



**EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLERK OF THE COURT**

REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER
200 LEWIS AVENUE, 3rd FL.
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160
(702) 671-4554

Electronically Filed
Nov 17 2021 09:18 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

Steven D. Grierson
Clerk of the Court

Anntoinette Naumec-Miller
Court Division Administrator

November 17, 2021

Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of the Court
201 South Carson Street, Suite 201
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702

RE: DANIEL CASTELAN vs. PEPPERMILL HOTEL & CASINO; EMPLOYERS INSURANCE
COMPANY OF NEVADA; THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION,
APPEALS OFFICE, an agency of the STATE OF NEVADA
S.C. CASE: 83765
D.C. CASE: A-21-828981-J

Dear Ms. Brown:

On November 9, 2021 our office submitted a Notice of Appeal packet for the above referenced case noting that the minutes from October 14, 2021 were not included. The minutes have now been completed and are enclosed. Please contact our office at (702) 671-0512 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Heather Ungermann", written over a horizontal line.

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Worker's Compensation
Appeal**

COURT MINUTES

October 14, 2021

A-21-828981-J	Daniel Castelan, Petitioner(s) vs. Peppermill Hotel & Casino, Respondent(s)
---------------	---

October 14, 2021 10:00 AM Petition for Judicial Review

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Jessica Quamina

RECORDER: Stacey Ray

PARTIES

PRESENT:	Benavidez, David H	Attorney
	Mills, Jason D.	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Mills stated this matter was reviewed by an Appeals Officer who originally granted the petition and denied it fifteen days later, based on Mr. Benavidez providing evidence after the hearing. Mr. Mills further added, providing evidence after the hearing is an improper practice. Mr. Benavidez argued Dr. Shaw concluded an IME, where he was to include a period of disability and failed to do so. Mr. Benavidez further informed Court based on this practice he reached out to Dr. Shaw and had him include the period of disability in a report. Mr. Mills argued Mr. Benavidez statement validates his claim of improper practices and procedures. After hearing arguments, COURT ORDERED, Petitioner's Petition for Judicial Review, GRANTED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Mr. Mills to prepare and submit an order.