IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Case No. 83796

DONTE JOHNSON, Petitioner, Electronically Filed May 27 2022 07:54 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*, Respondent.

Appeal From Clark County District Court Eighth Judicial District, Clark County The Honorable Jacqueline M. Bluth, District Judge (Dist. Ct. No. A-19-789336-W)

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Volume 15 of 50

RENE L. VALLADARES
Federal Public Defender
Nevada State Bar No. 11479
RANDOLPH M. FIEDLER
Assistant Federal Public Defender
Nevada State Bar No. 12577
Assistant Federal Public Defender
ELLESE HENDERSON
Assistant Federal Public Defender
Nevada State Bar No. 14674

411 E. Bonneville, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 388-6577 Fax: (702) 388-6419 Randolph_Fiedler@fd.org Ellesse Henderson@fd.org

Counsel for Petitioner Donte Johnson

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
Amended Verification, Johnson v. Gittere, et al., Case No. A–19– 789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	05/17/2019	47	11613–11615
Amended Verification – Index of Exhibit and Exhibit in Support, Johnson v. Gittere, et al., Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	05/17/2019	47	11616–11620
Court Minutes, Johnson v. Gittere, et al., Case No. A–19– 789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	02/13/2019	49	12248
Court Minutes, Johnson v. Gittere, et al., Case No. A–19– 789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	10/28/2021	50	12365
Defendant's (Pro Se) Request for Petition to be Stricken as it is Not Properly Before the Court, Johnson v. Gittere, et al., Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	04/11/2019	46	11606–11608
Defendant's (Pro Se) Request to Strike Petition, Johnson v. Gittere, et al., Case No. A–19– 789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	04/04/2019	46	11603–11605

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
Exh	ibits and Exhibit List in	02/13/2019	25	6130–6146
Supp	port of Petition for Writ of			
Hab	eas Corpus			
6.	Judgment of Conviction,	02/13/2019	25	6147 – 6152
	State v. Johnson, Case No.			
	153154, District Court,			
	Clark County (Oct. 3, 2000)			
7.	Judgment of Conviction	02/13/2019	25	6153–6158
	(Amended), State v.			
	Johnson, Case No. 153154,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County (Oct. 9, 2000)			
8.	Appellant's Opening Brief,	02/13/2019	25	6159–6247
	Johnson v. State, Case No.			
	36991, In the Supreme			
	Court of the State of			
	Nevada (July 18, 2001)			
10.	Appellant's Reply Brief,	02/13/2019	25 – 26	6248–6283
	Johnson v. State, Case No.			
	36991, In the Supreme			
	Court of the State of			
	Nevada (Jan. 15, 2002)			
15.	Motion to Amend	02/13/2019	26	6284 – 6295
	Judgment of Conviction,			
	State v. Johnson, Case No.			
	153154, District Court,			
	Clark County (Apr. 8,			
1.0	2004)	0014010040	2.0	2222 2222
16.	Amended Judgment of	02/13/2019	26	6296–6298
	Conviction, State v.			
	Johnson, Case No. 153154,			
	District Court, Clark			
1.77	County (Apr. 20, 2004)	00/10/2010	0.0	0000 0000
17.	Judgment of Conviction,	02/13/2019	26	6299–6303
	State v. Johnson, Case No.			
	153154, District Court,			

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
	Clark County (June 6, 2005)			
21.	Judgment Affirming Death Sentence (45456), Johnson v. State, Case No. 45456, In Supreme Court of the State of Nevada (Dec. 28, 2006)	02/13/2019	26	6304–6330
22.	Notice of filing of writ of certiorari, <i>Johnson v.</i> State, Case No. 45456, In Supreme Court of the State of Nevada (Apr. 5, 2007)	02/13/2019	26	6331–6332
24.	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, <i>State v. Johnson</i> , Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (Feb. 11, 2008)	02/13/2019	26	6333–6343
25.	Pro Per Petition, Johnson v. State, Case No. 51306, In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada (Mar. 24, 2008)	02/13/2019	26	6344–6364
26.	Response to Petition Writ of Habeas Corpus, <i>State v.</i> <i>Johnson</i> , Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (Apr. 29, 2008)	02/13/2019	26	6365–6369
27.	Order denying Pro Per Petition, <i>Johnson v. State,</i> Case No. 51306, In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada (May 6, 2008)	02/13/2019	26	6370–6372
28.	Supplemental Brief in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, State v. Johnson, Case No.	02/13/2019	26	6373–6441

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
	153154, District Court, Clark County (Oct. 12, 2009)			
29.	Second Supplemental Brief in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, State v. Johnson, Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (July 14, 2010)	02/13/2019	26	6442–6495
30.	Response to Petition Writ of Habeas Corpus, <i>State v.</i> <i>Johnson</i> , Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (Jan. 28, 2011)	02/13/2019	26–27	6496–6591
31.	Reply to Response to Petition Writ of Habeas Corpus, <i>State v. Johnson</i> , Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (June 1, 2011)	02/13/2019	27	6592–6627
32.	Reply Brief on Initial Trial Issues, <i>State v.</i> Johnson, Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (Aug. 22, 2011)	02/13/2019	27–28	6628–6785
33.	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, <i>State</i> v. Johnson, Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (Mar. 17, 2014)	02/13/2019	28	6786–6793
34.	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, <i>State v. Johnson</i> , Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (Oct. 8, 2014)	02/13/2019	28	6794–6808

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
35.	Response to Second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), State v. Johnson, Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (Dec. 15, 2014)	02/13/2019	28	6809–6814
36.	Reply to Response to Second Petition for Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), State v. Johnson, Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (Jan. 2, 2015)	02/13/2019	28	6815–6821
37.	Appellant's Opening Brief, No. 65168, Nev. Sup. Ct., Jan. 9, 2015	02/13/2019	28	6822–6973
38.	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law), <i>State</i> v. Johnson, Case No. 153154, District Court, Clark County (Feb. 4, 2015)	02/13/2019	28	6974–6979
40.	Appellant's Reply Brief, No. 65168, Nev. Sup. Ct., Nov. 18, 2015	02/13/2019	28–29	6980–7078
45.	Autopsy Report for Peter Talamantez (Aug. 15, 1998)	02/13/2019	29	7079–7091
46.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept. Voluntary Statement of Ace Rayburn Hart_Redacted (Aug. 17, 1998)	02/13/2019	29	7092–7121
47.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., Voluntary Statement of Brian	02/13/2019	29	7122–7138

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
	Johnson_Redacted (Aug. 17, 1998)			
48.	Indictment, State v. Johnson, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (Sep. 2, 1998)	02/13/2019	29	7139–7149
49.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., Voluntary Statement of Terrell Young_Redacted (Sep. 2, 1998)	02/13/2019	29	7150–7205
50.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., Voluntary Statement of Charla Severs _Redacted (Sep. 3, 1998)	02/13/2019	29	7206–7239
51.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., Voluntary Statement of Sikia Smith_Redacted (Sep. 8, 1998)	02/13/2019	29–30	7240–7269
52.	Superseding Indictment, State v. Johnson, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (Sep. 15, 1998)	02/13/2019	30	7270–7284
53.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., Voluntary Statement of Todd Armstrong_Redacted (Sep. 17, 1998)	02/13/2019	30	7285–7338
54.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., Voluntary Statement of Ace Hart_Redacted (Sep. 22, 1998)	02/13/2019	30	7339–7358

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
55.	Testimony of Todd	02/13/2019	30–31	7359–7544
	Armstrong, State of			
	Nevada v. Celis, Justice			
	Court, Clark County,			
	Nevada Case No. 1699-			
	98FM (Jan. 21, 1999)			
56.	Trial Transcript (Volume	02/13/2019	31	7545 - 7675
	VIII), State v. Smith,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
	C153624 (June 17, 1999)			
57.	Trial Transcript (Volume	02/13/2019	31–32	7676–7824
	XVI-AM), State v. Smith,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
	153624 (June 24, 1999)			
58.	Motion to Permit DNA	02/13/2019	32	7825–7835
	Testing of Cigarette Butt			
	(Aug. 17, 1998)	0011010010	2.2	
59.	Trial Transcript (Volume	02/13/2019	32	7836–7958
	VI), State v. Young,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada, Case No.			
00	C153154 (Sep. 7, 1999)	00/10/0010	00	5050 5000
60.	Interview of Charla Severs	02/13/2019	32	7959–7980
<i>C</i> 1	(Sep. 27, 1999)	00/19/0010	20 22	7001 0004
61.	Motion to Videotape	02/13/2019	32–33	7981–8004
	Deposition of Charla			
	Severs, State v. Johnson,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (Sep. 29, 1999)			
62.	Opposition to Videotape	02/13/2019	33	8005-8050
04.	Deposition of Charla	02/13/2019	บบ	0000-0000
	Severs, State v. Johnson,			
	District Court, Clark			
	District Court, Clark	1		

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
	County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (Oct. 6, 1999)			
63.	Transcript of Video Deposition of Charla Severs (Filed Under Seal), State v. Johnson, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (Oct. 6, 1999)	02/13/2019 SEALED	33	8051–8160
64.	Cellmark Report of Laboratory Examination (Nov. 17, 1999)	02/13/2019	33	8161–8165
65.	Motion for Change of Venue, <i>State v. Johnson</i> , District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (Nov. 29, 1999)	02/13/2019	33	8166–8291
66.	Records from the California Youth Authority_Redacted	02/13/2019	33–34	8292–8429
67.	Jury Instructions (Guilt Phase), <i>State v. Johnson</i> , District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (June 8, 2000)	02/13/2019	34	8430–8496
68.	Verdict Forms (Guilt Phase), State v. Johnson, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (June 9, 2000)	02/13/2019	34	8497–8503
69.	Special Verdict, <i>State v.</i> Johnson, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (June 15, 2000)	02/13/2019	34	8504-8506
70.	Affidavit of Kristina Wildeveld (June 23, 2000)	02/13/2019	34	8507–8509

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
71.	Amended Notice of Evidence Supporting	02/13/2019	34	8510–8518
	Aggravating Circumstances State w			
	Circumstances, State v. Johnson, District Court,			
	Clark County, Nevada			
	Case No. C153154			
	(Mar. 17, 2004)			
72.	Second Amended Notice of	02/13/2019	34	8519–8527
	Evidence Supporting			
	Aggravating Circumstances, <i>State v.</i>			
	Johnson, District Court,			
	Clark County, Nevada			
	Case No. C153154 (Apr. 6,			
	2004)			
73.	Opposition to Second	02/13/2019	34	8528–8592
	Amended Notice of			
	Evidence Supporting			
	Aggravating			
	Circumstances, <i>State v. Johnson</i> , District Court,			
	Clark County, Nevada			
	Case No. C153154 (Apr.			
	20, 2004)			
74.	Reply to Opposition to	02/13/2019	34–35	8593–8621
	Notice of Evidence			
	Supporting Aggravating			
	Circumstances, State v.			
	Johnson, District Court,			
	Clark County, Nevada			
	Case No. C153154 (Apr. 26, 2004)			
75.	Jury Instructions (Penalty	02/13/2019	35	8622–8639
10.	Phase 3), <i>State v.</i> Johnson,	02/10/2010	00	0022 0000
	District Court, Clark			

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
	County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (Apr. 28, 2005)			
76.	Petition for rehearing, Johnson <i>v. State</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 45456 (Mar. 27, 2007)	02/13/2019	35	8640–8652
77.	John L. Smith, Mabey takes heat for attending his patients instead of the inauguration, Las Vegas Review-Journal (Jan. 5, 2007)	02/13/2019	35	8653–8656
78.	Sam Skolnik, Judge out of order, ethics claims say, Las Vegas Sun (Apr. 27, 2007)	02/13/2019	35	8657–8660
79.	EM 110 - Execution Procedure_Redacted (Nov. 7, 2017)	02/13/2019	35	8661–8667
80.	Nevada v. Baldonado, Justice Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. 04FH2573X (Mar. 30, 2004)	02/13/2019	35	8668–8698
81.	Birth Certificate John White Jr_Redacted	02/13/2019	35	8699–8700
82.	Declaration of Eloise Kline (Nov. 19, 2016)	02/13/2019	35	8701–8704
83.	Jury Questionnaire 2000_Barbara Fuller_Redacted (May 24, 2000)	02/13/2019	35	8705–8727
84.	Media Jury Questionnaire 2000	02/13/2019	35–36	8728–8900
85.	Media Jury Questionnaire 2005	02/13/2019	36	8901–9025
86.	News Articles	02/13/2019	36–37	9026–9296

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
87.	State's Exhibit 63 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9297–9299
88.	State's Exhibit 64 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9300-9302
89.	State's Exhibit 65 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9303-9305
90.	State's Exhibit 66 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9306–9308
91.	State's Exhibit 67 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9309–9311
92.	State's Exhibit 69 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9312–9314
93.	State's Exhibit 70 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9315–9317
94.	State's Exhibit 74 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9318–9320
95.	State's Exhibit 75 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9321-9323
96.	State's Exhibit 76 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9324-9326
97.	State's Exhibit 79 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9327-9329
98.	State's Exhibit 80 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9330-9332
99.	State's Exhibit 81 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9333-9335
100.	State's Exhibit 82 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9336–9338
101.	State's Exhibit 86 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9339–9341
102.	State's Exhibit 89 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9342–9344
103.	State's Exhibit 92 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9345–9347
104.	State's Exhibit 113 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9348–9350
105.	State's Exhibit 116 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9351-9353
106.	State's Exhibit 120 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9354-9356
107.	State's Exhibit 125 – Photo	02/13/2019	37	9357–9359
108.	State's Exhibit 130 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9360–9362
109.	State's Exhibit 134 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9363–9365
110.	State's Exhibit 137 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9366–9368
111.	State's Exhibit 145 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9369–9371
112.	State's Exhibit 146 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9372–9374
113.	State's Exhibit 148 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9375–9377
114.	State's Exhibit 151 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9378–9380
115.	State's Exhibit 180 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9381–9384
116.	State's Exhibit 181 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9385–9388
117.	State's Exhibit 216 -	02/13/2019	38	9389–9403
	Probation Officer's Report -			
	Juvenile_Redacted			
118.	State's Exhibit 217 -	02/13/2019	38	9404–9420
	Probation Officer's			
	Report_Redacted			

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
119.	State's Exhibit 221 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9421-9423
120.	State's Exhibit 222 – Photo	02/13/2019	38	9424-9426
121.	State's Exhibit 256	02/13/2019	38	9427-9490
122.	Las Vegas Metropolitan	02/13/2019	38	9491-9499
	Police Dept. Crime Scene			
	Report (Aug. 14, 1998)			
123.	VCR at Terra Linda	02/13/2019	38	9500–9501
124.	VCR Remote Control	02/13/2019	38	9502 – 9505
	Buying Guide			
	Jury Instructions (Penalty	02/13/2019	38	9506–9519
	Phase 3), State v. Johnson,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
100	C153154 (May 4, 2005)	00404040	2.2	
	Motion to Bifurcate	02/13/2019	38	9520–9525
	Penalty Phase, State v.			
	Johnson, District Court,			
	Clark County, Nevada			
	Case No. C153154 (Apr.			
	27, 2004)	00/10/0010	0.0	
	Motion to Reconsider	02/13/2019	38	9526–9532
	Request to Bifurcate			
	Penalty Phase, State v.			
	Johnson, District Court,			
	Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (Apr.			
	11, 2005)			
128.	Special Verdicts (Penalty	02/13/2019	38	9533-9544
	Phase 3), State v. Johnson,	02/10/2013	30	JUUU-JU44
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
	C153154 (Apr. 28, 2005)			
129.	Verdict (Penalty Phase 3),	02/13/2019	38	9545–9549
	State v. Johnson, District	23.2010		
	Court, Clark County,			
	Nevada Case No. C153154			
	(May 5, 2005)			

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
130. Declaration of Arthur Cain (Oct. 29, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9550–9552
131. Declaration of Deborah White (Oct. 27, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9553–9555
132. Declaration of Douglas McGhee (Oct. 28, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9556–9558
133. Declaration of Elizabeth Blanding (Oct. 29, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9559–9560
134. Declaration of Jesse Drumgole (Oct. 27, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9561–9562
135. Declaration of Johnnisha Zamora (Oct. 28, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9563–9566
136. Declaration of Johnny White (Oct. 26, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9567–9570
137. Declaration of Keonna Bryant (Oct. 30, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9571–9573
138. Declaration of Lolita Edwards (Oct. 30, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9574–9576
139. Declaration of Loma White (Oct. 31, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9577–9579
140. Declaration of Moises Zamora (Oct. 28, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9580–9582
141. Declaration of Vonjelique Johnson (Oct. 28, 2018)	02/13/2019	38	9583–9585
142. Los Angeles Dept. of Child & Family Services_Redacted	02/13/2019	38–39	9586–9831
143. Psychological Evaluation of Donte Johnson by Myla H. Young, Ph.D. (June 6, 2000)	02/13/2019	39	9832–9841
144. Psychological Evaluation of Eunice Cain (Apr. 25, 1988)	02/13/2019	39	9842–9845

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
145.	Psychological Evaluation of John White by Harold Kates (Dec. 28, 1993)	02/13/2019	39–40	9846–9862
146.	Student Report for John White	02/13/2019	40	9863–9867
147.	School Records for Eunnisha White_Redated	02/13/2019	40	9868–9872
148.	High School Transcript for John White_Redacted	02/13/2019	40	9873–9874
149.	School Record for John White_Redacted	02/13/2019	40	9875–9878
150.	Certified Copy SSA Records_Eunice Cain_Redacted	02/13/2019	40	9879–9957
151.	Declaration of Robin Pierce (Dec. 16, 2018)	02/13/2019	40	9958–9961
152.	California Department of Corrections Records_Redacted (Apr. 25, 2000)	02/13/2019	40	9962–10060
153.	Letter from Maxine Miller to Lisa Calandro re forensic lab report (Apr. 13, 1999)	02/13/2019	40	10061–10077
154.	Letter from Lisa Calandro Forensic Analytical to Maxine Miller (Apr. 20, 1994)	02/13/2019	40	10078–10080
155.	Memorandum re call with Richard Good (Apr. 29, 1999)	02/13/2019	40	10081–10082
156.	Letter from Maxine Miller to Berch Henry at Metro DNA Lab (May 7, 1999)	02/13/2019	40	10083-10086
157.	Letter from Maxine Miller to Richard Good (May 10, 1999)	02/13/2019	40	10087–10092

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
158.	Letter from Maxine Miller to Tom Wahl (May 26, 1999)	02/13/2019	40	10093-10098
159.	Stipulation and Order, State v. Johnson, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (June 8, 1999)	02/13/2019	40	10099–10101
160.	Stipulation and Order, State v. Johnson, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154, (June 14, 1999)	02/13/2019	40	10102–10105
161.	Letter from Maxine Miller to Larry Simms (July 12, 1999)	02/13/2019	40–41	10106–10110
162.	Stipulation and Order, State v. Johnson, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153154 (Dec. 22, 1999)	02/13/2019	41	10111–10113
163.	Letter from Maxine Miller to Nadine LNU re bullet fragments (Mar. 20, 2000)	02/13/2019	41	10114–10118
164.	Memorandum (Dec. 10, 1999)	02/13/2019	41	10119–10121
165.	Forensic Analytical Bloodstain Pattern Interpretation (June 1, 2000)	02/13/2019	41	10122–10136
166.	Trial Transcript (Volume III), State <i>v. Young</i> , District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. C153461 (Sep. 7, 1999)	02/13/2019	41	10137–10215
167.	Trial Transcript (Volume VII), <i>State v. Young</i> ,	02/13/2019	41	10216–10332

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada, Case No.			
	C153461 (Sep. 13, 1999)			
168.	National Research Council,	02/13/2019	41	10333–10340
	Strengthening Forensic			
	Science in the United			
	States: A Path Forward,			
	Washington, D.C.: The			
	National Academies Press			
	(2009)			
169.	Las Vegas Metropolitan	02/13/2019	41	10341–10343
	Police Dept. Forensic Lab			
	Report of Examination			
	(Sep. 26, 1998)			
170.	Todd Armstrong juvenile	02/13/2019	41-42	10344–10366
	records_Redacted			
171.	Handwritten notes on	02/13/2019	42	10367–10368
	Pants			
172.		02/13/2019	42	10369–10371
	Ragsdale (Dec. 16, 2018)			
173.	Report of Dr. Kate	02/13/2019	42	10372–10375
	Glywasky (Dec. 19, 2018)			
174.	Curriculum Vitae of Dr.	02/13/2019	42	10376–10384
	Kate Glywasky			
175.	Report of Deborah Davis,	02/13/2019	42	10385–10435
	Ph.D. (Dec. 18, 2018)			
176.	Curriculum Vitae of	02/13/2019	42	10436–10462
	Deborah Davis, Ph.D.			
177.	Report of T. Paulette	02/13/2019	42	10463–10472
	Sutton, Associate			
	Professor, Clinical			
	Laboratory Sciences (Dec.			
4 = 0	18, 2018)	00/40/2015	4.5	40.4
178.	Curriculum Vitae of T.	02/13/2019	42	10473–10486
	Paulette Sutton			

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
179.	Report of Matthew Marvin,	02/13/2019	42	10487–10494
	Certified Latent Print			
	Examiner (Dec. 18, 2018)			
180.	Curriculum Vitae of	02/13/2019	42	10495–10501
	Matthew Marvin			
181.	Trial Transcript (Volume	02/13/2019	42 - 43	10502–10614
	V), State v. Smith, District			
	Court, Clark County,			
	Nevada Case No. C153624			
100	(June 16, 1999)	00/19/0010	40	10015 10505
182.	Trial Transcript (Volume	02/13/2019	43	10615–10785
	VI), State v. Smith, District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
	C153624 (June 16, 1999)			
183.	Las Vegas Metropolitan	02/13/2019	43	10786-10820
100.	Police Dept. Interview of	02/10/2010	10	10,00 10020
	Tod Armstrong_Redacted			
	(Aug. 17, 1998)			
184.		02/13/2019	43	10821-10839
	Police Dept. Interview of			
	Tod Armstrong _Redacted			
	(Aug. 18, 1998)			
185.	Las Vegas Metropolitan	02/13/2019	43–44	10840–10863
	Police Dept. Interview of			
	Charla Severs_Redacted			
100	(Aug. 18, 1998)			
186.	Las Vegas Metropolitan	02/13/2019	44	10864–10882
	Police Dept. Interview of			
	Sikia Smith_Redacted			
107	(Aug. 17, 1998)	00/19/0010	4.4	10000 10011
187.	Las Vegas Metropolitan	02/13/2019	44	10883–10911
	Police Dept. Interview of Terrell Young_Redacted			
	(Sep. 2, 1998)			
188.	Declaration of Ashley	02/13/2019	44	10912–10915
100.	Warren (Dec. 17, 2018)	02/10/2010	77	10012 10010
<u> </u>				

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
189.	Declaration of John Young	02/13/2019	44	10916–10918
	(Dec. 10, 2018)			
190.	Brief of Plaintiffs-	02/13/2019	44–45	10919–11321
	Appellants, Abdur'rahman			
	v. Parker, Tennessee			
	Supreme Court, Nashville			
	Division, Case No. M2018-			
101	10385-SC-RDO-CV	00/10/0010	4 =	11000 11000
191.	Sandoz' Inc.'s Motion for	02/13/2019	45	11322–11329
	Leave Pursuant to NRAP			
	29 to Participate as Amicus			
	Curiae in Support of Real			
	Parties in Interest, Nevada v. The Eighth Judicial			
	Disrict Court of the State			
	of Nevada, Nevada			
	Supreme Court, Case No.			
	76485			
192.	Notice of Entry of Order,	02/13/2019	45	11330–11350
	Dozier v. State of Nevada,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada, Case No.			
	05C215039			
193.	Declaration of Cassondrus	02/13/2019	45	11351-11353
	Ragsdale (2018.12.18)			
194.	Affidavit of David B.	02/13/2019	45–46	11354–11371
	Waisel, State of Nevada,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Case No.			
	05C215039 (Oct. 4, 2018)			
195.	Declaration of Hans	02/13/2019	46	11372–11375
	Weding (Dec. 18, 2018)	_		
196.	Trial Transcript (Volume	02/13/2019	46	11376–11505
	IX), State v. Smith,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
	C153624 (June 18, 1999)			

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
197.	Voluntary Statement of Luis Cabrera (August 14,	02/13/2019	46	11506–11507
198.	Voluntary Statement of Jeff Bates (handwritten) Redacted	02/13/2019	46	11508–11510
100	(Aug. 14, 1998)	00/19/0010	4.0	11811 11817
199.	Voluntary Statement of Jeff Bates_Redacted (Aug. 14, 1998)	02/13/2019	46	11511–11517
200.	Presentence Investigation Report, State's Exhibit 236, State v. Young, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153461_Redacted (Sep. 15, 1999)	02/13/2019	46	11518–11531
201.	Presentence Investigation Report, State's Exhibit 184, State v. Smith, District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. C153624_Redacted (Sep. 18, 1998)	02/13/2019	46	11532–11540
202.	School Record of Sikia Smith, Defendant's Exhibit J, State v. Smith, District Court, Clark County, Nevada (Case No. C153624)	02/13/2019	46	11541–11542
203.	School Record of Sikia Smith, Defendant's Exhibit K, State v. Smith, District Court, Clark County, Nevada (Case No. C153624)	02/13/2019	46	11543–11544

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
204.	School Record of Sikia	02/13/2019	46	11545-11546
	Smith, Defendant's Exhibit			
	L, State v. Smith, District			
	Court, Clark County,			
	Nevada (Case No.			
	C153624)			
205.	Competency Evaluation of	02/13/2019	46	11547–11550
	Terrell Young by Greg			
	Harder, Psy.D., Court's			
	Exhibit 2, State v. Young,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
	C153461 (May 3, 2006)			
206.	Competency Evaluation of	02/13/2019	46	11551–11555
	Terrell Young by C. Philip			
	Colosimo, Ph.D., Court's			
	Exhibit 3, State v. Young,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
205	C153461 (May 3, 2006)	00/10/10010	4.0	11220 11250
207.	Motion and Notice of	02/13/2019	46	11556–11570
	Motion in Limine to			
	Preclude Evidence of Other			
	Guns Weapons and			
	Ammunition Not Used in			
	the Crime, State v.			
	Johnson, District Court,			
	Clark County, Nevada			
	Case No. C153154 (Oct. 19, 1999)			
208.	Declaration of Cassondrus	02/13/2019	46	11571–11575
400.	Ragsdale (Dec. 19, 2018)	04/13/4019	40	11911-11919
209.	Post –Evidentiary Hearing	02/13/2019	46	11576–11577
409.	Supplemental Points and	04/13/4019	40	11010-11011
	Authorities, Exhibit A:			
	Affidavit of Theresa			
	Knight, State v. Johnson,			
	might, Brave V. Juliisull,			

	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
	C153154, June 5, 2005			
210.	Post – Evidentiary Hearing	02/13/2019	46	11578–11579
	Supplemental Points and			
	Authorities, Exhibit B:			
	Affidavit of Wilfredo			
	Mercado, State v. Johnson,			
	District Court, Clark			
	County, Nevada Case No.			
211.	C153154, June 22, 2005 Genogram of Johnson	02/13/2019	46	11580–11581
411.	Family Tree	02/13/2019	40	11900-11901
212.	Motion in Limine	02/13/2019	46	11582–11585
212.	Regarding Referring to	02/19/2019	40	11002 11000
	Victims as "Boys", State v.			
	Johnson, District Court,			
	Clark County, Nevada			
	Case No. C153154			
213.	Declaration of Schaumetta	02/13/2019	46	11586–11589
	Minor, (Dec. 18, 2018)			
214.	Declaration of Alzora	02/13/2019	46	11590–11593
	Jackson (Feb. 11, 2019)			
Exhi	bits in Support of	12/13/2019	49	12197–12199
	ioner's Motion for Leave to		0	
Cond	luct Discovery			
1.	Holloway v. Baldonado,	12/13/2019	49	12200-12227
	No. A498609, Plaintiff's			
	Opposition to Motion for			
	Summary Judgment,			
	District Court of Clark			
	County, Nevada, filed Aug.			
	1, 2007			
2.	Handwritten letter from	12/13/2019	49	12228–12229
	Charla Severs, dated Sep.			
	27, 1998			

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
Exhibits in Support of Reply to	12/13/2019	47	11837–11839
State's Response to Petition for			
Writ of Habeas Corpus			
215. Holloway v. Baldonado,	12/13/2019	47–48	11840–11867
No. A498609, Plaintiff's			
Opposition to Motion for			
Summary Judgment,			
District Court of Clark			
County, Aug. 1, 2007			
216. Holloway v. Baldonado,	12/13/2019	48–49	11868–12111
No. A498609, Opposition to			
Motion for Summary			
Judgment Filed by			
Defendants Stewart Bell,			
David Roger, and Clark			
County, District Court of			
Clark County, filed Jan.			
16, 2008	10/10/10/10	1.0	10110 10110
217. Letter from Charla Severs,	12/13/2019	49	12112–12113
dated Sep. 27, 1998	10/10/2010	40	10114 10100
218. Decision and Order, <i>State</i>	12/13/2019	49	12114–12120
of Nevada v. Johnson, Case			
No. C153154, District			
Court of Clark County,			
filed Apr. 18, 2000 219. State's Motion to	10/19/0010	40	10101 10105
	12/13/2019	49	12121–12135
Disqualify the Honorable			
Lee Gates, State of Nevada			
v. Johnson, Case No. C153154, District Court of			
Clark County, filed Apr. 4,			
2005			
220. Affidavit of the Honorable	12/13/2019	49	12136–12138
Lee A. Gates, State of			
Nevada v. Johnson, Case			
No. C153154, District			

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
Court of Clark County, filed Apr. 5, 2005			
221. Motion for a New Trial (Request for Evidentiary Hearing), <i>State of Nevada v. Johnson</i> , Case No. C153154, District Court of Clark County, filed June 23, 2000	12/13/2019	49	12139–12163
222. Juror Questionnaire of John Young, <i>State of</i> <i>Nevada v. Johnson</i> , Case No. C153154, District Court of Clark County, dated May 24, 2000	12/13/2019	49	16124–12186
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, <i>Johnson v.</i> <i>Gittere, et al.</i> , Case No. A–19– 789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	10/08/2021	49	12352–12357
Minute Order (denying Petitioner's Post—Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus, Motion for Discovery and Evidentiary Hearing), <i>Johnson v. Gittere, et</i> <i>al.</i> , Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	05/15/2019	49	12264-12266
Minutes of Motion to Vacate Briefing Schedule and Strike Habeas Petition	07/09/2019	47	11710
Motion and Notice of Motion for Evidentiary Hearing, <i>Johnson v.</i>	12/13/2019	49	12231–12241

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
Gittere, et al., Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada			
Motion and Notice to Conduct Discovery, <i>Johnson v. Gittere, et al.</i> , Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	12/13/2019	49	12187–12196
Motion for Leave to File Under Seal and Notice of Motion	02/15/2019		11600–11602
Motion in Limine to Prohibit Any References to the First Phase as the "Guilt Phase"	11/29/1999	2	302–304
Motion to Vacate Briefing Schedule and Strike Habeas Petition, <i>Johnson v. Gittere, et</i> <i>al.</i> , Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	05/16/2019	46–47	11609–11612
Motion to Vacate Briefing Schedule and Strike Habeas Petition, <i>Johnson v. Gittere, et al.</i> , Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	05/23/2019	47	11621–11624
Motion to Withdraw Request to Strike Petition and to Withdraw Request for Petition to be Stricken as Not Properly Before the Court), <i>Johnson v. Gittere</i> , et al., Case No. A–19–789336–	06/26/2019	47	11708–11709

$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{E}$	VOLUME	Page(s)
11/10/2021	50	12366–12368
10/11/2021	49–50	12358–12364
12/13/2019	49	12330
02/02/2021	49	12267–12351
00/11/1/00/10	40	11010 10011
02/11/2019	49	$ \ 11242 – 12244 \ $
09/11/9010	40	12245–12247
04/11/4019	43	14440-1441
10/00/1000		207 222
12/02/1999	$\lfloor \frac{2}{2} \rfloor$	305–306
	11/10/2021 10/11/2021 12/13/2019	11/10/2021 50 10/11/2021 49–50 12/13/2019 49 02/11/2019 49

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
Any References to the First Phase as the "Guilt Phase"			
Opposition to Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence of Other Guns, Weapons and Ammunition Not Used in the Crime	11/04/1999	2	283–292
Opposition to Motion to Vacate Briefing Schedule and Strike Habeas Petition, <i>Johnson v. Gittere, et al.</i> , Case No. A–19– 789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	05/28/2019	47	11625–11628
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, <i>Johnson v. Gittere, et al.</i> , Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	02/13/2019	24–25	5752–6129
Post–Evidentiary Hearing Supplemental Points and Authorities	06/22/2005	22	5472–5491
Reply to Opposition to Motion to Vacate Briefing Schedule and Strike Habeas Petition	06/20/2019	47	11705–11707
Reply to State's Response to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	12/13/2019	47	11718–11836
State's Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post–Conviction),	05/29/2019	47	11629–11704

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
Johnson v. Gittere, et al., Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada			
Stipulation and Order to Modify Briefing Schedule, <i>Johnson v.</i> <i>Gittere, et al.</i> , Case No. A–19– 789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	09/30/2019	47	11711–11714
Stipulation and Order to Modify Briefing Schedule, <i>Johnson v.</i> <i>Gittere, et al.</i> , Case No. A–19– 789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	11/22/2019	47	11715–11717
Transcript of All Defendant's Pending Motions	03/02/2000	2	416–430
Transcript of Argument to Admit Evidence of Aggravating Circumstances	05/03/2004	12	2904–2958
Transcript of Argument: Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (All Issues Raised in the Petition and Supplement)	12/01/2011	22–23	5498-5569
Transcript of Arguments	04/28/2004	12	2870–2903
Transcript of Decision: Procedural Bar and Argument: Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	07/20/2011	22	5492–5497
Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Under	02/25/2019	46	11594–11599

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
Seal, Johnson v. Gittere, et al., Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada			
Transcript of Defendant's Motion to Reveal the Identity of Informants and Reveal Any Benefits, Deals, Promises or Inducements; Defendant's Motion to Compel Disclosure of Existence and Substance of Expectations, or Actual Receipt of Benefits or Preferential Treatment for Cooperation with Prosecution; Defendant's Motion to Compel the Production of Any and All Statements of Defendant; Defendant's Reply to Opposition to Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence of Other Guns, Weapons, Ammunition; Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence of Witness Intimidation	11/18/1999	2	293–301
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	05/17/2004	12	2959–2989
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	06/14/2005	22	5396–5471
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	04/04/2013	23	5570–5673
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	04/11/2013	23	5674–5677

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	06/21/2013	23	5678–5748
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	09/18/2013	23–24	5749–5751
Transcript of Excerpted Testimony of Termaine Anthony Lytle	05/17/2004	12	2990–2992
Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 1 (Volume I)	06/05/2000	2–4	431–809
Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 2 (Volume II)	06/06/2000	4–5	810–1116
Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 3 (Volume III)	06/07/2000	5–7	1117–1513
Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 4 (Volume IV)	06/08/2000	7–8	1514–1770
Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 5 (Volume V)	06/09/2000	8	1771–1179
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 1 (Volume I) AM	04/19/2005	12–13	2993–3018
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 1 (Volume I) PM	4/19/20051	13	3019–3176
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 10 (Volume X)	05/02/2005	20–21	4791–5065

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ This transcript was not filed with the District Court nor is it under seal.

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 10 (Volume X) – Exhibits	05/02/2005	21	5066–5069
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 11 (Volume XI)	05/03/2005	21–22	5070–5266
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 12 (Volume XII)	05/04/2005	22	5267–5379
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 12 (Volume XII) – Deliberations	05/04/2005	22	5380–5383
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 13 (Volume XIII)	05/05/2005	22	5384–5395
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 2 (Volume I) AM	04/20/2005	13	3177–3201
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 2 (Volume II) PM	04/20/2005	13–14	3202–3281
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 3 (Volume III) PM	04/21/2005	14–15	3349–3673
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 3 (Volume III–A) AM	04/21/2005	14	3282–3348
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 4 (Volume IV) AM – Amended Cover Page	04/22/2005	16	3790–3791
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 4 (Volume IV) PM	04/22/2005	15–16	3674–3789

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	Page(s)
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 4 (Volume IV–B)	04/22/2005	16	3792–3818
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 5 (Volume V) PM	04/25/2005	16	3859–3981
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 5 (Volume V–A)	04/25/2005	16	3819–3858
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 6 (Volume VI) PM	04/26/2005	17–18	4103–4304
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 6 (Volume VI–A) PM	04/26/2005	16–17	3982–4102
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 7 (Volume VII– PM)	04/27/2005	18	4382–4477
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 7 (Volume VII–A)	04/27/2005	18	4305–4381
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 8 (Volume VIII– C)	04/28/2005	18–19	4478–4543
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty – Day 9 (Volume IX)	04/29/2005	19–20	4544–4790
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty Phase – Day 1 (Volume I) AM	06/13/2000	8	1780–1908
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty Phase – Day 1 (Volume II) PM	06/13/2000	8–9	1909–2068

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty Phase – Day 2 (Volume III)	06/14/2000	9–10	2069-2379
Transcript of Jury Trial – Penalty Phase – Day 3 (Volume IV)	06/16/2000	10	2380–2470
Transcript of Material Witness Charla Severs' Motion for Own Recognizance Release	01/18/2000	2	414–415
Transcript of Motion for a New Trial	07/13/2000	10	2471–2475
Transcript of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Setting of 1. Motion for Leave and 2. Motion for Evidentiary Hearing, <i>Johnson v. Gittere, et al.</i> , Case No. A–19–789336–W, Clark County District Court, Nevada	02/13/2020	49	12249–12263
Transcript of Preliminary Hearing	10/12/1999	2	260–273
Transcript of State's Motion to Permit DNA Testing	09/02/1999	2	252 - 254
Transcript of State's Motion to Videotape the Deposition of Charla Severs	10/11/1999	2	255–259
Transcript of Status Check: Filing of All Motions (Defendant's Motion to Reveal	10/21/1999	2	274–282

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
the Identity of Informants and			
Reveal Any Benefits, Deals,			
Promises or Inducements;			
Defendant's Motion to Compel			
Disclosure of Existence and			
Substance of Expectations, or			
Actual Receipt of Benefits or			
Preferential Treatment for			
Cooperation with Prosecution;			
Defendant's Motion to Compel			
the Production of Any and All			
Statements of Defendant; State's			
Motion to Videotape the			
Deposition of Charla Severs;			
Defendant's Motion in Limine to			
Preclude Evidence of Other			
Crimes; Defendant's Motion to			
Reveal the Identity of			
Informants and Reveal any			
Benefits, Deals' Defendant's			
Motion to Compel the			
Production of any and all			
Statements of the Defendant			
Transcript of the Grand Jury,	09/01/1998	1–2	001–251
State v. Johnson, Case No.			
98C153154, Clark County			
District Court, Nevada			
Transcript of Three Judge Panel	07/24/2000	10–11	2476–2713
- Penalty Phase - Day 1	01/21/2000	10 11	2110 2110
(Volume I)			
(Volume 1)			
Transcript of Three Judge Panel	07/26/2000	11–12	2714–2853
– Penalty Phase – Day 2 and			
Verdict (Volume II)			

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOLUME	PAGE(S)
Transcript Re: Defendant's	01/06/2000	2	307-413
Motions			
Verdict Forms – Three Judge	7/26/2000	12	2854-2869
Panel			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 27, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing Appendix with the Nevada Supreme Court by using the appellate electronic filing system. The following participants in the case will be served by the electronic filing system:

Alexander G. Chen Chief Deputy District Attorney Clark County District Attorney's Office

/s/ Celina Moore

Celina Moore An employee of the Federal Public Defender's Office

1 them. 2 THE COURT: You're not going to be --3 dislike lawyers so much until you don't want to hear or listen to anything they have to say, are you? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Now, here, No. 30 in reference 6 7 to Mr. Johnson being an African-American male, you said, "There seems to be a lot of African-American 8 males getting involved in criminal activity. 10 would be difficult for me to be fair and impartial. I tend to group these people as oppressed, 11 uneducated, and turn to crime as their only way to 12 live." And of course, then you say -- it talks 13 14 about in 31 when they talk about the victims being 15 white, you said, "But as a woman," I am -- "I feel compassion for the families of these four victims." 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm. THE COURT: While you're listening to this 18 19 case, are you going to be thinking about the race of these people and all of that stuff and make your 20 21 decision based on that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That was my initial response about race as the question came up, but I 23 guess I would be open, you know, to hearing about 24 25 the case.

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
1
             THE COURT: Can you listen to the facts
 2
   and the law and make a decision solely on the facts
   and the law?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'll try to.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Well, what do you think
   about -- you work at a church, your husband is a
 6
 7
   pastor of a church.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Um-hmm.
 9
             THE COURT: What do you think about the
10
   death penalty?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I've been thinking
12
   about that a lot. I think it depends on a
13
   case-by-case situation.
14
             THE COURT: So, your religion is not
   opposed to the imposition of the death penalty?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16
             THE COURT: Back on the narrative portion,
17
   you say, "I would like to be excused from this case
18
19
   because of three factors: One, I would not be a
20
   fair and impartial juror because of my stance on the
21
   death penalty."
             What does that mean?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I feel strongly for
23
   the death penalty, especially in light of -- if
24
   someone has been already tried for murder, so I feel
25
```

```
like that's what I'll come in with that -- with that
2
   opinion.
             THE COURT: You say, "I have a
 3
 4
  two-year-old child at home that I have to take care
 5
   of, and that I have no other means of child care
   available."
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't have any
   family here, so it's pretty much myself and my
8
9
   husband.
10
             THE COURT: Counsel?
             MR. DASKAS: May I ask some questions,
11
12
   Judge?
13
             THE COURT: Yes.
14
15
                 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
16 BY MR. DASKAS:
             Let me address, first, your child care
17
18
   issue.
19
             You obviously work during the day
20
   normally?
            And he's with me.
2.1.
22
        Q You take him to work?
             Yeah. He's at the office with me.
23
            Your husband works, as you said, at the
24
   same church. He's a pastor there?
25
```

```
1
        Α
             Yes.
 2
             Is it possible for your husband to take
 3
   your son to work if you're selected as a juror in
   this case just for the next week or so?
              It's difficult, because he meets with
 5
        A
   people outside of the office. He has counseling
   sessions, and it's hard to bring a two-year-old with
 7
8
   him.
9
             I understand. Believe me, I understand.
10
        A
             Yes.
             Is it impossible or is it just difficult
11
   for you to come up with some child care solutions?
12
             Very difficult. Right now, we're just --
13
   he's not working, really, just kind of watching him.
1.4
15
   It's hard on him.
             Let me just move on for a moment to
16
   another issue, and that is the issue of punishment
17
   in this case.
18
             Without putting words in your mouth, what
19
   I hear you saying is now knowing that this man has
20
   been convicted of four murders, you would lean
21
   toward imposition of the death penalty?
22
23
        A
             Yes.
             Wouldn't you want to know everything about
24
   the case first?
25
```

1 I weighed that in my mind, and I thought -- I guess in the questionnaire there are 2 3 other options, and one of them said life with the 4 possibility of parole. THE COURT: Mr. Daskas, I'm just going to 5 stop here. I'm looking at this questionnaire, and it appears -- not to mention the kid that she has 7 that's only two years old, but in Question No. 37, it says, "Are you open to considering all four forms of punishment in a capital case depending on the 10 evidence presented at the trial?" and she said, "No. 11 I would not consider any possibility of parole if 12 13 someone is guilty of first-degree murder. The death 14 penalty should be imposed." And then it says, "In reaching a verdict in the penalty phase, you must 15 16 consider the defendant's background, that is mitigating circumstances," and she goes, "Not at 17 all." 18 19 It appears to me she has her mind made up 20 and that she can't follow the law, so the Court is 21 going to excuse her. You're excused, ma'am. 22 23 MR. STANTON: Your Honor, we had a juror 0165, a Carlos Villareal --24 THE COURT: We issued an order to show 25

```
cause for him.
 1
 2
             MR. STANTON: He's just absent with no
 3
   explanations?
             THE COURT: Right. I thought you heard
 5
   me. I'm sorry.
             THE CLERK: He had faxed in a note from
 6
 7
   the doctor's office that just said he's off work.
 8
             THE COURT: He didn't say anything else.
             Who is next, Miss Kirk?
 9
10
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 199
11
             THE COURT: Miss Kirk, have you heard
12
13
   anything about this case?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 199: No. I didn't move
   here until 1998, so I'm not very familiar with it.
15
16
             THE COURT: Where did you move from?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Desmoines, Iowa.
17
             THE COURT: And you have -- what about --
18
   you have a four-month-old?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
20
                                 Yes.
2.1
             THE COURT: And a four-year-old?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23
             THE COURT: And a seven-year-old and a
24
   12-year-old?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25
```

```
THE COURT: You don't work, do you?
 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.
 2
 3
             THE COURT: Who keeps these kids?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The schools and the
 4
   preschool and then a daycare center out of the home.
 5
             THE COURT: So, a daycare center where?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a licensed lady
   that does it out of her home that lives close to
 9
   where I live.
10
             THE COURT: All right.
             Do you go to church?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12
            THE COURT: What church do you go to?
13
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mormon, Latter Day
   Saint.
15
             THE COURT: You're from Desmoines, Iowa?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17
             THE COURT: What kind of work does your
18
  husband do?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He works for the City.
20
             THE COURT: Do you know what he does for
21
22
  the City?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. He's in the
24
  Public Works department, inspector.
             THE COURT: You served on a jury before;
25
```

```
1
   is that right?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 2
                                 Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: When was that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe it was 2003.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: That was here in Las Vegas?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: And was it an illegal alien
 8
   case where the person came into the country after
   having been deported?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
11
             THE COURT: Were you the foreman of that
12
   jury?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
             THE COURT: Now, back to No. 30 here --
14
   I'm trying to skip over stuff. You said, "I was
15
   brought up in an all white town in the Midwest." It
16
17
   seems like we had three people from Iowa, and they
18
   all said that -- "and I have formed many opinions
19
   about blacks, especially males. These opinions tend
20
   to be negative."
21
             What are these opinions?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, growing up in
22
23
   the Midwest, there was none in my town, and it
   seemed like what I saw was either on the television
24
25
   or on the news at night. It seemed in Desmoines
```

```
that it seemed they were the ones that were
 1
 2
   committing the violent crimes and the rapes and the
 3
   murders, and I formed a stereotype which, being out
   here, I've, of course, had to adapt, and I know a
   lot of nice people that are colored, obviously, but
 5
   it seems like what I was brought up around there, it
 6
 7
   was a negative.
 8
              THE COURT: And you think that would
   affect your ability to be fair in this case?
10
   guess it does, because in 31, you said, "If the
   victims were white, I would be pushing for the death
11
   penalty."
12
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Honestly, though, I
14
   would be pushing for it any color.
15
              THE COURT: I know, but I'm sure these
16
   lawyers are not going to want you on that jury with
17
   that kind of attitude. They're going to think you
   can't put it out of your mind.
18
19
             Also, you said, "I believe in an eye for
   an eye. If you kill someone, you deserve to die."
20
21
   Of course, that's not our law.
22
             I'm going to excuse you, ma'am.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
23
24
             THE COURT: Thank you.
25
             Gee, I thought Iowa was a nice state.
```

```
not going there.
 1.
 2
 3
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 203
             THE COURT: Mr. Summers, you're 20 years
 4
 5
   old?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 203: Yes, sir.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: What do you do?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a truck driver.
 8
             THE COURT: Who do you drive for?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RJB equipment.
10
             THE COURT: Do you have a roommate?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
12
13
             THE COURT: Is that a roommate or is it
14
  more than just a roommate?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's my
   brother-in-law. It's a former brother-in-law or
16
   former roommate.
17
             THE COURT: And he's in college?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19
             THE COURT: I thought you said he was
20
21
   going to school to be a teacher.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What's that? No,
   that's another roommate. That's my girlfriend.
23
             THE COURT: How many roommates do you
24
25
   have?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right now, I have two.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: You have your girlfriend and
 3
   then another friend?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
             THE COURT: Your girlfriend, is she going
 5
   to UNLV?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 7
 8
             THE COURT: And you've never been on a
 9
   jury before.
             Have you or anyone in your family ever
10
11
  been charged with a crime?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother-in-law,
12
13
   that's it.
             THE COURT: What was he charged with?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not quite sure.
15
   think, like, stealing cars, grand theft auto or
16
   something like that.
17
             THE COURT: Now, you've been in your own
18
19
   place for about a year?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A year and a half.
20
             THE COURT: What kind of work does your
21
22
  mom do?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She does slot audit.
23
  She works for a casino.
24
25
             THE COURT: What about your dad?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Truck driver. 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 3 He got you into the business? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 4 THE COURT: You just decided to do it? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I got into it by bad 6 7 luck or something. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 Now, you understand that if you're picked to serve on this jury, you will have to decide the 10 punishment for the defendant. 11 Do you understand that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: He's already been convicted of 14 15 four counts of first-degree murder, so your job, along with your fellow jurors, would be to decide 16 the punishment. 17 Now, you will have four possible 18 punishments to choose from. One is the death 19 penalty, one is life in prison without the 20 possibility of parole, one is life in prison with 21 22 the possibility of parole and one is a definite term of 50 years with the possibility of parole after 20 23 years, and that's doubled to a hundred years because 24 25 a deadly weapon was used.

```
1
             Do you understand that?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand that.
              THE COURT: Would you be able to consider
 3
   all four forms of punishment?
 4
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: And what that means is you
 7
   would be able to look at them, look at the facts of
 8
   the case, the background of the people involved,
   listen to the law and pick the appropriate one to
 9
10
   impose.
             Do you think you can do that?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12
              THE COURT: You're not opposed to the
13
14
   death penalty, are you?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
              THE COURT: You could give someone a
16
17
   hundred years in prison or life in prison with the
   possibility of parole or life in prison without the
18
   possibility of parole if the facts and circumstances
19
20
   warranted?
                                  Definitely.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
22
              THE COURT: Is there one of these that you
23
   can't give?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What's that?
25
              THE COURT: Is there one of these
```

```
sentences that you would not be in a position to
 1
   give or don't feel that you can impose?
 2
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
              THE COURT: So, you're open to all of
 5
   them?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm open to all of
 7
   them.
              THE COURT: What high school did you go
 8
 9
   to?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Western High School.
10
11
             THE COURT: Western.
12
             You graduated when?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: '02.
13
              THE COURT: Now, that high school --
14
15
   that's the high school I went to -- Warriors.
16
             Now, that high school now is like
   75 percent minorities?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so.
1.8
              THE COURT: Do you have any problems with
19
   the Hispanics or the black students over there?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21
22
              THE COURT: State.
23
             MR. STANTON: Thank you, your Honor.
24
   11111
   11111
25
```

1 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE BY MR. STANTON: 2 Good afternoon, Mr. Summers. 3 Q Good morning or afternoon. 4 5 I appreciate your patience today. I have 6 a couple of questions regarding the questionnaire 7 that you filled out approximately a week ago. 8 As you understand here, this jury service 9 involves selecting the punishment, not guilt or 10 innocence; that a prior jury has already found the defendant, as the Judge said, guilty of four counts 11 12 of first-degree murder. 13 Knowing that you would have to come in 14 here selected as a juror in this case and decide the 15 punishment and not guilt or innocence, is that 16 something that you're comfortable with, that you 17 think you can do? I believe so. 18 19 You understand, obviously, that the death 20 penalty is an appropriate punishment in the 21 first-degree murder under certain circumstances. 22 You're 20 years of age. 23 Is that something that you think you can 24 do based upon your life experiences? 25 A I believe so, yes.

```
1
              In this case, there will be evidence that
 2
   is presented or rather graphic, very violent images
   dealing with the underlying quadruple homicide.
 3
              Is that something about your character
 5
   that you think you can look at and not react
 6
   necessarily emotionally but understand its
 7
   evidentiary value for purposes of your deliberation
   in this case?
             Do you think you can do that?
 9
10
             I watch Forensic Files.
             Do you think you're comfortable with that?
11
        0
12
             Yes.
13
             The family member that was -- I think it
14
   was grand theft auto is what you said they were
15
   charged with, was that here in Las Vegas?
16
              I'm actually not quite sure. I don't know
   much about it.
17
             Would it be fair to say, then, that you're
18
        0
   not close to that relative?
19
20
        A
             It's my brother-in-law -- I guess as close
21
   as brother-in-law's be.
22
             Do you believe that the person was treated
23
   fairly?
             He told me that he doesn't want to go
24
25
   back, but he thinks that he deserved what he got and
```

he learned his lesson. 1. There is nothing that the State or the 2 3 defense would have to worry about your perspective based upon that incident in this case, is there? No. 5 Α You indicated relative to the death 6 7 penalty that you would consider it under certain 8 circumstances. Is that a fair statement? 9 10 A Yes. And the facts that you would want to hear 11 deal with the underlying murder and some things 12 about the defendant. Would that be fair too? 13 14 A Yes. 15 Do you always feel that the death penalty 16 is appropriate if someone committed first-degree murder? 17 18 A No. How about if they committed more than one 19 20 first-degree murder? It completely depends on the person, how 21 they were raised, if they were under the influence 22 23 of drugs. There's lots of things -- if they're 24 crazy, you know. 25 In this case, four people approximately

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
your age were killed, and your job as a juror in
  1
  2
    this case is to determine the just punishment as it
    relates to each one of those victims. Now, that
    verdict about what's just may differ as it relates
  5
    to each different victim in this case.
               Do you understand that concept and are you
  6
    comfortable?
  7
         Α
              Yes.
  8
  9
               MR. STANTON: No further questions, your
 10
    Honor.
               Pass the prospective juror for cause.
 11.
               THE COURT: Defense.
 12
               MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor.
 13
 14
                  EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
15
 16
    BY MR. WHIPPLE:
               Mr. Summers, for the time of the evening,
 17
 18
    thank you for being here. I want to ask you some
    questions about high school. It wasn't long ago you
 19
 20
    were in high school.
               Was there a certain group that you hung
 21
    out with in your high school?
 22
 23
         A
              Motor heads, just the auto shop kids,
 24
    mainly.
             If you were to grab -- how many of those
 25
```

```
motor heads would you consider being close friends,
 1
   two, three, four?
             Yeah, something around there -- ten --
 3
        A
 4
   something around there.
 5
             Can you think of, like, a handful of your
   closest friends?
 7
             Yeah.
        A
             Can you kind of envision them?
 8
 9
             Were any of those individuals, say, four
   or five -- were any of them African-American by
10
11
   chance?
12
        A
             No, they were not.
             Were any of them other mixed race?
13
             I had lots of friends that were Mexicans
14
   or -- I didn't have many black friends. I have
15
16
   nothing against them. I was acquaintances with
   them, but outside of school, we did not hang out or
17
18
   anything.
            I understand.
19
        0
             It's been a while since I've been in high
20
   school, but there's kind of little cliques in high
21
22
   school, so you're kind of the group that you hung
23
   out with were the people that were kind of
24
   interested in motor cars and trucks and things like
25
   that?
```

```
1
        A
             Yeah.
 2
             Were there any African-Americans in that
 3
   group?
             There's not many that take auto class, you
 5
   know. It's mainly a bunch of white kids, mainly,
   you know. Every once in a while Hispanics, but I
   went to Western High School, and that's mainly
 7
   Mexicans and blacks, so, you know -- but that's not
   a class that they normally took.
             Okay. I understand that.
10
             Did you have any friends or individuals
11
   that are African-American that you considered
12
13
   friends?
             Yes. Not in high school but elementary,
14
   junior high. I work with some now.
15
16
        0
             It's a non-issue?
17
        A
            Yes.
             Those are not easy questions, but I
18
   appreciate your honesty. They're questions that I
19
   need to ask.
20
             When you got this jury questionnaire, what
21
  kind of thoughts did you have? What kind of came to
22
23
  mind as you read through this and realized, oh, my
24
   gosh, I may be in a position where I have to
   determine the life and death and the future of
25
```

another human being? What are the thoughts that 1 2 came to your mind? Really the day that I filled this out, I 3 A was just, like, "I just want to go home. I'll fill 4 5 it out, get it over with." To think that you would pick me -- I just - I don't know, I guess not much 7 going through my mind. What about now? Here we all are talking 8 about this, you could literally be asked whether a person is going to live or die. 10 That's something pretty major, but I don't 11 know; I guess it just depends on the case itself, 12 you know, and I don't have anything against either 13 of them. It's part of our jury system. 1.4 You're absolutely right. 15 0 16 Do you have any nervousness or trepidation? 17 I think if someone wasn't nervous on that 18 A question, I think they shouldn't be locked up 19 anyway, you know. You should definitely be nervous 20 about that. That's someone's life. 21 22 You're nervous about that? 23 A Oh, yes, definitely. I think anyone 24 should be. 25 But why do you think anybody should be?

```
Because you're playing God or -- I guess
 1
   not that, that's not the word, but you're deciding
 2
   someone's fate.
3
            Serious stuff?
        Q
          Yes, definitely. It's not something that
   should go lightly. There's got to be a lot of
 6
7
   thought in that.
            Do you think you're a person that can do
9
   that?
10
            Yes.
        A
             Why?
11
        0
            I'm not sure why. I guess I analyze a
        A
12
13
  lot.
            Why not? If everybody can do it, do you
14
   feel like you can do it?
             I guess not everyone can do it, but you
16
        A
   know, I believe that I can.
17
            Okay. I appreciate that.
18
        0
             I want to ask you your views on the death
19
20
   penalty.
             Do you have an opinion with regard to the
21
22
   death penalty?
      A Once again, I got to say it goes with the
23
  case. I know the jail systems are overcrowded with
24
25
   a lot of them that should have had the death
```

penalty, but sitting here, it's kind of hard to say, 1 2 "Hey, you should have had the death penalty." Do you think in general, then, the death 3 4 penalty should have been used more often? You know what, I thought that before, but 5 Α now sitting here and, you know, judging this man's 6 7 fate, it just really depends on the case itself. Do you think, "Hey, we should clean out 8 9 the jails and get rid of some people"? 10 That was my thought before last Wednesday. 11 Definitely like -- my views changed a lot since I've been here seeing, you know, because there was a 12 13 jury, and if the jury says, you know, they should 14 die, they obviously -- they didn't come in and say, "Hey, he should live or die," you know; they put 1.5 16 thought into it just as anyone else would. I want to go back. There's two questions 17 that I want to ask about your statement there. 18 19 You said you changed from a week ago. you just change since you've come in here and 20 21 recognize the seriousness of it or did you just 22 change over the last few days when you thought about 23 it? Wednesday, you know, writing the 24 A questionnaire I thought and was thinking this is in 25

my hands. It's a big deal. When you're sitting at 1 2 home watching TV and you say, "Hey, that guy should die, they're going to throw them in the jail and it's going to be overcrowded," but now you're 4 sitting here and you got to look at the case, and 5 it's easier when you're sitting at home saying, "Hey" --7 8 Monday morning quarterback is always a lot easier, isn't it? 9 10 Definitely. A The other thing you commented on is hey 11 this jury they have to make this decision. This is 12 a unique situation. You're not going to see any 13 14 movies that are similar to this. This is not CSI; this is not -- in my era, it's L.A. Law. It's not 15 16 about a trial. Yeah. 17 A Mr. Johnson is about your age, and when he 18 was younger than you, he committed -- he's a 19 20 cold-blooded killer. He killed four people, premeditated -- go ahead and turn it off. He killed 21 four people about your age, premeditated, 22 deliberate, bound them by hands and feet, face down 23 on the carpet, and one by one shot them in the back 24 of the head. Four people died. 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
Can you consider a life sentence knowing
1
   that information?
2
 3
        A
             I could.
             Why?
 4
        Q
             Like I said before, you have to --
   depending on what had happened before -- was he on
7
   drugs? Like I said, it really does depend on the
   trial itself.
            I appreciate it.
             Do you think your youth would be an
10
   advantage or disadvantage or a non-issue in a
11
12
   situation like this?
             I think for my age, I'm very mature, but I
13
   don't think there's any disadvantage or advantage;
14
   it's just depending pretty much on the person
15
   itself.
16
             How about the fact that all of the victims
17
   and Mr. Johnson himself, they're all about your age?
18
             In my opinion, that doesn't matter. It's
19
        Α
   still a person. It's a human being no matter what
20
   age. If they're 65 or five years old, that's still
21
   a person, you know. It don't matter what age.
22
             What if you learned that drugs are
23
   involved, would that change your opinion as to
24
25
   evaluate things?
```

```
It's all depending on the trial, but in
 1
   some circumstances, yes.
 2
 3
             In what way?
             Let's say someone went and smoked some
   crack, they were completely out of it, no control
 5
   over their body, and they went and killed someone
 7
   there. They weren't mentally stable.
             Do you think that's a reason to choose
 8
   life or is that a reason to choose death?
10
            In something like that, I would probably
        A
   do life, you know, but it depends with the rest of
11
   everything else also.
12
            In high school, I'm sure there's groups of
13
   individuals that were known to be drug users; would
14
15
   that be fair to say?
16
        A
             Yes.
             I would say that your group is probably
17
18
   not in that category.
             Actually, a lot of the mechanics and stuff
19
        A
   are pot heads or tweakers.
20
             So, it does exist in your group?
21
             It does exist. I think it exists in every
22
        A
23
   group.
24
             THE COURT: What's a "tweaker"?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just someone who does
```

1 speed. THE COURT: Oh, okay. 2 BY MR. WHIPPLE: 3 You didn't have any prejudgments towards 5 those individuals? 6 A No. I hung out with them. I stayed -- a 7 lot of my friends were pot heads, but tweakers I tried to stay clear of. It's a bad thing. You'll hear at some point if you're chosen to be on this jury that the death penalty is never 10 required, and in fact, there are reasons to choose 11 life over death, and those are what you'll hear 12 13 us -- myself, Miss Jackson talk a lot about 14 mitigation or mitigators, and those are any reason why one would choose life over death. 16 You were asked specific questions about that, and one of them was -- this is Nevada law. 17 Nevada law insist that individuals who are chosen to 18 19 do this type of work can consider what we refer to 20 as to "mitigation." In this question, it says, "You 21 must consider the defendant's background, such as 22 his health, mental status, age, childhood, education," and then it asks, "Do you feel you would 23 24 consider those types of factors?" and you struck "Somewhat." There was four different choices. 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
There was "Very much," "Not at all," "Somewhat,"
 1
 2
   "Not sure."
             Has that change over the last week as
 3
   well?
       A No. You've got to consider everything.
   It's, like I said, someone's life.
 6
 7
             Why didn't you strike "Very much"?
        0
 8
        A
             Why did I not put "Very much"?
             Yes.
 9
        Q
            Because -- I don't know, it's -- you still
10
        A
   did it. If you were out there, you still did it,
11
12 you know.
             I do. I appreciate it. That's well
13
        Q
   answered, actually.
14
             Miss Jackson and I will be offering
15
   information that we consider to be mitigating type
16
   information. There's out there what's known as an
17
   "abuse excuse."
18
             Have you ever heard that, the "abuse
19
   excuse," where you try to blame your problems --
20
            Your problems on what you did?
21
             -- on your bad parents or something like
22
23
   that?
24
        A
             Yeah.
25
            Have you ever heard that?
```

```
I've heard it many times.
 1
        Α
             What do you think about that?
2
             Once again, it depends on the trial, but a
 3
        A
   lot of times you're just, like, how can you blame
          I came from not the greatest upbringing,
   single parent, you know, and I turned out all right,
   you know. I'm probably not the greatest person in
7
   the world, but I did turn out good.
             Now, Miss Jackson and I, we are not
   here -- there's no excuse, there's no justification.
10
   It was a heinous, terrible act. We would never say
11
   anything other than that, but we believe that there
12
13
   is some of this information that you could use in
   order to make a determination as to the future of
14
   Mr. Johnson.
15
             Would you be willing to listen to that
16
17
   type of information?
18
        A
             Yes, definitely.
19
        Q
             Why?
              I think that sitting on a jury would be
20
   very interesting and a good experience.
21
              There will be 12 individuals on a jury.
22
   If you had a lot of them that disagreed with you if
23
   you tended to be in the minority or maybe in the
24
   majority with regard to your beliefs as to what's a
25
```

mitigator or what's not, how would you handle that? 1 2 I guess it depends on what it was, but I'm 3 pretty strong at putting people on my side, I guess. I'm kind of a leader of my group. I can see. 5 I just push my side a lot of the time. 6 7 You'll hear information at some point that 8 the death penalty is never required, and in fact, some of those things that Miss Jackson and I refer 10 to as mitigating circumstances or mitigating information sometimes can't be verbalized, sometimes 11 it's just mercy, sometimes it's just a gut feeling. 12 13 Could you respect other people if they had a different opinion? 14 15 They would have to definitely put me to their side, explain it, you know -- yeah. Either 16 17 way, you're working with 12 other people, that's why 18 they don't just say one person, because one person 19 might have a completely different opinion. When you 20 hear their opinion, then your opinion might change. Can you accept people's opinion that's 21 22 different than your own? 23 A Yes. 24 Q Why? 25 Because there's 12 of us, you know.

```
sure you guys pick the best of the best, whatever,
 1
 2
   and trust other people's judgment, not just go on
   yourself. You're kind of like a team.
 3
             MR. WHIPPLE: Mr. Summers, thank you for
 4
 5
   your time.
             I pass for cause, your Honor.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: All right.
             Mr. Summers, we'll have you come back
 8
   tomorrow at 10:00 o'clock. Okay?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 203: Okay.
10
             THE COURT: We'll let you know tomorrow.
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 203: Thank you.
12
              THE COURT: It's the State's seventh
13
14
   peremptory challenge.
             MR. DASKAS: One moment, please, Judge.
15
             THE COURT: All right.
16
             MR. DASKAS: Your Honor, the State would
17
   exercise, I believe it's our seventh peremptory
18
   challenge on Prospective Juror No. 0132, Mr. Gray.
19
             THE COURT: All right.
20
             Bring in the next one.
21
22
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 205
23
24
              THE COURT: How do you pronounce your last
25
   name, sir?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 205: Shir-brin
 1
 2
   (phonetic.)
             THE COURT: You're originally born in --
 3
   not Grand Rapids -- what's the name of that town you
 4
   were born in?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Coon Rapids.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Coon Rapids, Iowa.
             What kind of work do you do, sir?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm an engineer at the
 9
   Ritz Carlton Hotel.
10
             MR. WHIPPLE: Can we approach, your Honor?
11
             THE COURT: Yes.
12
             (Sidebar conference outside the presence
13
   of the court reporter.)
1.4
             THE COURT: So, Mr. Shirbroun, you're a
15
   member of the NRA, that's the National Rifle
16
   Association?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Correct.
18
             THE COURT: What do you think about
19
20
   serving on this jury here?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm afraid of where I
21
  got my mind made up.
22
              THE COURT: You already have it made up.
23
  I heard you were out there talking about the case
24
   earlier; is that true?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Pardon me?
 1
             THE COURT: Were you outside talking about
 2
   the case with some more jurors?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't recall saying
 5
   much about it other than what we already knew.
             THE COURT: What is it that you already
 6
 7
   knew?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That he had been tried
 8
   and convicted.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay.
10
11
             What else?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That there was four
12
  possibilities, I believe, and we were to do a
13
14
  judgment.
             THE COURT: So, you already have your mind
15
  made up?
16
             What would you sentence him to?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Death.
18
             THE COURT: Is there anything that would,
19
20
   could change your mind on that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Very little, unless it
21
22
   was self-defense.
23
             MR. WHIPPLE: Judge, may we approach
24
   again?
25
              THE COURT: Yes.
```

```
(Sidebar conference outside the presence
 1
   of the court reporter.)
2
 3
             THE COURT: So, you don't think that you
   can be fair in this case and listen to the evidence
   and consider life in prison? Could you consider
 5
   life in prison with the possibility of parole?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
             THE COURT: Tell me this here: Who else
 8
   were you talking to? You were talking to juror
10
   No. 262, 207 and Mr. Stam?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mr. Stam?
11
             THE COURT: Stam, Aaron Stam, No. 164.
12
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I couldn't tell you.
             THE COURT: You don't remember the people
14
   who you were talking to?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16
             THE COURT: All right.
17
             Motion?
18
19
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, we would
   challenge him for cause.
20
             THE COURT: Counsel?
21
22
             MR. STANTON: Submitted.
             THE COURT: The Court will grant the
23
24
   motion.
             You're excused, sir.
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
 1
 2
             MR. WHIPPLE: Your Honor, before juror
 3
   No. 207 arrives --
             THE COURT: What about 206?
 4
             THE BAILIFF: We excused him.
 5
             MS. JACKSON: He was excused for heart
 6
 7
   problems.
 8
             MR. WHIPPLE: 207 is the other individual
 9
   that spoke, your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Bring in 207.
11
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207
12
             THE COURT: Miss Vu?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Vu.
14
             THE COURT: Miss Vu, where are you from,
15
   originally?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Vietnam.
17
             THE COURT: Vietnam. Okay.
18
             How long have you been in the United
19
            How long have you been in the states?
20
   States?
2.1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thirty years.
22
              THE COURT: And you have a bachelor's of
   business administration from UT Austin?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
24
              THE COURT: Did you grow up in Texas?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would say so.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: You went to high school in
 3
   Texas?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: High school in Texas.
              THE COURT: And you have -- what part of
 5
   Texas?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Austin.
 8
              THE COURT: So, you lived in Austin before
 9
   you went to UT?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I lived in Austin and
10
   went to UT Austin.
11
              THE COURT: Okay.
1.2
             And you lived in California also?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
14
              THE COURT: And you were on a civil jury
15
16
   in California?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
17
              THE COURT: And it was an automobile --
18
   were you the foreman of the jury?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Was I?
20
              THE COURT: The foreman.
21
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
              THE COURT: But you did reach a verdict;
23
   is that right?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
25
```

```
THE COURT: What do you think about black
 1
 2
   people?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Same as any kind of
 3
   people.
 5
             THE COURT: Now, were you discussing this
 6
   case with some other people, some other jurors?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
 8
             THE COURT: You didn't hear anything about
 9
   this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
10
11
             THE COURT: So, you didn't hear one of the
  other ladies out there talking about what she had
12
13
   read in the newspaper or heard on the radio or
14
  anything?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. There was no
  discussion like that.
16
17
             THE COURT: We got a report that you and
   Juror No. 262 and 205 were talking about what had
18
19
   happened in the previous case.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In the previous
20
21
   sentencing?
22
             THE COURT: Right, right.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
23
             THE COURT: Did you discuss that?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25
```

```
THE COURT: All right.
 1
 2
             What was said?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was said that the
 4
   verdict was reached.
              THE COURT: What verdict was that?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That the defendant was
 6
 7
   found guilty.
 8
              THE COURT: All right.
             We know he was found guilty, but what was
 9
10
   the punishment?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The punishment was
12
   death penalty.
13
              THE COURT: And what happened?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was decided by the
   Supreme Court Judges in Nevada, however, it should
15
16
   have been decided by a jury.
17
              THE COURT: All right.
             So, that was discussed out there.
18
             Who else was in this conversation with
19
         How many people were talking about this?
20
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe two or
22
   three, including myself.
              THE COURT: Two or three. Okay.
23
             Did you go and tell anybody else about it?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25
```

```
1
              THE COURT: So, how does that make you
 2
   feel?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Regarding --
 4
              THE COURT: Well, that he had already been
   sentenced to death, what effect is that going to
 5
   have on you? You already know he's been sentenced
 6
   to death.
 7
 8
             You can't get that out of your mind, can
 9
   you?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maybe I don't
10
   understand why. We don't make the decision in
11
   regards to finding him guilty or not. That's my
12
13
   understanding.
              THE COURT: Right, right. You decide on
14
   the punishment.
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
16
   That's my understanding.
17
              THE COURT: So, my point is -- we know
18
19
   this.
          My point is that you heard that he had
   already been sentenced to death by judges, and that
20
   it had been reversed, so now you have to sentence
21
22
   him, right?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm.
23
24
              THE COURT: What do you think is the
25
   appropriate sentence? He killed four people, been
```

```
convicted of four counts of first-degree murder.
 1
   What do you think the penalty should be?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I really don't know
 3
   what would be in the trial.
             THE COURT: We're not talking about a
 5
   trial. We're not going to have a trial. The trial
 6
 7
   is already over with. He's been convicted.
 8
             Do you understand that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
 9
10
             THE COURT: First-degree murder just like
11
   was said outside, he's been convicted of
   first-degree murder. What you have to do is
12
13
   sentence him.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
14
             THE COURT: You can sentence him to death,
15
   to life in prison without parole, life in prison
16
   with the possibility of parole or to a term of 50
17
   years and parole after 20 years, and that's doubled
18
19
   because a deadly weapon was used.
             As you sit there now, what sentence would
20
21
   you sentence him to?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I haven't given it any
23
   thought.
             THE COURT: You haven't given it any
24
25
   thought. All right.
```

```
Do you feel like you would have to
 1
   sentence him to death? You already heard this
2
   happened before, didn't you?
3
             What do you think of the death penalty?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think the death
 5
   penalty, if it is something that is decided should
 6
   be immediately delivered and not prolong someone by
 7
   making this person sit in jail until the system is
 8
   good and ready.
9
             THE COURT: So, what do you think of life
10
   in prison without parole? Do you agree with that?
11
   Do you think that's a good sentence or we should
12
   have it or we should not have it?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think that's a
14
   good sentence.
15
              THE COURT: Because you don't think -- why
16
17
   not?
                                  That's always a -- I
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
18
   don't know what they call that -- a hearing of some
19
   kind, a presidential pardon, something will happen.
20
              THE COURT: So, they won't stay in prison.
21
             So, you don't believe even though the law
22
   says they can get prison without parole, you believe
23
   they will get paroled by a pardon or something else?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's not to me in my
25
```

```
1
   own opinion, it's not a sealed sentence, no.
              THE COURT: So, you think people can
 2
 3
   change it later on. All right.
             Even if I told you that that's not the
 5
   law, that it couldn't be changed, you still think it
   could be changed?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 8
             THE COURT: In a case like this where a
   person has killed four people, first-degree,
 9
10
   premeditated, deliberate and without provocation,
   could you consider sentencing him to 50 years in
11
   prison and a consecutive 50 years with parole after
12
13
   40 years?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15
             THE COURT: Counsel?
16
             MR. WHIPPLE: Challenge for cause, your
17
   Honor.
18
             MR. STANTON: No objection, your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: All right. You're excused,
20
   ma'am.
21
22
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 230
23
             THE COURT: Mr. Heichel.
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 230: Yeah, that's
25
   right.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Mr. Heichel, you're from
 2
   California, originally?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 3
                                 Yes.
 4
             THE COURT: And you've been in Las Vegas
 5
   how long?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Let's see, we bought
 7
   our house in Las Vegas in June.
             THE COURT: Of what year?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 9
                                 Two years ago.
10
             THE COURT: So, you've been here for two
11
   years.
             What kind of work do you do?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually, I didn't
13
14
   retire until November, so it was -- we held the
1.5
   house here for a while.
16
             THE COURT: Where did you retire from?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I retired as an
18
   engineer -- electrical engineer.
19
             THE COURT: Who did you work for?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I worked for a company
20
   called "Remmick" who had bought a company called
21
   "Magnum Microwave" that I worked for originally.
22
23
   Remmick is in San Diego.
             THE COURT: Now, you have a son who is an
24
25
   ophthalmologist?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: And you have two children that
 3
   are students; is that correct?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have two daughters
 4
 5
   that are in college, right.
             THE COURT: They're going to college in
 6
 7
   California?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
 8
             THE COURT: And you have a son who is a
 9
   manager -- is that right, at a hotel?
10
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's in a training
12
   program now and will probably be in management.
13
             THE COURT: He's a management trainee?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Either restaurant or
14
15
   resort.
1.6
             THE COURT: You haven't heard anything
17
   about this case; is that correct?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I haven't heard
1.8
   anything about it, no, other than what was presented
19
20
   in the questionnaire and at the jury meeting.
             THE COURT: Now, you haven't heard anybody
21
22
   talk about this case outside among the jurors, have
23
   you?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
25
             THE COURT: Now, you said your son was
```

```
assaulted once but no prosecution or trial?
 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
 2
              THE COURT: He didn't call the police or
 3
 4
   file a complaint with the police?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; he just got
 5
   punched in the nose, kind of blind-sided by some kid
 6
 7
   at a party.
 8
              THE COURT: How long ago was this?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, it was back
 9
   when -- that's the guy who is a doctor now, so it
10
   was like ten years ago when he was an undergraduate.
11
              THE COURT: It was a party in college?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, it was a college
13
14
   party, young people.
              THE COURT: What was the race of the
15
16
   person that punched him?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a white guy,
   just a hot head, I guess.
18
19
              THE COURT: Okay.
             Tell me this here: Now, you understand
20
   that this jury will decide the punishment for the
21
   defendant who has been convicted of four counts of
22
23
   first-degree murder.
             Do you understand that?
24
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand that from
```

```
1
   the questionnaire, right.
 2
              THE COURT: Right.
 3
              Now, under our law in the State of Nevada,
 4
   the jury has to be able to consider all four forms
 5
   of punishment that the law provides for.
              Do you understand that? The law states --
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could sort of pick
 8
   that up.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          So, the law states that the
10
   jury, after hearing the evidence, listening to the
11
   witnesses and being instructed on the law, will have
12
   to decide the appropriate punishment, and it has to
13
   be either the death penalty, life imprisonment
14
   without the possibility of parole, life imprisonment
   with the possibility of parole or a definite term of
1.5
   50 years with the possibility of parole after 20
16
17
   years, and that's doubled because a deadly weapon
   was used.
18
             Do you understand that?
19
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
              THE COURT: You don't understand it?
21
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I understand
23
   there's four choices.
24
              THE COURT: And you have to pick the one.
25
   It's your choice based upon the evidence and the
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702

(702) 455-3610

AA03546

law. You have to pick the one that you think is 1 most appropriate. 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And that would be 4 expanded upon, I suppose, by the counsels in the 5 trial. THE COURT: What do you mean "expanded 6 7 upon"? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I mean, they 9 would say, you know -- I guess the law just says that you have to pick one of the four but doesn't 10 11 give any guidelines. THE COURT: The Court will give you the 12 13 quidelines, so to speak, which is the law and the 14 instructions. That's what the legal instructions will be -- will instruct you on how to judge the 15 16 evidence and look at the evidence and how you have 17 to analyze it. We'll give you all of that later, 18 and the lawyers will present evidence and facts about the case, about the parties, their background, 19 20 all kinds of things to give you this information so 21 you can make your decision with it. What I need to know is with your mindset, are you religiously --22 strike that. 23 Do you have any religious or moral 24 25 opposition to the death penalty?

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't.
                                               I don't
 2
   like it in general. I don't think anybody wants to
 3
   send somebody to death, but I presume that -- you
   know, that the law has it as one of the alternatives
 5
   for a reason, because they may want -- that isn't
   the case.
 6
 7
             THE COURT:
                         That is one of the punishments
8
   for this type of crime, and what we're required to
9
   do is to see if, in fact, you could follow the law
   which is considered the death penalty. See, some
10
   people are absolutely opposed to the death penalty
11
   and could, under no circumstances, impose it. If
12
13
   that's the case, then they wouldn't be able to
14
   follow the law. So, what I'm asking you is are you
   unalterably opposed to the death penalty?
15
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not unalterably
17
   opposed to it.
             THE COURT: You just find it difficult and
18
19
   it's something serious?
                                        It's certainly a
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21
   drastic -- yeah.
                        The ultimate punishment, so to
22
             THE COURT:
23
   speak?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
             THE COURT: But tell me this here:
25
```

```
1
   the right circumstances and facts, could you impose
 2
   the death penalty?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 3
                                  Yeah.
              THE COURT: In a case like this, there's
 4
 5
   three other possibilities also, like life in prison
   with the possibility of parole or for a definite
   term of 50 years with the possibility of parole
 7
 8
   after 20 years, and of course, that's doubled
   because a deadly weapon was used, so you're really
10
   looking at a hundred years with the possibility of
11
   parole after 40 years.
12
             Would you be able to consider all those
13
   forms of punishment?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Sure.
14
15
              THE COURT: And you could consider life in
16
   prison without the possibility of parole if it was
17
   appropriate?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
19
             THE COURT: State.
20
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
21
22
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
   BY MR. DASKAS:
23
24
             Mr. Hi-kel (phonetic) it's pronounced?
25
             Right.
        A
```

```
Thank you for your patience this evening.
 1.
   Let me ask you a few questions, if you don't mind.
 2
 3
              Your role in this case, if you were a
   juror, is to impose punishment. Part of that is
 4
   you're required to accept what another jury has
   already done, because another jury has already
 7
   convicted the defendant of four counts of murder.
 8
             My question is, are you comfortable
   accepting that other jury's verdict?
 9
              I think so, because they have to have a
10
   unanimous decision on that.
11
12
             Absolutely.
13
              The case was apparently fairly clear-cut,
14
   but I don't know.
             Let me ask you this --
15
              Not knowing anything about the case, you
16
   know, you would have to assume that was the fact.
17
              Let me ask you this in a slightly
18
        Q
   different way. If the Judge was to instruct you
19
   that you were required to accept that other verdict,
20
   could you follow that instruction?
21
22
              Yes.
        A
              And understand, as I mentioned a couple
23
   days ago, we will provide you with some of the
24
   highlights, if you will, of the homicide themselves.
25
```

```
Would that make you feel more comfortable in relying
 1
 2
   on that other jury's verdict, that is --
 3
             Yes.
             What I heard you say a moment ago was that
   the death penalty is, I guess, a drastic -- did I
 5
   say that right? What word did you use?
             It's distasteful to have to send anybody
 7
8
   to death. Obviously, four other people apparently
   met death here somewhere too.
             Understand that none of us take this
10
11
   lightly.
             You appreciate that?
12
13
             I appreciate that.
        A
             Even those of us who stand up and ask for
14
   someone to impose the ultimate punishment, we don't
15
16
   take that lightly. You appreciate that?
             I presume it's your job.
17
        A
             But as you said, the legislature has
18
   determined that that is the appropriate punishment
19
   in a first-degree murder case.
20
             You realize that?
21
22
        A
             Right.
             If you're selected as a juror and you hear
23
   all the evidence of the underlying homicide
24
   themselves and you hear all the information about
25
```

```
the defendant and you deliberate and you take that
   into consideration, if at that point you believe the
 2
   ultimate punishment is warranted, the death penalty
 3
 4
   is warranted, do you actually feel like you can
 5
   impose that punishment?
 6
        A
             Yes.
             You see the distinction I'm making? We're
 7
   talking about just considering it but then having to
8
   oppose it on the other hand.
10
        A
             Right.
             And you may be faced with that decision.
11
             Yeah; it's not something I would want to
12
        I would prefer to be let off the jury myself.
13
   do.
14
              I understand.
              It's not something I want in my lifetime
15
   to have to sit in judgment on.
16
             Are your beliefs such that you couldn't do
17
        O
   it or you just prefer not to do it?
18
              I would prefer to avoid the whole thing,
19
        A
20
   but I could do it.
             You understand how important that is for
21
   us and for --
22
              I understand, yeah.
23
        A
              -- the victims and their family members?
24
        Q
25
        A
              Right.
```

You asked a question of the Judge. What I 1 2 heard you say was something about whether any of the options, four possible punishments were required. 3 You understand that none of those are 4 required; it would be your decision to select the 5 appropriate punishment? 6 Yeah. I wasn't sure whether in the law 7 8 there was a guideline in there saying general, for this kind of offense, this would be the punishment or not; so, I'm totally unknowledgeable about the 10 law and how it's written. 11 You're not alone in that belief. 12 The other thing I'd like you to 13 understand, and perhaps you already do, is that 14 because there are four convictions in this case, 15 four first-degree murder convictions, you will 16 assign punishment for each of those four 17 convictions. 1.8 Do you understand that? 19 I see. It's sort of redundant, isn't it? 20 The most egregious one is probably going to outweigh 21 22 the other three. What I want you to understand is this: 23 Probably you as a juror can actually impose 24 25 different punishments for those four murder victims.

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

1 In other words, maybe you and the other members of 2 the jury agree, "Let's impose life in prison for one of the murders," but perhaps you might decide when you get to murder number four that the death penalty 4 5 is appropriate. Do you understand that that is an option? 6 7 I see. A 8 Does that make sense to you? 9 A I understand. 10 Let me ask you one final question, and I'm 11 going to really put you on the spot here. As a 12 juror, you will be required to select a foreperson, 13 and that foreperson has the same role as every other 14 juror, with one exception. The foreperson signs the 15 verdict form. Let's imagine that the other jurors 16 select you, Mr. Heichel, as the foreperson, and 17 you're the one who has to sign that verdict form that sentences this defendant to death. 18 I'm putting 19 the cart before the horse, but I want to make sure we appreciate the magnitude of this. 20 21 Let's assume that you believe in your 22 heart of hearts, based on this case and based on his 23 background and everything else, that he deserves the 24 death penalty, could you be the one to sign that 25 verdict form?

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

1 A I would rather somebody else be the 2 foreman. 3 And you may not be the foreperson. We'll leave it at that. I appreciate it. 5 MR. DASKAS: Judge, we'll pass for cause. THE COURT: Counsel. 6 7 8 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE 9 BY MR. WHIPPLE: 10 Good afternoon, Mr. Heichel. My name is Bret Whipple, and I represent Mr. Donte Johnson. 11 12 I'm going to follow up on some of the comments that have been made. This is an unusual situation. 13 14 We're not here to ask for -- to say there was any justifications or excuses. I represent an 15 16 individual who has killed, murdered four innocent, 17 young adults. I make no bones about it. 18 appreciate your honesty. There's no right answers, 19 there's no wrong answers, but I want you to be aware 20 that you had said that you would like to learn all 21 the facts and circumstances surrounding this matter, 22 and at some point you'll get information that death penalty is never required. In fact, you'll receive 23 information that you'll be asked to consider all of 24 25 this information, that you'll be asked and required

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (70

(702) 455-3610

to listen to this information, and Miss Jackson and 1 I will refer to this as "mitigation," "mitigators." 2 That's a reason to give a life sentence over a death 3 sentence. So, I want to ask you, first of all, can you consider a life sentence with a first-degree, 6 premeditated, deliberate murder? 7 A life sentence -- oh, sure, I can consider that, yeah. 9 Now, in this case you're also going to 10 hear that four young adults were bound, hands behind 11 them with duct tape, their legs were bound, they 12 were laid in a room face down on the floor and 13 summarily shot in the back of the head one after 14 another, essentially. 15 Knowing nothing more, could you consider a 16 life sentence in a situation like this? 17 I don't know any reason why the four were 18 A Were they involved in conflict -- you know, 19 I have no idea of what the trial said, why they were 20 bound. Were they threatening the defendant 21 originally? I'm not sure. So, "yes" is the answer 22 to your question. 23 Now, that mitigation that I mentioned 24 earlier -- we'll be providing you information about 25

```
1
   that. In fact, there was a question in this jury
   questionnaire. It says, "In reaching a verdict in a
   penalty phase, you must consider the defendant's
 3
   background, that is, mitigating circumstances such
 5
   as the defendant's health, mental status, age,
   childhood, education," et cetera, and then it asks,
 7
   "Do you feel you would consider those types of
 8
   factors?" You struck the response, "Somewhat."
 9
             Nevada law requires any juror that can sit
10
   on this type of hearing, that they can consider
11
   those factors. When you struck the question
12
   "Somewhat," what were you thinking?
13
             Would you read the question?
        A
1.4
              I will. It says, "In reaching a verdict
15
   in this penalty phase, you must consider the
16
   defendant's background, that is, mitigating
17
   circumstances such as the defendant's health, mental
   status, age, childhood experiences, education, et
1.8
19
   cetera. Do you feel you would consider those types
20
   of factors?" And there are four responses -- "Very
   much," "Not at all," "Somewhat" and "Not sure," and
21
22
   you put "Somewhat."
23
             Well, the two extremes, "Not at all" and
        A
24
   totally -- what was the extreme?
25
              "Very much" and "Not at all."
```

"Somewhat" just means yeah, I would 1 consider them. I think defense people can be very 2 clever and say, "Oh, my aunt struck me when I was 3 three." You would consider some things that would be raised by the defense, and you would throw out 5 other things raised by the defense just depending on 6 7 how they seemed. 8 Q Absolutely. And that's your prerogative. That's why I said "Somewhat." 10 But you would be willing to, at least, consider this information that we provided to you? 11 12 Α Yeah. Now, Miss Jackson and I -- this 13 14 information that we're providing to you is by no 15 means an excuse or justification or to suggest 16 anything this is anything other than a heinous act, but it's what we feel might be appropriate to 17 determine the future of Mr. Johnson. Some of the 18 19 instructions or information that you will get is some of the mitigation might not be necessarily 20 articulated. Sometimes mercy or even a gut feeling 21 22 is a valid choice for giving life over death. If other individuals disagreed with your 23 position -- if you had a gut feeling to choose life 24 over death, how would you handle it if other 25

1 individuals differed from you? 2 You mean if other people were adamant about death? 3 Yes. 5 I would want to hear their reasons, you 6 know, and make them -- hear that argument, and I would want to hear countervailing arguments, and I presume we're going to hear that in a trial --9 penalty trial. I guess you're going to have 12 10 people who are going to come out of that with their 11 own reactions and concepts. I think it's probably 12 tough for the prosecution to get, you know -- it's 13 got to be a unanimous decision, so I would think 14 that the probability of 12 people coming back in and saying, you know, "Death is small" -- I think the 15 16 prosecution probably has a huge barrier to overcome 17 to get 12 people to be of one mind and do that. You 18 know, it's just going to be, I would presume, a lot of back and forth in the jury room talking about it. 19 20 Would you be able to accept the people if 21 they had a different opinion from your own? 22 Well, sure. I'm not infallible. 23 There was one question I noticed on here 24 that I have to follow up on. It's asking about the 25 legal system in general, and you said, "It's messy

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
and inefficient."
 1
 2
              I'm just curious, what causes you to have
 3
   that opinion?
              That the legal system and messy and
 4
        A
 5
   inefficient --
             Yeah, but --
 6
        0
 7
             -- but it's the best system we have.
 8
   There's a famous quotation or something like that.
   It is. I've been through a divorce once.
 9
   never went to trial but, you know, being deposed and
10
   all of that going on, you know, is just really
11
   messy, something to be avoided if you want a nice
12
13
   life.
1.4
             A company I worked for was sued by a
   previous company I worked for, and I had to go be
15
   deposed on that, and then I had an issue with State
16
17
   Farm Insurance once where my home was damaged by an
   earthquake and there were depositions and all that.
18
   I started to get a lawyer to represent me and, you
19
   know, the lawyers just love charging by the hour, so
20
21
   it seem like, man, this is going to be dragged on
22
   forever, so I went out and got a negotiator type
23
   instead of a lawyer. You know what I mean, messy
   and inefficient is what it is.
24
             MR. WHIPPLE: We make a living on it
25
```

```
1
   sometimes, so I appreciate your time.
 2
             Pass for cause, your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: You want to have a seat
 4
   outside, sir?
             We'll take a recess.
 5
              (Recess taken.)
 6
 7
              THE COURT: I think it's the defense's
 8
   seventh peremptory challenge.
 9
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, that's going to
   be Barbara Grant, 0059.
10
              THE COURT: 059?
11
12
             MS. JACKSON: Um-hmm.
13
             THE CLERK: Seat eight.
14
             THE BAILIFF: Kyle Dormanen didn't answer.
              THE COURT: Order to show cause.
15
16
17
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 234
18
              THE COURT: Mr. Clarke, how long have you
19
   been on the mainland?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 234: Fourteen years.
21
             THE COURT: Fourteen years.
22
             And you went to high school in St. Croix?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One year.
24
             THE COURT: Where did you go the rest of
25
   the time?
```

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two years in Florida
 2
   and my senior year here in Vegas.
 3
              THE COURT: Are you working now?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: What kind of work are you
   doing?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a press operator
 7
 8
   for the RJ.
 9
             THE COURT: Review Journal?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
10
              THE COURT: How long have you been doing
11
12
   that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At the RJ or just
13
1.4
   printing in general?
             THE COURT:
                         RJ.
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Three months.
16
             THE COURT: Three months?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm.
18
              THE COURT: Have you heard anything about
19
   this case?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No, I haven't.
21
              THE COURT: And you've never been on a
22
   jury before?
23
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I haven't.
25
              THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
```

```
family ever been charged with a crime?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
 3
              THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
   family ever been the victim of a crime?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
 5
              THE COURT: Now, you understand that the
 6
   defendant has been convicted of four counts of
 7
   first-degree murder. The trial we're having now is
   to determine the punishment.
 9
10
             Do you understand that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11
12
             THE COURT: And you'll have four
   punishments to choose from. One of them is the
13
14
   death penalty, and I want to know, do you have any
   religious or moral opposition to the death penalty?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
16
             THE COURT: You can consider that?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18
             THE COURT: The other ones are life
19
   imprisonment without the possibility of parole --
20
   could you consider that?
21
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
             THE COURT: And the final two are life
23
   imprisonment with the possibility of parole or for a
24
   definite term of 50 years with the possibility of
25
```

```
parole after 20 years, and those are doubled because
 1
 2
   a deadly weapon was used, so you're looking at a
 3
   hundred years and parole after 40 years.
             Do you understand that?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 5
             THE COURT: That's the definite term for
 6
 7
   the one that calls for a definite term.
 8
             Could you consider all four forms of
 9
   punishment?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yeah.
             THE COURT: Now, have you already made up
11
   your mind about what the punishment should be?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13
14
             THE COURT: You will listen to all the
15
   evidence, the facts, the history, the people
16
   involved, the facts of the case and you can listen
   to the Court's instructions on the law?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18
             THE COURT: And after listening to all of
19
   that, do you think you can pick the appropriate
20
21
   verdict?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so, yes.
23
             THE COURT: Do you have any bias or
  prejudice against either side for any reason?
24
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          Can you be fair and impartial?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          State.
 4
              MR. DASKAS: Thanks, Judge.
 5
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
 6
   BY MR. DASKAS:
 7
 8
              Good evening, and thank you for your
   patience waiting for the past couple of days.
 9
10
   appreciate it.
11
              One of the questions asked about whether
   you're open to consider all four forms of
12
13
   punishment, and you wrote an answer, "Yes, depending
14
   on the crime and the brutality of the crime."
15
        A
              Yes.
              I take it based on that, it would be
16
   important for you to learn about the underlying
17
18
   facts of the quadruple homicide itself.
        Α
19
              Yes.
20
              The more brutal the crime, perhaps the
21
   more severe punishment is warranted?
22
        Α
              It should be.
23
              Generally speaking?
24
        A
              Yes.
25
              You would also want to hear some things
```

about the defendant himself? 1 2 Yeah, I want to hear both sides. 3 Any problem, as the Judge alluded to, 4 accepting the fact that another jury has already 5 heard the evidence in this case, listened to the questions and the cross-examination and convicted 6 the defendant of all four crimes -- all four 7 8 murders? 9 A Yes. 10 You have no problem accepting that that 11 occurred? 12 A No. 13 And if the Judge instructs you that you 14 have to accept that verdict as being the proper verdict, you're okay with that? 15 16 A Yes. 17 You know it's one thing to read that questionnaire and think in general terms about the 18 19 death penalty, but I think it's something all 2.0 together different to be in the position of having to impose the death penalty which is the position 21 22 you could find yourself in in the very near future, 23 and we don't expect you to take that lightly. That's a huge decision, and even as representatives 24 25 of the State and the victims in this case, we know

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
1
   it's a big decision.
 2
             If you're a juror and if you believe,
3
   after hearing everything, that death is the
   appropriate punishment, can you impose that
 5
   sentence?
        A
             Yes.
 6
 7
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, sir.
             Judge, we'll pass the juror for cause.
 8
9
                EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
10
   BY MS. JACKSON:
11
             Good evening, sir. How are you this
12
13
   evening?
14
        Α
             Tired.
             Tired of sitting around here. We're
15
   almost there, and we appreciate you hanging in there
16
   with us.
17
             These questions are a wee bit confusing.
18
   Number 33 asked you "To what extent should the
19
   mental status of the defendant be considered?" and
20
   you put, "I don't know," which is actually a good
21
   answer. We asked again in 38, "In reaching a
22
   verdict in this penalty phase, you must consider the
23
24
   defendant's background, that is, mitigating
   circumstances such as the defendant's health, mental
25
```

AA03567

status, age, childhood experience, education, et 1 2 cetera," and we asked, "Do you feel you would 3 consider those types of things?" 4 Well, we didn't tell you that under Nevada 5 law, the law states that you must consider those types of factors along with everything else that 6 7 you'll be presented with. For example, if the defendant, as an infant -- as a child was not given enough food to eat or suffered other types of abuse, 10 are those types of things that you would like to 11 know? Perhaps. 12 You said "perhaps." 13 If it was childhood, depending on how 14 A 15 young he was or how old he was at that time. 16 0 Okay. What are some types of things --17 18 "mitigation" is any reason that you, as a juror, can 19 find to give life. As a matter of fact, you will 20 find -- when the appropriate time comes, the Court will instruct you in mitigation. We're going to 21 22 give you a list of things, but it can be anything you say it is. For example, you can hear from the 23 defendant's mother, and you may decide that her 24 testimony is compelling and you will decide that you 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

want to spare his life for her sake. 1 considered mitigation. 2 Give me some idea of what types of items 3 4 you would find to be mitigators? Like you said, his mom or grandparents or 5 A maybe childhood friends. 6 7 Q So, you are open to listening and fairly considering that type of information? 8 Yes. 9 A With life or death being such a very 10 serious position, and of course, everything that I 11 say you have to bear in mind that the State will get 12 a chance to present their side, but you understand, 13 1.4 Mr. Johnson is my client, so if I leave that part out, please forgive me. It's a wee bit late in the 15 day, but the fact of the matter is we've got four 16 17 homicides here that my client stands convicted of. Okay? You indicated in your questionnaire that 18 depending upon the crime and the brutality, there 19 isn't -- I'm going to just be frank with you. Four 20 21 people were duct taped, bound at the feet, hands, shot in the back of the head for basically no real 22 reason, no justifiable reason and no excuse, and 23 we're going to talk to you about a number of things 24 that went into making Donte Johnson who he is. 25

```
1
              Are you open to listening to those things?
 2
        A
              I guess, yeah.
 3
              There was a long, hesitant pause.
   was running through your mind?
 4
 5
              Yeah, because --
        A
 6
              Four people were killed.
 7
        Α
              Like you said, it was the type of crime
 8
   and the brutality and the type of crime, it would
   have no -- what do you call those -- what's the word
   I'm looking for -- just like militant-type
10
11
   executions.
                That's what that was, so....
12
              It was very extreme, no argument there.
13
             And knowing that, and knowing that we're
14
   going to give you some things on Mr. Donte Johnson's
   behalf, we're going to tell you some things that are
   mitigating. You'll hear from both sides. What I'm
16
1.7
   trying to find out is just the mere fact that there
18
   are four victims here, will that fact alone cause
19
   you to automatically think that, "Well, this has got
20
   to be -- "If this is not a death penalty case, then
21
   my God, what is?" you know what I'm saying?
22
             Where are you in regards to that type of
23
   thinking?
24
        Α
             Death penalty?
25
             The example I just gave you -- trying to
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
find out if you can keep an open mind, listen to
 1
 2
   what they have to say about the case, about the
   victims, about the crime and then weigh that against
   what we have to put on -- Mr. Donte Johnson's
   family, some other things about his background and
 5
   things of that nature, that we consider to be
   mitigation. All we're trying to find out -- is it
 8
   Mr. Clarke --
 9
        A
            Yes.
            -- if you could fairly consider those
10
11
   things. I'm not asking you to tell me how you're
   going to vote.
12
            You can consider it, but I mean, like I
13
   said, that's execution style. I mean, there's no
14
   real explanation for it. That's straight up
15
16
   execution.
        Q
17
             Right.
             Are you saying that because we've got four
18
   people execution style, in your mind that
19
   automatically has to equal death, a death penalty
20
21
   vote?
22
             I would hear both sides, but that's just
   where I'm leaning toward right now.
23
             You know, that's okay. I think that would
24
   be a normal human reaction to hear that.
25
```

```
1
              Yeah.
              What type of -- is there anything, in your
 2
 3
   mind, that could mitigate this type of crime to
   where you can't consider a life verdict?
 4
 5
        A
              Maybe.
              Can you consider -- go ahead, sir.
 6
 7
        A
              Maybe it was some kind of -- the four
 8
   people maybe did something to his family, maybe.
        Q
 9
              Okay.
              That's something you would want to know
10
   about?
11
              Not the word "revenge," but --
12
13
              I understand.
              Do you think that life in prison is a
14
   severe punishment?
1.5
              Yeah, depending on the crime.
16
              The crime is first-degree murder times
17
   four.
18
19
        A
              Yeah.
              Do you think that's a severe punishment?
20
              Just life -- not really.
21
              I'm not trying to trick you. I just want
22
   to know are you telling me that you cannot consider
23
   life in prison, period?
24
              Again, from just what you explained as the
25
        A
```

```
type of murder it was, four times, it's kind of hard
 2
   to lean anywhere else.
 3
             I'm not asking you to lean one way or
   another, Mr. Clarke.
 5
             Just how you described the crimes of
        A
   murder, to me, it's just --
             To you it equals death, sir?
             MR. DASKAS: Judge, I apologize to
   Miss Jackson, and I apologize to Mr. Clarke.
                                                  That's
  been asked and answered five times now, and I'm
10
1 1
   going to object based on Rule 7.7, asked and
12
   answered.
             THE COURT: You have asked it a number of
13
14
   times, Miss Jackson.
15
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, I can't get a
   straight answer, Judge, with all due respect.
16
             THE COURT: He said he would consider it.
17
  He said he wouldn't automatically --
18
             MS. JACKSON: No.
19
             THE COURT: Ask it one more time.
20
21
   the last time.
   BY MS. JACKSON:
22
23
             Four people -- can you consider life
   imprisonment, sir?
24
25
             I can consider it, yes.
```

```
With four dead people?
 1
        0
 2
        A
              Yes.
 3
             But you will also give fair consideration
 4
   to mitigation?
        A
              Yes.
 5
              MS. JACKSON: I would pass Mr. Clarke for
 6
 7
   cause, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right.
 8
 9
             Mr. Clarke, have a seat outside, sir.
10
              The State may exercise their eighth and
11
   final peremptory challenge.
             MR. DASKAS: One moment, Judge.
12
13
              THE COURT: Go on.
             MR. DASKAS: Judge, the State would
14
   exercise its eighth peremptory challenge on Badge
15
   No. 0230, Mr. Heichel seated in seat No. 11, Judge.
16
17
              THE COURT: Tell Mr. Heichel he can go and
   bring in the next juror. I believe that's Bray.
18
19
20
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 238
              THE COURT: Mr. Bray, you've been in
21
22
   Las Vegas for 35 years?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 238: Yes, sir.
23
24
              THE COURT: Originally from Washington?
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
```

```
THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm retired truck
 2
   driver. My wife and I own a small business.
 3
             THE COURT: What kind of business?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She does balloon
 5
   decorating for parties and conventions and things.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: I see.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right now, it's pretty
 8
 9
   tough on us.
             THE COURT: Now, you state that you listen
10
   to talk shows and radio, and they talk about the
11
   outcome of cases that seem to be unfair.
12
             Who do you listen to on the radio?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, you know, your
14
   typical Judge Judy and that kind of thing. Some of
15
   the radio stations kind of carry it out to an
16
   extent. They talk about cases where people have
1.7
   gotten their thumbs caught in a door and sued
18
   somebody for large amounts of money.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. I see. That sort of
20
21
   thing.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
22
             THE COURT: What about a criminal case?
23
   Have you ever listened to Rush Limbaugh and those
24
25
   quys?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: You said that one of your
 3
   daughters is dating a black man?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
 4
             THE COURT: Is that causing you any kind
 5
 6
   of problems, or are you going to hold that against
7
   the defendant?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I don't know
   about that, but right now, they are in a nasty
   argument, so it's not real pleasant around the
10
11
   house.
             THE COURT: Your daughter lives with you?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
13
             THE COURT: Which daughter is it?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's my youngest one,
15
16
   Laura.
             THE COURT: She's the accountant?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. She works for
1.8
   Integrity Chrysler.
19
             THE COURT: How long have they been
20
21
   together?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They've been on and
22
  off for a year and a half or so.
23
24
             THE COURT: Now, have you ever served on a
25
   jury before?
```

```
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
 2
              THE COURT: Now, you understand that this
 3
   trial is going to be about whether or not -- what
   the sentence -- let me rephrase that.
              In this trial, the jury will have to
 5
   decide on the punishment for the defendant.
 6
 7
             Do you understand that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
 8
              THE COURT: You understand that he's been
 9
10
   convicted of four counts of first-degree murder?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
12
              THE COURT: And going over there to the
13
   questionnaire, especially No. 34, to Question
   No. 34, you said in favor of the death penalty, so
14
15
   you're pretty pro death penalty?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe that a
   person committed those crimes and was convicted,
17
   yes, sir.
18
             THE COURT: You couldn't consider life in
19
20
   prison with parole or life without the probability
21
   of parole?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't believe the
22
23
   people that died had a chance of parole.
24
             THE COURT: I don't disagree with you
25
   there, but the law states that you have to be able
```

1 to consider life in prison with parole or a definite 2 term of 50 years. You have to answer out loud, sir. 3 You don't think you can do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 4 No, sir. 5 THE COURT: State? 6 7 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE BY MR. STANTON: 8 9 If the law instructed you you have to 10 consider all sentencing options, would you keep your 11 mind open until you hear all the facts of the case 12 before you make your decision? 13 A Yes. And you're a law abiding citizen? 14 15 A I try. Sometimes I'm not great, but I 16 try. 17 The defendant and his two attorneys over 18 there will be presenting arguments on his behalf. 19 Understanding that four murders -- that's a severe 20 conduct that somebody performed and that he's 21 convicted of that. One might feel just by the 22 numbers alone a more severe punishment is in order, 23 and that's not necessarily wrong or improper, but 24 the question is, can you keep an open mind until 25 you've heard all the facts in evidence and then you

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
actually begin to deliberate?
 1
 2
             Do you think you can do that?
              I will try, yes, sir.
 3
 4
             You know yourself better than anybody
   else. Trying -- does that mean that you can do that
 5
   and that you would do that or is it your mind-set,
   look, if he killed four people --
 7
             That's what I have a hard time with, yes.
 8
        A
             That's not necessarily out of human nature
10
   that you would have a hard time with it or it would
   be difficult to appreciate that punishment might be
11
   more harsher than just killing one person, but
12
   really, the question that we're looking for of each
13
14
   juror in this case is whether or not they can be
   fair and impartial and not make up their mind, to
15
   keep their minds open until the case is formally
16
17
   submitted to them for their pronouncement of
   sentence at deliberation.
18
             Could you do that?
19
20
             Yeah, I think I can do that.
        Α
             MR. STANTON:
                            Thank you, your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Defense.
22
             MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor.
23
24
   11111
25
   11111
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
```

AA03579

BY MR. WHIPPLE: 1 Mr. Bray, my name is Bret Whipple. 2 3 represent Mr. Johnson. He's the person that will be sentenced in this matter by this group of individuals we choose. 5 There's no right and there's no wrong 6 7 answers; we're just trying to get -- we're trying to find as fair a group of people as possible. The Court will ask -- we want to put 9 people in there who can consider those four options. 10 You've already said that when you have an 11 individual -- I won't go into the details of this 12 crime -- you already said that you pretty much 13 believe that a person killed four individuals, 14 premeditated, deliberate, cold-blooded murder, that 15 the appropriate punishment would be the death 16 17 penalty. Yes, sir. 18 A So, if you have that conviction, if you 19 have that belief, I appreciate you telling us, 20 21 because we need individuals who don't have that conviction. We need individuals who are ready --22 who don't believe that or who are not that set on a 23 sentence. We just need to be fair on both sides. 24 25 Right. A

```
I understand if you're chosen, you'll
 1
 2
   follow the law, but we're not going to choose people
 3
   that already have preconceived notions, and that's
   why we're asking you this. Okay?
 5
        A
             Okay.
             In this case, my client bound four
 6
 7
   innocent, young adults by the wrists and by the
          They were laid out in a room, face on the
   feet.
   carpet, and each one of them was summarily executed
   with a bullet to the back of the head.
10
11
             Is there anything in that that would
12
  change your mind?
13
             I really have a hard time with that. You
14
   know, there's too much of that going on. I can't --
15
        Q
             Let me ask you this: Could you be fair to
16
   us?
        Α
             I don't think I could.
17
             MR. WHIPPLE: And I appreciate your
18
19
   honesty.
            Thank you.
20
             Challenge for cause, your Honor.
21
             MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I believe that
22
   the questions that Counsel is asking are loaded
23
   questions, and they're not an appropriate statement
2.4
   of what the process is.
25
             THE COURT: Counsel, so were you, but the
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
problem is that he's already stated, you know, he
   doesn't believe in life in prison without parole or
 3
   life with parole. He thinks that a person should
   receive the death penalty, especially after they
 5
   killed four people, and I don't think he can really
   consider it. He's made up his mind, which is fine,
 6
 7
   which is what a lot of people think, and I think
 8
   he's stated clearly. I know you did a good job on
   traversing, but it's clear to the Court that I don't
10
   think he can consider life in prison with or without
   parole or even 50 years or a hundred years.
11
12
             Am I correct, sir?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 238: Yes, sir.
1.3
             THE COURT: All right. You're excused,
1.4
1.5
   sir.
16
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 240
17
             THE COURT: Sir -- I don't know, sir.
18
19
   lot of these questions you didn't answer, but I note
20
   No. 31 they asked, "If the victims in the case are
21
   of a different race or background than Donte
22
   Johnson, would that affect your ability to be fair
   and impartial," and you said, "I don't like people."
23
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 240: I don't.
25
             THE COURT: "I try and stay out of
```

```
people's business."
 1
 2
             What does that mean? What did it have to
 3
   do with the question?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't judge people.
 4
 5
   I ain't got nothing to do with it.
             THE COURT: Have you ever been arrested
 6
 7
   before?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 8
                                 No.
             THE COURT: Never?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's why I don't do
10
11
   nothing.
             THE COURT: Can you be fair and impartial
12
   in this case?
13
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know.
             THE COURT: How come you don't know?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just don't know. I
16
   don't deal in situations like this. I mean, I have
17
   no patience. It don't interest me.
18
             THE COURT: All right. Tell me this here,
19
20
   then: If you're picked as a juror in this case, you
21
   have to decide the punishment for the defendant
22
   there.
23
             What do you think about the death penalty?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't decide nobody
24
   else's life or nothing if they live or if they die.
25
```

```
1
              THE COURT: So, you just don't want to
 2
   participate at all?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, I'm telling
 3
   you the truth about myself.
 5
             THE COURT: I know; I'm just asking you.
   I have to have it on the record here, sir. That's
 7
   what we're trying to find out.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm participating.
   mean, this guy, he's on trial for four counts of
10
   murder. I don't want to have nothing to do with
11
   that.
             THE COURT: He's already been convicted of
12
13
  four counts of murder. We were trying to pick a
14
   jury to decide the punishment.
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I think that's
16
   for you guys to decide instead of me.
17
             THE COURT: That's not the law. The law
18
   is --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know.
19
20
             THE COURT: -- for the citizens to decide.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm giving you my
22
   opinion on this.
             THE COURT: All right. You're excused,
23
24
   sir.
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 240: Thank you.
```

AA03584

```
1
 2
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 242
 3
              THE COURT: Mr. Taylor?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 242: Yes.
              THE COURT: How long have you been in
 5
 6
   Las Vegas?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Eight years.
 8
              THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I work in a casino.
10
              THE COURT: What do you do in the casino?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a table game
12
   supervisor.
13
              THE COURT: Your wife is in physical
14
   therapy?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
             THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury
16
17
   before?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
             THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
19
   family ever been charged with a crime before?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21
22
             THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
23
   family ever been the victim of a crime?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25
             THE COURT: Do you believe that you can be
```

```
1
   fair and impartial in this case?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
              THE COURT: Can you follow the Court's
 3
   instructions on the law?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 5
                                 Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: All right.
 7
              Now, you understand we're picking a jury
 8
   to decide the penalty for the defendant in this
 9
   case?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
10
                                  Yes.
11
              THE COURT: And you understand he's been
12
   convicted of four counts of first-degree murder?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14
              THE COURT: What we want to know is if you
15
   can follow the law as written in Nevada, and one of
   them is that for first-degree murder, the jury will
16
   have to decide the punishment, and the four choices
17
   they will have is the death penalty -- the four
18
19
   choices are the death penalty, life imprisonment
20
   without the possibility of parole, life imprisonment
21
   with the possibility of parole or for a definite
22
   term of 50 years, parole -- possibility of parole
23
   after 20 years, and those sentences are doubled
24
   because a deadly weapon is used.
25
              Do you understand that?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
1
2
             THE COURT: Now, can you consider all four
3
   forms of punishment?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
             THE COURT: And what that means is that
5
   after listening to the facts of the case, the
6
   evidence from the State and from the defendant and
7
   looking at the background of the people involved and
   listening to the instructions on the law that the
   Court gives, do you feel that you can pick out the
10
   appropriate punishment?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
12
13
             THE COURT: Do you have any religious or
14
   moral opposition to the death penalty?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 No.
             THE COURT: Do you have any problems or do
16
   you have any opposition or is there anything in your
17
   background where you could not consider life in
18
   prison with or without parole or for a definite term
19
   if the facts and the law warranted?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
21
                                 No.
             THE COURT: Do you have any bias or
22
   prejudice towards the State?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
24
             THE COURT: Do you have any bias or
25
```

```
prejudice towards the defendant?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
 3
              THE COURT: Have you heard anything about
   this case?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I did read it in
 5
   the paper years ago, I'd forgotten about it.
 6
              THE COURT: Did you hear any of the other
 7
 8
   jurors discussing this case while you've been here?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
              THE COURT: State.
10
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
11
12
13
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
   BY MR. DASKAS:
14
             Mr. Taylor, thank you for your patience,
15
        0
   and we appreciate you waiting out there for the last
   couple of days.
17
             Real briefly, you understand the defendant
18
   has already been convicted of four counts of
19
   first-degree murder?
20
2.1
        Α
             Yes.
             I'm sure you've heard in our criminal
22
   justice system about the presumption of innocence
23
   and how it's our burden to prove someone's guilt
24
25 beyond a reasonable doubt.
```

```
You're familiar with that?
 1
 2
        A
             Yes.
             In this case, that presumption is gone.
 3
        Q
             Do you understand that?
 4
             Yes.
 5
        A
              Your role as a juror would simply be to
 6
   decide the appropriate penalty. Now, I say it's a
 7
   simple role, but it's obviously a very important one
   and a very serious one because we're seeking the
   death penalty.
10
              Do you understand that?
11
        Α
             Yes.
12
             You realize we don't take that lightly?
13
        Q
14
        A
             Yes.
              Let's assume you're selected as a juror in
15
   this case and we present you with the underlying
16
   facts of the homicides. We and the defense will
17
   present information about the defendant's
18
   background. Let's assume you heard all that
19
   information, absorbed it and considered it, and you
20
   believe that this is one of those unique cases where
21
   death is warranted.
22
              Can you actually impose that punishment?
23
24
        A
              I could.
              Understanding again that it's not an easy
25
```

responsibility -- I'm not suggesting that it is -let me ask you one slightly different question, and that is this: You and the other 11 jurors will have 3 to select a foreperson, and you will -- if you're 4 the foreperson, will have the same vote as everyone else, the only additional responsibility would be 7 that you would have to sign the verdict form. this case, that means you would have to sign the 8 verdict form that sentences this defendant to the death penalty. If you and the other jurors agree 10 that's the appropriate punishment and if you were 11 12 selected as the foreperson, would you sign that 13 document? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 14 MR. DASKAS: Thank you, sir. 15 Judge, we'll pass this juror for cause. 16 THE COURT: Defense Counsel. 17 MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor. 18 19 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE 20 21 BY MR. WHIPPLE: Good evening, Mr. Taylor. My name is Bret 22 Whipple, and Mr. Taylor, I represent Donte Johnson. 23 He is the convicted killer in this case, and I just 24 wanted to ask you a few questions to follow up. 25

```
I know you mentioned you're a table game
1
2
   supervisor.
             What was your original training when you
3
   came out of college?
 4
             I never graduated from college.
             I notice you took some classes or some
 6
7
   advanced classes.
             I took architecture classes for a year.
   That was it.
             Where did you work prior to coming to
10
        O
11
   Vegas?
12
        A
             In Atlantic City in casinos.
             So, you've been involved in entertainment
13
        0
   or gaming most of your life?
14
             Eight years in a chemical plant before
15
        A
   that. That was it.
16
             What do you do as a table game supervisor?
1.7
             I watch the dealers, interact with the
18
   customers, make sure the games are run fairly.
19
             Now, this is an unusual situation.
20
              I guess you've never been on a jury
21
22
   before?
23
        A
             No, I haven't.
             In a jury, my client is presumed innocent,
24
   protected by a cloak of innocence. The State has
25
```

```
the burden to prove the defendant guilty beyond a
 1
   reasonable doubt. Here is a lot simpler -- you're
 2
 3
   just going to get a lot of information, and then you
   have to make a decision as to Mr. Johnson's future.
 4
 5
   Now, I might have been a bit presumptuous when I
   said it's simply there's not going to be a lot of
 6
 7
   challenging information, but the decision you're
   going to have to make is extraordinarily
   challenging.
10
             When you received this jury questionnaire,
11
   what were some of the things that ran through your
12
   head?
13
             Such as -- I don't know what you're trying
   to get at.
14
             Are you concerned about potential sitting
15
   in judgment of another person?
             Yes, but I understand somebody has to do
17
        A
18
   it.
             And your thoughts are basically "I can do
19
20
        If it has to be done, I can do it as well as
21
   anybody else?"
22
             Pretty much so, yes.
        A
23
             The death penalty -- what's your opinion
24
   with regard to the death penalty?
25
             I've never had any direct contact with it.
        A
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

I haven't formed really a strong opinion about it. 1 2 It's not something you really discuss with 3 other individuals? A No. Nobody I've ever known has ever been 5 in that situation. 6 0 Sure. 7 Miss Jackson and I represent Mr. Johnson. We're here not to, by any means, give any suggestion as to any type of excuse or justification; there is 10 not. It was a terrible, heinous crime, but we will 11 be providing lots of information to you that we 12 believe is a reason to give a life sentence to 13 Mr. Johnson. 14 Would you be willing to listen to that 15 type of information? 16 A Yes, certainly. 17 0 Why? 18 A There's got to be circumstances surrounding it, whether good or bad. 20 Now, in this particular case, as you know, 21 there were four young adults who had their lives taken from them. They were bound with duct tape 22 23 around their feet and their arms, they were laid on 24 a floor and eventually, after a period of time, they were shot in the back of the head? 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
I did not know that before this minute.
 1
        A
             Knowing that, could you still consider a
 2
 3
   life sentence?
             It would be more difficult.
        Α
 5
             Why is that?
             The nature of the crime.
 7
             I understand.
 8
             At some point, this Court will give you
   instructions, and some of those instructions include
   the fact that the death penalty is never required,
10
11
   and, in fact, we're going to tell you that there are
   a lot of reasons to give life, and those are what
12
13 Miss Jackson and I will refer to as "mitigation."
             There was a question that touched on that
14
   in the jury questionnaire, and I note that you're
15
16
   one of the individuals who said you were very much
   interested in that type of information.
17
             Yes.
18
        Α
19
             Do you recall signing that or marking
20
   that?
21
             Well, I would think that I would need as
   much information as possible --
22
             Why is that?
23
        Q
             -- to make a just decision.
24
25
             Now, you will also hear information or
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (7

I'll even suggest to you at some point that some of 1 2 those mitigating circumstances could be an issue as 3 simple as mercy or maybe a gut feeling that you prefer to give life over death, that you prefer to find life. That's not so easy to verbalize, those 5 gut feelings. 6 7 If other people had different opinions and 8 you had a gut feeling that you wanted to give life, how would you handle that situation? 9 I would voice my opinion, whatever it was. 10 Sometimes people in my business, we hear 11 12 this issue of abuse excuse. Have you ever heard that term, "abuse excuse"? 13 No, I don't recall. 14 Α "Abuse excuse" is -- sometimes used in the 15 fact that people suggest we're trying to justify or 16 explain our client's action because of his prior 17 history or because of family problems or something. 18 We're not ever going to try to justify or explain, 19 20 but we are going to give you information. 21 Do you think a person's background and family and the way they were brought up is the 22 23 information that you would like to hear about? Yes. 24 A I'm going to ask you why. Why is that 25

```
important to you?
1
             Well, what a person is going through
 2
 3
   before this act is obviously important.
             Relative -- it will dictate what happens
 4
   to a person such as yourself that makes a decision
 5
   on the rest of his life?
 6
 7
        A
             Yes.
             Life in prison -- do you believe that's a
 8
   severe punishment?
10
             Yes.
        Α
             Why?
11
        Q
             If it's without parole, there's no
12
        Α
   opportunity to ever rejoin society.
13
             You enjoy your freedom?
14
        Q
            Yes.
15
        A
             And to take one's freedom away is a severe
16
17
   punishment?
18
        Α
             Yes.
             Do you consider yourself -- I note that
19
   you're kind of in a leadership position, obviously
20
21
   as a supervisor.
              Do you consider yourself as a follower or
22
23
   a leader?
              That's not really that much of a
24
        Α
   leadership position. I haven't really thought of
25
```

AA03596

```
1
  myself as either, really. I do both, actually, in
 2
   my job.
             So, if there are individuals who had a
   feeling that was different than yourself and, yet,
   maybe you had just a gut feeling that you wanted to
   choose life over death, how would you handle that if
 6
 7
   you had pressure to try to formulate that decision,
   yet, you felt contrary; how would you handle that?
             It would have to be with discussion.
 9
10
             Could you stand your own ground if you
11
   felt confident in a particular decision?
12
             If I honestly felt confident in it, yes.
13
             MR. WHIPPLE: Mr. Taylor, I thank you for
14
   your time.
15
             Pass for cause, your Honor.
             THE COURT: All right.
16
17
             Sir, have a seat outside there.
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 242: Okay.
19
             THE COURT: The defense may exercise their
20
   eighth and final peremptory challenge.
21
             MS. JACKSON: That would be 0113, Janet
22
   Miller who is in the No. 4 spot, your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: What's her number again?
             MS. JACKSON: Her number is 0113, Judge.
24
25
             THE COURT: All right.
```

```
Bring the next one in.
 1
 2
 3
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 249
             THE COURT: Miss Summers, what kind of
 5
   work do you do, ma'am?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 249: I'm an office
 6
 7
   manager for CBS. It's a trucking company. We hall
   dirt.
 8
             THE COURT: And your husband -- what does
10
   he do?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He is a slot
11
12
   operations manager at Four Queens.
13
             THE COURT: You never served on a jury
   before?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15
             THE COURT: Now, here in Question No. 24,
16
   you state, "At times I feel the system was a big
17
   letdown and are too lenient."
18
19
             What times are those? Any examples or
   something that bring something to mind that causes
20
21
   you to say that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, the first time
22
   that -- well, it was the last time that I was here,
23
   we just sat downstairs. I never made it to jury or
24
   nothing, but we just sat downstairs, and it's like
25
```

```
they don't let you anything. It's just like
 1
 2
   nothing. They don't tell you anything except after
 3
   5:00 o'clock, go home.
 4
              THE COURT: Like they don't tell you
 5
   what's happening?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Now, here it says, "Do you
   have any relatives or close friends of a different
 9
   racial background?" and you say, "My best friend's
10
   husband. My husband and I were maid of honor and
11
   best man."
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
12
                                 Yes.
13
             THE COURT: What's the racial background?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She's white, he's
14
15
   black.
              THE COURT: Okay.
16
             Now, you understand what kind of case this
17
   is and what we're picking a jury for; is that
18
19
   correct?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
21
              THE COURT: The defendant has already been
22
   convicted of four counts of first-degree murder,
23
   therefore, this jury will have to decide on the
   punishment, and according to the laws of the State
24
   of Nevada, the jury will have four choices -- the
25
```

```
death penalty, life in prison without the
 1
   possibility of parole, life in prison with the
 2
 3
   possibility of parole or for a definite term of 50
   years with the possibility of parole after 20 years,
 5
   and those punishments are doubled because a deadly
   weapon was used, so it would be -- the definite term
 6
 7
   would be a hundred years with parole eligibility or
   possibility of parole after 40 years, and this would
   be for each of the counts.
 9
10
             Do you understand that?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
             THE COURT: Could you consider all four
12
13
   forms of punishments and look at them -- first of
14
   all, could you consider all four forms of
15
   punishment?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
16
                                  Yes.
             THE COURT: And you could listen to the
1.7
18
   evidence, the witnesses, the instructions on the
   law, the arguments of counsel and then pick the one
19
20
   that you feel is most appropriate?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
             THE COURT: Do you have any moral or
22
   religious opposition to the death penalty?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24
25
             THE COURT: Would you be able to return a
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (70

```
verdict of life in prison if you thought it was
 1
 2
   appropriate given the facts and circumstances?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 I'm sorry.
                                              I was --
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Would you be able to return a
 5
   verdict of life in prison if you thought the facts
   in evidence warranted?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's tough.
                                                 It's
 8
   like you said, I would have to know more detail to
 9
   even go into that direction.
                                  That would be --
   you're talking about putting him in prison for the
10
11
   rest of his life?
12
              THE COURT: That's one choice you have.
13
   You can put him in prison for the rest of his life
14
   and he would remain there until he dies or life in
15
   prison with the possibility of parole and that would
   be after 40 years or for a definite term of a
16
17
   hundred years and the parole possibility after 40
18
   years.
             So, the question is could you consider all
19
20
   of them?
            Are you opposed -- I'm trying to find out,
21
   are you opposed to sentencing someone to life in
   prison with the possibility of parole?
22
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'm not opposed to
24
   it.
25
              THE COURT: Are you opposed to sentencing
```

```
someone to prison for a term of life without the
 1
 2
   possibility of parole?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
             THE COURT: You can look at all of them?
 4
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
             THE COURT: And you can pick the one --
 6
 7
   after hearing the evidence, you would pick the one
 8
   that's most appropriate?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
 9
             THE COURT: That's what I want to know.
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. Sorry.
11
   been up since 3:30. I'm tired.
12
13
             THE COURT: We all are, but we're trying
14
   to get the jury picked so we don't have to have
   folks waiting around all day tomorrow; that's why
15
16
   we're staying late.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can I say something?
17
   I want to be honest too. Okay?
18
             THE COURT: That's what we want.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My nephew was 203.
20
21
             THE COURT: Was 203?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jeremy Summers.
22
             THE COURT: He's still on here.
23
             That's your nephew?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's my nephew.
25
```

```
THE COURT:
                        How did that happen?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was surprised, but I
 3
   was glad he was here. He was good company.
             THE COURT: How do you think that's going
 4
   to affect you guys on this jury?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Me and my nephew?
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Yeah.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Us together?
             THE COURT: Right.
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't think it was
10
   legal; that's why I'm telling you. I never heard of
11
12
   it before.
             THE COURT: I've never had it happen since
13
   I've been here, of course; I did have a husband and
14
15
   wife on a jury.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. I was just
16
   being honest.
17
             THE COURT: If you're allowed to serve on
18
   this jury -- how old is Jeremy?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's 20.
20
21
             THE COURT: So, do you think you would be
22
  telling him what to do?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, no. No, you can't
23
   tell him what to do. He's 20.
24
25
             THE COURT: Approach the bench, guys.
```

```
(Sidebar conference outside the presence
 1
 2
   of the court reporter.)
 3
              THE COURT: So, you can be fair and
 4
   impartial?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 5
 6
              THE COURT: And you're not going to go
 7
   along with Jeremy just because he's your nephew, are
 8
   you?
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
10
              THE COURT: You're going to make your own
11
   independent assessment of the facts and the
12
   evidence?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, yeah.
              THE COURT: And make your own decision?
14
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, yeah.
16
              THE COURT: You don't have any bias or
   prejudice towards either side for any reason?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18
19
              THE COURT: Can you follow my instructions
20
   on the law?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
22
              THE COURT: State.
23
             MR. STANTON: Thank you, your Honor.
24
   11111
25
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

BY MR. STANTON: 1 2 0 Good evening. 3 Hi. 4 Thank you very much for your patience for 5 the last couple of days. 6 Miss Summers, I want to ask you a couple 7 of questions. If you're selected on this jury and you're back in the deliberation process and you and Jeremy come down on your decision on some facts in 9 10 the ultimate decision in this case, do you think, based upon your relationship with him, that you can 11 have a healthy and legal interaction in the jury 12 13 confines? 14 Oh, God yes. A 15 There's nothing about your relationship 16 that would -- if you disagreed with one another, that you would -- one of you would say, "I'm going 17 to vote with the other person just so we don't have 18 19 a problem within our family"? 20 No. We're family. You go through that. 21 Everyone goes through that, but you still love each 22 other. I understand. 23 In this case, there is going to be 24 evidence presented in the State's presentation about 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

1 the underlying quadruple murders. That evidence is going to involve rather dramatic and very violent 3 photographs that show the actual murder scene where 4 these four young men were killed. 5 Is there anything about seeing that kind of evidence that causes you a problem to sit as a 6 juror in this case? 7 8 Α No. 9 So, you're able to look at that, put aside the emotional aspect of it and look for it for its 10 11 evidentiary value? 12 A Yes. 13 Is there anything about the fact that a 14 prior jury has returned the verdicts of guilt in 15 this case that causes you concern about your role in 16 the penalty phase? 17 No. You have to be open. A 18 The Judge would instruct you that you have 19 to accept that verdict of guilt and that this entire 20 proceeding is not to determine innocence or guilt. 21 And you're comfortable with that? 22 Yeah. 23 You indicated your feelings about the 24 death penalty, that you would consider it under 25 certain circumstances.

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

You would weigh all the circumstances and 1 2 facts that's presented both by the State and the defense? Definitely. Α 4 You wouldn't make up your mind until all 5 that evidence is in, the Judge instructed you by law, and then it was formally submitted to you; is 7 that fair? Definitely, yes. A Even though there are four murders and 10 four separate victims in this case, you would keep 11 an open mind to all sentencing options? 12 Yeah. It would be tough, I'm not going to 13 lie. It's going to be tough. It's going to be 14 hard, but yeah, you have to keep it open. 1.5 I understand that's entirely proper that 16 more than one person is killed and that's an 17 aggravator recognized by Nevada law. What we're 18 looking for is a group of jurors that will wait 19 until all the evidence is in until they're 20 instructed by law to consider all four sentencing 2.1 options and make a decision from that as opposed to 22 someone that says, "I can't consider one of these 23 based upon what I know about the case today," that 24 will keep an open mind --25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

1 Α Open mind. 2 -- about everything. 3 Do you feel that's the type of person you are and how you feel about it today? 5 Yes, definitely. Α 6 There's a process of which the jury 7 controls, and that is the selection of a foreperson. They have the same vote as every other person on the 9 They have one different function than any 10 other juror, and that is they have to sign the verdict forms. 11 12 If, after your careful deliberation in 13 listening to the facts and the evidence of this 14 case, you along with the rest of the jury believes 15 that the death penalty is appropriate, ma'am, could you affix your signature to the verdict form that puts Donte Johnson to death? 17 18 Well, that's a tough question. That's 19 tough. I appreciate that, and I understand the 20 21 severity of the question as it's posed. 22 I don't know how to answer that. That's 23 so tough, I don't know. I can't put myself in that 24 situation until you're there, and I'm going to be 25 honest, I can't answer that. I don't know. That's

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
   tough.
 2
             I understand it's tough.
             That's tough. If everyone is doing --
 3
        A
             It would certainly be -- any verdict by
 4
   the jury in this case would have to be unanimous, so
5
   it would not be your decision alone when you signed
   your name, it would be a unanimous verdict of you
7
   and the rest of your jurors, but if you were
   selected as the foreperson, you would have to affix
   your signature to that document.
10
             MR. WHIPPLE: Mr. Stanton -- and I
11
   apologize for interrupting, but I believe she's
12
   answered the question. She does not have to say
13
   "yes" or "no," and she said it's difficult and it's
14
   tough, and I think that's an appropriate answer.
15
             THE COURT: Overruled.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry.
17
             THE COURT: If she can answer it -- I
18
                That's not saying anything.
19
   don't know.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Could I sign it --
20
21
   yeah.
             THE COURT: You know what, you might not
22
   even want to be the foreman.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
24
                                  Yeah.
              THE COURT: I guess the question is could
25
```

```
you vote -- if you thought the death penalty was the
   appropriate one, would you be able to vote for it?
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: But you just don't know if you
 4
   could sign the paper?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could -- I could
 6
7
   sign a paper. I think just something -- you know,
   you're really looking at a person that you're
8
   really -- you're putting their life in your hands,
   and that's a tough situation. I mean, I've never
10
   gone through this before, and that would be tough,
11
12
   but yes, you would have to have an open mind.
13
   I sign it -- yes, if I felt that it was
   appropriate -- yes.
14
             THE COURT: That's what we wanted to know.
15
16
   Okay.
                            Thank you.
17
             MR. STANTON:
             I would pass the prospective juror.
18
             MS. JACKSON: May I, your Honor?
19
20
             THE COURT: Go on.
21
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
22
23
   BY MS. JACKSON:
             Hi, Miss Summers.
24
             Hi.
25
        A
```

```
1
              Did you ever get called Donna Sommers back
 2
   in the 80s?
 3
        Α
             Yes.
              Just going to go really quickly, I know
 5
   it's late, and I thank you for being so candid with
   us about your nephew and being so patient with us.
 6
 7
             Other than the fact that there's too much
   sitting around, is there anything else to cause
 8
   you -- you said -- in 24, you said, "At times, the
   system was a big letdown" because you said "too
10
   lenient."
11
12
        A
             This happens, and I think everyone knows
13
   about it -- you take so many cases out there, and
   look how many years it goes until it gets resolved.
14
   I'm not trying to be mean, but it's, like, what are
15
   you doing to that family, to the person? It drags
16
   on is what I'm saying, and I just feel like it's
17
18
   very -- it's like you don't care.
             It's an imperfect system?
19
        0
20
             Very much. They need some improvement on
21
   it, I quess is the right way to say it.
             Even so, you're not going to hold that
22
23
   against either the State or the defense in this
24
   case?
25
             No.
        A
```

```
Actually, just to let you know, you're
1
   going to hear that this crime occurred in August of
2
   19 --
3
             -Eight.
             -- ninety-eight and here we are in 2005,
5
   and this is a prime example of what you're talking
7
   about, but be that as it may, can we move past that
   and listen to the evidence?
             I'm talking about so many other cases.
9
   Look at Murphy. I'm just saying, look how long --
10
   you take a case, and it just --
11
12
        0
             I know.
13
        Α
             Okay.
             Moving on.
14
        Q
             Shoot me.
15
        A
16
             It's okay.
        0
             You're actually a breath of fresh air this
17
   time of evening. We appreciate your candor and your
18
   attitude. I know when I sit in the doctor's office,
19
   the longer I sit, the madder I get, and you come in
20
   here and you have such a lovely attitude, and we
21
22
   appreciate that.
              I've been up since 3:30, and I'm dead.
23
             We're going to talk a little bit about the
24
   death penalty and reasons to give life. We all know
25
```

1 Mr. Donte Johnson sits here convicted of four first-degree murders. You know nothing about him; 2 3 you know very little about the case. However, we will tell you a little bit more about the case to 5 help you in your determination. 6 One other thing that we're going to tell 7 you about is quite a bit about my client. That's what we do. We're going to present what we call "mitigation" -- "mitigating circumstances." That's any reason that you find to give life. 10 Now, toward that end, on Question 33, you 11 indicate, "If the defendant is totally incompetent 12 and doesn't realize his or her actions towards the 13 14 crime that they committed" -- okay. Now, that situation, if that were the case, we wouldn't be 15 here with four convictions, so just put that out of 16 your mind right now. 17 Can you do that? 18 19 A Yeah. You're with me? He's competent, he's been 20 21 found guilty, and that's not an issue. 22 A Right. Now, knowing that, let's move to the next 23 24 one where it talks about that you must consider some mitigation the defendant's background -- let me read 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

1 the question as it's written. 2 "In reaching a verdict in this penalty 3 phase, you must consider the defendant's background and that's the law in Nevada, and that is mitigating 5 circumstances such as the defendant's age, health, mental status, childhood experience" and things of 6 that nature. 7 8 For example -- you put down that you could 9 think of those things somewhat. 10 Now, what did you mean by "Somewhat," 11 Miss Summers? 12 Yeah, you do consider it, but I also look 13 at a lot of people who I know that have gone through 14 some hardships from their upbringing and where their 15 mind is at. They don't use it as an excuse and keep 16 being bad, they better themselves; so, that's why I put "Somewhat" on that. 17 18 Sure. We all know people who pull 19 themselves up by their bootstraps. 20 Exactly. A 21 And unfortunately, for our society, not 22 many people, period, are convicted of quadruple homicide, so that's kind of a unique category in 23 itself. 24 25 Oh, yeah. A

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

Knowing about the number of people in this 1 2 case that are killed and knowing that we're not going to try to justify anything or tell you that 3 Mr. Johnson is insane or incompetent -- we're not 5 going to tell you that. Can you still listen to mitigation with an 6 7 open mind and consider that along with everything 8 else that you're going to hear? 9 A Yeah. 10 If this defendant, for example, wasn't 11 fed, he didn't have enough to eat as a child, wouldn't you want to know that about someone that 12 13 you're going to decide whether they're going to live or die? 14 15 You get more of a background. It's like he said, you have to have an open mind. 16 17 0 Sure. 1.8 Do you think that life imprisonment is a 19 serious punishment, is a severe punishment? 20 A Yes. 2.1 What if you were back in the jury room and 22 you were back there -- say, for example, you were on 23 the jury, and in addition to your nephew, you've got 24 ten other people, and they decide that they see it a 25 particular way, whatever way that may be and that's

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (70

not the way that you see it. You deliberated to the best of your ability, you discussed it and you've really looked in your heart and you've done the best 3 job your -- in good conscious you feel that you do, what if you're the only one who feels the way that you do; would you be able to hold to the courage of 7 your own convictions? No. I'm going to be honest, no. 8 You're not going --Not to a breaking point where I'm the only 10 one and no one agrees with me, forget it. I'm going 11 12 with them. I'm sorry. Just to get it over. going to be honest. Okay? 13 So, you wouldn't vote a certain way just 14 to reach a unanimous verdict? 15 16 Yes, if it got to where it was being drug A out, yes, and I'm holding them up, yes. I'm going 17 to be honest, yeah. 18 Well, your Honor, I would MS. JACKSON: 19 20 challenge for cause on that basis that the verdict would not be the considered opinion of 12 jurors. 21 She's indicated she would go with the majority just 22 2.3 for the sake of reaching a verdict, and that, I think, the law forbids. He's entitled to the 24 considered opinion of 12 jurors. 25

```
1
              THE COURT: State?
 2
              MR. STANTON: I submit the matter, your
 3
   Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. You're excused.
 5
             MR. STANTON: Your Honor, this would be
 6
   for Seat No. 4, correct?
 7
              THE COURT: The one that replaced juror
 8
   113.
 9
10
      VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 253
11
              THE COURT: Mr. Tobiason, do you believe
12
   that you can be fair and impartial in this case?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 253: I think so.
14
              THE COURT: Now, of course, I'm looking
15
   here -- you know this is a case where we have to
16
   decide the punishment for a person who has been
17
   found guilty of four counts of first-degree murder?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
19
              THE COURT: I'm looking at some of your
20
   answers here. Number 34 specifically, what would
21
   you say your general -- you said you're in favor of
22
   the death penalty, and then you said, "Murder
23
   convictions should be punished by death, no appeal,
24
   no sitting on death row for years wasting tax
25
   dollars."
```

```
1
             You don't even think a person should get
 2
   an appeal?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
             THE COURT: The State of Nevada law
 4
 5
   requires that a person who is convicted of
   first-degree murder, that the jury be in a position
 6
 7
   and the mind-set where they can consider the four
   forms of punishment that's authorized by statute,
   that is, not only the death penalty but life in
 9
   prison with the possibility of parole, life in
10
   prison without the possibility of parole or for a
11
   definite term of 50 years with parole eligibility of
12
13
   20 years.
14
             The way I'm reading your questionnaire
   here, I'm of the opinion that you believe that a
15
   person who has been convicted of first-degree murder
16
   would -- you would not be in a position to sentence
17
   him to life or even consider life in prison with the
18
19
   possibility of parole or life in prison without the
20
   possibility of parole; is that correct?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
             THE COURT: And you don't think anything
22
23
   could change that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It shouldn't -- no, I
24
25
   don't think so.
```

```
1
              MR. WHIPPLE: Challenge.
 2
             MS. JACKSON: Challenge for cause.
 3
              THE COURT: State?
             MR. STANTON: Submit it, your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right. You're excused,
 6
   sir.
 7
 8
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 255
 9
              THE COURT: Is this Mr. Robison?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 255: Yeah.
11
              THE COURT: Now, you said someone in your
12
   family had been arrested or charged with a crime.
13
   Who was that, sir?
1.4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What's that?
              THE COURT: I think in your questionnaire
15
16
   you stated that someone in your family had been
17
   charged with a crime.
18
             Has someone in your family ever been
   charged with a crime?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
20
21
              THE COURT: You don't remember that?
22
   Okay.
23
             Has anyone in your family or have you or
24
   anyone in your family ever been a victim of a crime?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
25
```

```
THE COURT: Who was that?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My house has been
 3
  burglarized.
             THE COURT: Have you ever been on a jury
 5
   before?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: What kind of work do you do
 8
   again?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm retired.
 9
10
             THE COURT: From what?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I worked for the City.
11
12
             THE COURT: What did you do for the City?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a construction
   inspector.
14
15
             THE COURT: Was this for Boulder City or
   Las Vegas?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Las Vegas.
17
             THE COURT: You live up in Boulder City
18
19
   now?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; I live in Vegas.
20
21
             THE COURT: Pardon me?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I live in Las Vegas.
22
             THE COURT: Oh, okay. I thought it was
23
24
  Boulder City.
25
             Have you ever been on a jury before?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I haven't.
 1
             THE COURT: Have you heard anything about
2
3
   this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, over the years.
 4
             THE COURT: What now?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Over the years, yeah.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: What exactly have you heard?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know.
                                                 It's
   been so long ago, I don't really remember -- bits
9
   and pieces here and there.
10
             THE COURT: Was what you heard, would that
11
   affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this
12
13
   case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably not.
14
             THE COURT: Now, you understand that we're
15
   picking a jury to decide the punishment for the
16
   defendant.
17
             Do you understand that?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19
             THE COURT: And you understand he's
20
   already been convicted of four counts of
21
   first-degree murder with a deadly weapon.
22
             What I want to know is according to the
23
   laws of the State of Nevada, the jury is required to
24
   consider four possible punishments and to pick the
25
```

AA03621

1 one that's most appropriate depending on the facts 2 and the law and the evidence. 3 Do you understand that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Now, the four possible punishments are the death penalty, life in prison 6 without parole, life in prison with the possibility 7 of parole or for a definite term of 50 years with 9 the possibility of parole after the 20 years, and 10 those sentences are doubled because a deadly weapon 11 was used. 12 Do you understand that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 13 14 THE COURT: So, it would be the death penalty, life in prison without the possibility of parole plus a consecutive life without the 16 possibility of parole, life imprisonment with the 17 possibility of parole with a consecutive one for the 18 19 use of a deadly weapon and a definite term of 50 20 years with the possibility of parole after 20 years 21 plus a consecutive 50 years with the possibility of 22 parole after 20 years for a total of a hundred years, and he would be eligible for parole after 40 23 24 years, and this would apply to each count. 25 Do you understand that?

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 1
             THE COURT: Would you be able to consider
 2
   those four forms of punishment?
3
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
             THE COURT: Are you opposed to the death
 5
   penalty?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 7
                                  No.
             THE COURT: Are you opposed to life in
 8
 9
   prison without the possibility of parole?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
             THE COURT: Are you opposed to life in
11
  prison with the possibility of parole?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13
             THE COURT: Are you opposed to, say, a
14
15
   hundred years in prison with the possibility of
16
   parole after 40 years?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
17
             THE COURT: And you would make your
18
19
   decision after listening to the evidence from the
   defense and from the State and after hearing the
20
21
   arguments of counsel and listening to the Court's
22
   instructions on the law?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
23
24
             THE COURT: You don't have your mind made
25
   up now, do you?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It seems a pretty open
 2
   and shut case.
 3
              THE COURT: He was already guilty, but
   have you made up your mind on the sentence yet?
 4
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Pretty much so.
 6
              THE COURT: What have you decided his
 7
   punishment should be?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Death penalty.
              THE COURT: And you made this up without
 9
10
   hearing any evidence or even hearing what the law
11
   is?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Four counts, I figure
13
   that's --
14
             THE COURT: You don't care what the law is
   or what the evidence is after four counts; is that
15
   right?
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 I'd listen to it.
             THE COURT: Huh?
18
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd listen to it.
20
             THE COURT: Is it going to make a
21
   difference?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I don't know.
23
             THE COURT: We need to know now, because
24 we want people who have open minds and want to
   listen to the evidence. We don't want somebody --
25
```

```
if you already have your mind made up before we even
   start the trial, then you're denying him a right to
   a fair hearing. It's not right or wrong, that's the
3
   way some people are. Some people just have certain
 4
   beliefs, but if that's the case, sometimes there's
5
   different juries you should sit on.
 7
             This is what I want to know: Would you
   really be able to consider life in prison with the
8
   possibility of parole?
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably not.
10
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, we challenge for
11
12
   cause.
             THE COURT: State?
13
             MR. STANTON: I'll submit it, your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: All right. You're excused.
15
             Is Mr. Summers still out there?
16
17
             THE BAILIFF: Yes.
             THE COURT: Tell him to come back tomorrow
18
   at 10:00, Jeremy Summers, No. 203.
19
2.0
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251
21
             THE COURT: Miss Butcher, you're
22
   originally from Cameron, Missouri, right?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 251: Uh-huh.
24
             THE COURT: How long have you been in
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (

```
Las Vegas?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Five years.
              THE COURT: You have two kids, and they're
 3
   adults?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One is adult, one is
 5
   15.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay.
             In school?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
 9
             THE COURT: Both girls?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
11
             THE COURT: What kind of work do you do,
12
13
   ma'am?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Excuse me?
14
              THE COURT: What kind of work do you do,
15
16
   ma'am?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for an armored
1.7
18
   transport.
              THE COURT: Are you married?
19
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
              THE COURT: What kind of work does your
21
22
  husband do?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's an immigration
23
   inspector.
24
              THE COURT: He works for -- does he work
25
```

```
for the INS?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was INS; now it's
 3
   Department of Homeland Security.
             THE COURT: You said your brother was
 4
   killed by a drunk driver?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: The guy who did it only got
 8
   six months?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Six months.
 9
             THE COURT: Where did this happen?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In El Paso. That's
11
   where we lived at the time.
12
13
             THE COURT: In El Paso, Texas?
1 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm.
             THE COURT: How long ago was this?
1.5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was in '89.
16
17
             THE COURT: In Texas the jury sentences
   you; is that right?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
19
20
             THE COURT: They only gave him six months.
   What was that reason, do you know?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have no idea.
22
23
   know the judge then called him up and took his
   driver's license, because they didn't take his
24
   driver's license either.
25
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Was he working for the INS?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. He was a
 3
   supervisor for El Paso Ambulance. There had been
   three DUIs and one wreck, and he had gone out for
 5
   that.
             THE COURT: I guess there's a lot of
 6
 7
   drinking going on.
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They had been over in
 9
   Mexico.
             THE COURT: Have you ever served on a
10
11
   jury?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13
             THE COURT: Now, this case, as you know
   the defendant has been to a trial before and already
14
15
   convicted of first-degree murder, four counts.
16
             Now, this jury that we're picking now
17
   would decide the punishment.
18
             Do you understand that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19
             THE COURT: And you will have four
20
   choices -- the death penalty, life in prison without
21
   parole, life imprisonment with the possibility of
22
23
   parole or for a definite term of 50 years with the
24
   possibility of parole after 20 years plus an
25
   additional consecutive 50 years with parole after 20
```

```
years for the use of a deadly weapon, and this will
 1
   apply to each count.
2
 3
             Now, have you thought about these
   sentences?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh, yes.
 5
             THE COURT: Now, do you have any religious
 6
7
   or moral opposition to the death penalty?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't.
             THE COURT: Now, the question is can you
 9
   also consider life in prison with or without parole
10
   or say a definite term of a hundred years with
11
   parole after 40 years as viable? Can you consider
12
13
   those?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could consider
1.4
   those.
15
             THE COURT: Do you already have your mind
16
17
   made up, ma'am?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
18
             THE COURT: What do you mean? So, you
19
20
   haven't decided that --
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 No.
             THE COURT: -- you were going to impose
22
23
   death already?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
24
              THE COURT: Okay.
25
```

```
1
              Some people have. They just hear the
   charges and they already make up their mind without
 2
   hearing any of the evidence or the facts or the
 3
 4
   circumstances.
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  It's hard to hear
   "killed four people" without thinking they shouldn't
 6
 7
   be put to death.
              THE COURT: If you're a juror, you're
 8
 9
   supposed to have an open mind and wait until you
10
   hear the evidence.
11
              Don't you agree?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I agree with that.
13
              THE COURT: Now, would you be willing to
14
   listen to all the evidence before you make up your
15
          They're going to put on -- the State and the
1.6
   defense will put on what we call "aggravators" and
   "mitigators," but what we want to know is will you
17
18
   listen to the mitigators, and that is, things that
   might lessen the punishment, and there's all
19
20
   different kinds -- will you be able to listen to
   that and make a decision after hearing the
21
22
   mitigators?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
23
24
              THE COURT: Now, I notice on one of these
   questions, you said, "I think a person is crazy when
25
```

```
they commit murder, so their mental status should
 1
 2
   not be the only thing."
 3
             You will look at it, but it wouldn't be
   the controlling thing, is that what you're saying?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think an
 6
   insanity plea is a reason to say "I killed someone."
 7
              THE COURT: It is if the jury finds them
 8
   insane, but that's not the issue here. There is no
   insanity plea, what you're hearing, because he's
10
   already been convicted. If he had been insane and
11
   the jury found him insane, he would have been found
12
   not quilty and we wouldn't be here, but they can
   present evidence of emotional and other mental
13
14
   problems in mitigation. It's not a defense, but it
15
   helps you understand the people involved and how
16
   this matter happened and lessen the severity of the
17
   punishment.
18
             Do you understand that?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh, yes.
20
             THE COURT: You'll listen to that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21
22
             THE COURT: Now, you know when I was in
23
   Texas -- how long did you live in Texas?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: How long did I live in
25
   Texas?
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Yes.
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually, I went back
   and forth. My dad was in the Air Force.
 3
             THE COURT: How long.
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was a lifer.
 5
   was in for approximately 22 years.
 7
             THE COURT: How long.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We were in El Paso for
 8
   maybe four or five years and we moved away, and I
   went back after I got married; so, we went back
10
   after I got married. He was actually born in
11
12
  Missouri and we met in El Paso.
13
             THE COURT: Have you lived anywhere else
   in Texas?
14
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Springstown.
15
             THE COURT: Where is that?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's a little bitty
17
   town outside of Aledo, which is another little bitty
18
19
   town.
20
             THE COURT: Where is Aledo, South Texas,
   North Texas, more central?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: More central.
22
             THE COURT: What big city is it close to?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was, like, nine.
24
             THE COURT: You were a child then. Okay.
25
```

```
1
   I thought it was more recent.
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 3
             THE COURT: Now --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We actually moved up
 4
 5
   here from New Mexico is where I moved from to Vegas.
 6
             THE COURT: Did you live in Texas while
 7
   you were an adult?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
 9
             THE COURT: The reason I bring that up is
10
   I practiced law in Texas for a while and lived down
11
   there, and when it comes to crime, the people down
12
   there -- everybody is automatic death penalty.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm.
             THE COURT: Especially if you were
14
1.5
   Hispanic or black or even poor, if you were a poor
16
   white, you almost always got the death penalty.
17
   Now, if you were, like, a guy like that guy in
18
   Fort -- what's his name, Davis, Cullen Davis --
19
   there was eye witnesses when he shot his wife and
20
   daughter, they found him not guilty.
             My point is -- why I'm talking about all
21
22
   of that is do you have those kind of attitudes?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The little mentality,
24
   hang him quick?
25
             THE COURT: Yeah, that kind.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You bet.
 2
              THE COURT: So, you're saying "you bet."
 3
   Is he going to get a fair trial? They have a lot of
   people in Texas on death row who are not even guilty
   because they're charged, they find them quilty.
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if
 6
 7
   they're quilty or not.
 8
             THE COURT: That's not my point. My point
 9
   is, is he going to be able to get a fair trial, or
10
   do you already have your mind made up? That's what
11
   I'm trying to find out.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
12
                                 Umm --
13
             THE COURT: The only people that know this
14
   is you.
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maybe a little bit.
16
   Maybe my mind is made up a little bit.
17
             THE COURT: What do you mean it's made up
18
   a little bit? Which way?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mine would be more
20
   toward the death penalty.
21
             THE COURT: Now, tell me this here: If
   they presented evidence of mitigation, would it
22
23
   really affect or change of mind or would you
24
   really --
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably not that
```

```
much.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: You wouldn't give it -- really
 3
   actually consider it?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I would listen
 4
   to it, but that does not mean that it would sway me.
 5
              THE COURT: I understand that. Some
 6
   people say, "Yeah, yeah, yeah, I can listen to it,"
 7
   but they have no intention of really listening to
 9
       "I think this person deserves death and I'll go
   it.
   through the motions, but I'm not really going to
10
   listen to this."
1.1
              Is that the way you really feel, ma'am?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.
13
             THE COURT: All right.
14
15
             MS. JACKSON: Challenge for cause.
             THE COURT: State?
16
17
                 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
18
19
   BY MR. STANTON:
             Ms. Butcher, the obligation of a juror --
20
   and I'm sure you appreciate it in a case like
21
22
   this -- the death penalty is a very serious thing.
              You would agree with me on that?
23
             Yes, I would.
24
        A
              You would also agree with me the law in
25
                                         (702) 455-3610
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

287

AA03635

the State of Nevada as Judge Gates just mentioned to you is that you're required as an oath and as a 3 juror to consider all the evidence and not to come in with a preconceived notion. 5 Do you understand that? 6 I understand that. 7 With that being said, do you think you could abide by your oath and keep an open mind, not 8 9 that it would change your mind or whatever, but you would just listen to it and keep an open mind? 11 I don't know. I would listen to it, but I mean -- I don't know. 12 13 The question we're not asking you now is 14 would it change your mind in committing to a 15 particular vote but merely whether or not you would 16 keep an open mind until the case was finally 17 submitted to you? Α I would try to keep an open mind. 18 19 MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, the answer was 20 "I don't know," and then he's just going to keep 21 asking it until he gets the answer that he wants. 22 THE COURT: I'm going to grant the motion. 23 You're excused, ma'am. 24 MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, this is the lady 25 who allegedly heard the information.

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
1
 2
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 262
 3
              THE COURT: Miss Carpenter, where do you
   work?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 262: Basic High School.
 5
              THE COURT:
 6
                          Are you a teacher?
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a counselor.
 8
              THE COURT: A counselor. I heard that --
 9
   are you married?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
10
                                 Yes.
11
              THE COURT: How is your husband employed?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's a school district
13
   administrator.
              THE COURT: I understand, ma'am, that you
14
   had quite a recall here about all the facts
15
16
   surrounding this case.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Absolutely not.
18
              THE COURT: I heard you were out there
19
   telling the other jurors about how this case had
20
   been tried, the defendant had been convicted of four
2.1
   counts, he went up to the Supreme Court, it was
22
   reversed because of a three-judge panel and it was
23
   supposed to have been done by a jury, and that's why
24
   he's here. He already had gotten the death penalty
25
   before.
```

```
1
              Are those the statements you made, ma'am?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The statements about
 3
   being convicted was already in the papers that we
 4
   read, and someone had said that they were wondering
 5
   why there was another jury -- why it wasn't heard by
   the other jury, and I said that I had heard that --
 7
   yes, that it had been heard by a three-panel judge,
   but I don't have any other information.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Did you say also that he had
   been sentenced to death?
10
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I did not.
              THE COURT: And that it had been reversed?
12
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I did not.
14
              THE COURT: So, you didn't know that
15
   information?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I knew that there had
17
   been a jury -- a judge -- a three-panel judge. I
18
   happened to hear that on the news when I was waiting
   for the weather.
19
20
             THE COURT: But you did not know what the
21
   sentence was?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I did not.
23
   to go to work. I didn't hear the end.
24
             What high school do you work at?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Basic High School out
```

```
1
   in Henderson.
             THE COURT: How long have you been out
 2
 3
   there?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: This is my third year,
   but I was there also for two years in the '90s, and
 5
   then I left to do another job and came back.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Now, you state here that
   you're not necessarily in favor of the death
   penalty; is that right -- but you said you would
   consider it?
1.0
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
11
             THE COURT: Is that right?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13
             THE COURT: Now, tell me this here:
14
   the stuff that you heard about this case, could you
15
   put that out of your mind and put it aside and make
16
   your decision on the law as I give it to you?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.
18
             THE COURT: And the facts and the evidence
19
20
   that's adduced in court?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.
             THE COURT: Now, you understand that --
22
   another question I have for you:
23
             As you sit there now, have you made up
2.4
   your mind as to what the punishment should be?
25
```

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I have not. 2 THE COURT: Now, the law in the State of 3 Nevada states that when a person is found guilty of first-degree murder, that the jury will have to 5 decide the punishment, and they'll have four choices to choose from, and they must consider the four 6 7 choices and select the one that's most appropriate based on the evidence and the facts of the case. 9 Do you understand that? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. In fact, I recall the four choices were listed in that. 11 12 THE COURT: Exactly. One of them is the 13 death penalty, life imprisonment without the 14 possibility of parole, life imprisonment with the 15 possibility of parole or for a definite term of 50 16 years with the possibility of parole after 20 years, 17 and those sentences are doubled because a deadly 18 weapon was used. So, for a definite term, you would 19 be looking at a hundred years and parole after 40 20 years, and the same with the life imprisonment with 21 the possibility of parole. 22 Would you be able to consider all four of 23 those punishments? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely based on whatever evidence is before me. 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
And if you thought the
             THE COURT:
 1
   evidence warranted it and the facts of the case, you
2
   could give life imprisonment with the possibility of
   parole?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I could.
 5
             THE COURT: If you thought life
 6
   imprisonment without the possibility of parole
7
8
   warranted it, you could do that also?
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.
             THE COURT: Also, after hearing all the
10
   evidence and the witnesses and the law, if you
11
   thought the death penalty was applicable and
12
   appropriate for this case, could you render that?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I could.
14
             THE COURT: The bottom line is you could
15
   look at all of them and pick the one that's most
16
   appropriate according to the facts and the law
17
   that's given to you?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I think I could.
19
             THE COURT:
                         You don't have any
20
   predisposition or you haven't made up your mind as
21
22
   to any one?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I have not.
23
              THE COURT: You can be fair to both sides?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I think with my
25
```

```
1
   experience and training, yes, I could.
 2
             THE COURT: State.
 3
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
 5
                 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
   BY MR. DASKAS:
 6
 7
             Thank you for your patience at this late
 8
   hour. If I don't make any sense, it's because I'm
   tired.
 9
             You mentioned in your answers that you
10
11
  work at Basic High School. It's very ethnically
   diverse. I actually went there years ago.
12
13
             You didn't work there back in the late
   '80s, correct?
14
15
        A
             No.
16
            You now know that this man is already a
   four-time convicted killer -- yes?
17
18
        A
            Yes.
19
             Do you have any problem accepting the fact
   that another jury convicted him?
20
21
             Do I have a problem?
        A
22
             That's a terrible question. What I'm
23
  asking is can you accept the fact that another jury
   convicted him? You wouldn't question their verdict,
24
25
  in other words?
```

```
1
        Α
              No.
 2
              In fact, the Judge would instruct you and
 3
   require you to accept that verdict.
        A
              Yes.
              The decision you're called upon to make
 5
   has to do with punishment --
 6
 7
        A
              Absolutely.
 8
         0
              -- and it is a huge decision.
 9
              Yes.
        A
10
              I'm sure you appreciate that. Understand,
   we don't take this decision lightly.
11
              Although we're advocating for the death
12
   penalty, you don't think we take it lightly?
13
1.4
        A
             No, I don't think so.
15
              Let's assume now that you're on this jury,
   that you've heard evidence from both sides and that
16
   you've deliberated and you have concluded in your
17
   mind this is the appropriate case for the ultimate
18
   punishment for the death penalty.
19
              Can you impose that punishment?
20
21
        A
              Yes.
22
              Slight variation on that same question.
   Let's assume you're selected foreperson, 11 jurors
23
   decide they want you to be the foreperson, you all
24
   have the same vote, you all agree collectively he
25
```

```
should get the death penalty. As the foreperson,
   the additional responsibility you would have would
 2
 3
   be to sign the verdict form that sentences this man
 4
   to death. If the jurors decide they want you as the
   foreperson and if you collectively agree death is
 5
   warranted, could you sign that verdict form?
 7
        Α
              If it was unanimous and we all agreed,
 8
   yes.
 9
             MR. DASKAS:
                           Thank you.
10
              Judge, we pass this juror for cause.
11
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
12
   BY MS. JACKSON:
13
14
              Miss Carpenter, good evening.
15
              When you were catching the tail end of the
16
   news report, was it this morning?
17
              No.
        A
18
              When did you catch that?
19
        Α
              It was -- I've been here so many days -- I
20
   know it was not this morning.
21
              It was one day this week?
22
              It was this week.
23
              And you recognize this was the very case
   that you had been summoned to jury duty on?
24
25
        Α
              Yes.
```

```
Would you just think for a minute -- and
 1
   we know it's late and we know it's hard, and no one
   is accusing you of anything, because there are no
 3
   right or wrong answers --
 5
        A
             Right.
              -- but it is a really important process.
 6
 7
              Absolutely.
        A
              When you heard that, did you think in your
 8
   mind, "Oh, well, if he had judges" -- did that
   influence you one way or the other in terms of what
10
   might be the appropriate penalty?
11
12
              I'm not understanding the question.
   fact that judges had given the penalty that I should
13
   give it more weight?
14
              Um-hmm, yes, and that obviously it was
15
   tossed out for whatever reason because we're all
16
17
   here?
              Right.
18
        A
              And you're obviously a very bright person
19
   and you could figure that out.
20
              Right.
21
        A
              But it was stayed for whatever reason.
22
        0
23
        Α
              Right.
              Can you think of any reason?
24
        0
              As to why it would be tossed out?
25
        A
```

To lead you to not do further research? 1 I did not do further research because I 2 3 was very careful. I would have liked to, because I like to do research. I did not go to the Internet, I did not read the paper; I took it for a fact and I 5 figured whenever I got here, I would find out or would not find out -- whatever I was allowed to be 7 told. 8 9 Today or whenever it was that you or someone asked a question outside and you just felt 10 it was appropriate to share the information that you 11 12 had? I shared the information that we already 13 14 had had on our paper. Although you'll agree with me, though, 15 16 ma'am, there's nothing on the paper about a three-judge panel. You got to agree with me on 17 that. 18 Right, and you're right. It was about the 19 conviction, and there was another gentleman there, 20 and I -- I don't recall if I said it or if he said 21 it, and I concurred that I had also heard it on the 22 news, but I did not hear the reasons for it, and 23 I -- obviously, as you said, I know that there is --24 that we are here, so I knew that obviously something 25

had to be done, but I did not take it one way or the 1 other as questioning. 3 Then you indicated when Mr. Daskas was 4 questioning you that you felt that with your 5 experience and your training that you really felt that you were probably better equipped, more 7 equipped than the average juror, you would say, to perform this task? 8 9 I can't say more equipped. I would say I 10 would be equipped. 11 Do you want to be on this jury? 12 That's a question I've been asking myself, and I'll be honest -- I'm sure you want me to be 13 14 honest anyway -- I thought that I would do a good job and I would be fair and I would be -- in my 15 training, I'm supposed to be ethical and I'm 16 17 supposed to be moral and I'm supposed to do all those things, and I thought -- really and truly I 18 19 thought, "Well, what if for some reason someone I 20 knew was in a situation, I would want someone like 21 me on the jury?" That's what I thought. 22 Okay. By that answer, that actually is a very thorough, complete answer. 23 24 My next question I probably don't need to ask it but I'm going to just to be on the safe side. 25

1 This is a very serious matter for my client, life and death. 2 3 If you find yourself, after due deliberation, after everything the State has to present, you've listened very carefully to what we 5 present on behalf of Mr. Johnson in mitigation and 6 you've weighed all of that and you find yourself a vote of one, the other 11 jurors have come down firmly on the side of death, you deliberated with 10 them, you reasoned with them, you followed your duty and you still find that based upon everything you've 11 12 heard in this courtroom that your decision is the 13 right one, what are you going to do at that point? 14 Well, I guess if I stick with my decision, then that makes me think that I'm smarter or better 15 than everybody else, and that's a tough one. 16 would say if I really believed that I was right and 17 18 I had weighed all the evidence, I would just work as 19 hard as I could to make the other 11 see what I saw 20 and believe what I saw. 21 You mention something that by sticking to 22 your guns that you may deliver a message that you're 23 smarter or brighter than everybody else. I don't want to deliver that message, but 24 25 I'm saying --

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
              That's not what this process is about.
 2
   The law says that Mr. Johnson is entitled to the
 3
   considered opinion of 12 people.
 4
              Do you believe that your opinion is less
 5
   valid than the doctor, lawyer, Indian chief sitting
   next to you?
 6
 7
        Α
              Less valid, no.
         0
              Is it more valid?
 9
              No.
        A
10
         Q
              Okay.
11
              One of the things that you're going to be
12
   told at some point is that you are to bring common
13
   sense.
14
        Α
              Right.
15
              And your common sense is just as valuable
16
   as anyone else's, right?
17
        A
              Right.
18
        Q
              Your sum total of your experiences?
19
             Right.
        Α
20
              We're just trying to find out if you're
21
   going to feel constrained to go with the flow simply
22
   to reach a verdict?
23
              I believe that if I -- I truly believe
24
   that if I have weighed all the evidence and I have
25
   what I believe is the right decision, unfortunately
```

```
1
   if there were 11 other people who felt differently,
 2
   I wouldn't change it, if I really felt that way.
 3
             MS. JACKSON: Fair enough.
             Your Honor, we would pass Miss Carpenter
 4
   for cause.
              THE COURT: I have a couple of questions
 6
 7
   for you.
 8
             You said your brother-in-law and
   sister-in-law are of different ethnic backgrounds.
   What are they?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother-in-law is
11
12
  African-American, and my sister-in-law is Thai.
13
              THE COURT: Anything else?
             MR. DASKAS: Can we approach before she's
14
1.5
   excused?
             THE COURT: Yes.
16
17
              (Sidebar conference outside the presence
   of the court reporter.)
18
19
             THE COURT: Ma'am, we don't want you
20
   discussing the case with anybody else. Okay?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
22
             THE COURT: In fact, I am going to
23
  instruct the whole jury of that tomorrow that
   they're not to discuss the case with anyone else or
24
25
  make any comments with anything that has to do with
```

```
the case until you go back to the jury room to
 1
 2
   decide the case. All right?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All right.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Do you think you can do that?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I can.
              THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow at
 6
 7
   10:00 o'clock.
 8
             Okay?
                                      Okay.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 262:
10
              THE COURT: Bring both of them in.
11
   guys exhausted your peremptories. Each side will
12
   get one peremptory challenge.
13
             Who is first, Burwell? Who is Burwell?
14
   Sit right there (indicating).
15
16
      VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 268
              THE COURT: Miss Burwell, how long have
17
   you been in Las Vegas -- born here?
18
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Born here.
20
             THE COURT: What do you do?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I'm a college student.
22
             THE COURT: What are you studying?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Web design.
24
             THE COURT: Web design.
25
             At Community College?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
 1
              THE COURT: Are you working too?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 3
                                  No.
              THE COURT: Are you married?
 4
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 No.
              THE COURT: Now, you said -- have you
 6
 7
   heard anything about this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just the name, just
 8
 9
   his name.
              THE COURT: Just his name.
10
             Do you know anything about the facts?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
12
              THE COURT: Now, you said you belong to
13
   the Rape Crisis Center?
1.4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
15
              THE COURT: You go out and counsel people?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I've been counseled
17
18
   there one time.
              THE COURT: Why? Have you ever been the
19
   victim of a sexual assault?
20
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
              THE COURT: How long ago was this?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Three years ago.
23
              THE COURT: Did they catch the person who
24
   did it?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 1
                                  No.
 2
              THE COURT: Did you know the person?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 3
              THE COURT: Was it someone that you had
 4
   been dating or just somebody that you knew?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just someone I knew.
 6
              THE COURT: Why wasn't he prosecuted?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think because I
 8
   reported the crime too late, maybe a month late.
 9
             THE COURT: A month later?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm.
11
              THE COURT: Now, you're not going to hold
12
   it against the defendant here because of what
13
14
   happened to you, are you?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
              THE COURT: Now, you understand that
16
   Mr. Johnson has been convicted of four counts of
17
   first-degree murder?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
19
              THE COURT: We're now picking a jury to
20
  hear the punishment and decide the punishment.
21
22
             Do you understand that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
23
24
              THE COURT: According to our law, the
   punishment is -- you will have four choices
25
```

```
according to the law of the State of Nevada -- the
 1
 2
   death penalty, life in prison without parole, life
   in prison with the possibility of parole or 50 years
   with parole eligibility -- 50 years in the state
   prison and parole eligibility after 20 years, and of
 5
   course, these are doubled because a deadly weapon
 6
   was used, so it would be a definite term would be a
 7
   hundred years with parole after 40 years.
 9
             Could you consider all four forms of
10
   punishment?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
11
                                  Yes.
             THE COURT: Are you opposed to the death
12
   penalty?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
14
15
             THE COURT: Now, here on Question No. 21,
   you said you have friends and relatives -- your
16
   mother works for Metro?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
18
             THE COURT: What does she do for Metro?
1.9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Records.
20
             THE COURT:
                          She works in the records
21
22
   department?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
23
              THE COURT: And your aunt works at City
24
25
   Hall?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
  1
  2
               THE COURT: What does she do?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure.
  3
               THE COURT: What's her name?
  4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Gloria Evans.
  5
               THE COURT: Gloria is your aunt?
  6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm.
  7
               THE COURT: So, what's your mom's name?
  8
  9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Pearl Burwell.
 10
               THE COURT: And your father?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jessie Burwell.
11
               THE COURT: Can you listen to all the
 12
     evidence before you make up your mind?
 13
 14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: Do you have any preconceived
 15
    notion of what the penalty should be?
 16
 17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
               THE COURT: Now, in this Question No. 24,
 18
     you said if someone general asked you about the
 19
     death penalty or whatever -- you didn't circle one,
 20
     but you said, "If a life was taken intentionally,
 21
     that person should either rot in prison or die."
 22
               Would you want to listen to the evidence
 23
    before you decided on the sentence?
 24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 25
```

```
1.
              THE COURT: So, right now, do you have
   your mind made up as to what sentence the defendant
 2
 3
   should receive?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
 5
              THE COURT: You will listen to all the
   evidence and the facts in the case before you make
 6
 7
   up your mind?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 9
              THE COURT: State.
10
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
11
12
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
13
  BY MR. DASKAS:
14
             Miss Burwell, thank you for your patience.
   We appreciate it.
15
16
              THE COURT: I have one other question.
17
             What day do you go to school and what
18
   time?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Tuesday and Thursday
20
   4:30 to 5:30, and I have two online classes.
21
             THE COURT: You can do the online classes
22
   any time.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And I'm a single
24
   mother.
25
             THE COURT: And so, you just have to be in
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
   school on Tuesdays and Thursdays?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Tuesday and Thursday.
 3
              THE COURT: Could you get there from here
 4
   at 4:00 o'clock?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably not. I'm not
 5
   sure, because my dad, he's a minister, and, like,
 6
 7
   that's my only way of transportation.
 8
              THE COURT: Oh, is that right? So, you
 9
   would rather not serve because of school? I don't
10
   want you to miss school.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, probably,
1 1
  because I'm already doing bad enough.
12
13
             THE COURT: Huh?
1.4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm already doing bad
   enough right now.
15
             THE COURT: Counsel, approach the bench.
16
              (Sidebar conference outside the presence
17
18
   of the court reporter.)
19
             THE COURT: We're going to excuse you,
20
   ma'am, so you can go to school.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
21
             THE COURT: We don't want you to miss a
22
23
   couple of weeks out of class and flunk.
             Tell Gloria I said hi.
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
25
```

```
1
 2
      VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 278
 3
              THE COURT: And you are?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 278: Brenda Fladger.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Miss Fladger. You were born
 6
   in San Diego?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 8
             THE COURT: Your folks were in the
 9
   military?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, your Honor, they
11
  lived there, and that's where I was born.
12
             THE COURT: So, when did you leave
1.3
   California?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I came to Vegas in
15
   1995.
16
             THE COURT: You were living in San Diego?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. We moved after I
18
  graduated from high school, we moved to Banning,
19
   California, and I got married and had children
20
   there, and then we moved.
             THE COURT: What county is that?
21
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's in Riverside
23
   County.
24
             THE COURT: What kind of work do you do,
25
   ma'am?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work at Sunrise
 1
   Hospital in the Radiology Department.
 2
             THE COURT: What do you do there?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a tech aid.
 4
             THE COURT: Are you married?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: And have you ever been
   married?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
 9
10
             THE COURT: You're divorced now?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11
             THE COURT: What kind of work did your
12
13
   ex-husband do?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was a steel worker,
14
   did copper.
15
             THE COURT: You have two kids?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. They're adult
17
18
   children.
             THE COURT: One is in college?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
20
21
             THE COURT: Where?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At UNLV.
             THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
23
   family ever been charged with a crime?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, your Honor.
25
```

```
THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
 1
 2
   family ever been the victim of a crime?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, your Honor.
                                                   Oh.
   I'm sorry, I take that back. Yes.
 4
             THE COURT: Who was that?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My daughter.
 6
             THE COURT: What was she convicted of?
 7
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Convicted -- not
   convicted; she was a victim of a crime.
 9
             THE COURT: Oh, a victim. It's late.
10
11
   sorry.
             What happened to her?
12
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She was molested.
14
             THE COURT: As an adult or child?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A child.
15
16
             THE COURT: Was the person prosecuted that
17
   did that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18
             THE COURT: This was in California?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
20
21
             THE COURT: So, you have two
   sister-in-laws that's of different race?
22
23
   they?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They're Caucasian.
24
             THE COURT: Now, you understand that
25
```

```
you're going to be deciding the punishment in this
 1
 2
   trial?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, your Honor.
             THE COURT: And there are four possible
 4
   punishments -- the death penalty, life in prison
 5
   without parole, life in prison with the possibility
   of parole or 50 years with the possibility of parole
 7
   after 20 years, and those sentences are doubled
 9
   because a deadly weapon was used --
10
             Do you understand that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: Could you consider all four
12
13
  forms of punishment?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Do you have any opposition to
15
16
   the death penalty?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Would you be able to consider
18
19
   life in prison --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes, your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: -- if appropriate?
21
             You want to listen to all the facts and
22
23
   evidence before you make up your mind?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, your Honor.
24
25
              THE COURT: State.
```

```
Thank you, your Honor.
 1
              MR. STANTON:
 2
 3
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
   BY MR. STANTON:
 4
              Good evening, Miss Fladger.
 5
        Q
             Good evening.
        Α
 6
 7
             Am I pronouncing your name correctly?
 8
        Α
              Flad-jer (phonetic).
 9
              Miss Fladger, you made some comments in
        0
   your jury questionnaire that you filled out about a
10
11
   week or so ago. The first one was that -- the
12
   situation involving your family member that justice
13
   was not served.
14
        A
              Yes.
              Can you give me an explanation of why you
15
   think justice wasn't served in that case?
16
17
        A
              Well, he -- nothing happened to him.
   was held at the jail, but they only held him for 24
18
19
   hours and they let him go.
             And they never pursued the charges?
20
21.
        Α
             No.
              As a result of that situation and the
22
   failure of justice in your daughter's case, do you
23
24
   hold any resentment about the judicial system
25
   because of that?
```

1 No, not at all. Nothing that would affect your ability to 2 sit as a fair and impartial juror in this case? 3 A No. 5 You made a statement about your feelings about the justice system, and you said that as long 7 as the facts and information is clear on both sides -- do you remember that statement? 9 Yes, sir. 10 In this case as you undoubtedly know at this juncture, a prior jury has found the issue of 11 12 quilt and innocence of this man, Donte Johnson, and 13 that is, he's been found quilty by that jury of four counts of first-degree murder. 14 15 Do you understand that? Yes, sir. 16 A Now, would someone else making that 17 crucial decision other than you potentially sitting 18 19 on this jury, does that cause you a problem, maybe 20 "Hey, I may not have decided the same way, and therefore, it's going to affect my decision here"? 21 Well, I think if I have all the facts that 22 I need to be able to make a clear-minded decision, I 23 can make a clear-minded decision with the facts. 24 25 Okay. Q

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
              Understanding that your decision here
   cannot be his guilt or innocence?
 2
 3
        A
              Right.
              It's only as far as the punishment goes?
 5
              Correct.
        A
 6
              And the facts and evidence that would be
 7
   presented addressed that portion, strictly the
   punishment, not guilt or innocence?
 9
        A
              Okay.
10
              Are you comfortable with that?
11
              Yes, sir.
        A
12
              In fact, the Judge would give you an
   instruction of law that you're required to accept
13
14
   the other jury's verdicts, and I'm sure you would
   abide by that?
15
             Yes, sir.
16
        A
              You indicated about the death penalty that
17
18
   you've never thought about it.
19
        A
             Right.
20
              It's never come up, never saw something on
21
   television about another case or the Oklahoma City
22 Bombing anniversary that just occurred a couple days
23 ago -- nothing has ever occurred in your mind as far
   as potential juror for seeing yourself in that
24
25
   situation where you might have to make such a
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

decision? 1 2 Α Well, no, not really, no. How do you feel about the death penalty? 3 Well, I think that -- in my mind, I think 5 it depends on the crime and what was committed and the person who committed the crime. 6 7 0 Okav. So, it could be a lot of factors that go 9 into that. I can't just say yes you get the death 10 penalty, because that's what we're going to give him, but I think a lot of factors go into that 11 12 before you can decide someone deserves to get the 13 death penalty. 14 0 Okay. Would you agree with me that there are 15 some circumstances, some cases, totality of the 16 circumstances that justify the death penalty? 17 18 Yes, I agree with that. A 19 In this case, if you were selected as the foreperson and you, as a collective jury, were to, 20 after listening to the entirety of the evidence and 21 arguments and the instruction of law were to 22 conclude that the death penalty was appropriate in 23 this case, could you sign your name to a verdict 24 form that puts that man, Donte Johnson, to death? 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
1
              If I felt it was appropriate?
         Α
              Absolutely. Could you do that?
 2
         Q
 3
              If I felt it was appropriate, yes.
              MR. STANTON: Thank you. I would pass the
 4
 5
   prospective juror for cause.
 6
              THE COURT: Defense Counsel.
 7
              MS. JACKSON: Thank you, your Honor.
 8
 9
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
10
   BY MS. JACKSON:
11
             Miss Fladger, excuse me, ma'am.
                                                I'm going
12
   to keep my seat.
13
        Α
             No problem.
14
             Are you okay with that?
15
             Yeah, I'm okay with that, as long as you
16
   can hear me.
17
        Q
              When you were a juror before, you said
   that you had former jury service?
18
19
        Α
             Yes.
20
             Was that before or after -- I'm sorry.
21
   I'm sure you said and I probably just didn't hear
22
   it, I'm so tired. I don't want to get into this too
23 much, because it's probably not something you like
24 talking about, but you have indicated here there was
25 a situation involving your daughter?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
Yes.
 1
        A
             And it appears that she was somehow
   molested?
 3
 4
        A
             Yes.
             And justice was not served even though the
   person was identified and held in jail for a 24-hour
 7
   period?
             Correct.
        Α
 8
             Was it a relative?
 9
        Q
10
        A
             Yes.
11
        Q
             I see.
             Now, did that situation occur before or
12
   after you were on jury duty before?
13
14
        A
             Before.
             So, you were able to get through your
15
   prior jury service?
16
             Yes, ma'am.
17
             And that situation didn't interfere with
18
   your ability to be fair and impartial?
19
20
        A
             No, not at all.
             It didn't cause you any problems in terms
21
22
   of your own well-being?
23
        A
             No.
             This case, of course, is a lot more
24
   serious. This is the most serious case that our
25
```

```
society has, a capital murder, of course.
 1
                                                The last
 2
   case was a criminal case, so you have some idea how
 3
   it works, except that in this case you're going to
   be imposing a sentence?
 4
 5
        Α
              Yes.
              I imagine the last time you didn't have to
 6
 7
   do that.
 8
        A
              No, we did not.
 9
              Are you comfortable with that?
10
              Yes, I am.
        A
              Because this is a case where a death
11
   penalty is involved, the legislature has mandated
12
13
   that before you can make that decision to decide
   which four punishments are appropriate, that you
14
15
   have to consider mitigation, background information,
16
   the defendant's age, mental status, education,
17
   background -- things of that nature.
18
              Are those things or any of those things
   items that you would want to know about before you
19
20
   decide if someone had to live or die?
21
              Yes.
22
              Would you like to know more or less?
23
        Α
              Well, I think as much as necessary for me
24
   to make my decision.
25
              For a decision of that magnitude, it
        0
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

1 probably would be a good idea to get as much as you 2 can? 3 Α Correct. 4 Q From both sides, of course? 5 Um-hmm. Α 6 And, of course -- now, you did not think 7 about -- your answer was last week, "I never thought about it." 8 9 I'm sure since you filled this out you 10 probably gave it some thought, the death penalty? 11 A A little, yes. 12 Q Okay. Do you agree with me that life in prison, 13 14 that, itself, is a severe punishment? 15 Α Yes, it's a severe punishment. 16 Do you agree that it protects society --17 yes? 18 Yes, um-hmm. A How good are you about sticking to your 19 20 I don't know if you found yourself after you deliberated with your fellow jurors, you considered 21 22 everything you heard in this courtroom and you found 23 that everyone else was voting for death and you, after considered deliberation, felt that you wanted 24 25 to give life for whatever reason, would you go with

```
the majority just for the sake of reaching a
 1
   verdict, ma'am?
 2
 3
        Α
             No, I wouldn't. I wouldn't. I wouldn't.
             MS. JACKSON: That's good enough for me.
 4
   Thank you, Miss Fladger.
 5
             Your Honor, we would pass Miss Fladger for
 6
 7
   cause.
 8
             THE COURT:
                        Miss Fladger, we're going to
   have you come back tomorrow morning at
   10:00 o'clock.
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 278: Okay.
11
             THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow morning
12
13
   downstairs.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 278: Okay. Thank you.
14
             MR. DASKAS: Judge, is she now considered
15
   Alternate No. 1?
             THE COURT: Right. We'll pick another one
17
   tomorrow, and then you guys -- each side will have
18
   one peremptory challenge. All right?
19
20
             They all come back tomorrow morning at
21
   10:00.
             THE BAILIFF: Yeah, they all come back
22
   tomorrow morning at 10:00.
23
              THE COURT: How many do we have left, 15?
24
              THE BAILIFF:
                           Yes.
25
```

```
You mean left to choose from?
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Yes.
 3
             THE BAILIFF:
                          We probably have more than
 4
   that.
             MR. DASKAS: I know we're all tired, but
 5
   in terms of tomorrow and scheduling, there are a
 6
   couple of, I think, fairly big issues in terms of
 7
 8
   the parameter of the initial case that might affect
   the defense opening and my opening which need to be
10
   addressed before we give opening statements.
11
             THE COURT: We haven't picked the jury
12
   yet, Mr. Daskas.
             MR. DASKAS: That's my point. What time
13
   are we going to give opening statements?
14
15
             THE COURT: I don't know. It depends on
   how long it takes for you guys to pick a jury. I'll
16
   give you some time. I'll work with you.
17
             MR. DASKAS: That's all I need to know.
18
19
             THE COURT: I'm not going to be rushing
20
   you through if you haven't had a chance to get ready
21
   and set up and do what you need to do. I just want
22
   to get a jury picked.
23
             MR. DASKAS: We got one.
             MS. JACKSON: For the record, I'm with
24
25
   Robert on this one, Judge. Give us a wee bit of
```

```
time.
 1
               (Proceedings were adjourned at 8:12 p.m.)
 2
 3
              (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE
 4
 5
              CONCLUDED.)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
1.5
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
         SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727
                                           (702) 455-3610
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF NEVADA)
3	:SS COUNTY OF CLARK)
4	
5	
6	I, SONIA L. RILEY, CERTIFIED COURT
7	REPORTER, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I TOOK DOWN IN
8	STENOTYPE ALL OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE
9	BEFORE-ENTITLED MATTER AT THE TIME AND PLACE
10	INDICATED, AND THAT THEREAFTER SAID STENOTYPE NOTES
11	WERE TRANSCRIBED INTO TYPEWRITING AT AND UNDER MY
12	DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION AND THE FOREGOING
13	TRANSCRIPT CONSTITUTES A FULL, TRUE AND ACCURATE
14	RECORD TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY OF THE PROCEEDINGS
15	HAD.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO
17	SUBSCRIBED MY NAME IN MY OFFICE IN THE COUNTY OF
18	CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA.
19	
20	
21	1 J. L. Luci
22	Some Offleg
23	SONIA L. RILEY, COR 727
24	
25	

(702) 455-3610 **325** SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
FILED
                       DISTRICT COURT
 1
                    CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2005 APR 25 A 9: 06
 2
                          ORIGINAL
 3
 4
   THE STATE OF NEVADA,
 5
              PLAINTIFF,
 6
 7
   VS.
                                 CASE NO.: C153154
   DONTE JOHNSON,
 9
              DEFENDANT.
10
                   REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
11
                              OF
12
                        TRIAL BY JURY
13
                      (VOLUME IV - P.M.)
14
15
          BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE LEE A. GATES
                    DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16
                       DEPARTMENT VIII
17
18
               DATED FRIDAY, APRIL 22, 2005
19
20
21
                        ROBERT J. DASKAS, ESQ.
   FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
22
                         DAVID STANTON, ESQ.
   FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                        ALZORA B. JACKSON, ESQ.
23
                        BRET WHIPPLE, ESQ.
24
  REPORTED BY: SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727
                                                      Sp
25
         SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610
```

```
APPEARANCES:
 1
 2
       FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                         ROBERT J. DASKAS, ESQ.
 3
                         DAVID STANTON, ESQ.
                         DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
 4
                         200 South Third Street
                         Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 5
                         (702) 455-4711
 6
 7
 8
       FOR THE DEFENDANT:
 9
                         ALZORA B. JACKSON, ESQ.
                         SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
                         333 South Third Street
10
                         Second Floor
                                             89155
                         Las Vegas, Nevada
11
                         (702) 455-6265
12
                         BRET O. WHIPPLE, ESQ.
                         229 S. Las Vegas Blvd.
13
                         Suite 205
                         Las Vegas, Nevada
                                             89101
14
                         (702) 257-9500
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455 + 3610

```
I N D E X
 1
 2
 3
                                                      PAGE
                                                       4
   VOIR DIRE (RESUMED)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                            (702) 455-3610
         SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727
```

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE BAILIFF: All rise. Department VIII is now in session, Judge Lee Gates presiding.

Please be seated and come to order.

6 THE CLERK: Will you please stand and 7 raise your right hand to be sworn?

(Prospective jurors sworn.)

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Folks, you guys weren't here 10 the other day, and we're still picking a jury. 11 have a couple more to go. What we're going to do is 12 we're going to be asking you some questions to try 13 to find out whether or not you can be fair and 14 15 impartial in this case. There are no right answers, there are no wrong answers; we just want to see what 16 kind of attitude you have and whether or not this is 17 the right kind of case for you to sit on. 18

If you don't understand a question or you need more information, don't hesitate to ask us to repeat it or clarify or explain it.

All of the questioning is done under oath.

It's very important that you be completely open and honest in your answers, and the reason for that is if you withhold information from us or make

misrepresentations, then the verdict will have to be 1 thrown out, and we'll have to start all over with a new trial. That's very time-consuming and costly, so for that reason, we ask that you be honest and truthful in your answers. 5 I suspect this case might be two weeks, so 6 I just want you to be aware of that. Of course, it 7 might not be, because a lot of it has to do with us 8 picking a jury. We've been here all week picking a 10 jury. You received the questionnaire. 11 12 going to be asking you questions about that, but if 13 there's something that you feel we should know --14 whether or not we ask a question -- that may have an impact on your ability to be fair and impartial, you 15 let us know. If you know any of the people 16 17 involved, any of the witnesses or the lawyers or the defendant or the victim, we want you to let us know. 18 19 In a minute, I'm going to have the lawyers 20 tell you who the people are involved in this case, and I want you to let me know if you know anything 21 about them. Also, I want to know what you heard 22 23 about the case, also, if you heard anything in the 24 newspapers or talked to neighbors or any other

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

people that told you any information about the case,

we want to know that also. 1 2 State, do you want to tell them where this occurred and who the people are involved --3 MR. DASKAS: I will, Judge. Thank you. THE COURT: -- the witnesses. 5 6 MR. DASKAS: Good morning. This is David 7 Stanton (indicating), and my name is Robert Daskas. We are Deputy District Attorneys with the Clark County D.A.'s Office, and we are assigned the 10 prosecution of this case against the defendant Donte 11 Johnson. As the Judge mentioned, as the questionnaire mentioned, this is a little different 12 13 situation. You're not called upon to determine 14 quilt or innocence. Donte Johnson has been convicted by a jury of four counts of First-degree 15 Murder with Use of a Deadly Weapon, and you'll be 16 17 called upon to determine the appropriate punishment if you're selected as jurors. 18 19 I want to mention just briefly about the facts of the case. If you've heard of the case or 20 you've read about it, let the Judge know, and he'll 21 22 have some additional questions. The case was a quadruple homicide that occurred on August 14th, 23 1998 in a home off Tropicana Avenue on a street 24 called Terra Linda Avenue in East Las Vegas. 25

were four young men who lost their lives: Tracey
Gorringe, Jeff Biddle, Peter Talamentez and Matthew
Mowen. In addition to Donte Johnson being charged,
there were two others charged, Terrell Young and
Sikia Smith.

What we're going to do in this hearing is

we're going to call a detective to summarize the evidence presented at the previous trial, and there will be a number of witness names mentioned. I'm going to read some of those names, and as the Judge said, if you recognize those names or if you know anybody, let the Judge know, and he will have some other questions.

The detective who would summarize the testimony is Detective Thowsen from the Las Vegas Police Department. The witnesses would include Justin Perkins, Charla Severs, Bryan Johnson, Ace Hart, Todd Armstrong, Sergeant Robert Honea from the Nevada Highway Patrol will be mentioned. Ed Guenther is a fingerprint examiner with Metro; Tom Wahl is a DNA scientist with Metro; Dr. Robert Bucklin performed the autopsies in this case, but we will have someone named Dr. Gary Telgenhoff describe the findings of autopsy. Shawn Fletcher is a crime scene analyst. You will hear her name mentioned if

```
you're selected. She's employed by Metro as well.
 1
 2
   There will be potentially a number of witnesses from
   out of state -- Grace Garcia and Steve Burciaga from
   the Los Angeles Police Department will testify.
   couple of people from probation in Los Angeles
   County, Robert Hoffman and Craig Clark, a retired
   lieutenant from LAPD is Lieutenant Grayson. We'll
 7
  here from him as well. As I said, not all of those
 8
   people will testify, but you'll hear their names
   mentioned, so if you recognize the case or the
   witnesses, let Judge Gates know.
11
             Thank you so much.
12
             THE COURT: Counsel for the defense.
13
14
             MS. JACKSON: Thank you, your Honor.
             Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
15
   name is Alzora Jackson, and I'm a Deputy Public
16
   Defender here in Clark County. Bret Whipple is my
17
   co-counsel. He's an attorney here in town.
1.8
             MR. WHIPPLE: Good morning.
19
20
             MS. JACKSON:
                           Together, we represent
  Mr. Donte Johnson, also known as John White.
21
22
   you.
             We too will have a chance to present
23
   witnesses in this penalty phase. I hope I can
24
25
   remember them all. I didn't remember to bring my
```

```
sheet. We have some local witnesses. I can start
 1
   with one local attorney, Gloria Navarro who used to
   work in our office, and she now works with the civil
 3
   division in the District Attorney's office. We also
   will call a couple of correctional officers from the
   Detention Center, Officer Johnson and Officer Perez.
 7
   We may also call a lady named Nancy Hunterton, and
   she runs the Life Skills program over at the Clark
   County Detention Center. The bulk of our witnesses
   will come from Southern California which is where
10
   Mr. Johnson is from -- the L.A. area, South Central
11
   L.A., and they are mostly his family members -- his
12
   mother Eunice King, grandmother Jane Edwards, sister
13
14
   Johnnisha Zamura, Eunisha White, an aunt Wanda Faye
   Johnson, Moises Zamura.
                            There are two minor
15
   children you will hear from, those are Donte's
   children, Allen and Anijah White, minor children.
17
   Who have I omitted from Los Angeles?
18
             Can you think of any of the witnesses?
19
             THE DEFENDANT: That's about it.
20
             MS. JACKSON: That's about it.
21
             Thank you, Donte.
22
             You may hear from Craig Clark who is also
23
   from the Los Angeles area. We have individuals that
24
   you may hear from that are actually serving time
25
```

```
here in Nevada, and that list begins with Mr. Jose
 1
  Vigoa, Termaine Lytle, George Cotton, Reginald
   Johnson, Sikia Smith who is a co-defendant in this
   case.
             Thank you very much for your attention.
 5
             THE COURT: Thank you.
 6
 7
             MS. JACKSON: You're welcome.
 8
             THE COURT: Now, do any of you know either
   of the Deputy District Attorneys, Mr. Daskas or
  Mr. Stanton? If so, let me know by raising your
10
   hands.
11
             I see no hands, so I assume none of you
12
13 know them.
             Do any of you have any friends or
14
   relatives who work for the District Attorney's
   office? If so, raise your hands.
16
             I see no hands, so I assume the answer for
17
   each of you is no to that.
18
             Do any of you know the defendant's
19
  lawyers, Mr. Whipple or Miss Jackson?
20
             I see no hands, so I assume you don't know
21
22
   them.
23
             Do any of you know the defendant?
             I see no hands, so I assume none of you
24
  know the defendant.
25
```

```
Do any of you know any of the victims in
 1
   this case?
 2
             Yes, ma'am. What's your badge number?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 309: One one zero three
 4
   zero nine. It's an extension of the victim.
             THE COURT: Zero three nine nine?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Three zero nine.
 7
             THE COURT: Three zero nine. All right.
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I lived at 5344
 9
10
  Hillsborough. It was about a half a mile away from
   the house, and I remember my son's friend not coming
11
   to school that day. It happened in that friend's
12
13
  house.
             THE COURT: Anyone else?
1 4
             Yes, ma'am. Badge number?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One one zero three
16
   zero five.
17
             THE COURT: Three zero five -- last three
18
   digits?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 305:
20
21
             THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe, and I'm
22
   almost positive, my husband, through business, knew
23
   one of the victim's fathers. For some reason, it
24
25
   sounds very familiar.
```

THE COURT: Anyone else? 1 Did any of you recognize the names of any 2 3 of the other people which the State or the defendant said they were calling? I see no hands, so I assume the answer for 5 each of you is no to that question. 6 7 How many of you have heard about this case 8 other than the two people who already answered? Just about all of you, huh? Okay. We'll explore that later. 10 This is what we're going to do. We're 11 going to start off with Mr. -- is it Van Dine 12 (phonetic)? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yes. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. The rest of you remain 16 outside and we'll call you. 17 (Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.) 18 19 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 286 20 21 THE COURT: Mr. Van Dine, I read over your 22 questionnaire here. A couple of things. Now, you stated that if a lengthy trial is 23 24 expected, that your fairness would be compromised 25 because you want to get out of here rather than lose

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
money by being here for a length of time.
 1
 2
             What's a lengthy time for you?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: The job that I
   work in is mostly based on a commission basis, so as
   long as the trial goes, it finally would be --
 5
   possibly may compromise my fairness.
 7
              THE COURT: So, what you're saying is you
   make money by commissions.
 8
             How long have you been off of work now?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I missed one day.
10
             THE COURT: You missed one day?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
12
                                  Um-hmm.
13
             THE COURT: So, a week or two you feel
1.4
   would be too long for you to stay here; is that
   correct?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  That is possible, yes.
16
             THE COURT: What now?
17
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is possible, yes.
             THE COURT: Possible -- we can't deal with
1.9
20
   possible; we have to know. I can understand, but
21
   it's a financial sacrifice for everybody to come
   down here.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I understand that, and
23
24
  I will try to be fair.
25
             THE COURT: I understand that, but I did
```

```
understand you too, if you work on commission and
 1
 2
   can't get paid and you're worried about that and
   can't concentrate on the trial, that wouldn't be
   fair to the defendant, so if that's your posture and
   how it would really be, that's what I want to know.
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Do you want to question him?
             MR. DASKAS: No, sir.
 8
 9
             MS. JACKSON: No, sir.
10
             THE COURT: Submit it?
             MR. DASKAS: Yes.
11
12
             MS. JACKSON: Yes.
13
             THE COURT: All right. We'll excuse you,
14
  sir.
15
16
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 292
             THE COURT: Rita Decelles. Miss Decelles?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 292: Yes.
18
19
             THE COURT: Miss Decelles, you've been in
20
   Las Vegas four years?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
             THE COURT: Did you come from Connecticut
22
23
   or some other place?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: San Pedro, California.
24
25
             THE COURT: California.
```

```
How long did you live in California?
 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Four years -- three or
2
3
   four years. My husband is in the military.
             THE COURT: What branch?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Air Force.
 5
             THE COURT: Air Force. Okay.
 6
             What does he do in the Air Force?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No idea.
 8
             THE COURT: You don't know what he does?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's classified.
10
             THE COURT: Oh, okay.
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's retired now.
12
  retired here about a year and a half ago.
13
             THE COURT: So, he retired about a year
14
  and a half ago here?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, he retired about
16
  a year and a half ago here.
17
             THE COURT: And you have two sons?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19
             THE COURT: Are they living here or are
20
21.
  they out of state?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My oldest one lives
22
  here, and my youngest one lives in Hawthorne,
23
   California.
24
             THE COURT: Which one, the guy who works
25
```

```
for Fed Ex?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The oldest one lives
   here.
             THE COURT: Timothy?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 5
             THE COURT: Is here?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 7
             THE COURT: And the other son is in
 8
 9
   Hawthorne.
10
             What does he do in Hawthorne?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He just got a new job.
11
   He works at the airport.
12
             THE COURT: Oh, okay.
13
             Now, you've never been on a jury before?
1.4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15
16
             THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
17
   family ever been charged with a crime?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18
19
             THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
  family ever been the victim of a crime?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
21
             THE COURT: Which one of your sons is
22
23 married to a Mexican lady?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That was my oldest
   son, Timothy. She passed away.
25
```

```
THE COURT: Did she die of natural causes?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She was taking that
   Fen Phen --
 3
             THE COURT: And had a heart attack?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- and she died.
 5
   Yeah.
 6
             THE COURT: Do you believe that you can be
 7
   fair and impartial in this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 9
             THE COURT: You can follow the Court's
10
   instructions on the law?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't hear you.
12
13
             THE COURT: Could you follow the Court's
  instructions on the law?
1.4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
15
             THE COURT: Can you wait until you've
16
  heard all the evidence before you make up your mind?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18
             THE COURT: Now, you understand that --
19
   tell me this here: Have you ever had any close
   friends or relatives who have been the victim of a
21
  violent crime?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The only thing that I
23
24 can say is my sister used to -- what do you call
   that -- her husband used to beat her up all the
25
```

```
time.
 1
              THE COURT: Okay.
 2
 3
              Domestic violence?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Where do they live?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Connecticut.
 6
              THE COURT: Connecticut.
 7
             Was the husband Caucasian?
 8
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: White, yes.
              THE COURT: Are they still married?
1.0
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11
              THE COURT: Now, you understand that
12
  you're going to be deciding the penalty for the
13
   defendant in this case.
             Do you understand that?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's meaning --
16
              THE COURT: Punishment.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
18
              THE COURT: He's already been convicted of
19
   four counts of first-degree murder.
20
21
              Do you understand that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Um-hmm.
22
              THE COURT: Is that a "yes"?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Sorry.
24
              THE COURT: Now, the State law allows the
25
```

```
jury to sentence a person who has been convicted of
 1
 2
   first-degree murder to one, the death penalty or
   life imprisonment without parole, life imprisonment
   with the possibility of parole or for a definite
   term of 50 years with the possibility of parole
 5
   after 20 years, and those sentences are doubled
 6
   because a deadly weapon was used.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
 9
             THE COURT: So, the definite term would
   be -- in actuality would be 100 years with parole
10
   after 40 years.
11
             Now, would you be able to consider all
12
  four forms of punishment?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
14
15
             THE COURT: Could you wait until you heard
16
   all the evidence about the background of the people,
17
   the facts of the case, the circumstances of what
   happened and listen to the arguments of counsel and
18
19
   the law and pick the appropriate sentence?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20
21
             THE COURT: You haven't made your mind up
22
   already, have you?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not really. You know,
23
  when I went through the thing, I read a few things.
24
   I don't think I -- I think I could.
25
```

```
THE COURT: You think you could what?
 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I could be
 2
 3
   fair on the judgment, and I was also told that I
   needed to tell you that I won't be here next week.
             THE COURT: Well, if you won't be here
 5
   next week, how are you going to serve on a jury?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't know when to
   tell you. This is my first time. I've ever done
 8
   this.
             THE COURT: Where are you supposed to be?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My daughter-in-law is
11
12
  having trouble with her pregnancy. She needs
   someone to help her. She lives in California.
13
             THE COURT: Where is her mom?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Excuse me?
15
             THE COURT: Where is your
16
   daughter-in-law's mother?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She's going on
18
   vacation that week. She's been taking care of her
19
20
   the whole other time. Sorry.
             MS. JACKSON: We will submit it, your
21
22
   Honor.
             MR. DASKAS: Submit it, Judge.
23
             THE COURT: All right. You're excused.
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
25
```

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 296 1 THE COURT: Mr. -- is it Branton? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 296: Branton, yes. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Branton, two things about 4 your questionnaire caught my eye right off the bat 5 there -- more than two things. There's a couple of things. One of them is when they asked you the 7 question about would the victim's race and the defendant's race being different make a difference, and you said, "Yes, it would." 10 11 What do you mean by that? How would it 12 alter your judgment? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I expanded on that a 13 little bit. I said if it was an entire -- what I'm 14 talking about is if it was a hate crime. I wasn't 16 sure about the case itself until sitting in here when I remember parts of it. If it was a hate crime 17 was what I was thinking of, so more along those 18 lines where one of the defendant's race was one and 19 all the victims were another race. 20 21 THE COURT: Because they were different races, you think that's a hate crime? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In some instances it 23 is, not across the board. 24 THE COURT: In some instances. 25

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 We were told not to
 2
   speculate on the case. I was just going by what was
   written.
             THE COURT: Well, you know what, over here
   you said, "I am not 100 percent sure that the system
 5
   works. I would not believe that I'm not prejudiced
 6
   against anybody, but I'm not sure of that," which is
 7
         Everybody is entitled to their own beliefs,
   but you can understand that the defendant and his
   lawyer don't want someone who is going to be biased
10
   against him because of his race?
1 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand that.
12
             THE COURT: And it appears that you might
13
   have some of that.
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 No, I don't think so,
15
   not from my perspective I don't feel that way. I
16
   was mainly thinking about hate crimes, not anything
17
18
   in particular about this particular case.
19
             THE COURT: I understand that though, but
20
   you said, "I'm not prejudiced against anybody, but
   I'm not sure of that." I don't know you, but that's
21
   what you said, so you told us that.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, I'd like to
23
   think I'm not.
24
             THE COURT: But you said you're not sure;
25
```

so, what makes you think you're not sure? There's 1 nothing to be embarrassed about or anything. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; I --THE COURT: We've had I don't know how 4 many people come in here and say hey, look, I don't 5 like certain people. I'm prejudiced against this 6 7 group or that group which happens all the time. That's human nature; we just want to know about it. There's nothing to be embarrassed about or ashamed about it. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't feel I'm 11 prejudiced against anybody in particular about race 12 13 or gender or actual preference. Maybe deep down inside there's something, but on the surface, to the 1.4 15 best of my knowledge, I treat everybody the same. 16 THE COURT: Oh, okay. That's all we want 17 to know. I just saw that statement, so I had a question about that. 18 And of course, you know, like the 19 20 statement said, I think the race of the victim and the defendants, that's different, but what I want to know is that's not going to be your determinative --22 23 you're not going to base your decision on that? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, of course not. 25 THE COURT: We want people who are going

```
to be unbiased, impartial and listen to the facts
   and make their decision based on the facts and the
 2
   law.
             Can you do that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 5
                                  I can.
 6
             THE COURT: Now, you state here -- the
 7
   punishment. If you're picked to serve on this jury,
   you're going to have to decide the punishment, and
   there are certain laws regarding that that tells you
10
   how you're supposed to analyze the evidence, and any
   kind of laws, which is my job to instruct you on.
11
   But the law is that if you're going to serve on a
12
13
   jury where a person has been convicted of
14
   first-degree murder, there are four possible
   sentences that the jury can impose. One is the
   death penalty, life in prison without the
16
   possibility of parole, life in prison with the
17
   possibility of parole or for a definite term of 50
18
19
   years with the possibility of parole after 20 years,
   and those terms are doubled because a deadly weapon
20
21
   was used.
              The 50 years in actuality would be
22
   doubled to a hundred years with parole after 40
   years, and the same would be true for the life
23
   imprisonment with the possibility of parole.
24
             Do you understand that?
25
```

1

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: So, the law requires that you 3 be able to consider all four forms of punishment, and you understand that this is -- the defendant has already been convicted of four counts of first-degree murder. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand that. 7 THE COURT: And these sentences would 8 9 apply to each count. He has to be sentenced on each 10 count. Do you understand that? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Would they be 12 consecutive terms or are they all at the same time? 13 14 THE COURT: The Court would decide that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 15 Okav. THE COURT: All right. 16 17 What I want to know is could you consider all four forms of punishment? Are you open to all 18 19 four forms? What I mean by "open to them" or "can 20 consider them" -- some people come in and say, 21 "Under no circumstaces if somebody kills somebody, 22 the only punishment that I can impose is the death 23 penalty." All right? Some people come in and say, 24 "I don't believe in life in prison without the possibility of parole, because it cost taxpayers too 25

```
much money, so the only thing I can impose is either
   death or life in prison with the possibility of
   parole."
 3
              What I want to know is do you have any
 4
   feelings like that or are you open to all four and
 5
 6
   can choose either one of the four if you thought the
 7
   facts, the evidence and the circumstances warranted?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Okay.
             You don't think you would have any
10
   problems following the Court's instructions on the
11
12
   law?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
              THE COURT: Of course, the attorneys are
14
   going to be kind of wondering about this, because in
15
   this one thing you said you're in favor of the death
16
17
   penalty, but you said, "If it come this far, the
   death penalty should be the only option."
18
             You know that's not the only option
19
20
   according to the law.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I understand that.
21
22
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
2.3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I understand that.
              THE COURT: State.
24
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
25
```

1 2 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE BY MR. DASKAS: 3 Mr. Branton, good morning, and thank you 4 Q for your patience. I will represent to you this was 5 not a hate crime. 7 Α Okay. We can dispense with that? 8 0 9 A Yes. You'll accept that representation? 10 Yes. 11 A 12 I appreciate that. It's a little different situation in that 13 you, if selected, have to accept the fact that 14 another jury has convicted this defendant. 15 Can you accept those verdicts even though 16 you didn't sit through the evidence? 17 To judge somebody for life or death, I 18 A don't know. Now that it's come to this and I'm in 19 here taking somebody else's word, that, I'm not sure 20 of now that I sit here. 21 Let me add two additional factors to that. 22 Number one, the Judge would instruct you 23 that you're required to accept those verdicts. 24 Can you follow that instruction? 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
        A
              Yes.
 2
              Number two, we will present to the jury
 3
   highlights, if you will, of the murders themselves
   and the evidence that implicated, if you will, the
   defendant.
 5
              Would that make you feel more comfortable?
 6
 7
              Yes.
        A
              In that situation, you would rely on those
 8
   verdicts?
 9
10
        A
              Yes.
              Let's get to the second part, punishment.
11
              You realize now your job would be to
12
13
   impose punishment?
14
              Yes.
              It's a bit unfair in the questionnaire,
1.5
   because we only tell people this man is a four-time
16
17
   convicted murderer, can you consider parole, and you
   haven't heard all the evidence in the case.
18
        Α
19
              Right.
              You haven't heard what we might present or
20
   the defense might present in this man's background
21
22
   in what we call "mitigation."
23
              Would you consider those things?
24
              Based on other mitigating circumstances,
25
   yes.
```

That would be important to you in deciding 1 this man's fate? 2 A 3 Yes. Can you assure us you will consider all 4 5 the evidence we present? A Yes. 6 7 Let me kind of forecast for you a scenario 8 of possibility in this case which is the following: 9 You're selected as a juror, you've heard all the evidence presented, you've considered what the 10 11 defense presents about this man's background and you are convinced that the death penalty is appropriate, 12 can you impose that punishment? 13 14 A Yes. One other question. 15 16 As a juror, you're required to select a foreperson. You decide amongst yourselves who 17 should be the foreperson, and as the foreperson, you 18 19 have the same vote as everybody else, but you have 20 one additional responsibility, and that is, you have 21 to sign the verdict form that sentences the defendant to death. If you, as a jury, collectively 22 agree that death is appropriate, and if the jurors 23 think you should be the foreperson, could you sign 24 25 that verdict?

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

I don't know. 1 2 It's a big responsibility. You would agree with that? 3 Yes. Without hearing everything and 4 A knowing everything, I don't know. 5 Right. Again, my question sort of 6 Q requires us to assume a whole bunch of things, so we're assuming in my question that you've heard all the evidence, that you're convinced death is the appropriate punishment and that the jury has 10 collectively agreed that should be the sentence. Assuming all those things to be true, do 12 you think in that situation you could sign the 13 verdict form? 14 Yes. 15 A 16 And it may be that you're not selected foreperson, maybe you don't have the responsibility. Do you understand that? 18 Yes. 19 A One final area. There are four victims in 20 this case, and what that means is as a juror and as 21 a jury, you have to assign punishment for each of 22 23 those four murders. 24 You recognize that because you asked the 25 Judge about consecutive time; is that correct?

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
        A
             Yes.
             Could you consider punishment for each of
 2
   those murders, and could you consider at least the
 3
   possibility of assigning different punishments for
   the different murders?
              Based on knowing all the circumstances?
 6
             Exactly.
 7
        0
        A
             Yes.
 8
                           Thank you. I appreciate it.
             MR. DASKAS:
 9
             We'll pass for cause, Judge.
1.0
              THE COURT: Defense Counsel.
11
12
             MS. JACKSON: Thank you, your Honor.
13
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
14
   BY MS. JACKSON:
15
              Good morning, Mr. Branton. How are you
        Q
16
   today?
17
             Good, thank you.
18
        A
              I was a little bit concerned when the
19
   Judge was talking to you about race. Clearly, my
20
   client is African-American. You're going to find
21
   that the victims in this case look more like you
22
  than they do like him. Three of them are very young
23
   Caucasian men, the other one is Hispanic, very
24
   young, 19. I think the oldest one was 21. When the
25
```

Judge was talking to you, you said, "Well, I don't 1 have any prejudice on the surface but deep down inside." 3 Wouldn't you agree that's usually where 4 5 prejudice is? Well, of course, that's not necessarily 6 A 7 true, because there are plenty of prejudiced people that it's really on the surface as well. We're worried about the kind that comes from deep down inside. 10 I understand. 11 A Where do you stand on that, sir, 12 especially when you look at -- you're going to see 13 photographs of these young men. You're going to see 14 photographs of them as they appeared in life and 15 you're going to see photographs after what my client did to them. They were duct taped back, feet. 17 I remember parts of the case. 18 A You're going to see photographs. 19 look a heck of a lot more like you than they do my 20 21 client. 22 Is that fact going to interfere with your ability to give Mr. Donte Johnson the fair penalty 23 24 hearing, sir? 25 A No.

```
You're sure?
 1
        0
 2
        A
              Yes.
              All right.
 3
        0
              You indicate on your questionnaire that
 4
   you were concerned about -- you work two jobs,
 5
   financial position.
 6
 7
              Where are you with that issue? You've
   been here a week now?
              That is a very large concern of mine
 9
        A
10
   still.
              Tell me a little bit about that.
11
   type of work do you do?
12
              I'm an engineer, and I work for -- do I
13
        A
   need to get specific what companies I work for?
14
              Whatever you're comfortable with. We just
15
   want to make sure -- my only concern is can you pay
16
17
   attention in here or are you going to be so worried
   about "My God, I'm running behind in my bills. I'm
18
   going to lose my house"?
19
             That is a very large concern. Neither one
20
        A
21
   of my jobs would pay me to be here. I would be
22
   losing considerable income to be here.
              Everybody loses income.
23
        Q
24
              I understand that.
              As a citizen, we have a duty.
25
```

```
I understand that.
 1
 2
             If you're going to be worried about losing
 3
   your home, then we want to know about that.
             It would be very hard for me to be here.
   It would be a financial difficulty for me to be
          Would that weigh in my mind, of course it
   here.
           Would it affect my judgment, no.
   would.
              Unfortunately, it's the way our system
           We all have hardships.
   works.
              Okay, we're cleared those two hurdles
10
11
   also.
              You indicate that your father is a lawyer,
12
  I think?
13
              That's correct.
        Α
1.4
             Does he live here in town, sir?
15
        Q
             Yes, he does.
16
        A
             What's his name?
17
        Q
             Gary Branton.
18
        Α
             What type of law does he practice?
19
        Q
             Corporate stuff.
20
        A
              Have you ever talked criminal procedure or
21
   criminal law with your dad?
22
        Α
23
              No.
              If you were selected as a juror in this
24
   case, would you feel compelled to go and discuss
25
```

```
that with your father, because you would be
 1
   admonished that you can't do that until, of course,
 2
 3
   the case is over.
        A
              No.
              Was your father an attorney when you were
 5
   growing up?
 6
 7
        A
              No.
              The Judge has touched on this, but you can
 8
   see how I -- before I leave this page, it asked you
   did you remember anything about this case, and you
10
   didn't circle -- you did not answer that question.
1.1
   You've had a chance now, a whole week almost, to
12
   think about the case.
13
              Do you remember this case when it occurred
14
   or anything?
16
              I do remember parts of it, yes.
              All right.
17
        0
              When you reflect back on those thoughts
18
   that you had, had you made up your mind in terms of
19
   what punishment would be appropriate for my client?
20
             No. I don't remember anything that
21
22
   clearly, I know.
              It just kind of flashes vaguely?
23
              Yes.
24
        A
25
              All right.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (70

Getting down to the real issue at hand 1 here, your attitude towards the death penalty in that section of the questionnaire -- it was really 3 of concern to me, because as the Court has pointed out, you took the time to write in, "If it has come this far, the death penalty is the only option." 7 By "this far," I'm assuming you meant that if he's been convicted of killing four people. 8 I would say that I would lean towards that, but like I told the Judge, I would be open, 10 based on mitigating circumstances and hearing all 11 12 the information, to the four options. 0 Okay. 13 You did write that that you're leaning 14 heavily towards the death penalty, and that's fine, 15 except that right above that, the question was, "Are 16 your beliefs such that" -- about the -- "about the 17 death penalty such that you would automatically vote 18 for the death penalty regardless of the facts and 19 circumstances?" And again, you checked, "Yes." 20 When I see that in conjunction with "if it has come this far, death is the only option," I'm concerned 22 that you did this questionnaire about a week ago. 23 Are you indicating to me now that your 24 opinions have changed in that week? 25

1 I'm not saying they've changed, but based on reflecting on the past week of actually being 2 here and having time to think rather than just writing it down last week, I can say truthfully that my initial impression -- my initial thought would be that if it has come this far and without knowing any 7 other circumstances, the death penalty would be my first option, but there are mitigating circumstances that I would listen to. 10 Such as -- give me an example of the types of things you would want to know about this man 11 before you decide if he lived or died. What would you like to know? 13 A Maybe not just about him, but the 14 entire -- how everything happened. 16 They're going to tell you how everything happened -- Mr. Daskas. 17 That would be beyond the fact of your 18 A 19 client's background and how he is now. 20 mitigating circumstances would be the entire events surrounding what happened. 21 22 Q Right. That would be what I would be looking for. 23 Α All right. Thank you for being so candid. 24 25 However, Question 38 says that the law

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
here in the State of Nevada indicates that in
 2
   addition to finding out about what happened
   surrounding the crime -- and the State will make
 3
   sure you know that, I promise you -- the law says
   that you must consider mitigating evidence as it
   relates to Mr. Johnson, and it gave you some
 6
 7
   examples on your questionnaire. It talked about
   mental status, age, things of that nature.
   says that you have to listen to those as well.
              What do you think about that?
10
   think those are the kind of factors that are
11
12
   important in a case like this?
13
        A
              Without knowing anything more, I would say
14
   no.
              So, you're saying that you cannot consider
15
        0
   mitigating factors, period?
              Not those two you brought up, age and
17
        A
18
   mental status, no.
              Give me some example of what mitigation
19
   you would consider, Mr. Branton.
20
21
        A
              Background.
              What about his background that you would
22
   feel was important?
23
              His family, his family history, his
24
   relationship with his family.
25
```

```
1
             Okay.
 2
             His relationship with the victims, his
 3
   relationship with the other people that were
   convicted.
             Those could be aggravators. We're talking
 5
   about mitigators. Mitigators -- a good definition
   of mitigation is any reason that you could have to
 7
   give life. It could even be mercy. It could be
  because the defendant's mother takes the stand and
   you listen to what she has to say and you can
10
   decide -- after due deliberation, after considering
11
  everything in here, you could decide as an
12
   individual juror that, you know what, I'm not going
13
   to kill that man, because his mother's plea to spare
15
  her son was sufficient for mine -- that's sufficient
16
  mitigation for me.
             Do you understand that concept?
17
18
        A
             Yes.
19
        Q
             Mitigation in the law says it's whatever
   you think it is.
20
21
        A
             Okay.
22
             You will be willing to consider mitigation
   in this case, sir --
23
             Yes.
24
        Α
25
             -- as a reason to give life?
```

```
1
              Yes.
         A
 2
              Do you think life imprisonment is a severe
   punishment?
 3
 4
        A
              Yes.
              Do you think there are any benefits to
 5
   giving a penalty of life imprisonment in this
 6
 7
   country? Are there any benefits to that in your
   mind, in your estimation?
             Benefits?
 9
        Α
             Benefits.
10
             No.
11
        A
             No benefits.
12
13
              Do you see any benefits in the death
   penalty to us as a society?
14
        A
              Yes.
1.5
16
              What are those benefits?
              That the defendant is no longer a burden
17
        A
   on society. If it's come to that and there's 12
18
   people that agree that's the ultimate benefit, that
19
   they've been convicted and they were found guilty by
20
  12 people and they were sentenced to death by 12
21
22
  people, that it's gone through 24 people, the
  justice system -- that's a benefit in and of itself.
23
   It shows that the system works.
24
25
         0
              Okay.
```

```
1
             As he sits here right now, don't you
 2
   really feel in your heart that death penalty is the
   way to go? Be honest.
 4
             MR. DASKAS: Judge -- I apologize.
  has been asked and answered. He said countless
 5
   times he can consider all the options. I think
   we're getting a bit cumulative at this point.
 8
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, his
 9
   questionnaire says "automatically I will vote for
   death."
10
11
              THE COURT: You grilled him on it over the
12
  last -- I don't know how many minutes. Sustained.
13
  You asked him that repeatedly.
14
  BY MS. JACKSON:
15
             You're not going to automatically vote for
16
   death?
        A
17
             No.
18
             MS. JACKSON: Thank you, sir.
19
             Your Honor, we would pass for cause.
20
             THE COURT: All right.
21
             Sir, remain outside.
22
             State, you guys decide who you're going to
   excuse, and I'll be right back.
23
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
24
25
              (Brief pause.)
```

41

```
1
              THE COURT: The State may exercise their
 2
   one and only peremptory challenge of the alternate.
             MR. DASKAS: Your Honor, we would exercise
 3
   our only peremptory on Badge No. 278, I believe it's
 4
   pronounced Fladgler (phonetic) -- Fladger.
 5
             THE COURT: All right.
 6
 7
 8
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300
             THE COURT: What is your name, sir?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 300: Floyd Scotton.
10
11
             THE COURT: So, you're originally from
   Philly?
12
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: You've been in Las Vegas about
14
   16 years?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
16
             THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am a mortgage broker
18
   and foreclosure consultant.
19
20
             THE COURT: And you went to college in
21
  Michigan?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Correct.
23
             THE COURT: Did you get -- you got a BS
24
   degree?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir, Bachelor of
25
```

```
1
   Science.
 2
             THE COURT: In what?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Agriculture, with a
  major in ornamental horticulture.
             THE COURT: Did you ever work in that
 5
  area?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually, I did for 22
   years.
             THE COURT: Who did you work for?
 9
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I worked for a private
  company, Environmental Care, and I was transferred
11
  out here, and then I went to work for the casinos --
12
13 Lady Luck, Sam's Town and Stratosphere.
             THE COURT: You went to National
14
  University. What did you get there?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't finish,
  because I was transferred out here. I was working
17
  on my master's.
18
             THE COURT: What were you working on?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Master's in business.
20
21
             THE COURT: Master's in business?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22
             THE COURT: Are you married?
23
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Divorced.
             THE COURT: What kind of work did your
25
```

ex-wife do? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She used to be an 3 operator for an escort service. THE COURT: Okay. 4 I'm looking at your questionnaire here, 5 and Question No. 16 asked if you had already formed 6 7 an opinion about this case, and it says, "I believe 8 the maximum penalty for" -- I can't read that --"taking these lives are justified." So, what opinion have you formed as to 10 what the punishment should be? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If he was convicted of 13 the four deaths, unfortunately, there must have been 14 enough evidence presented. 15 THE COURT: He's already convicted. convicted of four counts of first-degree murder. 16 That's a given. We're here for you to decide the 17 punishment, and that's what this jury will have to 18 do is decide the punishment and what it should be, 19 and that's why we're going to have a trial. 20 21 State is going to present evidence, the defense is 22 going to present evidence, and the jury has to make 23 a decision as to the appropriate punishment. 24 Now, I'm trying to find out if you already 25 have a preconceived idea of what the punishment

```
should be or what it is already.
 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did.
 2
             THE COURT: And what's that?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I feel like he should
 4
   be -- his penalty should be death as well.
 5
              THE COURT: What now?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: His penalty should be
 8
   death as well.
 9
             THE COURT: Death.
                                  Okay.
             You've already decided death before you
10
   heard any evidence of mitigation.
             Would that change your mind?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so, sir.
13
             THE COURT: So, that's the only thing you
14
   can consider is death?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Four lives taken,
16
   unfortunately, that's all I can think of.
17
             THE COURT: Well, the law in the State of
18
   Nevada is that life imprisonment without parole,
  life imprisonment with the possibility of parole or
20
  for a definite term of 50 years with the possibility
21.
   of parole, and those are doubled, so in actuality,
22
  it's a hundred years for the definite term with
23
  parole after 40 years, and that would apply to each
24
  count, but you could only consider death, is that
25
```

```
what you're telling me?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, but I don't see
   why somebody needs to sit around and think about
 3
   that. Unfortunately, those penalties are -- that's
   just a waste right there. That's a waste.
              THE COURT: Counsel?
 6
 7
             MS. JACKSON: Challenge for cause, your
   Honor.
 8
             MR. DASKAS: We'll submit it, Judge.
 9
10
             THE COURT: All right.
             You're excused.
11
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, may I inquire if
12
  Miss Quillen is not here?
13
             THE COURT: I don't know.
14
             MS. JACKSON: We seem to have skipped her.
15
             MR. STANTON: She's Juror 298.
16
             THE COURT: Is there a Jean Quillen out
17
  there?
18
              THE BAILIFF: She's not there.
19
             THE COURT: Where is she?
20
              THE BAILIFF: She didn't show up.
21
22
             THE COURT: All right.
             Order to show cause.
23
              THE BAILIFF: She hadn't answered when I
24
25
   came down.
```

```
1
 2
     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 304
 3
              THE COURT: Ma'am, on your questionnaire,
    you were saying you were having some medical tests
 4
    done?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: Yes, I did.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: You have to have surgery?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have to get a biopsy
 9
   done next week. I'm scheduled for Wednesday.
10
              THE COURT: For Wednesday at what time?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At 2:45.
              THE COURT: All right. We'll excuse you
12
13
   then. All right?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
14
15
16
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 305
17
              THE COURT: Miss Knight (sic)?
18
             MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I believe this
   is Juror 0305, Miss Nissen-Stephens.
19
20
              THE COURT: What now?
21
             MR. STANTON: 0305, Michelle --
22
              THE COURT: This is Miss Nissen-Stephens?
             MS. JACKSON:
                            Yes.
23
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 305: Yes, sir.
25
              THE COURT: Now, you're the one who said
```

```
1
   your husband knew or may have known one of the
   victim's father?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
 3
             THE COURT: You don't remember any name?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I heard you say it
 5
  before. I think it's Mowen.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Who is it now? What name?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mowen, something like
 8
   that.
 9
10
             THE COURT: State?
             MR. DASKAS: It's Matthew Mowen is the
11
12 victim, and his father is David Mowen, M-O-W-E-N.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It just sounds vaguely
13
14 familiar that my husband discussed it.
15
             THE COURT: You don't remember any
  details?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not at all, sir.
17
             THE COURT: Where does your husband know
18
19 him from?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband works -- I
20
21 think he was a salesman that my husband dealt with.
22 For some reason that sounds familiar. I could be
23 totally wrong.
             THE COURT: What kind of work does your
24
25 husband do?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He works for a car
 1
 2
   rental agency.
             THE COURT: Is he still there?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband -- yes.
 4
             THE COURT: What's the name of the rental
 5
   agency?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Avis Rent-A-Car.
 7
             THE COURT: What's your husband's name?
 8
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Scott Stephens.
             THE COURT: Come here, State.
10
              (Sidebar conference outside the presence
11
  of the court reporter.)
12
             THE COURT: You said your husband had
13
  talked about this.
             When did your husband start talking about
15
16
   this?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: When we read it in the
  newspaper. If I'm right, we read it in the paper,
18
  and he mention that he had knew that name, that it
19
   was somebody he dealt with.
20
             THE COURT: How many times did you guys
21
22 discuss it?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably about once or
23
24
  twice.
25
             THE COURT: How does it make you feel that
```

```
your husband knew the father of one of the victims?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I am correct, I've
 3
   got no feeling on it, you know, because it's not
   somebody that I knew.
             THE COURT: Okay.
 5
             Let's say, for instance, the father of the
 6
   victim came in here and testified, do you think you
 7
   would feel like some obligation or something to
 8
   accept the father's request or do something to try
   to render a verdict to try to please him?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
11
             THE COURT: Do you understand if you're
12
  picked as a juror, you couldn't discuss this case
13
1.4
   with your husband or ask him for his advice or
   opinion or even discuss the facts with him?
15
             Do you understand that?
16
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: You would be able to refrain
18
   from doing that?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd have no choice.
20
21
             THE COURT: All right.
             You're from New York, originally?
22
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: And you've been in Las Vegas
24
   about 15 years?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: And you're married?
   right, because your husband works at a car rental
 3
   place, right?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 5
                                 Yes.
             THE COURT: And you have one child?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
             THE COURT: And you have a close friend
 8
   who works for John Peter Lee?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
10
             THE COURT: She's a legal assistant?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
12
1.3
             THE COURT: Now, the only beef that I see
14
  that you have about the criminal justice system is
   that you don't think it's strict enough.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
             THE COURT: You think -- in which ways is
17
  it that you don't think it's strict? Where should
18
  it be stricter?
19
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Sometimes in
21
  sentencing.
22
             THE COURT: I understand, but what kind of
  cases don't you think people receive -- something
23
  must have made you say it.
24
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Offhand, I can't give
```

```
you an example; I just read articles in the paper.
 1
   Usually it's got something to do, I would say --
 2
   something to do with children, usually a child
   abuse.
                        Sexual predators?
             THE COURT:
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: And then they get out and do
   it again?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 That I feel we're not
 9
10
   strict enough on.
             THE COURT: Right. I see what you're
11
12
  saying.
             You said someone in your family or a
13
14
   friend has been the victim of a crime and no one was
1.5
   arrested. Who was that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was robbed and
16
   attacked in my car many years ago, but they did not
17
   find anybody.
18
             THE COURT: Was this in New York?
19
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: So, you were -- did you live
21
22
   in New York City itself?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I lived in one of the
23
24
   boroughs, Queens.
             THE COURT: You were in your car, and
25
```

```
someone came up to you.
 1
             Did they have a knife or a gun or what
 2
 3
  happened?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Three people came up
 4
   to me --
 5
             THE COURT: Okay.
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- and one had a gun;
 7
  I don't know what the other two had. When I
   realized what was going on, I put -- they took my
   bag, I threw my hand on the horn, they took off. I
10
   lived two blocks from the precinct. I just drove
11
  myself to the precinct.
             THE COURT: They never did catch the
13
14
  people who did it?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 No.
             THE COURT: Did you ever recover your
16
  purse or ID?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Nothing.
18
             THE COURT: Tell me this here: What was
19
   the race of the people that robbed you?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe they were
21
22
   Hispanic.
             THE COURT: Okay.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't tell you for
24
   sure, but that's what I believe.
25
```

```
THE COURT: All right.
 1
             That's the only time that you or anyone in
 2
   your family have ever been the victim of a crime?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was robbed at work
   also in New York. Just my luck. Living in New
   York, these things happen -- living anywhere.
 7
             THE COURT: What kind of work were you
 8
   doing?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 I worked for a car
 9
10
   rental agency.
             THE COURT: They came in and robbed for
11
   money or took a car or what?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; they took the
13
   money out of the cash drawer and did not show a
14
   weapon, just handed us a note and said he had a
15
16
   weapon.
             THE COURT: What was the race of this guy?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Honestly, I couldn't
18
   tell you.
              I was in such shock that day that I
19
20
   just --
             THE COURT: All right. I can understand
21
22
   that.
             Now, you understand from reading the
23
   questionnaire that we're picking this jury for the
24
   purpose of deciding the punishment for the
25
```

defendant. 1 2 Do you understand that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: The defendant has already been convicted of four counts of first-degree murder, 5 therefore, the jury will have to sentence him, and 6 they will have four choices according to the laws of the State of Nevada -- death penalty, life in prison without the possibility of parole, life in prison with the possibility of parole or for a definite 1.0 term of a hundred years with parole after 40 years, and the reason it's a hundred years -- it's really 12 50 years with the possibility of parole after 20 13 years, but since a deadly weapon was used, that 14 15 crime is doubled. Do you understand that? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 17 18 THE COURT: What I want to know is could you consider all four forms of punishment? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Now, the reason I ask that is 21 22 because some people come in here and they say, "Well, you know, for murder, I believe in an eye for 23 an eye, and the only thing I can consider or give is 24 the death penalty." Some people say, "I can give 25

```
life with the possibility of parole, but I can never
 1
   give life without, because that will cost the
 2
 3
   taxpayers too much money." Some people say, "I
   don't believe in the death penalty, so I could never
   sentence anyone to death."
 5
             Well, what I want to know is if you have
 6
 7
   any of those kind of views?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 8
              THE COURT: That's what we want, because
 9
   the law requires that you be able to consider all
1.0
   four forms of punishment and that you listen to the
1 1.
   evidence, the witnesses, listen to the background
12
13
   information and all the circumstances surrounding
14
   the case and then you pick an appropriate
   punishment.
15
             Can you do that?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
17
             THE COURT: Can you be fair to both sides?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I think so.
19
             THE COURT: Can you follow the Court's
20
21
   instructions on the law?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
22
              THE COURT: Of course, in Question No. 38,
23
   the question is, "Could you consider the defendant's
24
   background as mitigating circumstances such as
25
```

health, mental status, age, childhood experience, 1 education, et cetera," and you said, "Not at all." Now, why did you write that? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't remember 4 the -- or I took that question wrong. THE COURT: 6 Okav. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mental I can see I think how I took it -- and I do apologize 8 taking. if it was wrong -- was that when you read these 10 articles, they came from a bad family or they did not have, you know, both parents at home, I still 11 12 think that that doesn't -- that's not a reason to go out and rob a store or do something because your childhood wasn't perfect. 14 15 THE COURT: Right. They can present evidence of that. According to the law, they can present mitigating evidence. It's not an excuse or 17 rationale for the crime because he's already been 18 19 convicted, but you should consider that when you make your decision in terms of the appropriate 20 21 sentence. 22 So, would you be able to consider all that information before you make your decision or are you 23 just going to say, "I don't want to hear anything"? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, no, I can consider 25

```
1
    it -- yes.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              State.
              MR. STANTON:
                            Thank you, your Honor.
 4
 5
 6
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
 7
   BY MR. STANTON:
 8
        Q
              Good morning.
 9
        Α
              Good morning.
10
              A couple of questions I have regarding the
   questionnaire that you filled out.
11
12
              As a result of the victims or being a
13
   victim of crimes in New York, is there anything
   about how the police handled the case that would
14
   cause you to be anything but fair and impartial in
1.5
16
   this case?
17
        A
              No.
18
              As the Judge indicated, there has been
19
   already a jury that has found, pursuant to trial
20
   testimony, facts and evidence, the defendant guilty
   beyond a reasonable doubt of four counts of
21
22
   first-degree murder. Your function would be to
   determine the punishment, not guilt or innocence.
23
              Are you comfortable doing that?
24
25
        A
              Yes.
```

```
1
             And you can accept that jury's verdict and
   not question the integrity of that verdict?
 2
             Yes.
 3
        A
 4
             Now, John Peter Lee -- do you know what
 5
   type of law --
              I think it's more business.
 6
 7
             Do you discuss with your close friend
   legal issues, work, the cases that they handle?
 9
        A
             No, not at all.
10
             Regarding the death penalty, ma'am, what
   we are looking for here is prospective jurors that
12
   would keep an open mind until all the facts and
13
   evidence and instructions of law by Judge Gates is
   given to the jury until they formally deliberate.
14
   There is clearly evidence before you now that four
15
16
   people were murdered, terrible set of facts and an
   aggravated situation. In fact, that's part of the
17
   aggravators recognized by Nevada law is that more
18
19
   than one death is a basis to death-qualify somebody,
20
   and also for the death penalty. But beyond that,
21
  it's the issue of whether or not you can consider
   all options until the matter is formally submitted
23
   to you.
             Do you feel comfortable with keeping your
24
25
   mind open until everything is presented?
```

1 Yes. You have not formed an opinion that one of 2 the four options is what should occur in this case? 3 Would that be a fair statement? 4 Yes. 5 Α Now, in that regard, you will -- part of 6 Q 7 the State's presentation -- we will go back and give highlights of the actual quadruple murder. Part of 8 that include some very graphic photographs of the crime scene. 10 Can you, based on your personality and 11 character, look at those type of photographs 12 specifically as what they represent as evidence in 13 the case as opposed to being emotionally overwhelmed 14 15 by that? Good question. I would have to say yes. 16 In this case, there were four victims, and 17 Q the law recognizes that your function and duty in 18 this particular case is to render a just verdict as 19 it relates to each victim. 20 Do you think you can do that? 21 Α Yes. 22 Your verdict may be different for reasons 23 based upon the facts or your assessment of the facts 24 and your feelings about the case after hearing the 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

defense presentation of mitigation evidence that the 1 2 verdicts may be different for each victim. 3 Are you comfortable with that process or that concept? 4 Can you repeat that, please? 0 Certainly, and it was poorly worded. 6 7 There are four victims in this case. 8 A Right. 9 The jury's legal obligation is to impose a 10 just verdict as you unanimously decide that to be 11 for each victim and that your decision may not or it 12 may be that the verdict or the punishment be different for each victim based upon the presented 13 facts. 14 Are you comfortable with that concept? 15 16 A Yes. And we talked about, philosophically, your 17 Q 1.8 feelings about the death penalty, and what you've 19 stated here today is that you would keep an open 20 mind as to all punishments. My question to you now is kind of a realistic instead of a theoretical 21 22 discussion of the death penalty. 23 If you were on this jury and you were selected and through a process that the jurors 24 25 themselves do, you're selected as the foreperson,

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
you deliberate after hearing the entire presentation
 1
   to you with your fellow jurors and come to the
   conclusion unanimously and collectively that the
   death penalty is the appropriate punishment, ma'am,
 5
   could you affix your signature to the jury verdict
   form, which is what the foreperson does, to put that
 6
   man, Donte Johnson, to death?
        A
              Yes.
 9
              MR. STANTON:
                            Thank you.
              Pass the prospective juror.
10
11
              THE COURT: Defense Counsel.
                            Thank you, your Honor.
12
              MR. WHIPPLE:
13
14
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
15
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
16
              Is it Miss Stephens or Mrs. Stephens?
             Mrs. Stephens.
17
        A
             Mrs. Stephens, my name is Bret Whipple,
18
19
   and I represent Mr. Johnson. I have some follow-up
20
   questions.
21
              You were in Queens where you spent the
   majority of your life?
22
              I was born and raised there, yes.
23
24
             Where in Queens?
        Q
25
              Forest Hills and in Flushing.
```

```
Now, I'm not sure if you were told this.
1
   We're searching for some individuals -- this is not
2
   a job for everybody, you can imagine.
 3
        A
             Yes.
 4
             We need individuals who are pretty much in
 5
        Q
   the middle of the pack as possible and as unbiased
   as possible. So, this is an opportunity for you to
7
   speak to us, and all we're asking is for you to
   speak your heart which you clearly have. There's no
   right answers, there's no wrong answers; it's just
10
   an opportunity for us to speak back and forth and
1.1.
   see if you would be appropriate for this type of
12
   situation.
13
              The first thing that causes concern is
14
   your potential connection with the victim's family.
             Do you think it would be appropriate for
16
   the victim's family to be on the jury? In other
17
   words, somebody's young adults were killed. Would
18
   it be appropriate for parents and their siblings to
19
   be on the jury? Do you think that would be
20
   appropriate?
21
             A victim's parent, no.
22
        A
             Why not?
23
        Q
             Because then there would be a biased
24
   opinion.
25
```

```
1
         Q
              And why that?
 2
         Α
              They cannot come up with an honest answer.
 3
         0
              Because...?
 4
        A
              Because they've already made a decision.
 5
              Because they're so closely tied?
 6
              Exactly. Also, I think if it was my
         A
   child, it's "Don't you ever do anything to my child.
 7
   My child is my life."
 8
              Sure.
 9
10
              So yes, I can see why I wouldn't -- yes,
   that would be a terrible thing for a parent to be on
11
   a jury that their child was the victim.
12
13
              You could imagine if a person -- if you
14
   have the opportunity to sit on this jury, you'll
15
  hear testimony -- at some point you potentially
   could hear testimony from some of the victims'
16
17
   families, and you could imagine how difficult it
18
   would be to re-live and hear that type of
   information.
19
              Would you agree with that?
20
21
              Oh, absolutely.
22
              My concern is -- I know you want to be
23
   fair.
              Right.
24
        A
              But if you have a connection with an
25
```

individual who is actually a family member of the 1 victim and you hear them speak, how could you 2 continue to be fair? 3 Because I really don't have a connection. 4 First of all, I could be totally wrong, and it's not a connection I have. If I'm correct, it's somebody 7 that I've never met. If they were in the room right now, I couldn't even tell you, so I can be fair when it comes to -- it's nobody I know. 10 Okay. I can keep that separated, because I could 11 be wrong, and since I can't ask my husband, I don't 12 13 know if I'm right or wrong. Sure. What happens if all of a sudden 14 this person is in front of you and they testify and 15 you recognize -- "Oh, my goodness, I was right. 16 This is somebody I know through my husband." 17 Do you see why I would be concerned about 1.8 that? 19 20 MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I'm going to 21 object. That's not what the witness has stated and I don't think that situation can occur. 23 already stated that she doesn't know the person. THE COURT: She doesn't even know him 24 through her husband. 25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's what I was 1 going to say. I wouldn't even know if they were in 2 here. THE COURT: You need to rephrase the question. She doesn't know him through her husband. 5 BY MR. WHIPPLE: 7 0 Sure. If the witness said something on the stand that makes you realize, "Oh, my goodness. This is the same person that I realize or I thought it would be" --10 11 A Again, I have no connection to this person. If my husband bought milk from somebody 12 13 once at a grocery store, where is my connection to that person because he bought milk from somebody? 14 And it could be the same thing about this situation, 1.5 but when the Judge stated, "Do you have any 16 connection at all" with everything he was saying, I 17 had to be honest to tell him that I believe my 18 husband knows this person, because if I did not say 19 that and he did afterwards, well then, I was wrong, 20 21 and that can cause a problem. 22 0 And --That's why I figured I should open up, I 23 hope not a can of worms, but to be honest so I don't 24 25 cause a problem later.

I very much appreciate it, and that's all 1 we're asking is for you to be honest. 3 The key, I think, is when you said your husband knows him. "I believe," I said. 5 0 You believe he knows him. 6 7 When you say you believe he knows him, is it somebody that he bought milk from or is it 8 somebody that he knows in the sense they do 10 business? A See, that's it. Since I totally don't 11 12 recall -- I think it was a salesperson that he dealt 13 with. I don't even know if he still does, and that's all I remember about it. 1.4 15 So, you're just doing it out of an abundance of caution? There's no connection there 16 that I should be worried about? 17 18 A No. 19 I want to ask you your opinion with regard 20 to the death penalty. 21 Do you have an opinion with regard to the 22 death penalty? 23 I think beyond a reasonable doubt that all the evidence and everything shows, I do believe in 24 25 the death penalty in some cases, yes.

So, if an individual was convicted of 1 first-degree, premeditated, deliberate, cold-blooded murder without any excuse or justification, could you consider a life sentence in this situation? Well, honestly, if the option of the death 5 A sentence was available, I would have to say I do believe in the death sentence. 7 8 Now, that's with one person. If there were four individuals and a person killed them, premeditated, deliberate, cold-blooded, and killed 10 four innocent individuals, young adults, could you 11 consider a life sentence? 12 13 Again, if the death sentence was 14 available, I would have to believe the death 15 sentence. And if you learned that four individuals 16 17 were duct taped with their hands behind their back, their feet together, placed on the ground with their 18 19 face in the carpet and an individual took a gun and 20 shot each one of them in the back of the head one by 21 one, could you consider a life sentence in that situation? 22 23 MR. STANTON: Your Honor, once again, I'm going to object to the pattern of the question. 24 Ιt 25 doesn't properly present what the juror will be

```
1
  hearing as it doesn't indicate that mitigation
   evidence is going to be part of the juror's
   deliberation and consideration of the case. Counsel
   is presenting unilateral presentation of facts.
 5
              THE COURT: Counsel, you'll have your
   chance to talk to her and traverse her if you want
   to.
 7
             Go on.
 8
             MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor.
 9
10
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
11
             Do you want me to repeat the question?
12
             No, I remember the question. In all
  honesty, I don't think the circumstances is how the
13
   murder occurred, it's just that the murder occurred.
1.5
             That's all we're asking.
16
             So, I don't think either handcuffed or
   taped or anything makes a difference. I think it's
   taking the four lives.
18
             Could you consider a life sentence in that
19
   situation?
20
21
             I would have to say if the death sentence
22
   was available, I would go with that.
23
        Q
             And why is that?
24
             Why should we, for the rest of their life,
25
   pay for them in jail when we know -- if there's no
```

```
reason beyond a reasonable doubt that they
 1
   definitely did it, that there's no evidence is going
   to turn up years from now, then I honestly do think
 3
   of the death sentence.
 5
        0
             Why?
              I think in that situation you know he's
        A
 6
   guilty, and to me, life in prison -- if it was the
 7
   inkling that DNA was going to come back years later,
   then that person should have a chance of living the
   rest of their life, because hopefully they're going
   to realize something, but when there is no
11
   reasonable doubt, I really feel don't waste the
12
   taxpayers' money for the next 50 years or five years
13
   or whatever the case may be.
              This is just a heart-of-hearts type
15
        Q
   feeling that you've had?
16
17
        A
             Yes.
             How long have you had this feeling or this
18
   belief?
19
              I would say most of my adult life.
20
              Has anybody ever tried to convince you
21
22
   otherwise?
23
        A
              No.
              How would you handle it if someone tried
24
   to convince you that the death penalty is not what
25
```

```
should be given in a situation like that?
 1
 2
             Well, I mean -- "somebody," meaning I'm
 3
   having a conversation with a friend?
             Sure.
 4
        0
              If I'm having a conversation with a
 5
 6
   friend, that's my opinion. Honestly, you're not
 7
   going to change -- if it's a conversation between
   two friends, you know, you're not going to change.
   That's my opinion. You're going to give me your
   beliefs and I'm going to give you my beliefs. I
10
   don't think you can change -- I mean, you would have
11
12
   to have some great evidence or a good reason for me
13
   to change my mind on that.
14
             MR. WHIPPLE: I think that -- your Honor,
15
  I think Miss Nissen-Stephens it's probably -- I'm
16
   concerned about you being fair, so I'm going to
   challenge for cause with regard to your background.
17
18
             I'm just concerned about the death
19
  penalty, your Honor.
20
             MR. STANTON: I would definitely traverse,
21
   your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: All right.
23
   11111
   11111
24
25
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
```

BY MR. STANTON: 1 What Counsel is not including in his 2 3 scenario to you is the fact in this case, it's not just going to be the evidence of the four people that were murdered in the fashion that you said, and you said the fashion didn't make any difference to 7 you. MR. WHIPPLE: I'm sorry. I'm going to 8 sneak up here, Mr. Stanton. BY MR. STANTON: 10 But there's going to be mitigation 11 12 evidence, evidence presented by the defense in this case to you as jurors for you to consider in this 14 case. Now, you said earlier that the punishment 15 in this case, even the least severe punishment is a very severe punishment. I think any reasonable 17 person would assess that, but in your selection of 18 19 each of the four, that you would wait until you've heard all the evidence in this case, and you 20 indicated that you could. 21 22 A Yes. Is that correct? 23 0 That's correct. A 24 Counsel has told you, and I guess you knew 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

a week ago that there were four people that had been 1 murdered in this case, so that's not any new evidence to you here today. Α No. 4 Now, the question here is the fact that 5 more than one person is killed is, as I stated before, an aggravation. It can be used by you and other jurors as a basis to impose the death penalty; it cannot be the basis. So, the question I have for 10 you is would you keep an open mind as to all four potential punishments even knowing that four people 12 have already been murdered and that the defendant was convicted of that? 13 14 A Yes. Now, in the statement that Mr. Whipple was 15 asking you was would you automatically be leaning 16 toward the death penalty, and you said, "Well, if 17 the death penalty was an option." If I interjected 18 19 the mitigation portion of this and the instructions 20 by law that you're required as a juror to consider 21 mitigating evidence, would you then keep your mind open until all that evidence was presented to you? 22 Exactly. I'd have to hear the evidence 23

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

Would that be important to you to know

24

25

and everything.

Q

```
about the defendant, who he is, where he came from,
 1
   what happened in this case, what he's all about?
   Would that be important to you?
             I think what would be important is what
 5
   led up to this situation that caused the murders.
        0
             Okay.
 6
 7
             Would you like to know a little bit about
 8
   the defendant, maybe a lot about him, who he is, his
   family, stuff like that?
             Well, after the Judge stated what he did
10
   before, what he said before about regarding the
11
   questionnaire, yes, I do need to know some
12
13
  information.
14
             So, that's an instruction of law that
   indeed in the State of Nevada you have to be open
15
   and consider that.
16
17
             What you do with that is obviously up to
  you, but would you consider it?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
19
             MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I believe that
20
21
   she qualifies.
             MR. WHIPPLE: Your Honor, may we approach?
22
23
             THE COURT: No.
             I'm going to grant the challenge.
24
             You're excused, ma'am.
25
```

```
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
              THE COURT: Who is next?
 2
              THE CLERK: Theresa Knight.
 3
 4
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 309
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Miss Knight, in your
 7
   questionnaire, you stated that you were worried
   about just starting a new job and your boss.
 8
 9
             Did you get that taken care of?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 309: Yes.
10
             THE COURT: Huh?
11
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
13
             THE COURT: You talked to your boss?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14
15
             THE COURT: You're not going to get
   harassed?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
17
                                  No.
             THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am a superintendent
19
20
   on a construction site.
21
             THE COURT: You have five kids?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: You're a busy lady.
23
             They're all in school too?
24
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
```

```
THE COURT: Your husband -- what kind of
 1
   work does your husband do?
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He works for the Gold
 3
   Coast properties. He's the Sports Book supervisor.
 4
   We're separated.
 5
             THE COURT: Separated?
 6
                                  Um-hmm.
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
             THE COURT: Who keeps all those kids for
 8
   you?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, they're in
10
   school right now.
11
             THE COURT: So, they're all in school?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
13
             THE COURT: Now, your son you said was
14
   charged with taking a vehicle without owner's
15
16
   consent, something like that.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. He took my
17
   vehicle.
18
                         How long ago was that?
             THE COURT:
19
20
   that the one in high school?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. He actually is
21
   out of high school. He took his GED.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was in March.
24
              THE COURT: You thought he was treated
25
```

76

```
fairly?
 1
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
                                        He's
   incarcerated right now.
 3
              THE COURT: What now?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's incarcerated
 6
   right now.
 7
             THE COURT: For what, for the car?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 8
                                  Yes.
             THE COURT: In the county jail?
 9
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. He's on a
11
   list to go up to Harris Springs.
             THE COURT: Harris Springs?
12
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
             THE COURT: Who was the judge?
14
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know.
   wasn't able to make court because I had just started
16
   that job.
17
             THE COURT: Okay.
18
19
             Now, this case here, we're trying to pick
20
   a jury to decide the punishment for the defendant,
  and from the questionnaire, you know that the
21
22
   defendant has already been convicted of four counts
   of first-degree murder; is that correct?
23
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
25
             THE COURT: So, the law requires that you
```

77