1	DENNIS L. KENNEDY
2	Nevada Bar No. 1462 JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN
3	Nevada Bar No. 10125 REBECCA L. CROOKER
4	Nevada Bar No. 15202 BAILEY & KENNEDY
5	8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302 Talanhana, 702 562 8820
6	Telephone: 702.562.8820 Facsimile: 702.562.8821
7	DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com
8	RCrooker@BaileyKennedy.com VALENTIN LEPPERT
9	(Admitted Pro Hac Vice) KING & SPALDING LLP
10	1180 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1600 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
11	Telephone: 404.572.4600 Facsimile: 404.572.5100
12	VLeppert@kslaw.com
13	Ursula Marie Henninger (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
14	KING & SPALDING LLP 300 S. Tryon Street, Suite 1700
15	Charlotte, North Carolina 28202 Telephone: 704.503.2631
16	Facsimile: 704.503.2622 UHenninger@kslaw.com
17	Attorneys for Petitioner
18	R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY
19	
20	
	ii

Electronically Filed Nov 17 2021 03:04 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

I	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF
2	R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO
3	COMPANY, a foreign corporation, individually, and as successor-by-
4	merger to LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY and as successor-in-
5	interest to the United States tobacco business of BROWN &
6	WILLIAMSON TOBACCO CORPORATION, which is the
	successor-by-merger to THE
7	AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY,
8	Petitioner,
9	VS.
10	THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF
11	NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE
12	COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE NADIA KRALL,
13	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE,
14	Respondents,
14	And
15	
16	SANDRA CAMACHO, individually; ANTHONY CAMACHO, individually;
17	PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., a foreign corporation; LIGGETT GROUP, LLC,
1.0	a foreign corporation; and ASM
18	NATIONWIDE CORPORATION d/b/a SILVERADO SMOKES & CIGARS, a
19	domestic corporation,
20	Real Parties in Interest.

Supreme Court No. 83724

District Court No. A807650

PETITIONER R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

BAILEY * KENNEDY 8984 SPANISH RIDGE AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89148-1302

PETITIONER R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

2

5

7

8

9

10

1

Pursuant to NRAP 3(b), Petitioner R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company

4 ("Reynolds") moves to consolidate its Petition for Writ of Mandamus or

Prohibition (the "Instant Writ Petition") with Real Parties in Interest Sandra

6 Camacho and Anthony Camacho's ("Camacho") previously filed writ petition

currently pending before this Court, entitled Camacho v. Eighth Judicial

District Court ex rel. State of Nevada, Case No. 82654.

DATED this 17th day of November, 2021.

BAILEY KENNEDY

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

By: /s/ Dennis L. Kennedy
DENNIS L. KENNEDY
JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN
REBECCA L. CROOKER

VAL LEPPERT

Admitted Pro Hac Vice

KING & SPALDING LLP

URSULA MARIE HENNINGER Admitted Pro Hac Vice KING & SPALDING LLP

Attorneys for Petitioner
R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO
COMPANY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

There are currently seven product liability lawsuits pending in the Eighth Judicial District Court arising from alleged tobacco use. While the facts related to each plaintiff's smoking decisions, brand choices, and alleged injuries are unique in each case, all of the above cases were filed by the same law firm, and allege the same claims based on the same alleged actions taken by the defendants, tobacco manufacturers ("Reynolds"), Philip Morris USA, Inc., and Liggett Group LLC, over the course of the twentieth century.

Each of these cases includes a claim for violation of the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("NDTPA") under NRS 41.600 as well as a derivative conspiracy claim, wherein the plaintiff admits that he/she did not purchase or use one, or more, of the tobacco manufacturers' products.

On March 23, 2020, Reynolds filed a Motion to Dismiss in Department IV in front of Judge Kerry Earley. Judge Earley granted Reynolds' Motion to Dismiss on the basis that Camacho had neither used nor purchased Reynolds' products, and therefore was not a victim who was directly harmed by Reynolds' alleged NDTPA violations as required by NRS 41.600(1). Judge Earley also dismissed Camacho's civil conspiracy claims against Reynolds, because without the NDTPA claim, Camacho lacked the underlying tort necessary to form the basis for the civil conspiracy claim. Judge Earley signed the Order

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

Granting Reynolds' Motion to Dismiss on August 27, 2020.

On March 24, 2021, Camacho filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition (the "Initial Writ Petition"), seeking relief from Judge Earley's decision to grant Reynolds' Motion to Dismiss. The Initial Writ Petition was fully briefed on July 12, 2021.

Despite the pending writ petition, Camacho filed a Motion to Reconsider in front of Judge Earley's newly elected successor, Judge Nadia Krall, on May 25, 2021—nine months after Judge Earley's Order was entered. Although Judge Krall was aware that Camacho's Motion to Reconsider was the subject of the fully briefed, and still pending, Initial Writ Petition, Judge Krall granted Camacho's Motion to Reconsider, and signed an order drafted by Plaintiff's counsel on November 3, 2021.¹

On November 5, 2021, Reynolds filed the Instant Writ Petition, requesting that this Court find that Judge Krall's decision to grant Camacho's Motion to Reconsider was a manifest abuse of discretion. On November 16, 2021, this Court issued an order directing the parties to provide additional briefing and stating that "an answer may assist the court in resolving this matter."2

19

Notice of Entry of the Order was filed on November 4, 2021.

See Order Directing Answer, R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company v. Eighth

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

NRAP 3(b)(2) contemplates the consolidation of related matters. As set forth above, the issues raised in each of these Writ Petitions are largely duplicative, and to the extent there are differences, the factual and legal analyses overlap. Moreover, the parties and the counsel in each of the Writ Petitions are the same. Therefore, in the interests of judicial efficiency, economy, and consistency, Reynolds respectfully requests that the Writ Petitions be consolidated into one action to ensure that these overlapping issues are decided in one proceeding. DATED this 17th day of November, 2021. BAILEY KENNEDY By: /s/ Dennis L. Kennedy DENNIS L. KENNEDY JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN REBECCA L. CROOKER VAL LEPPERT Admitted Pro Hac Vice KING & SPALDING LLP Ursula Marie Henninger Admitted Pro Hac Vice KING & SPALDING LLP Attorneys for Petitioner R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO **COMPANY** Judicial District Ct. ex rel. Nevada, Case No. 83724 (Nov. 16, 2021), attached as Exhibit A.

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I certify that I am an employee of BAILEY & KENNEDY and that on the 17th day of November, 2021, service of the foregoing **PETITIONER R.J.** 3 4 REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE 5 was made by electronic service through Nevada Supreme Court's electronic 6 filing system and/or by depositing a true and correct copy in the U.S. Mail, first 7 class postage prepaid, and addressed to the following at their last known 8 address: 9 SEAN K. CLAGGETT Email: sclaggett@claggettlaw.com mgranda@claggettlaw.com MATTHEW S. GRANDA 10 MICAH S. ECHOLS micah@claggettlaw.com **CLAGGETT & SYKES LAW FIRM** 11 4101 Meadows Lane, Ste. 100 Attorneys for Real Parties in Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Interest SANDRA CAMACHO 12 and ANTHONY CAMACHO 13 KIMBERLY L. WALD Email: klw@kulaw.com MICHAEL A. HERSH mah@kulaw.com 14 Fan Li fli@kulaw.com **KELLY UUSTAL, PLC** Attorneys for Real Parties in 15 500 North Federal Highway, Suite 200 Interest SANDRA CAMACHO Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 and ANTHONY CAMACHO 16 HONORABLE NADIA KRALL Respondents 17 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 18 DEPT. 4 200 Lewis Avenue 19 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 20 [VIA U.S. MAIL]

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, A FOREIGN CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS SUCCESSOR-BY-MERGER TO LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY AND AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO THE UNITED STATES TOBACCO BUSINESS OF BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO CORPORATION, WHICH IS THE SUCCESSOR-BY-MERGER TO THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, Petitioner,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE NADIA KRALL, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and

SANDRA CAMACHO, INDIVIDUALLY;
ANTHONY CAMACHO,
INDIVIDUALLY; PHILIP MORRIS USA,
INC., A FOREIGN CORPORATION;
LIGGETT GROUP, LLC, A FOREIGN
CORPORATION; AND ASM
NATIONWIDE CORPORATION, D/B/A
SILVERADO SMOKES & CIGARS, A
DOMESTIC CORPORATION,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 83724

FILED

NOV 1 6 2021

CLERK OF JUPREME COURT

B

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER

This petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order granting reconsideration of an order of dismissal. Having reviewed the petition, it appears that an answer may assist this

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A *******

court in resolving this matter. Therefore, real parties in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 28 days from the date of this order to file and serve an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioner shall have 14 days from service of the answer to file and serve any reply.

It is so ORDERED.

Hardesty, C.J.

cc: Hon. Nadia Krall, District Judge
Bailey Kennedy
Shook, Hardy & Bacon LLP/Kansas City
Weinberg, Wheeler, Hudgins, Gunn & Dial, LLC/Las Vegas
Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas
Kelley Uustal/Fort Lauderdale
Kasowitz Benson Torres LLP/Miami
Claggett & Sykes Law Firm
Eighth District Court Clerk