IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Nov 15 2021 02:38 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

SAMMIE NUNN, Appellant(s),

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent(s),

Case No: C-18-336184-1 *Related Case A-21-835110-W*

Docket No: 83660

RECORD ON APPEAL **VOLUME**

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT **SAMMIE NUNN # 1226304.** PROPER PERSON P.O. BOX 509 **PIOCHE, NV 89043**

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 LEWIS AVE. LAS VEGAS, NV 89155-2212

C-18-336184-1 STATE OF NEVADA vs. SAMMIE NUNN

INDEX

VOLUME :	PAGE NUMBER:
1	1 - 240
2	241 - 480
3	481 - 489

<u>VOL</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
1	07/17/2019	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	110 - 111
1	11/22/2019	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	152 - 153
2	04/30/2021	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	422 - 423
2	05/10/2021	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	428 - 429
3	11/15/2021	CERTIFICATION OF COPY AND TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD	
2	05/03/2021	CRIMINAL ORDER TO STATISTICALLY CLOSE CASE	424 - 425
2	04/17/2020	DEFENSE REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF INVESTIGATOR; HEARING REQUESTED	244 - 247
2	11/15/2021	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES (CONTINUED)	450 - 480
3	11/15/2021	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES (CONTINUATION)	481 - 489
2	11/15/2021	DOCUMENTARY EXHIBITS (UNFILED)	438 - 449
1	04/29/2019	EMERGENCY MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL (WITH EXTREME PREJUDICE)	71 - 76
2	05/10/2021	EMERGENCY NOTICE OF APPEAL	426 - 427
1	12/03/2018	EX PARTE MOTION FOR RELEASE OF CERTIFIED MEDICAL RECORDS AND AUTHORIZATION FOR RELATED WITNESS TESTIMONY	59 - 60
1	04/12/2019	FINDINGS OF COMPETENCY	69 - 70
1	11/20/2019	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER	135 - 139
1	06/06/2019	GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT	83 - 90
1	02/26/2020	HABEAS CORPUS EXHIBITS A AND B	204 - 226
1	11/14/2018	INDICTMENT	13 - 15
1	11/15/2018	INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN (CONFIDENTIAL)	18 - 20
1	11/15/2018	INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN (REDACTED VERSION)	21 - 23

<u>vor</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
1	11/14/2018	INDICTMENT WARRANT; WARRANT FOR ARREST (UNSIGNED BY COURT CLERK)	16 - 17
1	06/20/2019	JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA OF GUILTY)	91 - 94
2	11/18/2020	MISCELLANEOUS FILING - CORRESPONDENCE FROM TERRANCE M. JACKSON, ESQUIRE	405 - 411
1	01/24/2020	MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF TRANSCRIPTS	175 - 178
1	01/23/2020	MOTION TO APPOINT APPEALANT COUNSEL	171 - 174
1	07/15/2019	MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT ALTERNATE COUNSEL	95 - 106
1	11/21/2019	MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL AND MOTION TO APPOINT APPELLANT COUNSEL	148 - 151
2	03/31/2021	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE/REMITTITUR JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	413 - 417
1	10/07/2019	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE/REMITTITUR JUDGMENT - DISMISSED	114 - 119
2	06/17/2021	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE/REMITTITUR JUDGMENT - DISMISSED	430 - 433
2	06/22/2021	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE/REMITTITUR JUDGMENT - DISMISSED	434 - 437
1	07/16/2019	NOTICE OF APPEAL	107 - 109
1	11/21/2019	NOTICE OF APPEAL	146 - 147
2	04/29/2021	NOTICE OF APPEAL	418 - 421
1	01/15/2019	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF HEARING	63 - 63
1	05/01/2019	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF HEARING	77 - 77
1	05/06/2019	NOTICE OF DEPARTMENT REASSIGNMENT	78 - 79

<u>vor</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
2	01/21/2021	NOTICE OF DEPARTMENT REASSIGNMENT	412 - 412
1	11/21/2019	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER	140 - 145
1	10/10/2019	NOTICE OF HEARING	127 - 127
1	03/11/2020	NOTICE OF HEARING	238 - 238
2	04/20/2020	NOTICE OF HEARING	248 - 248
1	01/24/2020	NOTICE OF MOTION	179 - 179
1	02/18/2020	ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL	196 - 196
1	02/04/2020	ORDER FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	195 - 195
1	12/31/2019	ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE SAMMIE NUNN, BAC #1226304	166 - 167
1	12/11/2019	ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATES	159 - 165
1	11/18/2019	ORDER FOR REVOCATION OF PROBATION AND AMENDED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	133 - 134
1	03/05/2020	ORDER GRANTING AND DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO APPOINT APPELLATE COUNSEL AND DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF TRANSCRIPT	227 - 229
1	01/28/2020	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL AND MOTION TO APPOINT APPELLANT COUNSEL	193 - 194
1	01/24/2019	ORDER OF COMMITMENT	64 - 66
1	12/03/2018	ORDER RELEASING CERTIFIED MEDICAL RECORDS AND AUTHORIZATION FOR RELATED WITNESS TESTIMONY	61 - 62
1	08/08/2019	ORDER TO PROVIDE CELLULAR TELEPHONE(S) FROM INMATE'S PROPERTY TO ANTHONY M. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.	
1	03/14/2019	ORDER TO TRANSPORT DEFENDANT FROM SOUTHERN NEVADA ADULT HEALTH RAWSON-NEAL PSYCHIATRIC	67 - 68

<u>vol</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER
		HOSPITAL	
1	10/10/2019	POST-CONVICTION PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	120 - 126
1	01/24/2020	POST-CONVICTION PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	180 - 192
1	09/20/2018	PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT USED FROM C-18-334308-1 (CONFIDENTIAL)	1 - 12
1	03/05/2020	REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS	230 - 232
1	05/23/2019	STATE'S NOTICE OF WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(1)(A)]	80 - 82
1	02/25/2020	STATE'S RESPONSE AND MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	197 - 203
1	03/31/2020	STATE'S RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING (CONTINUED)	239 - 240
2	03/31/2020	STATE'S RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING (CONTINUATION)	241 - 243
1	10/16/2019	STATE'S RETURN TO DEFENDANT'S POST-CONVICTION PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	128 - 132
1	03/10/2020	SUPPLEMENTARY MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING FOR PRO PER PETITION FOR SAMMIE NUNN FOR HABEAS CORPUS RELIEF; HEARING REQUESTED	233 - 237
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON APRIL 16, 2019	249 - 252
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON AUGUST 20, 2019	357 - 364
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON AUGUST 6, 2019	342 - 356
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON DECEMBER 17, 2019	391 - 400
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JANUARY 14, 2020	401 - 404
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JULY 23, 2019	333 - 341

<u>vor</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JUNE 11, 2019	319 - 332
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JUNE 6, 2019	261 - 280
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JUNE 6, 2019	299 - 318
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON MAY 14, 2019	253 - 260
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON MAY 14, 2019	281 - 288
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON MAY 23, 2019	289 - 298
1	11/26/2018	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON NOVEMBER 13, 2018	51 - 58
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON NOVEMBER 14, 2019	381 - 387
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON NOVEMBER 26, 2019	388 - 390
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON NOVEMBER 5, 2019	372 - 380
1	11/16/2018	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON NOVEMBER 6, 2018	24 - 50
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON SEPTEMBER 12, 2019	368 - 371
2	04/23/2020	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON SEPTEMBER 5, 2019	365 - 367
1	01/16/2020	UNFILED DOCUMENT(S) - ATTORNEY LETTER W/COPY OF UNFILED MOTION TO REQUEST TRANSCRIPTS	168 - 170
1	11/22/2019	UNFILED DOCUMENT(S) - ATTORNEY LETTER W/COPY OF UNFILED MOTION TO REQUEST TRANSCRIPTS AND W/COPY OF UNFILED MOTION TO REQUEST TRANSCRIPTS	154 - 158

On November 14, 2019, the Court conducted a hearing regarding the revocation of Petitioner's probation. Following arguments by the parties, the Court found that Petitioner violated his probation and revoked the same. The Court modified Petitioner's sentence of imprisonment to thirty-six (36) to one hundred twenty (120) months in NDoC. The Court gave Petitioner five hundred ten (510) days credit for time served.

On January 24, 2020, Petitioner filed a Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. On February 25, 2020, the State filed its Response and Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. On March 5, 2020, the Court filed an Order Granting and Denying Defendant's Motion to Appoint Appellate Counsel and Defendant's Motion for Production of Transcript.

On March 10, 2020, Petitioner filed the instant Supplementary Motion for Evidentiary Hearing for *Pro Per* Petition for Sammie Nunn for Habeas Corpus Relief (the "instant Supplement").

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On May 27, 2018, Prince Alidu (the "Victim") was at Your Stop Liquor, a convenience store, when he was approached by Petitioner, who asked Victim for fifty (50) cents. Grand Jury Transcript, Tuesday, November 6, 2018 ("GJT") at 16. Victim told Petitioner he did not have the money, after which Petitioner's female friend angrily approached Victim. <u>Id.</u> Petitioner then came back to Petitioner and called him names. <u>Id.</u> at 17. Victim asked Petitioner to leave him alone, and Petitioner left to the nearby apartment complex. <u>Id.</u> A few minutes later, however, Petitioner came back with a tool in his hand. <u>Id.</u> Victim described the tool as being approximately one foot long. <u>Id.</u> at 17-18. Petitioner hit Victim in the face with the tool, resulting in significant bleeding and an eventual scar. <u>Id.</u>

Officer Vesperas was in the area of Your Stop Liquor on May 27, 2018, when he was flagged down by a pedestrian. GJT at 6-7. That pedestrian directed Vesperas's attention to another individual down the street. <u>Id.</u> at 7. The individual to which Vesperas was directed had a foot-long wrench in his hand. <u>Id.</u> Vesperas identified that individual as Petitioner. <u>Id.</u> at 8.

//

Petitioner told Vesperas that he had been attacked and had hit an attacker with the wrench. GJT at 8. However, Vesperas did not notice any injuries that would require medical attention, and Petitioner did not complain of any such injuries. <u>Id.</u> at 9.

Officer Hawkins also responded to the area of Your Stop Liquor on May 27, 2018. GJT at 11. Hawkins came into contact with Victim, who was bleeding from his head. <u>Id.</u> at 12. Victim identified Petitioner to Hawkins at the scene of the interaction. <u>Id.</u>

On June 3, 2018, Victim was again outside Your Stop Liquor when he was approached by Petitioner. GJT at 20. At this encounter, Petitioner pulled out a handgun and again hit the Victim over the head. <u>Id.</u> at 21.

ARGUMENT

NRS 34.750(3) states,

After appointment by the court, counsel for the petitioner may file and serve supplemental pleadings, exhibits, transcripts and documents within 30 days after:

(b) The date of counsel's appointment.

Therefore, to the extent Petitioner seeks to file a supplement, through counsel, he is entitled to do that pursuant to statute.

However, Petitioner's instant Supplement fails to make any substantive additional argument in support of an evidentiary hearing. A review of the instant Supplement demonstrates that Petitioner merely argues that the Petition itself "alleges sufficient material facts that an evidentiary hearing is required because there are material facts in dispute." Instant Supplement at 1:22-24. The State would note that such is *not* the standard by which a reviewing court determines whether or not an evidentiary hearing is necessary. See, State v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court (Riker), 121 Nev. 225, 234, 112 P.3d 1070, 1076 (2005) (holding that it is improper to hold an evidentiary hearing merely to "complete the record"). In the interest of judicial economy, and because Petitioner fails to raise any new substantive argument in support of an evidentiary hearing, the State hereby incorporates its Argument in

its Response and Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (the "State's Response"), filed on February 25, 2020.

Insofar as Petitioner includes additional case law in the instant Supplement, the State responds that Petitioner's cited-to cases are inapplicable regarding the instant habeas corpus proceeding because Petitioner previously acknowledged that his Petition is his second attempt to receive habeas corpus relief. Petitioner does not allege good cause or prejudice to overcome his procedural default. See, NRS 34.810(3). Petitioner's failure to demonstrate good cause and prejudice to overcome his procedural default constitutes an abuse of the writ. Therefore, because the Petition is barred for the reasons as contained in the State's Response, there is no reason for the Court to conduct an evidentiary hearing.

CONCLUSION

For the forgoing reasons, the State respectfully renews its request that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be DISMISSED as procedurally barred, and Petitioner's Request for Evidentiary Hearing be DENIED.

DATED this 31st day of March, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney

Nevada Bar#1565

BY

Deputy District Attorney Neyada Bar #014408

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made this 31st day of March, 2020, by email to:

TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.

Email: terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com

18F09747X/JN/eg/L4

Secretary for the District Attorney's Office

5

W:\2018\2018F\097\47\18F09747-RSPN-(NUNN_SAMMIE)-002.DOCX

BY:

Electronically Filed 4/17/2020 4:43 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT REQT 1 TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No.: 00854 Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson 3 624 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 4 T: 702-386-0001 / F: 702-386-0085 terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com 5 Counsel for Sammie Nunn EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 STATE OF NEVADA, 8 Case No.: C-18-336184-1 9 Plaintiff, Dept. No.: XVIII 10 HEARING REQUESTED 11 SAMMIE NUNN, #1226304, 12 Defendant. 13 DEFENSE REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF INVESTIGATOR 14 15 COMES NOW the Defendant, SAMMIE NUNN, by and through counsel, TERRENCE M. 16 JACKSON, ESQ., and moves this Court to enter an Order appointing an investigator to assist 17 counsel to aid Defendant in his pro per Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on January 24, 18 2020, set for hearing on June 16, 2020. 19 This Motion is further based upon the accompanying Points and Authorities incorporated 20 herein and such further facts as will come before the Court on a hearing of this Motion. 21 Respectfully submitted this 17th day of April, 2020. 22 /s/ Terrence M. Jackson 23 TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. 24 Nevada Bar No.: 00854 Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson 25 624 South Ninth Street 26 Las Vegas, NV 89101 T: 702.386.0001 / F: 702.386.0085 27 terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com 28 Counsel for Sammie Nunn

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The Defendant in his *Pro Per* Petition filed on January 24, 2020, alleges he is "factually innocent" of the charge of battery with a deadly weapon. The Defendant claims that an eye witness to the altercation, Mr. McConnell, will testify that the Defendant was acting lawfully in self-defense during the alleged incident.

An investigator is needed to subpoena this eyewitness to testify at the evidentiary hearing set for June 16, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Ake v. Oklahoma, 470 U.S. 68 (1985) and Widdis v. State, 968 P.2d 1165 (1998), provides an indigent should have necessary expert services. Wherefore, Defendant respectfully requests he be granted an investigator to interview and subpoena the witness necessary for the evidentiary hearing on June 16, 2020.

Respectfully submitted this 17th day of April, 2020.

/s/ Terrence M. Jackson

TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 00854

Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson

624 South Ninth Street

Las Vegas, NV 89101

T: 702-386-0001 / F: 702-386-0085

terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com

Counsel for Sammie Nunn

1 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 2 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 3 STATE OF NEVADA, Case No.: C-18-336184-1 4 Plaintiff, Dept. No.: XVIII 5 v. 6 SAMMIE NUNN, 7 #1226304 Defendant. 8 9 NOTICE OF HEARING 10 Please be advised that the Defendant's Request for Appointment of Investigator in the above-11 entitled matter is set for hearing as follows: 12 Date: 13 Time: 14 Location: **RJC Courtroom 3F** Regional Justice Center 15 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101 16 Note: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the Eighth Judicial 17 District Court Electronic Filing System, the movant requesting a hearing must serve this notice on 18 the party by traditional means. 19 /s/ Ila C. Wills By: 20 Assistant to T. M. Jackson, Esq. 21 22 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 23 I hereby certify that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion 24 Rules, a copy of this Notice of Hearing was electronically served to all registered users on this case 25 in the Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System. 26 /s/ Ila C. Wills 27 By: 28 Assistant to T. M. Jackson, Esq. -3-

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 3 I hereby certify that I am an assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esq., I am a person competent 4 to serve papers and not a party to the above-entitled action and on the 17th of April, 2020, I served 5 a copy of the foregoing Defendant/Petitioner, SAMMIE NUNN'S, DEFENSE REQUEST FOR 6 APPOINTMENT OF INVESTIGATOR as follows: 7 8 [X] Via Electronic Service (CM/ECF) to the Eighth Judicial District Court and by United States 9 first class mail to the Nevada Attorney General and Petitioner/Appellant as follows: 10 STEVEN B. WOLFSON JOHN T. NIMAN 11 12 Clark County District Attorney Clark County Deputy D.A. - Criminal 13 steven.wolfson@clarkcountyda.com john.niman@clarkcountyda.com 14 15 SAMMIE NUNN AARON D. FORD 16 ID# 1226304 Nevada Attorney General 17 High Desert State Prison 100 North Carson Street Post Office Box 650 Carson City, Nevada 89701 18 19 Indian Springs, NV 89070-0650 20 21 22 23 /s/ Ila C. Wills 24 By: Assistant to T. M. Jackson, Esq. 25 26 27 28 -4-

1 2			SISTRICT COURT K COUNTY, NEVADA ****	Electronically Filed 4/20/2020 4:51 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR
3	State of Nevad	a	Case No.: C	!-18-336184-1
4	vs Sammie Nunn		Department 1	3
5				
6		<u>NO</u>	TICE OF HEARING	
7 8	Please be	advised that the De	fense Request for Appoi	ntment of Investigator in the
9		matter is set for hearing		C
	Date:	May 05, 2020		
10	Time:	9:00 AM		
11 12	Location:	RJC Courtroom 031 Regional Justice Ce		
13		200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 891	01	
14	NOTE: Unde	r NEFCR 9(d), if a p	party is not receiving el	ectronic service through the
15	Eighth Judici	ial District Court E	lectronic Filing System	n, the movant requesting a
16	hearing must	serve this notice on t	he party by traditional	means.
17		STE	VEN D. GRIERSON, CE	O/Clerk of the Court
18		512	VEN D. ORIEKSON, CE	orciers of the court
19		By: /s/ Ir	melda Murrieta	
20		Dept	uty Clerk of the Court	
21		CERT	IFICATE OF SERVICE	E
22	I hereby certif	y that pursuant to Rul	e 9(b) of the Nevada Ele	ctronic Filing and Conversion
23			ing was electronically sen ct Court Electronic Filing	rved to all registered users on
24	uns case in the	Lighti Judiciai Disti	et Court Electronic I iinig	s bystem.
25		By: _/s/ Ir	nelda Murrieta	
26		Dep	uty Clerk of the Court	
27				
28				
-0				

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

	DTDAN	Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR
1	RTRAN	Comment of the commen
2 3		
4		
5	DISTRICT	COURT
6	CLARK COUNT	
7		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE#: C-18-336184-1
9	Plaintiff,) DEPT. XVIII
10	vs.	
11	SAMMIE NUNN,	
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE	
15	DISTRICT COL	
16	TUESDAY, APR	
17	RECORDER'S TRANSO FURTHER PROCEEDINGS: RETUR	
18		
19		
20	APPEARANCES:	
21		HLEY LACHER, ESQ. puty District Attorney
22		puty District Attorney
23	For the Defendant: AN	ITHONY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.
24		·
25	RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, CO	OURT RECORDER

Page 1
Case Number: C-18-336184-1
249

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, April 16, 2019		
2			
3	[Hearing began at 9:00 a.m.]		
4	THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie		
5	Nunn, C336184.		
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, Anthony Goldstein for the		
7	Defendant. He's here in custody.		
8	THE COURT: All right. This is a return from competency?		
9	MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's correct.		
10	THE COURT: Where were we before we went there?		
11	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Just need a trial date at this point, Your		
12	Honor.		
13	THE COURT: Okay, has he been arraigned?		
14	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes.		
15	THE COURT: Post-comp determination?		
16	MR. GOLDSTEIN: No, we just were in Competency Court		
17	this past Friday, so. I mean returned from Competency this past		
18	Friday.		
19	THE COURT: So should we go ahead and arraign him?		
20	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Sure.		
21	THE COURT: No harm right?		
22	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Right. So this will be a not guilty plea		
23	Your Honor. Starting from scratch, so we'll waive the formal		
24	reading and invoke his right to a speedy trial.		
25	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Nunn, what's your full name?		
1	1		

1	THE DEFENDANT: Sammie Nunn.	
2	THE COURT: How old are you?	
3	THE DEFENDANT: I'm 29.	
4	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?	
5	THE DEFENDANT: Some college.	
6	THE COURT: Do you read, write, and understand the	
7	English language?	
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.	
9	THE COURT: Are you under the influence of any drug	
10	medication or alcoholic beverage right now?	
11	THE DEFENDANT: No ma'am.	
12	THE COURT: Do you understand the proceedings that are	
13	happening here today?	
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.	
15	THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the indictment	
16	charging you with battery with use of a deadly weapon resulting in	
17	substantial bodily harm and battery with use of a deadly weapon?	
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.	
19	THE COURT: Do you understand the charges contained in	
20	the indictment?	
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.	
22	THE COURT: Have you discussed the case with your	
23	attorney?	
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.	
25	THE COURT: As to the charges set forth in the indictment,	

1	how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?	
2	THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.	
3	THE COURT: You have the right to a jury trial within 60	
4	days, would you like to invoke or waive that right?	
5	THE DEFENDANT: Invoke.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. Calendar call and trial.	
7	THE COURT CLERK: Calendar call June 11th, 9 a.m. Jury	
8	trial June 17 th , 1 p.m.	
9	THE COURT: That works?	
10	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, thank you Your Honor.	
11	THE COURT: Thanks.	
12	THE DEFENDANT: What was that again?	
13	THE COURT: Trial date is –	
14	THE COURT CLERK: June 17th, 1 p.m.	
15	THE COURT: June 17 th . Okay.	
16	[Hearing concluded at 9:02 a.m.]	
17	* * * * *	
18		
19		
20		
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.	
22	DR - Al 1	
23	ghette J. Sugn	
24	Yvette G. Sison	
25	Court Recorder	

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson

CLERK OF THE COURT **RTRAN** 1 2 3 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C-18-336184-1 6 Plaintiff, 7 VS. DEPT. NO. XVIII 8 SAMMIE NUNN, 9 Defendant. 10 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 12 13 TUESDAY, MAY 14, 2019 14 **RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS:** 15 MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL 16 17 APPEARANCES: 18 For the Plaintiff: ALICIA A. ALBRITTON 19 **Chief Deputy District Attorney** 20 21 For the Defendant: ANTHONY M. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ. 22 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COURT RECORDER 1

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, MAY 14, 2019, 9:08 A.M.

* * * * *

THE CLERK: Page 8, State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C336184.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, Anthony Goldstein for the defendant. He's here in custody.

THE COURT: Hello, what's going on?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, this is the defendant's second motion of this nature. He was found incompetent, came back competent, filed this shortly thereafter. I can address the matter set forth in the motion if you'd like.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I mean, I've visited him, I don't know how many times, many times. I've personally visited the alleged crime scene and spoke with the manager, tried to get a copy of the video tape, tried to interview employees. I've talked about plea bargains with him many, many times, including with Ms. Thomson, who's not here. She's out – not coming today, but it's her case.

I'm not really sure about some of the other claims he's making. I don't understand a good portion of the motion, Your Honor, but I've done what I can. I'm the second attorney on the case. He had Ms. Waldo prior to me. I'm sure the file indicates what happened with his previous attorney, but everything's moving forward, Your Honor, from my end anyway.

THE COURT: Mr. Nunn, here's the reality; you have an excellent attorney assigned to you. I don't see anything in your motion. I'm not – I'm not sure – I'm not even sure really what you're complaining about, frankly.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, what I'm complaining about is there's

evidence that, basically, I didn't do the crime. He said that it doesn't exist. My mom came down and got the transcripts from the Court. I gave him the transcripts, then he told me he hasn't looked at them. Then after he told me he had looked at them, he said that he don't know about them, and then he pulled it up on his phone. I mean, we're having a failure to communicate.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, that's true.

THE DEFENDANT: We haven't -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I actually emailed it to Ms. Thomson last week because she asked me for it, so I had it. There was a miscommunication. I agree about that, but there is a transcript, yeah.

THE DEFENDANT: We haven't – we haven't brought that transcript into evidence. On top of that, we haven't gone over the Grand Jury –

THE COURT: The transcript is already in the record. So it's not trial time –

THE DEFENDANT: It's not -

THE COURT: - there's no evidence in right now.

THE DEFENDANT: It's not – it's not in the record as far as this case. It's a TPO hearing that was done outside of this case. So he –

THE COURT: Well, it's not time to bring in evidence here. It's – the trial isn't until June.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, that's fine. He also – we haven't gone over any other evidence or talked about any of the other transcripts or the Grand Jury indictment transcripts. I don't think that we should be able to just wait 'til trial and then start going over everything.

THE COURT: Okay, well -

THE DEFENDANT: I think I have – I need a fair chance at trial. On top of that, he haven't – he hasn't given – or called back my mom. She calls him all the time.

THE COURT: He is not required to call back your mom.

THE DEFENDANT: That's fine, but I -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I've spoke with his mom.

THE DEFENDANT: – but I – but I granted her to be able to get information from him.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your mom in Oakland, right?

THE DEFENDANT: He doesn't – he doesn't answer the phone for me at all, so I stopped trying to call. We haven't – we haven't – we also haven't had any contact visits. I mean, there's a lot, there's a lot. We're not getting anywhere.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: If you want me to respond to it. I spoke with his mom.

THE COURT: Please, I do.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: She's in Oakland, California, right, Sammie?

THE DEFENDANT: And also -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Prior to recommending him for – or request to he be evaluated for competency, I spoke with his mom. She doesn't have any information about the case. She wasn't involved in any way, but I spoke with her about him a while back. There hasn't been any reason to talk to his mom recently, so I haven't.

THE DEFENDANT: Also, we called the store that he claimed that he went to go talk to the employees and all that stuff; nobody's seen him, heard of him, or anything. The owner at the store doesn't know what he's talking about. The witness, Brook, he actually works there. I had a witness on my side of somebody

was in doubt, but -

THE DEFENDANT: I don't know why you didn't just grant my motion. I don't get along with him. PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:15 A.M. ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case. Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM

		Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	RTRAN	Stewn b. 2
2		
3		
4		
5		T COURT
6	CLARK COUI	NTY, NEVADA
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)) CASE#: C-18-336184-1
9	Plaintiff,)) DEPT. XVIII
10	vs.	}
11	SAMMIE NUNN,	}
12	Defendant.)
13		
14		LE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, OURT JUDGE
15		JUNE 6, 2019
16		SCRIPT OF HEARING:
17	STATUS CHECK: POSSIBLE N	EGOTIATIONS OR SENTENCING
18		
19	APPEARANCES:	
20		MEGAN THOMSON, ESQ.
21		Deputy District Attorney
22		
23 24	For the Defendant:	ANTHONY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.
24 25	DECORDED BY MUSTER CLOCK	001107.05000555
	RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON,	COURT RECORDER

Page 1 Case Number: C-18-336184-1 Las Vegas, Nevada, Thursday, June 6, 2019

21

22

23 24

25

[Hearing began at 9:53 a.m.]

THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C336184.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, Anthony Goldstein for the Defendant; he's present in custody. I just submitted a GPA that the Defendant has already signed.

THE COURT: What are the negotiations?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, today, the Defendant will plead guilty to battery with use of a deadly weapon; that's a Category B Felony. The State will not oppose probation. The GPA also states that the State will not oppose the Defendant's release on house arrest after entry of plea; however, we have a PSI from a related case that we're asking the Court to use today, so if you'd like, we can go forward with sentencing the Defendant today.

In addition, Your Honor, we're stipulating to an underlying sentence of 2 to 5, which we interlineated; and both Ms. Thomson and I initialed that change in Court today.

MS. THOMSON: That's correct.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: So, on lines 21 and 22, when it first OR after - OR with house arrest after entry of plea, that's moot, assuming the Court is going to sentence the Defendant today.

THE COURT: You know, here's my issue with that; I – I don't - especially on violent PSI -

1	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Can we approach?
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	[Bench Conference]
4	THE COURT: Oh, oh, oh is the PSI the same offense?
5	MS. THOMSON: Yes, it is.
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: It's the same case.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MS. THOMSON: Yes, he waived up, and then I indicted
9	and added another case to this but –
10	THE COURT: It's all good then.
11	MR. GOLDSTEIN: And he entered a plea and
12	subsequently Judge Togliatti –
13	MS. THOMSON: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I just wanted – if he ends
15	up in prison, I want to make sure they have the underlying.
16	MS. THOMSON: It's a different case number because I
17	indicted in between –
18	THE COURT: All good.
19	MS. THOMSON: but it's not a different case.
20	THE COURT: All good. We're good. Thank you.
21	[Bench Conference Concluded]
22	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Nunn, is that your understanding
23	of the negotiations?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
25	THE COURT: What's your full name?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Sammie Nunn [unintelligible] –
2	THE COURT: How old are you?
3	THE DEFENDANT: I'm 29.
4	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Vocational.
6	THE COURT: Do you read, write, and understand the
7	English language?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
9	THE COURT: Are you currently under the influence of any
10	drug, medication, or alcoholic beverage right now?
11	THE DEFENDANT: No ma'am.
12	THE COURT: Do you understand the proceedings that are
13	happening here today?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
15	THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the
16	information charging you with battery with use of a deadly
17	weapon?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
19	THE COURT: Do you understand the charges contained in
20	the information?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
22	THE COURT: Have you discussed this case with your
23	attorney?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
25	THE COURT: As to the charge set forth in the information
- 1	

1	how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
3	THE COURT: Are you making this plea freely and
4	voluntarily?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
6	THE COURT: Has anyone forced or threatened you or
7	anyone close to you to get you to enter this plea?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No ma'am.
9	THE COURT: Has anyone made you promises other than
10	what is contained in the guilty plea agreement to get you to enter
11	this plea?
12	THE DEFENDANT: No ma'am.
13	THE COURT: I have before me a written plea agreement.
14	Have you signed this plea agreement?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
16	THE COURT: Is that your signature on page 5?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
18	THE COURT: Before you signed it, did you read it and
19	discuss it with your attorney?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
21	THE COURT: Do you understand everything contained in
22	this agreement?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
24	THE COURT: Do you understand the constitutional rights
25	you're giving up by entering this plea of guilty?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand the appellate rights that
3	you are giving up by entering this plea of guilty?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
5	THE COURT: Do you understand if you are not a United
6	States Citizen, that entering a plea of guilty may have immigration
7	consequences including deportation?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
9	THE COURT: Do you understand the range of punishment
10	is from 2 to 10 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections and
11	you may also be fined up to \$10,000?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
13	THE COURT: Do you understand that whether or not you
14	receive probation is strictly up to me?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
16	THE COURT: And that sentencing is up to me including
17	whether the counts will run consecutive or concurrent?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
19	THE COURT: And no one can promise you probation,
20	leniency, or any special treatment?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
22	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty in truth and in fact
23	because you did – between May 27, 2018 and June 3, 2018 here in
24	Clark County, Nevada, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use
25	force or violence upon Prince Alidu with use of a deadly weapon,

that being a firearm and/or 12-inch pair of pliers, by hitting Prince Alidu in the head with a firearm or striking him with the pliers?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.

THE COURT: Is that sufficient for the State?

MS. THOMSON: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, before you accept the plea, you asked the question about is he under the influence of any drugs. He is definitely taking prescribed medications from the Detention Center Medical Staff. He's very lucid today. I have no – I visited him twice since the last hearing, Your Honor. He understands the terms of the deal, and I just want to clarify for the record that he is taking prescribed medications from the Detention Center Staff.

THE COURT: Do they help you understand things better?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions you would like to ask me or your attorney before I accept your plea? Do you have any question for anybody?

THE DEFENDANT: No ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court finds the Defendant's plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and that the Defendant understands the nature of his offense and the consequences of his plea and, therefore, accepts his plea of guilty.

This matter is referred to the Department of Parole and Probation –

1	MS. THOMSON: It doesn't need to be.
2	THE COURT: no it's not, it's undone. Okay. That's
3	accepted. Thank you. This is a right to argue?
4	MR. GOLDSTEIN: No op [sic] probation.
5	MS. THOMSON: It is the no op [sic] probation. It had
6	been a right to argue, I believe, on the original negotiation that he
7	was permitted to withdraw his plea, and that is the PSI from the fact
8	pattern. The only difference now is the no op [sic] probation from
9	the State's perspective.
10	THE COURT: All right. Counsel approach.
11	[Bench Conference]
12	THE COURT: I'm going to make a record of the no op [sic]
13	probation before I move to go along with it. I'm not inclined to go
14	along with no op [sic] probation.
15	MR. GOLDSTEIN: In the GPA, he accepted it.
16	MS. THOMSON: So, what happened is I obtained –
17	THE COURT: No, they're not opposing it but that doesn't
18	mean I'm giving it –
19	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Oh I know.
20	THE COURT: so I wanted to give you the heads up
21	before we do the sentencing, that when I've got P&P
22	recommending a 3 to 10 and when I look at it, it appears to be – my
23	recollection is that it was an unprovoked pretty vicious attack.
24	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I think we can –
25	MS. THOMSON: That's obviously our perspective. But

your perspective is different – well his perspective, I don't know about yours. His perspective is certainly different. We can address them or given if the Court wants –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: You want it right now or on the record? THE COURT: This is on the record.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay -

THE COURT: But you could – I mean we can do it anyway; I'm just telling you right now that I'm going to need something else before you going to convince me that probation is appropriate.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay, I can have that. The main reason is there was a restraining order hearing between this defendant and the named victim, Prince Alidu. Judge Bennett-Heron presided over it. The victim, Prince Alidu – I mean I emailed you the –

MS. THOMSON: Yeah.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- his statements were very inconsistent. Judge Bennett – and I can show it to you if you want, didn't believe anything Prince was saying. So I think that was part of the reason the State decided to lower its offer, because Prince came into court, gave conflicting factual accounts of the encounters and then also the Judge was saying things like – I don't know verbatim but –

MS. THOMSON: -- I -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- how can I believe he did this? Why is -- how is the Court going to believe sir that did this and this?

MS. THOMSON: -- my -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: This was on the record during a –

MS. THOMSON: -- my modification of the offer, I want to be very clear, had nothing to do with Judge Bennett's perspective because that frankly was irrelevant to me.

The – when I indicted the case, there had been a second case that was outstanding, so our case here is the one with the wrench – what – this packet that you have. There was another case that's out in the system, but where he — the victim indicated that he had been at a convenient store, the same Your Stop Liquor, with a friend of his, who throughout was uncooperative; would not talk to us, would not do anything, like literally hung up on our investigator, kind of like not talk to us.

The victim told police that he was there with a friend, that the friend knew the Defendant, but that he didn't know the defendant; however, I think that was a secondary incident – my recollection is the date was later –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: [unintelligible] -

MS. THOMSON: -- then he realized who it was, that the Defendant without reason came up, pulled a gun, made some statement to his friend that was threatening and then pistol whipped him, and that he was bleeding, knocked unconscious, then he was able to get up and called the police.

In the TPO hearing, he said that he never lost consciousness. When the Defendant pulled the gun, that he immediately called police. He doesn't make any reference to being

pistol whipped at all. Obviously, that gave me concern because when you have such very inconsistent statements as to one incident, then that creates a problem when we're setting a trial on --

THE COURT: Well isn't that this incident?

MS. THOMSON: I think that's the right incident isn't it?

THE COURT: The pistol whipping is this one.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: They're like three days apart in the same location. I didn't represent him for that initial --

THE COURT: No but, I mean this offense is – this is the – MS. THOMSON: Oh, you're right it is.

THE COURT: -- he pulled a gun, threatened him, pistol whipped him –

MS. THOMSON: You're right.

THE COURT: -- on top of the head; \$22,000 in medications.

MS. THOMSON: So, the other incident was the victim, from his perspective, the allegations is he's walking down the street, minding his own business, defendant comes up to him and hits him with a wrench. When the police arrived, the Defendant is still in the area, and he does in fact have a wrench. Defendant tells police upon the scene and has been consistent throughout in his rendition that he was with – he was by himself. The victim was with friends. They came up and jumped him because at some point, the Defendant's mother said – basically told the community the victim is gay; correct me if anything is wrong generally about

that.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- there's more of it – yeah that's probably about right.

MS. THOMSON: That's – that's basically – and then there was some interaction between some girl; but the short version being, the Defendant has always said it's self-defense. The victim has always said it was completely a random attack, but when I have the problem of two very inconsistent versions of events with the Your Stop Liquor firearm incident, it created a position where if I'm standing in front a jury, I'm having to say completely believe him on this one but, you know, that one you make your decision, and that's certainly worth probation.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I can tell the Court that I spoke with Kerick Hines about a week ago. He's the main victim on one of the counts –

MS. THOMSON: Oh, he would talk to you?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- he called me about a week ago.

MS. THOMSON: Congratulations.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: He says the victim was – I don't remember which incident that Kerick Hines was at; he literally didn't show up for Grand Jury. [unintelligible] inquiry.

MS. THOMSON: He was the one who was at the firearm one.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: He says Prince was drunk and started popping flash to Sammie, that would've been his testimony had

this case gone to trial. This is the named victim on – I don't remember which case, but –

MS. THOMSON: It's the firearm one.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- the firearm one. So, it's -

THE COURT: What's his mental health issue? He appears to have one.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: It's terrible. His – I'm in good contact with his mother who lives in Oakland. If he gets probation, he's going to go live with his mother in Oakland. I spoke with her as recently as last week. He [unintelligible] mental health. I don't know – was from an acute injury, TDI.

THE COURT: All right. Can you give me something that I can order as a special condition? Can you look into something – can you do mental health court or something that makes me feel more protected.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: He's really trying to go to Oakland – I mean as soon as possible to go live with his mom. I mean interstate compact, so when – right away.

THE COURT: Well does she have a program there that I can agree that he can go to?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I don't know when the last time he went there was, but his mom has lived forever I know. His mom has lived there forever, and I can only assume there's some kind of – he has doctors out there because he used to live there; but I can ask if there's any kind of program.

1	THE COURT: Well I'm just – I don't – I'm not going to just			
2	release him to the streets. I need something in place.			
3	MS. THOMSON: Can mom –			
4	THE COURT: Honestly, I didn't look at this for anything			
5	but prison.			
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.			
7	THE COURT: I gotta be – you know, I didn't realize it was			
8	a – it was a no op [sic] probation. My notes indicate it was a right to			
9	argue. P&P is recommending 3 to 10. I really wasn't –			
10	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah well –			
11	THE COURT: fashioning anything.			
12	MR. GOLDSTEIN: everything – the game changed			
13	recently, so I guess that's why.			
14	THE COURT: Well, and that's all fine, I'll go along with it,			
15	if you give me something other than releasing him to the streets			
16	and hoping he goes –			
17	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Can you give me a minute –			
18	THE COURT: I need something –			
19	MR. GOLDSTEIN: give me a minute to see what he has –			
20	what we can set up in Oakland, and I'll – what he – if he has a			
21	doctor in Oakland or hospital or whatever he's been going to;			
22	because I don't know what his status is. I didn't –			
23	THE COURT: I was thinking giving – well that's why I			
24	was going to give you a week to prepare for this.			
25	MR. GOLDSTEIN: can I talk to him right now?			

THE COURT: You can.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- I can get ahold of the mom probably just to – a list – I'm not getting ready to – I'm not going to argue. I just want to see if we can get it resolved this morning somehow with him because he really wants to go, and his mom wants him to come soon and I –

MS. THOMSON: Can mom -

THE COURT: I know but -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- didn't promise anything, but I made it clear that I thought he was going to get out on the street today.

THE COURT: -- he can't go because he's going to have to go to P&P. He's going to have to do interstate compact.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: A few days here, and then I told him he was going to be here a week – and he had people in Court. They're not here today, but they were here last week; his friends or family he was going to stay with for the few days until he gets transferred.

THE COURT: Well then you bring somebody in here that I can house arrest him to – I mean I don't know – I gotta – I'm not going to put him in the streets.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.

THE COURT: And I don't care what he says this morning.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Fair enough. I'll talk to him.

THE COURT: I don't mean to be -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: You're doing your job, it's fine. I'll just – let me – just give me a second before we continue it. Let me just

1	talk to him and see what I can come up with.			
2	THE COURT: Okay.			
3	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.			
4	[Bench Conference Concluded]			
5	THE COURT: You want to trail this a little bit?			
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I appreciate that.			
7	THE COURT: Okay.			
8	[Case trailed at 10:07 a.m.]			
9	[Case recalled at 10:26 a.m.]			
10	THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie			
11	Nunn, C336184.			
12	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you for recalling the case. Can			
13	we approach?			
14	THE COURT: Yes.			
15	[Bench Conference]			
16	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I talked to the mom. She's in Oakland.			
17	The Defendant left Oakland to move here last Summer, right before			
18	– in May or June of last year. He has treatment with Dr. Stanburg			
19	[phonetics] at Kaiser Permanente Hospital in Oakland, not far from			
20	the house. He has a set doctor –			
21	THE COURT: But you haven't told me how you're getting			
22	him there –			
23	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I asked mom –			
24	THE COURT: and where he is here.			
25	MR. GOLDSTEIN: he gets there. I asked mom – she can			
	·			

1	THE COURT: if it goes badly it's –			
2	MS. THOMSON: It's my fault.			
3	MR. GOLDSTEIN: But you accepted his plea where that's			
4	_			
5	THE COURT: I've accepted his plea –			
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: we moved on to sentencing - the			
7	thing			
8	THE COURT: I've accepted his plea, yes. We're just –			
9	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.			
10	THE COURT: continuing the sentencing.			
11	MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's fine. I just want to make sure.			
12	THE COURT: Yes.			
13	MR. GOLDSTEIN: You were going to ask that, so I helped			
14	you.			
15	MS. THOMSON: Yes and – based upon that –			
16	THE COURT: And it wasn't a stipulated negotiation. It			
17	was just a no op [sic] so –			
18	MS. THOMSON: and -			
19	MR. GOLDSTEIN: well it wasn't a conditioned – it was			
20	stipulated but unconditioned.			
21	THE COURT: well -			
22	MS. THOMSON: here's the one thing I'm going to kind			
23	of throw out there, is based upon that guilty plea, he should be			
24	OR'd with house arrest today.			
25	MR. GOLDSTEIN: He's not going to get approved for			

1	house arrest anyway –			
2	MS. THOMSON: Oh okay.			
3	MR. GOLDSTEIN: So, you're right but -			
4	MS. THOMSON: Okay.			
5	THE COURT: and again that's your deal –			
6	MS. THOMSON: I know.			
7	THE COURT: between the two of you.			
8	MR. GOLDSTEIN: understood Judge, understood. Okay			
9	_			
10	THE COURT: Tuesday?			
11	MR. GOLDSTEIN: if we can put it on Tuesday. Tuesday			
12	is on for calendar call, I'm not sure if we vacated it when you first			
13	called it.			
14	THE COURT: Yes, we're going to – we'll vacate the trial			
15	date.			
16	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.			
17	MR. GOLDSTEIN: And calendar call, and we'll just make it			
18	a status check, and I'll get people her.			
19	THE COURT: Get me something.			
20	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I will. Understood.			
21	MS. THOMSON: Okay.			
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.			
23	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.			
24	[Bench Conference Concluded]			
25	THE COURT: All right. We're going to continue this until			

1	Tuesday for sentencing, and trial date vacated.			
2	THE COURT CLERK: June 11th, 9 a.m.			
3	THE COURT: Thank you.			
4	[Hearing concluded at 10:28 a.m.]			
5	* * * * *			
6				
7				
8				
9	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.			
10				
11	Thate I. Sugn			
12	Yvette G. Sison			
13	Court Recorder/Transcriber			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Electronically Filed

		4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT		
1	RTRAN	Humb. Line		
2				
3	DISTRICT COURT			
4	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
5	THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
6	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C-18-336184-1		
7 8	vs.	DEPT. NO. XVIII		
9	SAMMIE NUNN,			
10	Defendant.			
11	BEFORE THE HONORARI E MARY KA	V HOLTHUS DISTRICT COURT HINGE		
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
13	TUESDAY, MAY 14, 2019			
14				
15	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS:			
16	MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL			
17				
18	APPEARANCES:			
19	For the Plaintiff:	ALICIA A. ALBRITTON Chief Deputy District Attorney		
20				
21	For the Defendant:	ANTHONY M. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.		
22	For the Defendant.	ANTHONY W. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.		
23				
2425				
20	RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COURT RECORDER			
		1		

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, MAY 14, 2019, 9:08 A.M.

* * * * *

THE CLERK: Page 8, State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C336184.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, Anthony Goldstein for the defendant. He's here in custody.

THE COURT: Hello, what's going on?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, this is the defendant's second motion of this nature. He was found incompetent, came back competent, filed this shortly thereafter. I can address the matter set forth in the motion if you'd like.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I mean, I've visited him, I don't know how many times, many times. I've personally visited the alleged crime scene and spoke with the manager, tried to get a copy of the video tape, tried to interview employees. I've talked about plea bargains with him many, many times, including with Ms. Thomson, who's not here. She's out – not coming today, but it's her case.

I'm not really sure about some of the other claims he's making. I don't understand a good portion of the motion, Your Honor, but I've done what I can. I'm the second attorney on the case. He had Ms. Waldo prior to me. I'm sure the file indicates what happened with his previous attorney, but everything's moving forward, Your Honor, from my end anyway.

THE COURT: Mr. Nunn, here's the reality; you have an excellent attorney assigned to you. I don't see anything in your motion. I'm not – I'm not sure – I'm not even sure really what you're complaining about, frankly.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, what I'm complaining about is there's

evidence that, basically, I didn't do the crime. He said that it doesn't exist. My mom came down and got the transcripts from the Court. I gave him the transcripts, then he told me he hasn't looked at them. Then after he told me he had looked at them, he said that he don't know about them, and then he pulled it up on his phone. I mean, we're having a failure to communicate.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, that's true.

THE DEFENDANT: We haven't -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I actually emailed it to Ms. Thomson last week because she asked me for it, so I had it. There was a miscommunication. I agree about that, but there is a transcript, yeah.

THE DEFENDANT: We haven't – we haven't brought that transcript into evidence. On top of that, we haven't gone over the Grand Jury –

THE COURT: The transcript is already in the record. So it's not trial time –

THE DEFENDANT: It's not -

THE COURT: - there's no evidence in right now.

THE DEFENDANT: It's not – it's not in the record as far as this case. It's a TPO hearing that was done outside of this case. So he –

THE COURT: Well, it's not time to bring in evidence here. It's – the trial isn't until June.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, that's fine. He also – we haven't gone over any other evidence or talked about any of the other transcripts or the Grand Jury indictment transcripts. I don't think that we should be able to just wait 'til trial and then start going over everything.

THE COURT: Okay, well -

THE DEFENDANT: I think I have – I need a fair chance at trial. On top of that, he haven't – he hasn't given – or called back my mom. She calls him all the time.

THE COURT: He is not required to call back your mom.

THE DEFENDANT: That's fine, but I -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I've spoke with his mom.

THE DEFENDANT: – but I – but I granted her to be able to get information from him.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your mom in Oakland, right?

THE DEFENDANT: He doesn't – he doesn't answer the phone for me at all, so I stopped trying to call. We haven't – we haven't – we also haven't had any contact visits. I mean, there's a lot, there's a lot. We're not getting anywhere.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: If you want me to respond to it. I spoke with his mom.

THE COURT: Please, I do.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: She's in Oakland, California, right, Sammie?

THE DEFENDANT: And also -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Prior to recommending him for – or request to he be evaluated for competency, I spoke with his mom. She doesn't have any information about the case. She wasn't involved in any way, but I spoke with her about him a while back. There hasn't been any reason to talk to his mom recently, so I haven't.

THE DEFENDANT: Also, we called the store that he claimed that he went to go talk to the employees and all that stuff; nobody's seen him, heard of him, or anything. The owner at the store doesn't know what he's talking about. The witness, Brook, he actually works there. I had a witness on my side of somebody

was in doubt, but -

THE DEFENDANT: I don't know why you didn't just grant my motion. I don't get along with him. PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:15 A.M. ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case. Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed

		4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT			
1	RTRAN	Stewn S. Line			
2					
3	DISTRICT COURT				
4	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
5	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C-18-336184-1			
6	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 0-10-330104-1			
7 8	vs.	DEPT. NO. XVIII			
9	SAMMIE NUNN,				
10	Defendant.				
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE				
12	BEFORE THE HORMAN BEE WART TO THOU THOU GOOT TO BE				
13	THURSDAY, MAY 23, 2019				
14					
15	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL				
16					
17					
18	APPEARANCES:				
19	For the Plaintiff:	MEGAN THOMSON Chief Deputy District Attorney			
20					
21	For the Defendant:	ANTHONY M. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.			
22 23		, 254.			
23 24					
25					
_5	RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COU	RT RECORDER			
		1			
		•			

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, MAY 23, 2019, 10:31 A.M.

* * * * *

THE CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C336184.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Anthony Goldstein for the defendant. He's here in custody. Your Honor, just briefly, we're on for a <u>Faretta</u> canvass. The State gave me a new and improved offer yesterday afternoon, which I consider very fair. I conveyed it to the defendant today. He rejected that offer, specifically, battery with use of a deadly weapon. The State would not oppose probation. It would also agree to an OR with house arrest after entry of plea.

I guess the issue is he doesn't have a house right now, so he might not be able to get released anyway, so he rejected that offer and he wants to go forward with the <u>Faretta</u> canvass this morning. Last week I visited him after our hearing, went over Nevada Supreme Court Rule 253 with him and went over <u>Faretta</u> and all matters relating to self-representation, so he should be ready to go forward with the canvass today, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's what you want to do?

THE DEFENDANT: That's what I'm being forced to do here.

THE COURT: You're not being forced to do anything. You have an excellent attorney that the State is providing you free of charge. If – I can't make you, although I can tell you that – I guess there's one of – Mr. Hudson will tell you, he went to trial on his own the first time and was convicted of everything, and the – he went with counsel the next time and they were able to get it down to one charge, but you can do what you want to do. So what do you want to do?

THE COURT: All right, let's put this on next week, status check the

25

discovery, and then we'll do your <u>Faretta</u> then, okay. And you can think about it as well, in the meantime, make sure.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Oh, for the record, I know – I came out here to Nevada as an electrician. I'm a journeyman. I came out here just to work at Tesla. I worked at Tesla for a year, did a great job at doing commission and selling parts and then making sure that things went right. And when I – when they transferred me back to Las Vegas I rented an apartment for a year, which the lease was just up while I've been incarcerated. I paid the money upfront. So I don't have a place to live, so I can't – I don't know about taking house arrest. I don't have anybody out here. I'm just out here for work.

THE COURT: All right. Well, why don't you talk with Mr. Goldstein and have Mr. Goldstein talk with the State and see if – what they could work out, if anything, okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Goldstein, stay on another week and just see if – see if there's anything you can do with the kinks of that deal maybe.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: It's Megan -

MS. THOMSON: It was already a modified down from the prior offer. I'm not going anymore off of that.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: It's Megan's case. I -

THE COURT: Well, or maybe you can find him a house.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I gotta go back to work.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Find him a house. Okay.

THE COURT: I'll allow – Ms. – I'm going to give him a little –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Spanish Trail or where – anywhere specific?

1	THE COURT: I'm just going to give him – I'm going to just give him a			
2	little time just to – will you guys approach?			
3	(Conference at the Bench)			
4	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Sorry about that.			
5	THE COURT: That's all right. I just - I mean if that's the sticking point			
6	if he wants the deal, but it's an –			
7	MS. THOMSON: I – here's –			
8	THE COURT: - impossibility, then it seems silly not to do it, right?			
9	MS. THOMSON: My offer from pretty much go has been like right to			
10	argue. Mr. Goldstein gave me some stuff. I'm willing to let him out with house			
11	arrest, but he's attacked this man twice. He sent people to tell him that he'll be killed			
12	if he comes to court. I'm not releasing him without house arrest. I don't -			
13	THE COURT: Oh, no, I get it.			
14	MS. THOMSON: That's unfortunate for him, but that's, frankly, not my			
15	problem.			
16	THE COURT: But –			
17	MR. GOLDSTEIN: He's not a great candidate for like one of those			
18	temporary housings because of his general attitude, but I can try and get him into -			
19	like I don't think he's going to stay a like a mission-type place, like a, you know,			
20	rescue-mission-type place. He's –			
21	THE COURT: Yeah.			
22	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I can try. And he doesn't have a drug problem			
23	either that I'm aware of, so this isn't a drug case, it's a -			
24	MS. THOMSON: It's just an attitude problem.			
25	MR. GOLDSTEIN: - mental-health-issue case. I mean, some of it's his			

MR. GOLDSTEIN: No.

25

MS. THOMSON: So the only thing is we'd have to kind of waive

25

1	defects because it's the PSI from the information, not including both for when it was			
2	indicted.			
3	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, but that's easy.			
4	MS. THOMSON: But that -			
5	MR. GOLDSTEIN: There's a PSI from six months ago.			
6	MS. THOMSON: Yeah.			
7	THE COURT: Well, let's put it on for next week. Let me know what's			
8	going on. At least I'm going to have to give victims the opportunity to speak and			
9	stuff.			
10	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah.			
11	THE COURT: So we wouldn't -			
12	MR. GOLDSTEIN: He's around too.			
13	THE COURT: - be able to something sooner, like that day, unless we			
14	call and the victim says she doesn't – they don't want to come, because we're not			
15	going to do the plea, obviously, now.			
16	MR. GOLDSTEIN: We couldn't – well, I have the GPA, but we couldn't			
17	do it now. Well, I'll talk to him.			
18	THE COURT: I don't see – well –			
19	MR. GOLDSTEIN: This is all new, so.			
20	MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I didn't think about the fact that this existed -			
21	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.			
22	MS. THOMSON: - until -			
23	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, we can come back in a week or whatever,			
24	that's fine.			
25	THE COURT: You want to do that?			

1	MR. GOLDSTEIN: You got a lot going on to hear anyway today.			
2	THE COURT: I do.			
3	MR. GOLDSTEIN: When Rabb comes up you'll have more going on.			
4	THE COURT: I don't know what's going on over there, but -			
5	MR. GOLDSTEIN: It's a rough group today.			
6	THE COURT: All right.			
7	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you.			
8	(Conference at the Bench concluded)			
9	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Based on our conversation at the bench, Your			
10	Honor, I'll talk to Mr. Nunn for a few moments. You can trail this if you need.			
11	THE COURT: Okay.			
12	(Matter recalled at 11:16 a.m.)			
13	THE CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C336184.			
14	THE COURT: Have you talked to him?			
15	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, and I gave him a copy of the PSI that he			
16	requested. If we could come back in a week? I want to go over to visit him again.			
17	I'll go over the GPA. I don't know where he's at in accepting the deal, but I just – if			
18	we come back in a week, hopefully we can get him potentially pled and sentenced			
19	next week.			
20	THE COURT: Okay.			
21	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'll speak with Ms. Thomson as well.			
22	THE COURT: All right. If you guys are going to want to go forward with			
23	sentencing next week, get me what I need and -			
24	MR. GOLDSTEIN: We'll keep your staff looped in.			
25	THE COURT: - make sure you come in with the victim understanding			

1	that that could be happening.			
2	MS. THOMSON: Yes.			
3	THE COURT: Please. Okay.			
4	THE CLERK: May 30 th , 9 a.m.			
5	THE COURT: It's for possible negotiations and possible sentencing.			
6	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 11:17 A.M.			
7	*****			
8	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audiovideo recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.			
9	Lara Circuan			
10	LARA CORCORAN			
11	Court Recorder/Transcriber			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

4	DTDAN		Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR
1 2	RTRAN		Comment of the commen
3			
4			
5	,	DISTRICT COU	RT
6		RK COUNTY, NE	
7		·)
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		,) CASE#: C-18-336184-1
9	Plaintiff,) DEPT. XVIII
10	vs.)
11	SAMMIE NUNN,) }
12	Defendant.		<i>}</i>
13 14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
15	THURSDAY, JUNE 6, 2019		
16 17 18	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK: POSSIBLE NEGOTIATIONS OR SENTENCING		
19			
20	APPEARANCES:		
21	For the State:		N THOMSON, ESQ. District Attorney
22		p ,	,
23	For the Defendant:	ANTHO	NY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.
24			
25	RECORDED BY: YVETTE	SISON, COURT	RECORDER

Page 1
Case Number: C-18-336184-1

Las Vegas, Nevada, Thursday, June 6, 2019

[Hearing began at 9:53 a.m.]

THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C336184.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, Anthony Goldstein for the Defendant; he's present in custody. I just submitted a GPA that the Defendant has already signed.

THE COURT: What are the negotiations?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, today, the Defendant will plead guilty to battery with use of a deadly weapon; that's a Category B Felony. The State will not oppose probation. The GPA also states that the State will not oppose the Defendant's release on house arrest after entry of plea; however, we have a PSI from a related case that we're asking the Court to use today, so if you'd like, we can go forward with sentencing the Defendant today.

In addition, Your Honor, we're stipulating to an underlying sentence of 2 to 5, which we interlineated; and both Ms. Thomson and I initialed that change in Court today.

MS. THOMSON: That's correct.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: So, on lines 21 and 22, when it first OR after – OR with house arrest after entry of plea, that's moot, assuming the Court is going to sentence the Defendant today.

THE COURT: You know, here's my issue with that; I-I don't – especially on violent PSI –

1	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Can we approach?
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	[Bench Conference]
4	THE COURT: Oh, oh, oh is the PSI the same offense?
5	MS. THOMSON: Yes, it is.
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: It's the same case.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MS. THOMSON: Yes, he waived up, and then I indicted
9	and added another case to this but –
10	THE COURT: It's all good then.
11	MR. GOLDSTEIN: And he entered a plea and
12	subsequently Judge Togliatti –
13	MS. THOMSON: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I just wanted – if he ends
15	up in prison, I want to make sure they have the underlying.
16	MS. THOMSON: It's a different case number because I
17	indicted in between –
18	THE COURT: All good.
19	MS. THOMSON: but it's not a different case.
20	THE COURT: All good. We're good. Thank you.
21	[Bench Conference Concluded]
22	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Nunn, is that your understanding
23	of the negotiations?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
25	THE COURT: What's your full name?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Sammie Nunn [unintelligible] –
2	THE COURT: How old are you?
3	THE DEFENDANT: I'm 29.
4	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Vocational.
6	THE COURT: Do you read, write, and understand the
7	English language?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
9	THE COURT: Are you currently under the influence of any
10	drug, medication, or alcoholic beverage right now?
11	THE DEFENDANT: No ma'am.
12	THE COURT: Do you understand the proceedings that are
13	happening here today?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
15	THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the
16	information charging you with battery with use of a deadly
17	weapon?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
19	THE COURT: Do you understand the charges contained in
20	the information?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
22	THE COURT: Have you discussed this case with your
23	attorney?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
25	THE COURT: As to the charge set forth in the information

how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: Are you making this plea freely and
voluntarily?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
THE COURT: Has anyone forced or threatened you or
anyone close to you to get you to enter this plea?
THE DEFENDANT: No ma'am.
THE COURT: Has anyone made you promises other than
what is contained in the guilty plea agreement to get you to enter
this plea?
THE DEFENDANT: No ma'am.
THE COURT: I have before me a written plea agreement.
Have you signed this plea agreement?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
THE COURT: Is that your signature on page 5?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
THE COURT: Before you signed it, did you read it and
discuss it with your attorney?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
THE COURT: Do you understand everything contained in
this agreement?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
THE COURT: Do you understand the constitutional rights
you're giving up by entering this plea of guilty?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand the appellate rights that
3	you are giving up by entering this plea of guilty?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
5	THE COURT: Do you understand if you are not a United
6	States Citizen, that entering a plea of guilty may have immigration
7	consequences including deportation?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
9	THE COURT: Do you understand the range of punishment
10	is from 2 to 10 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections and
11	you may also be fined up to \$10,000?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
13	THE COURT: Do you understand that whether or not you
14	receive probation is strictly up to me?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
16	THE COURT: And that sentencing is up to me including
17	whether the counts will run consecutive or concurrent?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
19	THE COURT: And no one can promise you probation,
20	leniency, or any special treatment?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.
22	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty in truth and in fact
23	because you did – between May 27, 2018 and June 3, 2018 here in
24	Clark County, Nevada, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use
25	force or violence upon Prince Alidu with use of a deadly weapon,

that being a firearm and/or 12-inch pair of pliers, by hitting Prince Alidu in the head with a firearm or striking him with the pliers?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.

THE COURT: Is that sufficient for the State?

MS. THOMSON: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, before you accept the plea, you asked the question about is he under the influence of any drugs. He is definitely taking prescribed medications from the Detention Center Medical Staff. He's very lucid today. I have no – I visited him twice since the last hearing, Your Honor. He understands the terms of the deal, and I just want to clarify for the record that he is taking prescribed medications from the Detention Center Staff.

THE COURT: Do they help you understand things better?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions you would like to ask me or your attorney before I accept your plea? Do you have any question for anybody?

THE DEFENDANT: No ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court finds the Defendant's plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and that the Defendant understands the nature of his offense and the consequences of his plea and, therefore, accepts his plea of guilty.

This matter is referred to the Department of Parole and Probation –

1	MS. THOMSON: It doesn't need to be.
2	THE COURT: no it's not, it's undone. Okay. That's
3	accepted. Thank you. This is a right to argue?
4	MR. GOLDSTEIN: No op [sic] probation.
5	MS. THOMSON: It is the no op [sic] probation. It had
6	been a right to argue, I believe, on the original negotiation that he
7	was permitted to withdraw his plea, and that is the PSI from the fact
8	pattern. The only difference now is the no op [sic] probation from
9	the State's perspective.
10	THE COURT: All right. Counsel approach.
11	[Bench Conference]
12	THE COURT: I'm going to make a record of the no op [sic]
13	probation before I move to go along with it. I'm not inclined to go
14	along with no op [sic] probation.
15	MR. GOLDSTEIN: In the GPA, he accepted it.
16	MS. THOMSON: So, what happened is I obtained –
17	THE COURT: No, they're not opposing it but that doesn't
18	mean I'm giving it –
19	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Oh I know.
20	THE COURT: so I wanted to give you the heads up
21	before we do the sentencing, that when I've got P&P
22	recommending a 3 to 10 and when I look at it, it appears to be – my
23	recollection is that it was an unprovoked pretty vicious attack.
24	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I think we can –
25	MS. THOMSON: That's obviously our perspective. But

your perspective is different – well his perspective, I don't know about yours. His perspective is certainly different. We can address them or given if the Court wants –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: You want it right now or on the record? THE COURT: This is on the record.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay -

THE COURT: But you could – I mean we can do it anyway; I'm just telling you right now that I'm going to need something else before you going to convince me that probation is appropriate.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay, I can have that. The main reason is there was a restraining order hearing between this defendant and the named victim, Prince Alidu. Judge Bennett-Heron presided over it. The victim, Prince Alidu – I mean I emailed you the –

MS. THOMSON: Yeah.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- his statements were very inconsistent. Judge Bennett – and I can show it to you if you want, didn't believe anything Prince was saying. So I think that was part of the reason the State decided to lower its offer, because Prince came into court, gave conflicting factual accounts of the encounters and then also the Judge was saying things like – I don't know verbatim but –

MS. THOMSON: -- I -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- how can I believe he did this? Why is - how is the Court going to believe sir that did this and this?

MS. THOMSON: -- my -

 MR. GOLDSTEIN: This was on the record during a –

MS. THOMSON: -- my modification of the offer, I want to be very clear, had nothing to do with Judge Bennett's perspective because that frankly was irrelevant to me.

The – when I indicted the case, there had been a second case that was outstanding, so our case here is the one with the wrench – what – this packet that you have. There was another case that's out in the system, but where he — the victim indicated that he had been at a convenient store, the same Your Stop Liquor, with a friend of his, who throughout was uncooperative; would not talk to us, would not do anything, like literally hung up on our investigator, kind of like not talk to us.

The victim told police that he was there with a friend, that the friend knew the Defendant, but that he didn't know the defendant; however, I think that was a secondary incident – my recollection is the date was later –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: [unintelligible] -

MS. THOMSON: -- then he realized who it was, that the Defendant without reason came up, pulled a gun, made some statement to his friend that was threatening and then pistol whipped him, and that he was bleeding, knocked unconscious, then he was able to get up and called the police.

In the TPO hearing, he said that he never lost consciousness. When the Defendant pulled the gun, that he immediately called police. He doesn't make any reference to being

pistol whipped at all. Obviously, that gave me concern because when you have such very inconsistent statements as to one incident, then that creates a problem when we're setting a trial on --

THE COURT: Well isn't that this incident?

MS. THOMSON: I think that's the right incident isn't it?

THE COURT: The pistol whipping is this one.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: They're like three days apart in the same location. I didn't represent him for that initial --

THE COURT: No but, I mean this offense is – this is the – MS. THOMSON: Oh, you're right it is.

THE COURT: -- he pulled a gun, threatened him, pistol whipped him –

MS. THOMSON: You're right.

THE COURT: -- on top of the head; \$22,000 in medications.

MS. THOMSON: So, the other incident was the victim, from his perspective, the allegations is he's walking down the street, minding his own business, defendant comes up to him and hits him with a wrench. When the police arrived, the Defendant is still in the area, and he does in fact have a wrench. Defendant tells police upon the scene and has been consistent throughout in his rendition that he was with – he was by himself. The victim was with friends. They came up and jumped him because at some point, the Defendant's mother said – basically told the community the victim is gay; correct me if anything is wrong generally about

that.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- there's more of it – yeah that's probably about right.

MS. THOMSON: That's – that's basically – and then there was some interaction between some girl; but the short version being, the Defendant has always said it's self-defense. The victim has always said it was completely a random attack, but when I have the problem of two very inconsistent versions of events with the Your Stop Liquor firearm incident, it created a position where if I'm standing in front a jury, I'm having to say completely believe him on this one but, you know, that one you make your decision, and that's certainly worth probation.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I can tell the Court that I spoke with Kerick Hines about a week ago. He's the main victim on one of the counts –

MS. THOMSON: Oh, he would talk to you?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- he called me about a week ago.

MS. THOMSON: Congratulations.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: He says the victim was – I don't remember which incident that Kerick Hines was at; he literally didn't show up for Grand Jury. [unintelligible] inquiry.

MS. THOMSON: He was the one who was at the firearm one.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: He says Prince was drunk and started popping flash to Sammie, that would've been his testimony had

this case gone to trial. This is the named victim on – I don't remember which case, but –

MS. THOMSON: It's the firearm one.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- the firearm one. So, it's -

THE COURT: What's his mental health issue? He appears to have one.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: It's terrible. His – I'm in good contact with his mother who lives in Oakland. If he gets probation, he's going to go live with his mother in Oakland. I spoke with her as recently as last week. He [unintelligible] mental health. I don't know – was from an acute injury, TDI.

THE COURT: All right. Can you give me something that I can order as a special condition? Can you look into something – can you do mental health court or something that makes me feel more protected.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: He's really trying to go to Oakland – I mean as soon as possible to go live with his mom. I mean interstate compact, so when – right away.

THE COURT: Well does she have a program there that I can agree that he can go to?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I don't know when the last time he went there was, but his mom has lived forever I know. His mom has lived there forever, and I can only assume there's some kind of – he has doctors out there because he used to live there; but I can ask if there's any kind of program.

1	THE COURT: Well I'm just – I don't – I'm not going to just
2	release him to the streets. I need something in place.
3	MS. THOMSON: Can mom –
4	THE COURT: Honestly, I didn't look at this for anything
5	but prison.
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.
7	THE COURT: I gotta be – you know, I didn't realize it was
8	a – it was a no op [sic] probation. My notes indicate it was a right to
9	argue. P&P is recommending 3 to 10. I really wasn't –
10	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah well –
11	THE COURT: fashioning anything.
12	MR. GOLDSTEIN: everything – the game changed
13	recently, so I guess that's why.
14	THE COURT: Well, and that's all fine, I'll go along with it,
15	if you give me something other than releasing him to the streets
16	and hoping he goes –
17	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Can you give me a minute –
18	THE COURT: I need something –
19	MR. GOLDSTEIN: give me a minute to see what he has –
20	what we can set up in Oakland, and I'll – what he – if he has a
21	doctor in Oakland or hospital or whatever he's been going to;
22	because I don't know what his status is. I didn't –
23	THE COURT: I was thinking giving – well that's why I
24	was going to give you a week to prepare for this.
25	MR. GOLDSTEIN: can I talk to him right now?

THE COURT: You can.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- I can get ahold of the mom probably just to – a list – I'm not getting ready to – I'm not going to argue. I just want to see if we can get it resolved this morning somehow with him because he really wants to go, and his mom wants him to come soon and I –

MS. THOMSON: Can mom -

THE COURT: I know but -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- didn't promise anything, but I made it clear that I thought he was going to get out on the street today.

THE COURT: -- he can't go because he's going to have to go to P&P. He's going to have to do interstate compact.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: A few days here, and then I told him he was going to be here a week – and he had people in Court. They're not here today, but they were here last week; his friends or family he was going to stay with for the few days until he gets transferred.

THE COURT: Well then you bring somebody in here that I can house arrest him to – I mean I don't know – I gotta – I'm not going to put him in the streets.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.

THE COURT: And I don't care what he says this morning.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Fair enough. I'll talk to him.

THE COURT: I don't mean to be -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: You're doing your job, it's fine. I'll just – let me – just give me a second before we continue it. Let me just

1	talk to him and see what I can come up with.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
4	[Bench Conference Concluded]
5	THE COURT: You want to trail this a little bit?
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I appreciate that.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	[Case trailed at 10:07 a.m.]
9	[Case recalled at 10:26 a.m.]
10	THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie
11	Nunn, C336184.
12	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you for recalling the case. Can
13	we approach?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	[Bench Conference]
16	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I talked to the mom. She's in Oakland.
17	The Defendant left Oakland to move here last Summer, right before
18	– in May or June of last year. He has treatment with Dr. Stanburg
19	[phonetics] at Kaiser Permanente Hospital in Oakland, not far from
20	the house. He has a set doctor –
21	THE COURT: But you haven't told me how you're getting
22	him there –
23	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I asked mom –
24	THE COURT: and where he is here.
25	MR. GOLDSTEIN: he gets there. I asked mom – she can
- 1	1

1	wire him money to get him from here to there after he's approved,
2	assuming he's approved for interstate compact.
3	In the meantime, there are – there have been people in his
4	previous hearings. They're not here today, local friends of his –
5	THE COURT: Bring the people here that will stand up and
6	I can release him to them kind of thing, and house arrest
7	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.
8	THE COURT: whatever.
9	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Totally fair. Can we do Tuesday?
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah Tuesday.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MS. THOMSON: I don't want to be difficult. Is this some –
14	that sentencing something where the Court feels like it would be
15	best if I'm him here or are we all comfortable –
16	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Say that again?
17	MS. THOMSON: is it something where it's best if I'm
18	him here or are we all comfortable with just the calendar deputy?
19	THE COURT: I prefer you be here but –
20	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
21	THE COURT: if you can't be -
22	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
23	THE COURT: I mean as long as I – I mean I have the
24	reasons on the record so that –
25	MS. THOMSON: Yeah.

1	THE COURT: if it goes badly it's -
2	MS. THOMSON: It's my fault.
3	MR. GOLDSTEIN: But you accepted his plea where that's
4	_
5	THE COURT: I've accepted his plea –
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: we moved on to sentencing - the
7	thing
8	THE COURT: I've accepted his plea, yes. We're just –
9	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.
10	THE COURT: continuing the sentencing.
11	MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's fine. I just want to make sure.
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	MR. GOLDSTEIN: You were going to ask that, so I helped
14	you.
15	MS. THOMSON: Yes and – based upon that –
16	THE COURT: And it wasn't a stipulated negotiation. It
17	was just a no op [sic] so –
18	MS. THOMSON: and –
19	MR. GOLDSTEIN: well it wasn't a conditioned – it was
20	stipulated but unconditioned.
21	THE COURT: well –
22	MS. THOMSON: here's the one thing I'm going to kind
23	of throw out there, is based upon that guilty plea, he should be
24	OR'd with house arrest today.
25	MR. GOLDSTEIN: He's not going to get approved for

1	house arrest anyway –
2	MS. THOMSON: Oh okay.
3	MR. GOLDSTEIN: So, you're right but –
4	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
5	THE COURT: and again that's your deal –
6	MS. THOMSON: I know.
7	THE COURT: between the two of you.
8	MR. GOLDSTEIN: understood Judge, understood. Okay
9	_
10	THE COURT: Tuesday?
11	MR. GOLDSTEIN: if we can put it on Tuesday. Tuesday
12	is on for calendar call, I'm not sure if we vacated it when you first
13	called it.
14	THE COURT: Yes, we're going to – we'll vacate the trial
15	date.
16	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
17	MR. GOLDSTEIN: And calendar call, and we'll just make it
18	a status check, and I'll get people her.
19	THE COURT: Get me something.
20	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I will. Understood.
21	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
24	[Bench Conference Concluded]
25	THE COURT: All right. We're going to continue this until

1	Tuesday for sentencing, and trial date vacated.
2	THE COURT CLERK: June 11th, 9 a.m.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	[Hearing concluded at 10:28 a.m.]
5	* * * * *
6	
7	
8	
9	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
10	Jan Al
11	Thethe Ligar
12	Yvette G. Sison
13	Court Recorder/Transcriber
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

Steven D. Grierson 1 TRAN 2 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C-18-336184-1 8 Plaintiff, vs. 9 DEPT. XVIII SAMMIE NUNN, 10 Transcript of Proceedings Defendant. 11 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 SENTENCING 15 16 TUESDAY, JUNE 11, 2019 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 FOR THE STATE: LAURA J. GOODMAN Deputy District Attorney 20 21 FOR DEFENDANT: ANTHONY M. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ. 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COURT RECORDER 25 Page - 1

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JUNE 11, 2019, 9:12 A.M.

2

3

4

1

THE CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C336184.

5 ||

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Judge, Anthony Goldstein for the defendant. He's here in custody.

7

6

THE COURT: What'd we come up with?

8

9 which we talked about both on the record and the bench last week

was if Your Honor sees fit to grant the defendant probation,

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, we have -- your concern

10

basically follow the deal and give him probation, looking for a

11 12

basically follow the deaf and give him propaction, fooking for a

residence for him to stay at. I've been in contact with his

13

mother a million times in Oakland. She made an arrangement with

14

One Day at a Time, it's a local we'll call it a halfway house.

15

Ashley Dickson, she's here in court today, she provided me with

16

the information from this facility. It's a local -- she's

17 18

as North Las Vegas, in the past. She could provide whatever

19

details the Court needs. It's just basically a local residence,

placed defendants at this facility from this courthouse, as well

20

sober, and designed for inmates in Mr. Nunn's situation with

21

these types of issues. And she's prepared to answer any

22 23 questions.

THE COURT: Can we house arrest him there?

24

MR. GOLDSTEIN: What's that?

25

THE COURT: Can we put him on house arrest there?

Page - 2

MR. GOLDSTEIN: You can ask Ms. Dickson. I just met Ms. Dickson this morning. We have communicated via text and email, but this is the first time I've met her, Your Honor, moments ago. If you have any questions, ask -- I think she's prepared to answer any questions you might have.

THE COURT: Is he able to be on house arrest at your program?

MS. DICKSON: To be honest, we've never had anyone on house arrest there. We do groups each day. So if he would -- you want him to come to those, he can, he cannot, it's up to you. If he can't leave the house and he has on a bracelet, then, I mean, he'll know the rules, and we'll help him follow them.

THE COURT: What's the name of the program?

MS. DICKSON: One Day at a Time.

THE COURT: And how long is the program?

MS. DICKSON: We recently opened in October.

THE COURT: And so how long would he be there?

MS. DICKSON: He can be there as long as he chooses

20 || to.

THE COURT: Well, I thought the plan was to get him to his family.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: This is the local residence here that's transitioning him from in custody at the Clark County Detention Center to moving with his mother in Oakland. There's

going to be a --1 2 THE COURT: Pending the --3 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Interstate compact. 4 THE COURT: -- interstate compact. 5 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah. This is -- I don't know how 6 long the interstate compact is going to take with California. It 7 could be a couple days or a couple weeks. We don't know yet. But --8 THE COURT: What if they don't take him? 9 MR. GOLDSTEIN: It would be -- in a case like this, 10 Your Honor, it would be unlikely they wouldn't take him. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. MR. GOLDSTEIN: Is it possible? Yeah. But I've never 13 seen a situation like that where especially California denies 14 interstate compact. But this provides him with sort of 15 transitional housing until the paperwork's clear for him to go 16 with his mother in California and resume his -- I mentioned his 17 18 doctor's name from Kaiser in Oakland last week when we were in court. 19 20 THE COURT: So does he -- does he have doctors here, 21 as well? 22 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Sammie -- he went to Stein when he was 23 found incompetent for this case. So the doctors here were at Stein. 24

Page - 4

Did you go to Stein, or Lakes Crossing?

25

THE DEFENDANT: Stein.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: It was Stein. So he doesn't have doctors here, but, again, it's doctor Stanberg [phonetic], I think his name was or her name was, in Oakland who's his primary mental health care physician at Kaiser in Oakland.

THE COURT: So what other -- what do you offer at the program, or is it just monitoring basically?

MS. DICKSON: It's a transitional living home, but also he can receive therapy services there. We do that twice a week, and also group three times a week, and biofeedback also twice a week. But he can come and get all of those services as he chooses to.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{THE}}$ COURT: And is somebody paying for that? How does that get --

MS. DICKSON: His insurance pays for it.

THE COURT: It does. Okay.

 $\,$ And I believe we put the reasons for the negotiation on the record last time. Did we not?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ GOLDSTEIN: At the bench, which was on the record. So, yes.

THE COURT: And we still have no contact with the victim; correct? Is that your understanding?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: No. The victim -- are you talking about for victim speaker purposes, or for --

MS. GOODMAN: For victim speaker, that's correct, Your

Honor.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I think he was -- he's been cooperating. He's testified a couple of times in the case, but I don't -- I can't speak to the victim whether Megan talked to him about speaking today.

MS. GOODMAN: With regards to speaking at sentencing, yes, we haven't talked to him. We haven't had any contact with the victim for that purpose.

THE COURT: What is the restitution of this?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Medical bills for the victim.

THE COURT: 22,042. Is that right?

MS. GOODMAN: That is correct. And I should note -and, Judge, in all candor, the Victims of Crime did agree to pay
the 5,000. There just haven't been any payments from the victim
-- to the victim yet of that 5,000.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Nunn, you want to -- we haven't done anything yet, have we?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: We haven't done anything, have we, because I wasn't --

MR. GOLDSTEIN: You accepted his plea last Thursday.

And you were going to -- it was possible for you to sentence him that same day because you had the PSI, but you wanted to address the residency issue.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the State?

Page - 6

. •

MS. GOODMAN: No, Your Honor. I'll submit it on the negotiations.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Nunn, is there anything else you want to tell me before I pronounce sentence or your attorney speaks?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, I'm just going to ask the Court to follow the negotiation. I know there's some hesitancy on behalf of the Court, but I think when Mr. Nunn is properly medicated and getting his -- following his doctors' advice, he gets along in society just fine. Hopefully his paperwork with the interstate compact will clear quickly, and he'll be under the watchful eye of his mother in Oakland, who I'm in very good contact with. We've exchanged -- we've spoken several times since this past Thursday, Your Honor. I know she's planning on keeping an eye on Sammie like she has in the past, and hopefully he'll start to conform better once his medication and his mental health treatment gets back on track.

THE COURT: Can you all approach.

(Bench conference)

THE COURT: Not only I don't [unintelligible] the probation part, but I don't really love the suspended sentence part, either. Is there a reason that it was so low?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: No. Well, yes.

THE COURT: I mean, I'm giving him a chance to do

Page - 7

better, but if he doesn't do better, then maybe he can't do better. Do you know what I'm saying? Historically he's got issues.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: We worked out the suspended sentence in court on Thursday, you see we interlineated it to 2 to 5. I would be ecstatic if you gave him probation. And if you want to raise the underlying, that's your call, but -- I mean everything's your call, but, you know, I wouldn't --

THE COURT: I get it. I'm going to raise it to 48 to 120. So -- okay.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thanks for the heads up.

THE COURT: Yep.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you.

(End of bench conference)

THE COURT: Anything else?

According to the laws of the State of Nevada this

Court does now sentence you to confinement in the Nevada

Department of Prisons for a maximum term of 120 months, with a minimum parole eligibility of 48 months.

I am going to -- that's in addition to the \$25 administrative assessment, \$150 DNA fee, and a DNA administrative assessment.

I am pursuant to negotiations going to suspend that sentence for a period of probation not to exceed 5 years with the standard conditions.

 Additionally, you are to have no alcohol whatsoever, you're also going to be subject to special conditions that you are to remain on house -- you're to be released only to the One Day program.

Can I do that? Do they have somebody to come get him?

Or P&P for transport to. How about that? That's what I'm going to do.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Ms. Dickson's not here anymore, Your Honor, so I can't ask her about the --

THE COURT: All right. He's to be released only to P&P for transportation to the One Day at a Time program, where he's to remain on house arrest until he can be interstate compacted to California, where his mom is.

Also, to complete a mental health evaluation or just to stay being treated on the evaluation that he currently has.

Taking any medications that's required.

Curfew's probably not necessary, but I'll give them the power to do that if they need it.

Mr. Nunn, do you work?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I'm an electrician.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: I kind of need to go to work.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, to the extent that it all works, full-time employment, 16 hours of community service or school.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE DEFENDANT: So the house arrest --

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'll answer your question.

THE COURT: Anything else we can -- that I need?

MS. GOODMAN: Not from the State, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No contact with the victim.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: And just if I could just clarify your sentence for the defendant's benefit. There was an agreement between us, the defense and the State, for an underlying sentence of 2 to 5, with an [unintelligible] to probation. You chose to give him probation, but she exercised her discretion to raise the underlying sentence; instead of a 2 to 5, it's a 4 to 10.

So we agreed to it, but that's not binding on the Judge, and the Judge --

THE DEFENDANT: And I have to do house arrest on top of me doing the 4 to 10?

THE COURT: You're not doing the 4 to 10 as long as you get out and stay out of trouble. If you stay in your counseling and stay on your meds and don't hurt anybody or break any laws, then you won't have to do the 4 to 10. You're just going to be on house arrest until we can get you to your mom where you can get back with your doctor in California and get the treatment.

If you get in trouble, though, you're going to go to prison for 10 years.

```
THE DEFENDANT: But I'm not going to be able to do the
1
2
   groups or anything?
3
             THE COURT: You're going to be at the house.
   ordering you to the treatment program there. Okay?
4
5
             THE DEFENDANT: But the deal I signed was a 2 to 5,
   and an OR upon sentencing.
6
7
             THE COURT: You did.
             THE DEFENDANT: Those are the reasons I took the deal.
8
             THE COURT: Well, I understand that, but you also -- I
9
   didn't make any promises. I'm giving you probation. My
10
   inclination was actually to put you in prison for 3 to 10 like
11
   P&P was recommending. Okay? So I'm going along with the deal
12
   to try and help you get your act together better for the long
13
   run, but that's the trade off. If you want to just do the 3 to
14
   10 right now, I'll give it to you.
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Can I take the deal back?
16
17
             MR. GOLDSTEIN: For a second time? No, Your Honor.
   mean, I'll talk to him about it, but --
18
             THE COURT: Okay. That'll be the order.
19
20
             MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you.
21
             THE CLERK: Judge, does he [inaudible] restitution?
22
             THE COURT: Oh, yeah. I'm so sorry. Restitution in
23
   the amount of $22,042 payable to -- huh?
             THE CLERK: I'm sorry.
24
25
             THE COURT: What do you need?
```

Page - 11

_

THE CLERK: I was going to ask who it's payable to.

THE COURT: Prince Alidu.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: His name is Prince Alidu, A-L-I-D-U.

(Court recessed at 9:24 a.m., until 9:55 a.m.)

THE CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn,

C336184.

THE COURT: Sorry to call you back here, but I have some real concerns. Your client has not been able to control himself in a courtroom filled with marshals and where I've just given him probation. I'm wondering how I'm supposed to feel comfortable sending him out in the community on a probation grant.

And I'm wondering if maybe he doesn't want that and maybe he'd prefer just to go to prison, because that would make me feel more comfortable at this moment.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, I understand your concerns. I just spoke with him. I mean, his -- he doesn't understand that probation is a privilege and he's fortunate to get it in this case based on Your Honor's comments when we were at the bench last week basically saying you're planning to send him to prison and probably would be doing so if it weren't all the efforts --

THE COURT: For the maximum period of time, by the way.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Right. On behalf of his mom, Ashley

Dickson, and all these other people who are out there trying to work for him, me, but that's my job. All these other people are trying to work for him and a lot of people -- there was a lot of parts in motion to get him that place to stay. And he apparently doesn't appreciate it, but I hope that he gets that probation's a privilege and he could very well be going to High Desert instead of his mother's house in Oakland over the next few days.

So I spoke with him. He talked about withdrawing his plea because you didn't follow the negotiation to the letter.

My opinion that's not a valid legal basis to withdraw his plea.

If that were the case 99 --

THE COURT: It's not even an opinion, it's actually the law, but, okay.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: It is. And 99 percent of people sitting in the box would want to withdraw their plea if the judge didn't follow exactly the negotiations. So it's not in any way, shape, or form a legal basis. If he wants to ask the Court to appoint a lawyer to look in to see whether that constitute legal grounds, you could appoint him, just like I was appointed to withdraw his previous plea when there was the issue with his previous lawyer, but I hope he gets — kind of gets with the program at this point, and realizes if he steps out of line to his probation officer, he's just going to prison.

There's no other option. If he's disrespectful to anybody in

the system, whether it's here or in California, he's going to do a 4 to 10. That's where he's sitting right now. THE COURT: Do you want probation or not? THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. THE COURT: Are you going to control yourself and be respectful and do what you're supposed to do? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: All right. Thank you for coming back, Mr. Goldstein. MR. GOLDSTEIN: Of course, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good luck, Mr. Nunn. THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 9:57 A.M. ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Page - 14

JILL HAWKINS, Court Recorder

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **RTRAN** 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-18-336184-1 9 DEPT. XVIII Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 SAMMIE NUNN, 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, 14 **DISTRICT COURT JUDGE** 15 **TUESDAY, JULY 23, 2019** 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 17 REVOCATION OF PROBATION 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the State: MEGAN THOMSON, ESQ. 20 **Deputy District Attorney** 21 For the Defendant: ANTHONY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ. 22 Also Present: OFFICER A. MARQUEZ 23 PAROLE AND PROBATION 24 25 RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COURT RECORDER

Page 1
Case Number: C-18-336184-1

[Hearing began at 10:49 a.m.]

THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C336184.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, Anthony Goldstein for the Defendant. He's present in custody. There was no offer made, so it'll be a stip and argue.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to take two seconds, be right back. All right. So this is stip and argue?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: It is Your Honor, and there's a couple other matters as well.

First, on the violation report itself, July 10th of this year, they list the sentence, the underlying sentence as a 12 to 48, you actually sentenced him to a 48 to 120, so instead of a 1 to 40 – you sentenced him to a 4 to 10, just so we all are aware of what the potential is today.

Also, Your Honor, as a housekeeping matter, the defendant filed a motion to dismiss me. It's scheduled to be heard on August 6th. He also filed a notice of appeal of your decision denying his prior motion to dismiss me as his attorney, so that decision, not the conviction, but that decision to deny his previous motion to dismiss me, he filed a notice of appeal on; so that's somewhere in the appellate process, that's relatively recent.

THE COURT: Okay.

1	end up with any issues on that.
2	THE COURT: Haven't we addressed that already?
3	MR. GOLDSTEIN: There's a new one. He filed a new
4	motion to dismiss for Your Honor that's set in this court on August
5	6 th .
6	THE COURT: So, you're not prepared to stip?
7	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Well, I'm prepared to go forward today,
8	Your Honor. The State made the request that I guess to address
9	that motion first, but I can go forward I think – I mean –
10	THE COURT: Well I mean I hate to make P&P come back
11	but –
12	MS. THOMSON: Well my thought is just to ask the
13	defendant if he's –
14	THE COURT: Are you still – you still want to proceed with
15	trying to get Mr. Goldstein off?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am, definitely.
17	THE COURT: All right. Pull the motion. We'll trail this,
18	sorry.
19	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: You need to be somewhere?
21	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Can we approach real quick?
22	THE COURT: Yeah.
23	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Scheduling.
24	THE COURT: For sure.
25	[Bench Conference]
	1

want to give him the probation, and we essentially talked you into it
because it was the negotiation.
MR. GOLDSTEIN: Right.
THE COURT: I've been doing a lot of that lately. I feel bad
but –
MR. GOLDSTEIN: Don't – I mean not in confined to this
case, but in general.
MS. THOMSON: Right.
MR. GOLDSTEIN: You can do what you like, Your Honor.
MS. THOMSON: It's true. Plus we're soft on crime, so we
need stuff like that.
MR. GOLDSTEIN: So, if you want to pass it to the 6th, he's
not going anywhere. He has nowhere to go anyway so.
MS. THOMSON: Are you okay for the 6 th ?
PAROLE AND PROBATION: The 6th is fine.
MS. THOMSON: Okay.
MR. GOLDSTEIN: If it's okay with the officer.
THE COURT: And your position is still revocation I
presume. Everybody is on board with that? On the 4 to 10?
MS. THOMSON: So, it's short.
THE COURT: Yeah, but I want to go back and re-read
everything and – sorry.
PAROLE AND PROBATION: No, it's fine.
THE COURT: Do a clean –
MS. THOMSON: Just because you're going to because it

1	has the weird background, the fact pattern in the PSI is not the
2	totality of the fact pattern that came up through the indictment.
3	THE COURT: Do you have something to supplement to
4	give me or –
5	MS. THOMSON: Let me give you a copy of each report
6	because I can't remember –
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MS. THOMSON: which set of facts –
9	THE COURT: Perfect.
10	MS. THOMSON: okay.
11	THE COURT: Perfect.
12	MR. GOLDSTEIN: It was two fights with the same victim –
13	MS. THOMSON: Yeah.
14	MR. GOLDSTEIN: same neighborhood. Three days
15	later – hey I – two guys were –
16	MS. THOMSON: Yep.
17	MR. GOLDSTEIN: he and the victim were going back
18	and forth.
19	MS. THOMSON: I'm confident that once you get into it,
20	you'll like oh –
21	THE COURT: The name is familiar, and he's looking at me
22	like he's familiar, but I mean for me to up to a 4 to 10, they already
23	recommended 36 to 120, with time. Okay. Come back.
24	[Bench Conference Concluded]
25	All right, we pulled – I have now a copy of the PSI, and I

want to look that over, and we also need to address the defendant's motion to dismiss which is set for August 6th. So, we'll go ahead and do that, assuming the motion to dismiss counsel is denied. Do you want to be ready to go forward or do you want to get the ruling on that and then set it for the revo, so if it's granted P&P doesn't have to be here. State, preference?

MS. THOMSON: I will submit it to the Court and Defense Counsel.

THE COURT: Mr. Goldstein what do you want to do?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, I'm fine with the 6th. I think if -- you asked him. He said he wants his motion to be heard. I think he has that right so I think we'll just come back on the 6th, and we can probably handle both matters, just depending on how you rule on the motion to dismiss.

THE COURT: Well the problem is, if I'm going to give him a new attorney, I don't necessarily want P&P to have to come down here again, since he's here ready to go today, so we'll set it on for the 6th for the motion, and then P&P won't have to be there on the 6th. If – assuming you stay on it Mr. Goldstein or –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I see.

THE COURT: -- or new counsel.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I misunderstood you. That makes more sense. I misunderstood what you said.

THE COURT: So then whoever – whoever is going to represent you can –

1	MR. GOLDSTEIN: So, the 6 th will be the motion to dismiss
2	THE COURT: Just the motion to dismiss
3	MR. GOLDSTEIN: meaning a status check to reset the
4	motion – to reset the revo.
5	THE COURT: and status check reset – perfect.
6	[Hearing concluded at 10:59 a.m.]
7	* * * * *
8	
9	
10	
11	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
12	Jan Al 1
13	Thethe J. Juga
14	Yvette G. Sison
15	Court Recorder/Transcriber
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

1	RTRAN		Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
2	THE STATE OF THE S		
3			
4			
5		DISTRICT COUI	RT
6	CLA	RK COUNTY, NE	EVADA
7)
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,))
9	Plaintiff,)) DEPT. XVIII
10	vs.))
11	SAMMIE NUNN,) }
12	Defendant.)
13)
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS,		
15	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
16		DAY, AUGUST	•
17			T OF HEARING: ID APPOINT ALTERNATE
18			VOCATION OF PROBATION
19			
20	ADDEADANGEG		
21	APPEARANCES:		
22	For the State:		EXIS, ESQ. District Attorney
23			
24	For the Defendant:	ANTHO	NY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.
25			
	RECORDED BY: YVETTE	SISON, COURT	RECORDER

Page 1 Case Number: C-18-336184-1

1 2	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, August 6, 2019
3	[Hearing began at 9:02 a.m.]
4	THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie
5	Nunn, C336184.
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Anthony Goldstein for the Defendant;
7	he is present in custody, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Can I have counsel approach?
9	[Bench Conference]
10	THE COURT: What are we doing with this? Is he
11	competent?
12	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Hard to tell because he goes in and out
13	of competency in my opinion. It depends on the condition,
14	depends on his mood. I can't – I don't know if the letter is
15	malingering effort to look more, to go back into competency or not.
16	I really don't know.
17	I thought about re-evaluating him for competency, but I
18	don't know – it's a permanent – he's not – I don't think he's ever
19	going to get normal, unfortunately.
20	THE COURT: Are you all going to file any opposition to
21	any of this?
22	MR. LEXIS: No.
23	THE COURT: Megan doesn't care?
24	MR. LEXIS: Megan doesn't care.
25	THE COURT: Initially, on basis, he got – is there any –

anything to any of this that these – included in the letter. Are there witnesses? Are there people that –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Judge, I've talked to people – I did a lot on this case. I talked to people --

THE COURT: Okay, this is all recorded, let's make a –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- I'm trying to – I spoke with several
witnesses, they were at the mini mart to where in that area he gave
me the names for.

THE COURT: Some names and numbers?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes. Somewhere in that letter, it said something about – I submit this is not believable. Yeah, I talked to a person, I'm not going to say his name, but I talked to a person, and there's no way that person is credible. I interviewed that person myself over the phone. They said they were there and it was very clear that that person wasn't credible, wouldn't be a valuable witness in any way, shape, or form. Seemed to be a friend of Sammie's who may or may have not actually been there, but the testimony would have been worthless at the trial; probably worked against Sammie in trial.

I talked to the people who worked at the mini mart store. Sammie says; oh, they're going to stand up for me. They're going to stand with the guy, so it doesn't matter what they're going to do. They weren't witnesses to this, and I went to the store personally to talk to the manager in some other – it's a mini mart on Twain and Swenson. I went there and talked to these people. They're not,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

easy -

1	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Correct.
2	THE COURT: easy follow-up; and then we'll go ahead
3	and reset that other one? Yeah?
4	MR. LEXIS: Sounds good, Judge.
5	THE COURT: Thank you for all your assistance Mr. Lexis.
6	I appreciate it.
7	MR. LEXIS: Hope I get a response to this.
8	THE COURT: I know. Okay.
9	[Bench Conference Concluded]
10	THE COURT: Okay, this is Mr. Nunn's motion to dismiss
11	counsel and appoint counsel. I think we've kind of addressed this
12	previously.
13	THE DEFENDANT: We – when we addressed it, Your
14	Honor, we never counting back to fully air out the inquiry.
15	So, there were some things that Mr. Goldstein was
16	supposed to do, and you gave him about a month to do the things,
17	and he didn't fulfill any of the things that he needed to do.
18	THE COURT: What was he supposed to do?
19	THE DEFENDANT: He's was supposed to interview
20	witnesses.
21	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Goldstein, have you interviewed
22	the witnesses?
23	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Several, Your Honor; two that worked
24	at the mini mart outside of which this took place, and one witness
25	whose name is escaping me right now. He put me in contact with,

 who is allegedly an eyewitness, and I spoke – personally spoke with that person. I didn't find that person – my opinion was that person would not have been a beneficial witness for the Defense in the trial, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, so he did that.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I told Sammie that already, that I had spoken to that person too.

THE DEFENDANT: He did not, Your Honor, and he doesn't have any record from a prior investigator who has done that.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I went there myself personally, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't know if he's supposed to go personally and talk to witnesses without anything that's on the record. These things that he hasn't done, pushing me – push me into a corner to take the deal instead of not being prepared for trial because practically – personally I'm innocent, and I didn't need – I didn't want to take that deal, but he kind of forced me into a corner by telling me he's not going to use eyewitnesses; by telling me he's not going to use the victim that wanted to come forward and express exactly what happened.

I actually have new evidence of a statement that a victim made because they seen me when I was out. I didn't want to be a part of anything, so I whipped out a phone and started recording right then; and these things need to be addressed. I think we need to air this out and have an evidentiary hearing.

There's more things he didn't do. For the record, he did talk to Kirra Tyme [phonetics] you said?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'm sorry.

THE DEFENDANT: You said you talked to Kirra Tyme [phonetics]?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I don't recall the name, that was the second named victim.

THE DEFENDANT: Second name victim, they're victims right? He didn't tell me the details of the conversation, of him talking to Kirra Tyme [phonetics]. He said he talk to them, something, something.

But I asked him; well, what was the conversation about, and he said we're not going to get into this right now. These are things that I needed to know before trial in order to be prepared to see exactly what my outcome would be, for the simple fact, that I know I'm – I know I'm innocent because I didn't do anything. The first incident when I protected myself, I gave him a –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I'd advise the Defendant not to talk about the facts of the case right now, in case, for future purposes this could come back to haunt him.

THE COURT: Here's where we are Mr. Nunn, the only thing that's in front of me is the motion to dismiss counsel –

THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.

THE COURT: -- all of this other stuff -

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

 THE COURT: -- may be the subject of something else, but it's not on for today. Today all I can do is address your counsel, and I'm not finding any basis to appoint alternative counsel. You are free to represent yourself –

THE DEFENDANT: I can't – if I can represent myself I'd rather do that than deal with the consequences that has already come behind having him as my counsel.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, we should keep in mind that that was – mine was the second deal that he took. His previous attorney, he pled and was pending sentencing when Judge Togliatti appointed me, I filed a motion to withdraw his plea, which Judge Togliatti granted, and then this is the second time – mine was affected with the second guilty plea agreement he went through. Mine was a much better offer than the first one because it was – on paper it was for probation, Your Honor, so – to say that I forced him, I mean he had already taken an arguably worst deal months prior to that before I even was involved with the case so, this doesn't really comport with the facts, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: And that – that issue was because I was – I was coerced. The DA thought that I had 11 felonies, so she said either you take this deal or I'm going to file a habitual criminal on you, and so I said, I don't have another felonies, I actually have zero felonies, and I've never been a felon in my entire life, so the reason --

MR. GOLDSTEIN: That was basis for the motion to

withdraw plea, but that was again in the past.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, yeah, and so we went forward because they didn't want to pull my real record up until the PSI came back, and the PSI came back before sentencing and it proved that I have zero felonies.

THE COURT: Okay so what's the issue with it? I mean yes it's zero felonies, you've entered your plea. You were given probation, and now you're on for revocation of probation so, that's all in the past.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, and I want to withdraw the plea, he's telling me no.

THE COURT: Okay, well you don't have a motion pending to do that, so if –

THE DEFENDANT: I'm asking my attorney to put in the motion because you guys aren't going to put in a motion unless my attorney puts in; this is going to be a copy that goes to my attorney because I've tried this before, so –

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, I visited him a couple times, many times. Most –

THE DEFENDANT: And I've asked you to withdraw the plea.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- right, and I don't believe – I pled him, so I know that there's no legal grounds. I'm very confident that he was aware of all –

THE DEFENDANT: Newly discovered evidence is legal

grounds.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'm very confident he was aware of everything he was signing, and he was very –

THE DEFENDANT: There's a brand new statement from the victim. There's new grounds.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- I'm just trying to talk.

THE COURT: Can you let Mr. Goldstein please?

THE DEFENDANT: Sure.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I specifically addressed his points about withdrawing his plea. I can only file motions that I believe there's a legal basis for. I pled him. I visited him many times before he pled. I talked to him after he pled. There's the whole issue of his getting into that transitional housing that I worked on for a long long time, with a lot of different people. I know, Your Honor, that – I'm confident that it was a clean plea. I don't find any legal basis. I'm not going to file a motion when I don't think there's any merit, so that's – he and I fully discussed this. I visited him multiple times.

THE DEFENDANT: So what happens with conflict of interest when it comes to that issues? And on top of that, I wasn't – I wasn't disclosed on my appeal rights. There was one –

THE COURT: Okay this is way –this is way outside of where we are –

THE DEFENDANT: Okay that's fine –

THE COURT: - we're strictly here to reset the revocation.

THE DEFENDANT: -- I'm saying that I'm having ineffective

THE DEFENDANT: I know you want to --

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I just want to make sure I get the right phone from the right person.

THE DEFENDANT: -- I know we're going through a issue, but these are legal things, and we're in court.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. What type of phone is it? I'll talk to him about what type of phone it is before I send the order, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Goldstein is trying to help you, so disrespecting isn't working for me okay.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm just trying to get through the deal – THE COURT: If that's how you're dealing with him.

THE DEFENDANT: -- I'm trying to get through the legal parts ma'am.

THE COURT: Well you're not being super successful. I would suggest that you be respectful to the person whose trying to help you out here.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.

THE COURT: He's going to go over to your property, get your phone out, and take a look and see if there's something there. If there's something there, we'll come back and talk about it. If there's not, we're going to go ahead and set the revocation hearing.

If you'd like to represent yourself at that point, I'll do a <u>Faretta Canvass</u> and you can do that. For now though, he's going to go ahead and take a look at that.

1	THE DEFENDANT: That's awesome. Thank you. Should I
2	sit down now or –
3	THE COURT: You can sit, yes.
4	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Logistically, I don't – not sure how this is
6	going to work.
7	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'll visit the Defendant ASAP. I'll give
8	you the order once I find out –
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. GOLDSTEIN: once I find out details about the
11	phone. I'll try and get the phone from property. It's different from
12	getting it from CCDC versus from the evidence vault. Usually it's a
13	little bit smoother through CCDC if I have your order so
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. GOLDSTEIN: It would also be quicker if the DA signs
16	off on the order. So I'll submit it to Ms. Thomson, it's her case.
17	Usually that makes things smoother, because they like to call
18	somebody from the DA's Office just to verify everything –
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. GOLDSTEIN: so I'll do all that to find this video.
21	THE COURT: And then you'll just look at it.
22	THE DEFENDANT: And I would also like the DA to look at
23	it, everybody to have it. I want the –
24	THE COURT: I am positive, beyond positive, if there is
25	exculpatory evidence on your phone –

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes ma'am.		
2	THE COURT: Mr. Goldstein will go run it to Mr.		
3	Thomson, I promise.		
4	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Judge, thank you.		
5	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'll sprint.		
6	THE COURT: Right. I know you will.		
7	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Could we do two weeks please, Your		
8	Honor?		
9	THE COURT: Sure.		
10	THE COURT CLERK: August 20th at 9 a.m.		
11	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you.		
12	THE COURT: Thank you.		
13	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.		
14	THE COURT: You're welcome.		
15	[Hearing concluded at 9:16 a.m.]		
16	* * * * *		
17			
18			
19	ATTECT To be a local to the state of the sta		
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.		
21	2 = 4/1		
22	greate f. Sugn		
23	Yvette G. Sison		
24	Court Recorder/Transcriber		

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9 || VS.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO. C-336184-1

DEPT. XVIII

)

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

AUGUST 20, 2019

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE

MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT ALTERNATIVE COUNSEL / STATUS CHECK

APPEARANCES:

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

SAMMIE NUNN,

For the Plaintiff: MEGAN S. THOMSON, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: ANTHONY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defender

For the Defendant: [appointed counsel] MARISA BORDER, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COURT RECORDER

Page - 1

TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2019 AT 9:37 A.M.

THE COURT CLERK: Page 17, State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C-336184.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Anthony Goldstein for the Defendant, Your Honor. He's here in custody.

THE COURT: Okay. This was - we're gonna -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: You signed an order -

THE COURT: Did you get a chance to look at the phone?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I have the phone. I've reviewed the evidence that the Defendant wanted me to. I – the individual depicted on the phone I had spoke – personally spoken with a couple of months ago, I don't know the exact date but I received a call from this individual a couple of months ago telling me very – I mean, basically the same information. There's a couple of videos on here. But I was aware of – this person, he's a – there are two originally named victims, this was Cara Kines, the other one was named Prince Alidu. This was Cara who I spoke with a couple of months ago long before the Defendant entered his plea in this case. He contacted my office then I mentioned that in court at a previous hearing as well. So, I was able to watch this since the last hearing. It doesn't change my opinion; it doesn't change the state of evidence at all because again I spoke with this person personally –

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- sometime before that. So, I -

THE DEFENDANT: It changes the evidence because – I mean, Cara Kines [phonetics] has admitted that I didn't do the crime and that they actually chased me

down. The evidence is on the phone and he's not willing to bring the evidence forward. Also, Prince Alidu had – had filed a temporary protective order on me and Judge [indecipherable].

MR. GOLDSTEIN: We addressed this in depth previously, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. And he also admitted that I didn't do the crime and his story actually corroborates -- but he added something about a pistol which Cara Kines faithfully states I didn't – I had no physical – this evidence needs to come to light, all these things need to come forward.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, it sounds to me like Mr. Goldstein was already aware of it and –

THE DEFENDANT: He wasn't aware of it.

THE COURT: -- and the reality is we're at revocation, you've already pled guilty. So, if there are other vehicles to file this you're gonna have to look at those.

THE DEFENDANT: What are the -

THE COURT: We're just here to – I'm not here to advice you, I'm just here to set a revocation. So, we're gonna go ahead and re-set the revocation –

THE DEFENDANT: So – so, me and –

THE COURT: As a favor -

THE DEFENDANT: -- me and my attorney are having a conflict of interest in bringing this evidence forward. If he was already aware of the evidence why didn't it come forward --

THE COURT: He just explained that it didn't change anything.

THE DEFENDANT: It does change -

THE COURT: He was aware of it, he spoke to those people. He spoke to –

THE DEFENDANT: He did -

```
1
         THE COURT: You -
 2
         THE DEFENDANT: -- not - he did not -
 3
         THE COURT: -- you can't talk -
 4
         THE DEFENDANT: -- set a meeting.
 5
         THE COURT: -- over me. You can't talk --
 6
         THE DEFENDANT: He didn't set a meeting.
 7
         THE COURT: He talked to those people, he's represented he's talked -
 8
         THE DEFENDANT: He didn't -
9
          THE COURT: -- to these people -
10
         THE DEFENDANT: -- talk to them.
11
         THE COURT: You took a plea so – I mean –
12
         THE DEFENDANT: What -
13
         THE COURT: -- that's kind of where we are.
14
          THE DEFENDANT: -- what – what is the evidence that he talked to them?
15
    Can you show me some evidence -
16
         THE COURT: I'm not showing you -
17
         THE DEFENDANT: -- where Anthony --
18
         THE COURT: -- anything.
19
         THE DEFENDANT: -- Goldstein talked to - talked to -
20
         THE COURT: I'm not gonna -
21
         THE DEFENDANT: -- talked to -
22
         THE COURT: -- show you anything.
23
         THE DEFENDANT: -- talked to -
24
          THE COURT: We're gonna go ahead and re-set the revocation. We're
25
   gonna re-set it for a revocation hearing. If you want to hire your own counsel -
```

got that from the police report.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I spoke with [indecipherable]. We've gone over this, Your Honor. I personally went there and spoke –

THE COURT: Will you guys approach?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: -- with the manager of the store.

THE DEFENDANT: You haven't talked to [indecipherable]. I'm giving you evidence.

[Bench conference]

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Nunn, I am going to have counsel appointed to you for the limited purpose to see if you have any grounds upon which you could file a motion to withdraw your guilty plea. That's what you're telling me you want to do, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Goldstein, if – he'll be back on later after this is done but for the moment you're gonna get a attorney to look into it.

THE DEFENDANT: I appreciate it.

THE COURT: Who's next? Continued for Ms. Border to be appointed.

MS. BORDER: I'm here – I'm here, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh. I didn't see you.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I have the phone, Your Honor. Since Ms. Border is here now I'll just give it to her and I'll give her the rest of discovery later.

THE COURT: We're actually at a revo stage, but he had filed a motion – Mr. Nunn had filed a motion to discharge his attorney. And so there's all this new evidence and has been sending numerous letters and motions. One of the things he had said was that he had a phone in property that had exculpatory video on it. I

THE COURT CLERK: September 5th at 9:00 a.m. [Proceedings concluded at 9:49 a.m.] ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Court Recorder District Court Dept. XXII 702 671-0572

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

1	RTRAN		Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
2			
3			
4			
5]	DISTRICT COU	RT
6	CLA	RK COUNTY, NE	EVADA
7)
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE#: C-18-336184-1
9	Plaintiff,) DEPT. XVIII
10	vs.) }
11	SAMMIE NUNN,) }
12	Defendant.)
13)
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS,		
15	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
16	THURSE	DAY, SEPTEMB	ER 5, 2019
17			TOF HEARING: THDRAW GUILTY PLEA
18	OTATOO OTZOIL III	AGREEMEN	
19			
20			
21	APPEARANCES:		
22	For the State:		Y LACHER, ESQ. District Attorney
23			,
24	For the Defendant:	MARISA	A BORDER, ESQ.
25			
	RECORDED BY: YVETTE	SISON, COURT	RECORDER

Page 1
Case Number: C-18-336184-1

1	THE COURT CLERK: September 12 th at 9 a.m.
2	MS. BORDER: Thank you.
3	[Hearing concluded at 9:09 a.m.]
4	* * * * *
5	
6	
7	
8	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
9	7 p = 9/1
10	Jude L. Sugn
11	Yvette G. Sison
12	Court Recorder/Transcriber
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM

1	RTRAN		Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
2			3
3			
4			
5	l c	DISTRICT COUI	RT
6	CLAI	RK COUNTY, NE	VADA
7)
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		γ̂)
9	Plaintiff,)) DEPT. XVIII
10	vs.))
11	SAMMIE NUNN,))
12	Defendant.))
13)
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS,		RY KAY HOLTHUS,
15	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
16	THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2019		ER 12, 2019
17			TOF HEARING: THDRAW GUILTY PLEA
18		AGREEMEN	
19			
20			
21	APPEARANCES:		
22	For the State:		WONG, ESQ. District Attorney
23		_ 	
24	For the Defendant:	DANIEL	. GILLIAM, ESQ.
25			
	RECORDED BY: YVETTE S	SISON, COURT	RECORDER

Page 1 Case Number: C-18-336184-1

1	THE COURT: Okay?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Yes. She say how long she wants? I'm going
4	to keep the original letter in the file, left side, and then – you all
5	want copies?
6	THE MARSHAL: We need to recall page 20.
7	THE COURT: Wait, we need a briefing schedule. How long
8	does she want to file? Do you know?
9	MR. GILLIAM: Can we have 30 days please?
10	THE COURT: So, 30 days for opening.
11	THE COURT CLERK: That'll be October 10th.
12	THE COURT: State, you want two weeks to respond?
13	MS. WONG: Please.
14	THE COURT CLERK: October 24th.
15	MR. GILLIAM: Judge, she probably will not want to reply,
16	but if the Court wants to give her time to do one, she can.
17	THE COURT: All right. We'll give her a week to reply.
18	THE COURT CLERK: October 31st.
19	THE COURT: And then the next date for hearing.
20	THE COURT CLERK: November 5 th at 9 a.m.
21	THE COURT: And that will be just for the hearing and the
22	motion to withdraw guilty plea. If it's denied, we'll set a sentencing
23	date after that so that the State can let the victims know if they want
24	to appear, okay; but I'm not going to plan to go forward in
25	sentencing unless somebody calls me ahead of time. Is that it?

MR. GILLIAM: Judge, thank you. [Hearing concluded at 10:49 a.m.] ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN	Atumb. De
D	DISTRICT COURT
CLAR	RK COUNTY, NEVADA
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE#: C-18-336184-1
Plaintiff,	DEPT. XVIII
vs.	
SAMMIE NUNN,	
Defendant.	
BEFORE THE HO	NORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS,
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2019	
	S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: O WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA
APPEARANCES:	
For the State:	JOHN JONES, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney
For the Defendant:	MARISA BORDER, ESQ.
RECORDED BY: YVETTE S	SISON, COURT RECORDER

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday November 5, 2019
2	
3	[Hearing began at 11:15 a.m.]
4	THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie
5	Nunn, C336184.
6	MS. BORDER: Good Morning present with Ms. Nunn,
7	who is in custody – Mr. Nunn, who is in custody.
8	MR. JONES: And John Jones on behalf of the State; and
9	Your Honor, I did reach out to your law clerk –
10	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what page?
11	MS. BORDER: It's 21, Your Honor.
12	MR. JONES: It's 21, Sammie Nunn.
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	MR. JONES: And Your Honor, I reached out to your law
15	clerk because originally, the Defense in this case was talking about
16	filing a motion to withdraw a guilty plea, and I think they realized
17	procedurally, a writ of habeas corpus was probably best avenue in
18	which to challenge what their challenging. So, they did file a writ.
19	We filed a response. Today is the status check on the
20	motion to withdraw guilty plea, in which the Defendant did not file;
21	11/26 is when the writ is scheduled.
22	So, I emailed just to see what was happening today, and
23	didn't know if Your Honor is ready to decide the writ today, I think
24	we're ready to at least argue. I think we're both are just going to
25	

submit; but if you want to pass it to 11/26, that's fine with us as well.

THE COURT: I'm ready. Mr. Nunn, you ready to go forward?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm ready.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BORDER: And yes, Your Honor, Mr. Jones was correct in that I – upon further reflection realized that because of it was post-sentencing that it probably was required to be called a writ, so with that information in hand, I did file the writ.

I think that everything including the facts learned from the investigator, the potential new witness that would come forward at a jury trial, if granted, the relief requested, that this does rise to the level required for the writ hearing, and we would be submitting on the writ, asking for him to be able to withdraw the guilty plea.

MR. JONES: And Your Honor, the question is – just briefly, manifest injustice; and according to the Defendant's arguments, Your Honor, they're asserting a factual, excuse me, self-defense, which is not a factual deficiency, thus we don't have a legal insufficiency here, Judge, and you should deny the Defendant's petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

THE COURT: I am going to deny the petition. I don't see that the plea was not freely and voluntarily entered. This wasn't a jury trial, this was actually a guilty plea, with a self-defense issue – actually in a sense, I'm not even sure we get there, but in any event,

1	finding of manifest injustice, which is what I would have to find in
2	order to allow you to withdraw your plea at this point, so.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Did you read over the affidavit?
4	THE COURT: I did. I read everything. I did.
5	THE DEFENDANT: So –
6	THE COURT: So, my question is we need to set this back
7	for revocation, probation hearing, and I think we put Mr. Goldstein
8	back on it because you were just on for a limited purpose, correct?
9	MS. BORDER: That was my understanding, Your Honor.
10	THE DEFENDANT: so Your Honor, even though I was
11	being attacked, that's not manifest injustice?
12	THE COURT: Even though what?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Even though – if I was being attacked
14	and I had to defend myself, that's not manifest injustice?
15	THE COURT: That's – you mixed up the standards, but
16	I've already ruled on that, so let's just set the revocation hearing.
17	Do we need to bring Mr. Goldstein back in before we set it?
18	MR. JONES: If you want to set it, and as long as you
19	notify Mr. Goldstein, if the Court will, I'll notify the officer to be
20	present on the date that you set.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	THE DEFENDANT: Do I – what's the next process in this?
23	Do I go to a higher court or what happens after you dismiss it?
24	
25	

1	THE DEFENDANT: I mean, ain't this what the hearing is			
2	for?			
3	THE COURT: We're done.			
4	MS. BORDER: And just to be clear Mr. Nunn, the facts			
5	that would be argued are in the writ –			
6	THE COURT: Right.			
7	MS. BORDER: that the Court has viewed, so –			
8	THE DEFENDANT: Do you mind if I get a copy?			
9	THE COURT: She's going to give you a copy of			
10	everything; that's what she just said.			
11	MS. BORDER: Everything.			
12	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.			
13	THE COURT: I know all the facts. I know what you're			
14	alleging, I'm simply saying –			
15	THE DEFENDANT: It's not what I'm alleging. It's what the			
16	witness is alleging.			
17	THE COURT: I understand you have a witness, and like I			
18	said, that doesn't make a finding of factual innocence, especially			
19	when you got a self-defense so; and you pled guilty.			
20	THE DEFENDANT: I pled guilty without having the			
21	opportunity to have all the witnesses available.			
22	THE COURT: Well, you didn't say I'm pleading guilty			
23	because I don't have all the witnesses. You pled guilty, and so that			
24	kicks in a certain standard.			
25				

1	THE COURT: I can only change sentencing if there's a			
2	problem. Talk to Mr. Goldstein. If he thinks that there's a motion to			
3	be filed, he'll file it. Okay, revocation hearing –			
4	THE COURT CLERK: I need to set a revocation hearing			
5	date, so when would you like that?			
6	MR. JONES: Court's pleasure. At this point, I don't have			
7	the officer here, so I'll email him. If there's an issue, I'll bring it to			
8	the Court's and Mr. Goldstein's attention.			
9	THE COURT CLERK: November 14th, 9 a.m., for			
10	revocation.			
11	THE COURT: Okay. We'll be back here next Thursday.			
12	[Hearing concluded at 11:24 a.m.]			
13	* * * * *			
14				
15				
16				
17	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.			
18	Zhette H. Sign			
19	ghetle J. Juga			
20	Yvette G. Sison_			
21	Court Recorder/Transcriber			
22				
23				
24				
25				

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **TRAN** 2 3 DISTRICT COURT 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 CASE NO. C-18-336184-1 Plaintiff, 8 DEPT. XVIII vs. 9 SAMMIE NUNN, 10 Defendant. 11 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 **NOVEMBER 14, 2019** 14 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE 15 REVOCATION OF PROBATION 16 17 18 **APPEARANCES:** 19 For the Plaintiff: MEGAN THOMSON, ESQ. 20 Deputy District Attorney 21 For the Defendant: ANTHONY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ. 22 Deputy Public Defender 23 P&P Officer: A. MARQUEZ 24 25 RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COURT RECORDER

Page - 1

Case Number: C-18-336184-1

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

22 23

24

25

21

indicated that you were inclined to send him to prison but with the agreement of probation you would be willing to give him probation with that increased underlying sentence given the violence in this case. His behaviors throughout this case then reflected in his behavior with P and P where he's going to do what he wants when he wants. In an attempt to circumvent the system it's the State's position that he's done nothing to earn any kind of benefit from this Court that he knew what the consequences were for his actions when he took those actions and that he should not receive any leniency because he didn't want to do what he needed to do. I don't know if the officer has anything to add.

MR. MARQUEZ: I don't.

MS. THOMSON: I submit it.

THE COURT: Mr. Nunn, is there anything you want to say or -

THE DEFENDANT: I just want to apologize to the Court and to the victim. I didn't mean for this to spiral out of control the way that it do and I'd like to just say I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Mr. Goldstein.

MR. GOLSTEIN: Your Honor, we had a fairly detailed discussion the bench. I won't repeat everything that was – that was said up there, but, Your Honor, this is a Mr. Nunn – as you know I've been in contact with his mother for – throughout this whole case, he's a guy who's got a lifetime of mental health issues, serious ones, and unfortunately he just can't get a hold of his treatment, he can't get a hold of the idea he's not like everybody else. He can't go out and party on the fourth of July and do things like other people can because it messes with his head.

He's – was given a break on probation. I – you know, the intention was

for him – we all worked on this together, it was for him to go to – resume his treatment in Oakland, California, go and live with his mom, he gets out of custody, he's supposed to go right to Oakland – that never happened. He kind of lost – lost control of his medication and started spiraling as he mentioned and here were are. And I don't disagree with anything that Ms. Thomson said, though I don't think it's all under his control. I don't think he – all the time just intentionally disrespects the Court or probation or court proceeds. I don't think he can control it.

That being said, Your Honor, you did order an underlying sentence of 4 to 10. I'm gonna ask the Court to modify that to a 2 to 5 at this point. I understand why the Court wouldn't think he's supervisable anymore so I'm not gonna argue for reinstatement. I just request a modification, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The motion to revoke the Defendant's probation is granted. I will modify the original sentence. I'll give you a year credit because you didn't pick up new charges at least.

THE DEFENDANT: I didn't.

THE COURT: No, you did not. So, I'll modify it to 48 to 120 to a 36 to 120 because I still want him on parole for a period of time after. You're gonna have some time to try and get your medication and everything under control before you get out, okay? Credit.

MS. THOMSON: We show 378 days.

THE COURT: 378 days credit.

THE DEFENDANT: I have 17 months credit.

THE COURT: That sounds – is that --

THE DEFENDANT: I've been arrested since July 11, [indecipherable].

MS. THOMSON: He was arrested on June 2nd. It looks as though he was

1	THE COURT: Good luck.
2	[Proceedings concluded at 10:22 a.m.]
3	* * * *
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
9	audio/video recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
10	VismoSamues
11	NORMA RAMIREZ O
12	District Court Dept. XXII
13	702 671-0572
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Electronically Filed

	4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU				
1	RTRAN Stumb.				
2					
3					
4					
5	DISTRICT COURT				
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
7 8 9	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, DEPT. XVIII				
11	SAMMIE NUNN,				
12	Defendant.				
13					
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS,				
15	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE				
16	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 2019				
17					
18	HABEAS CORPUS				
19					
20	APPEARANCES:				
21	For the State: MEGAN THOMSON, ESQ.				
22	Deputy District Attorney				
23 24	For the Defendant: ANTHONY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.				
25	RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COURT RECORDER				

Page 1 Case Number: C-18-336184-1

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, November 26, 2019				
2					
3	[Hearing began at 9:05 a.m.]				
4	THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn,				
5	C336184.				
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, Anthony Goldstein for the				
7	Defendant. He's in custody, but he was a refusal today.				
8	THE COURT: Okay.				
9	MR. GOLDSTEIN: My suggestion is he has a – he filed a				
10	motion to dismiss me. He's already been sentenced; you've already				
11	revoked him.				
12	THE COURT: Correct.				
13	MR. GOLDSTEIN: He filed a notice of appeal on his own, I				
14	believe last week, and he also filed on his own a motion to dismiss me				
15	and appoint alternate appellate counsel. I think that's on in about two				
16	weeks. Maybe we could set the hearing on that same date – this				
17	hearing, continued to that same date or advance the other motion				
18	forward, it's up to you. I just thought to throw them on the same date.				
19	THE COURT: Yes, we'll put it to that date. Is that good?				
20	THE COURT CLERK: Yes.				
21	THE COURT: Perfect. Thank you.				
22	THE COURT CLERK: December 17 th at 9 a.m.				
23	THE COURT: Thanks.				
24					
25	[Hearing concluded at 9:06 a.m.]				
- 1	1				

* * * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Y∦e∕tte G. Sison

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Page 3

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

CLERK OF THE COURT 1 RTRAN 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-18-336184-1 9 DEPT. XVIII Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 SAMMIE NUNN, 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KAY HOLTHUS, 14 **DISTRICT COURT JUDGE** 15 TUESDAY, DECEMBER 17, 2019 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 17 DEFENDANT'S POST-CONVICTION PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS/MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL AND 18 **MOTION TO APPOINT APPELLATE COUNSEL** 19 20 APPEARANCES: 21 For the State: JOHN JONES, ESQ. **Deputy District Attorney** 22 23 For the Defendant: ANTHONY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ. 24 25 RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COURT RECORDER

Page 1
Case Number: C-18-336184-1

 Ms. Border for that. I came back on eventually, but that's -- and he filed everything, all his post-conviction matters on his own.

MR. JONES: And Your Honor, I'll note that the calendar does say it's on for post-conviction writ of habeas corpus. But if you recall, this was set on 11/5. Ms. Border was here. We argued on the writ, and it was decided on that day. I show it was denied, and we went ahead and set the revo for 11/14.

On 11/14, you modified and revoked the Defendant, modified to a 36 to 120, and the case was closed. For some reason, it was still on 11/26. I don't know why; but that was the original writ date that we moved forward to 11/5, and for some reason my procedural history is wrong, please correct me, but I show the writ has already been denied. In fact, that's why we went ahead and proceeded with the revocation.

THE COURT: That – I do recall that all now, actually. I mean I remember doing something and then proceeding and setting the revo.

MR. JONES: In fact, it's on 11/5 that Mr. Goldstein was reappointed for purposes of the revo. Ms. Border withdrew because you had decided the writ.

[Colloquy – The Court and the clerk]

THE COURT: She says it was the motion to withdraw guilty plea that was denied.

MR. JONES: Well, it was originally supposed to be a motion to withdraw guilty plea, but remember Ms. Border, after

thinking about what is the most appropriate vehicle decided to file a writ of habeas corpus instead. So, she never filed a motion to withdraw – I don't believe she filed a motion to withdraw, I believe she just filed a post-conviction writ. She filed that on October 10th.

THE COURT: You're right, yes. You did have that the writ was denied, correct? She shows it got continued.

MR. JONES: The writ?

THE COURT: The writ because he wasn't – are you looking at the 11/5?

[Colloquy – The Court and the clerk]

THE COURT: Okay, it's effectively – it's really not – we didn't hear a motion to withdraw guilty plea.

MR. JONES: Because I don't believe a motion to withdraw guilty plea was ever filed in this case. It was actually a writ that was filed.

THE COURT: It was a writ to withdraw guilty plea. I mean, I think that's what you probably heard. Do you see a motion to withdraw guilty plea filed?

[Colloquy - The Court and the clerk]

THE COURT: Okay, we're going to just – we'll have to go ahead and correct whatever it is we corrected. It doesn't appear that there's ever been a motion to withdraw a guilty plea filed. The only thing that we have filed and the thing that was argued was the Defendant's post-conviction petition, which was heard and denied on the 5th. Has an order already been done as well?

4

11

14

17

21

24

1	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah that's –					
2	THE COURT: He likes to be here.					
3	[Bench Conference Concluded]					
4	THE COURT: All right. We're going to continue this. We					
5	did a – the State did an order to transport. Mr. Nunn, I think needs					
6	to shed some light on some of this stuff. I'm not sure why he wasn't					
7	brought, so we'll pass it 30 and ask the State to do another order					
8	and maybe see what – if they could figure out what happened					
9	today. I suppose he could've refused right? He did last time.					
10	MR. JONES: Do you want to put by any means necessary,					
11	because it sounds like we need to address this.					
12	THE COURT: We do need to. Let's give him one more					
13	time.					
14	MR. JONES: Okay.					
15	THE COURT: I don't – I mean – we don't know that to be					
16	true, so if you – next time if he doesn't come, and he's refused, and					
17	you find out that he's refused this time, then we'll do that; 30 days.					
18	THE COURT CLERK: January 14th at 9 a.m.					
19	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.					
20	THE COURT CLERK: And that's the motion to withdraw					
21	counsel?					
22	THE COURT: That is the motion to withdraw counsel; and					
23	actually, it's to a point – he wants to appoint appellate counsel.					
24	[Hearing concluded at 9:36 a.m.]					
25	* * * * *					

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

¢tte G. Sison

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 4/23/2020 6:46 PM

1	RTRAN		Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
2			<i></i>
3			
4			
5		DISTRICT COU	RT
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
7)
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		, } }
9	Plaintiff,)) DEPT. XVIII
10	vs.) }
11	SAMMIE NUNN,) }
12	Defendant.))
13)
14	BEFORE THE HO	ONORABLE MAR	RY KAY HOLTHUS,
15	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
16		AY, JANUARY	•
17			TOF HEARING: ND MOTIOM TO APPOINT
18	AP	PELLANT COU	NSEL
19			
20	APPEARANCES:		
21	For the State:	I AURA	GOODMAN, ESQ.
22			District Attorney
23			
24	For the Defendant:	ANTHO	NY GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.
25			
	RECORDED BY: YVETTE S	SISON, COURT	RECORDER

Page 1 Case Number: C-18-336184-1

[Hearing began at 9:03 a.m.]

THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Sammie Nunn, C336184.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, the Defendant is present in custody.

THE COURT: Good Morning.

THE DEFENDANT: Good Morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: This is -

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, the situation is you sentenced the Defendant, revoked his probation. You appointed Ms. Border to see whether there were grounds to withdraw his plea, and I think she filed a post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus, which you denied. Now Mr. Nunn filed a motion to dismiss – I think to appoint alternate counsel to pursue the appeal that he filed on his own.

I sent the Defendant a letter about a month ago, which he didn't reply to, but in speaking with him this morning, he's going to ask you – it's his call, but my understanding is he's going to ask you to appoint alternate counsel to appoint – to represent him on the appeal, and whether or not you – if you give him new counsel, you can; if you don't, he's choosing to represent himself instead of having me represent him on the appeal.

THE COURT: Haven't you filed the appeal already?

1	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes.
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
3	THE COURT: So, what are we doing?
4	MS. GOODMAN: And Your Honor, it's the State's position
5	that the petition was – apparently the petition was already filed.
6	There's no right to cancel for an appeal from a appeal. So, I'll
7	submit it on that.
8	THE COURT: I'll grant your motion to withdraw Mr.
9	Goldstein, and you can do what you can do on your own, but I don't
10	see any basis to appoint new counsel at this point. You've already
11	filed the appeal. So, that motion is denied. Okay, so you represent
12	yourself now.
13	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: You did want Mr. Goldstein off right?
15	THE DEFENDANT: That's all I wanted, yes ma'am.
16	THE COURT: All right, you got that.
17	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
18	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	[Hearing concluded at 9:05 a.m.]
21	* * * * *
22	
23	
24	
25	

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. vette G. Sison Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 11/18/2020 3:35 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

Terrence M. Jackson, Esquire

Attorney at Law

44

624 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 T: (702) 386-0001 / F: (702) 386-0085 terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com

October 6, 2020

Drew Christensen, Esquire Office of the County Manager 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy. - Sixth Floor Las Vegas, NV 89155-1712

Re: Voucher - Sammie Nunn

D.C. case: C-18-336184-1

NSC case: 80121

Dear Drew Christensen:

Enclosed is the Supplemental Voucher for Defendant Sammie Nunn. I have just completed his Reply Brief to the Nevada Supreme Court. As always, I appreciate your referrals and if you have any questions, please direct them to me.

Sincerely,

Terrence M. Jackson, Esquire

Enc.: Voucher Sheets for above Defendant (4 pgs.);

Order for Excess Fees (1 pg.)

cc: file

1 2 3 4 5	ORDR TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 00854 Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson 624 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 T: 702-386-0001 / F: 702-386-0085 Terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com Counsel for Defendant, SAMMIE NUNN				
6	IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
7					
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,) NSC Case No.: 80121				
9	Plaintiff,) District Case No.: C-18-336184-1				
10	v.) Dept. No.: XVIII				
11	SAMMIE NUNN,				
12	Defendant.				
13	ORDER FOR EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES				
14	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the attorney's fees submitted by TERRENCE M.				
15					
16	JACKSON, ESQ., are both reasonable and necessary and payment of same in the amount of One				
17	Thousand Two Hundred Twenty Dollars and Zero Cents (\$1,200.00) for out-of-court time attorney's				
18	fees, and Eighteen Dollars and Ninety-Five Cents (\$18.95) for expenses, for a total of One Thousand				
19					
20	Two Hundred Eighteen Dollars and Ninety-Five Cents (\$1,218.95) for Terrence M. Jackson, Esquire,				
21	the court appointed attorney, be allowed in excess of the statutory minimum of November, 2020				
22	DATED this day of, 2020. May Kay Litthus				
23	DISTŘÍCŤ COURT JUDGE				
24	Respectfully Submitted on B38 1C2 AD2D 7548				
25	this 6th day of October, 2020. Mary Kay Holthus District Court Judge				
26	1.1.1 ·				
27	Terrence M. Jackson, Esq.				
26	Total Control				

(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE SIDE) COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY - VOUCHER

V\$	Si III omeni	las Voarhan
Sannio Naga	÷	2020 OCT 1312
MUST SHOW PRESENT, AS WELL AS ALL PREVIOUS CASE	NUMBERS, ATTORNEYS	& FEES ALLOWED
CASE NO.	ATTORNEY	FEE ALLOWED
Total Madeline B Towns	. 4	A ,
2. Justice Court	Eur fai	
District Court /8-C-336/84/		502
Supreme Court 8012/		
 Reason Public Defender disqualified as set forth in record of case. 	<u>Cent</u>	Us +
5. Court Time Hours @ \$125 per hour Court Time Hours @ \$100 per hour	ne & Expenses H FTEMIZED TIME SHE for capital cases NRS 7.125 for non-capital cases NRS 7.	S
7. Expenses (MUST Re Itemized) # 16.00	copyers 5 32	95 pastip 5 18-
TOTAL FEES & EXPENSES REQU	VESTED	\$ 1/3/8
	`	of Mistrial Concluded in District Cou
8. On 10/2 /26 Case was: Substitution of Attor		
8. On 192/26 Case was: Substitution of Attor	ney Stopped Because initur Piled with District Co. #4	
8. On 192/26 Case was: Substitution of Attor y/y Bris Com y Lotal Supreme Court Rem Supreme Court Rem	initur Filed with District Co.	
☐ Supreme Court Rem	initur Filed with District Co.	ert G Post-Conviction Relief Conclude
Supresses Court Rem STATEMENT M Liberthy represent to this Court, Rader the pains and pensity of	initur Filed with District Con initur Filed with District Con ADE UNDER OATH perjury, that all foregoing served, nor do I expect to re	ert
Supreme Court Rem STATEMENT M I hereby represent to this Court, under the pains and penalty of the based belief. I further represent that I have not rec-	initur Filed with District Con initur Filed with District Con ADE UNDER OATH perjury, that all foregoing served, nor do I expect to re use of this indigent.	ert
Stepresse Court Rem STATEMENT M I hereby represent to this Court, under the pains and penalty of my knowledge and belief. I further represent that I have not rec from any source, other than these disclosed below, for the defen	initur Filed with District Con initur Filed with District Con ADE UNDER OATH perjury, that all foregoing served, nor do I expect to re use of this indigent.	ert
Stepresse Court Rem STATEMENT M I hereby represent to this Court, under the pains and penalty of my knowledge and belief. I further represent that I have not rec from any source, other than these disclosed below, for the defen	initur Piled with District Con initur Filed with District Con ADE UNDER CATH percipary, that all foregoing served, nor do I expect to re- one of this indigent.	ert
9. I hereby represent to this Court, under the paints and generity of my knowledge and belief. I further represent that I have not referen any source, other than these dischard below, for the defeations are source.	initur Filed with District Con attitur Filed with District Con ADE UNDER OATH perjury, that all foregoing saived, nor do I expect to re- use of this indigent. ROM: Clark County State of Nevada	ert Post-Conviction Relief Conclude ert re: Post-Conviction Relief
Stepresse Court Rem STATEMENT M I hereby represent to this Court, under the pains and penalty of my knowledge and belief. I further represent that I have not rec from any source, other than these disclosed below, for the defen	initur Filed with District Con aftitur Filed with District Con ADE UNDER OATH perjury, that all foregoing nived, nor do I expect to re use of this indigent. ROM: Clark County State of Nevada Other	information is true and correct to the best ceive, any compensation or reimbursement
Statement Court Rem STATEMENT M 9. I hereby represent to this Court, under the pains and penalty of my knowledge and belief. I further represent that I have not re- from any source, other than these discioued below, for the defen PEES RECEIVED F	initur Filed with District Con ADE UNDER OATH perjury, that all foregoing served, nor do I expect to re on of this indigent. ROM: Clark County State of Nevada Other 16. Attorney	information is true and correct to the best ceive, any compensation or reimbursement ###################################
Statement M STATEMENT M 9. I hereby represent to this Court, under the pains and geneity of my knowledge and belief. I further represent that I have not rec from any source, other than those discinced below, for the defeat PEES RECEIVED F. Approved by Court in which representation was rendered	initur Filed with District Continuer	information is true and correct to the best ceive, any compensation or reimbursement ###################################
Statement M STATEMENT M 9. I hereby represent to this Court, under the pains and geneity of my knowledge and belief. I further represent that I have not rec from any source, other than those discinced below, for the defeat PEES RECEIVED F. Approved by Court in which representation was rendered	initur Filed with District Con ADE UNDER OATH perjury, that all foregoing served, nor do I expect to re on of this indigent. ROM: Clark County State of Nevada Other 16. Attorney	information is true and correct to the best ceive, any compensation or reimbursement ###################################

VENDOR CLAIM VOUCHER WITH CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA Vendor No. Pay to Address (02 4 5, 9 th 5t. Dept/Div. Les ves ss. Nev. 8410 Department

Line				
No.	Koms	Expense Class	Amount	Invoice #:
1				
2				
3			^	
4				
6				•
6	out of court top			
7	C. C. Acres C.		\$11200,00 18.95-	
8	(b) post (2.95).		18.45	
9	101 Str. 5 2.93 \			
		TOTAL	1218,95	***************************************

I hereby quality that the above and foregoing claim against Clark County, State of Stavaria, is just and reasonable, and that said claim is now doe, owing and unpaid. This certificate need not be signed if a proper involce is attached.	ALLOWED BY COMPTROLLER
Tenens Mal	FRANCE
CLABANT /	BUDGET
I custify that the frangoing claim is correct and just, that the milities apacified have been received by the proper officials of the County, or the services stated have been performed; that they were secured by the person	AUDITING
they were uncareasy for and have been purformed; that county purposes, and that to the best of my investiga and belief the prices charged one removable and just.	PAID BY CHECK NO.
9/	BATCH NO.
	4

7
7
_
-
Š
A
\circ
4
70
LMO
-
1
_
9
×
-
24
-
€.
<
0
*
100
不
CO)
Ŧ
Ħ
-3

Interviews and conferences Let to passes Relation State Rel	3. 4/	Th. 1.0	GRAND TOTAL
Brief Description of Services Ren — Mate to Stark Parts Galans Continues and Obsahing and continues	1.	$\frac{1}{4}$	PAGE TOTAL
Brief Description of Services Rep Mat: t Style Party Caranas and Outshing and O	L		
Brief Description of Services Ren - Wate: 7 Style Party (Majors and Octobers and O		18	1000 K
Brief Description of Services Ren - Mat.: + Stalk Parts (Many) Rover - S.C.+. Cardy Ruches Rover - Sect. Defendent of Rover - Sect. Defendent For season Met. Stalk Rover - Season Met. Stalk Ro		2	7
Brief Description of Services Ren Wate: t Stalk Darts Galaxes Ela Ren Ren Wate Stalk Ela Ren Ren Wate Stalk Ren Water Stalk	-		
Brief Description of Services Ren — Mat: £ Sth. 16 Date Opening and Obsolving and Obs	The Contract of the Contract o		
Brief Description of Services Ren - Mat. 2 Stalk Parts Grand confinences Ren - S. Ct. Green Mut. 25. Ren - Service Mat. 25.	<u> </u>		I lake a late of the state of
Brief Description of Services Reservices		Spen	36 >
Brief Dosoription of Services Refer Mati + State Control Confirmation interviews and			
Brief Description of Services Ren Mate to Stall Date Control outsining and confinences Ren Sect. Sect. Sect. But to the Confinences Ren Ren Mate to lote Party later Ren Ren Mate Stall Ren Ren Mate Stall Ren Ren Mate Stall Ren Ren Stall Ren Ren Stall Ren Ren Stall R			Samuel Control
Briof Description of Services Ren - Mate: + Stale Dart Grands Ren - S. C Greden But. + Stale Ren - S. C Greden But. + Stale Ren - S. C Greden But. + Stale Edit Response M. Stale Edit			
Briof Description of Services Remark of Services Reprint Control Conferences Reprint Control Conferences Reprint Conferences Repri			1/6 Da
Brief Description of Services Remarks to this Control on Conferences Reprint to the Description of Services Reprint to the Description Fig. Research Made State Fig. Research Made Mat. State Fig. Research Made Made Made Made Made Made Made Made			Tales Selector
Briof Description of Services Ren Water to Stalle Books Commissions Ren Las Janese Mut. to Stalle Commissions Ren Las Janese Mut. to Stalle Commissions Ren Las Janese Mut. Stall Commissions Ren Las Jan			I Replace Wall &
Briof Description of Services Ran Matin to Stank Darty Conferences Ran Matin to Stank Darty Conferences Ran Late Defendation Defendation Ran Late Defendation Defendation Ran Late Defendation Later Later Later Ran Later Defendation Later Later Later Ran Later Defendation Later Later Later Ran Later Later Defendation Later			Kar Jenso M.J.
Brief Description of Services Remarks to this Control Conferences Reprint Sect. Color Party Defendants Reprint to the Defendants			14 hope Decto
Brief Description of Services Interviews and Communication of Services & Stalle Barty Communication of Services &			to the potenta
Brief Description of Services Interviews and Conferences Car - Mate: £ Stalle Cryty Quarks Conferences			TIT S.CT. CXdar
Brief Description of Services Interviews and confusious confusious			Cost) Marie Mutin +
Brief Description of Services Interviews and confinences		- 9k	MALLY TO STAILED BANKS
Brief Description of Sandon	12	. 8	
	1	8.	

ر الم.

Samurio Munn

, b	ATT PERPENANTAL									V 1	0/20	0/20	9/25/20	BLVG		
CARRIE LOIAL	PAGE TOTAL							12 tho, to Differ deat	Sea refer 6	TAI OUS PAREN & Writing	1 Dec parch tavily	Jan	Josul Roson I.	Brief Description of Services	OUT OF COURT HOURLY WORKSHEET CASI	
1							+						conferences		CASE# 80/2	
1.0 9.													reviewing records			
8.8	8.5	,							72.1	1/2/	11/4	200%	Brief writing	1	VOUCHER #	
								•							<u> </u>	iofficing
	<u>ال</u> ا							18/					Investigative	Andrewskin in the second secon		

410

1	CSERV												
2	DISTRICT COURT												
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA												
4													
5	State of Nevada	CASE NO: C-18-336184-1											
6	vs	DEPT. NO. Department 18											
7 8	Sammie Nunn	DEI 1. NO. Department 16											
9	- Samme Num												
10	ALITO	MATER CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE											
11	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE												
12	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all												
13	recipients registered for e-Se	rvice on the above entitled case as listed below:											
14	Service Date: 11/18/2020												
15	Anthony Goldstein	amg@amglegal.com											
16	Steven Wolfson	motions@clarkcountyda.com											
17	Carolina Cintola	carolina.cintola@clarkcountyda.com											
18	Marisa Border	mborderlaw@gmail.com											
19	Cynthia Bush	cynthia.bush@clarkcountyda.com											
20	Department XVIII	Dept18LC@ClarkCountyCourts.us											
21 22	Terry Jackson	terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com											
23	Eileen Davis	Eileen.Davis@clarkcountyda.com											
24	Carrie Connolly	connolcm@clarkcountynv.gov											
25	Yvette Sison	sisony@clarkcountycourts.us											
26													
27													
28													

Electronically Filed 1/21/2021 4:36 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

3

1

2

4

State of Nevada

Sammie Nunn

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Case No.: C-18-336184-1

Department 21

NOTICE OF DEPARTMENT REASSIGNMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the above-entitled action has been reassigned to Judge Tara Clark Newberry.

This reassignment is due to: Per AO 20-25.

ANY TRIAL DATE AND ASSOCIATED TRIAL HEARINGS STAND BUT MAY BE RESET BY THE NEW DEPARTMENT.

Any motions or hearings presently scheduled in the FORMER department will be heard by the NEW department as set forth below.

Status Check, on 02/16/2021, at 1:30 PM

PLEASE INCLUDE THE NEW DEPARTMENT NUMBER ON ALL FUTURE FILINGS.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court

By: /s/ Heather Kordenbrock

Heather Kordenbrock, Deputy Clerk of the Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this 21st day of January, 2021

The foregoing Notice of Department Reassignment was electronically served to all registered parties for case number C-18-336184-1.

/s/ Heather Kordenbrock

Heather Kordenbrock, Deputy Clerk of the Court

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SAMMIE NUNN, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. Supreme Court No. 80121 District Court Case No. C336184

FILED

MAR 3 1 2021

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

CLERK OF COURT

STATE OF NEVADA, ss.

I, Elizabeth A. Brown, the duly appointed and qualified Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the following is a full, true and correct copy of the Judgment in this matter.

JUDGMENT

The court being fully advised in the premises and the law, it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed, as follows:

"ORDER the order for revocation of probation and amended judgement of conviction AFFIRMED."

Judgment, as quoted above, entered this 5th day of March, 2021.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme Court at my Office in Carson City, Nevada this March 30, 2021.

Elizabeth A. Brown, Supreme Court Clerk

By: Rory Wunsch Deputy Clerk

> C - 18 - 336184 - 1 CCJA

NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgm 4949586

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SAMMIE NUNN, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 80121-COA

FILED

MAR 0,5 2021

CLERK OF THE PRINTE COUNTY

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Sammie Nunn appeals from an order for revocation of probation and amended judgment of conviction, entered pursuant to a guilty plea, of battery with use of a deadly weapon. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Mary Kay Holthus, Judge.

Nunn contends the district court abused its discretion by revoking his probation without considering mitigating evidence. The decision to revoke probation is within the broad discretion of the district court, and will not be disturbed absent a clear showing of abuse. Lewis v. State, 90 Nev. 436, 438, 529 P.2d 796, 797 (1974). At the time Nunn's probation was revoked, evidence supporting a decision to revoke probation had to be merely sufficient to reasonably satisfy the district court that the conduct of the probationer was not as good as required by the conditions of probation. Id. Because Nunn stipulated to the revocation of his probation, admitted he violated the terms of his probation, and chose not to present any mitigating evidence at his hearing, we conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion by revoking his probation.

Nunn also claims the district court erred by denying his claims of ineffective assistance of counsel that were raised in his postconviction

COUNT OF APPENLA OF MENIOA

21-06514

petition for a writ of habeas corpus. However, because this is an appeal from the judgment of conviction and not an appeal from the order resolving the postconviction petition, we lack jurisdiction to consider these claims, and we take no action on them. See Abdullah v. State, 129 Nev. 86, 91, 294 P.3d 419, 422 (2013) ("[An] order that is not designated in the notice [of appeal] cannot be considered on appeal.").

Finally, Nunn argues the cumulative effect of the errors in this case warrants reversal. As Nunn has identified no errors, we conclude there are no errors to cumulate. *See Morgan v. State*, 134 Nev. 200, 201 n.1, 416 P.3d 212, 217 n.1 (2018). Accordingly, we

ORDER the order for revocation of probation and amended judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Gibbons

Tao

J.

Bulla

¹Nunn contends a reference to his postconviction pleadings in his docketing statement put the State on notice that he would be challenging the denial of those pleadings. However, it is the notice of appeal that vests appellate courts with jurisdiction over an appeal. See Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 352, 871 P.2d 944, 946 (1994), overruled on other grounds by Rippo v. State, 134 Nev. 411, 426, 423 P.3d 1084, 1100 (2018).

cc: Hon. Mary Kay Holthus, District Judge Terrence M. Jackson Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

COURT OF AFFEILS OF NEUROA

SAMMIE NUNN, Appellant,	
VS.	
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
Respondent.	

Supreme Court No. 80121 District Court Case No. C336184

REMITTITUR

TO: Steven D. Grierson, Eighth District Court Clerk

Pursuant to the rules of this court, enclosed are the following:

Certified copy of Judgment and Opinion/Order. Receipt for Remittitur.

DATE: March 30, 2021

Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of Court

By: Rory Wunsch Deputy Clerk

cc (without enclosures):

Hon. Mary Kay Holthus, District Judge Clark County District Attorney Terrence M. Jackson

RECEIPT FOR REMITTITUR

Received of Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, the REMITTITUR issued in the above-entitled cause, onMAR 3 1 2021
HEATHER UNGERMANN
Deputy District Court Clerk

RECEIVED APPEALS MAR 3 1 2021

21-09070

CLERKOFTHECOURT

Electronically Filed 4/29/2021 9:40 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

	Blue P. Marine
Samme Lee Ninn III	
	strict Court te of Navada
89070 STA	te of Navada
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rik County
STATE OF NEVADA Plaintiff	Dept:XVIII
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CASE NO: C-18-336184-1
Sammie Nunn III	
Defendant	
Not	ICE OF APPEAL
Notice is Here	eby poven that I, Sammre Lee Nunn III,
Appealant, Hereby Appea	al to the Supreme Court of Nevada from
the fighth Judicial 12131	frict Courts Judgement on Writ of Habers 2019. Said Declarant Subject to the
parally of Periury. After	ic receiving Remittiter April 15, 2021 and
being derived on Novembe	r 5,2019 158Ues.
	Respectfully Submitted
C ER	
REC!	
CERKOFTHECOURT APR 26 2831 April 19th 2021	Defendant
and the second s	Samme Nunn
	Printed Name

SAMMIE NUNN, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. Supreme Court No. 80121 District Court Case No. C336184

REMITTITUR

TO: Steven D. Grierson, Eighth District Court Clerk

Pursuant to the rules of this court, enclosed are the following:

Certified copy of Judgment and Opinion/Order. Receipt for Remittitur.

DATE: March 30, 2021

Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of Court

By: Rory Wunsch Deputy Clerk

cc (without enclosures):

Hon. Mary Kay Holthus, District Judge Clark County District Attorney Terrence M. Jackson

RECEIPT FOR REMITTITUR

Deputy District Court Clerk

APPEALS MAK 3 1 2021

CLERKOFTHECOURT



21-09070

SAMMIE NUNN, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 80121 District Court Case No. C336184

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA, ss.

I, Elizabeth A. Brown, the duly appointed and qualified Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the following is a full, true and correct copy of the Judgment in this matter.

JUDGMENT

The court being fully advised in the premises and the law, it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed, as follows:

"ORDER the order for revocation of probation and amended judgement of conviction AFFIRMED."

Judgment, as quoted above, entered this 5th day of March, 2021.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme Court at my Office in Carson City, Nevada this March 30, 2021.

Elizabeth A. Brown, Supreme Court Clerk

By: Rory Wunsch Deputy Clerk

000059-10166

LAS VEGAS NV 890 20 APR 2021 PM 4 L



STEVEN GRIERSON

200 LEWIS AVE

LAS VEGAS, NV 89185

Himmydllyllyllyndlynddlynddlynddllin

Electronically Filed 4/30/2021 10:27 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ASTA

2

1

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

Case No: C-18-336184-1

Dept No: XXI

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Appellant(s): Sammie Nunn

2. Judge: Mary Kay Holthus

Plaintiff(s),

Defendant(s),

3. Appellant(s): Sammie Nunn

Counsel:

STATE OF NEVADA,

VS.

SAMMIE NUNN,

Sammie Nunn #1226304 P.O. Box 208 Indian Springs, NV 89070

4. Respondent: The State of Nevada

Counsel:

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89101

C-18-336184-1

-1-

Case Number: C-18-336184-1

	(702) 671-2700
5.	Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A Permission Granted: N/A
	Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes Permission Granted: N/A
6.	Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: Yes
7.	Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A
8.	Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: N/A
9.	Date Commenced in District Court: November 14, 2018
10.	Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Criminal
	Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Post-Conviction Relief
11.	Previous Appeal: Yes
	Supreme Court Docket Number(s): 79219, 79264, 80121
12.	Child Custody or Visitation: N/A
	Dated This 30 day of April 2021.
	Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court
	/s/ Amanda Hampton Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk
	200 Lewis Ave PO Box 551601
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601
	(702) 671-0512
cc: Sammie	e Nunn

C-18-336184-1 -2-

Electronically Filed 05/03/2021 8

COSCC 2 3 **DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 5 6 7 STATE OF NEVADA CASE NO.: C-18-336184-1 8 **VS DEPARTMENT 21** 9 SAMMIE NUNN 10 CRIMINAL ORDER TO STATISTICALLY CLOSE CASE 11 Upon review of this matter and good cause appearing, 12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to 13 statistically close this case for the following reason: 14 **DISPOSITIONS:** 15 Nolle Prosequi (before trial) Dismissed (after diversion) 16 Dismissed (before trial) Guilty Plea with Sentence (before trial) 17 Transferred (before/during trial) 18 Bench (Non-Jury) Trial Dismissed (during trial) 19 Acquittal 20 Guilty Plea with Sentence (during trial) Conviction 21 Jury Trial 22 Dismissed (during trial) Acquittal 23 Guilty Plea with Sentence (during trial) Conviction 24 25 \boxtimes Other Manner of Disposition Dated this 3rd day of May, 2021 26 27 28 D39 3E5 0155 21E2

Tara Clark Newberry **District Court Judge**

1	CSERV		
2	CSERV	DISTRICT COURT	
3	C	LARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
4			
5			
6	State of Nevada	CASE NO: C-18-336184-1	
7	VS	DEPT. NO. Department 21	
8	Sammie Nunn		
9			
10	<u>AUTOMA</u>	TED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
11		e of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District	
12	Court. The foregoing Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as		
13	listed below:		
14	Service Date: 5/3/2021		
15	Anthony Goldstein	amg@amglegal.com	
16 17	Steven Wolfson	motions@clarkcountyda.com	
18	Carolina Cintola	carolina.cintola@clarkcountyda.com	
19	Marisa Border	mborderlaw@gmail.com	
20	Cynthia Bush	cynthia.bush@clarkcountyda.com	
21	Department XVIII	Dept18LC@ClarkCountyCourts.us	
22	Terry Jackson	terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com	
23	Eileen Davis	Eileen.Davis@clarkcountyda.com	
24 25	Carrie Connolly	connolcm@clarkcountynv.gov	
26	Yvette Sison	sisony@clarkcountycourts.us	
27			
20			

Sammie Numa III #1226304

SDCC

P.O. BOX 208

Indian Springs, NV

89070

District Court State of Navada Clark County

Samme Nynn, III Appeallant

The STATE OF NEVADA Respondant,

Case No. C-18-336184-1 Dopt No. XVIII

Electronically Filed 5/10/2021 11:59 AM

Emergency Notice of Appeal

Notice is Hereby Given That I Sammie Nunn III, Appealant,
Hereby Appeal To The Supreme Court of Nevada from the Eighth Judicial District Courts Violation of Contractual Plea Agreement on June 6, 2019, I, Sammie, Nunn III have expired the entirity of the Stipulated Sentence agreed upon by the state and have done so without the opportunity for parole. I repeat the Maximum term of the prison Sentence Stipulated in the agreement from June 6,2019 has expired and I do not owe Nevada anymore time.

I am asking for an immediate release. If the Court does

accept this negotiation as valid. I Petitioner asks this I am asking for an immediate release. If the Court doesn't accept this negotiation as valid, I, Petitioner, asks this court to vacate the agreement and remand this case back to trial court. Said Declarant Subject to the Penally of Perjury. Respectfully Submitted

Somme Nun #1226304 SDCL P.D. BOX (650 Indian Springs, NV 89070

5 MAY 2021 PM 5 L

I AS VEGAS NV 800

Electronically Filed 5/10/2021 2:21 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ASTA

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR
THE COUNTY OF CLARK

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

SAMMIE NUNN,

Defendant(s),

Case No: C-18-336184-1

Dept No: XXI

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Appellant(s): Sammie Nunn

2. Judge: Tara Clark Newberry

3. Appellant(s): Sammie Nunn

Counsel:

Sammie Nunn #1226304 P.O. Box 208 Indian Springs, NV 89070

4. Respondent: The State of Nevada

Counsel:

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89101

C-18-336184-1

-1-

Case Number: C-18-336184-1

1		(702) 671-2700
2	5.	Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A Permission Granted; N/A
3 4		Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes Permission Granted: N/A
5	6.	Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: Yes
6	7.	Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A
7	8.	Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: N/A
8	9.	Date Commenced in District Court: November 14, 2018
9	10.	Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Criminal
0		Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Unknown
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	11.	Previous Appeal: Yes
3		Supreme Court Docket Number(s): 79219, 79264, 80061, 80121, 82859
4	12.	Child Custody or Visitation: N/A
5		Dated This 10 day of May 2021.
6		Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court
7		
8		/s/ Amanda Hampton
9		Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk 200 Lewis Ave
0		PO Box 551601
1		Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601 (702) 671-0512
2		
3		
4	cc: Sammie	e Nunn
.5		
6		
7		
8		

C-18-336184-1

SAMMIE NUNN,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 82859 District Court Case No. C336184

FILED

JUN 17 2021

3014 1 7

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA, ss.

I, Elizabeth A. Brown, the duly appointed and qualified Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the following is a full, true and correct copy of the Judgment in this matter.

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

The court being fully advised in the premises and the law, it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed, as follows:

"ORDERS this appeal DISMISSED."

Judgment, as quoted above, entered this 21 day of May, 2021.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme Court at my Office in Carson City, Nevada this June 15, 2021.

Elizabeth A. Brown, Supreme Court Clerk

By: Kaitlin Meetze
Administrative Assistant

C – 18 – 336184 – 1 CCJD NY Supreme Cent Clerks Certificate/Judgn

SAMMIE NUNN.

Appellant,

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 82859

FILED

MAY 2,1 2021

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a pro se appeal from a district court "judgement [sic] on writ of habeas corpus on November 5, 2019." Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Mary Kay Holthus, Judge.

This court's review of this appeal reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, the district court entered its order denying appellant's petition on November 20, 2019. The district court served notice of entry of that order on appellant on November 21, 2019. Appellant did not file the notice of appeal, however, until April 29, 2021, well after the expiration of the 30-day appeal period prescribed by NRS 34.575. "[A]n untimely notice of appeal fails to vest jurisdiction in this court." Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 352, 871 P.2d 944, 946 (1994). Accordingly, this court

ORDERS this appeal DISMISSED.

Parraguirre J.

stigline , J

Silver

Stiglich

Given this order, this court takes no action on the motion to stay filed on May 17, 2021.

Surneme Court of Nevada

O) 1917A -

21-14645

Hon. Mary Kay Holthus, District Judge
Sammie Nunn
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

Supreme Court OF Newda

SAMMIE NUNN, Appellant,	
VS.	
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
Respondent.	

Supreme Court No. 82859 District Court Case No. C336184

REMITTITUR

TO: Steven D. Grierson, Eighth District Court Clerk

Pursuant to the rules of this court, enclosed are the following:

Certified copy of Judgment and Opinion/Order. Receipt for Remittitur.

DATE: June 15, 2021

Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of Court

By: Kaitlin Meetze

Administrative Assistant

cc (without enclosures):

Hon. Mary Kay Holthus, District Judge

Sammie Nunn

Clark County District Attorney \ Alexander G. Chen, Chief Deputy District Attorney

RECEIPT FOR REMITTITUR

Received of Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of the Sup REMITTITUR issued in the above-entitled cause	oreme Court of the State of Nevada, the , on
	HEATHER UNGERMANN
Deputy 1	District Court Clerk

RECEIVED APPEALS JUN 16 2021

21-17187

1

CLERKOFTHECOURT

SAMMIE NUNN, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. Supreme Court No. 82901 District Court Case No. C336184

FILED

JUN 2 2 2021

CLERK OF COURT

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA, ss.

I, Elizabeth A. Brown, the duly appointed and qualified Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the following is a full, true and correct copy of the Judgment in this matter.

JUDGMENT

The court being fully advised in the premises and the law, it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed, as follows:

"ORDER this appeal DISMISSED."

Judgment, as quoted above, entered this 26 day of May, 2021.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme Court at my Office in Carson City, Nevada this June 21, 2021.

Elizabeth A. Brown, Supreme Court Clerk

By: Kaitlin Meetze Administrative Assistant

C - 18 - 336184 -

NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgn 4958431





SAMMIE NUNN,

Appellant,

ŸS. THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Respondent.

No. 82901

FILED

MAY 2 6 2021

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This appeal was initiated by the filing of a pro se notice of appeal. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Tara D. Clark Newberry, Judge.

Appellant filed a notice of appeal on May 10, 2021. The notice of appeal fails to identify any judgments of the district court. Further, it does not appear from the district court docket and minute entries that the district court entered any appealable order. Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal, and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.

Stiglich

21-15046

Silver J.

Hon. Tara D. Clark Newberry, District Judge Sammie Nunn cc: Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk 2

SAMMIE NUNN,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 82901 District Court Case No. C336184

REMITTITUR

TO: Steven D. Grierson, Eighth District Court Clerk

Pursuant to the rules of this court, enclosed are the following:

Certified copy of Judgment and Opinion/Order. Receipt for Remittitur.

DATE: June 21, 2021

Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of Court

By: Kaitlin Meetze

Administrative Assistant

cc (without enclosures):

Hon. Tara D. Clark Newberry, District Judge Sammie Nunn

Clark County District Attorney \ Alexander G. Chen, Chief Deputy District Attorney

RECEIPT FOR REMITTITUR

Received of Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, th REMITTITUR issued in the above-entitled cause, on	e
HEATHER UNGERMANN	
Deputy District Court Clerk	

RECEIVED APPEALS JUN 2 2 2021

1

21-17808

CLERKOFTHE COURT

DOCUMENTARY EXHIBITS

Grand Jury Case # 18AGJ145X

Exhibit # 1

Date 11-6-2018

I	IND	
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney	
3	Nevada Bar #001565	
	MEGAN THOMSON Chief Deputy District Attorney	
4	Nevada Bar #011002 200 Lewis Avenue	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212	
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff	
7.		
8	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
10		
11	-vs- DEPT NO:	
12	SAMMIE NUNN, #2751864	
13	Defendant. INDICTMENT	
14	INDICIMENT	
15	STATE OF NEVADA)	
16	COUNTY OF CLARK ss.	
17	The Defendant above named, SAMMIE NUNN, accused by the Clark County Grand	đ
18	Jury of the crime(s) of BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category E	
•	Felony - NRS 200.481 - NOC 50223), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of	
19		
20	Nevada, on or about the 27th day of May, 2018, as follows: did willfully, unlawfully, and	1
21		
22		
23	//	
24		
25	$^{\prime\prime}$	
26		
27		
28	<i>//</i>	
•		
1		

W:\2018\2018F\097\47\18F09747-IND-001.docx

]	
1	feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to wit: PRINCE ALIDU, with
2	use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a 12 inch pair of pliers, by striking the said PRINCE ALIDU
3	on or about the face with said pliers.
4	DATED this day of November, 2018.
5	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
6	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
7	
8	BY MEGANITIONSON
9	MEGAN THOMSON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011002
10	Nevada Bar #011002
11	
12	
13	ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill
14	
15	Francisco Clark County County County County
16	Foreperson, Clark County Grand Jury
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	· ·
28	
	2

W:\2018\2018F\097\47\18F09747-TND-001.DOCX

	٩
1	Names of Witnesses and testifying before the Grand Jury:
2	
3	Additional Witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment:
4	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS - CCDC
5	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS - LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS
6	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS - LVMPD RECORDS
7	
8	·
9	
10	·
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	·
21	·
22	•
23	•
24	
25 26	
27	18AGJ145X/18F09747X/ed-GJ
28	LVMPD EV# 1805270823 (TK2)
20	(+)
	3
	W:\2018\2018\F\097\47\18\F\097

Grand Juny Ease # 18 AGJ145X

Exhibit # 1a

Date 11-13-18

1 IND STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 MEGAN THOMSON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011002 4 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 5 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO: 10 Plaintiff, **DEPT NO:** 11 -vs-SAMMIE NUNN. 12 #2751864 13 INDICTMENT Defendant. 14 STATE OF NEVADA 15) ss. COUNTY OF CLARK 16 The Defendant above named, SAMMIE NUNN, accused by the Clark County Grand 17 Jury of the crime(s) of BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON RESULTING IN 18 SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM (Category B Felony - NRS 200.481 - NOC 50226) AND 19 ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 50201), 20 committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or about the 27th day of 21 May, 2018, as follows: 22 COUNT 1 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON RESULTING IN 23 SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM 24 did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of 25 another, to wit: PRINCE ALIDU, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a 12 inc pair of pliers, 26 by striking the said PRINCE ALIDU, resulting in substantial bodily harm to PRINCE ALIDU. 27 28 //

batkey with the use of

COUNT 2 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: PRINCE ALIDU, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a handgun, by pointing said handgun at the said PRINCE ALIDU and threatening to kill him.

COUNT 3 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: KERICK HINES, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a handgun, by pointing said handgun at the said KERICK HINES and threatening to kill him.

DATED this _____ day of November, 2018.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY

MEGAN THOMSON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011002

ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill

Foreperson, Clark County Grand Jury

مو ||

W:\2018\2018F\097\47\18F09747-IND-901.DOCX

Names of Witnesses and testifying before the Grand Jury: Additional Witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment: CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS - CCDC CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS - LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS - LVMPD RECORDS . 10 18AGJ145X/18F09747X/ed-GJ LVMPD EV# 1805270823 (TK2)

Grand Jury Case #18AGJ 14X

Exhibit #_2

Date_11.06.18

\sqrt{C}	
£-£	
ان این این این این این این این این این ا	
15	INSTRUCTION NO
Trak	INSTRUCTION NO Battery means any willful and unlawful use of force or violence upon the person of another.
મીક <u>ને</u>	
	INSTRUCTION NO
ĎÝ	If substantial bodily harm results to the victim of a battery, the crime committed is Battery Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm.
	nesulting in Substantial bodily narm.
BY ST	INSTRUCTION NO
\$1	Battery With a Deadly Weapon means any willful and unlawful use of force or violence upon the person
	of another with the use of a deadly weapon. Any harmful or offensive unconsented touching with the deadly weapon, however slight, constitutes sufficient force or violence upon the person of another.
	deadily weapon, nowever signit, constitutes sunicient force of violence apon the person of another.
. Siry	INSTRUCTION NO
the	A battery with a deadly weapon resulting in substantial bodily harm occurs when there is an unlawful
	touching committed with a deadly weapon that results in injury lasting longer than the immediate touching.
DA DA	
73.4	: INSTRUCTION NO
15 7	
.7.	As used in these instructions, "substantial bodily harm" means:
Då ₩.	1. Bodily injury which creates a substantial risk of death or which causes serious, permanent
.,.	disfigurement or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any bodily member or organ; or
, ,	2. Prolonged physical pain, which is pain that lasts longer than the offensive touching.
: !!	
ीर्वेद्ध	INSTRUCTION NO
03	"Deadly weapon" means any instrument which, if used in the ordinary manner contemplated by
	its design and construction, will or is likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death; any weapon,
13 g 5 c)	device, instrument, material or substance which, under the circumstances in which it is used, attempted
337	to be used or threatened to be used, is readily capable of causing substantial bodily harm or death;

٠. .

INSTR	IIC	TION	NO	
TT 177 TT/	\sim	-1	110.	

A person unlawfully attempts to use physical force against the person of another or intentionally places another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm by or through the use of a deadly weapon is guilty of Assault With a Deadly Weapon.

To constitute an assault, it is not necessary that any actual injury be inflicted.

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada vs Sammie Nunn

November 14, 2018 11:00 AM Grand Jury Indictment

HEARD BY: Togliatti, Jennifer COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 17A

COURT CLERK: Kimberly Estala

RECORDER: Renee Vincent

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: State of Nevada Plaintiff

Thomson, Megan Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Russell Walker, Grand Jury Foreperson, stated to the Court that at least twelve members had concurred in the return of the true bill during deliberation, but had been excused for presentation to the Court. State presented Grand Jury Case Number 18AGJ145X to the Court. COURT ORDERED, the Indictment may be filed and is assigned Case Number C-18-336184-1, Department XXX.

Based on representations made by Ms. Thompson regarding a companion case for Deft. COURT ORDERED, matter TRANSFERRED to Department IX. State requested a warrant, argued bail, and advised Deft is in custody. COURT ORDERED, NO BAIL INDICTMENT WARRANT ISSUED, and matter SET for Arraignment.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Exhibits 1, 1a, 2 and 3 to be lodged with the Clerk of the Court.

I.W. (CUSTODY (COC))

11/20/18 9:00 A.M. INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT (DEPT IX)

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 1 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES November 20, 2018

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada

 \mathbf{vs}

Sammie Nunn

November 20, 2018 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Togliatti, Jennifer COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 10C

COURT CLERK: Athena Trujillo

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

State of Nevada Plaintiff Thomson, Megan Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN ... INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT

Defendant not present.

State noted the Defendant refused transport and the case is actually Mr. Goldstein's. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 11/29/18 9:00 AM

CLERK'S NOTE: Mr. Goldstein notified of continuance date via e-mail 11/20/18. - amt

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 2 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES November 29, 2018

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada vs Sammie Nunn

November 29, 2018 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Togliatti, Jennifer COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 10C

COURT CLERK: Athena Trujillo

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Albritton, Alicia A. Attorney

Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney
Nunn, Sammie Defendant
State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Goldstein advised this case included the event in C334308 and advised that case will be dismissed. Further, Mr. Goldstein requested arraignment be continued so that he may address competency concerns before the Defendant is arraigned. COURT ORDERED, C336184 CONTINUED and C334308 DISMISSED.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 12/13/18 9:00 AM

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 3 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES December 13, 2018

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada

VS

Sammie Nunn

December 13, 2018 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Togliatti, Jennifer COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 10C

COURT CLERK: Athena Trujillo

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Nunn, SammieDefendantRose, Laura JeanAttorneyState of NevadaPlaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN ... INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT

COURT ORDERED, matter REFERRED to Competency Court.

CUSTODY (COC)

1/4/19 9:00 AM FURTHER PROCEEDINGS: COMPETENCY

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 4 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES January 18, 2019

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada vs Sammie Nunn

January 18, 2019 10:00 AM Further Proceedings: Competency

HEARD BY: Bell, Linda Marie COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 10C

COURT CLERK: Kimberly Estala

RECORDER: Renee Vincent

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Nunn, Sammie Defendant O'Brien, Glen Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Appearances Continued: Denise Baker of the Specialty Courts also present.

Court NOTED Drs. Colosimo and Krelstein indicate not competent; therefore, pursuant to the doctors' reports and the Dusky Standard, FINDS Defendant NOT COMPETENT as he is not capable of understanding the charges against his and is unable to assist counsel in his defense. Pursuant to NRS 178.425, COURT ORDERED, Defendant is REMANDED to the custody of the Administrator of the Division of Mental Health Development Services for the Department of Human Resources for detention and treatment at a secure facility operated by that Division. Once competency has been established, Defendant will be returned to this court for findings and referred back to the originating department for further proceedings.

CUSTODY (L.C.)

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 5 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misc	demeanor	COURT MINUTES	March 22, 2019
C-18-336184-1	State of Nevad	a	
	VS		
	Sammie Nunn		
March 22, 2019	10:00 AM	Further Proceedings:	

Competency-Return From

Stein

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 10C **HEARD BY:** Leavitt, Michelle

COURT CLERK: Kimberly Estala

RECORDER: Renee Vincent

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

> O'Brien, Glen Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Also present: Denise Baker of the Specialty Courts. Defendant not present.

Ms. Romney requested a CONTINUANCE. COURT SO ORDERED.

CUSTODY (R.N.)

CONTINUED TO: 04/12/19 10:00 AM

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 6 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Mis	demeanor	COURT MINUTES	April 12, 2019
C-18-336184-1	State of Nevac	da	
	vs		
	Sammie Nunr	ı	

April 12, 2019 10:00 AM Further Proceedings:

Competency

HEARD BY: Bell, Linda Marie COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 10C

COURT CLERK: Kimberly Estala

RECORDER: Renee Vincent

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Nunn, Sammie Defendant O'Brien, Glen Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- APPEARANCES CONTINUED: Denise Baker of the Specialty Courts also present.

There being no challenge by Defense Counsel, COURT FINDS Defendant COMPETENT pursuant to the Dusky Standard as Defendant is capable of understanding the nature of the charges against him and is able to assist counsel in his defense and ORDERED, pursuant to 178.420, matter TRANSFERRED back to the originating court for further proceedings. .

CUSTODY

04/16/19 9:00 AM FURTHER PROCEEDINGS: RETURN FROM COMPETENCY COURT (DEPT XVIII)

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 7 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Mis	demeanor	COURT MINUTES	April 16, 2019
C-18-336184-1	State of Neva	da	
	VS		
	Sammie Nuni	า	

April 16, 2019 9:00 AM Further Proceedings:

Return from Competency

Court

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK:

Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Lacher, Ashley A. Attorney
Nunn, Sammie Defendant
State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Statements by Mr. Goldstein. DEFENDANT ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY AND INVOKED the SIXTY (60) DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, trial date SET.

CUSTODY

6/11/19 9:00 AM CALENDAR CALL

6/17/19 1:00 PM JURY TRIAL

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 8 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

COURT MINUTES

May 14, 2019

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

 \mathbf{vs}

Sammie Nunn

May 14, 2019 9:00 AM Motion

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Albritton, Alicia A. Attorney

Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney
Nunn, Sammie Defendant
State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Goldstein noted this was Deft.'s second Motion in the instant matter. Mr. Goldstein further noted he visited with Deft. many times as well as visited alleged crime scene; therefore, he doesn't understand the reason for the Motion. Further statements by Mr. Goldstein. Court advised the Deft. he had an excellent attorney assigned to him and Court didn't see anything in Motion indicating otherwise; additionally, it didn't see where the complaints were coming from. Deft. indicated there was evidence that he didn't do the crime; however, Mr. Goldstein stated it didn't exist. Statements by Mr. Goldstein indicating there was a transcript. Deft. noted Mr. Goldstein didn't bring transcript into evidence. Court informed Deft. it was not the time to bring in evidence until trial. Following colloquy, Court advised it didn't see grounds to dismiss counsel; therefore, Deft. could retain his own counsel or Deft. proceed on his own. Deft. noted he would represent himself. COURT DIRECTED Deft. to think about it, and ask around; further, Deft. needed to be certain before Faretta Canvass. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 9 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

5/23/19 9:00 AM CONTINUED: MOTION TO DISMISS

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 10 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES May 23, 2019

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada

VS

Sammie Nunn

May 23, 2019 9:00 AM Motion

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Michelle Ramsey

Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Nunn, Sammie Defendant State of Nevada Plaintiff Thomson, Megan Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Goldstein indicated matter was on for faretta canvass and the State has given an offer which the Deft. rejected that offer and wants to go through with Faretta Canvass. Court noted if that is what Deft. wants to do. Deft. noted that was what he was being forced to do. Court advised Deft. that he had good counsel. Deft. inquired if had right to receive evidence; indicating he didn't have discovery and had counsel for eight months. Mr. Goldstein indicated he sent discovery to Deft. Court noted Deft.'s Motion didn't indicate a reason to dismiss counsel; advised counsel that matter would be set for status check discovery. Statements by Deft. Colloquy between parties regarding Ms. Thomson already modifying deal. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. Court advised Mr. Goldstein to find housing for Deft. MATTER TRAILED.

MATTER RECALLED. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Goldstein noted he would go over the Pre-Sentence Investigation (PSI) report with Deft. and see if he would accept deal. COURT ORDERED, Motion to Dismiss Counsel was hereby DENIED, and matter SET for status check for possible negotiations or possible sentencing.

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 11 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

6/6/19 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: NEGOTIATIONS/ SENTENCING

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 12 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES June 06, 2019

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada vs Sammie Nunn

June 06, 2019 9:00 AM Status Check

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Nunn, Sammie Defendant State of Nevada Plaintiff Thomson, Megan Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- NEGOTIATIONS are as contained in the Guilty Plea Agreement FILED IN OPEN COURT. DEFENDANT NUNN ARRAIGNED AND PLED GUILTY to BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F). Court ACCEPTED plea, and Court agreed to use the Pre-sentence Investigation (PSI) Report from C334308. Mr. Goldstein requested Deft. be sentenced at the current hearing. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. Ms. Thomson advised she had no opposition to probation. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. MATTER TRAILED.

MATTER RECALLED. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. Following colloquy, COURT ORDERED, trial VACATED and sentencing be CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

6/11/19 9:00 AM CONTINUED: SENTENCING

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 13 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

COURT MINUTES

June 11, 2019

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada

17C

Sammie Nunn

June 11, 2019 9:00 AM Sentencing

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Nunn, Sammie Defendant Rose, Laura Jean Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Goldstein requested that the Court grant probation; additionally, Mr, Goldstein had been in contact with Deft.'s mom and she stated she made accommodations at a halfway house. Colloquy between parties and a representative from the One Day at a Time program halfway house. By virtue of Defendant's plea of guilty and by Order of the Court, DEFT NUNN ADJUDGED GUILTY of BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F). Statements by Ms. Rose and Mr. Goldstein. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee, \$3.00 DNA Collection fee, the \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee, including testing to determine genetic markers, and to pay RESTITUTION, Deft. SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS and a MINIMUM of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); SUSPENDED; placed on PROBATION for an indeterminate period not to exceed FIVE (5) YEARS. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Deft. to be placed on House arrest.

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

1. Reporting: You are to report in person to the Division of Parole and Probation (P&P) as instructed

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 14 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

by the Division or its agent. You are required to submit a written report each month on forms supplied by the Division. This report shall be true and correct in all respects.

- 2. Residence: You shall not change your place of residence without first obtaining permission from P&P, in each instance.
- 3. Intoxicants: You shall not consume any alcoholic beverages whatsoever. Upon order of P&P or its agent, you shall submit to a medically recognized test for blood/breath alcohol content. Test results of .08 blood alcohol content or higher shall be sufficient proof of excess.
- 4. Controlled Substances: You shall not use, purchase or possess any illegal drugs, or any prescription drugs, unless first prescribed by a licensed medical professional. You shall immediately notify P&P of any prescription received. You shall submit to drug testing as required by the Division or its agent.
- 5. Weapons: You shall not possess, have access to, or have under your control, any type of weapon.
- 6. Search: You shall submit your person, property (including cellular phones and / or computers), place of residence, vehicle or areas under your control to search at any time, with or without a search warrant or warrant of arrest, for evidence of a crime or violation of probation by P&P or its agent.
- 7. Associates: You must have prior approval by P&P to associate with any person convicted of a felony, or any person on probation or parole supervision. You shall not have any contact with persons confined in a correctional institution unless specific written permission has been granted by the Division and the correctional institution.
- 8. Directives and Conduct: You shall follow the directives of P&P and your conduct shall justify the opportunity granted to you by this community supervision.
- 9. Laws: You shall comply with all municipal, county, state, and federal laws and ordinances.
- 10. Out-of-State Travel: You shall not leave the state without first obtaining written permission from P&P.
- 11. Employment/Program: You shall seek and maintain legal employment, or maintain a program approved by P&P and not change such employment or program without first obtaining permission. All terminations of employment or program shall be immediately reported to the Division.
- 12. Financial Obligation: You shall pay fees, fines, and restitution on a schedule approved by P&P. Any excess monies paid will be applied to any other outstanding fees, fines, and/or restitution, even if it is discovered after your discharge.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

- 1. Deft. shall submit digital storage media or any digital storage media that you have access or use, including computers, handheld communication devices and any network applications associated with those devices, including social media and remote storage services to a search and shall provide all passwords, unlock codes and account information associated with those items, with or without a search warrant, by the Division of Parole and Probation or its agent.
- 2. Deft. to only be released to Parole and Probation and transported to One Day at a Time Program.
- 3. Deft. to remain on House Arrest until interstate compact to California to live with his mother.
- 4. Complete mental health evaluation.
- 5. Consume any medication required.
- 6. Abide by any curfew imposed.
- 7. Maintain full-time employment, schooling or 16 hours community service a month.
- 8. No contact with victim.

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 15 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

9. Deft. to pay RESTITUTION in the amount of \$22,042.00 to Prince Alidu.

MATTER RECALLED. Colloquy between parties regarding Deft. behavior post sentencing in the Courtroom. Mr. Goldstein informed the Court he explained to the Deft. that probation was a privilege.

BOND, if any, EXONERATED.

O.R./H.A.

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 16 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

COURT MINUTES

July 23, 2019

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada

 $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{s}$

Sammie Nunn

July 23, 2019 9:00 AM Revocation of Probation

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Nunn, SammieDefendantState of NevadaPlaintiffThomson, MeganAttorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Officer A. Marquez present on behalf of Parole and Probation (P&P).

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Goldstein indicated no offer had been made; therefore, they would be stipulating and arguing for reinstatement. Further, Mr. Goldstein advised the Court, Deft. filed a Motion to Dismiss counsel and Motion to Dismiss Previous Decision to Dismiss Attorney, and filed Motion to Appeal. Arguments by Ms. Thomson regarding Deft.'s pre-sentence investigation (PSI) report. Ms. Thomson advised the Court they should address Deft.'s basis for another attorney. Mr. Goldstein indicated he was prepared to go forward; however, the State wanted to address counsel. Court inquired if Deft. still wanted to proceed with removing Mr. Goldstein from the case; which, Deft. concurred. Court noted it would need a copy of the PSI and to look over it; therefore, COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

8/6/19 9:00 AM CONTINUED: REVOCATION OF PROBATION

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 17 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 18 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES August 06, 2019

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada

VS

Sammie Nunn

August 06, 2019 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Lexis, Chad N.AttorneyNunn, SammieDefendantState of NevadaPlaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT ALTERNATE COUNSEL...STATUS CHECK: RESET REVOCATION OF PROBATION

CONFERENCE AT BENCH. Upon Court's inquiry, Deft. indicated there were things Mr. Goldstein was supposed to do which he didn't fulfil. Statements by Mr. Goldstein advising he spoke with witness. Deft. indicated he didn't want to take the deal and Mr. Goldstein told Deft. he wasn't going to use witness; therefore, Deft. was requesting an evidentiary hearing. Following colloquy, Court noted the only thing in front of the Court was Motion to Dismiss Counsel, which there was no basis to appoint alternative counsel; however, Deft. was free to represent himself. Deft. noted he would represent himself. Mr. Goldstein reminded the Court he was appointed with the Second Guilty Plea Agreement the Deft. pled guilty to. Statements by Deft. informing the Court he was coerced. Court noted there weren't any pending Motions. Following colloquy, Mr. Goldstein indicated there wasn't any legal grounds to file a Motion to Withdraw Plea, Deft. was aware of what he was signing. Deft. states there was conflict of interest. Court noted Mr. Goldstein stated he doesn't find a legal basis for Motion. Deft. noted there was new evidence of the victim confessing which was in his phone and on

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 19 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

Facebook. Mr. Goldstein noted that was the first he had heard of it. Court noted it would sign Order to release phone to Mr. Goldstein. Court advised after Mr. Goldstein gets phone and reviews to see if there was anything on the phone it would be brought back to Court, if there isn't anything, the Revocation Hearing would be set. Further, if Deft. wanted to still represent himself the Court would do a Faretta Canvass. Mr. Goldstein advised he would go and visit Deft. and get Order signed. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

8/20/19 9:00 AM CONTINUED: MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT ALTERNATE COUNSEL...STATUS CHECK: RESET REVOCATION OF PROBATION

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 20 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

COURT MINUTES

August 20, 2019

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

VS

Sammie Nunn

August 20, 2019 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Nunn, SammieDefendantState of NevadaPlaintiffThomson, MeganAttorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT ALTERNATIVE COUNSEL...STATUS CHECK: RESET REVOCATION OF PROBATION

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Goldstein indicated he did look at Deft.'s phone and reviewed evidence on there as well. Statements by Deft. Court noted Mr. Goldstein was already aware of information given and advised Deft. he had already pled guilty; therefore, revocation would be reset. Deft. advised he and his attorney were having a conflict of interest and indicated he wanted to withdraw his guilty plea. Colloquy between parties. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. COURT ORDERED, Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternative Counsel was hereby GRANTED, with the understanding that Ms. Border was APPOINTED as counsel for the limited purpose to see if there were grounds for Deft. to withdraw his Guilty Plea Agreement. Additionally, Mr. Goldstein would be back on the instant case following that. Mr. Goldstein indicated he would give Deft.'s phone to Ms. Border along with discovery. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for appointment of counsel.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 21 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

9/5/19 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 22 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Mis	demeanor	COURT MINUTES	September 05, 2019
C-18-336184-1	State of New		
	vs Sammie Nu	nn	

September 05, 2019 9:00 AM Status Check

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Border, Marisa Attorney

Lacher, Ashley A.AttorneyNunn, SammieDefendantState of NevadaPlaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Border indicated she reviewed discovery and spoke with Mr. Goldstein; additionally, she noted she had the phone in possession, but needed to go through it. Further, Ms. Border advised there was another witness to speak with. Following colloquy, Ms. Border requested matter be continued for a week to check on basis to withdraw guilty plea agreement. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

9/12/19 9:00 AM CONTINUED: STATUS CHECK: MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 23 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Mis	demeanor	COURT MINUTES	September 12, 2019
C-18-336184-1	State of New		
	Sammie Nu	nn	

September 12, 2019 9:00 AM Status Check

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Nunn, Sammie Defendant

State of Nevada Plaintiff Wong, Hetty O. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Daniel Gilliam, Esq. present on behalf of Marisa Border, Esq. for Deft.

Mr. Gilliam indicated a briefing schedule needed to be set. Court explained to Deft. what the briefing schedule entailed, noting Ms. Border must see some type of grounds to withdraw the guilty plea. COURT ORDERED, the following Briefing Schedule: Ms. Border to file Motion by October 10, 2019, State to file Response by October 24, 2019, Ms. Border to file Reply by October 31, 2019, and matter CONTINUED for Hearing. Court noted if Motion was denied at that time, a sentencing date would be set.

CUSTODY

11/5/19 9:00 AM HEARING- MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 24 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

neanor	COURT MINUTES	November 05, 2019
vs	ı	
	State of Nevada	State of Nevada vs

November 05, 2019 9:00 AM Hearing

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Border, Marisa Attorney

Jones, Jr., John T.AttorneyNunn, SammieDefendantState of NevadaPlaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Arguments by counsel regarding the merits of the motion. COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED based on the State's opposition; Plaintiff to prepare the Order. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Revocation of Probation SET.

CUSTODY

11/14/19 9:00 AM REVOCATION OF PROBATION

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 25 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada vs Sammie Nunn

November 14, 2019 9:00 AM Revocation of Probation

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Nunn, SammieDefendantState of NevadaPlaintiffThomson, MeganAttorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Officer A. Martinez present on behalf of Parole and Probation (P&P).

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Goldstein indicated there was no offer; therefore, they would be stipulating to the violation and arguing. Colloquy between parties regarding underlying sentence. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. DEFT. STIPULATED to being in violation of probation; Court ACCEPTED stipulation. Ms. Thomson agreed that the underlying sentence of four to ten years should be imposed. Arguments by Ms. Thomson. Statements by Deft. Mr. Goldstein requested that the underlying sentence be modified to a two to five year sentence. Following colloquy, Court FINDS that Deft. was in violation of probation and ORDERED, Deft. Nunn s PROBATION is REVOKED and a MODIFIED underlying sentence of a MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS and a MINIMUM of THIRTY-SIX (36) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) would be IMPOSED, with FIVE HUNDRED TEN (510) DAYS credit for time served.

NDC

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 26 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Mis	demeanor	COURT MINUTES	November 26, 2019
C-18-336184-1	State of Neva	da	
	VS		
	Sammie Nuni	n	

November 26, 2019 9:00 AM Petition for Writ of Habeas

Corpus

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

State of Nevada Plaintiff Thomson, Megan Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Deft. not present. Mr. Goldstein informed the Court Deft. refused to be transported. Further, Mr. Goldstein indicated Deft. filed Notice of Appeal on his own and Motion to Dismiss Counsel as well. Mr. Goldstein requested matter be continued to be heard on December 17, 2019 with Deft.'s other Motion. COURT SO ORDERED.

NDC

 $12/17/19\,9:\!00$ AM CONTINUED: DEFENDANT'S POST CONVICTION PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 27 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada vs Sammie Nunn

December 17, 2019 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Jones, Jr., John T. Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT'S POST CONVICTION PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL AND MOTION TO APPOINT APPELLANT COUNSEL

Deft. not present. Mr. Goldstein indicated there was an Order to Transport and Deft. should have been present. Mr. Jones noted the instant matter was on for post conviction; however, that Petition was argued at a previous hearing and set for revocation on November 14, 2019; COURT ORDERED, Defendant's Post Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus was previously ruled on, and DENIED on November 5, 2019. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. Following colloquy, COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Motion to Withdraw Counsel and Motion to Appoint Appellant Counsel be CONTINUED for 30 days. COURT DIRECTED, the State to prepare a Transport Order. Colloquy between parties. Court noted it would give Deft. one more chance to be present; however, if Deft. was not present at the next hearing, Court would request that transport be, by any means necessary.

NDC

1/14/20 9:00 AM CONTINUED: MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL AND MOTION TO

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 28 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

APPOINT APPELLANT COUNSEL

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 29 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES January 14, 2020

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada vs Sammie Nunn

January 14, 2020 9:00 AM Motion

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Goldstein, Anthony M. Attorney

Goodman, Laura Attorney
Nunn, Sammie Defendant
State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Statements by Mr. Goldstein. Mr. Goldstein stated Deft. would be requesting for alternate counsel to be appointed, if not, he would like to represent himself for appeal. Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Goodman indicated the State's position was that appeal had already been filed. COURT ORDERED, Motion to Withdraw Counsel and Motion to Appoint Appellant Counsel was hereby GRANTED IN PART/ DENIED IN PART. Court noted Motion was granted to the extent of withdrawing Mr. Goldstein; however, denied as to appointing new appellant counsel due to appeal already being filed.

NDC

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 30 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

COURT MINUTES

February 18, 2020

C-18-336184-1 State of Nevada

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

-

Sammie Nunn

February 18, 2020 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Dara Yorke

RECORDER: Yvette G. Sison

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Jackson, Terrence Michael Attorney

Jones, Jr., John T. Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Anthony Goldstein, Esq. present as previous counsel.

Order Appointing Counsel FILED IN OPEN COURT.

Deft. not present. Court noted Drew Christensen sent Mr. Jackson over to be appointed. Mr. Jackson concurred, indicating he was willing to accept the appellant appointment. Colloquy between parties requesting transcripts. Statements by Mr. Goldstein. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Jackson noted he was only appointed to handle the appeal. Following colloquy, COURT ORDERED, Motion to Appoint Appellant Counsel was hereby GRANTED and Motion for Production of Transcript was hereby DENIED, due to Deft. now having counsel. Mr. Jones to prepare the Orders.

NDC

3/12/20 9:00 AM PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PRINT DATE: 11/15/2021 Page 31 of 40 Minutes Date: November 14, 2018

PLEADING CONTINUES IN INTERIOR INTERIOR INTERIOR IN INTERIOR INTERIOR