

FILED

OCT 26 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY [Signature]
DEPUTY CLERK

SUPREME COURT
STATE OF NEVADA

NAME, MICHAEL COTA

Plaintiff(s),

-vs-

CASE NO.

NAME, 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT

83521

Defendant(s).


THE STATE OF NEVADA
REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

REQUEST FOR REHEARING

COMES NOW, MICHAEL COTA, in PRO PER and herein above respectfully

Moves this Honorable Court for a REHEARING ON PETITION FOR
WRIT OF Mandamus.

The above is made and based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities.



B. NATURE OF THE CASE

Briefly state, in numbered paragraphs, the background facts of your case (you may attach additional pages, in necessary) :

IT APPEARS THAT THIS COURT HAS MISINTERPRETED MR COTAS Petition. This COURT STATED ON Page 1 Lines 2 and 3 of FIRST PARAGRAPH THAT "IT APPEARS THAT he COMPLAINS THAT HE WAS DEPRIVED OF HIS RIGHT TO APPEAL HIS CONVICTION". MR COTA NEVER MADE THAT STATEMENT AS THIS COURT RULED ON HIS APPEAL. MR COTA ONLY USED THE DOCUMENT WITH THE WRONG COURT AND JUDGE TO DEMONSTRATE THE INADEQUATE JOB COUNSEL DID. IN ADDITION THE Mandamus REQUESTED CASE DOCUMENTS AS MR MALONE WAS ORDERED ON MAY 21, 2020 TO PRODUCE MR COTAS CASE FILE. A YEAR PASSED FORCING MR COTA TO DO HABEAS CORPUS Petition WITHOUT THE Benefit of CASE RECORDS LEAVING HIM AT A DISADVANTAGE. FINALLY AFTER A YEAR PASSED, ON MAY 26, 2021 A SHOW CAUSE HEARING WAS HELD. SHOULD THIS COURT NOT QUESTION WHY DOUGLAS COUNTY DOES NOT WANT HIM IN POSSESSION OF HIS OWN CASE FILE?

RECONSIDERATION IS REQUESTED

DATED THIS 20TH DAY OF October 2021

[Signature]

x Malota #