

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROWEN A. SEIBEL; MOTI PARTNERS,
LLC; MOTI PARTNERS 16, LLC; LLTQ
ENTERPRISES, LLC; LLTQ
ENTERPRISES 16, LLC; TPOV
ENTERPRISES, LLC; TPOV 16
ENTERPRISES, LLC; FERG, LLC;
FERG 16, LLC; R SQUARED GLOBAL
SOLUTIONS, LLC; DNT ACQUISTION,
LLC; GR BURGR, LLC; AND CRAIG
GREEN,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
TIMOTHY C. WILLIAMS, DISTRICT
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

DESERT PALACE, INC.; PARIS LAS
VEGAS OPERATING COMPANY, LLC;
PHWLTV, LLC; AND BOARDWALK
REGENCY CORPORATION,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 83723

FILED

APR 05 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

***ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS TO FILE REDACTED REPLY AND
REDACTED RESPONSE/COUNTERMOTION AND
DENYING COUNTERMOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF REPLY***

Petitioners' motion for leave to file a redacted reply and to file the unredacted reply under seal, and real parties in interest's motion for leave to file a redacted response/countermotion and to file the unredacted response/countermotion under seal, both of which are based on district court protection orders in the underlying case, are granted. SRCR 3(4)(b) & 7.

The clerk of this court shall file under seal the unredacted reply and response/countermotion, which were provisionally received in this court on February 16 and March 14, 2022, respectively.

Real parties in interest's countermotion to strike footnote 2 and pages 20-22 of the reply, which argue that this court may review the assertedly privileged documents *in camera* (also the subject of the pending motion to submit privileged documents under seal for *in camera* review), if necessary, in resolving petitioners' contention that the district court's order is overly broad in scope based on its findings, is denied. The overly-broad-in-scope argument is set forth in the petition, and the *in camera* review question will be determined after full briefing on the pending motion. This court defers ruling on the motion to submit privileged documents under seal for *in camera* review.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Bailey Kennedy
Pisanelli Bice, PLLC