

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

DARELL L. MOORE; AND CHARLENE )  
A. MOORE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS )  
HUSBAND AND WIFE, )  
Appellants, )  
vs. )  
JASON LASRY, M.D. INDIVIDUAL; )  
AND TERRY BARTIMUS, RN, APRN, )  
Respondents. )

---

Electronically Filed  
Supreme Court No. 8163  
Oct 16 2020 01:52 p.m.  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
District Court Reference No. A766426  
Clerk of Supreme Court

**APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
DOCKETING STATEMENT**

Appellants hereby request pursuant to NRAP 14(d) that this Court extend the time to file the docketing statement so that it may be filed concurrently with this motion or in the alternative shortly afterwards. The brief was due on October 5, 2020. No extensions have previously been requested and thus none have been denied.

This extension is necessary to allow full and proper consideration of the docketing statement of these matters to this Court. The filing of the docketing statement was delayed because Appellant erroneously but in good faith believed the deadline for filing the docketing statement was 10/9/2020. Appellant learned when attempting to file the docketing statement on 10/9/2020 that it was overdue. Appellant was notified on 10/12/2020 that it was rejected as untimely. Appellant now respectfully requests an extension to allow the filing of the docketing statement.

MOORE

Such an extension is contemplated in the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure. NRAP 14(d); NRAP 26(b). Granting this extension will best serve justice by allowing for an adjudication on the merits. NRAP 1(c) (“These Rules shall be liberally construed to secure the proper and efficient administration of the business and affairs of the courts and to promote and facilitate the administration of justice by the courts.”); *see also Hotel Last Frontier Corp. v. Frontier Props.*, 79 Nev. 150, 155, 380 P.2d 293, 295 (1963) (noting “the basic underlying policy to have each case decided upon its merits.”) Allowing such an extension would further uphold this Court’s longstanding policy in preventing a forfeiture due to excusable neglect. *See e.g. Cicerchia v. Cicerchia*, 77 Nev. 158, 161, 360 P.2d 839, 841 (1961) (“this court is quite indulgent in setting aside defaults in consequence of 'excusable neglect' of attorneys...”)

Thus, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court grant this motion and accept the docketing statement filed concurrently with this motion or shortly thereafter.

Atkinson Watkins & Hoffmann, LLP

By: /s/ Matthew W. Hoffman  
Matthew W. Hoffman, Esq.  
10789 W. Twain Ave., Ste. 100  
Las Vegas, NV 89135  
702-562-6000

MOORE

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRAP 25(b), I certify that I am an employee Atkinson Watkins & Hoffmann, LLP and that on this 16<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** as follows:

- by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada; and/or
- to be sent via facsimile (as a courtesy only); and/or
- to be hand-delivered to the attorneys at the address listed below:
- to be submitted to the above-entitled Court for electronic filing and service upon the Court's Service List for the above-referenced case.

Chelsea Hueth, Esq  
McBride Hall  
8329 W. Sunset Rd., Ste. 260  
Las Vegas, NV 89113

Alissa N. Bestick, Esq.  
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP  
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 6000  
Las Veas, NV 89118

By /s/ Erika Jimenez  
An employee of Atkinson Watkins & Hoffmann, LLP

MOORE