IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DORIE REGINA HENLEY, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 83546 FEB 2 2 2022 FEB 2 2 2022 ELIZABETHA BROWN DEPUTY CLERK

22-05688

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion to transmit an original exhibit. However, appellant does not demonstrate that the exhibit is incapable of being reproduced in the appendix or review of the original exhibit is necessary for consideration on appeal. *See* NRAP 30(d). Accordingly, the motion is denied.¹

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

cc: Gaffney Law Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

¹If appellant wishes to include a sealed document in the appendix, he must file a motion for leave to file a sealed document in accordance with *Howard v. State*, 128 Nev. 736, 291 P.3d 137 (2012).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(0) 1947A