

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSEPH NASO,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
COUNTY OF MARIN, CALIFORNIA,  
Respondent.

No. 83594

**FILED**

**JAN 03 2022**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *S. Young*  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING MOTION*

This is a pro se appeal from an order denying a motion to set aside a foreign judgment. Respondent has filed a motion to dismiss the appeal on the ground that this court lacks jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was untimely filed. Appellant has not responded to the motion. See NRAP 27(a)(3)(A) (a response to a motion must be filed within 7 days). However, this court's jurisdictional review indicates that the appeal is timely and that this court has jurisdiction. The district court treated appellant's "motion to strike a foul judgment" as an untimely motion to set aside a judgment pursuant to NRCP 60(b) and denied it. This is an independently appealable order. See *Holiday Inn v. Barnett*, 103 Nev. 60, 732 P.2d 1376 (1987). And the notice of appeal appears to have been timely delivered to a prison official pursuant to NRAP 4(d). Accordingly, the motion to dismiss is denied.

It is so ORDERED.

*Cadish*, J.  
Cadish

*Pickering*, J.  
Pickering

*Herndon*, J.  
Herndon

cc: Joseph Naso  
Michael A. Rosenauer Ltd.