Page 3 of 4

LET142\_86.0.0

## APPEAL RIGHTS AND INFORMATION

If you disagree with this determination you may file an appeal. An appeal must be filed within 11 days of the date the decision was sent to you.

You may request an appeal date extension, if you did not file your appeal timely; however, you must show good cause for the delay in filing. You may appeal by writing a letter to the address shown below. Your appeal must include the reason for appealing, the employer name, your social security number, and your signature. If an interpreter is needed, please include this request in the appeal letter. If you need additional information please contact the Telephone Claims Office at (888) 890-8211.

Your last day to appeal this decision is 08/24/2020. You may appeal this decision by sending a fax or letter to:

# For immediate consideration Fax to:

(775) 684-0463

OΓ

Mail to:

**Employment Security Division/Monetary** 

500 East Third Street

Carson City, Nevada 89713

An equal opportunity employer/program.

Auxiliary aids and services available upon request for individuals with disabilities

TTY (775) 687-5353 Relay 711 or (800) 326-6868

During the appeal process you must continue to file claims for any week you are unemployed to preserve any benefit rights that may be established as a result of the appeal.

## INFORMACIÓN EN ESPAÑOL

Este comunicado contiene información importante acerca de su reclamo. Si usted tiene problemas para leer y comprender inglés, puede comunicarse con un representante de la División para que le ayuden con la traducción.

El Norte de Nevada......1-775-687-8148 El Sur de Nevada.....1-702-486-2957 Número de teléfono gratuito...1-888-687-8147

Examine cuidadosamente los ingresos reportados por su empleador (es). Errores o ingresos que no sean reportados podrían reducir sus beneficios o evitar que califique para beneficios de desempleo. Es su responsabilidad avisar a la división si la siguiente información contiene errores, omisiones, o ingresos que usted no gano. Debe reportario por escrito y incluir el motivo por el cual no está de acuerdo con los ingresos que aparecen en la determinación. Incluya su nombre, número de seguro social o número de reclamante y cualquier prueba de sus ingresos, como copias de taiones de cheques, formularios W2, etc. Usted puede ser responsable de pagar cualquier sobrepago creado por no proporcionar información precisa.

Usted puede presentar una apelación si no está de acuerdo con esta determinación. Se debe presentar dentro de los 11 días de la fecha en que se le envió esta decisión. Durante el proceso de apelación, debe continuar haciendo sus rectamos semanales por cada semana en que este desempleado para preservar cualquier derecho a beneficios que pueda establecerse como resultado de la apelación.

\_\_\_\_\_Page 4 of 4 LET142\_86.0.0

# EXHIBIT 3

print 12/1/2020

**NATASHA EARLY** 

4650 W OAKEY BLVD , APT 2035, LAS VEGAS NV 89102-1516

NATASHALEE0601@GMAIL.COM

Maximum Benefit Amount: \$7,282.00 **Effective Date: 04/28/2019** End Date: 04/25/2020

Weekly Benefit Amount: \$285.00

**Payment Summary** 

**Total Amount Paid:** \$0,00

Remaining Balance Amount:

Overpayment Balance: \$0.00

Benefit Week

**Date Filed Net Amount Paid**  Overpaid Week

**Deductions Payment Issued**  Confirmation Number

View Claim Answers

05/11/2019 05/04/2019

1/1

# EXHIBIT 4

# Employment Security Division

Adjudication Center 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713-0035 Tel (775) 584-0302 Fax (775) 684-0338 Tel (702) 486-7999 Fax (702) 486-7987





# **Amended**

NATASHA EARLY 4650 W OAKEY BLVD APT 2035 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102-1518

Claimant ID: 5101463 Issue ID: 5671748 Week End Date: 05/02/2020 Date Mailed: 11/30/2020 Last Day to Appeal: 12/11/2020 Decision Date: 11/25/2020

\* See back of form for Appeal Rights and other important information.

"Vea el reverso de la hoja para los derechos de apelación y otra información importante.

# DECISION

You are not entitled to benefits from 04/26/2020 to 08/08/2020.

As a result of your disqualification, you may have been overpaid Unemployment insurance benefits. If you have been overpaid, you will be issued a separate determination that will show the amount overpaid.

# **REASON FOR DECISION**

You have requested your claim for unemployment insurance benefits be effective 04/26/2020. You filed your claim on 08/10/2020 and it is effective 08/09/2020, the Sunday of the week in which you filed. You did not file earlier because you were unable to file in April as you had no access to file.

Since you chose not to file sooner, your request to backdate your claim is denied.

# Pertinent Section of Law:

NRS 612.375.1(a): An unemployed person is eligible to receive benefits only if he has registered for work at, and has continued to report as instructed by, an office of the Employment Security Division. The disqualification for failing to meet registration requirements or for failing to report or file claims in the manner prescribed is for each of those weeks in which such failure occurred.



Report suspected UI Fraud online at https://detr.nv.gov

# REASON FOR AMENDMENT

not to file sconer

# APPEAL RIGHTS

Notice: If you receive more than one decision, read each one carefully to protect your appeal rights. ANY ineligible decision will stop payment of this claim. Please read the following information carefully. If you disagree with this decision you have the right to file an appeal. The appeal must be faxed or postmarked by 12/11/2029. You may request an appeal date extension, if you did not file your appeal timely, however, you must show good cause for the delay in filing. You may appeal by writing a letter to the address shown at the top of the previous page. This appeal must include your reason for appealing, the employer name, your social security number and your signature. If an interpreter is needed, please include this request in the appeal letter. During the appeal process you must continue to file claims for any week you are unemployed to preserve any benefit rights that may be established as a result of the appeal. If your employer files an appeal, you should participate in the hearing to protect your rights. If you need additional information, please contact the telephone claims office.

An equal opportunity employer/program.

Auxillary aids and services available upon request for individuals with disabilities

TTY (775) 687-5353 Relay 711 or (800) 326-6868

# For Spanish Language Interpretation

# Para la traducción al Español

Avisol: Esta notificación contiene información importante acerca de su rectamo, incluyendo plazos para la apelación. Si Ud. tiene problemas para leer y entender Inglés, puede contactarse con un representante de la Dívisión de Seguridad de Empleo al para assistencia en traducción.

El Norte de Nevada	.1-775-687-8148
El Sur de Nevada	.1-702-486-2957
Numero de llamada gratulta	.1-888-687-8147

Si la decisión establece que usted has sido descalificado para los beneficios de desempleo, usted tiene el derecho de apelar esta decisión presentando una apelación dentro de once dias con el División de Seguridad de Empleo. La fecha límite de apelación está anotada en la parte superior de la carta de decisión. Si usted no presenta una apelación a tiempo, puede perder la oportunidad de recibir los beneficios de desempleo. Si se determina que usted no tiene derecho a recibir los beneficios de desempleo, usted puede ser responsable de devolver los beneficios que haya recibido previamente.

\_\_\_\_\_Page 2 of 2 LET7712\_154.0.0

# **Employment Security Division**

Adjudication Center 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713-0035 Tel (775) 684-0302 Fax (775) 684-0338 Tel (702) 486-7999 Fax (702) 486-7987





# Original

NATASHA EARLY 4650 W OAKEY BLVD APT 2035 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102-1516 Claimant ID: 5101463 Issue ID: 5671748 Week End Date: 05/02/2020 Date Mailed: 11/25/2020 Last Day to Appeal: 12/07/2020 Decision Date: 11/24/2020

 See back of form for Appeal Rights and other important information.

\*Vea el reverso de la hoja para los derechos de apelación y otra información importante.

# **DECISION**

You are ineligible for benefits from 04/26/2020.

As a result of your disqualification, you may have been overpaid Unemployment Insurance benefits. If you have been overpaid, you will be issued a separate determination that will show the amount overpaid.

# **REASON FOR DECISION**

You have requested your claim for unemployment insurance benefits be effective 04/26/2020. You filed your claim on 08/10/2020 and it is effective 08/09/2020, the Sunday of the week in which you filed. You did not file earlier because you were unable to file in April as you had no access to file.

Since you chose not to file sooner, your request to backdate your claim is denied.

# **Pertinent Section of Law:**

NRS 612.375.1(a): An unemployed person is eligible to receive benefits only if he has registered for work at, and has continued to report as instructed by, an office of the Employment Security Division. The disqualification for failing to meet registration requirements or for failing to report or file claims in the manner prescribed is for each of those weeks in which such failure occurred.



Report suspected UI Fraud online at https://detr.nv.gov

# **APPEAL RIGHTS**

Notice: If you receive more than one decision, read each one carefully to protect your appeal rights. ANY ineligible decision will stop payment of this claim. Please read the following information carefully. If you disagree with this decision you have the right to file an appeal. The appeal must be faxed or postmarked by 12/07/2020. You may request an appeal date extension, if you did not file your appeal timely, however, you must show good cause for the delay in filing. You may appeal by writing a letter to the address shown at the top of the previous page. This appeal must include your reason for appealing, the employer name, your social security number and your signature. If an interpreter is needed, please include this request in the appeal letter. During the appeal process you must continue to file claims for any week you are unemployed to preserve any benefit rights that may be established as a result of the appeal. If your employer files an appeal, you should participate in the hearing to protect your rights. If you need additional information, please contact the telephone claims office.

An equal opportunity employer/program.

Auxiliary aids and services available upon request for individuals with disabilities

TTY (775) 687-5353 Relay 711 or (800) 326-6868

# For Spanish Language Interpretation

# Para la traducción al Español

Aviso!: Esta notificación contiene información importante acerca de su rectamo, incluyendo plazos para la apelación. Si Ud. tiene problemas para leer y entender inglés, puede contactarse con un representante de la División de Seguridad de Empleo al para assistencia en traducción.

El Norte de Nevada	1-775-687-8148
El Sur de Nevada	
Numero de llamada graf	

Si la decisión establece que usted has sido descalificado para los beneficios de desempleo, usted tiene el derecho de apelar esta decisión presentando una apelación dentro de once dias con el División de Seguridad de Empleo. La fecha límite de apelación está anotada en la parte superior de la carta de decisión. Si usted no presenta una apelación a tiempo, puede perder la oportunidad de recibir los beneficios de desempleo. Si se determina que usted no tiene derecho a recibir los beneficios de desempleo, usted puede ser responsable de devolver los beneficios que haya recibido previamente.

\_Page 2 of 2

# **Employment Security Division**

Adjudication Center 500 East Third Street Cerson City, NV 89713-0035 Tel (775) 684-0302 Fax (775) 684-0338 Tel (702) 486-7999 Fax (702) 486-7987





# **Original**

NATASHA EARLY 4650 W OAKEY BLVD APT 2035 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102-1516 Claimant ID: 5101463 Issue ID: 5671748 Week End Date: 05/02/2020 Date Malled: 11/25/2020 Last Day to Appeal: 12/07/2020 Decision Date: 11/24/2020

\* See back of form for Appeal Rights and other important information.

"Vea el reverso de la hoja para los derechos de apelación y otra Información importante.

# **DECISION**

You are ineligible for benefits from 04/26/2020.

As a result of your disqualification, you may have been overpaid Unemployment Insurance benefits. If you have been overpaid, you will be issued a separate determination that will show the amount overpaid.

# **REASON FOR DECISION**

You have requested your claim for unemployment insurance benefits be effective 04/26/2020. You filed your claim on 08/10/2020 and it is effective 08/09/2020, the Sunday of the week in which you filed. You did not file earlier because you were unable to file in April as you had no access to file.

Since you chose not to file sooner, your request to backdate your claim is denied.

# **Pertinent Section of Law:**

NRS 612.375.1(a): An unemployed person is eligible to receive benefits only if he has registered for work at, and has continued to report as instructed by, an office of the Employment Security Division. The disqualification for failing to meet registration requirements or for failing to report or file claims in the manner prescribed is for each of those weeks in which such failure occurred.



Report suspected UI Fraud online at https://detr.nv.gov

#### APPEAL RIGHTS

Notice: If you receive more than one decision, read each one carefully to protect your appeal rights. ANY ineligible decision will stop payment of this claim. Please read the following information carefully. If you disagree with this decision you have the right to file an appeal. The appeal must be faxed or postmarked by 12/07/2020. You may request an appeal date extension, if you did not file your appeal timely, however, you must show good cause for the delay in filling. You may appeal by writing a letter to the address shown at the top of the previous page. This appeal must include your reason for appealing, the employer name, your social security number and your signature. If an interpreter is needed, please include this request in the appeal letter. During the appeal process you must continue to file claims for any week you are unemployed to preserve any benefit rights that may be established as a result of the appeal. If your employer files an appeal, you should participate in the hearing to protect your rights. If you need additional information, please contact the telephone claims office.

An equal opportunity employer/program.

Auxiliary aids and services available upon request for individuals with disabilities

TTY (775) 687-5353 Relay 711 or (800) 326-6868

# For Spanish Language Interpretation

# Para la traducción al Español

Avisol: Esta notificación contiene información importante acerca de su reclamo, incluyendo plazos para la apelación. Si Ud. tiene problemas para leer y entender Inglés, puede contactarse con un representante de la División de Seguridad de Empleo al para assistencia en traducción.

El Norte de Nevada.....1-775-687-8148 El Sur de Nevada.....1-702-486-2957 Numero de llamada gratuita...1-888-687-8147

Si la decisión establece que usted has sido descalificado para los beneficios de desempleo, usted tiene el derecho de apelar esta decisión presentando una apelación dentro de once días con el División de Seguridad de Empleo. La fecha límite de apelación está anotada en la parte superior de la carta de decisión. Si usted no presenta una apelación a tiempo, puede perder la oportunidad de recibir los beneficios de desempleo. Si se determina que usted no tiene derecho a recibir los beneficios de desempleo, usted puede ser responsable de devolver los beneficios que haya recibido previamente.

\_Page 2 of 2

LET7712\_154.0.0

# EXHIBIT 5

# Employment Security Division

Adjudication Center 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713-0035 Tel (775) 684-0302 Fax (775) 684-0338 Tel (702) 488-7999 Fax (702) 488-7987





# Original

NATASHA EARLY 4650 W OAKEY BLVD APT 2035 ' LAS VEGAS, NV 89102-1516 Ctalmant ID: 5101463 Issue ID: 5672616 Week End Date: 07/25/2020 Date Mailed: 11/30/2020 Last Day to Appeal: 12/11/2020 Decision Date: 11/25/2020

\* See back of form for Appeal Rights and other important information.

\*Vea el reverso de la hoja para los derechos de apelación y otra información importante.

#### **DECISION**

You are ineligible for benefits from 07/19/2020.

As a result of your disqualification, you may have been overpaid Unemployment insurance benefits. If you have been overpaid, you will be issued a separate determination that will show the amount overpaid.

## **REASON FOR DECISION**

You have requested your claim for unemployment insurance benefits be effective 07/19/2020. You filed your claim on 11/04/2020 and it is effective 11/01/2020, the Sunday of the week in which you filed. You did not file earlier because you were unable to file your claim in April.

Since you chose not to file sooner, your request to backdate your claim is denied.

## Pertinent Section of Law:

NRS 612.375.1(a): An unemployed person is eligible to receive benefits only if he has registered for work at, and has continued to report as instructed by, an office of the Employment Security Division. The disqualification for failing to meet registration requirements or for failing to report or file claims in the manner prescribed is for each of those weeks in which such failure occurred.



Report suspected UI Fraud online at https://detr.nv.gov

LET7712\_154.0.0

#### APPEAL RIGHTS

Notice: If you receive more than one decision, read each one carefully to protect your appeal rights. ANY ineligible decision will stop payment of this claim. Please read the following information carefully. If you disagree with this decision you have the right to file an appeal. The appeal must be faxed or postmarked by 12/11/2020. You may request an appeal date extension, if you did not file your appeal timely, however, you must show good cause for the delay in filing. You may appeal by writing a letter to the address shown at the top of the previous page. This appeal must include your reason for appealing, the employer name, your social security number and your signature. If an interpreter is needed, please include this request in the appeal letter. During the appeal process you must continue to file claims for any week you are unemployed to preserve any benefit rights that may be established as a result of the appeal. If your employer files an appeal, you should participate in the hearing to protect your rights. If you need additional information, please contact the telephone claims office.

An equal opportunity employer/program.

Auxiliary aids and services available upon request for individuals with disabilities TTY (775) 687-5353 Relay 711 or (800) 326-6868

# For Spanish Language Interpretation

# Para la traducción al Español

Avisol: Esta notificación contiene información importante acerca de su reclamo, incluyendo plazos para la apelación. Si Ud. tiene problemas para leer y entender Inglés, puede contactarse con un representante de la División de Seguridad de Empleo al para assistencia en traducción.

El Norte de Nevada	1-775-687-8148
El Sur de Nevada	
Numero de liamada grati	

Si la decisión establece que usted has sido descalificado para los beneficios de desempleo, usted tiene el derecho de apelar esta decisión presentando una apelación dentro de once dias con el División de Seguridad de Empleo. La fecha límite de apelación está anotada en la parte superior de la carta de decisión. Si usted no presenta una apelación a tiempo, puede perder la oportunidad de recibir los beneficios de desempleo. Si se determina que usted no tiene derecho a recibir los beneficios de desempleo, usted puede ser responsable de devolver los beneficios que haya recibido previamente.

\_Page 2 of 2

LET7712\_154.0.0

1 Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 2 Las Vegas, NV. 89102 (323) 713-8613 3 Natashalee0601@gmail.com 4 5 6 DECLARANT IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS 7 8 STATE OF NEVADA 9 ) SS. 10 COUNTY OF CLARK ) 11 12 Declarant, Natasha Early, hereby swears and affirms under penalty of perjury that the 13 following assertions are true and correct: 1. Declarant submits this Declaration in Support of Petition for Writ of Mandamus filed 15 16 in this case by Declarant. Declarant is competent to be a witness to the matters stated in this 17 Declaration and could and would testify to those matters in a court of law, under oath, subject to 18 the penalty of perjury. 19 2. Declarant has personal knowledge of the facts and circumstances set forth below 20 21 gained through personal participation. 22 3. I have read the foregoing Petition for Writ of Mandamus and the factual averments it 23 contains are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except as to those matters based on 24 information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. Those factual 25 averments contained in the referenced filing are incorporated here as if set forth in full. 26 27 Based upon Declarant's personal knowledge: 28

- 4. Declarant has been deemed eligible to receive unemployment benefits in the amount of \$285.00 per week for 26 weeks beginning on April 28, 2019 to expire on April 25, 2020, herein after identified as the 2019 claim.
- 5. The Respondents failed in their duty to re-open and or allow Declarant to access her 2019 claim and did prevent her from filing until August 8, 2020, and placed her on new 2020 claim founded on a based period which only granted her \$71.00 for 11 weeks.
- 6. After Declarant made telephone contact to re-open her 2019 and resolve the issues with the mischaracterization of the 2020 claim, the Respondents misconstrued the request as a backdate request of the 2020 to April 26, 2020 and then denied her benefits because, as Respondents held that she voluntarily did not apply for benefits until August 8, 2020 and only requested a backdate to April 26, 2020., which is completely erroneous and false.
- 7. No appeal process exists for an expired claim to be re-opened and therefore she cannot successfully raise the issue on any present appeals with the 2021.
- 8. On November 25, 2020 Respondents amended its decision and granted her benefits from August 8, 2020 in the amount of \$71.00 per week for 11 weeks, Declarant is on the first Extension per Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation in the amount of \$71.00 per week for 7 weeks.
- 9. Declarant is entitled to unemployment benefits in the amount of \$285 per week for 26 weeks commencing March 15, 2020 which would expire on April 25, 2020 per the re-opening of the 2019 claim as it was the governing claim during the beginning of the Covid 19 shut down and Declarant was prevented from applying, in addition Governor Sisolak made a declaration that all claims would be honored and backdated to March 15, 2020.

10. Per the 2019 claim, Declarant is entitled to a first extension of 13 weeks per the
Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation as mandated by the Cares Act of 2020, in
the amount of \$285 per week to commence on April 26, 2020 and expire on July 25, 2020.

- 11. Per the 2019, Declarant is entitled to a second extension of 13 weeks per State Extended Benefits in the amount of \$285.00 per week to commence on July 6 and expire on October 4, 2020.
- 12. Declarant is entitled to \$600.00 per week for 16 weeks per the Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation of the Cares Act of 2020 commencing on April 5, 2020 and expire on July 25, 2020.
- 13. Declarant is entitled to \$300.00 a week for six weeks per the Lost Wages Act to commence in the first week of August 2020 and expire six weeks thereafter.
- 14. Exhibit 1 of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus is a true and correct copy of Notice of the Monetary Determination of the 2019 Claim.
- 15. Exhibit 2 of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus is a true and correct copy of Notice of Monetary Determination of the 2020 claim.
- 16. Exhibit 3 of the Petition of Writ of Mandamus is a true and correct copy of Determination Commencement date of the 2019 claim, showing maximum benefit amount, weekly benefit amount and end date of April 25, 2020.
- 17. Exhibit 4 of the Petition of Writ of Mandamus is a true and correct copy of Amended Notice of Eligibility.
- 18. Exhibit 5 of the Petition of Writ of Mandamus is a true and correct copy of Notice of Ineligibility.

1/4/2021 1:32 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

**Electronically Filed** 1 Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 2 Las Vegas, NV. 89102 (323) 713-8613 3 Natashalee0601@gmail.com 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 NATASHA EARLY CASE NO: A-20-826013-W DEPT NO: XXXI 8 Petitioner, NOTICE OF HEARING 9 VS. 10 EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, 11 STATE OF NEVADA and KIMBERLY GAA in her capacity as ADMINISTRATOR of the 12 EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION 13 Respondents 14 15 16 TO: Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada and Kimberly Gaa as 17 the Administrator of the Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada, 18 YOU AND EACH OF YOU will take notice that on the day of 19 , 2021, at the hour of o'clock .m. of said day, the following: **Petition** 20 21 for Writ of Mandamus will be heard in Department XXXI of the above-entitled Court. 22 DATED the 4th day of Jan. , 2021. 23 Per NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and 24 correct. 25 /s/Natasha Early 26 Petitioner, Pro Se 27 28 PLEADING TITLE - 1

Electronically Filed 1/4/2021 3:47 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NOH

NATASHA EARLY, ET AL;

PLAINTIFF(S),

DEFENDANT(S).

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION

OF THE STATE OF NEVADA; ET AL.,

2

4

5

8

ااو

VS.

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25 26

27

JOANNA S. KISHNER
DISTRICT JUDGE
DEPARTMENT XXXI
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No.: A-20-826013-W

Dept. No.: XXXI

# NOTICE OF ORDER SETTING HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above matter has been placed on calendar for a hearing regarding the Petition for Writ of Mandamus. A briefing schedule may/may not be ordered at the hearing. The hearing will take place on **JANUARY**19, 2021, at 9:00 a.m., in Department XXXI, located at the Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, NV, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor, Courtroom 12B, by remote appearance of the parties.

<u>All</u> counsel/parties must comply with the Administrative Order(s) and the Governor's directives by scheduling their alternative remote appearance - either via CourtCall, 888-882-6878; or audio/visually through Bluejeans (see below). Forms and instructions for remote appearances may be found on the District Court website, <u>www.clarkcountycourts.us/virtual</u>.

22

23 24

25

26

27

JOANNA S. KISHNER DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XXXI AS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155

Parties wishing to appear audio/visually through Bluejeans: To appear via Bluejeans, each counsel/party may either file a Notice of Remote Appearance, which is provided on the Court's website listed above, or the parties may submit a written request to the JEA at: cordt@clarkcountycourts.us. The Bluejeans request must contain the case name and number, name of the party(ies) appearing, time of the hearing, and the email address of the person(s) appearing. If making request via email, all parties must be copied in the emailed request.

Each counsel/party who has either filed a Notice of Remote Appearance form, or submitted a written request to the JEA, will receive a Bluejeans invite containing the link to connect audio and/or visually, after 3:00 p.m. the afternoon before the hearing. \*\*The parties should connect five(5) minutes prior to when their matter is scheduled on the calendar NOT the time the Bluejeans session is scheduled for.\*\* If a party has scheduled their remote appearance through CourtCall, you will receive the dial-in instructions from CourtCall.

The Notice/Request for remote appearances must be filed and/or submitted to the JEA no later than 1:00 p.m. on January 14, 2021.

DATED this 31st day of December, 2020

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on or about the date filed, a copy of this Order was served via Electronic Service to all counsel/registered parties, pursuant to the Nevada Electronic Filing Rules, and/or served via in one or more of the following manners: fax, U.S. mail, or a copy of this Order was placed in the attorney's file located at the Regional Justice Center:

NATASHA EARLY 4650 W. OAKEY BOULVEARD, #2035 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

Email: natashalee0601@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION SERVED VIA E-SERVICE

/s/ Tracy L. Cordoba
TRACY L. CORDOBA-WHEELER
JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT

JOANNA S. KISHNER DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XXXI LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155

Electronically Filed 1/5/2021 12:11 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	NOTA	Den S. Sum
2	TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.	
	Nevada State Bar No. 9073 State of Nevada, Department of	
3	Employment, Training & Rehabilitation (DETR) Employment Security Division (ESD)	
4	500 East Third Street	
5	Carson City, NV 89713 Telephone No.: (775) 684-3996	
	Facsimile No.: (775) 684-3992	
6	Attorney for DETR/ESD	
7	DISTRICT	COURT
8	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
9	NATASHA EARLY, ET AL,	
10	Petitioner,	CASE NO.: A-20-826013-W
	Townsie,	DEPT. NO.: XXXI
11	VS.	
12	EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA and KIMBERLY GAA	HEARING DATE: JANUARY 19, 2021
13	[now, LYNDA PARVEN] in her capacity as	
14	ADMINISTRATOR of the EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION,	HEARING TIME: 9:00 AM
15	Respondents.	
	•	
16	NOTICE OF A	PPEARANCE
17	COMES NOW, Troy C. Jordan, Esq., Sen	ior Legal Counsel, and hereby notifies the Court
18	and all parties that, he makes an appearance in thi	s matter on behalf of the Nevada Department Of
19	Employment, Training and Rehabilitation, Empl	oyment Security Division ("Division"), for the
20	purpose of litigating the Petitioner's Petition for V	Writ of Mandamus.
21	///	
22	///	
23		
24		
ESQ. ounsel R/ESD		

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
Division Sr. Legal Counsel
State of Nevada DETR/ESD
500 East Third Street
Carson City, NV 89713
(775) 684-3996
(775) 684-3992 – FAX

1	All pleadings, notices and other communications must hereinafter be provided to the
2	Division, by and through counsel as follows:
3	TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
4	Division Sr. Legal Counsel State of Nevada, DETR/ESD
5	500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713
6	(775) 684-3996 (775) 684-3992 – Fax
7	DATED this 5 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2021.
8	/s/ TROY C. JORDAN TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
9	Attorney for Nevada ESD
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Counsel State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3996 (775) 684-3992 – FAX	2

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the State of Nevada, over the age of 18 years; and that on the date hereinbelow set forth, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEARANCE, *by either* electronic means (NEFCR 9), as indicated by an email address set forth below, *and/or* by placing the same within an envelope and depositing said envelope with the State of Nevada Mail for postage and mailing from Carson City, Nevada, addressed for delivery as follows:

Natasha Early

Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Blvd. #2035 Las Vegas, NV 89102 natashalee0601@gmail.com

DATED this 5th day of January, 2021.

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Counset State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3992 – FAX <u>/s/ Tiffani M. Silva</u> TIFFANI M. SILVA

Electronically Filed 1/5/2021 12:16 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	NOTH	Dime P. Marie
	TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.	
2	Nevada State Bar No. 9073	
	State of Nevada, Department of	
3	Employment, Training & Rehabilitation (DETF	3)
	Employment Security Division (ESD)	
4	500 East Third Street	
5	Carson City, NV 89713	
ا '	Telephone No.: (775) 684-3996 Facsimile No.: (775) 684-3992	
6	Attorney for DETR/ESD	
ľ	Autorney for DETN/ESD	
7	DISTRICT	COURT
8	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
9	NATASHA EARLY, ET AL,	
		CASE NO.: A-20-826013-W
10	Petitioner,	DEPT NO NAVA
11	NO.	DEPT. NO.: XXXI
11	Vs.	
12	EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION,	
	STATE OF NEVADA and KIMBERLY GAA	HEARING DATE: JANUARY 19, 2021
13	[now, LYNDA PARVEN] in her capacity as	
	ADMINISTRATOR of the EMPLOYMENT	HEARING TIME: 9:00 AM
14	SECURITY DIVISION,	
1.5		
15	Respondents.	
16		
10		
17	NOTICE OF INTENT TO APPEAR BY	Y COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT
18	TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. on behal	f of Nevada Employment Security Division
19	Respondents, submits this Notice of Intent to	Appear by Communication Equipment for the
20	Motion Hearing currently scheduled for January	<u>19, 2021</u> .
21	For the purpose of this appearance I can	be reached at the following telephone number:
22	(775) 684-3996. My email address (for scheduling	g purposes) is <u>ESDLEGAL@DETR.NV.GOV</u> . I
23	understand it is my responsibility to ensure that I	can be reached at this telephone number on the

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
Division Sr. Legal Counsel
State of Nevada DETR/ESD
500 East Third Street
Carson City, NV 89713
(775) 684-3996
(775) 684-3992 – FAX

date and time of the hearing. I also understand that due to the unpredictable nature of court

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. IROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Counsel State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3992 – FAX

proceedings, my hearing may be called at a time other than the scheduled time. Further, I understand that my failure to be available at the above telephone number will constitute a nonappearance.

**DATED** this 5<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2021.

/s/ TROY C. JORDAN
TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
Attorney for Nevada ESD Respondents

Electronically Filed 1/12/2021 4:38 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

**ATEAR** 

Natasha Early

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

٥

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 | 24 |

25

2627

28

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

\* \* \*

Petitioner,

rennonei,

vs.

The Employment Security Division of the State

of Nevada and Kimberly Gaa as the Administrator of the Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada

Respondents.

CASE NO. A-20-826013-W

DEPT. NO. XXXI

Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Request

Pursuant to Rule 4 of the Nevada Supreme Court's RULES GOVERNING

APPEARANCE BY AUDIOVISUAL TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT, Petitioner requests that

Natasha Early be permitted to testify by remote court appearance via video conference for the

trial or evidentiary hearing scheduled to begin on:.

Date: January 19, 2021

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Courtroom No.: XXXI

Natasha Early by executing the attached Audiovisual Transmission

Equipment Appearance Consent, agrees to be bound by the oath given by the Court Clerk,

Eighth Judicial District Court and to be subject to the jurisdiction of this Court for purposes

related to this testimony.

Natasha Early agrees to provide all exhibits to above named Respondents\_in advance in the same form as have been or will be submitted to the Court Clerk.

Page 1 of 4

1	Any objection to this request must be made in writing within two (2) judicial days of
2	service of this request.
3	Natasha Early agrees that by submitting this request, the party and witness (or their
4	respective representatives) will test and verify the functionality of video conference connectivity
5	with the Court's IT department at least two (2) judicial days before the scheduled appearance.
6	Contact information for the test is:
7 8	Name of Counsel/Party :Natasha Early
9	Email Address:Natashalee0601@gmail.com
10	Phone Number: 323 713 8613
11	
12	Name of Witness: Natasha Early
13	Email Address:Natashalee0601@gmail.com
14	Phone Number: 323 713 8613
15	Natasha Early certifies that the video connection has been successfully tested at
16	http://bluejeans.com/111, prior to submitting this application.
17	D-4-141:- 12 J 6 J 2021
18	Dated this 12 day of January, 2021.
19	/s/ Natasha Early Natasha Early – Proper Person Petitioner
20	
21	Certificate of Service
22	I hereby certify, that on the date filed, this Audiovisual Transmission
23	Equipment Appearance Request were served on the parties identified on the District Court E-File
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	system e-service list (or alternate method), per 53.045.
26	
27	_/s/ Natasha Early
28	

ATEAC	
DIGENIAN COLUMN	
DISTRICT COURT	
-	CASE NO. A-20-826013-W
ŕ	DEPT. NO. XXXI
	Audiovisual Transmission
of Nevada and Kimberly Gaa as the	Equipment Appearance Consent
Administrator of the Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada	
Respondents.	
By making this request for Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance, the	
undersigned agrees to be bound by the oath given by the Court Clerk over the video conference	
connection and to be subject to the jurisdiction of this Court for purposes related to this	
/s/ Natasha Early	
Print Name:Natasha Early	
Date:January 12, 2021	
Email Address:]	Natashalee0601@gmail.com
Phone Number: 323	713 8613
and correct, per NRS 53.045	i me state of Nevada that the foregoing is true
Executed on	
/s/ Natasha Early January 12, 2	2021
(signature)	
Page 1	3 of 4
	DISTRICT CLARK COUN'  **  Natasha Early  Petitioner,  vs.  The Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada and Kimberly Gaa as the Administrator of the Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada  Respondents.  By making this request for Audiovisual Trundersigned agrees to be bound by the oath given connection and to be subject to the jurisdiction of testimony. /s/ Natasha Early Print Name:Natastate Date:January Email Address:1 Phone Number: 323  I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of and correct, per NRS 53.045  Executed on  /s/ Natasha Early January 12, 2  (signature)

1	
2	OR
3	County of )
4	County of )
5	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE
6	ME THIS day of, 2018.
7	
8	Notary Public in and for said County and State
9	My Commission Expires:
10	
11	Certificate of Service
12	I hereby certify, that on the date filed, this Audiovisual Transmission Equipment
13 14	Appearance Consent were served on the parties identified on the District Court E-File system e-
15	service list (or alternate method), per NRS 53.045
16	
17	/s/ Natasha Early January 12, 2021
18	15/ 14/4/2014 Surry 12/ 2021
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Page 4 of 4

Electronically Filed 1/15/2021 1:02 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 Las Vegas, NV. 89102 (323) 713-8613 Natashalee0601@gmail.com

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NATASHA EARLY,

Petitioner,

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA AND KIMBERLY GAA

OF THE EMPLOYMENT SECURITY

Respondents

IN HER CAPACITY AS ADMINISTRATOR

...

DIVISION,

10 || `

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Case No.: A-20-826013-W

DEPT. NO. XXXI

# SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Petitioner comes forth, pursuant to EJDCR 5.509 and submits this following supplement to the Petition for Writ of Mandamus currently calendared for hearing on January 19, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. An oversight occurred and Petitioner forgot to address and attach as an exhibit to the Petitioner for Writ of Mandamus a critical document showing that the Respondents found her eligible to receive the 2019 unemployment claim as identified in Exhibit 1 and in the Petition. Attached to this document is an adjudication letter from the Respondents determining that she is indeed eligible to benefits from 04/14/2019 identified as Exhibit 6 of the Petition. Therefore, no issue exists to deny Petitioner her benefits to the 2019 claim which expired on April 25, 2020. The Respondents have failed to reopen her claim and as explained in the Petition

further compound its capriciousness by locking her into a new claim which is inadequate and detrimental to her financial survival as it only grants her \$71 dollars a week, deprives her of the backdate to March 15, 2020 and opens her claim from August 9, 2020 after the expiration of the Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation of the CARES Act giving all unemployment claimant \$600 dollars a week from April 5, 2020 to July 25, 2020. Again, the Respondents have to reopen her 2019 claim as described in the Petition. It is of no fault of her own that the computer system and or call centers where not accessible to receive her and reopen an application to reopen her 2019 claim. Since she is eligible for the 2019 at a monetary rate of \$285 per week for 26 weeks the Respondents must pay her according to that claim and backdate the claim accordingly so she receives every week she of benefits she would have otherwise been entitled to receive but not for the obstruction of the Respondents.

Dated this 15th of January, 2021.

Matasha Early

Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (323) 713 8613 Natashalee0601@gmail.com

# DECLARANT IN SUPPORT OF SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

STATE OF NEVADA )
) SS
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Declarant, Natasha Early, hereby swears and affirms under penalty of perjury that the foregoing assertions are true and correct:

- Declarant submits this Declaration in Support of the attached Supplement to the
   Petition for Writ of Mandamus filed in this case by Declarant. Declarant is competent
   to be a witness to the matters stated in this Declaration and could and would testify to
   those matters in a court of law, under oath subject to the penalty of perjury.
- Declarant has personal knowledge of the facts and circumstances set forth below
  gained through personal participation in attempting to obtain her unemployment
  benefits from the Respondents identified as the Employment Security Division of the
  State of Nevada.
- 3. I have read the foregoing Supplement to Petition for Writ of Mandamus as well as the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and the factual averments they contain are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except to those matters based on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. Those factual averments contained in the referenced filing are incorporated here as if set forth in full.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Based upon Declarant's personal knowledge:

- 4. On May 7, 2019 the Employment Security Division Adjudication Center deemed Declarant Natasha Early entitled to benefits from April 14, 2019, if otherwise eligible as shown in Exhibit 6 of the Supplement to Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Exhibit 1 of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus.
- 5. Declarant cannot resolve the issue of reopening her 2019 claim with the Respondents in anyway possible such as an appeal, telephone call as such efforts have been executed to no avail while in an appeal of the 2021 claim, which she has attempted to do.
- 6. Exhibit 6 of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus which is attached within the Supplement to the Petition for Writ of Mandamus is a true and correct copy of the Employment Security Division Adjudication Center decision made on May 7, 2019 qualifying Declarant entitled to receive benefits in the amount of \$285.00 per week for 26 weeks in conjunction with the monetary determination as shown in Exhibit 1 of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 15, 2021

Natasha Early

# Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that I served the foregoing Supplement to Petition for Writ of Mandamus and the attached Declaration in Support of Supplement to Petition for Writ of Mandamus by use of the court e filing e - service system on January 15, 2021 to the Respondents. Natastra Early ha Ea

January 15, 2021

# **EXHIBIT 6**

# **Employment Security Division**

Adjudication Center 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713-0035 Tel (775) 684-0302 Fax (775) 684-0338 Tel (702) 486-7999 Fax (702) 486-7987





# **Original**

NATASHA EARLY 9105 W FLAMINGO RD APT 2014 LAS VEGAS, NV 89147-6438 RE: LOGICAL POSITION NEVADA LLC Claimant ID: 5101463 Issue ID: 3969521 Week End Date: 04/20/2019 Date Mailed: 05/08/2019 Last Day to Appeal: 05/20/2019 Decision Date: 05/07/2019

\* See back of form for Appeal Rights and other important information.

\*Vea el reverso de la hoja para los derechos de apelación y otra información importante.

# **DECISION**

You are entitled to benefits from 04/14/2019, if otherwise eligible.

#### **REASON FOR DECISION**

You were discharged for medical reasons. Your employer provided information that due to a 4 month tenure you did not qualify for paid maternity and did not qualify to take leave under FMLA. You stated you gave birth on 3/24/19 and scheduled to work on 4/1/19 a week after birth.

As your employer failed to establish that your behavior constituted an act of wrongfulness, the discharge is considered to be for reasons other than misconduct.

# **Pertinent Section of Law:**

NRS 612.385: A person is ineligible for benefits if he was discharged from his last or next-to-last employment for misconduct connected with the work, and remains ineligible until he works in covered employment and earns his weekly benefit amount in each week up to 16 weeks.



# **APPEAL RIGHTS**

Notice: If you receive more than one decision, read each one carefully to protect your appeal rights. ANY ineligible decision will stop payment of this claim. Please read the following information carefully. If you disagree with this decision you have the right to file an appeal. The appeal must be faxed or postmarked by 05/20/2019. You may request an appeal date extension, if you did not file your appeal timely, however, you must show good cause for the delay in filing. You may appeal by writing a letter to the address shown at the top of the previous page. This appeal must include your reason for appealing, the employer name, your social security number and your signature. If an interpreter is needed, please include this request in the appeal letter. During the appeal process you must continue to file claims for any week you are unemployed to preserve any benefit rights that may be established as a result of the appeal. If your employer files an appeal, you should participate in the hearing to protect your rights. If you need additional information, please contact the telephone claims office.

An equal opportunity employer/program.

Auxiliary aids and services available upon request for individuals with disabilities

TTY (775) 687-5353 Relay 711 or (800) 326-6868

# For Spanish Language Interpretation

## Para la traducción al Español

Aviso!: Esta notificación contiene información importante acerca de su reclamo, incluyendo plazos para la apelación. Si Ud. tiene problemas para leer y entender Inglés, puede contactarse con un representante de la División de Seguridad de Empleo al para assistencia en traducción.

El Norte de Nevada	1-775-687-8148
El Sur de Nevada	1-702-486-2957
Numero de llamada gratu	ita1-888-687-8147

Si la decisión establece que usted has sido descalificado para los beneficios de desempleo, usted tiene el derecho de apelar esta decisión presentando una apelación dentro de once dias con el División de Seguridad de Empleo. La fecha límite de apelación está anotada en la parte superior de la carta de decisión. Si usted no presenta una apelación a tiempo, puede perder la oportunidad de recibir los beneficios de desempleo. Si se determina que usted no tiene derecho a recibir los beneficios de desempleo, usted puede ser responsable de devolver los beneficios que haya recibido previamente.

Page 2 of 2

CHAMBERS: 702-671-3634

MEMO

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

LAW CLERK: 702-671-0899

# DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT XXXI

То:	ALL COUNSEL and/or PARTIES PRO SE – SERVED VIA E-SERVICE and/or E-MAIL
From:	DEPARTMENT 31
Subject:	HEARING SCHEDULED JANUARY 19, 2021 **Please review entire Memo**
Date:	JANUARY 15, 2021

Dear Counsel and/or Parties,

Pursuant to the Court's Administrative Orders and the Governor's directives regarding the COVID-19 pandemic, which were implemented to increase efforts to keep the public and employees safe while still serving the needs of the community and ensuring access to justice, Department 31 is evaluating all hearings and matters on its docket.

Therefore, the Court will be hearing this matter by <u>remote appearances only</u>. <u>All</u> counsel/parties must schedule their alternative remote appearances - either audio/visually through **Bluejeans** or via **CourtCall**, 888-882-6878. Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-17, the preferred method of remote appearances is audio/video conference through Bluejeans as it aids with creating a better record; however, phone appearances are also acceptable.

#### If appearing via Bluejeans, the connection information is:

#### https://bluejeans.com/619075424

# Phone Dial-in

- +1.408.419.1715 (United States(San Jose))
- +1.408.915.6290 (United States(San Jose))

Meeting ID: 619 075 424

Please ensure that you are able to connect prior to the hearing. You may test your connection at: <a href="https://bluejeans.com/111">https://bluejeans.com/111</a>. Below are a few guidelines that must be followed when appearing remotely:

- 1. If appearing audio/visually via computer or an app, it is helpful for the Court to identify participants if parties provide their names versus just the phone number.
- You should connect for your remote appearance at least <u>5 minutes prior to your SCHEDULED</u> hearing time, <u>NOT</u> the Bluejeans session time. However, due to multiple matters scheduled at the same time, there may be a delay in your case being called, so please be patient.
- 3. Upon connection, please place your phone on mute and wait for your matter to be called. If you are interrupted for any reason please DO NOT place the call on hold, it will interrupt other matters being heard. Either set your phone down and step away (while it is on mute), or please hang up and then reconnect when you are ready.

\*\*To mute/unmute: Press \*4 on your <u>phone</u> keypad to mute (and unmute) your microphone within the BlueJeans system; or if using your computer, click on the microphone icon or "M" on your keyboard.\*\*

- 4. <u>Background noise is very disturbing</u> and it does not allow for a good record. Please refrain from using the speaker mode on your phone and use the hand-set. The record will be much clearer.
- 5. When your case is called to make your appearance, please <u>clearly</u> state your name, bar number, and the party you represent with Plaintiff's counsel appearing first.

  Please state your name EACH and EVERY time you speak to ensure a complete record.
- 6. If you are only a participant/interested party listening to the hearing, after making your appearance, please ensure to adhere to the same instructions and please ensure your phone remains on mute for the entire hearing.
- 7. Please be patient until your case is called and please be considerate of others who are participating remotely.

We appreciate your cooperation during these difficult and unprecedented times.

Thank you,

Tracy L. Cordoba Judicial Executive Assistant to the Honorable Joanna S. Kishner

Electronically Filed 2/1/2021 2:12 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

1 **MDSM** TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. 2 Nevada State Bar No. 9073 State of Nevada, Department of 3 Employment, Training & Rehabilitation (DETR) Employment Security Division (ESD) 4 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 5 Telephone No.: (775) 684-3996 Facsimile No.: (775) 684-3992 6 Attorney for DETR/ESD 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 NATASHA EARLY, ET AL, CASE NO.: A-20-826013-W 10 Petitioner, DEPT. NO.: XXXI 11 VS. 12 EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA and KIMBERLY GAA **HEARING DATE:** February 4, 2021 [now, LYNDA PARVEN] in her capacity as 13 ADMINISTRATOR of the EMPLOYMENT **HEARING TIME: 8:30 AM** 14 SECURITY DIVISION, 15 Respondents. MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS 16 17 NATASHA EARLY ("Petitioner") has filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus asking this Court to direct the Respondents to pay her unemployment compensation. Apparently, the 18 19 Petitioner is of the mistaken belief that the rules apply to others and not to her. She is wrong. For 20 the reasons set forth below, this *Petition* should be denied in its entirety. The Law 21 Under the Nevada Constitution, District Courts have the power to issue writs of 22 mandamus. Article 6, Section 6. This power is codified at NRS 34.160, which provides: 23 ///

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Course State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3996 (775) 684-3992 – FAX 2
 3
 4

///

The writ may be issued by the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals, a district court or a judge of the district court, to compel the performance of an act which the law especially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station; or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which the party is entitled and from which the party is unlawfully precluded by such inferior tribunal, corporation, board or person. When issued by a district court or a judge of the district court it shall be made returnable before the district court.

As might be expected, the Nevada Supreme Court has addressed this statute on multiple occasions. Most recently in a published opinion, the Supreme Court started its opinion by stating "extraordinary relief should be extraordinary." *Walker v. District Court*, 136 Nev. Adv. Op. 80, 476 P.3d 1194 (2020). *Walker* held that the statutory language of NRS 34.160 "is consistent with well-established common law rules governing traditional mandamus jurisdiction, and we therefore presume that in prescribing mandamus as a statutory remedy, the Legislature had in view the nature and extent of the remedy, as known at the common law." 476 P.3d at 1196 (internal punctuation omitted).

NRS 34.160 provides that a district court may issue a writ of mandamus to compel the performance of an act which the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station." *Veil v. Bennett*, 131 Nev. 179, 180, 348 P.3d 684, 686 (2015), *quoting Int'l Game Tech. v. District Court*, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008).

Alternatively, a writ of mandamus may issue "to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion." Western Cab Co. v. District Court, 133 Nev. 65, 67, 390 P.3d 662, 666 (2017), quoting Int'l Game Tech., 124 Nev. at 197, 179 P.3d at 558; State v. District Court (Armstrong), 127 Nev. 927, 931, 267 P.3d 777, 779 (2011).

NRS 34.170 provides that a writ of mandamus shall issue "in all cases where there is not a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law. *Armstrong* helpfully noted

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.

Division Sr. Legal Counsel State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3996 (775) 684-3992 – FAX

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Counsel State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3992 – FAX that "[t]he writ *will not* issue, however, if a petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law. 127 Nev. at 931, 267 P.3d at 779 (emphasis added).

"Petitioners have the burden of demonstrating that writ relief is warranted." *Hairr v. District Court*, 132 Nev. 180, 183, 368 P.3d 1198, 1200 (2016). *Accord Pan v. District Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

# Petitioner has a speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law.

Rather than follow the statutory requirements for pursuing her claims, Petitioner instead chose to go directly to this Court and seek mandamus, something the law does not allow.

NRS 612.460(1) provides in relevant part, "An unemployed person may file a request for a determination of the person's benefit status in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Administrator. Upon such request, the Administrator shall furnish the person with a written determination." NRS 612.500 provides for an administrative tribunal to review disputed unemployment insurance claims. NRS 612.525 provides for judicial review "only after any party claiming to be aggrieved thereby has exhausted administrative remedies as provided by this chapter."

While the record indicates that she sought determination as provided for in NRS 612.460(1), there is *no evidence* that Petitioner followed the procedure in NRS 612.500 to contest the determinations. The Employment Security Division ("ESD") advised Petitioner multiple times how to administratively appeal their various determinations. Rather than follow these procedures, Petitioner filed this action instead. *See* Exhibit 1, p.2; Exhibit 2, p.3; Exhibit 4, p.2, 4; Exhibit 5, p.2; Exhibit 6, p.2 (explanation of administrative of appellate rights in each communication with Petitioner).

The ESD has established a regulatory process for determining eligibility for UI and PUA benefits, and it has also established an administrative process for appealing that determination if

///

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Counsel State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3996 (775) 684-3992 – FAX a UI or PUA claimant is dissatisfied with the determination. This process ultimately leads to judicial review if a claimant remains dissatisfied. A claimant has no right to short circuit this process as Petitioner attempted to through her petition in this court.

In this case, Petitioner was determined ineligible for PUA benefits on August 7, 2020. If she was dissatisfied with that determination, there was an appeals process set up which Petitioner could have followed. Thus, Petitioner had a plain, speedy, adequate remedy at law she could pursue if she felt she should have been awarded PUA benefits. Additionally, if Petitioner was dissatisfied with the amount of her UI claim or her request for retroactive benefits, she could also request a hearing to appeal those determinations.

The Respondents have no clearly defined legal duty to pay benefits based solely on an initial determination that a person may be eligible for them. Indeed, as Petitioner was advised in August, "Receipt of this letter does not necessarily mean that you are qualified for unemployment benefits. Its purpose is to advise you of the benefit amount you are entitled to if you are meeting all other eligibility requirements for unemployment benefits." Exhibit 2, p.1. There is no evidence presented that Petitioner even attempted to meet her eligibility requirements, let alone that she met them.

Petitioner was determined eligible to receive benefits on October 26, 2020, and two days after that determination was made ESD began paying Petitioner UI benefits. See Exhibit 1. Moot cases are cases that seek to determine abstract questions and do not rest upon existing facts or rights. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n v. Univ. of Nevada, 97 Nev. 56, 58, 624 P.2d 10, 11 (1981). "This court has frequently refused to determine questions presented in purely moot case." Id. The Court will decide only actual controversies, in which the parties are adverse and the issues ripe. Boulet v. City of Las Vegas, 96 Nev. 611, 613, 614 P.2d 8, 9 (1980). To the extent Petitioner is

seeking UI benefits, Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Mandamus is moot, as she was determined eligible for UI, and is being paid UI benefits.

Several other issues deserve at least brief mention. First, in 2016 Petitioner submitted a fraudulent claim – a fact Petitioner did not reveal. The Respondents have a legal duty to seek repayment of fraudulent claims. Petitioner's own prior misconduct may have played some role in this process.

Additionally, Petitioner's claim that the Respondents did not have a functioning website for UI claims is categorically false. While there have been delays at time due to the crush of claims because of the pandemic, the site has been up and functional throughout.

Because there is a plain remedy at law, albeit one Petitioner chose to ignore, she is not entitled to Mandamus relief. Accordingly, her *Petition for Writ of Mandamus* should be denied and dismissed.

WHEREFORE, the Administrator of the Employment Security Division (ESD) respectfully requests that the Court dismiss the instant Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

**RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED** this 1st day of February, 2021.

/s/ TROY C. JORDAN
TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
Attorney for Nevada DETR/ESD Respondents

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Counsel State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3992 – FAX Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the State of Nevada, over the age of 18 years; and that on the date hereinbelow set forth, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, *by either* electronic means (NEFCR 9), as indicated by an email address set forth below, *and/or* by placing the same within an envelope and depositing said envelope with the State of Nevada Mail for postage and mailing from Carson City, Nevada, addressed for delivery as follows:

Natasha Early
4650 West Oakey Blvd. #2035
Las Vegas, NV 89102
natashalee0601@gmail.com

And via e-file Courtesy Copy to:

Dept31LC@clarkcountycourts.us

**DATED** this 1st day of February, 2021.

<u>/s/ Tiffani M. Silva</u> TIFFANI M. SILVA

TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Counset State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3992 – FAX

Electronically Filed

CHAMBERS: 702-671-3634

**MEMO** 

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

LAW CLERK: 702-671-0899

# DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT XXXI

То:	ALL COUNSEL and/or PARTIES PRO SE – SERVED VIA E-SERVICE and/or E-MAIL
From:	DEPARTMENT 31
Subject:	HEARING SCHEDULED FEBRUARY 4, 2021 **Please review entire Memo**
Date:	FEBRUARY 2, 2021

Dear Counsel and/or Parties,

Pursuant to the Court's Administrative Orders and the Governor's directives regarding the COVID-19 pandemic, which were implemented to increase efforts to keep the public and employees safe while still serving the needs of the community and ensuring access to justice, Department 31 is evaluating all hearings and matters on its docket.

Therefore, the Court will be hearing this matter by <u>remote appearances only</u>. <u>All</u> counsel/parties must schedule their alternative remote appearances - either audio/visually through **Bluejeans** or via **CourtCall**, 888-882-6878. Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-17, <u>the preferred method</u> of remote appearances <u>is audio/video conference through Bluejeans</u>, as it aids with creating a better record; however, phone appearances are also acceptable.

#### If appearing via Bluejeans, the connection information is:

#### Phone Dial-in

<u>+1.408.419.1715</u> (United States(San Jose)) <u>+1.408.915.6290</u> (United States(San Jose)) (<u>Global Numbers</u>)

#### Copy and paste: https://bluejeans.com/595993436

Room System

199.48.152.152 or bjn.vc

#### Meeting ID: 595 993 436

Please ensure that you are able to connect prior to the hearing. You may test your connection at: <a href="https://bluejeans.com/111">https://bluejeans.com/111</a>. Below are a few guidelines that must be followed when appearing remotely:

- 1. If appearing audio/visually via computer or an app, it is <u>very</u> helpful for the Court to identify participants if parties provide their names versus just the phone number.
- 2. You should connect for your remote appearance at least <u>5 minutes prior to your SCHEDULED</u> hearing time, <u>NOT</u> the Bluejeans session time. However, due to

multiple matters scheduled at the same time, there may be a delay in your case being called, so please be patient.

- 3. Upon connection, please place your phone on mute and wait for your matter to be <u>called</u>. If you are interrupted for any reason, please DO NOT place the call on hold, it will interrupt other matters being heard. Either set your phone down and step away (while it is on mute), or please hang up and then reconnect when you are ready. \*\*To mute/unmute: Press \*4 on your phone keypad to mute (and unmute) your microphone within the BlueJeans system; or if using your computer, click on the microphone icon or "M" on your keyboard.\*\*
- 4. <u>Background noise is very disturbing</u> and it does not allow for a good record. <u>Please refrain from using the speaker mode on your phone and use the hand-set</u>. The record will be much clearer.
- 5. When your case is called to make your appearance, please <u>clearly</u> state your name, bar number, and the party you represent with Plaintiff's counsel appearing first.
  <u>Please state your name EACH and EVERY time you speak to ensure a complete record.</u>
- 6. If you are only a participant/interested party listening to the hearing, <u>you must make your appearance</u> and after making your appearance, please ensure to adhere to the same instructions and please ensure your phone remains on mute for the entire hearing.
- 7. Please be patient until your case is called and please be considerate of others who are participating remotely.

We appreciate your cooperation during these difficult and unprecedented times.

Thank you,

Tracy L. Cordoba Judicial Executive Assistant to the Honorable Joanna S. Kishner

**Electronically Filed** 2/2/2021 2:28 PM

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR 1 **ATEAR** Natasha Early 2 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 Las Vegas, NV. 89102 3 (323) 713-8613 4 Natashalee0601@gmail.com 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 7 NATASHA EARLY 8 9 CASE NO. A-20-826013-W 10 Plaintiff, DEPT, NO. XXXI 11 12 vs. Audiovisual Transmission EMPLOYMENT SECURITY 13 **Equipment Appearance Request** DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA and 14 KIMBERLY GAA in her capacity as ADMINISTRATOR of the 15 EMPLOYMENT SECURITY 16 DIVISION 17 18 Defendants. 19 20 Pursuant to Rule 4 of the Nevada Supreme Court's RULES GOVERNING 21 APPEARANCE BY AUDIOVISUAL TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT, Petitioner in Proper 22 Person requests that (she) Natasha Early be permitted to testify by remote court appearance via 23 video conference for the trial or evidentiary hearing (circle one) scheduled to begin on: 24 25 Date: February 4, 2021 26 Time: 8:30 a.m. 27 Courtroom No.: XXXI 28

Page 1 of 5

Natasha Early by executing the attached Audiovisual Transmission

Equipment Appearance Consent, agrees to be bound by the oath given by the Court Clerk,

Eighth Judicial District Court and to be subject to the jurisdiction of this Court for purposes related to this testimony.

Natasha Early agrees to provide all exhibits to <u>Troy Jordan</u>, <u>Esq.</u> in advance in the same form as have been or will be submitted to the Court Clerk.

Any objection to this request must be made in writing within two (2) judicial days of service of this request.

Natasha Early agrees that by submitting this request, the party and witness (or their respective representatives) will test and verify the functionality of video conference connectivity with the Court's IT department at least two (2) judicial days before the scheduled appearance.

Contact information for the test is:

Name of Counsel/Part : Natasha Early

Email Address:Natashalee0601@gmail.com

Phone Number: (323) 713-8613

Natasha Early certifies that the video connection has been successfully tested at <a href="http://bluejeans.com/111">http://bluejeans.com/111</a>, prior to submitting this application.

Dated this \_1\_ day of \_\_Feb.\_\_\_, 2021.
/s/Natasha Early

### **Certificate of Service** I hereby certify, that on the date filed, this Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Request were served on the parties identified on the District Court E-File system e-service list (or alternate method) /s/Natasha Early Page 3 of 5

1	ATEAC		
2	Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035		
3	Las Vegas, NV. 89102		
4	(323) 713-8613		
5	Natashalee0601@gmail.com		
6			
7	DISTRICT		
8	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA	
9			
10	NATASHA EARLY ***		
11		CASE NO. A-20-826013-W	
	Petitioner,	DEPT. NO. XXXI	
12	vs.		
13	EMPLOYMENT SECURITY	Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Consent	
14	DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA and		
15	KIMBERLY GAA in her capacity as ADMINISTRATOR of the		
16	EMPLOYMENT SECURITY		
17	DIVISION		
18	Respondents.		
19			
20	By making this request for Audiovisual Tr	ransmission Equipment Appearance, the	
21	undersigned agrees to be bound by the oath given by the Court Clerk over the video conference		
22	connection and to be subject to the jurisdiction of this Court for purposes related to this		
23		this Court for purposes related to this	
24	testimony.		
25	/s/ Natasha Early		
26	Print Name: Natasha Early Date: Feb. 1, 2021		
27	Email Address: Natashalee0601@gmail.com Phone Number: (323) 713-8613		
28			
	Page 4	4 of 5	

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct, per NRS 53.045 Executed on Feb. 1, 2021 /s/Natasha Early **Certificate of Service** I hereby certify, that on the date filed, this Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Consent were served on the parties identified on the District Court E-File system e-service list (or alternate method). /s/ Natasha Early Page 5 of 5

1 2		CLARK COU	T COURT NTY, NEVAI	DA .	Electronically Filed 2/3/2021 7:27 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR
3	Natasha Early	, Plaintiff(s)	Case No.:	A-20-8260	13-W
4	vs. Employment S	Security Division of the State	Departmen	t 31	
5	of Nevada, De	· ·	]		
6					
7		NOTICE O	<u>F HEARING</u>		
8	Please b	e advised that the Defendant	t's Motion to	Dismiss Po	etition for Writ of
9		the above-entitled matter is set			777
10	Date:	February 04, 2021			
11	Time:	9:30 AM			
12	Location:	RJC Courtroom 12B			
13		Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Ave.			
14		Las Vegas, NV 89101			
15	NOTE: Unde	er NEFCR 9(d), if a party is a	not receiving	electronic s	ervice through the
16	Eighth Judic	ial District Court Electronic	e Filing Syst	em, the mo	vant requesting a
17	hearing must	serve this notice on the party	by traditions	al means.	
18		STEVEN D.	GRIERSON,	CEO/Clerk o	of the Court
19					
20		By: /s/ Marie Kra			
21		Deputy Clerk	of the Court		
22		CERTIFICATI	E OF SERVI	CE	
23		y that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of			-
		of this Notice of Hearing was of Eighth Judicial District Court	•		registered users on
24					
25		By: /s/ Marie Kra			
26		Deputy Clerk	of the Court		
27					
267	i .				

Electronically Filed 2/3/2021 5:36 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Natasha Early
4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035
Las Vegas, NV.89102
(323) 713-8613
Natashalee0601@gmail.com

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NATASHA EARLY

Petitioner Case No.: A-20-826013-W

Dept. No.: XXXI

|| Vs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12 EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA and KIMBERLY GAA in her capacity as ADMINISTRATOR of the EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION

Respondents

PETITIONER'S OPPOSTION TO MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, REQUEST TO STRIKE MOTION

Petitioner in response to Respondents Motion to Dismiss state the following, Petitioner at all times attempted to appeal the decisions made by the Employment Security Division, as the Division has issued numerous Amendments and new decisions literally four on the same day of November 25, 2020. In order to shore up the appeal process again and again, for which Respondents never acknowledge the appeal Petitioner has sent or the actual communication to reinstate her 2019 claim.

////

28

Petitioner has faxed and mailed appeals in every instance of this ordeal which have not been recognized as being received by the Respondents. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a an appeal that was faxed on December 3, 2020 by Petitioner to Respondents addressing the entire issue as stated the Petition, secondly attached as Exhibit 2 is another appeal that was faxed on December 11, 2020 which has never been recognized by the Respondents, thirdly attached as Exhibit 3 is another attempt to get her appeals recognized and she again faxed to appeal letter with a fax print out showing she sent it to the Employment Security Division. This is in stark contrast to Respondents contentions that she never appealed or attempted to use or follow the rules. Lastly as a prime example of the vindictiveness of the Respondent on the same day they filed this Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus (filed on February 2, 2021), the Respondents again mis-characterize her attempts to appeal as a Request to Cancel her Unemployment Compensation Claim and now they have stopped paying her the claim for which they have been paying \$71 dollars a week which also presently includes a \$300 payment from the new Stimulus Bill, they have canceled her claim and not reinstated the 2019 claim or in any way acknowledge her attempts to appeal the decisions rendered by the Respondents, this is clearly arbitrary, capricious and vindictive. Attached as Exhibit 4 is a letter issued by the Employment Security Division canceling Petitioner Unemployment Claim as is her appeal letters that apparently were received, are in some way a request to cancel her monetary benefits under the newly allowed claim for which they themselves authorized for her to have. Now she has nothing. A vindictive move to punish her for being a whistleblower and seeking redress in the Courts. /////

### 

# REQUEST TO STRIKE MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

The Petitioner for Writ of Mandamus was served on Respondents accounting for 3 days of mail service, on December 14, 2020. At the hearing held on January 19, 2021, Respondents claimed they are entitled to the full 45 days allowed for the State to respond. The Court continued the hearing until Feb 4, 2021 to allow Respondent the 45 days to respond yet however they failed to file the Motion to Dismiss within 45 days and have exceeded that time frame in order to sandbag Petitioner 2 days before the hearing by filing a Motion to Dismiss on Feb 2, 2021. Petitioner is deprived of the fourteen allowed to file an opposition and has struggle on the day before the hearing to file this opposition. Accordingly, Respondents conduct in sandbagging this Motion to Dismiss while also yanking and terminating Petitioners unemployment compensation is vindictive and scandalous warranting that the Motion to Dismiss should be Stricken.

NRCP 2.20 (e) holds the following time frames to oppose a motion

(e) Within 14 days after the service of the motion, and 5 days after service of any joinder to the motion, the opposing party must serve and file written notice of nonopposition or opposition thereto, together with a memorandum of points and authorities and supporting affidavits, if any, stating facts showing why the motion and/or joinder should be denied.

Here, Petitioner has been sandbagged and is prevented from having a sufficient time to file a perfected response and certainly does not have five days prior notice of the hearing.

Respondents strategically sandbagged Petitioner by filing its Motion to Dismiss two days before the hearing on the Petition and only gave notice of the hearing for the Motion to Dismiss today,

/////

/////

/////

24 | /////

6 || || ||

27 | | | | | | |

one day before the hearing which is held one hour after the hearing on the set for the Petition set for Feb. 4, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. So now the hearing is separated from the Petition hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss should be stricken as it does not raise any legal issues supported by any affidavits or evidence presented. Respondents have not contradicted any of the claims raised in the Petition that Petitioner is entitled to be on her 2019 claim as raised. They have not contradicted the evidence of the eligibility determination granted for her 2019 claim or any of the evidence submitted in attached Exhibits or the Affidavits. Respondents make bald assertions that Petitioner was somehow fraudulent in some way in a 2016 claim which is not supported by any evidence or relevant to the fact that Petitioner is entitle to her benefits pursuant to the 2019 claim that expired on April 25, 2020. Now Respondents have terminated her 2020 claim for which they have locked her into and refuse to five her anything because she has sought redress in the Court of law. Accordingly this a scandalous matter and must be stricken. For these reasons cited above the Motion to Dismiss the Petition for Writ of Mandamus must be Denied and Stricken AND THE PETTION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS BE GRANTED.

Re	espectfully Submitted,	
	espectfully Submitted, Natasha Early	
/s/	Natasha Early	_

Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 Las Vegas, NV. 89102 (323) 713-8613 Natashalee0601@gmail.com

# DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S OPPOSITON TO MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, REQUEST TO STRIKE MOTION

STATE OF NEVADA )

SS.

COUNTY OF CLARK )

Declarant, Natasha Early, hereby swears and affirms under penalty of perjury that the following assertions are true and correct:

- 1. Declarant submits this Declaration in Support of Petitioner's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike filed in this case by Declarant.

  Declarant is competent to be a witness to the matters stated in this Declaration and could and would testify to those matters in a court of law, under oath, subject to the penalty of perjury.
- Declarant has personal knowledge of the facts and circumstances set forth below gained through personal participation.
- 3. I have read the foregoing Petition for Writ of Mandamus and the Supplement to the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and the factual averments they contain are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except as to those matters based on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe to be true. Those factual averments contained in the reference filings are incorporated here as if set forth in full.

Based upon the Declarant's personal knowledge:

- 4. Declarant appealed the decision of the Employment Security Division to only grant her \$71 dollars a week pursuant to a new amended determination letter issued and mailed on November 25, 2020 on December 3, 2020 by faxing the appeal letter to the Employment Security Division as identified as Exhibit 1 of the foregoing Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike Motion.
- 5. Declarant appealed and faxed and appeal letter to the Employment Security Division concerning an overpayment created by the Division on December 11, 2020 as Identified in Exhibit 2 of the foregoing Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike Motion.
- 6. Declarant appealed again the issues raised in the Petition for Writ of Mandamus against the decision issued by the Employment Security Division by faxing them the appeal letters on December 12, 2020 as Identified in Exhibit 3 of the foregoing Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike Motion.
- 7. Declarant was stripped of her present unemployment compensation by the Employment Security Division on February 2, 2020 in retaliation for pursuing her Petition for Writ of Mandamus as the Respondents admitted they received a letter, which was an appeal as shown in Exhibits 1 and 3 of the foregoing Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike Motion, and yet mischaracterized the letter as request to cancel her present unemployment claim without activating the 2019 claim and paying her all the monies owed as raised in the Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

- 8. Respondents are violating Petitioners civil rights by terminating her unemployment compensation without corrective action to reinstate her 2019 claim and shore her claim up to the present in conjunction with all federal benefits.
- 9. Respondents have sandbagged Petitioner and filed a last minute Motion to Dismiss the Petition for Writ of Mandamus without affording her due process to have fourteen days to respond accordingly and the five day prior notice of the hearing.
- 10. Exhibit 1 of this foregoing Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike Motion is a true and correct copy of her appeal faxed to the Employment Security Division on December 3, 2020.
- 11. Exhibit 2 of this foregoing Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike Motion is a true and correct copy of her appeal faxed to the Employment Security Division on December 11, 2020.
- 12. Exhibit 3 of this foregoing Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike Motion is a true and correct copy of her appeals faxed to the Employment Security Division on December 12, 2020.
- 13. Exhibit 4 of this foregoing Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike Motion is a true and correct copy of the Employment Security Division letter canceling Petitioner's present unemployment compensation under the guise of a false pretext that she herself requested to cancel the claim, for which the Respondents in a conspiracy to deprive Petitioner of her unemployment claim simultaneously acted in unison in both filing the Motion to Dismiss the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and issued the letter canceling Petitioner's unemployment claim on February 2, 2021.

14. At all times did Petitioner make every effort to make attempt to file all appeals in this matter and resolve the issues with the Respondents by fax, mail, and telephone to no avail as they will not acknowledge her efforts at appeal and deny the controlling issue of the governing 2019 claim.

Pursuant to NRS 53. 045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 3, 2021

By Nataska Eam

/s/ Natasha Early

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify I electronically service the foregoing Petitioner's Opposition to Motion to
Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Request to Strike Motion to the Respondents and
Attached Supporting Declaration. Listed in the e-contacts of the Courts electronic filing system
on February 3, 2021

/s/ Natasha Early

## **EXHIBIT 1**

# Office DEPOT. Office Max

## complimentary fax cover sheet

number of pages including cover sheet:	<del>2</del> , ,
number of pages including cover sheet:	devision Del 3,2020
company: adjudication center	from:
phone #:	company:
fax#: 775 684 0338	
comments: Appeal Tesuers	sender's email: Natasha 1900 obol a ghal

By sending this fax at Office Depot, inc., the sender agrees not to use this fax to: (I) transmit material whose transmission is unlawful, harassing, libelous, abusive, threatening, harmful, vulgar, obscene, pornographic or otherwise objectionable; (II) create a false identity, or otherwise attempt to mislead others as to the identity of the sender or the origin of this fax; (III) post or transmit any material that may infringe the copyright, trade secret, or other rights of any third party; (IV) violate any federal, state or local law in the location, or (V) conduct activities related to gambling, sweepstakes, raffles, lotteries, contests, ponzi schemes or the like.

Please note that Office Depot, inc., does not review the contents of any fax sent using its services. The sender of this fax hereby agrees to indemnify Office Depot inc., to the fullest extent of the law and for any and all claims, suits, or damages arising out or in connection with the request to send, or sending this fax.

self service domestic per page



\$1.50 2065527

self service international per page



\$3.99 2064240

full service domestic per page



\$1.79 833071

full service international per page



\$4.99 833191

depot|max store 2285 4555 W Charleston Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89102 p: 702.822.4447 f: 702.822.4452 ods02285cpc@officedepot.com

Office DEPOT OfficeMax

Rev 10/20

Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 Las Vegas, NV. 89102 (323) 713-8613 Natashalee0601@gmail.com

December 2, 2020

SSN: 605-22-5793

Last Employer Special Med 6M etwork

RE: Appeals per Issue ID: 5671748 date mailed 11/30/2020, Decision date 11/25/2020, Last Day to Appeal: 12/11/2020.

To: Employment Security Division Adjudication Center 500 East Third Street Carson City, Nevada. 89713-0035 Fax (775) 684-0338

The Amended Decision of Issue 5671748 is hereby appealed on the basis that I requested my 2019 claim which expired on April 25, 2020 to be the governing controlling claim because DETR did not provide a workable website nor a workable telephone claims processing center to accept my claims, it was not functional to accept my claims and all I received was a busy signal on the phone. The failure to file my claim prior to April 25 or 26 of 2020 was not a voluntary decision, it was involuntary because DETR did not have it set up for me to file either by website or by the phone, whatever existed in the form of a Unemployment Claim website and or the Unemployment Claim Intake Call Center to file a claim would not accept my efforts to access the site and or access by telephone. I requested a backdate to establish my 2019 claim which expired on April 25, 2020. The Governor by executive order declared that all claims would be backdated to March 15, 2020 if necessary. This new claim which places me outside of the expired 2019 claim is not equitable as the 2019 claim entitles me to \$285 a week for 25 weeks, I therefore should have been on that claim, expired on April 25, 2020 and filed the Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation extension and an Emergency State Extension in the amounts of \$285 per week. I also am entitled to, under the reactivation of the 2019 to every week of Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation in the amount of \$600.00 per week from April 5, 2020 to July 25, 2020.

I did not request my claim to be backdated to April 26, 2020. That is arbitrary and capricious. Please establish my claim as a reactivation of the 2019 claim as it expired on April 25, 2020 and I have been prevented from being to file for that reactivation and secondly the system, since the time expired on my 2019 claim has forced a mistreatment of my claim as a new claim under a new and devastated monetary base period which has no earnings entitling me to any substantial amounts for survival.

Thank you

Natasha Early

December 2, 2020

# **EXHIBIT 2**

# Office DEPOT. OfficeMax' complimentary fax cover sheet

number of pages including cover sheet: 2
company: Banetils integrity Programmer: Matasha Early
Boughite justomite Program Natasha Faula
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
phone #:company:
fax #: 175 684-0418   sender's phone #: 323 713 8613
sender's email:
comments:
•
`.

By sending this fax at Office Depot, inc., the sender agrees not to use this fax to: (I) transmit material whose transmission is unlawful, harassing, libelous, abusive, threatening, harmful, vulgar, obscene, pornographic or otherwise objectionable; (II) create a false identity, or otherwise attempt to mislead others as to the identity of the sender or the origin of this fax; (III) post or transmit any material that may infringe the copyright, trade secret, or other rights of any third party; (IV) violate any federal, state or local law in the location, or (V) conduct activities related to gambling, sweepstakes, raffles, lotteries, contests, ponzi schemes or the like.

Please note that Office Depot, inc., does not review the contents of any fax sent using its services. The sender of this fax hereby agrees to indemnify Office Depot inc., to the fullest extent of the law and for any and all claims, suits, or damages arising out or in connection with the request to send, or sending this fax.

self service domestic per page



\$1.50 2065527

self service international per page



\$3.99 2064240

full service domestic per page



\$1.79 833071

full service international per page



\$4.99 833191

depot|max store 2285 4555 W Charleston Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89102 p: 702.822.4447 f: 702.822.4452 ods02285cpc@officedepot.com

Office DEPOT OfficeMax

Rev 10/20

Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 Las Vegas, NV. 89102 (323) 713-8613 Natashalee0601@gmail.com

December 11, 2020

SSN:

60522-5793

Last Employer Storal Mad & Network

RE: Appeals per Issue ID: 5672616 date mailed 11/30/2020, Decision date 11/25/2020, Last Day to Appeal: 12/11/2020.

To: Employment Security Division Benefits Integrity Programs P.O. Box 2288 Carson City, NV. 89702-2288 Fax (775) 684-0418

I hereby appeal the decision of an overpayment in the amount of \$816.00 OP Created Date of November 25, 2020 mailed on November 30, 2020 because the division has also issued an Amended Notice of Eligibility dated November 25, 2020 per issue 5671748.

I have since been deemed eligible. Please vacate the overpayment determination.

December 11, 2020 Natasha Early

# **EXHIBIT 3**

#### Delivery Complete: Employment Security Division

OfficeDepotFax@etherfax.net < OfficeDepotFax@etherfax.net>

Sat 12/12/2020 4:49 PM

To: ODS02285Cpc <ODS02285Cpc@OfficeDepot.com>

[CAUTION: EXTERNAL SENDER]

## Office Depot #2285

#### **Outbound Fax Notification**

Delivery Information:

Message #:

500115969

Recipient Number:

+17756840418

Recipient Name:

**Employment Security Division** 

Recipient Company:

Delivery Date & Time: 12/12/2020 4:49:00 PM

Total Pages:

3

Transmit Time:

2 min : 29 sek

Status:

SUCCESS

Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 Las Vegas, NV. 89102 (323) 713-8613 Natashalee0601@gmail.com

December 2, 2020

SSN: b05-22-5793

Last Employer Special years Network

RE: Appeals per Issue ID: 5671748 date mailed 11/30/2020, Decision date 11/25/2020, Last Day to Appeal: 12/11/2020.

To: Employment Security Division Adjudication Center 500 East Third Street Carson City, Nevada. 89713-0035 Fax (775) 684-0338

The Amended Decision of Issue 5671748 is hereby appealed on the basis that I requested my 2019 claim which expired on April 25, 2020 to be the governing controlling claim because DETR did not provide a workable website nor a workable telephone claims processing center to accept my claims, it was not functional to accept my claims and all I received was a busy signal on the phone. The failure to file my claim prior to April 25 or 26 of 2020 was not a voluntary decision, it was involuntary because DETR did not have it set up for me to file either by website or by the phone, whatever existed in the form of a Unemployment Claim website and or the Unemployment Claim Intake Call Center to file a claim would not accept my efforts to access the site and or access by telephone. I requested a backdate to establish my 2019 claim which expired on April 25, 2020. The Governor by executive order declared that all claims would be backdated to March 15, 2020 if necessary. This new claim which places me outside of the expired 2019 claim is not equitable as the 2019 claim entitles me to \$285 a week for 25 weeks, I therefore should have been on that claim, expired on April 25, 2020 and filed the Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation extension and an Emergency State Extension in the amounts of \$285 per week, I also am entitled to, under the reactivation of the 2019 to every week of Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation in the amount of \$600.00 per week from April 5, 2020 to July 25, 2020.

I did not request my claim to be backdated to April 26, 2020. That is arbitrary and capricious. Please establish my claim as a reactivation of the 2019 claim as it expired on April 25, 2020 and I have been prevented from being to file for that reactivation and secondly the system, since the time expired on my 2019 claim has forced a mistreatment of my claim as a new claim under a new and devastated monetary base period which has no earnings entitling me to any substantial amounts for survival.

Thank you,		
Natasha Farky	– December 2	2020

Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035 Las Vegas, NV. 89102 (323) 713-8613 Natashalee0601@gmail.com

December 2, 2020

SSN: 605 225793

Last Employer Spetal news, network

RE: Appeals per Issue ID: 5672616 date mailed 11/30/2020, Decision date 11/25/2020, Last Day to Appeal: 12/11/2020.

To: Employment Security Division Adjudication Center 500 East Third Street Carson City, Nevada. 89713-0035 Fax (775) 684-0338

I hereby appeal this decision in the above titled issue on the grounds that the Division has since issues an Amended Decision that only denies me benefits from April 26, 2020 to August 8, 2020 and now treated the claim as effective on August 9, 2020, the claim for extended benefits is still open and valid. Secondly per the related appeal in Issue: 5671748 my claim for 2019 which expired on April 25, 2020 should have been reactivated and I should be receiving per that claim \$285.00 per week for 25 weeks and any extensions, such as this, must be in the amount of \$285 per week. I am therefore in either respect entitled to extended benefits as I requested my 2019 claim to be reactivated not a backdate of this claim to April 26, 2020.

Please reinstate my extended benefits in conjunction with the related appeal for issue 5671748 under the 2019 claim which expired on April 25, 2020 as all weeks are to be backdated per the Governor's Declaration to March 15, 2020. My extended benefits should be at the rate of \$285 per week for 13 weeks and backdated in conjunction with the appeal in issue 5671748.

The Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation of \$600.00 per week is applied to each week of extended benefits retroactively applied for the weeks of April 5, 2020 to July 25, 2020.

Thank you,

Natasha Early

December 2, 2020

# **EXHIBIT 4**

#### **Employment Security Division**

Adjudication Center 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713-0035 Tel (775) 684-0302 Fax (775) 684-0338 Tel (702) 486-7999 Fax (702) 486-7987





**Claimant ID: 5101463** Re: Cancel Claim Letter-

Allowed

Date Mailed: 02/02/2021

NATASHA EARLY 4650 W OAKEY BLVD APT 2035 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102-1516

The Division has received your request to cancel your Nevada Unemployment Insurance claim. This request has been allowed and was processed on 02/01/2021.

If you need additional information regarding Nevada Unemployment Insurance or Employment Services available at the Nevada JobConnect Office, please visit the Division's website at: www.nvdetr.org.

Sincerely,

UI Operations/Monetary Unit **Employment Security Division** 



#### ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 2/19/2021 3:58 PM

Electronically Filed 3/1/2021 9:25 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 OGM TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 9073 State of Nevada, Department of 3 Employment, Training & Rehabilitation (DETR) Employment Security Division (ESD) 4 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 5 Telephone No.: (775) 684-3996 Facsimile No.: (775) 684-3992 6 Attorney for DETR/ESD 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 NATASHA EARLY, ET AL, CASE NO.: A-20-826013-W 10 Petitioner, DEPT. NO.: XXXI 11 VS. 12 EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA and KIMBERLY GAA 13 [now, LYNDA PARVEN] in her capacity as ADMINISTRATOR of the EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, 14 15 Respondents. 16

# ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, AND DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

THIS MATTER came before the Court on February 4, 2021, pursuant to Respondent State of Nevada, Employment Security Division's (ESD) Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus. The Court announced the case and requested appearances. ESD's counsel Troy C. Jordan, Esq. announced his presence via Blue Jeans. Petitioner Natasha Early (Petitioner) was not present. The Court ordered the matter trailed.

The Court recalled this matter and Petitioner was present. Arguments were heard regarding the merits of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and ESD's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of

Voluntary Dismissal		Summary Judgment
Involuntary Dismissal		Stipulated Judgment
Stipulated Dismissal		Default Judgment
Motion to Dismiss by Deft(s)		Judgment of Arbitration

Case Number: A-20-826013-W

24
TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
Division Sr. Legal Counsel
State of Nevada DETR/ESD
500 East Third Street
Carson City, NV 89713
(775) 684-3992 – FAX

17

18

19

20

21

22

TROY C. JORDAN, SBO, Division S., Lags; Counsel Stale of Newada DET/SBSO 500 East Third Street Carren City, NY 58913 (775) 884-3868 — FAX Mandamus. There was a colloquy between the Court and Petitioner regarding her claim for unemployment insurance benefits, the administrative referee and the administrative appeal process.

NRS 34.160 provides that a district court may issue a writ of mandamus to compel the performance of an act which the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station." Veil v. Bennett, 131 Nev. 179, 180, 348 P.3d 684, 686 (2015), quoting Int'l Game Tech. v. District Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008).

Alternatively, a writ of mandamus may issue "to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion." Western Cab Co. v. District Court, 133 Nev. 65, 67, 390 P.3d 662, 666 (2017), quoting Int'l Game Tech., 124 Nev. at 197, 179 P.3d at 558; State v. District Court (Armstrong), 127 Nev. 927, 931, 267 P.3d 777, 779 (2011).

NRS 34.170 provides that a writ of mandamus shall issue "in all cases where there is not a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law. Armstrong helpfully noted that "[t]he writ will not issue, however, if a petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law. 127 Nev. at 931, 267 P.3d at 779 (emphasis added).

"Petitioners have the burden of demonstrating that writ relief is warranted." Hairr v. District Court, 132 Nev. 180, 183, 368 P.3d 1198, 1200 (2016). Accord Pan v. District Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

There Court finds that Petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law, specifically the administrative appeals process located in Chapter 612 of the NRS. There was a failure by Petitioner to exhaust administrative remedies. Petitioner, therefore, failed to establish a basis to grant extraordinary relief. This Court noted it was not taking a position whether Petitioner was entitled to benefits. The issues raised in the Petition were not ripe before the Court because all of the administrative processes had not been utilized or exhausted.

	14
1	Based on the foregoing; and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR,
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent ESD's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Write
3	of Mandamus is GRANTED and Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Mandamus is DENIED. The
4	Clerk of the Court is directed to CLOSE this case.
5	DATED this 22nd day of February, 2021.
6	L. S Kichner
7	HON RABLE JOANNA S. KISHNER
8	DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	The foregoing Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, and
11	Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus in Case No.: A-20-826013-W has been REVIEWED
12	and is Approved as to Form and Content:
13	
14	NATASHA EARLY
15	Petitioner
16	
17	
18	
19	Prepared and Submitted by:
20	<u>/s/ TROY C. JORDAN</u> TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
21	Attorney for ESD Respondents
22	
23	
24 TROY C. JORGAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Consess: Stals of Mayoria DETRISSO 509 East Tains Sinest Cannon City, STV 39715 (775) 524-3826 (775) 524-3826	3

Electronically Filed 3/1/2021 1:26 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

1 **NEOJ** TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. 2 Nevada State Bar No. 9073 State of Nevada, Department of 3 Employment, Training & Rehabilitation (DETR), Employment Security Division (ESD) 4 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 5 Telephone No.: (775) 684-3996 Facsimile No.: (775) 684-3992 6 Attorney for DETR/ESD 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 NATASHA EARLY, ET AL, CASE NO.: A-20-826013-W 10 Petitioner, DEPT. NO.: XXXI 11 VS. 12 EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA and KIMBERLY GAA 13 [now, LYNDA PARVEN] in her capacity as ADMINISTRATOR of the EMPLOYMENT 14 SECURITY DIVISION, 15 Respondents. 16 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING ESD'S MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, 17 AND DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS 18 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 1st day of March, 2021, the Court entered the Order 19 Granting ESD's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, and Denying Petition for Writ 20 of Mandamus in the above-entitled case. A copy of said Order is attached hereto. 21 **DATED** this 1st day of March, 2021. 22 /s/ Troy C. Jordan TROY C. JORDAN, ESO. 23 Attorney for Nevada ESD Respondents

24 TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Counsel State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3996 (775) 684-3992 FAX

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the State of Nevada, over the age of 18 years; and that on the date hereinbelow set forth, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING ESD'S MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, AND DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, *by either* electronic means (N.E.F.C.R. Administrative Order 14-2), if possible, as indicated by an email address set forth below, *and/or* by placing the same within an envelope and depositing said envelope with the State of Nevada Mail for postage and mailing from Carson City, Nevada, addressed for delivery as follows:

Natasha Early 4650 West Oakey Blvd. #2035 Las Vegas, NV 89102 natashalee0601@gmail.com

DATED this 1st day of March, 2021.

/s/ Tiffani M. Silva TIFFANI M. SILVA

161718192021

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24 TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Counsel State of Nevada DETR/ESD 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 (775) 684-3996 (775) 684-3992 FAX

#### ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 2/19/2021 3:58 PM

Electronically Filed 3/1/2021 9:25 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 OGM TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 9073 State of Nevada, Department of 3 Employment, Training & Rehabilitation (DETR) Employment Security Division (ESD) 4 500 East Third Street Carson City, NV 89713 5 Telephone No.: (775) 684-3996 Facsimile No.: (775) 684-3992 6 Attorney for DETR/ESD 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 NATASHA EARLY, ET AL, CASE NO.: A-20-826013-W 10 Petitioner, DEPT. NO.: XXXI 11 VS. 12 EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, STATE OF NEVADA and KIMBERLY GAA 13 [now, LYNDA PARVEN] in her capacity as ADMINISTRATOR of the EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, 14 15 Respondents. 16

# ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, AND DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

THIS MATTER came before the Court on February 4, 2021, pursuant to Respondent State of Nevada, Employment Security Division's (ESD) Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus. The Court announced the case and requested appearances. ESD's counsel Troy C. Jordan, Esq. announced his presence via Blue Jeans. Petitioner Natasha Early (Petitioner) was not present. The Court ordered the matter trailed.

The Court recalled this matter and Petitioner was present. Arguments were heard regarding the merits of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and ESD's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of

Voluntary Dismissal	Summary Judgment
Involuntary Dismissal	Stipulated Judgment
Stipulated Dismissal	Default Judgment
Motion to Dismiss by Deft(s)	Judgment of Arbitration

Case Number: A-20-826013-W

24
TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
Division Sr. Legai Counsel
State of Nevada DETR/ESD
500 East Third Street
Carson City, NV 89713
(775) 684-3992 – FAX

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

TROY C. JORDAN, 280, Division Sr. Laga! Counsel State of Novada OE:39280

500 East Third Street Whon City, NY 2011 (775) 884-2926 (775) 524-3922 — FAX

Mandamus. There was a colloquy between the Court and Petitioner regarding her claim for unemployment insurance benefits, the administrative referee and the administrative appeal process.

NRS 34.160 provides that a district court may issue a writ of mandamus to compel the performance of an act which the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station." Veil v. Bennett, 131 Nev. 179, 180, 348 P.3d 684, 686 (2015), quoting Int'l Game Tech. v. District Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008).

Alternatively, a writ of mandamus may issue "to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion." Western Cab Co. v. District Court, 133 Nev. 65, 67, 390 P.3d 662, 666 (2017), quoting Int? Game Tech., 124 Nev. at 197, 179 P.3d at 558; State v. District Court (Armstrong), 127 Nev. 927, 931, 267 P.3d 777, 779 (2011).

NRS 34.170 provides that a writ of mandamus shall issue "in all cases where there is not a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law. Armstrong helpfully noted that "[t]he writ will not issue, however, if a petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law. 127 Nev. at 931, 267 P.3d at 779 (emphasis added).

"Petitioners have the burden of demonstrating that writ relief is warranted." Hairr  $\nu$ . District Court, 132 Nev. 180, 183, 368 P.3d 1198, 1200 (2016). Accord Pan v. District Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

There Court finds that Petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law, specifically the administrative appeals process located in Chapter 612 of the NRS. There was a failure by Petitioner to exhaust administrative remedies. Petitioner, therefore, failed to establish a basis to grant extraordinary relief. This Court noted it was not taking a position whether Petitioner was entitled to benefits. The issues raised in the Petition were not ripe before the Court because all of the administrative processes had not been utilized or exhausted.

	14
1	Based on the foregoing; and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR,
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent ESD's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Write
3	of Mandamus is GRANTED and Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Mandamus is DENIED. The
4	Clerk of the Court is directed to CLOSE this case.
5	DATED this 22nd day of February, 2021.
6	L. S Kichner
7	HON RABLE JOANNA S. KISHNER
8	DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	The foregoing Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus, and
11	Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus in Case No.: A-20-826013-W has been REVIEWED
12	and is Approved as to Form and Content:
13	
14	NATASHA EARLY
15	Petitioner
16	
17	
18	
19	Prepared and Submitted by:
20	<u>/s/ TROY C. JORDAN</u> TROY C. JORDAN, ESQ.
21	Attorney for ESD Respondents
22	
23	
24 TROY C. JORGAN, ESQ. Division Sr. Legal Consess: Stals of Mayoria DETRISSO 509 East Tains Sinest Cannon City, STV 39715 (775) 524-3826 (775) 524-3826	3

Electronically Filed 3/16/2021 1:40 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
\* \* \* \* \*

NATASHA EARLY,	)	
Plaintiff,	CASE NO. A-20-826013-W DEPT NO. XXXI	
VS.	)	
EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
Defendant.	) PROCEEDINGS	
AND RELATED PARTIES	) )	

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOANNA S. KISHNER, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2021

### PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

### DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

APPEARANCES (VIA BLUEJEANS):

FOR NATASHA EARLY: PRO SE

FOR EMPLOYMENT SECURITY: TROY JORDAN, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: DELORIS SCOTT, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: JD REPORTING, INC.

_					
	A-20-826013-W   Early v. Employment Security Div.   2021-02-04				
1	My understanding is no based on the communications				
2	this morning.				
3	But, Jessica, can you confirm whether you received				
4	anything?				
5	And then, Natalie, if you wouldn't mind confirming				
6	whether you received anything.				
7	Jessica?				
8	THE CLERK: This is Natalie.				
9	THE COURT: Well, okay. Natalie first. Go ahead,				
10	please.				
11	THE CLERK: This is Natalie. I have not I have				
12	not received anything, Your Honor.				
13	THE COURT: Okay. No worries.				
14	Jessica, can you please respond.				
15	(No audible response.)				
16	THE COURT: Jessica, you need to unmute yourself, and				
17	then could you please respond.				
18	(No audible response.)				
19	THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sharing the video, Your				
20	Honor.				
21	THE COURT: Pardon?				
22	THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sharing your video.				
23	THE COURT: Just one moment.				
24	Okay. It looks like				
25	Sorry. What was the question?				
	JD Reporting, Inc.				
•	3				

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 1 THE COURT RECORDER: No. I'm sharing your video in 2 the courtroom. 3 Oh, no. I appreciate it. THE COURT: 4 Right. So you can see me from the far camera; 5 correct? 6 THE COURT RECORDER: Yes. 7 THE COURT: I appreciate it. Thank you so much. 8 Okay. Well, it's 8:32. And we do not have Ms. Early 9 on the line. So what I'm going to do, Madam Court Recorder, 10 Madam Clerk, I'm going to call the case. I'm going to let 11 appearance by defense. And then we may need to hold off. 12 may need to ask him to reach out to plaintiff since she's in 13 proper person. 14 Okay. So we're going to call the 8:30 matter. 15 Natasha Early versus Employment Security Division, which is page 1 on the 8:30, and inadvertently it appears it also became 16 17 page 12 on the 9:30. It looks like (indiscernible) the 18 calendar error. 826013. 19 It does not appear I have plaintiff, but I'm going to 20 just make sure. 21 Is anyone on behalf of plaintiff or plaintiff on the 22 line? 23 (No audible response.) 24 THE COURT: Based on the names I see on BlueJeans, I 25 do not see that.

Okay.

How about counsel for defense?

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. JORDAN: Troy Jordan, Senior Legal Counsel to the Employment Security Division, on behalf of the respondents, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, okay. So from our understanding, Ms. Early has not reached out. I've confirmed that with the clerk. I've confirmed that with my law clerk. And she has not reached out for any reason saying that she couldn't be on the line.

She would have received the same notice you did because it gets e-served, and the fact that you got it means she would have gotten it as well. And since we also discussed it at the hearing a couple weeks ago that we were going to do today's date, she's aware of today's date. And it was at you all's request that we were doing it at 8:30 to accommodate you all. So it was before the rest of our calendar.

So at this juncture, have you had any communications with Ms. Early that she is not able to appear at this time? MR. JORDAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Here's what happened. As you know, the Court continued the hearing last time because you stated that the Employment Security Division had the 45 days to respond. You had not gotten the pleading in time in light of the last hearing so you were able to file a responsive brief to her writ.

Since you filed that as a motion to dismiss and you put on that front page today's hearing time, the master calendar set it as if it were a regular motion to dismiss separate and apart from any other case. So that's why they would've set it at 9:30.

Now, this Court doesn't know whether or not Ms. Early thought the time would have changed or not from the 8:30 to the 9:30 because of the most -- you probably got a notice yesterday most likely about --

MR. JORDAN: I believe so, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. So in light of that, what the Court was inclined to do if either of you were not on the phone at 8:30 is to tell the other party if you want to reach out to the one party to see if they want to get on the line and get it taken care of now, which is when it should be because that's the time everybody agreed when you all were appearing remotely last time, but in fairness I may need to recall this at 9:30.

So do you want to reach out to Ms. Early, or do you want me just to recall this at 9:30?

MR. JORDAN: Your Honor, I don't have a way of contacting Ms. Early.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

MR. JORDAN: Recalling it at 9:30 is fine with me.

THE COURT: Okay. Because at this juncture, what we don't know, she didn't reach out to anyone and saying there was

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 1 any issues. So we'd have no reason to believe that there would 2 be any issues. Everyone would've gotten the link because we've 3 got, well, you on the line and others coming onto the line for the 9:00 o'clock matters. And so we do not have any reason to 4 5 believe that there would be any issue. 6 But just in that abundance of caution and since she 7 did file a pleading yesterday at 5:30 --8 I'm not sure if you saw it or not. The Court did 9 read it, and I don't want to go into any substance since I do 10 not have both parties on the line. 11 -- but since she filed a document yesterday evening 12 at 5:30 and part of it said that she had very limited time with 13 the hearing being today would indicate to this Court that she 14 obviously knew the hearing was happening today because she 15 specifically put in the pleading she filed at 5:30 yesterday. 16 So... 17 MR. JORDAN: Your Honor, I can tell you that we were 18 not e-served with that document if there was anything filed 19 past her request to appear remotely. 20 THE COURT: No. There was an opposition to a motion 21 that was filed on 2/3. It says at 5:36 p.m. You might need to 22 go --23 MR. JORDAN: We have not --24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 I didn't mean to interrupt you, Your MR. JORDAN: JD Reporting, Inc.

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 Honor. Go ahead.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just -- you may want to go into the Odyssey system. It's on the Odyssey system.

The Court takes no position whether or not you may have a position in light of that. I'll wait until I have both parties on the line to discuss anything substantive. I was only noting that because in the — that particular document she noted that the hearing was today. So that would reconfirm to the Court that she knew the hearing was today.

I was once again trying to see if there was any reason she might not know the hearing was today, but I have no reason to believe that in light of you all were both here last time and specifically asked for this date and time, and then also the document she filed yesterday mentions the hearing today.

So, okay. So at this juncture I'm just going to -- I don't know if you want to stay on the -- just put it on mute and stay on the line and see if she comes on, and then I'll have to call it in between my other matters or wait until the 930 or what you wish to do at this juncture. But I think in fairness --

MR. JORDAN: I'll just stay on the line, and you can recall it at your leisure, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll recall it as soon as I have her name pop up. If not, I'll call it at 9:30 and then handle it there.

	n
	A-20-826013-W   Early v. Employment Security Div.   2021-02-04
1	Okay. I do appreciate it. So you're welcome to
2	either stay on video, stay on just audio, whatever you wish to
3	do. Just please mute yourself, and then we'll if she pops
4	on the line, we'll move forward. Okay?
5	MR. JORDAN: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Thank you.
7	(Matter trailed at 8:38 a.m., until 8:59 a.m.)
8	THE COURT: Early versus Employment Security
9	Division, 826013.
10	Ms. Early, are you on the line or someone on your
11	behalf?
12	(No audible response.)
13	THE COURT: No. Okay. So I'm not going to
14	Mr. Jordan, I'm not going to ask you to make your appearance
15	yet again because we were waiting for her.
16	(Matter trailed at 9:00 a.m., until 9:09 a.m.)
17	THE COURT: 8266 sorry. 826013, Natasha Early
18	versus Employment Security Division.
19	Do I have Ms. Early on the line yet?
20	I do not see her, but I'm just making sure.
21	(No audible response.)
22	THE COURT: No. Okay.
23	Mr. Jordan, we're going to still wait a little bit,
24	as we mentioned, just in case.
25	(Matter trailed at 9:10 a.m., until 9:38 a.m.)
	JD Reporting, Inc.

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 THE COURT: 826013. 1 2 Ms. Early, are you now on the line? 3 (No audible response.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Jordan, you're still on the 4 5 Would you like to make your appearance? line. 6 MR. JORDAN: I am, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Would you like to make your appearance, 8 sir? 9 Excuse me, Your Honor. I missed that. MR. JORDAN: 10 THE COURT: Would you like to make your appearance? Troy Jordan, Senior Legal Counsel, the 11 MR. JORDAN: 12 Employment Security Division, on behalf of respondent, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Jordan, you've been on the line for over an hour, both for the 8:30 and the 9:30. 15 16 It's now 9:39. So we've heard still nothing from Ms. Early or 17 any reason why she could not appear. She did file her notice 18 of appearance; so she knows about it. 19 Like I mentioned, the document filed yesterday 20 mentioned today's hearing, as well as she would have gotten the 21 same memo that you got from the Court which she would need to 22 know about because she did the notice of appearance. 23 this juncture we don't know why -- and also, additionally, you 24 all were on the line the other week, and you specifically asked 25 for this date. So all of those indicators, that even if she's

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 1 a pro se litigant and giving her an hour and ten minutes of 2 additional consideration, don't know why she's not on the line. 3 The Court has read all the pleadings. The Court has read even the document that she filed. And I need to hear from 4 you. We were not aware that you were not served with it, but 5 6 the Court did read it because it came in. And, you know, I'm 7 up late, and I get up early. So I saw it, and I read it. So 8 the Court had taken all of that into consideration and was 9 ready to make a ruling based on --10 THE JEA: Excuse me, Judge. 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 THE JEA: This is Tracy. She is on the line right 13 now. She's trying to connect. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll pause one moment. 15 Are you saying she's trying to connect, Tracy, again? THE JEA: Yes, Judge. One second. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 Judge, she's connecting right now. THE JEA: 19 the wrong meeting ID number. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. 21 So, Counsel, we'll wait one moment. Then we'll have 22 her make her appearance, and then we'll move forward. 23 Okay. Ms. Early, are you on the line? 24 (No audible response.) 25 THE COURT: Nope, not yet. JD Reporting, Inc.

	A-20-826013-W   Early v. Employment Security Div.   2021-02-04	
1	Okay. We're not seeing any additional names coming	
2	up.	
3	Tracy, was it your understanding she was trying to	
4	connect? Because we're not seeing any additional names coming	
5	up.	
6	THE JEA: That is correct. I provided her with the	
7	meeting the correct meeting ID number. She was using the	
8	test connection site and said that she was unable to connect.	
9	So I did provide her with the meeting ID number, the correct	
10	one.	
11	THE COURT: Okay. The same one, the correct one that	
12	was in the memo; right?	
13	THE JEA: Affirmative. Yes.	
14	THE COURT: Okay. So she's just reading the wrong	
15	portion of it. Okay.	
16	Well, Counsel, let's wait a moment or two to see if	
17	maybe	
18	Okay. I'm still not seeing any additional names pop	
19	up.	
20	Ms. Early, are you on the line?	
21	(No audible response.)	
22	THE COURT: Tracy, did you receive any further	
23	communication? Because there is no one hooking up. There's no	
24	one showing joining or anything.	
25	THE JEA: I have not, and I was on the phone with	
	JD Reporting, Inc.	
_	12	

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 1 her. And I put her on hold to let you know that she was trying 2 to connect, and I provided her the meeting ID number. 3 THE COURT: Again. THE JEA: And I did let her know that it was in the 4 5 memo in bold in big letters. So... THE COURT: Okay. Well, she was able to hook up last 6 7 time. So I'll wait just a --8 MS. EARLY: Hello. This is Natasha Early. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 10 So, Ms. Early, we've got case 826013, which was 11 page 1 on our 8:30; and then page 12, it appeared on the 9:30. 12 So we understood you may have had some confusion that this 13 hearing inadvertently --14 MS. EARLY: Yes. THE COURT: -- said both times. So we -- counsel for 15 16 respondent has stayed on the line for the last hour and fifteen 17 minutes. 18 So, Ms. Early, you've made your appearance. 19 Counsel for -- Mr. Jordan, do you want to just make 20 your appearance again, if you don't mind, for the whatever 21 number time. 22 MR. JORDAN: Troy Jordan on behalf of the Employment 23 Security Division and the respondents, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Appreciate it. Thank you. 25 So this is a writ of mandamus, and then the JD Reporting, Inc.

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

Court received -- as you recall, the hearing initially was continued because of the time frame did not give enough response time for the respondent to respond.

Respondent filed a responsive pleading.

And then yesterday, without -- Ms. Early, it appears, without notifying the Court, and you may not have served it on respondent, you filed another document at around 5:36, after close of business yesterday.

So I'll tell you the Court has read it. Whether the Court can consider it or not, I'm going to wait to hear each side's position on that, but we've got the writ.

So you filed the writ, Ms. Early. You get to go first. Feel free. Whatever you wish to summarize for your argument, feel free to do so. And then I'll let opposing party respond.

MS. EARLY: Okay. Great.

So, Your Honor, with all due respect, ma'am, I tried very hard to resolve this issue raised in my pension (sic) with the respondent who has been not available. I could not access my DETR site to reup — open my 2019 claim for mud (phonetic). So within that time frame, I pretty much finally was able to get my claim here through email and to reinstate my claim. It was reinstated improperly, which deprived me of weeks of benefits of two, eighty-five, a week for 26 weeks. So I was only granted \$71 a week for that period of frame.

So during that period, I was -- I was supposed to access that two, eighty-five, per week for 13 weeks and a total of hundred dollars in addition to that for the lost wage act for six weeks. So at the moment, I was only getting the \$71 a week because they refused to reopen and backdate my 2019 claim. That was ordered by the governor. So due to that issue, I was also -- it was raised within the DETR system. They had my phone number and everything that I filed several times. All my appeals and everything wasn't acknowledged at all.

I appealed twice. They weren't acknowledged at all; therefore, none of that paperwork exists in plain print through their system.

And during the speedy -- it was a speedy moment when I did -- was able to contact them, and I thought the remedy to resolve this issue was resolved, and it turns out just a couple days ago they sent a notification that I canceled my claim. So that claim, now I'm deprived of an additional \$300 a week that has been added to everyone's claim stated by the governor. So it's been so many tricky loopholes in this situation.

So in the moment I'm just -- you know, I just want to resolve this. Like, I just want to be properly paid through the remit as ordered by the government through my pension (sic). And at the moment I haven't been able to receive much at all from them. So -- and it's all in my pension (sic). Everything is listed there. All of my payments that I have

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 received and haven't received has been listed also.

THE COURT: Okay. So here's the question the Court has. The Court, as you know, has to look first procedurally whether or not --

MS. EARLY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- this case is ripe, meaning can a district court judge hear this case because writ relief, as you both put in your pleadings, is extraordinary relief and only has very limited purposes.

So the first thing is looking at whether or not this should be handled first at the employment security level, you know, on DETR's level through their appellate process.

MS. EARLY: Yes.

THE COURT: And so therein lies a question that this Court is going to have, and I -- and whether I'm going to consider it or not consider it, I'm at least going to reference some things in your document that you filed yesterday because the first time there's some new documents, and I --

MS. EARLY: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So the only thing that I saw that had any type of fax confirmation or anything that -- was a December 2020, okay, the Office Depot Number 2285. And it says 12/12/2020, at 4:49 p.m. Okay. That's the only confirmation that I saw on those.

And then the other ones were -- I saw a cover sheet,

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

but I didn't see -
MS. EARLY: Yeah. Both were supposed to be my appeal

MS. EARLY: Yeah. Both were supposed to be my appeal letters. I did one for November 11th, and I also did one for October 3rd. So I appealed because they said that I owed them backpay of \$866 in which was a wrongful system. I guess their system has been maybe — maybe it's been errors within their system, but they sent me that notification. So to protect myself, I appealed that, you know, because I wasn't — I didn't owe anything to them. But then again, that note on my account disappeared out of nowhere. So, but I did appeal at that time.

And then I also appealed for my \$71 a week. I appealed for them to reinstate my 2019 claim, which is for the two, eighty-five, a week. So instead of them reinstating that claim, they missed wages on my current claim.

There's still missed -- lost wages there, and I have that all written in my (indiscernible).

THE COURT: The Court did not see --

MS. EARLY: In detail.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from opposing counsel first, both procedurally and substantively, and then I'll get back to you, Ms. Early, okay, so that he has an opportunity to respond, and then you get last word.

Go ahead, Mr. -- go ahead, Counsel.

MR. JORDAN: Judge, I'm going to start with a couple

JD Reporting, Inc.

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 of procedural things that we take issue with in the opposition that we were given a copy of, which -- this morning by

chambers, and we appreciate that.

The brief was not late. It's 45 days from the date we were served. We were served on the 17th. 45 days was January 31st, which was a Sunday, meaning we had until the 1st to file it. We filed it on the 1st. With that, I'll leave that issue.

With regard to the procedure and the jurisdiction issue, this is basically what I was trying to say in the motion to dismiss is she hasn't gone through the appeals process yet. Now, whether I was given bad information by the program or whether this is stuck in a backlog or whether we never received it, she has produced in that opposition what appear to be two faxed letters.

Now, interestingly, it always says to mail in your letters. Most people do it certified to make sure we got it.

What I will note is, the one that has a fax confirmation, it's the wrong fax number. Now, you know, I'm not a fact witness, but I can represent as an officer to the court my understanding is the number is 0481, not 0418. So that may have been the issue.

With that said, regardless of whether that appeal came in on time or not, the issue here is she hasn't gone through the administrative process. And the Nevada Supreme

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

Court is very clear that this petition for writ that she filed, which was the vehicle she chose, the vehicle she chose was to file this petition for writ. She did not choose to go through the administrative process and file the petition for judicial review, which is the way to get into court when you're appealing an unemployment decision.

She files a petition for writ. That means she, A, cannot have a remedy independent of the writ; and, B, there has to be a clear duty to act for what she's asking for. But let's go through those in that order.

First of all, the administrative process is available to her. Now, if she files a late appeal, she can actually ask for good cause to have that date extended. But even if we don't, then she can appeal the fact that we dismissed the appeal or found it to be late anyway. She has not taken advantage of that process.

Indeed, NRS Chapter 36, 500 and 525, will tell you she can't even get into court until she's through the board of review. And near as I can tell, even if these appeals would have gone through, she hasn't even gotten a referee's determination, which is the lower court — or the lower appeals tribunal at DETR. She hasn't produced a single one to say that she's gone through that or that she's got a decision that says she's been awarded benefits.

Now, furthermore, there appears to be some confusion,

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

with all due respect, with the petitioner. She is trying to make it so that her 2019 claim, which was valid for 26 weeks, somehow controls 2020. That claim expired after 26 weeks. She keeps trying to argue in her pleadings, well, I was awarded benefits in 2019. That was for 26 weeks. That ended sometime in October or November, depending on when the claim started, of 2019. She had to reopen or file a new claim. It's kind of a term of art in UI, but either way she had to reapply. And changed circumstances may result in a changed decision.

So what she also hasn't proved is a clear duty for us to pay her during that time because the only eligibility that was found was found down in October, and it's for \$71, well after the FPUC had expired.

And I notice she mentioned LWA for the second time today. First, I would argue the burden is on her to prove that she's eligible for LWA, and she cited no statute. But secondly, LWA required a hundred dollars in benefits to get the LWA on top of it. It was one of the banes of our existence because the original FPUC only allowed for a dollar, meaning that if you had a dollar in any benefit program eligibility during that time period you got the full \$600 FPUC, which was a federal pandemic program.

The LWA --

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel. Counsel, can I stop you?

MR. JORDAN: -- created --

JD Reporting, Inc.

THE COURT: The only reason I'm going to ask you to stop for one quick second, while as much as I understand the lingo you are using and the abbreviations, would you mind saying out the language just so that, A, you get a clear transcript; and, B, we make sure that the petitioner understands what you're referencing, please.

MR. JORDAN: Yes, Your Honor. And I will apologize on behalf of all of us who work in the unemployment system. We use enough acronyms that many people don't understand what we're saying.

So there was an extended pandemic program called the Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation program. The requirement for that program was you had to have at least an eligibility determination of 1 dollar per week for every week that you were eligible for it, and you could get a \$600 -- we called it a bonus or a bump. That expired back in June or July. The time frame escapes me, but this claim wasn't allowed until August, and the back date was denied. Again, another issue she could appeal. But with that said, there's no evidence she's actually eligible for FPUC, which is some of the relief she is seeking.

She also mentioned in passing, without citing any statute, the LWA program. The LWA program is Lost Wages
Assistance. Lost Wages Assistance was created by executive order of former President Trump that allowed for an additional

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

\$300 in FEMA money, FEMA being the Federal Emergency Management Association. That was separate and apart from unemployment programs but instituted by unemployment assistance programs. The requirement for that was a hundred dollars in benefits. It was something we highly objected to at DETR because we didn't want to move it up because it was going to, in people like Ms. Early's case, deny her that benefit.

The burden is on her to show she was eligible for either of these two programs, and she has not presented any determination showing she's eligible.

What she appears to be trying to do with this writ today is relitigate her unemployment claim. And that has to go through the administrative process and then to a petition for judicial review per NRS six, twelve. She has an adequate speedy remedy at law. She also cannot show that we have a duty to pay her because she has not shown eligibility where she has not been paid.

My understanding is she is currently being paid.

And I also wanted to address the unfortunate letter. I can represent to you, as an officer of the court, when I saw that this morning, I had actually never seen one of those before. And I said, What happened? And my UISS chief — that's unemployment support services — said it doesn't mean she is cut off. The language is incredibly unfortunate. What it means is they moved her over to an EUC claim —

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

That's the letter E as in elephant, U as in unemployment, C as in compensation. And that's Emergency Unemployment Compensation.

-- because she had expired this claim. And that's an automatic thing.

Now, I know, Your Honor, you're probably going to ask then why would you send such a letter. I don't control those things as the attorney. I can tell you that they have been told that that's probably, you know, going to get us in some hot water after I saw that today without an explanation letter that says you've been transferred to EUC for your benefit because your unemployment benefits have run out.

This is in large part due to something that's not relevant to the case, but there's currently 37 states fighting with the Department of Labor, who control the unemployment program, that we should be able to offer state-extended benefits --

That's SEB. S as in Sarah. E as in employment. В as in boy.

-- for those weeks. That determination from the DOL literally came down last week and would explain why she can't get SEB right now because they're saying we, quote, triggered off, and we had to put them on EUC.

Now, it's a lot of semantics. I understand claimants' frustrations. We've been going through this for

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 1 almost 11 months now, where many of these acronyms, programs 2 and the intertwining between them are making people very angry, 3 and understandably because, A, they don't understand it; B, I will concede we've had problems communicating with people, 4 5 whether it is jammed phone lines or poor writing of 6 determinations. 7 But with that said, this simply isn't the vehicle by 8 which she gets this fixed. It never has and it never will be. 9 And she still -- the burden is on her to show that she's 10 actually entitled to the money, which she has not shown. 11 So, therefore, we'd ask that she dismiss her writ. 12 I -- as an olive branch, I can get someone to get her 13 on a list to get called in the next 48 hours if she would like. 14 But that doesn't change our legal position that this 15 writ is improperly before this Court; it is premature; and that there is no clear duty; nor does she not have a remedy of going 16 17 through the administrative process. 18 With that said, I'll submit. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Just one second before, Ms. Early, 20 you continue. 21 Madam Court Recorder, do you need any clarification 22 of any of those initials, or do you have it? Are you good for 23 now? 24 THE COURT RECORDER: Yes. 25 Okay. Perfect. I just wanted to make THE COURT:

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 sure before we moved on that you got all those.

Okay. So, Ms. Early, you get final word. Go ahead.

MS. EARLY: Hello?

THE COURT: Yes. Please go ahead, Ms. Early. You get the final word.

MS. EARLY: Yeah. So, Your Honor, I submitted evidence of my eligibility letter. That is listed by DETR and instated by them. And I've also submitted evidence of my — through my pension (sic).

And he has not submitted any evidence or any writ or any response to the brief or any affidavits in response to my reply. So for him to attempt to provide his evidence verbally is not enough. He has provided no physical evidence. And I have provided detailed by detailed evidence in my pension (sic).

So by with him talking like that, I just can't -- I can't agree with any of that because I have a eligibility letter submitted. I am completely eligible through their system and through their words. They have letters in my pension (sic) that listed that I'm eligible, and it's stated by them, DETR itself.

THE COURT: Okay. So --

MS. EARLY: So I prefer for him to provide evidence versus verbal evidence. He needs to provide this physical evidence or a response to my affidavit. He hasn't responded to

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

anything at all, and he has had many, many, many — toward over 45 days to do that alone, and he hasn't. Not only have they — they just recently said that I submitted a letter to cancel my case when I've never submitted a letter to cancel my case. So now I'm currently ineligible for any additional \$300 weekly that other people are eligible for, like myself. So if something is going on in the system or something that they're trying to skip over, but I need the evidence in hand, like I provided the evidence to them. They can read it. I want them to provide the evidence to me too and so you can read it also, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Early, did you ever go before a referee, a claims referee or the board of review with regards to your claims that you presented in a writ?

MS. EARLY: I have. I've went. They're all there. It's all available there to be read.

THE COURT: Sorry. My question is, in case you didn't understand my question, my question is a little bit different. I did not see anywhere in any of your pleadings that you went before what's called a claims referee.

Did you go to any kind of hearing in front of a claims referee?

MS. EARLY: Yes.

THE COURT: You went in front of a claims? Because there's a determination letter that comes out after there is a

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 1 claims referee hearing. Are you saying that you had a hearing 2 in front of a claims referee? 3 MS. EARLY: I haven't, but because they haven't responded to my appeal. So I can't go further to speak to a 4 5 claims referee because they haven't responded to any appeal 6 I've (video interference) for benefits (video interference). 7 THE COURT: Okay. And the appeals are the letters 8 that you attached to the document titled Opposition you filed 9 yesterday; right? Those are the -- it looks like maybe it 10 might be --11 MS. EARLY: Yeah. But they were filed -- they were 12 filed on those dates that are present in the dates that's 13 listed, October and November. So those are -- those were filed then. 14 15 But I also have my affidavits that they haven't responded to or anything in such as far as court orders. 16 17 THE COURT: Okav. And --18 MS. EARLY: They haven't provided any evidence. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And, Ms. Early, you attached to 20 your initial writ a series of exhibits that had -- you saw on 21 the second page it talked about appeal rights. 22 MS. EARLY: Yes. 23 THE COURT: You know the pages I'm talking about; 24 right? 25 MS. EARLY: Yes.

27

THE COURT: I don't see anything in the record that either of you provided me that you followed that process for appeal rights other than what you're asserting was attached to last night's pleading, which were some documents dated October, November, December. The only one that had a fax confirmation was a December 12th, I believe, one. Is that correct?

Or are you saying that you filed something earlier than what you -- is there something earlier than the October time frame?

MS. EARLY: Yeah. The 2019 claim, I didn't have to appeal that because they provided it for me.

THE COURT: No.

MS. EARLY: It was already granted. But instead of them reopening my claim, they falsely opened me another claim. So, therefore, I have been fighting that new claim. But I was entitled to the first 2019 claim of the two, eighty-five, weekly. So for some reason, instead of their continuing to provide me with that information and provide me with the ability to file weekly there, instead they reopened another claim. And now I'm fighting to appeal that claim.

But in addition, I've been going back and forth regarding the fact that I've been eligible for the first 2018 claim -- I mean 2019 claim of two, eighty-five, a week. So I haven't been able to get in front of a referee given that they haven't responded.

THE COURT: And when you're saying they haven't responded, what I'm looking at were the documents that you attached to your initial petition filed on December 11th, 2020, okay, and which opened up case 826013, this present case.

You attached to that initial petition a series of documents; right?

Your Exhibit 1 was a DETR letter dated 5/7/2019, which was the 2019 claim that you each have been referencing.

Your Exhibit 2 is a letter dated -- I'm trying to look at this date mailed. It looks like it says 8/11/2020. It's kind of hard to read it.

Then the next one was your Exhibit 3, was the effective date, and it references your 2019.

Exhibit 4 is a letter mailed. Is says it's mailed -MS. EARLY: They supplement has the 2019 claim. If
you look in the supplement, it has the 2019 claim.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. EARLY: So you can --

THE COURT: Ms. Early, what I'm just trying to confirm and maybe another way of saying it is that looking at your initial petition, looking at your supplement and the opposition you filed yesterday, is that all of the documents from your communication with DETR?

MS. EARLY: No. I have several emails also that I -- actually, I have several emails also because I was

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 communicating through with email also, but I --

THE COURT: But they're not before the Court because you didn't provide them to the Court even though you filed things on 12/11. And then you filed a supplement, which technically is not allowed under the rules, but you did it anyway, 1/15/2021. I reviewed it. And then you filed your document yesterday titled Opposition at 5:36.

The challenge, Ms. Early, that this Court has is that the Court does not see -- the Court doesn't take any position on the underlying merits of your claim, but the Court does not see how this can come before the Court as a writ of mandamus. Okay.

And the reason why the Court is going to have to rule that way is writs are very limited, as both you and opposing counsel have stated, right. You both have stated about how writs are very limited. And you do have to show that there is not an appropriate remedy -- excuse me -- at law, right.

So when you look at the Nevada Supreme Court, and this is actually from the responsive pleading, slash, motion filed by the respondent, Walker versus District Court, the Supreme Court has stated that it has to be extraordinary relief, and relief, you know, the relief should be extraordinary. And that cite to Walker v. District Court is 136 Nevada Advance Op. 80, 476 P.3d 1194 (2020). And that talked about the statutory language of NRS 34.160, quote,

"It is consistent with well-established common law rules governing traditional mandamus jurisdiction, and we therefore presume that in prescribing mandamus as a statutory remedy, the legislature had in view the nature and extent of the remedy, as known at common-law."

With a cite at 1196.

And then it tells that NRS 34.160 provides a District Court may issue a writ of mandamus to compel the performance of an act which the law requires as a duty resulting from the office, trust or station. And that cite is Veil, V-e-i-l versus Bennett, B-e-n-n-e-t-t; 131 Nevada 179; 348 P.3d 684 (2015). And/or it's to control arbitrary and capricious exercise of discretion.

So here you do have a speedy and adequate remedy course of action. The Nevada Revised Statute 612 do specifically provide that you may file a request for a determination, and you can file various appeals. You can go to an appeals referee. You can go to the board of review. And you have different appeal remedies.

While the Court does take note that attached your opposition are some communications and also attached to your supplements and attached to your initial petition, none of those say that you have been denied your right to have an

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

appeal or that you actually have filed an appeal in accordance with all the notices that you got in those communications.

Okay. So that means you do have a speedy, right, a speedy remedy. Okay.

Because I have to look at the date you first filed, right. You first submitted the information you said in August, and you have to be backdated to the 2019, which the Court is not taking any opinion on whether that should or should not be done. I'm looking at this procedurally on whether a writ can be before the District Court versus the traditional process of it coming up from a petition for judicial review after the administrative process has been exhausted.

The Court doesn't find that this is the kind of situation where a writ, extraordinary relief would occur.

Now, let me be clear on something. This case, and the Court is aware of a case pending before the Nevada Supreme Court, but the Court — and that's the Payne case, P-a-y-n-e; however, there is no authority. It's not determinative of this case, and that case this Court would see has several distinguishing factors. It involved multiple people, different dates, different type of relief, different type of remedies. So the party would look to see if it was something even similar to this that might allow this Court to take this up on a writ.

But the Court doesn't see that a writ would apply here. Because, Ms. Early, while I appreciate you're frustrated

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

and you've made some effort trying to communicate with DETR, given what you've presented to this Court, the record this Court has doesn't show that you have exercised your right to an appeal that would — that appeal would then go to, like I said, to a referee, up to the board of review, and there's some other appellate processes to exhaust the administrative remedies and then come to this Court as a petition for judicial review.

The Court doesn't see that there is anything extraordinary in your fact-specific case that would allow you to bypass all those normal courses and come up to the District Court as a writ. Okay.

When the Court also looks at the other prong about whether or not there was a duty, here you haven't established, and it would be your burden as the petitioner to establish that there was some duty that was not provided to you. While I appreciate that you stated that there has been some delay in some responses, okay, and then that itself wouldn't show that there is a duty.

The second point is you stated that, guess what, you just got a letter earlier this week saying something had been canceled. Now, the Court can't -- two things on that. One, that letter the Court really can't take into account because that was not part of your initial petition. Even if the Court could take it into account, it doesn't say that it's precluding you from appealing that determination of that most recent

correspondence you got. I believe it was on February 2nd that you attached to your opposition. Once again, you could appeal that determination. There's nothing been presented to this Court that you can't appeal that and then go through the normal process and come up to a District Court through a petition for judicial review.

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

So when I look at all the factors in the record that is indicated, the Court doesn't find that you followed the procedures set forth in NRS 612 (indiscernible). And so, therefore, there is not a basis for this Court to grant the extraordinary relief and grant a writ. Therefore, this Court has to deny your writ. And the Court has to find that there is no basis for the writ. It has to deny the writ.

And whatever process you take is whatever process you take, and the Court is, like I said, is not taking any position on the merits --

MS. EARLY: Your Honor, may I requesting --

THE COURT: Ms. Early --

MS. EARLY: -- another hearing --

THE COURT: Ms. Early. Ms. Early.

MS. EARLY: -- (indiscernible) the facts that have been presented?

THE COURT: Ms. Early, you've got to let me finish, please. I'll let you speak and just one second, but please do let me finish, okay, just so that you get clear understanding

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 of what I'm saying.

So the Court is not taking a position on the underlying issue of whether you are or are not entitled to benefits. That is not ripe before the Court yet because all the administrative processes have not been utilized. There has not been an exhaustion of administrative remedies, and there's not a basis for a writ.

What the Court is doing is denying the writ procedurally for failure to exhaust administrative remedies and for not establishing that there is any other basis to grant extraordinary relief. It is so ordered.

Now, Ms. Early, you wish to speak. Go ahead, please.

MS. EARLY: Well, I would like to request a hearing
to determine the facts of the appeal.

THE COURT: That does not -- okay. Ms. Early, I'm hearing what you're saying. That hearing would not come before a District Court judge. What this Court is saying is you have to exhaust your administrative remedies. You have to go through the process through DETR, you know, through unemployment before you can come up to the District Court.

This Court is finding that you did not exhaust, you did not go through all those processes. And so this Court has no jurisdiction to hear your writ. It has no basis to grant the writ. Okay.

MS. EARLY: The letter of my cancellation for the

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04

cancellation request that they said that I submitted is my opposition. I did not submit that. I did not request for that to be submitted, but it's in the opposition. It's right there in the opposition that I did present to you guys yesterday when I submitted that. That's why I submitted it as fast as I could.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. EARLY: So that's listed. So can you please review that? I need the evidence reviewed. I would like to --

THE COURT: I did.

MS. EARLY: -- at least have that done.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Early, the reason why I was able --

MS. EARLY: Details.

THE COURT: -- to quote from and state the documents that you attached to the opposition that you filed at 5:36 last night, okay, even though that was untimely and even though -- the document even you filed on 1/15, you can't file a supplement without court permission.

The Court still, let me be clear, even though neither of those documents were timely filed, the Court still read them. The Court still took them all into account, okay, to see if they helped or assisted your case. The Court found that they did not. Okay. In fact, they did establish that there is not a basis to grant a writ.

So I have reviewed every single thing you've provided to this Court even though, like I said, you sent some stuff in at 5:36 after business yesterday. I was up late last night reviewing everything, double checking everything and making sure I was taking everything —

MS. EARLY: Okay.

THE COURT: -- into consideration and then additionally hearing what both you and the opposing counsel said today before making this ruling. But there just is no basis for a writ. Okay, Ms. Early?

MS. EARLY: (No audible response.)

THE COURT: But you understand that the Court is not saying whether you are or are not eligible for the underlying money. That has to be decided by the administrative agency. It's just not ripe here. It's not ready to come into the courthouse steps.

Okay. So based on the Court's ruling, I'm going to ask counsel for the respondent to please prepare the order, circulate it to Ms. Early and provide it back to the Court to the DC XXXI inbox in accordance with administrative orders, including Administrative Order 17, 24, and the most recent ones from 01 from 2021.

Now, I will tell you, Ms. Early, you're going to get a copy of the draft order from opposing counsel. Okay. If you sign off on it, it doesn't mean you necessarily agree with the

A-20-826013-W | Early v. Employment Security Div. | 2021-02-04 Court's ruling. It just means that that's what the Court 1 2 ruled. Okay. 3 If you choose not to sign it, then what counsel would do is counsel would say that he provided it to you, gave you at 4 5 least, you know, two days to look at it and before submitting 6 it. Okay. So if you choose not to sign it or if you choose 7 to, you know, not respond to counsel, then he just has to let 8 the Court know. 9 If you do sign it, then it either gets a signature; 10 or if you send an email confirmation that your name can be 11 attached, that embedded confirmation gets provided as part of 12 the order pursuant to the administrative orders to the DC XXXI 13 inbox. 14 Counsel, do you understand that? 15 And, Ms. Early, do you --16 Counsel, do you understand that? 17 MR. JORDAN: I understand that, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: I appreciate it. Thank you. 19 Ms. Early, do you understand that as well? 20 MS. EARLY: (No audible response.) 21 THE COURT: Ms. Early, did you disconnect? 22 MS. EARLY: (No audible response.) 23 THE COURT: Okay. She's -- well, the Court had made 24 its ruling. It fully evaluated everything. It appears she may 25 have disconnected or chose to disconnect herself because

,	
	A-20-826013-W   Early v, Employment Security Div,   2021-02-04
1	there's no issues on our end. So at this juncture the Court
2	had made its ruling.
3	Thank you so very much. I wish everyone health and
4]	happiness both to both parties on behalf of the last matter.
5	(Proceedings concluded at 10:17 a.m.)
6	-000-
7	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly
8	transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled
9	case,
10	$\sim$ 0.100 ·
11	Dana P. Williams
12	Dana L. Williams
13	Transcriber
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Τ			
	<b>2019</b> [15] 14/20 15/5	9:09 a.m [1] 9/16	ago [2] 5/13 15/16	10/18 10/22 11/22
MR. JORDAN: [21] 2/4	17/13 20/2 20/5 20/7	9:10 a.m [1] 9/25	agree [2] 25/17 37/25	13/18 13/20
2/6 2/19 5/2 5/19 6/10	28/10 28/16 28/23 29/7	9:30 [10] 2/11 2/14	agreed [1] 6/16	APPEARANCES [1]
6/20 6/23 7/17 7/23	29/8 29/13 29/15 29/16	2/17 4/17 6/5 6/8 6/17	Ah [1] 2/8	1/16
7/25 8/22 9/5 10/6 10/9	32/7	6/19 10/15 13/11	ahead [7] 3/9 8/1 17/24	
10/11 13/22 17/25	2020 [6] 16/22 16/23	9:30 and [1] 8/25	17/24 25/2 25/4 35/12	appearing [2] 2/25
20/25 21/7 38/17	20/3 29/4 29/10 30/24	9:30 is [1] 6/23	all [31] 2/19 5/16 6/16	6/16
MS. EARLY: [35] 13/8	2021 [4] 1/13 2/1 30/6	9:38 a.m [1] 9/25	8/12 9/5 10/24 10/25	appears [5] 4/16 14/5
13/14 14/16 16/5 16/13	37/22	9:39 [1] 10/16	11/3 11/8 14/17 15/8	19/25 22/11 38/24
16/19 17/2 17/19 25/3	<b>2285</b> [1] 16/22 <b>24</b> [1] 37/21	Α	15/9 15/10 15/24 15/24 15/25 17/17 19/11 20/1	appellate [2] 16/12 33/6
25/6 25/23 26/15 26/23	<b>26 [4]</b> 14/24 20/2 20/3	a.m [10] 2/1 2/21 2/21	21/8 25/1 26/1 26/15	apply [1] 32/24
27/3 27/11 27/18 27/22	20/5	9/7 9/7 9/16 9/16 9/25	26/16 29/22 32/2 33/10	
27/25 28/10 28/13	2nd [1] 34/1	9/25 39/5	34/7 35/4 35/22 36/22	9/1 9/6 13/24 18/3
29/15 29/18 29/24		abbreviations [1] 21/3	all's [1] 5/15	32/25 33/16 38/18
34/17 34/19 34/21	3	ability [1] 28/19	allow [2] 32/23 33/9	appropriate [1] 30/17
35/13 35/25 36/8 36/11	31st [1] 18/6	able [9] 5/18 5/24 13/6	allowed [4] 20/19	arbitrary [1] 31/14
36/14 37/6 37/11 38/20	<b>34.160 [2]</b> 30/25 31/9	14/21 15/14 15/23	21/17 21/25 30/5	are [22] 9/10 10/2
38/22	<b>348 [1]</b> 31/13	23/16 28/24 36/13	almost [1] 24/1	11/15 11/23 12/20 21/3
THE CLERK: [2] 3/8	<b>36 [1]</b> 19/17	about [9] 5/1 6/9 10/18	alone [1] 26/2	24/2 24/22 26/6 27/1
3/11 TUE COURT	<b>37 [1]</b> 23/14	10/22 27/21 27/23	already [1] 28/13	27/7 27/9 27/12 27/13
THE COURT	3rd [1] 17/4	30/15 30/25 33/12	also [20] 4/16 5/12	28/7 30/14 30/16 31/23
RECORDER: [5] 3/19		above [1] 39/8	8/14 10/23 15/7 16/1	35/3 35/3 37/13 37/13
3/22 4/1 4/6 24/24 THE COURT: [83]	4	above-entitled [1] 39/8		
THE COURT: [83]	<b>45 [4]</b> 5/22 18/4 18/5	abundance [1] 7/6	22/15 22/19 25/8 26/10	argument [1] 14/14
11/12 11/16 11/18 12/6	26/2	access [2] 14/19 15/2	27/15 29/24 29/25 30/1	around [1] 14/7
12/13 12/25 13/4	476 [1] 30/24	accommodate [1] 5/15	31/23 33/12	art [1] 20/8
	48 [1] 24/13	accordance [2] 32/1	always [1] 18/16	as [40]
\$	4:49 p.m [1] 16/23	37/20	am [ <b>2</b> ] 10/6 25/18	ask [7] 4/12 9/14 19/12
<b>\$300 [3]</b> 15/17 22/1	5	account [4] 17/10	angry [1] 24/2	21/1 23/6 24/11 37/18
26/5	-	33/22 33/24 36/22	another [6] 14/7 21/18	asked [2] 8/13 10/24
\$600 [2] 20/21 21/15	<b>5/7/2019 [1]</b> 29/7   <b>500 [1]</b> 19/17	acknowledged [2]	28/14 28/19 29/20	asking [1] 19/9
<b>\$71 [4]</b> 14/25 15/4	<b>525 [1]</b>   19/17	15/9 15/10	34/19	asserting [1] 28/3
17/12 20/12	<b>5:30 [2]</b>   7/7 7/12	acknowledging [1] 2/5		assistance [3] 21/24
<b>\$866 [1]</b> 17/5	5:30 yesterday [1]	acronyms [2] 21/9	5/17 6/4 7/1 7/2 7/4 7/5	
	7/15	24/1	7/9 8/10 10/17 12/1	assisted [1] 36/23
	<b>5:36 [2]</b> 14/7 30/7	act [3] 15/3 19/9 31/11	12/4 12/18 12/22 16/21	Association [1] 22/2
-oOo [1] 39/6	5:36 after [1] 37/3	action [1] 31/17 actually [7] 19/12	20/20 21/22 22/9 24/21	at [64]
0	5:36 last [1] 36/16	21/20 22/21 24/10	24/22 25/10 25/10 25/11 25/11 25/17 26/5	attached [11] 27/8 27/19 28/3 29/3 29/5
	5:36 p.m [1] 7/21	29/25 30/19 32/1	26/19 26/21 27/5 27/18	
01 [1] 37/22		added [1] 15/18	30/9 32/8 34/15 35/10	36/16 38/11
0418 [1] 18/21	6	addition [2] 15/3 28/21	anyone [3] 2/24 4/21	attempt [1] 25/12
0481 [1] 18/21	<b>612 [2]</b> 31/17 34/9	additional [7] 11/2	6/25	ATTEST [1] 39/7
1	<b>684 [1]</b> 31/13	12/1 12/4 12/18 15/17	anything [12] 3/4 3/6	attorney [1] 23/8
1/15 [1] 36/18	8	21/25 26/5	3/12 7/18 8/6 12/24	audible [12] 2/4 3/15
1/15/2021 [1] 30/6		additionally [2] 10/23	16/21 17/9 26/1 27/16	3/18 4/23 9/12 9/21
10:17 a.m [1] 39/5	<b>8/11/2020</b> [1] 29/10	37/8	28/1 33/8	10/3 11/24 12/21 37/11
<b>11 [2]</b> 24/1 30/4	80 [1] 30/24	address [1] 22/19	anyway [2] 19/15 30/6	38/20 38/22
11 <b>94</b> [1] 30/24	<b>826013 [6]</b> 4/18 9/9	adequate [2] 22/14	anywhere [1] 26/19	audio [2] 9/2 39/8
1196 [1] 31/8	9/17 10/1 13/10 29/4	31/16	apart [2] 6/4 22/2	audio/video [1] 39/8
11th [2] 17/3 29/3	<b>8266 [1]</b> 9/17	administrative [15]	apologize [1] 21/7	August [2] 21/18 32/6
<b>12 [2]</b> 4/17 13/11	8:25 [1] 2/1	18/25 19/4 19/11 22/13	appeal [21] 17/2 17/10	authority [1] 32/18
<b>12/11</b> [1] 30/4	8:26 a.m [1] 2/21	24/17 32/12 33/6 35/5	18/23 19/12 19/14	automatic [1] 23/5
<b>12/12/2020 [1]</b> 16/23	8:29 a.m [1] 2/21	35/6 35/9 35/18 37/14	19/15 21/19 27/4 27/5	available [3] 14/19
12th [1] 28/6	8:30 [5] 2/11 2/15 2/25	37/20 37/21 38/12	27/21 28/3 28/11 28/20	19/11 26/16
<b>13</b> [1] 15/2	4/16 13/11	Advance [1] 30/24	31/21 32/1 32/1 33/4	awarded [2] 19/24 20/4
<b>13</b> 1 [1] 31/13	<b>8:30 and [1]</b> 10/15 <b>8:30 is [1]</b> 6/13	advantage [1] 19/16	33/4 34/2 34/4 35/14	aware [3] 5/14 11/5
136 [1] 30/24	8:30 matter [1] 4/14	affidavit [1] 25/25	appealed [5] 15/10	32/16
<b>15</b> [1] 36/18	8:30 to [2] 5/15 6/7	affidavits [2] 25/11	17/4 17/8 17/12 17/13	В
<b>17</b> [1] 37/21	8:32 [1] 4/8	27/15	appealing [2] 19/6	
<b>179</b> [1] 31/13	8:38 a.m [1] 9/7	Affirmative [1] 12/13	33/25	B-e-n-n-e-t-t [1] 31/13
17th [1] 18/5	8:59 a.m [1] 9/7	after [7] 14/7 20/3	appeals [7] 15/9 18/11	back [5] 17/22 21/16
1st [2] 18/7 18/7		20/13 23/10 26/25	19/19 19/21 27/7 31/19	
2	9	32/11 37/3	31/20	backdate [1] 15/5
	930 [1] 8/20	again [8] 8/10 9/15	appear [5] 4/19 5/18	backdated [1] 32/7
<b>2/3</b> [1] 7/21	9:00 a.m [1] 9/16	11/15 13/3 13/20 17/9 21/18 34/2	7/19 10/17 18/14	backlog [1] 18/13 backpay [1] 17/5
<b>2015</b> [1] 31/14	9:00 o'clock [1] 7/4	agency [1] 37/14	appearance [10] 4/11 9/14 10/5 10/7 10/10	bad [1] 18/12
2018 [1] 28/22		agency [1] Off 14	3/14 10/3 10/1 10/10	
	I .	I		I

<b>D</b>	6/2	27/2 27/5	40/45 40/40 47/00	DETD (46) 44/00 45/7
<u>B</u>	6/3 call [4] 4/10 4/14 8/19	27/2 27/5 clarification [1] 24/21	13/15 13/19 17/20   17/24 20/24 20/24	<b>DETR [10]</b> 14/20 15/7 19/22 22/5 25/7 25/21
banes [1] 20/18	0/05	CLARK [2] 1/2 2/1	30/15 37/8 37/18 37/24	29/7 29/23 33/1 35/19
based [4] 3/1 4/24 11/9	called [4] 21/11 21/16	clear [8] 19/1 19/9	38/3 38/4 38/7 38/14	DETR's [1] 16/12
37/17 basically [1] 18/10	24/13 26/20	20/10 21/4 24/16 32/15	38/16	did [30] 2/24 5/10 7/7
basis [7] 34/10 34/13	came [3] 11/6 18/24	34/25 36/20	COUNTY [2] 1/2 2/1	7/8 10/17 10/22 11/6
35/7 35/10 35/23 36/25	23/21	clerk [3] 4/10 5/7 5/7	couple [3] 5/13 15/15	12/9 12/22 13/4 14/2
37/10	camera [1] 4/4 can [26] 3/3 3/14 4/4	close [1] 14/8 come [8] 2/11 30/11	17/25 course [1] 31/17	15/14 17/3 17/3 17/10   17/18 19/3 26/12 26/19
be [29] 2/14 2/25 5/9	7/17 8/22 14/10 16/6	33/7 33/10 34/5 35/16	courses [1] 33/10	26/21 30/5 35/21 35/22
6/15 7/2 7/5 15/21   16/11 17/2 18/14 19/9	18/20 19/12 19/14	35/20 37/15	court [93]	36/2 36/2 36/4 36/10
19/15 19/25 22/11	19/19 20/24 22/20 23/8	comes [2] 8/18 26/25	Court's [2] 37/17 38/1	36/24 36/24 38/21
23/16 24/8 26/16 27/10	24/12 26/9 26/10 29/18	coming [4] 7/3 12/1	courthouse [1] 37/16	didn't [8] 6/25 7/25
30/21 30/22 32/7 32/8	30/11 31/19 31/19 31/20 32/9 35/20 36/8	12/4 32/11 common [2] 31/2 31/7	courtroom [1] 4/2 cover [1] 16/25	17/1 17/9 22/5 26/18 28/10 30/3
32/10 32/15 33/14 36/3	38/10	common-law [1] 31/7		different [6] 2/13 26/19
36/20 37/14 38/10	can't [9] 19/18 23/21	communicate [1] 33/1	current [1] 17/15	31/21 32/20 32/21
became [1] 4/16  because [41]	25/16 25/17 27/4 33/21	communicating [2]	currently [3] 22/18	32/21
been [27] 10/14 14/19	33/22 34/4 36/18	24/4 30/1	23/14 26/5	disappeared [1] 17/10
15/18 15/19 15/23 16/1	cancel [2] 26/3 26/4	communication [2]	cut [1] 22/24	disconnect [2] 38/21
17/6 17/6 18/22 19/24	canceled [2] 15/16 33/21	12/23 29/23 communications [4]	D	38/25 disconnected [1]
22/17 23/8 23/11 23/25	cancellation [2] 35/25	3/1 5/17 31/23 32/2	Dana [1] 39/12	38/25
28/15 28/21 28/22 28/24 29/8 31/25 32/12	36/1	compel [1] 31/10	date [10] 5/14 5/14	discretion [1] 31/15
33/16 33/20 34/3 34/22	cannot [2] 19/8 22/15	compensation [3]	8/13 10/25 18/4 19/13	discuss [1] 8/6
35/5 35/6	capricious [1] 31/14	21/12 23/2 23/3	21/18 29/10 29/13 32/5	discussed [1] 5/12
before [17] 1/12 5/16	care [2] 2/16 6/15 case [22] 1/5 4/10 6/4	completely [1] 25/18 concede [1] 24/4	dated [3] 28/4 29/7 29/9	dismiss [5] 1/15 6/1 6/3 18/11 24/11
22/22 24/15 24/19 25/1	9/24 13/10 16/6 16/7	concluded [1] 39/5	dates [3] 27/12 27/12	dismissed [1] 19/14
26/12 26/20 30/2 30/11 32/10 32/16 35/4 35/16	22/7 23/14 26/4 26/4	confirm [3] 2/23 3/3	32/21	distinguishing [1]
35/20 37/9 38/5	26/17 29/4 29/4 32/15	29/20	days [6] 5/22 15/16	32/20
behalf [7] 4/21 5/3 9/11	32/16 32/17 32/19   32/19 33/9 36/23 39/9	confirmation [6] 16/21 16/23 18/19 28/5 38/10		district [11] 1/2 1/12
10/12 13/22 21/8 39/4	cause [1] 19/13	38/11	December [4] 16/22	16/7 30/20 30/23 31/9   32/10 33/10 34/5 35/17
being [3] 7/13 22/1	caution [1] 7/6	confirmed [2] 5/6 5/7	28/5 28/6 29/3	35/20
22/18   believe [6] 6/10 7/1 7/5	certified [1] 18/17	confirming [1] 3/5	December 2020 [1]	<b>DIVISION [8]</b> 1/7 4/15
8/12 28/6 34/1	certify [1] 39/7	confusion [3] 2/14	16/22	5/3 5/22 9/9 9/18 10/12
benefit [3] 20/20 22/7	challenge [1] 30/8 challenges [1] 2/25	13/12 19/25 connect [5] 11/13	decided [1] 37/14   decision [3] 19/6 19/23	13/23 do [33] 4/8 4/9 4/25
23/11	chambers [1] 18/3	11/15 12/4 12/8 13/2	20/9	5/13 6/12 6/18 6/18 7/4
benefits [9] 14/24   19/24 20/5 20/17 22/4	change [1] 24/14	connecting [1] 11/18	Defendant [1] 1/9	7/9 8/20 9/1 9/3 9/19
23/12 23/17 27/6 35/4	changed [3] 6/7 20/9	connection [1] 12/8	DEFENDANT'S [1]	9/20 13/19 14/14 18/17
Bennett [1] 31/13	20/9	consider [3] 14/10	1/15	22/11 24/21 24/22 26/2
between [2] 8/19 24/2	Chapter [1] 19/17 checking [1] 37/4	16/16 16/16   consideration [3] 11/2	defense [2] 4/11 5/1	30/16 31/16 31/17 32/3 34/24 38/4 38/9 38/14
big [1] 13/5	chief [1] 22/22	11/8 37/7	DELORIS [1] 1/24	38/15 38/16 38/19 39/7
bit [2] 9/23 26/18   BLUEJEANS [2] 1/16	choose [4] 19/3 38/3	consistent [1] 31/1	denied [2] 21/18 31/25	document [11] 7/11
4/24	38/6 38/6	contact [1] 15/14	deny [3] 22/7 34/12	7/18 8/7 8/14 10/19
board [4] 19/18 26/13	chose [3] 19/2 19/2	contacting [1] 6/21	34/13 denying [1] 35/8	11/4 14/7 16/17 27/8
31/20 33/5	38/25   circulate [1] 37/19	continue [2] 2/11 24/20	Department [1] 23/15	30/7 36/18 documents [7] 16/18
bold [1] 13/5	circumstances [1]	continued [2] 5/21	depending [1] 20/6	28/4 29/2 29/6 29/22
bonus [1] 21/16   both [14] 2/15 7/10 8/5	20/9	14/2	Depot [1] 16/22	36/15 36/21
8/12 10/15 13/15 16/8	cite [3] 30/23 31/8	continuing [1] 28/17	deprived [2] 14/23	does [6] 4/19 24/16
17/2 17/21 30/14 30/15	31/12	control [3] 23/7 23/15	15/17 DEDT (4) 1/6	30/9 30/10 31/22 35/15
37/8 39/4 39/4	cited [1] 20/16 citing [1] 21/22	31/14 controls [1] 20/3	DEPT [1] 1/6 detail [1] 17/19	doesn't [12] 2/10 6/6 22/23 24/14 30/9 32/13
boy [1] 23/19	claim [31] 14/20 14/22	copy [2] 18/2 37/24	detailed [2] 25/14	32/24 33/3 33/8 33/24
branch [1] 24/12   brief [3] 5/24 18/4	14/22 15/5 15/16 15/17	correct [6] 4/5 12/6	25/14	34/8 37/25
25/11	15/18 17/13 17/15	12/7 12/9 12/11 28/6	Details [1] 36/14	doing [2] 5/15 35/8
bump [1] 21/16	17/15 20/2 20/3 20/6	correctly [1] 39/7	determination [8] 19/21 21/14 22/10	DOL [1] 23/20
burden [4] 20/15 22/8	20/7 21/17 22/12 22/25 23/4 28/10 28/14 28/14	correspondence [1] 34/1	23/20 26/25 31/19	dollar [3] 20/19 20/20 21/14
24/9 33/14	28/15 28/16 28/20	could [9] 2/7 3/17	33/25 34/3	dollars [3] 15/3 20/17
business [2] 14/8 37/3  but [43]	28/20 28/23 28/23 29/8	10/17 14/19 21/15	determinations [1]	22/4
bypass [1] 33/10	29/15 29/16 30/10	21/19 33/24 34/2 36/6	24/6	don't [13] 2/14 6/20
l — -	claimants' [1] 23/25	couldn't [1] 5/9	determinative [1] 32/18	6/25 7/9 8/17 10/23
<u>C</u>	claims [8] 26/13 26/14 26/20 26/22 26/24 27/1	counsel [20] 5/1 5/2 10/11 11/21 12/16	determine [1] 35/14	11/2 13/20 19/14 21/9   23/7 24/3 28/1
calendar [3] 4/18 5/16				
1				

D done [2] 32/9 36/11 double [1] 37/4 down [2] 20/12 23/21 draft [1] 37/24 due [4] 14/17 15/6 20/1 23/13 during [4] 15/1 15/13

20/11 20/21 duty [8] 19/9 20/10 22/15 24/16 31/11 33/13 33/15 33/18

e-served [2] 5/11 7/18 each [2] 14/10 29/8 earlier [3] 28/7 28/8 33/20 early [47] Early's [1] 22/7 effective [1] 29/13 effort [1] 33/1 eighty [5] 14/24 15/2 17/14 28/16 28/23 eighty-five [5] 14/24 15/2 17/14 28/16 28/23 either [6] 6/12 9/2 20/8 22/9 28/2 38/9 elephant [1] 23/1 eligibility [6] 20/11 20/20 21/14 22/16 25/7 25/17 eligible [10] 20/16 21/15 21/20 22/8 22/10 25/18 25/20 26/6 28/22 37/13 email [3] 14/22 30/1 38/10 emails [2] 29/24 29/25 embedded [1] 38/11 Emergency [2] 22/1 23/2 employment [11] 1/7 1/19 4/15 5/3 5/22 9/8 9/18 10/12 13/22 16/11 23/18 end [1] 39/1 ended [1] 20/5 enough [3] 14/2 21/9 25/13 entitled [4] 24/10 28/16 35/3 39/8 error [1] 4/18 errors [1] 17/6 escapes [1] 21/17 ESQ [1] 1/19 establish [2] 33/14 36/24 established [2] 31/1 33/13 establishing [1] 35/10 EUC [3] 22/25 23/11 23/23 evaluated [1] 38/24 even [14] 10/25 11/4 19/13 19/18 19/19 19/20 30/3 32/22 33/23

36/17 36/17 36/18

36/20 37/2 evening [1] 7/11 ever [1] 26/12 every [2] 21/14 37/1 everybody [1] 6/16 everyone [2] 7/2 39/3 everyone's [1] 15/18 everything [7] 15/8 15/9 15/25 37/4 37/4 37/5 38/24 evidence [15] 21/20 25/7 25/8 25/10 25/12 25/13 25/14 25/23 25/24 25/25 26/8 26/9 26/10 27/18 36/9 excuse [3] 10/9 11/10 30/17 executive [1] 21/24 exercise [1] 31/15 exercised [1] 33/3 exhaust [4] 33/6 35/9 35/18 35/21 exhausted [1] 32/12 exhaustion [1] 35/6 Exhibit [4] 29/7 29/9 29/12 29/14 Exhibit 1 was [1] 29/7 Exhibit 2 is [1] 29/9 Exhibit 3 [1] 29/12 Exhibit 4 is [1] 29/14 exhibits [1] 27/20 existence [1] 20/18 exists [1] 15/11 expired [4] 20/3 20/13 21/16 23/4 explain [1] 23/21 explanation [1] 23/10 extended [3] 19/13 21/11 23/16 extent [1] 31/6 extraordinary [7] 16/8 30/21 30/23 32/14 33/9 34/11 35/11

fact [6] 5/11 18/20 19/14 28/22 33/9 36/24 fact-specific [1] 33/9 factors [2] 32/20 34/7 facts [2] 34/21 35/14 failure [1] 35/9 fairness [2] 6/17 8/21 falsely [1] 28/14 far [2] 4/4 27/16 fast [1] 36/5 fax [4] 16/21 18/18 18/19 28/5 faxed [1] 18/15 FEBRUARY [3] 1/13 2/1 34/1 February 2nd [1] 34/1 federal [3] 20/22 21/12 22/1 feel [2] 14/13 14/14 FEMA [2] 22/1 22/1 few [1] 2/17 fifteen [1] 13/16 fighting [3] 23/14 28/15 28/20

file [11] 5/24 7/7 10/17 18/7 19/3 19/4 20/7 28/19 31/18 31/19 36/18 filed [32] 2/12 6/1 7/11 7/15 7/18 7/21 8/14 10/19 11/4 14/4 14/7 14/12 15/8 16/17 18/7 19/1 27/8 27/11 27/12 27/13 28/7 29/3 29/22 30/3 30/4 30/6 30/20 32/1 32/5 36/16 36/18 36/21 files [2] 19/7 19/12 final [2] 25/2 25/5 finally [1] 14/21 find [3] 32/13 34/8 34/12 finding [1] 35/21 fine [1] 6/23 finish [2] 34/23 34/25 first [13] 3/9 14/13 16/3 16/10 16/11 16/18 17/21 19/11 20/15 28/16 28/22 32/5 32/6 five [5] 14/24 15/2 17/14 28/16 28/23 fixed [1] 24/8 followed [2] 28/2 34/8 former [1] 21/25 forth [2] 28/21 34/9 forward [2] 9/4 11/22 found [4] 19/15 20/12 20/12 36/23 FPUC [4] 20/13 20/19 20/21 21/20 frame [5] 14/2 14/21 14/25 21/17 28/9 free [2] 14/13 14/14 front [5] 6/2 26/21 26/24 27/2 28/24 frustrated [1] 32/25

gave [1] 38/4 get [23] 2/15 6/14 6/14 11/7 14/12 14/22 17/21 17/23 19/5 19/18 20/17 21/4 21/15 23/9 23/22 24/12 24/12 24/13 25/2 25/5 28/24 34/25 37/23 gets [4] 5/11 24/8 38/9 38/11 getting [1] 15/4 give [1] 14/2 given [4] 18/2 18/12 28/24 33/2 giving [1] 11/1 go [23] 3/9 7/9 7/22 8/1 8/2 14/12 17/24 17/24 19/3 19/10 22/12 25/2 25/4 26/12 26/21 27/4 31/19 31/20 33/4 34/4 35/12 35/18 35/22

frustrations [1] 23/25

further [2] 12/22 27/4

furthermore [1] 19/25

full [1] 20/21

fully [1] 38/24

going [27] 2/14 4/9 4/10 4/10 4/14 4/19 5/13 8/16 9/13 9/14 9/23 14/10 16/15 16/15 16/16 17/25 21/1 22/6 23/6 23/9 23/25 24/16 26/7 28/21 30/13 37/17 37/23 gone [4] 18/11 18/24 19/20 19/23 good [2] 19/13 24/22 got [15] 2/13 5/11 6/8 7/3 10/21 13/10 14/11 18/17 19/23 20/21 25/1 32/2 33/20 34/1 34/23 gotten [5] 5/12 5/23 7/2 10/20 19/20 governing [1] 31/2 government [1] 15/22 governor [2] 15/6 15/18 grant [5] 34/10 34/11 35/10 35/23 36/25 granted [2] 14/25 28/13 Great [1] 14/16 guess [2] 17/5 33/19 guys [1] 36/4

7/12 11/8 11/18 13/12

22/21 23/4 23/23 24/4

26/1 27/1 27/20 28/5

15/7 16/21 18/6 20/7

31/5 33/20 38/23 39/2 hand [1] 26/8 handle [1] 8/25 handled [1] 16/11 happened [2] 5/20 22/22 happening [1] 7/14 happiness [1] 39/4 hard [2] 14/18 29/11 has [45] hasn't [7] 18/11 18/24 19/20 19/22 20/10 25/25 26/2 have [71] haven't [11] 15/23 16/1 27/3 27/3 27/5 27/15 27/18 28/24 28/25 29/1 33/13 he [9] 17/22 25/10 25/13 25/24 25/25 26/1 26/2 38/4 38/7 He's [1] 2/5 health [1] 39/3 hear [6] 2/7 11/4 14/10 if [35] 2/10 2/14 2/16 16/7 17/20 35/23 heard [2] 2/3 10/16 hearing [22] 2/13 5/13 5/21 5/24 6/2 7/13 7/14 8/8 8/9 8/11 8/14 10/20 13/13 14/1 26/21 27/1 27/1 34/19 35/13 35/16 35/16 37/8 Hello [2] 13/8 25/3

helped [1] 36/23 her [29] 2/25 5/25 7/19 8/24 9/15 9/20 10/17 11/1 11/22 11/22 12/6 12/9 13/1 13/1 13/2 13/4 19/12 20/2 20/4 20/11 20/15 22/7 22/8 22/12 22/16 22/25 24/9 24/11 24/12 here [7] 8/12 14/22 18/24 31/16 32/25 33/13 37/15 here's [2] 5/20 16/2 hereby [1] 39/7 herself [1] 38/25 highly [1] 22/5 him [4] 4/12 25/12 25/16 25/23 his [1] 25/12 hold [2] 4/11 13/1 Honor [22] 2/6 3/12 3/20 5/4 5/19 6/10 6/20 7/17 8/1 8/23 9/5 10/6 10/9 10/13 13/23 14/17 21/7 23/6 25/6 26/11 34/17 38/17 **HONORABLE [1]** 1/12 hook [1] 13/6 hooking [1] 12/23 Hopefully [1] 2/15 had [27] 5/17 5/22 5/23 hot [1] 23/10 hour [3] 10/15 11/1 13/16 20/8 20/13 20/20 21/13 hours [1] 24/13 how [3] 5/1 30/11 30/15 however [1] 32/18 hundred [3] 15/3 20/17 22/4

I'II [12] 8/5 8/18 8/22 8/24 8/25 13/7 14/9 14/14 17/21 18/7 24/18 34/24 l'm [34] 3/19 3/22 4/1 4/9 4/10 4/10 4/19 7/8 8/2 8/16 9/13 9/14 9/20 11/6 12/18 14/10 15/17 15/20 16/15 16/16 17/25 18/19 21/1 25/20 26/5 27/23 28/20 29/2 29/9 29/19 32/9 35/1 35/15 37/17 I've [8] 5/6 5/7 25/8 26/4 26/15 27/6 28/21 28/22 ID [4] 11/19 12/7 12/9 13/2 2/17 3/5 6/3 6/12 6/13 6/14 7/8 7/18 8/10 8/17 8/18 8/25 9/3 10/25 12/16 13/20 19/12 19/13 19/19 20/20 24/13 26/6 29/15 32/22 33/23 36/23 37/24 38/3 38/6 38/6 38/9 38/10

improperly [2] 14/23

improperly... [1] 24/15 in [93] inadvertently [2] 4/16 13/13 inbox [2] 37/20 38/13 INC [1] 1/25 inclined [1] 6/12 including [1] 37/21 incredibly [1] 22/24 Indeed [1] 19/17 independent [1] 19/8 indicate [1] 7/13 indicated [1] 34/8 indicators [1] 10/25 indiscernible [4] 4/17 17/17 34/9 34/21 ineligible [1] 26/5 information [3] 18/12 28/18 32/6 initial [6] 27/20 29/3 29/5 29/21 31/24 33/23 initially [1] 14/1 initials [1] 24/22 instated [1] 25/8 instead [4] 17/14 28/13 28/17 28/19 instituted [1] 22/3 interestingly [1] 18/16 interference [2] 27/6 27/6 interrupt [1] 7/25 intertwining [1] 24/2 into [10] 7/9 8/3 11/8 19/5 19/18 33/22 33/24 36/22 37/7 37/15 involved [1] 32/20 is [101] isn't [1] 24/7 issue [12] 7/5 14/18 15/6 15/15 18/1 18/8 18/10 18/22 18/24 21/19 31/10 35/3 issues [3] 7/1 7/2 39/1 it [122] it's [22] 4/8 8/3 10/16 15/19 15/24 17/6 18/4 18/19 20/7 20/12 23/24 25/20 26/16 29/11 29/14 31/14 32/18 33/24 36/3 36/3 37/15 37/15 its [2] 38/24 39/2 itself [2] 25/21 33/17 jammed [1] 24/5 January [1] 18/6 JD [1] 1/25

Jessica [4] 3/3 3/7 3/14 3/16 JOANNA [1] 1/12 joining [1] 12/24 JORDAN [10] 1/19 2/3 5/2 9/14 9/23 10/4 10/11 10/14 13/19 13/22 judge [7] 1/12 11/10

11/16 11/18 16/7 17/25 35/17 judicial [5] 19/4 22/14 32/11 33/7 34/6 July [1] 21/17 juncture [6] 5/17 6/24 8/16 8/20 10/23 39/1 June [1] 21/16 jurisdiction [3] 18/9 31/3 35/23 just [35] 2/8 2/10 2/23 3/23 4/20 6/19 7/6 8/2 8/16 8/17 8/22 9/2 9/3 9/20 9/24 12/14 13/7 13/19 15/15 15/20 15/20 15/21 21/4 24/19 24/25 25/16 26/3 29/19 33/20 34/24 34/25 37/9 37/15 38/1 38/7

#### Κ

keeps [1] 20/4 kind [4] 20/7 26/21 29/11 32/13 KI\$HNER [1] 1/12 knew [2] 7/14 8/9 know [25] 2/14 5/21 6/6 6/25 8/11 8/17 10/22 10/23 11/2 11/6 13/1 13/4 15/20 16/3 16/12 17/8 18/19 23/6 23/9 27/23 30/22 35/19 38/5 38/7 38/8 know, [1] 2/10 know, if [1] 2/10 known [1] 31/6

knows [1] 10/18

Labor [1] 23/15 language [3] 21/4 22/24 30/25 large [1] 23/13 LAS [1] 2/1 last [12] 5/21 5/24 6/17 8/12 13/6 13/16 17/23 23/21 28/4 36/16 37/3 39/4 late [5] 11/7 18/4 19/12 19/15 37/3 law [6] 5/7 22/15 30/17 31/2 31/7 31/11 least [4] 16/16 21/13 36/11 38/5 leave [1] 18/7 legal [3] 5/2 10/11 24/14 legislature [1] 31/5 leisure [1] 8/23 let [12] 2/10 4/10 13/1 13/4 14/14 17/20 32/15 34/23 34/24 34/25 36/20 38/7 let's [3] 2/17 12/16 19/9 letter [15] 22/19 23/1 23/7 23/10 25/7 25/18 26/3 26/4 26/25 29/7 29/9 29/14 33/20 33/22

35/25 letters [6] 13/5 17/3 18/15 18/17 25/19 27/7 level [2] 16/11 16/12 lies [1] 16/14 light [4] 5/23 6/11 8/5 8/12 like [20] 2/16 3/24 4/17 10/5 10/7 10/10 10/19 15/21 22/6 24/13 25/16 26/6 26/8 27/9 29/10 33/4 34/15 35/13 36/9 37/2 likely [1] 6/9 limited [4] 7/12 16/9 30/14 30/16 line [24] 2/11 2/18 4/9 4/22 5/9 6/14 7/3 7/3 7/10 8/6 8/18 8/22 9/4 9/10 9/19 10/2 10/5 10/15 10/24 11/2 11/12 11/23 12/20 13/16 lines [1] 24/5 lingo [1] 21/3 link [1] 7/2 list [1] 24/13 listed [6] 15/25 16/1 25/7 25/20 27/13 36/8 literally [1] 23/21 litigant [1] 11/1 little [2] 9/23 26/18 look [8] 16/3 29/10 29/16 30/18 32/5 32/22 34/7 38/5 looking [5] 16/10 29/2 29/20 29/21 32/9 looks [5] 3/24 4/17 27/9 29/10 33/12 loopholes [1] 15/19 lost [4] 15/3 17/16

### М

21/23 21/24

lot [1] 23/24

21/23 21/23

lower [2] 19/21 19/21

LWA [7] 20/14 20/16

20/17 20/18 20/23

ma'am [1] 14/17 Madam [3] 4/9 4/10 24/21 made [4] 13/18 33/1 38/23 39/2 mail [1] 18/16 mailed [3] 29/10 29/14 29/14 make [12] 4/20 9/14 10/5 10/7 10/10 11/9 11/22 13/19 18/17 20/2 21/5 24/25 making [5] 2/6 9/20 24/2 37/4 37/9 Management [1] 22/1 mandamus [7] 1/14 1/15 13/25 30/11 31/3 31/4 31/10 many [6] 15/19 21/9 24/1 26/1 26/1 26/1 master [1] 6/2

may [15] 2/11 2/16 4/11 4/12 6/17 8/2 8/4 13/12 14/6 18/22 20/9 31/10 31/18 34/17 38/24 maybe [5] 12/17 17/6 17/6 27/9 29/20 me [22] 2/3 2/7 4/4 6/19 6/23 10/9 11/10 14/23 17/7 17/20 21/17 26/10 28/2 28/11 28/14 28/18 28/18 30/17 32/15 34/23 34/25 36/20mean [4] 7/25 22/23 28/23 37/25 meaning [3] 16/6 18/6 20/19 means [6] 2/15 5/11 19/7 22/25 32/3 38/1 meeting [5] 11/19 12/7 12/7 12/9 13/2 memo [3] 10/21 12/12 13/5 mentioned [5] 9/24 10/19 10/20 20/14 21/22 mentions [1] 8/14 merits [2] 30/10 34/16 might [4] 7/21 8/11 27/10 32/23 mind [3] 3/5 13/20 21/3 24/8 24/8 26/4 minutes [2] 11/1 13/17 missed [3] 10/9 17/15 17/16 moment [8] 3/23 11/14 night [2] 36/17 37/3 11/21 12/16 15/4 15/13 night's [1] 28/4 15/20 15/23 moments [1] 2/17 money [3] 22/1 24/10 37/14 months [1] 24/1 morning [3] 3/2 18/2 22/21 most [5] 6/8 6/9 18/17 33/25 37/21 motion [6] 1/15 6/1 6/3 7/20 18/10 30/19 move [3] 9/4 11/22 22/6 moved [2] 22/25 25/1 Mr [1] 17/24 Mr. [7] 2/3 2/9 9/14 9/23 10/4 10/14 13/19 Mr. Early [1] 2/9 Mr. Jordan [6] 2/3 9/14 9/23 10/4 10/14 13/19 Ms. [40] Ms. Early [39] 2/24 4/8 5/6 5/18 6/6 6/18 6/21 9/10 9/19 10/2 10/16 11/23 12/20 13/10 13/18 14/5 14/12 17/22 24/19 25/2 25/4 26/12 27/19 29/19 30/8 32/25 34/18 34/20 34/20

matter [5] 4/14 9/7

matters [2] 7/4 8/19

9/16 9/25 39/4

34/23 35/12 35/15 36/12 37/10 37/19 37/23 38/15 38/19 38/21 Ms. Early's [1] 22/7 much [6] 4/7 11/20 14/21 15/23 21/2 39/3 mud [1] 14/20 multiple [1] 32/20 mute [2] 8/17 9/3 my [41] myself [2] 17/8 26/6

#### Ν

name [2] 8/24 38/10 names [4] 4/24 12/1 12/4 12/18 Natalie [4] 3/5 3/8 3/9 3/11 NATASHA [5] 1/4 1/17 4/15 9/17 13/8 nature [1] 31/6 near [1] 19/19 necessarily [1] 37/25 need [11] 2/11 3/16 4/11 4/12 6/17 7/21 10/21 11/4 24/21 26/8 36/9 needs [1] 25/24 neither [1] 36/20 **NEVADA [9]** 1/2 1/8 2/1 18/25 30/18 30/24 31/13 31/17 32/16 never [5] 18/13 22/21 new [3] 16/18 20/7 28/15 next [2] 24/13 29/12 no [40] none [2] 15/11 31/24 Nope [1] 11/25 nor [1] 24/16 normal [2] 33/10 34/4 not [95] note [3] 17/9 18/18 31/22 noted [1] 8/8 nothing [2] 10/16 34/3 notice [5] 5/10 6/8 10/17 10/22 20/14 notices [1] 32/2 notification [3] 2/24 15/16 17/7 notifying [1] 14/6 noting [1] 8/7 November [4] 17/3 20/6 27/13 28/5 now [23] 6/6 6/15 10/2 10/16 11/13 11/18 15/17 18/12 18/16 18/19 19/12 19/25 23/6 23/22 23/24 24/1 24/23 26/5 28/20 32/15 33/21 35/12 37/23 nowhere [1] 17/10 NRS [5] 19/17 22/14 30/25 31/9 34/9

#### N NRS 34.160 [2] 30/25 31/9 NRS 612 [1] 34/9 NRS Chapter 36 [1] 19/17 NRS six [1] 22/14 number [9] 11/19 12/7 12/9 13/2 13/21 15/8 16/22 18/19 18/21 Number 2285 [1] 16/22 P o'clock [1] 7/4 objected [1] 22/5 obviously [1] 7/14 occur [1] 32/14 October [6] 17/4 20/6 20/12 27/13 28/4 28/8 Odyssey [2] 8/3 8/3 off [5] 2/21 4/11 22/24 23/23 37/25 offer [1] 23/16 office [2] 16/22 31/12 officer [2] 18/20 22/20 Oh [1] 4/3 okay [70] olive [1] 24/12 on [84] once [2] 8/10 34/2 one [24] 2/10 3/23 6/14 parties [4] 1/10 7/10 11/14 11/16 11/21 12/10 12/11 12/11 12/23 12/24 17/3 17/3 18/18 19/22 20/18 21/2 22/21 24/19 28/5 28/6 29/12 33/21 34/24 ones [2] 16/25 37/21 only [11] 8/7 14/25 15/4 16/8 16/20 16/23 20/11 20/19 21/1 26/2 28/5 onto [1] 7/3 oOo [1] 39/6

Op. [1] 30/24 Op. 80 [1] 30/24 open [1] 14/20 opened [2] 28/14 29/4 opinion [1] 32/8 opportunity [1] 17/22 opposing [5] 14/14 17/20 30/14 37/8 37/24 opposition [12] 7/20 18/1 18/14 27/8 29/22 30/7 31/23 34/2 36/2 36/3 36/4 36/16 or [48] order [6] 19/10 21/25 37/18 37/21 37/24 38/12 ordered [3] 15/6 15/22 35/11 orders [3] 27/16 37/20 38/12 original [1] 20/19 other [10] 6/4 6/13

8/19 10/24 16/25 26/6

28/3 33/5 33/12 35/10

others [1] 7/3 our [6] 5/5 5/16 13/11 20/18 24/14 39/1 out [11] 4/12 5/6 5/8 6/13 6/18 6/25 15/15 17/10 21/4 23/12 26/25 over [4] 10/15 22/25 26/1 26/8 owe [1] 17/9 owed [1] 17/4

P-a-y-n-e [1] 32/17 P.3d [2] 30/24 31/13 p.m [2] 7/21 16/23 page [6] 4/16 4/17 6/2 13/11 13/11 27/21 page 1 on [2] 4/16 13/11 page 12 [2] 4/17 13/11 pages [1] 27/23 paid [3] 15/21 22/17 22/18 pandemic [3] 20/22 21/11 21/12 paperwork [1] 15/11 Pardon [1] 3/21 part [4] 7/12 23/13 33/23 38/11 particular [1] 8/7 8/6 39/4 party [4] 6/13 6/14 14/14 32/22 passing [1] 21/22 past [1] 7/19 pause [2] 2/22 11/14 pay [2] 20/11 22/16 payments [1] 15/25 Payne [1] 32/17 pending [1] 32/16 pension [6] 14/18 15/22 15/24 25/9 25/14 25/20 people [7] 18/17 21/9 22/6 24/2 24/4 26/6 32/20 per [3] 15/2 21/14 22/14 Perfect [1] 24/25 performance [1] 31/10 period [3] 14/25 15/1 20/21 permission [1] 36/19 person [1] 4/13 petition [15] 1/14 1/15 19/1 19/3 19/4 19/7 22/13 29/3 29/5 29/21 31/24 32/11 33/7 33/23 34/5 petitioner [3] 20/1 21/5 33/14 phone [4] 6/12 12/25 15/8 24/5 phonetic [1] 14/20 physical [2] 25/13 25/24 plain [1] 15/11 plaintiff [5] 1/5 4/12

4/19 4/21 4/21 pleading [7] 2/13 5/23 7/7 7/15 14/4 28/4 30/19 pleadings [4] 11/3 16/8 20/4 26/19 please [11] 3/10 3/14 3/17 9/3 21/6 25/4 34/24 34/24 35/12 36/8 37/18 point [1] 33/19 poor [1] 24/5 pop [2] 8/25 12/18 pops [1] 9/3 36/15 portion [1] 12/15 position [7] 8/4 8/5 14/11 24/14 30/9 34/15 35/2 precluding [1] 33/24 prefer [1] 25/23 premature [1] 24/15 prepare [1] 37/18 prescribing [1] 31/4 present [3] 27/12 29/4 presented [5] 22/9 26/14 33/2 34/3 34/22 President [1] 21/25 presume [1] 31/4 pretty [1] 14/21 print [1] 15/11 pro [2] 1/17 11/1 probably [3] 6/8 23/6 23/9 problems [1] 24/4 procedural [1] 18/1 procedurally [4] 16/3 17/21 32/9 35/9 procedure [1] 18/9 procedures [1] 34/9 proceedings [4] 1/9 2/22 39/5 39/8 process [15] 16/12 18/11 18/25 19/4 19/11 19/16 22/13 24/17 28/2 32/10 32/12 34/5 34/14 34/14 35/19 processes [3] 33/6 35/5 35/22 produced [2] 18/14 19/22 program [9] 18/12 20/20 20/22 21/11 21/12 21/13 21/23 21/23 23/16 programs [4] 22/3 22/3 22/9 24/1 prong [1] 33/12 proper [1] 4/13 properly [1] 15/21 protect [1] 17/8 prove [1] 20/15 proved [1] 20/10 provide [10] 12/9 25/12 25/23 25/24 26/10 28/18 28/18 30/3

27/18 28/2 28/11 33/15 37/1 38/4 38/11 provides [1] 31/9 purposes [1] 16/9 pursuant [1] 38/12 put [6] 6/2 7/15 8/17 13/1 16/8 23/23

question [6] 3/25 16/2 16/14 26/17 26/18 26/18 quick [1] 21/2 quote [3] 23/22 30/25

raised [2] 14/18 15/7 reach [4] 4/12 6/13 6/18 6/25 reached [2] 5/6 5/8 read [11] 7/9 11/3 11/4 11/6 11/7 14/9 26/9 26/10 26/16 29/11 36/21 reading [1] 12/14 ready [2] 11/9 37/15 really [1] 33/22 reapply [1] 20/8 reason [10] 5/8 7/1 7/4 8/11 8/12 10/17 21/1 28/17 30/13 36/12 recall [6] 2/16 6/17 6/19 8/23 8/24 14/1 **Recalling [1] 6/23** receive [3] 2/24 12/22 15/23 received [8] 3/3 3/6 3/12 5/10 14/1 16/1 16/1 18/13 recent [2] 33/25 37/21 recently [1] 26/3 reconfirm [1] 8/8 record [4] 2/21 28/1 33/2 34/7 **RECORDED** [1] 1/24 RECORDER [3] 1/24 4/9 24/21 referee [10] 26/13 26/13 26/20 26/22 27/1 27/2 27/5 28/24 31/20 33/5 referee's [1] 19/20 reference [1] 16/16 references [1] 29/13 referencing [2] 21/6 29/8 refused [1] 15/5 regard [1] 18/9 regarding [1] 28/22 regardless [1] 18/23 regards [1] 26/13 regular [1] 6/3 reinstate [2] 14/22 17/13 reinstated [1] 14/23 reinstating [1] 17/14 **RELATED [1]** 1/10 relevant [1] 23/14

relief [10] 16/7 16/8 21/21 30/22 30/22 30/22 32/14 32/21 34/11 35/11 relitigate [1] 22/12 remedies [6] 31/21 32/21 33/6 35/6 35/9 35/18 remedy [9] 15/14 19/8 22/15 24/16 30/17 31/5 31/6 31/16 32/4 remit [1] 15/22 remotely [2] 6/16 7/19 reopen [2] 15/5 20/7 reopened [1] 28/19 reopening [1] 28/14 reply [1] 25/12 REPORTING [1] 1/25 represent [2] 18/20 22/20 request [6] 5/15 7/19 31/18 35/13 36/1 36/2 requesting [1] 34/17 required [1] 20/17 requirement [2] 21/13 requires [1] 31/11 resolve [3] 14/18 15/15 15/21 resolved [1] 15/15 respect [2] 14/17 20/1 respond [7] 3/14 3/17 5/23 14/3 14/15 17/23 38/7 responded [6] 25/25 27/4 27/5 27/16 28/25 respondent [8] 10/12 13/16 14/3 14/4 14/7 14/19 30/20 37/18 respondents [2] 5/3 13/23 response [16] 2/4 3/15 3/18 4/23 9/12 9/21 10/3 11/24 12/21 14/3 25/11 25/11 25/25 37/11 38/20 38/22 responses [1] 33/17 responsive [4] 2/12 5/24 14/4 30/19 rest [1] 5/16 result [1] 20/9 resulting [1] 31/11 reup [1] 14/20 review [10] 19/5 19/19 22/14 26/13 31/20 32/11 33/5 33/7 34/6 36/9 reviewed [3] 30/6 36/9 37/1 reviewing [1] 37/4 Revised [1] 31/17 right [20] 2/3 2/19 4/4 9/5 11/12 11/18 12/12 23/22 27/9 27/24 29/6 29/17 30/15 30/17

31/25 32/3 32/6 33/3

rights [2] 27/21 28/3

36/3 36/7

31/18 37/19

provided [12] 12/6

13/2 25/13 25/14 26/9

#### R she's [18] 4/12 5/14 10/25 11/2 11/13 11/15 ripe [3] 16/6 35/4 37/15 11/18 12/14 19/9 19/18 rule [1] 30/13 19/23 19/23 19/24 ruled [1] 38/2 20/16 21/20 22/10 24/9 rules [2] 30/5 31/2 38/23 ruling [6] 11/9 37/9 sheet [1] 16/25 37/17 38/1 38/24 39/2 should [6] 6/15 16/11 run [1] 23/12 23/16 30/22 32/8 32/8 show [6] 22/8 22/15 24/9 30/16 33/3 33/17 said [18] 2/16 7/12 showing [2] 12/24 12/8 13/15 17/4 18/23 22/10 21/19 22/22 22/23 24/7 shown [2] 22/16 24/10 24/18 26/3 32/6 33/4 sic [6] 14/18 15/23 34/15 36/1 37/2 37/9 15/24 25/9 25/15 25/20 same [3] 5/10 10/21 side's [1] 14/11 12/11 sign [4] 37/25 38/3 Sarah [1] 23/18 38/6 38/9 saw [9] 2/13 7/8 11/7 signature [1] 38/9 16/20 16/24 16/25 similar [1] 32/22 22/20 23/10 27/20 simply [1] 24/7 say [5] 18/10 19/22 since [6] 4/12 5/12 6/1 31/25 33/24 38/4 7/6 7/9 7/11 saying [15] 5/8 6/25 single [2] 19/22 37/1 11/15 21/4 21/10 23/22 sir [1] 10/8 27/1 28/7 29/1 29/20 site [2] 12/8 14/20 33/20 35/1 35/16 35/17 situation [2] 15/19 37/13 32/14 says [7] 7/21 16/22 six [2] 15/4 22/14 18/16 19/23 23/11 **skip** [1] 26/8 29/10 29/14 slash [1] 30/19 SCOTT [1] 1/24 so [99] se [2] 1/17 11/1 some [16] 2/14 13/12 SEB [2] 23/18 23/22 16/17 16/18 19/25 second [7] 11/16 20/14 21/20 23/9 28/4 28/17 21/2 24/19 27/21 33/19 31/23 33/1 33/5 33/15 34/24 33/16 33/17 37/2 secondly [1] 20/17 somehow [1] 20/3 security [10] 1/7 1/19 someone [2] 9/10 4/15 5/3 5/22 9/8 9/18 24/12 10/12 13/23 16/11 something [9] 22/5 see [20] 2/17 4/4 4/24 23/13 26/7 26/7 28/7 4/25 6/14 8/10 8/18 28/8 32/15 32/22 33/20 9/20 12/16 17/1 17/18 sometime [1] 20/5 26/19 28/1 30/9 30/11 soon [1] 8/24 32/19 32/22 32/24 33/8 sorry [3] 3/25 9/17 36/22 26/17 seeing [3] 12/1 12/4 speak [3] 27/4 34/24 12/18 35/12 seeking [1] 21/21 specific [1] 33/9 seen [1] 22/21 specifically [4] 7/15 semantics [1] 23/24 8/13 10/24 31/18 send [2] 23/7 38/10 speedy [6] 15/13 15/13 Senior [2] 5/2 10/11 22/15 31/16 32/3 32/3 sent [3] 15/16 17/7 start [1] 17/25 37/2 started [1] 20/6 separate [2] 6/4 22/2 state [3] 1/8 23/16 series [2] 27/20 29/5 36/15 served [6] 5/11 7/18 state-extended [1] 11/5 14/6 18/5 18/5 23/16 services [1] 22/23 stated [8] 5/22 15/18 set [4] 2/13 6/3 6/5 25/20 30/15 30/15 34/9 30/21 33/16 33/19 several [4] 15/8 29/24 states [1] 23/14 29/25 32/19 station [1] 31/12 sharing [3] 3/19 3/22 statute [3] 20/16 21/23 4/1 31/17

she [78]

31/5 17/6 17/7 25/18 25/19 stay [5] 8/17 8/18 8/22 28/17 9/2 9/2 stayed [1] 13/16 steps [1] 37/16 still [9] 9/23 10/4 10/16 12/18 17/16 24/9 36/20 36/22 36/22 36/21 36/22 stop [2] 20/24 21/2 stuck [1] 18/13 stuff [1] 37/2 submit [2] 24/18 36/2 submitted [11] 25/6 25/8 25/10 25/18 26/3 26/4 32/6 36/1 36/3 36/5 36/5 submitting [1] 38/5 substance [1] 7/9 substantive [1] 8/6 substantively [1] 17/21 such [2] 23/7 27/16 summarize [1] 14/13 Sunday [1] 18/6 supplement [5] 29/15 29/16 29/21 30/4 36/19 supplements [1] 31/24 support [1] 22/23 supposed [2] 15/1 17/2 35/6 39/1 Supreme [4] 18/25 30/18 30/21 32/16 sure [8] 2/6 4/20 7/8 9/20 18/17 21/5 25/1 37/5 system [10] 8/3 8/3 24/1 15/7 15/12 17/5 17/6 17/7 21/8 25/19 26/7 take [8] 18/1 30/9 31/22 32/23 33/22 33/24 34/14 34/15 taken [4] 2/16 6/15 11/8 19/15 takes [1] 8/4 taking [4] 32/8 34/15 26/15 30/2 35/2 37/5 talked [2] 27/21 30/25 23/5 37/1 talking [2] 25/16 27/23 thing, [1] 2/10 team [1] 2/23 technically [1] 30/5 tell [7] 6/13 7/17 14/9 19/17 19/19 23/8 37/23 think [1] 8/20 tells [1] 31/9 this [70] ten [1] 11/1 term [1] 20/8 test [1] 12/8 than [3] 28/3 28/8 28/8 Thank [10] 2/19 2/20 4/7 9/5 9/6 11/20 13/9 13/24 38/18 39/3 that [239] that's [15] 6/4 6/15 16/23 22/23 23/1 23/2 23/4 23/9 23/13 23/18 27/12 32/17 36/5 36/8

them [16] 15/14 15/24 17/5 17/9 17/13 17/14 23/23 24/2 25/8 25/21 26/9 26/9 28/14 30/3 then [35] 3/5 3/17 4/11 8/13 8/18 8/25 9/3 11/14 11/21 11/22 13/11 13/25 14/5 14/14 timely [1] 36/21 16/25 17/9 17/12 17/21 times [2] 13/15 15/8 17/23 19/14 22/13 23/7 titled [2] 27/8 30/7 27/14 29/12 30/4 30/6 31/9 33/4 33/7 33/17 34/4 37/7 38/3 38/7 there [34] 2/24 6/25 7/1 6/2 10/20 7/5 7/18 7/20 8/10 8/25 told [1] 23/9 12/23 15/25 17/16 19/8 too [1] 26/10 19/25 21/11 24/16 26/15 26/16 26/25 28/8 top [1] 20/18 28/19 30/16 32/18 33/8 total [1] 15/2 33/13 33/15 33/16 33/18 34/10 34/12 35/5 35/10 36/3 36/24 37/9 there's [11] 2/14 12/23 traditional [2] 31/2 16/18 17/16 21/19 23/14 26/25 33/5 34/3 therefore [6] 15/11 24/11 28/15 31/3 34/10 therein [1] 16/14 these [3] 19/19 22/9 they [32] 6/4 6/14 15/5 15/7 15/10 15/16 17/4 17/7 17/15 22/25 23/8 24/3 25/19 26/2 26/3 26/9 27/3 27/5 27/11 27/11 27/15 27/18 28/11 28/14 28/19 28/24 29/1 29/15 36/1 36/23 36/24 36/24 they're [4] 23/22 26/7 thing [4] 16/10 16/20 thing, just [1] 2/10 things [5] 16/17 18/1 23/8 30/4 33/21 those [17] 10/25 16/24 19/10 22/21 23/7 23/20 24/22 25/1 27/9 27/12 27/13 27/13 31/25 32/2 UI [1] 20/8 33/10 35/22 36/21 though [5] 30/3 36/17 36/17 36/20 37/2 thought [2] 6/7 15/14 through [25] 14/22 15/11 15/21 15/22 16/12 18/11 18/25 19/3 19/10 19/18 19/20 19/23 22/13 23/25 24/17 25/9 25/18 25/19

30/1 34/4 34/5 35/19 35/19 35/19 35/22 **THURSDAY [1]** 1/13 time [24] 2/13 5/18 5/21 5/23 6/2 6/7 6/16 6/17 7/12 8/13 8/13 13/7 13/21 14/2 14/3 14/21 16/18 17/11 18/24 20/11 20/14 20/21 21/17 28/9 today [10] 7/13 7/14 8/8 8/9 8/11 8/15 20/15 22/12 23/10 37/9 todav's [4] 5/14 5/14 took [1] 36/22 toward [1] 26/1 Tracy [4] 11/12 11/15 12/3 12/22 32/10 trailed [3] 9/7 9/16 9/25 TRAN [1] 1/1 transcribed [2] 1/25 39/8 Transcriber [1] 39/12 transcript [2] 1/8 21/5 transferred [1] 23/11 tribunal [1] 19/22 tricky [1] 15/19 tried [1] 14/17 triggered [1] 23/22 TROY [4] 1/19 5/2 10/11 13/22 truly [1] 39/7 Trump [1] 21/25 trust [1] 31/12 trying [13] 8/10 11/13 11/15 12/3 13/1 18/10 20/1 20/4 22/11 26/8 29/9 29/19 33/1 turns [1] 15/15 twelve [1] 22/14 twice [1] 15/10 two [10] 12/16 14/24 15/2 17/14 18/14 22/9 28/16 28/23 33/21 38/5 type [3] 16/21 32/21 32/21

UISS [1] 22/22 unable [1] 12/8 under [1] 30/5 underlying [3] 30/10 35/3 37/13 understand [10] 21/2 21/9 23/24 24/3 26/18 37/12 38/14 38/16 38/17 38/19 understandably [1]

statutory [2] 30/25

their [7] 15/12 16/12

#### U understandably... [1] 24/3 understanding [6] 3/1 5/6 12/3 18/21 22/18 34/25 understands [1] 21/6 understood [1] 13/12 unemployment [12] 19/6 21/8 21/12 22/2 22/3 22/12 22/23 23/2 23/3 23/12 23/15 35/20 unfortunate [2] 22/19 22/24 unmute [1] 3/16 until [9] 2/21 8/5 8/19 9/7 9/16 9/25 18/6 19/18 21/18 untimely [1] 36/17 up [17] 8/25 11/7 11/7 12/2 12/5 12/19 12/23 13/6 22/6 29/4 32/11 32/23 33/5 33/10 34/5 35/20 37/3 us [3] 20/10 21/8 23/9 use [1] 21/9 using [2] 12/7 21/3 utilized [1] 35/5 V-e-i-l [1] 31/12 valid [1] 20/2 various [1] 31/19 VEGAS [1] 2/1 vehicle [3] 19/2 19/2 24/7 Veil [1] 31/12

V-e-i-I [1] 31/12 valid [1] 20/2 various [1] 31/19 VEGAS [1] 2/1 vehicle [3] 19/2 19/2 24/7 Veil [1] 31/12 verbal [1] 25/24 verbally [1] 25/12 versus [7] 4/15 9/8 9/18 25/24 30/20 31/13 32/10 very [8] 7/12 14/18 16/9 19/1 24/2 30/14 30/16 39/3 VIA [1] 1/16 video [7] 3/19 3/22 4/1 9/2 27/6 27/6 39/8 view [1] 31/5

#### W

wage [1] 15/3 wages [4] 17/15 17/16 21/23 21/24 wait [8] 2/17 8/5 8/19 9/23 11/21 12/16 13/7 14/10 waiting [2] 2/8 9/15 Walker [2] 30/20 30/23 want [13] 2/23 6/13 6/14 6/18 6/19 7/9 8/2 8/17 13/19 15/20 15/21 22/6 26/9 wanted [2] 22/19 24/25 was [84] wasn't [3] 15/9 17/8 21/17 water [1] 23/10 way [6] 2/12 6/20 19/5

20/8 29/20 30/14 we [38] 2/15 4/8 4/11 5/12 5/13 5/15 6/24 7/4 7/17 7/23 9/15 9/24 10/23 11/5 13/12 13/15 18/1 18/2 18/3 18/5 18/5 18/6 18/7 18/13 18/17 19/13 19/14 21/5 21/8 21/15 22/5 22/5 22/15 23/16 23/22 23/23 25/1 31/3 we'd [2] 7/1 24/11 we'll [6] 9/3 9/4 11/14 11/21 11/21 11/22 we're [6] 2/8 4/14 9/23 12/1 12/4 21/10 we've [6] 7/2 10/16 13/10 14/11 23/25 24/4 week [13] 10/24 14/24 14/25 15/2 15/5 15/17 17/12 17/14 21/14 21/14 23/21 28/23 33/20 weekly [3] 26/5 28/17 28/19 weeks [9] 5/13 14/23 14/24 15/2 15/4 20/2 20/3 20/5 23/20 welcome [1] 9/1 well [15] 3/9 4/8 5/5 5/12 7/3 10/14 10/20 12/16 13/6 20/4 20/12 31/1 35/13 38/19 38/23 well-established [1] 31/1 went [3] 26/15 26/20 26/24 were [24] 5/13 5/15 5/24 6/3 6/12 6/16 7/17 8/12 9/15 10/24 11/5 11/5 16/25 17/2 18/2 18/5 18/5 21/15 27/11 27/11 27/13 28/4 29/2 36/21 weren't [1] 15/10 what [29] 3/25 4/9 5/20 6/11 6/24 8/20 18/10 18/14 18/18 19/9 20/10 21/6 21/9 22/11 22/22 22/24 28/3 28/8 29/2 29/19 33/2 33/19 35/1 35/8 35/16 35/17 37/8 38/1 38/3 what's [1] 26/20 whatever [5] 9/2 13/20 14/13 34/14 34/14 when [12] 6/15 6/16 15/13 19/5 20/6 22/20 26/4 29/1 30/18 33/12 34/7 36/4 where [3] 22/16 24/1 32/14

whether [18] 3/3 3/6

16/15 18/12 18/13

6/6 8/4 14/9 16/4 16/10

18/13 18/23 24/5 32/8

32/9 33/13 35/3 37/13

which [24] 2/15 4/15

6/15 10/21 13/10 14/23

17/5 17/13 18/2 18/6 19/2 19/5 19/21 20/2 20/21 21/20 24/8 24/10 28/4 29/4 29/8 30/4 31/11 32/7 while [4] 21/2 31/22 32/25 33/15 who [3] 14/19 21/8 23/15 why [9] 6/4 10/17 10/23 11/2 23/7 23/21 30/13 36/5 36/12 will [6] 18/18 19/17 21/7 24/4 24/8 37/23 Williams [1] 39/12 wish [5] 8/20 9/2 14/13 35/12 39/3 within [3] 14/21 15/7 17/6 without [5] 14/5 14/6 21/22 23/10 36/19 witness [1] 18/20 word [3] 17/23 25/2 25/5 words [1] 25/19 work [1] 21/8 worries [2] 2/8 3/13 would [32] 2/25 5/10 5/12 6/7 7/1 7/5 7/13 8/8 10/5 10/7 10/10 10/20 10/21 19/19 20/15 21/3 23/7 23/21 24/13 32/14 32/19 32/22 32/24 33/4 33/4 33/9 33/14 35/13 35/16 36/9 38/3 38/4 would've [2] 6/5 7/2 wouldn't [2] 3/5 33/17 writ [34] 1/14 1/15 5/25 13/25 14/11 14/12 16/7 19/1 19/3 19/7 19/8 22/11 24/11 24/15 25/10 26/14 27/20 30/11 31/10 32/9 32/14 32/23 32/24 33/11 34/11 34/12 34/13 34/13 35/7 35/8 35/23 35/24 36/25 37/10 writing [1] 24/5 writs [2] 30/14 30/16

14/5 14/8 16/17 27/9 29/22 30/7 36/4 37/3 yet [5] 9/15 9/19 11/25 18/11 35/4 you [213] you're [10] 9/1 10/4 19/5 21/6 23/6 28/3 29/1 32/25 35/16 37/23 you've [7] 10/14 13/18 23/11 33/1 33/2 34/23 37/1 your [67] yourself [2] 3/16 9/3

written [1] 17/17

wrongful [1] 17/5

XXXI [3] 1/6 37/20

Yeah [4] 17/2 25/6

Yes [16] 2/6 4/6 6/11

13/14 16/5 16/13 16/19

21/7 24/24 25/4 26/23

yesterday [14] 6/8 7/7

7/11 7/15 8/14 10/19

11/11 11/16 12/13

27/11 28/10

27/22 27/25

18/19

38/12

wrong [3] 11/19 12/14

Electronically Filed 3/29/2021 3:50 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

Steven D. Grierson GLERK OF THE COURT

Natasha Early
 4650 West Oakey Boulevard #2035
 Las Vegas, NV. 89102
 (323) 713-8613
 Natashalee0601@gmail.com

4

5

б

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### IN THE EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE

#### STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

NATASHA EARLY,

Petitioner,

vs.

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISON, STAT OF

NEVADA, ET AL.,

Respondents

Case No.: A-20-826013-W

Dept. No.: XXXI

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that Natasha Early, Petitioner above named, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the final judgment denying the Petition for Writ of Mandamus entered this action on the 1st of March 2021.

March 26, 2021

Natasha Eanly

1

### **Certificate of Service**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on this 26<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021, I caused the foregoing Notice of Appeal to be served via the Court's electronic filing system to

State of Nevada, Department of Employment, Training, and Rehabilitation.

/s/ Natasha Jordan

Attorney Troy C. Jordan

б

Electronically Filed 4/1/2021 11:20 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

**ASTA** 

2

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

NATASHA EARLY,

VS.

SECURITY DIVISION,

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

THE COUNTY OF CLARK

<del>-</del>

Dept No: XXXI

Case No: A-20-826013-W

### CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE

STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR

1. Appellant(s): Natasha Early

2. Judge: Joanna S. Kishner

Plaintiff(s),

as Administrator of the EMPLOYMENT

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION, STATE

OF NEVADA; KIMBERLY GAA, in her capacity

Defendant(s),

3. Appellant(s): Natasha Early

Counsel:

Natasha Early 4650 W. Oakey Blvd., #2035 Las Vegas, NV 89102

4. Respondent (s): Employment Security Division, State of Nevada; Kimberly Gaa, in her capacity as Administrator of the Employment Security Division

Counsel:

A-20-826013-W

-1-

1 2	Troy C. Jordan, Esq. 500 E. Third St. Carson City, NV 89713						
3	<ol> <li>Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A         Permission Granted: N/A     </li> </ol>						
5	Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes Permission Granted: N/A						
6	6. Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No						
7	7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal; N/A						
9	8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis**: Yes, December 11, 20 **Expires 1 year from date filed						
10	Appellant Filed Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: N/A  Date Application(s) filed: N/A						
11	9. Date Commenced in District Court: December 8, 2020						
12	10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Civil Writ						
13	Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Dismissal						
14	11. Previous Appeal: No						
16	Supreme Court Docket Number(s): N/A						
17	12. Child Custody or Visitation; N/A						
18	13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown						
19	Dated This 1 day of April 2021.						
20	Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court						
21							
22	/s/ Heather Ungermann  Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk						
23	200 Lewis Ave PO Box 551601						
24	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601						
25	(702) 671-0512						
26 27							
28	cc: Natasha Early						

-2-

A-20-826013-W

A-20-826013-W Natasha Early, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada, Defendant(s)

January 19, 2021 9:00 AM Petition for Writ of

Mandamus

**HEARD BY:** Kishner, Joanna S. **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 12B

**COURT CLERK:** Natalie Ortega

**RECORDER:** Sandra Harrell

**REPORTER:** 

**PARTIES** 

**PRESENT:** Early, Natasha Plaintiff Neidert, David K. Attorney

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Ms. Early advised she had attempted to resolve the issues; however, she has not been able to contact DETR. COURT NOTED DETR had forty days under the statute to respond. Ms. Early further advised she was facing financial hardships. COURT NOTED the matter would be continued to give time for DETR to respond. Mr. Neidert indicated he would file the response this week or next week. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CONTINUED TO: 02/04/21 8:30 AM

PRINT DATE: 04/16/2021 Page 1 of 4 Minutes Date: January 19, 2021

A-20-826013-W
Natasha Early, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada, Defendant(s)

February 04, 2021 8:30 AM Petition for Writ of

Mandamus

**HEARD BY:** Kishner, Joanna S. **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 12B

**COURT CLERK:** Natalie Ortega

**RECORDER:** Deloris Scott

**REPORTER:** 

**PARTIES** 

**PRESENT:** Early, Natasha Plaintiff Jordan, Troy Attorney

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS(9:00 a.m. calendar)...PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS (9:30 calendar)

Court announced the case and requested appearances. Troy Jordan, Esq., announced his presence via Blue Jeans. Natasha Early not present. COURT ORDERED, matter TRAILED.

MATTER RECALLED: Natasha Early now present. Arguments by Mr. Jordan and Ms. Early regarding the merits of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus. Colloquy between the Court and Ms. Early regarding the Claim Referee and appeal process. COURT stated FINDINGS, and ORDERED, Petition for Writ of Mandamus DENIED as there was no basis for the Writ, there was failure to exhaust administrative remedies, and for not establishing a basis to grant extraordinary relief. COURT NOTED it was not taking a position whether Ms. Early was entitled to benefits That was not ripe before the Court because all of the administrative processes had not been utilized or exhausted. COURT DIRECTED Mr. Jordan to prepare the Order, circulate to Ms. Early, and provide back to the DC31 inbox according to the Administrative Orders.

PRINT DATE: 04/16/2021 Page 2 of 4 Minutes Date: January 19, 2021

A-20-826013-W
Natasha Early, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada, Defendant(s)

February 04, 2021 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

**HEARD BY:** Kishner, Joanna S. **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 12B

**COURT CLERK:** Natalie Ortega

**RECORDER:** Deloris Scott

REPORTER:

**PARTIES** 

**PRESENT:** Early, Natasha Plaintiff Jordan, Troy Attorney

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS(9:00 a.m. calendar)...PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS (9:30 calendar)

Court announced the case and requested appearances. Troy Jordan, Esq., announced his presence via Blue Jeans. Natasha Early not present. COURT ORDERED, matter TRAILED.

MATTER RECALLED: Natasha Early now present. Arguments by Mr. Jordan and Ms. Early regarding the merits of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus. Colloquy between the Court and Ms. Early regarding the Claim Referee and appeal process. COURT stated FINDINGS, and ORDERED, Petition for Writ of Mandamus DENIED as there was no basis for the Writ, there was failure to exhaust administrative remedies, and for not establishing a basis to grant extraordinary relief. COURT NOTED it was not taking a position whether Ms. Early was entitled to benefits That was not ripe before the Court because all of the administrative processes had not been utilized or exhausted. COURT DIRECTED Mr. Jordan to prepare the Order, circulate to Ms. Early, and provide back to the DC31 inbox according to the Administrative Orders.

PRINT DATE: 04/16/2021 Page 3 of 4 Minutes Date: January 19, 2021

A-20-826013-W
Natasha Early, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Employment Security Division of the State of Nevada, Defendant(s)

February 04, 2021 9:30 AM Motion to Dismiss

**HEARD BY:** Kishner, Joanna S. **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 12B

**COURT CLERK:** Natalie Ortega

**RECORDER:** Deloris Scott

**REPORTER:** 

**PARTIES** 

**PRESENT:** Early, Natasha Plaintiff Jordan, Troy Attorney

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Court announced the case and requested appearances. Troy Jordan, Esq., announced his presence via Blue Jeans. Natasha Early not present. COURT ORDERED, matter TRAILED.

MATTER RECALLED: Natasha Early now present. Arguments by Mr. Jordan and Ms. Early regarding the merits of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Mandamus. Colloquy between the Court and Ms. Early regarding the Claim Referee and appeal process. COURT stated FINDINGS, and ORDERED, Writ DENIED as there was no basis for the Writ, there was failure to exhaust administrative remedies, and for not establishing a basis to grant extraordinary relief. COURT NOTED it was not taking a position whether Ms. Early was entitled to benefits That was not ripe before the Court because all of the administrative processes had not been utilized or exhausted. COURT DIRECTED Mr. Jordan to prepare the Order, circulate to Ms. Early, and provide back to the DC31 inbox according to the Administrative Orders.

PRINT DATE: 04/16/2021 Page 4 of 4 Minutes Date: January 19, 2021

# **Certification of Copy and Transmittal of Record**

State of Nevada County of Clark SS

Pursuant to the Supreme Court order dated April 14, 2021, I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the complete trial court record for the case referenced below. The record comprises one volume with pages numbered 1 through 169.

NATASHA EARLY,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION; STATE OF NEVADA; KIMBERLY GAA, in her capacity of Administrator of the EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-20-826013-W

Dept. No: XXXI

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 16 day of April 2021.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk