Electronically Filed
11/5/2021 11:38 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

Electronically Filed
Nov 12 2021 11:14 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

NOAS

ANDREW WASIELEWSKI, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6161
THE WASIELEWSKI LAW FIRM, LTD.
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 200-818
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Phone: (702) 490-8511
Fax: (702) 548-9684
Email: andrew@wazlaw.com
Attorneys for *Defendants*
Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalamarla, Max Global, Inc.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

MEDAPPEAL, LLC, An Illinois Limited Liability Company, Plaintiff, vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN, VIJAY REDDY, MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALAMARLA, KEVIN BROWN, MAX GLOBAL, INC., VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS, LLC, MEDASSET CORPORATION, AND DOES 1-50. Defendants.	Case No. A-19-792836-C Dept No. XIV

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALAMARLA and MAX GLOBAL, INC., hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada the following (including the dates entered or otherwise filed):

a) Order granting Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees, entered in this action on the 6th day of October, 2021

b) Order denying appealing Defendants' Motion for Relief,

//

//

Docket 83763 Document 2021-32490

entered in this action on the 27th day of October, 2021

DATED this 5th day of November, 2021.

THE WASIELEWSKI LAW FIRM, LTD.

/s/ Andrew Pastwick #9146

By:_____
for ANDREW WASIELEWSKI, ESQ.
Nevada Bar #6161
8275 S. Eastern Avenue.
Suite #200-818
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Attorneys for *Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalamarla, Max Global, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that service of Appellants' Notice of Appeal was served on all parties by utilizing the services of the Eighth Judicial District Court's E-service to provide electronic service to the following on November 5, 2021:

The Ball Law Group
ZACHARY T BALL, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
1707 Village Center Cir #140
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Attorney for *Plaintiff*

David Weinstein (& Medasset Corp)
c/o Michael Orenstein
4018 Sheridan Street
Hollywood, FL 33021
Defendant

David Weinstein
125 Harmon Ave. #122
Las Vegas, NV 89109
Defendant and Registered Agent for Defendant Medasset

Kevin Brown
2006 Sylvan Park Road
Burlington, NJ 08016
Defendant

Visionary Business Brokers
2006 Sylvan Park Road
Burlington, NJ 08016
Defendant

/s/ Andrew Wasielewski

By:_____
An Employee of
THE WASIELEWSKI LAW FIRM

Electronically Filed
11/5/2021 11:45 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

ASTA
ANDREW WASIELEWSKI, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6161
THE WASIELEWSKI LAW FIRM, LTD.
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 200-818
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Phone: (702) 490-8511
Fax: (702) 548-9684
Email: andrew@wazlaw.com
Attorney for *Defendants*
Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalamarla, Max Global, Inc.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

MEDAPPEAL, LLC, An Illinois Limited Liability Company, Plaintiff, vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN, VIJAY REDDY, MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALAMARLA, KEVIN BROWN, MAX GLOBAL, INC., VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS, LLC, MEDASSET CORPORATION, AND DOES 1-50. Defendants.	Case No. A-19-792836-C Dept No. XIV Hearing Not Requested

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

Notice is hereby given that MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALAMARLA and MAX GLOBAL, INC, hereby file the following Case Appeal Statement to the Supreme Court of Nevada, in accordance with NRAP 3(f)(3) and Form 2 of the NRAP Appendix of Forms.

A) The names of Appellants filing this case appeal statement are MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALAMARLA and MAX GLOBAL, INC.

B) The Judge issuing the Judgment and Orders appealed from is the Honorable Judge Adriana Escobar, Eighth Judicial District Court Judge, Department XIV.

C) The name and address of the appellants are MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALAMARLA and MAX GLOBAL, INC, who can be contacted through their attorneys of The Wasielewski Law Firm, LTD at 8275 S. Eastern Ave., #200-818, Las Vegas, NV 89123.

D) The respondent to this appeal is MEDAPPEAL, LLC, which can be contacted through its attorney of record, Zachary T. Ball, Esq. of THE BALL LAW GROUP, 1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120, Las Vegas, NV 89134.

E) All counsel named herein are licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada.

F) Plaintiff and some Defendants were represented by retained counsel in the proceedings in District Court. Zachary T. Ball, Esq. represented Medappeal LLC and Leah Martin, Esq. was retained and represented Defendants Vijay Reddy, Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalamarla and Max Global, Inc. The rest of the parties were not represented at the time the Motion for Summary Judgment was entered. Appellants retained the undersigned to represent them on appeal.

G) Not applicable

H) The proceedings commenced in District Court on April 12, 2019

I) The following is a brief description of the nature of the action and the result in District Court.

Respondent filed its Complaint and then amended its Complaint against various Defendants including Appellants for various intentional causes of action.

These appellants moved to dismiss the complaint against them, alleging in declarations that Respondent had no jurisdiction over them personally and individually. Appellants allege that motion was improperly denied.

Later, a Motion to Compel was filed against Defendant Margaret Reddy. The issue was briefed and the Discovery Commissioner entered a DCRR that essentially granted the motion. Defendant Margaret timely objected and the objection was set for hearing. It does not appear the objection was ever heard, nor was it properly ruled upon, through information and belief after reviewing the Registry of Actions in this matter.

Respondent filed for Summary Judgment. The Court granted Summary Judgment and entered Judgment in favor of Respondent in the amount of $225,000.00.

Respondent filed a Motion for Attorney's Fees. The Court did not independently rule on the Motion for Attorney's Fees, directing all parties at the hearing granting the Motion for Summary Judgment wherein the Attorney's Fees and costs were granted. Appellants were found by the District Court to be jointly and severally liable for Attorney's Fees and costs as well. Appellants moved for relief from Judgment citing that Respondent is not licensed to do business in

Nevada and is a foreign LLC. Appellants' motion was denied in a chambers ruling, despite having noticed a hearing on the motion.

These parties previously appealed the District Court granting summary judgment and other orders against these appellants. These moving Appellants now appeal the granting of Respondent's Motion for Attorney's Fees entered on October 6, 2021 and the denial of Appellants' Motion for Relief entered on October 27, 2021.

Type of judgment and orders being appealed:

a) Post Judgment Order granting Respondent's Motion for Attorney's Fees, entered on the 6th day of October, 2021

b) Post Judgment Order denying Appellants' Motion for Relief, entered in this action on the 27th day of October, 2021.

J) Case IS currently the subject of another appeal; #83253.

K) This appeal does NOT involve child custody or visitation.

L) Appellants believe this appeal may involve a realistic chance or possibility of settlement.

DATED this 5th day of November, 2021.

THE WASIELEWSKI LAW FIRM, LTD.

/s/ Andrew Pastwick #9146

By:_____
for ANDREW WASIELEWSKI, ESQ.
Nevada Bar #6161
8275 S. Eastern Avenue.
Suite #200-818
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Attorneys for Appellants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that service of Appellants' Case Appeal Statement was served on all parties by utilizing the services of the Eighth Judicial District Court's E-service to provide electronic service to the following on November 5, 2021:

The Ball Law Group
ZACHARY T BALL, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
1935 Village Center Cir #120
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Attorney for *Plaintiff*

David Weinstein (& Medasset Corp)
c/o Michael Orenstein
4018 Sheridan Street
Hollywood, FL 33021
Defendant

David Weinstein
125 Harmon Ave. #122
Las Vegas, NV 89109
Defendant and Registered Agent for Defendant Medasset

Kevin Brown
2006 Sylvan Park Road
Burlington, NJ 08016
Defendant

Visionary Business Brokers
2006 Sylvan Park Road
Burlington, NJ 08016
Defendant

/s/ Andrew Wasielewski

By: _____
An Employee of
THE WASIELEWSKI LAW FIRM

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-19-792836-C

Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)	§	Location:	**Department 14**
vs.	§	Judicial Officer:	**Escobar, Adriana**
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)	§	Filed on:	**04/12/2019**
	§	Cross-Reference Case Number:	**A792836**
	§	Supreme Court No.:	**83253**

CASE INFORMATION

Statistical Closures
10/25/2021 Summary Judgment
10/04/2021 Summary Judgment
06/17/2021 Summary Judgment

Case Type: **Intentional Misconduct**

Case Status: **10/25/2021 Closed**

DATE — CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case Number	A-19-792836-C
Court	Department 14
Date Assigned	04/12/2019
Judicial Officer	Escobar, Adriana

PARTY INFORMATION

		Lead Attorneys
Plaintiff	**Medappeal LLC**	**Ball, Zachary T** *Retained* 702-303-8600(W)
Defendant	**Brown, Kevin**	
	Max Global, Inc.	**Wasielewski, Andrew** *Retained* 702-521-1443(W)
	Medasset Corporation	
	Reddy, Margaret	**Wasielewski, Andrew** *Retained* 702-521-1443(W)
	Reddy, Vijay	**Pro Se** 734-306-1425(H)
	Thalmarla, Mohan	**Wasielewski, Andrew** *Retained* 702-521-1443(W)
	Visionary Business Brokers, LLC	
	Weinstein, David	
Counter Claimant	**Medasset Corporation**	
Counter Defendant	**Medappeal LLC**	
Third Party Defendant	**Liberty Consulting & Management Services LLC**	
Third Party Plaintiff	**Medasset Corporation**	

DATE — EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT — INDEX

EVENTS

04/12/2019 Complaint
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[1] Complaint

04/12/2019 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[2] Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

04/12/2019 Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending
Party: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[3] Summons - Civil

04/25/2019 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[4] Affidavit of Service for Vijay Reddy

04/25/2019 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[5] Affidavit of Service for Margaret Reddy

05/10/2019 Motion to Dismiss
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay; Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[6] Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction

05/10/2019 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay; Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[7] Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

05/10/2019 Supplement
Filed by: Defendant Reddy, Vijay; Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan
[8] Supplement to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction

05/14/2019 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[9] Affidavit of Service for Max Global, Inc.

05/14/2019 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[10] Affidavit of Service for K. Brown

05/14/2019 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[11] Affidavit of Service for M. Thatmarla

05/21/2019 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[12] Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

05/25/2019 Default

Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[13] (7/29/19 Set Aside) Request for Entry of Default as to Kevin Brown

05/28/2019 Motion to Dismiss
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin; Defendant Visionary Business Brokers, LLC
[14] Kevin Brown's and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC's Motion to Dismiss For Lack of Personal Jurisdiction

05/28/2019 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin; Defendant Visionary Business Brokers, LLC
[15] Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

05/28/2019 Motion to Set Aside
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin
[16] Kevin Brown's Motion to Set Aside Default

05/28/2019 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[17] Notice of Hearing

05/29/2019 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[18] Notice of Hearing

06/05/2019 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[19] Opposition to Motion to Set Aside Default

06/05/2019 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[20] Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

06/19/2019 Request
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[21] Request for Hearing

06/20/2019 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[22] Notice of Hearing

06/24/2019 Motion to Dismiss
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[23] Defendants David Weinstein's and Medasset Corporation's Motion to Dismiss

06/25/2019 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[24] Notice of Hearing

07/02/2019 Reply in Support
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin; Defendant Visionary Business Brokers, LLC
[25] Kevin Brown's and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC's Reply In Support of Their Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction

07/02/2019 Reply in Support
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin
[26] Kevin Brown's Reply In Support of His Motion to Set Aside Default

07/08/2019 Opposition to Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[27] Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

07/08/2019 Request for Judicial Notice
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[28] Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

07/29/2019 Order Granting Motion
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin
[29] Order Granting Motion To Set Aside Default

07/29/2019 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin
[30] Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Set Aside Default

07/31/2019 Declaration
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[31] Supplemental Declaration of Seth Johnson In Support of Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

07/31/2019 Reply in Support
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay; Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[32] Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction

08/06/2019 Supplemental
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[33] Plaintiff's Supplemental Brief Concerning Specific Jurisdiction and Judicial Estoppel

08/06/2019 Supplemental Brief
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin; Defendant Visionary Business Brokers, LLC
[34] Kevin Brown's and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC's Supplemental Brief

08/31/2019 First Amended Complaint
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[35] First Amended Complaint

09/16/2019 Motion to Strike
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[36] Defendants David Weinstein s and Medasset Corporation s Motion to Strike First Amended Complaint

09/17/2019 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[37] Notice of Hearing

09/24/2019 Disclosure Statement
[38] NRCP 7.1 Disclosure

09/30/2019 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[39] Notice of Entry of Order

09/30/2019 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[40] Notice of Entry of Order

09/30/2019 Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[41] Order Granting in Part Motion to Dismiss

10/04/2019 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[42] Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motions to Dismiss

10/04/2019 Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[43] Order Denying Motions to Dismiss

10/25/2019 Answer to Amended Complaint
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin; Defendant Visionary Business Brokers, LLC
[44] Kevin Brown and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC's Answer to First Amended Complaint

10/28/2019 Motion to Dismiss
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[45] DEFENDANTS DAVID WEINSTEIN S AND MEDASSET CORPORATION S MOTION FOR PARTIAL DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

10/28/2019 Answer to Amended Complaint
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay; Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[46] Defendants Answer to Amended Complaint

10/29/2019 Request for Exemption From Arbitration
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[47] Request for Exemption from Arbitration

10/29/2019 Request
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[48] Request for Hearing on Motion for Partial Dismissal Filed by Defendants David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation

10/31/2019 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[49] Notice of Hearing

11/11/2019 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[50] Opposition to Motion for Partial Dismissal

11/14/2019 Commissioners Decision on Request for Exemption - Granted
[51] Commissioner's Decision on Request for Exemption - GRANTED

12/09/2019 Reply in Support
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[52] Defendants David Weinstein's and Medasset Corporation's Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Dismissal of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint

12/20/2019 Notice of Change of Address
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[53] NOTICE OF CHANGE OF CONTACT INFORMATION AND FIRM AFFILIATION

12/27/2019 Answer to Amended Complaint
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[54] Defendants David Weinstein's and Medasset Corporation's Answer to First Amended Complaint

12/27/2019 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[55] Defendants David Weinstein's and Medasset Corporation's Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

01/02/2020 Joint Case Conference Report
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[56] Joint Case Conference Report

01/30/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[57] Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Partial Dismissal

01/30/2020 Order Denying Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[58] Order Denying Motion for Partial Dismissal Filed By David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation

03/10/2020 Motion to Compel
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[59] Motion to Compel Inspection or Production of Documents

03/10/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[60] Notice of Hearing

03/10/2020 Motion to Compel
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[61] Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Kevin Brown

03/10/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[62] Notice of Hearing

03/24/2020 Reply in Support
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[63] Plaintiff's Notice of No Opposition to Motion to Compel Production of Documents

03/24/2020 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[64] Defendants David Weinstein's and Medasset Corporation's Opposition to Motion to Compel Inspection or Production of Documents

04/06/2020 Re-Notice
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[65] Re-Notice of Motion to Compel Further Responses

04/06/2020 Re-Notice
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC

[66] Re-Notice of Motion to Compel Inspection or Production of Documents

04/06/2020 Notice of Change of Hearing
[67] Notice of Change of Hearing

04/06/2020 Motion to Compel
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[68] Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Vijay Reddy

04/06/2020 Motion to Compel
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[69] Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Margaret Reddy

04/06/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[70] Notice of Hearing

04/06/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[71] Notice of Hearing

04/09/2020 Reply in Support
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[72] Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Motion to Compel Inspection or Production of Documents

04/14/2020 Stipulation
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[73] Stipulation to Withdraw Motion to Compel Further Responses

04/17/2020 Substitution of Attorney
Filed by: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[74] Substitution of Attorneys

04/20/2020 Opposition
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret
[75] Margaret Reddy's Opposition to Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Margaret Reddy

04/20/2020 Opposition
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay
[76] Vijay Reddy's Opposition to Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Vijay Reddy

04/24/2020 Notice
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[77] Notice of Order Denying Petition for Reciprocal Discipline and Suspending Attorney

04/27/2020 Reply to Opposition
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[78] Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC's Reply to Vijay Reddy's Opposition to Motion to Compel Further Responses

04/27/2020 Reply to Opposition
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[79] Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC's Reply to Margaret Reddy's Opposition to Motion to Compel

Further Responses

04/27/2020 Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[80] Discovery Commissioner s Report and Recommendations -Originals

05/06/2020 Notice of Association of Counsel
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[81] Notice of Association of Counsel

05/08/2020 Notice of Withdrawal of Attorney
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[82] Notice of Withdrawal of Attorney

05/13/2020 Motion to Stay
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[83] David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Motion to Stay Case on Order Shortening Time

05/14/2020 Notice
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[84] Notice to Vacate Hearing and Withdraw David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation s Motion to Stay Case on an Order Shortening Time

05/18/2020 Motion to Compel
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[85] Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Margaret Reddy

05/18/2020 Motion to Compel
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[86] Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Vijay Reddy

05/19/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[87] Notice of Hearing

05/19/2020 Order
[88] Order RE: Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations [April 16, 2020]

05/21/2020 Notice of Entry
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[89] Notice of Entry of Order

05/28/2020 Opposition to Motion to Compel
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret
[90] Margaret Reddy's Opposition to Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Margaret Reddy

05/28/2020 Opposition to Motion to Compel
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay
[91] Vijay Reddy's Opposition to Paintiff Medappeal, LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Vijay Reddy

06/09/2020 Mandatory Rule 16 Conference Order
[92] Mandatory Rule 16 Conference Order

06/16/2020 Mandatory Rule 16 Conference Order
[93] AMENDED MANDATORY RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE ORDER

06/18/2020 Reply to Opposition
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[94] Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Reply to Margaret Reddy's Opposition to Motion to Compel Further Responses

06/18/2020 Reply to Opposition
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[95] Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Reply to Vijay Reddy's Opposition to Motion to Compel Further Responses

06/25/2020 Motion for Protective Order
[96] EMERGENCY MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME AND TO STAY THE DEPOSITION OF DAVID WEINSTEIN CURRENTLY SCHEDULED FOR JULY 1, 2020

06/29/2020 Opposition to Motion
[97] Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Protective Order

06/29/2020 Reply in Support
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[98] Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for a Protective Order and to Stay the Deposition of David Weinstein Currently Scheduled for July 1, 2020

07/13/2020 Motion for Leave to File
[99] David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Motion for Leave to Amend their Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and Add Counterclaims, and a Third-Party Complaint

07/14/2020 Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[100] Discovery Commissioner s Report and Recommendations -Originals

07/14/2020 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
[101] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document

07/15/2020 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document and Curative Action
[102] Clerk's Notice of Curative Action

07/15/2020 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Defendant Weinstein, David
[103] Certificate of Service

07/16/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[104] Notice of Hearing

07/20/2020 Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[105] Discovery Commissioner s Report and Recommendations -Originals

07/23/2020 Demand for Jury Trial

Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[106] Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Demand for Jury Trial and Notice of Deposti of Jury Fees

07/27/2020 Opposition
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[107] Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Leave To Amend

07/28/2020 Objection to Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommend
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[108] Defendants David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Objection to Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations

07/30/2020 Filing Fee Remittance
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin; Defendant Visionary Business Brokers, LLC
[109] Filing Fee Remittance

08/03/2020 Objection to Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommend
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[110] Defendants David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Objection to Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations

08/04/2020 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[111] Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Opposition to Defendants David Weinstein's and Medasset Corporation's Objection to Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations

08/05/2020 Order
[112] Order- RE. Discovery Commissoner's Report and Recommendations

08/05/2020 Order
[113] Order- RE. Discovery Commissoner's Report and Recommendations

08/10/2020 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[114] Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Opposition to Defendants David Weinstein's and Medasset Corporation's Objection to Discovery Commissioner's July 20 Report and Recommendations

08/21/2020 Order Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call
[115] Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial

09/03/2020 Filing Fee Remittance
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay; Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[116] Filing Fee Remittance

09/10/2020 Reply in Support
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[117] Reply in Support of David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation s Motion for Leave to Amend Their Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and Add Counterclaims, and a Third-Party Complaint

09/21/2020 Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations
[118] Discovery Commissioner s Report and Recommendations -Originals

10/04/2020 Order

Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[119] Order on All Pending Motions

10/05/2020 Objection to Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommend
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[120] Defendants David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation s Objection to the September 21, 2020 Discovery Commissioner s Report and Recommendations

10/08/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[121] Notice of Entry of Order on All Pending Motions

10/08/2020 Amended Answer
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[122] First Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses, Counterclaim, and Third-Party Complaint

10/08/2020 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
[123] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document

10/08/2020 Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending
Party: Defendant Weinstein, David
[124] Summons

10/09/2020 Filing Fee Remittance
Filed By: Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[125] Filing Fee Remittance

10/12/2020 Opposition
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[126] Opposition to Defendants' Objection to the September 21, 2020 Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations

10/15/2020 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[127] Affidavit of Service

10/15/2020 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document and Curative Action
[128] Clerk's Notice of Curative Action

10/23/2020 Stipulated Protective Order
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[129] Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order

10/23/2020 Order
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[130] Order Re:Discovery Commissioner['s Report and Recommendations

10/25/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[131] Notice of Entry of Order

10/26/2020 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
Filed By: Third Party Defendant Liberty Consulting & Management Services LLC
[132] Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19)

10/26/2020 Motion To Dismiss - Alternative Motion For Summary Judgment
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[133] Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment

10/26/2020 Motion for Sanctions
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[134] Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure

10/27/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[135] Notice of Hearing

10/27/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[136] Notice of Hearing

10/28/2020 Errata
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[137] Errata to Motion for Sanctions

11/10/2020 Motion to Extend
Party: Defendant Weinstein, David
[138] Motion to Extend the Time to Oppose Plaintiffs Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment

11/10/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[139] Notice of Hearing

11/10/2020 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[140] Opposition to Plaintiff s Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure and Counter-motion for an Award of Attorney s Fees and Costs

11/17/2020 Opposition
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[141] Opposition to Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment

11/25/2020 Errata
Filed By: Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[142] Errata to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment

11/30/2020 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[143] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REJECTING DEFENDANTS, DAVID WEINSTEIN AND MEDASSET CORPORATION'S OBJECTION TO THE SEPTEMBER 21, 2020 DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

11/30/2020 Order Granting
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[144] ORDER GRANTING DAVID WEINSTEIN AND MEDASSET CORPORATION'S MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME TO OPPOSE PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

12/01/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[145] Notice of Entry of Order

12/01/2020 Reply to Opposition
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[146] Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Opposition to Plaintiff s Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure and Countermotion for An Award of Fees and Costs Attorney's Fees and Costs

12/02/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[147] Notice Of Entry Of Order Denying Defendant s Objection To The September 21, 2020 Discovery Commissioner s Report And Recommendations

12/10/2020 Reply to Opposition
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[148] Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss or, In The Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment

12/10/2020 Errata
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[149] Errata to Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment

01/04/2021 Order Shortening Time
[150] MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS DAVID WEINSTEIN AND MEDASSET CORPORATION ON AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME

01/05/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation
[151] Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time

01/11/2021 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[152] Limited Opposition to Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Defendants David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation on Order Shortening Time

01/12/2021 Notice of Change of Address
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC; Third Party Defendant Liberty Consulting & Management Services LLC
[153] Notice of Change of Address

01/12/2021 Notice of Non Opposition
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[154] Notice of No Opposition by David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation to Carbajal Law s Motion to Withdraw as Counsel

01/12/2021 Reply in Support
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[155] Reply in Support of Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Defendants David Weisntein and Medasset Corporation

01/12/2021 Errata
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David; Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation

[156] Errata to Reply in Support of Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Defendants David Weisntein and Medasset Corporation

01/15/2021 Order Granting
[157] Order Granting Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Defendants David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation on an OST

01/15/2021 Notice
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[158] Notice of Address and Contact Information of Defendants Pursuant to Court s Order Granting Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Defendants David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation on An Ost

01/15/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[159] Notice of Entry of Order

02/16/2021 Order Shortening Time
Filed By: Defendant Visionary Business Brokers, LLC
[160] Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Kevin Brown and Visionary Business Brokers, lLC on Order Shortening Time

02/18/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin
[162] Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time

03/15/2021 Motion for Summary Judgment
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[163] Plaintiff Motion for Summary Judgement

03/16/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[164] Notice of Hearing

03/16/2021 Order Granting Motion
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin
[165] Order Granting Zachary Takos' Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Defendants Kevin Brown and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC

03/16/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Defendant Brown, Kevin; Defendant Visionary Business Brokers, LLC
[166] Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Withdraw As Counsel for Defendants Kevin Brown and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC

03/23/2021 Errata
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[167] Errate to Motion for Summary Judgment

03/29/2021 Opposition to Motion For Summary Judgment
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay; Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan
[168] Vijay Reddy, Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalamarla, and Max Global, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment

03/30/2021 Order
[169] Order Regarding (1) Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Motion for

Summary Judgment, and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion for Rule 11 Sanctions

04/06/2021 Notice of Non Opposition
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[170] Medappeal, LLC's Notice of Non-Opposition to Its' Motion for Summary Judgement

04/06/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[171] Notice of Entry of Order Regardign Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss

04/13/2021 Reply to Opposition
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[172] Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment

05/28/2021 Notice of Change of Address
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay; Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan
[173] Notice of Change of Address

06/17/2021 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment
Filed by: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[174] Findings of Fact Conclusions of Law Granting Plaintiff MedAppeal's Motion for Summary Judgment

06/18/2021 Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC; Third Party Defendant Liberty Consulting & Management Services LLC
[175] Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law Granting Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment

06/23/2021 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[176] Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursments

07/08/2021 Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[177] Medappeal's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs

07/09/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[178] Notice of Hearing

07/09/2021 Substitution of Attorney
Filed by: Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[179] Substitution Of Attorneys For Defendants Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalamarla and Max Global, Inc.

07/15/2021 Recorders Transcript of Hearing
Party: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[180] Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgement heard 4-29-21

07/16/2021 Notice of Appeal
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.

[181] Notice Of Appeal

07/17/2021 Case Appeal Statement
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[182] Case Appeal Statement

07/20/2021 Motion to Withdraw As Counsel
Filed By: Defendant Weinstein, David
[183] Defendants Motion to Withdraw as Vijay Reddy's Attorneys of Record

07/20/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[184] Notice of Hearing

07/21/2021 Writ Electronically Issued
Party: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[185] Writ of Execution

07/21/2021 Writ Electronically Issued
Party: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[186] Writ of Execution

07/21/2021 Writ Electronically Issued
Party: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[187] Writ of Execution

07/22/2021 Motion for Stay of Execution
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[188] Defendants' Motion for Stay of Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal

07/22/2021 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
[189] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document

07/22/2021 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document and Curative Action
[190] Clerk's Notice of Curative Action

07/22/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[191] Notice of Hearing

07/22/2021 Errata
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[192] Errata to Defendants Motion for Stay of Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal

07/22/2021 Motion to Extend
[193] Defendants Motion to Extend Time to File Opposition to Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Filed by Plaintiff First Request

07/23/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[194] Notice of Hearing

07/30/2021 Motion for Relief
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max

Global, Inc.
[195] Defendants' Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalamarla and Max Global's Honeycutt Motion for Relief from Judgment or Order

08/02/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[196] Notice of Hearing

08/02/2021 Notice of Non Opposition
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[197] Notice of Non-Opposition to Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs

08/05/2021 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[198] Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Stay of Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal

08/05/2021 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[199] Plaintiff's Limited Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Extend Time to File Opposition to Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

08/13/2021 Opposition to Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[200] Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Huneycutt Motion for Relief from Judgment or Order

08/19/2021 Status Report
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[201] Defendants Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalamarla and Max Global, Inc.'s Status Report and Defendant's Request for Consolidation of All Motions

08/20/2021 Opposition
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[202] Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Request for Consolidation of All Motions

08/25/2021 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[203] First Supplemental Verified Memorandum of Costs & Disbursements & Request to Amend Judgment to Include Prejudgment Interest

08/31/2021 Opposition
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret; Defendant Thalmarla, Mohan; Defendant Max Global, Inc.
[204] Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Attorney's Fees

09/01/2021 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[205] Findings of Facts and Law and order Granting Defendants' Motion to Stay

09/01/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[206] Notice of Entry of Findings of Facts and Law and order Granting Defendants' Motion to Stay

09/07/2021

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC; Counter Defendant Medappeal LLC
[207] Findings of Facts and Law and order Granting Defendants' Motion to Stay

09/07/2021 Order to Withdraw as Attorney of Record
Filed by: Defendant Reddy, Vijay
[208] Order Granting Motion to Withdraw As Attorney of Record

09/08/2021 Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC; Third Party Defendant Liberty Consulting & Management Services LLC
[209] Notice of Entry of Findings and Fact and Law an Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Stay

09/15/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Vijay
[210] Notice of Entry of Order

10/04/2021 Order Granting Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[211] Order Granting Attorney's Fees & Costs

10/06/2021 Notice of Entry
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[212] Notice of Entry of Order Granting Attorney's Fees & Costs

10/25/2021 Application for Examination of Judgment Debtor
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[213] Plaintiff's Application for Examination of Judgment Debtor Mohan Thalmarla

10/25/2021 Application for Examination of Judgment Debtor
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[214] Plaintiff's Application for Examination of Judgment Debtor Margaret Reddy

10/25/2021 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[215] Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Relief

10/27/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC; Third Party Defendant Liberty Consulting & Management Services LLC
[216] Notice of Entry of Order Granting Findings of Facts and Law and Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Relief

10/29/2021 Amended
Filed By: Counter Defendant Medappeal LLC
[217] Amended Motion of Debtor Exam - Margaret Reddy

10/29/2021 Amended
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[218] Amended Motion for Debtor Exam - Mohan Thalmarla

11/03/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[219] Notice of Hearing

11/03/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[220] Notice of Hearing

11/03/2021 Posting of Appeal Bond
Filed by: Defendant Reddy, Margaret
[221] Posting Appeal Bond

11/04/2021 Affidavit Claiming Exempt Property
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret
[222] Affidavit Claiming Exempt Property

11/05/2021 Notice of Appeal
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret
[223] Notice Of Appeal

11/05/2021 Case Appeal Statement
Filed By: Defendant Reddy, Margaret
[224] Case Appeal Statement

11/05/2021 Motion to Enforce
Filed By: Plaintiff Medappeal LLC
[225] Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce Agreement to Amend Judgment

11/08/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[226] Notice of Hearing

DISPOSITIONS

09/30/2019 **Order of Dismissal** (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Debtors: David Weinstein (Defendant), Medasset Corporation (Defendant)
Creditors: Medappeal LLC (Plaintiff)
Judgment: 09/30/2019, Docketed: 10/01/2019
Comment: Certain Causes

03/30/2021 **Sanctions** (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Debtors: Medasset Corporation (Defendant)
Creditors: Medappeal LLC (Plaintiff)
Judgment: 03/30/2021, Docketed: 03/31/2021
Comment: certain claims

06/17/2021 **Summary Judgment** (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Debtors: David Weinstein (Defendant), Vijay Reddy (Defendant), Margaret Reddy (Defendant), Mohan Thalmarla (Defendant), Kevin Brown (Defendant), Max Global, Inc. (Defendant), Visionary Business Brokers, LLC (Defendant), Medasset Corporation (Defendant)
Creditors: Medappeal LLC (Plaintiff)
Judgment: 06/17/2021, Docketed: 06/18/2021
Total Judgment: 225,000.00

10/04/2021 **Order** (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Debtors: Margaret Reddy (Defendant), Mohan Thalmarla (Defendant), Max Global, Inc. (Defendant)
Creditors: Medappeal LLC (Plaintiff)
Judgment: 10/04/2021, Docketed: 10/06/2021
Total Judgment: 177,534.01
Debtors: David Weinstein (Defendant), Vijay Reddy (Defendant), Margaret Reddy (Defendant), Mohan Thalmarla (Defendant), Kevin Brown (Defendant), Max Global, Inc. (Defendant), Visionary Business Brokers, LLC (Defendant), Medasset Corporation (Defendant)

Creditors: Medappeal LLC (Plaintiff)
Judgment: 10/04/2021, Docketed: 10/06/2021
Total Judgment: 402,534.01

HEARINGS

06/13/2019 *CANCELED* **Motion to Dismiss** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Vacated - Set in Error
Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction

07/09/2019 **Motion to Dismiss** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
07/09/2019, 08/20/2019
Kevin Brown's and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
Matter Continued;
Denied;
Matter Continued;
Denied;
Journal Entry Details:
Mr. Freeman stated no cause was alleged as to why Mr. Brown didn't hire counsel after being granted an extention. The Court noted that Mr. Freeman's argument does have merit, however the Plaintiff lives in New Jersey. COURT FINDS, there being no prejudice to the Plaintiff, Kevin Brown's Motion to Set Aside Default is GRANTED. FURTHER, counsel to submit further briefing regarding the elements and specific jurisdiction; Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction is CONTINUED. CONTINUED TO: 8/20/19 9:30 AM;

07/09/2019 **Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Kevin Brown's Motion to Set Aside Default
Granted;

07/09/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Granted in Part;
Journal Entry Details:
KEVIN BROWN'S AND VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION...KEVIN BROWN'S MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT Arguments by Mr. Takos regarding his Motion to Set Aside Default. Mr. Freedman stated there was no cause alleged regarding why Mr. Brown didn't hire counsel after being granted two extensions. COURT FINDS, Mr. Freedman's argument has merit, however the party kept in contact with counsel and he resides in New Jersey. COURT ORDERED, Kevin Brown's Motion to Set Aside Default is GRANTED, however a motion such as this will not be entertained again. FURTHER, counsel to submit further briefing regarding the elements and specific jurisdiction; Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction is CONTINUED. CONTINUED TO: 8/20/19 9:30 AM ;

08/01/2019 **Motion to Dismiss** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
08/01/2019, 08/20/2019
Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
Matter Continued;
Denied;
Matter Continued;
Denied;
Journal Entry Details:
The COURT noted the Defendant's file brief was filed late and ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to allow the Court and parties to review the documents. CONTINUED TO: 8/20/19 9:30 AM;

08/06/2019 **Motion to Dismiss** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Defendants David Weinstein's and Medasset Corporation's Motion to Dismiss
Granted in Part;
Journal Entry Details:
Mr. Clark stated there are five claims for relief in the Plaintiff's complaint and the elements of

the claims have not been proved, therefore he requested that this be dismissed in its entirety. Opposition by Mr. Freedman. He argued that the Defendants have been sued several times for the same scheme; all five elements should stand. COURT ORDERED, motion is DISMISSED IN PART as to claims two through five. FURTHER, Plaintiff is allowed to amend the complaint with more specificity regarding fraud. Mr. Freedman to prepare the order.;

08/20/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Denied;
Journal Entry Details:
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION...KEVIN BROWN'S AND VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION Mr. Takos stated that the Plaintiff bears the burden in this case and they haven't directed any of the conduct to the State of Nevada. He addressed the judicial estoppel issue and submitted that the transcript of the hearing in Illinois was unclear. Opposition by Mr. Freedman. He advised that the Defendants live in Michigan, New Jersey and Nevada which makes this an extraordinary case. Additionally, he stated that Mr. Brown has the burden of persuasion and it is clear that the positions are inconsistent. He further advised that the Illinois transcript was complete and consistent and the Court applied rulings to all of the Defendants. COURT ORDERED, Defendant's motion are DENIED. FURTHER, this Court adopts the findings of the Illinois Court. Mr. Freedman to prepare the order.;

10/17/2019 *CANCELED* **Motion to Strike** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Vacated - per Attorney or Pro Per
Defendants David Weinstein s and Medasset Corporation s Motion to Strike First Amended Complaint

12/12/2019 **Motion to Dismiss** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Defendants' D. Weinsteins & Medasset Corporations Motion for Partial Dismissal of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint
Denied;
Journal Entry Details:
Mr. Freedman objected that the Defendants brief was filed late and contains arguments not in the moving papers. COURT ORDERED, the hearing is to move forward on the merits. Mr. Clark argued that four of the five claims for relief deal with allegations of fraud and should be dismissed as the Plaintiff failed to plead all of the elements of the causes of action. Mr. Freedman stated that the complaint has to be reviewed as a whole and they are discussing one paragraph of the complaint. COURT FINDS, the fraud has been sufficiently pled, and ORDERED, motion DENIED. Mr. Freedman to prepare the order. FURTHER, pursuant to request by Mr. Freedman, the Plaintiff is to submit disclosures.;

04/16/2020 **Motion to Compel** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Inspection or Production of Documents Pursuant to Rules 34 and 37 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure
Off Calendar;

04/16/2020 **Motion to Compel** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Kevin Brown
Off Calendar;

04/16/2020 **All Pending Motions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Inspection or Production of Documents Pursuant to Rules 34 and 37 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Kevin Brown Discovery staff stated counsel Stipulated, and Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Kevin Brown is OFF CALENDAR and VACATED. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Inspection or Production of Documents Pursuant to Rules 34 and 37 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure was resolved by counsel, and the documents were received. Mr. Freeman thought there was an agreement for $300 attorney fees. Argument by Mr. Clark. Commissioner stated it seems like a reasonable amount of fees. Commissioner Finds there was a sufficient attempt to meet and confer. Mr.

Freeman will stick with his agreement of $300, and Mr. Freeman requested an additional $90 for today. Mr. Clark and Mr. Freeman agreed. Mr. Freedman to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and counsel to approve as to form and content. Comply with Administrative Order 20-10, and submit the DCRR to DiscoveryInbox@clarkcountycourts.us. A proper report must be timely submitted within 14 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution. ;

05/07/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion to Compel** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Vacated
Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Vijay Reddy

05/07/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion to Compel** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Vacated
Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Margaret Reddy

05/14/2020 *CANCELED* **Status Check: Compliance** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Vacated
Status Check: Compliance / 4-16-2020 DCRR

05/20/2020 **Motion to Stay** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Motion to Stay Case on OST
Granted;
Journal Entry Details:
COURT ORDERED, a minute order will be issued.;

05/20/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion to Stay** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Vacated - Duplicate Entry
David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Motion to Stay Case on Order Shortening Time

06/19/2020 **Minute Order** (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Granted;
Journal Entry Details:
Defendants Motion to Stay Case (Motion), which Plaintiff did not oppose, was set for hearing before Department XIV of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on May 20, 2020. After considering the moving papers of counsel, the Court enters the following order: The Court has the power to stay this action in the interest of both the Court and the parties: [T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants. How this can best be done calls for the exercise of judgment which must weigh competing interests and maintain an even balance. Maheu v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court In & For Clark Cty., Dep't No. 6, 89 Nev. 214, 217 (1973). Here, the COVID-19 pandemic has had and continues to have a direct impact on this matter. Namely, as Defendants explain, the Parties have not been able to execute the discovery necessary to prepare for trial. Thus, the interests here weigh in favor of staying this matter. Based on the foregoing, the Court GRANTS Defendants Motion, STAYS this matter, and sets a status check for August 26, 2020. Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order. All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption. CLEKRK'S NOTE: This minute order distributed to all registered parties of Odyssey File and Serve. dh // 6/19/20;

06/25/2020 **Motion to Compel** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Margaret Reddy
Granted;

06/25/2020 **Motion to Compel** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Vijay Reddy
Granted;

06/25/2020

All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Margaret Reddy Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Vijay Reddy MATTER TRAILED AND RECALLED: Commissioner stated there was a one day delay in serving responses after Defts' frustrated attempts to obtain an extension. Commissioner Found excusable neglect, and the OBJECTIONS STAND. Mr. Ball has not received supplemental documents. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Margaret Reddy is GRANTED; Interrogatory 2 by agreement, Plaintiff is seeking information for Mr. Weinstein at this point, and provide a name, address, and phone number of Mr. Weinstein for the dates she was employed by him; Interrogatory 3 supplement with information as required in Interrogatory 2; Interrogatory 10 the nature and purpose of the $325,000 transfer as Directed on the record; Interrogatories 5, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 any factual information Defts have in their possession must be specifically stated, and provide the factual basis (that Deft is relying upon); Interrogatory 18 any job duties, functions, or services performed; Interrogatory 19 as Directed on the record; Interrogatory 20 must be more specific; respond to the nature of the business relationship, and define the time period (2008 to 2018); Interrogatory 21 modified, and respond through 5-1-2018; Request for Production of Documents 9 modified, and any job duties, functions, or services provided for, or performed for Mr. Weinstein; RFP 10, 14, and 15 are fine as written; RFP 16 tailored as Directed on the record; RFP 5 should be compelled. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Vijay Reddy is GRANTED; same Rulings as Margaret Reddy; counsel agreed to work through any written discovery issues based on Commissioner's Recommendations. Mr. Hejmanowski requested to continue Vijay Reddy's 6-26-2020 deposition based on the additional documents needed. Mr. Hejmanowski and Mr. Carbajal addressed the deficient deposition Notice. If the deposition goes forward, Plaintiff's counsel will not have the opportunity to re-depose Vijay Reddy on new information based on today's Motion. Mr. Ball requested to go forward with the deposition set 6-26-2020 at 10:00 a.m. The deposition will be taken by alternative means, and Mr. Ball will provide the information today to all counsel. Mr. Ball had requested fees. Commissioner Will Not Grant the Request for Fees. Mr. Ball to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and counsel to approve as to form and content. Comply with Administrative Order 20-10, and submit the DCRR to DiscoveryInbox@clarkcountycourts.us. A proper report must be timely submitted within 14 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution. CLERK'S NOTE: Minute Order amended 7-10-2020. jl;

06/30/2020

Motion for Protective Order (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Events: 06/25/2020 Motion for Protective Order
Emergency Motion for a Protective Order on OST and to Stay the Deposition of David Weinstein Currently Scheduled for July 1, 2020
Granted in Part; Emergency Motion for a Protective Order on OST and to Stay the Deposition of David Weinstein Currently Scheduled for July 1, 2020
Journal Entry Details:

Mr. Carbajal is willing to turn the information over to counsel, but also making certain the information is protected. Argument by Mr. Carbajal; he requested 1) limiting inquiries to two years, 2) staying the deposition, and 3) protecting information for attorneys' eyes only. Colloquy regarding taking a deposition in David Weinstein's individual capacity, and as a 30 (b)(6) witness. Argument by Mr. Ball. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, motion is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; depose David Weinstein in his personal and individual capacity for a time spanning ten years; deposing David Weinstein as a 30(b)(6) witness for two years unless there are specific transactions, or other things are identified beyond two years that the deposing party would like Mr. Weinstein to be prepared to testified to as the 30(b)(6) Deponent. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Plaintiff is a party, and Plaintiff has the right to attend Mr. Weinstein's deposition. If the parties cannot agree on the terms of a Protective Order, COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, pursuant to NRCP 26(c), the information is PROTECTED for use in this litigation only, and only Attorneys' eyes (and staff), the parties, essential witnesses, and experts' eyes only. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, the 30(b)(6) witness deposition is STAYED until counsel have another 2.34 conference to narrow down the testimony; Status Check SET; counsel must submit a joint status letter to Commissioner by noon on 7-20-2020 (DiscoveryInbox@clarkcountycourts.us). Colloquy regarding the deposition Notice, and moving forward with David Weinstein's deposition in his individual capacity. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, the deposition for Mr. Weinstein individually for a period of ten years is STAYED to give Mr. Carbajal a chance to file an Objection. Mr. Carbajal to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and counsel to

approve as to form and content. Comply with Administrative Order 20-10, and submit the DCRR to DiscoveryInbox@clarkcountycourts.us. A proper report must be timely submitted within 14 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution. 7-21-2020 9:30 a.m. Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition;

07/21/2020 **Status Check** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
07/21/2020, 08/14/2020
Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition / Final execution of the Agreement
Matter Continued; Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition
Matter Continued; Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition
Counsel resolved the issues
Journal Entry Details:
Commissioner addressed the Minute Order from 7-21-2020. Commissioner received the proposed Confidential Stipulated Protective Order. Mr. Ball stated changes were made that Deft did not agree to. Commissioner will not order that someone sign an Agreement. Colloquy regarding fixing language as discussed on the record, and Section 16 was discussed. Commissioner stated counsel should consider adding language that the receiving party may seek indemnification. Commissioner stated counsel need to work further to reach an agreement. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, matter CONTINUED; if counsel reach an agreement, contact the Discovery office, and the Status Check can be taken off calendar. If counsel have not reached an agreement on the Stipulated Protective Order, then the DCRR needs to be submitted prior to September 3rd. Commissioner stated the Stipulated Protective Order needs to be addressed prior to David Weinstein's deposition. 9-3-2020 10:00 a.m. Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition / Final execution of the Agreement CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Jennifer Lott, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. jl;
Matter Continued; Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition
Matter Continued; Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition
Counsel resolved the issues
Journal Entry Details:
Commissioner received the Joint Status letter from counsel. Argument by Mr. Carbajal. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, counsel must work to try and prepare a Stipulated Protective Order as it must be figured out before David Weinstein's deposition. Mr. Carbajal will be filing an objection on the prior Ruling by the Commissioner. Commissioner addressed the 6-30-2020 Minute Order. Colloquy. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Status Check CONTINUED. 8-14-2020 9:30 A.M. Status Check: Joint Status letter / David Weinstein's deposition;

07/22/2020 **Mandatory Rule 16 Conference** (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Trial Date Set;
Journal Entry Details:
Discussion regarding Discussion deadlines. Counsel requested that deadlines be extended an additional six months. Colloquy regarding settlement. COURT ORDERED, deadlines as follows: Discovery Cut-Off, 5/10/21; Amend Pleadings & Add Parties, 2/8/21; Initial Disclosure, 2/8/21; Rebuttal Disclosure, 3/10/21; Dispositive Motions, 6/9/21; Trial Ready Date, 7/26/21. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, trial and status check dates SET. 5/5/21 IN CHAMBERS STATUS CHECK: SETTLEMENT PROGRESS 8/19/21 9:30 AM CALENDAR CALL 9/7/21 9:30 AM JURY TRIAL;

07/30/2020 *CANCELED* **Status Check: Compliance** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Vacated
Status Check: Compliance / 6-25-2020 DCRR

08/06/2020 *CANCELED* **Status Check: Compliance** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Truman, Erin)
Vacated
Status Check: Compliance / 6-30-2020 DCRR

08/26/2020 *CANCELED* **Status Check** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Vacated - per Law Clerk
Stay

09/03/2020 **Motion for Leave** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
09/03/2020, 09/17/2020
David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Motion for Leave to Amend their Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and Add Counterclaims, and a Third-Party Complaint
Per Dept. 14 LC
Per Dept. 14 LC
Matter Continued; David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Motion for Leave to Amend their Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and Add Counterclaims, and a Third-Party Complaint Granted;
Per Dept. 14 LC
Per Dept. 14 LC
Matter Continued; David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Motion for Leave to Amend their Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and Add Counterclaims, and a Third-Party Complaint Granted;
Journal Entry Details:
COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED. CONTINUED TO: 09/17/2020 09:30 AM;

09/17/2020 **Objection to Discovery Commissioner's Report** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Master's Recommendation Affirmed;

09/17/2020 **Objection to Discovery Commissioner's Report** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Master's Recommendation Affirmed;

09/17/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion for Leave** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Vacated - Duplicate Entry
Motion to Leave to Amend Answer and Counterclaim

09/17/2020 **All Pending Motions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
DAVID WEINSTEIN AND MEDASSET CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THEIR ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND ADD COUNTERCLAIMS, AND A THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT...OBJECTION TO DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT As to David Weinstein And Medasset Corporation's Motion for Leave to Amend Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and Add Counterclaims, and a Third-Party Complaint: Mr. Carbajal noted he is well within the time frame to seek amendment, therefore, and requested the Court grant the motion for Leave to Amend. Mr. Ball argued three of the four elements are met specifically undue delay, bad faith, and dilatory motives, therefore, motion should not be granted. Upon further arguments by counsel, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED. As to the Objections to the Discovery Commissioner s Reports: Mr. Carbajal noted he objected to the July 14, 2020, report out of an abundance of caution that the report be considered law of the case concerning the time frame for all discovery in this case. Further Mr. Carbajal argued that the Discovery Commissioner's Report of July 20, 2020, permitted inquiry into the preceding 10 years from the contract date for Mr. Weinstein in his individual capacity and under the new rules concerning discovery and limitations being placed on discovery the information sought has to be both relevant and proportional; we do not believe 10 years-worth of information is not the appropriate time frame for setting discovery in this case. Mr. Ball stated it is clear within the first amended complaint and numerous exhibits attached that there is proof of conspiracy and there is proof of fraud. Mr. Ball argued they believe they can meet all of the elements to show a course of conduct of bad acts on a continual basis and they believe they have the right to pursue discovery, based on that both objections should be denied. There being no opposition, COURT FINDS there are claims and many exhibits with respect to fraud, conspiracy to commit fraud, and civil RICO actions, therefore, ORDERED, Discovery Commissioner's Report & Recommendations for July 14, 2020, and July 20, 2020, are hereby AFFIRMED. Mr. Ball DIRECTED to prepare the proposed order including the ruling on the motion for leave to amend, allow Mr. Carbajal to review as to form and content and must submit electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, by e-mailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. Mr. Ball requested that the amended answer and adding related claims be given a deadline of 10 days after notice of entry of order is filed, therefore, COURT ORDERED, request GRANTED.;

10/01/2020 **Minute Order** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
10/01/2020, 10/01/2020
Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Objection to DCRR July 20, 2020
Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Objection to DCRR July 14, 2020
Journal Entry Details:
Defendants David Weinstein and Medasset Corporations Objection to Discovery Commissioner s Report and Recommendations (Objection) came on for hearing before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on September 17, 2020. Attorney Hector J. Carbjal II appeared on behalf of Defendants. Attorney Zachary T. Ball appeared on behalf of Plaintiff. After considering the pleadings and arguments, the Court enters the following order: Discovery matters are within the district court's sound discretion, and we will not disturb a district court's ruling regarding discovery unless the court has clearly abused its discretion. Club Vista Fin. Servs. v. Dist. Ct., 128 Nev. 224, 228 (2012). Pursuant to NRCP 26(b)(1): Parties may obtain discovery regarding any non-privileged matter that is relevant to any party s claims or defenses and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the action, the amount in controversy, the parties relative access to relevant information, the parties resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. NRS 48.015 defines relevant evidence as evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more or less probable than it would be without the evidence. Here, the underlying matter concerns a contract entered into on or about May 3, 2018. In its July 14, 2020 Report and Recommendation (DCRR), the Discovery Commission recommended that Defendants Margaret Reddy and Vijay Reddy (collectively, the Reddy Defendants) supplement their responses to certain discovery to include a timeframe from January 1, 2008 through May 1, 2018. Defendants Weinstein and Medasset object to the time frame of this discovery. Specifically, they argue that Defendants business operations, dealings, and activities ten years removed from the date the underlying contract was entered into is unreasonable, overbroad, and largely irrelevant. The Court disagrees. At the outset, the Court notes that the Reddy Defendants did not object to the DCRR. Regardless, considering the nature of Plaintiff s claims for Fraud, Conspiracy to Commit Fraud, and Civil RICO, and the extensive allegations set forth in the complaint, the Court finds that the disputed ten-year time frame is relevant. Additionally, Defendants Weinstein and Medasset contend that the scope of discovery should be limited because is a three-year statute of limitations for these causes of action. Defendants suggest that any evidence of conduct that occurred outside of the statute of limitations is not actionable in the present case and thus irrelevant. The Court finds this argument meritless. As set forth above, discovery of conduct occurring more than three years prior to contract at issue is relevant to Plaintiff s claims. Based on the foregoing, pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(3)(C), NRCP 26(b)(1), and NRS 48.015, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows: 1. The Court DENYS Defendants Objection. 2. The Court AFFIRMS and ADOPTS the Discovery Commissioner s July 14, 2020 Report and Recommendation. Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order including detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law from this Minute Order. The order should be approved by counsel for all parties as to form and content prior to submitting the order. All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption. CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Zachary T. Ball, Esq. (zball@balllawgroup.com), Zachary P. Takos, Esq. (zach@takoslaw.com), Leah A. Martin, Esq. (lmartin@leahmartinlv.com), and Hector J. Carbajal, II, Esq. (hector@claw.vegas).//cbm 10/01/2020;
Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Objection to DCRR July 20, 2020
Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Objection to DCRR July 14, 2020
Journal Entry Details:
Defendants David Weinstein and Medassets Corporations Objection to Discovery Commissioner s Report and Recommendations (Objection) came on for hearing before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on September 17, 2020. Attorney Hector J. Carbjal II appeared on behalf of Defendants. Attorney Zachary T. Ball appeared on behalf of Plaintiff. After considering the pleadings and arguments, the Court enters the following order: Discovery matters are within the district court's sound discretion, and we will not disturb a district court's ruling regarding discovery unless the court has clearly abused its discretion. Club Vista Fin. Servs. v. Dist. Ct., 128 Nev. 224, 228 (2012). Pursuant to NRCP 26(b)(1): Parties may obtain discovery regarding any non-privileged matter that is relevant to any party s claims or defenses and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the

action, the amount in controversy, the parties relative access to relevant information, the parties resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. NRS 48.015 defines relevant evidence as evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more or less probable than it would be without the evidence. Here, the underlying matter concerns a contract entered into on or about May 3, 2018. In its July 20, 2020 Report and Recommendation (DCRR), the Discovery Commission recommended: 1. Plaintiff s inquiry of David Weinstein, in his personal and individual capacity, for the time frame spanning ten years prior to the parties contract date. 2. Limiting Plaintiff s inquiry of Medasset Corporation s NRCP 30(b)(6) representative, David Weinstein, for the time frame spanning two years prior to the parties contract date. Defendants object to the time frame of discovery as to Weinstein in his personal and individual capacity, contending that a time frame of two years prior to the parties contract is more appropriate. Specifically, Defendants claim that Defendants business operations, dealings, and activities ten years removed from the date the underlying contract was entered into is unreasonable, overbroad, and largely irrelevant. Defendants also argue that a ten-year time frame is grossly disproportionate, grossly overbroad, and unduly burdensome. The Court disagrees. Given the nature of Plaintiff s claims for Fraud, Conspiracy to Commit Fraud, and Civil RICO, and the extensive allegations set forth in the complaint, the Court finds that the disputed ten-year time frame is relevant. Discovery leading to information regarding Plaintiff s claims that Defendants may have been in business or selling similar contracts, and breaching similar contracts, for the preceding ten years is relevant. Further, the Court finds that Defendants fail to demonstrate that the discovery at issue is not proportional to the needs of the case or unduly burdensome. Defendants additionally contend that the scope of discovery should be limited because is a three-year statute of limitations for these causes of action. Defendants suggest that any evidence of conduct that occurred outside of the statute of limitations is not actionable in the present case and thus irrelevant. The Court finds this argument meritless. As set forth above, discovery of conduct occurring more than three years prior to contract at issue is relevant to Plaintiff s claims. Based on the foregoing, pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(3)(C), NRCP 26(b)(1), and NRS 48.015, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows: 1. The Court DENY'S Defendants Objection. 2. The Court AFFIRMS and ADOPTS the Discovery Commissioner s July 20, 2020 Report and Recommendation. Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order including detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law from this Minute Order. The order should be approved by counsel for all parties as to form and content prior to submitting the order. All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption. CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Zachary T. Ball, Esq. (zball@balllawgroup.com), Zachary P. Takos, Esq. (zach@takoslaw.com), Leah A. Martin, Esq. (lmartin@leahmartinlv.com), and Hector J. Carbajal, II, Esq. (hector@claw.vegas).//cbm 10/01/2020;

11/10/2020 **Objection to Discovery Commissioner's Report** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)

Master's Recommendation Affirmed;

Journal Entry Details:

Argument by Mr. Carbajal noting Plaintiff should not be permitted to gain access to Deft's highly confidential information where Plaintiff was in a directly competitive business to Deft's; requested following information be protected by highly confidential attorney eyes only protections. Mr. Ball argued Deft's had not established that they possessed confidential information and that the Plaintiff's were not in the same line of business as Deft's. Furthermore, Mr. Ball advised granting Deft's objection would make Plaintiff's prosecution more difficult. Further arguments by Mr. Carbajal. COURT FINDS, there was not good cause or justification to reverse the DCCR, therefore, ORDERED, Discovery Commissioner's Report & Recommendations, was hereby AFFIRMED. Mr. Ball to prepare a detailed order and provide it to opposing counsel for approval as to form and content in both PDF version and Word version to DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. ;

11/24/2020 **Minute Order** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

Defendants Motion to Extend the time to Oppose Plaintiff s Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [First Request] (Motion) was set for hearing

before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on December 17, 2020. After considering the pleadings of counsel, the Court issues the following order and vacates the hearing: Pursuant to NRCP 6(b) and EDCR 2.25, and for good cause showing, the Court hereby GRANTS Defendants Motion and allows Defendants a 7-day extension to November 17, 2020 to oppose Plaintiff s Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment. Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order. All parties must submit their orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption. CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Zachary T. Ball, Esq. (zball@balllawgroup.com), Zachary P. Takos, Esq. (zach@takoslaw.com), Leah A. Martin, Esq. (lmartin@leahmartinlv.com), and Hector J. Carbajal, II, Esq. (hector@claw.vegas). /// 11/24/2020 gs;

12/08/2020 **Motion for Sanctions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)

Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure

Denied;

Journal Entry Details:

Plaintiff s Motion for Sanctions pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, was set for hearing before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on December 8, 2020. Upon reviewing the pleadings, the Court issues the following order: NRCP 11(b) provides as follows: By presenting to the court a pleading, written motion, or other paper--whether by signing, filing, submitting, or later advocating it--an attorney or unrepresented party certifies that to the best of the person's knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances: (1) it is not being presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) the claims, defenses, and other legal contentions are warranted by existing law or by a non-frivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law or for establishing new law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on belief or a lack of information. Moreover, NRCP 11(c), which governs sanctions under Rule 11, further provides: (3) On the Court's Initiative. On its own, the court may order an attorney, law firm, or party to show cause why conduct specifically described in the order has not violated Rule 11(b). (4) Nature of a Sanction. A sanction imposed under this rule must be limited to what suffices to deter repetition of the conduct or comparable conduct by others similarly situated. The sanction may include nonmonetary directives; an order to pay a penalty into court; or, if imposed on motion and warranted for effective deterrence, an order directing payment to the movant of part or all of the reasonable attorney fees and other expenses directly resulting from the violation. Here, Plaintiff seeks Rule 11 sanctions on two grounds: (1) Defendants filed a counterclaim against Plaintiff based on purported facts they know are false, and (2) that Defendants counterclaim was filed to delay these proceedings, increase costs for Plaintiff, and is based on facts that are indisputably false. Plaintiff argues that Defendants assertions in the counterclaim that Defendants were only obligation to provide 60 medical practices, and that they provided 26 of 60 medical practices is false. Plaintiff claims that Defendants were required to provide a total of 90 combined medical practices and medical offices, and at most, Defendants provided 4 medical practices for medical appeals work. This Court does not find that Rule 11 sanctions are warranted for the reasons Plaintiff argues. This Court finds that Plaintiff s arguments for Rule 11 sanctions are premised on factual disputes between the parties regarding the parties contractual obligations and the performance of those obligations. As this contractual dispute forms a primary basis of this lawsuit, the Court cannot grant sanctions simply because Plaintiff asserts that Defendants allegations are false. Further, this Court does not conclude that Defendants filed their counterclaim for the purpose of delaying the proceedings and increasing Plaintiff s costs. Based on the foregoing, COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED. Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order based on this Minute Order and the pleadings. The Court further directs Defendants to provide the proposed order to Plaintiff for approval as to form and content. All parties must submit their orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was distributed to: Zachary T. Ball,

Esq., (zball@balllawgroup.com), Hector J. Carbajal, Esq., (hector@claw.vegas), Zachary Takos, Esq., (zach@takoslaw.com) and Leah A. Martin, Esq., (lmartin@leahmartinlv.com).;

12/17/2020 **Motion to Dismiss** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
Following arguments by counsel, COURT ORDERED, a minute order will issue.;

12/17/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Vacated
Motion to Extend the Time to Oppose Plaintiffs Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment

01/13/2021 **Motion to Withdraw as Counsel** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
On OST

02/19/2021 **Minute Order** (11:15 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Minute Order: Order to STRIKE incorrect Stipuation and Order
Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Minute Order: Order to STRIKE incorrect Stipuation and Order
Journal Entry Details:
COURT ADVISED, Defendant Precision Assets Stipulation and Order to Extend, filed on February 16, 2021 at 6:03 p.m. was erroneously e-filed in Case No. A-19-792836-C; thus, it is ORDERED STRICKEN from the record. It appears that this document actually belongs in Case No. A-19-794335-C, and it will be efiled correctly into that case shortly. CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm 2/19/2021;

02/24/2021 **Motion to Withdraw as Counsel** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
The Law firm of Takos Law Group, Ltd. Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Defendants Kevin Brown and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC on OST

MINUTES
Granted;
Journal Entry Details:
Defendants Kevin Brown and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC s Counsel s Motion to Withdraw on OST (Motion) came on for Chambers Calendar before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on February 24, 2021. Upon thorough review of the pleadings, this Court enters the following order: Attorney Zachary P. Takos of the law firm Takos Law Group, Ltd. seeks to withdraw as counsel of record for Defendants Kevin Brown and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC. There being no opposition, and for good cause showing pursuant to SCR 46, EDCR 7.40(b)(2) and RPC 1.16 (b), this Court hereby GRANTS the Motion. Mr. Takos is directed to prepare a proposed order that lists all future deadlines and hearings, and includes Defendants last known physical and/or mailing address, email, and phone number. All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption. CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Dauriana Simpson, to all registered parties for Odyssey File and Serve. 2/24/2021/ds;

SCHEDULED HEARINGS

Status Check (04/06/2021 at 10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)

04/06/2021 **Status Check** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
Status provided. Counsel stated there was a pending hearing set 4/20/21 that will determine

the outcome of the case.;

04/29/2021 **Motion for Summary Judgment** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Plaintiff Motion for Summary Judgement
Granted;
Journal Entry Details:
Following arguments by counsel regarding the fraud scheme. Court finds that selective disclosure appeared like intention to mislead; lack of presentation and conspiracy; foreseeableness by a reasonable person and information intentionally withheld; additionally, lack of promissory note or an investment contract. Court does not find any genuine issue of facts remaining. Therefore, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED against all parties. Mr. Ball to prepare the order. CLERK'S NOTE ADDED: (5/25/21) Court additionally awards: 1. Compensatory actual damages in the $75,000, plus treble damages pursuant to NRS 207.470, for a total damages amount of $225,000. 2. Attorney fees under NRS 207.470(1), 3. Costs under NRS 207.470(1) and NRS 18.0220(3), and 4. Pre-judgment interest under NRS 17.130. Plaintiff is directed to file briefing with the Court informing of the requested attorney fees and costs amount and substantiating documentation. This Court does not award punitive damages. ;

05/05/2021 *CANCELED* **Status Check** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Vacated - Set in Error
Settlement Progress

08/19/2021 *CANCELED* **Calendar Call** (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Vacated

08/25/2021 **Motion to Withdraw as Counsel** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
[183] Defendants Motion to Withdraw as Vijay Reddy's Attorneys of Record

08/26/2021 **Motion for Stay of Execution** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Defendants' Motion for Stay of Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal
Motion Granted;

08/31/2021 **Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Medappeal's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs
Motion Granted;

09/07/2021 *CANCELED* **Jury Trial** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Vacated

09/30/2021 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Defendants Motion to Extend Time to File Opposition to Motion for Attorney s Fees and Costs Filed by Plaintiff First Request
Moot;

10/11/2021 **Minute Order** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)
Minute Order - No Hearing Held;
Journal Entry Details:
The court, having reviewed the motion, the opposition thereto; and without the need for oral argument from the parties and because a hearing was not requested; Defendants Reddy, Thalamarla and Max Global s Huneycutt Motion for relief from Judgment or order is DENIED for the reasons and arguments stated in Plaintiff s opposition. Counsel for Plaintiff shall prepare the written Order for the Court s review in accordance with the local rules. All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an e-mail appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this Minute Order was distributed via Odyssey File and Serve/ jq 10.11.21;

10/12/2021 *CANCELED* **Motion for Relief** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana)

	Vacated *Defendants' Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalamarla and Max Global's Honeycutt Motion for Relief from Judgment or Order*
01/04/2022	**Motion for Examination of Judgment Debtor** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana) *Plaintiff's Application for Examination of Judgment Debtor Margaret Reddy*
01/04/2022	**Motion for Examination of Judgment Debtor** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana) *Plaintiff's Application for Examination of Judgment Debtor Mohan Thalmarla*
01/06/2022	**Motion to Enforce** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana) *Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce Agreement to Amend Judgment*

DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
	Defendant Brown, Kevin	
	Total Charges	253.00
	Total Payments and Credits	253.00
	Balance Due as of 11/9/2021	**0.00**
	Third Party Plaintiff Medasset Corporation	
	Total Charges	135.00
	Total Payments and Credits	135.00
	Balance Due as of 11/9/2021	**0.00**
	Defendant Reddy, Margaret	
	Total Charges	48.00
	Total Payments and Credits	48.00
	Balance Due as of 11/9/2021	**0.00**
	Defendant Reddy, Vijay	
	Total Charges	313.00
	Total Payments and Credits	313.00
	Balance Due as of 11/9/2021	**0.00**
	Defendant Weinstein, David	
	Total Charges	253.00
	Total Payments and Credits	253.00
	Balance Due as of 11/9/2021	**0.00**
	Plaintiff Medappeal LLC	
	Total Charges	700.00
	Total Payments and Credits	700.00
	Balance Due as of 11/9/2021	**0.00**

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

Clark County, Nevada

Case No. _____
(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

CASE NO: A-19-792836-C
Department 14

I. Party Information *(provide both home and mailing addresses if different)*

Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):	Defendant(s) (name/address/phone):
Medappeal, LLC	David Weinstein, et al.
1000 Skokie Blvd. Ste. 225	125 E. Harmon Ave. Unit 322
Wilmette, IL 60091	Las Vegas, NV 89109
847-847-2812	Unknown
Attorney (name/address/phone):	**Attorney (name/address/phone):**
Jay Freedman	Unknown
11700 W. Charleston Blvd. Ste. 170-357	
Las Vegas, NV 89135	
702-342-5425	

II. Nature of Controversy *(please select the one most applicable filing type below)*

Civil Case Filing Types

Real Property	Torts	
Landlord/Tenant	**Negligence**	**Other Torts**
☐ Unlawful Detainer	☐ Auto	☐ Product Liability
☐ Other Landlord/Tenant	☐ Premises Liability	☒ Intentional Misconduct
Title to Property	☐ Other Negligence	☐ Employment Tort
☐ Judicial Foreclosure	**Malpractice**	☐ Insurance Tort
☐ Other Title to Property	☐ Medical/Dental	☐ Other Tort
Other Real Property	☐ Legal	
☐ Condemnation/Eminent Domain	☐ Accounting	
☐ Other Real Property	☐ Other Malpractice	

Probate	Construction Defect & Contract	Judicial Review/Appeal
Probate *(select case type and estate value)*	**Construction Defect**	**Judicial Review**
☐ Summary Administration	☐ Chapter 40	☐ Foreclosure Mediation Case
☐ General Administration	☐ Other Construction Defect	☐ Petition to Seal Records
☐ Special Administration	**Contract Case**	☐ Mental Competency
☐ Set Aside	☐ Uniform Commercial Code	**Nevada State Agency Appeal**
☐ Trust/Conservatorship	☐ Building and Construction	☐ Department of Motor Vehicle
☐ Other Probate	☐ Insurance Carrier	☐ Worker's Compensation
Estate Value	☐ Commercial Instrument	☐ Other Nevada State Agency
☐ Over $200,000	☐ Collection of Accounts	**Appeal Other**
☐ Between $100,000 and $200,000	☐ Employment Contract	☐ Appeal from Lower Court
☐ Under $100,000 or Unknown	☐ Other Contract	☐ Other Judicial Review/Appeal
☐ Under $2,500		

Civil Writ		Other Civil Filing
Civil Writ		**Other Civil Filing**
☐ Writ of Habeas Corpus	☐ Writ of Prohibition	☐ Compromise of Minor's Claim
☐ Writ of Mandamus	☐ Other Civil Writ	☐ Foreign Judgment
☐ Writ of Quo Warrant		☐ Other Civil Matters

Business Court filings should be filed using the Business Court civil coversheet.

April 12, 2019

Date

Signature of initiating party or representative

See other side for family-related case filings.

Electronically Filed
10/04/2021 10:20 AM
CLERK OF THE COURT

OGJ
Zachary T. Ball, Esq., NVB 8364
THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Telephone: (702) 303-8600
Email: zball@balllawgroup.com
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MEDAPPEAL, LLC, An Illinois Limited Liability Company, Plaintiff, vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN, VIJAY REDDY, MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALMARLA, KEVIN BROWN, MAX GLOBAL, INC., VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS LLC, MEDASSET CORPORATION, and DOES 1-50, Defendants.	Case No.: A-19-792836-C Dept. No.: 14 **ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS**
MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Counterclaimant, v. MEDAPPEAL, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Counter-Defendant.	
MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Third-Party Plaintiff, v. LIBERTY CONSULTING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Third-Party Defendant.	

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

Statistically closed: USJR - CV - Summary Judgment (USSUJ)

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

This matter came before the Court on August 31, 2021 on Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Medappeal, LLC ("Medappeal") Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs as to Medappeal's request for attorney's fees and costs with Zachary T. Ball, Esq. of Ball Law Group representing Medappeal and Andrew Wasielewski, Esq. of The Wasielewski Law Firm, Ltd. representing Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalmarla, and corporate entity Max Global, Inc. only. The remaining parties were not represented and not present at the hearing. Having reviewed Medappeal's Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements, Medappeal's Motion for Attorney's Fees, appearing Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Attorney's Fees and Medappeal's First Supplemental Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements and Request to Amend Judgment To Include Prejudgment Interest, and having heard the arguments of counsel and for good cause shown,

THE COURT FINDS that the Court previously ruled at the time of ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment that Attorney's Fees and Costs are approved.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Medappeal was already awarded attorney fees under NRS 207.470(1), costs under NRS 207.470(1) and NRS 18.0220(3), and pre-judgment interest under NRS 17.130, jointly and severally against all Defendants on June 18, 2021.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiff was already ordered to file with the Court informing this Court Plaintiff's requested attorney fees and costs amounts and substantiating documentation.

Therefore:

IT IS ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Medappeal's Motion for Attorney's Fees in the amount of $137,647.97.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Medappeal's costs and disbursements in the amount of $6,325.57.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Medappeal's prejudgment interest in the amount of $33,560.47.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Medappeal has a judgment amount against all Defendants in the amount of $402,534.01 as of August 31, 2021.

DATED this _____ day of September, 2021

Dated this 4th day of October, 2021

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

508 980 EED7 8554
Adriana Escobar
District Court Judge

Reviewed and Approved by:

The Wasielewski Law Firm, Ltd.

/s/ Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6161
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Ste. 200-818
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

Respectfully Submitted by:

THE BALL LAW GROUP

/s/ Zachary T. Ball
Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

From: Andrew Wasielewski <andrew@wazlaw.com>

Date: Friday, September 17, 2021 at 3:22 PM

To: Zachary Ball <zball@balllawgroup.com>

Cc: Michelle Rasmussen <reception@balllawgroup.com>

Subject: Approved order from Motion for Attorneys fees and Costs hearing on 083121

Hello Zach,
I have attached the final order I agree with.
Sincerely,

Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
The Wasielewski Law Firm, LTD.
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 200-818
Las Vegas, NV 89123

(702) 490-8511

On 2021-09-16 13:09, Zachary Ball wrote:

Yes, please let me know by 3 pm tomorrow.

Thank you.

Zach

signature_1763316852

THE BALL LAW GROUP

1935 Village Center Circle

Suite 120

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

(702) 303-8600 (phone)

zball@balllawgroup.com

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. The information herein is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. This e-mail (including attachments) is intended solely for the use of the addressee hereof. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from reading, disclosing reproducing, distributing, disseminating, or otherwise using this transmission. The originator of this e-mail and it affiliates to not represent, warrant or guarantee that the integrity of this communication has been maintained or that this communication is free of errors, viruses or other defects. Delivery of this message or any portions herein to any person other than the intended recipient is not intended to waive any right or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.

From: Andrew Wasielewski <andrew@wazlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, September 16, 2021 at 1:06 PM
To: Zachary Ball <zball@balllawgroup.com>
Cc: Michelle Rasmussen <reception@balllawgroup.com>
Subject: Re: Notice of Posting Bond

Hello Zachary,

I know that I have to provide you the order back today.
Today I am quite ill. May I have until tomorrow, close of business to provide the document back to you?
Sincerely,

Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
The Wasielewski Law Firm, LTD.
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 200-818
Las Vegas, NV 89123
(702) 490-8511

On 2021-09-15 12:22, Zachary Ball wrote:

Hi Andrew-

Thanks for speaking with me and Judge Singer earlier today.

Based on a portion of that conversation, I understood that your clients have gained the required bond in this matter. Our calculations show that the Order required proof of bond to be posted with the Court no later than yesterday. To avoid further collection efforts, please provide proof of the bond by the close of business tomorrow.

Thank you.

Zach

signature_1184394980

THE BALL LAW GROUP

1935 Village Center Circle

Suite 120

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

(702) 303-8600 (phone)

zball@balllawgroup.com

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. The information herein is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. This e-mail (including attachments) is intended solely for the use of the addressee hereof. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from reading, disclosing reproducing, distributing, disseminating, or otherwise using this transmission. The originator of this e-mail and it affiliates to not represent, warrant or guarantee that the integrity of this communication has been maintained or that this communication is free of errors, viruses or other defects. Delivery of this message or any portions herein to any person other than the intended recipient is not intended to waive any right or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)	CASE NO: A-19-792836-C
vs.	DEPT. NO. Department 14
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)	

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 10/4/2021

Leah Martin	lmartin@leahmartinlv.com
Leah Martin Law	information@leahmartinlv.com
Kevin Hejmanowski	khejmanowski@leahmartinlv.com
Zachary Ball	zball@balllawgroup.com
Kelley McGhie	kmcghie@balllawgroup.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com

Electronically Filed
10/6/2021 4:12 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Telephone: (702) 303-8600
Email: zball@balllawgroup.com
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MEDAPPEAL, LLC, An Illinois Limited Liability Company, Plaintiffs, vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN, VIJAY REDDY, MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALMARLA, KEVIN BROWN, MAX GLOBAL, INC., VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS LLC, MEDASSET CORPORATION, and DOES 1-50, Defendants.	Case No.: A-19-792836-C Dept. No.: 14 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS**
MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Counterclaimant, v. MEDAPPEAL, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Counter-Defendant.	

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

Case Number: A-19-792836-C

MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation,

Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

LIBERTY CONSULTING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company,

Third-Party Defendant.

TO: ALL PARTIES and their ATTORNEYS.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE of the following Order Granting Attorney's Fees and Costs that was entered on the 4th day of October, 2021. A copy of said Order is attached hereto.

DATED this 6th day of October, 2021.

THE BALL LAW GROUP

/s/ Zachary T. Ball
Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS** was electronically filed with the Eighth Judicial District Court on the 6th day of October, 2021. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be sent by the Court via email to the addresses furnished by the registered user(s) pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. 9(b) and 13(c) and as shown below:

David Weinstein
c/o Michael Orenstein
4018 Sheridan Street
Hollywood, Florida 33021
davidsunbelt@gmail.com
Pro-Se

Kevin Brown
2006 Sylvan Park Road
Burlington, New Jersey 08016
(856) 533-8173
Pro Se

The Wasielewski Law Firm, Ltd.
Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Ste. 200-818
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
Counsel for Defendant *Vijay Reddy, Margaret Reddy and Mohan Thalmarla and Max Global, Inc.*

Visionary Business Brokers
2006 Sylvan Park Road
Burlington, NJ 08016
(856) 533-8173
Pro Se

Medasset Corporation
c/o Registered Agent: David Weinstein
125 East Harmon Avenue, #322
Las Vegas, Nevada 89109
(702) 592-2018
davidsunbelt@gmail.com
Pro-Se

David Weinstein
125 Harmon Avenue, #322
Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

/s/ Kelley A. McGhie
An Employee of the Ball Law Group

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED
10/4/2021 10:21 AM

Electronically Filed
10/04/2021 10:20 AM
CLERK OF THE COURT

OGJ
Zachary T. Ball, Esq., NVB 8364
THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Telephone: (702) 303-8600
Email: zball@balllawgroup.com
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MEDAPPEAL, LLC, An Illinois Limited Liability Company, Plaintiff, vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN, VIJAY REDDY, MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALMARLA, KEVIN BROWN, MAX GLOBAL, INC., VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS LLC, MEDASSET CORPORATION, and DOES 1-50, Defendants.	Case No.: A-19-792836-C Dept. No.: 14 **ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS**
MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Counterclaimant, v. MEDAPPEAL, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Counter-Defendant.	
MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Third-Party Plaintiff, v. LIBERTY CONSULTING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Third-Party Defendant.	

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

Case Number: A-19-792836-C

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

This matter came before the Court on August 31, 2021 on Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Medappeal, LLC ("Medappeal") Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs as to Medappeal's request for attorney's fees and costs with Zachary T. Ball, Esq. of Ball Law Group representing Medappeal and Andrew Wasielewski, Esq. of The Wasielewski Law Firm, Ltd. representing Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalmarla, and corporate entity Max Global, Inc. only. The remaining parties were not represented and not present at the hearing. Having reviewed Medappeal's Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements, Medappeal's Motion for Attorney's Fees, appearing Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Attorney's Fees and Medappeal's First Supplemental Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements and Request to Amend Judgment To Include Prejudgment Interest, and having heard the arguments of counsel and for good cause shown,

THE COURT FINDS that the Court previously ruled at the time of ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment that Attorney's Fees and Costs are approved.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Medappeal was already awarded attorney fees under NRS 207.470(1), costs under NRS 207.470(1) and NRS 18.0220(3), and pre-judgment interest under NRS 17.130, jointly and severally against all Defendants on June 18, 2021.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiff was already ordered to file with the Court informing this Court Plaintiff's requested attorney fees and costs amounts and substantiating documentation.

Therefore:

IT IS ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Medappeal's Motion for Attorney's Fees in the amount of $137,647.97.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Medappeal's costs and disbursements in the amount of $6,325.57.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Medappeal's prejudgment interest in the amount of $33,560.47.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Medappeal has a judgment amount against all Defendants in the amount of $402,534.01 as of August 31, 2021.

DATED this _____ day of September, 2021

Dated this 4th day of October, 2021

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

508 980 EED7 8554
Adriana Escobar
District Court Judge

Reviewed and Approved by:

The Wasielewski Law Firm, Ltd.

/s/ Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6161
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Ste. 200-818
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

Respectfully Submitted by:

THE BALL LAW GROUP

/s/ Zachary T. Ball
Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

From: Andrew Wasielewski <andrew@wazlaw.com>

Date: Friday, September 17, 2021 at 3:22 PM

To: Zachary Ball <zball@balllawgroup.com>

Cc: Michelle Rasmussen <reception@balllawgroup.com>

Subject: Approved order from Motion for Attorneys fees and Costs hearing on 083121

Hello Zach,
I have attached the final order I agree with.
Sincerely,

Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
The Wasielewski Law Firm, LTD.
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 200-818
Las Vegas, NV 89123

(702) 490-8511

On 2021-09-16 13:09, Zachary Ball wrote:

Yes, please let me know by 3 pm tomorrow.

Thank you.

Zach

signature_1763316852

THE BALL LAW GROUP

1935 Village Center Circle

Suite 120

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

(702) 303-8600 (phone)

zball@balllawgroup.com

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. The information herein is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. This e-mail (including attachments) is intended solely for the use of the addressee hereof. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from reading, disclosing reproducing, distributing, disseminating, or otherwise using this transmission. The originator of this e-mail and it affiliates to not represent, warrant or guarantee that the integrity of this communication has been maintained or that this communication is free of errors, viruses or other defects. Delivery of this message or any portions herein to any person other than the intended recipient is not intended to waive any right or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.

From: Andrew Wasielewski <andrew@wazlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, September 16, 2021 at 1:06 PM
To: Zachary Ball <zball@balllawgroup.com>
Cc: Michelle Rasmussen <reception@balllawgroup.com>
Subject: Re: Notice of Posting Bond

Hello Zachary,

I know that I have to provide you the order back today.
Today I am quite ill. May I have until tomorrow, close of business to provide the document back to you?
Sincerely,

Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
The Wasielewski Law Firm, LTD.
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 200-818
Las Vegas, NV 89123
(702) 490-8511

On 2021-09-15 12:22, Zachary Ball wrote:

Hi Andrew-

Thanks for speaking with me and Judge Singer earlier today.

Based on a portion of that conversation, I understood that your clients have gained the required bond in this matter. Our calculations show that the Order required proof of bond to be posted with the Court no later than yesterday. To avoid further collection efforts, please provide proof of the bond by the close of business tomorrow.

Thank you.

Zach

signature_1184394980

THE BALL LAW GROUP

1935 Village Center Circle

Suite 120

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

(702) 303-8600 (phone)

zball@balllawgroup.com

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. The information herein is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. This e-mail (including attachments) is intended solely for the use of the addressee hereof. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from reading, disclosing reproducing, distributing, disseminating, or otherwise using this transmission. The originator of this e-mail and it affiliates to not represent, warrant or guarantee that the integrity of this communication has been maintained or that this communication is free of errors, viruses or other defects. Delivery of this message or any portions herein to any person other than the intended recipient is not intended to waive any right or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)	CASE NO: A-19-792836-C
vs.	DEPT. NO. Department 14
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)	

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 10/4/2021

Leah Martin	lmartin@leahmartinlv.com
Leah Martin Law	information@leahmartinlv.com
Kevin Hejmanowski	khejmanowski@leahmartinlv.com
Zachary Ball	zball@balllawgroup.com
Kelley McGhie	kmcghie@balllawgroup.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com

Electronically Filed
10/25/2021 3:56 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORD
Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Telephone: (702) 303-8600
Email: zball@balllawgroup.com
Attorney for *Plaintiff*

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MEDAPPEAL, LLC, An Illinois Limited Liability Company, Plaintiffs, vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN, VIJAY REDDY, MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALMARLA, KEVIN BROWN, MAX GLOBAL, INC., VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS LLC, MEDASSET CORPORATION, and DOES 1-50, Defendants.	Case No.: A-19-792836-C Dept. No.: 14 **FINDING OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RELIEF**
MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Counterclaimant, v. MEDAPPEAL, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Counter-Defendant.	Date of Hearing: October 11, 2021 (Chambers) Time of Hearing: N/A

Statistically closed: USJR - CV - Summary Judgment (USSUJ)

MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Third-Party Plaintiff, v. LIBERTY CONSULTING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Third-Party Defendant.	

The *Huneycutt* Motion for Relief from Judgment filed by defendants Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalmarla and Max Global, Inc. was resolved through a Chambers hearing on October 11, 2021 in Department XIV of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding. Upon thorough review of the pleadings, this Court issues the following order:

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY.

Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC filed suit against defendants Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalmarla, and Max Global, Inc. ("Moving Defendants") in 2019. Medappeal filed suit in Nevada after defendants Vijay Reddy, Kevin Brown and David Weinstein successfully dismissed the suit that Medappeal had filed in Illinois on the grounds that venue was only proper in Nevada. Medappeal alleged that Moving Defendants conspired with and assisted the other defendants in the fraud that other misconduct that occurred.

Medappeal's Motion for Summary Judgment was granted on June 17, 2021 against all defendants. Moving Defendants filed a *Huneycutt* Motion for Relief on July 30, 2021 and specifically did not request a hearing. Moving Defendants sought relief based on newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial and/or fraud. They argued that Medappeal was not entitled to sue in Nevada because it was not qualified to do business in Nevada and that it "hid or otherwise obstructed from Defendants its inability to do any type of business in this court." (Motion for Relief at 3:4-5.)

The Court resolved Moving Defendants' Motion in chambers as they did not request a

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

hearing.

II. STANDARD OF LAW.

As cited by Moving Defendants, Rule 60 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure allows a party to seek relief from an order because of, among other reasons, (1) newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b) or (2) fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party.

III. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC was not doing business in Nevada and has never done business in Nevada.

Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC was not required to qualify to do business in Nevada.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion for Relief filed by defendants Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalmarla and Max Global, Inc. is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 25th day of October, 2021



B9B 488 8FCE 3F02
Adriana Escobar
District Court Judge

Reviewed and Approved by:

The Wasielewski Law Firm, Ltd.

RECEIVED NO RESPONSE
Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6161
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Ste. 200-818
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

Respectfully Submitted by:

THE BALL LAW GROUP

/s/ Zachary T. Ball
Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

Subject: Re: Order Denying Motion
Date: Thursday, October 21, 2021 at 9:59:29 AM Pacific Daylight Time
From: Zachary Ball <zball@balllawgroup.com>
To: Andrew Wasielewski <andrew@wazlaw.com>
CC: Hannah Hancock <reception@balllawgroup.com>
Attachments: image001.png, image002.png

Hi Andrew-

As a follow up to our call, please let me know of any changes to the order by the close of business tomorrow.

Thank you.

Zach

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle
Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600 (phone)
zball@balllawgroup.com

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. The information herein is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. This e-mail (including attachments) is intended solely for the use of the addressee hereof. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from reading, disclosing reproducing, distributing, disseminating, or otherwise using this transmission. The originator of this e-mail and it affiliates to not represent, warrant or guarantee that the integrity of this communication has been maintained or that this communication is free of errors, viruses or other defects. Delivery of this message or any portions herein to any person other than the intended recipient is not intended to waive any right or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.

From: Zachary Ball <zball@balllawgroup.com>
Date: Thursday, October 14, 2021 at 2:45 PM
To: Andrew Wasielewski <andrew@wazlaw.com>
Cc: Michelle Rasmussen <reception@balllawgroup.com>
Subject: Order Denying Motion

Hi Andrew-

Please find the attached Order for your review. If you can approve, please provide me a responsive email indicating same.

Please provide your response no later than end of day on Monday, October 18, 2021.

Thank you.

Zach

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle
Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600 (phone)
zball@balllawgroup.com

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. The information herein is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. This e-mail (including attachments) is intended solely for the use of the addressee hereof. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from reading, disclosing reproducing, distributing, disseminating, or otherwise using this transmission. The originator of this e-mail and it affiliates to not represent, warrant or guarantee that the integrity of this communication has been maintained or that this communication is free of errors, viruses or other defects. Delivery of this message or any portions herein to any person other than the intended recipient is not intended to waive any right or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)	CASE NO: A-19-792836-C
vs.	DEPT. NO. Department 14
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)	

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 10/25/2021

Zachary Ball	zball@balllawgroup.com
Kelley McGhie	kmcghie@balllawgroup.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com

Electronically Filed
10/27/2021 5:11 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NOE
Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Telephone: (702) 303-8600
Email: zball@balllawgroup.com
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MEDAPPEAL, LLC, An Illinois Limited Liability Company, Plaintiffs, vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN, VIJAY REDDY, MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALMARLA, KEVIN BROWN, MAX GLOBAL, INC., VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS LLC, MEDASSET CORPORATION, and DOES 1-50, Defendants.	Case No.: A-19-792836-C Dept. No.: 14 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING FINDING OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RELIEF**
MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Counterclaimant, v. MEDAPPEAL, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Counter-Defendant.	

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation,

Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

LIBERTY CONSULTING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company,

Third-Party Defendant.

TO: ALL PARTIES and their ATTORNEYS.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE of the following Order Granting Finding of Facts, Conclusions Of Law and Order Denying Defendants' Motion For Relief that was entered on the 25th day of October, 2021. A copy of said Order is attached hereto.

DATED this 28th day of October, 2021.

THE BALL LAW GROUP

/s/ Zachary T. Ball
Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING FINDING OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RELIEF** was electronically filed with the Eighth Judicial District Court on the 28th day of October, 2021. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be sent by the Court via email to the addresses furnished by the registered user(s) pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. 9(b) and 13(c) and as shown below:

David Weinstein
c/o Michael Orenstein
4018 Sheridan Street
Hollywood, Florida 33021
davidsunbelt@gmail.com
Pro-Se

Kevin Brown
2006 Sylvan Park Road
Burlington, New Jersey 08016
(856) 533-8173
Pro Se

The Wasielewski Law Firm, Ltd.
Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Ste. 200-818
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
Counsel for Defendant *Vijay Reddy, Margaret Reddy and Mohan Thalmarla and Max Global, Inc.*

Visionary Business Brokers
2006 Sylvan Park Road
Burlington, NJ 08016
(856) 533-8173
Pro Se

Medasset Corporation
c/o Registered Agent: David Weinstein
125 East Harmon Avenue, #322
Las Vegas, Nevada 89109
(702) 592-2018
davidsunbelt@gmail.com
Pro-Se

David Weinstein
125 Harmon Avenue, #322
Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

/s/ Kelley A. McGhie
An Employee of the Ball Law Group

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED
10/25/2021 3:56 PM

Electronically Filed
10/25/2021 3:56 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORD
Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Telephone: (702) 303-8600
Email: zball@balllawgroup.com
Attorney for *Plaintiff*

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MEDAPPEAL, LLC, An Illinois Limited Liability Company, Plaintiffs, vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN, VIJAY REDDY, MARGARET REDDY, MOHAN THALMARLA, KEVIN BROWN, MAX GLOBAL, INC., VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS LLC, MEDASSET CORPORATION, and DOES 1-50, Defendants.	Case No.: A-19-792836-C Dept. No.: 14 **FINDING OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RELIEF**
MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Counterclaimant, v. MEDAPPEAL, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Counter-Defendant.	Date of Hearing: October 11, 2021 (Chambers) Time of Hearing: N/A

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

Case Number: A-19-792836-C

MEDASSET CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation, Third-Party Plaintiff, v. LIBERTY CONSULTING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, Third-Party Defendant.	

The *Huneycutt* Motion for Relief from Judgment filed by defendants Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalmarla and Max Global, Inc. was resolved through a Chambers hearing on October 11, 2021 in Department XIV of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding. Upon thorough review of the pleadings, this Court issues the following order:

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY.

Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC filed suit against defendants Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalmarla, and Max Global, Inc. ("Moving Defendants") in 2019. Medappeal filed suit in Nevada after defendants Vijay Reddy, Kevin Brown and David Weinstein successfully dismissed the suit that Medappeal had filed in Illinois on the grounds that venue was only proper in Nevada. Medappeal alleged that Moving Defendants conspired with and assisted the other defendants in the fraud that other misconduct that occurred.

Medappeal's Motion for Summary Judgment was granted on June 17, 2021 against all defendants. Moving Defendants filed a *Huneycutt* Motion for Relief on July 30, 2021 and specifically did not request a hearing. Moving Defendants sought relief based on newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial and/or fraud. They argued that Medappeal was not entitled to sue in Nevada because it was not qualified to do business in Nevada and that it "hid or otherwise obstructed from Defendants its inability to do any type of business in this court." (Motion for Relief at 3:4-5.)

The Court resolved Moving Defendants' Motion in chambers as they did not request a

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

hearing.

II. STANDARD OF LAW.

As cited by Moving Defendants, Rule 60 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure allows a party to seek relief from an order because of, among other reasons, (1) newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b) or (2) fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party.

III. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC was not doing business in Nevada and has never done business in Nevada.

Plaintiff Medappeal, LLC was not required to qualify to do business in Nevada.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion for Relief filed by defendants Margaret Reddy, Mohan Thalmarla and Max Global, Inc. is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 25th day of October, 2021



B9B 488 8FCE 3F02
Adriana Escobar
District Court Judge

Reviewed and Approved by:

The Wasielewski Law Firm, Ltd.

RECEIVED NO RESPONSE
Andrew Wasielewski, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6161
8275 South Eastern Avenue, Ste. 200-818
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

Respectfully Submitted by:

THE BALL LAW GROUP

/s/ Zachary T. Ball
Zachary T. Ball, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8364
1935 Village Center Circle, Suite 120
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Attorney for *Medappeal LLC and Liberty Consulting & Management Services, LLC*

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle, Ste. 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600

Subject: Re: Order Denying Motion
Date: Thursday, October 21, 2021 at 9:59:29 AM Pacific Daylight Time
From: Zachary Ball <zball@balllawgroup.com>
To: Andrew Wasielewski <andrew@wazlaw.com>
CC: Hannah Hancock <reception@balllawgroup.com>
Attachments: image001.png, image002.png

Hi Andrew-

As a follow up to our call, please let me know of any changes to the order by the close of business tomorrow.

Thank you.

Zach

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle
Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600 (phone)
zball@balllawgroup.com

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. The information herein is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. This e-mail (including attachments) is intended solely for the use of the addressee hereof. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from reading, disclosing reproducing, distributing, disseminating, or otherwise using this transmission. The originator of this e-mail and it affiliates to not represent, warrant or guarantee that the integrity of this communication has been maintained or that this communication is free of errors, viruses or other defects. Delivery of this message or any portions herein to any person other than the intended recipient is not intended to waive any right or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.

From: Zachary Ball <zball@balllawgroup.com>
Date: Thursday, October 14, 2021 at 2:45 PM
To: Andrew Wasielewski <andrew@wazlaw.com>
Cc: Michelle Rasmussen <reception@balllawgroup.com>
Subject: Order Denying Motion

Hi Andrew-

Please find the attached Order for your review. If you can approve, please provide me a responsive email indicating same.

Please provide your response no later than end of day on Monday, October 18, 2021.

Thank you.

Zach

THE BALL LAW GROUP
1935 Village Center Circle
Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 303-8600 (phone)
zball@balllawgroup.com

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. The information herein is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. This e-mail (including attachments) is intended solely for the use of the addressee hereof. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from reading, disclosing reproducing, distributing, disseminating, or otherwise using this transmission. The originator of this e-mail and it affiliates to not represent, warrant or guarantee that the integrity of this communication has been maintained or that this communication is free of errors, viruses or other defects. Delivery of this message or any portions herein to any person other than the intended recipient is not intended to waive any right or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)	CASE NO: A-19-792836-C
vs.	DEPT. NO. Department 14
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)	

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 10/25/2021

Zachary Ball	zball@balllawgroup.com
Kelley McGhie	kmcghie@balllawgroup.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com
Andrew Wasielewski	andrew@wazlaw.com

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **July 09, 2019**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

July 09, 2019 **9:30 AM** **Motion to Dismiss**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Denise Husted

RECORDER: Sandra Anderson

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Freeman stated no cause was alleged as to why Mr. Brown didn't hire counsel after being granted an extention. The Court noted that Mr. Freeman's argument does have merit, however the Plaintiff lives in New Jersey. COURT FINDS, there being no prejudice to the Plaintiff, Kevin Brown's Motion to Set Aside Default is GRANTED. FURTHER, counsel to submit further briefing regarding the elements and specific jurisdiction; Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction is CONTINUED.

CONTINUED TO: 8/20/19 9:30 AM

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **July 09, 2019**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

July 09, 2019 **9:30 AM** **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Denise Husted

RECORDER: Sandra Anderson

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Freedman, Jay	Attorney
Scott, Amber D.	Attorney
Takos Esq, Zachary P.	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- KEVIN BROWN'S AND VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION...KEVIN BROWN'S MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT

Arguments by Mr. Takos regarding his Motion to Set Aside Default. Mr. Freedman stated there was no cause alleged regarding why Mr. Brown didn't hire counsel after being granted two extensions. COURT FINDS, Mr. Freedman's argument has merit, however the party kept in contact with counsel and he resides in New Jersey. COURT ORDERED, Kevin Brown's Motion to Set Aside Default is GRANTED, however a motion such as this will not be entertained again. FURTHER, counsel to submit further briefing regarding the elements and specific jurisdiction; Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction is CONTINUED.

CONTINUED TO: 8/20/19 9:30 AM

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **August 01, 2019**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

August 01, 2019 **9:30 AM** **Motion to Dismiss**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Denise Husted

RECORDER: Sandra Anderson

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:
Freedman, Jay Attorney
Scott, Amber D. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- The COURT noted the Defendant's file brief was filed late and ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to allow the Court and parties to review the documents.

CONTINUED TO: 8/20/19 9:30 AM

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **August 06, 2019**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

August 06, 2019 **9:30 AM** **Motion to Dismiss**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Denise Husted

RECORDER: Sandra Anderson

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Clark, Dustin L	Attorney
Freedman, Jay	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Clark stated there are five claims for relief in the Plaintiff's complaint and the elements of the claims have not been proved, therefore he requested that this be dismissed in its entirety. Opposition by Mr. Freedman. He argued that the Defendants have been sued several times for the same scheme; all five elements should stand. COURT ORDERED, motion is DISMISSED IN PART as to claims two through five. FURTHER, Plaintiff is allowed to amend the complaint with more specificity regarding fraud. Mr. Freedman to prepare the order.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **August 20, 2019**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

August 20, 2019 **9:30 AM** **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Denise Husted

RECORDER: Sandra Anderson

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:
Freedman, Jay Attorney
Takos Esq, Zachary P. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION...KEVIN BROWN'S AND VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION

Mr. Takos stated that the Plaintiff bears the burden in this case and they haven't directed any of the conduct to the State of Nevada. He addressed the judicial estoppel issue and submitted that the transcript of the hearing in Illinois was unclear. Opposition by Mr. Freedman. He advised that the Defendants live in Michigan, New Jersey and Nevada which makes this an extraordinary case. Additionally, he stated that Mr. Brown has the burden of persuasion and it is clear that the positions are inconsistent. He further advised that the Illinois transcript was complete and consistent and the Court applied rulings to all of the Defendants. COURT ORDERED, Defendant's motion are DENIED. FURTHER, this Court adopts the findings of the Illinois Court. Mr. Freedman to prepare the order.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct	**COURT MINUTES**	**December 12, 2019**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

December 12, 2019 **9:30 AM** **Motion to Dismiss**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Denise Husted

RECORDER: Sandra Anderson

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Clark, Dustin L	Attorney
Freedman, Jay	Attorney
Scott, Amber D.	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Freedman objected that the Defendants brief was filed late and contains arguments not in the moving papers. COURT ORDERED, the hearing is to move forward on the merits. Mr. Clark argued that four of the five claims for relief deal with allegations of fraud and should be dismissed as the Plaintiff failed to plead all of the elements of the causes of action. Mr. Freedman stated that the complaint has to be reviewed as a whole and they are discussing one paragraph of the complaint. COURT FINDS, the fraud has been sufficiently pled, and ORDERED, motion DENIED. Mr. Freedman to prepare the order. FURTHER, pursuant to request by Mr. Freedman, the Plaintiff is to submit disclosures.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **April 16, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

April 16, 2020 **9:30 AM** **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Truman, Erin **COURTROOM:** RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Francesca Haak

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Clark, Dustin L	Attorney
Freedman, Jay	Attorney
Hejmanowski Esq, Kevin	Attorney
Takos Esq, Zachary P.	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Inspection or Production of Documents Pursuant to Rules 34 and 37 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure

Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Kevin Brown

Discovery staff stated counsel Stipulated, and Plaintiff Medappeal LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Kevin Brown is OFF CALENDAR and VACATED.

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Inspection or Production of Documents Pursuant to Rules 34 and 37 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure was resolved by counsel, and the documents were received. Mr. Freeman thought there was an agreement for $300 attorney fees. Argument by Mr. Clark. Commissioner stated it seems like a reasonable amount of fees.

Commissioner Finds there was a sufficient attempt to meet and confer. Mr. Freeman will stick with his agreement of $300, and Mr. Freeman requested an additional $90 for today. Mr. Clark and Mr. Freeman agreed. Mr. Freedman to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and counsel to approve as to form and content. Comply with Administrative Order 20-10, and submit the DCRR to DiscoveryInbox@clarkcountycourts.us. A proper report must be timely submitted within 14 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **May 20, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

May 20, 2020 **3:00 AM** **Motion to Stay**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Denise Husted

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED, a minute order will be issued.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **June 19, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

June 19, 2020 **8:00 AM** **Minute Order**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Denise Husted

RECORDER: Sandra Anderson

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

-
Defendants Motion to Stay Case (Motion), which Plaintiff did not oppose, was set for hearing before Department XIV of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on May 20, 2020. After considering the moving papers of counsel, the Court enters the following order:

The Court has the power to stay this action in the interest of both the Court and the parties:

[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants. How this can best be done calls for the exercise of judgment which must weigh competing interests and maintain an even balance.

Maheu v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court In & For Clark Cty., Dep't No. 6, 89 Nev. 214, 217 (1973).

Here, the COVID-19 pandemic has had and continues to have a direct impact on this matter. Namely, as Defendants explain, the Parties have not been able to execute the discovery necessary to prepare for trial. Thus, the interests here weigh in favor of staying this matter.

Based on the foregoing, the Court GRANTS Defendants Motion, STAYS this matter, and sets a status check for August 26, 2020.

Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order. All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.

All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption.

CLEKRK'S NOTE: This minute order distributed to all registered parties of Odyssey File and Serve.
dh // 6/19/20

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **June 25, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

June 25, 2020 **9:00 AM** **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Truman, Erin **COURTROOM:** RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Francesca Haak

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ball, Zachary T	Attorney
Carbajal, Hector J., II	Attorney
Hejmanowski Esq, Kevin	Attorney
Takos Esq, Zachary P.	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Margaret Reddy

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Vijay Reddy

MATTER TRAILED AND RECALLED: Commissioner stated there was a one day delay in serving responses after Defts' frustrated attempts to obtain an extension. Commissioner Found excusable neglect, and the OBJECTIONS STAND. Mr. Ball has not received supplemental documents.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Margaret Reddy is GRANTED;

Interrogatory 2 by agreement, Plaintiff is seeking information for Mr. Weinstein at this point, and

provide a name, address, and phone number of Mr. Weinstein for the dates she was employed by him;
Interrogatory 3 supplement with information as required in Interrogatory 2;
Interrogatory 10 the nature and purpose of the $325,000 transfer as Directed on the record;
Interrogatories 5, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 any factual information Defts have in their possession must be specifically stated, and provide the factual basis (that Deft is relying upon);

Interrogatory 18 any job duties, functions, or services performed;
Interrogatory 19 as Directed on the record;
Interrogatory 20 must be more specific; respond to the nature of the business relationship, and define the time period (2008 to 2018);
Interrogatory 21 modified, and respond through 5-1-2018;
Request for Production of Documents 9 modified, and any job duties, functions, or services provided for, or performed for Mr. Weinstein;

RFP 10, 14, and 15 are fine as written;
RFP 16 tailored as Directed on the record;
RFP 5 should be compelled.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses from Defendant Vijay Reddy is GRANTED; same Rulings as Margaret Reddy; counsel agreed to work through any written discovery issues based on Commissioner's Recommendations.

Mr. Hejmanowski requested to continue Vijay Reddy's 6-26-2020 deposition based on the additional documents needed. Mr. Hejmanowski and Mr. Carbajal addressed the deficient deposition Notice. If the deposition goes forward, Plaintiff's counsel will not have the opportunity to re-depose Vijay Reddy on new information based on today's Motion. Mr. Ball requested to go forward with the deposition set 6-26-2020 at 10:00 a.m. The deposition will be taken by alternative means, and Mr. Ball will provide the information today to all counsel. Mr. Ball had requested fees. Commissioner Will Not Grant the Request for Fees.

Mr. Ball to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and counsel to approve as to form and content. Comply with Administrative Order 20-10, and submit the DCRR to DiscoveryInbox@clarkcountycourts.us. A proper report must be timely submitted within 14 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution.

CLERK'S NOTE: Minute Order amended 7-10-2020. jl

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **June 30, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

June 30, 2020	**9:30 AM**	**Motion for Protective Order**	**Emergency Motion for a Protective Order on OST and to Stay the Deposition of David Weinstein Currently Scheduled for July 1, 2020**

HEARD BY: Truman, Erin **COURTROOM:** RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Francesca Haak

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ball, Zachary T	Attorney
Carbajal, Hector J., II	Attorney
Hejmanowski Esq, Kevin	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Carbajal is willing to turn the information over to counsel, but also making certain the information is protected. Argument by Mr. Carbajal; he requested 1) limiting inquiries to two years, 2) staying the deposition, and 3) protecting information for attorneys' eyes only. Colloquy regarding taking a deposition in David Weinstein's individual capacity, and as a 30(b)(6) witness. Argument by Mr. Ball.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, motion is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; depose David Weinstein in his personal and individual capacity for a time spanning ten years; deposing David Weinstein as a 30(b)(6) witness for two years unless there are specific transactions, or other

things are identified beyond two years that the deposing party would like Mr. Weinstein to be prepared to testified to as the 30(b)(6) Deponent.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Plaintiff is a party, and Plaintiff has the right to attend Mr. Weinstein's deposition. If the parties cannot agree on the terms of a Protective Order, COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, pursuant to NRCP 26(c), the information is PROTECTED for use in this litigation only, and only Attorneys' eyes (and staff), the parties, essential witnesses, and experts' eyes only.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, the 30(b)(6) witness deposition is STAYED until counsel have another 2.34 conference to narrow down the testimony; Status Check SET; counsel must submit a joint status letter to Commissioner by noon on 7-20-2020 (DiscoveryInbox@clarkcountycourts.us). Colloquy regarding the deposition Notice, and moving forward with David Weinstein's deposition in his individual capacity. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, the deposition for Mr. Weinstein individually for a period of ten years is STAYED to give Mr. Carbajal a chance to file an Objection.

Mr. Carbajal to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and counsel to approve as to form and content. Comply with Administrative Order 20-10, and submit the DCRR to DiscoveryInbox@clarkcountycourts.us. A proper report must be timely submitted within 14 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution.

7-21-2020 9:30 a.m. Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **July 21, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

July 21, 2020 **9:30 AM** **Status Check** **Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition**

HEARD BY: Truman, Erin **COURTROOM:** RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Francesca Haak

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:
Ball, Zachary T Attorney
Carbajal, Hector J., II Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Commissioner received the Joint Status letter from counsel. Argument by Mr. Carbajal. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, counsel must work to try and prepare a Stipulated Protective Order as it must be figured out before David Weinstein's deposition. Mr. Carbajal will be filing an objection on the prior Ruling by the Commissioner.

Commissioner addressed the 6-30-2020 Minute Order. Colloquy. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Status Check CONTINUED.

8-14-2020 9:30 A.M. Status Check: Joint Status letter / David Weinstein's deposition

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **July 22, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

July 22, 2020 **10:30 AM** **Mandatory Rule 16 Conference**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Denise Husted

RECORDER: Sandra Anderson

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ball, Zachary T	Attorney
Hejmanowski Esq, Kevin	Attorney
Takos Esq, Zachary P.	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Discussion regarding Discussion deadlines. Counsel requested that deadlines be extended an additional six months. Colloquy regarding settlement. COURT ORDERED, deadlines as follows: Discovery Cut-Off, 5/10/21; Amend Pleadings & Add Parties, 2/8/21; Initial Disclosure, 2/8/21; Rebuttal Disclosure, 3/10/21; Dispositive Motions, 6/9/21; Trial Ready Date, 7/26/21. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, trial and status check dates SET.

5/5/21 IN CHAMBERS STATUS CHECK: SETTLEMENT PROGRESS

8/19/21 9:30 AM CALENDAR CALL

9/7/21 9:30 AM JURY TRIAL

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **August 14, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

August 14, 2020 **9:30 AM** **Status Check** **Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition**

HEARD BY: Truman, Erin **COURTROOM:** RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Francesca Haak

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ball, Zachary T	Attorney
Carbajal, Hector J., II	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Commissioner addressed the Minute Order from 7-21-2020. Commissioner received the proposed Confidential Stipulated Protective Order. Mr. Ball stated changes were made that Deft did not agree to. Commissioner will not order that someone sign an Agreement. Colloquy regarding fixing language as discussed on the record, and Section 16 was discussed. Commissioner stated counsel should consider adding language that the receiving party may seek indemnification. Commissioner stated counsel need to work further to reach an agreement.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, matter CONTINUED; if counsel reach an agreement, contact the Discovery office, and the Status Check can be taken off calendar. If counsel have not reached an agreement on the Stipulated Protective Order, then the DCRR needs to be submitted prior to September 3rd. Commissioner stated the Stipulated Protective Order needs to be addressed prior to David Weinstein's deposition.

9-3-2020 10:00 a.m.
Status Check: Joint status letter / David Weinstein's deposition / Final execution of the Agreement

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Jennifer Lott, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. jl

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct	**COURT MINUTES**	**September 03, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

September 03, 2020	**9:30 AM**	**Motion for Leave**	**David Weinstein and Medasset Corporation's Motion for Leave to Amend their Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and Add Counterclaims, and a Third-Party Complaint**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Michelle Jones
Carina Bracamontez-Munguia

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CONTINUED TO: 09/17/2020 09:30 AM

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **September 17, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

September 17, 2020 **9:30 AM** **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Michelle Jones
Carina Bracamontez-Munguia

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ball, Zachary T	Attorney
Carbajal, Hector J., II	Attorney
Hejmanowski Esq, Kevin	Attorney
Takos Esq, Zachary P.	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DAVID WEINSTEIN AND MEDASSET CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THEIR ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND ADD COUNTERCLAIMS, AND A THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT...OBJECTION TO DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT

As to David Weinstein And Medasset Corporation's Motion for Leave to Amend Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and Add Counterclaims, and a Third-Party Complaint:

Mr. Carbajal noted he is well within the time frame to seek amendment, therefore, and requested the Court grant the motion for Leave to Amend. Mr. Ball argued three of the four elements are met specifically undue delay, bad faith, and dilatory motives, therefore, motion should not be granted. Upon further arguments by counsel, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.

As to the Objections to the Discovery Commissioner s Reports:

Mr. Carbajal noted he objected to the July 14, 2020, report out of an abundance of caution that the report be considered law of the case concerning the time frame for all discovery in this case. Further Mr. Carbajal argued that the Discovery Commissioner's Report of July 20, 2020, permitted inquiry into the preceding 10 years from the contract date for Mr. Weinstein in his individual capacity and under the new rules concerning discovery and limitations being placed on discovery the information sought has to be both relevant and proportional; we do not believe 10 years-worth of information is not the appropriate time frame for setting discovery in this case.

Mr. Ball stated it is clear within the first amended complaint and numerous exhibits attached that there is proof of conspiracy and there is proof of fraud. Mr. Ball argued they believe they can meet all of the elements to show a course of conduct of bad acts on a continual basis and they believe they have the right to pursue discovery, based on that both objections should be denied.

There being no opposition, COURT FINDS there are claims and many exhibits with respect to fraud, conspiracy to commit fraud, and civil RICO actions, therefore, ORDERED, Discovery Commissioner's Report & Recommendations for July 14, 2020, and July 20, 2020, are hereby AFFIRMED.

Mr. Ball DIRECTED to prepare the proposed order including the ruling on the motion for leave to amend, allow Mr. Carbajal to review as to form and content and must submit electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, by e-mailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.

Mr. Ball requested that the amended answer and adding related claims be given a deadline of 10 days after notice of entry of order is filed, therefore, COURT ORDERED, request GRANTED.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct	**COURT MINUTES**	**October 01, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

October 01, 2020	**3:00 AM**	**Minute Order**	**Objection to DCRR July 20, 2020**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Michelle Jones
Carina Bracamontez-Munguia

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Defendants David Weinstein and Medassets Corporations Objection to Discovery Commissioner s Report and Recommendations (Objection) came on for hearing before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on September 17, 2020. Attorney Hector J. Carbjal II appeared on behalf of Defendants. Attorney Zachary T. Ball appeared on behalf of Plaintiff. After considering the pleadings and arguments, the Court enters the following order:

Discovery matters are within the district court's sound discretion, and we will not disturb a district court's ruling regarding discovery unless the court has clearly abused its discretion. Club Vista Fin. Servs. v. Dist. Ct., 128 Nev. 224, 228 (2012).

Pursuant to NRCP 26(b)(1):

Parties may obtain discovery regarding any non-privileged matter that is relevant to any party s claims or defenses and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the action, the amount in controversy, the parties relative access to relevant information, the parties resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the

burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit.

NRS 48.015 defines relevant evidence as evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more or less probable than it would be without the evidence.

Here, the underlying matter concerns a contract entered into on or about May 3, 2018. In its July 20, 2020 Report and Recommendation (DCRR), the Discovery Commission recommended:

1. Plaintiff s inquiry of David Weinstein, in his personal and individual capacity, for the time frame spanning ten years prior to the parties contract date.
2. Limiting Plaintiff s inquiry of Medasset Corporation s NRCP 30(b)(6) representative, David Weinstein, for the time frame spanning two years prior to the parties contract date.

Defendants object to the time frame of discovery as to Weinstein in his personal and individual capacity, contending that a time frame of two years prior to the parties contract is more appropriate. Specifically, Defendants claim that Defendants business operations, dealings, and activities ten years removed from the date the underlying contract was entered into is unreasonable, overbroad, and largely irrelevant. Defendants also argue that a ten-year time frame is grossly disproportionate, grossly overbroad, and unduly burdensome. The Court disagrees.

Given the nature of Plaintiff s claims for Fraud, Conspiracy to Commit Fraud, and Civil RICO, and the extensive allegations set forth in the complaint, the Court finds that the disputed ten-year time frame is relevant. Discovery leading to information regarding Plaintiff s claims that Defendants may have been in business or selling similar contracts, and breaching similar contracts, for the preceding ten years is relevant.

Further, the Court finds that Defendants fail to demonstrate that the discovery at issue is not proportional to the needs of the case or unduly burdensome.

Defendants additionally contend that the scope of discovery should be limited because is a three-year statute of limitations for these causes of action. Defendants suggest that any evidence of conduct that occurred outside of the statute of limitations is not actionable in the present case and thus irrelevant. The Court finds this argument meritless. As set forth above, discovery of conduct occurring more than three years prior to contract at issue is relevant to Plaintiff s claims.

Based on the foregoing, pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(3)(C), NRCP 26(b)(1), and NRS 48.015, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:
1. The Court DENY'S Defendants Objection.
2. The Court AFFIRMS and ADOPTS the Discovery Commissioner s July 20, 2020 Report and Recommendation.

Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order including detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law from this Minute Order. The order should be approved by counsel for all parties as to form and content prior to submitting the order. All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.

All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption.

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Zachary T. Ball, Esq. (zball@balllawgroup.com), Zachary P. Takos, Esq. (zach@takoslaw.com), Leah A. Martin, Esq. (lmartin@leahmartinlv.com), and Hector J. Carbajal, II, Esq. (hector@claw.vegas).//cbm 10/01/2020

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct	**COURT MINUTES**	**October 01, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

October 01, 2020	**3:00 AM**	**Minute Order**	**Objection to DCRR July 14, 2020**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Michelle Jones
Carina Bracamontez-Munguia

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Defendants David Weinstein and Medasset Corporations Objection to Discovery Commissioner s Report and Recommendations (Objection) came on for hearing before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on September 17, 2020. Attorney Hector J. Carbjal II appeared on behalf of Defendants. Attorney Zachary T. Ball appeared on behalf of Plaintiff. After considering the pleadings and arguments, the Court enters the following order:

Discovery matters are within the district court's sound discretion, and we will not disturb a district court's ruling regarding discovery unless the court has clearly abused its discretion. Club Vista Fin. Servs. v. Dist. Ct., 128 Nev. 224, 228 (2012).

Pursuant to NRCP 26(b)(1):

Parties may obtain discovery regarding any non-privileged matter that is relevant to any party s claims or defenses and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the action, the amount in controversy, the parties relative access to relevant information, the parties resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the

burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit.

NRS 48.015 defines relevant evidence as evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more or less probable than it would be without the evidence.

Here, the underlying matter concerns a contract entered into on or about May 3, 2018. In its July 14, 2020 Report and Recommendation (DCRR), the Discovery Commission recommended that Defendants Margaret Reddy and Vijay Reddy (collectively, the Reddy Defendants) supplement their responses to certain discovery to include a timeframe from January 1, 2008 through May 1, 2018.

Defendants Weinstein and Medasset object to the time frame of this discovery. Specifically, they argue that Defendants business operations, dealings, and activities ten years removed from the date the underlying contract was entered into is unreasonable, overbroad, and largely irrelevant. The Court disagrees.

At the outset, the Court notes that the Reddy Defendants did not object to the DCRR. Regardless, considering the nature of Plaintiff s claims for Fraud, Conspiracy to Commit Fraud, and Civil RICO, and the extensive allegations set forth in the complaint, the Court finds that the disputed ten-year time frame is relevant.

Additionally, Defendants Weinstein and Medasset contend that the scope of discovery should be limited because is a three-year statute of limitations for these causes of action. Defendants suggest that any evidence of conduct that occurred outside of the statute of limitations is not actionable in the present case and thus irrelevant. The Court finds this argument meritless. As set forth above, discovery of conduct occurring more than three years prior to contract at issue is relevant to Plaintiff s claims.

Based on the foregoing, pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(3)(C), NRCP 26(b)(1), and NRS 48.015, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:
1. The Court DENYS Defendants Objection.
2. The Court AFFIRMS and ADOPTS the Discovery Commissioner s July 14, 2020 Report and Recommendation.

Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order including detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law from this Minute Order. The order should be approved by counsel for all parties as to form and content prior to submitting the order. All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.

All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption.

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Zachary T. Ball, Esq. (zball@balllawgroup.com), Zachary P. Takos, Esq. (zach@takoslaw.com), Leah A. Martin, Esq. (lmartin@leahmartinlv.com), and Hector J. Carbajal, II, Esq. (hector@claw.vegas).//cbm 10/01/2020

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **November 10, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

November 10, 2020 **9:30 AM** **Objection to Discovery Commissioner's Report**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Grecia Snow

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ball, Zachary T	Attorney
Carbajal, Hector J., II	Attorney
Martin, Leah A.	Attorney
Takos Esq, Zachary P.	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Argument by Mr. Carbajal noting Plaintiff should not be permitted to gain access to Deft's highly confidential information where Plaintiff was in a directly competitive business to Deft's; requested following information be protected by highly confidential attorney eyes only protections. Mr. Ball argued Deft's had not established that they possessed confidential information and that the Plaintiff's were not in the same line of business as Deft's. Furthermore, Mr. Ball advised granting Deft's objection would make Plaintiff's prosecution more difficult. Further arguments by Mr. Carbajal.

COURT FINDS, there was not good cause or justification to reverse the DCCR, therefore, ORDERED, Discovery Commissioner's Report & Recommendations, was hereby AFFIRMED. Mr. Ball to prepare a detailed order and provide it to opposing counsel for approval as to form and content in both PDF version and Word version to DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **November 24, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

November 24, 2020 3:00 AM Minute Order

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Grecia Snow

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Defendants Motion to Extend the time to Oppose Plaintiff s Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [First Request] (Motion) was set for hearing before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on December 17, 2020. After considering the pleadings of counsel, the Court issues the following order and vacates the hearing:

Pursuant to NRCP 6(b) and EDCR 2.25, and for good cause showing, the Court hereby GRANTS Defendants Motion and allows Defendants a 7-day extension to November 17, 2020 to oppose Plaintiff s Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment.

Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order.

All parties must submit their orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption.

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Zachary T. Ball, Esq. (zball@balllawgroup.com), Zachary P. Takos, Esq. (zach@takoslaw.com), Leah A. Martin, Esq. (lmartin@leahmartinlv.com), and Hector J. Carbajal, II, Esq. (hector@claw.vegas). /// 11/24/2020 gs

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct	**COURT MINUTES**	**December 08, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

December 08, 2020 **9:30 AM** **Motion for Sanctions**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Kristen Brown

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Plaintiff s Motion for Sanctions pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, was set for hearing before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on December 8, 2020. Upon reviewing the pleadings, the Court issues the following order:

NRCP 11(b) provides as follows:

By presenting to the court a pleading, written motion, or other paper--whether by signing, filing, submitting, or later advocating it--an attorney or unrepresented party certifies that to the best of the person's knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances:

(1) it is not being presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation;

(2) the claims, defenses, and other legal contentions are warranted by existing law or by a non-frivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law or for establishing new law;

(3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and

(4) the denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on belief or a lack of information.

Moreover, NRCP 11(c), which governs sanctions under Rule 11, further provides:

(3) On the Court's Initiative. On its own, the court may order an attorney, law firm, or party to show cause why conduct specifically described in the order has not violated Rule 11(b).

(4) Nature of a Sanction. A sanction imposed under this rule must be limited to what suffices to deter repetition of the conduct or comparable conduct by others similarly situated. The sanction may include nonmonetary directives; an order to pay a penalty into court; or, if imposed on motion and warranted for effective deterrence, an order directing payment to the movant of part or all of the reasonable attorney fees and other expenses directly resulting from the violation.

Here, Plaintiff seeks Rule 11 sanctions on two grounds: (1) Defendants filed a counterclaim against Plaintiff based on purported facts they know are false, and (2) that Defendants counterclaim was filed to delay these proceedings, increase costs for Plaintiff, and is based on facts that are indisputably false.

Plaintiff argues that Defendants assertions in the counterclaim that Defendants were only obligation to provide 60 medical practices, and that they provided 26 of 60 medical practices is false. Plaintiff claims that Defendants were required to provide a total of 90 combined medical practices and medical offices, and at most, Defendants provided 4 medical practices for medical appeals work.

This Court does not find that Rule 11 sanctions are warranted for the reasons Plaintiff argues. This Court finds that Plaintiff s arguments for Rule 11 sanctions are premised on factual disputes between the parties regarding the parties contractual obligations and the performance of those obligations. As this contractual dispute forms a primary basis of this lawsuit, the Court cannot grant sanctions simply because Plaintiff asserts that Defendants allegations are false.

Further, this Court does not conclude that Defendants filed their counterclaim for the purpose of delaying the proceedings and increasing Plaintiff s costs.

Based on the foregoing, COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED.

Counsel for Defendants is directed to prepare a proposed order based on this Minute Order and the pleadings. The Court further directs Defendants to provide the proposed order to Plaintiff for approval as to form and content.

All parties must submit their orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until

further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was distributed to: Zachary T. Ball, Esq., (zball@balllawgroup.com), Hector J. Carbajal, Esq., (hector@claw.vegas), Zachary Takos, Esq., (zach@takoslaw.com) and Leah A. Martin, Esq., (lmartin@leahmartinlv.com).

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **December 17, 2020**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

December 17, 2020 **9:30 AM** **Motion to Dismiss**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Kristen Brown

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ball, Zachary T	Attorney
Carbajal, Hector J., II	Attorney
Hejmanowski Esq, Kevin	Attorney
Takos Esq, Zachary P.	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Following arguments by counsel, COURT ORDERED, a minute order will issue.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct	**COURT MINUTES**	**February 19, 2021**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

February 19, 2021	**11:15 AM**	**Minute Order**	**Minute Order: Order to STRIKE incorrect Stipuation and Order**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ADVISED, Defendant Precision Assets Stipulation and Order to Extend, filed on February 16, 2021 at 6:03 p.m. was erroneously e-filed in Case No. A-19-792836-C; thus, it is ORDERED STRICKEN from the record. It appears that this document actually belongs in Case No. A-19-794335-C, and it will be efiled correctly into that case shortly.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm 2/19/2021

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **February 24, 2021**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

February 24, 2021 **3:00 AM** **Motion to Withdraw as Counsel**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Dauriana Simpson

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Defendants Kevin Brown and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC s Counsel s Motion to Withdraw on OST (Motion) came on for Chambers Calendar before Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Adriana Escobar presiding, on February 24, 2021. Upon thorough review of the pleadings, this Court enters the following order:

Attorney Zachary P. Takos of the law firm Takos Law Group, Ltd. seeks to withdraw as counsel of record for Defendants Kevin Brown and Visionary Business Brokers, LLC.

There being no opposition, and for good cause showing pursuant to SCR 46, EDCR 7.40(b)(2) and RPC 1.16(b), this Court hereby GRANTS the Motion.

Mr. Takos is directed to prepare a proposed order that lists all future deadlines and hearings, and includes Defendants last known physical and/or mailing address, email, and phone number.

All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an email appended as the last page of the proposed order

confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Dauriana Simpson, to all registered parties for Odyssey File and Serve. 2/24/2021/ds

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **April 06, 2021**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

April 06, 2021 **10:00 AM** **Status Check**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Alice Jacobson

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ball, Zachary T	Attorney
Hejmanowski Esq, Kevin	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Status provided.

Counsel stated there was a pending hearing set 4/20/21 that will determine the outcome of the case.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **April 29, 2021**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

April 29, 2021 **9:30 AM** **Motion for Summary Judgment**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Alice Jacobson

RECORDER: Stacey Ray

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Ball, Zachary T	Attorney
Brown, Kevin	Defendant
Hejmanowski Esq, Kevin	Attorney
Reddy, Vijay	Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Following arguments by counsel regarding the fraud scheme. Court finds that selective disclosure appeared like intention to mislead; lack of presentation and conspiracy; foreseeableness by a reasonable person and information intentionally withheld; additionally, lack of promissory note or an investment contract. Court does not find any genuine issue of facts remaining. Therefore, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED against all parties. Mr. Ball to prepare the order.

CLERK'S NOTE ADDED: (5/25/21)

Court additionally awards:
1. Compensatory actual damages in the $75,000, plus treble damages pursuant to NRS 207.470, for a total damages amount of $225,000.
2. Attorney fees under NRS 207.470(1),
3. Costs under NRS 207.470(1) and NRS 18.0220(3), and
4. Pre-judgment interest under NRS 17.130.

Plaintiff is directed to file briefing with the Court informing of the requested attorney fees and costs amount and substantiating documentation.

This Court does not award punitive damages.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Intentional Misconduct **COURT MINUTES** **October 11, 2021**

A-19-792836-C Medappeal LLC, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
David Weinstein, Defendant(s)

October 11, 2021 **3:00 AM** **Minute Order**

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Jessica Quamina

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- The court, having reviewed the motion, the opposition thereto; and without the need for oral argument from the parties and because a hearing was not requested; Defendants Reddy, Thalamarla and Max Global s Huneycutt Motion for relief from Judgment or order is DENIED for the reasons and arguments stated in Plaintiff s opposition. Counsel for Plaintiff shall prepare the written Order for the Court s review in accordance with the local rules.

All parties must submit orders electronically, in both PDF version and Word version, until further notice. You may do so by emailing DC14Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us. All orders must have either original signatures from all parties or an e-mail appended as the last page of the proposed order confirming that all parties approved use of their electronic signatures. The subject line of the e-mail should identify the full case number, filing code and case caption.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this Minute Order was distributed via Odyssey File and Serve/ jq 10.11.21

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

ANDREW WASIELEWSKI, ESQ.
8275 S. EASTERN AVE., SUITE 200-818
LAS VEGAS, NV 89123

DATE: November 9, 2021
CASE: A-19-792836-C

RE CASE: MEDAPPEAL, LLC vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN; VIJAY REDDY; MARGARET REDDY; MOHAN THALAMARLA; KEVIN BROWN; MAX GLOBAL, INC.; VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS, LLC; MEDASSET CORPORATION

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: November 5, 2021

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

☒ $250 – Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)**
- If the $250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.

☐ $24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**

☒ $500 – Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
- NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
- *Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Court.*

☐ Case Appeal Statement
- NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2

☐ Order

☐ Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

> "The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

***Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.*

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada
County of Clark } **SS:**

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS; FINDING OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO RELIEF; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RELIEF; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

MEDAPPEAL, LLC,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

DAVID WEINSTEIN; VIJAY REDDY; MARGARET REDDY; MOHAN THALAMARLA; KEVIN BROWN; MAX GLOBAL, INC.; VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS, LLC; MEDASSET CORPORATION,

Defendant(s),

Case No: A-19-792836-C

Dept No: XIV

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 9 day of November 2021.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLERK OF THE COURT
REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER
200 LEWIS AVENUE, 3rd Fl.
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160
(702) 671-4554

Steven D. Grierson
Clerk of the Court

Anntoinette Naumec-Miller
Court Division Administrator

November 9, 2021

Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of the Court
201 South Carson Street, Suite 201
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702

RE: MEDAPPEAL, LLC vs. DAVID WEINSTEIN; VIJAY REDDY; MARGARET REDDY; MOHAN THALAMARLA; KEVIN BROWN; MAX GLOBAL, INC.; VISIONARY BUSINESS BROKERS, LLC; MEDASSET CORPORATION
D.C. CASE: A-19-792836-C

Dear Ms. Brown:

Please find enclosed a Notice of Appeal packet, filed November 9, 2021. Due to extenuating circumstances minutes from the date(s) listed below have not been included:

August 26, 2021 August 31, 2021 September 30, 2021

We do not currently have a time frame for when these minutes will be available.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (702) 671-0512.

Sincerely,
STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk