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Las Vegas, Nevada, Monday, February 10, 2020
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: All right.
Anything outside the presence before we get started?

MR. MC BRIDE: Yes, Your Honor.
Yesterday afternoon at about 1:27 to be exact we, Mr. Weaver and I, received an e-mail from Mr. Arntz where he advised for the first time that he intended to call Dr. Wiencek today as a witness.

He said that in response to several e-mails back and forth have he said that it only became apparent to him the last couple of days that Mr. Wiencek might be an essential witness, and that is somewhat surprising, given the fact Dr. Wiencek was the original surgeon who treated Mr. Moore for several years since 2012 for issues relating to his popiteal graft.

The concern that we have is -- I think several-fold.

First of all, when on Friday Mr. Arntz said in his e-mail he's been trying to reach out to Dr.

Wiencek for several days last week.
If there was what we discussed, the schedule, the remaining schedule for this week and the witnesses to be called, so we could advise the jury and let them know how much longer the trial would go, Mr. Arntz never mentioned that he had reached out to Dr. Wiencek, or thought that he could potentially call him.

He never let us or the Court know of that in advance.

I think that our concern is, that at this late stage to have him as a witness to testify on the last full day before trial, after Defense -- all of Defendants experts have testified, especially Dr. Wilson, the vascular surgeon, to address the vascular issues, it is actually a classic sandbagging by the Plaintiff in this case.

There was no reason why we shouldn't have been informed that there were efforts to try to get Dr. Wiencek here before that.

We could have made arrangements to have Dr. Wilson testify as our last witness, let them finish experts their witnesses all together, and then make arrangements to have him testify.

We didn't do that because the only expert
they retained in this case to testify, the only vascular surgeon they indicated they were going to call, was Dr. M.

So in this particular situation it creates a huge detriment to the Defense in this particular case.

It's also our position that he wasn't properly identified on the Plaintiff's pre-trial disclosures. In fact, he's only listed on the pre-trial disclosures as the person most knowledgeable, or custodian of records, there's no scope of anticipated testimony he's supposed to offer, and that is the same for a number of other entities, St. Rose and other providers as well, the same designations, not as an individual witness -- or not they anticipated calling him.

So I think it's our position, and I'll let Mr. Weaver chime in as well, because I think he has some points to make, but it's our position at this late stage that is an improper attempt to sandbag the Defense and creates a difficult situation for us, and I think that it is not proper pursuant to their pre-trial disclosures.

THE COURT: Mr. Weaver, anything to add?
MR. WEAVER: Thank you, Your Honor.

I would briefly add, we briefed this issue before the Court, as it wasn't just Mr. Arntz saying that he he became aware a few days ago, he said, quite a few days ago.

So at the same time while the Court is telling the jury on Friday at the lunch break there was two witnesses in the afternoon, Nurse Practitioner Bartmus and Dr. Barcay, and two today, and we would be done today, instructions tomorrow, and it certainly wouldn't go into Wednesday, not a word, not a peep, no heads up, no information, not anything.

And what is particularly disturbing is, every single day we talked about witnesses on Thursday, $I$ set out the discussion in part that carried over until Friday, when even the Court acknowledged based on the Court's information that Mr. and Mrs. Moore were the final two witnesses today, that part of what was happening on Friday, and this is on the record, was if we're to not interfere with Mr. and Mrs. Moore having the entire afternoon to testify today, number one, would've fully
truncated the testimony of Nurse Practitioner Bartmus, and in addition truncated and shortened the testimony of Dr. Barcay, so we finished before 4 to
make sure that Mr. Arntz had all the time he needed for cross-examination.

He said he would take an hour, took 30 minutes, but there was plenty of juror questions, but all of that was done to make sure it didn't interfere with the last two witnesses today.

Meanwhile, they've known for at least a week, maybe ten days before that they were intending, or hoping, or scheduling Dr. Wiencek for today.

So that we're trying to do what we can to make sure we don't go past Tuesday and doing as well what we can with the witnesses, we're getting sandbagged, not knowing we're going to find out yesterday when we are trying to prepare for Mr. Moore, and trying to prepare for closing arguments, a surprise to Dr. Wiencek is coming.

On Thursday afternoon $I$ called, it was hectic, and e-mailed Dr. Barcay when there was a discussion about potentially -- this was at 3:30 about potentially Mrs. and Mrs. Moore having their testimony on Friday afternoon to accommodate them to get it done.

It was decided that the best thing would be to leave them until today uninterrupted.

So to find out yesterday afternoon that
this was a set up to have the Plaintiff's case continue over to today, and Dr. Wiencek, a surprise witness, is quite frankly intolerable, just not fair.

What are we going to do, bring Dr. Wilson back tomorrow?

That is not even likely possible.
But Dr. Wilson had every right to rely on whatever Dr. Wiencek might say, not the other way around.

THE COURT: Mr. Hyamson.
MR. P. HYMANSON: Phil Hymanson on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Moore.

This is not a sandbag.
This is what we call trial.
As of last Thursday the discussion between counsel about whether they were going to call Dr. Wiencek or not, and Mr. McBride said, no, it's not their intention, Mr. Arntz was under the impression they were, which was good because we were having until Friday to get him, didn't think we would be able to get him.

THE COURT: I don't have a lot of volume today, so bear with me.

Can you just clarify?
You're using a lot of pronouns there.

You said, they were talking about it, they were okay, they weren't.

I did not follow who you were saying.
As far as I'm getting what you gentlemen are saying, Friday was the first time they heard about him coming today, or maybe Sunday.

I'm sorry.
What's the first time they heard about Dr. Wiencek?

MR. P. HYMANSON: I'll be clear on that.
Your Honor, that is absolutely correct.
We didn't know that Dr. Wiencek would be available until a telephone conference yesterday, and as soon as we learned from Dr. Wiencek he would be available, we notified Defense counsel approximately 24 hours before they were going to testify.

We weren't aware of it until Sunday he was in fact going to be able to testify.

He has some physical issues, and we didn't think he was going to be able to.

THE COURT: When did you begin reaching out to him?

MR. P. HYMANSON: I'll have to defer to Mr.
Arntz because I wasn't involved in the reach.
MR. ARNTZ: Probably about a week ago.

I think $I$ was able to get ahold of him through the office, and what he told me was, he was not be able to come testify because he had bad neuropathy, didn't want to come into your courtroom.

He said, if you can have me testify by video conference, I'll agree to it.

I said, $I$ don't think $I$ can do that.
So I essentially gave up on it, but I reached out to him one more time Friday, and it was about 7:00 Friday night that $I$ finally got a text from him where he said -- he agreed to come.

I didn't make a decision then.

I wouldn't call him until $I$ had a chance to talk to him.

So I talked to him on Sunday, it was the afternoon, the three of us were there on speaker phone talking to him, and after that $I$ decided to call him, and $I$ immediately notified counsel of my decision.

There was no sandbagging. I just didn't think $I$ could get him here.

THE COURT: Well, let me go back to Mr. Hymanson.

Whether or not the intent was there, the sandbagging, it's just not sandbagging, if they were
standing up at the last witness with a witness you had no idea was in play that day before that witness was supposed to testify, you would not be up here having that same thing?

MR. P. HYMANSON: No, Your Honor, I don't think so.

I call that trial. I call that trial, Your Honor.

It was quite clear on Friday after -- as the Court said to them, we allowed them to put their experts in out of place, it was quite clear after their experts testified how critical this doctor was going to be, and I specifically said to Mr. Arntz after Friday that if Dr. Wiencek. If we have him listed, and we tried to get him, we need to try and get him one more time because based on Friday's testimony that would be critical for him to be here.

This isn't a surprise to the Defense. They've known of this doctor from the beginning.

They are the ones mentioned him in their opening statement.

They are the ones that had their experts refer to him.

So there's no surprise.

He is a treating physician, no surprise
there.
And what he's going to testify to would be quicker than what this argument is going to be.

THE COURT: One more follow-up to Mr.
Hymanson before the response.

MR. MC BRIDE: Sure.
THE COURT: Wait.
You all have to try to listen.
I'm speaking as loudly as I can.
One more follow-up to Mr. Hymanson.
What Mr. McBride indicated about the actual pre-trial disclosures, those have some meaning. If he's not disclosed on there as a potential witness, how is it you're calling him now?

I know all day long trial is trial, but their indication is, and $I$ did not re-review that because I had no idea about talking about sandbagging, about this argument coming, so you know what is the actual disclosure?

MR. P. HYMANSON: Number 22.
MR. MC BRIDE: 20.
MR. ARNTZ: No, 22 .
The supplement.
MR. P. HYMANSON: It says:

Dr. Wiencek, these witnesses expect to testify regarding Plaintiff's medical treatment, from Dr. Wiencek, M.D., expected to testify to the facts and circumstances surrounding the medical care, treatment, and/or billing for said care and treatment provided to Plaintiff.

THE COURT: What was the supplement?
MR. MC BRIDE: I don't have a supplement, Your Honor.

MR. WEAVER: There's no supplement, Your Honor.

MR. ARNTZ: Your Honor, Dr. Wiencek actually has been named since the first supplement.

He's been in every supplement since then.
THE COURT: They indicated he was named, but as custodian of records.

MR. MC BRIDE: I have the pre-trial
disclosures here, Your Honor, if you would like to take a look at it.

THE COURT: I'm taking your representations.

I was just told there was a couple numbers there, $I$ was wondering whether it was filed.

MR. ARNTZ: The 13th, and included in that one and every other one.

THE COURT: Hold on, you guys are talking over each other.

I'm checking the file.
MR. P. HYMANSON: The supplement was
November 21st, Your Honor.
THE COURT: The supplement you're reading from now?

MR. P. HYMANSON: The 13th supplement, 11/21, Your Honor.

MR. ARNTZ: That wasn't the first
supplement we filed.
THE COURT: There's a difference, is there not, gentlemen, between ongoing supplements along the way of all the potential witnesses that might have something to do with the case and the actual pre-trial disclosure of witnesses?

MR. ARNTZ: Well, he's disclosed as a witness.

THE COURT: I'm not in the mood, Mr. Arntz.
I just said $I$ thought very clearly there is not a difference between ongoing supplemental disclosure, the requirements, as pre-trial disclosures that is required under the EDCR when you all get together and meet and confer and list out your witnesses and list out your documents, and say
who you are calling.
If you are not required to update on the pre-trial disclosures who you are actually going to call and what they are going to be called for --

MR. ARNTZ: Well, it doesn't list on there what -- doesn't recite the same paragraph that is in the disclosure in the supplement, that's true.

THE COURT: Okay.
I'm not worried about that right now.
Final arguments?
MR. MC BRIDE: I do have the pre-trial disclosure filed by Plaintiff December 27th, 2019.

Number 20, like I said, custodian of records, and/or person most knowledgeable, and just Robert Wiencek, M.D., St. Rose Sienna.

That is the same identification, nothing more, the same identification they give for every other potential witness, Paul Weazner Associates, John Oh, M.D., Nevada Comprehensive, /PRO care, then as Your Honor is aware as part of the pre-trial disclosures it even says, has a section says, Plaintiff's expect to present the following witnesses at trial if a need arises, Plaintiff's reserve the right to call any and all witnesses called by any other party, and there is nobody identified.

There's also nobody identified by deposition, nobody identified that they were subpoenaed.

Our point, Your Honor, again goes to the fact that this is a witness who is -- Well, first of all, it was mentioned in passing as a treating physician in my opening statement as part of my chronology explaining who he treated with. That in and of itself should have been enough for the Plaintiff to identify that Dr. Wiencek likely had some information that would be relevant to their case in this particular issue, even if it's about as a treating provider, or damages, or anything else. That wasn't done.

Your Honor, you are absolutely correct, the pre-trial disclosures are really the operative pleading that takes effect for trial.

I understand Mr. Hymanson thinks that this is all well and good to have a Perry Mason moment and call Dr. Wiencek, and at the last minute, but what it also does is, it complicates matters to the extent even if the Court were to allow him to testify, and limit his testimony, what that problem creates for the jurors, who are all -- have been very attentive and who ask questions, when they are not able to ask
questions that may go beyond the potential role as just a treating physician, that opens up a whole other cap of worms.

In this particular case, Your Honor, this is classic sandbagging, and I think it's an absolute detriment to the Defense, given the fact we've already completed our experts, in particular Dr. Wilson, who as Mr. Weaver pointed out would be the key witness to comment on any testimony from Dr. Wiencek.

THE COURT: Anything else to add, Mr.
Weaver?
MR. WEAVER: Briefly, Your Honor.
Again, it's not the trial, it's a sandbag.
They are not even on the same page with al due respect.

Mr. Arntz said quite a few days now it been known that Dr. Wiencek is a potential witness without a word to us.

Mr. Hymanson just said, it became apparent on Friday afternoon after our experts left.

They are not reconcilable, it don't make sense, and the bottom line is in trial what makes sense is to say, here are experts that we are calling, how are we going to coordinate it?

What isn't trial is to conceal a witness from the other side and not tell them until the day before.

It's true we've gone back and forth, and with all due respect it hasn't been total accommodation for our experts. We've done what we can to make our experts available, including Dr.

Wilson being here for this for three days in order not to hold up any trial.

So the idea there's just this over-accommodation for Defendants isn't even fair.

THE COURT: Okay.
So it hasn't been mentioned yet in argument, but one of the things I recall -- I looked it up while $I$ was listening to your arguments -- was there was also a stipulation and order on motions in limine signed off on by all the parties, which again technically has not been filed, but of course was submitted to the Court prior to trial, I actually have a stamp on it January $29 t h$ when it was submitted to the Court, so it might have actually just been after trial started, but stipulation order on motions in limine, the sixth of which is, as a courtesy the parties agree to provide reasonable advanced notice of witnesses to be called to the extent possible.

Actually, when $I$ was reviewing these orders, I was very glad to see that because one of the things as a Judge, $I$ see counsel do it all the time, is decide how they are going to do their case and not necessarily share as things are evolving that information with the other side.
I'm not going to call it sandbagging
because I don't disagree with Mr. Hymanson or Arntz, it's very possible as the trial evolves they came about their decision the way they came about their decision.

The argument and calling it sandbagging is like saying, by design they waited until the last minute to call Dr. Wiencek.

I take it at face value, Mr. Hymanson's representations. Although, Mr. Arntz may have attempted to reach out sometime ago just to see if he was available, and had given up, that that was going to work.

Mr. Hymanson, after hearing the testimony on Friday, said, let's try it again, and as luck would have it Mr. Wiencek -- Dr. Wiencek was available.

At the end of the day it was absolutely obvious to this Court from the get go that Dr.
Wiencek could, potentially should, have been a
witness in this case.

Every single witness that testified, and every single document we've looked at, has had Dr. Wiencek all over it.

In fact, to the degree where I've actually been sitting here concerned that the jurors don't even know who the Defendants are because Dr. Wiencek's name had come up so many times.

That said, all the testimony has come in except for the Plaintiffs.

We are at the conclusion of this trial, there are ample documentation affixed to this involving Dr. Wiencek can be pointed to as need be to clarify any of those issues.

This is far too late in the process to be disclosing a witness.

The appropriate time to the disclose this witness would have been when the decision was made to reach out to see if he was available.

The Court deserved that courtesy, counsel deserved that courtesy, it did not happen.

It was not included in the pre-trial disclosure, that might have saved -- or might have made some different impact on the Court's decision
here today.
If he had been listed in there the same way he was listed in the November multiple supplements, 13, or whatever it was, but there's got to be some benefit to the Court and to counsel these pre-trial meet and confers, they are not just empty exercises where everything is listed, they should not be that, where everything just gets listed the way it's been previously listed and cut and pasted by some staff member, and we actually have no damn idea who's going to be called at trial.

This Court has been every day at the end of last week figuring out who is being called and when.

I didn't care who was being called and when, $I$ did not care how long a time it was going to be taken, $I$ just needed to know, so $I$ could keep this trial moving and going.

To find out now that on Sunday was the first time Defense was notified Dr. Wiencek was in play, and the Court didn't know until it came in here today, I appreciate we were copied on some e-mail yesterday as well, but that was not provided to me by my staff this morning, and it's in the pile of additional instructions and things we have now, but $I$ didn't get it until just now.

So as I came in here today $I$ had zero idea this was an issue.

At the end of the day, like I said, I think ample testimony has been had from both sides related to Dr. Wiencek's prior treatment, how that might have impacted things, and certainly any confusion can be cleaned up in closings.

We have the Plaintiff, and the Plaintiff's to testify today, and $I$ do not see any legitimate legal or factual basis to allow Dr. Wiencek to be called at this time based on the pre-trial disclosures, based open the stipulated motion in limine as a courtesy to provide information, reasonable advanced notice, and ultimately the communications up through and including Friday as to what this trial proceedings would be.

So for all of those reasons Dr. Wiencek will not be called today, and we will proceed as schedules with the Plaintiffs.

Anything else we need to address?
MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. ARNTZ: No, Your Honor.
MR. MC BRIDE: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Do you need to communicate with Dr.

```
Wiencek?
```

MR. ARNTZ: Yep.
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court and in the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen, for your patience.

We had a few matters we had to resolve before you joined us.

We've now resolved those matters.
At this point in time we're going to return to the Plaintiffs' case in chief, and I'm asking counsel for the Plaintiff to call their next witness, please.

MR. J. HYMANSON: We'd like to call
Charlene Moore, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mrs. Moore, make your way up to the witness stand.

## CHARLENE MOORE,

who, being first duly sworn to tell
the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please have a seat.
Please state your full name, spelling both
your first and last name for the record.
THE WITNESS: My first name is Charlene, C-h-a-r-l-e-n-e, last name Moore, M-o-o-r-e.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Hymanson, when you're ready.
MR. J. HYMANSON: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE MOORE

BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Good afternoon, Mrs. Moore.

Can you please introduce yourself to the
ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
A. I'm Charlene Moore.

I'm Darell's wife.
Q. Miss Moore, where were you born?
A. I was born in Camp Robert, California.
Q. Where did you grow up?
A. I grew up, most of my younger years were spent in Germany.

My dad was in the Army intelligence, so we moved around a lot, spent nine years in Germany, sometime in Baltimore, and other places.
Q. When did you meet Darell?
A. I met Darell the first part of 1967.

We had moved into a neighborhood, and the beginning of the year he moved in next door to us.
Q. How old were you then?
A. I was 14.
Q. Did you eventually start dating Darell?
A. We did.
Q. How long after you met him?
A. I would think probably maybe eight months or so.
Q. And you eventually ended up getting married to Darell, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. How old were you when you married Darell?
A. I was 16.
Q. And so how long have you been married to your husband?
A. 51 years.
Q. We talked about it a little bit before, but you have three children, correct?
A. I do.
Q. What do your children do?
A. My eldest is Eric, and he works in the prison system.

My second son is -- you met -- he's
Christopher, he's been in interventional -- works in the hospital.

And Jennifer is a Sergeant in the Air
Force.
Q. Okay.

Miss Moore, are you currently employed?
A. I am not.

I'm retired.
Q. What did you used to do for a living?
A. Well, there were a lot of things.

My first job was helping my dad in his photo store.

Then later my mom and my mother-in-law and I opened a children's clothing store that we had for well over ten years.

Then $I$ became a partner with my sister, she had taken over my dad's photo store, so I worked with her for a while.

And then $I$ dealt poker in a small card room in California.

I also managed the card room for a little while.
Q. When you owned your own business, did you always pay your debts?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think you have ever lived a lavish lifestyle?
A. No, not really.
Q. I want to transition a little bit talking about your life with your husband before his amputation.

So what does your husband do for a living?
A. He -- He started out when we first got married as a bus boy, then he went into construction with his father, became a partner, and worked with him for many years.

And then at the same time $I$ started dealing cards in the card room he dealt cards in the card room and became a manager of several card rooms.
Q. Did he ever have any issues with his legs?
A. He had issues with his legs for years and years and years.

He first saw a vascular specialist when he
was about 19 or so, but in his 30 's he developed open ulcers on his legs, so he consulted a lot of physicians through the years.

All they could do was tell him to wrap his legs with a bandage, you have to put elastic like over it, so it's like a lightweight cast, and he did that for many years, so he could continue to work.

He was like so long, so long he had these open ulcers, and sometimes they would come on his right leg, but never as bad as his left leg.

And when we finally got referred to Dr. Wiencek, he had a 2012 operation, he finally healed and was much more mobile, and didn't have to do the bandages anymore or anything like that.
Q. Let me just ask for a little bit of clarification.

The 2012 operation, so $I$ want to make sure I understand what your testimony was.

You said for years he had open sores on his legs?
A. Correct.
Q. And you talked about the bandages he would wear, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you would apply the bandage, would
his wounds go away?
A. Well, his right leg healed up quite often, so he didn't have to bandage that very much.

But his left leg, sometimes he would have several ulcers, sometimes only had one, kind of went back and forth, but there was always for the whole time he had some kind of open sore on his left leg.
Q. What kind of things did you and Darell do for fun before -- let's talk about from 2010 until 2016, what kind of things did you and Darell do for fun?
A. Well, 2010 to 2016?

In 2010 to 2012 we didn't do -- we had just retired recently, didn't do a whole lot of traveling or anything, but we would go to Tucson to visit my mom, go back to the hometown to visit family.

You know, we would go out, have dinner, do all those things.

After 2012, we were settled into retirement, his legs were healed, and we were doing a lot more.

We took a trip to Hawaii.
We took a trip to Florida.
We flew out to Florida.

We visited my mom regularly in Tucson, at
least a couple times a year.
So she moved to Mesquite, and then we would go over there and see her like once a month.

We still traveled back to Paso Robles to see family.

Now luckily enough most of my family moved to Mesquite, so we can see them more often.

However, not so much now that he's lost his leg.
Q. We talked about a noticeable difference between what you and your husband would do before 2012 and after 2012, and you talked about 2012.

What was the surgery your husband had in 2012?
A. In 2012 he had several aneurisms addressed, and he had the popiteal by-pass graft.
Q. The graft we've been talking about for the last two weeks?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you notice a difference -- or first of all, you said your husband had sores on his legs for years before he had the by-pass, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. How did the by-pass affect your husband's leg, his left leg?
A. All the ulcers healed.

He didn't have to put the bandage on anymore.
Q. How did the 2012 by-pass affect your husband's overall quality of life?
A. There was such a huge difference. It was just so much better.

We were living life with him having open sores on his legs, and the necessity of changing bandages, and they were very painful, he used to before the sores healed when he would go to bed, he would have to hang his leg off the bed onto like a little chair with pillows, so that they were -- the level was down a little bit, which would ease the pain, and help him sleep because gravity goes downhill.

So he didn't have to do that anymore, he could put his feet up on the bed and sleep like a regular person.
Q. From 2012 to 2016 how often did your husband exercise?
A. Well, he would walk more and do more, but we didn't have like an exercise program, he didn't go to the gym or anything.
Q. Okay.

You said you would walk.
Where would you walk?

Where would he walk?
A. He could come with me to the grocery store, to Costco.

If we wanted to go to the car show at

Mandalay Bay, he could walk all the way back there.
If we went to the clinic, he could walk
around.

If we traveled somewhere, we wanted to stop and go off course and hike a little bit, not major hiking, but just to go see sights and that kind of thing.
Q. So you mentioned Dr. Wiencek was your husband's vascular surgeon -- or is your husband's vascular surgeon?
A. He still is.
Q. How did you -- or your husband -- find Dr. Wiencek, do you remember?
A. He -- His left leg, one of the ulcers on his left leg got infected, and we took him to the emergency room at $S t$. Rose, and they recommended that he go to a clinic that he had -- that they have where they did wound care, and he went to that clinic a couple of times, and the second time the doctor that
was cleaning up his wound and whatever they do, I don't know exactly, but that doctor was Dr. Simon, and he said, you know, $I$ think $I$ can can help you beyond the clinic, I have an office, and why don't you make an appointment.

So he went to Dr. Simon several times, and Dr. Simon tried to do some things that didn't seem to be helping very much, so Dr. Simon ordered I believe it was a CT, and after he got the results from the CT he had Darell come in and said, you know --

MR. MC BRIDE: Objection, Your Honor.
Hearsay.
THE COURT: Is it being offered for the truth of the matter asserted, or being offered -- For what purposes is it being offered for?

MR. J. HYMANSON: For her recollection of how they found Dr. Wiencek.

THE COURT: I'll overrule in this case, and just for the purposes of understanding this is what the Plaintiff is getting at.

MR. J. HYMANSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: Dr. Simon, after the CT results said, you got some serious problems that need addressed, and he actually called Dr. Wiencek while we were in the office, and he arranged for Darell to
see him that day.
BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Miss Moore, did you ever go to your husband's appointments with Dr. Wiencek?
A. I went to the office ever every time.

I also went into the back room with him every single time.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. Because his problems were serious, and I wanted to hear what the doctors said, rather than getting it secondhand from Darell.
Q. You said you actually went back and in the examination room each time your husband would go see Dr. Wiencek?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. So did you watch the exam Dr. Wiencek would do on your husband each time?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever see Dr. Wiencek check for a pulse in your husband's legs?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

Do you remember seeing Dr. Wiencek check
for a pulse on your husband's left foot?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he ever able to palpate a pulse on your husband's left foot, to your recollection?

MR. MC BRIDE: Objection.
Speculation.
MR. WEAVER: Join.
THE COURT: Sustained.

How would she know?
MR. J. HYMANSON: That's my question.
I'll move on, Your Honor.
BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Miss Moore, did you ever see -- Well, you said that you saw Dr. Wiencek attempt to palpate a pulse on your husband's left leg, correct?
A. I don't know if you would classify it as palpate because he didn't -- he used a Doppler every single time.
Q. Okay.

So did you see him attempt to palpate
before?
A. No.
Q. So you saw him use a Doppler?
A. He went straight to the Doppler.
Q. And every time that you were at Dr.

Wiencek's you saw Dr. Wiencek use a Doppler to check your husband's pulse on his left leg?
A. Yes, I did.

And Dr. Wiencek did it himself, not an aid or anybody else.
Q. I asked you this in one way before.

You said you went to every appointment with
Dr. Wiencek because you were very concerned about
Darell's leg, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you think your husband took his health seriously?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Just briefly, $I$ want to talk about smoking.

There's been a lot of talk about smoking throughout this trial.

First, Miss Moore, do you smoke at all?
A. I do.
Q. How often, or how much, do you smoke a day?
A. I average three or four cigarettes a day.

I never smoke during the day time, only in the evenings, unless we're out somewhere.
Q. How long have you smoked?
A. 30,40 years.
Q. Okay.

And we learned throughout the course of this trial that your husband Darell is a smoker,
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And how long has Darell been smoking?
A. About the same duration.
Q. How much does your husband currently smoke a day?
A. He smokes approximately a pack a day, sometimes a little less because sometimes I smoke out of the same pack.
Q. From 2012 to 2016 do you recall how much your husband smoked a day?
A. About the same amount.

He smoked much less than that many years ago.
Q. Okay.

So what -- Well, do you and Darell smoke in the house?
A. We do not.
Q. And why not?
A. It makes the house stink.
Q. You're aware that smoking is bad for you?
A. Yes.
Q. And is your husband aware smoking is bad for him?
A. Yes, he is.
Q. Why do you continue to smoke?
A. It's so many years of a habit, it makes it hard to break, and then there's been a lot of stress in our lives, and it's hard to break habits when you are stressed.

You need some order in your life, things to be the same, some things to be the same, changes are hard.
Q. So you established both you and your husband smoked, but $I$ asked you earlier if your husband takes his health seriously.

Do you think your husband takes his health seriously?
A. Yes, he does.

If it were easy to quit, he would have already done it.
Q. Does your husband go to his follow-up doctors' appointments when he's supposed to?
A. Yes, he does.
Q. Does your husband take his medications on a regular basis?
A. Oh, yes.

He makes sure that $I$ make sure that his medication is ordered through the pharmacy in a timely manner.

He will not go one day without taking his Xarelto.
Q. For a time period was your husband instructed to wear any special type of anything on his legs?
A. Well, of course after surgery he had to wear the compression socks, and he wore compression socks quite a bit.

They've also asked him to wear skin preparation for his prosthetic, they had him wearing a shaper on the other leg.
Q. I want to talk to you briefly about the couple days before Christmas day in 2016, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. What -- Did you go to the casino a few days before December 25th, 2016?
A. I did.
Q. Did your husband go with you?
A. Yes.
Q. What casino did you go to?
A. I wouldn't -- It's been a long time.

I would assume we went to The M because that is usually where we go.
Q. Do you remember how much you walked around with your husband in the casino that day?
A. Specifically, no.

I don't remember how long we were there.
I don't remember if we were winning, going from machine to machine, or whatever, but he was walking with a cane.
Q. Okay.

Was it an amount you think -- looking back at it, was more than he would usually walk in a given day?
A. I couldn't say, I don't remember.
Q. Okay.

Let's talk about now December 25th, 2016.
Do you remember how that day started?
A. Well, we were getting ready for Christmas of course, my mom and her boyfriend were coming, so we were -- but when he got up in the morning, he said, you know, I think $I$ have to get ready and go to the emergency room because something is wrong.
Q. Okay.

Did you take your husband to the emergency room that day?
A. I didn't.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, my son Christopher was available, and I had the turkey in the oven and everything going,
and somebody had to wait for the company to arrive.
Q. Were you worried about your husband at all on December 25 th when he left for the hospital?
A. I was very worried, but I knew that my son would call me if they kept him, and then Christmas dinner, forget the whole thing, we're all going to the hospital.
Q. Why were you worried about your husband on December 25 th?
A. Because after this 2012 by-pass two times we had to take him to the emergency room because -and they had to unblock the popiteal graft, so it would get better blood flow.
Q. Was that in 2014?
A. 2014 and 2015 .
Q. You've been here throughout most of this trial, correct?
A. I have.
Q. Were you surprised to hear Dr. Lasry testify that you were in the cafeteria with your son on December 25th?
A. That's a big surprise to me.

Although, $I$ don't remember hearing that, it might have been at one point that $I$-- that we went home early.
Q. Okay.

But you were not at the hospital?
A. I was not at the hospital.
Q. Okay.
A. How would Dr. Lasry know $I$ was in the cafeteria?
Q. Eventually your husband came home on December 25 th?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he have any discharge paperwork with him?
A. He did have discharge papers.
Q. Did you look at that paperwork at all?
A. Immediately when he came in.

He came in, he put them on the counter, he said, they said I could go home.

I said, I want to see it.
I read through the whole thing.
Q. Why did you to that?
A. Because I wanted to know what the doctors
in the emergency room said about his problem.
Q. Did you see anything in that paperwork about your husband being told to follow-up with the
doctors or surgeon?
A. Absolutely nothing.
Q. Did you see anything in that paperwork showing -- or stating there was an ultrasound that said your husband's fem pop graft appeared occluded?
A. The only mention of ultrasound on the discharge papers was that they did the test, nothing about results.
Q. Okay.

If you had seen something in the discharge paperwork that said that there was an ultrasound done that day, that said that your husband's fem pop graft appeared occluded, what would you have done?
A. I would have --

MR. MC BRIDE: Objection.
Calls for speculation.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I would have put him in the car and taken him back.

BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Why?
A. Because it's really dangerous, the situation, and he had had to have it addressed before, and Dr. Wiencek told us that the first time that his graft was occluded was the most difficult
for him because he didn't know what was happening.
So he waited a little longer to go to the emergency room.

After that occasion when they got it all cleared, Dr. Wiencek said to us, time is of the essence, when you feel anything wrong with your leg, you need to go to the emergency room immediately.
Q. All right.

I'm going to talk real quick about the days in between December 25 th and December $20 t h$.

Did you look -- Do you have any recollection of looking at your husband's foot on December $26 t h$ or December $27 t h$ ?
A. Not a bare foot.

He didn't really run around without his socks on because his leg had all kinds of scars and everything on it, and he always wore socks that go up to the knee.

However, I would always look -- it's like a habit because his legs would swell, they were always swollen, but $I$ would like look down at his foot and in his slipper to see how much more it was swollen, so if I thought it was getting excessive, $I$ would mention it to him and talk to him about how his leg was feeling.
Q. Let's talk about December 28th, 2016 .

Do you remember how that day started?
A. He got up, he said this is really bad, I need to go back to the emergency room.
Q. Who took him to the hospital that day?
A. I did.

But before $I$ went, $I$ called Dr. Wiencek.
Q. What time of day do you think you got to the ER, do you remember?
A. I don't.
Q. What did they do to Darell once he arrived at the ER?
A. Well, of course he saw the triage nurse real quick, then they took him back and put him in one of those little cubbies on a bed, had him take his shoes and socks off, and -- Do you want me to go through the whole thing?
Q. We can go step-by-step.

Let me ask you real quick, you said they had him lie down and took his shoes and socks off, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you look at your husband's foot then?
A. I did.
Q. What did it look like?
A. Purple.
Q. Was your husband in pain?
A. Yes.
Q. How much pain?

How could you tell your husband was in
pain?
A. Well, he told me, and he didn't look too well.
Q. Did they eventually take your husband back to have his leg imaged?
A. Yes, they did.

Actually, when he first saw the ER doctor that day, not Dr. Lasry, he came, and he just barely got in the room, and he said to somebody who was with him, that is vascular, he had not even touched him or gotten to his foot, and then he -- actually $I$ think he walked away and came back, he was already ordering things.

And he came back and did an exam and sent him for an ultrasound.
Q. After the ultrasound, did they attempt to use any clot busters in your husband's leg?
A. They did.

Although, they did a CT first.
Q. Did the clot busters work?
A. No, they did not.
Q. Did they have any affect on your husband?
A. Well, they did because that procedure is very -- it's very painful, but it didn't help.
Q. Did it leave any marks on your husband's body?
A. Well, they have to cut him open and put it in, put a tube down his leg to get -- or to direct the medication to the right spot, and that in itself didn't leave anything but the cut, but then they left him -- left it in like overnight, and then when they had all conferred and decided that this isn't going to work, they pulled that tube out in his hospital room, and when they did that, he was I would say hemorrhaging.

It took two nurses, they -- one male nurse, and I believe the other one was a lady, and they were like changing pads, changing pads, changing pads, putting pressure on.

Finally the male nurse -- it seemed like forever at the time, but finally the male nurse kind of got up on the bed and put his weight on it to make it stop.

And after all that stuff he had a bruise that went from here all the way down -- his upper
body all the way down, $I$ think it may have gone all if way down to his knees, but it was past this --

MR. MC BRIDE: Your Honor, could we have her identify what portion of her body she's referring to?

THE COURT: Yes.

For the record, Mrs. Moore, it's important you indicate by describing what portion of your body you are referring to.

THE WITNESS: Up towards his chest, and well past his pelvic area. BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Miss Moore, so we're talking about the hospitalization now starting December 28th.

Eventually during this hospitalization we talked about the clot busters not working, was the decision eventually made to have your husband's leg amputated above the knee?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Miss Moore, how had your -- how has your husband having his leg amputated above the knee affected his life?
A. There's so many things, I couldn't possibly say all of them, but of course the loss of a leg is -- has a huge impact on your life.

You can't walk.
Everything takes longer.
You can't just go hop in the car and leave, hop in the car and close the door.

No, we have to take the wheelchair out, get in the car.

If we're out, we have two wheelchairs, so I don't have to take them in and out every time, but any time he leaves the vehicle when we are out, then I have to get the wheelchair out, set it up for him, and I -- the wheelchair he uses is in the car because I can't lift the heavier wheelchair.

The real lightweight one the one he has today, the wheels are small, so that he can't -- I don't know how to describe it, but the bigger the wheels are, the more him actually manually pushing it, it's easier because he doesn't have to go back and forth a whole lot.

So because then using that wheelchair it's a little more difficult for him to move himself around, then $I$ push him most everywhere when we are out.

I think $I$ got lost in there and don't remember the question.
Q. That's fine.

I'll ask you some more questions.
Is your husband able to access every room
in your house?
A. No, he is not.
Q. Where can't your husband access?
A. His wheelchair will not go into the -either the toilet stall in our bathroom, or the other bathrooms. The doorways are to small.

He can't access our closet.
He can't access the pantry.
I guess if we put a walker in every place, he could have kind of access them, but you can't have multiples and move them around all the time.

When he uses the restroom in the hall, we leave a walker right there at the door because the wheelchair won't go in, so that he can get to the toilet.

It is not impossible, but it's difficult to get into the extra bedroom because with the hall it's not wide enough to swing into them without beating up the doorways.

Our bedroom itself the doorway's a little small, but he can just barely fit it through, so he beats up the doorway there, but he has to go in there because that's the only shower that he can access.

He can't access the yard because it's too difficult to roll the wheelchair across rocks or grass.
Q. You mentioned the shower.

How does your husband shower?
A. Well, we use the same shower, so $I$ move the chair in and out, so that he has a chair in there to shower.

And then he rolls up to the shower and stands up and has to hop over the lift and get seated on the chair.

I usually will -- I hang a towel over the shower, so that he can reach it after he's done.
Q. We talked about the clotting, that he can't activate the closet, right?
A. No.
Q. So where does he store his clothes?
A. His shirts hang -- Well, some of his shirts hang on the towel rack in the bathroom, I put them there so he has a choice of what to put on if we are going somewhere.

I bought a little two-drawer chest I put beside the vanity where $I$ put his -- try and keep his supply of socks and pants and underwear, so that he can access those in the bathroom because otherwise if
they were in the closet, he couldn't get to them at all, if they were in the dresser in the bedroom, he would have to go back and forth and back and forth.
Q. I think you also said he can't access the pantry, correct?
A. No.
Q. So what have you done to change the way your house is set up in terms of that?
A. The kinds of things like snacks, butter, on the counters, instead of putting them away because that is the only place he can reach them.

He has a drawer where he keeps his tea bags and extra snacks, so he can access it.

I try and keep in the refrigerator, try to keep anything he uses regularly in a reachable area.

He can't reach anything but the bottom shelf in our cupboards, so everything he might need has to be there.
Q. Do you and your husband sleep in the same room now?
A. We do not.
Q. Did you sleep in the same room before his leg was amputated?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Why don't you sleep in the same room?
A. Well, we don't sleep in the same room because -- well, there's lots of reasons.

Number one, our bed is a little high that is in the bedroom, so it's difficult for him to get on and off it.

He sleeps kind of sideways, so there's not a lot of room.

And then the mattress we have in there was bothering him, so we bought one of those new foam mattresses and put it in our study because for several reasons.

Number one, that has helped a whole lot with his pain, that type of mattress, but also it's in the study because it's closer to the kitchen and because the study has no door, so he doesn't have to go through a doorway, we just have a curtain across, like a four-foot opening or so, so we just have a curtain across there, so he sleeps in there.
Q. You and your husband have been here for every day we've been in trial, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. How has that been for you in terms of getting to and from the courthouse?
A. It's difficult.

We have to get up early for both of us to

```
get ready.
```

It takes Darell a long time to shower and then get dressed and do all the things necessary to go out.

Some of the nights where we've had to come out early to either meet at your offices, or come mornings, and $I$ could shower the night before to save time because I really don't like to get up in the morning, and --
Q. I want to talk a little bit about Dr. Fish and his report.

He met with your husband for about an hour and 15 minutes, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you there during that meeting?
A. I was.
Q. Did you talk to Dr. Fish during that meeting?
A. Some, but he was directing his attention towards Darell, asking him questions.

I was mostly an observer.
Q. So you're aware Dr. Fish generated a report, correct?
A. I am.
Q. When did you first see that report?
A. I --
Q. Actually, let me step back.

I apologize.
Do you remember when this hour and 15
minutes long meeting with Dr. Fish was?
A. It was in July, I think.
Q. Of what year?
A. 2019 .
Q. Okay.

So then after you met with him, when did
you first see his report?
A. Months later.
Q. Okay.
A. In fact, it was only a couple of months ago that Mr. Hoffman's office gave us that report.
Q. Did Dr. Fish go over details of that report with you?
A. No.
Q. Did you understand what all of the recommendations in Fish's report were?
A. Not exactly until he explained some of them here.
Q. Okay.

So you were here while Dr. Fish was testifying about the details of his report?
A. I was.
Q. How did you want to get what Dr. Fish
recommended in his report?
A. Listening to his testimony.

A lot of it made a lot of sense.
Q. Have you and Darell done everything that

Dr. Fish has recommended in his report so far?
A. No, that would take a long time.
Q. And my next question is:

Why haven't you done anything after reading
Fish's report?
A. Because we are still absorbing what needs to be done.

You have to have a clear plan to tackle all those things.

You have to start making appointments.
And you have to make sure you can get to those places.

It's a little overwhelming just to look at.
Q. I'm going to transition again.

I want to talk about how your husband's amputation has affected you.

First of all, do you have any of your own health problems?
A. I do.
Q. And what are those?
A. I've been diagnosed with chronic renal failure, so I see a nephrologist. It's a genetic thing in my family. That is my main problem.

Although, along with that, my nephrologist discovered, and $I$ don't know the words for it, but some kind of protein in my blood that could be affecting the kidneys and could also turn cancerous, what type, $I$ don't know.

So I see a hematologist, $I$ think it's a hematologist.

I see a cancer blood doctor on a regular basis to keep track of that protein and make sure nothing is going wrong.
Q. How has the amputation of your husband's leg affected you?
A. There's a lot of aspects to that.

There's a lot of sadness, but if we're going to talk about physically, one of the things that goes along with having chronic renal failure is, you're tired all the time.

I'm also borderline anemic, and that doesn't help.

So it's added a lot of things that I need to do and keep up with, and being in the condition $I$
am it makes me very -- it's just exhausting and also very stressful.
Q. Is your son, Chris -- he lives with you right now, right?
A. Yes.

He's about to move.
Q. Okay.

And the ladies and gentlemen of the jury met your son, but he is moving to Florida, correct?
A. He's moving to Florida, yes.
Q. And do you know when?
A. He keeps changing the date, but it's got to be right within the week.
Q. Is there anyone else in your household to take care of your husband, besides yourself?
A. No.

And Chris has never been able to help very much because his job that he was doing, he was working 60 hours or more a week, so he just wasn't there very much.
Q. You said that you have been more -- $\quad$ think you said, correct me if I'm wrong, but more anxious since your husband's amputation?
A. Yes, I worry a lot.
Q. How you would you describe your mental
state since your husband's leg was amputated?
A. I try and stay positive.

There's times that it kind of gets to me.
I think there's been a lot more -- All I can say is, that there's a lot more stress, you have to worry about so many things, there's so many doctors' appointments, and $I$ worry about if anything else is going to happen to him, and $I$ worry about if they are going to take good care of him, all of that.
Q. Have you ever been to a therapist to talk about your anxiety, or the way the stress is affecting you?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Do you remember being asked in your deposition if you would be open to that?
A. I remember being asked.

Right this second I don't remember what $I$ said.
Q. If I were to you that you said that you didn't think it would help, would you agree with that?
A. I probably said that.
Q. Okay.

How do you feel about that today?
A. After Dr. Fish's testimony, and how he
talked about things, it kind of makes me realize that it might be worth a try.

MR. J. HYMANSON: Court's indulgence, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Just a couple more quick questions for you, Ms. Moore.

Do you -- You have reviewed the facts of what happened with your husband, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have been here throughout the course of this trial?
A. Almost all of it.
Q. Do you hold Nurse Practitioner Bartmus responsible for your husband losing his leg?
A. I do.
Q. Do you hold Dr. Lasry responsible for your husband losing his leg?
A. Absolutely.

MR. J. HYMANSON: I have no further
questions.
Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Mr. McBride, any questions for this witness.

MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE MOORE

BY MR. MC BRIDE:
Q. Good afternoon, Miss Moore.

How are you today?
A. Tired.
Q. I hear you.
A. Just like everybody else here, I think.
Q. Now, Miss Moore, you and I met at your deposition when $I$ took your deposition and your husband's deposition back in October of 2018 , isn't that right?
A. We did.
Q. And at that time you answered all of my questions that $I$ posed, as well as the questions from other counsel who were there, right?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And have you had a chance to look at your deposition transcript before today, before testifying today?
A. I have.
Q. What was the last time that you looked at it?
A. I believe a couple days ago.
Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, but you have not made any corrections to any of your testimony before today, correct?
A. I haven't.
Q. Okay.

Now, Ms. Moore, you testified that you did not go with your husband to the emergency room on December $25 t h$ because you were busy preparing for company to come to the house, is that right?
A. Yes, and Chris was available to take him.
Q. Right.

In fact, I think you told us in your deposition that other than being informed by your husband that things weren't right with his left leg, you didn't really have any direct information about what was going on with his leg, is that right?
A. No, I didn't get a lot of details from him, and $I$ just knew that he felt he needed to go, so that was the thing to do.
Q. Right.

And so he just told you things didn't feel right, and he needed to go to the ER, and you agreed with that, right?
A. Well, yes.

It's his body.

He's got to know when he's got to go.
Q. Sure.

But you did not actually examine his leg on
December 25 th at all to see if it looked any different, isn't that right?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. And you never felt his leg to see if it was cold to the touch, or anything like that, right?
A. No, I didn't even think of it.
Q. Okay.

But in fact on prior occasions -- Well, let me ask you this:

You were there during Mr. Moore's deposition, you sat in the room when $I$ was asking your husband questions, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember him saying that with his prior occlusions that he had had, where he had been hospitalized, and has the thrombolytic therapy, he described the pain in his foot and does as so excruciating it was like the paid was so severe and cold it was like having your leg in a bucket full of ice water, you remember him testifying to that?
A. Regarding which day?
Q. Back when he had his occlusions back in

2014 and 2015.
A. I don't remember it specifically, but I know he had that kind of pain.

However, the main part of the pain he had was always -- the worst of it was always after the TPA when the cells start coming back to life, like having --
Q. Ma'am, you are not a physician, correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. You don't have medical training, correct?
A. No.
Q. Okay.

I'm not asking for any -- I think that goes beyond your qualifications as an expert.

I'm asking you just very quickly and
simply, do you remember him ever telling you that the pain when he had those occlusions, that the pain upon presentation to the emergency room in 2014, 2015 were so severe and excruciating and cold it was like having his leg in an ice bucket?
A. Do I remember him telling me that, or remember him telling you that?
Q. Do you remember him testifying to that in his deposition?
A. Specifically, no, but $I$ only read his total
deposition once many, many months ago.
Q. Okay.

And the day that he went to -- December 25th, Christmas day, when he returned from that visit, you said he brought some discharge papers, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said you immediately looked at all those, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And did your husband tell you that he was told specifically to follow-up with his primary care physician and his vascular surgeon, Dr. Wiencek?
A. Did he tell me that?
Q. Did he tell you that?
A. No, he did not.

That's not what he --
Q. So he didn't say anything about being instructed to follow-up with his primary care physician and Dr. Wiencek, true?
A. What he --
Q. Is that right, is that correct?
A. Am I suppose to say what he said, or only supposed to answer what you asked me?
Q. Your counsel can follow up with more
specifics.
A. I wanted to make sure.

Ask it again.
Q. Let me ask it again.

You would agree that your husband did not
tell you that he was instructed by the emergency
department on December 25 th to follow-up with his primary care physician or Dr. Wiencek, true?
A. I would agree.
Q. All right.

The only thing that you were told by your husband was, that he said that he was told he didn't have a clot, and he could go home, right?
A. Now $I$ can answer what he said to me?
Q. Well, if you have anything different to add than that.

If it's something I've asked you that question, if it's different than $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes or no -- }\end{aligned}$
A. Could you ask it again?
Q. Sure.

He told you that he had a clot -- Excuse me.

He told you he didn't have a clot, and he could go home, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

And did he ever tell you that when he got to the emergency room, and he was there for a few hours, right?
A. He was there for a few hours.
Q. Did he ever tell you that no one, no one at all in those entire few hours he was in the emergency room, no one even examined his leg, did he ever tell you that?
A. That day, or any other time?
Q. That day.
A. No.
Q. Did he tell you that on December 28th?
A. I don't remember.
Q. All right.

And, in fact, did he ever tell you that no one had even bothered to take off his sock to look at his leg?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Did he tell you that on December 25?
A. No.
Q. Did he tell you that on December 28?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay.

Was it sometimes after he had his leg
amputated?
A. No.
Q. All right.

Well, was it --
A. I don't think so.

Let me think about that.
Q. Sure.
A. Ask that again, please.
Q. Sure.

Did he tell you that no one took off his sock in the emergency room on December 25 until after his leg was amputated?
A. I believe it was after his leg was amputated.
Q. All right.

And your son also likewise didn't tell you that it was strange that they didn't examine his leg in the emergency room on December 25 th, did he ever tell you that?
A. Not at the time.
Q. Okay.

Did he ever tell you that on December 28th,
Chris?
A. I would doubt it because it was kind of an upsetting day, so I don't really remember.
Q. Okay.

Again, your son works at Summerlin Hospital and has worked at Summerlin for several years, right?
A. He no longer works there.

He had worked there for several years.
Q. And he was working there at the time of 2016, December 25, 2016, right?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. And did he say -- or ever tell you that no doctor, and I'm talking about Chris, did Chris ever tell you that no doctor or nurse ever actually examined your husband's foot on December 25?
A. Well, he was still in the hospital.
Q. On December 25?
A. No, not December 25 .

I'm thinking of the 28th.
Q. Right.

And you would agree with me at the time that your husband went back to the emergency room on December $28 t h$, no one informed the emergency room physicians at that visit that no one had examined your husband's leg on the December 25 th, you would agree with that?
A. It could be.

It's not something $I$ would remember.
Q. Have you seen those records from December 28th?

$$
\text { We've shown them } I \text { think several times. }
$$

Do you recall seeing anywhere in those records from December $28 t h$ where it's reported that it was you or your husband said that no one examined him or his leg on December 25?
A. I don't see -- Why would he tell him that?
Q. I'm just asking you, ma'am.
A. Not that $I$ know of.
Q. Okay.

Would you agree with me from December 25 until December 27 his foot looked, and his leg looked, essentially normal?
A. His foot and leg never looked normal.
Q. Well, you testified in your deposition there was nothing that changed with his foot or leg between December 25 and December 27 th, you recall that?
A. I didn't observe any changes, and he said that his pain level was about the same.
Q. And he was taking his Oxycodone for pain he had in his ankles and his back for many years has been prescribed by the doctor at the Nevada Comprehensive Pain Center, correct?
A. He took the medication mainly for pain in his leg.

He also had some ankle issues, the ankle was bothering him.

As far as the back was concerned, the back wasn't chronic like the other things, it would come and go, depending on if he moved around, so it wasn't a constant on the back, it was --.
Q. All right.

Fair enough.
And between December 25 and December 27
your husband did not take any extra doses of Oxycodone or more pain medication than he had been prescribed to deal with any issue in his foot, is that true?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. He was able to walk around from December 25 to December 27 without any problems, right?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, he went -- when he went to the emergency room on December 25 , he was able to walk to the emergency room, do you remember that?
A. He could walk both on the 25 th and $28 t h$.
Q. Okay.

And then you said, up until the $28 t h$ he was
able to walk normally?
A. He never walked normally.
Q. Well, he was able to walk?
A. Normal for him.
Q. Right.
A. He used a cane.

He had foot pain a lot.
Q. Right.

And in fact on that subject you have seen Dr. Wiencek's notes from August of 2016, do you recall us showing those records?
A. I saw you showing some records.

I don't -- I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall where Dr. Wiencek had recorded learning from your husband that he was using a cane, as well as a wheelchair, part of the time?
A. I didn't read -- I've been at the back of the courtroom.

My vision's not too good, so I couldn't read the whole thing when it was put up anyway.
Q. You would agree with me now that your husband in August of 2016, up through December of 2016, would occasionally use a cane, or a walker, or a wheelchair, to get around in the casinos?
A. He didn't use a walker.

If we were going to go long distances, he would use a wheelchair sometimes, not always, just depended on if his legs were bothering him.

He didn't use the wheelchair ever in the house.
Q. Right.

But it was a wheelchair that you and your husband owned?
A. Yes.
Q. And that you would take to the casinos if you felt you were going to be moving more than normal, or longer distances?
A. Actually, the wheelchair was kept in the car, so if we were out, and his legs were bothering him, we could use it.

We didn't have it in the house.
Q. All right.

On the morning of December 28th, we talked a little bit about that, and $I$ think that is when your husband first reported this severe pain he had in his left foot, do you recall that?
A. He said his pain increased.
Q. Right.

In fact, in your deposition do you recall saying that you thought that there was a problem with
his foot because it had ballooned overnight, do you remember saying that?
A. Yes, over night it had gotten a lot more swollen than normally.
Q. And you also testified at your deposition that the pain was strong and a sudden onset, do you recall testifying to that?
A. I don't recall the specific words, but that makes sense.
Q. Okay.

And again, when you got to the ER on December 28th, the doctors at that time took off his sock in the emergency room, and that's when you noticed it was discolored, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Had he told you he had seen it before he got to the emergency room, Mr. Moore tell you that he had noticed the foot had become discolored?
A. I -- He had not told me that.

I doubt that he knew because we didn't take the time to take a shower and everything before we left, and his foot was always in a sock.
Q. But you don't recall him mention anything about seeing -- or observing his toes or his feet being blue or discolored?
A. No, no.

Although, if he had, he probably wouldn't have said anything because he probably wouldn't want to worry me.
Q. Did you ever go with your husband to see Dr. Tran, his regular physician?
A. I did not go in the back room with him with Dr. Tran.
Q. Did you see the records we've shown -- and if we could, it's Joint Defendant's Exhibit 106 from Dr. Tran, page five -- do you recall your husband going to see Dr. Tran on November 1, 2016?
A. Specifically, no.
Q. All right.

But he went to go see him on a fairly regular basis to get refills for his blood pressure medication?
A. Mainly for his blood pressure.
Q. All right.

And if we could blow up the section right to the examination where it says, cranial nerve, extremities, there we go, blow that up for us, now this is the record from Dr. Tran's office on November 1, 2016.

> It says, that your husband's extremities
showed a full range of motion, no clubbing, no edema, normal bilateral pulses, normal dorsalis pedis, and posterior tibial pulses, peripheral pulses normal.

Now, since you never went back into the examination room, you never observed Dr. Tran actually taking the pulses on your husband's feet, correct?
A. Right.

What date was this?
Q. November 1, 2016.
A. November 1, 2016?

Okay.
Q. Okay.

So you don't have any knowledge about the actual examination Dr. Tran performed, other than what is noted in these records, correct?
A. No, I don't.
Q. All right.

How about the visit that we talked about to the Nevada Comprehensive Pain Center on December 21, 2016, did you go with your husband to that appointment?
A. I don't remember, but $I$ never went in the back office there either.
Q. So you don't know what they did in terms of
an examination at that time?
A. No.
Q. Now, in terms of being -- I think you testified something to the effect that you were the one that your husband would have to look after his prescriptions to make sure they were filled, is that right, generally speaking?

Were you the one that would call the physicians to get refills for those prescriptions, or was that your husband?
A. I imagine there were times when he called, but in general $I$ would take care of his prescriptions by logging in on the website and clicking a button the for a refill.
Q. Do you remember if that's what you did for Dr. Wiencek's office?
A. I -- That's just generally what I did.

Whether it was for Dr. Wiencek, or Dr. Tran, or what prescription, I don't know.
Q. That's why I'm trying to find out if you have a specific recollection of actually doing that for Dr. Wiencek's office.
A. I remember $I$ have done it.

If you are asking me if I actually called them the 21st, I have no idea.

That is over four years ago.
Q. Okay.

What about there's been a notation that was shown earlier from Dr. Wiencek's records where Dr.

Wiencek at his office received a call for a refill of the Xarelto on December 27th, 2016.

Did you make that call?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Do you know if your husband made that call?
A. I don't know if $I$ did it.

I don't know if he did it.
Somebody did.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't know if the pharmacy did it.
Q. Well, actually that is a good point.

I'd like to show you an exhibit, it's Joint Exhibit 115, and I don't know if it's been formally admitted, but I'd move for its admission.

THE COURT: It's not.
MR. MC BRIDE: Okay.
Move for its admission, the record from Walgreen's.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. ARNTZ: No.

THE COURT: Joint Exhibit 115 is admitted.

You may inquire.

BY MR. MC BRIDE:
Q. I wanted to show you if I could, it's 115, page 25, Walgreen's was the pharmacy you and your husband had your prescriptions filled at, is that correct?
A. At that time, yeah.
Q. All right.

I wanted to show you, if you look at --

MR. ARNTZ: I'd just like -- I think
counsel and $I$ have discussed this already, but there's some redactions in maybe all these exhibits, so $I$ have no objection to it being admitted. We still have to redact?

MR. MC BRIDE: That's fine.

I thought this one had been, but for the most part, but if there's anything else, we can specifically address that before it goes back to the jury.

THE COURT: Just to be clear, when we talked about redactions, we can't have anything filed in a case might have personal identifying information
such as a social security number and things like
that, so that is the things typically removed.
I'm not seeing anything as we look at it
right now, but we'll certainly look before the final version goes back to the jury.

Go ahead.

MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you.
BY MR. MC BRIDE:
Q. I just wanted to draw your attention to that group -- This is for the Xarelto Dr. Wiencek -it's a little bit above that $I$ think it references that it was prescribed, and there were 30 doses that were -- excuse me, 30 tablets issued beginning on July 25, 2016.

Do you see that at the top?
A. Down here July 25?

Okay.
Q. Yes.

Then you have it go down, and it says,
November 23, 2016.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if you look over to the far right, it says that it was actually filled on November 27 th, 2016.

## Do you see that?

A. Okay.
Q. And then if I could show you page 26 , the
next page, we'll look at -- do you have a recollection of -- does this refresh your recollection rather of maybe contacting Dr. Wiencek's office for a refill of the Xarelto on December 27, $2016 ?$
A. It has the date the 27 th .
Q. Right.

Do you have a recollection of actually getting that -- or calling Dr. Wiencek's office for that prescription?
A. As I said before, no.
Q. All right.

Because if you look over at the far right where it had the indication, where it was the date it was filled, you would agree with me there's no date with that Xarelto prescription that appears as for a date that it was actually filled.

For reference, I'll give you -- or have you look up above, you see that 12/21/2016, that was from another medication that was prescribed on December 19th and filled on December 21, you see that?
A. Okay.
Q. And do you see anything that it was filled with -- Xarelto was filled on the $27 t h ?$
A. No, but the pharmacy had to contact the
doctors, and sometimes it would take time.
Q. Right.

And in this particular --
A. It says, see fax right below it.
Q. Exactly.

It says, see fax?
A. Okay.
Q. But it says, it's also the amount that was filled was only six pills, do you see that?
A. I know what is happening there.
Q. Okay.
A. There have been times where he goes to fill his prescription, and they don't have the full amount of tablets, so they give you -- they can't fill the prescription now, so they give you a few tablets to tied you over till they can give you the complete prescription.
Q. But would you agree with me, there's no indication that that was actually filled or given to your husband on December 27 th, do you agree with that, because there's no indication it was filled or sold on that day?
A. We don't always pick up prescriptions on the exact day that it's filled because he still got some at home he's taking.
Q. Okay.

But you remember the last one I showed you that the prescription, the 30 day supply would have run out on November 27th, 2016?
A. Yeah, I remember, but that doesn't mean he didn't have pills left over then either.
Q. Okay.

And if that's the case, you just don't have any knowledge about whether this prescription, whether you called it into Dr. Wiencek, or if it wasn't filled on December 27th, 2016?
A. I don't even know if we picked it up because we took him to the emergency room on the 28th, and he didn't need medications there, they took care of everything.

MR. MC BRIDE: Okay, ma'am.
Thank you.
That is all I the questions $I$ have.
Thank you.
THE COURT: Re-cross?
MR. MC BRIDE: I think Mr. Weaver might
have something.
THE COURT: I am sorry.
I just assumed maybe you all shared everything.

Mr. Weaver, anything?

MR. WEAVER: Miss Bestick does.

Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE MOORE

BY MS. BESTICK:
Q. Good afternoon, Mrs. Moore.

My name is Alyssa Bestick.

I'm one of the attorneys represents the Defendants here.
A. Hello.
Q. So I just want to clarify some things with this record.

I think you just mentioned something about how you sometimes go to the pharmacy, and they give you just enough medication to get by until you get the next refill, or until the prescription is available, is that correct?
A. Not the next refill, but until they have enough supply to fill the whole prescription.
Q. Okay.

But do you agree with me here it appears to indicate that this is actually the number of refills that your husband has been authorized?
A. Where?

THE COURT: Is there a way to highlight
that?

I'm unable to see it and getting confused as well.

BY MS. BESTICK:
Q. Do you have an opinion one way or the other?
A. Since I've never read these kind of records before, $I$ have no idea.
Q. Okay.

I just want to make sure $I$ heard your testimony correctly a moment ago.

I believe you testified that prior to December $26 t h, 2016$ you went to every visit with Dr. Wiencek, is that correct?
A. I believe so.
Q. And no one other than Dr. Wiencek ever assessed your husband's pulses in his leg or foot, is that correct?
A. Not that $I$ recall.
Q. I think moment ago you testified you went to every one, is that correct?
A. That's my recollection.
Q. Do you recall testifying in your deposition that if Mr. Moore could ever get his prosthetic
working, he would of course be able to do many more things?
A. I'm sure I said that, yes.
Q. So you went with your husband when he went to his appointment with Dr. Wiencek on August 28th, $2019 ?$
A. I assume so.
Q. At that appointment did Dr. Wiencek make a recommendation to your husband for a new prosthetic limb company?
A. Yes, he did.

He sent a referral to -- He said he was going to send a referral to a company he thought would work better for Darell.
Q. And that visit was what, about six months ago, correct?
A. Pretty much.
Q. Do you know if Mr. Moore has taken Dr. Wiencek's recommendation for the new company?
A. We have not spoken to them as yet because Darell is going to need physical therapy before he can use the -- the leg again.

He has to start all over because he cannot straighten his residual limb.

In other words, he cannot stand up
straight.
He's been in a chair too much.
Q. Did Dr. Wiencek say that?
A. No, I can see it.
Q. Okay.

So you didn't reach out to the company Dr. Wiencek recommended?
A. Not as yet.

He wanted to have a program of physical
therapy and exercise because they won't -- unless you can do certain things, they won't give you a prosthetic.
Q. Okay.

But you haven't reached out to the prosthetic company?
A. No.
Q. Have you reached out to the physical therapy clinic?
A. No, I haven't as yet done that.

We've talked about doing it.
Q. Okay.

That was recommended as long as six months ago, is that correct?
A. I wouldn't say it was a recommendation.

Dr. Wiencek asked Darell, hey, do you think
would you like to work on getting a leg again, getting your prosthetic going, and Darell said, yes, and he said, well, $I$ know a company that might be able to do a better job for you.

MS. BESTICK: That's all questions I have.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

When you are ready.

MR. J. HYMANSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE BESTICK

BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Mrs. Moore, you were just asked a few questions about your husband and his prosthetic, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

When did your husband first start trying to use his prosthetic?
A. He tried as soon as possible as he got it, but that was quite sometime after the amputation.
Q. So we're talking about in 2017?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

Why did it take so long for him to receive
a prosthetic in 2017?
A. After the amputation, he had physical therapists coming and was working on getting conditioned to be able to use the leg.
Q. Just real quick, I want to make sure I understand what you're saying.

So the physical therapy your husband was getting was specifically trying to prepare him for a prosthetic?
A. Actually, I never felt like it was because they were just making sure he could get around, use a walker, the things they were doing, but $I$ know he had to have certain things in order to use a prosthetic.
Q. Okay.

We were talking about the delay in getting the prosthetic for your husband.

What was that delay about?
A. As I said, he was doing the physical therapy and was really doing pretty well.

She would make him go around and around the counter on his walker, and go back and forth, and they would do stretches and all that kind of thing.

However, $I$ forget the exact date, but the day before the Superbowl that year that week he had been complaining, he had a visiting nurse come
because he couldn't get out yet, and he was telling her that he had a lot of pain up in here.
Q. And just for the record, when you say, up in here, you are indicating where was he feeling pain?
A. Somewhere in the chest area.

And they talked about it, and she said, well, maybe it's muscle strain.

What does it feel like?
And he said, yeah, it could be a muscle strain.

So she called Dr. Tran's office, his primary care physician, said, $I$ think he got muscle strain, can you give him a prescription?

So she gave him a prescription for a muscle relaxer.

As it turned out, $I$ don't know exact timing, but as it turned out the night before we took him to Spring Valley Hospital he was just like in a ton of pain, and we go to Spring Valley in the morning, and he had had at this time a pulmonary embolism.
Q. What time period are we talking about?
A. We're talking about after his amputation.
Q. While he was going to physical therapy,
awaiting the prosthetic?
A. Correct.
Q. He had a pulmonary embolism?
A. He did.
Q. And he was hospitalized for that?
A. He was kept overnight for observation. They didn't keep him any longer because they said that they couldn't really do very much because they need to have him right away for an embolism, so to really change things, so he had to wait it out until his body dissolved it.
Q. So how did that affect his preparation for using the prosthetic?
A. So after he -- After the embolism, and he was home a day or two, he got like really sick, I believe he caught some kind of flu or virus in the hospital. I could tell you nightmare stories about that hospital, don't go there, and he was vomiting, couldn't eat for days and days, it seemed like forever. I think it was like maybe ten days or so. We didn't take him to the doctor at that time because he did still have the people they were sending to the home, but he lost over 20 pounds, so he was very, very weakened by that event, and he couldn't do his physical therapy.

And then to compound the problem, he had gotten weakened and didn't have the same strength, to compound the problem.

He had been seeing a prosthetist -- We were made to understand they made the liners for his prosthetic to fit him exactly, and he made them right here in Las Vegas, he did that.

Well, he moved out of state, and they assigned another prosthetist to him, and this person did not make the liners.

So we got his leg, they like essentially put like a cast like thing of plaster paris on his leg to see how to make it, and he told us, well, it will be ready in a week to ten days.

We were still waiting for it two months later.

So as the time went on, and he was already losing strength, and all those things because of the delay --
Q. When your husband tried to use the prosthetic, was he able to use it?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Several reasons.

Number one, it didn't fit.

Number two, it was very painful.
And when they delivered it to him, they actually delivered it to him, the liner didn't fit, so the prosthetist had him put his leg in without the liner, and he was telling me, this hurts, this hurts.

So then he put some like pad things in there, and that didn't help.

And then he cut a big hole in it, and that didn't help.

And the prosthetic was -- actually was a little tall, $I$ think maybe they make them a little tall, so as you wear it you work your way farther down it, but he's like off balance, and he had a lot of nerve pain.
Q. Just briefly, I've been asking a lot of questions, you have been shown a few records about your husband and Xarelto.

Did you ever know your husband not to take Xarelto, once he was prescribed Xarelto?
A. No.
Q. And was your husband taking Xarelto when he went to the hospital on December 25 th?
A. He was.

He would get paranoid and tell me, get my prescription, get my prescription because after the
first time he had to have the -- it might have been the second time -- when Dr. Wiencek put him on Xarelto because he was having problems with the graft occluding, then he told him that he really needs this.

So he might get some other medicine sometimes, although he doesn't because he takes all his medications, but the Xarelto was always top of his list of importance.

MR. J. HYMANSON: Thank you, Miss Moore.
I have no further questions.
MR. MC BRIDE: No questions, Your Honor.
MR. Weaver: No questions, Your Honor.
MS. BESTICK: No questions.
THE COURT: Any questions by a show of
hands from the jurors, please?
IT looks like we have few questions from the jurors.

Any other questions?
No.

Okay.
You want to come up?
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between
Court and counsel at sidebar.)

THE COURT: All right.
Ms. Moore, if you wouldn't mind, it's a juror question, please do the best of your ability to answer them, and then counsel will have an opportunity to follow-up.

Okay?
THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Ms. Moore, what were the circumstances of your husband's three falls?

THE WITNESS: I believe the first fall was when my daughter bought a house in Northern Califormia area, she was still stationed there, there was some things needed to be done to the house, so this was the only time that Darell had gone places without me basically because of his amputation.

He would, because of his construction knowledge, he would go up there.

My nephew was there redoing doors and that kind of thing, and -- but he didn't have the knowledge that Darell had, so Darell would get up in the morning, and we would get him in the car, and then he would drive to my daughter's house where my nephew would meet him and take him out of the car.

So that he could answer any questions, or I imagine he worked on some of the door knobs because
that's about level with him, with the chair. That was the only time he went without me.

Apparently one of the mornings he decided he needed gas, and he decided that well, he had his crutches because we always -- when he went in the car alone, we made sure to put them in the car.

So he thinks to himself, well, I can gas up.

It didn't work out really well.
He fell on -- it was difficult for him to stand long enough with one crutch and do the gas thing for any length of time, and he fell on the island there.

One other time was actually in the restroom, his primary care physician ordered a -what is the name of it?

Whatever they do, so you don't have to go have a colonoscopy, so you have to do certain things in the restroom to get them a sample.

And when he was trying to work back and forth to get that done, he fell at that time in the restroom.

The third time $I$ don't -- that he was speaking about, $I$ don't really know.

He doesn't always the tell me.

I imagine if he fell in the middle of the night by the bed and couldn't get himself up, he would call me, so $I$ don't know.

THE COURT: Does that complete your answer, Ms. Moore?

THE WITNESS: I think so.
THE COURT: Okay.
May $I$ first turn to the gentleman, see if you have any questions?

MR. J. HYMANSON: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. McBride.
MR. MC BRIDE: Just a couple.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE MOORE

BY MR. MC BRIDE:
Q. Miss Moore, the first one you described where he was filling up the gas tank, that is really actually an episode he recounted in his deposition, do you recall that?
A. Specifically, no, but he probably did.
Q. And I believe we'll find out I'm sure when he takes the stand here in a little bit, but he said that he didn't suffer any injuries as a result, he was just embarrassed because of the fall, do you
remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.

In fact, I didn't mean to cut you off.
Were you finished with your answer?
A. I think so.
Q. Okay.

And the other time that he was in the
restroom, that was a restroom of the doctor's office?
A. No, at home.
Q. At home?
A. He had to do all these things rather than having a colonoscopy, to follow all these procedures.
Q. And he didn't have to go to the doctor or hospital as a result of that fall, right?
A. No.

Sorry, I went too fast.
No, he did not.
He did not complain of injury from falling.
MR. MC BRIDE: Great.
That's all I have.

Thank you, ma'am.
THE COURT: Miss Bestick.
MS. BESTICK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hymanson, anything?

MR. J. HYMANSON: Nothing further, Your

Honor.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Miss Moore, you may retake your
seat behind counsel table there.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a
little over a ten-minute break.

Come back at 4:00.
(Jury admonished by the Court.)

THE COURT: We'll see you back here at 4.
(Jury excused from the courtroom.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were
had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Let's just go ahead, take a
break.

We'll come back in.

I have a question about the redactions, that still needs to be done.
(Thereupon, a recess was had.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: First of all, the redactions that were mentioned earlier, who is taking the point on that?

I can't believe we're still sitting here with documents haven't been redacted by now.

MR. MC BRIDE: I thought my office actually did redact the vast majority of anything.

I think Breen pointed out a couple that weren't, there was information wasn't redacted.

I haven't seen that.
THE COURT: I've been frustrated with Mr. Arntz on occasions.

That doesn't answer my question.
Who is taking points to fix it?
MR. MC BRIDE: I'm happy to take the point if he identifies what particular records he says work for that.

THE COURT: Do we know for sure there are some?

I didn't see anything on that document, but it was kind of hard to read.

MR. ARNTZ: It just has at the top insurance something or other.

I can't remember the phrase.
MR. MC BRIDE: Nothing underneath that?
THE COURT: I don't know.
Y'all have staff, so either you yourself or
you staff undertake to make sure what we've admitted so far doesn't have anything in it that needs redaction.

MR. ARNTZ: I'm afraid the St. Rose records made Medicare references, so we'll have to go through those.

THE COURT: Somebody got to do it.
Now we have a little bit more time, but all my clerk needs is the redacted replacements.

MR. MC BRIDE: I'll send an e-mail to my paralegal right now, I'll have her search through that right now and do -- or submit any exhibits to the Court.

THE COURT: Either replacements with redactions, or as Mr. Aren't pointed out, if it's not a problem, pull records out that have it, so it's not an issue.

Either way, the solution is fine. It just need to make sure my clerk has time to do it.

MR. MC BRIDE: Perfect.
THE COURT: Yes, we still need to settle
the jury instructions.
If we didn't have to do it at 11 , it wouldn't be the worst thing, they were going to be tight anyway.

I wasn't able to complete the process yesterday, and the case is not over, I'm still a little under the weather, and there was a miscommunication with my staff, and I didn't actually get the documents until this morning.

Then of course $I$ didn't look at them until lunch because of the morning calendar.

So that is not the end of the world either.

The real question now becomes, the timing, really just based on how long we think we're going to be with Mr. Moore.

The only insight $I$ have was in response to the e-mail exchange also included information about Dr. Wiencek.

Mr. Weaver committed to keeping his questions to an hour, and $I$ don't have an opinion on what it should be, just want to know what it is.

I'm asking Plaintiff how long the direct might take?

MR. ARNTZ: I could see the direct taking an hour today and hour tomorrow.

THE COURT: So a couple hours?
MR. ARNTZ: A couple hours.
THE COURT: And then you got an hour.
And what do you need?
MR. MC BRIDE: Maybe 30 minutes.
I'm going to let Mr. Weaver go first, then
I'll bat clean-up.
THE COURT: All right.
That will complete that testimony to be sure all the testimony is complete.

MR. ARNTZ: Is this courtroom available tomorrow?

THE COURT: I don't know.
We'll find out.
I'll take care of it.
I would assume if they are not in trial today, they wouldn't be in trial tomorrow, and the only issue they had today was I'm guessing she has a regular calendar tomorrow, so I don't know if she's got any calendars -- It does indicate maybe there's a trial setting tomorrow, so we'll have to find out.

There are plenty of other locations, it just makes it that much more difficult.

But we'll figure it out.
We have time.

MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
Obviously if he's going to take the stand, we can't place that there because that will be his path of travel.

Anything else?
Yes, we'll review of the remainder of the afternoon tomorrow to resolve jury instructions.

I still will send you as I indicated the Court's tentative final draft incorporating the joint proposed, there was some additional Nurse

Practitioner Bartmus counsel just to maybe flush out something, I also thought we did have some reference to some demonstratives in here, and there's instructions related to that nothing major in those regards, and obviously we have to settle loss of chance and the final version if we're going to go to the one the Plaintiffs are addressing and some of the stranger issues.

MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay.
Anything else before we bring them back?
MR. ARNTZ: I don't think so.
THE COURT: Okay.
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court and in the presence of the jury.): THE COURT: Plaintiff's next witness, please.

MR. ARNTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
We'd like to call Dr. Darell Moore.
THE COURT: Mr. Moore, please make your way to the stand.

Mr. Moore, turn your attention to my clerk to be sworn.

## DARELL MOORE,

who, being first duly sworn to tell
the truth, the whole truth, and
nothing but the truth, was examined
and testified as follows:
THE CLERK: Please state your full name, spelling both your first and last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Darell Moore, D-a-r-e-l-l M-o-o-r-e.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
And you know we do have the microphone, if you want to bring it a little closer to you.

It's hard to $I$ know speak up in the circumstances, but if you could make sure to please keep your voice up, so everybody can hear you.

Mr. Arntz.

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DARELL MOORE

BY MR. ARNTZ:
Q. Darell, how are you doing?
A. Like every day, I'm trying.
Q. Was that hard for you?
A. It's hard to walk.

I've been doing it for a few years, so I'm
one person, you know.
Q. All right.

Darell, $I$ want to start you off with some easy ones.

Let's talk about your childhood, where you grew up, and where you were born.
A. Okay.

I was born in Paso Robles approximately 12 to 15 miles away from where my wife was born, and on Army military base, but $I$ was in Paso Robles.

I was born in 1950 .

And $I$ spent a lot of time in San Mateo, which is about seven miles from our area, because my
grandparents lived there.
And then we moved to San Jose and bounced around, moved to Colorado from there for one year, and back to 29 Palms, California, and then we ended up in Banning, California.
Q. What was the reason for you bouncing around so much?
A. My father was in construction, and if things weren't going good in one area, sometimes we had to go to another area.

We went to Denver was because my mother's father was dying.
Q. Your mother's father was dying?
A. Yes.

So we went back there, so she could see him because he had not seen him in years, so it was the only opportunity we got to see him as $I$ was a child.

And then after we got snowed in, and he was in construction, we realized that work don't work to well under six foot of snow outside, and we had to leave and come back to California.
Q. What kind of longevity do you have in your family?
A. Well, one side of my family, my mother's father, which died I believe at about 69 years old,
he was born approximately 1890 , so we just went back there in '59, 1959.
Q. Okay.
A. My grandmother, she lived to about 82 years old on that side.

And then my father's side, my grandmother lived to be 89.

So and I can't really tell you exactly how old my father's parents really were because the names got changed over the years, and my grandmother took it to her death bed on who may have been the real father of my father, so --
Q. So your last name is Moore, but what was your dad's last name?
A. My dad's last name was Smith.

My birth certificate says, Smith, but his birth certificate, my father's, said Golden.

He took the name Smith from his stepfather, and I took the name Moore from my stepfather.
Q. So we were talking a couple days ago you were telling me about when you started working as a kid.

How old were you?
A. Well, $I$ worked off and on a little bit helping out my dad since $I$ was about nine years old,
but the first summer I actually worked a full summer I was 13.

I helped my dad build a block savings and loan building during that summer.

Then when $I$ was approximately 14 years old, I went to work in Palm Springs at a pancake house and worked there for about a year-and-a-half.

Then we wound up moving for a while.
Q. So what brought you back to Paso Robles from Palm Springs where you were at?
A. We were living in Banning at the time, and I was working at Palm Springs and stuff, but we moved because of business, and my father -- First we moved to Delone and then McFarland for a while in California, and then we ended up in Paso Robles, got -- he got a job, so a full circle back.
Q. So this sort of rollercoaster of work, was that because of the inconsistencies of construction and where the work is?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that sort of a theme has gone through your life?
A. Quite a bit.
Q. When you moved back to Paso Robles, how old were you?
A. We moved back on New Years day 1967 , so I would have been 17.
Q. Okay.

And tell me how you met Charlene.
A. Well, the very first time $I$ ever met her she came over to say hello, and $I$ was washing my car, and as she talked to me I left my keys in the trunk and shut the trunk.

So she was very small, she's not very much bigger now, but she was pretty small, and back in those days you could take the back seat out, and it had a little porthole $I$ asked her to go through to get my keys, so $I$ just met her, and then I'm embarrassed because $I$ shut me keys in the car, and then $I$ got a stranger going into my trunk.
Q. Where did she live?
A. She lived next door.
Q. And do you remember a story you told me about how you introduced her to the class the first day back?
A. Yeah, the first day in school $I$ went in to biology, and the teacher introduced me as the new student, and she was sitting pretty close to the front row, and we had this kind of a crazy biology teacher, but she embarrassed me totally by telling
all the girls they should look at me, does this look like someone they might be interested in, so $I$ was totally embarrassed.
Q. When did you start dating?
A. We started dating when she was probably 15, and we dated for a few months, and then we separated. She said she didn't think we should stay together right then.

So I kind of moved on after being heartbroken for a couple months, and all of a sudden there is a knock at the door.

I go to the door, and could you see my wife with long hair, usually longer than that back in those days, well back in those days you had to almost light a match to get the oven started, so she got to talking and whatever in her house, and turned on the gas, and by the time she lit the match it exploded back on to her, and she was standing in my door with hair not much longer than her chin, so after that we got back together, she asked, how I looked?

She was all crying, nervous, and I said, you look fine, and we have went back together.
Q. So when you moved to Paso Robles, what grade were you in?
A. When we moved back to Paso Robles, I was a
sophomore.
Q. Okay.

Were you a 17 year old sophomore?
A. Well, actually I said I was 17.

At the end of 167 I would have been 17 , so
I was 16, just got my driver's license, correct, I was 16.
Q. Okay.

And so how long did you guys date before you got married?
A. We dated a little over a year.
Q. Why did you get married?
A. Well, to a certain extent her and $I$ decided we would like to get married, and -- but my wife did end up getting pregnant with my first son.
Q. And when you went to your parents to tell them you were going to get married, what was their reaction, both yours and hers?
A. They all said, no.

And $I$ was arguing with them about she's pregnant, $I$ want to get married, $I$ want to take care of her, and they are saying, you are to young.

And finally Charlene stood up and told them that regardless of what they say, we're probably going to do what we want to do, so you might as well
let us be married.
So our mothers said, yes, I think you should get married.

Our father's still objected.
But the marriage went on.
Q. Now, at this time were you working with your dad?
A. I was working in a restaurant as a bus boy, and mostly on weekends and stuff.

So I had some spending money, and my father, he told me the reason why he objected to me getting married was because he would have to support me, that $I$ couldn't make a living without him.

I told him that $I$ know $I$ can.

So we went ahead and got married, and I'd started working full-time as a bus boy from 6 to 2, I worked as a chef's helper early afternoon, and then $I$ worked as a dishwasher until the place closed at 11, usually taking me until 1.

So I had to come to work about 5 a.m. in the morning, between 5 and 5:30 in the morning, and I didn't get out of the place until 12:30, 1:00 at night.

And I remember my first big paycheck I had 320 hours in in one month, $I$ got paid once a month,
and my net was 305 , so $I$ made 95 cents an hour.
Q. Okay.
A. But we made it.
Q. You weren't working for your dad anymore after you got married, but you still still saw him?
A. I would see him several times during the week, and on occasion probably in the year-and-a-half that $I$ stayed at the restaurant he asked me back at least a half dozen times, so $I$ would go back to work for him.

But the first couple, three times he didn't say that he apologized for his comments.

When finally around the fifth or sixth time, somewhere in there, he apologized, said I understand you can make a living, but you should be working in construction, that's your field, so I finally gave in and went back to work for him.
Q. All right.

So let's talk about what you did with your father building your business.

When -- or what kind of construction did you do?
A. Well, we held several licenses.

To begin with, we did concrete, block work, and we had those two licenses, which we were doing a
lot of piecework for different contractors doing foundations and fireplaces and stuff.

And we ran into a problem of we would do the foundation, and all of a sudden they would be -almost move people in, and we haven't even been paid for the concrete work.

Well, that went on for a year, year-and-a-half with them, and $I$ finally told my dad, somethings got to change here, $I$ don't like waiting on our money so long.

So he went to get his own license for general construction, class B, which is so you can build housing, and we went down and started building houses.

The first thing I noticed when we went to the bank, there was a five-draw system on most contracts that you get from the bank to build a spec house.

On the very first line it says, the minute your foundation is in you are entitled to 20 percent of your money.

So here we are waiting 60, 90 days for our money, and it stated right there on the first page the money was delivered to the contractor practically the following day after the concrete was poured.
Q. So that changed your business model a
little bit?
A. It changed our business model a little bit, went strictly to building our own houses and financing them through the bank.

We had to buy our own property, and then they would finance the construction.
Q. And this was in what, the late of $80 s$, or ' 70 's?
A. This was actually towards the end of, 72, when we first got our license.
Q. And how did your business do?
A. The business did really well.

I come from a family that unfortunately doesn't understand banking real well, and my dad cared more about working hard than he did how much he could bring home.

So we did really well, but yet we didn't utilize the money maybe the way we should have, so by 1978 when $I$ was 27 years old $I$ went to my father and I said, I would like to sell everything out, try to divide the money up between us equally, and -- but take so much money back into the business and incorporate and start over again.

My father felt like that was quitting,
which it wasn't asking him to quit, but anyway long story short he said, no, so we continued on building.

And then when interest hit 20,22 percent in our rural area, $I$ think it hit 20 percent everywhere, our area it went to 22 , we lost everything, we had several homes, some apartments that we built up, and in a matter of just seven years, and we couldn't pay the bank back right away, and we had some of our own money, we wouldn't necessarily borrow $\$ 50,000$ to build a house. If we could put 20 of our own in, we only tried to finance 30 or 35 thousand in the beginning, so we had quite an investment between the land and our own cash.

We were putting up about 350 the bank was putting up about 50, and when times got hard, nobody could buy a home or anything from us at 22 percent, we had to forget about our land, forget about the money we had in it, and drop the price to nothing.

Well, we ended up with about five or six places that we couldn't can make the payment on for over 16 months.

We finally sold the one house I was actually building for my wife and I, and after escrow sent a check to us for $\$ 84,000$, we took that to the banker, told them, take out what $I$ owe you, and give
me a check for what is left.
He come back and said, Darell, I'm sorry, he said that, it's hurting me to look at this myself, but he says, here's your check back.

Just paying the interest payments for 16 to 18 months on all that property that we owned, I got a check back for $\$ 3,000$ out of 84,000 .
Q. Was this at a time when contractors were routinely going bankrupt because of the high interest rates and the bad --
A. Almost 90 percent or more I would say -- As a matter of fact, that was getting us started to paying off just the interest on our back payments.

When we finally got around to selling the places at a discount price, we paid the bank off, and our banker told us that out of 140 contractors that that bank dealt with, we are the third person only that has paid off all their loans.
Q. Who was the last person you paid off?
A. The last person $I$ paid off was the lumber company. We owed about $\$ 44,000$ to the lumbar company.

We first got the banks an everything paid off, and then we went to the lumber company and told them we're doing everything we can you will get every
dime we make, and for two years straight my father and $I$ were living off of Charlene and my mother's and my mother-in-law's children's clothing store to hold us and my father, and $I$ gave all our money to the lumber company.
Q. During that period of time, was there ever a time -- or a debt you had that you didn't payoff?
A. Never.
Q. What did you do after, did your company fold up, or what happened?
A. No, we, because of the struggling interest rate at the time, we went back to doing concrete block work, smaller jobs, whatever it took to make a weekly living out of it, and we had done a couple of bigger jobs, but my father was old school, old school meaning, he believed in a handshake.

Handshakes when you go to Court or anything don't pay the bills, you have to have paperwork.

I remember telling him that, and I loved working with him, hardest working man you've ever seen, but $I$ told him, you get us in trouble, you will do not do the change orders like you are supposed to do, if you get us into one more year where we lose all the profits for the year because of lack of change orders, we didn't want to go to Court for
these, we just flat took the loss, but when you are living on 15 to $\$ 20,000$ a year, you can't afford to take a $\$ 30,000$ cut.

One or two jobs had overages, my father wouldn't care about the paperwork, and just have to it -- and I told him, you do this one more time to me, and I'm going to be looking for another job.

And that is basically how it ended up $I$ starting managing poker rooms.
Q. Let's talked about card rooms.

Are we talking about legal card rooms in California?
A. Legal card rooms in California, you can only play poker.
Q. Okay.
A. There's no slot machines or anything like that, just strictly 7-Card Stud, Hold 'Em-type games.
Q. How did you get into that?
A. Well, my wife, she ended up -- I always played cards, and $I$ was pretty good at it, but my wife heard about the card room opened up, so we went down to see it, and we walked in and played a little bit the first night.

About the second or third time we went in there she was asked if she would like to be a dealer.

So this lady took her over to the side to see if she could shuffle properly without exposing the cards, and lo and behold the next day she was a dealer.

About a week later they asked me.
So I showed them $I$ was capable of doing it because $I$ knew what my wife went through, I already practiced, and I became a card dealer.

Probably within three weeks to a month the manager was given the place, that owned the place, he also worked in the oil field, so he spent most of his time doing his oil field work, and the manager was allowing her to have too much credit, she was a very bad player, losing, leaving a lot of money on the table, and the business had really built up because the manager was giving away so much money that everybody in two cities would come to our card room to win.

But anyway, the gentleman asked me, he said, what happened to my whole bank one night, and I told him what had happened, her husband lost $\$ 1,000$, she lost about $\$ 500$, there goes your thousand dollar bank.

So he said, well, this can't keep up.
He said, how would you like to be my
manager?
I said, $I$ can do that.
So he kept his old manager on as a dealer but made me manager.

And it wasn't a month or two after that he said, how would you like to became a partner?

So I became a partner in the first -- but people move around, they get tired of losing at one casino, they try another casino.

Living here in Vegas I'm sure everybody feels that way, has done that.

So three or four times I moved around to became manager in different card casinos, so --
Q. All right.

I'm going to come back to that in a second.
But let's talk about your family's history with vascular disease.

Let me know a little bit about that.
A. That is one of the reasons we went to Denver, was my grandfather had large probably three or four inch opening sores on his legs, and he would wrap them with just old bed sheets and stuff because they didn't have the same type bandages we have today.

And I remember as a nine year old $I$ was
sitting there watching him wrap his legs every night because he would.

And my aunt, a couple of my aunts, had vascular disease, had varicose veins.

One of my aunts actually had holes opened up in her leg similar to mine. Luckily hers closed up easier.

But so I had several people in the family.
Q. When did you first start feeling as though you were having any kind of vascular disease?
A. I was between 19 and 20 years old, I noticed what looked like my veins were showing an awful lot, so $I$ went to a bigger city, to San Luis Obispo, And talked to a vascular specialist up there.

He says, yes, $I$ can see that in the future years you're going to have some trouble with your veins.
Q. Were you smoking at that time?
A. I was not smoking then.
Q. Did he talk to you about anything if you were smoking?
A. In those days they never said a word about it.

As a matter of fact, the DP Charlene and I had after getting married, he actually would examine
you with a cigarette in his mouth.
They didn't talk about cigarettes the same as they do today.
Q. Right.

So eventually you ended up having some problems with your leg causes you to retire, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So tell me about when you first started having issues with your legs, and how that developed, and help me move around a little bit in time.
A. In approximately 1980 we had owned a door shop, which $I$ haven't told you before, we bought a door shop, outdoors for houses, front doors, interior, and $I$ went out into the yard, and they there was steel laying out in the back of the yard area, and $I$ stepped on a piece of angle iron that flipped up and hit the high part of my ankle.

Probably three or four days went by, it was kind of black and blue, but after about a week a hole opened up, this is in 1980. So I wrapped it, kept it clean.

This went on for maybe a month or so, I kept re-wrapping it and cleaning it, and $I$ noticed it wasn't closing up, so $I$ started going to the doctor, which sent me to a vascular specialist.

I ended up probably in a two or three year period seeing at least five in our area.

Nobody could show me how to wrap my legs or take care of them where they would close up.
Q. Did they tell you why you're having trouble with the wound that wouldn't heal?
A. None of them exactly explained to me then what was going on.

I went to Loma Linda Hospital And College, and they showed me how to wrap an Una boot they called it around my leg.
Q. When -- What year was this?
A. This was probably in early ' 82 maybe, a couple years after it began.
Q. So you are around 32, 33?
A. Yes.
Q. And was it a recurring wound, keep healing up or --
A. Sometimes.
Q. Was it the same wound?
A. Sometimes it would get as big as half dollar, and then go down to a dime, and go back up to a quarter, sometimes it would almost close completely, and I'm talking about my left leg, and then in another area $I$ might have two open up, small
ones that would get larger.
Q. Is this without any if kind of injury to you, you would just end up with wounds?
A. I would just end up with wounds, and it was explained to me a little bit when it first happened that it was like stagnant blood causing the leg to rot from the inside to the outside.

And that is why it came from the inside to the outside and opened up a hole.
Q. Were you --
A. That's all $I$ understood about that.
Q. Were you ever told when you were going to the wound specialist in Loma Linda that your smoking was contributing to these wounds?
A. They never said anything to me at the time about smoking.

All they suggested was that if $I$ had insurance, they would put me in the hospital, elevate my legs, give me a jacuzzi bath every day, but being there's already been a couple years that $I$ couldn't get these to close, I couldn't see where just a jacuzzi and elevating my legs was going to change it that much, besides $I$ had a family to support, and I had no idea that maybe $I$ could have got on Medicaid or something, but I already had the construction
company, and I had to keep working.
Q. Right.

So when you started using the Unna boot -I that right, Unna?
A. Unna.
Q. He do you spell that?
A. $U-n-n-a$.
Q. Okay.

And what exactly did the Unna boot do?
A. The Unna boot, you use antibiotics or Cortisone and put it on the opening, and then they have a zync oxide gauze bandage that is soaked in zync oxide, you wrap that around your foot, putting more pressure as you go up around your ankle, all the way to just below your calf -- I mean, above your calf, below your knee, and then you wrap it with an elastic strap band, and it has glue on it, tapes it to itself as you go up the leg and creates a mini cast, it's about an eighth inch thick.

The only way to get that off is to cut it off.
Q. And would you put this on every morning?
A. I put it on every day.
Q. A new one every day?
A. I would have to say, I put one on a couple,
three times a week.
They said it would be good if you did it every day.

I found out every day wasn't making any difference, and we're talking about early '80s, and the bandages for wrapping was 30 bucks total cost.

So $\$ 30$ a day is more than $I$ was making.
Q. All right. So --
A. I would like to say that, approximately -I heard something somebody testified about infections, and in 31 years of wrapping my legs before my first operation $I$ never had any disease created or any infections.

I finally after 31 years had one, I thought I had, and they treated it, but it really wasn't infected, but for 31 years my son's in infection control and says, it's almost unheard of for somebody to be able to wrap wounds for 31 years and never have an infection.

He said, dad, you took care of yourself.
Q. Let's get back to the card room.

How long did you work as a manager or in some capacity in card rooms?
A. The first card room $I$ went into $I$ believe was around '89, and $I$ had to retire because of my leg
swelling too big in the end of 2005.
So almost 16 years.
Q. So explain this for me, what kind of swelling were you getting, and did you ever find out why?

If you can, explain the process.
A. My feet and legs pretty much were always swollen, but finally after we've had games go 18 hours, 32 hours, sometimes they went two hours, but you're on your feet a lot, and the older I got, all of a sudden one day I'm standing there in the card room, and about midnight, and my legs were tingling a little bit, so $I$ grabbed my pant leg, I'm wearing blue jeans, $I$ couldn't even pinch a little bit of blue jean off my leg, it was swollen so much, just filling the whole thing up.
Q. Did a doctor ever tell you why they were swelling so much?
A. I was never told why they were swelling so much, except by then $I$ knew $I$ was having more of $a$ vascular problem, but in the beginning he told me to elevate my feet.

I tried elevating the feet, but I was sitting there realizing that elevating the feet -and thinking $I$ was helping myself some, that
elevating my feet after about three or four months started hurting, and $I$ found myself $I$ had to put a chair and lower my feet, and then some of the irritation and pain would go away, so it was more important to lower my feet because of what was happening to me.
Q. You ended up -- When did you end up moving to Las Vegas?
A. We moved to Las Vegas in 2010.

We bought the house that we were living in in the end 2008 , but we didn't get over here for a year-and-a-half because $I$ had construction $I$ was doing on a commercial building and an apartment we had in Paso Robles, $I$ had to get it ready to rent and lease out with hopefully no more problems to us before we could come over here.
Q. Okay.

And when you moved here, was any family living here then?
A. My son had already moved over here.
Q. Chris, the one who testified?
A. Christopher.

Christopher already moved over here, and the first thing $I$ think he said he was doing was dealing a little bit of cards because he dealt for me
in a couple of the card rooms.

He came over here and dealt a little bit of cards, he taught English, and then he got a job at the health department.
Q. All right.

So at the time you moved to Las Vegas did you have any businesses you were finishing up, or just the card rooms?
A. Well, I finally left the card rooms and stuff, and other than doing my own work on my rentals, I was just retired.
Q. And --
A. But over almost half of my income is because of one of my rentals.
Q. So let's talk about that.

What rentals do you have at the time you
moved to Las Vegas?
A. When I moved to Las Vegas, I had a commercial building and --
Q. Where was it?
A. It was in the downtown area, so it was a retail business.
Q. Downtown area of Paso Robles?
A. Paso Robles, yes.

And the upstairs was a two-bedroom
apartment for my wife and I.
And we bought that back in 2002, and I had remodeled two or three times to upgrade everything to be able to be prepared to try to move away from it and not have a lot of problems with it.

But that same building $I$ had remodeled when we first moved to Paso Robles in '67 for another man.

So I was involved with two remodels on that before we ever bought it.
Q. And did you end up having to do additional construction, or remodeling, or repairs, to that rental?
A. Yes.
Q. How long -- Do you still own that rental?
A. I still own it.
Q. And until -- When did you continue doing the repairs yourself?
A. Up until I lost my leg.
Q. Okay.

During this time before you moved to Las Vegas, did you have a -- like a treating physician, somebody who regularly treated you for your vascular issues, or were you just doing it yourself?
A. I was doing most of it before $I$ came to Las Vegas on my own, most of it.

I would see my general practitioner for medicine, blood pressure medicine, and stuff like that, but $I$ had not been treated for my leg in a long time.
Q. And were you able to essentially keep all the wounds at bay, so they weren't getting worse?
A. I kept them at bay for the most part, and then finally $I$ thought $I$ was probably -- This was early 2012, I thought I might have an infection in it, so I went --
Q. I'm not ready to go into that yet.
A. Okay.
Q. You're a little bit ahead of me.

So when you moved here in 2005, before you ended up going to Dr. Simon, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Before you went to Dr. Simon, so in between 2005 and 2001 , were you seeing anybody during that time for any vascular issues?
A. Well, I always talked to people, but basically I just had my general practitioner was watching me with the blood pressure and everything, so $I$ had not had anybody that was actually taking care of it.
Q. Was this general practitioner here, or in

Paso Robles?
A. Paso Robles.
Q. So I guess you would continue going down to Paso Robles frequently?
A. I had gone several times to Dr. Reeves in Paso Robles.

MR. ARNTZ: Your Honor, could we approach?
THE COURT: Yes.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between
Court and counsel at sidebar.)
THE COURT: All right.
Mr. Moore, and for the jurors' information, the reason Mr. Arntz asked to approach is because he prefers this would be a good breaking point in Mr. Moore's testimony for today.

I do need to inform the jurors that obviously $I$ should let you know Mr. Moore is the last witness in this trial.

However, it will take probably the bulk of tomorrow to complete his testimony once we complete with counsel's cross-examination, final examination of Mr. Moore's counsel, and then of course should there be any jurors questions, which we all anticipate from you.

For that reason, we will not be able to
begin reading the instructions to you, or the closing arguments, to you tomorrow, as we had sincerely hoped we would be able to do.

That means that the trial in this matter will now complete on Wednesday, not Tuesday.

It was my sincerest hope, and $I$ know it was counsels and the parties, we would not have to give that you information, we had hoped to tell you on Friday with certainty when the trial would complete this week because we understand very much the difficulty that you face in terms of these moving target deadlines, but at least $I$ can assure you that when we complete testimony tomorrow, and then we finalize any last matters in terms of the exhibits and everything for you, that will commence on Wednesday with just instructions and just closings, with time for you to deliberate on Wednesday.

I do sincerely apologies again on behalf of the Court and counsel and the parties that we were incorrect indicating to you we would be done on Tuesday.

We thank you very much for your patience of course with this process.

It's so very important we complete this process after all the time we have obviously spent
together up to this point to insure all the testimony is received and counsel have the opportunity at the end to recapture or recount the information to you in conjunction with the instructions on the law to help you best do your jobs.

So we thank you for your patience very much so.

We will return to this courtroom tomorrow afternoon.

Judge Johnson, who is normally in this courtroom, is going to switch locations with us for her afternoon obligations, so we will be back in here tomorrow.

If anything should change in that regard, of course you will meet out here in front of 15-B, we'll let you know then, but we do anticipate getting back in here tomorrow, and then return to the regular Courtroom 15-B on Wednesday.
(Jury now admonished by the Court.)
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow at 1:30. We're in recess for the day.
(Jury excused from the courtroom.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: I want to make sure nobody had any issues.

Again, we'll be in here tomorrow.
We'll finish with settling jury
instructions.

If my clerk has not already, we'll vacate the 11:00 setting, return here at 1:30 for the completion of the testimony.

If we do not complete -- If we do not have time to settle jury instructions, I'm not quite sure what we'll do about it, but we'll figure it out.

In the meantime, again sometimes in the morning I anticipate receiving an e-mail from you with my JEA with the Court's tentative final things.

Anything else we need to address?
MR. MC BRIDE: No, Your Honor.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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| ```W wait [3] - 13:8, 42:1, 92:11 waited [2]-20:13, 45:2 waiting [3] - 93:15, 116:9, 116:22 Walgreen's [2] - 79:22, 80:4 walk [14] - 32:22, 33:1, 33:2, 33:3, 33:7, 33:8, 41:8, 50:1, 72:17, 72:21, 72:23, 73:1, 73:3, 107:11 walked [4]-40:24, 47:17, 73:2, 121:22 walker [6] - 51:11, 51:15, 73:23, 73:25, 90:12, 90:21 walking [1] - 41:5 washing [1] - 111:6 watch [1] - 35:16 watching [2]-124:1, 134:22 water [1] - 64:23 weakened [2] - 92:24, 93:2 wear [5] - 29:23, 40:4, 40:7, 40:9, 94:12 wearing [2] - 40:10, 130:13 weather [1] - 103:7``` |
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(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: All right.
Anything outside the presence before we get started?

MR. MC BRIDE: Yes, Your Honor.
Yesterday afternoon at about 1:27 to be exact we, Mr. Weaver and I, received an e-mail from Mr. Arntz where he advised for the first time that he intended to call Dr. Wiencek today as a witness.

He said that in response to several e-mails back and forth have he said that it only became apparent to him the last couple of days that Mr. Wiencek might be an essential witness, and that is somewhat surprising, given the fact Dr. Wiencek was the original surgeon who treated Mr. Moore for several years since 2012 for issues relating to his popiteal graft.

The concern that we have is -- I think several-fold.

First of all, when on Friday Mr. Arntz said in his e-mail he's been trying to reach out to Dr.

Wiencek for several days last week.
If there was what we discussed, the schedule, the remaining schedule for this week and the witnesses to be called, so we could advise the jury and let them know how much longer the trial would go, Mr. Arntz never mentioned that he had reached out to Dr. Wiencek, or thought that he could potentially call him.

He never let us or the Court know of that in advance.

I think that our concern is, that at this late stage to have him as a witness to testify on the last full day before trial, after Defense -- all of Defendants experts have testified, especially Dr. Wilson, the vascular surgeon, to address the vascular issues, it is actually a classic sandbagging by the Plaintiff in this case.

There was no reason why we shouldn't have been informed that there were efforts to try to get Dr. Wiencek here before that.

We could have made arrangements to have Dr. Wilson testify as our last witness, let them finish experts their witnesses all together, and then make arrangements to have him testify.

We didn't do that because the only expert
they retained in this case to testify, the only vascular surgeon they indicated they were going to call, was Dr. M.

So in this particular situation it creates a huge detriment to the Defense in this particular case.

It's also our position that he wasn't properly identified on the Plaintiff's pre-trial disclosures. In fact, he's only listed on the pre-trial disclosures as the person most knowledgeable, or custodian of records, there's no scope of anticipated testimony he's supposed to offer, and that is the same for a number of other entities, St. Rose and other providers as well, the same designations, not as an individual witness -- or not they anticipated calling him.

So I think it's our position, and I'll let Mr. Weaver chime in as well, because I think he has some points to make, but it's our position at this late stage that is an improper attempt to sandbag the Defense and creates a difficult situation for us, and I think that it is not proper pursuant to their pre-trial disclosures.

THE COURT: Mr. Weaver, anything to add?
MR. WEAVER: Thank you, Your Honor.

I would briefly add, we briefed this issue before the Court, as it wasn't just Mr. Arntz saying that he he became aware a few days ago, he said, quite a few days ago.

So at the same time while the Court is telling the jury on Friday at the lunch break there was two witnesses in the afternoon, Nurse Practitioner Bartmus and Dr. Barcay, and two today, and we would be done today, instructions tomorrow, and it certainly wouldn't go into Wednesday, not a word, not a peep, no heads up, no information, not anything.

And what is particularly disturbing is, every single day we talked about witnesses on Thursday, $I$ set out the discussion in part that carried over until Friday, when even the Court acknowledged based on the Court's information that Mr. and Mrs. Moore were the final two witnesses today, that part of what was happening on Friday, and this is on the record, was if we're to not interfere with Mr. and Mrs. Moore having the entire afternoon to testify today, number one, would've fully
truncated the testimony of Nurse Practitioner Bartmus, and in addition truncated and shortened the testimony of Dr. Barcay, so we finished before 4 to
make sure that Mr. Arntz had all the time he needed for cross-examination.

He said he would take an hour, took 30 minutes, but there was plenty of juror questions, but all of that was done to make sure it didn't interfere with the last two witnesses today.

Meanwhile, they've known for at least a week, maybe ten days before that they were intending, or hoping, or scheduling Dr. Wiencek for today.

So that we're trying to do what we can to make sure we don't go past Tuesday and doing as well what we can with the witnesses, we're getting sandbagged, not knowing we're going to find out yesterday when we are trying to prepare for Mr. Moore, and trying to prepare for closing arguments, a surprise to Dr. Wiencek is coming.

On Thursday afternoon $I$ called, it was hectic, and e-mailed Dr. Barcay when there was a discussion about potentially -- this was at 3:30 about potentially Mrs. and Mrs. Moore having their testimony on Friday afternoon to accommodate them to get it done.

It was decided that the best thing would be to leave them until today uninterrupted.

So to find out yesterday afternoon that
this was a set up to have the Plaintiff's case continue over to today, and Dr. Wiencek, a surprise witness, is quite frankly intolerable, just not fair.

What are we going to do, bring Dr. Wilson back tomorrow?

That is not even likely possible.
But Dr. Wilson had every right to rely on whatever Dr. Wiencek might say, not the other way around.

THE COURT: Mr. Hyamson.
MR. P. HYMANSON: Phil Hymanson on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Moore.

This is not a sandbag.
This is what we call trial.
As of last Thursday the discussion between counsel about whether they were going to call Dr. Wiencek or not, and Mr. McBride said, no, it's not their intention, Mr. Arntz was under the impression they were, which was good because we were having until Friday to get him, didn't think we would be able to get him.

THE COURT: I don't have a lot of volume today, so bear with me.

Can you just clarify?
You're using a lot of pronouns there.

You said, they were talking about it, they were okay, they weren't.

I did not follow who you were saying.
As far as I'm getting what you gentlemen are saying, Friday was the first time they heard about him coming today, or maybe Sunday.

I'm sorry.
What's the first time they heard about Dr. Wiencek?

MR. P. HYMANSON: I'll be clear on that.
Your Honor, that is absolutely correct.
We didn't know that Dr. Wiencek would be available until a telephone conference yesterday, and as soon as we learned from Dr. Wiencek he would be available, we notified Defense counsel approximately 24 hours before they were going to testify.

We weren't aware of it until Sunday he was in fact going to be able to testify.

He has some physical issues, and we didn't think he was going to be able to.

THE COURT: When did you begin reaching out to him?

MR. P. HYMANSON: I'll have to defer to Mr.
Arntz because I wasn't involved in the reach.
MR. ARNTZ: Probably about a week ago.

I think $I$ was able to get ahold of him through the office, and what he told me was, he was not be able to come testify because he had bad neuropathy, didn't want to come into your courtroom.

He said, if you can have me testify by video conference, I'll agree to it.

I said, $I$ don't think $I$ can do that.
So I essentially gave up on it, but I reached out to him one more time Friday, and it was about 7:00 Friday night that $I$ finally got a text from him where he said -- he agreed to come.

I didn't make a decision then.

I wouldn't call him until $I$ had a chance to talk to him.

So I talked to him on Sunday, it was the afternoon, the three of us were there on speaker phone talking to him, and after that $I$ decided to call him, and $I$ immediately notified counsel of my decision.

There was no sandbagging. I just didn't think $I$ could get him here.

THE COURT: Well, let me go back to Mr. Hymanson.

Whether or not the intent was there, the sandbagging, it's just not sandbagging, if they were
standing up at the last witness with a witness you had no idea was in play that day before that witness was supposed to testify, you would not be up here having that same thing?

MR. P. HYMANSON: No, Your Honor, I don't think so.

I call that trial. I call that trial, Your Honor.

It was quite clear on Friday after -- as the Court said to them, we allowed them to put their experts in out of place, it was quite clear after their experts testified how critical this doctor was going to be, and I specifically said to Mr. Arntz after Friday that if Dr. Wiencek. If we have him listed, and we tried to get him, we need to try and get him one more time because based on Friday's testimony that would be critical for him to be here.

This isn't a surprise to the Defense. They've known of this doctor from the beginning.

They are the ones mentioned him in their opening statement.

They are the ones that had their experts refer to him.

So there's no surprise.

He is a treating physician, no surprise
there.
And what he's going to testify to would be quicker than what this argument is going to be.

THE COURT: One more follow-up to Mr.
Hymanson before the response.

MR. MC BRIDE: Sure.
THE COURT: Wait.
You all have to try to listen.
I'm speaking as loudly as I can.
One more follow-up to Mr. Hymanson.
What Mr. McBride indicated about the actual pre-trial disclosures, those have some meaning. If he's not disclosed on there as a potential witness, how is it you're calling him now?

I know all day long trial is trial, but their indication is, and $I$ did not re-review that because I had no idea about talking about sandbagging, about this argument coming, so you know what is the actual disclosure?

MR. P. HYMANSON: Number 22.
MR. MC BRIDE: 20.
MR. ARNTZ: No, 22 .
The supplement.
MR. P. HYMANSON: It says:

Dr. Wiencek, these witnesses expect to testify regarding Plaintiff's medical treatment, from Dr. Wiencek, M.D., expected to testify to the facts and circumstances surrounding the medical care, treatment, and/or billing for said care and treatment provided to Plaintiff.

THE COURT: What was the supplement?
MR. MC BRIDE: I don't have a supplement, Your Honor.

MR. WEAVER: There's no supplement, Your Honor.

MR. ARNTZ: Your Honor, Dr. Wiencek actually has been named since the first supplement.

He's been in every supplement since then.
THE COURT: They indicated he was named, but as custodian of records.

MR. MC BRIDE: I have the pre-trial
disclosures here, Your Honor, if you would like to take a look at it.

THE COURT: I'm taking your representations.

I was just told there was a couple numbers there, $I$ was wondering whether it was filed.

MR. ARNTZ: The 13th, and included in that one and every other one.

THE COURT: Hold on, you guys are talking over each other.

I'm checking the file.
MR. P. HYMANSON: The supplement was
November 21st, Your Honor.
THE COURT: The supplement you're reading from now?

MR. P. HYMANSON: The 13th supplement, 11/21, Your Honor.

MR. ARNTZ: That wasn't the first
supplement we filed.
THE COURT: There's a difference, is there not, gentlemen, between ongoing supplements along the way of all the potential witnesses that might have something to do with the case and the actual pre-trial disclosure of witnesses?

MR. ARNTZ: Well, he's disclosed as a witness.

THE COURT: I'm not in the mood, Mr. Arntz.
I just said $I$ thought very clearly there is not a difference between ongoing supplemental disclosure, the requirements, as pre-trial disclosures that is required under the EDCR when you all get together and meet and confer and list out your witnesses and list out your documents, and say
who you are calling.
If you are not required to update on the pre-trial disclosures who you are actually going to call and what they are going to be called for --

MR. ARNTZ: Well, it doesn't list on there what -- doesn't recite the same paragraph that is in the disclosure in the supplement, that's true.

THE COURT: Okay.
I'm not worried about that right now.
Final arguments?
MR. MC BRIDE: I do have the pre-trial disclosure filed by Plaintiff December 27th, 2019.

Number 20, like I said, custodian of records, and/or person most knowledgeable, and just Robert Wiencek, M.D., St. Rose Sienna.

That is the same identification, nothing more, the same identification they give for every other potential witness, Paul Weazner Associates, John Oh, M.D., Nevada Comprehensive, /PRO care, then as Your Honor is aware as part of the pre-trial disclosures it even says, has a section says, Plaintiff's expect to present the following witnesses at trial if a need arises, Plaintiff's reserve the right to call any and all witnesses called by any other party, and there is nobody identified.

There's also nobody identified by deposition, nobody identified that they were subpoenaed.

Our point, Your Honor, again goes to the fact that this is a witness who is -- Well, first of all, it was mentioned in passing as a treating physician in my opening statement as part of my chronology explaining who he treated with. That in and of itself should have been enough for the Plaintiff to identify that Dr. Wiencek likely had some information that would be relevant to their case in this particular issue, even if it's about as a treating provider, or damages, or anything else. That wasn't done.

Your Honor, you are absolutely correct, the pre-trial disclosures are really the operative pleading that takes effect for trial.

I understand Mr. Hymanson thinks that this is all well and good to have a Perry Mason moment and call Dr. Wiencek, and at the last minute, but what it also does is, it complicates matters to the extent even if the Court were to allow him to testify, and limit his testimony, what that problem creates for the jurors, who are all -- have been very attentive and who ask questions, when they are not able to ask
questions that may go beyond the potential role as just a treating physician, that opens up a whole other cap of worms.

In this particular case, Your Honor, this is classic sandbagging, and I think it's an absolute detriment to the Defense, given the fact we've already completed our experts, in particular Dr. Wilson, who as Mr. Weaver pointed out would be the key witness to comment on any testimony from Dr. Wiencek.

THE COURT: Anything else to add, Mr.
Weaver?
MR. WEAVER: Briefly, Your Honor.
Again, it's not the trial, it's a sandbag.
They are not even on the same page with al due respect.

Mr. Arntz said quite a few days now it been known that Dr. Wiencek is a potential witness without a word to us.

Mr. Hymanson just said, it became apparent on Friday afternoon after our experts left.

They are not reconcilable, it don't make sense, and the bottom line is in trial what makes sense is to say, here are experts that we are calling, how are we going to coordinate it?

What isn't trial is to conceal a witness from the other side and not tell them until the day before.

It's true we've gone back and forth, and with all due respect it hasn't been total accommodation for our experts. We've done what we can to make our experts available, including Dr.

Wilson being here for this for three days in order not to hold up any trial.

So the idea there's just this over-accommodation for Defendants isn't even fair.

THE COURT: Okay.
So it hasn't been mentioned yet in argument, but one of the things I recall -- I looked it up while $I$ was listening to your arguments -- was there was also a stipulation and order on motions in limine signed off on by all the parties, which again technically has not been filed, but of course was submitted to the Court prior to trial, I actually have a stamp on it January $29 t h$ when it was submitted to the Court, so it might have actually just been after trial started, but stipulation order on motions in limine, the sixth of which is, as a courtesy the parties agree to provide reasonable advanced notice of witnesses to be called to the extent possible.

Actually, when $I$ was reviewing these orders, I was very glad to see that because one of the things as a Judge, $I$ see counsel do it all the time, is decide how they are going to do their case and not necessarily share as things are evolving that information with the other side.
I'm not going to call it sandbagging
because I don't disagree with Mr. Hymanson or Arntz, it's very possible as the trial evolves they came about their decision the way they came about their decision.

The argument and calling it sandbagging is like saying, by design they waited until the last minute to call Dr. Wiencek.

I take it at face value, Mr. Hymanson's representations. Although, Mr. Arntz may have attempted to reach out sometime ago just to see if he was available, and had given up, that that was going to work.

Mr. Hymanson, after hearing the testimony on Friday, said, let's try it again, and as luck would have it Mr. Wiencek -- Dr. Wiencek was available.

At the end of the day it was absolutely obvious to this Court from the get go that Dr.
Wiencek could, potentially should, have been a
witness in this case.

Every single witness that testified, and every single document we've looked at, has had Dr. Wiencek all over it.

In fact, to the degree where I've actually been sitting here concerned that the jurors don't even know who the Defendants are because Dr. Wiencek's name had come up so many times.

That said, all the testimony has come in except for the Plaintiffs.

We are at the conclusion of this trial, there are ample documentation affixed to this involving Dr. Wiencek can be pointed to as need be to clarify any of those issues.

This is far too late in the process to be disclosing a witness.

The appropriate time to the disclose this witness would have been when the decision was made to reach out to see if he was available.

The Court deserved that courtesy, counsel deserved that courtesy, it did not happen.

It was not included in the pre-trial disclosure, that might have saved -- or might have made some different impact on the Court's decision
here today.
If he had been listed in there the same way he was listed in the November multiple supplements, 13, or whatever it was, but there's got to be some benefit to the Court and to counsel these pre-trial meet and confers, they are not just empty exercises where everything is listed, they should not be that, where everything just gets listed the way it's been previously listed and cut and pasted by some staff member, and we actually have no damn idea who's going to be called at trial.

This Court has been every day at the end of last week figuring out who is being called and when.

I didn't care who was being called and when, $I$ did not care how long a time it was going to be taken, $I$ just needed to know, so $I$ could keep this trial moving and going.

To find out now that on Sunday was the first time Defense was notified Dr. Wiencek was in play, and the Court didn't know until it came in here today, I appreciate we were copied on some e-mail yesterday as well, but that was not provided to me by my staff this morning, and it's in the pile of additional instructions and things we have now, but $I$ didn't get it until just now.

So as I came in here today $I$ had zero idea this was an issue.

At the end of the day, like I said, I think ample testimony has been had from both sides related to Dr. Wiencek's prior treatment, how that might have impacted things, and certainly any confusion can be cleaned up in closings.

We have the Plaintiff, and the Plaintiff's to testify today, and $I$ do not see any legitimate legal or factual basis to allow Dr. Wiencek to be called at this time based on the pre-trial disclosures, based open the stipulated motion in limine as a courtesy to provide information, reasonable advanced notice, and ultimately the communications up through and including Friday as to what this trial proceedings would be.

So for all of those reasons Dr. Wiencek will not be called today, and we will proceed as schedules with the Plaintiffs.

Anything else we need to address?
MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. ARNTZ: No, Your Honor.
MR. MC BRIDE: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Do you need to communicate with Dr.

```
Wiencek?
```

MR. ARNTZ: Yep.
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court and in the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen, for your patience.

We had a few matters we had to resolve before you joined us.

We've now resolved those matters.
At this point in time we're going to return to the Plaintiffs' case in chief, and I'm asking counsel for the Plaintiff to call their next witness, please.

MR. J. HYMANSON: We'd like to call
Charlene Moore, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mrs. Moore, make your way up to the witness stand.

## CHARLENE MOORE,

who, being first duly sworn to tell
the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please have a seat.
Please state your full name, spelling both
your first and last name for the record.
THE WITNESS: My first name is Charlene, C-h-a-r-l-e-n-e, last name Moore, M-o-o-r-e.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Hymanson, when you're ready.
MR. J. HYMANSON: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE MOORE

BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Good afternoon, Mrs. Moore.

Can you please introduce yourself to the
ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
A. I'm Charlene Moore.

I'm Darell's wife.
Q. Miss Moore, where were you born?
A. I was born in Camp Robert, California.
Q. Where did you grow up?
A. I grew up, most of my younger years were spent in Germany.

My dad was in the Army intelligence, so we moved around a lot, spent nine years in Germany, sometime in Baltimore, and other places.
Q. When did you meet Darell?
A. I met Darell the first part of 1967.

We had moved into a neighborhood, and the beginning of the year he moved in next door to us.
Q. How old were you then?
A. I was 14.
Q. Did you eventually start dating Darell?
A. We did.
Q. How long after you met him?
A. I would think probably maybe eight months or so.
Q. And you eventually ended up getting married to Darell, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. How old were you when you married Darell?
A. I was 16.
Q. And so how long have you been married to your husband?
A. 51 years.
Q. We talked about it a little bit before, but you have three children, correct?
A. I do.
Q. What do your children do?
A. My eldest is Eric, and he works in the prison system.

My second son is -- you met -- he's
Christopher, he's been in interventional -- works in the hospital.

And Jennifer is a Sergeant in the Air
Force.
Q. Okay.

Miss Moore, are you currently employed?
A. I am not.

I'm retired.
Q. What did you used to do for a living?
A. Well, there were a lot of things.

My first job was helping my dad in his photo store.

Then later my mom and my mother-in-law and I opened a children's clothing store that we had for well over ten years.

Then $I$ became a partner with my sister, she had taken over my dad's photo store, so I worked with her for a while.

And then $I$ dealt poker in a small card room in California.

I also managed the card room for a little while.
Q. When you owned your own business, did you always pay your debts?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think you have ever lived a lavish lifestyle?
A. No, not really.
Q. I want to transition a little bit talking about your life with your husband before his amputation.

So what does your husband do for a living?
A. He -- He started out when we first got married as a bus boy, then he went into construction with his father, became a partner, and worked with him for many years.

And then at the same time $I$ started dealing cards in the card room he dealt cards in the card room and became a manager of several card rooms.
Q. Did he ever have any issues with his legs?
A. He had issues with his legs for years and years and years.

He first saw a vascular specialist when he
was about 19 or so, but in his 30 's he developed open ulcers on his legs, so he consulted a lot of physicians through the years.

All they could do was tell him to wrap his legs with a bandage, you have to put elastic like over it, so it's like a lightweight cast, and he did that for many years, so he could continue to work.

He was like so long, so long he had these open ulcers, and sometimes they would come on his right leg, but never as bad as his left leg.

And when we finally got referred to Dr. Wiencek, he had a 2012 operation, he finally healed and was much more mobile, and didn't have to do the bandages anymore or anything like that.
Q. Let me just ask for a little bit of clarification.

The 2012 operation, so $I$ want to make sure I understand what your testimony was.

You said for years he had open sores on his legs?
A. Correct.
Q. And you talked about the bandages he would wear, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you would apply the bandage, would
his wounds go away?
A. Well, his right leg healed up quite often, so he didn't have to bandage that very much.

But his left leg, sometimes he would have several ulcers, sometimes only had one, kind of went back and forth, but there was always for the whole time he had some kind of open sore on his left leg.
Q. What kind of things did you and Darell do for fun before -- let's talk about from 2010 until 2016, what kind of things did you and Darell do for fun?
A. Well, 2010 to 2016?

In 2010 to 2012 we didn't do -- we had just retired recently, didn't do a whole lot of traveling or anything, but we would go to Tucson to visit my mom, go back to the hometown to visit family.

You know, we would go out, have dinner, do all those things.

After 2012, we were settled into retirement, his legs were healed, and we were doing a lot more.

We took a trip to Hawaii.
We took a trip to Florida.
We flew out to Florida.

We visited my mom regularly in Tucson, at
least a couple times a year.
So she moved to Mesquite, and then we would go over there and see her like once a month.

We still traveled back to Paso Robles to see family.

Now luckily enough most of my family moved to Mesquite, so we can see them more often.

However, not so much now that he's lost his leg.
Q. We talked about a noticeable difference between what you and your husband would do before 2012 and after 2012, and you talked about 2012.

What was the surgery your husband had in 2012?
A. In 2012 he had several aneurisms addressed, and he had the popiteal by-pass graft.
Q. The graft we've been talking about for the last two weeks?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you notice a difference -- or first of all, you said your husband had sores on his legs for years before he had the by-pass, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. How did the by-pass affect your husband's leg, his left leg?
A. All the ulcers healed.

He didn't have to put the bandage on anymore.
Q. How did the 2012 by-pass affect your husband's overall quality of life?
A. There was such a huge difference. It was just so much better.

We were living life with him having open sores on his legs, and the necessity of changing bandages, and they were very painful, he used to before the sores healed when he would go to bed, he would have to hang his leg off the bed onto like a little chair with pillows, so that they were -- the level was down a little bit, which would ease the pain, and help him sleep because gravity goes downhill.

So he didn't have to do that anymore, he could put his feet up on the bed and sleep like a regular person.
Q. From 2012 to 2016 how often did your husband exercise?
A. Well, he would walk more and do more, but we didn't have like an exercise program, he didn't go to the gym or anything.
Q. Okay.

You said you would walk.
Where would you walk?

Where would he walk?
A. He could come with me to the grocery store, to Costco.

If we wanted to go to the car show at

Mandalay Bay, he could walk all the way back there.
If we went to the clinic, he could walk
around.

If we traveled somewhere, we wanted to stop and go off course and hike a little bit, not major hiking, but just to go see sights and that kind of thing.
Q. So you mentioned Dr. Wiencek was your husband's vascular surgeon -- or is your husband's vascular surgeon?
A. He still is.
Q. How did you -- or your husband -- find Dr. Wiencek, do you remember?
A. He -- His left leg, one of the ulcers on his left leg got infected, and we took him to the emergency room at $S t$. Rose, and they recommended that he go to a clinic that he had -- that they have where they did wound care, and he went to that clinic a couple of times, and the second time the doctor that
was cleaning up his wound and whatever they do, I don't know exactly, but that doctor was Dr. Simon, and he said, you know, $I$ think $I$ can can help you beyond the clinic, I have an office, and why don't you make an appointment.

So he went to Dr. Simon several times, and Dr. Simon tried to do some things that didn't seem to be helping very much, so Dr. Simon ordered I believe it was a CT, and after he got the results from the CT he had Darell come in and said, you know --

MR. MC BRIDE: Objection, Your Honor.
Hearsay.
THE COURT: Is it being offered for the truth of the matter asserted, or being offered -- For what purposes is it being offered for?

MR. J. HYMANSON: For her recollection of how they found Dr. Wiencek.

THE COURT: I'll overrule in this case, and just for the purposes of understanding this is what the Plaintiff is getting at.

MR. J. HYMANSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: Dr. Simon, after the CT results said, you got some serious problems that need addressed, and he actually called Dr. Wiencek while we were in the office, and he arranged for Darell to
see him that day.
BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Miss Moore, did you ever go to your husband's appointments with Dr. Wiencek?
A. I went to the office ever every time.

I also went into the back room with him every single time.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. Because his problems were serious, and I wanted to hear what the doctors said, rather than getting it secondhand from Darell.
Q. You said you actually went back and in the examination room each time your husband would go see Dr. Wiencek?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. So did you watch the exam Dr. Wiencek would do on your husband each time?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever see Dr. Wiencek check for a pulse in your husband's legs?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

Do you remember seeing Dr. Wiencek check
for a pulse on your husband's left foot?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he ever able to palpate a pulse on your husband's left foot, to your recollection?

MR. MC BRIDE: Objection.
Speculation.
MR. WEAVER: Join.
THE COURT: Sustained.

How would she know?
MR. J. HYMANSON: That's my question.
I'll move on, Your Honor.
BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Miss Moore, did you ever see -- Well, you said that you saw Dr. Wiencek attempt to palpate a pulse on your husband's left leg, correct?
A. I don't know if you would classify it as palpate because he didn't -- he used a Doppler every single time.
Q. Okay.

So did you see him attempt to palpate
before?
A. No.
Q. So you saw him use a Doppler?
A. He went straight to the Doppler.
Q. And every time that you were at Dr.

Wiencek's you saw Dr. Wiencek use a Doppler to check your husband's pulse on his left leg?
A. Yes, I did.

And Dr. Wiencek did it himself, not an aid or anybody else.
Q. I asked you this in one way before.

You said you went to every appointment with
Dr. Wiencek because you were very concerned about
Darell's leg, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you think your husband took his health seriously?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Just briefly, $I$ want to talk about smoking.

There's been a lot of talk about smoking throughout this trial.

First, Miss Moore, do you smoke at all?
A. I do.
Q. How often, or how much, do you smoke a day?
A. I average three or four cigarettes a day.

I never smoke during the day time, only in the evenings, unless we're out somewhere.
Q. How long have you smoked?
A. 30,40 years.
Q. Okay.

And we learned throughout the course of this trial that your husband Darell is a smoker,
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And how long has Darell been smoking?
A. About the same duration.
Q. How much does your husband currently smoke a day?
A. He smokes approximately a pack a day, sometimes a little less because sometimes I smoke out of the same pack.
Q. From 2012 to 2016 do you recall how much your husband smoked a day?
A. About the same amount.

He smoked much less than that many years ago.
Q. Okay.

So what -- Well, do you and Darell smoke in the house?
A. We do not.
Q. And why not?
A. It makes the house stink.
Q. You're aware that smoking is bad for you?
A. Yes.
Q. And is your husband aware smoking is bad for him?
A. Yes, he is.
Q. Why do you continue to smoke?
A. It's so many years of a habit, it makes it hard to break, and then there's been a lot of stress in our lives, and it's hard to break habits when you are stressed.

You need some order in your life, things to be the same, some things to be the same, changes are hard.
Q. So you established both you and your husband smoked, but $I$ asked you earlier if your husband takes his health seriously.

Do you think your husband takes his health seriously?
A. Yes, he does.

If it were easy to quit, he would have already done it.
Q. Does your husband go to his follow-up doctors' appointments when he's supposed to?
A. Yes, he does.
Q. Does your husband take his medications on a regular basis?
A. Oh, yes.

He makes sure that $I$ make sure that his medication is ordered through the pharmacy in a timely manner.

He will not go one day without taking his Xarelto.
Q. For a time period was your husband instructed to wear any special type of anything on his legs?
A. Well, of course after surgery he had to wear the compression socks, and he wore compression socks quite a bit.

They've also asked him to wear skin preparation for his prosthetic, they had him wearing a shaper on the other leg.
Q. I want to talk to you briefly about the couple days before Christmas day in 2016, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. What -- Did you go to the casino a few days before December 25th, 2016?
A. I did.
Q. Did your husband go with you?
A. Yes.
Q. What casino did you go to?
A. I wouldn't -- It's been a long time.

I would assume we went to The M because that is usually where we go.
Q. Do you remember how much you walked around with your husband in the casino that day?
A. Specifically, no.

I don't remember how long we were there.
I don't remember if we were winning, going from machine to machine, or whatever, but he was walking with a cane.
Q. Okay.

Was it an amount you think -- looking back at it, was more than he would usually walk in a given day?
A. I couldn't say, I don't remember.
Q. Okay.

Let's talk about now December 25th, 2016.
Do you remember how that day started?
A. Well, we were getting ready for Christmas of course, my mom and her boyfriend were coming, so we were -- but when he got up in the morning, he said, you know, I think $I$ have to get ready and go to the emergency room because something is wrong.
Q. Okay.

Did you take your husband to the emergency room that day?
A. I didn't.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, my son Christopher was available, and I had the turkey in the oven and everything going,
and somebody had to wait for the company to arrive.
Q. Were you worried about your husband at all on December 25 th when he left for the hospital?
A. I was very worried, but I knew that my son would call me if they kept him, and then Christmas dinner, forget the whole thing, we're all going to the hospital.
Q. Why were you worried about your husband on December 25 th?
A. Because after this 2012 by-pass two times we had to take him to the emergency room because -and they had to unblock the popiteal graft, so it would get better blood flow.
Q. Was that in 2014?
A. 2014 and 2015 .
Q. You've been here throughout most of this trial, correct?
A. I have.
Q. Were you surprised to hear Dr. Lasry testify that you were in the cafeteria with your son on December 25th?
A. That's a big surprise to me.

Although, $I$ don't remember hearing that, it might have been at one point that $I$-- that we went home early.
Q. Okay.

But you were not at the hospital?
A. I was not at the hospital.
Q. Okay.
A. How would Dr. Lasry know $I$ was in the cafeteria?
Q. Eventually your husband came home on December 25 th?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he have any discharge paperwork with him?
A. He did have discharge papers.
Q. Did you look at that paperwork at all?
A. Immediately when he came in.

He came in, he put them on the counter, he said, they said I could go home.

I said, I want to see it.
I read through the whole thing.
Q. Why did you to that?
A. Because I wanted to know what the doctors
in the emergency room said about his problem.
Q. Did you see anything in that paperwork about your husband being told to follow-up with the
doctors or surgeon?
A. Absolutely nothing.
Q. Did you see anything in that paperwork showing -- or stating there was an ultrasound that said your husband's fem pop graft appeared occluded?
A. The only mention of ultrasound on the discharge papers was that they did the test, nothing about results.
Q. Okay.

If you had seen something in the discharge paperwork that said that there was an ultrasound done that day, that said that your husband's fem pop graft appeared occluded, what would you have done?
A. I would have --

MR. MC BRIDE: Objection.
Calls for speculation.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I would have put him in the car and taken him back.

BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Why?
A. Because it's really dangerous, the situation, and he had had to have it addressed before, and Dr. Wiencek told us that the first time that his graft was occluded was the most difficult
for him because he didn't know what was happening.
So he waited a little longer to go to the emergency room.

After that occasion when they got it all cleared, Dr. Wiencek said to us, time is of the essence, when you feel anything wrong with your leg, you need to go to the emergency room immediately.
Q. All right.

I'm going to talk real quick about the days in between December 25 th and December $20 t h$.

Did you look -- Do you have any recollection of looking at your husband's foot on December $26 t h$ or December $27 t h$ ?
A. Not a bare foot.

He didn't really run around without his socks on because his leg had all kinds of scars and everything on it, and he always wore socks that go up to the knee.

However, I would always look -- it's like a habit because his legs would swell, they were always swollen, but $I$ would like look down at his foot and in his slipper to see how much more it was swollen, so if I thought it was getting excessive, $I$ would mention it to him and talk to him about how his leg was feeling.
Q. Let's talk about December 28th, 2016 .

Do you remember how that day started?
A. He got up, he said this is really bad, I need to go back to the emergency room.
Q. Who took him to the hospital that day?
A. I did.

But before $I$ went, $I$ called Dr. Wiencek.
Q. What time of day do you think you got to the ER, do you remember?
A. I don't.
Q. What did they do to Darell once he arrived at the ER?
A. Well, of course he saw the triage nurse real quick, then they took him back and put him in one of those little cubbies on a bed, had him take his shoes and socks off, and -- Do you want me to go through the whole thing?
Q. We can go step-by-step.

Let me ask you real quick, you said they had him lie down and took his shoes and socks off, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you look at your husband's foot then?
A. I did.
Q. What did it look like?
A. Purple.
Q. Was your husband in pain?
A. Yes.
Q. How much pain?

How could you tell your husband was in
pain?
A. Well, he told me, and he didn't look too well.
Q. Did they eventually take your husband back to have his leg imaged?
A. Yes, they did.

Actually, when he first saw the ER doctor that day, not Dr. Lasry, he came, and he just barely got in the room, and he said to somebody who was with him, that is vascular, he had not even touched him or gotten to his foot, and then he -- actually $I$ think he walked away and came back, he was already ordering things.

And he came back and did an exam and sent him for an ultrasound.
Q. After the ultrasound, did they attempt to use any clot busters in your husband's leg?
A. They did.

Although, they did a CT first.
Q. Did the clot busters work?
A. No, they did not.
Q. Did they have any affect on your husband?
A. Well, they did because that procedure is very -- it's very painful, but it didn't help.
Q. Did it leave any marks on your husband's body?
A. Well, they have to cut him open and put it in, put a tube down his leg to get -- or to direct the medication to the right spot, and that in itself didn't leave anything but the cut, but then they left him -- left it in like overnight, and then when they had all conferred and decided that this isn't going to work, they pulled that tube out in his hospital room, and when they did that, he was I would say hemorrhaging.

It took two nurses, they -- one male nurse, and I believe the other one was a lady, and they were like changing pads, changing pads, changing pads, putting pressure on.

Finally the male nurse -- it seemed like forever at the time, but finally the male nurse kind of got up on the bed and put his weight on it to make it stop.

And after all that stuff he had a bruise that went from here all the way down -- his upper
body all the way down, $I$ think it may have gone all if way down to his knees, but it was past this --

MR. MC BRIDE: Your Honor, could we have her identify what portion of her body she's referring to?

THE COURT: Yes.

For the record, Mrs. Moore, it's important you indicate by describing what portion of your body you are referring to.

THE WITNESS: Up towards his chest, and well past his pelvic area. BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Miss Moore, so we're talking about the hospitalization now starting December 28th.

Eventually during this hospitalization we talked about the clot busters not working, was the decision eventually made to have your husband's leg amputated above the knee?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Miss Moore, how had your -- how has your husband having his leg amputated above the knee affected his life?
A. There's so many things, I couldn't possibly say all of them, but of course the loss of a leg is -- has a huge impact on your life.

You can't walk.
Everything takes longer.
You can't just go hop in the car and leave, hop in the car and close the door.

No, we have to take the wheelchair out, get in the car.

If we're out, we have two wheelchairs, so I don't have to take them in and out every time, but any time he leaves the vehicle when we are out, then I have to get the wheelchair out, set it up for him, and I -- the wheelchair he uses is in the car because I can't lift the heavier wheelchair.

The real lightweight one the one he has today, the wheels are small, so that he can't -- I don't know how to describe it, but the bigger the wheels are, the more him actually manually pushing it, it's easier because he doesn't have to go back and forth a whole lot.

So because then using that wheelchair it's a little more difficult for him to move himself around, then $I$ push him most everywhere when we are out.

I think $I$ got lost in there and don't remember the question.
Q. That's fine.

I'll ask you some more questions.
Is your husband able to access every room
in your house?
A. No, he is not.
Q. Where can't your husband access?
A. His wheelchair will not go into the -either the toilet stall in our bathroom, or the other bathrooms. The doorways are to small.

He can't access our closet.
He can't access the pantry.
I guess if we put a walker in every place, he could have kind of access them, but you can't have multiples and move them around all the time.

When he uses the restroom in the hall, we leave a walker right there at the door because the wheelchair won't go in, so that he can get to the toilet.

It is not impossible, but it's difficult to get into the extra bedroom because with the hall it's not wide enough to swing into them without beating up the doorways.

Our bedroom itself the doorway's a little small, but he can just barely fit it through, so he beats up the doorway there, but he has to go in there because that's the only shower that he can access.

He can't access the yard because it's too difficult to roll the wheelchair across rocks or grass.
Q. You mentioned the shower.

How does your husband shower?
A. Well, we use the same shower, so $I$ move the chair in and out, so that he has a chair in there to shower.

And then he rolls up to the shower and stands up and has to hop over the lift and get seated on the chair.

I usually will -- I hang a towel over the shower, so that he can reach it after he's done.
Q. We talked about the clotting, that he can't activate the closet, right?
A. No.
Q. So where does he store his clothes?
A. His shirts hang -- Well, some of his shirts hang on the towel rack in the bathroom, I put them there so he has a choice of what to put on if we are going somewhere.

I bought a little two-drawer chest I put beside the vanity where $I$ put his -- try and keep his supply of socks and pants and underwear, so that he can access those in the bathroom because otherwise if
they were in the closet, he couldn't get to them at all, if they were in the dresser in the bedroom, he would have to go back and forth and back and forth.
Q. I think you also said he can't access the pantry, correct?
A. No.
Q. So what have you done to change the way your house is set up in terms of that?
A. The kinds of things like snacks, butter, on the counters, instead of putting them away because that is the only place he can reach them.

He has a drawer where he keeps his tea bags and extra snacks, so he can access it.

I try and keep in the refrigerator, try to keep anything he uses regularly in a reachable area.

He can't reach anything but the bottom shelf in our cupboards, so everything he might need has to be there.
Q. Do you and your husband sleep in the same room now?
A. We do not.
Q. Did you sleep in the same room before his leg was amputated?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Why don't you sleep in the same room?
A. Well, we don't sleep in the same room because -- well, there's lots of reasons.

Number one, our bed is a little high that is in the bedroom, so it's difficult for him to get on and off it.

He sleeps kind of sideways, so there's not a lot of room.

And then the mattress we have in there was bothering him, so we bought one of those new foam mattresses and put it in our study because for several reasons.

Number one, that has helped a whole lot with his pain, that type of mattress, but also it's in the study because it's closer to the kitchen and because the study has no door, so he doesn't have to go through a doorway, we just have a curtain across, like a four-foot opening or so, so we just have a curtain across there, so he sleeps in there.
Q. You and your husband have been here for every day we've been in trial, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. How has that been for you in terms of getting to and from the courthouse?
A. It's difficult.

We have to get up early for both of us to

```
get ready.
```

It takes Darell a long time to shower and then get dressed and do all the things necessary to go out.

Some of the nights where we've had to come out early to either meet at your offices, or come mornings, and $I$ could shower the night before to save time because I really don't like to get up in the morning, and --
Q. I want to talk a little bit about Dr. Fish and his report.

He met with your husband for about an hour and 15 minutes, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you there during that meeting?
A. I was.
Q. Did you talk to Dr. Fish during that meeting?
A. Some, but he was directing his attention towards Darell, asking him questions.

I was mostly an observer.
Q. So you're aware Dr. Fish generated a report, correct?
A. I am.
Q. When did you first see that report?
A. I --
Q. Actually, let me step back.

I apologize.
Do you remember when this hour and 15
minutes long meeting with Dr. Fish was?
A. It was in July, I think.
Q. Of what year?
A. 2019 .
Q. Okay.

So then after you met with him, when did
you first see his report?
A. Months later.
Q. Okay.
A. In fact, it was only a couple of months ago that Mr. Hoffman's office gave us that report.
Q. Did Dr. Fish go over details of that report with you?
A. No.
Q. Did you understand what all of the recommendations in Fish's report were?
A. Not exactly until he explained some of them here.
Q. Okay.

So you were here while Dr. Fish was testifying about the details of his report?
A. I was.
Q. How did you want to get what Dr. Fish
recommended in his report?
A. Listening to his testimony.

A lot of it made a lot of sense.
Q. Have you and Darell done everything that

Dr. Fish has recommended in his report so far?
A. No, that would take a long time.
Q. And my next question is:

Why haven't you done anything after reading
Fish's report?
A. Because we are still absorbing what needs to be done.

You have to have a clear plan to tackle all those things.

You have to start making appointments.
And you have to make sure you can get to those places.

It's a little overwhelming just to look at.
Q. I'm going to transition again.

I want to talk about how your husband's amputation has affected you.

First of all, do you have any of your own health problems?
A. I do.
Q. And what are those?
A. I've been diagnosed with chronic renal failure, so I see a nephrologist. It's a genetic thing in my family. That is my main problem.

Although, along with that, my nephrologist discovered, and $I$ don't know the words for it, but some kind of protein in my blood that could be affecting the kidneys and could also turn cancerous, what type, $I$ don't know.

So I see a hematologist, $I$ think it's a hematologist.

I see a cancer blood doctor on a regular basis to keep track of that protein and make sure nothing is going wrong.
Q. How has the amputation of your husband's leg affected you?
A. There's a lot of aspects to that.

There's a lot of sadness, but if we're going to talk about physically, one of the things that goes along with having chronic renal failure is, you're tired all the time.

I'm also borderline anemic, and that doesn't help.

So it's added a lot of things that I need to do and keep up with, and being in the condition $I$
am it makes me very -- it's just exhausting and also very stressful.
Q. Is your son, Chris -- he lives with you right now, right?
A. Yes.

He's about to move.
Q. Okay.

And the ladies and gentlemen of the jury met your son, but he is moving to Florida, correct?
A. He's moving to Florida, yes.
Q. And do you know when?
A. He keeps changing the date, but it's got to be right within the week.
Q. Is there anyone else in your household to take care of your husband, besides yourself?
A. No.

And Chris has never been able to help very much because his job that he was doing, he was working 60 hours or more a week, so he just wasn't there very much.
Q. You said that you have been more -- $\quad$ think you said, correct me if I'm wrong, but more anxious since your husband's amputation?
A. Yes, I worry a lot.
Q. How you would you describe your mental
state since your husband's leg was amputated?
A. I try and stay positive.

There's times that it kind of gets to me.
I think there's been a lot more -- All I can say is, that there's a lot more stress, you have to worry about so many things, there's so many doctors' appointments, and $I$ worry about if anything else is going to happen to him, and $I$ worry about if they are going to take good care of him, all of that.
Q. Have you ever been to a therapist to talk about your anxiety, or the way the stress is affecting you?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Do you remember being asked in your deposition if you would be open to that?
A. I remember being asked.

Right this second I don't remember what $I$ said.
Q. If I were to you that you said that you didn't think it would help, would you agree with that?
A. I probably said that.
Q. Okay.

How do you feel about that today?
A. After Dr. Fish's testimony, and how he
talked about things, it kind of makes me realize that it might be worth a try.

MR. J. HYMANSON: Court's indulgence, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Just a couple more quick questions for you, Ms. Moore.

Do you -- You have reviewed the facts of what happened with your husband, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have been here throughout the course of this trial?
A. Almost all of it.
Q. Do you hold Nurse Practitioner Bartmus responsible for your husband losing his leg?
A. I do.
Q. Do you hold Dr. Lasry responsible for your husband losing his leg?
A. Absolutely.

MR. J. HYMANSON: I have no further
questions.
Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Mr. McBride, any questions for this witness.

MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE MOORE

BY MR. MC BRIDE:
Q. Good afternoon, Miss Moore.

How are you today?
A. Tired.
Q. I hear you.
A. Just like everybody else here, I think.
Q. Now, Miss Moore, you and I met at your deposition when $I$ took your deposition and your husband's deposition back in October of 2018 , isn't that right?
A. We did.
Q. And at that time you answered all of my questions that $I$ posed, as well as the questions from other counsel who were there, right?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And have you had a chance to look at your deposition transcript before today, before testifying today?
A. I have.
Q. What was the last time that you looked at it?
A. I believe a couple days ago.
Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, but you have not made any corrections to any of your testimony before today, correct?
A. I haven't.
Q. Okay.

Now, Ms. Moore, you testified that you did not go with your husband to the emergency room on December $25 t h$ because you were busy preparing for company to come to the house, is that right?
A. Yes, and Chris was available to take him.
Q. Right.

In fact, I think you told us in your deposition that other than being informed by your husband that things weren't right with his left leg, you didn't really have any direct information about what was going on with his leg, is that right?
A. No, I didn't get a lot of details from him, and $I$ just knew that he felt he needed to go, so that was the thing to do.
Q. Right.

And so he just told you things didn't feel right, and he needed to go to the ER, and you agreed with that, right?
A. Well, yes.

It's his body.

He's got to know when he's got to go.
Q. Sure.

But you did not actually examine his leg on
December 25 th at all to see if it looked any different, isn't that right?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. And you never felt his leg to see if it was cold to the touch, or anything like that, right?
A. No, I didn't even think of it.
Q. Okay.

But in fact on prior occasions -- Well, let me ask you this:

You were there during Mr. Moore's deposition, you sat in the room when $I$ was asking your husband questions, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember him saying that with his prior occlusions that he had had, where he had been hospitalized, and has the thrombolytic therapy, he described the pain in his foot and does as so excruciating it was like the paid was so severe and cold it was like having your leg in a bucket full of ice water, you remember him testifying to that?
A. Regarding which day?
Q. Back when he had his occlusions back in

2014 and 2015.
A. I don't remember it specifically, but I know he had that kind of pain.

However, the main part of the pain he had was always -- the worst of it was always after the TPA when the cells start coming back to life, like having --
Q. Ma'am, you are not a physician, correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. You don't have medical training, correct?
A. No.
Q. Okay.

I'm not asking for any -- I think that goes beyond your qualifications as an expert.

I'm asking you just very quickly and
simply, do you remember him ever telling you that the pain when he had those occlusions, that the pain upon presentation to the emergency room in 2014, 2015 were so severe and excruciating and cold it was like having his leg in an ice bucket?
A. Do I remember him telling me that, or remember him telling you that?
Q. Do you remember him testifying to that in his deposition?
A. Specifically, no, but $I$ only read his total
deposition once many, many months ago.
Q. Okay.

And the day that he went to -- December 25th, Christmas day, when he returned from that visit, you said he brought some discharge papers, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said you immediately looked at all those, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And did your husband tell you that he was told specifically to follow-up with his primary care physician and his vascular surgeon, Dr. Wiencek?
A. Did he tell me that?
Q. Did he tell you that?
A. No, he did not.

That's not what he --
Q. So he didn't say anything about being instructed to follow-up with his primary care physician and Dr. Wiencek, true?
A. What he --
Q. Is that right, is that correct?
A. Am I suppose to say what he said, or only supposed to answer what you asked me?
Q. Your counsel can follow up with more
specifics.
A. I wanted to make sure.

Ask it again.
Q. Let me ask it again.

You would agree that your husband did not
tell you that he was instructed by the emergency
department on December 25 th to follow-up with his primary care physician or Dr. Wiencek, true?
A. I would agree.
Q. All right.

The only thing that you were told by your husband was, that he said that he was told he didn't have a clot, and he could go home, right?
A. Now $I$ can answer what he said to me?
Q. Well, if you have anything different to add than that.

If it's something I've asked you that question, if it's different than $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes or no -- }\end{aligned}$
A. Could you ask it again?
Q. Sure.

He told you that he had a clot -- Excuse me.

He told you he didn't have a clot, and he could go home, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

And did he ever tell you that when he got to the emergency room, and he was there for a few hours, right?
A. He was there for a few hours.
Q. Did he ever tell you that no one, no one at all in those entire few hours he was in the emergency room, no one even examined his leg, did he ever tell you that?
A. That day, or any other time?
Q. That day.
A. No.
Q. Did he tell you that on December 28th?
A. I don't remember.
Q. All right.

And, in fact, did he ever tell you that no one had even bothered to take off his sock to look at his leg?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Did he tell you that on December 25?
A. No.
Q. Did he tell you that on December 28?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay.

Was it sometimes after he had his leg
amputated?
A. No.
Q. All right.

Well, was it --
A. I don't think so.

Let me think about that.
Q. Sure.
A. Ask that again, please.
Q. Sure.

Did he tell you that no one took off his sock in the emergency room on December 25 until after his leg was amputated?
A. I believe it was after his leg was amputated.
Q. All right.

And your son also likewise didn't tell you that it was strange that they didn't examine his leg in the emergency room on December 25 th, did he ever tell you that?
A. Not at the time.
Q. Okay.

Did he ever tell you that on December 28th,
Chris?
A. I would doubt it because it was kind of an upsetting day, so I don't really remember.
Q. Okay.

Again, your son works at Summerlin Hospital and has worked at Summerlin for several years, right?
A. He no longer works there.

He had worked there for several years.
Q. And he was working there at the time of 2016, December 25, 2016, right?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. And did he say -- or ever tell you that no doctor, and I'm talking about Chris, did Chris ever tell you that no doctor or nurse ever actually examined your husband's foot on December 25?
A. Well, he was still in the hospital.
Q. On December 25?
A. No, not December 25 .

I'm thinking of the 28th.
Q. Right.

And you would agree with me at the time that your husband went back to the emergency room on December $28 t h$, no one informed the emergency room physicians at that visit that no one had examined your husband's leg on the December 25 th, you would agree with that?
A. It could be.

It's not something $I$ would remember.
Q. Have you seen those records from December 28th?

$$
\text { We've shown them } I \text { think several times. }
$$

Do you recall seeing anywhere in those records from December $28 t h$ where it's reported that it was you or your husband said that no one examined him or his leg on December 25?
A. I don't see -- Why would he tell him that?
Q. I'm just asking you, ma'am.
A. Not that $I$ know of.
Q. Okay.

Would you agree with me from December 25 until December 27 his foot looked, and his leg looked, essentially normal?
A. His foot and leg never looked normal.
Q. Well, you testified in your deposition there was nothing that changed with his foot or leg between December 25 and December 27 th, you recall that?
A. I didn't observe any changes, and he said that his pain level was about the same.
Q. And he was taking his Oxycodone for pain he had in his ankles and his back for many years has been prescribed by the doctor at the Nevada Comprehensive Pain Center, correct?
A. He took the medication mainly for pain in his leg.

He also had some ankle issues, the ankle was bothering him.

As far as the back was concerned, the back wasn't chronic like the other things, it would come and go, depending on if he moved around, so it wasn't a constant on the back, it was --.
Q. All right.

Fair enough.
And between December 25 and December 27
your husband did not take any extra doses of Oxycodone or more pain medication than he had been prescribed to deal with any issue in his foot, is that true?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. He was able to walk around from December 25 to December 27 without any problems, right?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, he went -- when he went to the emergency room on December 25 , he was able to walk to the emergency room, do you remember that?
A. He could walk both on the 25 th and $28 t h$.
Q. Okay.

And then you said, up until the $28 t h$ he was
able to walk normally?
A. He never walked normally.
Q. Well, he was able to walk?
A. Normal for him.
Q. Right.
A. He used a cane.

He had foot pain a lot.
Q. Right.

And in fact on that subject you have seen Dr. Wiencek's notes from August of 2016, do you recall us showing those records?
A. I saw you showing some records.

I don't -- I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall where Dr. Wiencek had recorded learning from your husband that he was using a cane, as well as a wheelchair, part of the time?
A. I didn't read -- I've been at the back of the courtroom.

My vision's not too good, so I couldn't read the whole thing when it was put up anyway.
Q. You would agree with me now that your husband in August of 2016, up through December of 2016, would occasionally use a cane, or a walker, or a wheelchair, to get around in the casinos?
A. He didn't use a walker.

If we were going to go long distances, he would use a wheelchair sometimes, not always, just depended on if his legs were bothering him.

He didn't use the wheelchair ever in the house.
Q. Right.

But it was a wheelchair that you and your husband owned?
A. Yes.
Q. And that you would take to the casinos if you felt you were going to be moving more than normal, or longer distances?
A. Actually, the wheelchair was kept in the car, so if we were out, and his legs were bothering him, we could use it.

We didn't have it in the house.
Q. All right.

On the morning of December 28th, we talked a little bit about that, and $I$ think that is when your husband first reported this severe pain he had in his left foot, do you recall that?
A. He said his pain increased.
Q. Right.

In fact, in your deposition do you recall saying that you thought that there was a problem with
his foot because it had ballooned overnight, do you remember saying that?
A. Yes, over night it had gotten a lot more swollen than normally.
Q. And you also testified at your deposition that the pain was strong and a sudden onset, do you recall testifying to that?
A. I don't recall the specific words, but that makes sense.
Q. Okay.

And again, when you got to the ER on December 28th, the doctors at that time took off his sock in the emergency room, and that's when you noticed it was discolored, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Had he told you he had seen it before he got to the emergency room, Mr. Moore tell you that he had noticed the foot had become discolored?
A. I -- He had not told me that.

I doubt that he knew because we didn't take the time to take a shower and everything before we left, and his foot was always in a sock.
Q. But you don't recall him mention anything about seeing -- or observing his toes or his feet being blue or discolored?
A. No, no.

Although, if he had, he probably wouldn't have said anything because he probably wouldn't want to worry me.
Q. Did you ever go with your husband to see Dr. Tran, his regular physician?
A. I did not go in the back room with him with Dr. Tran.
Q. Did you see the records we've shown -- and if we could, it's Joint Defendant's Exhibit 106 from Dr. Tran, page five -- do you recall your husband going to see Dr. Tran on November 1, 2016?
A. Specifically, no.
Q. All right.

But he went to go see him on a fairly regular basis to get refills for his blood pressure medication?
A. Mainly for his blood pressure.
Q. All right.

And if we could blow up the section right to the examination where it says, cranial nerve, extremities, there we go, blow that up for us, now this is the record from Dr. Tran's office on November 1, 2016.

> It says, that your husband's extremities
showed a full range of motion, no clubbing, no edema, normal bilateral pulses, normal dorsalis pedis, and posterior tibial pulses, peripheral pulses normal.

Now, since you never went back into the examination room, you never observed Dr. Tran actually taking the pulses on your husband's feet, correct?
A. Right.

What date was this?
Q. November 1, 2016.
A. November 1, 2016?

Okay.
Q. Okay.

So you don't have any knowledge about the actual examination Dr. Tran performed, other than what is noted in these records, correct?
A. No, I don't.
Q. All right.

How about the visit that we talked about to the Nevada Comprehensive Pain Center on December 21, 2016, did you go with your husband to that appointment?
A. I don't remember, but $I$ never went in the back office there either.
Q. So you don't know what they did in terms of
an examination at that time?
A. No.
Q. Now, in terms of being -- I think you testified something to the effect that you were the one that your husband would have to look after his prescriptions to make sure they were filled, is that right, generally speaking?

Were you the one that would call the physicians to get refills for those prescriptions, or was that your husband?
A. I imagine there were times when he called, but in general $I$ would take care of his prescriptions by logging in on the website and clicking a button the for a refill.
Q. Do you remember if that's what you did for Dr. Wiencek's office?
A. I -- That's just generally what I did.

Whether it was for Dr. Wiencek, or Dr. Tran, or what prescription, I don't know.
Q. That's why I'm trying to find out if you have a specific recollection of actually doing that for Dr. Wiencek's office.
A. I remember $I$ have done it.

If you are asking me if I actually called them the 21st, I have no idea.

That is over four years ago.
Q. Okay.

What about there's been a notation that was shown earlier from Dr. Wiencek's records where Dr.

Wiencek at his office received a call for a refill of the Xarelto on December 27th, 2016.

Did you make that call?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Do you know if your husband made that call?
A. I don't know if $I$ did it.

I don't know if he did it.
Somebody did.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't know if the pharmacy did it.
Q. Well, actually that is a good point.

I'd like to show you an exhibit, it's Joint Exhibit 115, and I don't know if it's been formally admitted, but I'd move for its admission.

THE COURT: It's not.
MR. MC BRIDE: Okay.
Move for its admission, the record from Walgreen's.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. ARNTZ: No.

THE COURT: Joint Exhibit 115 is admitted.

You may inquire.

BY MR. MC BRIDE:
Q. I wanted to show you if I could, it's 115, page 25, Walgreen's was the pharmacy you and your husband had your prescriptions filled at, is that correct?
A. At that time, yeah.
Q. All right.

I wanted to show you, if you look at --

MR. ARNTZ: I'd just like -- I think
counsel and $I$ have discussed this already, but there's some redactions in maybe all these exhibits, so $I$ have no objection to it being admitted. We still have to redact?

MR. MC BRIDE: That's fine.

I thought this one had been, but for the most part, but if there's anything else, we can specifically address that before it goes back to the jury.

THE COURT: Just to be clear, when we talked about redactions, we can't have anything filed in a case might have personal identifying information
such as a social security number and things like
that, so that is the things typically removed.
I'm not seeing anything as we look at it
right now, but we'll certainly look before the final version goes back to the jury.

Go ahead.

MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you.
BY MR. MC BRIDE:
Q. I just wanted to draw your attention to that group -- This is for the Xarelto Dr. Wiencek -it's a little bit above that $I$ think it references that it was prescribed, and there were 30 doses that were -- excuse me, 30 tablets issued beginning on July 25, 2016.

Do you see that at the top?
A. Down here July 25?

Okay.
Q. Yes.

Then you have it go down, and it says,
November 23, 2016.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if you look over to the far right, it says that it was actually filled on November 27 th, 2016.

## Do you see that?

A. Okay.
Q. And then if I could show you page 26 , the
next page, we'll look at -- do you have a recollection of -- does this refresh your recollection rather of maybe contacting Dr. Wiencek's office for a refill of the Xarelto on December 27, $2016 ?$
A. It has the date the 27 th .
Q. Right.

Do you have a recollection of actually getting that -- or calling Dr. Wiencek's office for that prescription?
A. As I said before, no.
Q. All right.

Because if you look over at the far right where it had the indication, where it was the date it was filled, you would agree with me there's no date with that Xarelto prescription that appears as for a date that it was actually filled.

For reference, I'll give you -- or have you look up above, you see that 12/21/2016, that was from another medication that was prescribed on December 19th and filled on December 21, you see that?
A. Okay.
Q. And do you see anything that it was filled with -- Xarelto was filled on the $27 t h ?$
A. No, but the pharmacy had to contact the
doctors, and sometimes it would take time.
Q. Right.

And in this particular --
A. It says, see fax right below it.
Q. Exactly.

It says, see fax?
A. Okay.
Q. But it says, it's also the amount that was filled was only six pills, do you see that?
A. I know what is happening there.
Q. Okay.
A. There have been times where he goes to fill his prescription, and they don't have the full amount of tablets, so they give you -- they can't fill the prescription now, so they give you a few tablets to tied you over till they can give you the complete prescription.
Q. But would you agree with me, there's no indication that that was actually filled or given to your husband on December 27 th, do you agree with that, because there's no indication it was filled or sold on that day?
A. We don't always pick up prescriptions on the exact day that it's filled because he still got some at home he's taking.
Q. Okay.

But you remember the last one I showed you that the prescription, the 30 day supply would have run out on November 27th, 2016?
A. Yeah, I remember, but that doesn't mean he didn't have pills left over then either.
Q. Okay.

And if that's the case, you just don't have any knowledge about whether this prescription, whether you called it into Dr. Wiencek, or if it wasn't filled on December 27th, 2016?
A. I don't even know if we picked it up because we took him to the emergency room on the 28th, and he didn't need medications there, they took care of everything.

MR. MC BRIDE: Okay, ma'am.
Thank you.
That is all I the questions $I$ have.
Thank you.
THE COURT: Re-cross?
MR. MC BRIDE: I think Mr. Weaver might
have something.
THE COURT: I am sorry.
I just assumed maybe you all shared everything.

Mr. Weaver, anything?

MR. WEAVER: Miss Bestick does.

Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE MOORE

BY MS. BESTICK:
Q. Good afternoon, Mrs. Moore.

My name is Alyssa Bestick.

I'm one of the attorneys represents the Defendants here.
A. Hello.
Q. So I just want to clarify some things with this record.

I think you just mentioned something about how you sometimes go to the pharmacy, and they give you just enough medication to get by until you get the next refill, or until the prescription is available, is that correct?
A. Not the next refill, but until they have enough supply to fill the whole prescription.
Q. Okay.

But do you agree with me here it appears to indicate that this is actually the number of refills that your husband has been authorized?
A. Where?

THE COURT: Is there a way to highlight
that?

I'm unable to see it and getting confused as well.

BY MS. BESTICK:
Q. Do you have an opinion one way or the other?
A. Since I've never read these kind of records before, $I$ have no idea.
Q. Okay.

I just want to make sure $I$ heard your testimony correctly a moment ago.

I believe you testified that prior to December $26 t h, 2016$ you went to every visit with Dr. Wiencek, is that correct?
A. I believe so.
Q. And no one other than Dr. Wiencek ever assessed your husband's pulses in his leg or foot, is that correct?
A. Not that $I$ recall.
Q. I think moment ago you testified you went to every one, is that correct?
A. That's my recollection.
Q. Do you recall testifying in your deposition that if Mr. Moore could ever get his prosthetic
working, he would of course be able to do many more things?
A. I'm sure I said that, yes.
Q. So you went with your husband when he went to his appointment with Dr. Wiencek on August 28th, $2019 ?$
A. I assume so.
Q. At that appointment did Dr. Wiencek make a recommendation to your husband for a new prosthetic limb company?
A. Yes, he did.

He sent a referral to -- He said he was going to send a referral to a company he thought would work better for Darell.
Q. And that visit was what, about six months ago, correct?
A. Pretty much.
Q. Do you know if Mr. Moore has taken Dr. Wiencek's recommendation for the new company?
A. We have not spoken to them as yet because Darell is going to need physical therapy before he can use the -- the leg again.

He has to start all over because he cannot straighten his residual limb.

In other words, he cannot stand up
straight.
He's been in a chair too much.
Q. Did Dr. Wiencek say that?
A. No, I can see it.
Q. Okay.

So you didn't reach out to the company Dr. Wiencek recommended?
A. Not as yet.

He wanted to have a program of physical
therapy and exercise because they won't -- unless you can do certain things, they won't give you a prosthetic.
Q. Okay.

But you haven't reached out to the prosthetic company?
A. No.
Q. Have you reached out to the physical therapy clinic?
A. No, I haven't as yet done that.

We've talked about doing it.
Q. Okay.

That was recommended as long as six months ago, is that correct?
A. I wouldn't say it was a recommendation.

Dr. Wiencek asked Darell, hey, do you think
would you like to work on getting a leg again, getting your prosthetic going, and Darell said, yes, and he said, well, $I$ know a company that might be able to do a better job for you.

MS. BESTICK: That's all questions I have.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

When you are ready.

MR. J. HYMANSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE BESTICK

BY MR. J. HYMANSON:
Q. Mrs. Moore, you were just asked a few questions about your husband and his prosthetic, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

When did your husband first start trying to use his prosthetic?
A. He tried as soon as possible as he got it, but that was quite sometime after the amputation.
Q. So we're talking about in 2017?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

Why did it take so long for him to receive
a prosthetic in 2017?
A. After the amputation, he had physical therapists coming and was working on getting conditioned to be able to use the leg.
Q. Just real quick, I want to make sure I understand what you're saying.

So the physical therapy your husband was getting was specifically trying to prepare him for a prosthetic?
A. Actually, I never felt like it was because they were just making sure he could get around, use a walker, the things they were doing, but $I$ know he had to have certain things in order to use a prosthetic.
Q. Okay.

We were talking about the delay in getting the prosthetic for your husband.

What was that delay about?
A. As I said, he was doing the physical therapy and was really doing pretty well.

She would make him go around and around the counter on his walker, and go back and forth, and they would do stretches and all that kind of thing.

However, $I$ forget the exact date, but the day before the Superbowl that year that week he had been complaining, he had a visiting nurse come
because he couldn't get out yet, and he was telling her that he had a lot of pain up in here.
Q. And just for the record, when you say, up in here, you are indicating where was he feeling pain?
A. Somewhere in the chest area.

And they talked about it, and she said, well, maybe it's muscle strain.

What does it feel like?
And he said, yeah, it could be a muscle strain.

So she called Dr. Tran's office, his primary care physician, said, $I$ think he got muscle strain, can you give him a prescription?

So she gave him a prescription for a muscle relaxer.

As it turned out, $I$ don't know exact timing, but as it turned out the night before we took him to Spring Valley Hospital he was just like in a ton of pain, and we go to Spring Valley in the morning, and he had had at this time a pulmonary embolism.
Q. What time period are we talking about?
A. We're talking about after his amputation.
Q. While he was going to physical therapy,
awaiting the prosthetic?
A. Correct.
Q. He had a pulmonary embolism?
A. He did.
Q. And he was hospitalized for that?
A. He was kept overnight for observation. They didn't keep him any longer because they said that they couldn't really do very much because they need to have him right away for an embolism, so to really change things, so he had to wait it out until his body dissolved it.
Q. So how did that affect his preparation for using the prosthetic?
A. So after he -- After the embolism, and he was home a day or two, he got like really sick, I believe he caught some kind of flu or virus in the hospital. I could tell you nightmare stories about that hospital, don't go there, and he was vomiting, couldn't eat for days and days, it seemed like forever. I think it was like maybe ten days or so. We didn't take him to the doctor at that time because he did still have the people they were sending to the home, but he lost over 20 pounds, so he was very, very weakened by that event, and he couldn't do his physical therapy.

And then to compound the problem, he had gotten weakened and didn't have the same strength, to compound the problem.

He had been seeing a prosthetist -- We were made to understand they made the liners for his prosthetic to fit him exactly, and he made them right here in Las Vegas, he did that.

Well, he moved out of state, and they assigned another prosthetist to him, and this person did not make the liners.

So we got his leg, they like essentially put like a cast like thing of plaster paris on his leg to see how to make it, and he told us, well, it will be ready in a week to ten days.

We were still waiting for it two months later.

So as the time went on, and he was already losing strength, and all those things because of the delay --
Q. When your husband tried to use the prosthetic, was he able to use it?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Several reasons.

Number one, it didn't fit.

Number two, it was very painful.
And when they delivered it to him, they actually delivered it to him, the liner didn't fit, so the prosthetist had him put his leg in without the liner, and he was telling me, this hurts, this hurts.

So then he put some like pad things in there, and that didn't help.

And then he cut a big hole in it, and that didn't help.

And the prosthetic was -- actually was a little tall, $I$ think maybe they make them a little tall, so as you wear it you work your way farther down it, but he's like off balance, and he had a lot of nerve pain.
Q. Just briefly, I've been asking a lot of questions, you have been shown a few records about your husband and Xarelto.

Did you ever know your husband not to take Xarelto, once he was prescribed Xarelto?
A. No.
Q. And was your husband taking Xarelto when he went to the hospital on December 25 th?
A. He was.

He would get paranoid and tell me, get my prescription, get my prescription because after the
first time he had to have the -- it might have been the second time -- when Dr. Wiencek put him on Xarelto because he was having problems with the graft occluding, then he told him that he really needs this.

So he might get some other medicine sometimes, although he doesn't because he takes all his medications, but the Xarelto was always top of his list of importance.

MR. J. HYMANSON: Thank you, Miss Moore.
I have no further questions.
MR. MC BRIDE: No questions, Your Honor.
MR. Weaver: No questions, Your Honor.
MS. BESTICK: No questions.
THE COURT: Any questions by a show of
hands from the jurors, please?
IT looks like we have few questions from the jurors.

Any other questions?
No.

Okay.
You want to come up?
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between
Court and counsel at sidebar.)

THE COURT: All right.
Ms. Moore, if you wouldn't mind, it's a juror question, please do the best of your ability to answer them, and then counsel will have an opportunity to follow-up.

Okay?
THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Ms. Moore, what were the circumstances of your husband's three falls?

THE WITNESS: I believe the first fall was when my daughter bought a house in Northern Califormia area, she was still stationed there, there was some things needed to be done to the house, so this was the only time that Darell had gone places without me basically because of his amputation.

He would, because of his construction knowledge, he would go up there.

My nephew was there redoing doors and that kind of thing, and -- but he didn't have the knowledge that Darell had, so Darell would get up in the morning, and we would get him in the car, and then he would drive to my daughter's house where my nephew would meet him and take him out of the car.

So that he could answer any questions, or I imagine he worked on some of the door knobs because
that's about level with him, with the chair. That was the only time he went without me.

Apparently one of the mornings he decided he needed gas, and he decided that well, he had his crutches because we always -- when he went in the car alone, we made sure to put them in the car.

So he thinks to himself, well, I can gas up.

It didn't work out really well.
He fell on -- it was difficult for him to stand long enough with one crutch and do the gas thing for any length of time, and he fell on the island there.

One other time was actually in the restroom, his primary care physician ordered a -what is the name of it?

Whatever they do, so you don't have to go have a colonoscopy, so you have to do certain things in the restroom to get them a sample.

And when he was trying to work back and forth to get that done, he fell at that time in the restroom.

The third time $I$ don't -- that he was speaking about, $I$ don't really know.

He doesn't always the tell me.

I imagine if he fell in the middle of the night by the bed and couldn't get himself up, he would call me, so $I$ don't know.

THE COURT: Does that complete your answer, Ms. Moore?

THE WITNESS: I think so.
THE COURT: Okay.
May $I$ first turn to the gentleman, see if you have any questions?

MR. J. HYMANSON: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. McBride.
MR. MC BRIDE: Just a couple.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHARLENE MOORE

BY MR. MC BRIDE:
Q. Miss Moore, the first one you described where he was filling up the gas tank, that is really actually an episode he recounted in his deposition, do you recall that?
A. Specifically, no, but he probably did.
Q. And I believe we'll find out I'm sure when he takes the stand here in a little bit, but he said that he didn't suffer any injuries as a result, he was just embarrassed because of the fall, do you
remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.

In fact, I didn't mean to cut you off.
Were you finished with your answer?
A. I think so.
Q. Okay.

And the other time that he was in the
restroom, that was a restroom of the doctor's office?
A. No, at home.
Q. At home?
A. He had to do all these things rather than having a colonoscopy, to follow all these procedures.
Q. And he didn't have to go to the doctor or hospital as a result of that fall, right?
A. No.

Sorry, I went too fast.
No, he did not.
He did not complain of injury from falling.
MR. MC BRIDE: Great.
That's all I have.

Thank you, ma'am.
THE COURT: Miss Bestick.
MS. BESTICK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hymanson, anything?

MR. J. HYMANSON: Nothing further, Your

Honor.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Miss Moore, you may retake your
seat behind counsel table there.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a
little over a ten-minute break.

Come back at 4:00.
(Jury admonished by the Court.)

THE COURT: We'll see you back here at 4.
(Jury excused from the courtroom.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were
had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Let's just go ahead, take a
break.

We'll come back in.

I have a question about the redactions, that still needs to be done.
(Thereupon, a recess was had.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: First of all, the redactions that were mentioned earlier, who is taking the point on that?

I can't believe we're still sitting here with documents haven't been redacted by now.

MR. MC BRIDE: I thought my office actually did redact the vast majority of anything.

I think Breen pointed out a couple that weren't, there was information wasn't redacted.

I haven't seen that.
THE COURT: I've been frustrated with Mr. Arntz on occasions.

That doesn't answer my question.
Who is taking points to fix it?
MR. MC BRIDE: I'm happy to take the point if he identifies what particular records he says work for that.

THE COURT: Do we know for sure there are some?

I didn't see anything on that document, but it was kind of hard to read.

MR. ARNTZ: It just has at the top insurance something or other.

I can't remember the phrase.
MR. MC BRIDE: Nothing underneath that?
THE COURT: I don't know.
Y'all have staff, so either you yourself or
you staff undertake to make sure what we've admitted so far doesn't have anything in it that needs redaction.

MR. ARNTZ: I'm afraid the St. Rose records made Medicare references, so we'll have to go through those.

THE COURT: Somebody got to do it.
Now we have a little bit more time, but all my clerk needs is the redacted replacements.

MR. MC BRIDE: I'll send an e-mail to my paralegal right now, I'll have her search through that right now and do -- or submit any exhibits to the Court.

THE COURT: Either replacements with redactions, or as Mr. Aren't pointed out, if it's not a problem, pull records out that have it, so it's not an issue.

Either way, the solution is fine. It just need to make sure my clerk has time to do it.

MR. MC BRIDE: Perfect.
THE COURT: Yes, we still need to settle
the jury instructions.
If we didn't have to do it at 11 , it wouldn't be the worst thing, they were going to be tight anyway.

I wasn't able to complete the process yesterday, and the case is not over, I'm still a little under the weather, and there was a miscommunication with my staff, and I didn't actually get the documents until this morning.

Then of course $I$ didn't look at them until lunch because of the morning calendar.

So that is not the end of the world either.

The real question now becomes, the timing, really just based on how long we think we're going to be with Mr. Moore.

The only insight $I$ have was in response to the e-mail exchange also included information about Dr. Wiencek.

Mr. Weaver committed to keeping his questions to an hour, and $I$ don't have an opinion on what it should be, just want to know what it is.

I'm asking Plaintiff how long the direct might take?

MR. ARNTZ: I could see the direct taking an hour today and hour tomorrow.

THE COURT: So a couple hours?
MR. ARNTZ: A couple hours.
THE COURT: And then you got an hour.
And what do you need?
MR. MC BRIDE: Maybe 30 minutes.
I'm going to let Mr. Weaver go first, then
I'll bat clean-up.
THE COURT: All right.
That will complete that testimony to be sure all the testimony is complete.

MR. ARNTZ: Is this courtroom available tomorrow?

THE COURT: I don't know.
We'll find out.
I'll take care of it.
I would assume if they are not in trial today, they wouldn't be in trial tomorrow, and the only issue they had today was I'm guessing she has a regular calendar tomorrow, so I don't know if she's got any calendars -- It does indicate maybe there's a trial setting tomorrow, so we'll have to find out.

There are plenty of other locations, it just makes it that much more difficult.

But we'll figure it out.
We have time.

MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
Obviously if he's going to take the stand, we can't place that there because that will be his path of travel.

Anything else?
Yes, we'll review of the remainder of the afternoon tomorrow to resolve jury instructions.

I still will send you as I indicated the Court's tentative final draft incorporating the joint proposed, there was some additional Nurse

Practitioner Bartmus counsel just to maybe flush out something, I also thought we did have some reference to some demonstratives in here, and there's instructions related to that nothing major in those regards, and obviously we have to settle loss of chance and the final version if we're going to go to the one the Plaintiffs are addressing and some of the stranger issues.

MR. MC BRIDE: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay.
Anything else before we bring them back?
MR. ARNTZ: I don't think so.
THE COURT: Okay.
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court and in the presence of the jury.): THE COURT: Plaintiff's next witness, please.

MR. ARNTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
We'd like to call Dr. Darell Moore.
THE COURT: Mr. Moore, please make your way to the stand.

Mr. Moore, turn your attention to my clerk to be sworn.

## DARELL MOORE,

who, being first duly sworn to tell
the truth, the whole truth, and
nothing but the truth, was examined
and testified as follows:
THE CLERK: Please state your full name, spelling both your first and last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Darell Moore, D-a-r-e-l-l M-o-o-r-e.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
And you know we do have the microphone, if you want to bring it a little closer to you.

It's hard to $I$ know speak up in the circumstances, but if you could make sure to please keep your voice up, so everybody can hear you.

Mr. Arntz.

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DARELL MOORE

BY MR. ARNTZ:
Q. Darell, how are you doing?
A. Like every day, I'm trying.
Q. Was that hard for you?
A. It's hard to walk.

I've been doing it for a few years, so I'm
one person, you know.
Q. All right.

Darell, $I$ want to start you off with some easy ones.

Let's talk about your childhood, where you grew up, and where you were born.
A. Okay.

I was born in Paso Robles approximately 12 to 15 miles away from where my wife was born, and on Army military base, but $I$ was in Paso Robles.

I was born in 1950 .

And $I$ spent a lot of time in San Mateo, which is about seven miles from our area, because my
grandparents lived there.
And then we moved to San Jose and bounced around, moved to Colorado from there for one year, and back to 29 Palms, California, and then we ended up in Banning, California.
Q. What was the reason for you bouncing around so much?
A. My father was in construction, and if things weren't going good in one area, sometimes we had to go to another area.

We went to Denver was because my mother's father was dying.
Q. Your mother's father was dying?
A. Yes.

So we went back there, so she could see him because he had not seen him in years, so it was the only opportunity we got to see him as $I$ was a child.

And then after we got snowed in, and he was in construction, we realized that work don't work to well under six foot of snow outside, and we had to leave and come back to California.
Q. What kind of longevity do you have in your family?
A. Well, one side of my family, my mother's father, which died I believe at about 69 years old,
he was born approximately 1890 , so we just went back there in '59, 1959.
Q. Okay.
A. My grandmother, she lived to about 82 years old on that side.

And then my father's side, my grandmother lived to be 89.

So and I can't really tell you exactly how old my father's parents really were because the names got changed over the years, and my grandmother took it to her death bed on who may have been the real father of my father, so --
Q. So your last name is Moore, but what was your dad's last name?
A. My dad's last name was Smith.

My birth certificate says, Smith, but his birth certificate, my father's, said Golden.

He took the name Smith from his stepfather, and I took the name Moore from my stepfather.
Q. So we were talking a couple days ago you were telling me about when you started working as a kid.

How old were you?
A. Well, $I$ worked off and on a little bit helping out my dad since $I$ was about nine years old,
but the first summer I actually worked a full summer I was 13.

I helped my dad build a block savings and loan building during that summer.

Then when $I$ was approximately 14 years old, I went to work in Palm Springs at a pancake house and worked there for about a year-and-a-half.

Then we wound up moving for a while.
Q. So what brought you back to Paso Robles from Palm Springs where you were at?
A. We were living in Banning at the time, and I was working at Palm Springs and stuff, but we moved because of business, and my father -- First we moved to Delone and then McFarland for a while in California, and then we ended up in Paso Robles, got -- he got a job, so a full circle back.
Q. So this sort of rollercoaster of work, was that because of the inconsistencies of construction and where the work is?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that sort of a theme has gone through your life?
A. Quite a bit.
Q. When you moved back to Paso Robles, how old were you?
A. We moved back on New Years day 1967 , so I would have been 17.
Q. Okay.

And tell me how you met Charlene.
A. Well, the very first time $I$ ever met her she came over to say hello, and $I$ was washing my car, and as she talked to me I left my keys in the trunk and shut the trunk.

So she was very small, she's not very much bigger now, but she was pretty small, and back in those days you could take the back seat out, and it had a little porthole $I$ asked her to go through to get my keys, so $I$ just met her, and then I'm embarrassed because $I$ shut me keys in the car, and then $I$ got a stranger going into my trunk.
Q. Where did she live?
A. She lived next door.
Q. And do you remember a story you told me about how you introduced her to the class the first day back?
A. Yeah, the first day in school $I$ went in to biology, and the teacher introduced me as the new student, and she was sitting pretty close to the front row, and we had this kind of a crazy biology teacher, but she embarrassed me totally by telling
all the girls they should look at me, does this look like someone they might be interested in, so $I$ was totally embarrassed.
Q. When did you start dating?
A. We started dating when she was probably 15, and we dated for a few months, and then we separated. She said she didn't think we should stay together right then.

So I kind of moved on after being heartbroken for a couple months, and all of a sudden there is a knock at the door.

I go to the door, and could you see my wife with long hair, usually longer than that back in those days, well back in those days you had to almost light a match to get the oven started, so she got to talking and whatever in her house, and turned on the gas, and by the time she lit the match it exploded back on to her, and she was standing in my door with hair not much longer than her chin, so after that we got back together, she asked, how I looked?

She was all crying, nervous, and I said, you look fine, and we have went back together.
Q. So when you moved to Paso Robles, what grade were you in?
A. When we moved back to Paso Robles, I was a
sophomore.
Q. Okay.

Were you a 17 year old sophomore?
A. Well, actually I said I was 17.

At the end of 167 I would have been 17 , so
I was 16, just got my driver's license, correct, I was 16.
Q. Okay.

And so how long did you guys date before you got married?
A. We dated a little over a year.
Q. Why did you get married?
A. Well, to a certain extent her and $I$ decided we would like to get married, and -- but my wife did end up getting pregnant with my first son.
Q. And when you went to your parents to tell them you were going to get married, what was their reaction, both yours and hers?
A. They all said, no.

And $I$ was arguing with them about she's pregnant, $I$ want to get married, $I$ want to take care of her, and they are saying, you are to young.

And finally Charlene stood up and told them that regardless of what they say, we're probably going to do what we want to do, so you might as well
let us be married.
So our mothers said, yes, I think you should get married.

Our father's still objected.
But the marriage went on.
Q. Now, at this time were you working with your dad?
A. I was working in a restaurant as a bus boy, and mostly on weekends and stuff.

So I had some spending money, and my father, he told me the reason why he objected to me getting married was because he would have to support me, that $I$ couldn't make a living without him.

I told him that $I$ know $I$ can.

So we went ahead and got married, and I'd started working full-time as a bus boy from 6 to 2, I worked as a chef's helper early afternoon, and then $I$ worked as a dishwasher until the place closed at 11, usually taking me until 1.

So I had to come to work about 5 a.m. in the morning, between 5 and 5:30 in the morning, and I didn't get out of the place until 12:30, 1:00 at night.

And I remember my first big paycheck I had 320 hours in in one month, $I$ got paid once a month,
and my net was 305 , so $I$ made 95 cents an hour.
Q. Okay.
A. But we made it.
Q. You weren't working for your dad anymore after you got married, but you still still saw him?
A. I would see him several times during the week, and on occasion probably in the year-and-a-half that $I$ stayed at the restaurant he asked me back at least a half dozen times, so $I$ would go back to work for him.

But the first couple, three times he didn't say that he apologized for his comments.

When finally around the fifth or sixth time, somewhere in there, he apologized, said I understand you can make a living, but you should be working in construction, that's your field, so I finally gave in and went back to work for him.
Q. All right.

So let's talk about what you did with your father building your business.

When -- or what kind of construction did you do?
A. Well, we held several licenses.

To begin with, we did concrete, block work, and we had those two licenses, which we were doing a
lot of piecework for different contractors doing foundations and fireplaces and stuff.

And we ran into a problem of we would do the foundation, and all of a sudden they would be -almost move people in, and we haven't even been paid for the concrete work.

Well, that went on for a year, year-and-a-half with them, and $I$ finally told my dad, somethings got to change here, $I$ don't like waiting on our money so long.

So he went to get his own license for general construction, class B, which is so you can build housing, and we went down and started building houses.

The first thing I noticed when we went to the bank, there was a five-draw system on most contracts that you get from the bank to build a spec house.

On the very first line it says, the minute your foundation is in you are entitled to 20 percent of your money.

So here we are waiting 60, 90 days for our money, and it stated right there on the first page the money was delivered to the contractor practically the following day after the concrete was poured.
Q. So that changed your business model a
little bit?
A. It changed our business model a little bit, went strictly to building our own houses and financing them through the bank.

We had to buy our own property, and then they would finance the construction.
Q. And this was in what, the late of $80 s$, or ' 70 's?
A. This was actually towards the end of, 72, when we first got our license.
Q. And how did your business do?
A. The business did really well.

I come from a family that unfortunately doesn't understand banking real well, and my dad cared more about working hard than he did how much he could bring home.

So we did really well, but yet we didn't utilize the money maybe the way we should have, so by 1978 when $I$ was 27 years old $I$ went to my father and I said, I would like to sell everything out, try to divide the money up between us equally, and -- but take so much money back into the business and incorporate and start over again.

My father felt like that was quitting,
which it wasn't asking him to quit, but anyway long story short he said, no, so we continued on building.

And then when interest hit 20,22 percent in our rural area, $I$ think it hit 20 percent everywhere, our area it went to 22 , we lost everything, we had several homes, some apartments that we built up, and in a matter of just seven years, and we couldn't pay the bank back right away, and we had some of our own money, we wouldn't necessarily borrow $\$ 50,000$ to build a house. If we could put 20 of our own in, we only tried to finance 30 or 35 thousand in the beginning, so we had quite an investment between the land and our own cash.

We were putting up about 350 the bank was putting up about 50, and when times got hard, nobody could buy a home or anything from us at 22 percent, we had to forget about our land, forget about the money we had in it, and drop the price to nothing.

Well, we ended up with about five or six places that we couldn't can make the payment on for over 16 months.

We finally sold the one house I was actually building for my wife and I, and after escrow sent a check to us for $\$ 84,000$, we took that to the banker, told them, take out what $I$ owe you, and give
me a check for what is left.
He come back and said, Darell, I'm sorry, he said that, it's hurting me to look at this myself, but he says, here's your check back.

Just paying the interest payments for 16 to 18 months on all that property that we owned, I got a check back for $\$ 3,000$ out of 84,000 .
Q. Was this at a time when contractors were routinely going bankrupt because of the high interest rates and the bad --
A. Almost 90 percent or more I would say -- As a matter of fact, that was getting us started to paying off just the interest on our back payments.

When we finally got around to selling the places at a discount price, we paid the bank off, and our banker told us that out of 140 contractors that that bank dealt with, we are the third person only that has paid off all their loans.
Q. Who was the last person you paid off?
A. The last person $I$ paid off was the lumber company. We owed about $\$ 44,000$ to the lumbar company.

We first got the banks an everything paid off, and then we went to the lumber company and told them we're doing everything we can you will get every
dime we make, and for two years straight my father and $I$ were living off of Charlene and my mother's and my mother-in-law's children's clothing store to hold us and my father, and $I$ gave all our money to the lumber company.
Q. During that period of time, was there ever a time -- or a debt you had that you didn't payoff?
A. Never.
Q. What did you do after, did your company fold up, or what happened?
A. No, we, because of the struggling interest rate at the time, we went back to doing concrete block work, smaller jobs, whatever it took to make a weekly living out of it, and we had done a couple of bigger jobs, but my father was old school, old school meaning, he believed in a handshake.

Handshakes when you go to Court or anything don't pay the bills, you have to have paperwork.

I remember telling him that, and I loved working with him, hardest working man you've ever seen, but $I$ told him, you get us in trouble, you will do not do the change orders like you are supposed to do, if you get us into one more year where we lose all the profits for the year because of lack of change orders, we didn't want to go to Court for
these, we just flat took the loss, but when you are living on 15 to $\$ 20,000$ a year, you can't afford to take a $\$ 30,000$ cut.

One or two jobs had overages, my father wouldn't care about the paperwork, and just have to it -- and I told him, you do this one more time to me, and I'm going to be looking for another job.

And that is basically how it ended up $I$ starting managing poker rooms.
Q. Let's talked about card rooms.

Are we talking about legal card rooms in California?
A. Legal card rooms in California, you can only play poker.
Q. Okay.
A. There's no slot machines or anything like that, just strictly 7-Card Stud, Hold 'Em-type games.
Q. How did you get into that?
A. Well, my wife, she ended up -- I always played cards, and $I$ was pretty good at it, but my wife heard about the card room opened up, so we went down to see it, and we walked in and played a little bit the first night.

About the second or third time we went in there she was asked if she would like to be a dealer.

So this lady took her over to the side to see if she could shuffle properly without exposing the cards, and lo and behold the next day she was a dealer.

About a week later they asked me.
So I showed them $I$ was capable of doing it because $I$ knew what my wife went through, I already practiced, and I became a card dealer.

Probably within three weeks to a month the manager was given the place, that owned the place, he also worked in the oil field, so he spent most of his time doing his oil field work, and the manager was allowing her to have too much credit, she was a very bad player, losing, leaving a lot of money on the table, and the business had really built up because the manager was giving away so much money that everybody in two cities would come to our card room to win.

But anyway, the gentleman asked me, he said, what happened to my whole bank one night, and I told him what had happened, her husband lost $\$ 1,000$, she lost about $\$ 500$, there goes your thousand dollar bank.

So he said, well, this can't keep up.
He said, how would you like to be my
manager?
I said, $I$ can do that.
So he kept his old manager on as a dealer but made me manager.

And it wasn't a month or two after that he said, how would you like to became a partner?

So I became a partner in the first -- but people move around, they get tired of losing at one casino, they try another casino.

Living here in Vegas I'm sure everybody feels that way, has done that.

So three or four times I moved around to became manager in different card casinos, so --
Q. All right.

I'm going to come back to that in a second.
But let's talk about your family's history with vascular disease.

Let me know a little bit about that.
A. That is one of the reasons we went to Denver, was my grandfather had large probably three or four inch opening sores on his legs, and he would wrap them with just old bed sheets and stuff because they didn't have the same type bandages we have today.

And I remember as a nine year old $I$ was
sitting there watching him wrap his legs every night because he would.

And my aunt, a couple of my aunts, had vascular disease, had varicose veins.

One of my aunts actually had holes opened up in her leg similar to mine. Luckily hers closed up easier.

But so I had several people in the family.
Q. When did you first start feeling as though you were having any kind of vascular disease?
A. I was between 19 and 20 years old, I noticed what looked like my veins were showing an awful lot, so $I$ went to a bigger city, to San Luis Obispo, And talked to a vascular specialist up there.

He says, yes, $I$ can see that in the future years you're going to have some trouble with your veins.
Q. Were you smoking at that time?
A. I was not smoking then.
Q. Did he talk to you about anything if you were smoking?
A. In those days they never said a word about it.

As a matter of fact, the DP Charlene and I had after getting married, he actually would examine
you with a cigarette in his mouth.
They didn't talk about cigarettes the same as they do today.
Q. Right.

So eventually you ended up having some problems with your leg causes you to retire, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So tell me about when you first started having issues with your legs, and how that developed, and help me move around a little bit in time.
A. In approximately 1980 we had owned a door shop, which $I$ haven't told you before, we bought a door shop, outdoors for houses, front doors, interior, and $I$ went out into the yard, and they there was steel laying out in the back of the yard area, and $I$ stepped on a piece of angle iron that flipped up and hit the high part of my ankle.

Probably three or four days went by, it was kind of black and blue, but after about a week a hole opened up, this is in 1980. So I wrapped it, kept it clean.

This went on for maybe a month or so, I kept re-wrapping it and cleaning it, and $I$ noticed it wasn't closing up, so $I$ started going to the doctor, which sent me to a vascular specialist.

I ended up probably in a two or three year period seeing at least five in our area.

Nobody could show me how to wrap my legs or take care of them where they would close up.
Q. Did they tell you why you're having trouble with the wound that wouldn't heal?
A. None of them exactly explained to me then what was going on.

I went to Loma Linda Hospital And College, and they showed me how to wrap an Una boot they called it around my leg.
Q. When -- What year was this?
A. This was probably in early ' 82 maybe, a couple years after it began.
Q. So you are around 32, 33?
A. Yes.
Q. And was it a recurring wound, keep healing up or --
A. Sometimes.
Q. Was it the same wound?
A. Sometimes it would get as big as half dollar, and then go down to a dime, and go back up to a quarter, sometimes it would almost close completely, and I'm talking about my left leg, and then in another area $I$ might have two open up, small
ones that would get larger.
Q. Is this without any if kind of injury to you, you would just end up with wounds?
A. I would just end up with wounds, and it was explained to me a little bit when it first happened that it was like stagnant blood causing the leg to rot from the inside to the outside.

And that is why it came from the inside to the outside and opened up a hole.
Q. Were you --
A. That's all $I$ understood about that.
Q. Were you ever told when you were going to the wound specialist in Loma Linda that your smoking was contributing to these wounds?
A. They never said anything to me at the time about smoking.

All they suggested was that if $I$ had insurance, they would put me in the hospital, elevate my legs, give me a jacuzzi bath every day, but being there's already been a couple years that $I$ couldn't get these to close, I couldn't see where just a jacuzzi and elevating my legs was going to change it that much, besides $I$ had a family to support, and I had no idea that maybe $I$ could have got on Medicaid or something, but I already had the construction
company, and I had to keep working.
Q. Right.

So when you started using the Unna boot -I that right, Unna?
A. Unna.
Q. He do you spell that?
A. $U-n-n-a$.
Q. Okay.

And what exactly did the Unna boot do?
A. The Unna boot, you use antibiotics or Cortisone and put it on the opening, and then they have a zync oxide gauze bandage that is soaked in zync oxide, you wrap that around your foot, putting more pressure as you go up around your ankle, all the way to just below your calf -- I mean, above your calf, below your knee, and then you wrap it with an elastic strap band, and it has glue on it, tapes it to itself as you go up the leg and creates a mini cast, it's about an eighth inch thick.

The only way to get that off is to cut it off.
Q. And would you put this on every morning?
A. I put it on every day.
Q. A new one every day?
A. I would have to say, I put one on a couple,
three times a week.
They said it would be good if you did it every day.

I found out every day wasn't making any difference, and we're talking about early '80s, and the bandages for wrapping was 30 bucks total cost.

So $\$ 30$ a day is more than $I$ was making.
Q. All right. So --
A. I would like to say that, approximately -I heard something somebody testified about infections, and in 31 years of wrapping my legs before my first operation $I$ never had any disease created or any infections.

I finally after 31 years had one, I thought I had, and they treated it, but it really wasn't infected, but for 31 years my son's in infection control and says, it's almost unheard of for somebody to be able to wrap wounds for 31 years and never have an infection.

He said, dad, you took care of yourself.
Q. Let's get back to the card room.

How long did you work as a manager or in some capacity in card rooms?
A. The first card room $I$ went into $I$ believe was around '89, and $I$ had to retire because of my leg
swelling too big in the end of 2005.
So almost 16 years.
Q. So explain this for me, what kind of swelling were you getting, and did you ever find out why?

If you can, explain the process.
A. My feet and legs pretty much were always swollen, but finally after we've had games go 18 hours, 32 hours, sometimes they went two hours, but you're on your feet a lot, and the older I got, all of a sudden one day I'm standing there in the card room, and about midnight, and my legs were tingling a little bit, so $I$ grabbed my pant leg, I'm wearing blue jeans, $I$ couldn't even pinch a little bit of blue jean off my leg, it was swollen so much, just filling the whole thing up.
Q. Did a doctor ever tell you why they were swelling so much?
A. I was never told why they were swelling so much, except by then $I$ knew $I$ was having more of $a$ vascular problem, but in the beginning he told me to elevate my feet.

I tried elevating the feet, but I was sitting there realizing that elevating the feet -and thinking $I$ was helping myself some, that
elevating my feet after about three or four months started hurting, and $I$ found myself $I$ had to put a chair and lower my feet, and then some of the irritation and pain would go away, so it was more important to lower my feet because of what was happening to me.
Q. You ended up -- When did you end up moving to Las Vegas?
A. We moved to Las Vegas in 2010.

We bought the house that we were living in in the end 2008 , but we didn't get over here for a year-and-a-half because $I$ had construction $I$ was doing on a commercial building and an apartment we had in Paso Robles, $I$ had to get it ready to rent and lease out with hopefully no more problems to us before we could come over here.
Q. Okay.

And when you moved here, was any family living here then?
A. My son had already moved over here.
Q. Chris, the one who testified?
A. Christopher.

Christopher already moved over here, and the first thing $I$ think he said he was doing was dealing a little bit of cards because he dealt for me
in a couple of the card rooms.

He came over here and dealt a little bit of cards, he taught English, and then he got a job at the health department.
Q. All right.

So at the time you moved to Las Vegas did you have any businesses you were finishing up, or just the card rooms?
A. Well, I finally left the card rooms and stuff, and other than doing my own work on my rentals, I was just retired.
Q. And --
A. But over almost half of my income is because of one of my rentals.
Q. So let's talk about that.

What rentals do you have at the time you
moved to Las Vegas?
A. When I moved to Las Vegas, I had a commercial building and --
Q. Where was it?
A. It was in the downtown area, so it was a retail business.
Q. Downtown area of Paso Robles?
A. Paso Robles, yes.

And the upstairs was a two-bedroom
apartment for my wife and I.
And we bought that back in 2002, and I had remodeled two or three times to upgrade everything to be able to be prepared to try to move away from it and not have a lot of problems with it.

But that same building $I$ had remodeled when we first moved to Paso Robles in '67 for another man.

So I was involved with two remodels on that before we ever bought it.
Q. And did you end up having to do additional construction, or remodeling, or repairs, to that rental?
A. Yes.
Q. How long -- Do you still own that rental?
A. I still own it.
Q. And until -- When did you continue doing the repairs yourself?
A. Up until I lost my leg.
Q. Okay.

During this time before you moved to Las Vegas, did you have a -- like a treating physician, somebody who regularly treated you for your vascular issues, or were you just doing it yourself?
A. I was doing most of it before $I$ came to Las Vegas on my own, most of it.

I would see my general practitioner for medicine, blood pressure medicine, and stuff like that, but $I$ had not been treated for my leg in a long time.
Q. And were you able to essentially keep all the wounds at bay, so they weren't getting worse?
A. I kept them at bay for the most part, and then finally $I$ thought $I$ was probably -- This was early 2012, I thought I might have an infection in it, so I went --
Q. I'm not ready to go into that yet.
A. Okay.
Q. You're a little bit ahead of me.

So when you moved here in 2005, before you ended up going to Dr. Simon, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Before you went to Dr. Simon, so in between 2005 and 2001 , were you seeing anybody during that time for any vascular issues?
A. Well, I always talked to people, but basically I just had my general practitioner was watching me with the blood pressure and everything, so $I$ had not had anybody that was actually taking care of it.
Q. Was this general practitioner here, or in

Paso Robles?
A. Paso Robles.
Q. So I guess you would continue going down to Paso Robles frequently?
A. I had gone several times to Dr. Reeves in Paso Robles.

MR. ARNTZ: Your Honor, could we approach?
THE COURT: Yes.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between
Court and counsel at sidebar.)
THE COURT: All right.
Mr. Moore, and for the jurors' information, the reason Mr. Arntz asked to approach is because he prefers this would be a good breaking point in Mr. Moore's testimony for today.

I do need to inform the jurors that obviously $I$ should let you know Mr. Moore is the last witness in this trial.

However, it will take probably the bulk of tomorrow to complete his testimony once we complete with counsel's cross-examination, final examination of Mr. Moore's counsel, and then of course should there be any jurors questions, which we all anticipate from you.

For that reason, we will not be able to
begin reading the instructions to you, or the closing arguments, to you tomorrow, as we had sincerely hoped we would be able to do.

That means that the trial in this matter will now complete on Wednesday, not Tuesday.

It was my sincerest hope, and $I$ know it was counsels and the parties, we would not have to give that you information, we had hoped to tell you on Friday with certainty when the trial would complete this week because we understand very much the difficulty that you face in terms of these moving target deadlines, but at least $I$ can assure you that when we complete testimony tomorrow, and then we finalize any last matters in terms of the exhibits and everything for you, that will commence on Wednesday with just instructions and just closings, with time for you to deliberate on Wednesday.

I do sincerely apologies again on behalf of the Court and counsel and the parties that we were incorrect indicating to you we would be done on Tuesday.

We thank you very much for your patience of course with this process.

It's so very important we complete this process after all the time we have obviously spent
together up to this point to insure all the testimony is received and counsel have the opportunity at the end to recapture or recount the information to you in conjunction with the instructions on the law to help you best do your jobs.

So we thank you for your patience very much so.

We will return to this courtroom tomorrow afternoon.

Judge Johnson, who is normally in this courtroom, is going to switch locations with us for her afternoon obligations, so we will be back in here tomorrow.

If anything should change in that regard, of course you will meet out here in front of 15-B, we'll let you know then, but we do anticipate getting back in here tomorrow, and then return to the regular Courtroom 15-B on Wednesday.
(Jury now admonished by the Court.)
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow at 1:30. We're in recess for the day.
(Jury excused from the courtroom.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: I want to make sure nobody had any issues.

Again, we'll be in here tomorrow.
We'll finish with settling jury
instructions.

If my clerk has not already, we'll vacate the 11:00 setting, return here at 1:30 for the completion of the testimony.

If we do not complete -- If we do not have time to settle jury instructions, I'm not quite sure what we'll do about it, but we'll figure it out.

In the meantime, again sometimes in the morning I anticipate receiving an e-mail from you with my JEA with the Court's tentative final things.

Anything else we need to address?
MR. MC BRIDE: No, Your Honor.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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