## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do certify that I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL to the below address(es) on this 20m day of SEPTEMBER., 2071, by placing same in the U.S. Mail via prison law library staff:

ELKO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE S 40 COURT STREET BABBOL, AND;

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE CIELK 2015, CARSON STREET CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89701

DANIE CHAURE COKE # 1/78337
Lovelock Correctional Center
1200 Prison Road
Lovelock, Nevada 89419

Plaintiff In Pro Se

#### AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding NOTICE OF APPEAL filed in District Court Case No. CV-HC-18-194 does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 20 day of SEPTEMBEL , 2021

DANIEL CHANIES COOKE

Plaintiff In Pro Se

2021 SEP 30 PM 2: 12 ELKO CO DISTRICT COURT

CLERK\_\_\_\_DEPUTY\_AM

# IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO

DANIEL CHARLES COOKE,
APPELLANT,

vs.

CHARLES DANIELS, Director, Nevada Department of Corrections RESPONDENT.

## CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement:

DANIEL CHARLES COOKE

2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment or order appealed from:

Honorable Judge MASON SIMONS

3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:

DANIEL CHARLES COOKE/ NO COUNSEL LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL CENTER 1200 PRISON ROAD LOVELOCK, NV 89419 4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellant counsel, if known for each respondent (if the name of a respondent's appellate counsel is unknown, indicate as much and provide the name and address of that respondent's trial counsel):

TYLER J. INGRAM, ESQ.
ELKO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
540 COURT ST STE 201
ELKO, NV 89801

5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order granting such permission):

N/A

6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court:

APPELLANT WAS REPRESENTED BY LOCKIE & MACFARLAN, LTD

7. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:

Appellant has filed appeal on his own and is not represented.

8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave:

NO

9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed):

4/9/2018

10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

Appellant has filed an appeal regarding: ORDER DENYING POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to	or
original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and	
Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:	

N/A

12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:

NO

13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:

N/A

Dated this 30th day of September, 2021.

KRISTINE JAKEMAN, Elko County Clerk

By: MAC TOURS CONTROL OF THE MARSHALL, Deputy C

# ECDC-CVHC-18-194 - COOKE, DANIEL C VS. BAKER - WARDEN, RENEE

Court: ECDC-CVHC-18-194

CaseID: 18-764

Type: Civil Status: Closed Received Date: 4/9/2018 Status Date: 8/17/2021

Age: 1270 days Active Age: 1270 days

#### **Involvements**

PORTER, NANCY Judge, Inactive -

SIMONS, MASON Judge -COOKE, DANIEL Petitioner -

BAKER - WARDEN, RENEE Respondent - IN PROPER PERSON, Defense Attorney - THOMPSON, CHAD Prosecution Attorney - HILL, KRISTON Judge, Inactive -

Related Name(s)

**RENEE BAKER - WARDEN** 

**ELY STATE PRISONis On Behalf Of** 

DANIEL CHARLES COOKE

is Also Known As of **DANIEL CHARLES COOK** 

#### Name Attributes

For: RENEE BAKER - WARDEN

Name Record Source - Name Record Converted

Agency: Elko County Clerk's Office

from JALAN - Court

For: DANIEL CHARLES COOKE

Name Record Source - Name Record Converted

from JALAN - Court

#### **Case History**

Date Event Type Desc

Closed - Case Status

Case Status Date Change

Closed - Case Status

Case Status Change

4/9/2018 Proceedings (Converted) - Event

Event Type Code: F17

Event Type Description: FILE OPENED - DC

**J**UST**W**ARE

**Status** 

Note: RELATED  4/9/2018 Proceedings (Converted)  Event Type Cod	scription: JUDGE ASSIGNED CASE: CR-FP-16-7293 - Event de: P33 scription: PET - WRIT OF HC
Event Type Cod	de: P33 scription: PET - WRIT OF HC
	scription: PET - WRIT OF HC
Event Type De: Note: COPY TO	
4/9/2018 Proceedings (Converted)	- Event
	de: A81 scription: APPL IN FORMA PAUPRS TION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
4/9/2018 Proceedings (Converted)	- Event
	de: CO6 scription: CERTIFICATE OF 5 INSTITUTIONAL ACCOUNT
4/9/2018 Proceedings (Converted)	- Event
	de: M10 scription: MOTION OINTMENT OF COUNSEL
4/9/2018 Proceedings (Converted)	- Event
••	de: C35 scription: COPIES PREPARED FOR DLE FILE TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND ALSO TO MR. COOKE
4/9/2018 Proceedings (Converted)	- Event
	de: F15 scription: FILE CHECKED OUT BY: review/signature
6/1/2018 Proceedings (Converted)	- Event
Event Type Cod Event Type Des	de: F16 scription: FILE CHECKED IN BY:
6/1/2018 Proceedings (Converted)	- Event
	de: LO1 scription: LETTER FROM CHARLES COOKE
6/1/2018 Proceedings (Converted)	- Event

Event Type Code: F15

Event Type Description: FILE CHECKED OUT BY:

Note: DC I for review/signature

6/7/2018 Proceedings (Converted) - Event

Event Type Code: M37

Event Type Description: MOT TO

Note: TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF PRINT MEDIA ARTICLE AND ACLU LAWSUIT COPY RETUNRED TO MR. COOKE FILED PER DIRECTION OF DC 1 FILE IS CHECKED OUT PLEADING PLACED IN DC 1

**PICKUP** 

6/28/2018 ORDER APPOINTING ATTORNEY-

Document

6/28/2018 Proceedings (Converted) - Event

Event Type Code: F16

Event Type Description: FILE CHECKED IN BY:

6/28/2018 Proceedings (Converted) - Event

Event Type Code: 024

Event Type Description: ORD APPOINTING ATTY

7/3/2018 Proceedings (Converted) - Event

Event Type Code: 565

**Event Type Description: SUBMISSION** 

Note: SUBMISSION BY THE STATE OF MOTION TO HAVE ALL HEBERT COARDS RECORDS, NOTES, AND ALL DOCUMENTS SENT TO HIM BY ELKO DA, ELKO COURT, AND OR ELKO CO PUBLIC

DEFENDERS PRESERVED, GATHERED, AND SENT TO PETITIONER DANIEL CHARLES COOKE

8/13/2018 Proceedings (Converted) - Event

Event Type Code: C35

Event Type Description: COPIES PREPARED FOR

Note: MACFARLAN ESQ OF THE PETITION

5/29/2019 Proceedings (Converted) - Event

Event Type Code: F15

Event Type Description: FILE CHECKED OUT BY:

Note: DC | for review/signature

2/7/2020 Open - Case Status

Case status change.

10/8/2020 REQUEST TO LEAVE TO FILE PETITIONER'S

PRO SE MOTION FOR BAIL PENDING

**REVIEW - Document** 

COPY MAILED TO DANIEL COOKE #1178337 (PLACED IN DC1'S P/U BOX @ 10:01



11/24/2020	OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR BAIL PENDING REVIEW OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - Document
	FILE CHK'D OUT, PLACED IN DC1 BOX @ 10:55 AM
12/1/2020	RETURN TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - Document
	PLACED IN DC1 BOX
1/29/2021	ORDER FOR RECUSAL AND ORDER FOR ASSIGNMENT - Document
	TO DPET 3
2/8/2021	REQUEST FOR COPIES - Document
	REQUEST FOR COPIES OF DOCKET SHEET & 12-PAGE AMENDED MEMO OF PLEA AGREEMENT (FILED 2/10/17). FILE CHECKED OUT; PLACED IN DC3 PICKUP BOX
2/8/2021	NOTE ADDED TO FILE - Case Notes
	CLERK EMAILED COPY OF CASE SUMMARY AND AMENDED MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT TO DANIEL COOKE IN RESPONSE TO RECORD REQUEST FILED IN CV-HC-18-194
2/22/2021	INMATE MAIL RECEIVED - REQUEST - Document
	SENT TO DC 3 WITH NOTE ASKING IF OK TO FILE.
2/22/2021	INMATE MAIL RECEIVED - Document
	SENT TO DC 3 WITH NOTE ASKING IF OK TO FILE.
3/15/2021	REQUEST FOR CERTIFIED COPY OF THIRD  AMENDED CRIMINAL INFORMATION -  Document
	MADE A COPY OF 3RD AMENDED CRIMINAL INFO FROM CASE CR-FP-16-7293 AND MAILED IT TO DANIEL COOKE INMATE #1178337 LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL CENTER 1200 PRISON RD. LOVELOCK, NV 89419 FILE CHECKED OUT PLACED IN DC3 P/U BOX
3/15/2021	REQUEST FOR CERTIFIED COPY OF ORIGINAL MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT - Document
	MADE A COPY OF ORIGINAL MOPA FROM CASE CR-FP16-7293 AND MAILED IT TO DANIEL COOKE INMATE #1178337 LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL CENTER 1200 PRISON RD. LOVELOCK, NV 89419 FILE CHECKED OUT PLACED IN DC3 P/U BOX
3/19/2021	REQUEST FOR DOCKET - Document
	REQUESTED MINUTES BUT DID NOT SPECIFY. SENT A NOTE ASKING FOR MORE INFORMATION. ALSO NOTED TWO EJC CASE NO 15TR01020 & 16-7293. MAILED BACK A FILE STAMPED COPY OF REQUEST AND DOCKET TO LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL CENTER 1200 PRISON RD LOVELOCK, NV 89419
8/17/2021	ORDER DENYING POST-CONVICTION RELIEF - Document
8/25/2021	DC Habeas Corpus Notice - Document

JustWare

8/26/2021	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION OR ORDER - Document
	COPIES IN DA AND LOCKIE BOXES, MAILED TO AG, WARDEN AND PETITIONER
8/30/2021	INMATE MAIL - Document
	PLACED IN DC3'S P/U BOX
9/2/2021	LETTER FROM DANILE COOKE - Document
	SENT DANIEL COOKE A COPY OF THE JOC AND MINUTES
9/3/2021	NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF ATTORNEY- Document
9/24/2021	NOTICE OF APPEAL - Document
9/30/2021	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT- Document
9/30/2021	CLERK'S CERTIFICATION - Document

	* ;
1	Case No. CV-HC-18-194
2	Dept. No. 1 2018 JUN 28 PH 3 12
3	LLKO CO DISTRICT COURT
4	CLERKDEPUTY_C
5	OLERK DEPUTY P
6	IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
7	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO
8	· ·
9	DANIEL CHARLES COOKE,
10	Petitioner, ORDER APPOINTING ATTORNEY
11	v.
12	RENEE BAKER, Warden,
13	Respondent.
14	
15	Above-named Petitioner has filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. It appears to this Court that
16	said Petitioner is both indigent and presently incarcerated.
17	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:
18	That the firm of Lockie & Macfarlan, Ltd., is hereby appointed to represent said Petitioner in all
19	matters related to the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
20	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the firm of Lockie & Macfarlan, Ltd., shall be responsible for
21	obtaining a copy of the files related to this matter through the Elko County Clerk's office (775-753-4600).
22	SO ORDERED this 28 day of June, 2018.
23	NANCY PORTER!
24	DISTRICT JUDGE- DEPT. 1
25	
26	
	a · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

e de la companya de

#### Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the Fourth Judicial District Court, 2 Department 1, and that on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of June, 2018, I personally hand delivered a file stamped copy 3 of the foregoing ORDER APPOINTING ATTORNEY to: 4 5 Tyler J. Ingram, Esq. Lockie & Macfarlan, Ltd. Elko County District Attorney 540 Court Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor 919 Idaho Street 6 Elko, NV 89801 Elko, NV 89801 7 {1 File Stamped Copy} Box in Clerk's Office 8 9 10 11 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 12 Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Department 1, and that on this 28 day of June, 2018, I deposited for mailing in the U.S. mail at Elko, 13 14 Nevada, postage prepaid, a file stamped copy of the foregoing ORDER APPOINTING ATTORNEY to: 15 Daniel Charles Cooke - Inmate# 1178337 Adam Paul Laxalt, Esq. 1200 Prison Road Correctional Center Nevada Attorney General 16 Lovelock, NV 89419 100 North Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701 17 James Dzurenda, Director Nevada Department of Corrections Lovelock Correctional Center 18 5500 Snyder Avenue, Bldg. 17 Attn: Renee Baker, Warden P.O. Box 7011 1200 Prison Road 19 Carson City, NV 89702 Lovelock, NV 89419 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

**CERTIFICATE OF HAND DELIVERY** 

1	Case No. CV-HC-18-0194
2	Dept. No. 3
3	ZOZI AUG 16 AM IO: OL
4	FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
5	COUNTY OF ELKO, STATE OF NEVADALERKDEPUTY
6	10
7	
8	DANIEL CHARLES COOKE, ORDER DENYING
9	Petitioner, POST-CONVICTION RELIEF
10	v.
11	CHARLES DANIELS, Director, Nevada Department of Corrections
12	Respondent.
13	
14	Defendant, Daniel Cooke, filed a Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, in proper
15	person, on April 9, 2018. The law office of Lockie & Macfarlan was appointed by the Court to represent
16	the Petitioner on June 28, 2018. On October 8, 2018 the Petitioner, without the assistance of his court-
17	appointed counsel, filed a Request for Leave to File Petitioner's Pro Se Motion for Bail Pending Review
18	of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) per NRS 178.4871. On November 24, 2020 the
19	State of Nevada filed an Opposition to Motion for Bail Pending Review of Petition for Writ of Habeas
20	Corpus (Post-Conviction).
21	4JDCR 10 provides in relevant part that "the parties shall presume the presiding judge is unaware
22	of a motion's existence absent the filing and service of a 'Request for Review.' A party may file a
23	Request for Review when a motion is at issue. When a party has filed a Request for Review, the court
24	clerk shall bring the file containing the motion for which review has been requested to the presiding
25	judge's chambers." A Request for Review was never filed on the Petitioner's pending motion.
26	
27	
28	Page 1 of 7

It should be noted that this matter was originally assigned to the Fourth Judicial District Court, Dept. 1 (District Judge Nancy Porter). In November 2020, Kriston Hill was elected to said department to replace Judge Porter, and was sworn into office in January 2021. This matter was subsequently reassigned to Department 3, following a recusal that was entered by Judge Hill on January 29, 2021.

By reason of a guilty plea entered on February 16, 2017, Petitioner stands convicted of attempted sexual assault of a child who is less than 16 years of age. He is presently incarcerated under an 8 to 20 year sentence. Petitioner now requests post-conviction relief from said sentence.

Petitioner raises four claims in his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction):

- 1) That his underlying sentence was illegal;
- 2) That the Petitioner was the subject of an illegal search and improper interrogation by detectives;
- 3) That his trial counsel was ineffective; and
- 4) That he was deprived of due process and subject to cruel and unusual punishment.

The Court has elected to dispose of this petition without conducting an evidentiary hearing. The Nevada Supreme Court has held that "a post-conviction habeas petitioner is entitled to an evidentiary hearing 'only if he supports his claims with specific factual allegations that if true would entitle him to relief." *Means v. State*, 120 Nev. 1001, 1016, 103 P.3d 25, 35 (2004); citing *Thomas v. State*, 120 Nev. 37, 44, 83 P.3d 818, 823 (2004).

In the present case, the Court finds the petitioner's claims to be lacking in merit, and to be belied by the record, and as such, has elected to dispose of these claims without an evidentiary hearing.

#### CLAIM 1 - ILLEGAL SENTENCE

Petitioner contends that his trial counsel used a plea agreement that he never agreed upon, that the pre-sentence investigation report and psycho-sexual evaluation both contain statements from the Petitioner that he "is hoping for probation," and that trial counsel put forward a plea agreement that stipulated to an eight year minimum sentence, that he did not agree to.

Petitioner's claim that trial counsel used a plea agreement that he never agreed upon is belied by the record. The Defendant signed the plea agreement<sup>1</sup>, and was thoroughly canvassed at the time his plea was entered about his agreement with the terms contained in that plea agreement, and his understanding of said plea agreement and acquiescence to the terms thereof.<sup>2</sup>

Petitioner was also explicitly advised that he was not eligible for probation during the change of plea hearing on February 16, 2017, and acknowledged that he understood.<sup>3</sup>

Contrary to the Petitioner's contentions, the plea agreement informed the Defendant that the charge carried a maximum sentence of 20 years in prison, and that the parties were stipulating to 20 years as the maximum sentence, but the parties were free to argue as to what the minimum sentence would be.<sup>4</sup> There was no stipulation as to an 8 year minimum sentence.

The fact that the Petitioner was "hoping for probation" is of no consequence. The record clearly reflects the Petitioner was clearly advised he was not eligible for probation, that the maximum sentence would be imposed was 20 years, and the minimum sentence was subject to argument at the sentencing hearing.

#### CLAIM 2 - ILLEGAL SEARCH AND IMPROPER INTERROGATION

The Court need not consider the Petioner's contentions in Claim 2 as they relate to events that occurred prior to the guilty plea. In *Tollett v. Henderson*, 411 U.S. 258, 267 (1973), the U.S. Supreme Court indicated that "a guilty plea represents a break in the chain of events which has preceded it in the criminal process." "When a defendant has solemnly admitted in open court that he is in fact guilty of the offense with which he is charged, he may not thereafter raise independent claims relating to the deprivation of constitutional rights that occurred prior to the entry of the guilty plea." *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exhibit 2, Page 11, Line 11. All exhibits referenced herein are the exhibits attached to the Opposition to Motion for Bail Pending Review of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed by the State on November 24, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Exhibit 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Exhibit 1, Page 8, Lines 14-16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Exhibit 2, Page 2, Lines 2-4.

#### CLAIM 3 - INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

Petitioner claims that trial counsel "failed to pull back that guilty plea," that the sentence was one that he "hadn't agreed to" and that trial counsel had coerced him into taking the plea and had failed to file a direct appeal as directed by Petitioner.

Claims of ineffective assistance of counsel are governed by Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984). In order to demonstrate ineffective assistance, 1) counsel's performance must be deficient; and 2) the deficient performance must have prejudiced the defense so as to deprive the defendant of a fair trial. *Id.* at 687. In addition, the Defendant must show that counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness. *Id.* at 688. The key determination is whether there is a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's unprofessional errors, the result would have been different. *Id.* at 694.

The Petitioner's agreement with the State of Nevada was encapsulated in a Memorandum of Plea Agreement that was filed with the Court on February 10, 2017. Said agreement clearly states the possible sentencing range, that the parties were stipulating to a maximum of 20 years in prison, and that the parties were free to argue as to the minimum length of incarceration. Exhibit 2, page 2, lines 1-4 and 10-12. The plea agreement also clearly states that the Petitioner was not eligible for probation. Exhibit 2, page 2, lines 22-23. Petitioner further acknowledges in the Memorandum of Plea Agreement that he is not acting under duress or coercion in executing the plea agreement. Exhibit 2, page 10, lines 27-28. The Petitioner in his executed Memorandum of Plea Agreement waived his right to appeal "unless the appeal was based upon reasonable constitutional, jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings." Exhibit 2, page 10, lines 5-7.

The Petitioner was also thoroughly advised of the possible penalties at the time of his change of plea. Exhibit 1, page 6, lines 13-14. He was advised that the judge would decide his ultimate sentence. Exhibit 1, page 8, lines 5-7. He acknowledged that he was entering into the plea agreement freely and voluntarily and that he had not been threatened in order to enter into the plea agreement. Exhibit 1, page 10, lines 4-6.

The ultimate sentence given by the trial judge fell within the guidelines articulated in the plea

agreement, a sentence which was in her discretion to give. The Petitioner contends that the sentence was not one that he had agreed to. The parties were free to argue as to what minimum sentence should be imposed, the actual sentencing range had not been stipulated to by the parties. As such, there was no requirement that the Petitioner agree to the sentence, because the parties were free to argue as to an appropriate minimum sentence at the sentencing hearing.

The Petitioner fails to meet the Strickland test to show ineffective assistance of counsel. First, he has failed to show that his counsel's performance was deficient. In drawing this conclusion, the Court relies on Petitioner's own statement at his change of plea hearing:

Court: Are you satisfied with your attorney and confident in his ability to represent you?

Defendant: Yes.

See Exhibit 1, page 7, lines 6-9.

The Court also inquired whether or not the Defendant had discussed the content of the plea agreement with his counsel, and if his counsel had discussed possible defenses with him. The Defendant acknowledged in the affirmative.

The Petitioner contends that his trial counsel failed to file a direct appeal as directed. The Petitioner fails to acknowledge that he waived his right to appeal "unless the appeal was based upon reasonable constitutional, jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings." Exhibit 2, page 10, lines 5-7. Such grounds have not been articulated, and as such, it was not error for his counsel not to do so.

Secondly, Petitioner must show that counsel's performance must have prejudiced the Defendant so as to deprive the Defendant of a fair trial. No such showing has been made. There is no suggestion within the Petition that the Petitioner was somehow deprived of a fair proceeding, rather the Petitioner makes clear that he has a case of buyer's remorse - specifically, that he is unhappy with the ultimate sentence, which he clearly understood was in the exclusive discretion of the trial judge.

Lastly, Petitioner must show that there is a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's unprofessional errors, the result would have been different. This prong also falls flat. Even if counsel had filed a direct appeal, as Petitioner claims that he directed counsel to do, it is unclear what "constitutuional" or "jurisdictional" grounds he would have been relying on to do so, that would not

have been barred by the terms of the plea agreement.

# CLAIM 4 - DUE PROCESS / CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT

In the body of said claim, the Petitioner restates the prior claims that have been noted above. These claims are belied by the record and are without merit.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) is DENIED.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that as the Petition has been denied, the Motion for Bail Pending Review of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) is now moot, and as such, is DENIED.

DATED this 13 day of August, 2021.

Hon. Mason F. Simons District Judge, Department 3

, Department
regoing

Case No. CV-HC-18-194

Dept. No.

2021 SEP 30 PM 2: 12

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO, STATE OF A

DANIEL CHARLES COOKE.

Appellant,

VS.

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION** 

CHARLES DANIELS, DIRECTOR, Nevada Department of Corrections. Respondent,

I, KRISTINE JAKEMAN, the duly elected, acting and qualified County Clerk and Ex-Officio Clerk of the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Elko, do hereby certify that the annexed are true, full and correct copies of certain documents in Case No.CV-HC-18-194, Dept. 3, DANIEL. CHARLES COOKE, Appellant, vs. CHARLES DANIELS, DIRECTOR, Nevada Department of Corrections, Respondent, as appears on file and of record in my office. WITNESS My Hand and Seal of said Court on September 30, 2021.

KRISTINE JAKEMAN, ELKO COUNTY CLERK

By

Annette Marshall DEPUTY CLERK

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I caused to be sent electronically and/or mailed a certified copy of the annexed documents in Case No. CV-HC-18-194, Dept. 3, DANIEL CHARLES COOKE, Appellant, vs. CHARLES DANIELS, DIRECTOR, Nevada Department of Corrections, Respondent, as appears on file and of record in this Court, to the following:

Elko County District Attorney canchondo@elkocountynv.net csmith@elkocountynv.net kdarby@elkocountynv.net tyell@elkocountynv.net tingram@elkocountynv.net

Daniel Charles Cooke #1178337 Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road Lovelock, NV 89419

Aaron Ford Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717

Tim Garrett, Warden Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road Lovelock, NV 89419

DATED this 30th, day of September, 2020.

Annette Marshall, Deputy-Clerk