

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VENETIAN CASINO RESORT, LLC, A  
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY; AND LAS VEGAS SANDS,  
LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
KATHLEEN E. DELANEY, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

JOYCE SEKERA, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 83600-COA

**FILED**

APR 15 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK


**ORDER DENYING REHEARING**

Rehearing denied.<sup>1</sup> NRAP 40(c).

<sup>1</sup>The Venetian requests, for the first time, that this court instruct the district court to order Sekera to return all previously obtained incident reports to the Venetian and to further require Sekera to request those same reports be returned from any attorneys with whom she had previously shared them. As to this issue, the Venetian represented to the district court that it would consult with Sekera to find a solution for how to execute the district court's latest discovery order. It is unclear from the record whether the parties ever met to find such a solution. Nevertheless, the district court did not reach this issue and we decline to address it in the first instance. See *9352 Cranesbill Tr. v. Wells Fargo Bank*, 136 Nev. 76, 82, 459 P.3d 227, 232 (2020) (providing that "this court will not address issues that the district court did not directly resolve"); *Yellow Cab of Reno, Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court*, 127 Nev. 583, 592 n.6, 262 P.3d 699, 704 n.6 (2011) (declining to address a legal issue that the district court did not reach); see also *Dep't of*

It is so ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Tao

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Bulla

cc: Hon. Kathleen E. Delaney, District Judge  
Royal & Miles, LLP  
Claggett & Sykes Law Firm  
The Galliher Law Firm  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

*Taxation v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 136 Nev. 366, 368, 466 P.3d 1281, 1283 (2020) (explaining that writ relief is discretionary).