2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16**l**

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VS.

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

17 18 19 20

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JAMES ROBERT BONETTI,

Petitioner,

Electronically Filed Apr 13 2022 12:14 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Supreme Court Case No.:83634

THE FIFTH JUDICIAL COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE; AND THE HONORABLE KIMBERLY A. WANKER, DISTRICT JUDGE.

Respondents,

And THE STATE OF NEVADA

Real Party in Interest.

JUDGE KIMBERLY A. WANKER'S EX-PARTE MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR ALTERNATIVELY WRIT OF PROHIBITION

Judge Kimberly A. Wanker, having been invited by the Nevada Supreme Court to respond to the Writ of Mandamus or Alternatively, the Writ of Prohibition filed by the Petitioner rejecting the proposed guilty plea agreement, hereby submits an Ex-Parte Motion For Extension of Time To File a Written Response. The Order from the Nevada Supreme Court, filed March 17, 2022 gave Judge Wanker twenty-eight (28) days to file a response, or through April 14, 2022. Judge Wanker seeks an extension to Monday, May 2, 2022 to file her response. The basis for this extension request is as follows:

This case involves two co-defendants: Bonetti, the Petitioner here, and his partner, William Butler. These co-defendants are accused of engaging in inappropriate sexual conduct with a then 13-14 year-old victim. The cases are intertwined, and it has been necessary for the Court, in preparing its response, to review everything that has occurred in both case files. After reviewing the Petition filed by Bonetti and the State's Response, it is clear that the Supreme Court has not been presented with the true picture as to why the District Court refused to accept the guilty plea agreement.

The Judge has reviewed both case files and is in the process of preparing the Court's written response but needs some additional time to finalize the response to the Supreme Court. This Ex-Parte Motion is made in good faith, and not for purposes of delay. The District Court does not believe that Bonetti will be prejudiced in any way by the Supreme Court granting the extension of time, as Bonetti is out of custody, and has been since 2018. Moreover, Bonetti has asked for several trial continuances in this case, and his counsel waited almost fifteen months – from September 18, 2020 until October 15, 2021 before filing the Writ. This extension of time is necessary to provide the Nevada Supreme Court with a full understanding as to why the District Court refused to accept the guilty plea agreement.

DATED this 13th day of April 2022.



